THE CITY OF TORONTO

Clerk's Department

Minutes of the Toronto Community Council

Meeting No. 3

Wednesday & Thursday, February 18 & 19, 1998.

The Toronto Community Council met on Wednesday & Thursday, February 18 and 19, 1998, in the Council Chamber, City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:30 a.m.

Members Present:

Councillor K. Rae, Chair

Councillor J. Adams

Councillor I. Bossons

Councillor S. Bussin

Councillor O. Chow

Councillor B. Disero

Councillor D. Fotinos

Councillor T. Jakobek

Councillor A. Johnston

Councillor C. Korwin-Kuczynski

Councillor J. Layton

Councillor P. McConnell

Councillor D. Miller

Councillor J. Pantalone

Councillor M. Silva

Councillor M. Walker

49. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 28, 1998) from the Commissioner, City Works Services respecting Intersection of Hudson Drive and Heath Street East - Roadway Alterations (Midtown).

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that:

(1) the existing legal description, as noted below be deleted:

"the narrowing of the roadway from a width of 8.57 metres to a width varying from 6.0 metres to 8.57 metres on the east side of HUDSON DRIVE from a point 5.1 metres north of Heath Street East to a point 5.15 metres further north of Heath Street East, by the installation of precast curbing

and/or a planter box, as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-4945, dated April 8, 1997",

and be replaced by the following:

"the narrowing of the roadway from a width of 8.57 metres to a width varying from 6.0 metres to 8.57 metres on the west side of HUDSON DRIVE from a point 6.5 metres north of Heath Street East to a point 5.15 metres further north of Heath Street East, by the installation of precast curbing and/or a planter box, as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5058, dated June 13, 1997" be approved; and

(2) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Clause No. 28, Report No. 2)

50. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 29, 1998) from the Commissioner, City Works Services respecting Lloyd Avenue, from Keele Street to Cawthra Avenue - Reduction of Speed Limit (Davenport).

On motion by Councillor Fotinos, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that:

- (1) the speed limit be reduced from fifty kilometres per hour to forty kilometres per hour on Lloyd Avenue, from Keele Street to Cawthra Avenue; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Clause No. 29, Report No. 2)

51. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 2, 1998) from the Commissioner, City Works Services respecting installation of three disabled persons parking spaces in Front of 140 Merton Street (North Toronto).

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that:

- (1) two parking meter spaces be removed on the north side of Merton Street from a point 361 metres east of Yonge Street (parking meter numbers 28025 and 28026) to a point 13.8 metres further east;
- three on-street disabled persons parking spaces be installed on the north side of Merton Street, commencing at a point 361 metres east of Yonge Street and extending to a point 16 metres further east; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

(Clause No. 30, Report No. 2)

52. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 28, 1998) from the Commissioner, City Works Services respecting maintenance of angled brackets and wire on existing fence at 14 Pembroke Street (Downtown).

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Committee recommended to City Council for its meeting be held on March 4, 1998 that City Council approve the maintenance of angled brackets and wire on an existing fence within the City boulevard fronting 14 Pembroke Street and on George Street at the rear, provided that the wire used between the brackets is not barbed or otherwise hazardous and that the owner enters into a new agreement with the City of Toronto, as prescribed under Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Clause No. 32, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 21, 1998) from the Commissioner, City Works Services respecting front premises Nos. 670 and 676 Richmond Street West regarding rescindment of "No Parking Anytime" regulation(Trinity-Niagara).

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting be held on March 4, 1998 that:

(1) the parking prohibition at anytime on the north side of Richmond Street West from a point 38.1 metres east of Tecumseth Street to a point 33.5 metres further east, be rescinded; and

(2) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Clause No. 33, Report No. 2)

54. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 29, 1998) from the Commissioner, City Works Services respecting Weybourne Crescent and St. Leonard's Avenue regarding parking regulations (North Toronto).

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting be held on March 4, 1998 that:

- (1) parking be prohibited from 8:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., Monday to Friday on the north side of Weybourne Crescent from a point 38.1 metres southeast of St. Edmund's Drive to St. Leonard's Avenue;
- (2) parking be prohibited from 8:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., Monday to Friday on the north side of St. Leonard's Avenue from Weybourne Crescent to Pote Avenue;
- (3) parking be prohibited from 8:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., Monday to Friday on the south side of St. Leonard's Avenue from Weybourne Crescent to a point 75 metres west of Mt. Pleasant Road; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Clause No. 34, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 21, 1998) from the Commissioner, City Works Services respecting traffic regulations for McCaul Street from College Street to Dundas Street West (Downtown).

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting be held on March 4, 1998 that:

(1) the stopping prohibition from 7:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m., Monday to Friday on the west side of McCaul Street from Dundas Street West to College Street, be rescinded;

- (2) the stopping prohibition from 3:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m., Monday to Friday on the east side of McCaul Street from Dundas Street West to College Street, be rescinded; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Clause No. 35, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 29, 1998) from the Commissioner, City Works Services respecting Prescott Avenue, east side, from St. Clair Avenue West to East Avenue regarding introduction of one hour parking limit (Davenport).

On motion by Councillor Fotinos, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting be held on March 4, 1998 that:

- (1) the existing "No parking 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday" prohibition on the east side of Prescott Avenue between St. Clair Avenue West and East Avenue, be rescinded;
- (2) parking be permitted to a maximum period of one hour from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, on the east side of Prescott Avenue between St. Clair Avenue West and East Avenue; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Clause No. 36, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a (January 29, 1998) from the Commissioner, City Works Services respecting intersections of Rockwell and Blackthorn Avenues and Silverthorn and Pryor Avenues regarding All-Way Stop Sign Control (Davenport).

On motion by Councillor Fotinos, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting be held on March 4, 1998 that:

(1) "Stop" signs be installed for eastbound and westbound traffic on Rockwell Avenue at the western branch of Blackthorn Avenue;

- (2) a "Stop" sign be installed for southbound traffic on Silverthorn Avenue at Pryor Avenue; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Clause No. 37, Report No. 2)

58. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 4, 1998) from the Commissioner, City Works Services respecting naming of public lane south of Danforth Avenue between Craven Road and Rhodes Avenue (East Toronto).

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that:

- (1) the public lane 17.2 metres south of Danforth Avenue between Craven Road and Rhodes Avenue, illustrated on the "Map A" attached to the report (February 4, 1998) from the Commissioner, City Works Services, be named "Azzarello Lane"; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Clause No. 38, Report No. 2)

59. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 4, 1998) from the Commissioner, City Works Services respecting naming of street located east of Leslie Street between Memory Lane and Sears Street (East Toronto).

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for it's meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that:

(1) the proposed public street located 63.6 metres east of Leslie Street between Memory Lane and Sears Street, illustrated on the "Map A" attached to the report (February 4, 1998) from the Commissioner, City Works Services, be named "Brick Court"; and

(2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Clause No. 39, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 4, 1998) from the Commissioner, City Works Services respecting naming of lane, north of Queen Street East, westerly from Kent Road (East Toronto).

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that:

- (1) the proposed private lane, 14.1 metres north of Queen Street East, extending westerly from Kent Road, illustrated on the "Map A" attached to the report (February 4, 1998) from the Commissioner, City Works Services; be named "Dorothy Bullen Place";
- (2) Universe Investments pay the cost in the approximate amount of \$300.00 for the fabrication and installation of the appropriate signage; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Clause No. 40, Report No. 2)

61. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 28, 1998) from the Commissioner, City Works Services respecting boulevard cafe appeal for the major street flankage of 119 Harbord Street (Downtown).

The Toronto Community Council reports also had before it during consideration of the foregoing matter, the following communications:

- (February 12, 1998) from Ms. Susan J. Potts; and
- (April 10, 1995) from Mr. Paul Robert Chambers and Mr. Robert John Hinton, addressed to the Liquor Licence Board of Ontario.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for it's meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that the application for

boulevard cafe privileges on the Major Street flankage of 119 Harbord Street be denied.

(Clause No. 41, Report No. 1)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a (January 28, 1998) from the Commissioner, City Works Services respecting Application for Boulevard Cafe Privileges - Jackman Avenue Flankage of 320 Danforth Avenue (Convenience Address for 348 Danforth Avenue) (Don River).

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following report/communications:

- (January 28, 1998) from the Commissioner, City Works Services respecting Application for Boulevard Cafe Privileges Jackman Avenue Flankage of 320 Danforth Avenue (Convenience Address for 348 Danforth Avenue) (Don River):
- (February 13, 1998) from Ms. Joan Jung;
- (February 16, 1998) from Ms. Eunice E. Kim;
- (February 13, 1998) from Mr. Vincent and Ms. Mary Pagliaro;
- (February 17, 1998) from Mr. Rex Hagon, Playter Area Residents' Association;
- (February 17, 1998) from Ms. Margaret Blair, Lakeside Area Neighbourhoods Association (LANA);
- (February 17, 1998) from Mr. Richard W. and Ms Margaret A. Crawford;
- (February 17, 1998) from Mr. Charles and Ms. Mardi Noble;
- (February 17, 1998) from Richard W. And Margaret A. Crawford;
- (February 17, 1998) from Geoff Miller.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto Community Council received the foregoing matter.

