

THE CITY OF TORONTO

Clerk's Department

Minutes of the Toronto Community Council

Meeting No. 5

Tuesday, May 23, 2000

The Toronto Community Council met on Tuesday, May 23, 2000, in the Council Chambers, City Hall, Toronto commencing at 9:30 a.m.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council confirmed the Minutes of its meetings of January 20, 1999 and February 17, 1999.

5.1 Maintenance of a Fence and Sign - 15 Trent Avenue (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 8, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City Council approve the maintenance of the 2.5 m high chain link fence and sign with spotlight within the public right of way fronting 15 Trent Avenue, subject to the owner entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, as prescribed under Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The matter was withdrawn.

5.2 Construction of a 1.22 M High Wrought Iron Fence - 16 Riverdale Avenue (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 8, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City Council approve the construction of a 1.2 m high wrought iron fence within the public right of way fronting 16 Riverdale Avenue, provided the owner enters into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, as prescribed under Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 29)

5.3 Removal of Private Trees - 109 Indian Road (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 1, 2000) from the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the removal of private trees at 109 Indian Road and recommending that:

- (1) a permit for tree removal be issued conditional on i) the trees in question not being removed until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under the building permit application for the subject project commence which warrant the destruction of the trees. ii) the applicant planting an 80 millimetre calliper red oak replacement tree on the private property of this address; or
- (2) a permit for tree removal be refused.

Mr. Ray Colonna appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a permit for tree removal at 109 Indian Road be issued conditional on:

- i) the trees in question not being removed until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under the building permit application for the subject project commence which warrant the destruction of the trees; and
- ii) the applicant planting an 80 millimetre calliper red oak replacement tree on the private property of this address.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 30)

5.4 Application for a Boulevard Café Fronting 102 Yorkville Avenue - Vel-Lah Bistro and Lounge (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 11, 2000) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards respecting an application for a boulevard cafe fronting 102 Yorkville Avenue and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the City grant the application for a boulevard café fronting 102 Yorkville Avenue.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 49)

5.5 Removal of Private Tree - 4 Glen Edyth Drive (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 1, 2000) from the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the removal of a private tree at 4 Glen Edyth Drive and recommending that:

- (1) a permit for tree removal be refused; or
- (2) a permit for tree removal be issued conditional on the planting of replacement trees acceptable to the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture & Tourism.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (May 20, 2000) from Joyce Raymond.

Ms. Joyce Raymond appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a permit for tree removal at 4 Glen Edyth Drive be refused.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 31)

5.6 325 Bremner Boulevard, Application No. 900009: Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 8, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that City Council refuse Application No. 900009 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit an illuminated third-party ground sign on the property known as 325 Bremner Boulevard.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended that Application No. 900009 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit an illuminated third-party ground sign on the property known as 325 Bremner Boulevard be refused.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 32)

5.7 Public Meeting Pursuant to the Planning Act Respecting Rezoning Application No. 12398 for 38 Abell Street and 1199 Queen Street West, for the Construction of 114 Dwelling Units (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it the reports (March 9 and May 19, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services submitting final recommendations on a rezoning application for a site specific amendment to the Zoning By-law to permit the

construction of 114 dwelling units in a stacked townhouse form on vacant lands to the south of Abell Street.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act. The public meeting was held on March 23, 2000, May 2, 2000 and May 23, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 50)

5.8 Public Meeting Pursuant to the Planning Act respecting Zoning By-Law Amendment Application TCC-Z199014 for 305-341 Bremner Boulevard (Including a Portion of Van De Water Crescent) and 350 Lake Shore Boulevard) (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 8, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting Zoning By-law Amendment Application TCC-Z199014 for Nos. 305-341 Bremner Boulevard (including a portion of Van De Water Crescent) and No. 350 Lakeshore Boulevard and recommending a Zoning By-law Amendment to reduce the number of required charter bus parking spaces (to service SkyDome) from the current minimum standard of 125 spaces to 70 spaces, and to permit tandem bus parking on these lands.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act. The public meeting was held on May 23, 2000 and Ms. Kim Kovar, Aird & Berlis, addressed the Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council:

- (1) adjourned the Public Meeting held pursuant to the provisions of the Planning Act, to be reconvened on July 18, 2000;
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with the Ward Councillor, to meet with waterfront residents at 33 Harbour Square regarding traffic and parking; and
- (3) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, to report to the Toronto Community Council, at its meeting to be held on July 18, 2000 on:
 - (a) a detailed demand analysis of bus parking at Skydome and the impact of bus overflow, when there are major events, including management plans;

- (b) any impact on bus parking demand as a result of the possible success of obtaining an NFL franchise;
- (c) the impact of tandem parking and pricing on bus drivers' willingness to use the spaces;
- (d) idling of buses and associated issues; and
- (e) opportunities to enhance other forms of public transit access to the area, combined with remote bus parking, for example in off-peak GO parking lots; and
- (f) a comprehensive study on bus parking in the area, the present practices and the impact on traffic and the environment, and possible solutions.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Commissioner of Urban Development Services; c.: Interested Persons – May 29, 2000)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 60(a))

5.9 Public Meeting Pursuant to the Planning Act Respecting Rezoning Application No. 199027 for 130 and 134 Edgewood Avenue to Permit Construction of 10 Semi-Detached and 5 Detached Units and to Maintain One Existing Semi-Detached Unit (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 5, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting Rezoning Application No. 1999027 for Nos. 130 and 134 Edgewood Avenue and recommending approval of a draft By-law to introduce zoning amendments which would allow the construction of 10 semi-detached and 5 detached units and to maintain 1 existing semi-detached unit.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications with respect thereto:

- (May 11, 2000) from Ms. Sandra Harrison;
- (May 11, 2000) from Mr. Ed Harrison;
- (May 11, 2000) from Ms. Elaine Paul;
- (May 11, 2000) from Mr. David Quinn;
- (May 15, 2000) from Mr. Garry Pain;
- (undated) from Mr. John and Ms. Irene Gordon;
- (May 14, 2000) from Mr. Paul Kerman;
- (May 14, 2000) from Ms. Louise Dixon;
- (May 15, 2000) from Mrs. H. Mighton;
- (May 15, 2000) from Mr. D. Mighton;
- (May 15, 2000) from Ms. Angela Whalen;
- (May 11, 2000) from Ms. Barbara Otto;

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, May 23, 2000

- (May 15, 2000) from Mr. Paul Vrooman;
- (May 15, 2000) from Ms. Susan Doran;
- (May 14, 2000) from Mr. Chris Rawlings;
- (May 15, 2000) from Ms. Carol Munro;
- (May 11, 2000) from Ms. Renee Pellerin;
- (May 15, 2000) from Ms. Marie Chyla;
- (May 15, 2000) from Ms. Flora Chyla;
- (May 15, 2000) from Ms. Melinda McGee;
- (May 17, 2000) from Mr. Donald Kinsella;
- (May 23, 2000) from Mr. Steve Ellis; and
- (May 23, 2000) from Mr. Leslie Rose.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act. The public meeting was held on May 23, 2000. The following addressed the Community Council:

- Ms. Marsha Pine;
- Ms. Gail Ferguson;
- Mr. Gary Kapac;
- Mr. Gord Clee;
- Mr. Donald Kinsella;
- Mr. Gary Barnett;
- Ms. Marie Chyla;
- Ms. Allison Clee;
- Ms. Simone Romain;
- Ms. Sarah Neale;
- Mr. Joseph Romain;
- Ms. Marilyn Walsh;
- Mr. David Quinn;
- Mr. Roy H. Fletcher; and
- Mr. Adam Brown, Brown, Dryer, Karol, Solicitor for Applicant.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended that Rezoning Application No. 199027 for 130 and 134 Edgewood Avenue to permit construction of 10 semi-detached and 5 detached units and to maintain one existing semi-detached unit be refused and that, should this matter be appealed to the Ontario Municipal Board, the City Solicitor be instructed to attend the O.M.B. hearing in support of Council's decision.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council also requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report:

- (1) to the Planning and Transportation Committee on a policy that would require the heights and setbacks on infill projects to be more in keeping with existing abutting properties; and
- (2) to the Toronto Community Council on the potential conflict of the infill housing policy with ravines, trees and green space policies.

