POLICY AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

AGENDA

Date of Meeting: Tuesday, July 20, 1999 Enquiry: Patsy Morris

Time: 9:30 a.m. Committee Administrator

Location: Committee Room 1 392-9151

2nd Floor, City Hall 100 Queen Street West

Toronto

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST PURSUANT TO THE MUNICIPAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST ACT.

CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES.

(Meetings held June 24, 1999 and July 6, 1999)

COMMUNICATIONS/REPORTS.

1. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT CHARGES BY-LAW

10:30 A.M.

(DEPUTATION ITEM.)

1(a). FURTHER REPORT ON SECTION 37 OF THE PLANNING ACT IN THE CONTEXT OF DEVELOPMENT CHARGES.

Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services. (July 12, 1999)

Responding to the Policy and Finance Committee=s request for a further report respecting Section 37 of the Planning Act in the context of development charges; advising that Section 37 is a key planning tool which helps to achieve a wide range of community benefits; that a rigorous and disciplined approach to the use of Section 37 will provide greater clarity and certainty, be fair to all parties, be simple to implement, and be transparent; that clear, concise and simple rules governing its use will provide credibility and accountability; that there will be no duplication of charges through the coordinated implementation of development charges and Section 37; that whereas general principles governing the future implementation have been set out, more detailed Official Plan policies and implementation guidelines will be established in consultation with the development industry and other stakeholders; and recommending that this report be received for information.

1(b). Commissioner of Corporate Services and City Clerk, Corporation of the City of London. (June 22, 1999)

Advising that the Municipal Council on June 21, 1999, adopted a resolution on the recommendation of the Development Charges Monitoring Committee, and in so doing requested the Provincial Government to establish a policy to require all government agencies, including Crown Corporations, to adhere to local planning and development regulations/legislation which adherence would include the payment of local development charges; and directed that a copy of the foregoing resolution be forwarded to all municipalities in Ontario that have a community college.

1(c). Ms. Mary L. Flynn-Guglietti, <u>Goodman and Carr, Barristers and Solicitors</u>. (July 5, 1999)

Summarizing her submission made to the Policy and Finance Committee on June 24, 1999; and amongst other things, recommending that Council adopt the suggestions of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and vote to waive development charges on commercial/industrial properties.

1(d). Ms. Mary L. Flynn-Guglietti, <u>Goodman and Carr, Barristers and Solicitors</u>. (July 5, 1999)

Advising that they are acting on behalf of Wittington Properties Limited and Diamante Development Corporation; that their client entered into a Section 37 Agreement with the City of Toronto on October 16, 1997; that no request for a building permit has been filed at this time and they do not anticipate receiving one prior to the deadlines as set out in the proposed Transition Rules of the new Development Charges By-law; that at the time their client entered into the Development Agreement, there were no development charges for the City of Toronto; that if their client had been made aware of the fact that there would be development charges for this development, they may not have agreed to the proposed payments as set out in the Development Agreement; and recommending that Council recognize that parties who entered into agreements prior to a development charge being implemented not now be forced to make an additional payment through the Development Charges By-law.

1(e). President, North York Chamber of Commerce. (July 9, 1999)

Expressing objection to the reintroduction of Development Charges on commercial properties that create employment; and, amongst other things, stating that the City should promote the reuse of commercial properties for employment use and remove development charges.

1(f). Mr. Bill Palander, President, <u>Toronto Real Estate Board</u>. (June 30, 1999) Advising that the Toronto Real Estate Board believes that Development Charges have been a significant barrier to housing affordability and economic development for several years; that Development Charges are a way in which municipalities can require those who develop land to contribute to the capital costs necessary to service new developments; that this means joint responsibility and the fair sharing of the costs between new growth and the existing taxpayer; that any radical change in the City of Toronto will have an impact on development, investment and jobs; that the intent of the provincial reforms to the Act in 1997 was to reduce charges; that the real estate industry realizes that development charges are needed to pay for new growth services which is not in dispute; that they have a problem with the level of these charges; that the cost of new growth services must be contained by establishing reasonable and sustainable levels of services and by basing development charges on the actual benefits related to new growth; and that those services which end up benefiting the entire community must be paid for by all residents as a matter of fairness.

(NOTE: A REPORT FROM THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER AND TREASURER RESPECTING THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT CHARGES BY-LAW WILL BE DISTRIBUTED PRIOR TO THE MEETING.)

2. COUNCIL-COMMITTEE STRUCTURE.

(DEFERRED FROM PREVIOUS MEETING.)

(NO WRITTEN MATERIAL - PRESENTATION FROM THE CITY CLERK RESPECTING THE NEW COUNCIL COMMITTEE STRUCTURE.)

3. FINANCIAL CONTROL PROTOCOLS WITHIN THE REVISED COUNCIL-COMMITTEE STRUCTURE.

Chief Administrative Officer, and Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer. (July 6, 1999)

Recommending that:

- (1) the roles and relationships described in the section of this report headed AFramework of Roles and Responsibilities for the Planning, Management and Expending of Resources within the City of Toronto@ be adopted;
- the decision-making protocol set out in the section of this report headed AGuidelines for Routing of Committee Reports with Financial Implications@, table 1 and Appendix A be adopted;
- in order to ensure that information on the financial implications of recommendations is available to Standing Committees, Community Councils and City Council:

- (a) all staff reports be required to identify clearly, at the beginning of the report, any financial implications including:
 - (I) the amount of expenditures and revenues;
 - (ii) the source of revenues;
 - (iii) any in-year financial implications beyond the approved budget; and
 - (iv) future year financial impacts; and

when there are financial implications, include a statement indicating that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer has reviewed and concurs with the financial implications;

- (b) Standing Committee and Community Council recommendations to Council and Notices of Motions introduced at Council, which have financial implications beyond the approved annual budget, be accompanied by staff identification, including review by the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, of any financial implications during consideration of the items by Council; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.
- 4. 2000 2004 CAPITAL PROGRAM AND TEN-YEAR CAPITAL PLAN PROPOSED TIMETABLE, PROCESS AND GUIDELINES.

Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer. (July 6, 1999)

Proposing a process, timetable and preliminary guidelines for the 2002 - 2004 Capital Program and Ten-year Capital Plan; advising that the financial implications of the 2000 - 2004 Capital Program will be reported to the Policy and Finance Committee in October, 1999; and recommending that:

- (1) the proposed process and timetable as described in this report and outlined in Appendix C and Appendix D for the 2000 2004 Capital Program be adopted; and
- (2) the financial protocol for dealing with in-year Capital Budget changes as described in this report and outlined in Appendix E be adopted.
- 5. ONTARIO HYDRO CORRIDOR LANDS SOUTH OF HIGHWAY NO. 401 WARDS 14 AND 15 SCARBOROUGH WEXFORD AND SCARBOROUGH CITY CENTRE.

(DEFERRED FROM PREVIOUS MEETING.)

(DEPUTATION ITEM.)

<u>City Clerk</u>. (June 1, 1999)

Advising that the Scarborough Community Council on May 26, 1999, during its consideration of a communication (May 5, 1999) from the City Clerk respecting the possible acquisition of Ontario Hydro Corridor Lands South of Highway 401, amongst other things, directed that the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee be advised that the Scarborough Community Council reaffirms its previous position regarding the acquisition of land in the Hydro Corridor north of Ellesmere, south of Highway 401, subject to reducing the amount of land to be acquired to two acres, as opposed to the entire corridor; funding for such acquisition to be taken from the Scarborough Parks Reserve Fund.

5(a). <u>City Clerk.</u> (June 28, 1999)

Advising that the Policy and Finance Committee on June 24, 1999:

(1) deferred consideration of the communication (June 1, 1999) from the City Clerk; the communication (June 24, 1999) from Mr. Jim Robb, Friends of the Rouge Watershed, and the following motion, respecting the Ontario Hydro Corridor Lands South of Highway 401, to its meeting scheduled to be held on July 20, 1999:

Moved by Councillor Disero:

"That the Recommendation embodied in the communication (June 1, 1999) from the City Clerk, be amended by adding thereto the following words "subject to the Agreement of the vendor", so that the Recommendation shall read as follows:

"The Scarborough Community Council directed that the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee be advised that the Scarborough Community Council reaffirms its previous position regarding the acquisition of land in the Hydro Corridor north of Ellesmere, south of Highway 401, subject to reducing the amount of land to be acquired to two acres, as opposed to the entire corridor; funding for such acquisition to be taken from the Scarborough Parks Reserve Fund, subject to the Agreement of the vendor. @; and

- (2) requested the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer to submit a report to the aforementioned meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee respecting the Scarborough Parks Reserve Fund.
- 6. REQUEST TO INCREASE THE VOLUNTARY AND SET FINE PROVISIONS FOR PARKING METER VIOLATIONS CITY OF TORONTO BY-LAWS.

(DEFERRED FROM PREVIOUS MEETING.)

President, Toronto Parking Authority. (April 30, 1999)

Advising that the Board of Directors of the Toronto Parking Authority on April 6, 1999, adopted a staff memorandum (March 30, 1999) from Mr. N. Spensieri, wherein it is recommended that:

- (1) the Board adopt the position that the Voluntary Fine/Set Fine provisions for parking meter related violations in the City of Toronto be increased from the current level of \$10.00 and \$15.00 respectively, to \$20.00 and \$30.00 respectively;
- (2) the City of Toronto Council request the City Solicitor to examine the feasibility of increasing the Voluntary Fine and Set Fine provisions for parking meter related violations from the current level of \$10.00 and \$15.00 respectively, to \$20.00 and \$30.00 respectively; and
- (3) subject to the Board=s approval of the foregoing recommendations, the Board forward this decision to the City of Toronto Clerk for distribution to Council and the appropriate City officials.

6(a). <u>City Clerk.</u> (June 28, 1999)

Advising that the Policy and Finance Committee on June 24, 1999, during its consideration of a communication (April 30, 1999) from the President, Toronto Parking Authority:

- (1) deferred consideration of the aforementioned communication until its meeting scheduled to be held on July 20, 1999; and
- (2) requested the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, the City Solicitor, in consultation with the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, and any other appropriate officials, to submit a report to the aforementioned meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee:
 - (I) on the level of enforcement in residential areas especially on Sundays and what revenues are generated;
 - (ii) on a process whereby the City can repeal the by-law that prohibits parking in a space where there is a broken meter;
 - (iii) on the change-over days for alternate side street parking;
 - (iv) on whether the enforcement issue addresses where there may be some grace period allowed so that there is no over-zealous enforcement; and

(v) on the different enforcement by-laws and procedures of each of the former Area Municipalities.

7. PROPOSED CHANGES TO ON-STREET METER RATES AND HOURS OF OPERATIONS TO HARMONIZE PRACTICES IN THE SEVEN FORMER MUNICIPALITIES.

<u>President, Toronto Parking Authority</u>. (June 25, 1999)

Advising that earlier in the year the Toronto Parking Authority was requested by the Budget Review Committee to report regarding on-street parking meter rates and any suggestion that they may have to change them; that parking meter rates were last changed in the former city of Toronto in September, 1988; that the current on-street parking meter rates are not reflective of the market today and should be increased; recommending an increase in the duration of stay from one to two hours throughout the new City; that the former cities of North York, Etobicoke and Scarborough had a two hour duration of stay; and forwarding a report (June 24, 1999) addressed to the Budget Review Committee from the President of the Toronto Parking Authority wherein it is recommended that:

- (1) City Council establish three basic meter rate zones. The zones will be designated A, B and C. The basic rate in Zone A will be \$2.00 per hour; the basic rate in Zone B will be \$1.50 per hour and the basic rate in Zone C will be \$1.00 per hour;
- all meters are to be set to operate from 08:00 to 21:00 from Monday to Saturday and from 13:00 to 21:00 on Sundays and holidays (except where peak period parking restrictions apply); and
- (3) the maximum duration of stay will be set at two hours at all locations from 08:00 to 18:00 Monday to Saturday and three hours during evenings Monday to Saturday and from 13:00 to 21:00 Sundays and holidays.
- 8. RESPONSE TO THE PROVINCIAL REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR ADDITIONAL LONG-TERM CARE BEDS FOR A NEW CITY HOME FOR THE AGED.