(Interested Persons; cc: Ken McGuire, City Works Services - No Encl. - February 26, 1998)

(Clause No. 61(e), Report No. 2)

63. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 30, 1998) from the Commissioner, City Works Services respecting narrowing of pavement at Gould and Dalhousie Streets (Downtown).

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that:

- (1) approval be given to narrow the pavements on Gould Street and Dalhousie Street, described as follows:
 - (a) "the narrowing of the pavement from a width of 14 metres to a width of 10.5 metres along the south side of GOULD STREET from Mutual Street to Dalhousie Street, as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. SK-2180, dated January 29, 1998"; and
 - (b) "the narrowing of the pavement from a width of 8.8 metres to a width of 8.0 metres along the east side of DALHOUSIE STREET between Gould Street and Dundas Street East, as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. SK-2180, dated January 29, 1998"; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Clause No. 42, Report No. 2)

64. The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (February 4, 1998) from Jim Cook, President, Board of Directors, Toronto Australia New Zealand Club respecting endorsement of event for LLBO purposes at The Tranzac Club (Downtown).

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that City Council advise the Liquor Licence Board of Ontario that it is aware of the following events taking place at the TRANZAC Club, 292 Brunswick Avenue, and has no objection to their taking place; nor to an extension of liquor licence #40010 to cover an outdoor marquee area and the front lobby during such events:

- (1) Caravan The Sydney-Auckland Pavilion June 12 to 20, 1998, inclusive; and
- (2) The Fringe of Toronto Festival July 2 to 12, 1998, inclusive.

(Clause No. 43, Report No. 2)

65. The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (January 26, 1998) from Mark S. Fox respecting Curb Cut for 53 Walmer Road (Midtown).

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (February 16, 1998) from Douglas Underhill
- (February 17, 1998) Petition with 3 signatures in support

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that a curb cut be approved at 53 Walmer Road, notwithstanding the negative poll, and that appropriate officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to give effect hereto.

(Clause No. 45, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 29, 1998) from the Commissioner, City Works Services respecting installation/removal of on-street disabled persons parking spaces (Trinity-Niagara, Davenport and Don River).

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that:

- (1) the installation/removal of disabled on-street parking spaces as noted in Table "A" of the report (January 29, 1998) from the Commissioner of City Works Services be approved; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

The Toronto Community Council also requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with appropriate officials, to report to the Toronto Community Council, for its meeting to be held on April 1, 1998, on:

On motion by Councillor Bossons:

(1) how long disabled parking permits are valid;

On motion by Councillor Johnston:

(2) discussions to date with the province on the problems arising because of the process of issuance of disabled parking permits;

On motion by Councillor Fotinos:

(3) the legality of connecting the medical approvals required and the issuance of disabled parking permits to the ownership and registration of the vehicle; and

On motion by Councillor Miller:

(4) the disabled and related permit parking programs in the Greater Miami area.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services - Encl. - cc: E. Capizzano, City Works Services - No Encl. - March 4, 1998)

(Clause No. 31, Report No. 2)

67. The Toronto Community Council had before it a draft by-law from the City Solicitor respecting stopping up, closing and conveyancing of a portions of public highways adjoining the Eaton Centre (Downtown).

The Toronto Community Council also had before it Clause 8, contained in Report No. 23 of the Executive Committee of the former City of Toronto, titled "Stopping Up, Closing and Conveyancing - Portions of Public Highways Adjoining the Eaton Centre (Ward 6)"; which was adopted, as amended by City Council at its meeting on October 6 and 7, 1997.

Pursuant to Clause 9 of Report No. 7 of the City Services Committee of the former City of Toronto, titled, "Closing and Conveyancing - Lane East of Yonge Street, Extending Southerly from Wood Street," which was adopted by City Council at is meeting on March 23, 1989, notice of its hearing on February 18, 1998, with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on January 30, February 2, February 9 and February 16, 1998, and no one appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that subject to compliance with the conditions imposed by the former Toronto City Council at its meeting held on October 6 and 7, 1997, a by-law in the form of the draft by-law and a by-law to amend Schedule "A" of the former City of Toronto By-law No. 1995-0194 be enacted:

(Clause No. 1, Report No. 2)

68. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 27, 1998) from the Commissioner of City Works Services respecting an appeal of boulevard cafe privileges for Sullivan Street Flankage of 221 Spadina Avenue (Downtown).

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (February 17, 1998) from G & J. Kearns; and
- (undated) from Mr. Anthony Mancini and Ms. Cairine Wilson.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Anh Mai, Dai Nam Restaurant; and
- Trudy Kearns, Toronto, Ontario.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998, that City Council deny the application for boulevard cafe privileges on the Sullivan Street flankage of 221 Spadina Avenue.

On a further motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council also requested appropriate officials to provide information to the Community Council on the polling process.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services - Encl. -; cc: Ken McGuire, City Works Services - No Encl. - February 25, 1998)

(Clause No. 5, Report No. 2)

69. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 28, 1998) from the Commissioner of City Works Services respecting an application for curblane vending privileges on Cherry Street, east side, 44.5 metres south of Commissioners Street (Don River).

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (February 17, 1998) from Mr. Nicholas T. Macos, Barrister & Solicitor.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that a permit be issued to Mr. Vassil Pachov for curblane vending privileges on Cherry Street, east side, 44.5 metres south of Commissioners Street, notwithstanding the objections received by the adjoining property owners.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council also requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services:

- (1) to report to the Community Council on the possibility of installing traffic signals at the corner of Cherry and Commissioners Streets; and
- (2) to expedite the previously requested general traffic study for the area.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services - Encl. - cc: Lisa Forte, City Works Services - No Encl. - February 25, 1998)

(Clause No. 6, Report No. 2)

70. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 28, 1998) from the Commissioner of City Works Services respecting Front Yard Parking at 95 Campbell Avenue (Davenport).

The Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report to a date to be determined by the City Clerk at the request of Councillor Disero.

(Mr. Naresh Ramnanan, 95 Campbell Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, M6P 3T9; cc: Nino Pellegrini, City Works Services; Councillor Disero - No Encl. - February 26, 1998)

(Clause No. 61(a), Report No. 2)

71. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 2, 1998) from the Commissioner, City Works Services respecting St. Andrews Street, North Side, between Spadina Avenue and Kensington Avenue fronting premises Nos. 6 to 14 regarding prohibition of parking at anytime (Downtown).

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that:

- (1) the stopping prohibition at anytime on the north side of St. Andrews Street, from Spadina Avenue to Kensington Avenue, be rescinded;
- (2) stopping be prohibited at anytime on the north side of St. Andrews Street, from Spadina Avenue to a point 42.0 metres west;
- (3) stopping be prohibited at anytime on the north side of St. Andrews Street from a point 70.0 metres west of Spadina Avenue to a point 30.0 metres further west;

- (4) parking be prohibited at anytime on the north side of St. Andrews Street from a point 42.0 metres west of Spadina Avenue to a point 28.0 metres further west; and
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Clause No. 7, Report No. 2)

72. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 4, 1998) from the Commissioner of City Works Services respecting introduction of Bills respecting permit parking (Trinity-Niagara, Davenport, Midtown, Downtown and Don River).

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that staff be authorized to introduce the Bills attached to the report (February 4, 1998) from the Commissioner of City Works Services respecting permit parking.

(Clause No. 27, Report No. 2)

73. The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (February 3, 1998) from Councillor Adams respecting permit parking proposal for Spadina Avenue (Bloor Street West to Dupont Street) East Side (Midtown).

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (July 24, 1997) from Assistant City Clerk, forwarding Clause 39, contained in Report No. 9 of the City Services Committee of the former City of Toronto, titled "Parking Meters - Spadina Road between Bloor Street West and Dupont Street (Ward 13)", which was adopted, as amended by City Council at its meeting on July 14, 1997.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that:

- (1) the parking meter hours of operation on the west side of Spadina Road, from Bloor Street West to Dupont Street be adjusted, and parking meters be installed on the east side of Spadina Road, from Bloor Street West to Dupont Street to operate as follows:
 - (a) the hours of operation of the existing parking meters on the west side of Spadina Road from Bloor Street West to Dupont Street be adjusted

to operate from 9:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, and from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Saturday, for a maximum period of two hours and from 6:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., from Monday to Saturday, for a maximum period of three hours at a rate of \$1.00 per hour; and

- (b) parking meters be installed on the east side of Spadina Road to operate from 8:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m., Monday to Friday, from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Saturday, for a maximum period of two hours, from 6:30 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., Monday to Friday for a maximum period of two and one/half hours and from 6:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. for a maximum period of three hours at a rate of \$1.00 per hour.
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required; and
- (3) a permit parking poll be conducted to propose the introduction of permit parking on Spadina Road (between Bloor Street West and Dupont Street), in accordance with the former City of Toronto by-law procedure.

(Clause No. 44, Report No. 2)

74. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 9, 1998) from the Commissioner of City Works Services respecting a public hearing regarding maintenance of a wooden fence at 745 Markham Street (Midtown).

