THE CITY OF TORONTO

Clerk's Department

Minutes of the Toronto Community Council

Meeting No. 10

Tuesday, September 14, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council met on Tuesday, September 14, 1999, in the Council Chambers, Toronto City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:30 a.m.

10.1 New Practices For The Review Of Development Applications

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (July 27, 1999) from the Administrator, Planning and Transportation Committee respecting New Practices for the Review of Development Applications, referring the report (June 25, 1999) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services to the Community Councils for review and comment back to the Planning and Transportation Committee for its meeting to be held on October 4, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following report/communications:

- (August 25, 1999) from the Administrator, Planning and Transportation Committee forwarding comments from Councillor Filion;
- (September 1, 1999) from Mr. Jim Murphy, Greater Toronto Home Builders Association;
- (September 10, 1999) from Ms. Janice Merson and Mr. Matthias Schlaepfer, Summerhill Residents' Association:
- (September 13, 1999) from Mr. Rashmi M. Nathwani, Namara Associates Limited;
- (September 13, 1999) from Ms. Lisa McGee, Bloor-Yorkville B.I.A.; and
- (September 13, 1999) from Mr. David Vallance.

Mr. David Vallance, Toronto, Ontario, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended to the Planning and Transportation Committee the adoption of Recommendation Nos. 2-10 of the report (June 25, 1999) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services.

(Letter sent to: Planning and Transportation Committee; c.: Mr. Paul J. Bedford, Executive Director and Chief Planner, City Planning Division; Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Mr. Ted Tyndorf, Director of community Planning, East District, Scarborough Civic Centre – Encl. – September 20, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 93(a))

Councillor Rae assumed the chair.

10.2 Proposed Road Classification System

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (July 14, 1999) from the City Clerk, Works Committee respecting the Proposed Road Classification System, and recommended referring the report (June 29, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to the Community Councils for consideration and comment back to the Works Committee for its meeting to be held on November 3, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (September 13, 1999) from Ms. Joan Doiron, Toronto Pedestrian Committee; and
- (September 14, 1999) from Ms. Rhona Swarbrick.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Rhona Swarbrick, Toronto, Ontario; and
- Ms. Joan Doiron, Toronto Pedestrian Committee.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the following matter until its meeting to be held on October 12, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also requested:

(1) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Toronto Community Council, at its meeting to be held on October 12, 1999 on:

On motion by Councillor McConnell:

- (a) granting community councils authority over the following:
 - (i) sidewalks, both new and existing, that deviate from City policy but do not alter the road;

- (ii) traffic signals and pedestrian crossings on collector roads and minor arterial roads;
- (iii) heavy truck prohibitions on minor arterial roads; and
- (iv) HOV lanes on collector roads;

On motion by Councillor Miller:

(b) classifying Windermere Avenue, north of Bloor Street West to the Toronto Community Council boundary, as a local road, not a collector road;

On motion by Councillor Jakobek:

(c) the prioritization of Gerrard Street East and Queen Street East from Victoria Park Avenue to Coxwell Avenue, as a result of east-west commuter traffic; and

On further motion by Councillor Miller:

(d) forwarding reports on traffic calming on collector roads to both the appropriate community council and also to the Works Committee;

On motion by Councillor Pantalone:

- (2) the General Manager of the T.T.C. to comment to the Toronto Community Council, at its meeting to be held on October 12, 1999, on the proposed road classification system and its effect on transit; and
- (3) the Chief Planner:

On motion by Councillor Adams:

(a) in consultation with the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, to report to the Toronto Community Council, at its meeting to be held on October 12, 1999, on the planning issues raised by the deputants, and to also report on these issues to the Toronto Pedestrian Committee prior to the Toronto Community Council meeting;

On motion by Councillor Bossons:

- (b) to report to the Toronto Community Council, at its meeting to be held on October 12, 1999, on the relationships between road classification and
 - (i) signal spacing;

- (ii) parking needs; and
- (iii) business access.

Recommendation 1(d) was carried on the following division of votes:

Yeas: Councillors Bossons, Bussin, Disero, Fotinos, Jakobek, Korwin-Kuczynski, Miller and Pantalone - 8

Nays: Councillors McConnell, Adams, Rae and Walker - 4

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c.: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; City Clerk, Works Committee; General Manager, Toronto Transit Commission; Paul Bedford, Executive Director & Chief Planner; Ms. Joan Doiron, Toronto Pedestrian Committee; Ms. Rhona Swarbrick, Toronto, Ontario – Encl. – September 20, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 93(b))

Councillor McConnell resumed the chair.

10.3 Appeal Of Denial Of Application For A Boulevard Cafe - 3-5 Isabella Street (C/A - 625 Yonge Street) (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council again had before it the report (June 29, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Service, District 1, respecting the appeal of the denial of the application for a boulevard cafe at Nos. 3-5 Isabella Street (c/a 625 Yonge Street), and recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the applicant's request to operate a boulevard cafe fronting 3-5 Isabella Street, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week; OR
- (2) (a) City Council approve the boulevard cafe application fronting 3-5 Isabella Street, the cafe be required to close and clear by 11:00 p.m., 7 days a week, as set out in Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; and
 - (b) should City Council approve the boulevard cafe application, the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Service be requested to report back at the end of the 1999 cafe season on the operation of the cafe.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council deny the applicant's request to operate a boulevard cafe fronting 3-5 Isabella Street, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 54)

10.4 333 Bloor Street East - Discharge Of Historical Designation And Heritage Easement Agreement (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council again had before it the report (June 29, 1999) from the Managing Director, Toronto Historical Board recommending that the Toronto Community Council not support the repeal of the designation By-law 107-89 nor the discharge of the heritage easement agreement CA32714 for the property at 333 Bloor Street East (formerly known as 321 Bloor Street East, the Confederation Life Insurance Company Building).

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council not support the repeal of the designation By-law 107-89 nor the discharge of the heritage easement agreement CA32714 for the property at 333 Bloor Street East (formerly known as 321 Bloor Street East, the Confederation Life Insurance Company Building).

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 55)

10.5 Construction Of Stone Pillars And A Wrought Iron Fence - 40 Burton Road (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 30, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City Council approve the construction and maintenance of the pillars, decorative ornamental wall and fence within the public right of way fronting 40 Burton Road provided that the owner enter into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto as prescribed under Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on October 12, 1999 and requested the applicant to submit to the Community Council, prior to that time, a letter advising that the applicant had consulted with their immediate neighbours and that those neighbours had no objection to the proposal.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; Ms. Judy Nathan and Mr. Paul Bronfman; Mr. Andrew S. Volgyesi, Architect, Volgyesi & Propst Inc., Architects and Planners – Encl. – c.: Manager, Right-of-Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1; Ken McGuire, Transportation Services, District 1 – Encl. – September 21, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 93(c))

10.6 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 400 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code, To Permit The Existing Paving To Remain At 62 Stibbard Avenue (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 30, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting the request for an exemption from Chapter 400 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, to permit the existing paying to remain at 62 Stibbard Avenue and recommending that:

- (1) City Council require the existing paving fronting 62 Stibbard Avenue to be replaced with a permeable material such as ecostone or equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 400 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; OR
- (2) City Council approve the existing paving to remain in front of 62 Stibbard Avenue.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the existing paving to remain in front of 62 Stibbard Avenue.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 56)

10.7 Draft By-Law - Zoning By-Law Amendment - 40 Colgate Avenue, 64 Colgate Avenue, 309 And 355 Logan Avenue (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 19, 1999) from the City Solicitor submitting a draft by-law on the Zoning By-law Amendment for 40 Colgate Avenue, 64 Colgate Avenue, 309 and 355 Logan Avenue.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following report/communications:

- (June 18, 1999) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services Final Report to permit the construction of 106 semi-detached houses and townhouses with rear yard parking;
- (August 24, 1999) from Peter C. Crawford; and
- (September 13, 1999) from Mr. Robert Pattillo.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the <u>Planning Act</u>. The public meeting was held on September 14, 1999, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the Draft By-law attached to the report (August 19, 1999) of the City Solicitor be approved and that authority be granted to introduce the necessary Bill in Council, substantially in the form of the Draft By-law, to give effect thereto, subject to receipt of an executed undertaking pursuant to Section 41 of the <u>Planning Act</u> in respect of the proposed 78 semi-detached houses and 28 townhouses on 40 Colgate Avenue, 309 and 355 Logan Avenue; and
- (2) the report (June 18, 1999) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services be adopted.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 88)

10.8 Proposed Official Plan Amendment And Zoning In Respect Of No. 460 Yonge Street (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 9, 1999) from the City Solicitor submitting draft by-laws on the proposed Official Plan Amendment and Zoning in respect of No. 460 Yonge Street.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following report/communication:

- (August 4, 1999) from the City Clerk, forwarding Toronto Community Council Report No. 11, Clause 12, titled, "A Directions Report Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning 460 Yonge Street (Downtown)", which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on July 27, 28, 29 and 30, 1999; and
- (September 9, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the <u>Planning Act</u>. The public meeting was held on September 14, 1999, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

(1) the Draft By-laws attached to the report (September 9, 1999) of the City Solicitor be approved and that authority be granted to introduce the necessary bills in Council to give effect thereto substantially in the form of the by-laws attached to the report, which introduction is subject to receipt of:

- (a) an Agreement under s. 37 of the <u>Planning Act</u> securing the matters described in the report (September 9, 1999) of the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor in consultation with the Acting Commissioner;
- (b) postponements of all encumbrances on title to ensure the S. 37 Agreement constitutes a first charge against title;
- (c) a letter or letters of credit to secure the contribution of \$200,000;
- (d) submission to and approval by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services the following:
 - (i) a Material Recovery and Waste Reduction Plan addressing strategies for material recovery and waste reduction within the development;
 - (ii) a noise impact statement;
 - (iii) at least three weeks before introduction of bills, a reference plan of survey in metric units and integrated with the Ontario Co-ordinate System, delineating thereon by separate parts the lands under application and any appurtenant rights of way and the remainder of the site, as well as dimensioned plans of the development for the purpose of preparing site-specific exemption by-laws; and
- (2) the Recommendations contained in the report (September 9, 1999) of the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services be adopted.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 89)

10.9 Draft Zoning By-Law - 350 Russell Hill Road (304 Lonsdale Road) (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 10, 1999) from the City Solicitor submitting a Draft Zoning By-law in respect of the lands located at the north west corner of Lonsdale and Russell Hill Road, municipally known as 304 Lonsdale Road and 350 Russell Hill Road.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a final report (June 3, 1999) from the Commissioner, Urban Planning and Development Services, to permit the establishment of a columbarium under the Cemeteries Act (Revised) and construction of approximately 300 niches within the existing church building located at 350 Russell Hill Road.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the <u>Planning Act</u>. The public meeting was held on September 14, 1999, and Mr. John Chipman, Columbarium Committee, addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the draft by-law attached to the report (September 10, 1999) of the City Solicitor be approved and that authority be granted to introduce the necessary Bill in Council, substantially in the form of the Draft By-law to give effect thereto; and
- (2) the report (June 3, 1999) from the Commissioner, Urban Planning and Development Services be adopted.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 90)

10.10 Draft By-Laws - Official Plan Amendment And Rezoning - 866 Avenue Road (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 7, 1999) from the City Solicitor submitting Draft By-laws respecting the Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning for 866 Avenue Road.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following report/communications:

- (August 25, 1999) from Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services providing final recommendations for the approval of Application 198006 to permit the redevelopment of an automobile service station to a combined gas bar and retail store.
- (August 24, 1999) from Dr. Peter K. Lewin;
- (August 29, 1999) from Mrs. Thelma Strasser;
- (September 3, 1999) from Tania H. Watts;
- (September 9, 1999) from Mr. and Mrs. Robert G. Small; and
- (Undated) from Mrs. Andrée Vogel.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the <u>Planning Act</u>. The public meeting was held on September 14, 1999, and the following addressed the Toronto Community Council:

- Ms. Judy Price, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. John Newell, Toronto, Ontario;
- Ms. Elizabeth Jane Kuzyk, Toronto, Ontario;
- Ms. Ann Lovering, Toronto, Ontario;
- Ms. Susan Ainley, Northhill District Home Owner's Association;
- Mr. Arv Sestokas, Imperial Oil; and
- Ms. Shelley Ortved, Oriole Park Association.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) Recommendation No. 1(c)(ii) of the report (August 25, 1999) of the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services be amended to read:
 - "(1)(c)(ii) provide fuel deliveries only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m., Monday to Friday;"

and that the report, as so amended, be adopted; and

- (2) the Draft By-laws attached to the report (September 7, 1999) of the City Solicitor, as amended by Recommendation No. 1 above, be approved and that authority be granted to introduce the necessary bills in Council to give effect thereto substantially in the form of the by-laws attached to the report, which introduction is subject to:
 - (a) receipt of an Agreement under s. 37 of the <u>Planning Act</u> securing the matters described in the report (August 25, 1999) of the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor in consultation with the Commissioner;
 - (b) receipt of postponements of all encumbrances on title to ensure the S. 37 Agreement constitutes a first charge against title;
 - (c) submission of the following documents approved by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services:
 - (i) a noise impact statement;
 - (ii) at least three weeks before introduction of bills, a reference plan of survey in metric units and integrated with the Ontario Co-ordinate System, delineating thereon by separate parts the lands under application and any appurtenant rights of way and the remainder of the site, as well as final approved drawings of the development with sufficient horizontal and vertical dimensions of the exterior walls of

the proposed building and the canopy structure over the gasoline pumps to enable the preparation of building envelope plans; and

