

THE CITY OF TORONTO

Clerk's Department

Minutes of the Toronto Community Council

Meeting No. 4

Wednesday, April 28, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council met on Wednesday, April 28, 1999, in the Council Chambers, Toronto City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:30 a.m.

4.1 Construction of A Fence and Arbour - Evelyn Crescent Flank of 124 Evelyn Avenue (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 7, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City Council approve the construction of a wooden fence and arbour within the public right-of-way on the Evelyn Crescent flank of 124 Evelyn Avenue, subject to the owner entering into an agreement with the City of Toronto, as prescribed under Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause No. 2)

4.2 Intention to Designate Under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act* -- 11 King Street West (Montreal Trust Tower) (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (November 20, 1998) from the Managing Director, Toronto Historical Board, recommending:

- (1) That City Council state its intention to designate the property at 11 King Street West (Montreal Trust Tower) under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*.
- (2) That the appropriate officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to give effect hereto.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report sine die.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c.: Managing Director, Toronto Historical Board; Kathryn Anderson, Toronto Historical Board – No Encl. – May 10, 1999)

(Report No. 7, Clause No. 60(a))

4.3 Metcalfe Street Area - By-law To Define The Area To Be Examined For Future Designation Under Part V Of The Ontario Heritage Act (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 1999) from the Managing Director, Toronto Historical Board, recommending:

- (1) That City Council pass a By-law to define the Metcalfe Street Area as shown on the attached map to be examined for future designation under Part V of the *Ontario Heritage Act*.
- (2) That the appropriate officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to give effect hereto.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause No. 3)

4.4 Hazelton Avenue Area - By-law To Define The Area To Be Examined For Future Designation Under Part V Of The Ontario Heritage Act. (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 1999) from the Managing Director, Toronto Historical Board, recommending:

- “(1) That City Council pass a By-law to define the Hazelton Avenue Area as shown on the attached map to be examined for future designation under Part V of the *Ontario Heritage Act*.
- (2) That the appropriate officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to give effect hereto.
- (3) That Heritage Toronto, in consultation with the Ward Councillors, report back on reasons required to complete the Heritage Conservation District.”

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the report (April 13, 1999) from the Managing Director, Toronto Historical Board.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council also:

- (1) requested the Managing Director, Toronto Historical Board to report on including Belmont Street, from Yonge Street to Davenport Road, as part of the study, or alternatively, conducting a similar study for this street, such report to outline how the study could be done and the costs and source of funding for the study; and
- (2) requested that the Ward Councillors be involved in the Working Group.

(Letter sent to: Managing Director, Toronto Historical Board; c.: Glenda Williams, Corporate Document Management and Archives; Ray David, Urban Planning and Development Services; Marisa Williams, Toronto Historical Board – Encl. – May 10, 1999)

(Report No. 7, Clause No. 4)

Councillor Chow assumed the Chair.

4.5 Draft Zoning By-law Respecting No. 320 Carlaw Avenue (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 15, 1999) from the City Solicitor, submitting Proposed Zoning By-law respecting No. 320 Carlaw Avenue (Don River).

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following report/communication:

- (November 19, 1998) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services – Final Report: Rezoning Application No. 197006 To Permit The Conversion Of An Industrial Building At 320 Carlaw Avenue To 41 Live/work Units, Artists' And Photographers' Studios And Warehouse Space. (Don River); and
- (December 9, 1998) from Mr. Adam Krehm, O'Shanter Development Co. Ltd..

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act. The public meeting was held on April 28, 1999, and the following addressed the Toronto Community Council:

- Mr. Adam Krehm, O'Shanter Development Co. Ltd.;
- Mr. Ronald M. Kanter, McDonald & Hayden; and
- Mr. Sada Sane, Toronto, Ontario.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that:

- (1) the Draft By-law attached to the report (April 15, 1999) of the Solicitor be approved and that authority be granted to introduce the necessary Bill in Council, substantially in the form of the Draft By-law, to give effect thereto, subject to:
 - (a) receipt of an executed Undertaking under Section 41 of the Planning Act;
 - (b) receipt by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services at least 3 weeks prior to the introduction of the Bills in Council, of a Reference Plan of Survey, in metric units and integrated with the Ontario Co-Ordinate System, delineating thereon by separate PARTS, the lands under application and any appurtenant rights of way;
 - (c) receipt by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services of an approved Noise Impact Statement in accordance with City Council requirements; and
 - (d) receipt by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services of an approved Material Recovery and Waste Reduction Plan addressing strategies for material recovery and waste reduction within the development; and
- (2) the report (November 19, 1998) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services be adopted.

(Report No. 7, Clause No. 5)

4.6 Draft Zoning By-law Respecting No. 233 Carlaw Avenue (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 15, 1999) from the City Solicitor, submitting Proposed Zoning By-law respecting No. 233 Carlaw Avenue.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following report/communication:

- (November 25, 1998) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services – Final Report - Rezoning Application No. 197005 To Permit The Conversion Of An Industrial Building At 233 Carlaw Avenue To 42 Live/work Units In Combination With Light Industrial Uses. (Don River); and
- (December 8, 1998) from Boston Association of Residents for a Clean Quiet Street (BARCQs).

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act. The public meeting was held on April 28, 1999, and the following addressed the Toronto Community Council:

- Mr. Adam Krehm, O'Shanter Development Co. Ltd.;
- Mr. Ronald M. Kanter, McDonald & Hayden; and
- Mr. Sada Sane, Toronto, Ontario.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that:

- (1) the Draft By-law attached to the report (April 15, 1999) of the Solicitor be approved and that authority be granted to introduce the necessary Bill in Council, substantially in the form of the Draft By-law, to give effect thereto, subject to:
 - (a) receipt of an executed Undertaking under Section 41 of the Planning Act;
 - (b) receipt by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services at least 3 weeks prior to the introduction of the Bills in Council, of a Reference Plan of Survey, in metric units and integrated with the Ontario Co-Ordinate System, delineating thereon by separate PARTS, the lands under application and any appurtenant rights of way;
 - (c) receipt by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services of an approved Noise Impact Statement in accordance with City Council requirements; and
 - (d) receipt by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services of an approved Material Recovery and Waste Reduction Plan addressing strategies for material recovery and waste reduction within the development; and
- (2) the report (November 25, 1998) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services be adopted.

(Report No. 7, Clause 59)

Councillor Rae resumed the Chair.

4.7 Draft Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments – 34 Noble Street (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 29, 1999) from the City Solicitor, submitting Draft Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments respecting 34 Noble Street.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following report/communications:

- (February 8, 1999) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services - Final Report - Official Plan Amendment And Rezoning Application No. 197013 - 34 Noble Street (High Park);
- (April 19, 1999) from Mirene Wild, Acvest Investment Ltd.;
- (April 19, 1999) Petition with 6 signatures in opposition; and
- (April 19, 1999) Petition with 17 additional signatures in opposition.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act. The public meeting was held on April 28, 1999, and Ms. M. Wild addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that:

- (1) subject to receipt of a signed Undertaking under Section 41 of the Planning Act, that the Draft By-laws attached to the report (March 29, 1999) of the City Solicitor be approved and that authority be granted to introduce the necessary bills in Council to give effect thereto; and
- (2) the report (February 8, 1999) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services be adopted.

(Report No. 7, Clause 6)

4.8 Proposed Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-Law Respecting Nos. 457-471 College Street and No. 301 Markham Street (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 23, 1999) from the City Solicitor, submitting Proposed Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law respecting Nos. 457-471 College Street and No. 301 Markham Street (Trinity-Niagara).

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following report/communications:

- (April 14, 1999) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development — Final Report - Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application No. 198017 to permit the construction of a nine storey mixed-use building, and an underground commercial parking garage at 457, 459, 463, 467, 471 College Street and 301 Markham Street;
- (April 11, 1999) from Ms. Barbara D. Crocker;

- (April 9, 1999) from Mr. James R. Tennyson;
- (April 19, 1999) from Mr. Gaetano Rao, College Street Citizens Committee for Responsible Development;
- (April 16, 1999) from Mr. Harry and Ms. Margaret Little;
- (April 20, 1999) from Chris Grimaldi, Little Italy Residents Association;
- (April 27, 1999) from Ms. Anna Di Tommaso and Mr. Tony Percival; and
- (Undated) photographs and design from E. Root.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act. The public meeting was held on April 28, 1999, and Ms. Natalie Zlodie, Little Italy Residents' Association, addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that:

- (1) the Draft By-laws attached to the report (April 23, 1999) of the City Solicitor be amended so as to exclude the following uses for the site:

place of assembly, club, massage establishment, commercial baths, video arcade (place of amusement), and pawnbroker's shop;
- (2) the Draft By-laws attached to the report (April 23, 1999) of the City Solicitor, as amended, be approved and that authority be granted to introduce the necessary Bills in Council to give effect thereto;
- (3) Recommendation No. 3 of the report (April 14, 1999) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services be amended to read as follows:
 - (3) That prior to the issuance of a Building Permit, the owner shall:
 - a) enter into an Undertaking under Section 41 of the Planning Act, and
 - b) submit to, and have approved by, the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, a Noise Impact Statement in accordance with City Council's requirements."
- (4) Recommendation Nos. 1, 2, 3 as amended, 4, 5 and 6 of the Final Report (April 14, 1999) of the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development be adopted; and
- (5) no further notice be give with respect to the draft by-laws.

