

THE CITY OF TORONTO

City Clerk's Division

Minutes of the Works Committee

Meeting No. 6

Wednesday, October 6, 1999

The Works Committee met on Wednesday, October 6, 1999, in Committee Room 1, 2nd Floor, City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:40 a.m.

Members Present:

	<u>9:40 a.m. - 12:35 p.m.</u>	<u>2:15 p.m. - 7.50 p.m.</u>
Councillor Bill Saundercook, Chair	x	x
Councillor Ila Bossons	x	x
Councillor Rob Davis	x	-
Councillor Betty Disero	x	x
Councillor Dennis Fotinos	x	x
Councillor Jack Layton	x	x
Councillor Judy Sgro	-	-
Councillor David Shiner	x	x
Councillor Bruce Sinclair	x	x

Also Present:

Councillor John Adams
Councillor Sandra Bussin
Councillor Irene Jones
Councillor Norm Kelly
Councillor George Mammoliti
Councillor Joe Pantalone
Councillor Michael Prue

Members were present for some or all of the time period indicated.

Confirmation of Minutes.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Minutes of the meetings of the Works Committee held on September 8, 1999, and September 24, 1999, were confirmed.

**6.1 Installation of Traffic Control Signals at O'Connor Drive at Northridge Avenue; O'Connor Drive at Glenwood Crescent; and O'Connor Drive at Four Oaks Gate.
(Ward 1, East York)**

The Works Committee again had before it a communication (May 7, 1999) from the City Clerk advising that the East York Community Council on April 29, 1999, recommended:

- (1) adoption of the report dated April 20, 1999, from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, subject to amending Recommendation No. (1) to provide that turn restrictions be instituted at St. Clair Avenue and St. Columba Place, and St. Clair Avenue and Rexleigh Drive, at the same time as the installation of traffic control signals at the O'Connor Drive/Glenwood Crescent intersection, to ensure that there is no infill of traffic in the morning;
- (2) that for the 90 days following the installation of the traffic control signals at the O'Connor Drive/Glenwood Crescent intersection, the Toronto Police Service be requested to give top priority to the enforcement of the turn restrictions in both directions in the morning and afternoon;
- (3) that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to conduct further studies on additional means of improving Glenwood Crescent, in particular, the feasibility of turning Glenwood Crescent south of O'Connor Drive into a one-way street;
- (4) that northbound left-turn restrictions be implemented at Rexleigh Drive and Glenwood Crescent during the morning peak period;
- (5) that, should City Council not implement a left-turn restriction on St. Clair Avenue at St. Columba Place, there be a right-hand turn restriction implemented on St. Columba Place and Glenwood Crescent;
- (6) that right-hand turns on a red light be prohibited at Glenwood Crescent and O'Connor Drive;
- (7) that consideration be given to implementing one-way eastbound operation on Glenwood Crescent, east of St. Columba Place; and
- (8) that the Community Safety Zone currently in effect on O'Connor Drive between Pape Avenue and Woodbine Avenue be extended to St. Clair Avenue.

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, October 6, 1999

The Committee also had before it a report (August 16, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) the Works Committee endorse Recommendations Nos. (2), (3), (6), and (7) of the East York Community Council as recommended at its meeting held April 29, 1999, under Minute No. 5.13;
- (2) Recommendation No. (1) of the East York Community Council be revised to state:

“adoption of the report (April 20, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, subject to amending Recommendation No. (1) to prohibit southbound right turns between 7:00 a.m. and 9:00 a.m., Monday to Friday, at the north and south leg of the intersection of St. Columba Place and Glenwood Crescent; and to prohibit southbound right turns between 7:00 a.m. and 9:00 a.m., Monday to Friday, at the intersection of Rexleigh Drive and Glenwood Crescent; and to prohibit northbound left turns between 7:00 a.m. and 9:00 a.m., Monday to Friday, at the intersection of Rexleigh Drive and Glenwood Crescent concurrent with the installation of traffic control signals at O’Connor Drive and Glenwood Crescent;” and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Committee also had before it the following communications:

- (i) (September 7, 1999) from Peter and Jaclyn Krakus, residents, urging the installation of traffic lights at the intersection of Glenwood Crescent and O’Connor Drive;
- (ii) (September 21, 1999) from Ms. Joyce Nilsson, resident, advising of her support for the installation of traffic lights at the intersection of Glenwood Crescent and O’Connor Drive;
- (iii) (September 29, 1999) from Mrs. Grace Smith, resident, in support of the installation of traffic control signals at O’Connor Drive at Glenwood Crescent, and commenting on the number of accidents in the vicinity of the intersection; and
- (iv) (October 5, 1999) from Mr. Brian P. Bates, resident, in opposition to the installation of traffic lights at Glenwood Crescent and O’Connor Drive, and requesting the Committee to force the East York Community Council to look at viable alternatives.

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, October 6, 1999

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Cheri Aitken, resident;
- Mr. Paul Newton, resident;
- Mr. Brian P. Bates, resident, and submitted a communication with respect thereto;
- Mr. Jack Cribben, resident;
- Mr. Ken Bott, resident; and
- Councillor Michael Prue, East York.

On motion by Councillor Fotinos, the Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report dated August 16, 1999, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to review the traffic crossing conditions at the intersection of O'Connor Drive and Four Oaks Gate with a view to improving pedestrian safety, and report back thereon to the Committee.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: General Manager, Transportation Services - October 6, 1999)

(Clause No. 8, Report No. 4)

**6.2 Approval Process for the
Siting of Waterfront Windmills.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 23, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) Council support, in principle, the potential use of City owned lands or lands leased by the City as sites for waterfront windmills (wind turbines) so that City owned or leased sites may be considered in the comparison of potential sites for a windmill under the Provincial Environmental Assessment process;

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, October 6, 1999

- (2) the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority be requested to support, in principle, the use of its lands that are leased to the City as potential sites for waterfront windmills so that these sites may be considered in the comparison of potential sites for a windmill under the Provincial Environmental Assessment process;
- (3) the Toronto Renewable Energy Co-operative (TREC) be requested to provide a proposed environmental assessment Terms of Reference including public consultation procedures, in accordance with the requirements of the Provincial Environmental Assessment Act, for approval by City Council prior to proceeding within the environmental assessment study;
- (4) City staff be requested to report further in the event that City owned or leased lands are identified as preferred site locations through the environmental assessment process, and to clarify what, if any, additional approvals, leasing agreements, or zoning amendments would be required, and how best obtained, at that time;
- (5) Toronto Hydro and TREC be requested to take into account, as part of the environmental assessment, the comments and recommendations of City Council with respect to this matter;
- (6) this report be referred to the Economic Development and Parks Committee and each of the Community Councils for information; and
- (7) TREC and Toronto Hydro be advised that the City reserves the right to accept or reject the use of any City owned or leased lands for a windmill, irrespective of any environmental assessment process.

The Committee also had before it the following communications and submissions:

- (i) (September 7, 1999) addressed to Mr. Don Barnett, Toronto Ornithological Club, from the Ministry of the Environment in response to his communication with respect to the announcement by the Toronto Renewable Energy Co-operative and Toronto Hydro of their intention to build up to three 660 KW wind turbines on the Toronto lakefront; and expressing reservations with respect to the site selections in the proposal;
- (ii) (September 23, 1999) from Mr. Bryan Young, General Manager, Toronto Renewable Energy Co-operative, and Ms. Joyce McLean, Manager, Green Energy Services, Toronto Hydro, requesting that the report regarding the windmill project be listed as a deputation item;
- (iii) (October 2, 1999) from Ms. Kate Chung, Toronto, Ontario, in support of the wind turbine

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, October 6, 1999

proposal of the Toronto Renewable Energy Co-operative;

- (iv) (October 4, 1999) from Mr. W. H. Bayley, Scarborough, Ontario, requesting the City to support the Toronto Renewable Energy Co-operative proposal for approval, in principle, of the siting of wind turbines on City lands;
- (v) (October 4, 1999) from Mr. William J. Mathews, Toronto, Ontario, requesting approval of the process for Toronto Renewable Energy Co-operative to install a wind turbine on municipal land, as soon as possible;
- (vi) (October 4, 1999) from Mr. Barrie Gray, Toronto, Ontario, urging the support of the wind turbine project;
- (vii) (October 4, 1999) from Mr. Wilf Moll, Renewable Energy Solutions, commenting on the TREC wind turbine proposal, the suitability of the Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant site, and the impact on birds;
- (viii) (October 4, 1999) from Ms. Liz White, Director, Animal Alliance of Canada, advising that the Animal Alliance of Canada supports the recommendations in the staff report, and the Toronto Renewable Energy Co-operative and Toronto Hydro in their decision to satisfy the requirements of both the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act and the Provincial Environmental Assessment Act;
- (ix) (October 5, 1999) from Mr. H. Bruce Crofts, Toronto, Ontario, advising that he and his wife strongly endorse the wind turbine project for the Toronto waterfront;
- (x) (October 5, 1999) from Mr. Michael Harrison, President, Citizens Concerned about the Future of the Etobicoke Waterfront, expressing concern with respect to the approval process for the siting of waterfront windmills, including the use of public lands for private businesses to generate profit, the use of the Colonel Samuel Smith Park and other waterfront parks, and the impact of the proposal on avian wildlife;
- (xi) (October 5, 1999) from Ms. Ruth Richardson, Manager, Environmental and Corporate Affairs, Lever Pond's, advising that Lever Pond's supports the generation of renewable energy such as wind energy within the City of Toronto as a means to help combat issues of urban smog and global climate change and the issuing of a site approval for the proposed Toronto Renewable Energy Co-operative wind turbine on City of Toronto property;
- (xii) (October 5, 1999) from Ms. Gillian Hegge, Danforth Massage Therapy Clinic, requesting that the Committee approve in principle the idea of siting wind turbines on public land,