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (January 16, 1998) from Maria Rodrigues;
- (February 11, 1998) from Mr. Daryl Christoff.

Mr. George Prokos, Toronto, Ontario appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that Council approve the maintenance of the wooden fence within the City boulevard fronting 745 Markham Street, provided that the height of the fence is reduced so as not to exceed 1.0 m and subject to the owners entering into an agreement with the City of Toronto, as prescribed under Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council also requested appropriate officials to report to the Toronto Community Council, for its meeting to be held on April 1, 1998 on:

- (1) whether the issue of the fence by-law can be delegated to a fence by-law process or to the Committee of Adjustment;
- (2) how to achieve this;
- (3) the desirability of doing so; and

On motion by Councillor Jakobek:

(4) by-law amendments required to prohibit privacy fences or screening fences on corner properties over and above a height of 42 inches.

Councillor Adams had a conflict of interest.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services - Encl. - cc: Fani Lauzon, City works Services - No Encl. - February 24, 1998)

(Clause No. 8, Report No. 2)

75. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 27, 1997) from the Commissioner of City Works Services respecting Oakwood Avenue at its Intersection with Burlington Crescent - Installation of an All "Stop" Sign Control (Davenport).

The Toronto Community also had before it the following communications:

- Metropolitan Toronto Police Continuous Complaint Form dated August 27, 1996, submitted by Councillor Disero;
- (February 18, 1998) from the City Clerk.

On motion by Councillor Disero, Toronto Community Council:

- (1) deferred consideration of the foregoing report;
- (2) requested appropriate officials to report further on how to alleviate the speeding problems on Oakwood Avenue, including discussing the issue with York Community Council; and

(3) requested appropriate officials to investigate the possibility of installing traffic signals at Oakwood Avenue at its intersection with Burlington Crescent.

(Clause No. 61(b), Report No. 2)

76. The Toronto Community Council had before it a joint communication (undated) from Councillors Pantalone and Silva respecting Manning Avenue (from Harbord Street to Ulster Street) (Trinity-Niagara).

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that, in the event no objections are received by March 2, 1998:

- (1) the permit parking hours on Manning Avenue from Harbord Street to Ulster Street be changed from the current hours of 12:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., Monday to Sunday, to the proposed hours of 12:00 a.m. to 9:45 a.m., Monday to Sunday; and
- (2) authority be granted to introduce the necessary Bill in Council to give effect thereto.

(Clause No. 9, Report No. 2)

77. The Toronto Community Council had before it a (February 4, 1998) from the Director, Development and Support, Toronto Parks and Recreation respecting Removal of City-owned Tree at 100 Close Avenue (High Park).

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that:

- (1) the applicant submit payment in the amount of \$1,786.68, for the value of the tree, removal costs and replacement costs to remove the City-owned tree at 100 Close Avenue; and
- (2) the applicant plant in accordance with Landscape Plan L1 prepared by the Board of Education, Design Services, date stamped August 11, 1997 by Urban Development Services.

(Clause No. 10, Report No. 2)

78. The Toronto Community Council had before it a (February 4, 1998) from the Director, Development and Support, Toronto Parks and Recreation Department respecting removal of city-owned tree at 170 Gough Avenue (Don River).

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that:

- (1) the property owner submit payment in the amount of \$1,605.08, for the value of the trees, removal costs and replacement cost to remove the City owned trees at 170 Gough Avenue; and
- (2) the property owner plant a new large growing shade tree of a species satisfactory to the Director of Development and Support, Toronto Parks and Recreation, and having a minimum caliper of 80 millimetres; such tree to be planted in turf on the Gough Avenue City street allowance adjacent to this property.

(Clause No. 11 - Report No. 2)

79. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 4, 1998) from the Director, Development and Support, Toronto Parks and Recreation Department respecting Tree Removal at 83 Lavinia Avenue (High Park).

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that a permit be issued for injury of the two trees located at 83 Lavinia Avenue conditional on the applicant agreeing to plant a replacement tree on his property to the satisfaction of the Director of Development and Support, Toronto Parks and Recreation.

(Clause No. 12, Report No. 2)

80. The Toronto Community Council had before it a (February 4, 1998) from the Director, Development and Support, Toronto Parks and Recreation Department respecting tree removal at 450 Jones Avenue (Don River).

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that a permit be issued for tree removal at 450 Jones Avenue conditional on the applicant agreeing to plant a replacement tree on her property to the satisfaction of the Director of Development and Support, Toronto Parks and Recreation.

(Clause No. 13, Report No. 2)

81. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 4, 1998) from the Director, Development and Support, Toronto Parks and Recreation Department respecting Tree Removal at 487 Soudan Avenue (North Toronto).

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that City Council refuse to issue a permit to remove the tree located at 487 Soudan Avenue.

(Clause No. 14, Report No. 2)

82. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 4, 1998) from the Director, Development and Support, Toronto Parks and Recreation Department respecting tree removal at 37 McNairn Avenue (North Toronto).

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that City Council refuse to issue a permit to remove the tree located at 37 McNairn Avenue, requiring the applicant to prune branches away from the house at 34 Yonge Boulevard.

(Clause No. 15, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a communication (February 10, 1998) from Mr. Andrew Musial, Secretary, Roncesvalles Village Business Improvement Area, addressed to the City Clerk respecting appointment to Board of Management of the Roncesvalles Village Business Improvement Area (High Park).

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that:

- (1) Councillor Miller be appointed to the Roncesvalles Village BIA for a term of office expiring on May 31, 1999 and/or until his successor is appointed; and
- (2) Schedule A of Chapter 20 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code be amended accordingly.

(Clause No. 3, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a communication (February 6, 1998) from Mr. Alex Ling, Chairman, Bloor West Village, Business Improvement Area, addressed to the City Clerk respecting appointments to Board of Management of Bloor West Village Business Improvement Area (High Park).

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998:

- (1) Councillors Miller and Korwin-Kuczynski be appointed to the Bloor West Village BIA for a term of office expiring on May 31, 1999 and/or until their successors are appointed; and
- (2) Schedule A of Chapter 20 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code be amended accordingly.

(Clause No. 4, Report No. 2)

85. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 4, 1998) from the Director, Development and Support, Toronto Parks and Recreation Department respecting tree removal at 149 Lascelles Blvd. (North Toronto).

Mr. Will Giffen, Toronto, Ontario appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998, that a permit be issued for tree removal at 149 Lascelles Boulevard conditional on the applicant agreeing to plant a replacement tree on her property to the satisfaction of the Director of Development and Support, Toronto Parks and Recreation.

(Clause No. 16, Report No. 2)

86. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 4, 1998) from the Director, Development and Support, Toronto Parks and Recreation Department respecting tree removal at 222 Barton Avenue (Davenport).

On motion by Councillor Fotinos, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998, that City Council refuse to issue a permit to remove the tree located at 222 Barton Avenue.

(Clause No. 17, Report No. 2)

87. The Committee had before it a report (February 4, 1998) from the Director, Development and Support, Toronto Parks and Recreation respecting tree removal at 203 Geoffrey Street (High Park).

Ms. Vera Kondrachuk, Toronto, Ontario, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998, that City Council refuse to issue a permit to remove the tree located at 203 Geoffrey Avenue.

(Clause No. 18, Report No. 2)

88. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 4, 1998) from the Director, Development and Support, Toronto Parks and Recreation respecting tree removal at 8 Ladysmith Avenue (East Toronto).

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998, that a permit be issued for tree removal at 8 Ladysmith Avenue conditional on the applicant agreeing to plant a replacement tree on her property to the satisfaction of the Director of Development and Support, Toronto Parks and Recreation.

(Clause No. 19, Report No. 2)

89. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 4, 1998) from the Director of Development & Support, Toronto Parks & Recreation respecting 13 & 15 Pine Crescent, Toronto regarding Municipal Code, Chapter 331, Article III, Trees (East Toronto).

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- February 14, 1998) from Dr. Jeremy Williams;
- (January 26, 1998) from Dr. Jeremy Williams and Susan Morrison;
- (December 18, 1997) from Mr. Lewis Arnold, HLT, Certified Arborist/President, Shady Lane.

Mr. Marty Stevenson, Toronto, Ontario appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting on March 4, 1998, that Council refuse to issue a permit

to remove the tree located at 13 and 15 Pine Crescent, requiring the applicant to abandon or redesign his plans for the proposed development.

On a further motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council also requested the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services to consult with the applicant to determine whether there are other alternatives available for the proposed development of the property.

(Commissioner of Planning and urban Development Services; cc: Richard Ubbens, Planning and Urban Development, Parks and Recreation - No Encl. - February 25, 1998)

(Clause No. 19, Report No. 2)

90. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 4, 1998) from the Director, Development and Support, Toronto Parks and Recreation respecting tree removal at 49 Hillholm Road (Midtown).

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Bruce Bostock, Bostock Tree Service;
- Mr. David Grant, Toronto, Ontario; and
- Mr. Stephen Stark, Toronto, Ontario.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting on March 4, 1998, that City Council refuse to issue a permit to remove the tree located at 49 Hillholm Road, requiring the applicant to incorporate the private tree into the proposed new landscaping.