(Report No. 7, Clause 7)

4.9 Draft By-Laws - Relocation of Advertising Sign from High Park Area to Exhibition Place (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 27, 1999) from the City Solicitor, submitting Draft By-laws respecting relocation of advertising sign from High Park Area to Exhibition Place (Trinity-Niagara).

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following report/communication:

- (April 15, 1999) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services - 2 Strachan Avenue, Application No. 999017: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code, And Amendments To The Former Metro By-law 211-79 (Trinity-Niagara), recommending that City Council refuse Application No. 999017 respecting both minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code and an amendment to the former Metro By-law No. 211-79 to permit one illuminated ground sign;
- (February 21, 1999) from the Interim General Manager, Exhibition Place.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that:

- (1) the Draft By-law attached to the report (April 27, 1999) from the City Solicitor be approved and that authority be granted to introduce the necessary Bill in Council to give effect thereto; and
- (2) as the final location of the sign may cause it to extend beyond the area covered by By-law No. 211-79, City Council grant a variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Municipal Code of the former City of Toronto to allow the erection of the double-sided sign as described in the report (February 21, 1999) of the Interim General Manager of Exhibition Place and Application No. 999071.

(Report No. 7, Clause 1)

4.10 Direction Report - 31 St. Clements Avenue - Ontario Municipal Board Hearing (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 14, 1999) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, and recommending that City

Council instruct the City Solicitor and the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing on June 16, 1999 to settle the rezoning appeal respecting 31 St. Clements Avenue based substantially upon the following terms:

- (A) that the rezoning by-law contain conditions which:
- (i) permits only, in addition to existing R1S district uses, an administrative office for a 'private academic, religious or philanthropic school'; and
 - (ii) limits the gross floor area of such administrative office to 120 M2; and
 - (iii) restricts development of 31 St. Clements Avenue to the currently existing building.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Ted Heideman, Toronto, Ontario;
- Ms. Helen Heideman, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Patrick Devine, Goodman and Carr; and
- Mr. Peter Jewett, St. Clement's School Foundation.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 8)

4.11 Removal of Tree - 191 Forest Hill Road (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 12, 1999) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, and recommending that City Council:

- (1) refuse to issue a permit for tree removal; or
- (2) issue a permit for tree removal.

Mr. Richard Farb appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council refuse to issue a permit for tree removal at 191 Forest Hill Road.

(Report No. 7, Clause 9)

4.12 Appeal - Front Yard Parking - 29 Admiral Road (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, and recommending:

- “(1) That City Council deny the request for an exemption from the by-law to permit front yard parking at 29 Admiral Road, as such a request does not comply with Chapter 400 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; OR
- (2) That, should the Toronto Community Council consider this proposal, this report be deferred to the June 23, 1999 meeting of the Toronto Community Council, and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report at that time on the results of a poll for the hearing of depositions.”

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (April 26, 1999) from Mr. Bernarch Zelechow, requesting deferral.

The Toronto Community Council:

On motion by Councillor Bossons

- (1) deferred consideration of the following report until its meeting to be held on May 26, 1999; and

On motion by Councillor Adams

- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in view of the configuration of the road, to review Admiral Road to ascertain if there are further opportunities to allow on-street permit parking.

(Letter sent to: Ms. Ann Zelechow and Mr. Bernard Zelechow, 29 Admiral Road, Toronto, Ontario, M5R 2L4; c.: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, Works and Emergency Services; Nino Pelligrini, Works and Emergency Services – Encl. – May 10, 1999)

(Report No. 7, Clause 60(b))

4.13 Appeal - Front Yard Parking - 172 Walmer Road (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, and recommending:

- (1) That City Council deny the request for an exemption from the by-law to permit front yard parking at 172 Walmer Road, as such a request does not comply with Chapter 400 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; OR
- (2) That, should the Toronto Community Council consider this proposal, this report be deferred to the June 23, 1999 meeting of the Toronto Community Council, and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report at that time on the results of a poll for the hearing of deputations.

Mr. Mare Coulavin appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on June 22, 1999, for deputations, and requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report at that time on the results of a poll, the cost of which is to borne by the applicant.

(Letter sent to: Ms. Mona Coulavin and Mr. Mark Coulavin, 172 Walmer Road, Toronto, Ontario, M5R 2X9; c.: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, Nino Pelligrini, works and Emergency Services – Encl. – May 10, 1999)

(Report No. 7, Clause 60(c))

4.14 Tree Injury - 2505/2513 Danforth Avenue (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 12, 1999) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, and recommending that City Council:

- (1) refuse to issue a permit for injury to the tree, requiring the applicant to redesign plans for the construction of the building in consultation with an arborist with the objective of protecting the tree located on the neighbour's property; or
- (2) issue a permit for injury conditional on:
 - (i) the tree not being injured until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under the building permit application for the subject property commence that warrant the destruction of the tree; and
 - (ii) the applicant contracting an arborist to implement a tree preservation plan during construction to minimize the injury to the tree.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (April 28, 1999) from Mr. Mike Roussakis;
- (April 27, 1999) from Mr. Vern Christensen; and
- (April 26, 1999) from Roger, Susan, Andrew and Alexandra Read.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Harpal Arora, Toronto, Ontario; and
- Mr. Shambhu Dutt Sharma, Toronto, Ontario.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that:

- (1) refuse to issue a permit for injury to the tree at 2505/2513 Danforth Avenue, requiring the applicant to redesign plans for the construction of the building in consultation with an arborist with the objective of protecting the tree located on the neighbour's property;
- (2) the applicant be advised that the tree located at 2505/2513 Danforth Avenue cannot be injured due to the applicant either pruning the tree or constructing the building within close proximity to the tree; and
- (3) the applicant be advised of Council's decision by registered mail.

The following motion by Councillor Disero, was placed but not voted on:

“That City Council issue a permit for injury to the tree located at 2505/2513 Danforth Avenue, conditional on:

- (i) the tree not being injured until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under the building permit application for the subject property commence that warrant the destruction of the tree;
- (ii) a clearance of 6 feet be placed between the construction and the tree; and
- (iii) the applicant contracting an arborist to implement a tree preservation plan during construction to minimize the injury to the tree.”

(Report No. 7, Clause 10)

4.15. Temporary Promotional Kiosk - Queen Street West, North Side, 31 Metres West of Soho Street (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- “(1) City Council refer the application for the installation of the temporary commercial promotional kiosk to the Corporate Sponsorship Committee for review and comments; OR
- (2) City Council approve the temporary installation of the commercial promotional kiosk within the public right-of-way on Queen Street West, north side, 31 metres west of Soho Street, subject to the kiosk owner agreeing to restrict the use for promotional use only, and not for the purpose of vending, and agreeing to enter into an agreement with the City of Toronto as prescribed under Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and agreeing to:
- (a) indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted;
 - (b) the applicant notify the Toronto Entertainment District Association of this proposal;
 - (c) the kiosk be placed on a pilot basis on Friday and Saturday evenings from May 21 to October 2, 1999, from 9 p.m. to 1 a.m.”

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (April 26, 1999) from Ms. Jo-Anne Azzarello, Toronto Entertainment District Association.

Mr. Bruce Davis, Urban Intelligence Inc., appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Fotinos, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the temporary installation, as a pilot project, of the commercial promotional kiosk within the public right-of-way on Queen Street West, north side, 31 metres west of Soho Street, subject to the kiosk owner agreeing to restrict the use for promotional use only, and not for the purpose of vending, and agreeing to enter into an agreement with the City of Toronto as prescribed under Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and agreeing to:

- (a) indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted;
- (b) the applicant notify the Toronto Entertainment District Association of this proposal;

- (c) the kiosk be placed on a pilot basis on Friday and Saturday evenings from May 21 to October 2, 1999, from 9 p.m. to 1 a.m.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council also requested:

- (1) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report directly to Council on:
 - (a) guidelines for the installation of temporary commercial promotional kiosks within the public right-of-way; and
 - (b) the possibility of applying funds received from application fees for such kiosks to youth programs; and
- (2) the City Solicitor to report directly to Council on whether this particular application for a commercial promotional kiosk within the public right-of-way on Queen Street West, north side, 31 metres west of Soho Street, should be considered a promotional kiosk or a vending operation.