The above recommendations and report requests carried on the following division of votes:

Yeas: Councillors McConnell, Bussin, Disero, Jakobek, Korwin-Kuczynski, Palacio and Walker – 7

Nays: Councillors Bossons, Chow, Pantalone and Rae – 4

A motion by Councillor Bossons, to adopt the report (May 5, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, was placed but not voted on.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; c.: Planning and Transportation Committee – May 29, 2000)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 51)

5.10 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 400 of the Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking at 328 Roselawn Avenue (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 9, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting front yard parking at 328 Roselawn Avenue and recommending that:

(1) City Council deny the application for front yard parking at 328 Roselawn Avenue;

OR

(2) City Council approve the application for front yard parking for one vehicle at 328 Roselawn Avenue, notwithstanding the negative poll result and subject to:

(a) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and

(b) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code;

OR

(3) City Council approve the application for front yard parking for one vehicle at 328 Roselawn Avenue, notwithstanding that the existing paving does not meet City specifications and the negative poll result, subject to the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

Ms. Sho Shin appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the application for front yard parking for one vehicle at 328 Roselawn Avenue, notwithstanding that the existing paving does not meet City specifications and the negative poll result, subject to the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 33)

5.11 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 248 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit the Existing Paving to Remain in Connection with Driveway Widening at 335 St. Clements Avenue (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 9, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting the driveway widening at 335 St. Clements Avenue and recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the request to maintain the existing paving in connection with driveway widening at 335 St. Clements Avenue and that the applicant be required to remove or modify the existing paving and replace it with a semi-permeable material such as ecostone or equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in accordance with the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; OR
- (2) City Council approve the request to maintain the existing paving in connection with driveway widening at 335 St. Clements Avenue.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the request to maintain the existing paving in connection with driveway widening at 335 St. Clements Avenue.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, The Toronto Community Council also requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to include, as part of any future report dealing with Driveway Widening and Front Yard Parking Applications, an indication whether there is any room for trees either on the public boulevard or on the private property.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services – May 29, 2000)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 52)

5.12 Results of a Second Poll to Determine Neighbourhood Support or Opposition to a Boulevard Café on the Balmoral Avenue Flank of 1366 Yonge Street – Wrap N Roll Restaurants (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 11, 2000) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards submitting the results of a second poll to determine neighbourhood support or opposition to a boulevard cafe on the Balmoral Avenue flank of 1366 Yonge Street and recommending that the report be received for information.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (May 17, 2000) from Mr. Paul Kavanagh and Ms. Marcia Kavanagh
- (May 19, 2000) from J. Hans Kluge

Mr. Jordan Greenberg appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the application for a boulevard café on the Balmoral Avenue flank at 1366 Yonge Street be approved.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 53)

5.13 83 Cottingham Street – Removal of City And Private Trees (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 1, 2000) from the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the removal of City and private trees at 83 Cottingham Street and recommending that:

- (1) the request for removal of the City owned and the private tree be denied; or
- (2) tree removal be approved conditional on i) the trees in question not being removed until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under the building permit application for the subject project commence which warrant the destruction of the trees. ii) the applicant planting two 80 millimetre caliper red oak replacement trees at this address. iii) the applicant paying for the City tree value and all costs outlined in this report.

Ms. Christine Dverden appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the request for tree removal be approved at 83 Cottingham Street, conditional on:

- i) the trees in question not being removed until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under the building permit application for the subject project commence which warrant the destruction of the trees;
- ii) the applicant planting two 80 millimetre caliper red oak replacement trees at this address; and
- iii) the applicant paying for the City tree value and all costs outlined in the foregoing report (May 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 54)

5.14 Unauthorized Canopy - 521 Danforth Avenue, Fenwick Avenue Flank – “The Lucky Spot” (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 14, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting the unauthorized canopy on the Fenwick Avenue flank of 521 Danforth Avenue and recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the applicant’s request to lease a portion of the public right of way on the Fenwick Avenue flank of 521 Danforth Avenue that is currently enclosed by a canopy being used as a self-contained store; OR
- (2) City Council approve the applicant’s request to lease a portion of the public right of way on the Fenwick Avenue flank of 521 Danforth Avenue that is currently enclosed by a canopy being used as a self-contained store, subject to the applicant complying with the following:
 - (a) indemnify the City of Toronto from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages, charges and expenses that may result from such permission granted;
 - (b) maintain the canopy enclosure in good and proper repair and in a condition satisfactory to the Commissioners of Works and Emergency Services and Urban Development Services;
 - (c) pay an annual rental fee for the canopy as determined by the Commissioner of Corporate Services;
 - (d) the owner acknowledges that the City has an existing storm sewer and maintenance hole within the subject lands, which the City will continue to use and maintain and to ensure unrestricted access to these services, the applicant agrees to remove the finished flooring from within the canopy area;

- (e) the owner agrees that access will be retained by the City over the subject portion of Fenwick Avenue for the operation, use, inspection, repair, maintenance, reconstruction or alteration of the City's underground facilities;
- (f) the Lessee shall not assign or sublet the Lease without consent from the City;
- (g) no modifications shall be undertaken to the existing structure without the express written approval from the Commissioners of Works and Emergency Services and Urban Development Services;
- (h) remove the canopy upon receiving 90 days notice from the City to do so;
- (i) the Lessee shall, at its sole expense, restore the Lands affected as nearly as reasonably possible to its original condition at the expiry of the term, satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
- (j) accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the applicant's request to lease a portion of the public right of way on the Fenwick Avenue flank of 521 Danforth Avenue that is currently enclosed by a canopy being used as a self-contained store, subject to the applicant complying with the following:

- (a) indemnify the City of Toronto from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages, charges and expenses that may result from such permission granted;
- (b) maintain the canopy enclosure in good and proper repair and in a condition satisfactory to the Commissioners of Works and Emergency Services and Urban Development Services;
- (c) pay an annual rental fee for the canopy as determined by the Commissioner of Corporate Services;
- (d) the owner acknowledges that the City has an existing storm sewer and maintenance hole within the subject lands, which the City will continue to use and maintain and to ensure unrestricted access to these services, the applicant agrees to remove the finished flooring from within the canopy area;
- (e) the owner agrees that access will be retained by the City over the subject portion of Fenwick Avenue for the operation, use, inspection, repair, maintenance, reconstruction or alteration of the City's underground facilities;

- (f) the Lessee shall not assign or sublet the Lease without consent from the City;
- (g) no modifications shall be undertaken to the existing structure without the express written approval from the Commissioners of Works and Emergency Services and Urban Development Services;
- (h) remove the canopy upon receiving 90 days notice from the City to do so;
- (i) the Lessee shall, at its sole expense, restore the Lands affected as nearly as reasonably possible to its original condition at the expiry of the term, satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
- (j) accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 34)

5.15 Request for an Exemption From Chapter 400 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking at 31 Thelma Avenue (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 9, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting front yard parking at 31 Thelma Avenue and recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for front yard parking at 31 Thelma Avenue;
- OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 31 Thelma Avenue subject to:
 - (a) the parking area being paved in semi-permeable paving materials, i.e. ecostone pavers or approved equivalent paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
 - (b) the maximum width of the driveway and parking area not exceeding 2.6 m;
 - (c) the parking being confined to the proposed carport structure in front of the dwelling; and
 - (d) not more than one vehicle being licensed to park at the front.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 31 Thelma Avenue subject to:

- (a) the parking area being paved in semi-permeable paving materials, i.e. ecostone pavers or approved equivalent paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
- (b) the maximum width of the driveway and parking area not exceeding 2.6 m;
- (c) the parking being confined to the proposed carport structure in front of the dwelling;
and
- (d) not more than one vehicle being licensed to park at the front.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 35)

5.16 Landscaping Application - 15 Beech Avenue (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 8, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City Council approve the construction of a wooden fence fronting 15 Beech Avenue and on the Park Avenue flank and the installation and maintenance of a concrete bench, a wooden carport trellis, a brick patio, concrete toe walls, walkway and steps, and various other landscape improvements within the public right of way on Beech Avenue and Park Avenue, provided that:

- (1) The fence posts are set back a minimum distance of 1.22 m from the base of affected City-owned trees;
- (2) The fence is set back a minimum distance of 0.46 m from the rear edge of the City sidewalk;
- (3) The fence footings not to exceed a depth of 0.61 m below existing grade;
- (4) Shrubs are to be planted with a minimum setback of 0.46 m from the back edge of the City sidewalk; and
- (5) The property owner enters into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 36)

5.17 The Victory Café – Operation of the Boulevard Café during the 1999 Café Season – Fronting 581-583 Markham Street and Lennox Street Flank (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 27, 2000) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards recommending that:

- (1) the license for a boulevard café fronting 581-583 Markham Street be renewed annually by staff under the present terms and conditions and;
- (2) the application for the Lennox Street flank of 581-583 Markham Street be approved under the same terms and conditions, i.e. 10:00 p.m. closing time.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (May 19, 2000) from Mr. Michael Kainer, Palmerston Area Residents' Association.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the closing time for the boulevard café fronting 581-583 Markham Street be extended to 2:00 a.m.;
- (2) the license for a boulevard café fronting 581-583 Markham Street be renewed annually by staff under the present terms and conditions, as amended by Recommendation No. (1) and;
- (3) the application for the Lennox Street flank of 581-583 Markham Street be approved on condition that the boulevard café close at 10:00 p.m.;
- (4) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services review and report back to the Toronto Community Council in one year's time on the operation of the boulevard café for the Lennox Street flank of 581-583 Markham Street.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 55)

5.18 3 Devonshire Place, Toronto – Trinity College, University of Toronto (Munk Centre for International Studies): Request to Remove One Tree Situated on Private Property (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 3, 2000) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the removal of one tree situated on private property at 3 Devonshire Place and recommending that:

- (1) Toronto Community Council deny the request for the removal of the subject tree;

OR

- (2) If Toronto Community Council approves the request for the removal of one tree situated on private property indicated in this report, that such approval be conditional on:

- (i) the tree in question not being removed until permitted landscaping activities in accordance with plans approved under Site Plan Approval Application No. 398021 commence which warrant the destruction of the tree;
- (ii) the applicant planting a minimum of four (4) large growing shade tree species in accordance with Site Irrigation and Planting Plan A1.2 prepared by Janet Rosenberg & Associates, date stamped as received by Urban Development Services on July 21, 1998 and on file with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

Mr. Geoffrey Seaborn, Bursar, Trinity College, University of Toronto, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the request for the removal of the subject tree at 3 Devonshire Place be denied.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 56)

5.19 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 248 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Driveway Widening for Two Vehicles at 46 Boswell Avenue (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 9, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the request for an exemption from the by-law to permit driveway widening for two vehicles at 46 Boswell Avenue, as such a request does not comply with Chapter 248 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code;

OR

- (2) City Council approve the request for driveway widening for two vehicles at 46 Boswell Avenue, one vehicle within the limits of the private driveway and the other vehicle adjacent to the private driveway, subject to the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the request for driveway widening for two vehicles at 46 Boswell Avenue, one vehicle within the limits of the private driveway and the other vehicle adjacent to the private driveway, subject to the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 37)

5.20 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 248 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Driveway Widening at 286 Garden Avenue (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 8, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1 recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the request for an exemption from the by-law to permit driveway widening at 286 Garden Avenue, as such a request does not comply with Chapter 248 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code;

OR

- (2) City Council approve the request for driveway widening for one vehicle at 286 Garden Avenue, subject to:
 - (a) the maximum area to be paved not exceeding 2.4 m by 5.4 m;
 - (b) the parking pad being situated perpendicular to the sidewalk, adjacent to the mutual driveway;
 - (c) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
 - (d) the applicant modifying the front steps to accommodate the parking space; and
 - (e) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council deny the request for an exemption from the by-law to permit driveway widening at 286 Garden Avenue, as such a request does not comply with Chapter 248 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 38)

5.21 Draft By-Law to Authorize the Alteration of Balmoral Avenue and Farnham Avenue (Avenue Road to Yonge Street) by the Installation of Speed Humps with a Possible Future Reduction in Speed from 40 KM/HR to 30 KM/HR (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Balmoral Avenue and Farnham Avenue (Avenue Road to Yonge Street) by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (Midtown), and Clause 11 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 15, titled "Installation of Speed Humps – Balmoral Avenue and Farnham Avenue, between Avenue Road and Yonge Street (Midtown)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on December 14, 15 and 16, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (April 13, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 submitting speed hump polling results.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on May 2, May 8, May 15 and May 22, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 39)

5.22 Draft By-Law to Authorize the Alteration of Concord Avenue (Hallam Street to Northumberland Street) by the Installation of Speed Humps with a Possible Future Reduction in Speed from 40 KM/HR to 30 KM/HR (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Concord Avenue (Hallam Street to Northumberland Street) by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (Davenport), and Clause 45 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 15, titled "Installation of Speed Humps – Concord Avenue from Hallam Street to Northumberland Street (Davenport)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on December 14, 15 and 16, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (April 17, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting speed hump polling results.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on May 2, May 8, May 15 and May 22, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 40)

5.23 Draft By-Law to Authorize the Alteration of Delaware Avenue (Hallam Street to Northumberland Street) by the Installation of Speed Humps with a Possible Future Reduction in Speed from 40 KM/HR To 30 KM/HR (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Delaware Avenue (Hallam Street to Northumberland Street) by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (Davenport), and Clause 46 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 15, titled "Installation of Speed Humps – Delaware Avenue, from Hallam Street to Northumberland Street (Davenport)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on December 14, 15 and 16, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had a report (April 17, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting speed hump polling results.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on May 2, May 8, May 15 and May 22, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 41)

5.24 Draft By-Law to Authorize the Alteration of Dunn Avenue and Spencer Avenue (King Street West to Springhurst Avenue) and Elmgrove Avenue and Wilson Park Road (King Street West to Queen Street West) by the Installation of Speed Humps with a Possible Future Reduction in Speed from 40 KM/HR to 30 KM/HR (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Dunn Avenue and Spencer Avenue (King Street West to Springhurst Avenue) and Elmgrove Avenue and Wilson Park Road (King Street West to Queen Street West) by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (High Park), and Clause 57 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 13, titled "Proposed Installation of Speed Humps – South Parkdale Area (High Park)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on October 26 and 27, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (May 16, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting speed hump polling results.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on May 2, May 8, May 15 and May 22, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 42)

5.25 Draft By-Law to Authorize the Alteration of Highfield Road (Dundas Street East to Gerrard Street East) by the Installation of Speed Humps with a Possible Future Reduction in Speed from 40 KM/HR To 30 KM/HR (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Highfield Road (Dundas Street East to Gerrard Street East) by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (East Toronto), and Clause 43 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 13, titled "Traffic Calming Measures – Highfield Road Between Dundas Street East and Gerrard Street East (East Toronto)", as adopted by City Council at its meeting held on October 26 and 27, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (March 21, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting speed hump polling results.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on May 2, May 8, May 15 and May 22, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 43)

5.26 Draft By-Law to Authorize the Alteration of Kimberley Avenue (Lyall Avenue to Swanwick Avenue) by the Installation of Speed Humps with a Possible Future Reduction in Speed from 40 KM/HR to 30 KM/HR (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Kimberley Avenue (Lyall Avenue to Swanwick Avenue) by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (East Toronto), and Clause 38 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 14, titled "Installation of Speed Humps – Kimberley Avenue from Lyall Avenue to Swanwick Avenue (East Toronto)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on November 23, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (May 2, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting speed hump polling results.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on May 2, May 8, May 15 and May 22, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 44)

5.27 Draft By-Law to Authorize the Alteration of Millwood Road (Yonge Street to Acacia Road) by the Installation of Speed Humps with a Possible Future Reduction in Speed from 40 KM/HR to 30 KM/HR (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Millwood Road (Yonge Street to Acacia Road) by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (North Toronto), and Clause 10 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 15, titled "Installation of Speed Humps – Millwood Road, from Yonge Street to Acacia Road (North Toronto)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on December 14, 15 and 16, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (March 1, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting speed hump polling results.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on May 2, May 8, May 15 and May 22, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 45)

