

Appendix A

**MINUTES OF THE COUNCIL  
OF THE  
CITY OF TORONTO**

**THURSDAY, OCTOBER 1, 1998**

City Council met at 9:37 a.m., in the Council Chamber, Metro Hall, Toronto.

Mayor Lastman took the Chair and called the Members to order.

The Meeting opened with O Canada.

1529 Members present at the morning session of the first day of this meeting:

Mayor: Lastman.

Councillors: Adams, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Berger, Bossons, Brown, Bussin, Cho, Chow, Davis, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Feldman, Filion, Flint, Fotinos, Gardner, Giansante, Holyday, Jakobek, Johnston, Jones, Kelly, Kinahan, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Lindsay Luby, Li Preti, Mahood, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Moeser, Moscoe, Nunziata, O'Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Prue, Rae, Saundercook, Sgro, Shaw, Shiner, Silva, Sinclair, Walker - 55.

1530 Councillor Jakobek, seconded by Councillor Sgro, moved that:

**“WHEREAS** on August 7, 1998, Detective Constable William Hancox of the Toronto Police Service was tragically killed during the performance of his duties; and

**WHEREAS** since joining the Toronto Police Service in February of 1989, Constable Hancox selflessly served the citizens of the City of Toronto as a uniform patrol officer at 55 Division and as a member of the Special Investigation Squad; and

**WHEREAS** Detective Constable William Hancox was known to his family, friends, co-workers and the community as a caring, compassionate and understanding person;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the City Clerk be directed to convey, on behalf of the Members of Toronto City Council, an expression of condolence and sincere sympathy to the Hancox family; and that Council waive the provisions of the Council Procedural By-law in order to introduce this Motion.”

Councillor Rae, seconded by Councillor Jakobek, moved that:

“**WHEREAS** the City of Toronto lost an active and valued citizen with the death of John Combs on August 7, 1998; and

**WHEREAS** John served many local business associations including the Village of Yorkville Association, the Yonge-Bloor-Bay Association, the Bloor-Yorkville Business Improvement Area, and the Toronto Association of Business Improvement Areas; and

**WHEREAS** John was a founding contributor and member of the Toronto International Film Festival as well as the Toronto Entertainment District; and

**WHEREAS** John vigorously represented the Toronto business community on the former City of Toronto’s Economic Development Committee; and

**WHEREAS** John also served the City as an Arbitrator on major developments in Toronto; and

**WHEREAS** John was an eager participant and booster of the City of Toronto who will be greatly missed;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the City Clerk be directed to convey, on behalf of Members of Toronto City Council, an expression of sincere sympathy to John’s wife Hilary Gait and their family, Jack and Cinnamon Combs, Noel Combs Pope, Richard Combs, Erin and Howard Pearl, Jerry Combs and Ingrid Combs, Richard, Brett, Hunter, Jackson, Meredith, Jeremy, Lexie and Berkeley; and that Council waive the provisions of the Council Procedural By-law in order to introduce this Motion.”

Councillor Miller, seconded by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, moved that:

“**WHEREAS** on Wednesday September 16, 1998, there was a serious fire at the apartment building located at 1495 King Street West in the City of Toronto; and

**WHEREAS** the Members of City Council have learned, with the deepest regret, of the deaths of Erin Hopper and Grace Isaacs, and of serious injuries to Donald Duffy arising from this fire; and

**WHEREAS** this Council recognizes the terrible toll that this tragedy has taken on the friends and families of the victims who resided at 1495 King Street West; and

**WHEREAS** this fire has again highlighted the issue of providing safe and affordable housing to all residents of Toronto;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the City Clerk be directed to convey, on behalf of the Members of Toronto City Council, an expression of sincere sympathy to the families, friends, and survivors of the King Street West fire; and that Council waive the provisions of the Council Procedural By-law in order to introduce this Motion.”

Leave to introduce the foregoing Motions was granted and the Motions were carried unanimously.

Council rose and observed a moment of silence in memory of the late Detective Constable William Hancox, John Combs, Erin Hopper and Grace Isaacs.

- 1531 At this point in the proceedings, the City Clerk advised Council that Jane Pitfield was elected in the by-election on September 24, 1998, as the third Councillor from East York; and that she has subsequently taken the Declaration of Office and the Oath of Allegiance.

Mayor Lastman, on behalf of the Members of Council, welcomed Councillor Jane Pitfield, the newly-elected Member of Council for East York, Ward 1.

Councillor Pitfield, with the permission of Council, addressed Council and expressed her appreciation for the warm welcome she has received from Members of Council.

- 1532 At this point in the proceedings, Mayor Lastman, with the permission of Council, congratulated the City Clerk and her staff for the efficient running of the East York by-election, noting that election results were available 25 minutes after the polls closed.

Mayor Lastman then extended congratulations, on behalf of the Members of Council, to John Hollins, Director of Elections, Steve Miller, Greg Essensa, Ray Dembinski, and the many others who contributed to the success of the by-election.

- 1533 Councillor King, seconded by Councillor Kinahan, moved that the Minutes of the regular Meetings of Council held on May 13 and 14, 1998, June 3, 4 and 5, 1998, and July 8, 9 and 10, 1998; the special Meeting of Council held on July 21 and 23, 1998; and the regular Meeting of Council held on July 29, 30 and 31, 1998, be confirmed in the form supplied to the Members.

Councillor Moscoe, in amendment, moved that confirmation of the Minutes of Council be held until later in the meeting, which was carried.

Council deferred its consideration of the foregoing motion by Councillor King, seconded by Councillor Kinahan, to confirm the aforementioned Minutes of Council until later in the meeting. (See Minute No. 1668.)

The following communications were listed on the Order Paper for this meeting:

- 1534            (i)      From the Chief Coroner of Ontario (July 31, 1998) forwarding a copy of the Verdict of Coroner's Jury and the Regional and Presiding Coroner's Explanation concerning the inquest into the death of Kenneth K. Au-Yeung and requesting that his office be informed with respect to the implementation, or otherwise, of the Jury's recommendations; and
- (ii)      from Councillor John Adams, Midtown, submitting a copy of a communication dated September 10, 1998, from Mr. Patrick Hardy, commenting on the anti-suicide netting on the Danforth viaduct and submitting comments in this regard.

The foregoing communications were considered with Clause No. 1 of Report No. 11 of The Urban Environment and Development Committee.

- 1535            (i)      From Councillor B. F. Kinahan, Lakeshore-Queensway (August 4, 1998) addressed to the Lakeshore Planning Council, complimenting the Lakeshore Planning Council on its important contribution to the debate on the roles and responsibilities of Community Councils; and
- (ii)      from The Municipal Coalition for Local Government (September 29, 1998) forwarding the results of a questionnaire that was compiled before the last municipal election with respect to the power of Community Councils.

The foregoing communications were considered with Clause No. 1 of Report No. 11 of The Special Committee to Review the Final Report of the Toronto Transition Team.

- 1536            (i)      From the Executive Officer, Facilities and Capital Program, Toronto District School Board (September 21, 1998) regarding the application from Katmandu Investment Corporation to amend Official Plan and Zoning By-law 6752 to permit the development of a vacant property at 1590 O'Connor Drive and submitting comments in this regard; and
- (ii)      from A. W. Boothe, C.E.O., Dominion Regalia Limited (September 17, 1998) in support of the proposed amendments to East York's Official Plan and Zoning By-law for 1590 O'Connor Drive.

The foregoing communications were considered with Clause No. 10 of Report No. 13 of The East York Community Council.

- 1537
- (i) From the President, Vaughan C.A.R.E.S., forwarding a copy of a letter (September 14, 1998) addressed to The Honourable Al Palladini, M.P.P., York Centre, expressing appreciation, on behalf of all the residents in Maple, for his effort to support the community in its struggle to have the Keele Valley landfill closed sooner rather than later;
  - (ii) from the President, Maple Ratepayers Association (August 28, 1998) expressing support of Option No. (2) embodied in the report dated July 9, 1998, from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, respecting the rapid fill approach of the Keele Valley Landfill Site;
  - (iii) from a Member of the Board of Directors, Maple Glen Homeowners Association (undated) expressing support for Option No. (2) embodied in the report dated July 9, 1998, from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, respecting the rapid fill approach of the Keele Valley Landfill Site;
  - (iv) from Mario Cianchetti (September 1, 1998) requesting that Council not allow any further expansion of the Keele Valley Landfill Site;
  - (v) from the Regional Clerk, York Region (September 28, 1998) forwarding the decision of the York Region with respect to the Keele Valley Landfill Site; and
  - (vi) from Ms. Marilyn Iafrate, Maple, Ontario (September 16, 1998) submitting comments regarding the Keele Valley Landfill Site.

The foregoing communications were considered with Clause No. 1 of Report No. 8 of The Works and Utilities Committee.

- 1538
- (i) From the General Manager, Toronto Licensing Commission (September 23, 1998) providing additional information, as requested by the Emergency and Protective Services Committee, with respect to 1999 licence fees.
  - (ii) from the City Solicitor (September 28, 1998) providing a legal opinion on the authority of City Council to impose an additional licence fee levy on licensed taxicab owners, drivers and brokers once the licence fees for the 1999 calendar year have been set and submitting recommendations in this regard;
  - (iii) from the Executive Director, The Toronto Taxicab Owners and Operators Association (September 28, 1998) expressing concerns with respect to the new process on licensing matters; and

- (iv) from the Acting Chair, Taxicab Advisory Committee (September 29, 1998) requesting that Council not approve the portion of the 1999 budget dealing with taxicabs before the Taxicab Advisory Committee and the taxicab industry have had an opportunity to comment on this matter.

The foregoing communications and report were considered with Clause No. 2 of Report No. 9 of The Emergency and Protective Services Committee.

- 1539 From the City Clerk (September 23, 1998) regarding the renaming of Polson Street Park to Jennifer Kateryna Koval's'kyj Park and forwarding a recommendation from the Budget Committee respecting the source of funds for a plaque commemorating Jennifer Kateryna Koval's'kyj.

The foregoing communication was considered with Clause No. 59 of Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council.

- 1540
- (i) From the City Clerk (September 23, 1998) advising that the Budget Committee recommends that, in the event it is necessary to retain an outside planner with respect to the Ontario Municipal Board Appeal: 2223 Bloor Street West - Runnymede Theatre, the necessary funds be absorbed from within the Legal Division's Budget; and
  - (ii) petition signed by concerned citizens supporting the continued operation of the Runnymede Theatre.

The foregoing communication and petition was considered with Clause No. 61 of Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council.

- 1541 Confidential report (undated) from the City Solicitor respecting the sale of surplus City-owned property at 219 Dufferin Street.

The foregoing confidential report was considered with Clause No. 2 of Report No. 12 of The Corporate Services Committee.

- 1542
- (i) From Councillor Adams, submitting a communication from the City Clerk (February 26, 1998) advising of the action taken by the Special Committee to Review the Final Report of the Toronto Transition Team at its meeting held on February 26, 1998, with respect to a joint report (February 10, 1998) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the City Solicitor regarding the Toronto District Heating Corporation;
  - (ii) from Councillor Fotinos, submitting a copy of "Amendment to Schedule D to *The Energy Competition Act, 1998*"; and

- (iii) from Councillor Fotinos, submitting a communication from the Executive Director, Pollution Probe (September 23, 1998) addressed to the Minister of Energy, Science and Technology, advising of their support of the amendments requested by the Toronto District Heating Corporation to *The Energy Competition Act, 1998*.

The foregoing communications were considered with Clause No. 17 of Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee.

- 1543 From the Commissioner of Corporate Services (September 21, 1998) providing Council with information on the offers received for the sale of 23 Fraser Avenue; and recommending that the Offer of Purchase submitted by 1294539 Ontario Inc. be accepted.

The foregoing report was considered with Clause No. 14 of Report No. 13 of The Corporate Services Committee.

- 1544 From the City Clerk (September 28, 1998) advising that the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee recommends the adoption of the recommendations of the Budget Committee with respect to the extension of the pavement and construction of a walkway at the south side of Atlantic Avenue (Trinity-Niagara).

The foregoing communication was considered with Clause No. 12 of Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council.

- 1545 Confidential communication from Mr. Marvin S.N. Geist, Barrister and Solicitor (September 29, 1998) respecting the proposed closure of the driveway at 34 Progress Avenue.

The foregoing confidential communication was considered with Clause No. 20 of Report No. 11 of the Urban Environment and Development Committee.

- 1546 At this point in the proceedings, Councillor Walker, North Toronto, with the permission of Council, filed a petition on behalf of the Sunnyview Public School Senior Kindergarten class, expressing a concern that the attendants who accompanied six of the children in their class who use wheelchairs were required to pay a total cost of \$25.00 for tickets for the Toronto Island Ferry; and recommending that:

- (1) the Sunnyview Public School be reimbursed for the cost of purchasing adult tickets for attendants assisting these students on the Toronto Island Ferry; and

- (2) the relevant policies of the Toronto Island Ferry service be reviewed and amended to reflect a policy similar to that of the Toronto Zoo, such that attendants to those in wheelchairs or with disabilities necessitating an attendant be exempt from having to pay the price of admission.

Mayor Lastman suggested that the aforementioned petition and recommendations submitted by Councillor Walker be referred to:

- (i) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism for a report thereon to the Economic Development Committee, such report to include comments on a corporate-wide policy which would be consistent with the policy of the Toronto Zoo to exempt such attendants from having to pay the price of admission; and
- (ii) the Mayor, with a request that the Mayor write a letter of apology to the Senior Kindergarten class at the Sunnyview Public School.

Council concurred in the foregoing suggestion by Mayor Lastman.

- 1547 At this point in the proceedings, Councillor Layton, with the permission of Council, filed a petition containing 1,200 signatures collected by the families of the Schizophrenia Society of Ontario in support of the installation of the proposed protective barriers on the Prince Edward (Bloor Street) Viaduct, together with letters of concern dated September 23, 1998, addressed to Councillors Layton and McConnell, in support of the design for such protective barriers.

The foregoing petition and letters of concern were considered with Clause No. 1 of Report No. 11 of The Urban Environment and Development Committee. (See Minute No. 1556.)

- 1548 At this point in the proceedings, Mayor Lastman referred to the following two enquiries from Councillor Walker, which were deferred from the last meeting of Council, and to the following answers in response thereto:

- (i) Enquiry dated June 29, 1998, from Councillor Michael Walker regarding the Mayor's role in discussions with Maple Leaf Gardens concerning the City's interest in the Union Station Lands;
- (ii) enquiry dated June 30, 1998, from Councillor Michael Walker regarding the proposal for the development of a Maple Leaf Gardens Complex at Exhibition Place;
- (iii) answer dated July 20, 1998, from Mayor Mel Lastman to Councillor Michael Walker, in response to his enquiry; and



- (iv) answer dated July 24, 1998, from the Chief Administrative Officer, addressed to City Council, in response to Councillor Michael Walker's enquiry.

Upon the question of the receipt of the foregoing enquires and answers, it was carried.

1549 Council was advised that Councillors Minnan-Wong and Tzekas would be absent from this meeting of Council.

1550 Councillor Miller presented the following Reports for consideration by Council:

Report No. 11 of The Special Committee to Review the Final Report of the Toronto Transition Team,  
Report No. 12 of The Corporate Services Committee,  
Report No. 10 of The Urban Environment and Development Committee,  
Report No. 17 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee,  
Report No. 8 of The Community and Neighbourhood Services Committee,  
Report No. 13 of The Corporate Services Committee,  
Report No. 2 of The Economic Development Committee,  
Report No. 9 of The Emergency and Protective Services Committee,  
Report No. 11 of The Urban Environment and Development Committee,  
Report No. 8 of The Works and Utilities Committee,  
Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee,  
Report No. 12 of The East York Community Council,  
Report No. 13 of The East York Community Council,  
Report No. 9 of The Etobicoke Community Council,  
Report No. 9 of The North York Community Council,  
Report No. 8 of The Scarborough Community Council,  
Report No. 9 of The Scarborough Community Council,  
Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council,  
Report No. 9 of The York Community Council,  
Report No. 10 of The York Community Council,  
Report No. 11 of The Board of Health,  
Report No. 6 of The Nominating Committee, and  
Report No. 6 of The Striking Committee,

and moved, seconded by Councillor Rae, that Council now give consideration to such Reports, which was carried.

Mayor Lastman requested Members of Council to indicate the Report and Clause Number wherein they have an interest, together with the nature of the interest.

Councillor Ashton declared his interest in Item (e), entitled “Transfer Plan for Child Care Services and Adjustments to Child Care Support for Ontario Works”, and Item (f), entitled “Update on the Provincial Transfer of Responsibilities to the Social Services and Children’s Services Division”, embodied in Clause No. 8 of Report No. 8 of The Community and Neighbourhood Services Committee, headed “Other Items Considered by the Committee”; and in Clause No. 21 of Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed “Transfer Plan for Child Care Services and Adjustments to Child Care Support for Ontario Works”, in that his daughter is registered in a non-profit child care centre; and in Clause No. 4 of Report No. 8 of The Scarborough Community Council, headed “1998 New Sidewalk Construction Program All Scarborough Wards”, in that his mother owns property on Moran Road.

Councillor Balkissoon declared his interest in Item (o), headed “Court Ordered Re-count In Scarborough Malvern - Ward 18”, embodied in Clause No. 29 of Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed “Other Items Considered by the Committee”, in that he is a City Councillor for Ward 18.

Councillor Brown declared her interest in that portion of Clause No. 2 of Report No. 8 of The Community and Neighbourhood Committee, headed “The Future Management of The Metropolitan Toronto Housing Authority”, insofar as it pertains to any contracts for building and maintenance of elevators, in that her husband is Vice-President of Engineering of Montgomery Kone Elevator Co. Limited.

Councillor Feldman declared his interest in Clause No. 15 of Report No. 8 of The Scarborough Community Council, headed “Official Plan Amendment Application P95014, Zoning By-law Amendment Application Z95020, Scarborough Automotive Centre Limited, South Side of Milner Avenue at Auto Mall Drive - Ward 18 - Scarborough Malvern”, in that he owns land adjacent to the site.

Councillor Fotinos declared his interest in Item (e), entitled “Transfer Plan for Child Care Services and Adjustments to Child Care Support for Ontario Works”, and Item (f), entitled “Update on the Provincial Transfer of Responsibilities to the Social Services and Children’s Services Division”, embodied in Clause No. 8 of Report No. 8 of The Community and Neighbourhood Services Committee, headed “Other Items Considered by the Committee”; and in Clause No. 21 of Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed “Transfer Plan for Child Care Services and Adjustments to Child Care Support for Ontario Works”, in that his mother provides private home child care.

Mayor Lastman gave the Chair to Deputy Mayor Ootes, and vacated the Chair.

Mayor Lastman declared his interest in Clause No. 19 of Report No. 13 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed “A Preliminary Review of the 12 Surplus Properties, Directed by Council to be Held in Abeyance for 120 Days Pending a Review to Determine the Feasibility of Development for Affordable Housing”, in that his son lives near the surplus sites on Wilson Heights Boulevard and in Item (i), entitled “Financial Statements of The

Kennedy Road Business Improvement Plan”, embodied in Clause No. 29 of Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed “Other Items Considered by the Committee”, in that his son is the President of the Kennedy Road Business Improvement Area.

Mayor Lastman resumed the Chair.

Councillor Li Preti declared his interest in those portions of Clause No. 19 of Report No. 13 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed “A Preliminary Review of the 12 Surplus Properties, Directed by Council to be Held in Abeyance for 120 Days Pending a Review to Determine the Feasibility of Development for Affordable Housing”, pertaining to the sites located on Wilson Heights Boulevard in that his residence is located in that area.

Councillor Moscoe declared his interest in Clause No. 9 of Report No. 9 of The North York Community Council, headed “Stopping Amendments - Derrydown Road - Black Creek”, in that he lives in the vicinity of the subject lands.

Councillor Pantalone declared his interest in Item (e), entitled “Transfer Plan for Child Care Services and Adjustments to Child Care Support for Ontario Works”, and Item (f), entitled “Update on the Provincial Transfer of Responsibilities to the Social Services and Children’s Services Division”, embodied in Clause No. 8 of Report No. 8 of The Community and Neighbourhood Services Committee, headed “Other Items Considered by the Committee”; and in Clause No. 21 of Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed “Transfer Plan for Child Care Services and Adjustments to Child Care Support for Ontario Works”, in that one of his children is registered in a child care centre which has a purchase of service agreement with the City of Toronto.

Councillor Pitfield declared her interest in Clause No. 4 of Report No. 2 of The Economic Development Committee, headed “Bank Mergers - Impact on Toronto as a Financial Centre and Legislation Respecting Canadian Financial Institutions”, in that her husband is employed by one of the major banks.

Mayor Lastman suggested that Council now proceed through the Reports, with Members of Council indicating those Clauses, by number, on which they wish to speak; that Council then proceed with the adoption of the remaining Clauses; and that Council then give further consideration to those Clauses which have been held at the request of Members of Council, not necessarily in the order they appear on the Agenda.

Council concurred in the foregoing suggestion by Mayor Lastman.

Upon the question of the adoption of Report No. 11 of The Special Committee to Review the Final Report of the Toronto Transition Team, consisting of one Clause only, without amendment, it was held in its entirety.

Upon the question of the adoption of Report No. 12 of The Corporate Services Committee, without amendment, it was held in its entirety.

Upon the question of the adoption of Report No. 10 of The Urban Environment and Development Committee, consisting of one Clause only, without amendment, it was held.

Upon the question of the adoption of Report No. 17 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, consisting of one Clause only, without amendment, it was held in its entirety.

Upon the question of the adoption of Report No. 8 of The Community and Neighbourhood Services Committee (with the exception of Clauses Nos. 2, 3 and 8), without amendment, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of Report No. 13 of The Corporate Services Committee (with the exception of Clauses Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 11, 12, 15, 19, 34 and 35), without amendment, it was carried.

Council subsequently re-opened its consideration of Clause No. 14 embodied in the foregoing Report No. 13 of The Corporate Services Committee. (See Minute No. 1630.)

Upon the question of the adoption of Report No. 2 of The Economic Development Committee (with the exception of Clauses Nos. 4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12 and 15), without amendment, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of Report No. 9 of The Emergency and Protective Services Committee (with the exception of Clauses Nos. 1, 2, 3 and 5), without amendment, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of Report No. 11 of The Urban Environment and Development Committee (with the exception of Clauses Nos. 1 and 23), without amendment, it was carried.

Council subsequently re-opened its consideration of Clause No. 5 embodied in the foregoing Report No. 11 of The Urban Environment and Development Committee. (See Minute No. 1601.)

Upon the question of the adoption of Report No. 8 of The Works and Utilities Committee (with the exception of Clauses Nos. 1, 2 and 10), without amendment, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, without amendment, it was held in its entirety.

Upon the question of the adoption of Report No. 12 of The East York Community Council, consisting of one Clause only, without amendment, it was held in its entirety.

Upon the question of the adoption of Report No. 13 of The East York Community Council (with the exception of Clauses Nos. 6, 9 and 10), without amendment, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of Report No. 9 of The Etobicoke Community Council, without amendment, it was adopted.

Upon the question of the adoption of Report No. 9 of The North York Community Council (with the exception of Clauses Nos. 1, 2, 23 and 32), without amendment, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of Report No. 8 of The Scarborough Community Council (with the exception of Clause No. 16), without amendment, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of Report No. 9 of The Scarborough Community Council, consisting of one Clause only, without amendment, it was held in its entirety.

Upon the question of the adoption of Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council (with the exception of Clauses Nos. 1, 10, 17, 20, 30, 59, 61, 78, 87 and 88), without amendment, it was carried.

Council subsequently re-opened its consideration of Clauses Nos. 11, 12, 19 and 36 embodied in Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council. (See Minute Nos. 1626, 1633, 1632 and 1627, respectively)

Upon the question of the adoption of Report No. 9 of The York Community Council, consisting of one Clause only, without amendment, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of Report No. 10 of The York Community Council (with the exception of Clauses Nos. 1, 3, 4, 15 and 16), without amendment, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of Report No. 11 of The Board of Health, without amendment, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of Report No. 6 of The Nominating Committee (with the exception of Clause No. 1), without amendment, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of Report No. 6 of The Striking Committee (with the exception of Clause No. 3), without amendment, it was carried.

- 1551 **Clause No. 12 of Report No. 13 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed “Expropriation of Property Interests, Sheppard Subway Project, Yonge Station, Premium Projects Limited, 4726 Yonge Street and Part of 18-26 Poyntz Avenue (Ward 10 - North York Centre)”.**

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor O’Brien, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by deleting “20-24 Poyntz Avenue” wherever it appears in the Clause, and inserting in lieu thereof “18-26 Poyntz Avenue.”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor O’Brien, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

- 1552 **Clause No. 15 of Report No. 13 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed “Sale of Surplus Spadina Project Property at 34 Gloucester Grove, (Ward 28 - York Eglinton)”.**

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor O’Brien, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be withdrawn at the request of staff.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor O’Brien, it was carried.

The foregoing Clause was, accordingly, withdrawn.

- 1553 **Clause No. 78 of Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council, headed “Front Yard Parking - 86 Caledonia Road (Davenport)”.**

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Disero, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by deleting the word “commercial” from the recommendation of the Toronto Community Council, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“The Toronto Community Council recommends that approval be granted to permit boulevard parking at 86 Caledonia Road.”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor Disero, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

- 1554 **Clause No. 16 of Report No. 10 of The York Community Council, headed “Other Items Considered by the Committee”.**

Upon the question of the receipt for information of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Disero, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be received as information, subject to adding thereto the following:

“Notwithstanding subsection 128(5) of the Council Procedural By-law, it is recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be directed to also submit to the Works and Utilities Committee the reports requested by the York Community Council in Item (d), entitled ‘Pilot Project for Litter and Garbage Receptacles with Advertising on Streets in Ward 27, York Humber and Ward 28, York Eglinton’.”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor Disero, it was carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Upon the question of the receipt for information of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

- 1555 At this point in the proceedings, with the permission of Council, Councillor Davis moved that Council vary the order of its proceedings to consider Clause No. 3 of Report No. 10 of The York Community Council, headed “(1) Removal of Parking Restrictions on Residential Side Streets in the Cedarvale Area; and (2) Request for Re-installation of Parking Meters on the South side of Eglinton Avenue West - Ward 28, York Eglinton”, when Council reconvenes after its in-camera session later today, which was carried. (See Minute No. 1589)

Councillor Sgro moved that Council vary the order of its proceedings to meet in camera to consider Clause No. 1 of Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council, headed “Park Drive Ravine - Ontario Municipal Board Decision (Midtown)”, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, at 9:30 a.m. on October 2, 1998, upon which the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Mayor: Lastman.  
Councillors: Ashton, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Berger, Bossons, Brown, Bussin, Cho, Chow, Disero, Faubert, Feldman, Filion, Fotinos, Giansante, Jakobek, Korwin-Kuczynski, Lindsay Luby, Li Preti, Mihevc, Moscoe, Nunziata, Prue, Sgro, Shaw, Shiner, Sinclair, Walker - 29.

Nays: Councillors: Altobello, Davis, Duguid, Flint, Gardner, Holyday, Johnston, Jones, Kinahan, King, Layton, Mammoliti, McConnell, Miller, Moeser, O'Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Silva - 22.

Decided in the affirmative by majority of 7.

Notwithstanding section 46(2) of the Council Procedure By-law, Councillor O'Brien moved that the foregoing motion by Councillor Sgro be re-opened for further consideration, which was carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Councillor O'Brien subsequently moved that the foregoing motion by Councillor Sgro be amended to provide that Council give consideration to Clause No. 1 of Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council, headed "Park Drive Ravine - Ontario Municipal Board Decision (Midtown)", in-camera at 8:00 p.m. on October 1, 1998, which was carried. (See Minute No. 1587.)

Councillor Silva moved that Council vary the order of its proceedings to consider Clause No. 1 of Report No. 13 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed "Review of Fair Wage Policy", at 4:00 p.m. on October 1, 1998, which was carried. (See Minute No. 1576.)

Councillor McConnell moved that Council vary the order of its proceedings to now consider Clause No. 1 of Report No. 11 of The Urban Environment and Development Committee, headed "Prince Edward (Bloor Street) Viaduct: Measures to Deter Suicide Attempts - Selection of Preferred Design", which was carried.

**1556 Clause No. 1 of Report No. 11 of The Urban Environment and Development Committee, headed "Prince Edward (Bloor Street) Viaduct: Measures to Deter Suicide Attempts - Selection of Preferred Design".**

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, a petition filed by Councillor Layton, containing 1,200 signatures collected by the families of the Schizophrenia Society of Ontario in support of the installation of the proposed protective barriers on the Prince Edward (Bloor Street) Viaduct, together with letters of concern dated September 23, 1998, addressed to Councillors Layton and McConnell, in support of the design for such protective barriers.

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, the following communications:

- (i) from the Chief Coroner of Ontario (July 31, 1998) forwarding a copy of the Verdict of Coroner's Jury and the Regional and Presiding Coroner's Explanation concerning the inquest into the death of Kenneth K. Au-Yeung and requesting that his office be informed with respect to the implementation, or otherwise, of the Jury's recommendations; and
- (ii) from Councillor John Adams, Midtown, submitting a copy of a communication dated September 10, 1998, from Mr. Patrick Hardy, commenting on the anti-suicide netting on the Danforth viaduct and submitting comments in this regard.

Having regard that the foregoing Clause was submitted without recommendation, Councillor Layton moved that Council adopt the following recommendations embodied in the report dated September 2, 1998, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services:

"It is recommended that:



- (1) the design submitted by Dereck Revington Studio, with Yolles Partnership Inc. as Consulting Engineers, be adopted as the preferred design for the Prince Edward (Bloor Street) Viaduct;
- (2) Dereck Revington Studio, with Yolles Partnership Inc. as Consulting Engineers, be retained to prepare the detailed design and tender documents, as well as to provide project management and site supervision services throughout the design, tender and construction stages in accordance with terms and conditions satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor;
- (3) the appropriate City of Toronto officials be directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto; and
- (4) City Council express its appreciation to the design teams and members of the Selection Committee for their work on this project.”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor Layton, it was carried.