(DEFERRED FROM PREVIOUS MEETING.)

<u>City Clerk</u>. (June 17, 1999)

Advising that the Community Services Committee on June 17, 1999:

- (A) recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that:
 - (i) City Council be requested to direct the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services to respond to the Province of Ontario=s Request for

Proposal for additional long-term care beds for a new City Home for the Aged prior to the July 30, 1999, deadline; and

- (ii) City Council be requested to endorse maintaining the same level of operating service for both the existing and expanding Homes for the Aged portfolio; and
- (B) requested the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services to report to the Policy and Finance Committee for its meeting on June 24, 1999, on the budgetary implications of building a new Home for the Aged.

8(a). Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services. (June 22, 1999)

Recommending that:

- (1) should City Council adopt the recommendation of the Community Services Committee directing staff to respond to the RFP for new long term care beds by the deadline of July 30, 1999, City Council recognize and endorse in principle the multi-year cost implications of this decision in both the capital and operating budgets for the fiscal year, starting in 2000 (as outlined in this report); and
- (2) if a proposal is submitted to and approved by the Ministry of Health, staff report back with a more detailed financial impact analysis, prior to signing any agreement with the Ministry of Health.

8(b). <u>City Clerk.</u> (June 28, 1999)

Advising that the Policy and Finance Committee on June 24, 1999:

- (1) deferred consideration of the aforementioned communication and report until the meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee scheduled to be held on July 20, 1999, in order to afford more time for a thorough review;
- (2) requested the Provincial Government to extend the July 30, 1999, deadline for response to the Province of Ontario's Request for Proposal respecting additional long-term care beds; and
- (3) requested the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services, in consultation with the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, to submit a report to the July 20, 1999, meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee on:
 - (i) how the City's exposure can be reduced;
 - (ii) a policy of projecting what the City=s future role should be respecting long-term care:

- (iii) what the City>s participation within the ratio of a balanced service delivery system should be;
- (iv) what number of beds should be provided in the future; and
- (v) whether there is an opportunity to submit a co-operative bid with the private sector.

8(c). Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services. (July 12, 1999)

Providing an update on the status of the Department=s request to the Ministry of Health for an extension to the submission deadline with respect to the current Request for Proposals (RFP) for new long term care beds; responding to the request from the Policy and Finance Committee on June 24, 1999, respecting this matter; advising that the Ministry of Health did not approve the City of Toronto=s request for an extension to the RFP submission deadline, thus making it impossible for Council to consider its options and provide staff with the necessary direction in time for the City to prepare and submit a proposal for new beds; that staff will proceed with developing an option paper as directed by the Policy and Finance Committee for consideration at a future meeting; that the Advisory Committee on Homes for the Aged will be given an opportunity to provide input into responding to the questions raised by the Policy and Finance Committee, especially concerning what the City=s future role should be respecting long term care; and recommending that this report be received for information purposes.

9. IHL (INTERNATIONAL HOCKEY LEAGUE) PROPOSAL FOR THE COLISEUM BUILDING - NATIONAL TRADE CENTRE COMPLEX.

<u>Dianne Young, Interim General Manager, Exhibition Place</u>. (June 26, 1999)

Forwarding a report (June 26, 1999) from the Interim General Manager of Exhibition Place containing recommendations for consideration by the Policy and Finance Committee at its meeting of July 20, 1999, for subsequent approval by City Council on July 27, 28, and 29, 1999, such report containing the following recommendations:

Alt is recommended that subject to the approval of the City of Toronto Council, the Board:

(1) accept a conditional offer to Lease with Coliseum Renovation corporation (ACRC@) for the Coliseum and Hall E (West Annex) (together referred to herein as AColiseum@) on the terms and conditions set out in the Appendix I attached which is a proposal from Ron Taylor, Managing Director, O&Y/SMG Canada (the AProposal@);

- authorize and direct the appropriate Board officials to enter into the conditional Offer to Lease with CRC in the form and substance as set out in Schedule AA@ attached to the Proposal and such other terms and conditions satisfactory to the Interim General Manager and the City Solicitor;
- (3) request City Council to:
 - (a) declare surplus the lands and buildings known as the Coliseum located at Exhibition Place;
 - (b) approve of the intended method of disposal of the Coliseum by way of a 49-year lease to CRC;
 - (c) authorize and direct that all steps necessary to comply with By-law No. 551-1998 be taken; and
 - (d) provide authorization for the proposed lessee to take all steps necessary to undertake the due diligence inquiries.
- (4) direct the Interim General Manager of Exhibition Place, once notice has been given under By-law No. 551-1998, to report back to the Policy and Finance Committee and City Council at its meeting of September 28, 1999, seeking the City=s acceptance of the Offer to Lease and final approval of a grant of a leasehold interest for 49 years to CRC;
- (5) establish a subcommittee of the Board composed of the Chair, Vice-Chair and any members of the Board the Chair deems necessary, to provide guidance to staff in further negotiations with CRC and other related matters; and
- (6) direct O&Y/SMG Canada, as manager of the National Trade Centre Complex and the Interim General Manager of Exhibition Place, in consultation with the subcommittee of the Board referred to in Recommendation 5, to conduct the necessary investigations during the Due Diligence period, negotiate the terms and conditions of lease agreement with CRC and oversee the development of the design, plans and schedule for the renovations of the Coliseum, all subject to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor as required.

Requesting that heritage issues associated with the proposal to relocate an International Hockey League team to Toronto and the Coliseum building at Exhibition Place be examined; advising that Heritage Toronto staff recently learned that a proposal to move an International Hockey League team to Toronto is being considered and that the team=s relocation could include alterations to the Coliseum; that as the Local Architectural Conservation Advisory Committee for the area represented by Toronto Community Council, Heritage Toronto is interested in any proposals affecting the Coliseum; that Heritage Toronto also notes that there are questions about the future of Maple Leaf Gardens, another designated site; that they understand that there are also proposals for new arenas at the University of Toronto and for the St. Mike=s Majors (at Bathurst and St. Clair); that the proponents should be requested to review the use of these other arenas, especially Maple Leaf Gardens, as part of their feasibility study; and recommending that should Council endorse exploring the feasibility of this proposal, the study team be required to engage the services of a conservation architect to examine whether changes for an International Hockey League team could be accommodated without an adverse impact on the heritage character of the Coliseum.