(iii) a Material Recovery and Waste Reduction Plan addressing strategies for material recovery and waste reduction within the development.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 91)

10.11 Removal Of Private Tree - 24 Hawarden Crescent (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 24, 1999) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the removal of a private tree at 24 Hawarden Crescent and recommending that City Council:

- (1) refuse to issue a permit for tree removal; or
- (2) issue a permit for tree removal conditional on the planting of replacement trees to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism

Mrs. Sandra Walfish, Toronto, Ontario, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on October 12, 1999.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c.: Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and tourism; Andrew Pickett, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism – Encl. – September 20, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 93(a))

10.12 Removal Of Private Tree - 1309 Queen Street East (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 24, 1999) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting the removal of a private tree at 1309 Queen Street East and recommending that the issuance of a permit to remove the subject tree be denied.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (September 13, 1999) from Ms. Nancy R. Hawley, Eastend Developments Ltd.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, seconded by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council issue a permit for removal of the tree located at 1309 Queen Street East, conditional on the developer making a cash contribution of \$1,500 to the Maple Cottage Rejuvenation Project.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 61)

10.13 Driveway Widening - 115 Elmer Avenue (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 30, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the request for an exemption from Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, to permit the driveway widening at 115 Elmer Avenue, and recommending:

- (1) City Council deny the request for an exemption from the by-law to permit driveway widening for two vehicles at 115 Elmer Avenue, as such a request does not comply with Chapter 248 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; OR
- (2) City Council approve the request for driveway widening for one vehicle, within the limits of the driveway, and one vehicle perpendicular to the driveway, subject to the applicant complying with the criteria set out in Municipal Code, Chapter 248, Parking Licenses, of the former City of Toronto.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the request for driveway widening for one vehicle, within the limits of the driveway, and one vehicle perpendicular to the driveway, subject to the applicant complying with the criteria set out in Municipal Code, Chapter 248, Parking Licenses, of the former City of Toronto.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 62)

10.14 Removal Of Private Tree - 256 Macdonell Avenue, (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 24, 1999) from the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the removal of a private tree at 256 Macdonell Avenue and recommending that City Council:

(1) refuse to issue a permit for tree removal, requiring the applicant to redesign the addition to incorporate the tree; or

(2) issue a permit for tree removal conditional on: i) the tree in question not being removed until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under the building permit application for the subject project commence which warrant the destruction of the tree, and ii) the applicant agreeing to plant a replacement tree to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (September 13, 1999) from Mr. Pravin Patel.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council refuse to issue a permit for tree removal at 256 Macdonell Avenue, requiring the applicant to redesign the addition to incorporate the tree.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 63)

10.15 Driveway Widening - 543 Windermere Avenue (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 30, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting the request for an exemption from the by-law to permit the driveway widening at 543 Windermere Avenue, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the request for an exemption from the by-law to permit driveway widening at 543 Windermere Avenue, as such a request does not comply with Chapter 248 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; OR
- (2) (a) City Council approve driveway widening at 543 Windermere Avenue subject to the driveway widening not exceeding 1.9 m in width; and
 - (b) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e. ecostone pavers or approved and equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) City Council approve driveway widening at 543 Windermere Avenue subject to the driveway widening not exceeding 1.9 m in width; and
- (2) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e. ecostone pavers or approved and equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 64)

10.16 Removal Of Private Tree - 478 Roncesvalles Avenue, (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 24, 1999) from the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the removal of a private tree at 478 Roncesvalles Avenue and recommending that City Council:

- (1) issue a permit for tree removal; or
- (2) refuse to issue a permit for tree removal requiring the applicant to redesign the rear addition to accommodate the tree.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (September 13, 1999) from Peter and Rosemary Meier; and
- (March, 1999) from Mr. Daniel J. Pavlon, Daniel Design Services Inc.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Alex Poch-Goldin, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Stephen McCammon, Toronto, Ontario; and
- Mr. Ghazi Salti, Toronto, Ontario.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council:

- (1) submitted this matter to Council without recommendation; and
- requested the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, in consultation with the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, to meet with the applicant to examine alternatives which would permit the retention of the tree, and report thereon directly to Council.

(Letter sent to: Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; c.: Andrew Pickett, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; Beate Bowron, Director, Community Planning – South District, Urban Planning and Development Services – Encl. – September 20, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 65)

10.17 Appeal Of Denial Of Application For A Curb Lane Vending Permit - University Avenue, East Side, 81 Metres South Of Dundas Street West, And Extending A Further 5.5 Metres South (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 26, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the application for curb lane vending on University Avenue, south of Dundas Street West, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for curb lane vending on University Avenue, east side, 81 metres south of Dundas Street West and extending a further 5.5 metres south; OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for curb lane vending on University Avenue, east side, 81 metres south of Dundas Street West and extending a further 5.5 metres south, notwithstanding the objection received by the adjoining property owner, and that such approval be subject to the applicant complying with the criteria set out in By-law No. 98-97 of the former Metropolitan Toronto; and
- (3) Should City Council approve the application for curb lane vending on University Avenue, east side, 81 metres south of Dundas Street West and extending a further 5.5 metres south, the applicant pay the costs of the loss of annual parking permit revenue as determined by the Toronto Parking Authority.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on October 12, 1999.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; Mr. Ahmad Shafee, 3050 Pharmacy Avenue, apt. #510, Scarborough, Ontario, M1W 2N7; Mr. Michael Ward, General Manager, O & Y Enterprise Commercial Management, 393 University Avenue, Suite 1607, Toronto, Ontario, M5G 1E6; c.: Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1; Barrie Chavel, Works and Emergency Services – Encl. – September 20, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 93(e))

10.18 Future Bakery And Café - Extension Of Hours Of Operation For The Boulevard Café - Kennedy Avenue Flankage Of 2199 Bloor Street West (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 30, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the Future Bakery and Cafe at 2199 Bloor Street West and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve the continuation of the licence for the boulevard cafe on the Kennedy Avenue flankage of 2199 Bloor Street West, with the same terms and conditions as previously approved, i.e. 2:00 a.m. closing time, 7 days a week, since there were no complaints received from the neighbourhood; and
- (2) The Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Toronto Community Council at the end of the 2000 cafe season on the operation of the cafe.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (September 10, 1999) from T. Kurehn.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on October 12, 1999 and directed that the same terms and conditions approved by Council at its meeting held on July 27, 28, 29 and 30, 1999 with respect to an extension of hours of operation for the Boulevard Café on the Kennedy Avenue Flankage of 2199 Bloor Street West still apply until that time.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; Mr. Borys Wrzesnewskyj, President, 904355 Ontario Ltd., o/a Future Bakery and Café, 2199 Bloor Street West, Toronto, Ontario, M6S 1N2; Mr. Marius Rygiel, c/o Future Bakery Café, 2199 Bloor Street West, Toronto, Ontario, M6S 1N2; c.: Director, Transportation Services District 1; Ken McGuire, Transportation Services, District 1 – Encl. – September 22, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 93(f))

10.19 Removal Of Private Tree - 460 Balliol Street (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 24, 1999) from the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the removal of a private tree at 460 Balliol Street and recommending that City Council:

- (1) refuse to issue a permit for tree removal; or
- (2) issue a permit for tree removal.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (September 9, 1999) from Ms. Jean Sanguin; and
- (September 10, 1999) from Mr. Phillip and Ms. Irma Ganon.

Ms. Shirley Budavari, Toronto, Ontario, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council refuse to issue a permit for tree removal at 460 Balliol Street.

The following motions were lost on the following division of votes:

By Councillor Walker:

"That City Council issue a permit for tree removal at 460 Balliol Street"

By Councillor Johnston:

"That the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism report to the Toronto Community Council on opportunities for tree planting in the area."

Yeas: Councillors Adams, Johnston and Walker - 3

Nays: Councillors McConnell, Bossons, Bussin, Chow, Jakobek, Pantalone and Rae - 7

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 66)

10.20 Removal Of Private Trees - 126-128 Kilbarry Road (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 24, 1999) from the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture & Tourism respecting the removal of private trees at 126-128 Kilbarry Road, and recommending that City Council:

- (1) issue a permit for tree removal conditional on the applicant agreeing to plant five replacement trees on the property, to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture & Tourism; or
- (2) refuse to issue a permit for tree removal, requiring the applicant to abandon or redesign plans for the proposed construction.

Ms. Kim Kovar, Aird & Berlis, on behalf of the applicant, appeared before the Committee.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council submitted this matter to Council without recommendation.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 67)

10.21 Cancellation Of Boulevard Marketing - 281 Augusta Avenue (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 26, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting the cancellation of boulevard marketing at 281 Augusta Avenue and recommending that:

- (1) City Council rescind the licensed boulevard marketing fronting 281 Augusta Avenue subject to:
 - (a) the licence holder be notified and be given the opportunity to be heard by the Toronto Community Council;
 - (b) a 30 day written notice of cancellation be provided to the licence holder; and
 - (c) the licence holder be refunded the unexpired portion of the annual boulevard marketing fee; OR
- (2) City Council allow the licensed boulevard marketing to continue fronting 281 Augusta Avenue.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council rescind the licensed boulevard marketing fronting 281 Augusta Avenue subject to:

- (a) the licence holder being notified and being given the opportunity to be heard by the Toronto Community Council;
- (b) a 30 day written notice of cancellation being provided to the licence holder; and
- (c) the licence holder being refunded the unexpired portion of the annual boulevard marketing fee.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 68)

10.22 Removal Of Private Trees - 10 Shorncliffe Avenue (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council again had before it the report (June 4, 1999) from the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the removal of three private trees from 10 Shorncliffe Avenue and recommending that City Council:

- (1) issue a permit for tree removal conditional on:
 - (i) the trees in question not being removed until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under the

building permit application for the subject project commence which warrant the destruction of the trees; and

- (ii) the applicant agreeing to plant replacement trees to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; OR
- (2) refuse to issue a permit for tree removal, requiring the applicant to incorporate the trees into the plans for the new house and landscape.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (June 21, 1999) from Mr. David Mongeau;
- (Undated) from Ms. Audrey Loeb;
- (July 14, 1999) from Mr. David C. Mongeau;
- (August 25, 1999) from Ms. Barbara Polk Milstein;
- (August 5, 1999) from Mr. David C. Mongeau;
- (September 10, 1999) from Ms. Jill Denham;
- (September 13, 1999) from Ms. Rose Baum; and
- (September 14, 1999) from Mr. Lorne Vineberg.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. David Ross, Partner, Deloitte & Touche; and
- Mr. Robert Howe, Goodman, Phillips & Vineberg.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) City Council:
 - (a) refuse to issue a permit for the removal of the Norway Maple at 10 Shorncliffe Avenue;
 - (b) issue a permit for the removal of the Horse Chestnut Street, conditional on the applicant planting a Black Locust tree as a replacement;
 - (c) issue a permit for the removal of the Crab Apple tree;

conditional on the trees in question not being removed until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under the building permit application for the subject project commence which warrant the destruction of the trees;

(2) the applicant contribute \$1,000 for the maintenance and/or planting of trees on the municipal right-of-way in the neighbourhood.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 69)

10.23 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Albany Avenue From Bloor Street West To Barton Avenue By The Installation Of Speed Humps (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council again had before it Draft By-law respecting the alteration of Albany Avenue from Bloor Street West to Barton Avenue by the installation of speed humps and to reduce the speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr on those portions of the street.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following reports/communications:

- Clause 27 of Report 4 of the Toronto Community Council, titled "Examination of Feasibility of Installing Speed Humps Albany Avenue, from Bloor Street West to Barton Avenue (Midtown)", which was adopted without amendment by City Council at its meeting held on March 2, 3 and 4, 1999;
- (May 25, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1;
- Petition with 16 signature in opposition from Albany Avenue Residents;
- (June 3, 1999) from the City Clerk, Toronto Community Council, forwarding the actions of the Toronto Community Council at its meeting held on May 26 and 27, 1999; and
- (September 13, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on May 7, 11, 18 and 25, 1999, and the following addressed the Toronto Community Council:

On May 26, 1999:

- Mr. Sid Adilman; and
- Ms. Jane Jacobs;

On September 14, 1999:

Mr. Niels Petersen.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a bylaw in the form of the draft by-law be enacted, and that the necessary Bills be introduced in Council to give effect thereto.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 70)

10.24 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Hillsdale Avenue East, Soudan Avenue And Manor Road East By The Installation Of Speed Humps (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law respecting the alteration of Hillsdale Avenue East, Soudan Avenue and Manor Road East by the installation of speed humps, and . Clause 37 of Report No. 7 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Installation of Speed Humps - Soudan Avenue, Manor Road East and Hillsdale Avenue East from Yonge Street to Mount Pleasant Road and Redpath Avenue from Eglinton Avenue East to Manor Road East", which was adopted without amendment by City Council on May 11 and 12, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the report (September 8, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 23, August 30, September 7 and September 13, 1999, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a bylaw in the form of the draft by-law be enacted, and that the necessary Bills be introduced in Council to give effect thereto.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 71)

10.25 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Shaw Street From Marchmount Road To Wychcrest Avenue By The Installation Of Speed Humps (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law respecting the alteration of Shaw Street from Marchmount Road to Wychcrest Avenue by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr on those portions of the street, and Clause 38 of Report No. 4 of the Toronto Community Council,

headed "Installation of Speed Humps - Shaw Street from Marchmount Road to Wychcrest Avenue" which was adopted without amendment by City Council on March 2, 3 and 4, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the report (September 10, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 23, August 30, September 7 and September 13, 1999, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Fotinos, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a bylaw in the form of the draft by-law be enacted, and that the necessary Bills be introduced in Council to give effect thereto.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 72)

10.26 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Roselawn Avenue From Latimer Avenue To Rosewell Avenue By The Installation Of Speed Humps (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law respecting the alteration of Roselawn Avenue from Latimer Avenue to Rosewell Avenue by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr on those portions of the street, and Clause 33 of Report No. 7 of the Toronto Community Council headed "Proposed Installation of Speed Humps - Roselawn Avenue from Latimer Avenue to Rosewell Avenue" which was adopted without amendment by City Council on May 11 and 12, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the report (September 8, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 23, August 30, September 7 and September 13, 1999, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a bylaw in the form of the draft by-law be enacted, and that the necessary Bills be introduced in Council to give effect thereto.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 73)

10.27 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Castlefield Avenue Between Caldow Road And Chaplin Crescent By The Installation Of Speed Humps (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law respecting the alteration of Castlefield Avenue between Caldow Road and Chaplin Crescent by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr on those portions of the street, and Clause 29 of Report 8 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Installation of Speed Humps - Castlefield Avenue from Chaplin Crescent to Caldow Road" which was adopted without amendment by City Council on June 9, 10 and 11, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the report (August 31, 1999) from Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that the draft by-law to alter the section of Castlefield Avenue between Chaplin Crescent and Caldow Road, by means of the installation of speed humps, not be enacted in light of the negative poll results noted therein.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 23, August 30, September 7 and September 13, 1999, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that, in view of the negative poll results, Council receive this matter.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 74)

10.28 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Redpath Avenue From Eglinton Avenue East To Manor Road East By The Installation Of Speed Humps (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law respecting the alteration of Redpath Avenue from Eglinton Avenue East to Manor Road East by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr on those portions of the street, and Clause 37 of Report No. 7 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Installation of Speed Humps - Soudan Avenue, Manor Road East and Hillsdale Avenue East from Yonge Street to Mount Pleasant Road and Redpath Avenue from Eglinton Avenue East to Manor Road East", which was adopted without amendment by City Council on May 11 and 12, 1999.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 23, August 30, September 7 and September 13, 1999, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a bylaw in the form of the draft by-law be enacted, and that the necessary Bills be introduced in Council to give effect thereto.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 75)

10.29 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Prescott Avenue From Rockwell Avenue To Rowntree Avenue By The Installation Of Speed Humps (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law respecting the alteration of Prescott Avenue from Rockwell Avenue to Rowntree Avenue by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr on those portions of the street, and Clause 39 of Report No. 4 of Toronto Community Council, headed "Installation of Speed Humps - Prescott Avenue from Rockwell Avenue to Rowntree Avenue", which was adopted without amendment by City Council on March 2, 3 and 4, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the report (September 10, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 23, August 30, September 7 and September 13, 1999, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Fotinos, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a bylaw in the form of the draft by-law be enacted, and that the necessary Bills be introduced in Council to give effect thereto.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 76)

10.30 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Merton Street From Mount Pleasant Road To Bayview Avenue By The Installation Of Speed Humps (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law respecting the alteration of Merton Street from Mount Pleasant Road to Bayview Avenue by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr on those portions of the street, and Clause 35 of Report No. 7 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Proposed Installation of Speed Humps - Merton Street from Mount Pleasant Road to Bayview Avenue", which was adopted without amendment by City Council on May 11 and 12, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the report (September 8, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 23, August 30, September 7 and September 13, 1999, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a bylaw in the form of the draft by-law be enacted, and that the necessary Bills be introduced in Council to give effect thereto.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 77)

10.31 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of McRoberts Avenue From St. Clair Avenue West To Rogers Road By The Installation Of Speed Humps (Davenport And York-Eglinton)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law respecting the alteration of McRoberts Avenue from St. Clair Avenue West to Rogers Road by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr on those portions of the street, and . Clause 58 of Report No. 7 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Installation of Speed Humps - McRoberts Avenue from St. Clair Avenue West to Rogers Road", which was adopted without amendment by City Council on May 11 and 12, 1999

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the report (September 8, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 24, August 30, September 7 and September 13, 1999, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Fotinos, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a bylaw in the form of the draft by-law be enacted, and that the necessary Bills be introduced in Council to give effect thereto.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 78)

10.32 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Merton Street Approximately 140 Metres East Of Yonge Street By Narrowing The Pavement (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law respecting the alteration of Merton Street approximately 140 metres east of Yonge Street by the narrowing of the pavement, and Clause 38 of Report No. 9 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Installation of Road Narrowing at Pedestrian Crossover - Merton Street in the vicinity of Premises No. 71", which was adopted without amendment by City Council on July 6, 7 and 8, 1999.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 23, August 30, September 7 and September 13, 1999, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a bylaw in the form of the draft by-law be enacted, and that the necessary Bills be introduced in Council to give effect thereto.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 79)

10.33 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Dufferin Street By Narrowing The Pavement North Of The Canadian National Exhibition Grounds (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law respecting Alteration of Dufferin Street by narrowing the pavement north of the Canadian National Exhibition Grounds (Trinity-Niagara), and Clause 49 of Report No. 11 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Narrowing of the Pavement on Dufferin Street north of CNE Grounds" which was adopted without amendment by City Council on July 27, 28, 29 and 30, 1999.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 23, August 30, September 7 and September 13, 1999, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Fotinos, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a bylaw in the form of the draft by-law be enacted, and that the necessary Bills be introduced in Council to give effect thereto.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 80)

10.34 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Argyle Street From Ossington Avenue And Markham Street From Queen Street West To Robinson Street By Narrowing And Realigning The Pavement (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law respecting Alteration of Argyle Street from Ossington Avenue and Markham Street from Queen Street West to

Robinson Street by narrowing and realigning the pavement (Trinity-Niagara), and Clause 49 of Report No. 8 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Narrowing of Pavements on Argyle Street and Markham Street - Garrison Creek Initiatives", which was adopted without amendment by City Council on June 9, 10 and 11, 1999.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 23, August 30, September 7 and September 13, 1999, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Fotinos, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a bylaw in the form of the draft by-law be enacted, and that the necessary Bills be introduced in Council to give effect thereto.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 81)

10.35 Removal Of Private Tree - 378 Russell Hill Road (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 24, 1999) from the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the removal of a private tree at 378 Russell Hill Road and recommending that City Council:

- (1) issue a permit for tree removal conditional on:
 - (i) the tree in question not being removed until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under the building permit application for the subject property commence which warrant the destruction of the tree; and
 - (ii) the applicant planting replacement trees to the satisfaction of the community and approved by the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture & Tourism; or
- (2) refuse to issue a permit for tree removal requiring the applicant to redesign plans for the driveway construction.

Mr. Egils Dedrichsons, Landscape Architect, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

The Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council issue a permit for tree removal at 378 Russell Hill Road conditional on:

On motion by Councillor Bossons:

- (i) the tree in question not being removed until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under the building permit application for the subject property commence which warrant the destruction of the tree;
- (ii) the applicant planting a replacement tree in the proximity to the side lot line of the subject property; and

On motion by Councillor Adams:

(iii) the applicant contributing \$500.00 for the planting of a tree in the municipal right-of-way in the neighbourhood.

Councillor Miller requested that he be recorded in the negative.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 57)

10.36 Appeal Of Denial Of Application For Commercial Boulevard Parking - Arlington Avenue Flankage Of 775 St. Clair Avenue West (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 26, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting the application for commercial boulevard parking at 775 St. Clair Avenue West and recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for commercial boulevard parking on the Arlington Avenue flankage of 775 St. Clair Avenue West; OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for commercial boulevard parking on the Arlington Avenue flankage of 775 St. Clair Avenue West, notwithstanding the negative results of the public poll, and that such approval be subject to the applicant complying with the criteria set out in '313-39 of Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a further report (September 13, 1999) from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1.

On motion by Councillor Fotinos, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council deny the application for commercial boulevard parking on the Arlington Avenue flankage of 775 St. Clair Avenue West.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 60)

10.37 Removal Of Private Tree - 306 Beresford Avenue (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 24, 1999) from the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the removal of the private tree at 306 Beresford Avenue and recommending that City Council:

- (1) refuse to issue a permit for tree removal; or
- (2) issue a permit for tree removal conditional on the applicant agreeing to plant a replacement tree to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council refuse to issue a permit for tree removal at 306 Beresford Avenue.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 58)

10.38 Removal Of Private Tree - 308 Beresford Avenue (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 24, 1999) from the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the removal of the private tree at 308 Beresford Avenue and recommending that City Council:

- (1) refuse to issue a permit for tree removal; or
- (2) issue a permit for tree removal conditional on the applicant agreeing to plant a replacement tree to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council refuse to issue a permit for tree removal at 308 Beresford Avenue.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 59)

10.39 Appeal Of Denial Of Application For A Sidewalk/Boulevard Vending Permit - Bathurst Street, East Side, 9.5 Metres South Of Queens Quay West (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 26, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting the

application for a sidewalk/boulevard vending permit for the east side of Bathurst Street, 9.5 metres south of Queen's Quay West and recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for sidewalk/boulevard vending on Bathurst Street, east side, 9.5 metres south of Queens Quay West; OR
- City Council approve the application for sidewalk/boulevard vending on Bathurst Street, east side, 9.5 metres south of Queens Quay West, notwithstanding the objections received by the adjoining property owners, and that such approval be subject to the applicant complying with the criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 315, Street Vending, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (September 13, 1999) from Ms. Susan Seidman, The Waterfront School.

Mr. George Tsirongas, Toronto, Ontario, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council deny the application for sidewalk/boulevard vending on Bathurst Street, east side, 9.5 metres south of Queens Quay West.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 82)

10.40 Removal Of Private Tree - 317 Rhodes Avenue (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 24, 1999) from the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the removal of the private tree at 317 Rhodes Avenue and recommending that City Council:

- (1) refuse to issue a permit for tree removal; or
- (2) issue a permit for tree removal conditional on the applicant agreeing to plant a replacement tree to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (September 10, 1999) submitted by Ms. Lynne Lim on behalf of Fook Kung Chan.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council refuse to issue a permit for tree removal at 317 Rhodes Avenue.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 83)

10.41 Appeal Of Denial Of Application For A Sidewalk/Boulevard Vending Permit - Gould Street, 5.9 Metres East Of O'Keefe Lane (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 26, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting the application for a sidewalk/boulevard vending permit for Gould Street, 5.9 metres east of O'Keefe Lane and recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for sidewalk/boulevard vending on Gould Street, 5.9 metres east of O'Keefe Lane; OR
- City Council approve the application for sidewalk/boulevard vending on Gould Street, 5.9 metres east of O'Keefe Lane, notwithstanding the objection received by the adjoining property owner, and that such approval be subject to the applicant complying with the criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 315, Street Vending, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council deny the application for sidewalk/boulevard vending on Gould Street, 5.9 metres east of O'Keefe Lane.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 84)

10.42 Removal Of Private Tree - 2 May Square, (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 24, 1999) from the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the removal of the private tree at 2 May Square and recommending that City Council:

- (1) refuse to issue a permit for tree removal; or
- (2) issue a permit for tree removal conditional on the applicant agreeing to plant a replacement tree to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

Mr. Frank J. Sperduti, Borden & Elliott, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council refuse to issue a permit for tree removal at 2 May Square.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 85)

10.43 Appeal - Driveway Widening - 15 Fulton Avenue (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council again had before it the report (June 30, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting the driveway widening at 15 Fulton Avenue and recommending that City Council deny the request for an exemption from the by-law to permit driveway widening at 15 Fulton Avenue, as such a request does not comply with Chapter 248 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (September 14, 1999) from Councillor Jack Layton.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the request for an exemption from the by-law to permit driveway widening at 15 Fulton Avenue, subject to:

- (1) the driveway not exceeding 1.7 metres in width and 4.4 metres in length; and
- (2) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable materials, ie. ecostone pavers or approved equivalent paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 86)

10.44 Removal Of Private Tree - 34 Rhyl Avenue (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 24, 1999) from the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the removal of the private tree at 2 May Square and recommending that City Council:

- (1) refuse to issue a permit for tree removal; or
- (2) issue a permit for tree removal conditional on the applicant agreeing to plant a replacement tree to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council issue a permit for tree removal at 34 Rhyl Avenue conditional on the applicant:

- (1) agreeing to plant two replacement trees to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; and;
- (2) making a contribution of \$500.00 to the Maple Cottage Rejuvenation Project.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 87)

10.45 Exodus Of Small Independently Owned And Operated Businesses From Bloor West Village (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 26, 1999) from Councillor David Miller respecting the exodus of small independently owned and operated businesses from Bloor West Village and requesting that the petition submitted by World 19 be forwarded to City Council and that the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to work together with their local Councillors, interested citizens and the Bloor West Village BIA to set up a process of ongoing consultation in order to develop possible responses to the type of changes occurring in the Village.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Toronto Community Council:

- (1) forwarded the petition submitted by World 19 to City Council;
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism to undertake a public consultation process, including a community meeting to be held in Bloor West Village, to discuss the local concerns and measures that could possibly help maintain the character of this small business/retail strip; and
- (3) requested the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services to review the adequacy of the current citywide protection policies for small business retail strips, as part of the Official Plan Part I update.

(Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture & Tourism – Encl. – September 20, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 93(g))

10.46 Residential Demolition Application - **267** Euclid Avenue (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (July 28, 1999) from Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services recommending that City Council authorize the issuance of the residential demolition permit for 267 Euclid Avenue subject to the standard conditions as set out in Chapter 146-16B(5) of the Municipal Code, specifically:

- (A) That the applicant for the permit construct and substantially complete the new building to be erected on the site of the residential property to be demolished, not later than two (2) years from the day demolition of the existing residential property is commenced.
- (B) That, on failure to complete the new building within the time specified, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector's roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand dollars (\$20,000.00) for each dwelling unit contained in the residential property in respect of which the demolition permit is issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the land in respect of which the permit to demolish the residential property is issued.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (September 13, 1999) from Mr. Herminio and Mrs. Elina Vasa, owners.

The Toronto Community Council was advised that the matter was withdrawn.

10.47 Designation Under Part IV Of The Ontario Heritage Act - 395, 397 And 399 Brunswick Avenue (William Thompson House, William Smith House And Robert Smith House) (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 1, 1999) from the Managing Director, Toronto Historical Board respecting the designation of 395, 397 and 399 Brunswick Avenue and recommending:

- (1) That City Council state its intention to designate the property at 395 Brunswick Avenue (William Thompson House) for architectural reasons under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.
- (2) That City Council state its intention to designate the property at 397 Brunswick Avenue (William Smith House) for architectural reasons under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.
- (3) That City Council state its intention to designate the property at 399 Brunswick Avenue (Robert Smith House) for architectural reasons under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.
- (4) That authority be granted for the execution of Heritage Easement Agreements under Section 37 of the Ontario Heritage Act with the owners of 395, 397 and 399 Brunswick Avenue using substantially the form of easement agreement prepared by the City Solicitor, subject to such amendments as may be deemed necessary by the City Solicitor in consultation with Heritage Toronto (Toronto Historical Board).

- (5) That the owners be requested to provide Heritage Toronto (Toronto Historical Board) with two (2) copies of the required photographs of 395, 397 and 399 Brunswick Avenue for inclusion in the easement agreements.
- (6) That a detailed restoration plan be prepared for each building by a restoration architect to the satisfaction of the Managing Director of Heritage Toronto prior to making application for any building permit.
- (7) That the owner agree to post a letter of credit in an amount and form satisfactory to the Managing Director, in consultation with the City Solicitor, prior to making application for any building permit.
- (8) That the appropriate officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to give effect hereto.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (September 1, 1999) from the Managing Director, Toronto Historical Board.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 50)

10.48 Designation Under Part IV Of The Ontario Heritage Act - 832 Bay Street (McLaughlin Motor Car Showroom) (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 24, 1999) from the Managing Director, Toronto Historical Board respecting the designation of 832 Bay Street (McLaughlin Motor Car Showroom) and recommending:

- (1) That City Council state its intention to designate the property at 832 Bay Street (McLaughlin Motor Car Showroom) for architectural and historical reasons under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.
- (2) That the appropriate officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to give effect hereto.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (August 24, 1999) from the Managing Director, Toronto Historical Board.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 51)

10.49 Yorkville Triangle - Official Plan Amendment No. 143 And Zoning By-Law No. 138-99 (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (July 12, 1999) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development respecting the Yorkville Triangle Official Plan Amendment No. 143 and Zoning By-law No. 138-99, and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (September 14, 1999) from Mr. William W. Stevenson, ABC Residents' Association.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c.: Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Wayne Morgan, Urban Planning and Development Services – Encl. – September 20, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 93(h))

10.50 Rezoning Application 199004 To Amend By-Law 1994-0806 To Remove The Holding Symbol (H Zoning): 401 Front Street West (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 31, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services recommending that Council authorize the City Solicitor to amend Zoning By-law 1994-0806 to remove the holding symbol from the eastern half of the Front Street General Use Area B (401 Front Street West) and allow the proposed residential development of the site.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (September 13, 1999) from M. S. Wolczyk, GO Transit; and
- (September 14, 1999) from Mr. Marc Hewitt, Concord Adex Development Corporation.

Ms. Kim Kovar, Aird & Berlis, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with this matter.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended that Council authorize the City Solicitor to amend Zoning By-law 1994-0806 to remove the holding symbol from the eastern half of the Front Street General Use Area B (401 Front Street West) and allow the proposed residential development of the site.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 52)

10.51 Natural Garden Exemption Request - Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 202, 19 Beaty Avenue (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 25, 1999) from the Commissioner, Urban Planning and Development Services recommending:

- (1) That Council grant an exemption under Municipal Code, Chapter 202, Section 202-2D to cancel the served notice and to allow a natural garden to remain at 19 Beaty Avenue; OR
- (2) That Council confirm the served notice and direct that a second notice be given under Municipal Code, Chapter 202, Section 202-D requiring the cutting of grass and weeds, as prescribed in the regulations.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended that Council grant an exemption under Municipal Code, Chapter 202, Section 202-2D to cancel the served notice and to allow a natural garden to remain at 19 Beaty Avenue.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 53)

10.52 Draft Zoning By-Law Respecting No. 103 West Lodge Avenue (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council again had before it the report (April 13, 1999) from the City Solicitor submitting a Draft Zoning By-law respecting 103 West Lodge Avenue.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following reports/communications:

- (May 7, 1999) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services Final Report on Application No. 197024 To Amend Site Specific By-law 22037 To Permit A Reduction In The Number of Parking Spaces Required For 103-105 West Lodge Avenue;
- (May 13, 1999) from Ms. Anna Thacker;
- (May 21, 1999) from Ms. Marian Y. MacGregor, Parkdale Community Legal Services Inc.;
- (Undated) from Ms. Ann-Marie Rechier;

- (May 25, 1999) from A. Lynch;
- (May 24, 1999) Petition with 31 signatures in opposition;
- (April 26, 1999) from Mr. Robert B. Levitt obo the Parkdale Tenants' Association;
- (Undated) from Ms. Shirley Woods;
- (Undated) from Ms. Anna C. Thaker;
- (Undated) 139 Identical Letters in opposition;
- (June 3, 1999) from the City Clerk, Toronto Community Council, forwarding the Community Council's actions of May 26 and 27, 1999;
- (September 10, 1999) from Mr. Anthony Lynch;
- (September 12, 1999) from Mr. John Dorion;
- (September 13, 1999) from Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services;
- (September 14, 1999) from Mr. Marko Lavrisa;
- (September 13, 1999) Petition signed by 72 persons;
- (September 13, 1999) Petition signed by 75 persons;
- (September 14, 1999) from Councillor Chris Korwin-Kuczynski and Councillor David Miller;
- (September 12, 1999) from Mr. Vilko Zbogar;
- (September 14, 1999) from Ms. Anna C. Thaker;
- (September 14, 1999) from Dr. Harpreet Singh Chaggar; and
- (July 30, 1999) from Ms. Sookranie Lucknauth.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act. The public meeting was held on May 26, 1999 and September 14, 1999, and the following addressed the Toronto Community Council:

On May 26, 1999:

- Mr. Vilko Zbogar, Parkdale Community Legal Services;
- Mr. Bart Poesiat, Common Front in Defense of Poor Neighbourhoods;
- Mr. Bob Levitt, Parkdale Tenants' Association;
- Dr. Harpreet Chaggar;
- Mr. Suk Tumsee:
- Mr. A. Lynch;
- Ms. Anna Thaker, Westlodge Tenant Association;
- Mr. David Drake, EMC Group Ltd.;
- Ms. Daramdeo Sukdeo; and
- Mr. Paul Wynn;

On September 14, 1999:

- Mr. Paul Wynn, Trustee;
- Mr. Jeff Wynn, Property Manager;
- Mr. Bart Poesiat, Parkdale Community Legal Services;
- Mr. Vilko Zbogar, Toronto, Ontario;
- Ms. Shirley Woods, West Lodge Tenants' Association;
- Ms. Marian MacGregor, Parkdale Community Legal Services;
- Ms. Anna C. Thaker, Parkdale Tenants' Association;
- Ms. Sookranie Lucknaut, Toronto, Ontario;
- Dr. Harpreet Chaggar, Audiologist;
- Mr. Chris Wilsack, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. John Connor, West Lodge Tenants' Association;
- Ms. Desiree Rose, Jameson Tenant Association;
- Mr. Jeff Phillips, Phillips Consultant;
- Ms. Kham Nguyen, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Marko Lavrisa, Levitt-Goodman Architect Association;
- Ms. Thu Nguyen, Statistics Canada;
- Ms. Evelyn Simpson, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Ngoc Le, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Peter Romanov, Toronto, Ontario; and
- Mr. Karuna Karan, Toronto, Ontario.

The Toronto Community Council also:

On motion by Councillor Miller, recommended that:

- (1) City Council not approve the draft by-law appended to the report (April 13, 1999) from the City Solicitor;
- (2) the Director, Municipal Standards and Licensing be requested to withhold approval of any remaining outstanding orders until the meeting with Parkdale Community

Legal Services and representatives of the West Lodge Tenants' Association occurs, and issues resolved (as requested by the Toronto Community Council), provided that such meeting is held on an expedited basis; and

(3) the Director, Municipal Standards and Licensing and the Deputy Chief Building Official ensure that work orders are in 100% compliance before they are cleared.

Recommendation Nos. (1) and (2) were carried unanimously as follows:

Yeas - Councillors McConnell, Adams, Bossons, Bussin, Fotinos, Johnston, Korwin-Kuczynski, Miller, Silva and Walker - 10

Recommendation No. (3) was carried unanimously as follows:

Yeas - Councillors McConnell, Chow, Fotinos, Johnston, Korwin-Kuczynski, Miller, Rae and Walker – 8

The Toronto Community Council also:

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, seconded by Councillor Miller:

- (1) invited the Director of Parkdale Community Legal Services and representatives of the West Lodge Tenants' Association to meet with the Deputy Chief Building Official and the Director, Municipal Standards and Licensing to review all building standard and building code issues that are of concern to the tenants and their representatives;
- (2) advised the Planning and Transportation Committee that it:
 - (a) endorsed the recommendations for a Tenant Defense Fund presented to the Planning and Transportation Committee, at its meeting held on September 13, 1999;
 - (b) supported the recommendations of the Sub-Committee to Restore Rent Control, including its request for a meeting with the Honourable Steven Gilchrist, Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing; and
- referred the foregoing communications to the Deputy Chief Building Official, the Director, Municipal Standards and Licensing and the City Solicitor:
 - (September 14, 1999) from Mr. Marko Lavrisa;
 - (September 12, 1999) from Mr. Vilko Zbogar; and
 - (September 14, 1999) from Dr. Harpreet Singh Chaggar

The motion to advise the Planning and Transportation Committee was carried unanimously as follows:

Yeas - Councillors McConnell, Chow, Fotinos, Johnston, Korwin-Kuczynski, Miller, Rae and Walker - 8

The motions to request the meeting with the Director of Parkdale Community Legal Services and representatives of the West Lodge Tenants' Association, and to refer the communications were carried unanimously as follows:

Yeas - Councillors McConnell, Adams, Bossons, Bussin, Fotinos, Johnston, Korwin-Kuczynski, Miller, Silva and Walker - 10

(Letter sent to: Planning and Transporation Committee; City Solicitor; Director, Municipal Standards and Licensing; Deputy Chief Building Official – Encl. – September 20, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 92)

10.53 Preliminary Report On 401 Logan Avenue - Application No. 299007 For Rezoning And Site Plan Approval To Permit A Mixed-Use Building 4 Storeys In Height (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 24, 1999) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services submitting his preliminary report for Application No. 299007 for Rezoning and Site Plan Approval to permit a mixed use building four storeys in height at 401 Logan Avenue, and recommending that:

- "(1) I be requested to hold a public meeting in the community to discuss the application and to notify owners and tenants within 300 metres of the site and the Ward Councillors.
- (2) the owner be advised that, prior to final Council approval of this project, the owner may be required to submit a Noise Impact Statement in accordance with City Council's requirements. The owner will be further advised of these requirements, as they relate to this project, by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services."