1557 **Clause No. 1 of Report No. 11 of The Special Committee to Review the Final Report of the Toronto Transition Team, headed “The Roles and Responsibilities of Community Councils in the Context of the Council-Committee Structure”.**

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, the following communications:

- (i) From Councillor B. F. Kinahan, Lakeshore-Queensway (August 4, 1998) addressed to the Lakeshore Planning Council, complimenting the Lakeshore Planning Council on its important contribution to the debate on the roles and responsibilities of Community Councils;
- (ii) from The Municipal Coalition for Local Government (September 29, 1998) forwarding the results of a questionnaire that was compiled before the last municipal election with respect to the power of Community Councils; and
- (iii) (September 22, 1998) from The Greater Yorkville Residents’ Association (GYRA), submitting comments with respect to the report (June 13, 1998) from the Chief Administrative Officer; and recommending that the City pursue amendments to the existing legislation in order to empower Community Councils to function with authority and not simply as committees or advisory boards.

Councillor Pantalone, rising on a point of order, requested Mayor Lastman to rule whether Recommendation No. (1) of The Special Committee to Review the Final Report of the Toronto Transition Team was in order.

Mayor Lastman ruled Recommendation No. (1) of The Special Committee to Review the Final Report of the Toronto Transition Team embodied in the foregoing Clause, out of order, having regard that it pertains to a process for determining protocols for planning and City Council, by its adoption on July 29, 30 and 31, 1998, of Clause No. 2 of Report No. 9 of The Urban Environment and Development Committee, headed "Guidelines for Determining City-Wide Interests in Planning Matters", endorsed a protocol for dealing with planning matters, and consideration thereof would first require a re-opening of the matter.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Layton, in amendment, moved that consideration of the foregoing Clause be deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council to be held on October 28, 1998.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing deferral motion by Councillor Layton, it was carried.

**1558 Clause No. 1 of Report No. 10 of The Urban Environment and Development Committee, headed "A Rapid Transit Connection Between Pearson International Airport and Union Station".**

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment:

- (a) Councillor Chow, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services be requested to submit a report to Council in November 1998, if possible, or in December 1998, with an update on whether there has been any movement from the provincial or federal governments with respect to the foregoing matter, so that Council can be informed on the progress of this project."

- (b) Councillor Mihevc, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services be requested to include in her reviews and studies of rapid transit in Toronto, an evaluation of rapid transit between Eglinton Avenue West and Black Creek, and Eglinton Avenue West to the airport."

Councillor Mihevc, with the permission of Council, subsequently withdrew his foregoing motion (b), and advised that he would submit such motion as a Notice of Motion, seconded by Councillor Berardinetti. (See Notice of Motion J(19) - Minute No. 1657.)

- (c) Councillor Miller, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services also be requested to include, as part of the planning process, a review of a possible link between the Dundas West Subway Station and the Dundas West GO Station.”

Mayor Lastman, having regard to the nature of the foregoing motion (c) by Councillor Miller, ruled such motion out of order.

- (d) Councillor Faubert, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the federal Minister of Transportation be invited to make a presentation respecting this matter to the next meeting of the Urban Environment and Development Committee to be held on November 2, 1998.”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (d) by Councillor Faubert, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (a) by Councillor Chow, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

- 1559 At this point in the proceedings, Councillor Pantalone, with the permission of Council, moved that Council vary the order of its proceedings to consider Clause No. 2 of Report No. 12 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed “219 Dufferin Street, Sale of Surplus City-Owned Property - (Ward 20 - Trinity-Niagara)”, as close to 4:00 p.m. this day as possible, which was carried. (See Minute No. 1569.)

- 1560 **Clause No. 2 of Report No. 8 of The Community and Neighbourhood Services Committee, headed “The Future Management of The Metropolitan Toronto Housing Authority”.**

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, a communication (September 30, 1998) from the Chair, Metropolitan Toronto Housing Authority (MTHA), responding to the recommendations of the Community and Neighbourhood Services Committee with respect to the MTHA; and advising that the MTHA hopes to work together with the City to provide responsive public housing for residents of Toronto.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment:

- (a) Councillor Moscoe, in amendment, moved the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing be advised that Councillors McConnell and Moscoe are the representatives of the City of Toronto for the Metropolitan Toronto Housing Authority (MTHA) Board of Directors.”

Mayor Lastman, having regard to the nature of the foregoing motion (a) by Councillor Moscoe, ruled such motion out of order.

- (b) Councillor Moscoe, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that Councillors McConnell and Moscoe be nominated for appointment to the Board of Directors of the Metropolitan Toronto Housing Authority.”

- (c) Councillor Jakobek, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that Councillor Jakobek be considered for appointment to the Board of Directors of the Metropolitan Toronto Housing Authority.”

- (d) Councillor Berardinetti, in amendment, moved that the foregoing motions (b) and (c) by Councillors Moscoe and Jakobek, respectively, be referred to the Striking Committee, with a request that the Committee submit its recommendations thereon to the next meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on October 28, 1998.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing referral motion (d) by Councillor Berardinetti, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

**1561 Clause No. 2 of Report No. 13 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed “‘Arm’s Length’ Municipal Office - Assessment Appeals”.**

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, the following:

- (i) a report (September 28, 1998) from the City Solicitor reporting, as requested by the Corporate Services Committee, on the establishment of an arm’s length municipal office as a separate department within the municipal corporation and on the definition of reputable as it would apply to the preparation of a list of reputable

firms which could be provided to a taxpayer who wished to appeal their assessment;  
and

- (ii) a transmittal letter (September 30, 1998) from the City Clerk forwarding a recommendation of the Assessment and Tax Policy Task Force with respect to the foregoing Clause.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment:

- (a) Councillor Ootes, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by striking out the recommendation of the Corporate Services Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

“It is recommended that the report dated September 2, 1998, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, embodying the following recommendation, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that to meet Council’s intent to help taxpayers, Option 3 be adopted where the City will maintain a listing of outside firms that could be provided to taxpayers who require assessment appeal services.’ ”

- (b) Councillor Bossons, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to make available, to the public, a listing of those firms which are in the business of providing assistance with respect to property tax appeals.”

Councillor Bossons, with the permission of Council, subsequently withdrew her foregoing motion (b).

Mayor Lastman gave the Chair to Deputy Mayor Ootes, and vacated the Chair.

Councillor Mammoliti questioned Deputy Mayor Ootes as to whether the foregoing Clause was properly before Council, or whether it required a two-thirds vote to re-open the matter prior to further consideration being given thereto.

Deputy Mayor Ootes having regard to the opinion of the City Solicitor embodied in his report dated September 28, 1998, ruled that the foregoing Clause was properly before Council and did not require a two-thirds vote to re-open the matter prior to Council giving further consideration thereto.

Councillor Walker challenged the ruling of Deputy Mayor Ootes.

Upon the question, "Shall the ruling of the Deputy Mayor be upheld?", the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Mayor: Lastman.

Councillors: Adams, Altobello, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Bossons, Brown, Cho, Chow, Davis, Duguid, Faubert, Feldman, Giansante, Holyday, Johnston, Jones, Kelly, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Lindsay Luby, Mihevc, O'Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Sgro, Silva - 31.

Nays: Councillors: Bussin, Filion, Flint, Li Preti, Mammoliti, Miller, Moscoe, Pitfield, Prue, Walker -10.

Decided in the affirmative by a majority of 21.

(c) Councillor Davis, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to host information sessions for ratepayers of the City of Toronto, such information sessions to be completed no later than October 24, 1998, and be properly advertised and promoted to ensure that all interested parties are notified."

The foregoing motion (c) by Councillor Davis was subsequently re-worded later in this meeting of Council. (See Minute No. 1572.)

Council deferred further consideration of the foregoing Clause until later in this meeting of Council. (See Minute No. 1572)

Council recessed at 12:25 p.m.

**2:08 P.M.**

Council reconvened.

Mayor Lastman took the Chair and called the Members to order.

1562 At the request of Council, the City Clerk called the Roll at 2:09 p.m., those Members present at the call of the Roll being:

Mayor: Lastman.

Councillors: Adams, Altobello, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Bossons, Bussin, Cho, Disero, Faubert, Feldman, Filion, Flint, Fotinos, Giansante, Holyday,

Jakobek, Jones, King, Lindsay Luby, Li Preti, Mahood, O'Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Shaw, Shiner, Sinclair - 30.

- 1563 Members present at the afternoon session of the first day of this meeting:

Mayor: Lastman.

Councillors: Adams, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Berger, Bossons, Brown, Bussin, Cho, Chong, Chow, Davis, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Feldman, Filion, Flint, Fotinos, Gardner, Giansante, Holyday, Jakobek, Johnston, Jones, Kelly, Kinahan, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Lindsay Luby, Li Preti, Mahood, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Moeser, Moscoe, Nunziata, O'Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Prue, Rae, Saundercook, Sgro, Shaw, Shiner, Silva, Sinclair, Walker - 56.

- 1564 At this point in the proceedings, with the permission of Council, Mayor Lastman welcomed Mr. Jay Glassman, Race Director of the Canadian International Marathon, and presented Mr. Glassman with a proclamation on behalf of Council and the people of Toronto.

Mr. Glassman, with the permission of Council, addressed Council and expressed his appreciation to the Mayor and Members of Council.

At this point in the proceedings, with the permission of Council, Mayor Lastman welcomed Ms. Erin Davis from CHFI Radio Station, who recently participated in a fundraising event for the Children's Wish Foundation, which raised \$150,000.00 for children with a terminal illness, and presented her with a scroll of recognition and a City of Toronto jacket.

At this point in the proceedings, and with the permission of Council, Mayor Lastman welcomed Ms Anne Golden, President of the United Way, and the Commissioner of Corporate Services, Ms. Margaret Rodrigues, who is patron of the City of Toronto's employee campaign for the United Way. The Mayor invited Ms. Golden and Ms. Rodrigues to comment on the 1998 United Way Campaign.

Council resumed its consideration of the various Reports of the Standing Committees and Community Councils.

Mayor Lastman gave the Chair to Deputy Mayor Ootes, and vacated the Chair.

- 1565 **Clause No. 10 of Report No. 8 of The Works and Utilities Committee, headed "Other Items Considered by the Committee".**

Upon the question of the receipt as information of the foregoing Clause, Councillor Disero, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be received as information, subject to adding thereto the following:

“Notwithstanding subsection 128(5) of the Council Procedural By-law, it is recommended that the report dated August 25, 1998, from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, referred to in Item (d), entitled ‘New Fee for Residues from Recycling Operations’, be adopted, wherein it is recommended that:

- ‘(1) a new solid waste management fee of \$10.00 per tonne be established at the Keele Valley Landfill Site for soil-like residues from recycling operations for use as alternative daily cover at the landfill site, conditional upon approval by the Ministry of the Environment for such use;
- (2) the quantities of materials described in Recommendation No. (1) be limited to a maximum of 1,000 tonnes per day, and that this material be accepted on a first-come first-served basis; and
- (3) the appropriate City of Toronto officials be authorized to give effect thereto.’ ”

Upon the question that subsection 128(5) of the Council Procedural By-law be waived to give consideration to the foregoing motion by Councillor Disero, it was carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor Disero, it was carried.

Upon the question of the receipt for information of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

**1566 Clause No. 15 of Report No. 2 of The Economic Development Committee, headed “Other Items Considered by the Committee”.**

Upon the question of the receipt for information of Item (c), entitled “Proposed Contract Award - Arena and Major Facility Advertising Program - Parks and Recreation Division”, embodied in the foregoing Clause, without amendment, it was carried.

Upon the question of the receipt for information of the balance of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Filion, in amendment, moved that consideration of Item (f), entitled “Proposed Use of Funds Generated from Cash-in-Lieu of Parkland Dedication”, embodied in the foregoing Clause, be deferred until later in the meeting, which was carried.

Accordingly, Council deferred further consideration of Item (f) embodied in the foregoing Clause until later in this meeting. (See Minute No. 1678.)

**1567 Clause No. 11 of Report No. 13 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed “Property Matter - 1258 Queen Street West”.**



Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, it was carried.

1568 **Clause No. 27 of Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed “Millennium Task Force”.**

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by striking out the recommendation of the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

“It is recommended that the report dated September 9, 1998, from the Chair of the Millennium Task Force be adopted, subject to amending Recommendation No. (1) embodied therein, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

- ‘(1) that the City of Toronto, along with the rest of the world, celebrate the arrival of the millennium beginning with a New Year’s Eve celebration marking the turn of the century, and continuing throughout the year 2000 to the New Year’s Levee on January 1, 2001, marking the arrival of the millennium;’.”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

1569 **Clause No. 1 of Report No. 12 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed “Disposition of Computers and Related Equipment”.**

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Disero, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended to provide that the Toronto District School Board, the Toronto District Catholic School Board, the French-Language Public School Board No. 58, and the French-Language Catholic District School Board No. 64, be given the first opportunity to purchase surplus computer equipment, after which said equipment shall be offered to individual staff members.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor Disero, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

1570 **Clause No. 2 of Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed “Developing Council’s Strategic Plan”.**

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Johnston, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Striking Committee be advised of Councillor Johnston’s interest in being appointed to the Council Reference Group.”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor Johnston, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

- 1571 **Clause No. 20 of Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council, headed “Extension of Permit Parking Hours on Osler Street, from the Lane First North of the North Branch of Connolly Street to a Point 39.6 Metres South of St. Clair Avenue West (Davenport)”.**

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, it was carried.

- 1572 **Clause No. 2 of Report No. 13 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed “ ‘Arm’s Length’ Municipal Office - Assessment Appeals”.**

Council resumed its consideration of the foregoing Clause. (See also Minute No. 1561)

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause without amendment:

- (d) Councillor Miller, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by deleting Recommendation No. (2) (iv) of the Corporate Services Committee, viz.:

“(2) the aforementioned staff not report to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer with respect to this issue, but report directly to the Chief Administrative Officer and be provided with:

(iv) a list of reputable outside firms.”

- (e) Councillor Adams, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the recommendation of the Assessment and Tax Policy Task Force, embodied in the communication (September 30, 1998) from the City Clerk, be adopted, viz.:

‘It is recommended that Recommendation No. (2)(iv) of the Corporate Services Committee, contained in Clause No. 2 of Report No. 13 of the

Corporate Services Committee be deleted and the following inserted in lieu thereof:

- “(2) (iv) staff, with assistance of professional consultants, provide workshops to the community on the Assessment appeal process, and how taxpayers can prepare for their informal reconsideration and/or formal hearing, if necessary.” ’ ”

Councillor Davis, with the permission of Council, re-worded his foregoing motion (c), which was made earlier in this meeting of Council (See Minute No. 1561), by inserting the words “with the assistance of professional consultants” after the words “host information sessions”, so that such motion (c) shall now read as follows:

- “(c) Councillor Davis, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

‘It is further recommended that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to host information sessions, with the assistance of professional consultants, for ratepayers of the City of Toronto, such information sessions to be completed no later than October 24, 1998, and be properly advertised and promoted to ensure that all interested parties are notified.’ ”

Having regard that Councillor Davis re-worded his foregoing motion (c), Councillor Adams, with the permission of Council, withdrew his foregoing motion (e).

- (f) Councillor Moscoe, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be received; and that staff be authorized to do all things necessary to carry out Council’s intent.
- (g) Councillor Giansante, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Province of Ontario be requested to release all information with respect to the properties assessed in the City of Toronto as contained in the provincial records, with the exception of names of individuals.”

- (h) Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by deleting the word “reputable” in Recommendation No. (2) (iv) of the Corporate Services Committee.
- (i) Councillor Jakobek, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be struck out and referred to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, with a request that she ensure that a phone number and/or appropriate staff are provided in-house in order to give assistance to people in need with respect to their reassessment.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (i) by Councillor Jakobek, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Altobello, Berardinetti, Bossons, Cho, Gardner, Jakobek, King, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Miller, Moeser, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Prue - 18.

Nays: Mayor: Lastman.  
Councillors: Adams, Augimeri, Brown, Chow, Davis, Duguid, Faubert, Feldman, Flint, Giansante, Holyday, Johnston, Kelly, Korwin-Kuczynski, Li Preti, Mahood, Mihevc, O'Brien, Rae, Sgro, Silva, Sinclair, Walker - 24.

Decided in the negative by a majority of 6.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (f) by Councillor Moscoe, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Adams, Flint, Jakobek, Li Preti, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Moscoe, Pitfield, Prue - 11.

Nays: Mayor: Lastman.  
Councillors: Augimeri, Berardinetti, Berger, Bossons, Brown, Cho, Chow, Davis, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Feldman, Filion, Gardner, Giansante, Holyday, Johnston, Kelly, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Lindsay Luby, Mahood, Moeser, Nunziata, O'Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Sgro, Silva, Sinclair, Walker -33.

Decided in the negative by a majority of 22.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (a) by Councillor Ootes, which was made earlier in this meeting of Council, viz.:

“(a) Councillor Ootes, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by striking out the recommendation of the Corporate Services Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

‘It is recommended that the report dated September 2, 1998, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, embodying the following recommendation, be adopted:

“It is recommended that to meet Council’s intent to help taxpayers, Option 3 be adopted where the City will maintain a listing of outside firms that could be provided to taxpayers who require assessment appeal services.” ’ ”,

the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Mayor: Lastman.  
Councillors: Altobello, Augimeri, Berardinetti, Berger, Brown, Cho, Chow, Duguid, Faubert, Feldman, Gardner, Giansante, Holyday, Jakobek, Kelly, King, Lindsay Luby, Li Preti, Moeser, Nunziata, Ootes, Pantalone, Sgro, Silva - 25.

Nays: Councillors: Adams, Bossons, Davis, Disero, Filion, Flint, Johnston, Korwin-Kuczynski, Mahood, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Moscoe, O'Brien, Pitfield, Prue, Rae, Sinclair, Walker - 20.

Decided in the affirmative by a majority of 5.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (c) by Councillor Davis, as thus re-worded, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Mayor: Lastman.  
Councillors: Adams, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Berardinetti, Berger, Brown, Cho, Chow, Davis, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Flint, Gardner, Johnston, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Li Preti, Mahood, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Moeser, Moscoe, Nunziata, O'Brien, Ootes, Pitfield, Prue, Rae, Sgro, Silva, Sinclair, Walker - 37.

Nays: Councillors: Bossons, Feldman, Filion, Giansante, Holyday, Jakobek, Lindsay Luby, Pantalone - 8.

Decided in the affirmative by a majority of 29.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (g) by Councillor Giansante, it was carried.

Having regard for the foregoing action taken by Council, Deputy Mayor Ootes declared the foregoing motions (d) by Councillor Miller and (h) by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski redundant.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

1573 At this point in the proceedings, Deputy Mayor Ootes, with the permission of Council, welcomed the representatives from the "Davenport Perth Neighbourhood Centre" and the "South Asian Women's Centre".

1574 **Clause No. 1 of Report No. 17 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed "Status of Special Committees and Task Forces".**

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, a communication (September 25, 1998) from the Co-Director, Community Social Planning Council of Toronto and the Chair, Community Voices of Support, addressed to Councillor John Adams, Chair, Assessment and Tax Policy Task Force, expressing appreciation to the Councillor and the Task Force for giving the charitable sector an opportunity to raise its concerns with respect to property tax increases and for their proposed short-term solution.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment:

- (a) Councillor Adams, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause, be amended by deleting Recommendation No. (3) of the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee.
- (b) Councillor Miller, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by inserting the words "where appropriate" after the words "Standing Committee" in Recommendation No. (1)(c) embodied in the report dated July 21, 1998, from Mayor Lastman, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(1)(c) the special committee or task force will report to Council through a Standing Committee, where appropriate; and”.

- (c) Councillor Mihevc, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended to provide that the list embodied in the report dated July 21, 1998, from Mayor Lastman, containing the completion dates for various Committees or Task Forces be amended to read as follows:
  - (1) “December 1998” for the Special Committee to Review the Final Report of the Toronto Transition Team; and
  - (2) “March 1999” for the Task Force on Community Access and Equity.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (a) by Councillor Adams, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Adams, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Berardinetti, Berger, Bossons, Cho, Davis, Duguid, Fillion, Flint, Holyday, Jones, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Ootes, Pitfield, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Walker - 24.

Nays: Mayor: Lastman.  
Councillors: Brown, Disero, Fotinos, Jakobek, Kelly, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Li Preti, O'Brien - 10.

Decided in the affirmative by a majority of 14.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (b) by Councillor Miller, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Mayor: Lastman.  
Councillors: Adams, Ashton, Augimeri, Berger, Bossons, Brown, Cho, Davis, Disero, Faubert, Filion, Flint, Fotinos, Giansante, Holyday, Jakobek, Kelly, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Lindsay Luby, Li Preti, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Moscoe, O'Brien, Ootes, Pitfield, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Walker - 33.

Nays: Councillors: Berardinetti, Duguid - 2.

Decided in the affirmative by a majority of 31.

At this point in the proceedings, Councillor Miller, rising on a point of order, requested Deputy Mayor Ootes to rule on whether the foregoing motion (c) by Councillor Mihevc, was in order.

Councillor Mihevc requested that further consideration of his foregoing motion (c) be held later in this meeting of Council.

Council concurred in the foregoing request of Councillor Mihevc, and further consideration of his foregoing motion (c) was deferred until later in this meeting of Council. (See Minute No. 1578.)

**1575 Clause No. 3 of Report No. 13 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed "Final Billing of 1998 Property Taxes - Update".**

Council also had before it, for consideration with the foregoing Clause, two brochures issued by the City of Toronto, entitled "Answers to common questions about Provincial Tax Policy changes that affect your tax bill: Understanding Your 1998 Residential Property Tax Bill" and "1998 Property Tax Assistance Program Low Income Seniors and Disabled Taxpayers: Program Outline, Eligibility and Limitations", respectively.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment:

- (a) Councillor Ashton, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by striking out the recommendation of the Corporate Services Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"It is recommended that additional mailers be distributed to residential taxpayers for the seniors/low income disabled tax deferral application form together with a clarification notice/brochure as outlined in the report dated August 31, 1998, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer."

The hour of 4:00 p.m. having arrived, Deputy Mayor Ootes referred to a decision made by Council earlier in this meeting (See Minute No. 1555), to give consideration at 4:00 p.m. this day to Clause No. 1 of Report No. 13 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed "Review of Fair Wage Policy, and suggested that Council defer its consideration of the foregoing Clause No. 3 of Report No. 13 of The Corporate Services Committee until later in this meeting.

Council concurred in the foregoing suggestion by Deputy Mayor Ootes (See Minute No. 1579.)

**1576 Clause No. 1 of Report No. 13 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed "Review of Fair Wage Policy".**

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, a communication submitted by Councillor Silva, dated September 28, 1998, from the Business Manager, Labourers' International Union of North America, Local 183, providing comments with respect to the Fair Wage Policy.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment:

- (a) Councillor Silva, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by striking out Recommendation No. (5) embodied in the report dated July 9, 1998, from the Chief Administrative Officer and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(5) the Fair Wage and Labour Trades Office report to the Executive Director of Human Resources for administrative purposes only, and report directly to the Corporate Services Committee on Fair Wage Policy matters, after having consulted with Human Resources and other appropriate staff; and, further, that the resource requirements of the Fair Wage and Labour Trades Office be reviewed by the Chief Administrative Officer and the Executive Director, Human Resources;"

- (b) Councillor Augimeri, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is recommended that the City of Toronto give preference to companies which support apprenticeship programs and hire qualified apprentices, within the confines of the standard contractual agreements, similar to those previously in place in the former City of Toronto and the former Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto."

- (c) Councillor Chow, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by striking out Recommendation No. (6) embodied in the report dated July 9, 1998, from the Chief Administrative Officer and inserting in lieu thereof the following:



“(6) instead of using outside consultants, the Chief Administrative Officer use existing studies commissioned by the Government of Canada and other parties to conduct an assessment in 1999 on the competitiveness of the City of Toronto’s Fair Wage schedule and rates;”.

- (d) Councillor Moscoe, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by inserting the word “approved” prior to the words “apprenticeship programs” in Recommendation No. (2)(i) of the Corporate Services Committee, and by adding to the end thereof the words “under these programs, and staff work with its reference groups to develop guidelines for approved programs”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(2)(i) with respect to the Workers’ Rights document, such report to include a Clause which would give preference to contractors who support approved apprenticeship programs and who hire and train apprentices under these programs, and staff work with its reference groups to develop guidelines for approved programs; and”.

- (e) Councillor Moscoe, in amendment, further moved that the foregoing motion (b) by Councillor Augimeri be referred to the Chief Administration Officer for consideration.
- (f) Councillor Bossons, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to provide Council with information on the size of companies which have successfully tendered during the past two years, with size being defined, at a minimum, by the number of employees and yearly sales.”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (e) by Councillor Moscoe to refer the foregoing motion (b) by Councillor Augimeri, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (a) by Councillor Silva, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Mayor: Lastman.  
Councillors: Adams, Augimeri, Berger, Bossons, Brown, Bussin, Cho, Chong, Chow, Davis, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Feldman, Filion, Flint, Fotinos, Gardner, Giansante, Johnston, Jones, Kinahan, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Lindsay Luby, Li Preti, Mahood, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Moscoe, Nunziata, O’Brien, Pantalone, Prue, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Sinclair, Walker - 44.

Nays: Councillors: Ashton, Balkissoon, Holyday, Kelly - 4.

Decided in the affirmative by a majority of 40.

Upon the question of adoption of the foregoing motion (d) by Councillor Moscoe, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (c) by Councillor Chow, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Mayor: Lastman.  
Councillors: Adams, Augimeri, Berger, Bossons, Bussin, Cho, Chow, Davis, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Fotinos, Johnston, Jones, Kinahan, Layton, Li Preti, Mahood, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Pantalone, Prue, Rae, Saundercook, Shaw, Silva, Walker - 33.

Nays: Councillors: Ashton, Balkissoon, Brown, Chong, Feldman, Flint, Gardner, Giansante, Holyday, Kelly, King, Lindsay Luby, O'Brien, Shiner, Sinclair - 15.

Decided in the affirmative by a majority of 18.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (f) by Councillor Bossons, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Bossons, Cho, Davis, Disero, Lindsay Luby, Saundercook - 6.

Nays: Mayor: Lastman.  
Councillors: Adams, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Brown, Bussin, Chong, Chow, Duguid, Faubert, Feldman, Flint, Fotinos, Gardner, Giansante, Holyday, Johnston, Jones, Kelly, Kinahan, King, Layton, Li Preti, Mahood, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Nunziata, O'Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Prue, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Sinclair, Walker - 39.

Decided in the negative by a majority of 33.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Mayor: Lastman.  
Councillors: Adams, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Berger, Bossons, Brown, Bussin, Cho, Chong, Chow, Davis, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Feldman, Filion, Flint, Fotinos, Gardner, Giansante, Holyday,

Johnston, Jones, Kelly, Kinahan, King, Layton, Lindsay Luby, Li Preti, Mahood, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Moscoe, Nunziata, O'Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Prue, Rae, Saundercook, Shaw, Shiner, Silva, Sinclair, Walker - 50.

Decided in the affirmative without dissent.

- 1577 At this point in the proceedings, Councillor Shaw, rising on a point of personal privilege, referred to the following letters of apology from Councillor Tzekas for certain remarks made to Councillors Kelly and Shaw on July 30, 1998, which communications were submitted by Councillor Shaw:

- (i) (September 24, 1998) addressed to Councillors Shaw and Kelly; and
- (ii) (September 30, 1998) addressed to the Mayor and Members of Council.

City Council also had before it a communication (October 1, 1998) addressed to the City Clerk from Councillor Tzekas requesting that copies of the aforementioned letters of apology, together with additional correspondence submitted with his communication, be distributed to all Members of Council should any Member of Council raise the issue of his apology.

Councillor Shaw moved that the correspondence circulated to Members of Council with respect to the foregoing matter be received, which was carried.

Councillor Shaw requested the City Clerk to record in the Minutes of this meeting that the aforementioned communication dated September 24, 1998, from Councillor Tzekas was not officially filed with Councillor Shaw or City Council until October 1, 1998.

- 1578 **Clause No. 1 of Report No. 17 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed "Status of Special Committees and Task Forces".**

Council resumed its consideration of the foregoing Clause. (See Minute No. 1574.)

Councillor Mihevc, with the permission of Council, withdrew his foregoing motion (c), which was made earlier in this meeting of Council, viz.:

- “(c) Councillor Mihevc, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended to provide that the list embodied in the report dated July 21, 1998, from Mayor Lastman, containing the completion dates for various Committees or Task Forces be amended to read as follows:
  - (1) ‘December 1998’ for the Special Committee to Review the Final Report of the Toronto Transition Team; and

- (2) 'March 1999' for the Task Force on Community Access and Equity.”,

having regard that he was advised the relevant dates would be corrected in the aforementioned report.

Upon the question of the foregoing Clause, as amended by the foregoing motions (a) and (b), which were made earlier in this meeting of Council, by Councillors Adams and Miller, respectively, it was carried.

**1579 Clause No. 3 of Report No. 13 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed “Final Billing of 1998 Property Taxes - Update”.**

Council resumed its consideration of the foregoing Clause. (See Minute No. 1575.)

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment:

- (b) Councillor Kinahan, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be directed to include with the 1999 tax bill, a chart indicating how the remainder of the phase-in is calculated, for that particular taxpayer, for the remainder of the phase-in period.”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (a) by Councillor Ashton, which was made earlier in this meeting of Council, viz.:

- “(a) Councillor Ashton, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by striking out the recommendation of the Corporate Services Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

‘It is recommended that additional mailers be distributed to residential taxpayers for the seniors/low income disabled tax deferral application form together with a clarification notice/brochure as outlined in the report dated August 31, 1998, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer.’ ”,

the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Adams, Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Berger, Bossons, Brown, Bussin, Cho, Chow, Davis, Disero, Duguid, Fotinos, Gardner, Holyday, Jakobek, Johnston, Jones, Kelly, Kinahan, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Li Preti, Mahood, McConnell, Mihevc, Nunziata, O'Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Prue, Rae, Saundercook, Sgro, Shaw, Shiner, Silva, Walker - 40.

Nays: Councillors: Augimeri, Chong, Feldman, Filion, Flint, Giansante, Layton, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Miller, Pitfield - 11.

Decided in the affirmative by a majority of 29.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (b) by Councillor Kinahan, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Adams, Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Brown, Bussin, Cho, Chong, Chow, Davis, Disero, Duguid, Fotinos, Gardner, Holyday, Jakobek, Johnston, Jones, Kelly, Kinahan, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Li Preti, Mahood, Mihevc, Moscoe, Nunziata, O'Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Prue, Rae, Saundercook, Sgro, Shaw, Shiner, Silva, Walker - 39.

Nays: Councillors: Augimeri, Berger, Feldman, Filion, Giansante, Layton, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Miller, Pitfield - 11.