A further motion by Councillor Chow, to submit this matter to Council without recommendation, was placed but not voted on.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; City Solicitor; c.: Director, Transportation Services, District 1, Works and Emergency Services; Lisa Forte, Works and Emergency Services – Encl. – April 30, 1999)

(Report No. 7, Clause 11)

4.16 Appeal - Front Yard Parking - 84 Hilton Avenue (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending:

- “(1) That City Council deny the request for an exemption from the by-law to permit front yard parking at 84 Hilton Avenue, as such a request does not comply with Chapter 400 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; OR
- (2) That, should the Toronto Community Council consider this proposal, this report be deferred to the June 23, 1999 meeting of the Toronto Community Council, and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report at that time on the results of a poll for the hearing of depositions.”

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (Undated) from Ms. Deborah Buchler.

Ms. Deborah Buchler appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on June 22, 1999, for deputations, and requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report at that time on the results of a poll, the cost of which is to be borne by the applicant.

(Letter sent to: Ms. Deborah Buchler, 84 Hilton Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, M5R 3E7; c.: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1; Nino Pelligrini, Works and Emergency Services – Encl. – May 10, 1999)

(Report No. 7, Clause 60(d))

4.17 Tree Injury - 404 Dovercourt Road (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 12, 1999) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, recommending that City Council:

- (1) refuse to issue a permit for injury; or
- (2) issue a permit for injury.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council refuse to issue a permit for injury to the tree located at 404 Dovercourt Road.

(Report No. 7, Clause 12)

4.18 Draft By-Law - To Stop Up and Close Parts of the Public Highways Yonge Street and Lawrence Avenue East (Part of Land Adjacent to Lawrence Subway Station) (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-Law - To Stop Up And Close Parts Of The Public Highways Yonge Street and Lawrence Avenue East (Part of Land Adjacent to Lawrence Subway Station) (North Toronto).

The Toronto Community Council also had before it Clause 52 of Report No. 14 of the Toronto Community Council, titled "Stop Up and Closing - Portions of Public Highway

adjacent to Lawrence Subway Station (North Toronto)", which was adopted without amendment by City Council at its meeting held on November 25, 26 and 27, 1998.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on April 6, 13, 20 and 27, 1999, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted, and that the necessary Bills be introduced in Council to give effect thereto.

(Report No. 7, Clause 13)

4.19 Draft By-Law respecting the Alteration of Tyndall Avenue from Springhurst Avenue to King Street West by the Installation of Speed Humps (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-Law respecting The Alteration of Tyndall Avenue From Springhurst Avenue To King Street West By The Installation Of Speed Humps (High Park).

The Toronto Community Council also had before it:

- Clause 57 of Report No. 16 of the Toronto Community Council, titled "All-Way 'Stop' Sign Control - Tyndall Avenue and Temple Avenue and Installation of Speed Humps - Tyndall Avenue from Springhurst Avenue to King Street West (High Park)", which was adopted without amendment by City Council at its meeting held on December 16 and 17, 1998; and
- report (April 20, 1999) from Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on April 6, 13, 20 and 27, 1999, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted, and that the necessary Bills be introduced in Council to give effect thereto.

(Report No. 7, Clause 14)

4.20 Draft By-Law respecting the Alteration of Springhurst Avenue from Jameson Avenue to King Street West by the Installation of Speed Humps (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-Law respecting The Alteration of Springhurst Avenue from Jameson Avenue to King Street West by The Installation of Speed Humps (High Park).

Toronto Community Council also had before it:

- Clause 63 of Report No. 16 of the Toronto Community Council, titled "Installation of Speed Humps - Springhurst Avenue from Jameson Avenue to King Street West (High Park)", which was adopted without amendment by City Council at its meeting held on December 16 and 17, 1998; and
- report (April 20, 1999) from Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on April 6, 13, 20 and 27, 1999, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted, and that the necessary Bills be introduced in Council to give effect thereto.

(Report No. 7, Clause 15)

4.21 Appeal - Residential Boulevard Parking - 1797 Dufferin Street (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- “(1) City Council deny the request for residential boulevard parking on the Cloverlawn Avenue flank of 1797 Dufferin Street; OR
- (2) City Council approve the request for residential boulevard parking on the Cloverlawn Avenue flank of 1797 Dufferin Street, and such approval be subject to the applicant complying with the criteria set out in § 313-40 of Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, including a favourable poll.”

Mr. Sam Padano appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the request for residential boulevard parking on the Cloverlawn Avenue flank of 1797 Dufferin Street, such approval to be subject to:

- (1) the applicant complying with the criteria set out in § 313-40 of Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, excluding the criterion that a poll to be conducted; and
- (2) the applicant agreeing to plant a tree on the property at a location satisfactory to the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

(Report No. 7, Clause 16)

4.22 Application for Commercial Boulevard Parking Privileges - 27 Musgrave Street (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 9, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending:

- “(1) City Council deny the application for commercial boulevard parking privileges fronting 27 Musgrave Street; OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for commercial boulevard parking privileges fronting 27 Musgrave Street, and that such approval be subject to the applicant complying with the criteria set out in § 313-39 of Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.”

The Toronto Community Council:

On motion by Councillor Jakobek

- (1) deferred consideration of the foregoing report;
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Toronto Community Council on the construction of a sidewalk on the south side of Musgrave Street from Victoria Park Avenue to Dengate Road;
- (3) requested the applicant to provide a revised application which will:
 - (a) accommodate the new sidewalk;
 - (b) provide for some landscaping;
 - (c) ensure one point of access; and
 - (d) ensure that any boulevard parking be for short-term parking for up-to-date licensed vehicles;

- (4) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to continue its vigorous enforcement and clean-up of debris on Dengate Road and Musgrave Street;
- (5) advised the Toronto Police Service of the ongoing problem of debris and requested that the owners be put on special attention;

On motion by Councillor Adams:

- (6) requested the applicant to make a contribution to the Urban Forestry Program for the planting of a shade tree in the neighbourhood, satisfactory to the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

(Letter sent to: Mr. Louis Mangou and Mr. Jim Manjou, Crosstown Engine Rebuilders, 27 Musgrave Street, Toronto, Ontario, M4E 2H3; c.: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1; Ken McGuire, Works and Emergency Services - Encl. – May 10, 1999)

(Report No. 7, Clause 60(e))

4.23 Appeal of Denial of Application for Commercial Boulevard Parking - Cecil Street Flank of 377 Spadina Avenue – “Grossman’s Tavern” (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- “(1) City Council deny the application for commercial boulevard parking on the Cecil Street flank of 377 Spadina Avenue; OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for commercial boulevard parking on the Cecil Street flank of 377 Spadina Avenue, notwithstanding the negative results of the public poll, and that such approval be subject to the applicant complying with the criteria set out in § 313-39 of Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.”

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that:

- (1) the application for the commercial boulevard parking spot furthest to the east of Spadina Avenue on Cecil Street be denied;
- (2) the application for 2 commercial boulevard parking spots on the Cecil Street flank of 377 Spadina Avenue be granted, on condition that

- (a) landscaping be done directly in front of the building facing Cecil Street, and that such landscaping be satisfactory to the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; and
- (b) the applicant agree to plant a shade tree on the boulevard, or alternatively, to make a contribution to the Urban Forestry Program for the planting of a shade tree on the municipal right-of-way in the neighbourhood, satisfactory to the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; and
- (3) the location of the landscaping be mutually agreed upon by the owner and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

(Report No. 7, Clause 17)

4.24 Removal of Tree - 15 Glengowan Road (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 12, 1999) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, and recommending that City Council:

- (1) issue a permit for tree removal conditional on:
 - (i) the tree in question not being removed until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under the building permit application for the subject property commence that warrant the destruction of the tree; and
 - (ii) the applicant providing a detailed landscape plan for the property to be approved by the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; or
- (2) refuse to issue a permit for tree removal.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (April 26, 1999) from Mr. Greg Rudka and Ms. Sharon Westman; and
- (April 28, 1999) photographs from Mr. Greg Rudka.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Greg Rudka, Toronto, Ontario; and
- Ms. Patricia Thomson, Toronto, Ontario.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council issue a permit for tree removal at 15 Glengowan Road, conditional on the applicant providing a detailed landscape plan for the property to be approved by the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

(Report No. 7, Clause 18)

4.25 Application for a Sidewalk/Boulevard Vending Permit - Temperance Street, North Side, 76 Metres West of Yonge Street (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 14, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- “(1) City Council deny the application for sidewalk/boulevard vending on Temperance Street, north side, 76 metres west of Yonge Street; OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for sidewalk/boulevard vending on Temperance Street, north side, 76 metres west of Yonge Street, notwithstanding the objection received by the adjoining property owner, and that such approval be subject to the applicant complying with the criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 315, Street Vending, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.”