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Executive Director and Chief Planner – No Encl. – Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attention: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning;

Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police – Attention: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge – Encl. – All Interested Persons – No Encl. – c.: Denise Graham, Urban Planning and Development Services – No Encl. – September 16, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 93(i))

10.54 Preliminary Report On Application No. 199005 For An Official Plan Amendment And A Rezoning, To Permit A Travel Agency/Parcel Service Within An Existing Detached House At 121 Runnymede Road (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 24, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services respecting Application No. 199005 for an Official Plan Amendment and a Rezoning, to permit a Travel Agency/Parcel Service within an existing detached house at 121 Runnymede Road (High Park), and recommending that:

"I be requested to hold a public meeting in the community to discuss the application and to notify owners and residents within 300 metres of the site and the Ward Councillors."

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Executive Director and Chief Planner – No Encl. – Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attention: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police – Attention: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge – Encl. – All Interested Persons – No Encl. – c.: Barry Brooks, Urban Planning and Development Services – No Encl. – September 16, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 93(j))

10.55 416 Spadina Road, Application No. 999049: Request For Approval Of A Variance From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 12, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services respecting 416 Spadina Road, Application No. 999049: Request for Approval of A Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Midtown), and recommending:

- (1) That City Council approve Application No. 999049 respecting a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to erect two encroaching illuminated awning signs for identification purposes at 416 Spadina Road.
- (2) That the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999049, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on October 12, 1999, and directed that the BIAs and resident and ratepayers groups in the area be so advised.

(BIA's of Midtown Ward; Resident & Ratepayer Groups of Midtown Ward; Mr. Dominic Rotundo; Scotia Bank Inc; Resident & Ratepayer Groups and BIA's in the Area; c.: Acting Commissioner of Uban Planning and Development Services – Encl. – Norm Girdhar, Urban Planning and Development Services – No Encl. – September 21. 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 93(k))

10.56 2300 Yonge Street, Application No. 999041: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 6, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services respecting the request for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, for 2300 Yonge Street, and recommending:

- (1) That City Council approve Application No. 999041, respecting minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit three illuminated encroaching fascia signs for identification purposes on the east elevation of the building at 2300 Yonge Street.
- (2) That the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999041, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 2)

10.57 2300 Yonge Street, Application No. 999040: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 5, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services respecting the request for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, for 2300 Yonge Street, and recommending:

- (1) That City Council approve Application No. 999040 respecting minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit three illuminated fascia signs for identification and direction purposes on the south elevation of the building at 2300 Yonge Street.
- (2) That the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999040, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 2)

10.58 2300 Yonge Street, Application No. 999062: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 27, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services respecting the request for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, for 2300 Yonge Street, and recommending:

(1) That City Council approve Application No. 999062, respecting minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to erect two illuminated fascia signs in the form of individual letters which identify a

- restaurant use "The Pickle Barrel". The signs will be located on the east and south elevations of the building at 2300 Yonge Street for identification purposes.
- (2) That the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999062, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 2)

10.59 172 John Street, Application No. 999043: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 6, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services respecting the request for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, for 172 John Street, and recommending:

- (1) That City Council approve Application No. 999043 respecting minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to install one illuminated projecting sign on John Street frontage for identification purposes at 172 John Street.
- (2) That the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999043, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 2)

10.60 193 Yonge Street, Application No. 999046: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 12, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services respecting the request for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, for 193 Yonge Street, and recommending that City Council approve application No. 999046 respecting minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit one illuminated mural sign on the north elevation and one

illuminated mural sign on the south elevation for first party advertising purposes at 193 Yonge Street.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 2)

10.61 30 The Queensway, Application No. 999045: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 11, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services respecting the request for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, for 30 The Queensway, and recommending:

- (1) That City Council approve Application No. 999045 respecting minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to maintain five illuminated fascia signs at (St. Joseph's Health Centre) 30 The Queensway.
- (2) That the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999045, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 2)

10.62 483 Bay Street, Application No. 999047: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 17, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services respecting the request for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, for 483 Bay Street, and recommending:

(1) That City Council approve Application No. 999047 respecting minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to erect three illuminated pedestal signs for identification purposes at 483 Bay Street.

(2) That the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999047, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 2)

10.63 306 Yonge Street (595 Bay Street), Application No. 999054: Request For Approval Of A Variance From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 30, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services respecting the request for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, for 306 Yonge Street (595 Bay Street) and recommending:

- (1) That City Council approve application No. 999054 respecting a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit an illuminated bottle shaped three dimensional third party advertising sign, that will travel up and down, to be attached to the Yonge Street face of the Atrium Media Tower at 306 Yonge Street.
- (2) That the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999054, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) City Council approve application No. 999054 respecting a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit an illuminated three dimensional third party advertising sign, that will travel up and down, to be attached to the Yonge Street face of the Atrium Media Tower at 306 Yonge Street; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999054, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 3)

10.64 7 Station Street, Application No. 399026: Request For Amendment Of The Precinct 7 Precinct Agreement And Development Agreement (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 23, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services respecting 7 Station Street recommending that Council authorize the City Solicitor to amend the Precinct 7 Precinct Agreement registered on October 28, 1988 as Instrument No. C515235 and the Development Agreements registered on October 18, 1988 as Instrument Nos. C512661 and CT984969 for a trial period of 2 years, as they apply to the pedestrian walkway through the site, to permit temporary reductions to the SkyWalk width, subject to the following conditions:

- (a) a minimum 6 metre clear width be maintained at all times;
- (b) all displays, furnishing, exhibits or any other obstructions be temporary in nature and not permanently affixed to the structure;
- (c) any area used by people viewing the displays, exhibits, etc., or engaged in any activity associated with the temporary reduction, shall not be included as part of the 6 metre clear width requirement;
- (d) the temporary reduction shall be permitted only within the area between a point 6 metres west of the stairs identified as "Stair #8" to a point 6 metres east of the doors identified as "West Doors to Platform" and in any case, shall not obstruct "Stair #2" and "Stair #3" within the building on the north side of the SkyWalk (See Map 1);
- (e) the owner demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Chief Building Official that the revisions will satisfy all relevant "Code" requirements, including the Ontario Building Code and Fire Code, with particular reference to exit capacity and occupant load requirements; and
- (f) the owner and the City Solicitor, in consultation with the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, mutually agree on the definition of a "major event", the precise areas to be allowed for reductions, and that the temporary reductions of the SkyWalk will not be allowed to occur when the SkyWalk would be used to give access to such "major events".

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 4)

10.65 Proposals And Options For Change: Three Sites (80 And 81 Turnberry Avenue And 101 Union Street) Within The Old Stockyards District Part II Plan (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 23, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services respecting the proposals and options for change of three sites within the Old Stockyards District Part II Plan (80 and 81 Turnberry Avenue and 101 Union Street), and recommending:

- (1) That the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services in consultation with Economic Development staff, be requested to undertake a study, as outlined in Section 3 of this report, in order to fulfill the requirements of Official Plan Policy 9.18.
- (2) That this report be circulated to the appropriate departments and agencies for their review and comment.
- (3) That the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services be requested to report back to Toronto Community Council with a Final Recommendations report.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Executive Director and Chief Planner – No Encl. – Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attention: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police – Attention: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge – Encl. – All Interested Persons – No Encl. – c.: Rhonda Pestrella, Urban Planning and Development Services – No Encl. – September 16, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 93(1))

10.66 Tree Removal - 1560 Yonge Street (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 24, 1999) from the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism recommending that Toronto Community Council approve the request for removal of five city-owned trees at 1560 Yonge Street conditional upon the applicant paying for all costs associated with the value of the trees and proposed removals, for a total of \$4146.56.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 5)

10.67 Speed Hump Poll Results - Castlewood Road From Eglinton Avenue West To Crestview Road (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (July 23, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that the draft by-law to alter the section of Castlewood Road from Eglinton Avenue West to Crestview Road by means of the installation of speed humps not be enacted in light of the negative poll results.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it Clause 50 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 6, headed "Castlewood Road from Eglinton Avenue West to Crestview Road - Installation of Speed Humps (North Toronto)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on April 13, 14 and 15, 1999.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that, in view of the negative poll results, Council receive this matter.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 6)

10.68 Castle Knock Road, From Eglinton Avenue West To Roselawn Avenue - Proposed Installation Of Speed Humps (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 31, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending:

(1) That approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Castle Knock Road, from Eglinton Avenue West to Roselawn Avenue, for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to favourable results to the polling of residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

"The construction of speed humps on CASTLE KNOCK ROAD, from Eglinton Avenue West to Roselawn Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5446, dated August 1999";

- (2) That a speed limit of 30 kilometres per hour be introduced on Castle Knock Road, from Eglinton Avenue East to Roselawn Avenue, coinciding with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits; and
- (3) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 7)

10.69 St. Clements Avenue, From Avenue Road To Caldow Road - Installation Of Speed Humps (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 19, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending:

(1) That approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on St. Clements Avenue, from Avenue Road to Caldow Road for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to the favourable results of polling of the affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

"The construction of speed humps on St. Clements Avenue, from Avenue Road to Castlewood Road and St. Clements Avenue, from Castlewood Road to Caldow Road generally as shown on the attached prints of Drawings Nos. 42IF-5414 and 42IF- 5428 dated June 1999 and July 1999, respectively.";

- (2) That the speed limit be reduced from forty kilometres per hour to thirty kilometres per hour on St. Clements Avenue, from Avenue Road to Caldow Road coincident with the implementation of the traffic calming measures and as legislation permits; and
- (3) That the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (September 13, 1999) from Mr. Mark K. Evans.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 8)

10.70 Melrose Avenue, From Jedburgh Road To Greer Road - Installation Of Speed Humps (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 23, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the installation of speed humps on Melrose Avenue from Jedburgh Road to Greer Road and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor, the Toronto Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Letter sent to: Mrs. Barbara Bruford, Melrose Traffic Control Committee, 112 Melrose Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, M4M 1Y7; c.: Director, Transportation Services, District 1, Works and Emergency Services; Randy Hillis, Works and Emergency Services – No Encl. – September 17, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 93(m))

10.71 Glencairn Avenue, From Duplex Avenue To Rosewell Avenue - Installation Of Speed Humps (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 25, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the installation of speed humps on Glencairn Avenue from Duplex Avenue to Rosewell Avenue and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Director, Transportation Services, District 1, Works and Emergency Services; Randy Hillis, Traffic Investigator, Works and Emergency Services – Encl. – September 17, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 93(n))

10.72 Toronto Old Orchard Grove, From Ridley Boulevard To Yonge Boulevard - Installation Of Speed Humps (North)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 26, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the installation of speed humps on Old Orchard Grove from Ridley Boulevard to Yonge Boulevard, and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on October 12, 1999.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Randy Hillis, Traffic Investigator, Works and Emergency Services – No Encl. – September 20, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 93(o))

10.73 Campbell Avenue, From Wallace Avenue To Dupont Street - Proposed Installation Of Speed Humps (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 30, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the proposed installation of speed humps on Campbell Avenue from Wallace Avenue to Dupont Street, and recommending:

(1) That approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Campbell Avenue, between Wallace Avenue and Dupont Street, for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

"The construction of speed humps on CAMPBELL AVENUE, from Wallace Avenue to Dupont Street, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5427, dated July 1999.";

- (2) That the speed limit be reduced from 40 kilometres per hour to 30 kilometres per hour on Campbell Avenue, from Wallace Avenue to Dupont Street, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits; and
- (3) That the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 9)

10.74 Installation Of Speed Humps - Macdonell Avenue, From Wabash Avenue To Seaforth Avenue (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 30, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the installation of speed humps on Macdonell Avenue from Wabash Avenue to Seaforth Avenue, and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

(1) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Macdonell Avenue, from Wabash Avenue to Seaforth Avenue for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to the favourable results of polling of the affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