Decided in the affirmative by a majority of 28.

- 1580 At this point in the proceedings, Councillor Prue, with the permission of Council, moved that Council vary the order of its proceedings to now consider the following Notice of Motion J(8) and, seconded by Councillor Shaw, moved that subsections 26(4), 27(1) and 28(1) of the Council Procedural By-law be waived to permit consideration of such Notice of Motion, which was carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:

**Moved by: Councillor Prue**

**Seconded by: Councillor Shaw**

**“WHEREAS** City Council at its meeting held on July 8, 9 and 10, 1998, directed that the membership of the Economic Development Committee be increased to provide for the appointment of the additional representative from East York, at his or her option; and

**WHEREAS** the Council Procedural By-law provides that the Budget Committee consists of a representative from each of the Standing Committees and, as yet, there is no representative from the Economic Development Committee on the Budget Committee; and

**WHEREAS** most Council Member appointments have already been dealt with by Council and there are no immediate positions available for the newly-elected Councillor until the next round of appointments in May, 1999; and

**WHEREAS** the newly-elected Councillor Jane Pitfield has expressed an interest in serving on the Economic Development Committee and the Budget Committee;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Councillor Jane Pitfield, East York, be appointed to the Economic Development Committee for a term of office expiring on May 31, 1999, and/or until her successor is appointed;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** Councillor Pitfield's preference to serve on the Budget Committee as the representative from the Economic Development Committee be referred to the Striking Committee for consideration;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the City Clerk be requested to canvass members of the Economic Development Committee to determine if any other Members wish to be considered for the appointment and report thereon to the next meeting of the Striking Committee;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** leave be granted to introduce any necessary bill in Council to give effect thereto."

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Motion, without amendment, it was carried.

Council recessed at 5:54 p.m.

**8:11 P.M.**

Council reconvened in Committee Room "A".

Deputy Mayor Ootes took the Chair and called the Members to order.

1581 Members present at the first evening session of the first day of this meeting:

Mayor: Lastman.

Councillors: Adams, Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Berger, Bossons, Brown, Cho, Chong, Chow, Davis, Disero, Duguid, Feldman, Fillion, Flint, Fotinos, Giansante, Holyday, Jakobek, Johnston, Jones, Kelly, Kinahan, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Li Preti, Mahood, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Moeser, Moscoe, O'Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Prue, Rae, Saundercook, Shaw, Shiner, Silva, Sinclair, Walker - 49.

At this point in the proceedings, Council resolved itself into Committee of the Whole.

The Committee of the Whole recessed at 8:12 p.m. to meet privately to consider the following confidential matters appearing on the Order Paper for this meeting of Council, in accordance with the provisions of the *Municipal Act*:

- (1) Clause No. 2 of Report No. 12 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed “219 Dufferin Street, Sale of Surplus City-Owned Property - (Ward 20 - Trinity-Niagara)”;
- (2) Clause No. 34 of Report No. 13 of The Corporate Services Committee headed “5182 and 5200 Yonge Street - Extension Request”;
- (3) Clause No. 1 of Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed “Compliance Audit of Election Campaign Finances of a Candidate”;
- (4) Item (I), entitled “Collective Bargaining Advisory Panel”, embodied in Clause No. 29 of Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed “Other Items Considered by the Committee”;
- (5) Clause No. 1 of Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council, headed “Park Drive Ravine - Ontario Municipal Board Decision (Midtown)”;
- (6) Clause No. 1 of Report No. 6 of The Nominating Committee, headed “Appointments to Agencies, Boards and Commissions”.

**9:56 P.M.**

Committee of the Whole reconvened in the Council Chamber.

Committee of the Whole rose.

Deputy Mayor Ootes took the Chair and called the Members to order.

1582 Members present at the second evening session of the first day of this meeting:

Mayor: Lastman.

Councillors: Adams, Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Berger, Bossons, Brown, Cho, Chong, Chow, Davis, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Feldman, Filion, Flint, Fotinos, Giansante, Holyday, Jakobek, Johnston, Jones, Kinahan, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Li Preti, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Moeser, Moscoe, Nunziata, O'Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Prue, Rae, Saundercook, Shaw, Shiner, Silva, Sinclair, Walker - 50.

At this point in the proceedings, Councillor Moscoe, moved that, in accordance with subsection 11(8) of the Council Procedural By-law, Council waive the requirement of the 10:00 p.m. recess, in order to permit Council to consider the foregoing Clauses that were

before the Committee of the Whole, which was carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

1583 **Clause No. 2 of Report No. 12 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed “219 Dufferin Street, Sale of Surplus City-Owned Property - (Ward 20 - Trinity-Niagara)”.**

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, a confidential report (undated) from the City Solicitor respecting the sale of surplus City-owned property at 219 Dufferin Street.

Deputy Mayor Ootes, in accordance with the provisions of the *Municipal Act*, reported that the following motions had been moved in Committee of the Whole for consideration by Council in connection with the foregoing Clause:

(a) Moved by Councillor Pantalone:

“It is recommended that the foregoing Clause be amended by striking out the recommendation of the Corporate Services Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

‘It is recommended that:

- (i) the purchaser, 1245686 Ontario Inc., be required to complete the transaction within 30 days based upon a purchase price of \$2,460,000.00, along with the original conditions in the Agreement of Purchase and Sale; and
- (ii) should the purchaser not agree to complete this transaction in accordance with the foregoing recommendation, the Commissioner of Corporate Services be instructed to remarket the property on the basis that the City is only prepared to consider clean, unconditional cash offers which would not provide a purchaser with an opportunity to conduct an environmental inspection and a purchaser must complete the transaction expeditiously and accept the property on a totally ‘as is’ basis.’ ”

(b) Moved by Councillor Shiner:

“It is recommended that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

‘It is further recommended that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Corporate Services be requested



to report back to the meeting of the Corporate Services Committee scheduled to be held on November 9, 1998, on all approved property sales, including the status of those sales and the disposition/commitment of funds, and a policy on how to harmonize the use of these funds.' ”

Deputy Mayor Ootes called for further motions in connection with the foregoing Clause.

There being no further motions, upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (a) by Councillor Pantalone, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (b) by Councillor Shiner, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

**1584 Clause No. 1 of Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed “Compliance Audit of Election Campaign Finances of a Candidate”.**

Deputy Mayor Ootes, in accordance with the provisions of the *Municipal Act*, reported that no motions had been moved in Committee of the Whole for consideration by Council in connection with the foregoing Clause.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, it was carried.

**1585 Clause No. 34 of Report No. 13 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed “5182 and 5200 Yonge Street - Extension Request”.**

Council deferred its consideration of the foregoing Clause until later in this meeting of Council. (See Minute No. 1624.)

**1586 Clause No. 29 of Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed “Other Items Considered by the Committee”.**

Council deferred its consideration of the foregoing Clause until later in this meeting of Council. (See Minute No. 1594.)

**1587 Clause No. 1 of Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council, headed “Park Drive Ravine - Ontario Municipal Board Decision (Midtown)”.**

Council also had before, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, a confidential report (September 25, 1998) from the City Solicitor reporting further with respect to the Ontario Municipal Board Decision on a Review Motion pursuant to Section 43 of the Ontario

Municipal Board Act issued September 3, 1998, exempting 15 Beaumont Road and 119R Glen Road from Zoning By-law No. 1997-0369 (Midtown).

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, the following communications:

- (i) (September 30, 1998) from the Chair, Task Force to Bring Back the Don, in support of the Ravine By-law No. 1997-0369 and urging Council to appeal the Ontario Municipal Board decision to amend this By-law to exempt the properties at 119R Glen Road and 15 Beaumont Road;
- (ii) (September 30, 1998) from the President, South Rosedale Ratepayers' Association, in support of the recommendation of the Toronto Community Council to appeal the decision of the Ontario Municipal Board; and
- (iii) (undated) from Nancy and John McFadyen, attaching a list of concerned citizens in support of the OMB's decision on the property at 15 Beaumont Road, and in opposition to the OMB's decision on the property at 119R Glen Road; and suggesting that the vote be taken separately with respect to each of these properties.

Deputy Mayor Ootes, in accordance with the provisions of the *Municipal Act*, reported that the following motions had been moved in Committee of the Whole for consideration by Council in connection with the foregoing Clause:

- (a) Moved by Councillor Adams:

“Having regard that the foregoing Clause was submitted without recommendation, it is recommended that Council adopt the following recommendation:

‘It is recommended that the City Solicitor be requested to continue to seek leave to appeal the Ontario Municipal Board Decision with respect to 119R Glen Road, and to withdraw the City’s motion for leave to appeal with respect to 15 Beaumont Road.’ ”

- (b) Moved by Councillor Kinahan:

“It is recommended that the foregoing motion by Councillor Adams be amended to provide that the City Solicitor be directed to continue the appeal on both properties.”

- (c) Moved by Councillor Fotinos:

“Having regard that the foregoing Clause was submitted without recommendation, it is recommended that Council adopt the following recommendation:

‘It is recommended that in light of the current decision of the Ontario Municipal Board and the requirements in place by the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority, Council request such Conservation Authority to waive the provisions of the Ravine By-law for this particular case and exempt 15 Beaumont Road.’ ”

Deputy Mayor Ootes called for further motions in connection with the foregoing Clause.

There being no additional motions, upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (b) by Councillor Kinahan, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Adams, Bossons, Cho, Chow, Faubert, Jones, Kinahan, Layton, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Moeser, Nunziata, Pantalone - 14.

Nays: Councillors: Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Berger, Brown, Chong, Disero, Duguid, Feldman, Filion, Flint, Fotinos, Giansante, King, Li Preti, Mammoliti, Moscoe, O’Brien, Ootes, Pitfield, Prue, Rae, Saundercook, Shaw, Shiner, Silva, Sinclair, Walker - 29.

Decided in the negative by a majority of 15.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (a) by Councillor Adams, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Adams, Berardinetti, Bossons, Brown, Cho, Chong, Chow, Flint, Jones, Kinahan, Layton, Li Preti, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Moeser, Moscoe, Nunziata, O’Brien, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Silva, Sinclair, Walker - 25.

Nays: Councillor: Altobello, Ashton, Berger, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Feldman, Filion, Fotinos, Giansante, Holyday, King, Mammoliti, Ootes, Pitfield, Prue, Shaw, Shiner - 18.

Decided in the affirmative by a majority of 7.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (c) by Councillor Fotinos, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Berardinetti, Disero, Faubert, Fotinos, Holyday, Nunziata, Walker - 7.

Nays: Councillors: Adams, Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Berger, Bossons, Brown, Cho, Chong, Chow, Davis, Duguid, Feldman, Filion, Giansante, Jones, Kinahan, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Li Preti, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Moeser, Moscoe, O'Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Prue, Rae, Saundercook, Shaw, Shiner, Silva, Sinclair - 38.

Decided in the negative by a majority of 31.

Deputy Mayor Ootes, in accordance with the provision of the Municipal Act, reported that Council, at its in-camera meeting, had amended the foregoing Clause to provide for confidential instructions to staff, such instructions to remain confidential in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act.

**1588 Clause No. 1 of Report No. 6 of The Nominating Committee, headed "Appointments to Agencies, Boards and Commissions".**

Deputy Mayor Ootes, in accordance with the provisions of the *Municipal Act*, reported that no motions had been moved in Committee of the Whole for consideration by Council in connection with the foregoing Clause.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor King, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by striking out and referring Recommendation No. (3) of the Nominating Committee, pertaining to appointments to the Toronto Licensing Tribunal, back to the Nominating Committee for further consideration.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor King, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Altobello, Berardinetti, Bossons, Chong, Chow, Disero, Faubert, Flint, Fotinos, Holyday, Jakobek, Johnston, Jones, Kelly, Kinahan, King, Layton, McConnell, Miller, Moeser, Moscoe, Nunziata, O'Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Prue Saundercook, Shaw, Silva, Sinclair - 31.

Nays: Councillors: Ashton, Balkissoon, Berger, Brown, Cho, Davis, Duguid, Feldman, Filion, Giansante, Korwin-Kuczynski, Li Preti, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Rae, Walker - 16.

Decided in the affirmative by a majority of 15.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

- 1589 At this point in the proceedings, Councillor Davis, referred to a previous decision made earlier in this meeting of Council to deal with Clause No. 3 of Report No. 10 of The York Community Council, headed “(1) Removal of Parking Restrictions on Residential Side Streets in the Cedarvale Area; and (2) Request for Re-installation of Parking Meters on the South side of Eglinton Avenue West Ward 28, York Eglinton”, when Council reconvenes after its in-camera session this day. (See Minute No. 1615.)

Councillor Saundercook moved that, in accordance with subsection 11(8) of the Council Procedural By-law, Council waive the requirement of the 10:00 p.m. recess, in order to consider such Clause, upon which was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Bossons, Chow, Davis, Disero, Duguid, Filion, Flint, Fotinos, Giansante, Holyday, Jakobek, Johnston, Kinahan, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Li Preti, Mihevc, Nunziata, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Prue, Rae, Saundercook, Shaw, Sinclair - 27.

Nays: Councillors: Adams, Altobello, Ashton, Berardinetti, Berger, Cho, Chong, Faubert, Feldman, Jones, Mammoliti, McConnell, Miller, Moeser, Moscoe, Shiner, Walker - 17.

Decided in the negative, less than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

- 1590 Councillor Moscoe, seconded by Councillor Jones, moved that leave be granted to introduce:

“Bill No. 727 To confirm the first portion of the proceedings of the Council at its Meeting held on the 1st day of October, 1998.”,

which was carried.

Upon the question, “Shall this Bill, prepared for this meeting of Council, be passed and hereby declared as a By-law?”, as follows:

“By-law No. 615-1998 To confirm the first portion of the proceedings of the Council at its Meeting held on the 1st day of October, 1998.”,

the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Adams, Altobello, Ashton, Berardinetti, Berger, Bossons, Cho, Chong, Chow, Davis, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Feldman, Filion, Fotinos, Giansante, Holyday, Jakobek, Johnston, Jones, Kinahan, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Li Preti, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Moeser, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Prue, Rae, Saundercook, Shaw, Silva, Sinclair - 41.

Nays: Councillor: Mammoliti - 1.

Decided in the affirmative by a majority of 40.

Council recessed at 10:15 p.m.

**FRIDAY, OCTOBER 2, 1998, 9:40 A.M.**

Council reconvened.

Deputy Mayor Ootes took the Chair and called the Members to order.

- 1591 At the request of Council, the City Clerk called the Roll at 9:49 a.m., those Members present at the call of the Roll being:

Councillors: Adams, Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Chong, Chow, Davis, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Feldman, Flint, Fotinos, Gardner, Giansante, Holyday, Jakobek, Johnston, Kinahan, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Lindsay Luby, Li Preti, Mahood, McConnell, Miller, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Pantalone, Prue, Rae, Saundercook, Sgro, Shaw, Silva, Walker - 38.

- 1592 Members present at the morning session of the second day of this meeting:

Mayor: Lastman.

Councillors: Adams, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Berger, Bossons, Brown, Bussin, Cho, Chong, Chow, Davis, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Feldman, Filion, Flint, Fotinos, Gardner, Giansante, Holyday, Jakobek, Johnston, Jones, Kelly, Kinahan, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Lindsay Luby, Li Preti, Mahood, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Moeser, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Prue, Rae, Saundercook, Sgro, Shaw, Shiner, Silva, Sinclair, Walker - 55.

Council resumed its consideration of the various Reports of the Standing Committees and Community Councils.

- 1593 Councillor Pantalone presented the following Report for the consideration of Council:

Report No. 19 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee,

and moved, seconded by Councillor Gardner that the provisions of Section 44 of the Council Procedural By-law be waived in connection with the foregoing Report and that Council now give consideration to such Report, which was carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Deputy Mayor Ootes requested Members of Council to indicate whether they had an interest in the Clauses embodied in the foregoing Report, together with the nature of the interest.

There were no declarations of interest.

Upon the question of the adoption of Report No. 19 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee (with the exception of Clauses Nos. 1, 3 and 7) without amendment, it was carried.

1594 **Clause No. 29 of Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed “Other Items Considered by the Committee”.**

Council resumed its consideration of the foregoing Clause. (See Minute No. 1586.)

Deputy Mayor Ootes, in accordance with the provisions of the *Municipal Act*, reported that no motions had been moved in Committee of the Whole on October 1, 1998, for consideration by Council in connection with the foregoing Clause.

Deputy Mayor Ootes further reported that while the joint report dated August 27, 1998, from the Executive Director of Human Resources and the Chief Administrative Officer referred to in Item (I), entitled “Collective Bargaining Advisory Panel”, remains confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the *Municipal Act*, the list of elected officials appointed to the said Advisory Panel should be made public, viz.:

“Mayor Mel Lastman, Chair;  
Councillor Tom Jakobek;  
Councillor Dick O’Brien;  
Councillor Case Ootes; and  
Councillor Joe Pantalone.”

Upon the question of the receipt for information of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Flint, in amendment, moved that, notwithstanding subsection 128(5) of the Council Procedural By-law, Councillor Joanne Flint be also appointed to the Advisory Panel, which was carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Upon the question of the receipt for information of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

1595 **Clause No. 35 of Report No. 13 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed “Other Items Considered by the Committee”.**

Upon the question of the receipt for information of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, it was carried.

1596 **Clause No. 6 of Report No. 2 of The Economic Development Committee, headed “International AIDS Conference in 2004”.**

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, a joint report (October 1, 1998) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, seeking Council’s approval to provide a loan or loan guarantee, in the amount of \$1.0 million (US) in support of hosting the International Conference on AIDS in 2004 in Toronto, should Toronto be selected as the host city for the event, and submitting recommendations with respect thereto.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Chow, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the joint report dated October 1, 1998, from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, entitled ‘Provision of a Loan Guarantee for the International AIDS Conference in 2004’, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) if Toronto is selected as the host city for the 2004 International Conference on AIDS, the City agree to provide a loan, or loan guarantee, in the amount of \$1.0 Million (US) to the legal entity established by the International AIDS Society for the Toronto Conference, provided that a satisfactory business plan for the subject event is made available to the City;
- (2) authority be granted to staff to negotiate an agreement with the legal entity established by the International AIDS Society for the Toronto Conference, which sets out the terms and conditions under which a loan or loan guarantee would be provided, subject to the satisfaction of the City Treasurer, the City Solicitor and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.’ ”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor Chow, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.



- 1597 **Clause No. 12 of Report No. 2 of The Economic Development Committee, headed “Play Structure and Waterplay Areas”.**

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, it was carried.

- 1598 **Clause No. 1 of Report No. 9 of The Emergency and Protective Services Committee, headed “Public Access Defibrillation Program”.**

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, it was carried.

- 1599 **Clause No. 5 of Report No. 9 of The Emergency and Protective Services Committee, headed “Other Items Considered by the Committee”.**

Upon the question of the receipt for information of the foregoing Clause, save and except Item (m), entitled “Toronto Police Service - Air Service Business Case”, without amendment, it was carried.

Council deferred its consideration of Item (m) embodied in the foregoing Clause until later in this meeting of Council. (See Minute No. 1678.)

- 1600 **Clause No. 23 of Report No. 11 of The Urban Environment and Development Committee, headed “Various Amendments to Former Metropolitan Traffic By-laws”.**

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, it was carried.

- 1601 **Clause No. 5 of Report No. 11 of The Urban Environment and Development Committee, headed “Ontario Municipal Board Hearings”.**

Councillor Pantalone moved that, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedure By-law, the foregoing Clause be re-opened for further consideration, which was carried, more than two-thirds of the Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, a joint report (September 30, 1998) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the City Solicitor providing information with respect to funds allocated in the former City Legal Department budgets for the retention of expert witnesses for Ontario Municipal Board hearings, and recommending that this report be referred to the Budget Committee for review.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Pantalone, in amendment moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the joint report dated September 30, 1998, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the City Solicitor, entitled “Retention of Planning Experts”, embodying the following recommendation, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that this report be referred to the Budget Committee for review.’ ”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor Pantalone, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

- 1602 Earlier in this meeting of Council, Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee was held in its entirety, and Council subsequently gave its consideration to Clauses Nos. 1, 2, 27 and 29.

Deputy Mayor Ootes suggested that Council now decide which Clauses it still wishes to hold for further consideration.

Council concurred in the foregoing suggestion by Deputy Mayor Ootes.

Upon the question of the adoption of the balance of Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee (with the exception of Clauses Nos. 3, 8, 12, 14, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 26 and 28), without amendment, it was carried.

- 1603 **Clause No. 12 of Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed “Toronto Atmospheric Fund (TAF) - Capital Reserves”.**

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Adams, Altobello, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Berger, Bossons, Bussin, Cho, Chong, Chow, Davis, Disero, Duguid, Flint, Fotinos, Gardner, Giansante, Jakobek, Johnston, Jones, Kinahan, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Lindsay Luby, Li Preti, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Moeser, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Prue, Rae, Saundercook, Sgro, Shaw, Shiner, Silva, Sinclair, Walker - 45.

Nays: Nil.

Decided in the affirmative without dissent.

- 1604 **Clause No. 9 of Report No. 13 of The East York Community Council, headed “Public Meeting in accordance with the Planning Act with respect to Official Plan and Zoning**

**By-law Amendment Applications to Implement the O'Connor Business Area Secondary Plan".**

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, it was carried.

1605 **Clause No. 10 of Report No. 13 of The East York Community Council, headed "Public Meeting in accordance with the Planning Act with respect to Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendment Applications submitted by Katmandu Investment Corporation in connection with 1590 O'Connor Drive".**

Council also had before it, for consideration with the foregoing Clause, the following communications:

- (i) from the Executive Officer, Facilities and Capital Program, Toronto District School Board (September 21, 1998) regarding the application from Katmandu Investment Corporation to amend Official Plan and Zoning By-law 6752 to permit the development of a vacant property at 1590 O'Connor Drive and submitting comments in this regard; and
- (ii) from A. W. Boothe, C.E.O., Dominion Regalia Limited (September 17, 1998) in support of the proposed amendments to East York's Official Plan and Zoning By-law for 1590 O'Connor Drive.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, it was carried.

1606 **Clause No. 23 of Report No. 9 of The North York Community Council, headed "Broadlands Community Centre - Parking Lot - Don Parkway".**

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Chong, in amendment, moved that consideration of the foregoing Clause be deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council to be held on October 28, 1998.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing deferral motion by Councillor Chong, it was carried.

1607 **Clause No. 17 of Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council, headed "Reduction of Permit Parking Hours on Madison Avenue, Between Bloor Street West and Lowther Avenue (Midtown)".**

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Adams, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) the hours of operation of the parking meters located on this section of Madison Avenue be adjusted to commence at 7:00 a.m. and that this change take effect concurrent with the change in hours of permit parking; and
- (2) authority be granted for the introduction of any necessary bills to give effect thereto.”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor Adams, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

1608 **Clause No. 30 of Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council, headed “Proposed Installation of Speed Humps - Roxborough Drive from Mt. Pleasant Road to Highland Avenue (Midtown)”.**

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, it was carried.

1609 **Clause No. 61 of Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council, headed “Ontario Municipal Board Appeal - 2223 Bloor Street West - Runnymede Theatre (High Park)”.**

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, the following:

- (i) a communication (September 23, 1998) from the City Clerk advising that the Budget Committee recommends that, in the event it is necessary to retain an outside planner with respect to the Ontario Municipal Board Appeal: 2223 Bloor Street West - Runnymede Theatre, the necessary funds be absorbed from within the Legal Division’s Budget; and
- (ii) a petition signed by concerned citizens supporting the continued operation of the Runnymede Theatre.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Rae, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the recommendation of the Budget Committee embodied in the communication dated September 23, 1998, from the City Clerk, be adopted, viz.:

‘The Budget Committee on September 23, 1998, recommended to Council that, in the event it is necessary to retain the services of an outside planner,

the necessary funds be absorbed from within the budget of the Legal Division.’ ”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor Rae, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

- 1610 **Clause No. 1 of Report No. 10 of The York Community Council, headed “Alteration of Various Streets in the City of Toronto (York District) by the Installation of Traffic Calming Measures, Ward 27 - York Eglinton and Ward 28 - York Humber”.**

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, it was carried.

- 1611 **Clause No. 3 of Report No. 6 of The Striking Committee, headed “Other Items Considered by the Committee”.**

Upon the question of the receipt for information of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, it was carried.

- 1612 **Clause No. 17 of Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed “Toronto District Heating Corporation”.**

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, the following communications:

- (i) (February 26, 1998) from the City Clerk, submitted by Councillor Adams, advising of the action taken by the Special Committee to Review the Final Report of the Toronto Transition Team on February 26, 1998, with respect to a joint report (February 10, 1998) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the City Solicitor regarding the Toronto District Heating Corporation;
- (ii) copy of “Amendment to Schedule D to *The Energy Competition Act, 1998*”, submitted by Councillor Fotinos; and
- (iii) (September 23, 1998) from the Executive Director, Pollution Probe, submitted by Councillor Fotinos, addressed to the Minister of Energy, Science and Technology, advising of their support of the amendments requested by the Toronto District Heating Corporation to *The Energy Competition Act, 1998*, submitted by Councillor Fotinos.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment:

- (a) Councillor Moscoe, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that City Council advise the Toronto District Heating Corporation (TDHC) that, should it move in the direction of privatization, it will be required to pay not only cash in-lieu of taxes, but rent for use of City road allowances consistent with, but not limited to, the principles enunciated in the Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM) model agreement.”

- (b) Councillor Bossons, in amendment, moved that the foregoing motion (a) by Councillor Moscoe be amended by deleting therefrom the word “privatization” and inserting in lieu thereof the words “for profit”.

Council deferred further consideration of the foregoing Clause until later in this meeting of Council. (See Minute No. 1616.)

**1613 Clause No. 21 of Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed “Transfer Plan for Child Care Services and Adjustments to Child Care Support for Ontario Works”.**

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, a communication (October 1, 1998) from the City Clerk advising that the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, at its Special Meeting on October 1, 1998, recommended that City Council consider, in conjunction with the foregoing Clause, a report (September 30, 1998) from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services, entitled “Transfer Plan for Child Care Services and Adjustments to Child Care Support for Ontario Works”, and a communication (September 29, 1998) from the Area Manager, Ministry of Community and Social Services, respecting Ontario Works child care funding.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Chong, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by:

- (1) adding to Recommendation No. (3) of the Community and Neighbourhood Services Committee embodied in the communication dated September 10, 1998, addressed to the Budget Committee from the City Clerk, the words “in the existing subsidized child care system”, (which recommendation will be considered by the Budget Committee at a future meeting), so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(3) that the City of Toronto reconfirm its support for a high quality child care system, the policies of first come, first served, equity and informed parental choice in the existing child care system.”; and

- (2) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that consideration be given to maximizing the use of other alternatives, such as parks and recreation programs and after-four

programs, in order to meet the needs of the children of Ontario Works participants.”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor Chong, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

- 1614 At this point in the proceedings, with the permission of Council, Councillor Kinahan moved that, in accordance with subsection 11(8) of the Council Procedural By-law, Council waive the requirement of the 12:30 p.m. recess; that Council reconvene in Committee Room “A” at 12:00 noon and resolve itself into Committee of the Whole to consider in-camera until 12:45 p.m., if necessary, any matters remaining on the Order Paper of a confidential nature, in accordance with the provisions of the *Municipal Act*, which was carried, more than two thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Deputy Mayor Ootes proposed that following the foregoing in-camera session, Council recess, in accordance with subsection 11(8) of the Council Procedural By-law, for 15 minutes only prior to reconvening to consider the remaining items on the agenda, upon which the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Berger, Chow, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Flint, Gardner, Giansante, Holyday, Jakobek, Jones, Kelly, Kinahan, King, Mihevc, Moeser, Ootes, Pitfield, Rae, Shaw - 20.

Nays: Councillors: Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Brown, Bussin, Cho, Johnston, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Li Preti, Mahood, Mammoliti, McConnell, Miller, Moscoe, Pantalone, Prue, Sgro, Shiner, Silva, Walker - 22.

Decided in the negative, less than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

- 1615 **Clause No. 3 of Report No. 10 of The York Community Council, headed “(1) Removal of Parking Restrictions on Residential Side Streets in the Cedarvale Area; and (2) Request for Re-installation of Parking Meters on the South side of Eglinton Avenue West - Ward 28, York Eglinton”.**

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Davis, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by striking out the recommendation of the York Community Council and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) parking meters be re-installed in this area from the Wm. R. Allen Road to Rostrevor Road and that the number of parking meters that were in place prior to the start of the Eglinton Tail Track be re-installed; and
- (2) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to investigate the possibility of utilizing an alternate centre lane similar to the one on Jarvis Street.”

At this point in the proceedings, Councillor Pantalone, rising on a point of order, requested Deputy Mayor Ootes to rule whether the foregoing Clause, has City-wide implications and should, therefore, be referred to the Urban Environment and Development Committee for comment thereon.

Deputy Mayor Ootes ruled that the foregoing Clause should be struck out and referred to the Urban Environment and Development Committee for comment insofar as it relates to City-wide implications only.

Councillor Davis challenged the ruling of the Deputy Mayor.

Upon the question, “Shall the ruling of the Deputy Mayor be upheld?”, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Augimeri, Bossons, Cho, Chong, Disero, Feldman, Flint, Fotinos, Gardner, Jakobek, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Li Preti, Mihevc, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Pitfield, Pantalone, Prue, Shaw - 21.

Nays: Councillors: Adams, Brown, Davis, Giansante, Holyday, Johnston, McConnell, Rae, Saundercook, Sgro, Shiner, Walker - 12.

Decided in the affirmative by a majority of 9.

Having regard for the foregoing decision of Council, the foregoing motion by Councillor Davis was not put to a vote, and the foregoing Clause was struck out and referred to the Urban Environment and Development Committee for comment insofar as it relates to City-wide implications only.

1616 **Clause No. 17 of Report No. 18 of the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed “Toronto District Heating Corporation”.**

Council resumed its consideration of the foregoing Clause. (See Minute No. 1612.)

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment:

- (c) Councillor Fotinos, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:



“It is further recommended that the City of Toronto representatives on the Board of Directors of the Toronto District Heating Corporation (THDC) be instructed to submit a report to Council, for its approval and subsequent submission to the Board of Directors of TDHC, on a proposal for a significant restructuring of THDC.”

Councillor Fotinos, with the permission of Council, subsequently withdrew his foregoing motion (c).

- (d) Councillor Adams, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the TDHC be requested to enter into a Municipal Access Agreement with the City of Toronto for the purpose of district cooling and district heating distribution pipes, and the legislative amendments and any shareholders’ agreements so stipulate.”

