

SSO Questionnaire	
1	If the facility is sited in this area, how can the City ensure that the facility is sensitive to the surrounding communities and neighbourhoods?
2	Do you have any questions or concerns about the project? If no, please explain.
3a	This session was a useful way for people to communicate with the City
3b	I gained an understanding of the project
3c	I had an opportunity to contribute and participate
3d	The event was worth attending
3e	How did you find out about this event?
3f	What I would change for future events

Consultations

Meeting #	Date	Proposed Site	Location
1	Wednesday March 7	Disco Road Transfer Station	Disco Road Transfer Station
2	Thursday March 8	Ingram Transfer Station	Charles E Webster Public School
3	Monday March 19	3301 Markham Road	Milliken Park CRC
4	Wednesday March 21	Dufferin Transfer Station	EMS
5	Thursday March 22	Morningside Yard	Morrish P.S
6	Wednesday, April 4	3301 Markham Road	Malvern Recreation Centre

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
1	My concern is that the present transfer station already provides less than pleasant odours to the surrounding community and neighbourhood; will the new Green Bin cause more unpleasant smells or less? The whole way that the facility works must be conveyed to the neighbourhood.		Disco Road	Questionnaire
2	The City should try to block the facility visually with landscaping or a green wall so that people will not have to be reminded of the fact that silos are situated next to them.		Disco Road	Questionnaire
3	I suggest that tours of an existing facility be arranged to show the community that the necessary facility can actually work. Also that there will not be any problems with pests or odours.		Disco Road	Questionnaire
4a		Agree	Disco Road	Questionnaire
4b		Agree	Disco Road	Questionnaire
4c		Agree	Disco Road	Questionnaire
4d		Strongly agree	Disco Road	Questionnaire
5	My superintendent of ... Drive told me about the event.		Disco Road	Questionnaire
6	Better communication with the neighbours and more lead time before the event. Perhaps a Saturday event; you might get more people to attend.		Disco Road	Questionnaire
1	We are definitely against this new processing facility because it is close to a residential area		Disco Road	Questionnaire
2	See above		Disco Road	Questionnaire
3	We do not agree with the project		Disco Road	Questionnaire
5	Through the mail		Disco Road	Questionnaire
1	Hopefully, the facility will not be sited in my area.		Dufferin	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
2	This idea will ruin the neighbourhood and our house prices will decrease in value because of this our neighbourhood families will be very upset and depressed.		Dufferin	Questionnaire
3a		Agree	Dufferin	Questionnaire
3b		Agree	Dufferin	Questionnaire
3c		Agree	Dufferin	Questionnaire
3d		Agree	Dufferin	Questionnaire
3e	Friend		Dufferin	Questionnaire
1	Invite public to regular open houses to observe facility in action		Dufferin	Questionnaire
2	Will project be available for visits by groups of students, conference delegates, foreign visitors		Dufferin	Questionnaire
3a		Agree	Dufferin	Questionnaire
3b		Agree	Dufferin	Questionnaire
3c		Strongly agree	Dufferin	Questionnaire
3d		Strongly agree	Dufferin	Questionnaire
3e	Found it on website - I am outside of area		Dufferin	Questionnaire
3f	Invite those with a waste interest to be made aware of event		Dufferin	Questionnaire
1	Periodic newsletters/flyers. Periodic significant events meetings (public)		Dufferin	Questionnaire
3a		Strongly agree	Dufferin	Questionnaire
3b		Strongly agree	Dufferin	Questionnaire
3c		Strongly agree	Dufferin	Questionnaire
3d		Strongly agree	Dufferin	Questionnaire
3e		Mailbox notice	Dufferin	Questionnaire
3b		Strongly agree	Dufferin	Questionnaire
3c		Strongly disagree	Dufferin	Questionnaire
3e	Flyer was dropped outside of the door.		Dufferin	Questionnaire
3f	The way the government wastes money having a good time on taxpayers money.		Dufferin	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
2	I am opposed to the expansion of the Ingram facility. There are too many people living too close to the site. I can see future health issues developing like air borne bacteria that are not well known today. This is starting to be a major issue in urban communities. Don't expand the Ingram facility and then defend health claims in the future. Move to an area with less population.		Ingram	Questionnaire
3a		Disagree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3b		Agree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3c		Disagree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3d		Agree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3e	Letter in mail		Ingram	Questionnaire
1	By closely monitoring. Air control, emissions from trucks, increase in the vermin population.		Ingram	Questionnaire
2	Don't want this type of project in my area.		Ingram	Questionnaire
3a		Agree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3b		Agree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3c		Agree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3d		Strongly agree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3e	Flyer in the mail box last week		Ingram	Questionnaire
1	It can't. This facility is already not capable of dealing with what it has presently it's not sensitive to its surroundings and communities. Our neighbourhoods here are overpopulated with families and the present facility already smells bad and brings in a lot of traffic		Ingram	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
2	How dare you even consider an area that has been hit hard with garbage? How can you consider a project that legally cannot really take place because the neighbourhoods are so close to the facility? How can you touch our green space? If by any chance this gets approved you will turn our area into a ghetto.		Ingram	Questionnaire
3a	The only thing this session did, is imposed another headache on the community.	Strongly disagree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3b	You made me aware of what you are trying to push	Agree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3c	Contribute and participate is called a debate, this was not.	Strongly disagree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3d	It showed me that we have a big fight ahead.	Strongly agree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3e	Mail - neighbours		Ingram	Questionnaire
3f	Discussion period with people with answers		Ingram	Questionnaire
2	Concerned about congestion, pollution, smell. Facility too close to residential communities.		Ingram	Questionnaire