"The construction of speed humps on MACDONELL AVENUE, from Wabash Avenue to Seaforth Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5356, dated March 1999";

- (2) the speed limit be reduced from forty kilometres per hour to thirty kilometres per hour on Macdonell Avenue, from Wabash Avenue to Seaforth Avenue, coincident with the implementation of the traffic calming measures and as legislation permits; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 10)

10.75 Request For Speed Humps - Gladstone Avenue, Between College Street And Sylvan Avenue, And Lindsey Avenue, Between Dufferin Street And Havelock Street - (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 26, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the request for speed humps on Gladstone Avenue between College Street and Sylvan Avenue, and Lindsey Avenue

between Dufferin Street and Havelock Street, and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

(1) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Gladstone Avenue, from College Street to Sylvan Avenue, for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to the favourable results of polling of the affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

"The construction of speed humps on GLADSTONE AVENUE, from College Street to Sylvan Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 42IF-5440, dated August 1999.";

approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Lindsey Avenue, from Dufferin Street to Havelock Street, for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to the favourable results of polling of the affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

"The construction of speed humps on LINDSEY AVENUE, from Dufferin Street to Havelock Street, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 42IF-5404, dated June 1999.";

- (3) a speed limit of thirty kilometres per hour be introduced on Gladstone Avenue, from College Street to Sylvan Avenue, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits; and
- (4) a speed limit of thirty kilometres per hour be introduced on Lindsey Avenue, from Dufferin Street to Havelock Street, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits;
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 11)

10.76 Adjustment Of "No Stopping" Regulation - Armadale Avenue, Both Sides, North Of Bloor Street West (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 31, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting an adjustment to the "No Stopping" regulation on both sides of Armadale Avenue, north of Bloor Street West and recommending:

- (1) That the "No Parking Anytime" on both sides of Armadale Avenue from Bloor Street West to points 87 metres (east side) and 69 metres (west side) north be rescinded;
- (2) That the "No Stopping 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday" on both sides of Armadale Avenue from Bloor Street West to points 87 metres (east side) and 69 metres (west side) north be rescinded;
- (3) That stopping be prohibited at anytime, on both sides of Armadale Avenue from Bloor Street West to points 15 metres north;
- (4) That stopping be prohibited from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday on both sides of Armadale Avenue from points 15 metres north of Bloor Street West to points 87 metres (east side) and 69 metres (west side) north;
- (5) That parking be prohibited at anytime on both sides of Armadale Avenue from points 15 metres north of Bloor Street West to points 87 metres (east side) and 69 metres (west side) north; and
- (6) That the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 12)

10.77 Designation Of Exclusive Northbound Right-Turn Lane - Spadina Avenue And Queen Street West (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 31, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the designation of an exclusive northbound right-turn lane on Spadina Avenue and Queen Street West and recommending:

(1) That the easterly northbound lane on Spadina Avenue at Queen Street West be designated exclusively for right-turning vehicles, from Queen Street West to a point 30.5 metres south thereof; and

(2) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 13)

10.78 Removal Of A Disabled Persons On-Street Parking Space - Margueretta Street, Fronting Premises No. 238 (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 27, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the removal of a disabled persons on-street parking space on Margueretta Street, fronting premises No. 238, and recommending:

- (1) That the "No Parking Anytime" regulation on the west side of Margueretta Street, from a point 304 metres south of Bloor Street West, to a point 9 metres further south (implemented by By-law No. 1994-0282), be rescinded;
- (2) That the appropriate permit parking schedule be amended by increasing the number of parking spaces by one; and
- (3) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 14)

10.79 Introduction Of Permit Parking On The North Side Of Queen Street East, Between Rainsford Road And Kingston Road (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 26, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the introduction of permit parking on the north side of Queen Street East, between Rainsford Road and Kingston Road and recommending that:

- (1) permit parking be introduced on the north side of Queen Street East, between Rainsford Road and Kingston Road, on an area basis within permit area 9C, to operate during the hours of 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., Monday to Friday and 12:01 a.m. to 8:00 a.m., Saturday and Sunday;
- (2) the newly created Schedule AD, of Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, be introduced to incorporate the north side of Queen Street East, between Rainsford Road and Kingston Road; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 15)

10.80 Introduction Of Permit Parking On The East Side Of Old Weston Road, Between Davenport Road And Westport Avenue. (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 30, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the introduction of permit parking on the east side of Old Weston Road, between Davenport Road and Westport Road and recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the introduction of permit parking on Old Weston Road, between Davenport Road and Westport Avenue, being a former Metro Roads (main arterial roads), where authority currently does not exist for the implementation of permit parking privileges. OR
- (2) (a) permit parking be introduced on the east side of Old Weston Road, between Davenport Road and Westport Avenue, within permit parking area 3D, to operate during the hours of 12:01 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., 7 days a week;
 - (b) Schedule F of Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code be amended to incorporate the east side of Old Weston Road, between Davenport Road and Westport Avenue;

- (c) the existing no parking 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday prohibitions on the east side of Old Weston Road, between Davenport Road and Westport Avenue, be rescinded; and
- (d) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) permit parking be introduced on the east side of Old Weston Road, between Davenport Road and Westport Avenue, within permit parking area 3D, to operate during the hours of 12:01 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., 7 days a week;
- (2) Schedule F of Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code be amended to incorporate the east side of Old Weston Road, between Davenport Road and Westport Avenue;
- (3) the existing no parking 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday prohibitions on the east side of Old Weston Road, between Davenport Road and Westport Avenue, be rescinded; and
- the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 16)

10.81 Reduction Of Permit Parking Hours On Valifor Place, Between The Canadian National Railway And Torbrick Road (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 26, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the reduction of permit parking hours on Valifor Place, between the Canadian National Railway and Torbrick Road, and recommending that:

- (1) the permit parking hours of operation on Valifor Place, between the Canadian National Railway and Torbrick Road, be reduced from 12:01 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., 7 days a week, to 2:00 a.m. to 5:00 a.m., 7 days a week;
- (2) Schedule E of Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, be amended to incorporate Valifor Place, between the Canadian National Railway and Torbrick Road; and

(3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 17)

10.82 Extension Of Permit Parking Hours On The South Side Of Weatherell Street, From Jane Street To A Point 36.6 Metres West Of Jane Street (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 26, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the extension of permit parking hours on the south side of Weatherell Street, from Jane Street to a point 36.6 metres west of Jane Street, and recommending that:

- (1) the permit parking hours of operation on the south side of Weatherell Street, from Jane Street to a point 36.6 metres west of Jane Street, be extended from 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., 7 days a week, to 12:01 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., 7 days a week;
- (2) Schedule P of Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, be amended to incorporate Weatherell Street, from Jane Street to a point 36.6 metres west of Jane Street: and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 18)

10.83 Reduction Of Permit Parking Hours On Brunswick Avenue, Between Bernard Avenue And Wells Street (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 26, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the reduction of permit parking hours on Brunswick Avenue, between Bernard Avenue and Wells Street, and recommending that:

- (1) the permit parking hours of operation on Brunswick Avenue, between Bernard Avenue and Wells Street, be reduced from 10:00 p.m. to 10:00 a.m., 7 days a week, to 10:00 p.m. to 10:00 a.m., Monday to Friday and Sunday, and 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m., on Saturday;
- (2) the newly created Schedule AB, of Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, be introduced to incorporate Brunswick Avenue, between Bernard Avenue and Wells Street; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 19)

10.84 Adjustment Of Parking Regulations - Roxborough Street West, From Avenue Road To A Point 30.5 Metres Further East (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 26, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the adjustment of parking regulations on Roxborough Street West, from Avenue Road to a point 30.5 metres further east, and recommending:

- (1) That stopping be prohibited at anytime on the north side of Roxborough Street West, from Avenue Road to a point 30.5 metre further east; and
- (2) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 20)

10.85 Adjustments To Parking Regulations - Inglewood Drive, From Rose Park Drive To Heath Street East (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 26, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the adjustment of parking

regulations on Inglewood Drive, from Rose Park Drive to Heath Street East, and recommending:

- (1) That parking be permitted for a maximum period of one hour, from 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, on both sides of Inglewood Drive, from Rose Park Drive to Heath Street East; and
- (2) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 21)

10.86 Adjustments To Parking Regulations And Implementation Of A One-Way Northbound Traffic Operation - Madison Avenue, From Macpherson Avenue To Davenport Road (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 26, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the adjustment of parking regulations and implementation of a one-way northbound traffic operation on Madison Avenue, from MacPherson Avenue to Davenport Road, and recommending:

- (1) That the existing "No Standing at Anytime" regulation on the east side of Madison Avenue, from MacPherson Avenue to a point 85.0 metres north, be rescinded;
- (2) That the existing one hour maximum parking regulation, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the east side of Madison Avenue, from a point 45 metres south of Davenport Road to a point 85.0 metres north of MacPherson Avenue be changed to operate from a point 45 metres south of Davenport Road to MacPherson Avenue;
- (3) That a one-way north bound traffic operation be designated on Madison Avenue, from MacPherson Avenue to Davenport Road; and
- (4) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 22)

10.87 Prohibition Of Standing At Any Time - Widmer Street, West Side Between King Street West And Adelaide Street West (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (July 13, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the prohibition of standing at anytime on the west side of Widmer Street, between King Street West and Adelaide Street West, and recommending:

- (1) That the "No Parking at Anytime" regulation on the west side of Widmer Street, from King Street West to a point 68.6 metres further north, be changed to "No Standing at Anytime"; and
- (2) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 23)

10.88 Prohibition Of Stopping At Anytime - Wellington Street West, South Side, Between York Street And University Avenue (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 26, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the prohibition of stopping at anytime on the south side of Wellington Street West, between York Street and University Avenue, and recommending:

- (1) That the stopping prohibition from 7:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m. and from 3:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m., Monday to Friday, on both sides of Wellington Street West, from Yonge Street to Simcoe Street, be rescinded;
- (2) That the parking prohibition at anytime on both sides of Wellington Street West, from Yonge Street to Clarence Square, be rescinded;
- (3) That stopping be prohibited at anytime on the south side of Wellington Street West, from York Street to University Avenue;

- (4) That stopping be prohibited from 7:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m. and from 3:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m., Monday to Friday on the north side of Wellington Street West, from Yonge Street to Simcoe Street;
- (5) That stopping be prohibited from 7:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m. and from 3:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m., Monday to Friday on the south side of Wellington Street West, from Yonge Street to York Street, and from University Avenue to Simcoe Street;
- (6) That parking be prohibited at anytime on the north side of Wellington Street West, from Yonge Street to Clarence Square;
- (7) That parking be prohibited at anytime on the south side of Wellington Street West, from Yonge Street to York Street, and from University Avenue to Clarence Square; and
- (8) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 24)

10.89 Reduction Of The Maximum Speed Limit From 50 Kilometres Per Hour To 40 Kilometres Per Hour - Bingham Avenue Between Kingston Road And Bracken Avenue (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (July 27, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the reduction of the speed limit on Bingham Avenue between Kingston Road and Bracken Avenue, and recommending:

- (1) That the maximum speed limit on Bingham Avenue from Kingston Road to Bracken Avenue be reduced from 50 kilometres per hour to 40 kilometres per hour; and
- (2) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 25)

10.90 Provision Of Parking - Queen Street East, South Side, Between 93 Metres East Of Woodward Avenue And 26.3 Metres Further East (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (July 20, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the provision of parking on the south side of Queen Street East, between 93 metres east of Woodward Avenue and 26.3 metres further east, and recommending:

- (1) That the parking prohibition at anytime on the south side of Queen Street East from a point 34 metres west of Rhodes Avenue to a point 62 metres further west be rescinded;
- (2) That parking be prohibited at anytime on the south side of Queen Street East from a point 72 metres east of Woodward Avenue to a point 21 metres further east and from a point 119.3 metres east of Woodward Avenue to a point 14.7 metres further east;
- (3) That parking be allowed for a maximum period of 2 hours from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Monday to Friday and from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. Saturday from a point 93 metres east of Woodward Avenue to a point 26.3 metres further east; and
- (4) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 26)

10.91 Proposed Lane Designation And Right-Turn-On-Red Prohibition For Southbound Traffic - Bay Street And Lake Shore Boulevard West (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (July 21, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the proposed lane designation and right-turn-on-red prohibition for southbound traffic on Bay Street and Lake Shore Boulevard West, and recommending:

- (1) That the existing regulation designating the westerly, most southbound, lane on Bay Street for right-turning vehicles only (except buses), from Lake Shore Boulevard West to a point 30.5 metres north thereof, be rescinded;
- (2) That the two westerly-most southbound lanes on Bay Street be designated for right-turning vehicles only, from Lake Shore Boulevard West to a point 60 metres north thereof;
- (3) That southbound right-turns-on-red be prohibited at all times at the intersection of Bay Street and Lake Shore Boulevard West; and
- (4) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 27)