(NOTE: A COPY OF THE BACKGROUND MATERIAL, ENTITLED ABOARD OF GOVERNORS OF EXHIBITION PLACE, REFERENCE MATERIALS - THE COLISEUM RENOVATION CORPORATION@, IS ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK.)

10. PHASE 1 RENOVATIONS TO TORONTO CITY HALL - RECOMMENDED ACTIONS IN RESPONSE TO OFFICE CONSOLIDATION SUB-COMMITTEE MOTIONS AND ADDITIONAL BUDGET REQUIREMENTS.

<u>City Clerk.</u> (May 28, 1999)

Advising that the Budget Committee on May 25, 1999, amongst other things, requested the Policy and Finance Committee to assign to the Budget Advisory Committee any matter pertaining to the City Hall renovations and the financial implications thereof, for subsequent recommendations thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee.

11. STATUS OF ONGOING URBAN DESIGN PROJECTS

<u>Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services</u>. (June 11, 1999)

Advising Council on the projected status of funds regarding both the Jane and Finch and Sheppard streetscape projects; that Consultants have been hired; that construction of Phase I and the Sheppard gateways will be constructed before the end of 1999 and the Sheppard median will be built by TTC in 2000 in conjunction with Sheppard Avenue East roadway reconstruction, and recommending that this report be received for information.

12. TECHNICAL AMENDMENT, TORONTO LICENSING BUDGET

<u>Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services</u>. (July 2, 1999)

Respecting the implementation of a technical amendment to the approved budget structure of Toronto Licensing to reflect the transition structure necessary for integration within the Municipal Licensing and Standards Division of the Urban Planning and Development Services Department; and recommending that this report be received for information.

13. COORDINATION OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS MATTERS: FIRST REPORT FROM THE COUNCIL- ESTABLISHED TELECOMMUNICATIONS STEERING COMMITTEE.

<u>Chief Administrative Officer</u>. (July 8, 1999)

Recommending that:

- (1) this report be considered with the report from the City Solicitor and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services titled, AVancouver v. Ledcor: CRTC Hearing B City of Toronto Support of FCM Position@;
- (2) the aforementioned report be adopted subject to its Recommendation (1) being amended as follows:
 - ACity Council authorize the City Solicitor, the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, the Chair of the Telecommunications Steering Committee and the Chief Administrative Officer as required, to participate as members of the Telecommunications Sub-Committee of the Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM) in the instruction of its legal counsel with respect to the Public Notice Proceedings expected to be commenced before the CRTC this summer@;
- (3) the City of Toronto contribute its fair-share portion of funds to the FCM Rights-of-Way Legal Defense Fund and that Council authorize the release of such funds pending confirmation of the appropriate level of contribution with FCM by the Mayor and the Chair of the Steering Committee;
- (4) on an interim basis, all Departments, Agencies, Boards, Commissions and Special Purpose Bodies of the City submit requests for telecom approvals or agreements not previously approved by the Council, through the CAO and the Steering Committee for coordinated recommendations until such time that the Council adopts a City wide telecom strategy and that the CAO is to so advise all concerned Commissioners, Agencies, Boards, Commissions and Special Purpose Bodies;

- (5) Council authorize the Steering Committee to act on its behalf respecting all telecom matters during the summer break of Standing Committee and Council meetings subject to confirmation by Council at its meeting of September 28 to 30, 1999;
- (6) all Departments, Agencies, Boards, Commissions, and Special Purpose Bodies of the City be requested to provide an inventory of:
 - (i) duct work, trunk and cable-lines, above and below ground telecom towers, and other installations within their purview to approve for access or placement, or otherwise manage, approve, maintain, or operate;
 - (ii) ownership (City-owned, externally owned, partnerships, or other arrangements, for example) of all such infrastructure, facilities, or installations;
 - (iii) the infrastructure, facilities, or installations located within the City=s ROW and identification of the street locations;
 - (iv) the amount of any rental/leasing, permit, percentage of revenue, or other compensation, collected; and
 - (v) the terms of any existing agreements, access and permit approval conditions and so on;
- (7) Council request the Commissioners of Works and Emergency Services and Corporate Services, to request all telecom, cable, telephone and other companies having an agreement with the City to identify the type and location of their above and below ground installations on public rights-of-way and other City property and that the Steering Committee be updated by the Commissioners as replies are forthcoming;
- (8) the report from the Region of Ottawa-Carleton respecting a format for negotiating with telecom companies be obtained by CAO staff to inform the work of staff and the Steering Committee;
- (9) staff report to the Steering Committee on the merits of a Model Agreement for Multiple Crossings Access;
- (10) the Office of the CAO arrange a minimum half-day Strategy Session as early in September as possible following the summer session break of Council, for the Steering Committee to identify its role and task priorities, review information gathered from Departments and assess all City wide interests and the future directions required;
- (11) consideration of the report on a Municipal Access Agreement be deferred until such time as the Steering Committee has held its Strategy Session;
- all agreements include the provision to supply the City with technical information on all the applicant=s above and below ground telecom installations, cabling, structures,

- conduits and ducts owned by the applicant, or its third-parties using the systems of the applicants, that cross or use City rights-of-ways or other City property;
- (13) all agreements include the requirement that the City be notified of any contemplated third-party use of the public right-of-way or of the system, its installations and infrastructure (including, for example, cable, wire, or conduit) in the public right-of-way, such that the agreement will be subject to re-negotiation;
- all agreements be required to make available for the use of the City, extra fibre optic wires if and when installed, and space inside the installed conduit for the City to use if it chooses to install fibre optic wiring;
- (15) the Steering Committee seek comments on the matter of the correspondence from R.V. Anderson Associates Limited respecting an application from Ledcor Communications Limited, with the City of Vancouver;
- (16) the City of Toronto also seek comments on the R.V. Anderson Associates Limited correspondence from the FCM Sub-Committee on Telecommunications at their meeting in Calgary during August;
- (17) Ledcor Communications Limited be informed that it is the intention of the Steering Committee to work with them to resolve their matter of application for access to the public rights-of-way in a timely fashion;
- (18) the representatives of R.V. Anderson Associates Limited and Ledcor Communications Limited be requested to meet with the staff and Chair of the Steering Committee and with the Chairman of the FCM Sub-Committee on Telecommunications at the earliest possible opportunity in September following the summer recess of the Council;
- (19) in the interim, Ledcor Communications Limited be requested to provide staff with complete technical details of each proposed crossing including maps and technical drawings for review by staff and presentation to the Steering Committee at their next meeting;
- (20) Ledcor Communications Limited be requested to refrain from any installations or activity related to their application to the City until these matters have been reviewed by the Steering Committee as outlined in the preceding recommendations;
- (21) the City Clerk provide Secretariat support to the Steering Committee given its significant workload and its requirement to report to Council through the Policy and Finance Committee; and
- the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

