

**CITY OF TORONTO**

**City Clerk's Division**

**Minutes of the York Community Council**

**Meeting No. 9**

**Tuesday, October 12, 1999**

The York Community Council met on Tuesday, October 12, 1999 in Committee Room 1B, 2nd Floor, York Civic Centre, 2700 Eglinton Avenue West, at 9:35 a.m.

Members present:     Councillor R. Davis, Chair  
                              Councillor F. Nunziata  
                              Councillor J. Mihevc  
                              Councillor B. Saundercook

**Confirmation of Minutes.**

The Minutes of the meeting held on September 14, 1999, were confirmed.

**10.1    3761 Dundas Street West, Loblaws Store  
          Ward 28, York Eglinton.**

The York Community Council had before it the following communications:

- (I)    (October 6, 1999) from Ms. Sandra Melville, Co-Chair, Warren Park Ratepayers, expressing concerns regarding changes being made to the west parking lot of the Loblaws Store at 3761 Dundas Street West (at Gooch Avenue); and advising that:
  - (1)    all asphalt has been removed from the west parking lot;
  - (2)    as of 8:00 a.m. the ravine lands buffering Gooch Avenue on the west side of the parking lot had been excavated, down to the bare curb on the east side of Gooch; that excavated space appears to be the size of a two-lane, commercial driveway;
  - (3)    significant earth, down to the level of the underground parking garage had been removed, including the support earth for the ravine; and

- (4) trees have been removed;

and that without consultation with the residents of Gooch Avenue or the Warren Park Ratepayers' Association, the above action was taken and it is believed that an entrance onto Gooch Avenue is being considered; that these concerns were communicated to the Transportation Manager for the West District; that they understand that no permits were issued for the work actually taking place; that the Building Inspector visited the site this morning and issued a verbal Stop Work Order and a requirement that equipment be removed from the site; that as of 6:15 p.m. the large construction shovel was still on the site; and requesting that before any plans are approved and/or permits issued for any further work on this site, that there be full public consultation, particularly with the directly affected parties - the residents of Gooch Avenue and the Warren Park Ratepayers' Association and that this consultation take the form of a Planning and Traffic Committee comprised of representatives of Warren Park Ratepayers, Gooch Avenue and the Metro Toronto Housing Authority, the owners of the two apartment buildings on the west side of Gooch Avenue at Dundas, appropriate City staff and representatives of Loblaws; advising that the problems now experienced on Humbercrest Boulevard for which traffic calming measures have been implemented, would transfer to Gooch Avenue should a parking entrance be considered there; that an entrance to parking from Gooch Avenue would present serious hazards, such as impaired visibility of northbound Gooch motorists by the bends in the road; that in winter the bends, slope and driveways already present serious problems and conflicts for all traffic, including many children walking to school; that another major concern is the environmental impact on these ravine lands; that the removal of the trees and these lands without consideration of their environmental value is unconscionable and immediate replacement is required; and requesting assurance that the only work that will be done on this site prior to the above-requested consultation process being implemented, will be the restoration of the ravine lands on Gooch Avenue;

- (ii) (October 9, 1999) from Ms. Madeline McDowell, Chair, Humber Heritage Committee, advising that it was agreed as a result of public consultation, that before the Loblaws Humber store was built that vehicular entry and egress would be at the traffic light on Dundas Street installed for that purpose; that the committee is dismayed by the complete disregard by Loblaws for the preservation of the ravine slope on Gooch Avenue; and requesting that the slope be restored, restabilized and re-treed immediately, consistent with the former City of York's ravine policy which the Committee strongly supports; and
- (iii) (October 10, 1999) from Ms. B. Frey, Property Manager, Area 6E,

Metropolitan Toronto Housing Authority, advising that it has been brought to her attention that Loblaws has started construction of a driveway off Gooch Avenue near the Dundas Street West intersection; that the intended site of the access point to and from the Loblaws parking lot could have a detrimental impact on the residents of 3725 and 3735 Dundas Street West; and should the Community Council approve a committee for public consultation the MTHA is requesting representation.

Ms. Margo Duncan, Warren Park Ratepayers Association, appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

**On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council:**

- (1) requested the Director of Building to extend the Stop Work Order relating to the work being done in the west parking lot of the Loblaws store at 3761 Dundas Street West, and that Loblaws be instructed not to proceed any further until the completion of the community consultation process and the site plan agreement;**
- (2) requested the Director, Community Planning, West District, to undertake a review of this process and bring forward any previous comments and minutes from meetings of the Traffic Committee;**
- (3) requested the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, to organize a committee to address the traffic concerns in the area, such committee to consist of:**
  - a representative from the Warren Park Ratepayers Association; Gooch Avenue; the Loblaws Store; and the Metropolitan Toronto Housing Authority;**
  - appropriate City staff; and**
  - the two local Councillors,**
- (4) requested the Director, Parks and Recreation, West District, to determine the value of the trees which have been removed, communicate with Loblaws with respect to replacing the trees, or alternatively, that Loblaws be charged the equivalent value of the trees, with the funds being directed to the Heritage Tree Fund.**

**(Clause No. 8(c), Report No. 10)**

## **10.2 Pedestrian Safety Issues.**

The York Community Council had before it the following communications:

- (i) (September 23, 1999) from the Co-Chairs, Toronto Pedestrian Committee, advising that the Toronto Pedestrian Committee is an active committee of the amalgamated City of Toronto, reporting to Council through the Works Committee and the Planning and Transportation Committee. Its purpose is to work for improved pedestrian safety and towards making the City of Toronto more comfortable and convenient for walking. We work with staff on such matters as sidewalk and intersection design, traffic signals, crosswalks, traffic calming, streetscaping, etc. both as general principles and on specific projects; that they have made many recommendations over the years and are now beginning to see increased awareness of the importance of pedestrian issues to the whole community and more willingness on the part of Council to take appropriate action; that currently the committee is concerned with the unprecedented 10 pedestrian deaths in August 1999 (most in suburban Toronto) and the proposed road classification system which raises issues of pedestrian safety on arterial roads; that the membership of the Toronto Pedestrian Committee at present is drawn from members of the previous Metro sub-committee on Pedestrian Issues, but that they need to expand their membership, making sure that they have good community representation from all parts of the City; that they would like to attend a Community Council meeting to discuss pedestrian issues, to hear local concerns and to encourage local participation in their committee; and requesting to make a brief presentation.
- (ii) (October 10, 1999) from the Co-Chairs, Toronto Pedestrian Committee, forwarding the following recommendations regarding the proposed consolidated road classification system passed on October 7, 1999 at a Special Meeting of the Toronto Pedestrian Committee; requesting that the York Community Council consider the "Whereas" that precede the recommendations as a summary of the committee's main concerns with the report; and urging the Councillors to support the committee's recommendations in the interests of seizing the opportunity to enter the 21st century with an approach to road classification that supports the goal of the new Official Plan to enhance the liveability of the entire city as expressed in Toronto Plan: Reinvesting in the City's Quality of Life (No. 1, March 1999):

That the Works Committee:

1. consider the June 24, 1999 Proposed Road Classification System report a preliminary document to be evaluated in the broader context of goals for public health, sustainable transportation, environmental protection and the Official Plan;
2. recognize that this report provides a significant opportunity to improve the Toronto's pedestrian environment and eliminate the negative effects on the City of ever-increasing car traffic;

3. delay referral of any proposed road classification system to City Council for adoption until the Commissioner of Works & Emergency Services and the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services have had sufficient time to report back to the Works Committee on the inclusion of the issues raised by the Toronto Pedestrian Committee with regard to this critical document which outlines how 40% or more of the City's urban space is to be issued and classified and that such a report be considered a priority item; and
4. request the Commissioner of Works & Emergency Services to prepare a policy to reduce speed limits on minor and major arterial roads in close proximity to schools.

The following persons appeared before the York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Joan Doiron, Co-chair, Toronto Pedestrian Committee;
- Madeleine McDowell, Member, Toronto Pedestrian Committee.

**On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the York Community Council:**

- (1) expressed support for the following recommendations 1 to 3 of the Toronto Pedestrian Committee to the Works Committee, passed at their Special Meeting on October 7, 1999, regarding the report (June 29, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services on a Proposed Consolidated Road Classification System, viz:**

**That the Works Committee:**

- 1. consider the June 24, 1999 Proposed Road Classification System report a preliminary document to be evaluated in the broader context of goals for public health, sustainable transportation, environmental protection and the Official Plan;**
- 2. recognize that this report provides a significant opportunity to improve the Toronto's pedestrian environment and eliminate the negative effects on the City of ever-increasing car traffic; and**
- 3. delay referral of any proposed road classification system to City Council for adoption until the Commissioner of Works & Emergency Services and the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services have had sufficient time to report back to the Works Committee**

**on the inclusion of the issues raised by the Toronto Pedestrian Committee with regard to this critical document which outlines how 40% or more of the City's urban space is to be issued and classified and that such a report be considered a priority item;**

- (2) received the deputations.**

**(Clause No. 8(d), Report No. 10)**

**10.3 Change in Regulations on Scott Road at Cameron Avenue  
Ward 27, York Humber.**

The York Community Council had before it the following communications:

- (I) (September 21, 1999) from Councillor F. Nunziata advising that a community meeting was held on September 20, 1999 regarding parking issues on Scott Road/Cameron Avenue area; and requesting that the No Parking prohibition on the east side of Scott Road from Cameron Avenue south to approximately 50 feet be rescinded and replaced with a No Standing regulation; and**
- (ii) (October 10, 1999) from Mr. Fred Lindsay, Vice President, Silverthorn Ratepayers' Association, expressing concerns with respect to the dangerous intersection of Scott Road and Cameron Avenue; and requesting that the signage be changed from No Parking Anytime to No Stopping Anytime.**

Mr. Fred Lindsay, Vice President, Silverthorn Ratepayers' Association, appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

**On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council:**

- (1) recommended to City Council that:**
  - (a) the current "No Parking" prohibition on the east side of Scott Road from Cameron Avenue to approximately 15 metres south, be changed to a "No Standing" prohibition;**
  - (b) the Uniform Traffic By-law Nos. 196-84 and 2958-94 be amended accordingly;**
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto; and**

- (3) requested the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, to submit a report to Council for consideration at its October 26, 1999 meeting, on the proposed change from a "No Parking" to a "No Standing" prohibition on the east side of Scott Road from Cameron Avenue to approximately 15 metres south.

(Clause No. 4, Report No. 10)

**10.4 Traffic and Parking Concerns in the Scott Road and Cameron Avenue Area - Ward 27, York Humber.**

The York Community Council had before it a communication (September 21, 1999) Councillor F. Nunziata, forwarding a communication titled, Parking and Traffic Proposal: The Future Impact on Cameron Avenue and Scott Road; prepared July 21, 1999, outlining recommendations and suggestions to avoid future parking difficulties and traffic congestion in the Scott Road and Cameron Avenue area.

- Mr. Fred Lindsay, Vice President, Silverthorn Ratepayers Association, appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

**On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council:**

- (1) requested the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, to report on the proposed recommendations outlined in the following communication submitted by Mr. Fred Lindsay, Vice President, Silverthorn Ratepayers' Association, titled "Parking and Traffic Proposal: The Future Impact on Cameron Avenue and Scott Road, prepared July 21, 1999"; and
- (2) received the aforementioned communication.