10.92 Intersection Of Coatsworth Street And Poulett Street - Installation Of Eastbound And Westbound "Stop" Signs (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 16, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the installation of eastbound and westbound "Stop" signs at the intersection of Coatsworth Street and Poulett Street, and recommending:

- (1) That "stop" signs be installed for eastbound and westbound traffic on Coatsworth Street at Poulett Street; and
- (2) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 28)

10.93 Intersection Of Penny Lane And Orchard Park Boulevard - Installation Of A Northbound "Stop" (a) Sign (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (July 20, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the installation of a northbound AStop@ sign at the intersection of Penny Lane and Orchard Park Boulevard, and recommending:

- (1) That a "Stop" sign be installed for northbound traffic on Penny Lane at Orchard Park Boulevard; and
- (2) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (July 20, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 29)

10.94 Installation Of A "Stop" Sign - Jerome Street At Indian Road (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 26, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the installation of a "Stop" sign on Jerome Street at Indian Road, and recommending:

- (1) That a "Stop" sign be installed for westbound traffic on Jerome Street at Indian Road; and
- (2) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 30)

10.95 Installation Of Signs To Direct Tourists To Downtown Chinatown (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 23, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the installation of signs to direct tourists to Downtown Chinatown, and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended that signs advertising Downtown Chinatown be installed in the Front Street and Spadina Avenue area, at a location to be determined by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chinatown Development Committee.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 31)

10.96 Safety And Operational Road Improvement Avenue Road At Oxton Avenue (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 23, 1999) from the Director of Engineering Services, Districts 1 & 2, respecting the safety and operational road improvement for Avenue Road at Oxton Avenue, and recommending:

- (1) Approval be given to proceed with the construction of this safety and operational road improvement described in this report, at an estimated cost of \$140,000.00 and that the following traffic control measures be approved:
 - (a) a "Stop" sign be installed for northbound traffic on Avenue Road at its intersection with Oxton Avenue;
 - (b) the existing parking prohibition on the north side of Oxton Avenue, between Avenue Road and Oriole Parkway, in effect from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., except Saturdays, Sundays and Public Holidays, be rescinded;
 - (c) the existing parking prohibition on the south side of Oxton Avenue, between Avenue Road and Oriole Parkway, in effect from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., except Saturdays, Sundays and Public Holidays, be rescinded;
 - (d) stopping be prohibited at all times on the north side of Oxton Avenue, between Avenue Road and a point 46 metres further east thereof;
 - (e) stopping be prohibited at all times on the south side of Oxton Avenue, between Avenue Road and a point 36 metres east thereof;
 - (f) parking be prohibited at all times on the west side of Avenue Road, between Chaplin Crescent and Hillholm Road;
 - (g) parking be prohibited at all times on the east side of Avenue Road, between Chaplin Crescent and a point 20 metres south of Hillholm Road;

- (h) the westerly southbound lane on Avenue Road at Oxton Avenue be designated exclusively for through vehicles, from Oxton Avenue to a point 30.5 metres north thereof; and
- (i) the easterly southbound lane on Avenue Road at Oxton Avenue be designated exclusively for left-turning vehicles, from Oxton Avenue to a point 30.5 metres north thereof.
- (2) the appropriate City By-Law(s) be amended accordingly; and
- (3) the introduction of any necessary Bills be authorized.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 32)

10.97 Sale Of Surplus STC Property - 14 Norwood Terrace (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 16, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Corporate Services respecting the sale of the surplus STC property, 14 Norwood Terrace, and recommending that:

- (1) the Acting Commissioner of Corporate Services or the Executive Director of Facilities and Real Estate be authorised to accept the highest offer in the amount of \$135,500.00 as detailed herein;
- (2) Council, pursuant to Clause No.14, Report No. 36 of the former Metropolitan Management Committee adopted on September 28,1994, waive the minimum required deposit of 10 per cent. of the purchase price;
- (3) authority be granted to direct a portion of the sale proceeds on closing to fund the outstanding balance of Costing Unit No. CA700CA2547
- (4) the City Solicitor be authorised and directed to take the appropriate action to complete the transaction on behalf of the City of Toronto and be further authorised to amend the closing date to such earlier or later date as considered reasonable; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorised and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 33)

10.98 Sale Of Surplus STC Property - 84 Beach View Crescent (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 16, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Corporate Services respecting the sale of the surplus STC property, 84 Beach View Crescent, and recommending that:

- (1) the Acting Commissioner of Corporate Services or the Executive Director of Facilities and Real Estate be authorised to accept the offer in the amount of \$150,000.00 as detailed herein;
- (2) Council, pursuant to Clause No.14, Report No. 36 of the former Metropolitan Management Committee adopted on September 28,1994, waive the minimum required deposit of 10 per cent. of the purchase price;
- (3) authority be granted to direct a portion of the sale proceeds on closing to fund the outstanding balance of Costing Unit No. CA700CA2474;
- (4) the City Solicitor be authorised and directed to take the appropriate action to complete the transaction on behalf of the City of Toronto and be further authorised to amend the closing date to such earlier or later date as considered reasonable; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorised and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 34)

10.99 Harmonized Residential Water Service Connection Repair Program

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 22, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting the Harmonized Residential Water Service Connection Repair Program, and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report and requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services

to report on the feasibility of obtaining cost estimates for the private property portion, which would enable that portion of the cost to be charged back to the residents.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Wayne Green, Director, Quality Control and System Planning – Encl. – September 20, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 93(p))

10.100 Fundraising For Playground Redevelopment Project -Eglinton Park - (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 23, 1999) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting fundraising for the playground redevelopment project at Eglinton Park, and recommending that:

- (1) authority be given to the community group known as the "Tommy Flynn Playground Committee", on behalf of the City of Toronto, to seek private donations for improvements to the playground in Eglinton Park; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (August 23, 1999) from the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 35)

10.101 Playground Improvements, Hillcrest Community Recreation Centre - Fundraising (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 23, 1999) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting fundraising for the playground improvements at the Hillcrest Community Recreation Centre, and recommending that:

- (1) authority be given to the community group known as the "Playscape 2000 Citizen's Advisory Committee", on behalf of the City of Toronto, to seek private donations for improvements to the playground at the Hillcrest Community Recreation Centre; and
- (2) the appropriate Civic officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (August 23, 1999) from the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 36)

10.102 Adjustments To The Parking Regulations – Cumberland Street And Avenue Road To Bellair Street (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 13, 1999) from the City Clerk forwarding Clause No. 20, Contained in Report No. 11, of the Toronto Community Council, Headed "Adjustments to the Parking Regulations - Cumberland Street and Avenue Road to Bellair Street (Midtown)", which was referred back to Toronto Community Council by the City of Toronto at its meeting held on July 27, 28, 29 and 30, 1999.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of this matter sine die.

(Letter sent to: Mr. Robert Saunderson, Chairman, Bloor-Yorkville B.I.A., 55 Bloor Street West, Suite 200, Toronto, Ontario, M4W 1A5; c.: Director, Transportation Services, District 1, Works and Emergency Services; Mr. Michael J. Harris, Supervisor Traffic Engineering, Works and Emergency Services – Encl. – September 17, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 93(q))

10.103 Adjustments To The Parking Regulations - Bellair Street Between Cumberland Street And Bloor Street West And Yorkville Avenue North Side Opposite Bellair Street (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 13, 1999) from the City Clerk forwarding Clause No. 34, Contained in Report No. 11, of the Toronto Community Council, Headed "Adjustments to the Parking Regulations - Bellair Street Between Cumberland Street and Bloor Street West and Yorkville Avenue North Side Opposite Bellair Street (Midtown)", which was referred back to Toronto Community Council, by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on July 27, 28, 29 and 30, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (September 13, 1999) from Ms. Lisa McGee, Bloor-Yorkville B.I.A.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of this matter sine die.

(Letter sent to: Mr. Robert Saunderson, Chairman, Bloor-Yorkville B.I.A., 55 Bloor Street West, Suite 220, Toronto, Ontario, M4W 1A5; c.: Ms. Lisa McGee, General Manager, Bloor-Yorkville B.I.A., 55 Bloor Street West, Toronto, Ontario, M4W 1A5; Director, Transportation Services, District 1, Works and Emergency Services; Mr. Michael J. Harris, Supervisor, Traffic Engineering, District 1 (Central), Works and Emergency Services – Encl. – September 17, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 93(r))

10.104 Construction Of An Underground Parking Garage And Enclosed Stairway And Maintenance Of A Ventilation Exhaust Grill - 285 Yonge Street (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 27, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City Council approve the construction of the underground parking garage and enclosed stairway, and the maintenance of a ventilation exhaust grill which will encroach within the public right-of-way on the Dundas Square flank of 285 Yonge Street, subject to the Toronto Parking Authority, 33 Queen Street East, Toronto, Ontario M5C 1R5, and such other licensees as may be required by the City Solicitor, entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to:

- (a) Indemnify the City of Toronto from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages, charges and expenses that may result from such permission granted;
- (b) Maintain the underground parking garage, enclosed stairway and ventilation exhaust grill, in good and proper repair and a condition satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
- (c) Permit additions to the underground parking garage, enclosed stairway and exhaust grill, to allow additional connections to same and to permit and pay for such alterations and modifications to the underground parking garage, enclosed stairway and ventilation exhaust grill, as may be required at any time by the City to serve the public interest;
- (d) Relocate any underground pipes or other connections, that may be required to facilitate the construction of the new underground parking garage, enclosed stairway and ventilation exhaust grill, to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;

- (e) Pay an annual rental fee for the underground parking garage, enclosed stairway and ventilation exhaust grill, as determined by the Commissioner of Corporate Services; and
- (f) Accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services may deem necessary in the interest of the Corporation.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 37)

10.105 Belsize Drive Between Mt. Pleasant Road And Harwood Road - Proposed Installation Of Speed Humps (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council again had before it the report (July 5, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting the proposed installation of speed humps on Belsize Drive between Mount Pleasant Road and Harwood Road, and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Director of Transportation Services, District 1; Michael J. Harris, Works and Emergency Services – Encl. – September 17, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 93(s))

10.106 Lane First North Of College Street Between Dufferin Street And Sheridan Avenue - Proposed One-Way Operation (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council again had before it the report (June 10, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting the proposed one-way operation of the first lane north of College Street between Dufferin Street and Sheridan Avenue, and recommending:

(1) That the lane first north of College Street between Dufferin Street and Sheridan Avenue be designated to operate one-way westbound; and

(2) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on November 9, 1999.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Bob Runnings, Traffic Investigator, Works and Emergency Services – Encl. – September 17, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 93(t))

10.107 Naming Of Private Lane - Pear Tree Mews (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 31, 1999) from the City Surveyor, Technical Services Division, recommending:

- 1. That the private lane at the rowhouse development at 1 Rankin Crescent, illustrated on the enclosed Map A, be named "Pear Tree Mews";
- 2. That NEXT Development Incorporation be required to pay the costs, estimated to be in the amount of \$600.00, for the fabrication and installation of the appropriate signage; and
- 3. That the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 38)

10.108 Naming Of Street After William Hancox (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 31, 1999) from the City Surveyor, Technical Services Division, recommending:

1. that the name "William Hancox Avenue" be approved for Street D on the Draft Plan of Subdivision for the East of Main area, illustrated on Map A attached; and

2. that the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 39)

10.109 Installation/Removal Of On-Street Disabled Persons Parking Spaces (Davenport, Don River, East Toronto, Midtown And North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 31, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting the installation/removal of on-street disabled persons parking spaces and recommending:

- 1. That the installation/removal of disabled on-street parking spaces as noted in Table "A" of this report be approved; and
- 2. That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 40)

10.110 Proposed Installation/Cancellation Of Speed Bumps In Public Lanes (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 31, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the proposed installation/cancellation of speed bumps in public lanes, and recommending:

- 1. The installation of a speed bump in the public lane first west of Uxbridge Avenue, extending north from Pelham Avenue, of the type and design noted and at the location shown on Drawing No. 421F-5409 be approved;
- 2. The installation of speed bumps in the public lane system bounded by Davenport Road, Laughton Avenue, Uxbridge Avenue and Pelham Avenue, of the type and design noted and at the locations shown on Drawing Nos. 421F-5251 and 421F-5252 dated September, 1998, be cancelled; and

3. The appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 41)

10.111 Preliminary Report On 219 Broadview Avenue - Rezoning Application No. 299008 and Site Plan Approval Application No. 399038 To Permit A 3 Storey Building With 23 Live/Work Units (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 17, 1999) from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services respecting the Rezoning and Site Plan Approval applications for 219 Broadview Avenue, and recommending that:

- "(1) I be requested to hold a public meeting in the community to discuss the application and to notify owners and tenants within 300 metres of the site and the Ward Councillors;
- (2) the owner be advised that, prior to final Council approval of this project, the owner may be required to submit a Noise Impact Statement in accordance with City Council's requirements. The owner will be further advised of these requirements, as they relate to this project, by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services."