3a		Agree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3b		Agree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3c		Agree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3d		Agree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3e	Mail		Ingram	Questionnaire
3f	Petition to be provided once all infrastructure is attained. This would give early written confirmation of approval or disapproval of plans.		Ingram	Questionnaire
1	The City must ensure that the facility will not disrupt the flow of traffic. Also, the City must ensure that any noise pollution or any pollution will not rise in the area as it is already quite congested with existing manufacturers and companies. This is very important to understand for surrounding residents.		Ingram	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
2	We do not need this type of facility in our area.		Ingram	Questionnaire
3a		Strongly disagree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3b		No opinion	Ingram	Questionnaire
3c		Agree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3d		Agree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3e		Mailbox notice	Ingram	Questionnaire
1	Concerns relate to the fact that we are dealing with a treatment plant not very different than a sewage treatment plant. Potential noxious odors despite modern biofilter technology is always an issue. The end product of the treatment is a wet sludge that needs to be transported through the community to composting farms!		Ingram	Questionnaire
2	Do not believe that the siting of such a facility can be mitigated within the context of our community. Proximity to residential schools, commercial and old age centers makes the proposed facility highly incompatible! Existing street right of ways and intersections are totally inadequate for accommodating the volume and size of transports necessary for this facility.		Ingram	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
3	<p>This site is not appropriate for the addition of this facility since we already have a fair share of handling waste and recyclables in the area. In addition to the Ingram Transfer Station, we also have a concrete recycling plant and asphalt plant! Valued open space (a rare commodity) will be built up and eliminated. The area is going through a land-use change as industrial plants move out and new uses evolve. Study has not looked at the existing and future land use trends. These are already (within proximity of the proposed facility) many churches or places of worship (approx. 10) and commercial and retail facility are slowly infilling. You are not simply dealing with an industrial area.</p>		Ingram	Questionnaire
4a		Strongly disagree	Ingram	Questionnaire
4b		Agree	Ingram	Questionnaire
4c		Strongly disagree	Ingram	Questionnaire
4d		Agree	Ingram	Questionnaire
5	Word of mouth		Ingram	Questionnaire
6	<p>Info (open house) session is ok as an introduction but a full public participation meeting with stand up presentation and a question and answer period would be more effective as a forum for public input.</p>		Ingram	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
1	We are already overloaded with the activities going on at Ingram. There is the terrible smell especially in the spring and summer. We are inundated with huge garbage trucks cutting across small residential streets. The traffic around Ingram is already a mess. Why put this proposed site close to our homes, apartments, and schools? Our community is in distress because of the already expanding activities at Ingram and the nearby private transfer station.		Ingram	Questionnaire
2	This Ingram site is the closest to residential and school communities among all five "finalists." I think of my son Alexander, 11, who asks me about the awful smell coming out already from Ingram. Alexander has to be careful walking home from Immaculate Conception School because of the garbage trucks flooding our streets. If this site is selected more trucks will be on the way.		Ingram	Questionnaire
3	How about the increased number of trucks that will be necessitated by the selection of Ingram? How do you control the smell coming out of those trucks? Extending Caledonia Road won't work to alleviate these concerns - more traffic will pour into the community.		Ingram	Questionnaire
3a	There was some give and take but it was an awkward setting.	Strongly disagree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3b	I understand how horrible it is	Strongly agree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3c	What participation? Viewing the presentation was like attending a horror show.	Strongly disagree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3d	I saw first-hand the peril facing our community and it has brought neighbours together to fight this	Strongly agree	Ingram	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
3e	A flyer delivered 3 days before. Other neighbours received less notice or no notice at all.		Ingram	Questionnaire
3f	More notice - more direct feedback from community by having chairs where we could sit down and ask questions. The City Staff did their best considering the circumstances.		Ingram	Questionnaire
1	Concerned about fact area already heavy traffic of trucks due to two facilities one owned by City and other private.		Ingram	Questionnaire
2	Eliminating green space would be a major negative to this area. Converting area to Golf Centre would be beneficial to local residents.		Ingram	Questionnaire
3a		Disagree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3b		Agree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3c		Disagree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3d		Strongly agree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3e	Poor notice to residents, found out 3 days before.		Ingram	Questionnaire
3f			Ingram	Questionnaire
1	Facility should not be located at Ingram Transfer Station.		Ingram	Questionnaire
2	Yes. (1) Currently, it stinks and do not want the smell or the negative environmental elements attached to it (such as rats, etc.); (2) The traffic is currently bad on Keele and Ingram - we do not need more!; (3) The community does not want this in our neighbourhood and the City is not consulting with the taxpayers appropriately; (4) Our property values will decrease; (5) Green space is being taken away.		Ingram	Questionnaire
3a		Disagree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3b		Agree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3c		No opinion	Ingram	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