13(a). VANCOUVER VS. LEDCOR - CRTC HEARING - CITY OF TORONTO SUPPORT OF FCM POSITION

<u>City Solicitor and Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services</u>. (June 29, 1999)

Requesting instructions from City Council to authorize the City Solicitor and other staff as necessary to continue to participate in the instruction of the legal counsel of the Telecommunications Subcommittee of the Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM) with respect to the Public Notice Proceedings arising from the applications to the Canadian Radio-Television Commission (CRTC) by Ledcor Industries Limited and the City of Vancouver, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council authorize the City Solicitor, the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and other staff as necessary to participate as members of the Telecommunications Subcommittee of the Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM) in the instruction of its legal counsel with respect to the Public Notice Proceedings expected to be commenced before the CRTC this summer; and
- (2) the City Solicitor be authorized to take whatever action may be necessary prior to Council=s next regularly scheduled meeting of September 28, 1999 with respect to protecting the City of Toronto=s interests in the CRTC proceedings, including filing notice seeking interested party status for the City of Toronto, if necessary.

14. REQUEST FOR QUOTATIONS FOR SELF CONTAINED BREATHING APPARATUS (SCBA)

Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer. (June 24, 1999)

Advising the Policy and Finance Committee of the results of the Request for Quotations issued for the supply and delivery of Self Contained Breathing Apparatus (SCBA) accessories, cylinder assemblies, records management system and dynamic test equipment to Toronto Fire Services, Equipment Services; and recommending that the bid submitted by MSA Canada Inc., for the supply and delivery of Self Contained Breathing Apparatus, accessories, cylinder assemblies, records management system and dynamic test equipment be accepted at the total bid price of \$2,865,683.59 including taxes less the trade-in amount offered for existing equipment of \$843,209.00 for a total expenditure of \$2,022,474.59 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest bid received.

15. REDIRECTION OF EMERGENCY HOSTEL FUNDING

<u>Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services</u>. (June 28, 1999)

Providing a report on the Provincial Redirection of Emergency Hostel Funding Initiative (REHF) which was announced in March; requesting approval for gross expenditures of up to \$2.5 million during the last half of 1999 for a cost to the City of \$500,000.00 representing the 20 percent cost-sharing required for this program; and recommending that:

- (1) Council approve the expenditure of up to \$2.5 million gross on the Redirection of Emergency Hostel Funding Initiative during last six months of 1999 which represents a cost to the City of \$500,000 which will be partially offset by projected savings of \$100,000.00 for a final net cost of \$400,000.00 to be funded through Departmental underspending;
- (2) the City seek provincial approval for funding of Project Going Home and the expansion of the Housing Contacts program through the Redirection Initiative;
- (3) based on consultation with emergency shelter operators and other community agencies, the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be authorized to select other programs which meet program criteria and the needs of the City of Toronto, and within the funding limits established submit the necessary business plans to the Ministry of Community and Social Services seeking approval;
- (4) this report be referred to the Policy and Finance Committee for their consideration; and
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

16. PROVINCIAL RESPONSE TO CHILD CARE COST SHARING REQUEST.

<u>Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services</u>. (July 7, 1999)

Advising that when City Council, as part of the 1999 approval of the Children's Services Division budget, agreed to spend \$3.3 million as a one time contribution towards the actual costs of service for providers of subsidized child care, the department was directed to seek provincial cost sharing and report back on provincial willingness by July 1999; that this report confirms that while the Toronto Regional Office of the Ministry of Community and Social Services commends Council for its support of child care programs, it is unable to provide matching provincial cost sharing as requested; that The \$3.3 million needed to finance the one time bonus payment to child care operators and home child care providers was approved by Council at its meeting held April 26, 27, and 28, 1999 and is available within the Children's Services allocation; that Council at this meeting also confirmed approval for the department to flow this money to operators and providers by September, 1999, even if provincial cost sharing could not be secured; that as previously approved by

Council, the Department will proceed to disperse the one-time payment of \$3.3 million to bring the rates of all operators providing subsidized child care to within 96 per cent of their optimal per diem rates and to provide an average of \$.90 cents bonus on the daily rates of home child care providers serving subsidized children; and recommending that this report be received for information.

17. CAPITAL FUNDING SUPPORT FOR PLAYHOUSE CHILD CARE CENTRE IN WARD 11.

<u>Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services</u>. (July 7, 1999)

Seeking approval to adjust the funding allocated to Playhouse Child Care Centre from the Child Care Capital Reserve to reflect its actual relocation costs; advising that The Child Care Capital Reserve was originally established by the former Metropolitan Toronto Council in 1997 to assist child care centres facing closure as a result of capital renovations to schools in which they were located; that the fund was later augmented and extended in July 1998 by Toronto Council to support child care programs being evicted from schools; that there are sufficient funds in the Child Care Capital Reserve to accommodate the additional relocation costs being encountered by Playhouse Child Care Centre, originally approved for funding at the July 29, 30, 31, 1998 meeting of Toronto Council; and recommending that:

- (1) approval for up to \$800,000 be allocated to Playhouse Child Care Centre from the Child Care Capital Reserve to support the renovation associated with its move to Greenland School; and
- (2) the appropriate officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

18. NEW MULTI-RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY CLASS: ADDITIONAL ISSUES.

<u>City Clerk.</u> (June 28, 1999)