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, October 6, 1999

subject to approval from the provincial and federal governments;

- (xiii) (October 5, 1999) from the Toronto Environmental Alliance, submitting briefing notes in support of the approval process for the siting of a waterfront windmill;
- (xiv) (October 5, 1999) from Dr. Sheela V. Basrur, Medical Officer of Health, Toronto Public Health, expressing support, in principle, of the approval process for the siting of waterfront windmills provided that the appropriate studies are undertaken through the environmental assessment process regarding the siting of these facilities, and provided that these studies take into account community, public health and environmental concerns;
- (xv) (October 5, 1999) from Mr. Mark Pomerantz, Pharmacist, encouraging support for the proposal that Council approve, in principle, the siting of two wind turbines on City land;
- (xvi) (October 6, 1999) from Ms. Karey Shinn, Chair, Safe Sewage Committee, raising questions and concerns with respect to the approval process for the siting of waterfront windmills;
- (xvii) (October 6, 1999) from Mr. Richard Brault and Ms. Dianne Croteau, Studio Innova Inc., expressing full support for the construction of two wind turbines on Toronto's waterfront;
- (xviii) (October 6, 1999) from Ms. Karen Buck, Toronto, Ontario, expressing concern with respect to the approval process for the siting of waterfront windmills;
- (xix) (undated) from Mr. Robert Mew, President, Hurricane Canvas Inc., in support of the Toronto Renewable Energy Co-operative initiative, and the siting of the turbine on public land;
- (xx) (undated) from Mr. Brian Milani, Eco-Materials Group, in support of the TREC/Toronto Hydro proposal to erect wind turbines on the Toronto waterfront;
- (xxi) (October 6, 1999) from Mr. Bryan Young, General Manager, Toronto Renewable Energy Co-operative, and Ms. Joyce McLean, Manager, Green Energy Services, Toronto Hydro, submitting copies of their deputations and additional material with respect to the Waterfront Wind Turbine Project; and
- (xxii) (October 6, 1999) from Ms. Jennifer Morrow, Toronto Atmospheric Fund, submitting a

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, October 6, 1999

copy of her presentation.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Bryan Young, General Manager, Toronto Renewable Energy Co-operative, and submitted material with respect thereto;
- Ms. Joyce McLean, Manager, Green Energy Services, Toronto Hydro, and submitted material with respect thereto;
- Ms. Shirley Farlinger, United Nations Association of Canada;
- Mr. Oliver Carroll, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Tooker Gomberg, Energy & Climate Campaigner, Greenpeace;
- Ms. Jennifer Morrow, Toronto Atmospheric Fund, and submitted a copy of her presentation;
- Mr. Dan McDermott, Director, The OntAIRio Campaign;
- Mr. Jose Etcheverry, Sustainable Energy Group, University of Toronto;
- Mr. Peter Schrama, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Glenn McTaggart, Etobicoke, Ontario;
- Ms. Lois Corbett, Toronto Environmental Alliance;
- Mr. Ken Ogilvie, Executive Director, Pollution Probe;
- Mr. Boris Mather, Past President/Director, Citizens for Lakeshore Greenway;
- Ms. Karey Shinn, Chair, Safe Sewage Committee, and submitted a communication with respect thereto;
- Mr. John Carley, Co-Chair, Friends of the Spit;
- Mr. Douglas Buck, Toronto, Ontario;
- Ms. Karen Buck, Toronto, Ontario, and submitted a communication with respect thereto;
- Ms. Sara Bjorkquist, Vice-Chair, Ontario Clean Air Alliance;
- Ms. Liz White, Director, Animal Alliance of Canada, and submitted a communication with respect thereto;
- Mr. Cameron Miller, Toronto, Ontario;
- Councillor Sandra Bussin, East Toronto; and
- Councillor Irene Jones, Lakeshore Queensway.

A. Councillor Fotinos moved that:

- (1) Toronto Hydro, in consultation with the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, be requested to report back to the Committee within three months, on potential sites throughout the City of Toronto where windmills can be located, with specific attention to the former stockyards

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, October 6, 1999

and rail corridors, brown field sites and other potentially suitable sites;

- (2) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the Works Committee on a public consultation process that could be undertaken in the evaluation of such potential sites; and
- (3) Toronto Hydro and TREC be requested to submit Terms of Reference for the formation of a public evaluation committee that would provide ongoing input and commentary on this demonstration project.

(Carried, as amended)

B. Councillor Layton moved that:

- (1) this report be referred to the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority for ongoing comment;
- (2) the question of not siting the windmills on lands zoned G, GR or GM, be referred to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services for a report back to the Committee when dealing with the specific siting; and
- (3) Recommendation No. (3) be amended by adding the words “and Toronto Hydro” after the words “Toronto Renewable Energy Co-operative (TREC)”.

(Carried)

C. Councillor Bossons moved that:

- (1) Recommendation No. (1) be amended by inserting after the word “lands” the words “but not in or within 1000 m of park lands and other green open spaces zone G, GR, GM, and wildlife corridors”; **(Lost)**
- (2) the City Solicitor be requested to submit a report to the Committee on the bonusing aspects of allowing the production of a saleable commodity on City-owned property; **(Carried)**
- (3) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, October 6, 1999

provide reports from ornithological experts on the impacts of wind turbines and their ancillary wiring on bird life and bird migration in other locations, and predict the impact of turbines at Toronto sites; **(Lost)**

- (4) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report to the Committee on noise levels produced by commonly used wind turbines; and **(Carried)**
- (5) the general public be invited to comment on the siting of the demonstration turbines. **(Lost)**

D. Councillor Disero moved that:

- (1) the foregoing motion A. by Councillor Fotinos be amended by adding after the words "brown field sites" in part (1) the words "Hydro corridors"; and
- (2) notwithstanding Recommendation No. (6), TREC, in consultation with Works and Emergency Services Department staff, be requested to examine the following locations and report back to the Committee on these sites as part of the site selection process:
 - 43 Junction Road;
 - south embankment of Earls court Park;
 - 115 Wiltshire Boulevard and northern property;
 - 640 Lansdowne Avenue;
 - Wychwood yards on Christie Street; and
 - Union Street north of Turnberry.

(Carried)

E. Councillor Sinclair, on behalf of Councillor Jones, moved:

- (1) the adoption of the aforementioned report, subject to:
 - (i) amending Recommendation No. (1) by deleting the word "waterfront" and adding thereto the words "for a maximum of three windmills"; and
 - (ii) striking out Recommendation No. (2);

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, October 6, 1999

- (2) that neighbourhood consultation committees be established for communities that are affected to assist in the site selection process, the terms of reference for such environmental assessment processes as may be required, and such environmental assessment processes themselves; and
- (3) that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report directly to Council for its meeting on October 26, 27 and 28, 1999, on who the proponent for the windmill project will be, i.e., Toronto Hydro, TREC or the City of Toronto Works and Emergency Services Department, and to provide further information on TREC, including the membership of the Board of Directors, whether it is incorporated, its relationship with Toronto Hydro, and whether there is a legal agreement between them.