3d		Agree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3e	Got a flyer in our mailbox a day before - with the short notice we had to alter our plans to attend		Ingram	Questionnaire
3f	More notice, our feedback being noted and the mayor attending to speak with residents of the ward.		Ingram	Questionnaire
1	I am very concerned with turning this neighbourhood with significant residential homes with close proximity into the dump for west end garbage procesing. The current transfer station is a terrible traffic issue, the odour issue from it is a very significant community issue. The private dump is also a terrible contributor to airborne dust debris. The City has in my opinion completely mismanaged the issue.		Ingram	Questionnaire
2	Traffic cannot be increased further; a biofilter must be installed on the current transfer station facility; the private dump must be stopped from further operation; green space must be retained; community facilities are seriously lacking in this area - there must be a plan for their creation. Why here? It is my opinion that this community has contributed as much if not more than other neighbourhoods.		Ingram	Questionnaire
3a		Agree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3b		Agree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3c		Disagree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3d		Agree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3e		Neighbour	Ingram	Questionnaire
3f		Lead time and notification	Ingram	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
	Marian is concerned about loss of property value to her house and about odour. She wasn't able to attend the meeting. She is very supportive of the Green Bin program.		Ingram	Telephone
	MPP Paul Ferreira's Office phoned and requested to be sent 3 copies of the General Display Boards' Questionnaires; Hand-outs; Envelopes.		Ingram	Telephone
	Gayle called to get clarification on three questions: (1) Can the site legally be built at Ingram; does it comply with SSO building regulations? (2) How would the proposed siting of the re-use centre at Ingram affect plans to build the SSO facility; (3) Could you please send along the conceptual site plan for Ingram on display at the consultation?		Ingram	Telephone
	<p>Many people were upset that they weren't notified about the meeting. People were suspicious of us as a result.</p> <p>Messages: The transfer station smells and there is no way the neighbours want any more smells. The traffic to and from the transfer station is really too heavy.</p> <p>The intersection of Keele and Ingram is too small for big transport trucks.</p> <p>The vehicles standing in line constantly on Saturdays and after 3pm on weekdays are smelly and irritating.</p> <p>If you can put a biofilter on an organics plant why can't there be a biofilter on the transfer station building ?</p>		Ingram	Staff notes

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
1	Bare in mind the large number of residents in the area. There are many apartment buildings nearby, housing thousands of tax paying voters who want (or should I say need) clean air to breath. I have been living in this neighbourhood for 17 years and have found the air quality getting worse and worse each year. Between garbage odours and exhaust fumes I have found myself gasping for breath on more than one occasion.		Ingram	Questionnaire
2	Concerns include air pollution, rodent infestation and noise pollution		Ingram	Questionnaire
3a		Disagree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3b		Agree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3c		Disagree	Ingram	Questionnaire
3d		No opinion	Ingram	Questionnaire
3e	Notice circulated through my apartment building		Ingram	Questionnaire
3f	More structured with question and answer session after a presentation.		Ingram	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
	<p>I've attached a few links that indicate a strong correlation between the potential odour of the expanded green bin program on Ingram Dr and future health risks for the surrounding community. There are too many people living close to the Ingram site to risk future health complications and potential litigation. There is a good deal of science that supports the need to have recycling away from communities.</p> <p>http://www.pmac.net/AM/sludge_excerpts.html</p> <p>http://www.springerlink.com/content/g1466wur11151046/</p> <p>The above is a good book to read.</p>		Ingram	Email
1	Have to deal with odors. No flies Open to the public. Growing trials		Markham	Questionnaire
2	How do you remove plastics? Does it get tested for microbial content? If so, how does it rate		Markham	Questionnaire
3a	Survey neighbourhood, 1 month notice.	Agree	Markham	Questionnaire
1	By ensuring the following are minimized: heat, light, pollution, greenhouse gases, noise, vehicle emissions, vehicle noise, odours, heat island effect. By ensuring that the site is well planted with trees and shrubs, uses latest technology of LED lighting and speed limits on trucks, and is compatible with the Rouge Park.		Markham	Questionnaire
2	I am concerned that my concerns and suggestions will be seriously considered.		Markham	Questionnaire
3a		Strongly agree	Markham	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
3b	I was already familiar with the project.	No opinion	Markham	Questionnaire
3c		Strongly agree	Markham	Questionnaire
3d		Strongly agree	Markham	Questionnaire
3e	Scarborough North Mirror		Markham	Questionnaire
3f	More of them on various subjects.		Markham	Questionnaire
1	On Saturday March 24/07 I drove by this proposed site and to my surprise, the construction equipment has already been moved in. Is this correct? According to your demo and conversations I had with your staff it will be a "safe" facility and is needed for the expansion of the green bin waste processing.		Markham	Questionnaire
2	Now, if I would live on the north side of Steeles Ave, I would be concerned - traffic and possibility of escaping smells. I live "down-wind" from Atlantic Paper (Progress and Midland) and would call it an awful stink from this facility. I live here for 35 years and lately the stink is more frequent. Why? The ditches coming from Kennedy Commons right along into Thompson-Greek - sometimes, overwhelming - when it rains and in warm weather it's worse.		Markham	Questionnaire
3a	Between 6-7pm, very few people were there - not a successful Open House!	Agree	Markham	Questionnaire
3b		Agree	Markham	Questionnaire
3c	I am not really qualified - no engineering degree	Agree	Markham	Questionnaire
3d		Agree	Markham	Questionnaire
3e	Your ad in the Scarborough Mirror - March 14/07		Markham	Questionnaire