(Clause No. 8(e), Report No. 10)

**10.5 Application for Liquor Licence - Madeira Cafe, 1671 Keele Street Ward 27, York Humber.**

The York Community Council had before it a report (September 14, 1999) from the City Clerk providing departmental comments following circulation of the Municipal Information form from the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario and the Licence Clearance form completed by the applicant; and recommending that authorization be provided to forward these comments to the Alcohol and Gaming

Commission.

- Floyd Migory, President, Silverthorn Ratepayers' Association appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

**Councillor Nunziata moved:**

- (1) that the foregoing report from the City Clerk be deferred to the November 9, 1999 meeting;**
- (2) that the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, be requested to report on the parking requirements for the subject establishment and on the parking adequacy on Keele Street and adjacent streets; and**
- (3) that the principal of George Harvey School and the local trustee be requested to submit comments on this application;**

**Carried.**

**Councillor Saundercook moved:**

- (4) That the Urban Planning and Development Services be requested to provide more details regarding their communication of August 6, 1999 in relation to COA application 98-240 and permit 99-0099;**

**Carried.**

Councillor Davis appointed Councillor Saundercook Acting Chair and vacated the Chair.

**Councillor Davis moved:**

- (5) That the Toronto District School Board and the Toronto Catholic School Board be requested to forward copies of any comments they are submitting to the Alcohol and Gaming Commission to the York Community Council; and**
- (6) that the deputations be received.**

**Carried.**

Councillor Davis returned to the Chair.



**(Clause No. 8(f), Report No. 10)**

**10.6 Application for Liquor Licence, 2011 Lawrence Ave. W., #5 and #6,  
Three Kings Restaurant - Ward 27, York Humber.**

The York Community Council had before it a report (September 28, 1999) from the City Clerk providing departmental comments following circulation of the Municipal Information form from the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario and the Licence Clearance form completed by the applicant; and recommending that authorization be provided to forward the comments to the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario.

**Councillor Mihevc moved:**

- (1) That the foregoing report from the City Clerk be deferred to the November 9, 1999 meeting; and**
- (2) That the Toronto Police Services be requested to submit a confidential report on the subject establishment.**

**Carried.**

Councillor Davis appointed Councillor Saundercook Acting Chair and vacated the Chair.

**Councillor Davis moved:**

- (3) That the City Solicitor be requested to provide a legal opinion with respect to risk and liability to the City if the process for a liquor licence is delayed, by virtue of the City requesting confidential information from the Police when considering comments from staff regarding liquor licence applications.**

**Carried.**

Councillor Davis returned to the Chair.

**Councillor Nunziata moved:**

- (4) That the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, be requested to**

**report on the parking requirements for this establishment and on the actual number of parking spaces in the plaza.**

**Carried.**

**(Clause No. 8(g), Report No. 10)**

**10.7 Preliminary Evaluation Report, Zoning By-law Amendment,  
4 Venn Crescent and 2409 Eglinton Avenue West,  
Owner: Teresa Cieciara & Joseph Stepien,  
Applicant: Joseph Stepien - Ward 27, York Humber.**

The York Community Council had before it a report (September 23, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, West District Providing preliminary comments on an application to amend a site-specific By-law to permit an extension to an existing dwelling in order to accommodate 3 residential apartment units and one retail store; advising that the parcel of land is presently occupied by a Retail store fronting Eglinton Avenue West with a residential use above; that the commercial component was formerly used as an Auto Glass Repair shop, the site is zoned Local Commercial-Residential (LCR) and is subject to specific provisions under Zoning By-law No. 1-83 section 16.222 which identifies uses permitted on the subject lands; that this section limits the range of commercial uses permitted and does not permit a retail store or dwelling units and accordingly, an application to rezone the property to permit the proposed uses is required; the applicant has proposed a two storey structural addition to an existing one storey building on the Eglinton Avenue West frontage of the property; the proposed addition will have a ground floor area of 39.02m<sup>2</sup> and will facilitate the proposed retail store having exposure from Eglinton Avenue West; the commercial use will have a total gross floor area of 96.24 m<sup>2</sup> and will be accessible from Eglinton Avenue West, with the 265.32 m<sup>2</sup> balance of the building being utilized for three two-bedroom residential units; the building façade visible and accessible from Venn Crescent will be converted to a two storey building from a bungalow while maintaining a residential character and use; that four off-street parking stalls are proposed on the east side of the property, parallel to the building; that the application is currently under circulation and a staff report will be distributed to Councillors and will be available for review to the public; that a Community meeting will be held prior to the completion of the final staff report, if deemed necessary by the Ward Councillors, given the minor nature of this application; and recommending that:

- (1) this report be received and that the application continue to be circulated; and,
- (2) upon completion of a Planning staff report, a public meeting to consider the application be scheduled for a meeting of Community Council.

**On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Community Council approved the recommendations in the foregoing report.**

**(Clause No. 8(h), Report No. 10)**

**10.8 Preliminary Evaluation Report, Zoning By-law Amendment, Westside Developments Ltd., 1001 Roselawn Avenue - Ward 28, York-Eglinton.**