(Clause No. 21, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council adjourned at 12:40 p.m..

The Toronto Community Council reconvened at 2:00 p.m..

Members Present:

Councillor K. Rae, Chair Councillor J. Adams Councillor I. Bossons Councillor S. Bussin

Councillor O. Chow

Councillor B. Disero

Councillor D. Fotinos

Councillor T. Jakobek

Councillor A. Johnston

Councillor C. Korwin-Kuczynski

Councillor J. Layton

Councillor P. McConnell

Councillor D. Miller

Councillor J. Pantalone

Councillor M. Silva

Councillor M. Walker

91. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 4, 1998) from the Director of Development and Support - Toronto Parks & Recreation respecting 192 Glencairn Avenue, Toronto regarding Municipal Code, Chapter 331, Article III, Trees (North Toronto).

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a plan (not dated) from the applicant.

Mr. Keith Allin, Toronto, Ontario appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report, in order for staff to consult with the owners of 192 and 198 Glencairn Avenue to investigate the possibility that the proposed development at 198 Glencairn Avenue could take place without encroaching into the root zone of the red oak tree.

(Mr. Keith Allin and Ms. Jan Allin; Ms. Jennifer Muri; cc: Richard Ubbens, Planning and Urban Development Services, Toronto Parks & Recreation - No Encl. - February 24, 1998)

(Clause No. 61(c), Report No. 2)

92. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 4, 1998) from the Director, Development and Support, Toronto Parks and Recreation respecting tree removal at 8 Indian Grove (High Park).

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998, that City

Council refuse to issue a permit to remove the tree located at 8 Indian Grove, requiring the applicant to redesign his plans for a new garage.

(Clause No. 22, Report No. 2)

93. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 15, 1998) from the Director, Development and Support, Toronto Parks and Recreation respecting removal of City-owned tree at 206 Caledonia Road (Davenport).

On motion by Councillor Fotinos, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998, that the request for removal of a City-owned tree at 206 Caledonia Road be denied.

(Clause No. 23, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (February 3, 1998) from the Acting Managing Director, Toronto Historical Board respecting inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties at 93 Balsam Avenue (East Toronto).

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (February 9, 1998) from seven residents of Balsam Avenue, submitted by Ms. Jane Campbell;
- (February 9, 1998) from four area residents, submitted by Mr. Oliver Manton:
- (February 16, 1998) from Ms. Mary Campbell; and
- (February 17, 1998) from Ms. Andrea L. Burke, Davies, Ward and Beck, Barristers and Solicitors.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Oliver Manton, Toronto, Ontario; and
- Ms. Andrea Burke, Davies, Ward & Beck.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that:

(1) City Council include the property at 93 Balsam Avenue on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties; and

(2) the appropriate officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to give effect hereto.

(Clause No. 25, Report No. 2)

95. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 2, 1998) from the Commissioner, City Works Services respecting Beaches International Jazz Festivalrequest for refund of financial penalty (East Toronto).

The Toronto Community Council also had before it during consideration of the foregoing matter, the following communications:

- (February 12, 1998) from Mr. Barry A. Smith;
- (February 16, 1998) from H. R. Merrens;
- (February 15, 1998) from Mr. Frank Loritz;
- (February 15, 1998) from Mr. Ronald Gold;
- (February 17, 1998) from Mr. Walter Hucker;
- (February 17, 1998) from Mr. John L. Chamberlin;
- (February 16, 1998) from W.T. Clayton; and
- (February 18, 1998) from Mr. Lorne Strachan.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Gay Claitman, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Walter Hucker, Toronto, Ontario; and
- Mr. Lido Chilelli, Toronto, Ontario.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998:

- (1) the financial penalty of \$500.00 levied against the applicant for the band which played beyond 11:00 p.m. in front of 2298 Queen Street East (Fitzgerald's) during the 1997 Beaches International Jazz Festival not be refunded;
- the financial penalty of \$500.00 levied against the applicant for the band which played beyond 11:00 p.m. in front of 1971 Queen Street East (Lido's on the Beach) during the 1997 Beaches International Jazz Festival not be refunded;
- (3) a penalty of \$500.00 per occurrence for bands which play beyond the curfew be included again in the permits issued for the Jazz Festival in 1998;

- (4) a penalty of \$500.00 per occurrence for bands which play at volumes in excess of the specified decibel level be included in the permits issued for the Jazz Festival in 1998, subject to any band first receiving a verbal request to turn down the volume by a by-law officer; and
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto; and

On motion by Councillor Jakobek:

(6) staff report back to the Toronto Community Council on a method by which any future penalties collected for violations of the event curfew at the Beaches International Jazz Festival be made available to the East Toronto charitable organization Calvary Baptist Church Food Bank, and that this policy be put in place in time for the 1998 Jazz Festival, subject to the City of Toronto grants process.

The following motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski was placed but not voted on:

That the financial penalty be refunded and that the applicant be advised that there will be no exception in 1998.

(Clause No. 26, Report No. 2)

96. The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (January 27, 1998) from the City Clerk, Toronto Community Council respecting Status of Bill C-9, The Canada Marine Act - Implications for the Port of Toronto, and forwarding the Community Council's action of January 21, 1998.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following reports/communications:

- (January 20, 1998) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services;
- (January 16, 1998) from Councillor Chow;
- Clause Embodied in Report No. 1 of the Toronto Community Council, as considered by the Council of the City of Toronto at its Meeting held on February 4, 5 and 6, 1998, titled "Status Of Bill C-9, The Canada Marine Act Implications for the Port of Toronto";
- (February 17, 1998) from Ms. Margaret Blair, on behalf of Lakeside Area Neighbourhoods Association;
- (February 18, 1998) from Ms. Mary Hay, Vice Chair, Toronto Waterfront Coalition; and

- (February 18, 1998) from Mr. Dalton Shipway.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Dalton Shipway, Toronto, Ontario;
- Ms. Margaret Blair/Elizabeth Borek, LANA, Lakeside Area Neighbourhood Association;
- Ms. Karen Buck, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. John Darling, Toronto Windsurfing Club;
- Mr. Mac Makarchuk, Toronto, Ontario; and
- Ms. Viola Varga, Toronto, Ontario.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council received the foregoing reports/communications and requested the Toronto Harbour Commission to present the process respecting its land use plan for the port lands to the Urban Environment and Development Committee for its information and input, at its meeting to be held on March 23, 1998.

(Urban Environment and Development Committee; Toronto Harbour Commission - Encl. - cc: Joe D'Abramo, Urban Development Services; Interested Persons - No Encl. - February 24, 1998)

(Clause No. 61(d), Report No. 2)

97. The Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (February 9, 1998) from the Director of Development and Support, Toronto Parks and Recreation, respecting 50 Roxborough Drive, Toronto - Municipal Code, Chapter 331, Article III, Trees (Midtown).

The Toronto Community Council received the foregoing report.

(Director of Development and Support, Toronto Parks & Recreation - Encl. - cc: Richard Ubbens, Planning and Urban Development Services, Parks & Recreation - No Encl. - February 26, 1998)

(Clause No. 61(x), Report No. 2)

98. The Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a communication (February 9, 1998) from Councillor Pantalone, respecting residential front yard and boulevard parking at 272 Claremont Street (Trinity-Niagara).

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Community Council, for its meeting to be held on April 1, 1998 on granting a variance to permit boulevard parking at 272 Claremont Street, and that this matter be a deputation item.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services - Encl. - February 26, 1998)

(Clause No. 61(v), Report No. 2)

99. The Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of the following motion from Councillor Pantalone on behalf of Ciouncillor Jakobek:

"WHEREAS the City of Toronto changed the Front Yard Parking By-law in an effort to preserve green space; and

WHEREAS parking problems are a major issue in the east end, leaving limited supply for the high demand of permit parking; and

WHEREAS the new Front Yard Parking By-law has created hardship for many residents who would otherwise qualify for Front Yard Parking; and

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Works' staff be requested to bring forward the former City of Toronto Front Yard Parking By-law and their original reports of May 7, 1996 and June 28, 1996 and any others considered by Council to amend the by-law on and after July 2 and 5, 1996, to the next Toronto Community Council for its consideration;

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT public deputations be permitted, with notice of the deputation opportunity to be published in a daily newspaper of general circulation, at least 10 days prior to their meeting date."

The Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing motion.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services - Encl. - February 26, 1998)

(Clause No. 61(y), Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of the communication (February 16, 1998) from Councillor Adams respecting Ontario Municipal Board Appeal for 33 Balmoral Avenue (Midtown).

The Toronto Community Council also had before it during consideration of the foregoing matter, the following communications:

- (February 11, 1998) from Mr. Carlos Yep;
- (December 1997) Decision of the Committee of Adjustment 33 Balmoral Avenue;
- (June 16, 1997) from Director, Development Approval and Deputy CBO; and
- (January 21, 1998) from Administrative Clerk, Ontario Municipal Board.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998, that the City Solicitor and the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services appear at the Ontario Municipal Board in defence of the Committee of Adjustment decision respecting 33 Balmoral Avenue.

(Clause No. 60, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council adjourned at 4:45 p.m..