3f	More advertising and public meetings with councillor! MOE permit?		Markham	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
1	The location is not suitable - details in letter to Public Consultation Coordinator, April 15/07 (see Morningside Heights letter above)		Markham	Questionnaire
2	Yes - details in letter to Public Consultation Coordinator - April 15/07 (see Morningside Height letter above)		Markham	Questionnaire
3a		Strongly agree	Markham	Questionnaire
3e	Newspaper article for previous Open Houses; Consulted Councillor Raymond Cho about it.		Markham	Questionnaire
3f	Current population and traffic data. Larger area of notification.		Markham	Questionnaire
1	The City would have to provide adequate ventilation of the foul odour expected to be vented from the building. Several doors would be required at the entrance/exit to keep out most of the foul odour inside the building when vehicles enter/exit		Markham	Questionnaire
2	The property where the proposed building is to be located is owned by the City of Toronto. The building shown on the slides are expected to be expanded in future, but the property is too small to accommodate any expansion, so why build at this location. There are also proposed developments in the area for shopping centres and restaurants which will be affected by the foul smell.		Markham	Questionnaire
3a		Strongly agree	Markham	Questionnaire
3b		Strongly agree	Markham	Questionnaire
3c		Strongly agree	Markham	Questionnaire
3d		Strongly agree	Markham	Questionnaire
3e	By calling my city councillor's office		Markham	Questionnaire
3f	Ensure the presenters are provided with updated data, e.g. the traffic count for Markham Road was completed in 2003 (not very good)		Markham	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
	Scarborough already has two transfer stations, they don't want anymore. She can't help but wonder whether they are being targeted for being low-income. There is already an unbearable smell in the air in the summertime from a nearby transfer station. She lives closest to the proposed Markham Rd expansion.		Markham	Telephone
	Called to inquire about smell; exact location of plant; had concerns about rats and the fact that both Morningside and Markham are in residential areas. Was interested to learn about the technology used at Dufferin to limit odour release. Believes that people should compost themselves in the summer if they can. Her neighbour taught her how to build a composter and now she has excellent soil.		Markham	Telephone
1	If it is possible to visit green bin organic processing plant in operation than I would like to do it. Please give me a call.		Markham	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
1	<p>My family strongly disagrees with proposed site at 3301 Markham Road. This site at 3301 Markham Rd. is not suitable for these reasons: Markham Rd. is Hwy 48 and the gateway to Toronto. Traffic has increased since the traffic volume survey of 200?. Since 2003 there has been new development of single family homes around proposed site. At rush hour there is a lot of traffic congestion on Markham. Further development north and west of proposed site is taking place (walmart supercenter and a mall). Proposed site is adjacent to residential homes. Wind direction will blow odor especially in summer months affecting residents near by and there will be a lot of noise pollution.</p>		Markham	Questionnaire
2	<p>What happens to operations at site in the event of a strike? Site at 3301 Markham Rd. cannot be expanded to meet Green Bin future needs due to small land area. Existing Dufferin Waste Management site can be expanded to meet need as well as other sites. Morning Heights area has no library or community center for residents. This site would be ideal for this purpose.</p>		Markham	Questionnaire
3a		Agree	Markham	Questionnaire
3b		Agree	Markham	Questionnaire
3c		Agree	Markham	Questionnaire
3d		Agree	Markham	Questionnaire
3e	<p>We received a flyer at our home which Councillor Raymond Cho sent out.</p>		Markham	Questionnaire
3f	<p>This information should have been presented much earlier so that all residents could be informed and be given more time to give their concerns and comments.</p>		Markham	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
1	After attending the meeting I do not believe that the facility will not have a negative influence on the property value of thousands of home owners. Has the City plans to compensate for it by lowering the property tax?		Markham	Questionnaire
2	Is it fiscally irresponsible to plan and build a facility that has no possibility of expansion due to the limited property size. Also, building a green bin composting plant in the centre of a large residential area with planned restaurants and shops across from the site does not speak favourably for the city planning staff, especially when a large former landfill site is available not too far away from the site in question.		Markham	Questionnaire
3a		Agree	Markham	Questionnaire
3b		Agree	Markham	Questionnaire
3c		Agree	Markham	Questionnaire
3d		Agree	Markham	Questionnaire
3e	I was informed by my City Councillor		Markham	Questionnaire
3f	Residents should be made more aware of this kind of hearing either by mail or phone		Markham	Questionnaire
1	Nothing beyond what has been described in the proposal of negating pressure, biofilter, etc.		Markham	Questionnaire
2	None - it looks good.		Markham	Questionnaire
3a		Strongly agree	Markham	Questionnaire
3b		Strongly agree	Markham	Questionnaire
3c		Strongly agree	Markham	Questionnaire
3d		Strongly agree	Markham	Questionnaire
3e	I believe it was an advertisement in the Scarborough Mirror followed up by searching the City of Toronto website		Markham	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
3f	No changes - you had people with good technical knowledge.		Markham	Questionnaire
	<p>Thank you for coordinating the public presentation on "the City of Toronto's proposal to construct a new facility to process the City's green bin organic material" held on Wednesday April 4, 2007 at the Malvern Community Centre. Thank you also for asking the Morningside Heights Residents Association to provide you with written input into this process.</p> <p>As you know, some members of the Morningside Heights Residents Association attended and spoke at the meeting, more of us have submitted very well-thought out ideas and strong feelings about the potential plan, and even more of the residents in the community are opposed to the proposal.</p> <p>The Morningside Heights Residents Association is opposed to the construction of a green bin processing facility at 3301 Markham Road. Please find below some of the reasons why we have adopted this position. (Continued in Public Consultation Report Appendix Ai).</p>		Markham	
