

development levy or development charge; that his client has proceeded to fund the environmental assessment study due to be finalized for approval in July and is cooperating with other area landowners in the construction of at least one of the area road improvements identified in the Secondary Plan; expressing concern that these road have not been sufficiently identified in the development charge by-law and background study; and requesting confirmation that the design and construction of these works will be recognized as services in lieu of development charges and will be eligible for development charge credits.

2(i). Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer.
(June 17, 1999)

Providing information with respect to the public meeting to be held under the Development Charges Act and of the terms and conditions of the proposed development charges by-law; and recommending that:

- (1) this report be received for information; and
- (2) this report, together with the proposed Development Charge By-law, be forwarded to Planning and Transportation Committee for its review in accordance with Council's direction.

2(j). Robin Campbell, Executive Director
Ontario Non-Profit Housing Association.
(June 21, 1999)

Expressing concern about the affordable housing situation in this City and throughout the province; stating that if the City of Toronto enacts a new Development Charges By-law, there is a need for an exemption for affordable rental housing targeted to housing which can demonstrate long term affordability; and requesting that the City consider a rebate approach to the development charges only for private sector developers and allow exemptions for non-profit developers who meet the affordability criteria.

2(k). Myron Swartz, Chair,
Industrial Advisory Committees.
(June 18, 1999)

Advising that the West Toronto Industrial Advisory Committee (WTIAC) represents a range of industries and businesses in the central City west of the downtown core; that the Committee includes representation from such companies as Nestle Canada, Irwin Toy, Quality Meats, Cadbury and the Toronto Carpet Factory; that after reviewing and discussing the Finance Department's report proposing new development charges, the Committee is very concerned that charges on industrial and commercial development in the City will have a serious negative impact on area businesses and the City's economy and identifying specific areas where the Development Charges would have an adverse impact; and that the Industrial Advisory Committee unanimously adopted the following motion:

“The West Toronto Industrial Advisory Committee believes that development charges on industrial and commercial development in the City will be another

tax on business and will have a serious negative impact on area businesses and the City's economy. The West Toronto Industrial Advisory Committee opposes any industrial or commercial development charges and strongly urges that City Council not impose charges on industrial or commercial development."

2(l). Mr. John M. Alati, Davies, Howe Partners.
(June 22, 1999)

Advising that their client (Orchid Hills Developments Limited) are the proponents of a 375 unit condominium development on Merton Street in the City of Toronto; that this matter has been with the Ontario Municipal Board since September, 1997; that the City Planning Department had delayed submitting its final position to Council, postponing further action on this matter; that once Council reviewed the Planning Department's submission, Council then adopted their client's development proposal at the March 2, 1999, Council meeting; that their client is presently waiting for the City to finalize its Section 37 agreement; that the resulting delays occasioned by the City meant that their client may be subject to development charges that it would otherwise not have had to pay had its proposal been disposed of in a more timely manner; and requesting an opportunity to appear before the Policy and Finance Committee on June 24, 1999, to make submissions on the imposition of the new development charges as it affects their client's land.

2(m). Mr. John M. Alati, Davies, Howe Partners.
(June 22, 1999)

Advising that their client (Graywood Developments Limited) had a development proposal for 29.2 hectare parcel of land in the former City of Scarborough approved by the Ontario Municipal Board pursuant to a decision issued on December 7, 1998; that after the decision was issued, the City sought leave to appeal the OMB decision to the Court and also a Section 43 review of the OMB's decision; that the application for leave to appeal was dismissed and the request for the Section 43 review was denied; and requesting an opportunity to appear before the Policy and Finance Committee on June 24, 1999, respecting the proposed new Development Charges as it affects their client.

12(a). **CAPITAL LOAN GUARANTEE FOR EARL HAIG
COMMUNITY DAY CARE CENTRE TO DEVELOP
A CHILD CARE CENTRE.**

City Clerk.
(June 17, 1999)

Advising that the Community Services Committee on June 17, 1999, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee the adoption of the report dated June 1, 1999, from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services respecting the Capital Loan Guarantee for Earl Haig Community Day Care.

13(a). **CAPITAL FUNDING SUPPORT FOR
PELMO PARK CHILD CARE CENTRE.**

City Clerk.
(June 17, 1999)

Advising that the Community Services Committee on June 17, 1999, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee the adoption of the report dated June 1, 1999, from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services respecting Capital Funding Support for Pelmo Park Child Care Centre.

14(a). FUTURE PROVISION OF LAUNDRY SERVICES.

City Clerk.
(June 17, 1999)

Advising that the Community Services Committee on June 17, 1999, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee the adoption of the report dated May 19, 1999, from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services respecting the future provision of laundry services.

15. RESPONSE TO PROVINCIAL REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR ADDITIONAL LONG-TERM CARE BEDS FOR A NEW CITY HOME FOR THE AGED.

City Clerk.
(June 17, 1999)

Advising that the Community Services Committee on June 17, 1999:

- (A) recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that:
 - (i) City Council be requested to direct the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services to respond to the Province of Ontario's Request for Proposal for additional long-term care beds for a new City Home for the Aged prior to the July 30, 1999, deadline; and
 - (ii) City Council be requested to endorse maintaining the same level of operating service for both the existing and expanding Homes for the Aged portfolio; and
- (B) requested the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services to report to the Policy and Finance Committee for its meeting on June 24, 1999, on the budgetary implications of building a new Home for the Aged.

ANY OTHER MATTERS.