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. T. Zwiebel, Toronto, Ontario obo the applicant; and
- Mr. Mark Noskiewicz, Goodman Phillips & Vineberg on behalf of Trizec Hahn Corporation.

On motion by Councillor Rae, with Councillor Adams in the Chair, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council deny the application for sidewalk/boulevard vending on Temperance Street, north side, 76 metres west of Yonge Street.

(Report No. 7, Clause 19)

Councillor Rae resumed the Chair

4.26 Removal of Tree - 507 Glenlake Avenue (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 12, 1999) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, recommending that City Council:

- “(1) issue a permit for tree removal conditional on:
- (i) the tree in question not being removed until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under the building permit application for the subject property commence that warrant the destruction of the tree; and
 - (ii) the applicant providing a detailed landscape plan for the property to be approved by the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; or
- (2) refuse to issue a permit for tree removal requiring the applicant to abandon or redesign plans for the addition.”

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (April 27, 1999) from E. Radkewycz.

Ms. Lizanne Dobson appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council issue a permit for tree removal at 507 Glenlake Avenue conditional on the applicant providing a detailed landscape plan for the property to be approved by the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

(Report No. 7, Clause 20)

4.27 Jolly Italian Cafe - Extension of Hours of Operation for the Boulevard Cafe - Boon Avenue Flank of 1256 St Clair Avenue West (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- “(1) City Council deny the applicant's request for an extension of hours of operation for the boulevard cafe on the Boon Avenue flank of 1256 St Clair Avenue West, from 6:00 p.m. to 2:30 a.m., 7 days a week (Area No. 2 on Appendix 'A') OR
- (2) (a) City Council approve the applicant's request for an extension of hours of operation for the boulevard cafe on the Boon Avenue flank of 1256 St Clair Avenue West, from 6:00 p.m. to 2:30 a.m., 7 days a week (Area No. 2 on Appendix 'A'), and that such approval be subject to the applicant complying with the criteria set out in § 313-36 of Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; and

- (b) Should City Council approve an extension of the hours, the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report back after the end of the 1999 cafe season on the operation of the cafe under the extended hours.”

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (April 26, 1999) from Giuseppina and Frances Fuscaldo

On motion by Councillor Fotinos, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council:

- (1) approve the applicant's request for an extension of hours of operation for the boulevard cafe on the Boon Avenue flank of 1256 St Clair Avenue West, from 6:00 p.m. to 2:30 a.m., 7 days a week (Area No. 2 on Appendix 'A' attached to the report dated April 13, 1999 from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1), such approval to be subject to the applicant complying with the criteria set out in § 313-36 of Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; and
- (2) request the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report back to the Toronto Community Council after the end of the 1999 cafe season on the operation of the cafe under the extended hours.

(Report No. 7, Clause 21)

4.28 Request to Extend Hours of Operation for Boulevard Cafe - Heath Street East Flank of 1535 Yonge Street (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 9, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- “(1) City Council deny the request for an extension of the boulevard cafe hours on the Heath Street East flank of 1535 Yonge Street; OR
- (2) (a) City Council approve an extension of the boulevard cafe hours on the Heath Street East flank of 1535 Yonge Street to 12 midnight, 7 days a week, as requested by the applicant; and
- (b) Should City Council approve an extension of the hours, I be requested to report back after the end of the 1999 cafe season on the operation of the cafe under the extended hours.”

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council:

- (1) approve an extension of the boulevard cafe hours on the Heath Street East flank of 1535 Yonge Street to 12 midnight, 7 days a week, as requested by the applicant; and
- (2) request the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report back after the end of the 1999 cafe season on the operation of the cafe under the extended hours.

(Report No. 7, Clause 22)

Councillor Adams assumed the Chair.

4.29. Appeal of Denial of Application for a Boulevard Cafe - 6 Gloucester Street (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 9, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- “(1) City Council deny the application for a boulevard cafe fronting 6 Gloucester Street;
OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for a boulevard cafe fronting 6 Gloucester Street, notwithstanding the negative response to the public notice, and that such approval be subject to the applicant complying with the criteria set out in § 313-36 of Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.”

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Carmen Sebastian, Owner of Boulevard Cafe; and
- Mr. Al Flis, Toronto Police Service.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council deny the application for a boulevard cafe fronting 6 Gloucester Street.

(Report No. 7, Clause 23)

Councillor Rae resumed the Chair.

4.30 Appeal of Denial of Application for a Boulevard Cafe - 785 Carlaw Avenue (Convenience Address for 560 Danforth Avenue) (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 9, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, and recommending:

- “(1) City Council deny the application for a boulevard cafe fronting 785 Carlaw Avenue;
or
- (2) City Council approve the application for a boulevard cafe fronting 785 Carlaw Avenue, notwithstanding the negative result of the public poll, and that such approval be subject to the applicant complying with the criteria set out in § 313-36 of Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.”

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (April 21, 1999) from Ms. Kathryn Oughtred

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on May 26, 1999:

(Report No. 7, Clause 60(f))

4.31 Conversion of New Street, between Davenport Road and the West End of New Street, from ‘Area Based Permit Parking’ to ‘Street Name Based Permit Parking’ (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, Works and Emergency Services, recommending that:

- “1. City Council deny the conversion of permit parking on New Street, between Davenport Road and the west end of New Street, from ‘Area Based Permit Parking’ to ‘Street Name Based Permit Parking’; OR
2. (a) permit parking on New Street, between Davenport Road and the west end of New Street, be converted from ‘Area Based Permit Parking’ to ‘Street Name Based Permit Parking’; and
- (b) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.”

On motion by councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on May 26, 1999.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of works and Emergency Services; c.: Lisa Forte, Works and Emergency Services – Encl. – May 10, 1999)

(Report No. 7, Clause 60(g))

4.32 Preliminary Report on Rezoning Application 199007 for 395, 397, 399 And 403 Brunswick Avenue, and Part of 10A Kendal Avenue (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 14, 1999) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, recommending that, "I be requested to hold a public meeting to discuss the revised application, and to notify owners and tenants within 300 metres of the site, area residents associations and the Ward Councillors."

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (December 9, 1999) from Ms. Mary Adachi.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services – No Encl. – Executive Director and Chief Planner – No Encl. – Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attention: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director, Development & Support, Parks & Recreation; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police – Attention: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons c.: Bruce McCormick, Urban Planning and Development Services – No Encl. - May 10, 1999)

(Report No. 7, Clause 60(h))

4.33 Preliminary Report on Rezoning Application 298009: 266 Macdonell Avenue (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 1999) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, recommending that:

- “(1) I be requested to hold a public meeting in the area to discuss the application and to notify the tenants and owners within 120 metres of the site, the Parkdale Village B.I.A. and the Roncesvalles/Macdonell Residents Association.
- (2) The owner be advised that, prior to final Council approval of this project, the owner may be required to submit a Noise Impact Statement. The owner will be further advised of these requirements, as they relate to this project, by the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services.

- (3) The owner immediately conduct a detailed historical review of the site to identify all existing and past land uses which could have resulted in negative environmental effects to the site. This report should be submitted to the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services for approval by the Medical Officer of Health, prior to the introduction of a bill in Council.”

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services – No Encl. – Executive Director and Chief Planner – No Encl. – Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attention: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director, Development & Support, Parks & Recreation; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police – Attention: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons; c.: Bruce McCormick, Urban Planning and Development Services – No Encl. – May 10, 1999)

(Report No. 7, Clause 60(i))

4.34 Preliminary Report on Application No. 199010 for 350 Russell Hill Road (304 Lonsdale Road) to Amend Zoning By-Law 438-86 to Permit the Establishment of a Columbarium within Grace Church On-The-Hill (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 12, 1999) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, recommending that:

- “(1) That I be requested to hold a public meeting in the area to discuss the application and to notify tenants and owners within 120 metres of the site and local resident and business associations of the meeting.
- (2) The owner be advised that, prior to final Council approval of this project, the owner may be required to submit a Noise Impact Statement in accordance with City Council’s requirements. The owner will be further advised of these requirements, as they relate to this project.”