(Carried)

The following is the action therefore taken by the Committee:

The Committee:

- (A) recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report dated September 23, 1999, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, subject to:
 - (i) amending Recommendation No. (1) by deleting the word “waterfront” and adding thereto the words “for a maximum of three windmills”;
 - (ii) striking out Recommendation No. (2); and
 - (iii) adding to Recommendation No. (3) the words “and Toronto Hydro” after “Toronto Renewable Energy Co-operative (TREC)”;

so that such Recommendations read as follows:

- “(1) That Council support, in principle, the potential use of City owned lands or lands leased by the City as sites for windmills (wind turbines) so that City owned or leased sites may be considered in

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, October 6, 1999

the comparison of potential sites for a windmill under the Provincial Environmental Assessment process, for a maximum of three windmills;

- (2) that the Toronto Renewable Energy Co-operative (TREC) and Toronto Hydro be requested to provide a proposed environmental assessment Terms of Reference including public consultation procedures, in accordance with the requirements of the Provincial Environmental Assessment Act, for approval by City Council prior to proceeding within the environmental assessment study;
 - (3) that City staff be requested to report further in the event that City owned or leased lands are identified as preferred site locations through the environmental assessment process, and to clarify what, if any, additional approvals, leasing agreements, or zoning amendments would be required, and how best obtained, at that time;
 - (4) that Toronto Hydro and TREC be requested to take into account, as part of the environmental assessment, the comments and recommendations of City Council with respect to this matter;
 - (5) that this report be referred to the Economic Development and Parks Committee and each of the Community Councils for information; and
 - (6) that TREC and Toronto Hydro be advised that the City reserves the right to accept or reject the use of any City owned or leased lands for a windmill, irrespective of any environmental assessment process”;
- (B) further recommended to Council that:
- (1) neighbourhood consultation committees be established for communities that are affected to assist in the site selection process, the terms of reference for such environmental assessment processes as may be required, and such environmental assessment processes themselves;

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, October 6, 1999

- (2) Toronto Hydro and TREC be requested to submit Terms of Reference for the formation of a public evaluation committee that would provide ongoing input and commentary on this demonstration project;
- (3) this report be referred to the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority for ongoing comment;
- (4) Toronto Hydro, in consultation with the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, be requested to report back to the Committee within three months, on potential sites throughout the City of Toronto where windmills can be located, with specific attention to the former stockyards and rail corridors, brown field sites, Hydro corridors and other potentially suitable sites;
- (5) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the Works Committee on a public consultation process that could be undertaken in the evaluation of such potential sites;
- (6) the question of not siting the windmills on lands zoned G, GR or GM, be referred to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services for a report back to the Committee when dealing with the specific siting;
- (7) notwithstanding Recommendation No. (6), TREC, in consultation with Works and Emergency Services Department staff, be requested to examine the following locations and report back to the Committee on these sites as part of the site selection process:
 - 43 Junction Road;
 - south embankment of Earls court Park;
 - 115 Wiltshire Boulevard and northern property;
 - 640 Lansdowne Avenue;
 - Wychwood yards on Christie Street; and
 - Union Street north of Turnberry;
- (8) the City Solicitor be requested to submit a report to the Committee on the bonusing aspects of allowing the production of

a saleable commodity on City-owned property; and

- (9) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report to the Committee on noise levels produced by commonly used wind turbines; and

- (C) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to submit a report directly to Council for its meeting on October 26, 27 and 28, 1999, on who the proponent for the windmill project will be, i.e., Toronto Hydro, TREC or the City of Toronto Works and Emergency Services Department, and to provide further information on TREC, including the membership of the Board of Directors, whether it is incorporated, its relationship with Toronto Hydro, and whether there is a legal agreement between them.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services - October 1, 1999)

(Clause No. 1, Report No. 4)

6.3 Water Harmonization and Universal Metering in the Former Cities of Toronto and Etobicoke.

The Works Committee again had before it a joint report (June 30, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that:

- (1) with respect to the implementation of the Universal Metering Program, a voluntary program be implemented over a four-year period to convert the remaining 85,000 flat-rate accounts in the former City of Toronto and 1,500 flat-rate accounts in the former City of Etobicoke, subject to the following conditions:
 - (i) the City install water meters free of charge to homeowners and provide one water efficiency kit per home;

 - (ii) as an incentive to installing a water meter, the flat-rate customers in the former City of Toronto and the former City of Etobicoke be eligible for a \$60.00 subsidy towards the installation of a low-flow toilet;

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, October 6, 1999

- (iii) on January 1, 2004, the rate charged to flat-rate customers in existence at that time be increased by 100 percent, and by a further 100 percent in each successive year; and
 - (iv) effective January 1, 2004, any flat-rate customers that have not voluntarily participated in the meter conversion program be required to pay for the cost of the water meter if the customer then so chooses to convert to a metered service;
- (2) with respect to funding the Voluntary Meter Conversion Program:
- (i) the rate charged to flat-rate customers in the former City of Toronto and the former City of Etobicoke be increased by:
 - 5.0 percent effective January 1, 2000;
 - a further 10.0 percent effective January 1, 2001;
 - a further 15.0 percent effective January 1, 2002;
 - a further 20.0 percent effective January 1, 2003; and
 - a further 100.0 percent effective January 1, 2004, and a further 100.0 percent in each subsequent year;
 - (ii) the rate decrease that would be realized by former City of Toronto's metered customers under the phased-in competitive rate structure be deferred for a period of three years, and effective November 1, 2002, the harmonized competitive rate structure be applied for metered customers in the former City of Toronto; and
 - (iii) the anticipated new revenue from the former City of Toronto, in the amount of \$14.6 million from the three-year deferral of decreases respecting metered customers, and \$11.6 million from the increases in flat-rate charges, be used to fund the Universal Metering Program (\$21.0 million), and the balance be used to fund an incentive program directed at facilitating the conversion of residential flat-rate customers to metered service (\$5.2 million or approximately \$60.00 per residential flat-rate customer), and such an incentive program to include consideration of a subsidy towards low-flow toilets;
- (3) a list of flat-rate customers requesting conversion to metered service be established on a first-come basis and tendered as a priority each year;
- (4) the following be established as mandatory water meter installation criteria for the City to

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, October 6, 1999

install a free of charge meter where no meter exists:

- (i) homeowners who take out a plumbing permit to upgrade their household plumbing;
 - (ii) new home construction;
 - (iii) purchasers of properties which are on a flat-rate billing system agree, as a condition precedent to receiving a clearance letter from the City at the time of property ownership transfer, to having a water meter installed; and
 - (iv) as a condition of the City's Water Service Connection Repair Program, flat-rate customers agree to having a water meter installed;
- (5) Council redirect the provision of \$21.0 million contained in the 1999-2003 Capital Works Plan of the Water and Wastewater Program respecting Universal Metering to providing funding to the City's water efficiency programs, and that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services report to the Works Committee in the fall of 1999 on a Water Efficiency Plan for the new City and the use of these funds;
- (6) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer prepare an annual report to the Works Committee and the Policy and Finance Committee on the annual progress and financial impact of the Voluntary Universal Metering Program, and recommend any modifications to the financing and/or implementation plan described therein;
- (7) the effective date for the first year water and sewer rates (of the four-year phase-in plan) for the former Cities of East York, Etobicoke, North York, Scarborough and York, be amended by changing the words "Effective September 1, 1999" to read "Effective November 1, 1999", to coincide with implementation of the new water billing system;
- (8) a public awareness program be carried out over a four-year implementation period of the Universal Metering Program at an estimated cost of \$100,000.00 to inform homeowners of the benefits of a metered water supply and the metering incentives, described therein;
- (9) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer report to the Works Committee in the fall of 1999 regarding joint meter reading and billing opportunities and financing options for automated meter reading technologies;

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, October 6, 1999

- (10) due dates for water billing be set at the discretion of the Treasurer, at least 21 days after the billing dates; and
- (11) the appropriate City officials be granted the authority necessary to give effect thereto.

The Committee also had before it the following communications:

- (i) (May 4, 1999) from the City Clerk advising that City Council, at its Special Meeting on April 26, 27 and 28, 1999, in adopting, as amended, Clause No. 1 of Report No. 8 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed "1999 Operating Budget", referred a motion by Councillor Prue with respect to a harmonized water rate structure to the Works Committee for further consideration and report thereon to Council;
- (ii) (October 6, 1999) from Councillor Mario Silva, Trinity Niagara, submitting motions with respect to the joint report dated June 30, 1999, entitled "Water Harmonization and Universal Metering in the Former Cities of Toronto and Etobicoke"; and
- (iii) (October 5, 1999) from the Toronto Environmental Alliance submitting a briefing note in support of the recommended Water Metering Program.

Councillor Disero moved that the Committee recommend to the Policy and Finance Committee the adoption of the aforementioned report with the exception of Recommendations Nos. (7) and (10) which were previously adopted by Council (Clause No. 10 of Report No. 2 of The Works Committee, adopted by Council on July 27, 28, 29 and 30, 1999), subject to:

- (1) deleting the word "voluntary" in Recommendations Nos. (1), (2) and (6) and inserting in lieu thereof the word "mandatory";
- (2) striking out Recommendations Nos. (1)(ii), (1)(iii) and (1)(iv) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:
 - "(1)(ii) the flat-rate water and sewer service charge be increased by 100 percent for customers who have received adequate notice (minimum three written notices) and have been provided with sufficient time to arrange for the mandatory installation of a water meter but continue to refuse to co-operate, and that the flat-rate water and sewer service charges be increased by an additional

-18-
Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, October 6, 1999

100 percent every year thereafter”;

- (3) striking out Recommendations Nos. (2)(i) and (3);
- (4) striking out the words “three years” and “November 1, 2002” in Recommendation No. (2)(ii) and inserting in lieu thereof the words “three and one-half years” and “April 1, 2003”, respectively;
- (5) striking out Recommendation No. (2)(iii) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:
 - “(iii) the anticipated new revenue from the former City of Toronto, in the amount of \$20.5 million from the three and one-half year deferral of decreases respecting metered customers, be used to fund the Universal Metering Program”; and
- (6) deleting the words “and the metering incentives,” in Recommendation No. (8).