Advising that the Assessment and Tax Policy Task Force on June 28, 1999, during its consideration of a report (June 16, 1999) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services respecting New Multi-Residential Property Class: Additional Issues, took the following action:

(A) recommended that:

(1) the following Recommendation No. (4) in the report (February 24, 1999) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer relating to the inclusion of low-income units in any new development in the new multi-residential class be referred to the Business Reference Group as part of the comprehensive tax policy review:

- A(4) that, if there have been no building permits issued for this new property class, the Province be requested to amend Ontario Regulation No. 282/98 to include in the description of the new multi-residential property class that the municipality can, by by-law, set an appropriate number of low-rental units within properties eligible for inclusion in this new class.@; and
- (2) the Ministry of Finance and OPAC be requested to amend O Reg 282/68 (subsection 10) to clarify that any newly constructed units that are new construction or conversion from a non-residential use other than Acommon area@ space in a building that would otherwise be included in the multi-residential class, be included in the new multi-residential property class; and that such amendment allow for a retroactive application for all of 1999; and
- (B) requested the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services to report to the Policy and Finance Committee, at its meeting to be held on July 20, 1999, on the definition of Acommon area@ and the impact of Recommendation No. (2) above on potential loss of services and facilities for tenants, including outdoor amenity space and facilities, as a result of additions.

18(a). DEFINITION OF ACOMMON AREA@ - SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT TO ANEW MULTI-RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY CLASS: ADDITIONAL ISSUES@.

<u>Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services</u>. (July 8, 1999)

Providing supplementary information, as requested by the Assessment and Tax Policy Task Force, about implications for tenants should the Province and Ontario Property Assessment Corporation agree to apply the new Multi-Residential tax class to newly created units within existing rental residential properties; and recommending that Council support the application of the new multi-residential tax to new units within existing rental buildings, and request that the Ontario Property Assessment Corporation only apply the class to units where the landlord has provided evidence that the space was previously non-residential.

19. IMPACT OF TAXES ON NEW CONSTRUCTION CAPPED PROPERTY CLASSES.

<u>City Clerk.</u> (June 28, 1999)

Advising that the Assessment and Tax Policy Task Force on June 28, 1999, during its consideration of a report (June 14, 1999) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer respecting Impact of Taxes on New Construction, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee and Council that:

(1) the Province of Ontario be requested to amend the legislation so that taxes for new construction on vacant or excess land are calculated based on frozen assessments

determined using the methodology used under Provincial assessment policy prior to CVA implementation;

- (2) where new construction occurs, OPAC provide both the frozen realty assessment and current value assessment on the assessment roll returned to the municipality; and
- (3) Council request the Province of Ontario to move quickly on its commitment, made in the 1999 provincial budget, to bring fairness to property taxes on new businesses, before property tax bills drive new businesses out of business.

20. COMMERCIAL ESTABLISHMENTS IN HOSPITALS.

<u>City Clerk.</u> (June 28, 1999)

Advising that the Assessment and Tax Policy Task Force on June 28, 1999, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee and Council, the adoption of the report (June 24, 1999) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, entitled ACommercial Establishments in Hospitals@, such report containing the following recommendations:

- A(1) OPAC be requested to review the assessment files of all public hospitals to ensure that any ratable business operating on the property of these public institutions is being assessed for taxation; and
- (2) the Province be requested to amend paragraph 6 of subsection 3(1) of the Assessment Act to clarify that all businesses, regardless of ownership, carried on within a public hospital which has no connection to patient care, are assessed as taxable. @

21. FUNDING FOR SIR ADAM BECK MULTI-USE FACILITY (ALDERWOOD POOL) ROOF - WARD 2 - LAKESHORE QUEENSWAY.)

<u>City Clerk.</u> (June 28, 1999)

Advising that the Economic Development and Parks Committee on June 21, 1999, directed that the joint report (June 3, 1999, from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, containing the following recommendations, be forwarded to the Policy and Finance Committee for information:

- A(1) the Economic Development and Parks Committee approve the reallocation of \$150,000.00 capital funding to cover the replacement cost of the existing pool roof; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.@

22. INTERNATIONAL CITY TO CITY PROGRAM - ALL WARDS

<u>City Clerk.</u> (July 13, 1999)

Advising that the Economic Development and Parks Committee on July 12, 1999, amongst other things, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee, and Council, the adoption of the report (June 25, 1999) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism wherein it is recommended that:

- (1) the policy framework for the International City to City Program and criteria for, the support of and participation in, inbound and outbound missions outlined in Appendix A be approved; and
- (2) the activities and budget for 1999 outlined in Appendix B be approved.

23. CITY TREE MAINTENANCE BACKLOG - ALL WARDS

<u>City Clerk.</u> (July 13, 1999)

Advising that the Economic Development and Parks Committee on July 12, 1999, amongst other things, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee, and Council, the adoption of the report (June 22, 1999) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, subject to deleting the recommendation embodied therein and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

- A(1) that the Economic Development and Parks Committee supports funding in the amount of \$1 million to be made available in the 1999 Forestry Operating Budget and \$1.7 million in 2000, to assist in reducing the City Tree Maintenance backlog, the said funding being designated as new funding added to the Operating Budget; and
- (2) that this report be referred to the Policy and Finance Committee for consideration.

24. AMALGAMATION OF REPORTABLE DISEASES - INFORMATION SYSTEM (RDIS)

Medical Officer of Health. (July 7, 1999)

Requesting one-time funding in the amount of \$250,000 in 1999 to amalgamate Reportable Diseases Information System (RDIS) databases; and recommending that:

(1) the six existing Reportable Diseases Information System (RDIS) databases be amalgamated; and

(2) the funding for this project amounting to \$250,000 be reallocated from the VPD Redesign Project which was approved as part of the 1998 Capital Budget - Transitions Projects.