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services – No Encl. – Executive Director and Chief Planner – No Encl. – Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attention: Sylvia Watson; Director, City

Planning; Chief Building Official; Director, Development & Support, Parks & Recreation; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police – Attention: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons; c.: Denise Graham, Urban Planning and Development Services – No Encl. – May 10, 1999)

(Report No. 7, Clause 60(j))

4.35 Preliminary Report on Application No.299004 for an Official Plan Amendment, Rezoning and Site Plan Approval to Permit the Construction of an Opera House And a 44 Storey Office/Residential Tower at 145 Queen Street West (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 14, 1999) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, recommending that, “I be requested to hold a public meeting in the community to discuss the application and to notify owners and residents within 300 metres of the site and the Ward Councillors.”

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services – No Encl. – Executive Director and Chief Planner – No Encl. – Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attention: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director, Development & Support, Parks & Recreation; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police – Attention: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons; c.: Rollin Stanley, Urban Planning and Development Services – No Encl. – May 10, 1999)

(Report No. 7, Clause 60(k))

4.36 Preliminary Report on Rezoning Application No. 299002: 490 College Street, 307 & 311 Palmerston Boulevard (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 9, 1999) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, recommending that:

“(1) I be requested to hold a public meeting in the area to discuss the application and to notify tenants and owners within 120 metres of the site, area BIA’s, resident’s associations, and the Ward Councillors.

- (2) The owner be advised that, prior to final Council approval of this project, the owner may be required to submit a Noise Impact Statement. The owner will be further advised of these requirements, as they relate to this project.”

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services – No Encl. – Executive Director and Chief Planner – No Encl. – Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attention: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director, Development & Support, Parks & Recreation; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police – Attention: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons; c.: Susanne Pringle, Urban Planning and Development Services – No Encl. – May 10, 1999)

(Report No. 7, Clause 60(I))

4.37 Yonge-St. Clair Part II Plan - Proposed Plan and Authorization to hold Open Houses and a Statutory Public Meeting (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 1999) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, recommending:

- “(1) That Community Council receive the Appendix A, the proposed Yonge-St. Clair Part II Plan, dated April 12, 1999, and Appendix B, the proposed Yonge-St. Clair Planning District Generalized Land Use, Map 1 for information purposes;
- (2) That I be authorized to hold Open Houses in the Yonge-St. Clair District to elicit input on the proposed Yonge-St. Clair Part II Plan; and
- (3) That I be authorized, as soon as practical following the Open Houses, to schedule the public meeting required under the Planning Act at a regular meeting of Community Council to consider the adoption of the Yonge-St. Clair Part II Plan by amendment to the Official Plan for the former City of Toronto and associated amendments to the Zoning By-law and that the solicitor be requested to submit, in consultation with the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, the necessary draft by-laws to give effect thereto.”

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing report.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c.: Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Wayne Morgan, Urban Planning and Development Services – No Encl. – May 10, 1999)

(Report No. 7, Clause 60(m))

4.38 Landscape Plans: 48 and 50 St. Clair Avenue West (33 Delisle Avenue) - Application No. 12254 for Official Plan and Zoning By-Law Amendments and Site Plan Approval (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 12, 1999, from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, and recommending that City Council approve the landscape plans submitted with respect to the previously approved development for 48-50 St. Clair Avenue West (Application No. 12254), namely: 2A Hard Landscape Plan and 2B Planting Plan date stamped as received on February 17, 1999, prepared by Starr Landscape Group; and 2C Details, 2D Details, 2E Details, 2F Details/Private Roof Terrace, date stamped as received on November 19, 1998, prepared by Starr Landscape Group, all as on file with the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 24)

4.39 1873 Bloor Street West Application for Consent Under Chapter 276, Article I of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Construction of a Washroom Addition to the Colborne Lodge Coach House which is located within The High Park Ravine (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 9, 1999) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, and recommending that City Council consent to Application No. 099001 respecting 1873 Bloor Street West (High Park) to permit the construction of a washroom addition to the Colborne Lodge coach house, within the High Park Ravine, subject to the conditions:

- (a) that the owner shall provide and maintain the landscaping substantially in accordance with Site Plan (SP-1), dated March 12, 1999 prepared by Paul Jurecka, Architect, and date stamped as received on March 12, 1999 and Landscape Plan (L-1), Plan (PL-1), and Elevations (EL-1), (EL-2) and (EL-3) all dated January 7, 1999 and date stamped as Received March 4, 1999, all as on file with the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services;

- (b) the owner shall, prior to excavation and construction, erect plywood hoarding fence around the adjacent Horse Chestnut tree and construction site for the duration of the excavation and construction. Such fencing shall be erected not less than 2.43 metres from the base of the eighty (80) centimetre Horse Chestnut tree shown on Site Plan (SP-1) and the area within the fencing shall not be disturbed by compaction or storage of soil or other materials.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 25)

4.40 Draft Approval of Plan of Subdivision: Application No. 498031 for 40 and 64 Colgate Avenue, 309 and 355 Logan Avenue to permit Residential Development of 78 Semi-Detached Dwellings and 28 Townhouses, a Public Park and a Live/Work Building (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 14, 1999) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, recommending approval of the draft conditions for a proposed plan of subdivision to permit the construction of 106 semi-detached houses and townhouses at 40 Colgate Avenue, 309 and 355 Logan Avenue a 1,272 m² public park and a proposed live/work building located at 64 Colgate Avenue.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (April 27, 1999) from Mr. Jeff McCullough.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 26)

4.41 Exemption From Part Lot Control Application No. 099003 -Yonge/Summerhill Development Site, South Rosedale Subdivision for 79 and 81 Mathersfield Drive (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 12, 1999) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, recommending:

- “(1) That a Part Lot Control Exemption By-law, pursuant to Section 50(7) of the Planning Act, be enacted for Lot 25, Plan 66M-2314 in respect to the lands to be known municipally as 79 and 81 Matherfield Drive within the Yonge/Summerhill Development Site, South Rosedale Subdivision, with an expiry date of 3 years from the date of adoption by Council.

- (2) That the City Solicitor be authorized to introduce the necessary Bills in Council to give effect to Recommendation 1.”

The Toronto Community Council also had before it Clause 14 of Corporate Services Report No. 16, titled, “City-Owned Vacant Lands, Part of the Closed Road allowance Known as Summerhill Avenue, and Lands Acquired by the City as Part of the Yonge/Summerhill Development Review Process, Declaration as Surplus and Proposed Land Exchange (Ward 23 - Midtown), which was amended and adopted by City Council at its meeting held on November 25, 26 and 27, 1999.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 27)

4.42 Propane By-Law No. 193-91 of the Former City of Toronto, Ontario Municipal Board Decision (All Wards of the former City of Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 6, 1999) from the City Solicitor, recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Letter sent to: Mr. Stanley Stein, Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt, P.O. Box 50, 1 First Canadian Place, Toronto, Ontario, M5X 1B8; c.: City Solicitor – Encl. – May 5, 1999)

(Report No. 7, Clause 60(n))

4.43 8 South Kingsway (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 29, 1999) from the City Solicitor, and recommending that this matter be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c.: City Solicitor – Encl. – May 5, 1999)

(Report No. 7, Clause 60(o))

4.44 No. 16 Braeside Road, Ontario Municipal Board Decision on Committee of Adjustment Appeals (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 16, 1999) from the City Solicitor, recommending that this report be received for information.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (April 28, 1999) from Mr. Richard and Mrs. Elizabeth Cartier.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c.: City Solicitor – Encl. – May 5, 1999)

(Report No. 7, Clause 60(p))

4.45 60 Shuter Street, Application No. 999009: Request for Approval of a Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 28, 1999) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, recommending:

- “(1) That City Council approve Application No. 999009 respecting a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to maintain two non-illuminated ground signs for identification purposes at 60 Shuter Street.
- (2) That the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999009, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services.”

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 28)

4.46 208 King Street East, Application No. 999013: Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 5, 1999) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, recommending that City Council approve Application No. 999013 respecting a minor variance to maintain one illuminated fascia sign for identification on the south elevation at 208 King Street East.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 28)

4.47 1643 Yonge Street & 1250 Bayview Avenue, Application No. 999004: Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 10, 1999) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, recommending:

- “(1) That City Council approve Application No. 999004 respecting minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit two illuminated pedestal signs for identification purposes at 1643 Yonge Street & 1250 Bayview Avenue.
- (2) That the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999004, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services.”

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 28)

4.48 126 John Street, Application No.999019: Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 1999) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, recommending that:

- “(1) City Council approve Application No.999019 respecting minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit one illuminated projecting sign and one illuminated canopy sign.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999019, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services.”