(Carried)

Councillor Layton moved that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report to the Works Committee on a strategy with respect to absentee landlords that would include the following:

- (i) special notification procedures, given that the owner is not located at the property;
- (ii) an investigation of the feasibility of placing the project cost on the tax bill rather than the water bill for such owners; and
- (iii) in the event that the owner refused and an increase in the flat rate were brought about, the placement of such increase on the tax bill rather than the water bill.

(Carried)

(Policy and Finance Committee; c: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; Councillor Michael Prue;

Councillor Mario Silva; General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services –
October 6, 1999)

(Clause No. 30(a), Report No. 4)

6.4 Reports Related to Works Best Practices Program.

A. Request for Proposals for Selection of Vendors for Supply of Process Control Systems (SCADA) Software, Contract No. MWRFP199

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 27, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) Contract No. MWRFP199 for the supply and delivery of SCADA software for use by Water and Wastewater facilities be awarded to Intellution Inc., at a maximum total cost of \$1,427,604.70 including Goods and Services Tax, and subject to cost reductions of up to \$500,000.00 for existing licences; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

B. Engagement of Professional Services to Undertake the Preliminary Design of Process Control Systems at the R.C. Harris, R.L. Clark, F.J. Horgan and Island Water Treatment Plants.

The Committee also had before it a report (September 22, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) the assignment for preliminary design of process control systems for the R.C. Harris, R.L. Clark, F.J. Horgan and Island Water Treatment Plants be awarded to The Greater Toronto Consulting Group at a cost not to exceed \$1,146,000.00 including Goods and Services Tax (GST) plus a contingency allowance of \$115,000.00 including GST to cover potential additional engineering work required, if necessary, and as authorized by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto.

C. Engagement of Professional Services to Undertake the Preliminary Design of a Process Control System for the Water Transmission System.

The Committee also had before it a report (September 22, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) the assignment for the preliminary design of the Water Transmission Process Control System be awarded to the firm of Simcoe Engineering Group Ltd. at a cost not to exceed \$545,000.00 including Goods and Services Tax (GST) and a contingency allowance of \$55,000.00 including GST to cover potential additional engineering work required, if necessary, and as authorized by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto.

D. Results of the Request for Proposals for the Water Pollution Control Process Control System Detailed Design Project.

The Committee also had before it a joint report (September 28, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that:

- (1) the assignment for process control system detailed design at the Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant be awarded to the firm of CH2M Gore & Storrie Limited at a cost of \$10,140,256.00 including the Goods and Services Tax (GST) to meet the current savings target;
- (2) the assignment for process control system detailed design at the Humber Treatment Plant be awarded to Azurix North America (formerly Thorburn Penny Limited) at a cost of \$5,103,403.00 including GST to meet the current savings target;
- (3) the assignment for process control system detailed design at the Highland Creek Treatment Plant be awarded to Earth Tech (Canada) Inc. (formerly Proctor & Redfern Limited) at

a cost of \$2,734,549.00 including GST to meet the current savings target;

- (4) subject to the approval of Recommendations Nos. (1), (2) and (3), a contingency amount of \$500,000.00 be provided for each of the assignments to CH2M Gore & Storrie Limited and to Azurix North America and \$250,000.00 be provided for the assignment to Earth Tec (Canada) Inc. for a total amount of \$1,250,000.00, to cover any additional design services, if necessary and as authorized by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

E. Request for Proposals for the Procurement of Program Integration and Coordination Services for Phase 2 of the Works Best Practices Program, and Award of Contract.

The Committee also had before it a joint report (September 27, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that:

- (1) the contract for the supply of Program Integration and Coordination Services for Phase 2 of the Works Best Practices Program be awarded to EMA Canada Inc. of Toronto, lead firm in the consortium of firms referred to as TeamWORKS-2, with a maximum contract value of \$14,501,000.00 including Goods and Services Tax; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Committee also had before it the following communications:

- (1) (October 6, 1999) from Mr. Brian Cochrane, President, Toronto Civic Employees' Union, Local 416, expressing concerns with respect to the Works Best Practices Program, and the implications of the reports for approval of expenditures on Process Control Systems, in particular the quality of services being jeopardized as a potential outcome of downsizing; and
- (ii) (October 6, 1999) from Mr. Brian Cochrane, President, Toronto Civic Employees' Union,

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, October 6, 1999

Local 416, in opposition to the selection of EMA Canada Inc. to assist the City with the implementation of the Works Best Practices Program; recommending that if the City must continue with the Program, the changes be made using City employees on an “in-house” basis; and further recommending that if the Committee approves the recommendation to retain EMA Canada Inc., EMA be directed to proceed in a manner that recognizes Local 416 as having an integral role in any operational changes.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Peter Leiss, Executive Vice-President, and Ms. Gina Gignac, National Representative, Toronto Civic Employees’ Union, Local 416; and
- Ms. Karen Buck, Toronto, Ontario.

Councillor Disero moved:

- (1) the adoption of the aforementioned reports; and
- (2) that a meeting of the Works Best Practices Program Work Group be convened prior to the Council meeting scheduled for October 26, 27 and 28, 1999.

(Carried)

Councillor Layton moved that:

- (1) under no circumstances are there to be reductions in water quality and that continued improvements of quality should be considered an ongoing objective;
- (2) Union representatives be formally invited to meetings respecting Best Practices when these involve Toronto Civic Employees’ Union Local 416 members, and that confirmation that invitations were received be obtained; especially important will be Local 416 and Local 79 involvement in all Teams, particularly items (4) “Organizational Services” and (5) “Integrated Works Area Implementation” under the Program Integration and Coordination Services for Phase 2;
- (3) a written statement, to Council, be requested from EMA Canada concerning the firm’s position on water quality as a part of Works Best

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, October 6, 1999

Practices development and Union involvement in best practices development and implementation; and

- (4) the City Auditor be requested to report directly to Council on the aforementioned report headed "Request for Proposals for the Procurement of Program Integration and Coordination Services for Phase 2 of the Works Best Practices Program, and Award of Contract", wherein seven expressions of interest were received, two firms were given the opportunity to bid and one of those withdrew.

(Carried)

Councillor Shiner moved that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report directly to City Council on the aforementioned reports, which outlines in simple terms the number of persons that are being hired under the contracts related to the Works Best Practices Program, the number of hours and hourly rate of pay of those persons, and the type of performance analysis to be undertaken as this project proceeds. **(Carried)**

Councillor Shiner requested that he be recorded in opposition to the adoption of the foregoing report E. entitled 'Request for Proposals for the Procurement of Program Integration and Coordination Services for Phase 2 of the Works Best Practices Program, and Award of Contract'.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; City Auditor; President, Toronto Civic Employees' Union, Local 416; c: General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services – October 6, 1999)

- A. Request for Proposals for Selection of Vendors for Supply of Process Control Systems (SCADA) Software, Contract No. MWRFP199:

(Clause No. 2, Report No. 4)

- B. Engagement of Professional Services to Undertake the Preliminary Design of Process Control Systems at the R.C. Harris, R.L. Clark, F.J. Horgan and Island Water Treatment Plants:

(Clause No. 3, Report No. 4)

- C. Engagement of Professional Services to Undertake the Preliminary Design of a Process Control System for the Water Transmission System:

(Clause No. 4, Report No. 4)

- D. Results of the Request for Proposals for the Water Pollution Control Process Control System Detailed Design Project:

(Clause No. 5, Report No. 4)

- E. Request for Proposals for the Procurement of Program Integration and Coordination Services for Phase 2 of the Works Best Practices Program, and Award of Contract:

(Clause No. 6, Report No. 4)

**6.5 Works Best Practices Program -
Recommendations of Audit Committee.**

The Works Committee had before it a communication (July 20, 1999) from the City Clerk advising that the Audit Committee at its meeting on July 19, 1999, had before it a report (July 12, 1999) from the City Auditor respecting Works Best Practices, which recommended that:

- “(1) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services establish for each consultant contract award, a performance management process, incorporating appropriate parameters and relevant, measurable and objective benchmarks; and that any future consulting contract awards recommended to the Works Committee and Council include a description of the consultant performance management criteria and process to be used;