The Toronto Community Council reconvened on Thursday, February 19, 1998 at 9:30 a.m..

Members Present:

Councillor K. Rae, Chair

Councillor J. Adams

Councillor I. Bossons

Councillor S. Bussin

Councillor O. Chow

Councillor B. Disero

Councillor D. Fotinos

Councillor T. Jakobek

Councillor A. Johnston

Councillor C. Korwin-Kuczynski

Councillor J. Layton

Councillor P. McConnell

Councillor D. Miller

Councillor J. Pantalone

Councillor M. Silva

Councillor M. Walker

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 2, 1998) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting 162 Queen's Quay East, Application No. 997107: Request for approval of a variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998, adoption of the foregoing report from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

(Clause No. 54, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 2, 1998) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting 400 University Avenue, Application No. 998003: Request for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998, adoption of the foregoing report from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

(Clause No. 54, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 2, 1998) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting 220 Bay Street, Application No. 997109: Request for approval of a variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998, adoption of the foregoing report from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

(Clause No. 54, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 2, 1998) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting 273 Bloor Street West, Application No. 997106: Request for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998, adoption of the foregoing report from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

(Clause No. 54, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 2, 1998) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting 175 Bloor Street East: Request for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998, adoption of the foregoing report from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

(Clause No. 54, Report No. 2)

- The Toronto Community Council had before it a preliminary report (February 2, 1998) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, respecting Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendment No. 197025 to permit the conversion of the 10 storey industrial building at 720 King Street West to commercial, light industrial and live/work units (Trinity-Niagara), and recommending that:
 - (1) The Commissioner be requested to hold a public meeting in the community to discuss the application and to notify owners and tenants within 300 metres of the site and the Ward Councillors; and
 - (2) The owner be advised that, prior to final Council approval of this project, the owner may be required to submit a Noise Impact Statement in accordance with City Council's requirements. The owner will be further advised of these requirements, as they relate to this project, by the Commissioner of City Works Services.

On motion by Councillor Fotinos, the Toronto Community Council adopted the preliminary report from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services.

(Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services - No Encl. - Interim Functional Lead for Planning - No Encl. - Commissioner of Emergency and Protective Services; City Solicitor, Attn: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director, Development & Support, Parks & Recreation; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Metropolitan Toronto Commissioner of Planning; Metropolitan Toronto Separate School Board; Toronto Board of Education; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge - Encl. -

All Interested Persons - No Encl. - cc: Paul Byrne, Planning and Urban Development Services - No Encl. - February 26, 1998)

(Clause No. 61(h), Report No. 2)

- The Toronto Community Council had before it preliminary report (January 30, 1998) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, respecting Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendment Application No. 197031 8 York Street and 200 Queen's Quay West, Parcel YQ-4, Harbourfront (Downtown), and recommending that:
 - "(1) I be requested to hold a public meeting in the area to discuss the application and to notify tenants and owners within 300 metres of the site and the Ward Councillors.
 - (2) The owner submit to the Commissioner of Urban Development Services additional plans and information that address the outstanding issues identified in this report such as building orientation, building setbacks, built form, site access and parking.
 - (3) The owner submit to the Commissioner of Urban Development Services a Traffic Impact Study satisfactory to the Commissioner of City Works Services which addresses the impact of traffic during the peak traffic season (May to September) on the surrounding neighbourhood and takes into consideration site access and a mid-block street or driveway.
 - (4) The owner be advised that, prior to final Council approval of the project, the owner may be required to submit a Noise Impact Statement. The owner will be further advised of these requirements, as they relate to this project, by the Commissioner of City Works Services."

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (February 13, 1998) from Angelo Sangiorgio, Toronto Catholic School Board.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council adopted the preliminary report from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services.

(Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services - No Encl. - Interim Functional Lead for Planning - No Encl. - Commissioner of Emergency and Protective Services; City Solicitor, Attn: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director, Development & Support, Parks & Recreation; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire

Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Metropolitan Toronto Commissioner of Planning; Metropolitan Toronto Separate School Board; Toronto Board of Education; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge - Encl. - All Interested Persons - No Encl. - cc: Diane Birchall, Planning and Urban Development Services - February 26, 1998)

(Clause No. 61(j), Report No. 2)

- The Toronto Community Council had before it a preliminary report (February 2, 1998) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, respecting Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendment Application No. 197029 to permit three residential buildings with at-grade commercial uses on the block bounded by Bay Street, Dundas Street West, Elizabeth Street and Foster Place (Downtown), and recommending that:
 - "(1) I be requested to hold a public meeting in the community to discuss the application and to notify owners and tenants within 300 metres of the site and the Ward Councillors.
 - (2) The owner be advised to close the existing vehicular entrance to the parking lot on the south side of Dundas Street West, prior to any further reporting on this application."

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council:

- (1) adopted the foregoing preliminary report; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services to report to the Toronto Community Council at its meeting to be held on April 1, 1998 on how the proposed Civic Centre Complex may affect the development:

(Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services - No Encl. - Interim Functional Lead for Planning - No Encl. - Commissioner of Emergency and Protective Services; City Solicitor, Attn: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director, Development & Support, Parks & Recreation; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Metropolitan Toronto Commissioner of Planning; Metropolitan Toronto Separate School Board; Toronto Board of Education; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge - Encl. - All Interested Persons - No Encl. - cc: Michael Major, Planning and Urban Development Services - February 26, 1998)

(Clause No. 61(l), Report No. 2)

- The Toronto Community Council had before it a proposals report (February 2, 1998) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, respecting Chinatown Initiative (Downtown), and recommending that:
 - "(1) Urban Development Services staff in consultation with the Ward Councillors hold a public meeting in the area to discuss proposals made in this report, and that the Ward Councillors, Business Associations, Residents Associations and area residents be invited to attend the public meeting.
 - (2) Following the public meeting referred to in Recommendation 1 above, Commissioner of Urban Development Services staff prepare a final report with appropriate recommendations to the Toronto Community Council."

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council amended the report by inserting the words, "in consultation with the Ward Councillors" after the words, "Urban Development Services staff", in Recommendation No. (1), and adopted the report as amended.

(Clause No. 61(m), Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 5, 1998) from the Toronto Community Council Solicitor respecting expropriation of the private lane which extends northerly from Humberside Avenue, between High Park and Pacific Avenue, for Public Lane Purposes (High Park).

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that:

- (1) City Council approve the proposed expropriation of the private lane which extends northerly from Humberside Avenue, between High Park Avenue and Pacific Avenue, for public lane purpose, as the expropriation of the lands is required for public lane purposes. It is believed that the safety and utility of the laneway will, after acquisition, be improved through the installation of paving, drainage and lighting;
- (2) City Council authorize, as recommended by the Inquiry Officer, the payment of \$200.00 in costs to the solicitor for the objector;

- (3) the City Clerk be directed to cause the decision of City Council, and reasons to be served upon Mr. Timothy P. Czajkowski, c/o Serge Jusyp, Suite 112, 100 Wellesley Street East, Toronto, Ontario, M4Y 1H5, party to the inquiry, and to the Chief Inquiry Officer, Ministry of the Attorney General, Crown Law Office Civil Law, 8th floor 720 Bay Street, Toronto, Ontario, M5G 2K1, within 90 days after the date of the receipt of the report of the Inquiry Officer in accordance with the Expropriations Act; and
- (4) (a) the City Clerk or designate and City Treasurer or designate be authorized and directed to execute a Certificate of Approval in the form prescribed in the *Expropriations Act*;
 - (b) leave be granted for the introduction of the necessary Bills in Council to give effect thereto;
 - (c) offers of compensation, in compliance with the requirements of the Expropriations Act, to the registered owners, and/or whomever may be entitled to be served, be approved up to the amount of the appraisal reports obtained by the Commissioner of Corporate Services, and that the appropriate City Officials be authorized to offer immediate payment of 100% of the offers of compensation and to settle the compensation claims within the limits of their authority and further be authorized to complete these transactions; prepare the necessary documents releasing the City from any claims arising from the expropriation of land; pay any interest charges or expenses incurred by the City; and pay any reasonable legal and appraisal fees associated therewith;
 - (d) the lands be placed under the jurisdiction of the Commissioner of Corporate Services until required for public lane purposes; and
 - (e) that the appropriate City Officials be authorized to take such action as may be necessary to complete these transactions and/or take possession of the lands involved, including the preparation and registration of the Expropriation Plan and service of the required documents such as Notice of Expropriation, Notice of Possession, Notice of Election, Without Prejudice Offers, Appraisal Reports, etc.

(Clause No. 47, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 5, 1998) from the Toronto Community Council Solicitor, respecting expropriation of the private lane at the rear of premises No. 58-66 Williamson Road and 252 and 256 Glen Manor Drive West, for public lane purpose (East Toronto).

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (February 6, 1998) from Mr. Bernard Lucht and Ms. Susan Crammond.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the report (February 5, 1998) from the City Solicitor, Toronto Community Council until its meeting to be held on April 1, 1998.