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 28)

4.49 Appointment Of Interim Members To The Task Force To Bring Back The Don

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (April 12, 1999) from the Committee Secretary, Task Force to Bring Back the Don, and recommending that the Toronto Community Council receive, for information, the report (March 11, 1999) from the Chair, Task Force to Bring Back the Don, and be advised that the Task Force has appointed seven individuals to serve as Interim Members until the next round of the City's Nominating Committee appointments.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto Community Council received the foregoing communication for information.

(Letter sent to: Committee Secretary, Task Force to Bring Back the Don – Encl. – May 5, 1999)

(Report No. 7, Clause 60(q))

4.50 Naming of the Private Lane at 50 Maitland Street - Luscombe Lane (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 6, 1999) from the Executive Director, Technical Services Division, Works and Emergency Services, recommending:

- “(1) That the proposed private lane at 50 Maitland Street, illustrated on the attached Map A, be named “Luscombe Lane”;
- (2) That Crown Royal Estates Limited be required to pay the costs, estimated to be in the amount of \$300.00, for the fabrication and installation of the appropriate signage; and
- (3) That the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.”

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 29)

4.51 Maintenance of Decorative Lamp Standards - 76 Lyall Avenue (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 7, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City

Council approve the maintenance of four lamp standards within the public right-of-way fronting 76 Lyall Avenue and on the Malvern Avenue flankage, subject to the owner limiting the wattage of each light standard to a maximum 125 watts or using frosted globes and entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, as prescribed in Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 30)

4.52 Maintenance of a Snow Melting System and a Fence - 12 Playter Boulevard (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 8, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City Council approve the maintenance of the snow melting system and the 1.29 m high wrought iron fence within the public right-of-way fronting 12 Playter Boulevard, subject to the property owner entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, as prescribed under Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 31)

4.53 Maintenance of a Kiosk and Benches - 317 Carlton Street (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 7, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City Council approve the maintenance of the community notice kiosk and the two benches within the public right-of-way fronting 317 Carlton Street and on the Parliament Street flankage, provided that the Cabbagetown Preservation Association agrees to restrict the use of the kiosk for the posting of community events and prohibits any commercial advertising and will enter into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, as prescribed under Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 32)

4.54 Roselawn Avenue from Latimer Avenue to Rosewell Avenue - Proposed Installation of Speed Humps (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, Works and Emergency Services, recommending:

“(1) That approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Roselawn Avenue from Latimer Avenue to Rosewell Avenue for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

“The construction of speed humps on Roselawn Avenue, from Latimer Avenue to Rosewell Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5308, dated January 1999”;

- (2) That the speed limit be reduced from 40 kilometres per hour to 30 kilometres per hour on Roselawn Avenue from Latimer Avenue to Rosewell Avenue coincident with the implementation of speed humps; and
- (3) That the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to the foregoing including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.”

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 33)

4.55 Dufferin Park Avenue, between Gladstone Avenue and Havelock Street (Adjacent to St. Mary'S Secondary Catholic School) - Request for Speed Humps (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 6, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that:

“(1) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Dufferin Park Avenue, from Gladstone Avenue to Havelock Street, for traffic calming purposes, by the installation of speed humps, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the Council of the former City of Toronto;

- (2) the speed limit be reduced from 40 kilometres per hour to 30 kilometres per hour coincident with the implementation of speed humps; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.”

(Report No. 7, Clause 34)

4.56 Request for Speed Hump Poll - Marchmount Road between Shaw Street and Ossington Avenue (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 12, 1999) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 1, Works and Emergency Services, recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Report No. 7, Clause 60(r))

4.57 Merton Street from Mount Pleasant Road to Bayview Avenue - Proposed Installation of Speed Humps (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 12, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, Works and Emergency Services, recommending:

- “(1) That approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Merton Street, from Mount Pleasant Road to Bayview Avenue, for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

“The construction of speed humps on Merton Street, from Mount Pleasant Road to Bayview Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5302, dated December, 1998”;

- (2) That the speed limit be reduced from 40 km/h to 30 km/h on Merton Street from Mount Pleasant Road to Bayview Avenue coincident with the implementation of speed humps; and

- (3) That the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.”

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (April 13, 1999) from Councillor Walker addressed to Manager, Transportation Services, District 1.

Mr. John Lightfoot appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 35)

4.58 Relocation of Speed Bumps in Public Laneways (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending:

- “(1) That the relocation of speed bumps of the type and design noted herein and at locations shown in Table “A” of this report be approved; and
- (2) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.”

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 36)

4.59 Soudan Avenue, Manor Road East and Hillsdale Avenue East from Yonge Street to Mount Pleasant Road and on Redpath Avenue from Eglinton Avenue East to Manor Road East - Proposed Installation of Speed Humps (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 12, 1999) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 1, Works and Emergency Services, recommending:

- “(1) That approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Soudan Avenue, Manor Road East and Hillsdale Avenue East, from Yonge Street to Mt. Pleasant Road, and on Redpath Avenue, from Eglinton Avenue East to Manor Road East, for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to favourable

results of the polling of residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

“The construction of speed humps, on Soudan Avenue, Manor Road East and Hillsdale Avenue East, from Yonge Street to Mt. Pleasant Road, and on Redpath Avenue, from Eglinton Avenue East to Manor Road East, generally as shown on the attached prints of Drawing Nos. 421F-5294, 95, 96 and 97, dated November 1998”;

- (2) That the speed limit be reduced from 40 kilometres per hour to 30 kilometres per hour on Soudan Avenue, Manor Road East and Hillsdale Avenue East from Yonge Street to Mt. Pleasant Road and on Redpath Avenue from Eglinton Avenue East to Manor Road East coincident with the implementation of speed humps; and
- (3) That the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to the foregoing including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.”

Mr. John Lightfoot appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommends the adoption of the following report (April 12, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

(Report No. 7, Clause 37)

4.60 Queen Street East at the North and South Branches of Neville Park Boulevard - Relocation of a Pedestrian Crossover (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 12, 1999) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 1, Works and Emergency Services, recommending:

- “(1) That the pedestrian crossover on Queen Street East at the west side of the north branch of Neville Park Boulevard be removed;
- (2) That a pedestrian crossover be installed on Queen Street East at the east side of the south branch of Neville Park Boulevard; and
- (3) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.”

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 38)

4.61 Bay Street and Cumberland Street - Non-Concurrence with the Proposed Installation of Traffic Control Signals (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 15, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that this report be received for information.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (April 27, 1999) from Mr. Len R. Tokey, Bloor-Yorkville B.I.A.; and
- (April 27, 1999) from Dinah's Cupboard.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c.: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services – Encl. – May 5, 1999)

(Report No. 7, Clause 60(s))

4.62 Carlaw Avenue from Danforth Avenue to McConnell Avenue - Provision of a "Student Pick-Up/Drop-Off Area" with Short Term Parking (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 1, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending:

- “(1) That the parking prohibition at anytime on the west side of Carlaw Avenue between Danforth Avenue and McConnell Avenue, be rescinded;
- (2) That parking be allowed for a maximum period of ten minutes from 7:30 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., from 11:30 a.m. to 1:30 p.m. and from 3:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday on the west side of Carlaw Avenue from a point 86.3 metres south of Danforth Avenue to a point 40.7 metres further south;
- (3) That parking be prohibited from 9:00 a.m. to 11:30 a.m., from 1:30 p.m. to 3:00 p.m. and from 6:00 p.m. to 7:30 a.m., Monday to Friday and at anytime Saturday and Sunday, on the west side of Carlaw Avenue from a point 86.3 metres south of Danforth Avenue to a point 40.7 metres further south;

- (4) That parking be prohibited at anytime on the west side of Carlaw Avenue, from Danforth Avenue to a point 86.3 metres south;
- (5) That parking be prohibited at anytime on the west side of Carlaw Avenue, from a point 127.0 metres south of Danforth Avenue to McConnell Avenue; and
- (6) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.”

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (April 27, 1999) from A. Sangiorgio, Toronto Catholic District School Board; and
- (April 27, 1999) from A. Duncan, Holy Name Catholic School.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on May 26, 1999.

(Letter sent to: Holy Name Catholic School, 690 Carlaw Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, M4K 3K9; c.: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services – Encl. – May 5, 1999)

(Clause 60(t), Report 7)

4.63 Installation/Removal of On-Street Disabled Persons Parking Spaces (High Park, Davenport and Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending:

- “(1) That the installation/removal of disabled on-street parking spaces as noted in Table “A” of this report be approved; and
- (2) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.”

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 39)

4.64 Worthington Crescent between South Kingsway (North and South) Branches - Introduction of a “No Parking Anytime” Prohibition (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 30, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending:

- “(1) That parking be prohibited at anytime on the south side of Worthington Crescent between South Kingsway and Easson Avenue, on the west side of Worthington Crescent between Easson Avenue and Innisfree Court and on the north side of Worthington Crescent between Innisfree Court and South Kingsway; and
- (2) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.”