5.28 Draft By-Law to Authorize the Alteration of Poulett Street (Dundas Street East to Shuter Street) by Narrowing the Pavement (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Poulett Street (Dundas Street East to Shuter Street) by narrowing the pavement (Don River), and Clause 48 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 5, titled "Narrowing of Roadway - Poulett Street, North of Shuter Street (Don River)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on April 11, 12 and 13, 2000.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on May 2, May 8, May 15 and May 22, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 46)

5.29 Draft By-Law to Authorize the Alteration of Rosemount Avenue (Dufferin Street to Oakwood Avenue) by the Installation of Speed Humps with a Possible Future Reduction in Speed from 40 KM/HR to 30 KM/HR (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Rosemount Avenue (Dufferin Street to Oakwood Avenue) by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (Davenport), and Clause 8 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 14, titled "Installation of Speed Humps – Rosemount Avenue, from Dufferin Street to Oakwood Avenue (Davenport)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on November 23, 24 and 25, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following report/communication:

- (April 17, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting speed hump polling results; and
- (May 18, 2000) from R.E. Watts, Chairman, Regal Heights Residents' Association.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on May 2, May 8, May 15 and May 22, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council:

- (1) adjourned the Public Meeting held pursuant to the provisions of the Municipal Act, to be reconvened on July 18, 2000;
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Toronto Community Council at that time on:
 - (a) the costs of installing asphalt raised intersections instead of speed humps; and
 - (b) whether Rosemount Avenue east of Oakwood Avenue would meet the criteria for speed humps.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services – May 29, 2000)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 60(b))

5.30 Draft By-Law to Authorize the Alteration of St. Clements Avenue (Avenue Road to Caldw Road) by the Installation of Speed Humps with a Possible Future Reduction in Speed from 40 KM/HR to 30 KM/HR (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft by-law to authorize the alteration of St. Clements Avenue (Avenue Road to Caldw Road) by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (North Toronto), and Clause 8 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 12, titled "Installation of Speed Humps – St. Clements Avenue, from Avenue Road to Caldw Road (North Toronto)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on September 28 and 29, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (February 14, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting speed hump polling results.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on May 2, May 8, May 15 and May 22, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 47)

5.31 Draft By-Law to Authorize the Alteration of Euclid Avenue (Dundas Street West to College Street) by the Installation of Speed Humps with a Possible Future Reduction in Speed from 40 KM/HR to 30 KM/HR (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Euclid Avenue (Dundas Street West to College Street) by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (Trinity-Niagara), and Clause 4 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 15, titled "Installation of Speed Humps – Euclid Avenue, between Dundas Street West and College Street (Trinity-Niagara)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on December 14, 15 and 16, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (April 12, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting speed hump polling results.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on May 2, May 8, May 15 and May 22, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 48)

5.32 229 Richmond Street West, Application No. 900006: Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 5, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that City Council refuse Application No. 900006 for a variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for third party advertising, an illuminated ground sign to be located at the southwest corner of a parking lot at 229 Richmond Street West.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council recommended that Application No. 900006 for a variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for third party advertising, an illuminated ground sign to be located at the southwest corner of a parking lot at 229 Richmond Street West be refused.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 57)

5.33 2 St. Clair Avenue West, Application No. 900021: Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 8, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve, in part, Application No. 900021, for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, 16 illuminated fascia signs, and one illuminated pedestal sign at 2 St. Clair Avenue West.
- (2) City Council refuse, in part, Application No. 900021 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, one proposed electronic message display centre sign to be located at the second floor level on the southeast corner of the building at 2 St. Clair Avenue West.
- (3) The applicant is advised, upon approval, in part, of Application No. 900021, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

Mr. James W. Harbell, Stikeman Elliott, Barristers and Solicitors, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that :

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900021, for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, 16 illuminated fascia signs, and one illuminated pedestal sign at 2 St. Clair Avenue West;
- (2) City Council approve Application No. 900021 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, one proposed electronic message display centre sign to be located at the second floor level on the southeast corner of the building at 2 St. Clair Avenue West, subject to the applicant, Olympia and York Properties, requiring its Licensee, Standard Broadcasting Corporation Limited, to use its best efforts to permit, where reasonably possible, usage of the sign, at no cost, to display notices of community sponsored non-profit events provided:
 - (i) the content is appropriate in the discretion of the Licensee;
 - (ii) the Licensee has received sufficient advance notice of the request;
 - (iii) in no event is the Licensee required to use the sign for community usage for more than 5% of its total display time; and
 - (iv) there is no paid advertising for the event on other media outlets; and
- (3) The applicant is advised, upon approval of Application No. 900021, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

Councillor Disero requested that she be recorded in the negative.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 58)

5.34 Appeal of Denial of Application for a Curb Lane Vending Permit - Bay Street, East Side, 20M North of Queens Quay West and Extending a further 5.5M North (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 9, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for curb lane vending on Bay Street, east side, 20 m north of Queens Quay West and extending a further 5.5 m north; OR

- (2) City Council approve the application for curb lane vending on Bay Street, east side, 20 m north of Queens Quay West and extending a further 5.5 m north, notwithstanding that the location does not comply with the criteria set out in the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 315, Street Vending.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (May 19, 2000) from B.W. Brucker, Fellowes, McNeil
- (May 15, 2000) from Chris Blue, Chris Blue Food Services & Catering

Mr. Barney Brucker, Fellowes McNeil, Barristers and Solicitors, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the following matter until its meeting to be held on July 18, 2000 and having requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Toronto Community Council at that time on a study of the permitted vending locations on Queens Quay West, between Bay Street and Yonge Street.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Interested Persons – May 29, 2000)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 60(c))

5.35 241 Wellington Street West on the John Street Flank – Removal of Four (4) City Owned Trees (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 3, 2000) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the removal of four City-owned trees on the John Street flank of 241 Wellington Street and recommending that:

- (1) removal of one of the four City owned summit ash trees be approved conditional on the applicant paying all associated costs totalling \$749.43; and
- (2) deny the request for the removal of the remaining three City owned summit ash trees.

Mr. Patrick Devine, Goodman and Carr, Barristers and Solicitors, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) removal of one of the four City owned summit ash trees on the John Street flank of 241 Wellington Street West be approved, conditional on the applicant paying all associated costs totalling \$749.43; and

- (2) the request for the removal of the remaining three City owned summit ash trees be denied.

The above recommendations carried on the following division of votes:

Yeas: Councillors McConnell, Bossons, Bussin, Chow, Layton, Miller, Rae and Pantalone – 8

Nays: Councillors Disero, Jakobek, Korwin-Kuczynski, Palacio, Silva and Walker – 6

The Toronto Community Council also requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report directly to Council:

On motion by Councillor Chow:

- (1) on the original urban design of the canopy at this site, the implication for the remaining trees of the original canopy design; and

On motion by Councillor Layton:

- (2) whether the canopy could be redesigned, with a view to ensuring both the survival of the trees and the erection of the canopy.

The following motion by Councillor Palacio was placed but not voted on:

“That the tree removal be approved, subject to the applicant:

- (a) paying all associated tree values and costs, namely tree values, removal and replacement costs, a total of \$4,371.41;
- (b) constructing new continuous tree pits in accordance with the City of Toronto Streetscape Manual; and
- (c) guaranteeing any new trees for two years.”

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; c.: Beate Bowron, Director, Community Planning – South District – May 25, 2000)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 59)

5.36 Design Guidelines and Development Standards for Infill Housing

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (Match 10, 2000) from the City Clerk respecting design guidelines and development standards for infill housing and advising that at the Planning and Transportation Committee agenda briefing meeting on March 8, 2000,

the Chair of the Planning and Transportation Committee directed that the attached transmittal letter (February 23, 2000) from the City Clerk forwarding a joint report (January 31, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, West District and the Director of Urban Design, City Planning, entitled "Design Guidelines and Development Standards for Infill Housing" be forwarded to all Community Councils for consideration and comment to the Planning and Transportation Committee.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (May 17, 2000) from the City Clerk, Planning and Transportation Committee advising that the Planning and Transportation Committee referred the joint report (January 31, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, West District and the Director of Urban Design, City Planning, all responses from Community Councils, as well as the report (May 9, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, to the Commissioner of Urban Development Services with a request that she report further on the matter to the Planning and Transportation Committee.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council received this matter, in view of the action taken by the Planning and Transportation Committee at its meeting held on May 15, 2000.