- (e) Councillor Miller, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the City of Toronto’s appointees to the Board of Directors of TDHC be required to submit a report to the Works and Utilities Committee, for approval by City Council, prior to their voting for any proposed restructuring, including the sale or issuance of shares.”

Councillor Moscoe, with the permission of Council, amended his foregoing motion (a), which was made earlier in this meeting of Council, by deleting the words “should it move in the direction of privatization”, so that such motion (a) shall now read as follows:

- “(a) Councillor Moscoe, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

‘It is further recommended that City Council advise the Toronto District Heating Corporation (TDHC) that it will be required to pay not only cash in-lieu of taxes, but rent for use of City road allowances consistent with, but not limited to, the principles enunciated in the Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM) model agreement.’ ”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (a) by Councillor Moscoe, as thus re-worded, it was carried.

Councillor Bossons, with the permission of Council, withdrew her foregoing motion (b), which was made earlier in this meeting of Council.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (d) by Councillor Adams, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (e) by Councillor Miller, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

**1617 Clause No. 20 of Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed "Ontario Lottery Corporation - Advance Funding Program".**

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Saundercook, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that the Director, Legislative Services, ensure that the Ontario Lottery Corporation Advance Funding Allocations include municipally-funded organizations which had their funding eliminated due to the closure of a charity gaming facility."

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor Saundercook, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

**1618 Clause No. 1 of Report No. 8 of The Works and Utilities Committee, headed "Keele Valley Landfill Site - Filling Options".**

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, the following communications:

- (i) (September 14, 1998) from the President, Vaughan C.A.R.E.S., addressed to The Honourable Al Palladini, M.P.P., York Centre, expressing appreciation, on behalf of all the residents in Maple, for his effort to support the community in its struggle to have the Keele Valley landfill closed sooner rather than later;
- (ii) (August 28, 1998) from the President, Maple Ratepayers Association, expressing support of Option No. (2) embodied in the report dated July 9, 1998, from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, respecting the rapid fill approach of the Keele Valley Landfill Site;
- (iii) (undated) from a Member of the Board of Directors, Maple Glen Homeowners Association, expressing support of Option No. (2) embodied in the report dated July 9, 1998, from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, respecting the rapid fill approach of the Keele Valley Landfill Site;

- (iv) (September 1, 1998) from Mario Cianchetti requesting that Council not allow any further expansion of the Keele Valley Landfill Site;
- (v) (September 28, 1998) from the Regional Clerk, York Region, forwarding the decision of the York Region with respect to the Keele Valley Landfill Site; and
- (vi) (September 16, 1998) from Ms. Marilyn Iafrate, Maple, Ontario, submitting comments regarding the Keele Valley Landfill Site.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment:

- (a) Councillor King, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding to the recommendation of the Works and Utilities Committees the following words:

“subject to the Mayor and the Chair of the Works and Utilities Committee meeting with Minister Sterling and getting his assurance that this increase in the export of waste will not initiate his designating the City of Toronto under Bill 76 to complete a full Environmental Assessment.”

Council deferred further consideration of the foregoing Clause until later in this meeting of Council. (See Minute No. 1621.)

**1619 Clause No. 3 of Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed “The 2008 Toronto Olympic Bid - October, 1998 Update Report”.**

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, a report (October 1, 1998) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture, and Tourism, recommending that Council endorse the additional appointments to the Board of Directors of the 2008 Olympic Bid Corporation (TO-Bid), those persons named in Appendix 1 of this report.

Having regard that the foregoing Clause was submitted without recommendation, Councillor Shiner moved that Council adopt the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the report dated October 1, 1998, from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, entitled ‘2008 Toronto Olympic Bid - Supplementary Report’, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that City Council endorse the additional appointments to the Board of Directors of the 2008 Olympic Bid Corporation (TO-Bid), those persons named in Appendix 1 to this report’.”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor Shiner, it was carried.

- 1620 At this point in the proceedings, Councillor Silva, with the permission of Council, introduced Mr. Manuel Ribeiro, Mayor of Almodovar and Mr. Jose Godinho, Mayor of Aljustrel, who were present at this meeting of Council.

1621 **Clause No. 1 of Report No. 8 of The Works and Utilities Committee, headed “Keele Valley Landfill Site - Filling Options”.**

Council resumed its consideration of the foregoing Clause. (See Minute No. 1631.)

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment:

- (b) Councillor Miller, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be received.
- (c) Councillor Sgro, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by striking out the recommendations of the Works and Utilities Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

“It is recommended that the Status Quo Option (1) for filling the Keele Valley Landfill Site, as outlined in the report dated August 28, 1998, from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, be adopted.”

- (d) Councillor Disero, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the financial implications and costs be referred to the Budget Committee for consideration in the 1999 Budget.”

- (e) Councillor Disero, in amendment, further moved that the foregoing motion (a) by Councillor King, which was made earlier in this meeting of Council, be amended by striking out the words “subject to” and substituting therefor the words “It is further recommended that” at the beginning of such motion.

- (f) Councillor Walker, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by striking out the recommendations of the Works and Utilities Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) Status Quo Option (1) outlined in the report of the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, be adopted subject to amending the landfill fee to \$90.00 per tonne; and

- (2) the Works and Utilities Committee be requested to hold an emergency meeting to consider an accelerated process to find a permanent solution for landfill capacity.”

Council again deferred further consideration of the foregoing matter until later in this meeting of Council. (See Minute No. 1631.)

Council recessed at 12:09 p.m.

**12:10 P.M.**

Council reconvened in Committee Room “A”.

Deputy Mayor Ootes took the Chair and called the Members to order.

- 1622 Members present at the first afternoon session of the second day of this meeting:

Councillors: Adams, Ashton, Berger, Bossons, Brown, Bussin, Cho, Chong, Disero, Duguid, Feldman, Filion, Flint, Fotinos, Gardner, Giansante, Holyday, Kinahan, King, Lindsay Luby, Mahood, McConnell, Miller, Moeser, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Prue, Silva - 30.

At this point in the proceedings, Council resolved itself into Committee of the Whole.

The Committee of the Whole recessed at 12:15 p.m. to meet privately to consider Clause No. 34 of Report No. 13 of The Corporate Services Committee headed “5182 and 5200 Yonge Street - Extension Request”.

**2:11 P.M.**

The Committee of the Whole reconvened in the Council Chamber.

The Committee of the Whole rose.

Deputy Mayor Ootes took the Chair and called the Members to order.

- 1623 At the request of Council, the City Clerk called the Roll at 2:13 p.m., those Members present at the call of the Roll being:

Councillors: Altobello, Berardinetti, Berger, Bossons, Brown, Bussin, Chong, Chow, Davis, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Feldman, Flint, Fotinos, Holyday, Jakobek, Johnston, Kelly, Kinahan, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Moeser, O’Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shaw, Shiner, Silva, Walker - 36.

Members present at the second afternoon session of the second day of this meeting:

Councillors: Adams, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Berger, Bossons, Brown, Bussin, Cho, Chong, Chow, Davis, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Feldman, Fillion, Flint, Gardner, Giansante, Holyday, Jakobek, Johnston, Jones, Kelly, Kinahan, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Lindsay Luby, Mahood, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Moeser, Moscoe, Nunziata, O'Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Prue, Rae, Saundercook, Sgro, Shaw, Shiner, Silva, Sinclair, Walker - 52.

1624 **Clause No. 34 of Report No. 13 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed "5182 and 5200 Yonge Street - Extension Request".**

Deputy Mayor Ootes, in accordance with the provisions of the *Municipal Act*, reported that no motions had been moved in Committee of the Whole for consideration by Council in conjunction with the foregoing Clause.

There being no further motions, upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, it was carried.

1625 At this point in the proceedings, Councillor Jones, with the permission of Council, moved that Council vary the order of its proceedings to now consider the following Notice of Motion J(5), and, seconded by Councillor Kinahan, further moved that subsections 26(4), 27(1) and 28(1) of the Council Procedural By-law be waived to permit consideration of such Motion, which was carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:

**Moved by: Councillor Jones**

**Seconded by: Councillor Kinahan**

**"WHEREAS** Communities in Bloom is an annual national competition for municipalities, judged on the basis of planning, maintenance, improvement and innovation in the following areas:

Environmental Efforts; Community Involvement; Heritage; Urban Forestry; Landscape and Turf Areas, Floral Arrangements and Maintenance; and

**WHEREAS** the former City of Etobicoke consistently received recognition as one of the leading Communities in Bloom; and

**WHEREAS** Etobicoke-York Region has continued this tradition in the new City of Toronto; and

**WHEREAS** Etobicoke-York Region has been recognized by Communities in Bloom for its achievements, including heritage preservation, urban forestry practices, and the support of enthusiastic volunteers, by winning the First Place Trophy for the Most Beautiful City in Canada, and a special award recognizing Community Involvement;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the Council for the City of Toronto congratulate the Parks and Forestry Division, West District, and the Communities in Bloom Volunteer Advisory Board, chaired by Ms. Fiona Campbell and Ms. Barbara Clarke, for this outstanding achievement which brings international recognition to our City.”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Motion, without amendment, it was carried.

1626 **Clause No. 11 of Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council, headed “Prescott Avenue, from St. Clair Avenue West to Rockwell Avenue - Implementation of Alternate Side Parking (Davenport)”.**

Councillor Disero moved that, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, the foregoing Clause be re-opened for further consideration, which was carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Disero, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that Appendix ‘A’ to the report dated June 24, 1998, from the Director, Infrastructure Planning and Transportation, City Works Services, be amended by striking out the words ‘Monday to Friday’ embodied in Recommendation No. (6) and inserting in lieu thereof the words, ‘Monday to Saturday’.”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor Disero, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

- 1627 **Clause No. 36 of Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council, headed “Installation/Removal of On-Street Disabled Persons Parking Spaces and Disabled Persons Loading Zones (High-Park, Trinity-Niagara, Davenport, Midtown, Don River and East Toronto)”.**

Councillor Disero moved that, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, the foregoing Clause be re-opened for further consideration, which was carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Disero, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that Table ‘A’, appended to the report dated August 28, 1998, from the Director, Infrastructure Planning and Transportation Division, City Works Services, be amended by:

- (1) adding ‘from 7:30 a.m. to 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.’ to the Dovercourt Road location listed under the heading ‘Establishment of Disabled On-Street Loading Zone’, so that such location shall now read as follows:

‘Dovercourt Road, east side, from a point 60 metres north of Shanley Street to a point 9.5 metres further north from 7:30 a.m. to 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.’; and

- (2) striking out the first reference to ‘5.5 metres’ and inserting in lieu thereof ‘55 metres’ for the Stephenson Avenue location listed under the heading ‘Removal of Disabled On-Street Loading Zone’, so that such location shall now read as follows:

‘Stephenson Avenue, north side, between a point 55 metres west of Westlake Avenue and a point 5.5 metres further west.’ ”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor Disero, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

- 1628 **Clause No. 87 of Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council, headed “Final Report - Application No. 197027 for Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments - 86, 96 and 100 Bloor Street West (University Theatre) (Midtown)”.**

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, the following reports and communication:



- (i) (September 28, 1998) from the General Manager, Transportation Services, Works and Emergency Services, reporting, for information, on the feasibility of introducing a “No Stopping Anytime” zone or a tow-away zone in Critchley Lane;
- (ii) (September 29, 1998) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, providing a summary of social services in the vicinity of 86, 96, and 100 Bloor Street West; and
- (iii) (September 4, 1998) from Mr. V. Nitti, Harry Rosen Inc., addressed to Councillor Adams, expressing the company’s interests and concerns regarding the manner in which the proposed development proceeds; and requesting to be notified of all decisions and meetings with respect to this project.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, it was carried.

**1629 Clause No. 88 of Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council, headed “Settlement Report - Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments - 9-17 Christie Street and 388-402 Clinton Street (Midtown)”.**

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, a report (September 29, 1998) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, reporting on the results of staff actions on Toronto Community Council’s requests respecting this application to City Council concerning: meeting with residents on the proposed settlement, further modifications to the proposal and consideration of tenants to be displaced by this development; and submitting recommendations in regards thereto.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Adams, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the report dated September 29, 1998, from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, entitled ‘Supplementary Report as Requested by Toronto Community Council - 9-17 Christie Street and 338-402 Clinton Street (Midtown)’, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council approve the recommendations contained in my report dated September 15, 1998, to the Toronto Community Council, contained in Clause No. 88 of Report No. 11 of the Toronto Community Council, subject to the following modification in respect of 9-17 Christie Street:

- (i) that, in respect to underground parking, the phrase “accessed from the rear lane” in Recommendation No. (1) (c) (ii) be removed; and
- (2) City Council encourage the owner to provide a tenant relocation search service to assist existing tenants in finding similar rental accommodation elsewhere in the area.’ ”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor Adams, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

**1630 Clause No. 14 of Report No. 13 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed “Sale of City-Owned Property Known Municipally as 23 Fraser Avenue (Ward 20 - Trinity Niagara)”.**

Councillor Pantalone moved that, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, the foregoing Clause be re-opened for further consideration, which was carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, a report (September 21, 1998) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, providing City Council with information on the offers received for the sale of 23 Fraser Avenue; and recommending that the Offer to Purchase submitted by 1294539 Ontario Inc. be accepted.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Pantalone, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is recommended that the report dated September 21, 1998, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, entitled ‘Sale of City-Owned Property Known Municipally as 23 Fraser Avenue (Ward 20 - Trinity Niagara)’, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) the Offer to Purchase from 1294539 Ontario Inc. to acquire 23 Fraser Avenue in the amount of \$1,200,000.00, including a deposit of \$60,000.00, be accepted and the City’s Broker, Torode Realty Ltd., be instructed to return the deposit cheques to the unsuccessful purchasers Alliance Rockcliffe Limited and Herzim Management Limited;

- (2) the City Solicitor be authorized to complete this transaction according to the terms and conditions of the Offer to Purchase and pay any expenses incurred by the City incidental to the closing of the transaction or otherwise;
- (3) the City Surveyor furnish the necessary legal description;
- (4) the net proceeds from the sale be directed to the Capital Funds from Assets Sold Account; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing.’ ”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor Pantalone, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

1631 **Clause No. 1 of Report No. 8 of The Works and Utilities Committee, headed “Keele Valley Landfill Site - Filling Options”.**

Council resumed consideration of the foregoing matter. (See Minutes Nos. 1618 and 1621.)

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment:

- (g) Councillor Brown, in amendment, moved that the foregoing motion (c) by Councillor Sgro be amended to provide that Option (1) be approved and, further, that the landfill fee be increased to \$90.00 per tonne.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (b) by Councillor Miller, which was made earlier in this meeting of Council, viz.:

“(b) Councillor Miller, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be received.”,

the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Brown, Jones, Korwin-Kuczynski, Miller, Sgro, Walker - 6.

Nays: Councillors: Adams, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Berger, Bossons, Bussin, Cho, Chong, Chow, Disero, Faubert, Feldman, Flint, Fotinos, Gardner, Giansante, Holyday, Jakobek, Johnston, Kinahan, King, Layton, Lindsay Luby, Mahood, McConnell, Mihevc, Moeser, Moscoe, O'Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Prue, Rae, Shiner, Sinclair - 38.

Decided in the negative by a majority of 32.

Upon the question of the adoption of Part (1) of the foregoing motion (f) by Councillor Walker, which was made earlier in this meeting of Council, viz.:

“(f) Councillor Walker, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by striking out the recommendations of the Works and Utilities Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) Status Quo Option (1) outlined in the report of the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, be adopted subject to amending the landfill fee to \$90.00 per tonne; and’ ”,

the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Augimeri, Brown, Korwin-Kuczynski, Miller, Sgro, Walker - 6.

Nays: Councillors: Adams, Ashton, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Berger, Bossons, Bussin, Cho, Chong, Chow, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Feldman, Flint, Fotinos, Gardner, Giansante, Holyday, Jakobek, Johnston, Jones, Kelly, Kinahan, King, Layton, Lindsay Luby, Mahood, McConnell, Mihevc, Moeser, Moscoe, O’Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Prue, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Sinclair - 41.

Decided in the negative by a majority of 35.

Upon the question of the adoption of Part (2) of the foregoing motion (f) by Councillor Walker, which was made earlier in this meeting of Council, viz.:

“(f) Councillor Walker, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by striking out the recommendations of the Works and Utilities Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

‘It is recommended that:

- (2) the Works and Utilities Committee be requested to hold an emergency meeting to consider an accelerated process to find a permanent solution for landfill capacity.’ ”,

the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Berger, Chow, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, McConnell, Walker - 6.

Nays: Councillors: Adams, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Bossons, Brown, Bussin, Cho, Chong, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Feldman, Flint, Fotinos, Gardner, Giansante, Holyday, Jakobek, Johnston, Jones, Kelly, Kinahan, King, Lindsay Luby, Mahood, Mihevc, Miller, Moeser, Moscoe, O'Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Prue, Rae, Saundercook, Sgro, Shiner, Silva, Sinclair - 41.

Decided in the negative by a majority of 35.

Deputy Mayor Ootes, having regard for the decision of Council with respect to the foregoing motion (f) by Councillor Walker, declared that since the proposal contained in the foregoing motion (g) by Councillor Brown was the same, such motion (g) was redundant.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (c) by Councillor Sgro, which was made earlier in this meeting of Council, viz.:

“(c) Councillor Sgro, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by striking out the recommendations of the Works and Utilities Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

‘It is recommended that the Status Quo Option (1) for filling the Keele Valley Landfill Site, as outlined in the report dated August 28, 1998, from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, be adopted.’,

the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Augimeri, Brown, Korwin-Kuczynski, Miller, Sgro, Walker - 6.

Nays: Councillors: Adams, Ashton, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Berger, Bossons, Bussin, Cho, Chong, Chow, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Feldman, Filion, Flint, Fotinos, Gardner, Giansante, Holyday, Jakobek, Johnston, Jones, Kelly, Kinahan, King, Layton, Lindsay Luby, Mahood, McConnell, Mihevc, Moeser, Moscoe, O'Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Prue, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Sinclair - 43.

Decided in the negative by a majority of 37.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (a) by Councillor King, which was made earlier in this meeting of Council, as amended by motion (e) by Councillor Disero to now read as follows:

“(a) Councillor King, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding to the recommendation of the Works and Utilities Committees the following words:

‘It is further recommended that the Mayor and the Chair of the Works and Utilities Committee meeting with Minister Sterling and getting his assurance that this increase in the export of waste will not initiate his designating the City of Toronto under Bill 76 to complete a full Environmental Assessment.’ ”,

the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Berger, Bossons, Cho, Chong, Duguid, Filion, Flint, Giansante, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Moeser, O’Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Saundercook, Sgro, Silva - 18.

Nays: Councillors: Adams, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Brown, Bussin, Chow, Disero, Faubert, Feldman, Fotinos, Gardner, Holyday, Johnston, Jones, Kelly, Kinahan, Layton, Lindsay Luby, Mahood, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Moscoe, Prue, Rae, Shiner, Sinclair, Walker - 30.

Decided in the negative by a majority of 12.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (d) by Councillor Disero, which was made earlier in this meeting of Council, viz.:

“(d) Councillor Disero, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

‘It is further recommended that the financial implications and costs be referred to the Budget Committee for consideration in the 1999 Budget.’ ”,

it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Adams, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Berger, Bossons, Bussin, Cho, Chong, Chow, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Feldman, Filion, Flint, Fotinos, Gardner, Giansante, Holyday, Jakobek, Johnston, Jones, Kelly, Kinahan, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Lindsay Luby, Mahood, McConnell, Mihevc, Moeser, Moscoe, Nunziata, O’Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Prue, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Sinclair - 46.

Nays: Councillors: Brown, Miller, Sgro, Walker - 4.

Decided in the affirmative by a majority of 42.

1632 **Clause No. 19 of Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council, headed “Extension of Permit Parking Hours on Shaftesbury Avenue (Midtown)”.**

Councillor Rae moved that, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, the foregoing Clause be re-opened for further consideration, which was carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, a report (September 22, 1998) from the General Manager, Transportation Services Division, Works and Emergency Services, recommending that the existing parking prohibition at anytime on the north side of Shaftesbury Avenue from Yonge to a point 91.4 metres east be adjusted to apply from Yonge Street to a point 77.4 metres east.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Rae, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the report dated September 22, 1998, from the General Manager, Transportation Services Division, Works and Emergency Services, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) the existing parking prohibition at anytime on the north side of Shaftesbury Avenue from Yonge Street to a point 91.4 metres east be adjusted to apply from Yonge Street to a point 77.4 metres east; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any bills that are required.’ ”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor Rae, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

1633 **Clause No. 12 of Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council, headed “Extension of the Pavement and Construction of a Walkway at the South End of Atlantic Avenue (Trinity-Niagara)”.**

Councillor Rae moved that, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, the foregoing Clause be re-opened for further consideration, which was carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, a communication (September 28, 1998) from the City Clerk advising that the Strategic Policies and Priorities

Committee on September 24, 1998, recommended to Council the adoption of the recommendations of the Budget Committee embodied in the transmittal letter (September 23, 1998) from the City Clerk.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Rae, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the recommendation of the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee embodied in the communication dated September 28, 1998, from the City Clerk, be adopted, viz.:

‘The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee on September 24, 1998, recommended to City Council the adoption of the recommendations of the Budget Committee embodied in the transmittal letter dated September 23, 1998, from the City Clerk, viz.:

“The Budget Committee:

- (1) supported the approval of the project to extend the pavement and construct a walkway at the south end of Atlantic Avenue; and
- (2) recommended to the Strategic Policies and priorities Committee and Council that:
  - (a) funds for this project be approved from the Transportation Department’s existing Capital Budget; and
  - (b) if sufficient funds are not available within the Transportation Department’s Capital Budget, that a further report be submitted to the Budget Committee.” ’ ”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor Rae, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

- 1634 At this point in the proceedings, Councillor Walker, with the permission of Council, moved that Council vary the order of its proceedings to now consider the following Notice of Motion J(6), and, seconded by Councillor Johnston, further moved that subsections 26(4), 27(1) and 28(1) of the Council Procedural By-law be waived to permit consideration of such Motion, which was carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:



**Moved by: Councillor Walker**

**Seconded by: Councillor Johnston**

**“WHEREAS** the construction of a hydro transformer vault at 260 Merton Street will service the proposed three-storey condominium to be constructed on this site; and

**WHEREAS** City Council has to give its approval for the construction of this transformer vault; and

**WHEREAS** this hydro transformer vault will not impact on the public right-of-way; and

**WHEREAS** the development at the subject address is being built and requires a power source;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** City Council adopt the recommendations embodied in the report dated September 23, 1998, from the Acting Assistant Director, By-law Administration and Enforcement, City Works Services.”

Council also had before it a report dated September 23, 1998, from the Acting Assistant Director, By-law Administration and Enforcement, City Works Services entitled, “Construction of a Transformer Vault - 260 Merton Street North Toronto. (See attachment No. 1)

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Motion, without amendment, it was carried.

Council subsequently adopted the report dated September 23, 1998, from the Acting Assistant Director, By-law Administration and Enforcement, City Works Services, embodying the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that City Council approve the construction of a hydro transformer vault within the City street allowance at 260 Merton Street, subject to the owner entering into an agreement with the City of Toronto, as prescribed under Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and agreeing to:

- (a) indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages, charges and expenses that may result from such permission granted;
- (b) maintain the hydro transformer vault in good and proper repair and a condition satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;

- (c) accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City;
- (d) pay an annual rental fee as specified in the Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; and
- (e) remove the hydro transformer vault upon receiving notice so to do with the understanding that the City shall not give such notice in the first 75 years or for the life of the building at 260 Merton Street, whichever period is less.”

1635 **Clause No. 8 of Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed “Progress Report on Amalgamation”.**

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Miller, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to submit:

- (1) any future administrative changes that will directly or indirectly affect service levels to the relevant Standing Committee, prior to adoption; and
- (2) all proposed changes having policy implications to the appropriate Standing Committee at an early stage, for direction.”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor Miller, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

1636 **Clause No. 4 of Report No. 10 of The York Community Council, headed “Implementation of Permit Parking on Cordella Avenue between Weston Road and Louvain Street, Ward 27, York Humber”.**

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Nunziata, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by striking out the recommendation of the York Community Council and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

“It is recommended that the introduction of on-street parking be deferred, pending a staff report from the Works and Emergency Services Department with respect to:

- (1) preparing preliminary drawings and cost estimates on the feasibility of establishing boulevard parking on the south side of Cordella Avenue and the

north side of Humber Boulevard North, directly fronting the Beech Hill Co-operative; and

- (2) changing the current on-street parking regulations from the north side of Cordella Avenue between Weston Road and Louvain Street, and introducing on-street parking.”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor Nunziata, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

1637 **Clause No. 19 of Report No. 13 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed “A Preliminary Review of the 12 Surplus Properties, Directed by Council to be Held in Abeyance for 120 Days Pending a Review to Determine the Feasibility of Development for Affordable Housing”.**

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Moscoe, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that no action be taken with respect to the six properties located on Wilson Heights Boulevard, until such time as a public meeting can be held with the community in order to receive input from residents.”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor Moscoe, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

1638 **Clause No. 2 of Report No. 9 of The Emergency and Protective Services Committee, headed “1999 Licence Fees”.**

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, the following reports and communications:

- (i) (September 23, 1998) from the General Manager, Toronto Licensing Commission, providing additional information, as requested by the Emergency and Protective Services Committee, with respect to 1999 licence fees;
- (ii) (September 28, 1998) from the City Solicitor, providing a legal opinion on the authority of City Council to impose an additional licence fee levy on licensed taxicab owners, drivers and brokers once the licence fees for the 1999 calendar year have been set and submitting recommendations in this regard;

- (iii) (September 28, 1998) from the Executive Director, The Toronto Taxicab Owners and Operators Association, expressing concerns with respect to the new process on licensing matters; and
- (iv) (September 29, 1998) from the Acting Chair, Taxicab Advisory Committee, requesting that Council not approve the portion of the 1999 budget dealing with taxicabs before the Taxicab Advisory Committee and the taxicab industry have had an opportunity to comment on this matter.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment:

- (a) Councillor Moscoe, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) the report dated September 28, 1998, from the City Solicitor, entitled ‘1999 Licence Fees’, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘If City Council anticipates that it may change the licence fees for taxicab owners, drivers and brokers during the 1999 calendar year, it is recommended that:

- (1) licences issued to taxicab owners, drivers, and brokers for the 1999 calendar year be issued for a term of 8 months; and
- (2) the licence fees for the 8-month licence term be calculated by pro-rating the licence fee currently calculated on a 12-month licence term.’; and

- (2) that a fee stabilization reserve be established and that a surcharge of 2 percent be added to each licence to kick-start the reserve, such reserve to be established in such a manner as to protect it from the Budget Committee.”

- (b) Councillor Balkissoon, in amendment, moved that Part (2) of the foregoing motion (a) by Councillor Moscoe be referred to the Budget Committee for consideration.
- (c) Councillor Fotinos, in amendment, moved that Part (2) of the foregoing motion (a) by Councillor Moscoe be referred to the Emergency and Protective Services Committee for consideration at its next meeting scheduled to be held on October 6, 1998, with a request that the Committee report thereon to City Council at its next regular meeting scheduled to be held on October 28, 1998.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing referral motion (c) by Councillor Fotinos, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Adams, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Berger, Brown, Cho, Chong, Chow, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Fillion, Fotinos, Giansante, Holyday, Jakobek, Jones, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Mahood, Mihevc, Moscoe, Nunziata, O'Brien, Ootes, Prue, Saundercook, Sgro, Shiner, Sinclair, Walker - 31.

Nays: Councillors: Altobello, Bussin, Flint, Gardner, Johnston, Kinahan, King, Rae - 8.

Decided in the affirmative by a majority of 22.

Deputy Mayor Ootes, having regard for the foregoing decision of Council, declared the foregoing referral motion (b) by Councillor Balkissoon redundant.

Upon the question of the adoption of Part (1) of the foregoing motion (a) by Councillor Moscoe, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

1639 **Clause No. 16 of Report No. 8 of The Scarborough Community Council, headed "Naming of Park in Scarborough to Honour the Late Detective Constable William Hancox".**

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause without amendment, Councillor Moscoe, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to submit a report to the Economic Development Committee on necessary revisions to the Parkland Naming policy which would ensure that there is direct input into the naming process from Parks officials and the community."

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor Moscoe, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

1640 **Clause No. 59 of Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council, headed "Renaming of Polson Street Park to Jennifer Kateryna Koval's'kyj Park (Don River)".**

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, a communication (September 23, 1998) from the City Clerk, regarding the renaming of Polson Street Park to

Jennifer Kateryna Koval's'kyj Park and forwarding recommendations from the Budget Committee respecting the source of funds for a plaque commemorating Jennifer Kateryna Koval's'kyj.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause without amendment:

- (a) Councillor Moscoe, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to submit a report to the Economic Development Committee on necessary revisions to the Parkland Naming Policy which would ensure that there is direct input into the naming process from Parks officials and the community.”

- (b) Councillor Rae, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the recommendation of the Budget Committee embodied in the communication dated September 23, 1998, from the City Clerk, be adopted, viz.:

‘The Budget Committee on September 23, 1998 recommended to City Council that funds in the amount of \$2,000.00 for a plaque commemorating Jennifer Kateryna Koval's'kyj Park be allocated from within the Parks and Recreation Division's budget.’ ”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (a) by Councillor Moscoe, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (b) by Councillor Rae, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

1641 **Clause No. 11 of Report No. 2 of The Economic Development Committee, headed “PATH - Promotions Link Inc. Proposal for Public Access Terminal System”.**

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Moscoe, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding to the recommendation of the Economic Development Committee the words “subject to the requirement that the Public Access Terminal System be implemented in such a way that it helps people to identify where they are located in relation to above-ground surface features”.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor Moscoe, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

1642 **Clause No. 10 of Report No. 2 of The Economic Development Committee, headed “Fashion Industry Liaison Committee Membership and 1997/1998 Activities”.**

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, a communication (September 29, 1998) from Councillor Brian Ashton, Chair of the Economic Development Committee, advising that he has surveyed Members of the Economic Development Committee, as requested by the Committee, and that Councillor Norman Kelly has agreed to accept the position of Co-Chair of the Fashion Industry Liaison Committee.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment:

- (a) Councillor Moscoe, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that one additional member be added to the Fashion Industry Liaison Committee, specifically to represent the North York garment industry.”