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 40)

4.65 Lane First North of Eglinton Avenue West, Extending between Marlee Avenue and Fairleigh Crescent - Prohibition of Standing (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending:

- “(1) That standing be prohibited at anytime in the lane first north of Eglinton Avenue West, extending between Marlee Avenue and Fairleigh Crescent; and
- (2) That the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.”

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 41)

4.66 Introduction of Permit Parking on the East Side of Mount Pleasant Road, between Glenforest Road and Snowdon Avenue (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- “(1) permit parking be introduced on the east side of Mount Pleasant Road, between Glenforest Road and Snowdon Avenue, on a street name basis, to operate during the hours of 12:00 midnight to 8:00 a.m., 7 days a week;
- (2) Schedule SS of Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, be amended to incorporate the east side of Mount Pleasant Road, between Glenforest Road and Snowdon Avenue; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.”

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 42)

4.67 Extension of Permit Parking Hours on Waverley Road, between Kewbeach Avenue and Kingston Road (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- “(1) the permit parking hours of operation on Waverley Road, between Kewbeach Avenue and Kingston Road, be extended from 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., 7 days a week, to 5:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m., 7 days a week;
- (2) Schedule V of Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, be amended to incorporate Waverley Road, between Kewbeach Avenue and Kingston Road; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.”

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report and having requested that a poll in the neighbourhood be undertaken.

(Commissioner of works and Emergency Services – Encl. – May 6, 1999)

(Report No. 7, Clause 60(u))

4.68 Extension of Permit Parking Pilot Program in Permit Area 5E - Transferable Parking Permits (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 14, 1999) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 1, Works and Emergency Services, recommending:

- “(1) That the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services consult with Council members on including additional areas in the pilot program; and
- (2) That the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report prior to the conclusion of the June 1 to December 1, 1999 term, on the possible expansion of the transferable parking permit across all authorized permit parking areas and streets within the former City of Toronto.”

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 43)

4.69 Bernard Avenue, from Huron Street to Madison Avenue - Transference of Parking from the South Side to the North Side of the Roadway (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 1999) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 1, Works and Emergency Services, recommending:

- “(1) That the “No Parking Anytime” prohibition on the north side of Bernard Avenue, from Huron Street to Madison Avenue be adjusted to operate on the south side of the street;
- (2) That the 12:01 a.m. to 10:00 a.m. permit parking hours of operation on the south side of Bernard Avenue, from Huron Street to Madison Avenue be adjusted to operate on the north side of the street; and
- (3) That the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.”

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 44)

4.70 899 Queen Street West - To Repeal Designation By-Law #165-92 (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 14, 1999) from the Managing Director, Heritage Toronto, recommending that Council repeal designation by-law 165-92, affecting 899 Queen Street West.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 45)

4.71 Application for a Temporary Street Closure of Church Street, Alexander Street, Maitland Street, Gloucester Street, Dundonald Street, Wood Street and Wellesley Street East in Connection with Gay and Lesbian Pride Day (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- “(1) The following streets be closed to all vehicular traffic from 10:00 p.m. on Friday, June 25, 1999 up to and including 6:00 a.m. Monday, June 28, 1999, for the Gay and Lesbian Pride Day event:
- (a) Church Street, from the north side of Carlton Street to the south side of Wellesley Street East;
 - (b) Wood Street, from the east side of Church Street to approximately 30.5 m east of Church Street;
 - (c) Wood Street, from the west side of Church Street to approximately 9.1 m west of Church Street;
 - (d) Alexander Street, from the east side of Church Street to approximately 30.5 m east of Church Street;
 - (e) Alexander Street, from the west side of Church Street to approximately 9.1 m west of Church Street;
 - (f) Maitland Street, from the east side of Church Street to approximately 24.4 m east of Church Street;
 - (g) Maitland Street, from the west side of Church Street to approximately 24.4 m west of Church Street;
- (2) The following streets be closed to all vehicular traffic from 12:01 p.m. on Saturday, June 26, 1999, up to and including 6:00 a.m. Monday, June 28, 1999, for the Gay and Lesbian Pride Day event:
- (a) Church Street, from the north side of Wellesley Street East to the south side of Isabella Street;

- (b) Dundonald Street, from the west side of Church Street to approximately 30.5 m west of Church Street;
 - (c) Monteith Street, from the east side of Church Street to the dead end;
 - (d) Gloucester Street, from the east side of Church Street to approximately 30.5 m east of Church Street;
 - (e) Gloucester Street, from the west side of Church Street to approximately 30.5 m west of Church Street; and
- (3) The appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 46)

4.72 Beaches Jazz Festival (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 14, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- “(1) The applicant deposit a \$2,000 cheque with the city toward possible infractions by street bands playing prior to the road being closed at 7:00 p.m. and beyond the 11:00 p.m. closing limit from July 22 to 24, 1999; and that a fine of \$500 per infraction be levied “to ensure that this deadline is respected and honoured”;
- (2) A noise restriction be incorporated into the permits issued to the bands to facilitate enforcement of the permitted noise level;
- (3) The applicant be required to comply with Section 19 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks; and
- (4) The appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 47)

4.73 Request for Poll - Feasibility of Installing Speed Humps on Oakvale Avenue (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (April 12, 1999) from Councillor Bussin.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (April 22, 1999) from Mr. Nicholas Brooke.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that:

- (1) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Oakvale Avenue, for traffic calming purposes, by the installation of speed humps, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the Council of the former City of Toronto;
- (2) the speed limit be reduced from 40 kilometres per hour to 30 kilometres per hour coincident with the implementation of speed humps; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

(Report No. 7, Clause 57)

4.74 Request For Endorsement Of Events For Liquor Licensing Purposes

The Toronto Community Council had before it the following communications:

- (April 7, 1999) from Councillor Mario Silva;
- (April 7, 1999) from Councillor Mario Silva;
- (March 23, 1999) from Ms. Lori Winchester, Hard Rock Café;
- (March 24, 1999) from Ms. Tnesia Mi, Co-ordinator, Parkdale Village BIA;
- (April 9, 1999) from Mr. Scott Dobson, Chair, 1999 Parkdale Then and Now Festival;
- (April 8, 1999) from Mr. Charlie G. Johnstone, Executive Director, Molson Indyfest;

- (March 29, 1999) from Ms. Colleen Spring Zimmerman, Parents' Social Committee, High Park Montessori School;
- (April 7, 1999) from Ms. Utra Samlal, A. J. Diamond, Donald Schmitt and Company;
- (March 30, 1999) from Mr. Philip Noble, Consultant, Street Events Management;
- (April 16, 1999) from Councillors Layton and McConnell;
- (April 16, 1999) from Councillors Layton and McConnell;
- (April 14, 1999) from Councillor Rae;
- (April 26, 1999) from Kelly Rockliff, Mobility Cup '99;
- (April 26, 1999) from J. F. Gill, The Friends of Fort York and Garrison Common; and
- (April 28, 1999) from Ms. Sandra Cullen, H.O.P.E. (Helping Other People Everywhere).

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council, for liquor licensing purposes:

- (1) declare the following to be events of municipal and/or community significance and advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it has no objection to their taking place:
 - (a) Our Lady of the Angels Festival to be held on August 14 to 15, 1999, at Osler Playground;
 - (b) Holy Spirit Festival to be held on June 19 to 20, 1999, at Osler Playground;
 - (c) Mariposa Folk Foundation Artbeat '99 Festival to be held on June 26, 1999, from 1:00 p.m. to 9:30 p.m., on Cowan Avenue between Queen Street West and Melbourne Avenue;
 - (d) The Parkdale Then and Now Festival 1999, to be held on June 18 to 20, 1999, with a beer garden on Sorauren Avenue on Saturday, June 19, 1999 from noon until 11:00 p.m.;
 - (e) Co-op Living Festival to be held at Riverdale Park on July 9 - 11, 1999;
 - (f) Krinos Foods Taste of the Danforth to be held on Danforth Avenue on August 8 - 9, 1999; and

- (g) Lesbian and Gay Pride Celebrations to be held in the area of Church and Wellesley Streets on June 26 and 27, 1999.
- (2) declare the Molson Indyfest events taking place in various locations to be an event of municipal significance, and indicate that it has no objection to the issuance of Special Occasion Permits for the areas indicated in the communication (April 8, 1999) from Molson Indyfest on the dates requested;
- (3) advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it is aware of the following events and has no objection to their taking place:
 - (a) Anniversary Party of the Hard Rock Cafe to be held on Dundas Square from Yonge Street to O'Keefe Lane from 12 noon to 12 midnight on June 13, 1999;
 - (b) End of School Term Outdoor Party for High Park Montessori School to be held on June 1, 1999, 6:00 p.m. to 10:30 p.m., at 35 High Park Gardens;
 - (c) Catered Outdoor Solstice Party, hosted by A.J. Diamond, Donald Schmitt and Company to be held on June 17, 1999, 5:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m., at "Berkeley Castle" in the courtyard;
 - (d) The Jersey Giant Restaurant and Pub Street Event for Charity, to be held on pavement and sidewalk of the south arm of Front Street from Church- Street to Market Street between 12:00 noon and 11:00 p.m., on July 11, 1999;
 - (e) Silent Auction to be held on Thursday, June 24, 1999 at the Docks Entertainment Complex from 6:00 p.m. - 12 midnight;
 - (f) Festival of Fort York to be held at Fort York on May 22 and 23, 1999; and
 - (g) Charity Volleyball Tournament to be held at Hanlan's Point, Toronto Island on June 12, 1999.