(Letter sent to: Planning and Transportation Committee; c.: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Director, Community Planning, West District; Director of Urban Design, City Planning; Interested Persons – May 29, 2000)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 60(d))

5.37 Proposed Residential Solid Waste Collection By-Law

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 9, 2000) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services respecting the proposed Residential Solid Waste Collection By-law and recommending that:

- (1) this report be received for information; and
- (2) any comments regarding the proposed by-law be forwarded no later than April 12, 2000 to the contact noted at the end of this report.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Chris Kawalec, Parkdale/Liberty Economic Development Committee; and
- Ms. Kyla Dixon-Muir, Toronto.

The Toronto Community Council recommended to the Works Committee that:

On motion by Councillor Miller:

- (1) Section 2.5 of the proposed by-law attached to the report (March 9, 2000) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services be amended to read:

“2.5 The Commissioner may determine that Owners of multiple household residences who do not participate fully in the City’s collection of Recyclable Materials or who sell or otherwise transfer Recyclable Materials to persons other than the City are not eligible to receive any Services.”

On motion by Councillor Bossons:

- (2) a Schedule of set fines be submitted to Council prior to the adoption of the by-law.

The Toronto Community Council also requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Works Committee, at its meeting at which this matter will be considered:

On motion by Councillor Layton:

- (1) in consultation with the City’s recycling firms, on the requirement that newspapers and magazines be bagged, given the concern of the Toronto Community Council that this requirement may reduce participation in recycling and make residents guilty of an offence for undertaking an activity which, in the past, was legal;

On motion by Councillor Chow:

- (2) on adding a new section to the proposed by-law which would ensure the proper setting out of garbage and proper recycling of materials for buildings with two or more dwelling units with an absentee landlord.

(Letter sent to: Works Committee; c.: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services; Interested Persons – May 29, 2000)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 60(e))

5.38 Proposed Solid Waste Management Services Requirements for Developments and Redevelopments

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 9, 2000) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services respecting the proposed Solid Waste Management Services requirements for developments and redevelopments and recommending that:

- (1) this report be received for information; and,
- (2) comments regarding the draft document entitled *Requirements for City of Toronto Garbage and Recycling Collection at Developments and Redevelopments* be forwarded no later than April 12, 2000 to the contact noted at the end of this report.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to the Works Committee that the requirements be amended so public laneway collection would be permitted and encouraged if these new developments are on main commercial streets.

The Toronto Community Council also requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Works Committee, at its meeting at which this matter will be considered:

On motion by Councillor Bossons:

- (1) on the possibility of mandatory refrigerated wet waste storage areas; and

On motion by Councillor Layton:

- (2) on a possible requirement for composting, organics separation and fibres separation for new buildings or redevelopment of old buildings.

(Letter sent to: Works Committee; c.: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services; Interested Persons – May 29, 2000)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 60(f))

5.39 Preliminary Report: Official Plan and Zoning By-Law Amendment Application No. 200002 for the Construction of a 9 Storey Mixed Use Building Containing Ground Level Restaurant Uses and 32 Dwelling Units at 10-12 Market Street (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 4, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services forwarding the preliminary report on Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendment Application No. 200002 for the construction of a nine storey mixed use building containing ground level restaurant uses and 32 dwelling units at 10-12 Market Street and recommending:

- (1) he be requested to hold a public meeting in the community to discuss the application and to notify owners and tenants within 300 metres of the site, the St. Lawrence Neighbourhood Association, the St. Lawrence Condominium Ratepayers Association, the St. Lawrence Market Tenants Association, the Performing Arts Lodge and the Ward Councillor of the meeting.

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, May 23, 2000

- (2) The owner be advised that, prior to approval of this project, the owner will be required to submit a Noise Impact Statement in accordance with City Council's requirements. The owner will be advised further of these requirements as they relate to this project by the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Executive Director and Chief Planner – No Encl. – Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attention: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police – Attention: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge – Encl. – All Interested Persons – No Encl.; c.: Beate Bowron, Director, Community Planning, South District; Lance Alexander, Senior Planner, Community Planning, East Section – No Encl. – May 29, 2000)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 60(g))

5.40 Preliminary Report on Application No. 199019 for Official Plan and Zoning By-Law Amendments to Permit Retail Uses at 731 Eastern Avenue (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 2, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services submitting a preliminary report on Application No. 199019 for Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments to permit retail uses at 731 Eastern Avenue and recommending that:

- (1) he be requested to undertake a planning study of the Restricted Industrial Area at Leslie Street and Eastern Avenue to determine the future policy direction for 731 Eastern Avenue and the vicinity; and
- (2) a community meeting be set up to discuss this application with the community.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Executive Director and Chief Planner – No Encl. – Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attention: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan

Toronto Police – Attention: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge – Encl. – All Interested Persons – No Encl.; c.: Beate Bowron, Director, Community Planning, South District; Denise Graham, Senior Planner, Community Planning, East Section – No Encl. – May 29, 2000)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 60(h))

5.41 Queen - Broadview Community Improvement Project Area (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 21, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting the Queen-Broadview Community Improvement Project Area and recommending that:

- (1) City Council adopt a by-law designating the lands along Queen Street East generally between Carroll Street and Empire Avenue as a Community Improvement Project Area.
- (2) the City Solicitor prepare an appropriate by-law for Council's consideration at its meeting of June 7, 8 and 9, 2000.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 28)

5.42 Supplementary Report – 86 and 100 Bloor Street West (University Theatre) – Application 197027 for Official Plan and Zoning Amendments for a Mixed Retail, Residential Development (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 5, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services submitting the supplementary report for Application No. 197027 for Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments for a mixed retail, residential development at Nos. 86 and 100 Bloor Street West (University Theatre) and recommending that:

1. authority be granted for the execution of an amendment to the Heritage Easement Amending Agreement for 86 and 100 Bloor Street West, registered September 30, 1999, to require the documenting, dismantling, storage, repairs and reconstruction of the University Theatre facade in a form acceptable to the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services;
2. appropriate security to complete the stabilization and restoration of the University Theatre facade be posted with the City in a form acceptable to the Chief Financial Officer and the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services; and

3. appropriate staff be authorized to give effect to the above Recommendation Number 1.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 27)

5.43 443 University Avenue, Application No. 900001: Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 8, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900001 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, two illuminated fascia signs in the form of individual letters which identify the corporate name. One sign would be erected on the north elevation and the other sign would be erected on the west elevation of the building at 443 University Avenue.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900001, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 26)

5.44 49 Baldwin Street, Application No. 900005: Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 8, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900005 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to maintain, for identification purposes, an illuminated awning sign on the front elevation of the building at 49 Baldwin Street, on condition that the sign be illuminated only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 11:00 p.m. and this be achieved by an automated timing device.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900005, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 26)

5.45 480 St. Clair Avenue West, Application No. 900020: Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 5, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900020 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to maintain, for identification purposes, four illuminated fascia signs and five non-illuminated projecting banner signs at 480 St. Clair Avenue West, on condition that the fascia sign on the east elevation and the fascia signs on the south elevation be illuminated only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 11:00 p.m. and this be achieved by an automated timing device.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900020, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 26)

5.46 Construction of a Wooden Fence at the Rear of 84 Whitehall Road on Summerhill Avenue (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 8, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the construction of a wooden fence at the rear of 84 Whitehall Road on Summerhill Avenue and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve the installation of the proposed fencing provided that the property owner(s) provide a minimum setback of 1.8 m from the curb to the fence; and

- (2) The property owner(s) entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, as described under Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 25)

5.47 Maintenance of Steel Bollards - 321 and 346 Front Street East (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 8, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City Council approve the maintenance of 84 steel bollards within the public right of way adjacent to 321 and 346 Front Street East, subject to the applicant:

- (a) removing the steel bollards upon receiving 90 days notice from the City to do so; and
- (b) entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, as prescribed under Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 24)