1	The location is not suitable.		Markham	Questionnaire
2	Yes - details in letter to Public Consultation Coordinator - April 15/07 (see Morningside Height letter above)		Markham	Questionnaire
3a		Strongly agree	Markham	Questionnaire
3b		Strongly agree	Markham	Questionnaire
3c		Strongly agree	Markham	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
3d		Strongly agree	Markham	Questionnaire
3e	Newspaper article for previous open houses; consulted Councillor Cho about it		Markham	Questionnaire
3f	Current population and traffic data/larger area of notification		Markham	Questionnaire
	<p>odour - general concerns?, Scar TS stinks won't this facility too etc.</p> <p>increase in traffic - general concerns, what route will vehicles take?</p> <p>biogas - what will the City do with it?</p> <p>Multi-Family - does the city have any by-laws in place for new buildings to facilitate the collection of SSO if and when this program becomes available to MF residents?</p>		Markham	Staff notes
	<p>We the undersigned, residents of Morningside Heights Community, strongly oppose the proposed site for processing the City's Green Bin organic material at 3301 Markham Road. This site is not suitable for the following reasons: (1) Markham Road (designated as Hwy. 48) has a high traffic volume already... this facility will increase traffic volume; (2) proposed site is at the gateway to Toronto; (3) residential homes surround proposed site; (4) further development is underway directly north and west of proposed site [Wal-mart and SuperCentre and a mall]; (5) potential off-site nuisance such as noise, odour and dust will effect surrounding residential and commercial facilities.</p>		Markham	Petition
1	<p>People have complained about how the plant would look visually as well as how it might smell. Perhaps somehow beautifying or walling in the facility would be an idea</p>		Morningside	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
2	Is the biogas used for power generation - electricity or sold for some other use?		Morningside	Questionnaire
3a	I think you'll need to do a lot of them though	Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3b		Strongly agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3c		No comment	Morningside	Questionnaire
3d		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3e	Newspaper		Morningside	Questionnaire
3f	Perhaps a presentation with a structured agenda		Morningside	Questionnaire
1	It looks like already are. The site has no residential areas immediately downwind from it and is just off the 401 and Major Road. This should minimize traffic concerns.		Morningside	Questionnaire
2	This looks like a good project in a good site		Morningside	Questionnaire
3a		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3b		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3c		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3d		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3e	The Scarborough Mirror and the City's waste newsletter		Morningside	Questionnaire
1	Rhetorical question		Morningside	Questionnaire
2	I strongly object to the establishment of an organic waste treatment plant within 1 km of densely populated residential areas. This makes no sense to me whatsoever. Find another location that makes sense from a land zoning perspective		Morningside	Questionnaire
3a		Disagree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3b		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3c		Disagree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3d		Strongly agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3e	From a neighbour		Morningside	Questionnaire
1	The facility should be located 3 km away from residential area		Morningside	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
2	If property prices go down does city have any plan in place to compensate home owners. How about property taxes? The surrounding businesses will be affected.		Morningside	Questionnaire
3a		Disagree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3b		Disagree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3c		Disagree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3d		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3e	Mail		Morningside	Questionnaire
3f	Non-arrogant people		Morningside	Questionnaire
1	The area is surrounded by houses/residences, college and university. It is very unhealthy and unhygienic to process wet organic waste that will affect people living around the area.		Morningside	Questionnaire
2	The shells, fumes, dirt will badly affect people's health, the value of the property will come down, the area is zoned residential and commercial, the area this is now respectable because of the college and university will not be like that any more if it's neighbour is a smelly garbage waste. The project will totally destroy the community.		Morningside	Questionnaire
3a		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3b		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3c		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3d		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3e	Flyer		Morningside	Questionnaire
1	Make sure the "smell" does not escape in the air. I don't think you would have it in your "backyard" too.		Morningside	Questionnaire
2	"Bad, bad smell" in the air. Make sure "do not" make it happen like a couple years ago, people can't even out of their house to enjoy summer.		Morningside	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
3a		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3b		Strongly disagree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3c		Strongly disagree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3d		Disagree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3e	From news		Morningside	Questionnaire
3f	Give a presentation at least.		Morningside	Questionnaire
1	1. Ensure the facility is built to safeguard any health hazards; 2. Odour may not be a health hazard, but prevent the enjoyment of any household activities outdoors; 3. Traffic volume and increase danger too: U of T students, Centennial College students; Pope John Paul II Secondary School; Military Trail Public School, all within 1km of proposed site; 4. Traffic volume to and from 401 on ramps; 5. Ensure the facility, i.e. health and MOE approved; 6. How long will the final or finish product store in site? 7. Could this site and the Markham Road site be combined as a site away from resident homes, i.e. near the Toronto Zoo? 8. The round structure displayed in your video could do with a make-over!		Morningside	Questionnaire