The York Community Council had before it a report (September 28, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, West District, providing preliminary comments on the processing of an application received September 2, 1999, from Westside Developments Ltd. to amend alteration and Zoning By-law No. 1-83 from PE-Prestige Employment Zone to Residential Multiple Zone 1 (RM1), to permit the conversion of a manufacturing/warehouse building to an apartment building containing 171 condominium live/work units and construction of 61 residential townhouse units; and advising that City funding is not required and that there is no impact on capital or operating budgets which have been identified at this time; that the site is designated Employment in the York Official Plan and this designation generally provides for a wide range of employment activities; that residential and live/work uses may, without amendment to the Official Plan, be introduced subject to certain criteria (contained within Section 12.8 of the Plan) for considering the appropriateness of the residential use and staff are currently reviewing the application for compliance with the Official Plan; that the site is zoned PE-Prestige Employment under Zoning By-law No. 1-83 which does not permit residential uses and therefore an amendment is required; that the applicant has proposed the conversion of the existing 4-storey manufacturing/warehouse building and the construction of a partial 5<sup>th</sup> storey, for a "loft style" 171-unit condominium live/work apartment building containing 1 and 2-bedroom apartments of approximately 78 to 112 m<sup>2</sup> (840 to 1,210 sq.ft.) in size; that the application is currently in circulation and a staff report on the application will be distributed to Councillors and will be available to the public, prior to the Public Meeting that a Community Meeting is recommended to be arranged in consultation with the Ward Councillors and a Site Plan application for the proposal is being processed concurrently; and recommending that:

- (1) this report be received, and that the application continue to be circulated;
- (2) upon completion of a Planning staff report, a public meeting to consider the application be scheduled for a meeting of Community Council; and
- (3) a community meeting be arranged in consultation with the local Councillors.

- Mr. Murray Goldman, applicant, appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

**Councillor Mihevc moved:**

**That the foregoing application be fast-tracked on conditions that (a) the applicant will be responsible for the associated costs such as overtime to be determined by staff, and (b) there is no negative effect on other development applications,**

**Yes: Councillors Mihevc and Saundercook**

**No: Councillors Davis and Nunziata**

**Motion lost on a tie vote.**

**Councillor Nunziata moved:**

- (1) That the recommendation in the foregoing report from the Director of Community Planning, West District, be approved,**

**Carried.**

Councillor Davis appointed Councillor Saundercook Acting Chair and vacated the Chair.

**Councillor Davis moved:**

**That the Director of Community Planning, West District, be requested to:**

- (2) attempt to have the Planning staff report ready for the December 2, 1999 meeting of the York Community Council;**
- (3) report on the fast-tracking program to the Community Council and to the Planning and Transportation Committee; and**

**that the deputation be received.**

**Carried.**

Councillor Davis returned to the Chair.

**(Clause No. 8(I), Report No. 10)**

**10.9 New Development Applications for York District,  
Ward 27, York Humber and Ward 28, York Eglinton.**

The York Community Council had before it a report (September 8, 1999) from the Director, Community Planning, West District, reporting that since the beginning of 1999 the number of applications received is as follows:

Official Plan Amendment	2	Plan of Condominium	1
Zoning By-law Amendment	6	Part-lot Control Exemption	0
Site Plan Approval	12		

and advising that the following new development applications have been received since the last report to Community Council, within Wards 27 and 28 of the West Community Planning District (former City of York):

**1. ZONING BY-LAW AMENDMENT**

Applicant: Westside Developments Ltd.  
Owner: Coats Canada Inc.  
Property Address: 1001 Roselawn Avenue  
Proposal: 171 Condominium Live/Work Units and New Townhouses  
Development with 61 residential units

**2. SITE PLAN APPROVALS**

Applicant: Westside Developments Ltd.  
Owner: Coats Canada Inc.  
Property Address: 1001 Roselawn Avenue  
Proposal: 171 Condominium Live/Work Units and New

Applicant: Tony Cornacchia  
Owner: Tony Cornacchia  
Property Address: 164 Vaughan Road  
Proposal: Four unit multiple dwelling house

Applicant: Ralph Griffo  
Owner: Carlos Tavares in trust  
Property Address: 561 Silverthorn Avenue  
Proposal: Single Family Dwellings

3. PLAN OF CONDOMINIUM

Applicant: Gregory Defreitas  
Owner: B.G. Schickedanz Central Inc.  
Property Address: 1400 Weston Road  
Proposal: 43-Unit Townhouses

**On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Community Council received the foregoing report.**

**(Clause No. 8(j), Report No. 10)**

**10.10 Poll Results: Proposal for Implementation of On-street Permit Parking on Teston Boulevard, Ward 27, York Humber.**

The York Community Council had before it a report (September 16, 1999) from the City Clerk providing the results of a poll conducted of residents on Teston Boulevard to determine interest in a proposal to implement on-street permit parking; advising that the following is a breakdown of the poll results based on the number of replies returned by resident owners, non-resident owners and tenants:

Total No. Polled:	22
No. of Replies Received:	5 or 23%
No. of Replies IN FAVOUR:	0
No. of Replies NOT IN FAVOUR:	5 or 100%

that the majority of residents, based on the responses received, are not in favour of the proposal to implement on-street permit parking on Teston Boulevard; and recommending that the Community Council provide direction.

**The Community Council received the foregoing report.**

**(Clause No. 8(k), Report No. 10)**

**10.11 Poll Results: Proposal to Implement On-street Permit Parking on the East Side of Outlook Garden Boulevard, Ward 27, York Humber.**

The York Community Council had before it a report (September 16, 1999) from the City Clerk providing the results of a poll conducted of residents on Outlook Garden Boulevard, to determine interest in a proposal to implement on-street permit parking on the east side of the street; advising that the following is a breakdown of the poll results based on the number of replies returned by resident owners, non-resident owners and tenants:

Total No. Polled:	31
No. of Replies Received:	8 or 26%
No. of Replies IN FAVOUR:	2 or 25%
No. of Replies NOT IN FAVOUR:	6 or 75%

that the majority of residents, based on the responses received, are not in favour of the proposal to implement on-street permit parking on the east side of Outlook garden Boulevard; and recommending that the Community Council provide direction.