5.48 Maintenance of Two Banking Machines with Awnings which Encroach within the Public Right of Way - 686 Queen Street West (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 8, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City Council approve the maintenance of the two bank machines with awnings within the public right of way fronting 686 Queen Street West, subject to the owners entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, as prescribed under Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 23)

5.49 Premises No. 8 Park Road - Establishment of a Construction Staging Area (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 8, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the establishment of a construction staging area at 8 Park Road and recommending that:

- (1) Park Road operate one-way northbound from Bloor Street East to Asquith Avenue;
- (2) stopping be prohibited at anytime on Park Road, from Bloor Street East to Asquith Avenue; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 22)

5.50 Premises No. 10 Dundas Street East (Metropolis) - Establishment of a Construction Staging Area (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 8, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the establishment of a construction staging area at 10 Dundas Street East (Metropolis) and recommending that:

- (1) Victoria Street operate one-way northbound from Dundas Street East to Gould Street;
- (2) stopping be prohibited at anytime on Victoria Street, from Dundas Street East to Gould Street; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 21)

5.51 Permanent Smoking Kiosk - Caniff Street Rear of 901 King Street West (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 8, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City

Council deny the request for the installation of a private, permanent smoking kiosk, within the public right of way of Caniff Street rear of 901 King Street West.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 20)

5.52 Application for a Temporary Street Closure of Church Street, Wood Street, Gloucester Street, Alexander Street, Maitland Street, Dundonald Street, Wellesley Street East in Connection with Pride Festival (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 8, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) The following streets be closed to all vehicular traffic from 2:00 p.m. on June 23, 2000, up to and including 12:01 p.m. on June 26, 2000, for the Pride festival:
 - (a) Church Street, from the north side of Carlton Street to the south side of Alexander Street;
 - (b) Wood Street, from Church Street to approximately 9.1 m west of Church Street to the driveway entrance; and
 - (c) Wood Street, from Church Street to approximately 30.5 m east of Church Street;
- (2) The following streets be closed to all vehicular traffic from 12:01 am on June 24, 2000, up to and including 6:00 a.m. on June 26, 2000, for the Pride festival:
 - (a) Church Street, from the south side of Alexander Street to the south side of Isabella Street;
 - (b) Gloucester Street, from Church Street to approximately 30.5 m west of Church Street;
 - (c) Gloucester Street, from Church Street to approximately 30.5 m east of Church Street;
 - (d) Dundonald Street, from Church Street to approximately 30.5 m west of Church Street;
 - (e) Maitland Street, from Church Street to approximately 24.4 m west of Church Street to the lane;

- (f) Maitland Street, from Church Street to approximately 24.4 m east of Church Street ;
 - (g) Alexander Street, from Church Street to approximately 9.1 m west of Church Street to the driveway entrance; and
 - (h) Alexander Street, from Church Street to approximately 30.5 m east of Church to the lane;
- (3) The following street be closed to all vehicular traffic from 2:00 a.m. on June 25, 2000, up to and including 6:00 a.m. on June 26, 2000, for the Pride festival:
- (a) Wellesley Street East, from the east side of Yonge Street to approximately 30.5 m east of Church Street; and
- (4) The appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 19)

5.53 Beaty Avenue from King Street West to Queen Street West – Installation of Speed Humps (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 4, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the installation of speed humps on Beaty Avenue from King Street West to Queen Street West and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Beaty Avenue from King Street West to Queen Street West, for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

“The construction of speed humps on BEATY AVENUE, from King Street West to Queen Street West, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5698 dated May 2000”;

- (2) the speed limit be reduced from 40 km/h to 30 km/h on Beaty Avenue from King Street West to Queen Street West, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 18)

5.54 Lappin Avenue from Dufferin Street to Emerson Avenue - Speed Humps (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 17, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the construction of speed humps on Lappin Avenue from Dufferin Street to Emerson Avenue and recommending that:

- (1) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Lappin Avenue between Dufferin Street and Emerson Avenue for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

The construction of speed humps on LAPPIN AVENUE, from Dufferin Street to Emerson Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5684, dated April 2000;

- (2) a speed limit of 30 km/h be introduced on Lappin Avenue between Dufferin Street and Emerson Avenue, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 17)

5.55 Donlands Avenue between Strathmore Boulevard and Glebeholme Boulevard – Installation of Traffic Calming Islands (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 14, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the installation of traffic calming islands on

Donlands Avenue between Strathmore Boulevard and Glebeholme Boulevard, and recommending that:

- (1) approval be given to narrow sections of the pavement on Donlands Avenue from Glebeholme Boulevard to Strathmore Boulevard described as follows:

“the narrowing of sections of the pavement from a width of 13.4 m to a width varying from 10.4 m to 13.4 m, on DONLANDS AVENUE, from Glebeholme Boulevard to Strathmore Boulevard, by the installation of road narrowing islands at specified locations, as shown schematically in the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5652, dated March 2000”;
- (2) the parking prohibition at anytime, Monday to Saturday on the west side of Donlands Avenue between Strathmore Boulevard and Milverton Boulevard be rescinded;
- (3) parking be prohibited anytime from Monday to Friday on the west side of Donlands Avenue from Baltic Avenue to Milverton Boulevard;
- (4) parking be prohibited from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. Monday to Friday on the west side of Donlands Avenue between Strathmore Boulevard and Baltic Avenue;
- (5) parking be allowed for a maximum period of three hours between 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. and between 6:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. on the west side of Donlands Avenue between Strathmore Boulevard and Baltic Avenue; and
- (6) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 16)

5.56 Lane System Bounded by Davenport Road, Bristol Avenue, Geary Avenue and Dufferin Street - Proposed Installation of an Additional Speed Bump (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 9, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending the installation of one additional speed bump in the public lane bounded by Davenport Road, Bristol Avenue, Geary Avenue and Dufferin Street, of the type and design noted and at the location shown on Drawing Nos. 421F-5606 and 421F-5611 dated January 2000 be approved.

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 15)

5.57 Installation/Removal of On-Street Parking Spaces for Persons With Disabilities (High Park, North Toronto and Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 9, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the installation/removal of disabled on-street parking spaces as noted in Table "A" of this report be approved; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 14)

5.58 Lambertlodge Avenue from Christie Street to Melita Crescent (West Branch) - Request for Alternate Side Parking (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 8, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the parking prohibition anytime on the north side of Lambertlodge Avenue from a point 40 metres west of Christie Street to the west branch of Melita Crescent be rescinded;
- (2) parking on the north side of Lambertlodge Avenue from a point 40 metres west of Christie Street to the west branch of Melita Crescent be prohibited from the 16th to the end of each month from April 1st to November 30th inclusive and at anytime from December 1st of one year to March 31st of the next following year;
- (3) parking on the south side of Lambertlodge Avenue from Christie Street to the west branch of Melita Crescent be prohibited from the 1st day to the 15th day of each month from April 1st to November 30th inclusive;

- (4) the permit parking system operate on an alternate side basis on Lambertlodge Avenue from Christie Street to the west branch of Melita Crescent, from 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., daily; and
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 13)

5.59 Garnock Avenue, between Logan Avenue and Hampton Avenue – Amendments to Parking Regulations (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 1, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the alternate side parking regulations on Garnock Avenue, between Logan Avenue and Hampton Avenue be rescinded and the current permit parking regulations which now apply from 12:01 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. daily on an alternate side basis be adjusted to apply on the north side only year round;
- (2) parking be prohibited at any time on the south side of Garnock Avenue, between Logan Avenue and Hampton Avenue; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 12)

5.60 Waller Avenue between Windermere Avenue and Rennie Terrace –Adjustments to Parking Regulations; and Rennie Terrace between Waller Avenue and Morningside Avenue –Request for 40 KM/HR Speed Limit (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 5, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) changes to the existing parking regulations for Waller Avenue be implemented as noted in Appendix "A" of this report;
- (2) the speed limit on Rennie Terrace between Waller Avenue and Morningside Avenue be reduced from 50 km/h to 40 km/h; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 11)

5.61 Introduction of Permit Parking on the South Side of East Avenue, between Prescott Avenue and the East Dead End of East Avenue (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 2, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) permit parking be introduced on the south side of East Avenue, between Prescott Avenue and the east dead end of East Avenue, within permit parking area 3C, to operate during the hours of 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., 7 days a week;
- (2) Part A of Schedule XXVI (Permit Parking), of Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, be amended to incorporate the south side of East Avenue, between Prescott Avenue and the east dead end of East Avenue; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 10)

5.62 Feast of Steven - Boulevard Cafe Deck - 3367 Yonge Street (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 3, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the boulevard cafe deck at 3367 Yonge Street (Feast of Steven), and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1; Ken McGuire, Supervisor, Construction Activities; Curtis Sealock, District Manager, South District, Municipal Licensing and Standards – May 29, 2000)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 60(m))

5.63 1414 Gerrard St. E. - Relocation or Removal of Three (3) City Owned Trees (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 3, 2000) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the relocation or removal of three City-owned trees at 1414 Gerrard Street East and recommending that Toronto Community Council deny the request for the removal of the trees.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the request for the removal of the three City trees at 1414 Gerrard Street East be approved.