(Ms. Susan Leonora Crammond and Mr. Bernard Lucht, 9 Southwood Drive, Toronto M4E 2T7; Chief Inquiry Officer, Ministry of the Attorney General, Crown Law Office-Civil Law, 8th Floor, 720 Bay Street, Toronto M5G 2K1; cc: Edward A. Earle, City Works Services - No Encl. - February 26, 1998)

(Clause No. 61(n), Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a settlement report (February 3, 1998) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services respecting Ontario Municipal Board Hearing on Zoning By-law No. 1997-0175 - Yonge Street between Soudan and Davisville Avenue (North Toronto).

The Toronto Community Council also had before it Clause 5, contained in Report No. 6 of the Land Use Committee, of the former City of Toronto, titled "Draft Zoning By-law - Yonge Street between Soudan Avenue and Davisville Avenue (Wards 15 and 16)", which was adopted at its meeting of April 14, 1997.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that:

City Council instruct the City Solicitor and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing to settle the appeals respecting By-law No.1997-0175, based on the following terms:

- (a) the appeal of By-law No.1997-0175 be dismissed;
- (b) the City Solicitor and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be authorized to present to the Ontario Municipal Board a settlement involving amendments to By-law No. 1997-0175 as it applies to 1910

Yonge Street, 1920 Yonge Street and 1962-66 Yonge Street to provide as follows:

- No building or portion thereof on lands known as 1910, 1920 and 1962-66 Yonge Street may be used for the purpose of a place of amusement;
- On lands known as 1910 Yonge Street, a restaurant, take-out restaurant, club, bake shop, or place of assembly is a permitted use provided:
 - such uses are not located above the first storey above grade;
 - the non-residential gross floor area of any individual use or combination of those uses does not exceed 375 m2;
 - the total non-residential gross floor area of any and all of those uses does not exceed 1,125 m2; and
 - at least one parking space is provided for each 100 m2 of total floor area or fraction thereof in excess of the first 700 m2 used for restaurants and take-out restaurants.
- On lands known as 1920 Yonge Street, a restaurant, take-out restaurant, club, bake shop, or place of assembly is a permitted use provided:
 - such uses are not located above the first storey above grade;
 - the non-residential gross floor area of any individual use or combination of those uses does not exceed 375 m2;
 - the total non-residential gross floor area of any and all of those uses does not exceed 700 m2; and
 - at least one parking space is provided for each 100 m2 of total floor area or fraction thereof in excess of the first 500 m2 used for restaurants and take-out restaurants.
- On lands known as 1962-1966 Yonge Street, a restaurant, take-out restaurant, club, bake shop, or place of assembly is a permitted use provided:

- such uses are not located above the first storey above grade;
- the non-residential gross floor area of any individual use or combination of those uses does not exceed 300m2; and
- the total non-residential gross floor area of any and all of those uses does not exceed 400 m2; and
- (c) the Ontario Municipal Board dispose of the Zoning appeal on the foregoing basis.

(Clause No. 48, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 5, 1998) from the Council Solicitor respecting approval of benefitting assessment by-law - parking facility at 266,268 and 272 Rhodes Avenue and 475, 481 and 487 Craven Road (East Toronto).

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that:

- 1) City Council pass a by-law in substantially the form attached as Schedule "B" to the report (February 5, 1998) from the City Solicitor to authorize the levy against lands in defined areas with respect to a portion of the capital costs for the development of a 40-space surface parking lot at 266, 268 and 272 Rhodes Avenue and 475, 481 and 487 Craven Road in the City of Toronto; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

(Clause No. 49, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 5, 1998) from the City Solicitor, Toronto Community Council, respecting Minor Variance Appeals - Ontario Municipal Board Decision for 75 Howland Avenue (Midtown).

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (February 17, 1998) from Mr. Roger Hall.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council:

- (1) received the report (February 5, 1998) from the City Solicitor, Toronto Community Council for information; and
- (2) referred the communication (February 17, 1998) from Mr. Roger Hall to the Chief Building Official, with the request that she give every consideration to the request contained therein.

(Chief Building Official - Encl. - cc: Interested Persons; Robert Howe, Legal Services - No Encl. - February 26, 1998)

(Clause No. 61(p), Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 5, 1998) from the City Solicitor, Toronto Community Council, respecting Ontario Municipal Board Decision for 121 Avenue Road (Midtown).

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council received the report (February 5, 1998) from the City Solicitor, Toronto Community Council for information.

(Interested Persons; cc: Robert Howe, Legal Services - Encl. - February 25, 1998)

(Clause No. 61(q), Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 27, 1998) from the City Solicitor, respecting Ontario Municipal Board Decision for 111 Granby Street (Downtown).

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council received the report for information.

(Interested Persons; cc: Raymond M. Feig, Legal Services - No Encl. - February 25, 1998)

(Clause No. 61(r), Report No. 2)

117. The Toronto Community Council had before it a status report (February 3, 1998) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, on a mechanism to provide Councillors with timely notification of Committee of Adjustment Appeals.

.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto Community Council received the report (February 3, 1998) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services for information.

(Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; City Solicitor; cc: Raymond M. Feig, Legal Services; Beate Bowron, Urban Development Services - Encl. - February 26, 1998)

(Clause No. 61(s), Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (January 27, 1998) from Councillor Bossons, Midtown, respecting a definition of grade for the purpose of calculating permissible density - properties adjacent to a ravine or on a ravine, and requesting Planning Staff to report.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services to report to the Toronto Community Council on the Definition of Grade for the Purpose of Calculating Permissible Density for Properties Adjacent to a Ravine or on a Ravine.

(Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; cc: Ian Cooper, Urban Planning and Development Services - Encl. - February 26, 1998)

(Clause No. 61(t), Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report communication (January 26, 1998) from John Bessai, Bathurst Quay Neighbourhood Association respecting Committee of Adjustment Appeal for 550 Queens Quay West (Downtown), and requesting Council's support of the Bathurst Quay Neighbourhood Association's appeal of Committee of Adjustment Decision Number A-1058-97.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (January 13, 1998) from Ms. Brynne Teall, Bathurst Quay Neighbourhood Association addressed to the Committee of Adjustment; and
- (December 5, 1997) from Mr. Paul Bedford, Urban Development Services forwarding the Committee of Adjustment Decision (December 17, 1997); and
- (February 12, 1998) from Councillor Rae.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that the City Solicitor and the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services be authorized to appear at the Ontario Municipal Board in support of the appeal of the decision of the Committee of Adjustment by the Bathurst Quay Neighbourhood Association respecting 550 Queens Quay West.

(Clause No. 50, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 5, 1998) from the Toronto Community Council Solicitor, respecting Ontario Municipal Board Decision for 213 Pape Avenue (Don River).

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto Community Council received the report (February 5, 1998) from the City Solicitor, Toronto Community Council for information.

(Interested Persons; cc: John Paton, Legal Services - No Encl. - February 26, 1998)

(Clause No. 61(u), Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 3, 1998) from the Commissioner, City Works Services respecting Queen Street East at Woodfield Road prohibition of stopping in the vicinity of the pedestrian crossover (East Toronto).

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that:

- (1) stopping be prohibited at anytime on the north side of Queen Street East from Woodfield Road to a point 15.0 metres east;
- (2) stopping be prohibited at anytime on the south side of Queen Street East from Woodfield Road to a point 15.0 metres west; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Clause No. 56, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 2, 1998) from the Commissioner of City Works Services, respecting a request to install a disabled person's on-street parking space at premises No. 98 McRoberts Avenue (Davenport).

On motion by Councillor Fotinos, the Toronto Community Council received the report (February 2, 1998) from the Commissioner of City Works Services for information.

(Commissioner of City Works Services - Encl. - cc: E. Holl, City Works Services - No Encl. - February 26, 1998)

(Clause No. 61(w), Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 11, 1998) from the Commissioner, Works and Emergency Services respecting requests for exemption for front yard parking at 151, 153 and 155 Bowood Avenue (North Toronto).

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following:

- report (February 11, 1998) Commissioner, Works and Emergency Services:
- communications (February 17, 1998) 16 letters in support of application.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that:

- (1) the request for an exemption from Municipal Code Chapter 400, to permit Front Yard Parking at 151 Bowood Avenue be approved, subject to the applicant entering into the agreements and paying the fees prescribed by the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; and
- (2) the request for an exemption from Municipal Code Chapter 400, to permit Front Yard Parking at 153 and 155 Bowood Avenue be approved, subject to the applicant entering into the agreements and paying the fees prescribed by the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Clause No. 57, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 10, 1998) from the Commissioner, Works and Emergency Services respecting South Eglinton Area (East) Traffic Calming Project (Phase 1) - Initial Monitoring (North Toronto).

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 61(o), Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 4, 1998) from the City Solicitor respecting Draft Zoning By-law and Official Plan Amendment for 1101 Dupont Street (Davenport).

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a final report (January 23, 1998) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services respecting Application No. 197017 for and Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments for the lands at 1101 Dupont Street to permit conversion of a 3-storey industrial building and the construction of four townhouses, all for live-work purposes, at this site near Dupont and Dufferin Streets.