**The Community Council received the foregoing report.**

**(Clause No. 8(l), Report No. 10)**

**10.12 Poll Results: Proposal to Implement a "No Stopping" Regulation  
8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday on the  
West Side of Avalon Avenue - Ward 27, York Humber.**

The York Community Council had before it a report (September 17, 1999) from the City Clerk providing the results of a poll conducted of residents on Avalon Avenue from Bexley Crescent to the north terminus, to determine interest in a proposal to implement a "No Stopping" regulation, 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. Monday to Friday, on the west side of Avalon Avenue; advising that the following is a breakdown of the poll results based on the number of replies returned by resident owners, non-resident owners and tenants:

Total No. Polled:	15
No. of Replies Received:	4 or 27%
No. of Replies IN FAVOUR:	0
No. of Replies NOT IN FAVOUR:	4 or 100%

that the majority of residents, based on the responses received, are not in favour of the proposal to implement a "No Stopping" regulation on the west side of Avalon Avenue; and recommending that the Community Council provide direction.

**The Community Council received the foregoing report.**

**(Clause No. 8(m), Report No. 10)**

**10.13 Poll Results: Proposal to Implement On-Street Permit Parking on the  
West Side of Riverside Drive, from a Point 58 Metres North of  
Bloor Street and Old Mill Drive - Ward 27, York Humber.**

The York Community Council had before it a report (September 17, 1999) from the City Clerk providing the results of a poll conducted of residents on Avalon Avenue from

Bexley Crescent to the north terminus, to determine interest in a proposal to implement a "No Stopping" regulation, 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. Monday to Friday, on the west side of Avalon Avenue; advising that the following is a breakdown of the poll results based on the number of replies returned by resident owners, non-resident owners and tenants:

Total No. Polled:	79
No. of Replies Received:	27 or 34%
No. of Replies IN FAVOUR:	9 or 37%
No. of Replies NOT IN FAVOUR:	18 or 67%

that the majority of residents, based on the responses received, are not in favour of the proposal to implement on-street permit parking on the west side of Riverside Drive from a point 58 metres north of Bloor Street and Old Mill Drive; and recommending that the Community Council provide direction.

**The Community Council received the foregoing report.**

**(Clause No. 8(n), Report No. 10)**

**10.14 Poll Results: Proposal to Implement On-street Permit Parking on Landour Avenue - Ward 27, York Humber.**

The York Community Council had before it a report (September 16, 1999) from the City Clerk providing the results of a poll conducted of residents on Landour Avenue, to determine interest in a proposal to implement on-street permit parking; advising that the following is a breakdown of the poll results based on the number of replies returned by resident owners, non-resident owners and tenants:

Total No. Polled:	37
No. of Replies Received:	8 or 22%
No. of Replies IN FAVOUR:	1 or 12%
No. of Replies NOT IN FAVOUR:	7 or 88%

that the majority of residents, based on the responses received, are not in favour of the proposal to implement on-street permit parking on Landour Avenue; and recommending that the Community Council provide direction.

**The Community Council received the foregoing report.**

**(Clause No. 8(o), Report No. 10)**

**10.15 Poll Results Proposal to Implement On-street Permit Parking on Humber Hill Avenue, Ward 27, York Humber.**



The York Community Council had before it a report (September 17, 1999) from the City Clerk providing the results of a poll conducted of residents on Humber Hill Avenue, to determine interest in a proposal to implement on-street permit parking; advising that the following is a breakdown of the poll results based on the number of replies returned by resident owners, non-resident owners and tenants:

Total No. Polled:	9
No. of Replies Received:	3 or 33%
No. of Replies IN FAVOUR:	1 or 33%
No. of Replies NOT IN FAVOUR:	2 or 67%

that the majority of residents, based on the responses received, are not in favour of the proposal to implement on-street permit parking on Humber Hill Avenue; and recommending that the Community Council provide direction.

**The Community Council received the foregoing report.**

**(Clause No. 8(p), Report No. 10)**

**10.16 Poll Results: Proposal to Replace Alternate Side Parking  
on Beechwood Avenue with Exclusive On-street Permit Parking  
on the East Side of Beechwood Avenue - Ward 27, York Humber.**

The York Community Council had before it a report (September 20, 1999) from the City Clerk providing the results of a poll conducted of the residents on Beechwood Avenue (municipal addresses #2 to 50 only), to determine interest in a proposal to implement on-street permit parking on the east side of the street; advising that the following is a breakdown of the poll results based on the number of replies returned by resident owners, non-resident owners and tenants:

Total No. Polled:	54
No. of Replies Received:	14 or 26%
No. of Replies IN FAVOUR:	4 or 29%
No. of Replies NOT IN FAVOUR:	10 or 71%

that the majority of residents, based on the responses received, are not in favour of the proposal to replace alternate side parking on Beechwood Avenue with exclusive on-street permit parking on the east side of the street; and recommending that the Community Council provide direction.

**The Community Council received the foregoing report.**

**(Clause No. 8(q), Report No. 10)**

**10.17 Poll Results: Proposal to Change Direction of Traffic on the Municipal Lane Between Nickle Street and Mahoney Avenue from Two-Way to One-way Southbound, Ward 27, York Humber.**

The York Community Council had before it a report (September 24, 1999) from the City Clerk providing the results of a poll conducted of the residents on Cobalt Street, Mahoney Avenue, Nickle Street and Sedan Avenue, to determine interest in a proposal to change the direction of traffic in the municipal lane between Nickle Street and Mahoney Avenue, from two-way to one-way southbound; advising that the following is a breakdown of the poll results based on the number of replies returned by resident owners, non-resident owners and tenants:

Total No. Polled:	193
No. of Replies Received:	19 or 10%
No. of Replies IN FAVOUR:	15 or 79%
No. of Replies NOT IN FAVOUR:	4 or 21%

that the majority of residents, based on the responses received, are in favour of the proposal to change the direction of traffic on the portion of the municipal lane between Nickle Street and Mahoney Avenue, from two-way to one-way southbound; and recommending that the Community Council provide direction.