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, October 6, 1999

- (2) prior to or in conjunction with awarding any future consulting contracts, the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services report to the Works Committee on the total cost of consulting services required for this project, including those consulting services required in the implementation of process control systems and other facilities;
- (3) prior to or in conjunction with awarding any future consulting contracts, the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services clarify how the consulting services to be provided in Phase 2 of the Works Best Practices Program differ from those rendered during the planning and design stage (Phase 1) as well as from those consulting services to be procured for the construction and implementation component;
- (4) any quality assurance activities deemed necessary in Phase 2 of the Works Best Practices Program be performed either by Works staff or, if a consulting firm is used, that the firm be independent of the consulting consortium and report directly to Works staff;
- (5) in view of the magnitude of the Works Best Practices Program, the City Auditor work with Works staff during the implementation phase of the program to ensure that appropriate performance management criteria are established, and report independently to Council on any concerns or issues, including areas relating to value for money; and
- (6) this report be forwarded to the Works Committee for consideration”;

and advising that the Audit Committee recommended that:

- (1) the report from the City Auditor be amended by deleting the words, “and report directly to Works staff” and inserting in lieu thereof the words, “be accountable to the City Auditor and report concurrently on any issues to both the City Auditor and Works staff” in Recommendation No. (4), and that the report, as amended be adopted;
- (2) the City Auditor perform an analysis of the consultant services for the Works Best Practices Program to date, including value for money of Phase 1 of the program; and
- (3) the City Auditor not be precluded from commenting on Phase 1 of the Works Best Practices Program while following through with any of the recommendations outlined in the report.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the recommendations of the Audit Committee embodied in the aforementioned communication, subject to:

- (1) deleting Recommendation No. (2); and
- (2) adding to Recommendation No. (3) at the end thereof the words “including value for money”.

(Clause No. 7, Report No. 4)

**6.6 Prince Edward Viaduct –
Measures to Deter Suicide Attempts.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 6, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services providing further information concerning the measures to deter suicide attempts on the Prince Edward Viaduct, as requested by the Budget Committee; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Committee also had before it a confidential report (July 30, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting the measures to deter suicide attempts on the Prince Edward Viaduct.

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, October 6, 1999

The Committee also had before it the following communications:

- (i) (July 8, 1999) from Dr. T.S. Turner, Chief of Psychiatry, and Medical Program Director, Mental Health, St. Joseph's Health Centre, recommending that the City carry out the plan to install suicide hotline phones across the Prince Edward (Bloor) Viaduct; and responding to objections raised against such phones.
- (ii) (July 12, 1999) from Mr. Michael McCamus, Bridge Committee Spokesperson, Schizophrenia Society of Ontario, requesting urgent action from City Councillors with respect to the installation of emergency phones on the Bloor Viaduct; and requesting that the "working team" of Councillors McConnell, Layton, Adams and Bossons be reconvened, and that Works staff provide a status report of the Bloor Viaduct emergency phones to the next meeting of the Committee.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Committee:

- (1) received the aforementioned report: and
- (2) directed that such report be submitted to Council, with a request that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services report directly to Council for its meeting on October 26, 27 and 28, 1999, on the results of the tender for the installation of safety barriers on the Prince Edward Viaduct, if possible.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Executive Director, Technical Services; Dr. T.S. Turner; Mr. Michael McCamus – October 6, 1999)

(Clause No. 9, Report No. 4)

**6.7 Review of Sub-Committees,
Special Committees and Task Force.**

The Works Committee again had before it a report (June 28, 1999) from the City Clerk providing for the information of the newly formed Standing Committees a list of the various sub-committees, special committees, advisory committees and task forces which were formed under the previous Council-Committee structure; and recommending that the Standing Committees:

- (1) determine whether the mandate and membership of those sub-committees listed under the

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, October 6, 1999

column "Sub-Committees" in Schedule 1 attached thereto should be continued; and

- (2) receive for information the balance of Schedule 1 regarding special committees, advisory committees and task forces established by Council.

The Committee also again had before it a communication (August 6, 1999) from the City Clerk forwarding for information and any attention deemed necessary, Clause No. 3 of Report No. 3 of The Planning and Transportation Committee, headed "Review of Sub-Committees, Special Committees and Task Forces", which was adopted, as amended, by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on July 27, 28, 29 and 30, 1999, wherein it is recommended that:

- (1) the Road Allowance Sub-Committee be reconstituted as a Task Force consisting of interested members of the Planning and Transportation Committee and the Works Committee, such membership to be advised further; and
- (2) Councillors Ila Bossons and Dennis Fotinos be appointed to the Road Allowance Task Force.

Councillor Saundercook appointed Councillor Disero Acting Chair, and vacated the Chair.

Councillor Saundercook moved that the Storm Water Group be disbanded, having regard for the mandate of the Wet Weather Flow Management Master Plan Steering Committee. **(Carried)**

Councillor Shiner moved that the 3Rs Sub-Committee be reconstituted under the Terms of Reference previously adopted by the Works and Utilities Committee, with a request that the Clerk canvass members of the Committee to determine their interest in serving on the sub-committee and report back thereon to the Committee. **(Carried)**

Councillor Layton moved that members of the Storm Water Group be notified of the Committee's recommendation and the date when this matter will be considered be Council. **(Carried)**

(Councillor Bill Saundercook; Councillor Jack Layton; Members of the Storm Water Group – October 6, 1999)

(Clause No. 10, Report No. 4)

Councillor Saundercook resumed the Chair.

6.8 Citizen Appointments to Toronto Pedestrian Committee.

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 15, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that the Terms of Reference of the Toronto Pedestrian Committee be amended to include the following with regard to Committee membership:

“The Toronto Pedestrian Committee will strike a Nominating Sub-Committee consisting of three to five members, which will recommend new citizen appointments to the Committee. Vacant positions on the Committee will be advertised through a variety of medium. The Sub-Committee will: review the submitted applications; short-list the candidates to be interviewed; interview and select the successful candidates; and recommend the nominees to the Toronto Pedestrian Committee. A report will be forwarded to the Works Committee for subsequent submission to Council. Citizen members will be appointed for a term of three years. Reappointments will be permitted, however, the maximum length of service is six consecutive years.”

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report; and
- (2) also referred the report to the Toronto Cycling Committee for consideration of a similar process.

(Toronto Cycling Committee; c: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; General Manager, Transportation Services – October 6, 1999)

(Clause No. 11, Report No. 4)

6.9 Vehicle Emission Testing.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (August 6, 1999) from the City Clerk advising that City Council at its meeting held on July 27, 28, 29 and 30, 1999, struck out and referred back to the Works Committee for further consideration Item (w), entitled “Vehicle Emission Testing (All Wards)” embodied in Clause No. 33 contained in Report No. 2 of The Works Committee, headed “Other Items Considered by the Committee”, respecting a report dated June 15, 1999, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services.

The Committee deferred consideration of the aforementioned communication until its next meeting, scheduled to be held on November 3, 1999.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services – October 6, 1999)

(Clause No. 30(b), Report No. 4)

DEFERRED

**6.10 Response to Request for Information
Relating to Parking Enforcement.**

The Works Committee had before it a communication (April 29, 1999) from Councillor Norman Gardner, Chairman, Toronto Police Services Board, responding to a request by the former Corporate Services Committee for information relating to parking enforcement; providing information with respect to current enforcement policies and suggested changes related to disabled parking permits, and with respect to the current method of evaluation of Parking Control Officers; and recommending that the report be received for information.

The Committee also had before it a communication (September 7, 1999) from Councillor John Adams, Midtown, requesting that the communication from the Chairman, Toronto Police Services Board, respecting parking enforcement, be referred to the Administration Committee for consideration.

The Committee also had before it a communication (October 1, 1999) from Mr. Gerald Parker, President, Beyond Ability International, requesting the opportunity to appear before the Committee in connection with the response from the Chairman, Toronto Police Services Board, on parking for persons with disabilities.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Gerald Parker, President, Beyond Ability International, and submitted a copy of his presentation; and
- Councillor John Adams, Midtown.

Councillor Saundercook appointed Councillor Shiner Acting Chair, and vacated the Chair.

Councillor Saundercook moved that:

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, October 6, 1999

- (1) the aforementioned communications be referred to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with the Chief of Police, for a report to the Works Committee which provides an overall enforcement, engineering and financial strategy relating to parking enforcement; and
- (2) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to convene a meeting of Transportation, Police and Parking officials to consider the issues brought forward by Mr. Gerald Parker, President, Beyond Ability International, in his deputation, with respect to disabled parking, and to submit a report thereon to the Works Committee for discussion and public comment.

(Carried)

Councillor Saundercook, on behalf of Councillor Adams, moved that:

- (1) the City Solicitor be requested to submit a report to the Works Committee on the specific human rights complaint referred to by Mr. Parker;
- (2) the issue of accessibility to the judicial system be referred to the Provincial Offences Act Transfer Task Force; and
- (3) the Toronto Police Services Board be requested to provide to Members of the Works Committee, the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the POA Transfer Task Force a copy of the full text of the Board motions with respect to this matter.