2	1. The site is an old landfill that use old technology; 2. Concern any activity on this site may cause environmental problems; 3. Met. Gas is being pumped from the site, see pump along east side of Morningside; 4. Odour from the site, about 100 homes less than 2 years old is within 1/2 km from site; east-west; 5. Met. Gas level and potential fire; 6. Eye sore approaching and leaving City borders. Why build so many small facilities? Why not combine them to make cheaper to operate? Where are the DOWNTOWN PROPOSED FACILITIES?		Morningside	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
3a		Strongly disagree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3b	Know what is being proposed.	Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3c	Nothing was being recorded from any discussion with staff.	Strongly disagree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3d	This is divide and conquer strategy.	Disagree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3e		Scarborough Mirror	Morningside	Questionnaire
3f	Have a nice public meeting so questions could be asked and residents would be able to benefit from what City staff and residents have to say. More effective!		Morningside	Questionnaire
1	Reply promptly to any complaints. Hold regular community meetings on an ongoing basis reporting on operations, upgrades and expansion plans.		Morningside	Questionnaire
2	Ensure there is technology to eliminate odours and pollution. Put procedures in place to minimize traffic and noise.		Morningside	Questionnaire
3a		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3b		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3c		Disagree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3d		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3e		Newspaper	Morningside	Questionnaire
3f		Have a verbal presentation	Morningside	Questionnaire
1	By ensuring that there are no odours. Listening to people's concerns. Taking responsibility for adverse affects.		Morningside	Questionnaire
2	What happens is a black-out. Will there be generators? How would you handle the garbage if there is a strike?		Morningside	Questionnaire
3a		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3b	Somewhat	Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3c		No opinion	Morningside	Questionnaire
3d		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3e	By pamphlet		Morningside	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
1	When my family moved in this area the place was very smelly, especially in the summer. After numerous protests from our neighbours and myself the site was eventually closed. Why would you want to start it up again. We do not want this facility in our backyard.		Morningside	Questionnaire
2	This area is more densely populated now.		Morningside	Questionnaire
3a		Disagree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3b		Disagree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3c		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3d		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3e	My neighbour told me about it.		Morningside	Questionnaire
3f	Put this facility in a nonresidential area.		Morningside	Questionnaire
1	We strongly oppose this facility location. The residents here feel they have accommodated many such requests over the years and it's time other communities pitched in.		Morningside	Questionnaire
2	Our concern is the longterm impact on 1. the increased traffic 2. our property value 3. noise, odor and visually unappealing structures 4 adding more potential waste to an area already feeling the effects of a landfill site		Morningside	Questionnaire
3a		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3b		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3c		Disagree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3d		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3e	Flyer delivered to us		Morningside	Questionnaire
3f	Input was not recorded or noted. There should be a formal process to do so.		Morningside	Questionnaire
1	Ensure a proper air quality system is set-up so as not to irritate asthmatics.		Morningside	Questionnaire
2	What is the expected opening date of this site?		Morningside	Questionnaire
3a		No opinion	Morningside	Questionnaire
3b		No opinion	Morningside	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
3c		No opinion	Morningside	Questionnaire
3d		No opinion	Morningside	Questionnaire
1	The City should be very sensitive to the community and immediate surroundings. At present, the proposed site is in front of new housing subdivisions, high rise apartments, near Centennial College and University of Toronto, across shopping/commercial centres (Walmart, Home-Depot, etc.) and plaza.		Morningside	Questionnaire
2	My family's immediate concern is with the noise and odour pollution, the residents in our new subdivisions, high-rise apartments, housings, students, and people in the college and university, customers in shopping centres and plazas will be affected and at risk. Secondly, with the increased volume of truck traffic in the busy Morningside Ave 401 intersection the safety of pedestrians and motorists at risk		Morningside	Questionnaire
3a		Strongly agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3b		Strongly agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3c	I did not receive any information at the Open House	Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3d	I did not get any advance notice on the sessions and Open House	Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3e		By Mail	Morningside	Questionnaire
3f	To send advance notice and follow-ups on sessions		Morningside	Questionnaire
1	(1) Feedback; (2) Monitoring odours; (3) Set up in non-residential location.		Morningside	Questionnaire
2	When we moved into the neighbourhood odour from the composting was a problem, is this going to be a problem again?		Morningside	Questionnaire
3a		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3b		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
3c		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3d		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3e		Neighbour	Morningside	Questionnaire
3f	Door to door notification by flyer		Morningside	Questionnaire
1	Full consultation with adjacent property owners and the community. This will require public meetings that provide details of design and impact in addition to those that might be legally required as part of the planning process.		Morningside	Questionnaire