- (b) Councillor Ashton, in amendment, moved that the foregoing motion (a) by Councillor Moscoe be referred to the Economic Development Committee for consideration.

Council deferred further consideration of the foregoing Clause until later in this meeting of Council. (See Minute No. 1678.)

1643 Deputy Mayor Ootes called upon Notice of Motion J(2) appearing on the Order Paper, as follows:

**Moved by: Councillor Bossons**

**Seconded by: Councillor Johnston**

“**WHEREAS** City Council at its meeting held on July 8, 9 and 10, 1998, adopted, without amendment, Clause No. 21 of Report No. 8 of The Toronto Community Council, headed ‘Implementation of Parking Regulations - Burnside Drive (Midtown)’, which restricted parking to a maximum of 60 minutes from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the south, east, north and west sides (the inner crescent); and

**WHEREAS** this was brought forward to Toronto Community Council without a petition or poll being conducted of all residents on Burnside Drive; and

**WHEREAS** since installation of the parking restriction signs, several residents have called my office to raise concerns about the new regulations; and

**WHEREAS** in the interest of ensuring that all residents have input into this issue, I am conducting a survey requesting that residents indicate their choice for parking regulations on Burnside Drive;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 8 of Report No. 21 of Toronto Community Council, headed 'Implementation of Parking Regulations - Burnside Drive (Midtown)', be re-opened for further consideration;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the July 8, 9 and 10, 1998, action of City Council respecting this Clause be rescinded;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the Clause and the results of the survey be referred to Toronto Community Council for the hearing of deputations at its meeting on October 14, 1998, and the Clerk be requested to notify all residents of Burnside Drive of this deputation."

Councillor Bossons moved that subsection 26(4), 27(1) and 28(1) of the Council Procedural By-law be waived to permit consideration of the foregoing Motion, which was carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Upon the question of the adoption of the recommendation embodied in the first Operative Paragraph of the foregoing Motion, it was carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Upon the question of the adoption of the balance of the foregoing Motion, without amendment, it was carried.

- 1644 At this point in the proceedings, and with the permission of Council, Councillor Chow, seconded by Councillor Pantalone, moved that subsections 26(4), 27(1) and 28(1) of the Council Procedural By-law be waived to permit consideration of the following Notice of Motion J(3), moved by Councillor Layton, seconded by Councillor Chow, and in the absence of Councillor Layton, moved by Councillor Chow, seconded by Councillor Pantalone, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:

**Moved by:** Councillor Chow

**Seconded by:** Councillor Pantalone



“**WHEREAS** City Council, at its meeting held on July 29, 30 and 31, 1998, adopted Clause No. 2 of Report No. 10 of the Board of Health, headed ‘Air Quality and a Federal Standard for Sulphur in Fuel’; and

**WHEREAS** the Environmental Task Force submitted to Council for that meeting a report (July 29, 1998) forwarding recommendations in this regard from its meeting on July 28, 1998; and

**WHEREAS** Council, in adopting the aforementioned Clause No. 2 of Report No. 10, took no action with regard to the report from the Environmental Task Force; and

**WHEREAS** there is a need to ensure that Council’s concerns adopted on July 29, 30 and 31, 1998, are effectively conveyed to the federal and provincial governments;

**NOW THEREFORE IT BE RESOLVED THAT**, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 2 of Report No. 10 of the Board of Health, headed ‘Air Quality and a Federal Standard for Sulphur in Fuel’, be re-opened for further consideration;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** Council adopt Recommendation Nos. (3) and (5) of the Environmental Task Force report (July 29, 1998), as follows:

- ‘(3) City Council establish a high level delegation of Councillors and appropriate staff, including the Mayor, if possible, to make representations at both the federal and provincial levels; and
- (5) the City Clerk forward a copy of the report (July 13, 1998) from the Medical Officer of Health and a copy of Council’s decision, to all Provincial and Federal Ministers.’ ”

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Motion, the following:

- (i) (July 29, 1998) a communication from the City Clerk advising of action taken by the Environmental Task Force at its meeting on July 28, 1998; and
- (ii) (July 22, 1998) a communication addressed to the Environmental Task Force from Councillor Jack Layton, Chair of the Task Force, respecting sulphur in gasoline, and submitting recommendations in connection therewith.

Upon the question of the adoption of the recommendation embodied in the first Operative Paragraph of the foregoing Motion, it was carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Upon the question of the adoption of the balance of the foregoing Motion, without amendment, Councillor Ashton, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Motion be referred to the Board of Health for consideration.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing referral motion by Councillor Ashton, it was carried.

- 1645 At this point in the proceedings, and with the permission of Council, Councillor Rae, seconded by Councillor Adams, moved that subsections 26(4), 27(1) and 28(1) of the Council Procedural By-law be waived to permit consideration of the following Notice of Motion J(4), which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:

**Moved by: Councillor Rae**

**Seconded by: Councillor Adams**

“**WHEREAS** City Council at its meeting held on July 29, 30 and 31, 1998, adopted, as amended, Clause No. 38 of Report No. 11 of The Corporate Services Committee, entitled ‘Transfer of Parking Operations to the Parking Authority’, and, in so doing, amended Recommendation No. (3) (b)(ii) of the joint report (July 7, 1998) of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the President, Toronto Parking Authority, by inserting the words ‘and Community Council’; and

**WHEREAS** this amendment has resulted in a situation where on-street parking meter rates to be fixed by the Toronto Parking Authority according to the authority delegated to it by City Council must now be agreed to by both the Ward Councillors and the Community Council; and

**WHEREAS** it is submitted that this double approval process is unnecessary; and

**WHEREAS** the City Solicitor and the Toronto Parking Authority have reviewed the existing legislation relating to the regulation and enforcement of on-street and off-street parking and have determined that it is urgent that the following be done in order to fully implement the transfer of parking operations as authorized by Council:

- (a) fees for on-street meters set by the Parking Authority should be incorporated into the City of Toronto’s existing by-laws so as to ensure that they are enforceable and readily available for the information of the public; and

- (b) the appointment of existing Parking Authority employees as municipal law enforcement officers for the purposes of enforcing by-laws regulating parking facilities should be confirmed by the Council of the new City of Toronto to allow for maximum flexibility in employing personnel throughout the area of the new City; and

**WHEREAS** Councillor Rae, having voted with the majority in adopting the Clause as amended, wishes to re-open consideration of this matter in accordance with Council's Procedural By-law;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** in accordance with section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 38 of Report No. 11 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed 'Transfer of Parking Operations to the Toronto Parking Authority', be re-opened for further consideration;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT:**

- (1) the action of Council in amending the Clause by adding the words 'and Community Councils' to Recommendation No. (3) (b) (ii) of the joint report (July 7, 1998) of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the President, Toronto Parking Authority, be deleted and the Clause, as further amended, be adopted; and
- (2) City Council consider the report (September 25, 1998) of the President, Toronto Parking Authority, and adopt the recommendations as follows:
  - (a) That the City Solicitor be authorized to prepare and introduce an amendment to By-law No. 1998-28, being 'A By-law Respecting the Toronto Parking Authority', to provide the City Solicitor with standing authority to process amendments to City of Toronto by-laws regulating on-street parking meters to incorporate fees set by the Toronto Parking Authority, on certification to the Solicitor by the President, Toronto Parking Authority, that the fees have been set according to the criteria established by City Council; and
  - (b) that the City Solicitor be authorized to prepare and introduce a bill in Council to confirm the appointment of all persons employed by the Toronto Parking Authority as 'Certified Officers (Parking Offences)' as municipal law enforcement officers for the purposes of enforcing City by-laws with respect to the regulation of municipal parking facilities throughout the area of the new City of Toronto."

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Motion, a report (September 25, 1998) from the President, The Toronto Parking Authority, advising that the City's 1998 budget and 1999 budget projection include substantial revenue increases for

both on-street and off-street parking operations; and submitting recommendations in order to assist in implementing the transfer of parking operations as approved previously by Council and to achieve this increased revenue.

Upon the question of the adoption of the recommendation embodied in the first Operative Paragraph of the foregoing Motion, it was carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Council deferred consideration of the balance of the foregoing Motion until later in the meeting. (See Minute No. 1655.)

- 1646 At this point in the proceedings, and with the permission of Council, Councillor Chow, seconded by Councillor Berardinetti, moved that subsections 26(4), 27(1) and 28(1) of the Council Procedural By-law be waived to permit consideration of the following Notice of Motion J(7), moved by Councillor Pantalone, seconded by Councillor Chow, and, in the absence of Councillor Pantalone, moved by Councillor Chow, seconded by Councillor Berardinetti, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:

**Moved by: Councillor Chow**

**Seconded by: Councillor Berardinetti**

**“WHEREAS** President Nelson Mandela’s reception on the part of our citizens, especially the children, during his recent visit to Toronto clearly demonstrated the incredible esteem, love, and appreciation in which we hold Nelson Mandela; and

**WHEREAS** Nelson Mandela is a shining example of the need to fight for justice, to fight discrimination, and . . . yet . . . to forgive and work with one’s past opponents to achieve peace and reconciliation; and

**WHEREAS** it is in the City’s interest to enshrine President Mandela’s name as an example to present and future generations of Torontonians;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:**

- (1) the unopened section of Bremner Boulevard between Spadina Avenue and Bathurst Street be named ‘Nelson Mandela Boulevard’;
- (2) the appropriate signs be installed at the Spadina Avenue opening of ‘Nelson Mandela Boulevard’; and
- (3) the Mayor of the City of Toronto formally write to President Mandela to inform him of this decision of Council as a sign of Toronto's appreciation for his contribution to the well being of humanity.”

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Motion, a map indicating the location of the unopened road to be named “Nelson Mandela Boulevard”.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Motion, without amendment, it was carried.

- 1647 At this point in the proceedings, and with the permission of Council, Councillor Chow, seconded by Councillor Rae, moved that subsections 26(4), 27(1) and 28(1) of the Council Procedural By-law be waived to permit consideration of the following Notice of Motion J(9), which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:

**Moved by: Councillor Chow**

**Seconded by: Councillor Rae**

**“BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the City Solicitor be instructed to attend the Ontario Municipal Board Hearing on October 30, 1998, (Ontario Municipal Board File No. V980812) in support of the appeal of Windlass Holdings Ltd. from the refusal of the Committee of Adjustment signed August 19, 1998, respecting 122 St. Patrick Street.”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Motion, without amendment, it was carried.

- 1648 At this point in the proceedings, and with the permission of Council, Councillor Flint, seconded by Councillor Mahood, moved that subsections 26(4), 27(1) and 28(1) of the Council Procedural By-law be waived to permit consideration of the following Notice of Motion J(11), which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:

**Moved by: Councillor Flint**

**Seconded by: Councillor Mahood**

**“WHEREAS** the Corporate Services Committee was directed by City Council at its meeting of June 3, 4 and 5, 1998, to consider the following motion:

Moved by Councillor Flint:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) real estate matters under \$500,000.00, that are deemed by a Ward Councillor to be of special interest, be considered by the Corporate Services Committee and City Council at that Councillor’s request;

- (2) real estate matters under \$500,000.00, of local significance, be considered by the Community Council and City Council at a Councillor's request;
- (3) matters related to the potential sale of any property be reported to the respective Community Council for comment before being considered by the Corporate Services Committee; and
- (4) the Council Procedural By-law be amended accordingly.'; and

**WHEREAS** the motion was included in the Corporate Services Committee Agenda of July 20, 1998; and

**WHEREAS** there is no mention in the minutes of the Committee of the motion having been considered; and

**WHEREAS** there is no record in the report to Council of any decision or recommendation by the Committee regarding this motion: it was not received, refused, adopted or referred to staff for a report;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 1 of Report No. 11 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed 'Acquisition and Disposal of Real Property', as approved by Council on July 29, 30 and 31, 1998, be re-opened and referred back to the Corporate Services Committee for further consideration."

Upon the question of the re-opening of the aforementioned Clause, it was carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Council deferred consideration of the foregoing motion until later in this meeting of Council. (See Minute No. 1680.)

- 1649 At this point in the proceedings, and with the permission of Council, Councillor Saundercook, seconded by Councillor Silva, moved that subsections 26(4), 27(1) and 28(1) of the Council Procedural By-law be waived to permit consideration of the following Notice of Motion J(12), which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:

**Moved by:**                      **Councillor Saundercook**

**Seconded by:**                **Councillor Silva**

**"WHEREAS** the Province has repeatedly expressed that their changes to the property tax system have been in the interest of fairness; and

**WHEREAS** municipalities are not able to levy taxes on provincial or federal properties, such as the Don Jail, and as a result these levels of government offer 'payments-in-lieu' of taxes to municipalities; and

**WHEREAS** the provincial government decades ago capped the payments-in-lieu at \$75.00 per resident of provincial facilities; and

**WHEREAS** the Province has still not addressed this outdated system, that results in Toronto residents and businesses having to subsidize hard service costs to provincial properties located in the City of Toronto;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** City Council immediately contact other municipalities across Ontario and ask them to contact the Province requesting them to revisit the payments-in-lieu process;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** Council instruct staff to produce a report for the next regular meeting of Council on the payments-in-lieu payable for provincial and federal properties in the City of Toronto."

At this point in the proceedings, Deputy Mayor Ootes, having regard to the nature of the foregoing Motion, proposed that the such Motion be referred to the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee for consideration.

Council concurred in the foregoing proposal, and the foregoing Motion was referred to the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee.

- 1650 At this point in the proceedings, and with the permission of Council, Councillor Chong, seconded by Councillor Gardner, moved that subsections 26(4), 27(1) and 28(1) of the Council Procedural By-law be waived to permit consideration of the following Notice of Motion J(13), which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:

**Moved by:**                      **Councillor Chong**

**Seconded by:**                **Councillor Gardner**

**"WHEREAS** the development of a car dealership and a convenience restaurant on the south side of Eglinton Avenue East at Jonesville Avenue received North York Community Council approval on July 22, 1998, and the necessary planning By-law No. 496-1998 was enacted by City Council on July 31, 1998; and

**WHEREAS** vehicular accesses are required to service the proposed development; and

**WHEREAS** the construction of a car dealership has faced numerous delays and construction setbacks and 50 full-time jobs are contingent upon the completion of this project; and

**WHEREAS** City Council has to give its approval for vehicular accesses to 1759 and 1765 Eglinton Avenue East; and

**WHEREAS** Transportation Services has determined that there would be no negative impacts associated with the development; and

**WHEREAS** the development will provide economic benefits to the Eglinton Avenue East and Victoria Park area;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** City Council adopt the recommendation embodied in the report dated September 28, 1998, from the General Manager, Transportation Services.”

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Motion, a report (September 28, 1998) from the General Manager, Transportation Services, requesting Council’s authority to amend Metro By-Law 837, being the by-law designating Eglinton Avenue East between Leslie Street and Jonesville Crescent as a controlled access road, in order to permit three in-right vehicular accesses to a development at 1759 and 1765 Eglinton Avenue East; and submitting a recommendation in connection therewith. (See Attachment No. 2)

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Motion, without amendment, it was carried

Council subsequently adopted the report dated September 28, 1998, from the General Manager, Transportation Services, embodying the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that Metro By-Law No. 837 be amended to permit three (3) accesses to a development at 1759 and 1765 Eglinton Avenue East as specified in Schedule ‘A’.”

- 1651 At this point in the proceedings, and with the permission of Council, Councillor Rae, seconded by Councillor Chow, moved that subsections 26(4), 27(1) and 28(1) of the Council Procedural By-law be waived to permit consideration of the following Notice of Motion J(14), which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:

**Moved by:** Councillor Rae

**Seconded by:** Councillor Chow



**“WHEREAS** the applicant is proposing to erect temporary illuminated signage on the front and rear facades of the building at 289 Yonge Street to build public awareness of the public square, the Yonge Dundas Redevelopment Project and the regeneration of Downtown Yonge Street; and

**WHEREAS** the building is to be demolished in the spring of 1999; and

**WHEREAS** the proposed signs do not comply with Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code and are the subject of a minor variance application;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** City Council give consideration to the report dated September 30, 1998, from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, and that Recommendations Nos. (1), (2) and (3) of such report be adopted.”

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Motion, a report from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services (October 15, 1998), forwarding recommendations respecting an application for variances to permit two options for illuminated temporary signs at the front and rear of 289 Yonge Street. (See Attachment No. 3)

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Motion, without amendment, it was carried.

Council subsequently adopted Recommendations Nos. (1), (2) and (3) embodied in the report dated September 30, 1998, from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) Application No. 998082 respecting minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit two options for illuminated temporary signs at the front and rear of 289 Yonge Street be approved;
- (2) the approval referred to in Recommendation No. (1) above, be for a period of 180 days from the date of City Council’s decision; and
- (3) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 998082, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services.”

1652 At this point in the proceedings, and with the permission of Council, Councillor Chow, seconded by Councillor Balkissoon, moved that subsections 26(4), 27(1) and 28(1) of the

Council Procedural By-law be waived to permit consideration of the following Notice of Motion J(15), moved by Councillor Layton, seconded by Councillor Balkissoon, and, in the absence of Councillor Layton, moved by Councillor Chow, seconded by Councillor Balkissoon, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:

**Moved by: Councillor Chow**

**Seconded by: Councillor Balkissoon**

**“WHEREAS** there are more than 40,000 people living in 150 non-profit housing co-operatives in the City of Toronto; and

**WHEREAS** these co-operatives provide housing in democratic, self-managed, safe, secure, efficient and healthy communities; and

**WHEREAS** these communities make a vital contribution to the affordable housing stock in the City of Toronto and to the economy and tax base of the region; and

**WHEREAS** October 11-17, 1998, has been designated ‘Co-op Week’ by the International Co-operative Alliance as a time to recognize the role co-ops play in the affairs of this country; and

**WHEREAS** the co-operative housing sector in Canada represented by the Co-operative Housing Federation of Canada (CHF Canada) is celebrating 30 years of working with government to create co-op housing programs to shelter people of modest income; and

**WHEREAS** the Co-operative Housing Federation of Toronto works with housing co-ops in the City of Toronto and the surrounding area to provide valuable services and practical training to co-ops;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** City Council proclaim October 15, 1998, as ‘Co-operative Housing Day’ in the City of Toronto and urge all citizens to recognize the valuable contribution of non-profit housing co-ops in meeting the needs of our community and promoting good citizenship of their members.”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Motion, without amendment, it was carried.

- 1653 At this point in the proceedings, and with the permission of Council, Councillor Adams, seconded by Councillor Bossons, moved that subsections 26(4), 27(1) and 28(1) of the Council Procedural By-law be waived to permit consideration of the following Notice of Motion J(16), which was carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:

**Moved by: Councillor Adams**

**Seconded by: Councillor Bossons**

“**WHEREAS** an Ontario Municipal Board hearing regarding 43 Bernard Avenue has been adjourned until October 21, 1998, because of the actions of the developer; and

**WHEREAS** construction has been undertaken which was not part of the application approved by the Committee of Adjustment, and the public had not been informed about the application; and

**WHEREAS** the proposed development would set a dangerous precedent in the Annex and the City, and is contrary to the Annex Part II and the Part I Official Plan; and

**WHEREAS** the developer has abused the void provisions of the Zoning By-law which will set a dangerous precedent for the City; and

**WHEREAS** the City has issued a stop work order against the developer;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** City Council:

- (a) instruct the City Solicitor to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing regarding 43 Bernard Avenue in opposition to the minor variance application;
- (b) authorize the retention of an outside planner, if necessary, to appear at the hearing and give evidence; and
- (c) authorize the necessary funds being provided from the Corporate Contingency Account for the cost of the above.”,

upon which the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Adams, Ashton, Augimeri, Berardinetti, Bossons, Brown, Bussin, Cho, Chong, Chow, Disero, Faubert, Filion, Fotinos, Gardner, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, Moeser, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Prue, Sgro - 23.

Nays: Councillors: Altobello, Balkissoon, Giansante, Jakobek, Jones, Kinahan, Mahood, O'Brien, Pitfield - 9.

Decided in the affirmative, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Motion, a communication (October 1, 1998) addressed to Councillor John Adams from Mr. Stanley M. Makuch of Cassels Brock and Blackwell, Barristers and Solicitors, requesting that Council assist the appellants at the Ontario Municipal Board hearing by instructing the City Solicitor to appear and oppose the application by the developer relating to 43 Bernard Avenue and by directing a planner to attend to give evidence with respect to the City's case.

Council deferred consideration of the foregoing Motion until later in this meeting of Council. (See Minute No. 1674)

- 1654 At this point in the proceedings, Deputy Mayor Ootes, having regard to the nature of the following Notice of Motion (J17), declared such Motion ultra vires and, accordingly, it was not considered by Council:

**Moved by: Councillor Chow**

**Seconded by: Councillor Chong**

**"WHEREAS** it has been reported that Chinese-Indonesians have been tortured, killed and burnt to death, and hundreds of Chinese-Indonesian women and children have been savagely gang raped in public during recent riots spread throughout Indonesia;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the City Council endorse the statement of the Canadians Concerned About Ethnic Violence in Indonesia (CCEVI) to request the Canadian government:

- (1) to express to the Indonesian government and the international community Canada's condemnation of the widespread violence including sexual violations targeting the ethnic Chinese minority in Indonesia;
- (2) to call on the Indonesian government to respect human rights and to take immediate action to stop the atrocities directed against the ethnic Chinese minority as well as to guarantee their immediate protection;
- (3) to call on the Indonesian government to:

- (a) conduct an independent investigation into all aspect of the systemic violence committed against ethnic Chinese, including the role of the Indonesian police and the military in such acts of violence; and
- (b) bring those individuals and organizations responsible for the violent acts to justice;
- (4) to suspend Canada's foreign aid and other related assistance to Indonesia until Canada's demand for action is met by the Indonesian Government;
- (5) to undertake the necessary concrete measures to assure the safety of the victims of the ethnic violence and of all those who are still at risk, including the facilitation of visitor visa applications for Chinese Indonesians who wish to seek temporary reprieve from the violence in their home country; and
- (6) to designate Indonesia as a source country of refugees;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the municipalities and MPs in the Greater Toronto Area, the Ontario Human Rights Commission, the Canadian Human Rights Commission and the United Nations be so advised."

Council also had before it a copy of a joint public statement (July 21, 1998) from Canadians Concerned About Ethnic Violence In Indonesia (CCEVI).

1655 Council resumed its consideration of the following Notice of Motion J(4) - (See also Minute No. 1645):

**Moved by: Councillor Rae**

**Seconded by: Councillor Adams**

**"WHEREAS** City Council at its meeting held on July 29, 30 and 31, 1998, adopted, as amended, Clause No. 38 of Report No. 11 of The Corporate Services Committee, entitled 'Transfer of Parking Operations to the Parking Authority', and, in so doing, amended Recommendation No. (3) (b)(ii) of the joint report (July 7, 1998) of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the President, Toronto Parking Authority, by inserting the words 'and Community Council'; and

**WHEREAS** this amendment has resulted in a situation where on-street parking meter rates to be fixed by the Toronto Parking Authority according to the authority delegated to it by City Council must now be agreed to by both the Ward Councillors and the Community Council; and

**WHEREAS** it is submitted that this double approval process is unnecessary; and

**WHEREAS** the City Solicitor and the Toronto Parking Authority have reviewed the existing legislation relating to the regulation and enforcement of on-street and off-street parking and have determined that it is urgent that the following be done in order to fully implement the transfer of parking operations as authorized by Council:

- (a) fees for on-street meters set by the Parking Authority should be incorporated into the City of Toronto's existing by-laws so as to ensure that they are enforceable and readily available for the information of the public; and
- (b) the appointment of existing Parking Authority employees as municipal law enforcement officers for the purposes of enforcing by-laws regulating parking facilities should be confirmed by the Council of the new City of Toronto to allow for maximum flexibility in employing personnel throughout the area of the new City; and

**WHEREAS** Councillor Rae, having voted with the majority in adopting the Clause as amended, wishes to re-open consideration of this matter in accordance with Council's Procedural By-law;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** in accordance with section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 38 of Report No. 11 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed 'Transfer of Parking Operations to the Toronto Parking Authority', be re-opened for further consideration;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT:**

- (1) the action of Council in amending the Clause by adding the words 'and Community Councils' to Recommendation No. (3) (b) (ii) of the joint report (July 7, 1998) of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the President, Toronto Parking Authority, be deleted and the Clause, as further amended, be adopted; and
- (2) City Council consider the report (September 25, 1998) of the President, Toronto Parking Authority, and adopt the recommendations as follows:
  - (a) That the City Solicitor be authorized to prepare and introduce an amendment to By-law No. 1998-28, being 'A By-law Respecting the Toronto Parking Authority', to provide the City Solicitor with standing authority to process amendments to City of Toronto by-laws regulating on-street parking meters to incorporate fees set by the Toronto Parking Authority, on certification to the Solicitor by the President, Toronto Parking Authority, that the fees have been set according to the criteria established by City Council; and

- (b) that the City Solicitor be authorized to prepare and introduce a bill in Council to confirm the appointment of all persons employed by the Toronto Parking Authority as 'Certified Officers (Parking Offences)' as municipal law enforcement officers for the purposes of enforcing City by-laws with respect to the regulation of municipal parking facilities throughout the area of the new City of Toronto."

Earlier in this meeting, Council adopted the recommendation embodied in the first Operative Paragraph of the foregoing Motion.

Upon the question of the adoption of the balance of the foregoing Motion, without amendment, it was carried.

- 1656 At this point in the proceedings, and with the permission of Council, Councillor McConnell, seconded by Councillor Jakobek, moved that subsections 26(4), 27(1) and 28(1) of the Council Procedural By-law be waived to permit consideration of the following Notice of Motion J(18), which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:

**Moved by: Councillor McConnell**

**Seconded by: Councillor Jakobek**

**"WHEREAS** Council on March 4, 5 and 6, 1998, deferred the report (January 29, 1998) of the Commissioner of Corporate Services respecting the acquisition of 495 Sherbourne Street at a purchase price of \$2.5 million plus related closing costs in the estimated amount of \$221,500.00 and directed that the report be considered during the 1998 Capital Budget process; and

**WHEREAS** the report (March 27, 1998) of the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services relating to the acquisition of 495 Sherbourne Street to the Budget Committee recommended the adoption of the report (January 29, 1998) of the Commissioner of Corporate Services; and

**WHEREAS** the City Clerk in her letter of transmittal dated April 9, 1998, reporting on the actions taken by the Budget Committee at its meeting held on March 30 and 31, 1998, and April 2 and 3, 1998, recited that the Budget Committee had amended the 1998 Capital and Operating Budgets for Parks and Recreation by, *inter alia*, adding funds in the amount of \$2.722 million for the acquisition of 495 Sherbourne Street, and by recommending that the City acquire 495 Sherbourne Street; and

**WHEREAS** Report No. 6 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, as it was presented to Council at its Special Meeting held on April 29 and 30, 1998, did not include the full text of all of the amendments approved by the Budget Committee

as contained in the April 9, 1998 transmittal letter from the City Clerk, and thereby omitted specific reference to the Committee's approval of the acquisition of the land *per se*;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Council confirm its approval for the acquisition of 495 Sherbourne Street, at a cost not exceeding \$2.722 million, as recommended by the Budget Committee on March 30 and 31, 1998, and April 2 and 3, 1998, and reported to the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee in the City Clerk's letter of April 9, 1998, by adopting the report (January 29, 1998) of the Commissioner of Corporate Services respecting the acquisition."

Council also had before it a report dated September 21, 1998, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, requesting Council's authority to acquire the property municipally known as 495 Sherbourne Street. (See Attachment No. 4)

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Motion, without amendment, it was carried.

Council subsequently adopted the following recommendations embodied in the aforementioned report dated January 29, 1998, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services:

"It is recommended that:

- (1) the acquisition of 495 Sherbourne Street from Ontario Realty Corporation (ORC) in the amount of \$2.5 million be approved, subject to the terms and conditions as set out in this report;
- (2) the City Solicitor, in consultation with the Director, Property Services (Toronto Region), prepare and have executed an Agreement of Purchase and Sale with ORC, incorporating a closing date in the first quarter of 1999 and the terms and conditions elaborated in the body of this report, other terms and conditions deemed necessary by the Commissioner of Corporate Services and prepared in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor;
- (3) the City Solicitor complete this real estate transaction and pay to ORC or to whomever may be entitled to receive it, the sum of \$2.5 million and pay any expenses incurred for the closing of the real property transaction, including the payment of Land Transfer Tax and GST in the estimated amount of \$221,500.00;
- (4) the appropriate City officials be instructed to furnish the necessary legal description and prepare a survey suitable for the closing;



- (5) the Commissioner of Corporate Services be instructed to continue to pursue all opportunities for additional amounts owed by the Province to the City which could be utilized to be set-off against the purchase price of 495 Sherbourne Street and that these opportunities be presented to City Council for approval; and
- (6) the lands being acquired be placed under the jurisdiction of the Director, Property Services (Toronto Region), on closing for property management/maintenance purposes, until such time the lands are to be developed as a multi-service community facility and that the lands be operated on an interim basis as a parking lot with the revenue being utilized to offset the cost of acquisition.”

1657 At this point in the proceedings, and with the permission of Council, Councillor Nunziata, moved that subsections 26(4), 27(1) and 28(1) of the Council Procedural By-law, be waived to permit consideration of the following Notice of Motion J(19), moved by Councillor Mihevc, seconded by Councillor Berardinetti, and, in the absence of Councillor Mihevc, moved by Councillor Nunziata, seconded by Councillor Berardinetti, which was carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:

**Moved by: Councillor Nunziata**

**Seconded by: Councillor Berardinetti**

“**WHEREAS** years of study, planning and engineering work went into the Eglinton Subway before the Province cancelled its construction; and

**WHEREAS** rapid transit plans within Toronto will be developed at some point over the coming years;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services be directed to include in her review and studies of rapid transit in Toronto, an evaluation of rapid transit between Eglinton Avenue West and Black Creek, and Eglinton Avenue West to the airport.”

Deputy Mayor Ootes, having regard to the nature of the foregoing Motion, suggested that such Motion be referred to the Urban Environment and Development Committee for consideration.

Council concurred in the foregoing suggestion by Deputy Mayor Ootes, and the foregoing Motion was referred to the Urban Environment and Development Committee for consideration.