(Carried)

Councillor Bossons moved that the Chief of Police be requested to submit a status report to the Works Committee on resolving the issue of abuse of disabled parking permits with the Province of Ontario. **(Carried)**

(Councillor John Adams; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Chair, Toronto Police Services Board; Mr. Gerald Parker; City Solicitor; Provincial Offences Act Transfer Task Force; c: General Manager, Transportation Services Division – October 6, 1999)

(Clause No. 30(c), Report No. 4)

Councillor Saundercook resumed the Chair.

6.11 Summer Water Use By-law.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (July 26, 1999) from the City Clerk advising that the Environmental Task Force on July 20, 1999, endorsed the Motion dated July 13, 1999, by Councillor Saundercook wherein it is resolved that the Works Committee request that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services report back to the Committee with regard to the implementation of a Summer Water Use By-law for the City of Toronto in the year 2000, as outlined therein; and directed that the Works Committee be advised accordingly.

The Committee also had before it a briefing note (October 6, 1999) submitted by the Toronto Environmental Alliance respecting a Summer Water Use By-law.

The Committee deferred consideration of the aforementioned communication until its next meeting, scheduled to be held on November 3, 1999.

(Councillor Bill Saundercook; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
c: Environmental Task Force; General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services;
Toronto Environmental Alliance – October 6, 1999)

(Clause No. 30(d), Report No. 4)

DEFERRED

**6.12 Guidelines Relating to Publication of
Names of Companies Convicted of
Contravening the City's Sewer Use By-law.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 13, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that the set of guidelines outlined therein be adopted in dealing with requests from the public for information on names of companies who have been convicted of contravening the City's Sewer Use By-law, as requested by Council at its meeting on July 6, 7 and 8, 1999.

The Committee also had before it a briefing note (October 5, 1999) submitted by the Toronto Environmental Alliance with respect to the guidelines in the aforementioned report.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 21, Report No. 4)

6.13 Engineering Services to Complete the Replacement of Sludge Dewatering Centrifuges Contract at the Highland Creek Treatment Plant.

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 20, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that authority be granted to extend the previously engaged services of the engineering firm of Proctor & Redfern Limited for general administration and site services during construction of the Replacement of Sludge Dewatering Centrifuges project at the Highland Creek Treatment Plant, for a fee not exceeding \$72,000.00, including all applicable taxes and disbursements, based on the previously authorized contingency unit rate of \$4,500.00 per week.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 25, Report No. 4)

6.14 Additional Expenditures for Extra Work on Capital Projects Currently in Progress.

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 20, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) additional expenditures in the amount of \$212,343.41 including Goods and Services Tax be authorized for the performance of necessary additional work on Water and Wastewater contracts, as described therein and in accordance with the amounts listed in Appendix B attached; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect

thereto.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 24, Report No. 4)

6.15 600 mm Standby Forcemain from Mimico-Superior Pumping Station to Mimico-Superior S.T.S. MH No. 24 at Burlington Street and Cavell Avenue – Contract No. MW9901WP, Tender Call No. 190-1999. (Ward 2, Lakeshore Queensway)

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (September 17, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that Contract No. MW9901WP for the construction of a 600 mm Standby Forcemain from Mimico-Superior Pumping Station to Mimico-Superior S.T.S. MH No. 24 at Burlington Street and Cavell Avenue be awarded to Clearway Construction Inc., for the total lump sum price of \$1,230,771.00 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received.

The Committee awarded the contract as recommended in the aforementioned joint report, in accordance with By-law No. 57-1998, the Interim Purchasing By-law, as amended.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer – October 6, 1999)

(Clause No. 30(e), Report No. 4)

6.16 Assumption of Private Sanitary Sewer, Storm Sewer and Watermain – No. 605 Adelaide Street West. (Ward 20 – Trinity-Niagara)

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 21, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) approval be given to assume the ownership of a 300 mm sanitary sewer and a 100 mm watermain required to service the development at 605 Adelaide Street West, subject to the owner of these properties granting the necessary easement to the City, as indicated on Sketch No. EAS-495 attached, in accordance with the terms and conditions outlined in this report and any others the City Solicitor or Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services may determine necessary; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to

implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

The aforementioned report was not considered by the Committee having regard that the report was previously adopted by Council.

**6.17 Sanitary Discharge Agreement –
Wellesley Central Hospital.
(Ward 24 – Downtown)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 31, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that staff be authorized to enter into a Sanitary Discharge Agreement with the Wellesley Central Hospital for the discharge of treated ground water from its private water system at 10 Wellesley Place to the sanitary sewer system, under terms and conditions satisfactory to the City Solicitor and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 23, Report No. 4)

6.18 Multiple Residential Properties – Sewer Drains.

The Works Committee again had before it a report (June 28, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services providing for each district the distribution of homes currently serviced with corporate/City sewer drains to which multiple private sewer drains are connected, the estimated total cost to install a corporate sewer at each home in the City, an implementation strategy, and an alternative strategy to reduce conflicts/disputes among neighbours regarding sewer drains; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Committee also had before it a communication (October 4, 1999) from Councillor Joe Pantalone, Trinity Niagara, recommending that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to regularize multiple drain situations:

- (1) where the Department is already doing work at or near the locality;
- (2) where it is recognized that it would be cost-effective; and
- (3) where there is a blockage problem that is likely to reoccur;

and further, that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the Budget Advisory Committee as part of the capital budget process on what funds need to be allocated to achieve this cost-effective measure.

Councillor Joe Pantalone, Trinity Niagara, appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Shiner, on behalf of Councillor Pantalone, the Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of the recommendations embodied in the aforementioned communication from Councillor Pantalone, subject to adding the words “on City property” after the word “situations”; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to submit a brief report directly to Council for its meeting on October 26, 27 and 28, 1999, on current standards with respect to multiple drains.

(Councillor Joe Pantalone; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services – October 6, 1999)

(Clause No. 26, Report No. 4)

6.19 Harmonized Residential Water Service Connection Repair Program.

The Works Committee again had before it a report (June 22, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting the development of a strategy to upgrade the private portions of residential water service connections at no cost to homeowners, and an estimate of the cost to do so; advising that the City undertaking a private side water service repair program will be a significant increase in level of service at an annual cost of \$10.96M, but that undertaking the complete water service repair from the water main to the meter at one time and by one contract would ensure the work is carried out in the most cost-effective and expedient manner; further advising that given the numerous demands on the water and wastewater capital budget, staff do not recommend this increased level of service, especially as it would involve working on private property; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Committee received the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 30(f), Report No. 4)

**6.20 Proposed Installation of Traffic Control Signals –
Brentcliffe Road and Vanderhoof Avenue;
Laird Drive and Vanderhoof Avenue; and
Danforth Avenue and Thyra Avenue.
(Ward 1, East York)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 13, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) traffic control signals be approved for future installation at the intersections of Brentcliffe Road and Vanderhoof Avenue, Laird Drive and Vanderhoof Avenue, and Danforth Avenue and Thyra Avenue;
- (2) coincident with the installation of traffic control signals at the intersection of Brentcliffe Road and Vanderhoof Avenue, the existing pedestrian crossover be removed and the appropriate by-law be amended accordingly; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 17, Report No. 4)

**6.21 Terms of Reference, Redway Road and
Brentcliffe Road Extension Feasibility Study.
(Ward 1, East York)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 16, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) the City carry out a technical study to determine the need and feasibility of extending Redway Road westerly from its current terminus to Bayview Avenue and extending Brentcliffe Road southerly from Wicksteed Avenue to Millwood Road in accordance with

the Terms of Reference attached to this report, and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to obtain proposals from qualified Consulting Engineering firms to undertake this study; and

- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 18, Report No. 4)

**6.22 Advance Warning on W.R. Allen Road
at Eglinton Avenue West.
(Ward 28, York-Eglinton; Ward 22, North Toronto)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 20, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting the feasibility of providing additional advance warning on W.R. Allen Road, prior to Eglinton Avenue West, to warn motorists of the ending of the expressway, in response to a request from the Urban Environment and Development Committee; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Davis, the Committee recommended to Council that both flashing amber lights, at a cost of \$13,000.00, and "rumble strips", at a cost of \$12,000.00, be installed on W.R. Allen Road, prior to Eglinton Avenue West, to provide motorists with advance warning of the ending of the expressway.

(Clause No. 16, Report No. 4)

**6.23 Removal of Right-Turn Restriction –
Victoria Park Avenue and Farmcrest Drive.
(Ward 14, Scarborough Wexford)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 13, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) the northbound right-turn restriction on Victoria Park Avenue at Farmcrest Drive, in effect from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, be rescinded; and

- (2) the appropriate by-law be amended accordingly.

Councillor Norman Kelly, Scarborough Wexford, appeared before the Committee in connection with foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Shiner, on behalf of Councillor Kelly, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report for a six-month trial period, and that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report back to the Committee at the end of the trial period.