2	As the major adjacent property owner the University of Toronto considers it very important that the City of Toronto keeps the University fully informed about this proposed facility. The University is currently revising its master plan for the Scarborough campus and it is essential that every effort is made to coordinate that with City initiatives.		Morningside	Questionnaire
3a		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3b		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3c		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3d		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3e	A notice was mailed to the University		Morningside	Questionnaire
1	All necessary procedures must be taken to ensure this facility can operate with safeguards and the health of nearby residents will not be at risk.		Morningside	Questionnaire
2	Project was in operation before and foul smell was a problem, especially in the summer if the wind is blowing in an Easterly direction and when the temperature is 30 degrees Celsius or more.		Morningside	Questionnaire
3a		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3b		Strongly agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3d		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
3e	Letter from the City		Morningside	Questionnaire
	<p>As a matter of fact, the surrounding communities and neighbourhoods are already aware of those many problems that existed in the past with contaminated soil from garbage dump, grass compost, along with other garbages. The stink smell of the compost was unbearable, meetings were held before it was shut down. The City should re-think of other suitable locations to make its dumping ground for household Green Bin and other garbage waste - Morningside Landfill/yard waste. We try to keep our neighbourhood very clean. We don't even want to hear of drugs and guns - why spoil it? We need to live in a clean and safe environment, breathe fresh air - not pollution. Our children need to play outdoors without any disruption of bad odours, rats, raccoons, skunks, and other crawling reptiles. It's a clean and quiet residential neighbourhood where each one looks out for each other. Please! Take it elsewhere - not in our backyards - enough is enough. We don't have money either, but we pay our Property Taxes and we cannot afford to have our properties to be devalued - sorry.</p>		Morningside	Letter
1	<p>Past use of this site has one very wary of odours. Prevailing winds are noth westerly most of the time. Such a facility should never be in or near a residential community. The people who have to vote on this site do not live in the community or care for that matter.</p>		Morningside	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
2	They use 2003 traffic date? In the past 4 years many changes have occurred on Morningside. To be north and east of Morningside many new residents also use this road. To the south expansion of the Scarborough College has brought more traffic to this area. Buses come and go and parking lots are full of autos as many students drive here.		Morningside	Questionnaire
3c		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3d		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3e	Advertisement in Scarborough Mirror		Morningside	Questionnaire
3f	Better neighbourhood information.		Morningside	Questionnaire
1	Sensitivity to the neighbouring communities can only be achieved by not building such a facility so close to so many homes.		Morningside	Questionnaire
2	This facility should not be built at the Morningside site because: (a) there are many residential subdivisions close by; (b) most most of the homes are subject to the NW winds which will carry any offensive odours to those homes; c) the Disco site is far more removed from residences and would therefore be a preferable site for such a facility.		Morningside	Questionnaire
3a		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3b		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3c		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3d		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3e	Scarborough Mirror newspaper		Morningside	Questionnaire
3f	Better publicize the meeting - most people didn't know about it		Morningside	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
1	I am strongly disagree that the facility will be sited in this area. This area now a days is at the centre of the residential and commercial areas, so please find another site which is far from residential and commercial areas. To see all the garbage truck parked right in front our house was so depressing. How much more if you'll put the facility in this area?		Morningside	Questionnaire
2	I am strongly disagree to the proposed project because we are just in front of the area which made me so upset when I knew and when I saw all the garbage truck was just in front of our backyard. It was too late when I knew. We just concentrated on the school of my kids which is x, U of T, and Pope Secondary School. Since the City approved our builder to build these residential I wish and I hope that the City, especially the Ministry of Environment, will move this area to a place far from residential.		Morningside	Questionnaire
3a		Strongly agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3b		Strongly disagree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3c		Strongly disagree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3d		Strongly disagree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3e	Through the letter together with this.		Morningside	Questionnaire
3f	I want the City (Ministry of the Environment) to move or transfer to another place this Morningside Landfill which is far from residential area		Morningside	Questionnaire
1	Strongly disagree because my house is just across. If you are in our place will you be happy that the facility is nearby your house		Morningside	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
2	Yes, I am strongly disagree. 1. Very poor environment. 2. Polluted air, even just the garbage trucks which was parked across my house.		Morningside	Questionnaire
3a		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3b		Strongly disagree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3c		Strongly disagree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3d		Strongly disagree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3e	Letters attached to this questionnaire		Morningside	Questionnaire
3f	Transfer this Morningside landfill site top far place away from residential.		Morningside	Questionnaire