25. TORONTO TRANSIT COMMISSION (TTC) PROVINCIAL/MUNICIPAL FUNDING TRENDS AND LONGER TERM FUNDING STRATEGIES

Chief Administrative Officer and Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer. (July 7, 1999)

Providing an overview of the provincial and municipal funding trends with respect to the TTC; highlighting the various initiatives underway to develop a stable funding base for the TTC; advising that this report also provides a recommendation on the allocation of any proceeds (between operating and capital requirements) from gasoline taxes presently collected by the federal and provincial governments, if and when such taxes become available for public transit; and recommending that:

- (1) the City of Toronto continue to support an take an active role in implementing the previously approved Council recommendations of the Task Force on Transportation Funding, in cooperation with the Greater Toronto Services Board, to work with the Association of Municipalities of Ontario and the Federation of Canadian Municipalities to pursue provincial and federal revenue-sharing for transportation;
- the City of Toronto develop and propose, in the context of the broader regional transportation issues, a revenue sharing formula for any proceeds that may materialize as a result of the recommendations of the Task Force on Transportation Funding, if and when such revenues become available for public transportation in the City; and
- (3) any new revenues received by the City for the TTC from the forgoing be applied to reduce the City=s annual borrowing requirements on account of the TTC capital program, i.e. 100 percent of the revenues be applied to offset new debt for TTC=s capital purposes for both maintenance and expansion.

26. TORONTO TRANSIT COMMISSION - 1998 ANNUAL REPORT

Interim General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission. (June 4, 1999)

Providing to City Council a complete audited and certified financial statement of its affairs in the form of the TTC Annual Report in accordance with Section 36 of the City of Toronto Act, 1999.

(NOTE:

COPIES OF THE REPORT ENTITLED AANNUAL REPORT, 1998, TORONTO TRANSIT COMMISSION®, WAS FORWARDED TO ALL OTHER MEMBERS OF COUNCIL AND SELECT OFFICIALS ONLY, AND A COPY THEREOF IS ALSO ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK.)

27. INTERIM WELCOME POLICY FOR USERS OF RECREATION PROGRAM - ALL WARDS@.

Mayor Lastman. (July 8, 1999)

Advising that City Council on July 6, 7 and 8, 1999, requested that a report be provided on funding options concerning the City of Toronto=s Parks and Recreation Welcome Policy; that Council also requested that all respective Council motions be referred to the July 20, 1999, meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee; and that to facilitate the consideration of this matter by the Policy and Finance Committee and Council, requesting the assistance of the Budget Advisory Committee to review budget options for funding the Welcome Policy within the timeframes established by Council and submit recommendations to the aforementioned meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee in regard thereto.

27(a). <u>City Clerk.</u> (July 9, 1999)

Advising that Council of the City of Toronto on July 6, 7 and 8, 1999, adopted, as amended, Clause No. 6 contained in Report No. 1 of The Economic Development and Parks Committee, headed AInterim >Welcome Policy= for Users of Recreation Program - All Wards@, and, amongst other things, referred a number of motions respecting the aforementioned issue to the Policy and Finance Committee for consideration at its meeting scheduled to be held on July 20, 1999.

(NOTE: THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE BUDGET ADVISORY COMMITTEE FROM ITS JULY 19, 1999, MEETING RESPECTING THE FOREGOING MATTER, WILL BE DISTRIBUTED PRIOR TO THE MEETING.)

28. YEAR 2000 PRIORITY ONE BUSINESS FUNCTIONS - STATUS REPORT JUNE 1999

Commissioner of Corporate Services.

(June 18, 1999)

Providing the Year 2000 Business Continuity Plan Status Report (June 1999); outlining information as requested by Council at its November 1998 meeting; and recommending that:

- (1) this report be received for information; and
- (2) the Policy and Finance Committee refer this report to Council for its information.

29. YEAR 2000 PRIORITY ONE BUSINESS FUNCTIONS - STATUS REPORT JULY, 1999.

Commissioner of Corporate Services.

(July 6, 1999)

Providing the Year 2000 Business Continuity Plan Status Report (June 1999); outlining information as requested by Council at its November 1998 meeting; and recommending that:

- (1) this report be received for information; and
- (2) the Policy and Finance Committee refer this report to Council for its information.

30. RADIO COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM - TORONTO POLICE SERVICES AND TORONTO FIRE SERVICES.

City Clerk.

(June 3, 1999)

Advising that the Audit Committee on May 25, 1999, recommended to the Works Committee and the Policy and Finance Committee, the adoption of the report (May 4, 1999) from the City Auditor, wherein it is recommended that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services report to the Policies and Finance Committee every six months on the status of the Integrated Police and Fire Radio Communications System;
- (2) the first of such progress reports be submitted by June 30, 1999 and include information on any changes to the final contract price for the system, scheduled completion date, the progress of the implementation, the meeting of prescribed milestones and payments to Motorola, any problems or delays encountered or anticipated, and whether the project will be completed on time and within the contract price; and

(3) this report be forwarded to the Budget Committee, as well as the Policies and Finance Committee, for consideration.

30(a). Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, and the Fire Chief. (July 7, 1999)

Reporting, as directed by the Audit Committee on May 25, 1999, to provide a report every six months commencing on June 30, 1999, on the status of the Integrated Police and Fire Radio Communications System; advising that there are currently no changes to the final contract price for the radio communications system although tower site changes being anticipated could provide a significant saving; that the ACritical Design Review@ milestone has slipped eight weeks to July 15, 1999, but remaining milestones and payments to Motorola still remain as presently stated in the contract; that the most critical item at this time is the renovation work that has to be completed at the 4330 Dufferin Street location before dispatch equipment can be installed; and recommending that this report be received for information, and forwarded to Community Services Committee for information.

31. REVIEW OF COMMISSIONER STREET TRANSFER STATION PROJECT EXPENDITURES.

<u>City Clerk.</u> (June 1, 1999)

Advising that the Audit Committee on May 25, 1999, recommended to the Works Committee and the Policy and Finance Committee, the adoption of the report (April 24, 1999) from the City Auditor, wherein it is recommended that:

- (1) the Chief Administrative Officer advise all department heads that the selection and engagement of all consulting services be made in accordance with the City=s purchasing policies;
- (2) the Chief Administrative Officer advise all department heads of the importance of providing accurate and complete cost estimates when submitting projects to Council for approval, ensuring that necessary due diligence and care is exercised when preparing these estimates, and that if changes in the scope of work are necessary, the details and implications of the changes, as well as additional funding requirements, be clearly communicated to Council for approval;
- (3) as part of the 2000 to 2004 Capital Budget Process, the Budget Division, Finance Department advise all departments and local boards that all funds relating to a particular capital project be provided for in one capital account, so that the full funding requirements and expenditures for each project are more readily available and known; and
- (4) this report be forwarded to the Works Committee and Budget Committee for consideration.