The Toronto Community Council reports, for the information of Council, that notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the <u>Planning Act</u>. The public meeting was held on February 19, 1998 and Mr. Adam Brown, Toronto, Ontario, addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Fotinos, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that:

- (1) the Draft By-laws attached to the Report (February 4, 1998) of the City Solicitor be approved and authority be granted to introduce the necessary Bills in Council to give effect thereto; and
- (2) the report (January 23, 1998) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services be adopted.

(Clause No. 2, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 6, 1998) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services respecting variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of The City of Toronto Municipal Code at 794 Bathurst Street (Midtown).

The Committee also had before it a report (February 2, 1998) from the City Clerk, Toronto Community Council.

Ms. Louise Talbot, President, Public Optical appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that Application No. 997100 respecting minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code to maintain seven illuminated fascia signs at 794 Bathurst Street be refused, because the extensive encroachment on to the second floor level has resulted in unnecessarily prominent signage which also sets an undesirable precedent for other properties along this section of Bloor Street West.

(Clause No. 51, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 6, 1998) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services respecting variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code for 647 Gerrard Street East (Don River).

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following:

- further report (February 11, 1998) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services;
- communication (February 13, 1998) from Councillor Layton.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998, that City Council approve Application No. 997103 respecting minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code to maintain one illuminated projecting sign at 647 Gerrard Street East, on condition that the sign only be illuminated between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 11:00 p.m., and that this be achieved through an automatic timing device.

(Clause No. 52, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 4, 1997) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, respecting minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit one undefined, illuminated non-encroaching sign on the north and west elevations of

the third storey, containing animated and changeable copy at 69 Bloor Street East (Downtown).

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (February 16, 1998) from David A. Wilson, The Institute of Chartered Accountants of Ontario.

The Community Council received the report (September 4, 1997) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, as the applicant advised that the application was withdrawn.

(Dominic Rotundo, 453 Chancellor Drive, Woodbridge L4L 5R1; The Institute of Chartered Accountants of Ontario Inc., 69 Bloor Street East, Toronto M4W 1R3; cc: Commissioner of Planning and Urban Development Services; Diane Stevenson, Planning and Urban Development Services; Leontine Major, Planning and Urban Development; Des Christopher, City Works Services; Dave Brezer, Planning and Urban Development Services, 1st Floor, Information & Permit Services - February 25, 1998)

(Clause No. 61(f), Report No. 2)

- The Toronto Community Council had before it a (February 2, 1998) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services on 86, 96 And 100 Bloor Street West Application No.197027 for Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments and Site Plan Approval to Permit Retail Uses, Nine Movie Theatres and a 143-unit Residential Development (Midtown), and recommending that:
 - (1) I be requested to hold a public meeting in the community to discuss the application, and to notify owners and tenants within 300 metres of the site and the Ward Councillors.
 - (2) I be directed to pursue discussions with the applicant respecting public benefits as per Section 37 of the Planning Act.
 - (3) the owners be required to submit a Pedestrian Level Wind Study, acceptable to me.
 - (4) the owners be advised that, prior to final Council approval, an owner may be required to submit a Noise Impact Statement and a Material Recovery and Waste Reduction Plan in accordance with Council's requirements. The owner will be further advised of these requirements, as they relate to this project, by the Commissioner of City Works Services.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (February 18, 1998) from Lisa McGee, Bloor-Yorkville BIA;
- (February 17, 1998) from Kim M. Kovar, Aird & Berlis;
- (February 18, 1998) from Budd Sugarman.

The Toronto Community Council, on motion by Councillor Adams:

- (1) adopted the foregoing preliminary report;
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development
 Services to convene an informal meeting with the applicant, planning staff
 and representatives of the Bloor-Yorkville BIA, Yonge-Bay-Bloor
 Association, Greater Yorkville Residents' Association and the ABC
 Residents' Association in advance of the public meeting referred to in the
 preliminary report; and

On motion by Councillor Layton:

(3) requested the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services to report to the Urban Environment and Development Committee on procedures that would be in place to ensure that new developments have the best possible energy efficiency, water efficiency, transit use plans and waste resource management plans.

(Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services - No Encl. - Interim Functional Lead for Planning - No Encl. - Commissioner of Emergency and Protective Services; City Solicitor, Attention: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director, Development & Support, Parks & Recreation; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Metropolitan Toronto Commissioner of Planning; Metropolitan Toronto Separate School Board; Toronto Board of Education; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attention: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge - Encl. - All Interested Persons - No Encl. - February 26, 1998)

(Clause No. 61(k), Report No. 2)

130. The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 4, 1998) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services respecting Ontario Municipal Board Hearing for 8 South Kingsway (High Park).

The Toronto Community Council also had before it during consideration of the foregoing matter, the following report/communications:

- (August 18, 1997) from Commissioner, Urban Development Services;
- (February 18, 1998) from G.V. Martinsons, Swansea Area Ratepayers' Association;
- (February 18, 1998) from Ms. Victoria Masnyk; and
- (February 18, 1998) from Mr. William H. Roberts, Barrister and Solicitor.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Peter Chant, Toronto Ontario;
- Mr. William Roberts, Toronto, Ontario;
- Ms. Jilian Saweczko, Toronto, Ontario;
- Ms. Jane Beecroft, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Andrew Paton, Barrister & Solicitor, on behalf of the Applicant;
- Mr. Robert Miller, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Ron Braun, UP Sales & Mktg. PCL Plastics; and
- Ms. Victoria Masnyk, Toronto, Ontario.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that:

- (1) Site Plan Approval Application No. 396100 and Application No. 096178 for consent under the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 276, Ravines, Article I, for a proposed Gas Bar, Car Wash and Retail Store to be located a 8 South Kingsway, within the Humber Valley Ravine, be refused;
- the City Solicitor be authorized to attend the Ontario Municipal Board Hearing set for March 17, 1998, in opposition to the Committee of Adjustment Decision, and to retain outside planning advice; with funds to be payable from Account No. 76539;
- (3) the City Solicitor be requested to emphasize the proposed hours of operation of the gas bar in his submission;

On motion by Councillor Johnston:

(4) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to provide a traffic safety study of the proposed development; and

On motion by Councillor Bossons:

(5) the Commissioner of Corporate Services be requested to report to the Corporate Services Committee, in Camera if necessary, on the financial and real estate aspects of acquiring the site for park land.

On a further motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council also requested:

- (1) the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services to consult with the Metropolitan Toronto and Region Conservation Authority and Heritage Toronto to examine ways to protect the heritage and conservation aspects of the site; and
- (2) Heritage Toronto to report to the Toronto Community Council on the possibility of designating the site under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act and on further actions the City could undertake to recognize the importance of this site.

(Clause No. 53, Report No. 2)

- The Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of the following motion by Councillor Miller.
 - (1) the Property Assessment Division of the Ministry of Finance be requested to make public the valuation models used, neighbourhood by neighbourhood, to value residential properties in the City of Toronto, including any statistical regression equations that were used; further, that the Property Assessment Division make public the quality control studies used to evaluate the accuracy of these valuation models, including assessment-to-sale ratios, co-efficients of variation and dispersion, and full listing of property characteristics for properties in the ratio studies (including addresses and roll numbers); and
 - (2) the Property Assessment Division of the Ministry of Finance be requested to provide, in as much detail as possible, information on the valuation models used to assess properties in the other property classes (multi-residential, commercial and industrial), along with the results of assessment accuracy tests used for these property classes.

The Toronto Community Council recommended to the City Council, for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998, the adoption of the foregoing motion.

(Clause No. 24, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (January 27, 1998) from the City Clerk, respecting the Role of the Community Councils.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (January 20, 1998) from Mr. John Sewell;
- (January 15, 1998) from the City Clerk;
- (January 28, 1998) from the City Clerk;
- (February 17, 1998 from Margaret Blair, Lakeside Area Neighbourhood Association;
- (February 18, 1998 from Margaret Blair, Lakeside Area Neighbourhood Association;
- (February 18, 1998) from Phyllis Creighton;
- (February 12, 1998) Report on the Working Group on Citizen Participation;
- (February 19, 1998) from Liz Rykert;
- (undated) from David Vallance, CORRA;
- (February 13, 1998) from Lorna Marion and Janice Hillen;
- (February 18, 1998) from Jocelyn Stratton; and
- (February 18, 1998) from William Roberts, Swansea Area Ratepayers' Association.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Jutta Mason, Toronto, Ontario
- Mr. David Vallance, obo CORRA
- John Sewell, Toronto, Ontairo
- Ms. Mary Scott, Toronto, Ontario
- Ms. Brenda Marks, Toronto, Ontario
- Mr. Robert Barnett, Toronto, Ontario
- Ms. Jocelyn Strattan, Toronto, Ontario
- Mr. William Roberts, on behalf of the Swansea Area Ratepayers' Association; and
- Ms. Julian Saweczko

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto Community Council requested its Chair and Councillor McConnell to prepare detailed recommendations based on the following principles and to present those recommendations to the Special Committee to Review the Final Report of the Toronto Transition Team on behalf of the Toronto Community Council:

- "(1) The Appropriate Relationship between City Council and the Community Councils
 - (I) City Council should be responsible for establishing the broad policy and procedural framework in which the Community Councils act.
 - (ii) Providing the Community Councils act within the established policies and procedures of City Council, they can carry out their responsibilities as the implementation arm of the new City as they see fit. In cases where the City Council has not established applicable policies and procedures, Community Councils may act as they deem appropriate.
 - (iii) The City Solicitor is responsible for advising Community Councils when they are in breach of an established City Council policy, just as the Solicitor now advises City Council when a proposed course of action breaches provincial legislation.
- (2) The Responsibilities of Community Councils and City Council
 - (I) As much as possible, implementation should happen at the Community Council level.
 - (ii) Community Council should retain responsibility for most of the areas they now oversee.
 - (iii) Realistically, only City Council can manage sewage treatment, water filtration, regional transit, and regional arterial roads. But many things previously handled at the Metro level could and should be devolved to the Community Councils, including many former metro roads and all Metro parks. Council should continue to review all its functions to allow for the maximum local delivery of service.
 - (iv) By linking these functions into the existing community service infrastructure, citizens would enjoy cost savings and service integration.
 - (v) Community Council should retain responsibility for most of the areas they now oversee, including sewers, garbage, non-regional roads, parks, recreation centres, and planning matters other than regional planning and the Official Plan.
- (3) The Powers of Community Councils

- (I) In order to carry out these responsibilities, the Community Councils should have the freedom to establish sub-committees and other official bodies, and to pass by-laws. Enabling legislation should be sought to provide these powers.
- (ii) Recorded votes should be permitted at all Standing Committee and Community Council meetings
- 4) How Community Councils Set and Spend their budgets
 - (I) City Council should establish spending envelopes for each community council, based largely on the local council's own budget estimates.
 - (ii) Community Councils should have the power to spend, within their envelopes, in accordance with local priorities, provided they did not contravene a City Council policy, and they did not transfer funds between budget envelopes.
 - (iii) No final conclusion has been reached on the division of budgets into three categories, for equality of service, service equity and local preferences.

5) Staffing Structures

- (I) Policies and procedures for staffing should be centrally administered by City Council.
- (ii) Commissioners should be hired by the City Council. Each Commissioner should be assigned to a Community Council to serve as a staff liaison.
- (iii) All staff serving the functions managed by Community Council (that is to say, all staff not involved in broad policy issues) would report to the Community Council.
- (iv) No CAO would be required at the Community Council level.
- 6) Citizen Access to the Process of Government
 - (I) The Clerk should make all agendas and minutes available to the public via the Internet at the earliest opportunity
 - (ii) Copies of Council agendas and minutes should be made available to the public in libraries and community centres.

(iii) The Clerk should establish a group fax function to send Community Council Agendas to citizens who request it, free of charge."

(Special Committee to Review the Final Report of the Toronto Transition Team - Encl. - February 23, 1998)

(Clause No. 61(g), Report No. 2)

- The Toronto Community Council had before it a preliminary report (February 4, 1998) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, respecting 50 Prince Arthur Avenue for Application No. 197026 regarding Official Plan and Zoning By-law amendments and Site Plan Approval to permit eight townhouses behind an existing 19-storey apartment building (Midtown), and recommending that:
 - "(1) I be requested to hold a public meeting in the community to discuss the application, and to notify owners and tenants within 300 metres of the site and the Ward Councillors.
 - (2) the owner be advised that, prior to final Council approval, an owner may be required to submit a Noise Impact Statement in accordance with Council's requirements. The owner will be further advised of any requirements by the Commissioner of City Works Services."

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (September 7, 1997) from Councillor Adams;
- (September 29, 1997) from Mr. Mark McQueen;
- (October 15, 1997) from Committee of Adjustment Decision;
- (January 29, 1998) from the City Clerk, Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council:

- (1) adopted the following preliminary report; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services to advise the owner that the Toronto Community Council has serious concerns respecting the setbacks of the two most westerly townhouse units to the existing Lowther Mews, and the proximity and potential effects of the overall development on Taddle Creek Park

(Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services - No Encl. - Interim Functional Lead for Planning - No Encl. - Commissioner of Emergency and Protective Services; City Solicitor, Attention: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director, Development & Support, Parks & Recreation; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Metropolitan Toronto Commissioner of Planning; Metropolitan Toronto Separate School Board; Toronto Board of Education; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attention: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge - Encl. - All Interested Persons - No Encl. - February 26, 1998)

(Clause No. 61(i), Report No. 2)

- The Toronto Community Council had before it a preliminary report (January 30, 1998) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services respecting Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments and Site Plan Approval for 14 Prince Arthur Avenue (Midtown), and recommending that:
 - (1) I be requested to hold a public meeting in the community to discuss the application, and to notify owners and tenants within 300 metres of the site and the Ward Councillors.
 - (2) the owner be advised that, prior to final Council approval, an owner may be required to submit a Noise Impact Statement and a Material Recovery and Waste Reduction Plan in accordance with Council's requirements. The owner will be further advised of these requirements, as they relate to this project, by the Commissioner of City Works Services.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (February 17, 1998) from Commissioner, Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that:

- (1) the report (January 30, 1998) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be adopted;
- (2) (a) in the event that the applicant appeals Application No. 197033 for Official Plan and Zoning By-law amendments and requests that such an appeal be consolidated with the Committee of Adjustment appeal scheduled for April 14, 1998, the City Solicitor request the Ontario Municipal Board to adjourn the hearing;

- (b) in the event that the Board does not agree to adjourn the hearing as per Recommendation No. (2)(a) above, the City Solicitor and appropriate civic staff be instructed to attend the hearing in opposition, on the grounds that the planning issues set out in the Preliminary Report have not been resolved;
- (c) in the event that outside consultants are required, the City Solicitor be authorized to retain them; and
- (d) if it is necessary, the City Solicitor and/or the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to report directly to the Toronto Community Council for further instructions.

(Clause No. 46, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 2, 1998) from the Commissioner, City Works Services respecting provision of a "School Bus Loading Zone" and "Student Pick-up/Drop-off Zone" in front of Huron Street, No. 541 Huron Street (Midtown).

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that:

- (1) the existing "No Parking 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday" prohibition on the east side of Huron Street from Lowther Avenue to a point 240.8 metres north be changed to operate from Lowther Avenue to a point 95.5 metres north and from a point 118.5 metres north of Lowther Avenue to a point 122.3 metres further north;
- a "School Bus Loading Zone", operating between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, be implemented on the east side of Huron Street, from a point 67.5 metres north of Lowther Avenue, to a point 28.0 metres further north;
- (3) parking be allowed for a maximum period of ten minutes from 8:30 a.m. to 9:00 a.m and from 3:30 p.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the east side of Huron Street, from a point 95.5 metres north of Lowther Avenue, to a point 23 metres further north;
- parking be prohibited from a point 95.5 metres north of Lowther Avenue to a point 23.0 metres further north from 9:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. and from 4:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday; and

(5) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On a further motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council also requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Toronto Community Council on the possibility of developing a general policy for parking in school bus zones.

(Clause No. 55, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (February 5, 1998) from Councillor Walker, respecting the Crisis in Youth Employment.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council:

- (1) referred the following recommendations to the Community and Neighbourhoods Services Committee:
 - (i) that the ideas and concept expressed in the communication (February 5, 1998) from Councillor Walker be endorsed in principle;
 - (ii) that the urgency to the matter of youth unemployment and underemployment in Toronto be recognized; and
 - (iii) that the concept of commencing a dialogue with Mayor Lastman, Councillor Walker, Councillor Chow and other interested councillors to discuss the idea of a summit meeting on jobs for youth be endorsed.
- (2) referred the matter of the creation of a Task Force to deal with youth unemployment under the leadership of Councillor Chow to her for her comments.

(Community and Neighbourhoods Services Committee; Councillor Chow - Encl. - February 26, 1998)

(Clause No. 61(aa), Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (February 10, 1998) from Councillor Walker respecting Ontario Municipal Board Hearing for 16 Braeside Road (North Toronto).

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (February 17, 1998) from Michael B. Vaughan, Barrister & Solicitor.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998 that the City Solicitor be instructed to appear at the Ontario Municipal Board on March 12, 1998 to defend the Committee of Adjustment decision respecting 16 Braeside Road and to support the views of the area residents, and that he be authorized to retain outside planning advice, if necessary.

(Clause No. 58, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (February 11, 1998) from Councillor Walker respecting parking at 189 Soudan Avenue (North Toronto).

The Committee also had before it a communication (January 28 1997) from Liz McAlpine.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998, that the request for front yard parking at 189 Soudan Avenue be approved, subject to the applicant entering into the agreements and paying the fee prescribed by the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Clause No. 59, Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 4, 1998) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting 1884 Queen Street East, Application No. 998001: Request for approval of a variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on March 4, 1998, adoption of the report (February 4, 1998) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

(Clause No. 54 - Report No. 2)

140.	The Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of and adopted the
	following motion from Councillor Chow:

"The Toronto Community Council wishes to express its dismay to the Budget Committee because of the frequent change of dates of meetings to hear public deputations on the Capital and Operating Budgets."

(Clause No. 61(z), Report No. 2)

The Toronto Community Council adjourned its meeting at 12:55 p.m.

_____Chair.