1	If the facility is sited in this area, the City will have already demonstrated that it is definitely not sensitive to the overwhleming sentiment amongst local residents who strongly feel that the facility has no place in or near a residential area.		Morningside	Questionnaire
2	My concern is that the facility (a) does not belong		Morningside	Questionnaire
3a	Residents should have been involved during the initial planning stages of this project, not after the decision had been made.	Strongly disagree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3b		Agree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3c	Terms like contribute and participate are completely out of place here. City officials obviously had their minds made up that this project should proceed and only came out to sell it to the public. The reaction of the taxpayers present was clearly negative, but City officials merely kept on defending their position regardless of what anyone said. This hardly an atmosphere where contribution and participation can take place.	Strongly disagree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3d	Yes		Morningside	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
3e	I was informed about it by my cousin who is on the executive of the Highland Creek Community Association. I should have found out about it through a letter from the City.		Morningside	Questionnaire
3f	1. Involve the community during the initial planning stages of the project, prior to any decisions being made. Set up a meeting where notes taken of residents' concerns and suggestions. Notify all residents in the surrounding area by mail of the meeting well in advance. 2. Listen to what people have to say. Their concerns may be valid. Where there is a lot of negativity expressed, realize that this is the result of past problems with City's relations with our community. All of us want this situation to improve. 3. When a decision has been made, arrange for another public meeting well in advance and notify residents by mail of what is planned. If there is still a negative reaction, show residents how their concerns have been addressed.		Morningside	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
	<p>The Morningside community fought hard to get a plant removed years ago - in the early 90s - that was processing organics because of the "unbelievable" smell. This woman is a member of the Highland Creek Community Association. She said that the councillor is aware and strongly opposed to the proposed siting. Her main concerns are smell and that the east part of the proposed site at Lowlands Road is the proposed future site for the Highland Creek Community Centre. She is worried that they will lose this viable site, especially because lands on Ellesmere Rd. which were earmarked for the Centre were recently lost.</p>		Morningside	Telephone
	<p>We don't want it in our area. We already had problems with yard waste. We don't want another stinker. Keep it where it belongs. We don't need it here.</p>		Morningside	Telephone
	<p>This is not a good thing. There are lots of kinds in this community. For health reasons and smell, we will damage our lungs. This should not be in a residential area. I have lung cancer, so I understand what kind of suffering is to come for people. The government will save money on medical costs in the future if they don't build the plant near people in the present.</p>		Morningside	Telephone
	<p>We don't want the green bin processing facility in our community because it devalues our property and it stinks. You can take it somewhere else because we do not want it here.</p>		Morningside	Telephone

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
	I don't agree with putting the plant at Morningside. It's going to smell. We don't want it here.		Morningside	Telephone
	Not really happy with the siting, but couldn't attend the consultation. Wants to know what kind of feedback has been given about the site up until the point.		Morningside	Telephone
1	City of Toronto doesn't care.		Morningside	Questionnaire
2	Yes, I'm concerned; Traffic congestion; fire safety; odour; property value; misuse of the property; housing badly needed in this neighbourhood; commercial use also.		Morningside	Questionnaire
3a		Strongly disagree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3b		Strongly disagree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3c		Strongly disagree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3d		Strongly disagree	Morningside	Questionnaire
3e	Through Mail		Morningside	Questionnaire
3f	More housing/business commercial		Morningside	Questionnaire

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
	<p>Further to our discussion at the March 22/07 “Open House”, I have the following immediate questions. I would also add that this type of “open house” forum is very ‘one way’, in that it allows you to present your message, but does not allow for the public’s input.</p> <p>1. Your mandate, as passed by City Council, specifically dictates that if “some or all of the available site area is zoned residential” it be excluded for further consideration. It does not say if it is poor residential land it can be considered, and it does not provide leeway for judgement. If it is zoned residential (and it is) then it is to be excluded for further consideration. The question is: by what authority, or whose authorization, is it being still considered? (Continuation of letter in Public Consultation Report Appendix Ai)</p>		Morningside	Letter

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source
	<p>I am currently writing a report for an infrastructure class at the University of Toronto about the cities Green Bin program and I had a couple of questions that I was hoping that either you or someone in the Planning or Works Department could answer. While I understand that the expansion of the Organic Waste facilities is still in the community consultation phase I was curious if there were plans or at least a discussion at the public meetings of building a co-generation system which will be installed to utilize the biogas energy at the site of any new proposed organics processing facility? Why or Why not? Also are the new facilities being built in anticipation of serving organic waste disposal system in other municipalities in the GTA or is it being proposed strictly for the use of the City of Toronto? Are the new facilities being proposed to handle the organic waste of multi-residential units and commercial facilities or are they being built to meet current demand and potential demand from</p>			<p>Email</p>

Appendix A - Public Comments

Question #	Verbatim Comments	Evaluation	Site	Source