(Report No. 7, Clause 48)

4.75 Extension of Downtown Yonge Street Community Improvement Project Area
(Downtown and Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 19, 1999) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, recommending:

- “(1) That City Council adopt a by-law designating the lands along Yonge Street generally between Grenville Street and Davenport Road as a Community Improvement Project Area for Amendment No. 2 to the Downtown Yonge Street Community Improvement Plan.
- (2) That the City Solicitor prepare an appropriate by-law for Council’s consideration at its meeting of May 11, 1999.”

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 49)

4.76 Front Yard Parking – 1527 Queen Street East (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (April 19, 1999) from Councillor Jakobek respecting Front Yard Parking Application - 1527 Queen Street East.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council requested that a further poll be conducted with respect to the front yard parking application at 1527 Queen Street East.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Councillor Jakobek; Councillor Bussin – Encl. – May 5, 1999)

(Report No. 7, Clause 60(v))

4.77 Portlands Industrial District Ideas Workshop

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (Undated) from Councillor Layton respecting Portlands Industrial District Ideas Workshop, recommending that:

- “(1) a Portlands Community Forum, with even broader representation be brought into being, meet on appropriate locations and become a central vehicle for the City and Port Authority consultation processes;
- (2) the draft Letters Patent for the Port Authority be circulated and that everyone be able to comment on them before they are finally adopted; and
- (3) the Councillors and City Planning Department pursue the “next steps” which emerged from the forum.”

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto Community Council adopted the recommendations contained in the foregoing communication from Councillor Layton and requested the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services to report directly to Council on the implementation of the above recommendations.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services – Encl. – April 30, 1999)

(Report No. 7, Clause 50)

4.78 Proposed Installation of Speed Humps – Morningside Avenue between Rambert Crescent and Windermere Avenue (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 20, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting Morningside Avenue between Rambert Crescent and Windermere Avenue - Proposed Installation of Speed Humps (High Park), and recommending:

“(1) That approval be given to alter the roadway on Morningside Avenue between Rambert Crescent and Windermere Avenue for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

“The construction of speed humps on Morningside Avenue between Rambert Crescent and Windermere Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5338, dated February 25, 1999”;

(2) That the speed limit be reduced from 40 kilometres per hour to 30 kilometres per hour on Morningside Avenue between Rambert Crescent and Windermere Avenue coincident with the implementation of speed humps; and

(3) That the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.”

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 51)

4.79 Proposed Installation of Speed Humps – Kennedy Avenue between Bloor Street West and Morningside Avenue (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 20, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting Kennedy Avenue between Bloor Street West and Morningside Avenue - Proposed Installation of Speed Humps (High Park), recommending:

“(1) That approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Kennedy Avenue from Bloor Street West to Morningside Avenue for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

“The construction of speed humps on Kennedy Avenue from Bloor Street West to Morningside Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5364, dated April 1999”;

- (2) That the speed limit be reduced from 40 kilometres per hour to 30 kilometres per hour on Kennedy Avenue from Bloor Street West to Morningside Avenue coincident with the implementation of speed humps; and
- (3) That the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 52)

4.80 Designation of 130 Gerrard Street East (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 19, 1999) from the City Clerk respecting Designation of 130 Gerrard Street East, recommending that authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary Bill in Council to designate 130 Gerrard Street East (Jarvis Street Baptist Church) (Downtown) for architectural and historical reasons under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act, and the appropriate City Officials be directed to take whatever action may be necessary to comply with the provisions of the said Act in respect to such designation.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 53)

4.81 Designation of 337 Jarvis Street (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 19, 1999) from the City Clerk respecting Designation of 337 Jarvis Street, recommending that authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary Bill in Council to designate 337 Jarvis Street (Samuel Platt House) (Downtown) for architectural and historical reasons under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act, and the appropriate City Officials be directed to take whatever action may be necessary to comply with the provisions of the said Act in respect to such designation.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 54)

4.82 Various Traffic Control Measures to Reduce Speeding and Improve Traffic Operations on Windermere Avenue (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 21, 1999) from Director, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting Various traffic control measures to reduce speeding and improve traffic operations on Windermere Avenue (High Park), recommending:

- “(1) That the existing pedestrian crossover on Windermere Avenue at St. Olave’s Road be removed;
- (2) That all way “Stop” sign controls be installed at the following intersections:
 - Windermere Avenue and Deforest Road
 - Windermere Avenue and St. Olave’s Road
- (3) That the parking prohibition on the east side of Windermere Avenue from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. between Bloor Street West and the Queensway be rescinded; and
- (4) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.”

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 55)

4.83 Proposed Installation of Speed Humps – Mcroberts Avenue from St. Clair Avenue West to Rogers Road (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (April 22, 1999) from Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting McRoberts Avenue from St. Clair Avenue West to Rogers Road - Proposed Installation of Speed Humps (Davenport and York-Eglinton).

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 58)

4.84 Conversion of a “No Parking” Zone to a “No Stopping” Zone – Yorkville Avenue from Avenue Road to Hazelton Avenue (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (April 23, 1999) from Director, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting Yorkville Avenue from Avenue Road to Hazelton Avenue - Conversion of a “No Parking” zone to a “No Stopping” zone (Midtown).

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 7, Clause 56)

4.85 Driveway Widening – 53 Lawrence Avenue West (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a communication (April 22, 1999) from Councillor Walker respecting Driveway Widening at 53 Lawrence Avenue West (North Toronto).

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report, at its meeting to be held on May 26, 1999, on the request for driveway widening 53 Lawrence Avenue West.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Mr. & Mrs. Sutulov; Councillor Walker – Encl. – May 6, 1999)

(Report No. 7, Clause 60(w))

4.86 Installing Speed Humps – Belsize Drive (Between Mount Pleasant Road and Harwood Drive) (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (April 26, 1999) from Councillor Walker respecting feasibility of installing speed humps on Belsize Drive (between Mount Pleasant Road and Harwood Drive) (North Toronto).

The Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report, at its meeting to be held on May 26, 1999, on the feasibility of installing speed humps on Belsize Drive (between Mount Pleasant Road and Harwood Drive).

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Mr. Stan Lipsey, Councillor Walker – Encl. – May 5, 1999)

(Report No. 7, Clause 60(x))

4.87 Installing Speed Humps on Orchard View Boulevard (Between Duplex Avenue and Edith Drive) (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a communication (April 22, 1999) from Councillor Walker respecting feasibility of installing speed humps on Orchard View Boulevard (between Duplex Avenue and Edith Drive) (North Toronto).

The Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report, at its meeting to be held on May 26, 1999:

On motion by Councillor Walker:

- (1) on the feasibility of installing speed humps on Orchard View Boulevard (between Duplex Avenue and Edith Drive); and

On motion by Councillor Bussin:

- (2) the budget for installing speed humps and the rate at which the funds are being expended.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and emergency Services; c.: Mr. Rob Gordon, Mr. Jim Harvey; Councillor Walker – Encl. – May 6, 1999)

(Report No. 7, Clause 60(y))

The Committee adjourned its meeting at 3:40 p.m.

Chair.

Attendance:

April 28, 1999	9:30 a.m. - 12:40 p.m.	2:00 p.m. - 3:40 p.m.
Rae(Chair)	x	X
Adams	x	X
Bossons	x	X
Bussin	x	X
Chow	x	X
Disero	x	X
Fotinos	x	X
Jakobek	x	X
Johnston	x	
Korwin- Kuczynski	x	X
Layton		
McConnell	x	X
Miller	x	
Pantalone	x	X
Silva	x	
Walker	x	X
Mayor Lastman	-	-

* Members were present for some or all of the time indicated.