The above recommendation carried on the following division of votes:

Yeas: Councillors Bussin, Chow, Disero, Jakobek, Layton, Korwin-Kuczynski, Palacio, Silva and Walker – 9

Nays: Councillors McConnell, Miller, Pantalone and Rae – 4

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 9)

5.64 Pearson Avenue between Roncesvalles Avenue and Sunnyside Avenue - Reduction of the Maximum Speed Limit from 50 KM/HR To 40 KM/HR (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 4, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that the speed limit on Pearson Avenue between Roncesvalles Avenue and Sunnyside Avenue be reduced from 50 km/h to 40 km/h.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 8)

5.65 Adjustments to Parking Regulations – Silver Avenue, from Golden Avenue to Morrow Avenue (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 4, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that parking be permitted for a maximum period of one hour, from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, on the north side of Silver Avenue from Golden Avenue to Morrow Avenue.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 7)

5.66 Sale of Surplus Property – 225 Crawford Street (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 5, 2000) from the Chief Executive Officer, Toronto Housing Company respecting the sale of the surplus property at 225 Crawford Street and recommending that:

- (1) the Chief Executive Officer of the Toronto Housing Company be authorized to accept the offer in the amount of \$ 389,000.00 as detailed herein,
- (2) the net sale proceeds on closing be directed to the Toronto Housing Company,
- (3) the City Solicitor be authorized and directed to take the appropriate action to complete the transaction on behalf of the City of Toronto and be further authorized to amend the closing date and any other terms of sale as are considered reasonable by them; and,
- (4) the appropriate Toronto Housing Company and City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 6)

5.67 Making Front Yard Parking Legal in Midtown (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (May 8, 2000) from Councillor Bossons respecting legal front yard parking in Midtown and requesting that:

1. Recommendations 1 (a) or (b) and 3 to 7 of the Report dated December 3, 1999, from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, be adopted.

2. Recommendation 2 be amended to add after the last word "street", "the amount to be determined by staff."

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing communication until its meeting to be held on June 20, 2000.

A motion by Councillor Bossons, that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services report on this matter to the Toronto Community Council at its meeting to be held on June 20, 2000, was placed but not voted on.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services – May 29, 2000)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 60(i))

5.68 Creation of Drop-Off Area at Ossington Old Orchard Public School (380 Ossington Avenue) (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (May 10, 2000) from Councillor Pantalone respecting Creation of Drop-Off Area at Ossington Old Orchard Public School (380 Ossington Avenue) (Trinity-Niagara).

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor to report to the Toronto Community Council meeting of June 20, 2000 on the creation of a weekday only "Drop Off" area between 8:00 a.m. and 9:00 a.m. for a maximum of 15 minutes in front of Ossington Old Orchard Public School (380 Ossington Avenue) and the necessary by-laws to institute above.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; City Solicitor – May 29, 2000)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 60(j))

5.69 Request for Endorsement of Events for Liquor Licensing Purposes

The Toronto Community Council had before it the following communications requesting endorsement of events for liquor licensing purposes:

- (April 20, 2000) from Mr. Frank Alvarez, President, CIRV 88.9 FM Radio;
- (April 26, 2000) from Ms. Rebecca Ferguson, Client Relations Co-ordinator, McMillan Binch;
- (April 27, 2000) from Utra Samial, A.J. Diamond, Donald Schmitt and Company;

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, May 23, 2000

- (May 1, 2000) from Ms. Tara Duggan, Bloor By the Park BIA;
- (April 25, 2000) from Mr. Jack DeLuca, Director, Series 2000;
- (May 2, 2000) from Ms. Tnesia Mi, Coordinator, Parkdale Village, BIA;
- (May 2, 2000) from Aldo Morson, Principal, Bloor Collegiate Institute;
- (May 8, 2000) from Riccardo Bozzo, Jingles Too/Boccone Deli/Spiga;
- (May 5, 2000) from Ms. Sandra Cullen, Tournament Logistics Chair, Hope Volleyball;
- (May 4, 2000) from Hernan Astudillo, Parroquia Sam Lorenzo Anglican Church;
- (May 5, 2000) from Ms. Lorraine M. Dale, Black, Sutherland, Crabbe LLP, Barristers and Solicitors;
- (May 8, 2000) from Robin Toderian, University of Toronto;
- (April 20, 2000) from Ms. Flora Saleki Rastakhiz, Passione Italiana;
- (May 12, 2000) from Mr. Philip Noble General Manager, Noble Associates, Event Management;
- (May 11, 2000) from Mr. Sam DeCaria, President, Corporate & Event Catering Inc.;
- (May 9, 2000) from Mr. Ed Chiang, Banquet Manager, Holiday Inn on King;
- (May 8, 2000) from Ms. Margaret MacLellan;
- (May 8, 2000) from Ms. Kathryn Reed-Garrett, Director, Business Development and Special Events, Exhibition Place;
- (May 8, 2000) from Ms. Kathryn Reed-Garrett, Business Development and Special Events, Exhibition Place;
- (May 8, 2000) from Ms. Kathryn Reed-Garrett, Business Development and Special Events, Exhibition Place;
- (May 5, 2000) from Laas Leivat, Estonian Central Council in Canada;
- (May 11, 2000) from Mr. Victor Pianosi, en Ville Event Design & Catering;
- (May 8, 2000) from Mr. Roger Best, Rose and Crown;

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, May 23, 2000

- (May 5, 2000) from Mr. Vito Brancatella, Grazie Ristorante;
- (May 18, 2000) from J. F. Gill, Chair, the Friends of Fort York;
- (May 17, 2000) from Councillors Korwin-Kuczynski and David Miller – High Park;
- (May 12, 2000) from Mr. Brad Currie, FLEUR;
- (May 23, 2000) from Ms. Patti Marshall, Director of Operations, du Maurier Downtown Jazz Festival;
- (May 15, 2000) from Sue Graham Nutter, Greektown on the Danforth Business Improvement Association;

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council, for liquor licensing purposes:

- (1) declare the following to be events of municipal and/or community significance and advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it has no objection to their taking place:
 - (a) Annual Summerfest to be held on June 17 and 18, 2000 at Earls court Park;
 - (b) 7th Annual ArtBeat Festival “Mariposa in the City” to be held on June 24th, 2000, from 11:00 a.m. to 10:30 p.m. on Cowan Avenue from Queen Street West south to Melbourne Avenue;
 - (c) Third Annual Inti Raymi Festival to be held on June 24 and 25, 2000 at Christie Pits Park;
 - (d) 34th Annual CHIN International Picnic to be held on June 30th – July 3, 2000 (June 30 - 4:00 – 12 midnight, July 1st, 2nd, 3rd – 12 noon – 12 midnight at Exhibition Place);
 - (e) “esto2000” to be held on July 8 – 16, 2000;
 - (f) Festival of Fort York to be held on July 1 and 2, 2000 at Fort York; and
 - (g) Annual Polish Day to be held on June 18, 2000 at Ontario Place; and
 - (h) 7th Annual Krinos Foods Taste of the Danforth to be held on Friday, August 11 to Sunday, August 13, 2000
- (2) declare the du Maurier Downtown Jazz Festival taking place at various locations set out in the communication (May 23, 2000) from Ms. Patti Marshall from Friday, June