1658 At this point in the proceedings, and with the permission of Council, Councillor Jakobek, seconded by Councillor Chow, moved that subsections 26(4), 27(1) and 28(1) of the Council

Procedural By-law be waived to permit consideration of the following Notice of Motion J(20), which was carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:

**Moved by: Councillor Jakobek**

**Seconded by: Councillor Chow**

“**WHEREAS** the Budget Committee, at its meeting held on September 23, 1998, during consideration of the 1999-2003 Capital Review Process, directed the Chair of the Budget Committee to review with the Chief Administrative Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, a proposed schedule of meetings for the 1999-2003 Capital Programme, and report thereon directly to Council with respect to a revised schedule; and

**WHEREAS** the Chair of the Budget Committee, following consultation with staff, has prepared the attached schedule of Meetings for the 1999-2003 Capital Program review Process;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Council adopt the proposed schedule of meetings for the 1999-2003 Capital Review Process.”

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Motion, a communication (October 2, 1998) from the Chair, Budget Committee, submitting a proposed schedule of meetings for the 1999-2003 Capital Review Process. (See Attachment No. 5)

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Motion, without amendment, it was carried.

- 1659 At this point in the proceedings, and with the permission of Council, Councillor Adams, seconded by Councillor Bossons, moved that subsections 26(4), 27(1) and 28(1) of the Council Procedural By-law be waived to permit consideration of the following Notice of Motion J(22), which was carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:

**Moved by: Councillor Adams**

**Seconded by: Councillor Bossons**

“**WHEREAS** the Province of Ontario has not adopted adequate protection for heritage lands and buildings in the Province of Ontario; and

**WHEREAS** Yorkville was incorporated as a village in 1853 and 32 buildings within this area are listed or designated as being of architectural or historical significance; and

**WHEREAS** there is a significant number of heritage properties on Hazelton Avenue, Webster Avenue, Berryman Street and Bishop Street; and

**WHEREAS** the present zoning of the lands within the Yorkville Triangle, identified as an Area of Special Identity in the City of Toronto Official Plan, Part II, does not fully reflect the unique heritage characteristic of the area, and permits development out of keeping with the present heritage context and existing community; and

**WHEREAS** the 'Design Guidelines for North Midtown' passed by Council in 1986 apply to the Yorkville Triangle but do not specifically deal with the special historical and architectural characteristics of the Yorkville area;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Council adopt the report dated September 30, 1998, from Mr. Alan Littlewood, Architect, recommending that the erection of certain buildings or structures be prohibited on certain lands in the Yorkville area;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services be directed to undertake a study of land use policies to assess the existing zoning performance standards affecting the land and any amendment, addition and/or deletion to those zoning performance standards that might better reflect the heritage character of the study area with a report to be provided, no later than March 1, 1999, including the issues identified in the attached letters, for the area shown on Map 1, attached;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** Council grant authority to impose, for a period of six months from passage, interim control restrictions to enact an interim control by-law to give effect hereto, and that authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary Bill in Council to give effect hereto."

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Motion, the following:

- (i) report (September 30, 1998) from Mr. Alan Littlewood of Littlewood Hesse Architects Limited, submitting a recommendation that an interim control by-law be passed to effect interim control on certain residentially zoned lands fronting on Hazelton Avenue, Webster Avenue, Berryman Street and Bishop Street; and that a planning study be carried out of the area indicated in Figure 3.
- (ii) communication (October 2, 1998) from the President, ABC Residents' Association, addressed to Councillors Adams and Bossons, advising that on October 1, 1998, the ABC Residents' Association unanimously endorsed a resolution supporting a proposal to conduct a land use planning study of the residentially zoned land within the Yorkville triangle area.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Motion, without amendment, it was carried.

Council subsequently adopted the report dated September 30, 1998, from Mr. Alan Littlewood, Architect, embodying the following recommendation:

“... it is recommended that an interim control by-law be passed (see draft by-law attached) and that a planning study be carried out for the area indicated in Figure 3.”

- 1660 At this point in the proceedings, and with the permission of Council, Councillor Disero, seconded by Councillor Fotinos, moved, in accordance with subsection 27(4) of the Council Procedural By-law, that leave be granted to introduce and debate the following Notice of Motion J(23) which was carried, without dissent:

**Moved by: Councillor Disero**

**Seconded by: Councillor Fotinos**

**“WHEREAS** the former City of Toronto Council, at its meeting of June 23, 1997, passed Interim Control By-law 1997-0321 prohibiting medical clinics along St. Clair Avenue West between Dufferin Street and Lansdowne Avenue and authorized funds for the hiring of a transportation consulting firm to conduct a study of parking requirements for clinics outside the Central Area; and

**WHEREAS** the Consultant’s report has been completed and a report recommending amendments to the Zoning By-law to introduce a parking requirement for clinics outside the Central Area is expected to be finalized in October ; and

**WHEREAS**, in order to allow for completion of the final report and to provide the required legal notification for a new by-law, the Interim Control By-law will need to be extended for a short period of time so that no new clinics are established in the area prior to the new standard being in place; and

**WHEREAS** the interim control by-law will lapse on October 23, 1998, if not extended;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Council adopt the report dated September 25, 1998, from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, wherein it is recommended that Interim Control By-law 1997-0321 be extended for a period of 4 months;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** leave be granted to introduce the necessary Bill to give effect to the foregoing.

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Motion, a report (September 25, 1998) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services requesting authority to extend an existing Interim Control By-law in place for St. Clair Avenue West between Dufferin Street and Lansdowne Avenue in order to allow for the implementation of new parking requirements for clinics. (See Attachment No. 6)

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Motion, without amendment, it was carried.

Council subsequently adopted the report dated September 25, 1998, from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services embodying the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that Interim Control By-law 1997-0321 be extended for a period of 4 months.”

**1661 Clause No. 2 of Report No. 8 of The Works and Utilities Committee, headed “Planning for Long-Term Solid Waste Disposal Capacity”.**

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, the following report and communications:

- (i) (September 30, 1998) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services submitting recommendations and background information regarding the provision of long-term solid waste disposal capacity;
- (ii) (undated) addressed to Mayor Lastman, from Mr. V. Bianco, Maple Glen Homeowners Association of Maple, Ontario, thanking Mayor Lastman for speaking with the Co-Chair of Maple Glen Homeowners Association regarding the Keele Valley Landfill Site; and requesting that Council close the Keele Valley Landfill site as soon as possible and utilize other waste management options; and
- (iii) (October 1, 1998) from Councillor Jack Layton, Don River, submitting proposed amendments to the foregoing Clause.

Council deferred consideration of the foregoing Clause (See Minute No. 1663).

**1662 At this point in the proceedings, Deputy Mayor Ootes, requested that the Members present indicate whether they will be in attendance at this meeting until 6:00 p.m. The following Members indicated their attendance:**

Yeas: Councillors: Adams, Ashton, Berardinetti, Bossons, Bussin, Cho, Chong, Chow, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Flint, Holyday, Jakobek, Kelly, Kinahan, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Mahood, McConnell, Mihevc, Moeser, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Prue, Rae, Saundercook, Sgro, Shaw, Silva, Sinclair, Walker -36.

Nays: Councillors: Altobello, Balkissoon, Davis, Fillion, Gardner, Johnston, Jones, Lindsay Luby, Miller, Shiner - 10.

**1663 Clause No. 2 of Report No. 8 of The Works and Utilities Committee, headed “Planning for Long-Term Solid Waste Disposal Capacity”.**

Council resumed its consideration of the foregoing Clause. (See Minute No. 1661.)

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment:

- (a) Councillor Disero, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended the report dated September 30, 1998, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, headed ‘Long-Term Solid Waste Disposal Capacity - Request for Proposals Process and Implementation Time Frame’, be adopted, subject to:

- (a) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Regions being requested to expedite the process to achieve earlier target dates than shown on Schedule ‘A’ of the report dated September 30, 1998, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and

- (b) deleting from Recommendation No. (3) the words ‘included in’ and inserting in lieu thereof the words ‘in partnership with’, so that Recommendation No. (3) shall now read as follows:

‘(3) City of Toronto Council formally invite the Regional Governments of the Greater Toronto Area (Halton, Peel, York, and Durham), to be potentially in partnership with Toronto’s proposal call process for long-term solid waste disposal capacity by:

- (i) declaring through Council motion an “Expression of Interest”; and

- (ii) requesting their Commissioners of Public Works (or designates) to meet with Toronto's Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services for the purposes of drafting a "Memorandum of Understanding" regarding the protocol for inter-regional solid waste disposal planning and decision-making, which, upon completion, will be submitted to his/her respective Councils for approval'."

(b) Councillor Layton, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by:

(1) inserting in Recommendation No. (1) of the Works and Utilities Committee:

- (i) the words "management options including waste diversion and" after the words "solid waste"; and
- (ii) the words "Request for Expressions of Interest and" after the words "through a",

so that Recommendation No. (1) of the Works and Utilities Committee shall now read as follows:

"(1) the City of Toronto immediately proceed to engage the marketplace to secure solid waste management options including waste diversion and disposal capacity to meet the City's long-term requirements through a Request for Expressions of Interest and Request for Proposals process based on the work undertaken in the planning process to date, but without proceeding to the submission of an environmental assessment;"

(2) deleting the following words from Recommendation No. (1) of the Works and Utilities Committee:

"but without proceeding to the submission of an environmental assessment";

(3) adding to such Clause the following:

"It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be directed, as a priority, to develop a strategy for waste disposal capacity that does not penalize the City of Toronto for failing to provide a minimum amount of waste for disposal in the event that diversion targets are met or exceeded.";

- (4) deleting the following words from Recommendation No. (2) of the Works and Utilities Committee “and to seek assurance from the Minister that Toronto will not be prescribed under the Environmental Assessment Act prior to executing a contract(s) for long-term solid waste disposal capacity” and inserting in lieu thereof the words “and to request the Minister to prescribe Toronto for the purposes of Section 17.1 of the Environment Assessment Act”, so that Recommendation No. (2) shall now read as follows:

“(2) the Mayor of the City of Toronto and the Chair of the Works and Utilities Committee meet with the Minister of the Environment to advise the Minister of Toronto’s need to pursue this course of action to secure long-term solid waste disposal within a time line consistent with the closure of the Keele Valley Landfill Site, and to request the Minister to prescribe Toronto for the purposes of Section 17.1 of the Environment Assessment Act;”;

- (5) adding to such Clause the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to explore and report back on the possibilities of pursuing an Environmental Assessment at the same time as conducting a request for Expressions of Interest and Request for Proposals pursuant to Section 12.2, subsection (1), clauses (a) and (c) of the Environmental Assessment Act; and

- (6) also adding to such Clause the following:

“It is further recommended that incineration of solid wastes be deleted from the Terms of Reference of a Request for Proposals.”

- (c) Councillor Miller, in amendment, moved the foregoing Clause be amended by striking out Recommendation No. (4) (ii) of the Works and Utilities Committee, viz.:

“(4) the following direction be provided regarding the policy issues contained in the report dated August 28, 1998, from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, and that this direction be incorporated into the request for proposals process:

- (ii) that export of solid waste to the United States be included as a marketplace option, and accordingly, that proponents of disposal sites and facilities in the United States be invited to submit proposals;”.



- (d) Councillor Shiner, in amendment, moved that the foregoing motion (a) by Councillor Disero be amended by striking out Recommendation No. (2)(i) of the report dated September 30, 1998, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, headed 'Long-Term Solid Waste Disposal Capacity - Request for Proposals Process and Implementation Time Frame', and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

“(2)(i) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to draft the Request for Expressions of Interest (REOI) and Request for Proposals (RFP) processes in such a manner that respondents are requested to provide fee per tonne disposal services and/or identify potential partnership proposals with Toronto that may contain a range of options including a transfer of ownership, leasehold, or other arrangement.”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (c) by Councillor Miller, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Augimeri, Cho, Filion, Jones, King, McConnell, Miller, Moscoe, Pitfield, Sgro, Walker - 11.

Nays: Councillors: Adams, Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Bossons, Bussin, Chong, Chow, Davis, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Flint, Fotinos, Gardner, Giansante, Holyday, Jakobek, Johnston, Kelly, Kinahan, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Lindsay Luby, Mahood, Mihevc, Moeser, Nunziata, O'Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Prue, Rae, Shiner, Silva, Sinclair - 37.

Decided in the negative by a majority of 16.

Upon the question of the adoption of Part (1) of the foregoing motion (b) by Councillor Layton, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Adams, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Bossons, Bussin, Cho, Chong, Chow, Davis, Disero, Faubert, Filion, Flint, Fotinos, Gardner, Giansante, Holyday, Jakobek, Johnston, Jones, Kelly, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Moeser, Moscoe, Nunziata, O'Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Prue, Rae, Sgro, Shiner, Silva, Sinclair, Walker - 44.

Nays: Councillors: Duguid, Kinahan, King, Mahood - 4.

Decided in the affirmative by a majority of 40.

Upon the question of the adoption of Part (2) of the foregoing motion (b) by Councillor Layton, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Augimeri, Bussin, Cho, Chow, Filion, Jones, Layton, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Moscoe, Rae - 12.

Nays: Councillors: Adams, Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Bossons, Chong, Davis, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Flint, Fotinos, Gardner, Giansante, Holyday, Jakobek, Johnston, Kelly, Kinahan, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Lindsay Luby, Mahood, Moeser, Nunziata, O'Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Prue, Sgro, Silva, Sinclair, Walker - 34.

Decided in the negative by a majority of 22.

Upon the question of the adoption of Part (3) of the foregoing motion (b) by Councillor Layton, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of Part (4) of the foregoing motion (b) by Councillor Layton, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Augimeri, Bussin, Cho, Chow, Davis, Faubert, Filion, Jones, Kelly, Layton, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Moscoe, Prue, Rae - 16.

Nays: Councillors: Adams, Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Chong, Disero, Duguid, Flint, Fotinos, Giansante, Holyday, Jakobek, Johnston, Kinahan, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Lindsay Luby, Mahood, Moeser, Nunziata, O'Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Sgro, Shiner, Silva, Sinclair, Walker - 30.

Decided in the negative by a majority of 14.

Upon the question of the adoption of Part (5) of the foregoing motion (b) by Councillor Layton, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Augimeri, Bussin, Cho, Chong, Chow, Davis, Filion, Jones, Layton, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Moscoe, O'Brien, Pitfield, Prue, Rae, Sgro, Sinclair, Walker - 20.

Nays: Councillors: Adams, Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Bossons, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Flint, Fotinos, Gardner, Giansante, Holyday, Jakobek, Johnston, Kelly, Kinahan, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Lindsay Luby, Mahood, Moeser, Nunziata, Ootes, Shiner, Silva - 27.

Decided in the negative by a majority of 7.

Upon the question of the adoption of Part (6) of the foregoing motion (b) by Councillor Layton, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Augimeri, Bussin, Cho, Chow, Filion, Jakobek, Jones, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, McConnell, Miller, Moeser, Moscoe, Pitfield, Rae, Sgro, Walker - 17.

Nays: Councillors: Adams, Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Bossons, Chong, Davis, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Flint, Fotinos, Gardner, Holyday, Johnston, Kelly, Kinahan, King, Lindsay Luby, Mahood, Mihevc, Nunziata, O'Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Prue, Shiner, Silva, Sinclair - 30.

Decided in the negative by a majority of 13.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (d) by Councillor Shiner, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (a) by Councillor Disero, as amended by the foregoing motion (d) by Councillor Shiner, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, as amended, it was carried.

1664 **Clause No. 22 of Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed "Alterations and Additions to Ted Reeve Arena".**

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, the following joint report and communication:

- (i) (September 30, 1998) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, providing additional background information to a report which was considered at the Budget Committee meeting on September 23, 1998, and submitting recommendations in connection therewith; and
- (ii) (October 2, 1998) from Councillor David Shiner, Seneca Heights, responding to a communication, dated September 29, 1998, circulated to Members of Council from Councillors Jakobek and Bussin; commenting on the Ted Reeve Arena project; suggesting that issue should have been considered by the Corporate Services Committee; and forwarding the following documents:
  - (a) extract of the Minutes of the meeting of Toronto City Council held on October 6 and 7, 1997;
  - (b) extract of the 1998 Capital Works Program, headed "Changes Identified by Community Councils and Standing Committees";

- (c) extract of the 1998 Operating and Capital Budgets, headed “Summary of Wrap-Up Issues to be Addressed by Budget Committee”; and
- (d) communication (September 29, 1998) from Councillors Sandra Bussin, and Tom Jakobek, East Toronto, with respect to the Ted Reeve Arena, and urging Members to support the staff recommendations.

Having regard that the foregoing Clause was submitted without recommendation:

- (a) Councillor Adams moved that Council adopt the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the Commissioner of Corporate Services be requested to complete the project within its tendered project scope, including the arena’s change rooms, washrooms and strengthening of the Earl Robertson Room; and that the balance of the work be referred back to the Corporate Services Committee for consideration at its next meeting, for submission to Council on October 28, 1998.”

- (b) Councillor Bussin moved that Council adopt the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the joint report dated September 30, 1998, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, be adopted, wherein it is recommended, as follows:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) in view of the fact that the contract for the second stage of work on the Ted Reeve Arena was awarded on August 26, 1998, to Falcon Construction, Council formally approve the reallocation of \$100,000.00 from the 1998 Economic Development, Culture and Tourism Department Capital Budget to cover the full cost of the award at \$650,000.00; and
  - (2) the appropriate City officials be given authority to give effect to the above.’; and
- (2) the report dated September 22, 1998, addressed to the Budget Committee from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, be also adopted, wherein it is recommended, as follows:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) the existing contract with Falcon Construction be increased from \$650,000.00 to \$715,000.00, including GST, to cover the additional costs associated with expansion (shell only) of the Earl Robertson Room. Funds are available in Capital Account 216-989;
  - (2) the existing consulting contract with Stafford Haensli Architects be increased by \$35,000.00, including GST to cover the design costs of the expansion of the Earl Robertson Room and associated site review for the increase of scope to the existing contract with Falcon Construction. Funds are available in Capital Account 216-989;
  - (3) the Facilities and Real Estate Division of Corporate Services be instructed to consider the balance of funds necessary to complete the expansion of the Earl Robertson Room, including the elevator for inclusion into the 1999 or 2000 Capital Budget submission; and
  - (4) the appropriate City officials be given authority to give effect to the above.’ ”
- (c) Councillor Chow, in amendment, moved that the foregoing motion (b) by Councillor Bussin be amended to provide that the additional \$100,000.00 in funding shall be provided from the Corporate Contingency Account, rather than such funds being drawn from the Accessibility Improvement Projects in the capital works program.
- (d) Councillor Bossons moved that Council adopt the following recommendation:
- “It is recommended that the Commissioner of Corporate Services be requested to report to the Corporate Services Committee on what loss of priority was caused to other projects in the Economic Development, Culture and Tourism Department as a result of the expenditure for this project.”
- (e) Councillor Shiner, in amendment, moved that Part (2) of the foregoing motion (b) by Councillor Bussin, regarding the proposed adoption of the report dated September 22, 1998, addressed to the Budget Committee from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, most particularly the rough-in of the second floor, be referred to the Corporate Services Committee for a report back thereon to Council on October 28, 1998.
- (f) Councillor Miller moved that Council adopt the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the Commissioner of Corporate Services be requested to report to the Corporate Services Committee on the mandate and contents of the following Accounts:

- (i) the Accessibility Account; and
- (ii) the “Revolving Account” used with respect to property sales.”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (e) by Councillor Shiner, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Adams, Chong, Faubert, Flint, Giansante, Jones, Kinahan, King, Miller, Moscoe, Prue, Rae, Shiner, Walker - 14.

Nays: Councillors: Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Bossons, Brown, Bussin, Cho, Chow, Disero, Duguid, Holyday, Jakobek, Kelly, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moeser, Nunziata, O’Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Sgro, Shaw, Silva, Sinclair - 30.

Decided in the negative by a majority of 16.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (c) by Councillor Chow, in amendment to the foregoing motion (b) by Councillor Bussin, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Altobello, Augimeri, Berardinetti, Bossons, Brown, Bussin, Cho, Chow, Disero, Faubert, Flint, Giansante, Jakobek, Jones, Kelly, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Moeser, Nunziata, O’Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Prue, Sgro, Shaw, Silva, Sinclair - 33.

Nays: Councillors: Adams, Ashton, Berardinetti, Chong, Duguid, Holyday, Kinahan, Moscoe, Rae, Shiner, Walker - 11.

Decided in the affirmative by a majority of 22.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (a) by Councillor Adams, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Adams, Balkissoon, Bossons, Chong, Faubert, Giansante, Jones, Kinahan, King, Miller, Moscoe, Pantalone, Prue, Rae, Shiner, Walker - 16.

Nays: Councillors: Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Berardinetti, Brown, Bussin, Cho, Chow, Disero, Duguid, Flint, Holyday, Jakobek, Kelly,

Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moeser, Nunziata, O'Brien, Ootes, Pitfield, Sgro, Shaw, Silva, Sinclair - 28.

Decided in the negative by a majority of 12.

Upon the question of the adoption of Part (1) of the foregoing motion (b) by Councillor Bussin, as amended, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Adams, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Bossons, Brown, Bussin, Cho, Chong, Chow, Disero, Duguid, Flint, Holyday, Jakobek, Jones, Kelly, Kinahan, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Moeser, Moscoe, Nunziata, O'Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Prue, Rae, Sgro, Shaw, Shiner, Silva, Sinclair - 41.

Nays: Councillors: Faubert, Giansante, Walker - 3.

Decided in the affirmative by a majority of 38.

Upon the question of the adoption of Part (2) of the foregoing motion (b) by Councillor Bussin, as amended, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Adams, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Bossons, Brown, Bussin, Cho, Chong, Chow, Disero, Duguid, Flint, Holyday, Jakobek, Jones, Kelly, Kinahan, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Moeser, Nunziata, O'Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Prue, Rae, Sgro, Shaw, Silva, Sinclair - 39.

Nays: Councillors: Faubert, Giansante, Moscoe, Shiner, Walker - 5.

Decided in the affirmative by a majority of 34.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (d) by Councillor Bossons, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion (f) by Councillor Miller, it was carried.

- 1665 At this point in the proceedings, Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, with the permission of Council, moved that, in accordance with subsection 11(8) of the Council Procedural By-law, Council waive the requirement of the 6:00 p.m. recess, and that Council continue to meet until 6:15 p.m., which was carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

1666 **Clause No. 1 of Report No. 9 of The Scarborough Community Council, headed “Ontario Hydro Corridor Lands, Official Plan Amendment 1001, Graywood Investments Ltd./Norstar Development Corp”.**

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, the following reports:

- (i) (September 28, 1998) from the City Solicitor, clarifying the position taken by City Council on “Open Space”; and
- (ii) (September 28, 1998) from the Executive Director and Chief Planner, Urban Planning and Development Services, responding to various concerns of the Wishing Well area residents.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Kelly, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by:

- (1) adding the following words to Recommendation No. (9) of The Scarborough Community Council:

“and further, that the words ‘semi-detached units’ on Street C, Figure 10, be struck out and the words ‘single family units’ be inserted in lieu thereof, so that Recommendation No. (9) shall now read as follows:

‘(9) that the exiting off-street (C), shown on Figure 10, be redirected from Highhill Drive to Palmdale Drive and further, that the words ‘semi-detached units’ on Street C, Figure 10, be struck out and the words ‘single family units’ be inserted in lieu thereof.’ ”

- (2) striking out Recommendation No. (13) of The Scarborough Community Council and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

“(13) that every effort be made to maximize the creation of parkland in the new development;” and

- (3) amending the report dated September 14, 1998, from the Director of Community Planning, East District, as follows:

- (a) striking out “Figures 5a, 9a and 10a” in Recommendation No. (1) and inserting in lieu thereof “Figures 5, 9 and 10”; and
- (b) striking out Recommendation No. (2) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:



- “(2) direct the Commissioner of Corporate Services to negotiate the dedication/acquisition of lands identified for park and environmental purposes on Figures 5, 9 and 10 of the staff report.”

Upon the question of the adoption of Part (1) of the foregoing motion by Councillor Kelly, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Cho, Chong, Duguid, Flint, Giansante, Holyday, Kelly, Moeser, Nunziata, Ootes, Sinclair - 14.

Nays: Councillors: Adams, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Bossons, Brown, Bussin, Faubert, Jones, Kinahan, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Mihevc, Miller, Moscoe, O'Brien, Pantalone, Pitfield, Prue, Shaw, Shiner, Silva - 21.

Decided in the negative by a majority of 7.

Upon the question of the adoption of Part (2) of the foregoing motion by Councillor Kelly, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Ashton, Cho, Chong, Faubert, Giansante, Kelly, Moeser, Nunziata, Ootes - 9.

Nays: Councillors: Adams, Altobello, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Bossons, Brown, Bussin, Duguid, Flint, Holyday, Jones, Kinahan, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Mihevc, Moscoe, O'Brien, Pantalone, Pitfield, Prue, Shaw, Shiner, Silva, Sinclair - 25.

Decided in the negative by a majority of 16.

Upon the question of the adoption of Part (3) (a) of the foregoing motion by Councillor Kelly, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Cho, Giansante, Kelly, Moeser, Ootes - 5.

Nays: Councillors: Adams, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Bossons, Brown, Bussin, Chong, Duguid, Faubert, Flint, Holyday, Jones, Kinahan, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Mihevc, Moscoe, Nunziata, O'Brien, Pantalone, Pitfield, Prue, Shaw, Shiner, Silva, Sinclair - 29.

Decided in the negative by a majority of 24.

Deputy Mayor Ootes, having regard to the foregoing decision of Council, declared Part (3) (b) of the foregoing motion by Councillor Kelly redundant.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, it was carried.

- 1667 At this point in the proceedings, Councillor King, with the permission of Council, moved that Council now recess and reconvene on Monday, October 5, 1998, at 7:00 p.m., to conclude consideration of the remaining items listed on the Order Paper, the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Adams, Cho, Chong, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Flint, Giansante, Holyday, Jones, Kelly, Kinahan, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, McConnell, Mihevc, Nunziata, Ootes, Pitfield, Sgro, Sinclair - 21.

Nays: Councillors: Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Bossons, Brown, Bussin, Layton, Mahood, Miller, Moeser, Moscoe, O'Brien, Pantalone, Prue, Rae, Shaw, Shiner, Silva, Walker - 21.

Decided in the negative by an equality of votes.

Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, with the permission of Council, moved that Council continue to meet until 6:45 p.m. this day to consider the critical items remaining on the Order Paper, the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Adams, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Brown, Cho, Duguid, Faubert, Flint, Giansante, Holyday, Jones, Kelly, Kinahan, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, McConnell, Moscoe, Nunziata, O'Brien, Ootes, Pitfield, Rae, Sgro, Shaw, Silva, Sinclair, Walker - 29.

Nays: Councillors: Altobello, Berardinetti, Chong, Miller, Moeser, Pantalone, Prue, Shiner - 8.

Decided in the affirmative, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

- 1668 At this point in the proceedings, Council resumed its consideration of the following motion, made earlier in this meeting of Council, by Councillor King, seconded by Councillor Kinahan (See Minute No. 1533.):

“Councillor King, seconded by Councillor Kinahan, moved that the Minutes of the regular Meetings of Council held on May 13 and 14, 1998, June 3, 4 and 5, 1998, and July 8, 9 and 10, 1998; the special Meeting of Council held on July 21 and 23, 1998; and the regular Meeting of Council held on July 29, 30 and 31, 1998, be confirmed in the form supplied to the Members.”

Councillor Moscoe, in amendment, moved that the Minutes of the regular Meetings of Council held on May 13 and 14, 1998, June 3, 4 and 5, 1998, and July 8, 9 and 10, 1998,

with the exception of Minute No. 1241; the special Meeting of Council held on July 21 and 23, 1998, and the regular Meeting of Council held on July 29, 30 and 31, 1998, with the exception of Minutes No. 1436, be confirmed in the form supplied to the Members, with the exception that the confirmation of Minutes Nos. 1241 and 1436 be deferred to the next regular meeting of Council, which was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor King, seconded by Councillor Kinahan, as amended by the foregoing motion by Councillor Moscoe, it was carried.

1669 Councillor Jones, seconded by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, moved that leave be granted to introduce:

Bill No. 611 To amend By-law No. 92-93, a by-law "To regulate traffic on roads in the Borough of East York", being a by-law of the former Borough of East York.

Bill No. 612 To amend By-law No. 34-93, a by-law "To provide for disabled person parking permit holders", being a by-law of the former Borough of East York.

Bill No. 613 To amend By-law No. 34-93, a by-law "To provide for disabled person parking permit holders", being a by-law of the former Borough of East York.

Bill No. 614 To amend By-law No. 92-93, a by-law "To regulate traffic on roads in the Borough of East York", being a by-law of the former Borough of East York.

Bill No. 615 To amend By-law No. 20-96, a by-law "To provide for overnight permit parking on Borough streets.", being a by-law of the former Borough of East York.

Bill No. 617 To amend By-law No. 92-93, a by-law "To regulate traffic on roads in the Borough of East York", being a by-law of the former Borough of East York.

Bill No. 618 To name the public lane, between Randolph Road and Southvale Drive, north of Millwood Road and south of Malcolm Road, associated with the development at 930 - 952 Millwood Road, "Krawchuk Lane".

Bill No. 619 To amend By-law No. 111-92, entitled "To regulate the placement of hedges and fences on municipal streets and boulevards and to protect the public from hazards from hedges and fences", being a by-law of the former Borough of East York.

Bill No. 620 To amend By-law No. 81-89, entitled "A By-law to regulate the height and description of lawful fences", being a by-law of the former Borough of East York.

- Bill No. 622 To adopt Amendment No. 14 to the Official Plan for the former Borough of East York affecting the lands located on the north side of Overlea Boulevard adjacent to the west side of the Don River Ravine.
- Bill No. 621 To amend Restricted Area Zoning By-law No. 1916, as amended, of the former Town of Leaside.
- Bill No. 623 To adopt Amendment No. 15 to the Official Plan for the former Borough of East York affecting the lands bounded by Northdale Boulevard to the south and west, O'Connor Drive to the South and the former East York Boundary and Northline Road to the North.
- Bill No. 624 To authorize the alteration of Atlas Avenue and Ava Road in the City of York.
- Bill No. 625 To authorize the alteration of Atlas Avenue and Belvidere Avenue in the City of York.
- Bill No. 626 To authorize the alteration of Atlas Avenue and Durham Avenue in the City of York.
- Bill No. 627 To authorize the alteration of Atlas Avenue and Vaughan Road in the City of York.
- Bill No. 628 To authorize the alteration of Ava Road and Everden Road in the City of York.
- Bill No. 629 To authorize the alteration of Ava Road and Winnett Avenue in the City of York.
- Bill No. 630 To authorize the alteration of Ava Road and Winona Drive in the City of York.
- Bill No. 631 To authorize the alteration of Belvidere Avenue and Alameda Avenue in the City of York.
- Bill No. 632 To authorize the alteration of Belvidere Avenue and Winona Drive in the City of York.
- Bill No. 633 To authorize the alteration of Durham Avenue and Arlington Avenue in the City of York.
- Bill No. 634 To authorize the alteration of Durham Avenue and Winnett Avenue in the City of York.