**The Community Council received the foregoing report.**

**(Clause No. 8(r), Report No. 10)**

**10.18 (1) Proposal to Implement Alternate Side Parking on Hilddale Road;  
(2) Proposal to Extend On-street Permit Parking on Hilddale Road, Between Garrow Avenue and Humber Boulevard South, Ward 27, York Humber.**

The York Community Council had before it a report (September 27, 1999) from the City Clerk providing the results of a poll conducted of the residents on Hilddale Road to determine interest in (1) the implementation of alternate side parking; and (2) the extension of permit parking on Hilddale Road between Garrow Road and Humber Boulevard South; advising that the following is a breakdown of the poll results based on the number of replies returned by resident owners, non-resident owners and tenants:

Total No. Polled:	74
No. of replies received:	19* or 26%

\* Of the 19 forms returned, one did not include a response to proposal (2)

Proposal (1) - To Implement Alternate Side Parking

No. of replies IN FAVOUR: 7 or 37%  
No. of replies NOT IN FAVOUR: 12 or 63%

Proposal (2) - To Extend On-Street Permit Parking on Hilldale Road  
between Garrow Road and Humber Boulevard South

No. of replies IN FAVOUR: 7 or 39%  
No. of replies NOT IN FAVOUR: 11 or 61%

that based on the responses received, the majority of residents are not in favour of Proposals (1) and (2); and recommending that the Community Council provide direction.

**On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council:**

- (1) requested the City Clerk to re-poll the section of Hilldale Road from Garrow Road north to Humber Boulevard South to determine interest in alternate side parking; and
- (2) received the foregoing report.

**(Clause No. 8(s), Report No. 10)**

**10.19 Decision-Making Protocol for Parks and Recreation Matters, All Wards.**

The York Community Council had before it a communication (September 16, 1999) from the City Clerk advising that the Economic Development and Parks Committee on September 13, 1999, had before it a report (August 23, 1999) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, recommending that:

- (1) this report be forwarded to the Community Councils for consideration, with a request that any comments be forwarded to the Economic Development and Parks Committee for consideration at its November 8, 1999 meeting;
- (2) upon consideration of this report and any comments from the Community Councils, the Economic Development and Parks Committee endorse the protocol outlined in this report for dealing with parks and recreation matters and authorize its use by the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism (or designate) in determining the appropriate Committee routing process for parks and recreation matters; and
- (3) authority be granted to introduce any necessary Bills in Council;

and that the Economic Development and Parks Committee on September 13, 1999, referred the report (August 23, 1999) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism to all Community Councils for consideration with a request that any comments be forwarded to the Economic Development and Parks Committee for consideration at its November 8, 1999 meeting, subject to the following amendments:

- (1) amending Recommendation No. (2) to read:
  - (2) upon consideration of this report and any comments from the Community Councils, the Economic Development and Parks Committee endorse a protocol for dealing with parks and recreation matters and authorize its use by the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism (or designate) in determining the appropriate Committee routing process for parks and recreation matters;□;
- (2) amending Recommendation No. (3) to read:
  - (3) authority be granted, at the appropriate time, to introduce any necessary Bills in Council.□ and
- (3) deleting the word "citizen" in the following report and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "nomination to City-wide special committees and task forces of residents and/or municipal property taxpayers in the City of Toronto who are at least 18 years of age, except on bodies dealing with children and youth issues.□"

**The Community Council noted and received the foregoing information.**

**(Clause No. 8(t), Report No. 10)**

#### **10.20 Speed Bumps on Ashbury Avenue - Ward 28, York Eglinton.**

The York Community Council had before it a communication (September 13, 1999) from Councillor J. Mihevc requesting that staff undertake a traffic analysis on Ashbury Avenue, particularly with regard to volume and speeds, such study to form the basis for a proposal for the installation of speed bumps; and that such study occur before the end of the year in order to prepare for construction in 2000.

**On motion by Councillor Mihevc, the Community Council:**

- (1) **requested the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, to conduct a traffic analysis on Ashbury Avenue and to bring forward previous reports undertaken regarding Ashbury Avenue; and**

- (2) received the foregoing communication.

(Clause No. 8(u), Report No. 10)

**10.21 Traffic Management Measures on Holland Park Avenue  
Ward 28, York Eglinton.**

(September 21, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, responding to a request from the York Community Council for a report on the feasibility of introducing a variety of traffic management measures on Holland Park Avenue related to residents' concerns on vehicular speed and direction of travel on the following:

- (1) the installation of speed bumps on Holland Park Avenue in order to reduce the speed of traffic;
- (2) the installation of stop signs at Robina Avenue and Cedric Avenue where they intersect with Holland Park Avenue;
- (3) the narrowing of the road at the intersections to prevent vehicles travelling the wrong way;
- (4) the introduction of alternate side parking on Holland Park Avenue during the months of April to September; and
- (5) the reversion of the direction of traffic on Holland Park Avenue from two-way back to one-way; and

recommending that Uniform Traffic By-law Nos. 196-84 and 2958-84 be amended to extend the two-way traffic regulation on Holland Park Avenue from a point 52 metres east of Oakwood Avenue to Robina Avenue.