(Clause No. 19, Report No. 4)

**6.24 Reduction of Speed Limit from 60 km/h to 50 km/h
Islington Avenue between Dundas Street West and The Queensway.
(Kingsway-Humber; Lakeshore-Queensway)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 15, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) the speed limit on Islington Avenue between Dundas Street West and The Queensway be reduced from 60 km/h to 50 km/h;
- (2) the appropriate by-laws be amended accordingly; and
- (3) the Toronto Police Service be requested to enforce the new 50 km/h speed limit on Islington Avenue.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 20, Report No. 4)

6.25 Sidewalk Snow Clearing.

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 17, 1999) from the Commissioner of

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, October 6, 1999

Works and Emergency Services respecting a proposal and financial plan to implement a four-year phase-in of all sidewalk snow clearing across the City, as requested by Council at its meeting on April 20, 1999; and recommending that:

- (1) approval, in principle, be granted to implement a phase-in plan for sidewalk snow clearing as outlined in this report, at an annual total estimated cost of \$8.3 million after four years;
- (2) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services provide an annual report on the status of the sidewalk snow clearing program during the phase-in period; and
- (3) this report and Committee recommendations be forwarded to the Policy and Finance Committee and be referred to the Budget Advisory Committee for consideration with the Year 2000 Operating Budget.

The following Councillors appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Councillor John Adams, Midtown;
- Councillor George Mammoliti, North York Humber; and
- Councillor Joe Pantalone, Trinity Niagara.

Councillor Sinclair moved:

- (1) the adoption of the aforementioned report;
- (2) on behalf of Councillor Pantalone, that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, be requested to submit a report directly to Council for its meeting on October 26, 27 and 28, 1999, on the steps that would be taken to protect in-ground trees in sidewalks to be cleared of snow by mechanized equipment; and
- (3) on behalf of Councillor Mammoliti, that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the Committee on options for a policy to provide snow removal on private property, including the financial implications of providing such a service at no cost to seniors and those with physical disabilities.

(Carried, as amended)

Councillor Shiner moved that part (3) of the foregoing motion by Councillor

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, October 6, 1999

Sinclair be amended to provide that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services also report on snow removal in the York community area under the Sno-Link program, and the option to extend that program City-wide. **(Carried)**

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; General Manager, Transportation Services – October 6, 1999)

(Clause No. 12, Report No. 4)

6.26 Winter Maintenance of City Sidewalks, Snow Clearing and Salting, District One – December 1, 1999 to March 31, 2003 - Contracts Nos. T-79-99, T-80-99 and T-81-99. (East York, High Park, Trinity Niagara, Davenport, North Toronto, Midtown, Downtown, Don River, East Toronto, York Humber and York Eglinton)

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (September 13, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that the following contracts for winter maintenance of City sidewalks, snow clearing and salting, District One for the period December 1, 1999 to March 31, 2003, be awarded to the following Tenderers in the total amount of \$6,497,577.00 including all taxes and charges:

<u>Contract/Tender Call No.</u>	<u>Tenderer</u>	<u>Tender Price</u>
T-79-99, Call No. 210-1999	K.J. Beamish Construction Co. Limited	\$2,468,113.36
T-80-99, Call No. 211-1999	Pave-Tar Construction Ltd.	\$2,635,039.80
T-81-99, Call No. 212-1999	Sidcon Contracting Ltd.	\$1,394,423.84

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned joint report.

(Clause No. 14, Report No. 4)

6.27 Road Resurfacing on Jane Street from Lawrence Avenue West to Wilson Avenue Intersection, and Widening at Jane Street and Falstaff Avenue/Gordon Mackay Road – Contract No. NY9913RD, Tender Call No. 188-1999.

(North York Humber)

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (September 16, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Director of Purchasing and Materials Management, recommending that Contract No. NY9913RD, Tender Call No. 188-1999, for the road resurfacing on Jane Street from Lawrence Avenue West to Wilson Avenue intersection and widening at Jane Street and Falstaff Avenue/Gordon Mackey Road, be awarded to D. Crupi & Sons Limited, in the total amount of \$1,357,352.14 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received.

The Committee awarded the contract as recommended in the aforementioned joint report, in accordance with By-law No. 57-1998, the Interim Purchasing By-law, as amended.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer – October 6, 1999)

(Clause No. 30(g), Report No. 4)

6.28 Reconstruction of Prince Edward Drive – Phase 1 from Bloor Street West to South of Sunnylea Avenue West - Contract No. EB9906ARD, Tender Call No. 236-1999. (Ward 2, Lakeshore-Queensway)

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (September 15, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that Contract No. EB9906ARD for the reconstruction of Prince Edward Drive - Phase I from Bloor Street West to south of Sunnylea Avenue West be awarded to Osler Paving Ltd., in the total amount of \$1,166,715.96 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received.

The Committee awarded the contract as recommended in the aforementioned report, in accordance with By-law No. 57-1998, the Interim Purchasing By-law, as amended.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer – October 6, 1999)

(Clause No. 30(h), Report No. 4)

6.29 Feasibility of Direct Purchasing as a Method of Purchasing Commodities.

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 22, 1999) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer providing information requested by Council at its meeting of July 6, 7 and 8, 1999, respecting the feasibility of direct purchasing as a method of purchasing commodities; concluding that the current process of purchasing commodities which provides all interested suppliers, whether manufacturers or distributors, an opportunity to compete and provide bids for City requirements should be maintained; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Committee deferred consideration of the aforementioned report until its next meeting, scheduled to be held on November 3, 1999.

(Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer – October 6, 1999)

(Clause No. 30(i), Report No. 4)

DEFERRED

6.30 Wet Waste Collection from Businesses in City of Toronto.

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 24, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services responding to a request from City Council to research options for a pilot project and full implementation of a wet waste collection program for businesses in the City of Toronto; concluding that due to the logistical difficulties and high costs associated with developing a wet waste collection for businesses, it is not recommended to proceed with a pilot or full implementation at this time; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Committee also had before it a briefing note (October 5, 1999) submitted by the Toronto Environmental Alliance with respect to wet waste collection from businesses in Toronto.

The Committee deferred consideration of the aforementioned report until its next meeting, scheduled to be held on November 3, 1999.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Chief Financial Officer and

Treasurer; c: General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services; Toronto Environmental Alliance – October 6, 1999)

(Clause No. 30(j), Report No. 4)

DEFERRED

6.31 Relocation of Litter Bins.

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 21, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting the relocation of existing litter bins which will become available as a result of the placement of new bins with advertising, as requested by Council at its meeting of June 9, 10 and 11, 1999; advising that staff are planning to replace existing litter bins in the Community Council area of Scarborough and in the Midtown and High Park wards that are in poor condition, with better quality bins that will become available as the new OMG Media bins are placed in other areas of Toronto, but that staff do not recommend relocating surplus litter bins due to the increased costs that would be incurred to empty and maintain these additional bins; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Committee deferred consideration of the aforementioned report until its next meeting, scheduled to be held on November 3, 1999.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services – October 6, 1999)

(Clause No. 30(k), Report No. 4)

DEFERRED

6.32 Encroachment, Sanitary Discharge and Industrial Waste Surcharge Agreements with the Greater Toronto Airports Authority.

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 16, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) the City enter into an Encroachment Agreement with the Greater Toronto Airports Authority for the connection of a forcemain to the City's sanitary sewer system for conveying spent de-icing fluid from the Pearson International Airport's Central De-icing Facility to the Humber Treatment Plant; or, where deemed appropriate by the City Solicitor, enter into a tri-party agreement with the GTAA and the land owner for an

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, October 6, 1999

easement where the forcemain will be passing through private lands;

- (2) subject to the approval of Recommendation No. (1), the City enter into a Sanitary Discharge Agreement for the payment of a surcharge for the treatment of water not supplied by the City's municipal system under terms and conditions satisfactory to the City Solicitor and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
- (3) the City enter into an Industrial Waste Surcharge Agreement for the payment of a surcharge for the treatment of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) in excess of the Sewer Use By-law limit, under terms and conditions satisfactory to the City Solicitor and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
- (4) appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report;
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor to submit a report to the Committee on what the City's recourse would be should the airport violate the City's Sewer Use By-law, which would not cover the airport as it is located in the City of Mississauga; and
- (3) requested the Medical Officer of Health to report to the Committee on de-icing and anti-icing fluids to identify whether there are any additives in these fluids which would be a problem in the City's sewer system.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; City Solicitor; Medical Officer of Health; c: General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services – October 6, 1999)

(Clause No. 22, Report No. 4)

6.33 1999 Technical Study Tour of Community Energy Systems in Denmark and Sweden.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (August 20, 1999) from Councillor Jack Layton, Ph.D., Chair, FCM's Working Group on Community Energy Systems, forwarding a report on the 1999 Technical Study Tour of Community Energy Systems in Denmark and Sweden, organized by the Federation of Canadian Municipalities and Natural Resources Canada; and setting out recommendations for Toronto Hydro and the City with respect to energy initiatives.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Committee referred the aforementioned communication to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services for a report thereon to the Committee.