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Executive Director and Chief Planner – No Encl. – Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attn: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police – Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge – Encl. – All Interested Persons – No Encl. – c.: Denis Graham, Urban Planning and Development Services – No Encl. – September 17, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 93(u))

10.112 Appointments To Board Of Management- Balmy Beach Park

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 10, 1999) from Mayor Lastman respecting appointments to the Balmy Beach Park Board of Management.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 42)

10.113 Ontario Municipal Board Hearing - 207-217 Roslin Avenue (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 27, 1999) from Councillor Michael Walker recommending that the City Solicitor and appropriate staff be directed to attend at the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB) in opposition to the proposed development at 207-217 Roslin Avenue and oppose the severance, minor variance, Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning applications, as well as any other consolidated appeals for the subject property; and that the City Solicitor be authorized to retain outside consultants should the need arise.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following reports:

- (July 12, 1999) from the City Clerk, forwarding Clause 7 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 9, titled, "Ontario Municipal Appeal 207-217 Roslin Avenue (North Toronto)", which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on July 6, 7 and 8, 1999; and
- (September 13, 1999) from City Surveyor, Technical Services Division

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the City Solicitor and appropriate staff be directed to attend at the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB) in opposition to the proposed development at 207-217 Roslin Avenue and oppose the severance, minor variance, Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning applications, as well as any other consolidated appeals for the subject property; and that the City Solicitor be authorized to retain outside consultants should the need arise, funds having been previously allocated from the Legal Services Operating Budget for this purpose.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 43)

10.114 Parking On Shaftesbury Avenue (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 24, 1999) from Councillor Bossons respecting parking on Shaftesbury Avenue.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (September 9, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting the transference of parking from the north side to the south side of Shaftesbury Avenue, from Ottawa Street to a point 77.4 metres east of Yonge Street, and recommending that:

- (1) the "No Parking Anytime" prohibition on the south side of Shaftesbury Avenue, from Ottawa Street to Yonge Street, be adjusted to operate on the north side of the street;
- (2) the 2:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m. permit parking hours of operation on the north side of Shaftesbury Avenue, from Ottawa Street to a point 77.4 metres east of Yonge Street be adjusted to operate on the south side of the street;
- (3) the "disabled persons parking space" located on the north side of Shaftesbury Avenue, from a point 15 metres west of Ottawa Street to a point 5.5 metres further west, be relocated to the south side of the street; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required; and

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing matter until its meeting to be held on October 12, 1999, for deputations.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; Ms. Janice Merson, Summerhill Residents Association, c/o 72 Summerhill Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, M4T 1A8; Ms. Barbara Volk, Summerhill Residents Association, c/o 72 Summerhill Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, M4T 1A8; c.: Director, Transportation Services, District 1; Bob Bonner, Traffic Investigator – Encl. – September 22, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 93(v)

10.115Request For Endorsement Of Events For Liquor Licensing Purposes

The Toronto Community Council had before it the following communications respecting requests for the endorsement of events for liquor licensing purposes:

- (August 3, 1999) from Administrator, Danforth By The Valley B.I.A., respecting the Danforth Celebrity Street Festival to be held on Saturday, September 25, 1999, on Danforth Avenue, between Broadview and Chester Avenues, from 11:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m.;
- (July 26, 1999) from Honorary Executive Director, Friends of H.M.C.S. Haida, respecting the Fund Raising Event to be held on Thursday, September 23, 1999, in a marquee tent alongside H.M.C.S. Haida, from 6:30 p.m. to 11:30 p.m.;
- (July 26, 1999) from the Chair, Sussex-Ulster Residents' Association, respecting the Fall Fair to be held on Sunday, September 26, 1999, in Margaret Fairley Park, at the corner of Brunswick Avenue and Ulster Street, from 12:00 noon to 7:00 p.m.;
- (August 23, 1999) from Ms. Constance MacDonald, Director, Museum Sales Department, Royal Ontario Museum, respecting The Toronto International Film Festival Event, hosted by the Toronto Film Festival Group, Saturday, September 18, 1999, the ROM Main building and the Bloor Street Terrace Garden Area;
- (August 18, 1999) from Ms. Renée Rawlins, Project Manager, David B. Archer Cooperative Inc., respecting The 20th Anniversary Party, at the David B. Archer Cooperative Inc., Saturday, September 18, 1999;
- (August 19, 1999) from Event Co-ordinator, Bring Back the Don Day, respecting the Gala for Bring Back the Don Day September 18, 1999 at Don Valley Brick Works, from 6:00 p.m. 1:00 p.m.;
- (September 3, 1999) from Supervisor, St. Lawrence Market Complex and President, St. Lawrence Market BIA respecting the Global Roots Festival to be held on September 23, 24 and 25, 1999
- (August 30, 1999) from Manager of Hospitality, MuchMusic, respecting the MuchMusic Video Awards event at 299 Queen Street West on September 23, 1999

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council, for liquor licensing purposes, endorse the action of the Toronto Community Council, since the events take place prior to Council's meeting:

- (1) in declaring the following to be events of municipal and/or community significance and in advising the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it has no objection to their taking place:
 - Danforth Celebrity Street Festival, to be held on Saturday, September 25, 1999, on Danforth Avenue, between Broadview and Chester Avenues, from 11:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m.;

- Global Roots Festival to be held on September 23, 24 and 25, 1999;
- Annual Fall Fair, to be held on Sunday, September 26, 1999, in Margaret Fairley Park, at the corner of Brunswick Avenue and Ulster Street, from 12:00 noon to 7:00 p.m.;
- in advising the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it is aware of the following events and has no objection to their taking place:
 - Fund Raising Event, to be held on Thursday, September 23, 1999, in a marquee tent alongside H.M.C.S. Haida, from 6:30 p.m. to 11:30 p.m.;
 - Event in conjunction with the Toronto International Film Festival Event, hosted by the Toronto Film Festival Group, Saturday, September 18, 1999, the ROM Main building and the Bloor Street Terrace Garden Area;
 - Anniversary Party, at the David B. Archer Co-operative Inc., Saturday, September 18, 1999;
 - Gala for Bring Back the Don Day September 18, 1999 at Don Valley Brick Works, from 6:00 p.m. 1:00 p.m.; snd
 - MuchMusic Video Awards event at 299 Queen Street West on September 23, 1999

(Letter sent to: Alcohol & Gaming Commission of Ontario, Special Occasion Permits, 55 Lakeshore Boulevard East, Toronto, Ontario, M5E 1A4; c.: Interested Persons – Encl. – September 16, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 44)

10.116 Installation Of Cement Barrier Along CN Rail Tracks Between Coxwell Avenue And Gainsborough Road (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (July 28, 1999) from Councillor Jakobek respecting the installation of a cement barrier along the CN rail tracks between Coxwell Avenue and Gainsborough Road (July 28, 1999)

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the City commit to financing one-third of the cost of installing fencing along the south side of the CN rail tracks between Coxwell Avenue and Gainsborough Road, and also requested

the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer to report directly to Council on the cost of the fencing.

(Letter sent to: Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer – Encl. – September 20, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 45)

10.117 Prohibition Of Standing - Front Street East Between Cherry Street And Trinity Street (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 1, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending:

- (1) That standing be prohibited from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. daily on the south side of Front Street East from a point 60 metres east of Trinity Street to a point 26 metres further east; and
- (2) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 46)

10.118Ontario Municipal Board Appeal - Committee Of Adjustment Refusal - 184 Sherbourne Street (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 2, 1999) from the City Solicitor respecting the Ontario Municipal Board appeal from the Committee of Adjustment refusal for the development at 184 Sherbourne Street and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c.: City Solicitor, Legal Services; Mark Kemerer, Solicitor, Planning and Administrative Tribunal Law – Encl. – September 17, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 93(w))

10.119 Ontario Municipal Board Appeal - Committee Of Adjustment Approval - 15 Edith Avenue (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 2, 1999) from the City Solicitor respecting the Ontario Municipal Board appeal from the Committee of Adjustment approval for the development at 15 Edith Avenue and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c.: City Solicitor, Legal Services; Marc Kemerer, Solicitor, Planning and Administrative Tribunal Law – Encl. – September 17, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 93(x))

10.120 Ontario Municipal Board Appeal - Committee O Adjustment Refusal - 373 Clinton Street (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 2, 1999) from the City Solicitor respecting the Ontario Municipal Board appeal from the Committee of Adjustment refusal for the development at 373 Clinton Street and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c.: City Solicitor, Legal Services; Marc Kemerer, Planning and Tribunal Law – Encl – September 17, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 93(y))

10.121 Construction Of A Layby - Harbour Street, North Side, From A Point 50 M West Of Bay Street To A Point 36 M Further West, In Front Of Premises No. 60 Harbour Street (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 3, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the construction of a layby in front of premises 60 Harbour Street, and recommending:

(1) That subject to the applicant paying all costs associated with the proposal, approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on the north side of Harbour Street, from a point approximately 50 m west of Bay Street to a point approximately 36 m further west, for the construction of a layby as described below:

"The construction of a layby on the north side of Harbour Street from a point approximately 50 m west of Bay Street to a point approximately 36 m further west, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No.421F-5447, dated August, 1999.";

- (2) That subject to City Council approval of the proposed layby, the existing stopping at anytime prohibition on the north side of Harbour Street be amended to permit standing in the layby on the north side of Harbour Street, from a point 50 m west of Bay Street to a point 36 m further west;
- (3) That as a condition of approval, the applicant be required to convey to the City a 2 m by 36 m right-of-way to be added to the remaining sidewalk on the north side of Harbour Street to compensate for the sidewalk space to be lost by the construction of the layby, to ensure that the sidewalk runs continuous around the layby and further, that the sidewalk have a minimum width of 2 m; and
- (4) That the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 47)

10.122 Establishment Of "Student Pick-Up And Drop-Off Area" - Brock Avenue, West Side, North Of College Street (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 10, 1999) from Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the establishment of a "Student Pick-Up and Drop-off Area" at the west side of Brock Avenue, north of College Street, and recommending:

(1) That the existing parking prohibition from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday on the west side of Brock Avenue, from a point 138.7 metres north of College Street to a point 99.2 metres further north thereof, be adjusted to operate on the west side of Brock Avenue, from a point 171.2 metres north of College Street to a point 66.7 metres further north;

- (2) That parking be permitted for a maximum of 10 minutes, from 8:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m., 11:30 a.m. to 1:30 p.m. and 3:30 p.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the west side of Brock Avenue from a point 138.7 metres north of College Street to a point 32.5 metres further north;
- (3) That parking be prohibited from 8:00 a.m. to 8:30 a.m., 9:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m. and 1:30 p.m. to 3:30 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the west side of Brock Avenue, from a point 138.7 metres north of College Street to a point 32.5 metres further north; and
- (4) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 48)

10.123Installation Of Flagpole Fronting 438 University Avenue (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 13, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the installation of a flagpole fronting 438 University Avenue, and recommending that City Council approve the installation of a flagpole on the sidewalk, within the public right of way fronting 438 University Avenue, subject to the applicant entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto as prescribed under Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 49)

10.124Ontario Municipal Board Appeal - Committee of Adjustment Decision - 7 Gange Avenue (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 14, 1999) from Councillor Ila Bossons respecting the Ontario Municipal Board appeal of the Committee of Adjustment decision for the development at 7 Gange Avenue.

Councillor Adams declared an interest in this matter in that he owns property within the Committee of Adjustment notice area of the subject site.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Committee Council recommended that:

- (1) the City Solicitor and appropriate staff be directed to attend at the Ontario Municipal Board in support of the Committee of Adjustment Decision to refuse the application for the development at 7 Gange Avenue; and
- (2) the City Solicitor be authorized to retain outside planning consultants, as needed.

On further motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council also requested the Policy and Finance Committee to report directly to Council on identification of source of funds to implement the above recommendations.

(Letter sent to: Policy and Finance Committee – Encl. – September 15, 1999)

(Report No. 12, Clause No. 1)

The Committee adjourned its meeting at 8:15 p.m.

Chair.

Attendance:

September 14, 1999	9:30 am– 12:40 pm	Quorum Call 2:00 pm		4:00 pm -4:20 pm	5:00 pm – 8:15 pm
McConnell (Chair)	X	x	х	X	X
Adams	х	х	х	X	X
Bossons	X	х	X	X	X
Bussin	X	X	X	X	X
Chow	X		x		Х
Disero	X				
Fotinos	X	x	X		X
Jakobek	X	х	X	X	
Johnston	x	X	X		X
Korwin-Kuczynski	X		X	X	X
Layton					
Miller	X		X	X	X
Pantalone		X	X	X	X
Rae	X	X	X	X	X
Silva		х	X		X
Walker Mayor Lastman	x	X	X	X	X

^{*} Members were present for some or all of the time indicated.