**On motion by Councillor Mihevc, the Community Council:**

- (1) **deferred the issue related to alternate side parking on Holland Park Avenue between Oakwood Avenue and Winona Drive to a later date until all other options have been considered;**
- (2) **requested the City Clerk to:**
  - (a) **undertake a poll of the residents only on Holland Park Avenue between Oakwood Avenue and Winona Drive to determine interest in the one of the following options:**
    - (i) **maintaining the status quo with or without a throat narrowing at**

**the first house east of the parking lot;**

**(ii) extending the two-way directional traffic regulation on Holland Park Avenue to Robina Avenue; or**

**(iii) reverting to the previous one-way eastbound on Holland Park Avenue from Oakwood Avenue to Winona Drive;**

**(b) include in the poll letter the telephone number for Multilingual Services for residents to call if a translation is required.**

**(Clause No. 8(v), Report No. 10)**

**10.22 Request for Information on Traffic Reports  
in Ward 27, York Humber and Ward 28, York Eglinton.**

**On motion by Councillor Mihevc, the Community Council requested the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, to submit a status report with respect to the number of requests received for reports on traffic and parking issues relating to Wards 27 and 28.**

**(Clause No. 8(w), Report No. 10)**

**10.23 Request for All-Way Stop Control at Charlton Settlement  
Avenue and Dora Spencer Road - Ward 27, York Humber.**

The York Community Council had before it a report (October 6, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, responding to a request from Toronto Police Service, Community Policing Support Unit - School Crossing to install an all way stop control at the intersection of Charlton Settlement Avenue and Dora Spencer Road; advising that the installation of an all-way stop control at the intersection of Charlton Settlement Avenue and Dora Spencer Road would clearly establish right-of-way for vehicular traffic and would also enhance safety for the children attending Portage Trail Community School; that funds to undertake the necessary signage installation at the intersection of Charlton Settlement Avenue and Dora Spencer Road, in the estimated amount of \$300.00, are contained in the Transportation Services Division 1999 Operating Budget; and recommending that:

- (1) the Uniform Traffic By-law Nos. 196-84 and 2958-94 be amended to install stop signs on Charlton Settlement Avenue for north and southbound vehicular traffic, at the intersection of Dora Spencer Road; and**
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.**

**On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.**

**(Clause No. 7, Report No. 10)**

The Community Council recessed at 11:40 a.m.  
The Community Council reconvened at 7:10 p.m.

Members present:     Councillor R. Davis  
                              Councillor J. Mihevc  
                              Councillor F. Nunziata

**10.24 Public Meeting - Draft By-law regarding the Alteration of Atlas Avenue by the Implementation of Various Traffic Calming Measures - Ward 28, York Eglinton.**

The York Community Council held a public meeting regarding the following Draft By-law to permit the following alterations; and that pursuant to Clause No. 11(a), Report No. 8 of the York Community Council, titled "Request to Revert the Two-Way Operation on Atlas Avenue between Eglinton Avenue West and the Beth Shalom Synagogue to One-Way Northbound", a notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on September 20, September 27, October 4 and October 11, 1999:

1. The alteration of Atlas Avenue at a distance of 54 metres south of Eglinton Avenue West, by constructing a speed hump with a maximum height of 80 mm, together with a curbed narrowing, as shown on the drawing attached as Schedule "A" to this By-law; and,
  2. The alteration of Atlas Avenue at a distance of 50 metres north of Gloucester Grove, by constructing a speed hump with a maximum height of 80 mm, as shown on the drawing attached as Schedule "A" to this By-law.
- Mr. Jim Shankman, Toronto, appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

Ref. Clause No. 11(a), Report No. 8 of the York Community Council meeting held on July 15, 1999.

**On motion by Councillor Mihevc, the Community Council recommended to Council**

**that the by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted; and that the necessary Bills be introduced in Council to give effect thereto.**

**(Clause No. 5, Report No. 10)**

**10.25 Public Meeting - Draft By-law to Authorize the Alteration of Dewbourne Avenue and Strathearn Road by the Implementation of Various Traffic Calming Measures - Cedarvale Traffic Management Plan - Ward 28, York Eglinton.**

The York Community Council held a public meeting regarding a Draft By-law to permit the following alterations of Dewbourne Avenue and Strathearn Road by the implementation of various traffic calming measures and to repeal By-law Nos. 3557-97, 3543-97 and 3538-97 of the former City of York, which were enacted as part of the implementation of the Cedarvale Traffic Management Plan and should be repealed as a result of certain proposed modifications with respect to Dewbourne Avenue and Strathearn Road:

A. Road Alterations:

1. The alteration of Dewbourne Avenue at a distance of 30 metres west of Menin Road, by constructing a speed hump with a maximum height of 80 mm, as shown on the drawing attached as Schedule "A" to this By-law;
2. The alteration of Strathearn Road at a distance of 105 metres south of Ava Road, by constructing a speed hump with a maximum height of 80 mm, as shown on the drawing attached as Schedule "A" to this By-law; and,
3. The alteration of Strathearn Road at a distance of 130 metres east of Chiltren Hill Road, by constructing a speed hump with a maximum height of 80 mm, together with a curbed narrowing, as shown on the drawing attached as Schedule "A" to this By-law.

B. By-laws of the former City of York to be repealed:

1. By-law No. 3557-97, being "A By-law To Authorize the alteration of Dewbourne Avenue, in the City of York";
2. By-law No. 3543-97, being "A By-law To Authorize the alteration of Strathearn Road, in the City of York"; and
3. By-law No. 3538-97, being "A By-law To Authorize the alteration of Strathearn Road, in the City of York."

Pursuant to Clause No. 12 of Report No. 9 of the York Community Council, titled



“Cedarvale Traffic Management Plan” as adopted by Council on September 28, 29 and 30, 1999, a notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on September 20, September 27, October 4 and October 11, 1999:

The following persons appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Jim Shankman, Toronto;
- Nathan Cheifetz, Toronto;
- Naomi Kestenbaum, Toronto;
- Howard Easkov, Toronto;
- Eleanor Osborne, Toronto;
- Edie Neuberger, Toronto; and
- Rick Orzy, Toronto.