32. STATUS REPORT CONCERNING ESTABLISHMENT OF A FOURTH COLLISION REPORTING CENTRE

<u>City Clerk.</u> (June 17, 1999)

Advising that at it=s meeting of June 9, 10 and 11, 1999, City Council struck out and referred to the Policy and Finance Committee Clause No. 1 of Report No. 6 of the Emergency and Protective Services Committee, entitled AStatus Report Concerning Establishment of a Fourth Collision Reporting Centre@ for a financial evaluation.

33. EDENBRIDGE YARD, WEST DISTRICT (KINGSWAY-HUMBER)

<u>City Clerk.</u> (July 5, 1999)

Advising that the Etobicoke Community Council at its meeting held on June 23, 1999:

- (1) adopted a report (June 2, 1999) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, responding to Etobicoke Community Council=s request for a report on the Edenbridge Yard, with specific reference to the possibility of retaining the property in the City park system; and
- referred the recommendations to the Policy and Finance Committee, as directed in Clause 2 of Report No. 9 of the Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee entitled AParks Yard Revitalization Study@, adopted by Council at its meeting held on May 11, 12 and 13, 1999.

34. REVIEW OF SUB-COMMITTEES, SPECIAL COMMITTEES AND TASK FORCES.

<u>City Clerk</u>. (June 28, 1999)

Providing, for the information of the newly-formed Standing Committees, a list of the various sub-committees, special committees, advisory committees and task forces, which were formed under the previous Council-Committee structure and are now grouped under each Standing Committee in accordance with the new Committee Structure; and recommending that the Standing Committees:

(1) determine whether the mandate and membership of those sub-committees listed under the column ASub-Committees@ in Schedule 1 attached should be continued; and

(2) receive for information the balance of Schedule 1 regarding special committees, advisory committees and task forces established by Council.

(NOTE: COPIES OF SCHEDULE 1 HAVE BEEN FORWARDED TO MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE AND SELECT OFFICIALS ONLY. ALL OTHER MEMBERS OF COUNCIL WILL RECEIVE A COPY WITH THE AGENDA OF THE STANDING COMMITTEE TO WHICH THEY ARE A MEMBER.)

IN CAMERA.

35. LEASING OF COMPUTER EQUIPMENT AND SOFTWARE INFORMATION AND TECHNOLOGY PRODUCTS AND SERVICES.

Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and Executive Director, Information Technology. (July 9, 1999)

Confidential joint report respecting the leasing of computer equipment and software.

36. ADJUSTMENT TO THE 1999 APPROVED BUDGET OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL TASK FORCE

Environmental Task Force. (June 29, 1999)

Requesting that City Council adjust the 1999 operating estimates of the Environmental Task Force to \$150,000 gross from \$65,000 gross to reflect additional revenues received from external organizations supporting Task Force activities, specifically Toronto Hydro, Toronto District Heating Corporation and Enbridge Consumers Gas; and recommending that City Council increase the Environmental Task Force 1999 Operating Estimates to \$150,000.00 from \$65,000 gross to reflect additional funds committed by other organizations, subject to the receipt of such funds.

37. SUSTAINABILITY BY-LAW/LEGISLATION

<u>Chair, Environmental Task Force</u>. (June 22, 1999)

Submitting, for consideration, the following recommendation which was adopted by the Environmental Task Force on May 31, 1999:

AThe City Clerk=s Division be requested to forward for information to the Environmental Task Force or its successor, a copy of any reports to Standing Committees which address the review and/or harmonization of any by-laws for the new City of Toronto which impact the environment.@

38. ENVIRONMENTAL TASK FORCE INTERIM REPORT

Environmental Task Force. (June 29, 1999)

Recommending that the Environmental Task Force Interim Report , entitled AThe Environmental Task Force Leads Toronto Towards a Sustainable Future@, be received for information.

39. PROCESS FOR ADOPTING A NEW GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE FOR ADVANCED ENVIRONMENTAL DECISION MAKING

Environmental Task Force. (July 6, 1999)

Recommending that:

- (1) City Council direct the CAO, in consultation with the Environmental Task Force, to report back in October 1999 to the Policy and Finance Committee on:
 - the future of the environmental advisory groups, ensuring the adherence to the principles outlined in Section IX of the Environmental Task Force=s July 6 report AThe Proposed Governance Model for Advanced Environmental Decision Making for the City of Toronto@ as part of the forthcoming report on AExisting Environmental Committees and the Environmental Task Force;@
 - (b) the resource reallocation, staff reassignment and any additional resource implications of the political administrative governance model outlined in the Environmental Task Force report, and that the Policy and Finance Committee consider the report but defer a decision until the November 1999 meeting.
- any additional comments made by Standing Committees, Community Councils, staff and the community on the model below be submitted to the Environmental Task Force for information by October 1, 1999;
- (3) the Environmental Task Force consider the CAO report in Recommendation 1 and the comments that may arise from Recommendation 2 and submit a final report on the administrative and governance model to the Policy and Finance Committee meeting in November 1999.
- (4) the Policy and Finance Committee and City Council in November 1999 consider, with such amendments as Council considers appropriate, the reports requested in Recommendations 1 and 3 and target the implementation of the new governance structure by June 2000.

40. 1999 VEHICLE AND EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT PROGRAM.

(NOTE: A JOINT REPORT FROM THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER AND TREASURER AND THE ACTING COMMISSIONER OF CORPORATE SERVICES RESPECTING THE FOREGOING MATTER WILL BE DISTRIBUTED PRIOR TO THE MEETING.)

41. DECEMBER 31, 1998, OPERATING BUDGET VARIANCE REPORT.

(NOTE: A REPORT FROM THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER AND TREASURER RESPECTING THE FOREGOING MATTER WILL BE DISTRIBUTED PRIOR TO THE MEETING.)

ANY OTHER MATTERS.