- Bill No. 635 To authorize the alteration of Greenbrook Drive between Keele Street and Trethewey Drive in the City of York.
- Bill No. 636 To authorize the alteration of Heath Street and Lower Village Gate/Tweedsmuir Avenue in the City of York.
- Bill No. 637 To amend City of York By-law Number 3491-80, being a By-law “To Provide for night-time parking of motor vehicles on Borough of York highways”.
- Bill No. 638 To amend City of York By-law Number 2958-94 being a By-law “To Regulate traffic on City of York roads”.
- Bill No. 639 To amend City of York By-law Number 196-84, being a By-law “To Regulate traffic on City of York roads”
- Bill No. 640 To authorize the removal of certain trees growing on the highways of the Municipality.
- Bill No. 641 To authorize the removal of certain trees growing on the highways of the Municipality.
- Bill No. 642 To authorize the removal of certain trees growing on the highways of the Municipality.
- Bill No. 643 To authorize the alteration of Winnett Avenue and Arlington Avenue in the City of York.
- Bill No. 644 To amend the Etobicoke Municipal Code with respect to Traffic - Chapter 240, Article VI, Section 31, Schedule ‘A’.
- Bill No. 645 To amend the Etobicoke Municipal Code with respect to Traffic - Chapter 240, Article I.
- Bill No. 646 A By-law to Validate Lands Pursuant to Section 50 and 57 of the Planning Act.
- Bill No. 647 To authorize the designation of Fire Routes under Chapter 134 of the Etobicoke Municipal Code.
- Bill No. 648 To amend the Etobicoke Municipal Code with respect to Traffic- Chapter 240, Article I.
- Bill No. 649 A By-law to Exempt Lands from Part Lot Control.

- Bill No. 650 To amend the Etobicoke Municipal Code with respect to Traffic - Chapter 240, Article I
- Bill No. 651 To amend the Etobicoke Municipal Code with respect to Traffic - Chapter 240, Article I.
- Bill No. 652 To amend the Etobicoke Municipal Code with respect to Traffic - Chapter 240, Article I.
- Bill No. 653 To amend the Etobicoke Municipal Code with respect to Traffic - Chapter 240, Article I.
- Bill No. 654 To amend the Etobicoke Municipal Code with respect to Traffic - Chapter 240, Article II.
- Bill No. 655 To amend the Etobicoke Municipal Code with respect to Traffic - Chapter 240, Article I.
- Bill No. 656 To amend the Etobicoke Municipal Code with respect to Traffic - Chapter 240, Article I.
- Bill No. 657 To amend Scarborough Zoning By-law Number 9510, with respect to the Woburn Community.
- Bill No. 658 To designate certain lands on a registered plan not subject to Part Lot Control in the Clairlea Community.
- Bill No. 659 To amend the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 400, Traffic and Parking, respecting Alpine Avenue, Balmuto Street, Barton Avenue, Essex Street, Castle Knock Road, Davenport Road, Grassmere Road, Highfield Road, Hope Street, Indian Road Crescent, Kingston Road, Osler Street, Prince Arthur Avenue, Robertson Crescent, St. Clair Avenue West, St. Clarens Avenue, Sorauren Avenue, Wanda Road.
- Bill No. 660 To amend further Metropolitan By-law No. 107-86 respecting Parking Meters on former Metropolitan Roads.
- Bill No. 661 To amend further Metropolitan Toronto By-law No. 108-86 respecting pedestrian crossovers on former Metropolitan Roads.
- Bill No. 662 To amend further Metropolitan By-law No. 109-86 respecting maximum rates of speed on certain former Metropolitan Roads.
- Bill No. 663 To amend further Metropolitan By-law No. 132-93 respecting reserved lanes for designated classes of vehicles on certain former Metropolitan Roads.

- Bill No. 664 To amend further Metropolitan By-law No. 32-92, respecting the regulation of traffic on former Metropolitan Roads.
- Bill No. 665 To amend further Metropolitan By-law No. 32-92, respecting the regulation of traffic on former Metropolitan Roads.
- Bill No. 666 Respecting by-laws of the former Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto, the former Cities of Etobicoke, North York, Scarborough, Toronto and York and the former Borough of East York governing the sale of real property.
- Bill No. 667 Amendment to existing planning delegation by-laws.
- Bill No. 668 To amend further Council Procedural By-law No. 23-1998, being a By-law to govern the proceedings of the Council and the Committees thereof.
- Bill No. 669 To Prohibit Excessive Idling of Vehicles and Boats.
- Bill No. 670 To amend By-law No. 1995-0194, being a “By-law To declare real property to be surplus to the needs of the City”, respecting part of premises 141 Weston Road and a residual portion of Keele Street (Closed).
- Bill No. 671 To establish a reserve fund to cover the contracted costs incurred for the Client Identification and Benefits System.
- Bill No. 672 To amend By-law No. 96-91 of the former Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto establishing a reserve fund for the development and construction of a Metropolitan Toronto Police Museum at 40 College Street, Toronto, Ontario.
- Bill No. 673 To acquire certain interests in land for or in connection with the Rapid Transit Expansion Program (R.T.E.P.).
- Bill No. 674 To authorize the execution and registration of plans of survey for the acquisition of all rights, title and interests in premises 299 Yonge Street (Yonge Dundas Redevelopment Project).
- Bill No. 675 To amend Procedural By-law No. 23-1998 respecting the reporting relationships of the Audit Committee and the City Auditor.
- Bill No. 676 To Provide for the Levy and Collection of Special Charges in Respect of Upper Village (York) Business Improvement Area.
- Bill No. 677 To amend the former City of Toronto Zoning By-law No. 438-86 with respect to the lands known as 535 Queen Street East.

- Bill No. 678 To amend the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 400, Traffic and Parking, respecting Bellwoods Avenue, Blackthorn Avenue, Chester Avenue, Claremont Street, Dovercourt Road, Fairford Avenue, Fern Avenue, Harvie Avenue, Ivy Avenue, Indian Grove, Laughton Avenue, Logan Avenue, Markham Street, Milverton Boulevard, Monarch Park, Ossington Avenue, Palmerston Gardens, Pickering Street, St. Clarens Avenue, Stephenson Avenue, Wolverleigh Boulevard.
- Bill No. 679 To amend the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 400, Traffic and Parking, with respect to speed control zones.
- Bill No. 680 To amend the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 400, Traffic and Parking, respecting Prescott Avenue.
- Bill No. 681 To amend further Metropolitan By-law No. 32-92, respecting the regulation of traffic on former Metropolitan Roads.
- Bill No. 682 To amend further Metropolitan By-law No. 108-86 designating certain locations on former Metropolitan Roads as Pedestrian Crossovers.
- Bill No. 683 To amend further Metropolitan By-law No. 107-86 respecting Parking Meters on former Metropolitan Roads.
- Bill No. 684 To amend further Metropolitan By-law No. 32-92, respecting the regulation of traffic on former Metropolitan Roads.
- Bill No. 685 To amend further Metropolitan By-law No. 32-92, respecting the regulation of traffic on former Metropolitan Roads.
- Bill No. 686 To authorize the removal of certain trees growing on the highways of the Municipality.
- Bill No. 687 To amend By-law No. 31878 of the former City of North York, as amended.
- Bill No. 688 To amend By-law No. 31001 of the former City of North York, as amended.
- Bill No. 689 To amend By-law No. 31001, of the former City of North York, as amended.
- Bill No. 690 To amend By-law No. 31001 of the former City of North York, as amended.
- Bill No. 691 To amend By-law No. 31001 of the former City of North York, as amended.
- Bill No. 692 To amend By-law No. 31001 of the former City of North York, as amended.
- Bill No. 693 To amend By-law No. 31001 of the former City of North York, as amended.



- Bill No. 694 To amend By-law No. 32759, as amended, of the former City of North York.
- Bill No. 695 To further amend former City of Toronto By-law No.602-89, being “A By-law to authorize the construction, widening, narrowing, alteration and repair of sidewalks, pavements and curbs at various locations”, respecting the alteration of Boulton Avenue by the installation of speed humps from Queen St. E. To Dundas St. E.
- Bill No. 696 To further amend former City of Toronto By-law No.602-89, being “A By-law to authorize the construction, widening, narrowing, alteration and repair of sidewalks, pavements and curbs at various locations”, respecting the alteration of Close Avenue by the installation of speed humps from Queen Street West to Springhurst Avenue.
- Bill No. 697 To further amend former City of Toronto By-law No.602-89, being “A By-law to authorize the construction, widening, narrowing, alteration and repair of sidewalks, pavements and curbs at various locations”, respecting the alteration of Margueretta Street by the installation of speed humps from Bloor Street West to Wallace Avenue.
- Bill No. 698 To further amend former City of Toronto By-law No.602-89, being “A By-law to authorize the construction, widening, narrowing, alteration and repair of sidewalks, pavements and curbs at various locations”, respecting the alteration of Christie Street by narrowing the pavement between Bloor Street West and Dupont Street.
- Bill No. 699 To amend Municipal Code Ch.25, Community and Recreation Centres, to include the two Councillors for Ward 19 on the Board of Management for 95 Lavinia Avenue (Swansea Town Hall).
- Bill No. 700 To amend the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 20, Business Improvement Areas, to make changes to the size and quorum for certain Boards of Management and to make changes to ward names.
- Bill No. 702 To further amend former City of Toronto By-law No.602-89, being “A By-law to authorize the construction, widening, narrowing, alteration and repair of sidewalks, pavements and curbs at various locations”, respecting the alteration of Queen’s Quay West consisting of the widening of the pavement on the south side of Queen’s Quay West between Lower Spadina Avenue and Lower Portland Street.
- Bill No. 703 To amend By-law 7625 of the former City of North York.
- Bill No. 704 To amend City of North York By-law 7625.

- Bill No. 705 To amend City of North York By-law No. 7625.
- Bill No. 706 To adopt Amendment No. 469 of the Official Plan for the City of North York.
- Bill No. 707 To amend City of North York Zoning By-law 7625
- Bill No. 708 To adopt Amendment No. 467 of the Official Plan for the City of North York.
- Bill No. 709 To amend By-law 23-1998 to reflect guidelines for determining planning matters of city-wide interest.
- Bill No. 710 To amend the former Cit of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 400, Traffic and Parking, respecting Belsize Drive.
- Bill No. 711 To amend the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 400, Traffic and Parking, Schedule XXVI (Permit Parking), by adding a new Part YY respecting Miller Street and a new Part ZZ respecting Shaftesbury Avenue, Summerhill Avenue, Summerhill Gardens, Woodlawn Avenue.
- Bill No. 712 To amend the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 400, Traffic and Parking, respecting Shaftesbury Avenue.
- Bill No. 713 To amend the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 400, Traffic and Parking, respecting Woodfield Road.
- Bill No. 714 To provide for exemptions from parking restrictions in that area of the City comprising the former City of York.
- Bill No. 715 To amend former City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, respecting 30 kilometre per hour speed limits on streets with Traffic Calming measures in effect and respecting Boon Avenue, Cowan Avenue, Earls court Avenue, Glengrove Avenue, Harvie Avenue, Logan Avenue, Nairn Avenue, River Street, Springhurst Avenue, Spruce Street, Wellington Street West and Yarmouth Avenue.
- Bill No. 716 To amend the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 400, Traffic and Parking, respecting Walmer Avenue.
- Bill No. 717 To amend By-law No. 28-1998, being “A By-law respecting the ‘Toronto Parking Authority’”, to facilitate the transfer of parking operations and enforcement of regulations.

- Bill No. 718 To appoint employees of the Toronto Parking Authority as municipal law enforcement officers to enforce by-laws respecting parking in municipal parking facilities within the City of Toronto.
- Bill No. 719 To amend Chapters 320 and 324 of the City of Etobicoke Zoning Code with respect to certain lands located on the west side of Scarlett Road, north of La Rose Avenue.
- Bill No. 721 To stop up and close the public lane 36.39 metres north of Breadalbane Street, extending easterly from Bay Street, then northerly to Wellesley Street West and to authorize the conveyance thereof to Ontario Realty Corporation.
- Bill No. 722 To amend the former City of Toronto By-law No. 1995--194, being "A By-law to declare real property to be surplus to the needs of the City", respecting the public lane 36. 39 metres north of Breadalbane Street, extending easterly from Bay Street, then northerly to Wellesley Street West.
- Bill No. 723 To amend further Council Procedural By-law No. 23-1998 being a By-law "To Govern the Proceedings of Council and the Committees Thereof".
- Bill No. 724 To effect interim control on certain residentially zoned lands fronting on Hazelton Avenue, Webster Avenue, Berryman Street and Bishop Street.
- Bill No. 725 To amend By-law No. 1997-0321, as amended. to extend the period of interim control in the MCR district along St. Clair Avenue West between Lansdowne Avenue and Westmount Avenue.
- Bill No. 726 To deem certain lots to no longer be registered lots on a plan of subdivision.

which was carried.

Upon the question, "Shall these Bills, prepared for this meeting of Council, be passed and hereby declared as By-laws?", as follows:

- |                     |  |
|---------------------|--|
| By-law No. 616-1998 | To amend By-law No. 92-93, a by-law "To regulate traffic on roads in the Borough of East York", being a by-law of the former Borough of East York. |
| By-law No. 617-1997 | To amend By-law No. 34-93, a by-law "To provide for disabled person parking permit holders", being a by-law of the former Borough of East York.    |
| By-law No. 618-1998 | To amend By-law No. 34-93, a by-law "To provide for disabled person parking permit holders", being a by-law of the former Borough of East York.    |

By-law No. 619-1998	To amend By-law No. 92-93, a by-law “To regulate traffic on roads in the Borough of East York”, being a by-law of the former Borough of East York.
By-law No. 620-1998	To amend By-law No. 20-96, a by-law “To provide for overnight permit parking on Borough streets.”, being a by-law of the former Borough of East York.
By-law No. 621-1998	To amend By-law No. 92-93, a by-law “To regulate traffic on roads in the Borough of East York”, being a by-law of the former Borough of East York.
By-law No. 622-1998	To name the public lane, between Randolph Road and Southvale Drive, north of Millwood Road and south of Malcolm Road, associated with the development at 930 - 952 Millwood Road, “Krawchuk Lane”.
By-law No. 623-1998	To amend By-law No. 111-92, entitled “To regulate the placement of hedges and fences on municipal streets and boulevards and to protect the public from hazards from hedges and fences”, being a by-law of the former Borough of East York.
By-law No. 624-1998	To amend By-law No. 81-89, entitled “A By-law to regulate the height and description of lawful fences”, being a by-law of the former Borough of East York.
By-law No. 625-1998	To adopt Amendment No. 14 to the Official Plan for the former Borough of East York affecting the lands located on the north side of Overlea Boulevard adjacent to the west side of the Don River Ravine.
By-law No. 626-1998	To amend Restricted Area Zoning By-law No. 1916, as amended, of the former Town of Leaside.
By-law No. 627-1998	To adopt Amendment No. 15 to the Official Plan for the former Borough of East York affecting the lands bounded by Northdale Boulevard to the south and west, O’Connor Drive to the South and the former East York Boundary and Northline Road to the North.
By-law No. 628-1998	To authorize the alteration of Atlas Avenue and Ava Road in the City of York.

By-law No. 629-1998	To authorize the alteration of Atlas Avenue and Belvidere Avenue in the City of York.
By-law No. 630-1998	To authorize the alteration of Atlas Avenue and Durham Avenue in the City of York.
By-law No. 631-1998	To authorize the alteration of Atlas Avenue and Vaughan Road in the City of York.
By-law No. 632-1998	To authorize the alteration of Ava Road and Everden Road in the City of York.
By-law No. 633-1998	To authorize the alteration of Ava Road and Winnett Avenue in the City of York.
By-law No. 634-1998	To authorize the alteration of Ava Road and Winona Drive in the City of York.
By-law No. 635-1998	To authorize the alteration of Belvidere Avenue and Alameda Avenue in the City of York.
By-law No. 636-1998	To authorize the alteration of Belvidere Avenue and Winona Drive in the City of York.
By-law No. 637-1998	To authorize the alteration of Durham Avenue and Arlington Avenue in the City of York.
By-law No. 638-1998	To authorize the alteration of Durham Avenue and Winnett Avenue in the City of York.
By-law No. 639-1998	To authorize the alteration of Greenbrook Drive between Keele Street and Trethewey Drive in the City of York.
By-law No. 640-1998	To authorize the alteration of Heath Street and Lower Village Gate/Tweedsmuir Avenue in the City of York.
By-law No. 641-1998	To amend City of York By-law Number 3491-80, being a By-law "To Provide for night-time parking of motor vehicles on Borough of York highways".
By-law No. 642-1998	To amend City of York By-law Number 2958-94 being a By-law "To Regulate traffic on City of York roads".
By-law No. 643-1998	To amend City of York By-law Number 196-84, being a By-law "To Regulate traffic on City of York roads"

By-law No. 644-1998	To authorize the removal of certain trees growing on the highways of the Municipality.
By-law No. 645-1998	To authorize the removal of certain trees growing on the highways of the Municipality.
By-law No. 646-1998	To authorize the removal of certain trees growing on the highways of the Municipality.
By-law No. 647-1998	To authorize the alteration of Winnett Avenue and Arlington Avenue in the City of York.
By-law No. 648-1998	To amend the Etobicoke Municipal Code with respect to Traffic - Chapter 240, Article VI, Section 31, Schedule 'A'.
By-law No. 649-1998	To amend the Etobicoke Municipal Code with respect to Traffic - Chapter 240, Article I.
By-law No. 650-1998	A By-law to Validate Lands Pursuant to Section 50 and 57 of the Planning Act.
By-law No. 651-1998	To authorize the designation of Fire Routes under Chapter 134 of the Etobicoke Municipal Code.
By-law No. 652-1998	To amend the Etobicoke Municipal Code with respect to Traffic- Chapter 240, Article I.
By-law No. 653-1998	A By-law to Exempt Lands from Part Lot Control.
By-law No. 654-1998	To amend the Etobicoke Municipal Code with respect to Traffic - Chapter 240, Article I.
By-law No. 655-1998	To amend the Etobicoke Municipal Code with respect to Traffic - Chapter 240, Article I.
By-law No. 656-1998	To amend the Etobicoke Municipal Code with respect to Traffic - Chapter 240, Article I.
By-law No. 657-1998	To amend the Etobicoke Municipal Code with respect to Traffic - Chapter 240, Article I.
By-law No. 658-1998	To amend the Etobicoke Municipal Code with respect to Traffic - Chapter 240, Article II.

By-law No. 659-1998	To amend the Etobicoke Municipal Code with respect to Traffic - Chapter 240, Article I.
By-law No. 660-1998	To amend the Etobicoke Municipal Code with respect to Traffic - Chapter 240, Article I.
By-law No. 661-1998	To amend Scarborough Zoning By-law Number 9510, with respect to the Woburn Community.
By-law No. 662-1998	To designate certain lands on a registered plan not subject to Part Lot Control in the Clairlea Community.
By-law No. 663-1998	To amend the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 400, Traffic and Parking, respecting Alpine Avenue, Balmuto Street, Barton Avenue, Essex Street, Castle Knock Road, Davenport Road, Grassmere Road, Highfield Road, Hope Street, Indian Road Crescent, Kingston Road, Osler Street, Prince Arthur Avenue, Robertson Crescent, St. Clair Avenue West, St. Clarens Avenue, Sorauren Avenue, Wanda Road.
By-law No. 664-1998	To amend further Metropolitan By-law No. 107-86 respecting Parking Meters on former Metropolitan Roads.
By-law No. 665-1998	To amend further Metropolitan Toronto By-law No. 108-86 respecting pedestrian crossovers on former Metropolitan Roads.
By-law No. 666-1998	To amend further Metropolitan By-law No. 109-86 respecting maximum rates of speed on certain former Metropolitan Roads.
By-law No. 667-1998	To amend further Metropolitan By-law No. 132-93 respecting reserved lanes for designated classes of vehicles on certain former Metropolitan Roads.
By-law No. 668-1998	To amend further Metropolitan By-law No. 32-92, respecting the regulation of traffic on former Metropolitan Roads.
By-law No. 669-1998	To amend further Metropolitan By-law No. 32-92, respecting the regulation of traffic on former Metropolitan Roads.
By-law No. 670-1998	Respecting by-laws of the former Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto, the former Cities of Etobicoke, North York, Scarborough, Toronto and York and the former Borough of East York governing the sale of real property.

By-law No. 671-1998	Amendment to existing planning delegation by-laws.
By-law No. 672-1998	To amend further Council Procedural By-law No. 23-1998, being a By-law to govern the proceedings of the Council and the Committees thereof.
By-law No. 673-1998	To Prohibit Excessive Idling of Vehicles and Boats.
By-law No. 674-1998	To amend By-law No. 1995-0194, being a "By-law To declare real property to be surplus to the needs of the City", respecting part of premises 141 Weston Road and a residual portion of Keele Street (Closed).
By-law No. 675-1998	To establish a reserve fund to cover the contracted costs incurred for the Client Identification and Benefits System.
By-law No. 676-1998	To amend By-law No. 96-91 of the former Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto establishing a reserve fund for the development and construction of a Metropolitan Toronto Police Museum at 40 College Street, Toronto, Ontario.
By-law No. 677-1998	To acquire certain interests in land for or in connection with the Rapid Transit Expansion Program (R.T.E.P.).
By-law No. 678-1998	To authorize the execution and registration of plans of survey for the acquisition of all rights, title and interests in premises 299 Yonge Street (Yonge Dundas Redevelopment Project).
By-law No. 679-1998	To amend Procedural By-law No. 23-1998 respecting the reporting relationships of the Audit Committee and the City Auditor.
By-law No. 680-1998	To Provide for the Levy and Collection of Special Charges in Respect of Upper Village (York) Business Improvement Area.
By-law No. 681-1998	To amend the former City of Toronto Zoning By-law No. 438-86 with respect to the lands known as 535 Queen Street East.
By-law No. 682-1998	To amend the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 400, Traffic and Parking, respecting Bellwoods Avenue, Blackthorn Avenue, Chester Avenue, Claremont Street, Dovercourt Road, Fairford Avenue, Fern Avenue, Harvie Avenue, Ivy Avenue, Indian Grove, Laughton Avenue, Logan



	Avenue, Markham Street, Milverton Boulevard, Monarch Park, Ossington Avenue, Palmerston Gardens, Pickering Street, St. Clarens Avenue, Stephenson Avenue, Wolverleigh Boulevard.
By-law No. 683-1998	To amend the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 400, Traffic and Parking, with respect to speed control zones.
By-law No. 684-1998	To amend the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 400, Traffic and Parking, respecting Prescott Avenue.
By-law No. 685-1998	To amend further Metropolitan By-law No. 32-92, respecting the regulation of traffic on former Metropolitan Roads.
By-law No. 686-1998	To amend further Metropolitan By-law No. 108-86 designating certain locations on former Metropolitan Roads as Pedestrian Crossovers.
By-law No. 687-1998	To amend further Metropolitan By-law No. 107-86 respecting Parking Meters on former Metropolitan Roads.
By-law No. 688-1998	To amend further Metropolitan By-law No. 32-92, respecting the regulation of traffic on former Metropolitan Roads.
By-law No. 689-1998	To amend further Metropolitan By-law No. 32-92, respecting the regulation of traffic on former Metropolitan Roads.
By-law No. 690-1998	To authorize the removal of certain trees growing on the highways of the Municipality.
By-law No. 691-1998	To amend By-law No. 31878 of the former City of North York, as amended.
By-law No. 692-1998	To amend By-law No. 31001 of the former City of North York, as amended.
By-law No. 693-1998	To amend By-law No. 31001, of the former City of North York, as amended.
By-law No. 694-1998	To amend By-law No. 31001 of the former City of North York, as amended.
By-law No. 695-1998	To amend By-law No. 31001 of the former City of North York, as amended.

By-law No. 696-1998	To amend By-law No. 31001 of the former City of North York, as amended.
By-law No. 697-1998	To amend By-law No. 31001 of the former City of North York, as amended.
By-law No. 698-1998	To amend By-law No. 32759, as amended, of the former City of North York.
By-law No. 699-1998	To further amend former City of Toronto By-law No. 602-89, being "A By-law to authorize the construction, widening, narrowing, alteration and repair of sidewalks, pavements and curbs at various locations", respecting the alteration of Boulton Avenue by the installation of speed humps from Queen St. E. To Dundas St. E.
By-law No. 700-1998	To further amend former City of Toronto By-law No. 602-89, being "A By-law to authorize the construction, widening, narrowing, alteration and repair of sidewalks, pavements and curbs at various locations", respecting the alteration of Close Avenue by the installation of speed humps from Queen Street West to Springhurst Avenue.
By-law No. 701-1998	To further amend former City of Toronto By-law No. 602-89, being "A By-law to authorize the construction, widening, narrowing, alteration and repair of sidewalks, pavements and curbs at various locations", respecting the alteration of Margueretta Street by the installation of speed humps from Bloor Street West to Wallace Avenue.
By-law No. 702-1998	To further amend former City of Toronto By-law No. 602-89, being "A By-law to authorize the construction, widening, narrowing, alteration and repair of sidewalks, pavements and curbs at various locations", respecting the alteration of Christie Street by narrowing the pavement between Bloor Street West and Dupont Street.
By-law No. 703-1998	To amend Municipal Code Ch.25, Community and Recreation Centres, to include the two Councillors for Ward 19 on the Board of Management for 95 Lavinia Avenue (Swansea Town Hall).
By-law No. 704-1998	To amend the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 20, Business Improvement Areas, to make changes to the size

and quorum for certain Boards of Management and to make changes to ward names.

By-law No. 705-1998	To further amend former City of Toronto By-law No. 602-89, being "A By-law to authorize the construction, widening, narrowing, alteration and repair of sidewalks, pavements and curbs at various locations", respecting the alteration of Queen's Quay West consisting of the widening of the pavement on the south side of Queen's Quay West between Lower Spadina Avenue and Lower Portland Street.
By-law No. 706-1998	To amend By-law 7625 of the former City of North York.
By-law No. 707-1998	To amend City of North York By-law 7625.
By-law No. 708-1998	To amend City of North York By-law No. 7625.
By-law No. 709-1998	To adopt Amendment No. 469 of the Official Plan for the City of North York.
By-law No. 710-1998	To amend City of North York Zoning By-law 7625
By-law No. 711-1998	To adopt Amendment No. 467 of the Official Plan for the City of North York.
By-law No. 712-1998	To amend By-law 23-1998 to reflect guidelines for determining planning matters of city-wide interest.
By-law No. 713-1998	To amend the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 400, Traffic and Parking, respecting Belsize Drive.
By-law No. 714-1998	To amend the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 400, Traffic and Parking, Schedule XXVI (Permit Parking), by adding a new Part YY respecting Miller Street and a new Part ZZ respecting Shaftesbury Avenue, Summerhill Avenue, Summerhill Gardens, Woodlawn Avenue.
By-law No. 715-1998	To amend the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 400, Traffic and Parking, respecting Shaftesbury Avenue.
By-law No. 716-1998	To amend the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 400, Traffic and Parking, respecting Woodfield Road.

By-law No. 717-1998	To provide for exemptions from parking restrictions in that area of the City comprising the former City of York.
By-law No. 718-1998	To amend former City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, respecting 30 kilometre per hour speed limits on streets with Traffic Calming measures in effect and respecting Boon Avenue, Cowan Avenue, Earls court Avenue, Glengrove Avenue, Harvie Avenue, Logan Avenue, Nairn Avenue, River Street, Springhurst Avenue, Spruce Street, Wellington Street West and Yarmouth Avenue.
By-law No. 719-1998	To amend the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 400, Traffic and Parking, respecting Walmer Avenue.
By-law No. 720-1998	To amend By-law No. 28-1998, being “A By-law respecting the ‘Toronto Parking Authority’”, to facilitate the transfer of parking operations and enforcement of regulations.
By-law No. 721-1998	To appoint employees of the Toronto Parking Authority as municipal law enforcement officers to enforce by-laws respecting parking in municipal parking facilities within the City of Toronto.
By-law No. 722-1998	To amend Chapters 320 and 324 of the City of Etobicoke Zoning Code with respect to certain lands located on the west side of Scarlett Road, north of La Rose Avenue.
By-law No. 723-1998	To stop up and close the public lane 36.39 metres north of Breadalbane Street, extending easterly from Bay Street, then northerly to Wellesley Street West and to authorize the conveyance thereof to Ontario Realty Corporation.
By-law No. 724-1998	To amend the former City of Toronto By-law No. 1995--194, being “A By-law to declare real property to be surplus to the needs of the City”, respecting the public lane 36. 39 metres north of Breadalbane Street, extending easterly from Bay Street, then northerly to Wellesley Street West.
By-law No. 725-1998	To amend further Council Procedural By-law No. 23-1998 being a By-law “To Govern the Proceedings of Council and the Committees Thereof”.

By-law No. 726-1998 To effect interim control on certain residentially zoned lands fronting on Hazelton Avenue, Webster Avenue, Berryman Street and Bishop Street.

By-law No. 727-1998 To amend By-law No. 1997-0321, as amended, to extend the period of interim control in the MCR district along St. Clair Avenue West between Lansdowne Avenue and Westmount Avenue.

By-law No. 728-1998 To deem certain lots to no longer be registered lots on a plan of subdivision,

it was carried.

1670 Councillor Flint, seconded by Councillor Mihevc, moved that the absence of Councillors Minnan-Wong and Tzekas from this meeting of Council be excused, which was carried.

1671 Councillor Faubert, seconded by Councillor Kelly, moved that leave be granted to introduce:

Bill No. 728 To confirm the second portion of the proceedings of the Council at its meeting held on the 1st and 2nd days of October, 1998,

which was carried.

Upon the question, "Shall this Bill, prepared for this meeting of Council be passed and hereby declared as a By-law?", as follows:

By-law No. 729-1998 To confirm the second portion of the proceedings of the Council at its meeting held on the 1st and 2nd days of October, 1998,

the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Adams, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Brown, Chong, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Flint, Giansante, Holyday, Jones, Kelly, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Mahood, McConnell, Mihevc, Moeser, Moscoe, Nunziata, O'Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Shaw, Shiner, Silva, Sinclair, Walker - 33.