(Councillor Jack Layton; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services – October 6, 1999)

(Clause No. 30(l), Report No. 4)

6.34 Replacement of Flow Meters and Automatic Samplers – Water and Wastewater Services.

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 9, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that the replacement of 64 intrinsically unsafe automatic samplers be replaced in 1999 and the remaining 112 intrinsically unsafe flow meters be replaced in 2000 at a total replacement cost of \$2,080,000.00, all of which will be charged to the Water Pollution Control Repair and Replacement Reserve account.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 27, Report No. 4)

6.35 Proposal for City of Toronto Partnership in Water Information Network.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (September 21, 1999) from Mr. Kevin Mercer, Riversides Stewardship Alliance, Ms. Lois Corbett, Toronto Environmental Alliance, and

Ms. Anne Mitchell, Canadian Institute for Environmental Law and Policy, inviting the City of Toronto to become a founding institutional partner to help establish Toronto's Water Information Network (WIN); submitting a proposal with respect thereto; and requesting \$75K per year for the three-year development phase beginning January 1, 2000, in both financial and in-kind support.

The Committee also had before it a communication (October 4, 1999) from Mr. Tony O'Donohue, President, Environmental Probe Ltd., requesting that the communication respecting a contribution for the Water Information Network be deferred for consideration at the next meeting of the Committee as a deputation item.

Councillor Sinclair moved that consideration of the aforementioned communications be deferred until the next meeting of the Committee, scheduled to be held on November 3, 1999. (Carried)

On motion by Councillor Disero, this item was subsequently reopened.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Committee referred the aforementioned communications to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services for a report thereon to the Committee.

(Mr. Kevin Mercer; Mr. Tony O'Donohue; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services – October 6, 1999)

(Clause No. 30(m), Report No. 4)

6.36 Works and Emergency Services Capital Budget Requirements.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Works Committee requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to arrange a briefing session for interested members of the Committee on the Works and Emergency Services Capital Budget requirements.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services – October 6, 1999)

(Clause No. 30(n), Report No. 4)

**6.37 Expansion of the Snow
Emergency Route Network.
(All Wards)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 29, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) Schedule "A" of By-law No. 528-1999, a by-law to regulate traffic on certain highways during periods of emergency occasioned by the fall of snow, be amended to incorporate the streets listed in Appendix "A" of this report; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be requested to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 13, Report No. 4)

6.38 Speed Humps and Traffic Calming Devices.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (September 28, 1999) from the General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission, forwarding a report entitled "Speed Humps and Traffic Calming Devices", which was considered by the Toronto Transit Commission at its meeting on September 22, 1999; and advising that the action taken by the Commission is forwarded to City of Toronto Council through the Works Committee for endorsement of the action taken by the Commission, and consideration of the request that where speed humps or other traffic calming is proposed for roads classified as "collectors", such reports be routed through Community Council and the Works Committee.

On motion by Councillor Shiner, the Committee referred the aforementioned communication to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services for a report thereon to the Committee.

(General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: General Manager, Transportation Services – October 6, 1999)

(Clause No. 30(o), Report No. 4)

**6.39 Toronto's Water Efficiency Plan
Review Committee Membership.**

The Works Committee had before it a communication (October 1, 1999) from the General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services, requesting that a member of the Works Committee be appointed as a representative to the Water Efficiency Plan Review Committee.

Councillor Layton moved that representatives of Toronto Civic Employees' Union Locals 416 and 79 be invited to be involved in the Water Efficiency Plan Review Committee, if they so wish. **(Carried)**

Councillor Shiner moved that Councillors Bossons and Layton be appointed to the Water Efficiency Plan Review Committee. **(Carried)**

(Councillor Ila Bossons; Councillor Jack Layton; General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services; Mr. Brian Cochrane, President, Local 416; Ms. Anne Dubas, President, Local 79 – October 6, 1999)

(Clause No. 30(p), Report No. 4)

**6.40 Landfill Gas Control Excellence Gold Award
for the Keele Valley Landfill Site.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 30, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services advising that the Solid Waste Association of North America (SWANA) has selected the City of Toronto to receive the 1999 Landfill Gas Control Excellence Gold Award for the Keele Valley Landfill Site; and recommending that this report be received for information.

Councillor Saundercook appointed Councillor Acting Chair, and vacated the Chair.

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Committee:

- (1) extended its congratulations to Works and Emergency Services staff on receiving the Solid Waste Association of North America 1999 Landfill Gas Control Excellence Gold Award for the Keele Valley Landfill Site; and
- (2) directed that the aforementioned report be submitted to Council for information.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services – October 6, 1999)

(Clause No. 29, Report No. 4)

Councillor Saundercook resumed the Chair.

**6.41 Apartment Recycling Pilot Project and
OMG Media Bin Launch Event.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 30, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services advising the Committee of two separate waste diversion initiatives that staff are undertaking with financial support from the private sector, namely a six-month pilot project with the Canadian Soft Drink Association to test fully commingled Blue Bag recycling systems in two apartment buildings in the Etobicoke Community, and the testing for a five to six-month period of a three-compartment garbage and recycling truck provided by Corporations Supporting Recycling for use with the OMG Media litter/recycling bins; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Committee:

- (1) directed that the aforementioned report be submitted to Council without recommendation; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to submit a report to the Committee on the pilot projects already undertaken by the former Metropolitan Toronto.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services – October 6, 1999)

(Clause No. 28, Report No. 4)

**6.42 Toronto Atmospheric Fund
1998 Annual Report.**

The Works Committee had before it a communication (September 1999) from Mr. Philip Jessup, Executive Director, Toronto Atmospheric Fund, forwarding a copy of the Toronto Atmospheric Fund 1998 Annual Report; and noting some of the City-initiated projects supported by TAF in 1998.

The Committee received the aforementioned communication and Annual Report.

(Mr. Philip Jessup, Executive Director, Toronto Atmospheric Fund – October 6, 1999)

(Clause No. 30(q), Report No. 4)

6.43 Changes to Yard Waste Collection.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (October 6, 1999) from Councillor Gloria Lindsay Luby, Kingsway Humber, requesting that the issue of the collection of yard waste once every two weeks from June to September be readdressed as soon as possible; and noting that complaints have been received from residents in Ward 3 regarding the elimination of the once-per-week service at the time when gardeners are at their busiest.

Councillor Sinclair moved that the aforementioned communication be referred to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services for a report thereon to the Committee. **(Carried)**

Councillor Shiner moved that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services include in the forthcoming report the cost of increasing the level of service for yard waste collection to a weekly service instead of bi-weekly at peak

demands in spring and fall, such report to be submitted to the Committee prior to submission of the Operating Budget to the Policy and Finance Committee and/or the Budget Advisory Committee. **(Carried)**

(Councillor Gloria Lindsay Luby; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services – October 6, 1999)

(Clause No. 30(r), Report No. 4)

6.44 Rental of Equipment with Operators for Winter Maintenance of Toronto Roads (Snow Plowing and Removal) in District 2, 1999/2000 to 2002/2003 Winter Seasons – Quotation No. 6032-99-01877.

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (October 5, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that Quotation No. 6032-99-01877 for rental of various equipment complete with operators necessary for the winter maintenance of Toronto roads (snow plowing and removal) for the 1999/2000 to 2002/2003 winter seasons, in accordance with specifications as required by the Works and Emergency Services Department, be awarded to the following bidders:

<u>Firm Name:</u>	<u>Price Complete Including All Taxes and Charges</u>
A. Shea and Sons Road Grading	\$ 654,980.00
Adonis Enterprise	\$1,361,070.00
Don Cordingley Gradall Rental	\$1,429,380.00

On motion by Councillor Sinclair, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned joint report.

(Clause No. 15, Report No. 4)

**6.45 Biosolids Advisory Group
Pellet Marketing and Distribution Criteria.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (October 6, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services presenting criteria developed by the Biosolids Advisory Group for

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, October 6, 1999

consideration by the City to assist in its negotiations with USF Canada on the biosolids pellet marketing contract, as requested by Council; submitting two lists of criteria, List A, for which there was unanimous agreement of the group, and List B, for which there was no unanimous agreement; noting that all criteria proposed by the group will be considered by the City in its negotiations with USF Canada; and recommending that the report be received for information.

The Committee also had before it a briefing note (October 5, 1999) submitted by the Toronto Environmental Alliance with respect to the criteria to be applied to the contract with USF Canada.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Committee endorsed List A embodied in the aforementioned report for discussion with the contractor; and deferred consideration of List B until the next meeting of the Committee, scheduled to be held on November 3, 1999, on the understanding that the contract not be signed pending such further consideration of List B.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services – October 6, 1999)

(Clause No. 30(s), Report No. 4)

The Works Committee adjourned its meeting at 7:50 p.m.

Chair.