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, May 23, 2000

23 to Sunday, July 2, 2000 inclusive, to be an event of national and municipal significance and indicate that it has no objection to it taking place;

- (3) advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it is aware of the following events and has no objection to their taking place:
- (a) McMillan Binch Client Appreciation Event on Toronto Island (Hanlan's Point, Area 111) on June 20, August 17 and August 24, 2000 from 4:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m.
 - (b) Solstice party to be held on June 22, 2000 from 5:00 to 8:00 p.m., at "Berkeley Castle" at the corner of Berkeley Street and The Esplanade.
 - (c) "10th Annual Street Party" sponsored by Whelan's Gate and the Bloor by the Park BIA, to be held on June 10th, 2000 from 12:00 noon until 8:00 p.m. on the sidewalk boulevard café immediately outside the restaurant at 1663 Bloor Street West; nor to an extension of the Whelan's Gate restaurant's liquor licence in conjunction with this event;
 - (d) Cabbagetown Group Softball League's Series 2000 Welcome Party for the International Softball Tournament at Church Street and Wellesley Street;
 - (e) Bloor Collegiate Institute 75th Alumni Reunion to be held on May 26 and May 27, 2000 at 1141 Bloor Street West;
 - (f) Hope Volleyball 12th Annual Charity Volleyball Tournament to be held on June 10, 2000 at Hanlan's Point;
 - (g) Sutherland, Crabbe LLP, Barristers and Solicitors company picnic to be held on June 16, 2000 at Hanlan's Point.
 - (h) University of Toronto regarding Chemistry Spring Reunion to be held on June 2, 2000 outside the Lash Miller Chemical Labs located at 80 St. George Street.
 - (i) Cocktail Reception held by the University of Waterloo at BCE Place, 181 Bay Street, to be held on Tuesday, June 13, 2000 from 7:00 p.m. – 10:00 p.m.
 - (j) Event to be held on behalf of Bass Hotels and Resorts in conjunction with the 'Symphony of Fire', to be held at Ontario Place on July 5, 2000.
 - (k) Rosedale Tennis Club event to be held on June 24, 2000, (raindate June 25, 2000), from 3:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.
 - (l) YMCA Corporate Run to be held on June 7, 2000 in the Better Living Centre from 7:00 p.m. until midnight.

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, May 23, 2000

- (4) advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission that it has no objection to the temporary extension of the following liquor licences:
- (a) Riccardo Bozzo, Jingles Too/Boccone Deli/Spiga Restaurant, located at 1378 Yonge Street, in conjunction with the Celebrate Toronto Street Festival 2000 to be held July 7, 8 and 9, 2000;
 - (b) Passione Italiana Restaurant, located at 1423 Yonge Street, in conjunction with the Celebrate Toronto Festival, to be held on July 7 to 9, 2000;
 - (c) Rose and Crown Restaurant, located at 2335 Yonge Street, in conjunction with Toronto Street Festival 2000;
 - (d) Grazie Ristorante, located at 2373 Yonge Street, in conjunction with Toronto Street Festival 2000; and
 - (e) Wet Bar, located at 7 Maitland Street in conjunction with Gay Pride Weekend, June 23-25, 2000, between the hours of noon and 2:00 a.m.; and
- (5) endorse the actions of the Toronto Community Council, since the following events take place prior to Council's meeting, in advising the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it is aware of the following events and has no objection to their taking place:
- (a) Music Festival For Charity – Pearl Street, from Duncan Street to John Street, to be held on June 8, 2000; and
 - (b) Cocktail Reception at the Olga Korper Art Gallery and The Christopher Cutts Art Gallery on May 25, 2000.

(Letter sent to: Mr. Wayne Jackson, Manager, Special Occasion Permits, Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario, 20 Dundas Street West, 7th Floor, Toronto M5G 2N6; c.: Interested Persons – May 29, 2000)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 5)

5.70 Sale of Surplus Property – 125 Roxborough Street West (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (May 12, 2000) from the Chief Executive Officer, Toronto Housing Company respecting the sale of the surplus property at 125 Roxborough Street West and recommending that:

- (1) the Chief Executive Officer of the Toronto Housing Company be authorized to accept the offer in the amount of \$ 435,000.00 as detailed herein,

- (2) the net sale proceeds on closing be directed to the Toronto Housing Company,
- (3) the City Solicitor be authorized and directed to take the appropriate action to complete the transaction on behalf of the City of Toronto and be further authorized to amend the closing date and any other terms of sale as are considered reasonable by them; and,
- (4) the appropriate Toronto Housing Company and City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 4)

5.71 Renewal of Temporary Use By-Law – 92-100 Yorkville Avenue (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (May 16, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

- (1) the Toronto Community Council adopt in principle the renewal of a Temporary Use By-law to permit, for a further three year period, the use of a portion of the site at 92-100 Yorkville Avenue as an open air market;
- (2) the Toronto Community Council hold a Public Meeting in respect of the proposed By-law in accordance with the requirements of the Planning Act at its meeting of July 18 and 19, 2000; and
- (3) following the July 18 and 19, 2000 Toronto Community Council meeting, the City Solicitor be authorized to introduce in Council a By-law substantially in the form set out in Schedule A attached to this report .

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Executive Director and Chief Planner – No Encl. – Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attention: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police – Attention: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge – Encl. – All Interested Persons – No Encl.; c.: Wayne Morgan, Urban Development Services – No Encl. – May 29, 2000)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 60(k))

5.72 Request to Name a City of Toronto-Owned Building at 140 Merton Street (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 8, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services respecting the request to name the City of Toronto-owned building at 140 Merton Street and recommending that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Corporate Services, in consultation with other relevant City officials, report back to the Administration Committee on policies and procedures for decision-making regarding the naming of city-owned buildings within the Corporate Services building portfolio; and
- (2) the request to name the City-owned building at 140 Merton Street be deferred until such time as a corporate policy is adopted by City Council.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a joint communication (May 23, 2000) from Councillor Anne Johnston and Councillor Michael Walker.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism to report to the Toronto Community Council, at its meeting to be held on June 20, 2000 on renaming the Rosehampton Parkette the Charlotte Maher Parkette.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism – May 29, 2000)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 60(l))

5.73 Sale of a Strip of Land – East Side of 100 Turnberry Avenue (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 19, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services respecting the sale of a strip of land on the east side of 100 Turnberry Avenue.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 3)

5.74 Orchard Park Boulevard – Prohibition of Standing on Both Sides from Queen Street East to a Point 30.5 Metres North (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 23, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the prohibition of standing on both sides of Orchard Park Boulevard, from Queen Street East to a point 30.5 metres north.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 2)

5.75 609 Avenue Road – Ontario Municipal Board Hearing (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a joint communication (May 23, 2000) from Councillor Bossons and Councillor Adams requesting the City Solicitor to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing for 609 Avenue Road.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the following motion by Councillor Bossons, seconded by Councillor Adams:

WHEREAS the City's Committee of Adjustment in its Decision dismissed an application for consent to convey part of the lands at 609 Avenue Road in Midtown; and

WHEREAS in its Decision, the Committee of Adjustment cited that the proposed land division is premature, the suitability of the land for the purposes for which it is to be subdivided has not been demonstrated and the suitability of the dimensions and shapes of the proposed lots has not been demonstrated; and

WHEREAS the Decision has been appealed to the Ontario Municipal Board with a hearing scheduled for June 14, 2000;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Solicitor be directed to appear before the Ontario Municipal Board to defend the City of Toronto Committee of Adjustment Decision regarding 609 Avenue Road.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 1)

The Committee adjourned its meeting at 4:30 p.m.

Chair.

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, May 23, 2000

Attendance:

May 23, 2000	9:30 a.m. - 12:35 p.m.	2:00 p.m. - 4:30 p.m
McConnell (Chair)	X	X
Adams	-	X
Bossons	X	X
Bussin	X	X
Chow	X	X
Disero	X	X
Jakobek	X	X
Johnston	-	-
Korwin-Kuczynski	X	X
Layton	X	X
Miller	X	X
Palacio	X	X
Rae	X	X
Silva	X	X
Walker	X	X
Mayor Lastman	-	-

* Members were present for some or all of the time indicated.