Nays: Nil.

Decided in the affirmative, without dissent.

1672 At this point in the proceedings, and with the permission of Council, Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, seconded by Councillor Balkissoon, moved that subsections 26(4), 27(1)

and 28(1) of the Council Procedural By-law be waived to permit consideration of the following Notice of Motion J(10), which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:

**Moved by: Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski**

**Seconded by: Councillor Balkissoon**

**“WHEREAS** an application for a change to the Official Plan for the lands within the Morningside Heights Land Use Study Area from Industrial/employment to residential uses has been made to the City and appealed to the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB); and

**WHEREAS** Council on July 8, 9 and 10, 1998, approved a land use known as Option 2; and

**WHEREAS** a Notice of Motion at the July 29, 30 and 31, 1998, Council meeting to allocate the necessary funds to the Legal Department to retain outside planning consultants failed; and

**WHEREAS** the Ontario Municipal Board at the September 14, 1998, Pre-Hearing Conference determined that because Council did not adopt the funding motion the City could not remain as a party to the land use issues at the upcoming hearing;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, the aforementioned Notice of Motion by Councillor Balkissoon, seconded by Councillor Cho, be re-opened for further consideration;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the necessary funds be allocated to retain planning consultants to defend Council’s decision in support of Option 2, in light of the ruling of the OMB to not hear land use issues from the City of Toronto;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** Council adopt the following recommendations:

- (1) Council allocate the appropriate funds to the Legal Department from the Corporate Contingency Account for the purpose of retaining expert witnesses to provide evidence in support of Option 2 at the upcoming hearing;
- (2) the City Solicitor be authorized to expend these funds, as necessary, to obtain standing for the City before the Board on the land use issues and to present a case in support of Option 2.”

Upon the question of the adoption of the recommendation embodied in the first Operative Paragraph of the foregoing Motion to re-open the Notice of Motion by Councillor Balkissoon, Seconded by Councillor Cho, referred to therein, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Augimeri, Balkissoon, Cho, Duguid, Faubert, Flint, Jones, Korwin-Kuczynski, Mahood, McConnell, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Pitfield, Prue, Silva, Walker - 17.

Nays: Councillors: Adams, Ashton, Berardinetti, Bossons, Brown, Bussin, Chong, Disero, Giansante, Holyday, King, Layton, O'Brien, Pantalone, Rae, Sinclair - 16.

Decided in the negative, less than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Having regard that the said Notice of Motion by Councillor Balkissoon, seconded by Councillor Cho, referred to in the foregoing Motion J(10), was not re-opened for further consideration, in accordance with the provisions of Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, the balance of the foregoing Motion J(10) by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, seconded by Councillor Balkissoon, was not put to a vote.

- 1673 At this point in the proceedings, and with the permission of Council, Councillor Mihevc, seconded by Councillor Sgro, moved that subsections 26(4), 27(1) and 28(1) of the Council Procedural By-law be waived to permit consideration of the following Notice of Motion J(21), which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:

**Moved by: Councillor Mihevc**

**Seconded by: Councillor Sgro**

**“WHEREAS** the York Community Council approved a temporary use by-law on July 22, 1998, to permit a child care centre at 180 Church Street; and

**WHEREAS** the said by-law was before City Council for approval on July 31, 1998; and

**WHEREAS** a commitment to Councillors was made that if the temporary use was reduced from three years to one year, there would be no appeals to the said by-law; and

**WHEREAS** a commitment to Councillors was made that if there were any appeals to the by-law, that York Councillors will intervene to ensure that the appeals were withdrawn; and

**WHEREAS** a community representative supported by a petition from neighbours filed an appeal to the said by-law; and

**WHEREAS** in order to meet their needs the daycare centre is presently using two temporary locations until the Ontario Municipal Board has dealt with this matter; and

**WHEREAS** before a daycare centre can be opened at the Church Street location, it is a requirement that a playground structure be constructed; and

**WHEREAS** it is impossible to construct such a structure during the winter months, therefore, there is some urgency to this issue;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Council advise the Ontario Municipal Board regarding the urgency of this matter and ask for the earliest possible hearing date;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** Council send staff and any required expert witnesses to defend the by-law before the Ontario Municipal Board;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** Council instruct staff to defend the original three year temporary use by-law for 180 Church Street.”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Motion J(21), without amendment, Councillor Mihevc, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Motion be adopted, subject to deleting from the second Operative Paragraph the words “and any required expert witnesses”, so that such Operative Paragraph shall now read as follows:

“**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** Council send staff to defend the by-law before the Ontario Municipal Board;”.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor Mihevc, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Motion J(21) by Councillor Mihevc, seconded by Councillor Sgro, as amended, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Adams, Augimeri, Berardinetti, Bossons, Brown, Bussin, Cho, Chong, Duguid, Faubert, Flint, Giansante, Jones, King, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Mihevc, Miller, Moscoe, O'Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Sgro, Shaw, Silva, Sinclair, Walker - 29.

Nays: Councillors: Ashton, Holyday, Mahood, Nunziata, Prue - 5.

Decided in the affirmative by a majority of 24.



- 1674 Council resumed its consideration of the following Notice of Motion J(16) - See also Minute No. 1653):

**Moved by: Councillor Adams**

**Seconded by: Councillor Bossons**

**“WHEREAS** an Ontario Municipal Board hearing regarding 43 Bernard Avenue has been adjourned until October 21, 1998, because of the actions of the developer; and

**WHEREAS** construction has been undertaken which was not part of the application approved by the Committee of Adjustment, and the public had not been informed about the application; and

**WHEREAS** the proposed development would set a dangerous precedent in the Annex and the City, and is contrary to the Annex Part II and the Part I Official Plan; and

**WHEREAS** the developer has abused the void provisions of the Zoning By-law which will set a dangerous precedent for the City; and

**WHEREAS** the City has issued a stop work order against the developer;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** City Council:

- (a) instruct the City Solicitor to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing regarding 43 Bernard Avenue in opposition to the minor variance application;
- (b) authorize the retention of an outside planner, if necessary, to appear at the hearing and give evidence; and
- (c) authorize the necessary funds being provided from the Corporate Contingency Account for the cost of the above.”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Motion, without amendment, Councillor Adams, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Motion J(16) be adopted, subject to the following amendments:

- (1) by inserting the words “and the Chief Building Official and the Chief Planner, or their designates,” after the words “City Solicitor” in part (a) of the foregoing Operative Paragraph; and
- (2) by deleting parts (b) and (c) of the said Operative Paragraph, so that such Operative Paragraph shall now read as follows:

**“NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** City Council instruct the City Solicitor and the Chief Building Official and the Chief Planner, or their designates, to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing regarding 43 Bernard Avenue in opposition to the minor variance application.”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor Adams, it was carried.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Motion J(16) by Councillor Adams, seconded by Councillor Bossons, as amended, it was carried.

**1675 Clause No. 28 of Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed “Governance of Toronto Hydro-Electric Commission”.**

Council also had before it, during consideration of the foregoing Clause, a communication (September 28, 1998) from the Chair, Toronto Hydro-Electric Commission, referring to the request of the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee for the Commission to provide a written undertaking to City Council for its meeting on October 1 and 2, 1998 that:

- (i) it will focus its activities on the amalgamation and integration of the six former hydro utilities; and
- (ii) not sell any of its assets or make any other major business decisions without approval of City Council, until the new Board is approved by City Council;

advising that the date of the next meeting of the Commission is not until October 8, 1998, at which time the Commission will consider the aforementioned request of the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Mahood, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by:

- (1) striking out Recommendations Nos. (4), (5) and (6) of the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee and referring such recommendations to the Chief Administrative Officer for a report thereon to the next meeting of Council to be held on October 28, 1998; and
- (2) deleting the words “as early as possible in 1999” in Recommendation No. (3) of the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the words “no later than April 1, 1999”.

Council deferred further consideration of the foregoing Clause, until later in the meeting. (See Minute No. 1678.)

1676 **Clause No. 1 of Report No. 19 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed “Veterans’ Clubhouses and Legion Halls”.**

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, Councillor Moscoe, in amendment, moved that the foregoing Clause be amended by:

- (1) adding the following words to the end of Recommendation No. (5) contained in the report (September 22, 1998) from the Chief Administrative Officer:

“denoting which members are actually veterans and of what service”.

- (2) adding to such Clause the following:

“It is further recommended that each Club be required to report on all revenue from the rental of their facilities enumerating:

- (1) the organization or individual lessee;
- (2) the event; and
- (3) rental revenue from each event;

and that this information be presented to the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee annually.”

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion by Councillor Moscoe, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Augimeri, Chow, Jones, Layton, Moscoe - 5.

Nays: Councillors: Adams, Ashton, Berardinetti, Bossons, Brown, Bussin, Cho, Chong, Davis, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Flint, Giansante, Holyday, Kelly, Korwin-Kuczynski, Mahood, McConnell, Mihevc, Nunziata, O’Brien, Ootes, Pitfield, Prue, Rae, Sgro, Silva, Sinclair, Walker - 30.

Decided in the negative by a majority of 25.

Upon the question of the adoption of the foregoing Clause, without amendment, the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Adams, Ashton, Augimeri, Berardinetti, Bossons, Brown, Bussin, Cho, Chong, Chow, Davis, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Flint, Giansante, Holyday, Jones, Kelly, Kinahan, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Mahood, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Nunziata, O’Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Prue, Rae, Sgro, Silva, Sinclair, Walker - 37.

Nays: Councillor: Moscoe - 1.

Decided in the affirmative by a majority of 36.

- 1677 Councillor McConnell, seconded by Councillor Sinclair, moved that leave be granted to introduce:

Bill No. 720 To Exempt certain Veteran's Clubhouses from Taxation for General Purposes for 1998,

which was carried.

Upon the question, "Shall this Bill, prepared for this meeting of Council be passed and hereby declared as a By-law?", as follows:

By-law No. 730-1998 To Exempt certain Veteran's Clubhouses from Taxation for General Purposes for 1998,

the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Adams, Augimeri, Berardinetti, Bossons, Brown, Bussin, Chong, Chow, Davis, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Flint, Holyday, Jones, Kelly, Kinahan, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Nunziata, O'Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Prue, Rae, Sgro, Silva, Sinclair, Walker - 33.

Nays: Councillor: Moscoe - 1.

Decided in the affirmative by a majority of 32.

- 1678 At this point in the proceedings, Council deferred consideration of the following matters to its next regular meeting to be held on October 28, 1998:

- (i) Clause No. 3 of Report No. 8 of The Community and Neighbourhood Services Committee, headed "The Public Housing Inquiry";
- (ii) Clause No. 4 of Report No. 13 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed "Agreement Between the Former City of Toronto and COTAPSAI (City of Toronto Administrative, Professional Supervisory Association, Incorporated)";
- (iii) Clause No. 5 of Report No. 13 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed "Employees Eligibility for Membership in COTAPSAI (City of Toronto Administrative, Professional Supervisory Association, Incorporated)";

- (iv) Clause No. 4 of Report No. 2 of The Economic Development Committee, headed “Bank Mergers - Impact on Toronto as a Financial Centre and Legislation Respecting Canadian Financial Institutions”;
- (v) Clause No. 7 of Report No. 2 of The Economic Development Committee, headed “Proposed Plan for Developing an Economic Development Strategy for the City of Toronto”;
- (vi) Clause No. 10 of Report No. 2 of The Economic Development Committee, headed “Fashion Industry Liaison Committee Membership and 1997/1998 Activities”;
- (vii) Item (f), entitled “Proposed Use of Funds Generated from Cash-in-Lieu of Parkland Dedication”, embodied in Clause No. 15 of Report No. 2 of The Economic Development Committee, headed “Other Items Considered by the Committee”;
- (viii) Clause No. 3 of Report No. 9 of The Emergency and Protective Services Committee, headed “Seizing and Impounding of Vehicles Used by Persons Charged with Prostitution Offence”;
- (ix) Item (m), entitled “Toronto Police Service - Air Service Business Case”, embodied in Clause No. 5 of Report No. 9 of The Emergency and Protective Services Committee, headed “Other Items Considered by the Committee”;
- (x) Clause No. 14 of Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed “Business Case Review of the ‘Works Best Practices Program’ ”;
- (xi) Clause No. 18 of Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed “Service Level Harmonization”.
- (xii) Clause No. 26 of Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed “Toronto Transit Commission - Procurement Authorization Excavation and Paving 1998 Surface Track Program”;
- (xiii) Clause No. 28 of Report No. 18 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed “Governance of Toronto Hydro-Electric Commission” - (See also Minute No. 1675);
- (xiv) Clause No. 3 of Report No. 19 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed “Ontario Property Assessment Corporation - Recovery of 1998 Costs”;
- (xv) Clause No. 7 of Report No. 19 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed “Staff Lay-Offs in Works and Emergency Services”;

- (xvi) Clause No. 1 of Report No. 12 of The East York Community Council, headed “Other Item Considered by the East York Community Council”, consisting of Item (a) only, headed “The 2008 Toronto Olympic Bid”;
- (xvii) Clause No. 6 of Report No. 13 of The East York Community Council, headed “Traffic Concerns at Hanna Road and Millwood Road”;
- (xviii) Clause No. 1 of Report No. 9 of The North York Community Council, headed “Process for Disposal of City Property”;
- (xix) Clause No. 2 of Report No. 9 of The North York Community Council, headed “Construction Noise By-law Violations”;
- (xx) Clause No. 32 of Report No. 9 of The North York Community Council, headed “Construction Noise - The Majestic Condominium - 20, 24, 26, 30 and 32 Empress Avenue and 11, 15, 17 and 21 Kingsdale Avenue - Performance Bond - North York Centre”; and
- (xii) Clause No. 15 of Report No. 10 of The York Community Council, headed “Eglinton Subway Line - Ward 27, York Humber and Ward 28, York Eglinton”.

1679 At this point in the proceedings, Council deferred consideration of the following Notice of Motion J(1) moved by Councillor Silva, seconded by Councillor Pantalone, to its next regular meeting to be held on October 28, 1998:

**Moved by: Councillor Silva**

**Seconded by: Councillor Pantalone**

**“WHEREAS** City Council at its meeting held on July 8, 9 and 10, 1998, adopted, as amended, Clause No. 30 of Report No. 9 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed ‘Provision of Food Services at City Hall’; and

**WHEREAS** the following action was taken by Council:

‘That the foregoing Clause be amended by striking out the recommendations of The Corporate Services Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Corporate Services be instructed to finalize the lease previously negotiated and signed by Mr. Palermo on behalf of 1158093 Ontario Limited; and

- (2) the lease include a provision that the operator be granted the right to exclusivity in terms of catering services for City Hall functions, except in those cases where religious dietary restrictions, such as Kosher or Hallal, are involved for special events.' ” ; and

**WHEREAS** by the foregoing decision, the operation of the Cafeteria was given to a specific individual, by-passing the Proposal Call process; and

**WHEREAS** the Hotel Employees, Restaurant Employees Union Local 75 is charging that this Council action may actually be in contravention of the Municipal Act; and

**WHEREAS** the Cafeteria staff has signed a petition requesting that Council re-open this issue and have also indicated that a new operator will not honour their collective agreement and recognize their Union for its membership;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 30 of Report No. 9 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed ‘Provision of Food Services at City Hall’, be re-opened for further consideration.”

- 1680 At this point in the proceedings, Council also deferred further consideration of the following Notice of Motion J(11) moved by Councillor Flint, seconded by Councillor Mahood, to its next regular meeting to be held on October 28, 1998 - (See also Minute No. 1648):

**Moved by: Councillor Flint**

**Seconded by: Councillor Mahood**

“**WHEREAS** the Corporate Services Committee was directed by City Council at its meeting of June 3, 4 and 5, 1998, to consider the following motion:

Moved by Councillor Flint:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) real estate matters under \$500,000.00, that are deemed by a Ward Councillor to be of special interest, be considered by the Corporate Services Committee and City Council at that Councillor’s request;
- (2) real estate matters under \$500,000.00, of local significance, be considered by the Community Council and City Council at a Councillor’s request;

- (3) matters related to the potential sale of any property be reported to the respective Community Council for comment before being considered by the Corporate Services Committee; and
- (4) the Council Procedural By-law be amended accordingly.’; and

**WHEREAS** the motion was included in the Corporate Services Committee Agenda of July 20, 1998; and

**WHEREAS** there is no mention in the minutes of the Committee of the motion having been considered; and

**WHEREAS** there is no record in the report to Council of any decision or recommendation by the Committee regarding this motion: it was not received, refused, adopted or referred to staff for a report;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 1 of Report No. 11 of The Corporate Services Committee, headed ‘Acquisition and Disposal of Real Property’, as approved by Council on July 29, 30 and 31, 1998, be re-opened and referred back to the Corporate Services Committee for further consideration.”

1681 Councillor Faubert, seconded by Councillor Kelly, moved that leave be granted to introduce

Bill No. 729 To confirm the last portion of the proceedings of the Council at its meeting held on the 1st and 2nd days of October, 1998,

which was carried.

Upon the question “Shall this Bill, prepared for this meeting of Council, be passed and hereby declared as a By-law?”, as follows:

Bill No. 731-1998 To confirm the last portion of the proceedings of the Council at its meeting held on the 1st and 2nd days of October, 1998,

the vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors: Adams, Ashton, Augimeri, Berardinetti, Bossons, Brown, Bussin, Cho, Chong, Chow, Davis, Disero, Duguid, Faubert, Flint, Giansante, Holyday, Jones, Kelly, Kinahan, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, McConnell, Mihevc, Miller, Moscoe, Nunziata, O’Brien, Ootes, Pantalone, Prue, Rae, Sgro, Shaw, Silva, Sinclair, Walker - 37.

Decided in the affirmative, with dissent.



Council adjourned at 6:44 p.m.

**CASE OOTES,**  
Deputy Mayor

**NOVINA WONG,**  
City Clerk

## **Attachment No. 1**

(Report dated September 23, 1998, from the Acting Assistant Director, By-law Administration and Enforcement, City Works Services, entitled, "Construction of a Transformer Vault - 260 Merton Street [North Toronto] - See Minute No. 1634.)

### Purpose:

To report on the construction of a hydro transformer vault at 260 Merton Street. The hydro transformer vault will service the proposed 3-storey condominium to be constructed on this site. As this type of structure does not fall within the standard provisions of Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, I am required to report to your Committee.

### Funding Sources, Financial Implications and Impact Statement:

Not applicable.

### Recommendations:

It is recommended that City Council approve the construction of a hydro transformer vault within the City street allowance at 260 Merton Street, subject to the owner entering into an agreement with the City of Toronto, as prescribed under Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and agreeing to:

- (a) indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages, charges and expenses that may result from such permission granted;
- (b) maintain the hydro transformer vault in good and proper repair and a condition satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
- (c) accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City;
- (d) pay an annual rental fee as specified in the Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; and
- (e) remove the hydro transformer vault upon receiving notice so to do with the understanding that the City shall not give such notice in the first 75 years or for the life of the building at 260 Merton Street, whichever period is less.

Comments:

Mr. Gabriel Bodor of Gabriel Bodor Architect, Inc., acting on behalf of the owner, Merton Residences Corp., Suite 202, 3845 Bathurst Street, North York, Ontario M3H 3M2, submitted an application on August 4, 1998, requesting permission to construct a hydro transformer vault within the City boulevard at 260 Merton Street. The proposed hydro transformer vault will encroach 2.4 m within the City boulevard.

Details of this application are shown on the attached drawing (Appendix 'A').

Conclusion:

As this hydro transformer vault will not impact negatively on the public right-of-way, it should be permitted, subject to the owner entering into an agreement with the City of Toronto.

Contact Name and Telephone Number:

Fani Lauzon, 392-7894.)

## **Attachment No. 2**

(Report dated September 28, 1998, from the General Manager, Transportation Services, titled "Accesses to 1759 and 1765 Eglinton Avenue East"- See Minute No. 1650.)

### Purpose:

The purpose of this report is to obtain authority to amend Metro By-Law 837, being the by-law designating Eglinton Avenue East between Leslie Street and Jonesville Crescent as a controlled access road, in order to permit three in-right vehicular accesses to a development at 1759 and 1765 Eglinton Avenue East (as shown on attached Schedule "A" entitled Accesses to 1759 and 1765 Eglinton Avenue East).

### Funding Sources, Financial Implications and Impact Statement:

There are no financial implications to the City.

### Recommendations:

It is recommended Metro By-Law 837 be amended to permit three (3) accesses to a development at 1759 and 1765 Eglinton Avenue East as specified in Schedule "A".

### Background:

The development of a car dealership and a convenience restaurant on the south side of Eglinton Avenue at Jonesville Avenue received North York Community Council approval on July 22, 1998 and the necessary planning by-law (496-1998) was enacted by City Council on July 31, 1998. Because the dealership's current lease will expire shortly, Councillor Minnan-Wong has requested that we report directly to City Council on this matter in order that construction of the new dealership can proceed as quickly as practicable.

### Discussion:

Ontario Hydro and Rowland Lincoln Mercury Sales Limited have requested three accesses at 1759 and 1765 Eglinton Avenue East. The accesses are required to service a proposed development consisting of a restaurant and an automotive dealership on the south side of Eglinton Avenue East at Jonesville Crescent.

The consulting firm of Read, Voorhees and Associates Limited, have undertaken a traffic study and geometric design of the entrances and City staff concur with their assessment that the proposed accesses will serve the development with no negative traffic impact on the area.

Conclusion:

Since there are no negative traffic impacts associated with the proposed accesses, and the development will provide economic benefits to the Eglinton Avenue and Victoria Park area, the accesses should be permitted.

Contact Name:

Keeva G. Lane, Supervisor, Road Allowance Control, Transportation

Phone No.: (416) 392-9312

Fax No.: (416) 392-9317

E-mail Address: keeva\_lane.metrodesk.metrotor.on.ca

### **Attachment No. 3**

(Report dated September 30, 1998, from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, titled “289 Yonge Street, Application No. 998082: Request for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, upon application by the Yonge Street Business and Resident Association Inc. on behalf of the City of Toronto, Commissioner, Corporate Services, City Hall, 100 Queen Street West, Toronto, Ontario, M5H 2N2 (Downtown)” - See Minute No. 1651)

#### Purpose:

To review and make recommendations respecting an application for variances to permit two options for illuminated temporary signs at the front and rear of 289 Yonge Street.

#### Source of Funds:

Not Applicable

#### Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) Application No. 998082 respecting minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit two options for illuminated temporary signs at the front and rear of 289 Yonge Street be approved.
- (2) The approval referred to in (1) above, be for a period of 180 days from the date of City Council’s decision.
- (3) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 998082, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services.

#### Comments and/or Discussion and/or Justification:

The property is located on the east side of Yonge Street, between Dundas Street and Dundas Square in a mixed-use (commercial/residential) district. The property accommodates a five storey commercial building.

The applicant is requesting permission to install temporary signage on the west and east elevations of the building.

The temporary signage for the east elevation or rear of the building measures 3 metres in width by 13.7 metres in length with an area of 41.1 square metres.

Two temporary signage options for the west elevation or front of the building are proposed:

- a) 13.7 metres in width by 13.7 metres in length, with an area of approximately 149 square metres, excluding the area of the existing windows or
- b) four vertical signs 1.5 metres wide by 13.7 metres in length, for a total area of 82.2 square metres.

The signage will be either mural or vinyl banners and will not project. No windows will be covered. Illumination will be provided and the existing first party canopy signage on the building will remain. Approval of two options for the west elevation or front facade is being requested to permit flexibility in determining the final configuration of the design and cost of the installation.

Municipal Code Chapter 297, Signs, requires temporary signs to be non-illuminated and be erected for no longer than 90 days in any one year period. The proposed signs will be illuminated and will be erected for 180 days.

This temporary signage installation is intended to build public awareness about the public square proposed for this location, as part of the Yonge Dundas Redevelopment Project, and generate excitement about the regeneration of downtown Yonge Street. The signage is to be in place temporarily until the demolition of the building, which is scheduled for the early spring of 1999. While the proposed signage is generally in keeping with the pattern of oversized fascia signs which characterize stores in this area, it is intended solely as a temporary installation related to the City's regeneration initiative on Yonge Street. In view of the temporary and unique purpose of this signage, I am recommending that the variances to the Municipal Code be approved, subject to a time limit of 180 days.

Contact Name:

Gregg Lintern

Telephone: (416) 392-7363

Fax: (416) 392-1330

E-mail: [glintern@city.toronto.on.ca](mailto:glintern@city.toronto.on.ca)

#### **Attachment No. 4**

(Report dated September 21, 1998, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services titled "495 Sherbourne Street - Acquisition for a multi-service community facility (Ward 25 - Don River) - See Minute No. 1656)

##### Purpose:

To secure formal City Council authority to acquire the property municipally known as 495 Sherbourne Street. Although funding for the acquisition was dealt with through the Capital Budget process, in order to execute the documentation, the City Solicitor has advised, that further City Council Authority is required for the acquisition.

##### Financial Implications:

Funds totalling \$2,722,000.00 was approved in the 1998 Capital Budget.

##### Recommendation:

It is recommended that the Report from the Commissioner of Corporate Services dated January 29, 1998, regarding the acquisition of 495 Sherbourne Street which was deferred by Council (Clause 6, SPPC Report No. 3, adopted March 4, 5 and 6, 1998) for consideration during the Capital Budget Process, be adopted, subject to the amendments contained in the body of this report.

##### Background:

At its meeting on February 16, 1998, the Corporate Services Committee considered a report from the Commissioner of Corporate Services dated January 29, 1998, entitled 495 Sherbourne Street - Acquisition for a Multi-Service Community Facility. Corporate Services Committee recommended to Budget Committee, and Council, the adoption of the report.

At its meeting on February 18, 1998, the Budget Committee recommended to the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, and Council, that the report from the Commissioner Corporate Services dated January 29, 1998 respecting the acquisition of 495 Sherbourne Street from ORC in the amount of \$2.5 million be deferred and be considered during the 1998 Capital Budget process.

At its meeting on March 4, 5, and 6, 1998, City Council adopted recommendations contained in Report No. 3 Clause No. 6 of the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee entitled Acquisition for a Multi-Service Community Facility- 495 Sherbourne Street. This clause recommended that the report dated January 29, 1998 from the Commissioner of Corporate Services be deferred and considered during the 1998 Capital Budget process.

At its meeting on April 29, 1998, City Council considered City of Toronto Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee Report No. 6 Clause 23 entitled Recommended 1998 Capital Budget and Financing Authorities and adopted the recommendation shown in the report as Item No. 879-



Toronto 495 Sherbourne Street- Land Acquisition which allocated \$2,722,000.00 in the 1998 Capital Budget to acquire 495 Sherbourne Street. The funds are in the Parks and Recreation Account. It does not appear, however, that the actual approval to acquire these lands was included in the Budget documents

Comments:

After protracted discussions on this matter the ORC is now prepared to execute an Agreement of Purchase and Sale. Although this matter has been dealt with extensively at City Council, the City Solicitor has advised the City does not have the proper authority to execute the Agreement of Purchase and Sale, as the specific approval by Council to acquire 495 Sherbourne Street was not formally granted when it approved the funds for the acquisition at its meeting on April 29, 1998. Accordingly, formal City Council approval for this acquisition is required to provide the necessary authority to complete the transaction. Through negotiations, City and ORC staff have agreed to the following amendments to the terms and conditions previously reported in the January 29, 1998 report.

Amended Terms and Conditions of Purchase:

Completion Date: ORC staff have advised that they are prepared to close this transaction in the fourth quarter of 1998.

Leaseback Option: The site will be temporarily leased back to ORC on closing with the lease-back assigned by ORC to St. Michael's Hospital shortly thereafter so that the site can be maintained as a surface parking lot until parking is no longer required by Wellesley Hospital or until August 31, 2000, whichever is earlier. The land lease rate is based on monthly instalments calculated on the basis of the purchase price times the minimum annual lending rate to prime commercial borrowers charged by a Canadian chartered bank designated by the City as of the date of closing the transaction. The vendor will pay for all other costs including realty taxes and operating expenses incurred over the term of the lease-back period. The lease-back will not include a residential structure located on the subject site.

Possession Date: The City will receive vacant possession of the site either on closing or upon termination of the leaseback, such date to be no later than August 31, 2000.

Conclusion:

Due to an oversight, City Council's formal approval of the acquisition of the property by adopting the January 29, 1998 during the 1998 Capital Budget process did not occur. Funds for the acquisition in the amount of \$2,722,000.00 have been provided in the 1998 Capital Budget. With the adoption of this report, the City would be in a position to execute the Agreement of Purchase and Sale with ORC to acquire the property and grant a lease-back to ORC under terms and conditions set out in this report and in the report dated January 29, 1998.

Contact Name:

Peter Aziz, Telephone No. 392-1856, Fax No. 392-1880, E-Mail - paziz@city.toronto.on.ca  
(cn98182.wpd)

**Attachment No. 5**

(Report dated September 25, 1998, from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, titled "Extension of Interim Control By-law 1997-0321 to allow for the completion of a study of parking requirements for medical clinics (Davenport)" - See Minute No. 1660.)

Purpose:

To seek authority to extend an existing Interim Control By-law in place for St. Clair Avenue West between Dufferin Street and Lansdowne Avenue in order to allow for the implementation of new parking requirements for clinics.

Source of Funds:

N/A

Recommendations:

It is recommended that Interim Control By-law 1997-0321 be extended for a period of 4 months.

Comments:

At its meeting of June 23, 1997 the former City of Toronto City Council passed Interim Control By-law 1997-0321 prohibiting medical clinics along St. Clair Avenue West between Dufferin Street and Lansdowne Avenue and authorized funds for the hiring of a transportation consulting firm to conduct a study of parking requirements for clinics outside the Central Area. The by-law will lapse on October 23, 1998, if not extended. The consultant's report has been completed and is under review by staff. A report recommending amendments to the Zoning By-law to introduce a parking requirement for clinics outside the Central Area is expected to be finalized in October.

In order to allow for completion of the final report and to provide the required legal notification for a new by-law, the Interim Control By-law will need to be extended for a short period of time so that no new clinics are established in the area prior to the new standard being in place.

Contact Name: Michael Major  
Telephone: (416) 392-0760  
Fax: (416) 392-1330  
E-Mail: mmajor@city.toronto.on.ca

**Attachment No. 6**

(Schedule of meetings for the 1999-2003 Capital Review Process - See Minute No. 1658.)