Ref. Clause No. 12 of Report No. 9 of the York Community Council, titled “Cedarvale Traffic Management Plan” which was adopted, without amendment, by City Council at its meeting held on September 28 and 29, 1999.

**Councillor Mihevc moved:**

- (1) That the York Community Council recommend to Council that the by-law in the form of the Draft By-law be enacted; and that the necessary Bills be introduced in Council to give effect thereto; and**
- (2) That the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to expedite the process this year and install as soon as possible, the traffic calming measures approved in report the dated September 10, 1999 from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, embodied in Clause No. 12 of Report No. 9 of the York Community Council, which was adopted without amendment by City Council on September 28, 29 & 30, 1999, viz, Items 1 to 5 in Table 1, Item 10 in Table 2 and Items 1 and 2 in Table 3;**

Councillor Davis appointed Councillor Nunziata Acting Chair, and vacated the Chair.

**Councillor Davis moved:**

- (3) That staff measure and report on the speed and volume of vehicles on the streets that have received traffic calming in Phase 1; and**
- (4) also include in the report a list of the residents and their addresses who were**

**polled in the Traffic Calming Survey.**

**Councillor Mihevc in amendment moved:**

- (5) That such measurement to be conducted six months after for those streets in Phase II, and that this data and other statistics be used to evaluate the traffic calming plan for the Cedarvale community**

**Carried.**

**Councillor Davis moved:**

- (6) That on streets where there was no support indicated for traffic calming, that the residents be polled one year from now (Items 1 to 9 in Table 2)**

**For: Councillor Davis**

**Against: Councillors Mihevc and Nunziata**

**Motion lost.**

**Councillor Davis moved:**

- (7) That the repealing by-laws be deferred for one year;**

**For: Davis**

**Against: Mihevc and Nunziata**

**Motion lost.**

Councillor Davis returned to the Chair.

**Upon the question that motions (1) and (2) by Councillor Mihevc, be adopted, it was carried.**

**(Clause No. 6, Report No. 10)**

## **10.26 Fire and Ambulance Services - KPMG Reports.**

The York Community Council held a public meeting to hearing comments from the public regarding the following reports:

- (i) (September 28, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, Fire Chief and General Manager, Ambulance - Re: Main Report**

Providing City Council with a response from the staff of Toronto Fire Services (TFS) and Toronto Ambulance Services (TAS) regarding the recommendations contained in the KPMG Fire and Ambulance Services Station Location and Facilities Study; and advising that this is the main report from staff, with three supplemental reports of the same date addressing specific issues; that this report recommends:

- (a) the construction of six new fire stations over the next six years - four of which will be constructed to accommodate co-sharing with ambulance;
- (b) the construction of two new fire stations to replace four existing stations - one of which will be constructed to accommodate co-sharing with ambulance;
- (c) the demolition and re-building of two existing fire stations; and
- (d) the repair of numerous fire stations; and

that the estimated construction costs are noted in chart form on Attachment "A"; the estimated fire station repair costs are itemized in Attachment "D1", and calendarized in Attachment "D2"; and recommending that Council adopt the recommendations of the KPMG Study as amended by this report, and that the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to implement those recommendations in accordance with the implementation schedule included as Attachment "B".

- (ii) (September 28, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and Fire Chief - **Re: Supplemental Report #1 of 3;**  
**Closing of Fire Station T26 - 153 Chatham Avenue - Ward 26**  
**Closing of Fire Station T31 - 462 Runnymede Road - Ward 19**

Providing City Council with a response from staff of Toronto Fire Services (TFS) to a Councillor's request to consider alternative options for the closing of fire stations T26 and T31 as recommended in the KPMG Fire Station Location and Facilities Study report; advising that the recommendation to close four fire stations and construct two new fire stations has capital budget implications which are contained in another report of the same date to Committee/Council; and recommending is recommended that City Council receive this report as information.

- (iii) (September 28, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and Fire Chief - **Re: Supplemental Report #2 of 3 - Risk and Insurance**

Providing City Council with a response from Toronto Fire Services (TFS) staff to a Councillor's request for additional information respecting recommendations contained within the KPMG Fire Station Location and Facilities Study report; advising that there are no funding implications associated with the presentation of this

report; and recommending that City Council receive this report as information.

- (iv) (September 28, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and Fire Chief - **Re: Supplemental Report #3 of 3**
  - **Rationalization of Facilities**
  - **Re-allocation of Apparatus**
  - **Fleet Maintenance**
  - **Human Resource Implications**

Advising that this report provides City Council with a response from staff of Toronto Fire Services (TFS) to a Councillor's request for additional information respecting recommendations contained within the KPMG Fire Station Location and Facilities Study report; that there are no funding implications associated with the presentation of this report; and recommending that City Council receive this report as information.

Fire Chief, Alan Speed and Mr. Ron Kelusky, General Manager, Ambulance Services, presented the abovementioned reports.

- Mr. Karl Stankov appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

**The Community Council noted and received the information contained in the foregoing reports.**

**(Clause No. 8(a), Report No. 10)**

**10.27 Organizational Structure for the New Committee of Adjustment, All Wards.**

The York Community Council held a public meeting to hear comments from the public with respect to the above subject.

The Community Council also had before it the following reports and communications:

- (i) (September 24, 1999) **Further Report** from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services advising that this further report was requested by the Planning and Transportation Committee at its meeting held on September 13, 1999; that the Planning and Transportation Committee requested that an information report be prepared for its meeting of October 4, 1999 regarding:
  - a compilation of samples of planning reports currently used with a view towards a standard citywide format;
  - how the proposed new citywide Committee process could be structured to

York Community Council Minutes  
Tuesday, October 12, 1999

---

- allow for evening meetings where requested;
- the right of a Councillor to defer a hearing for additional community input;
- amending the basic qualifications for appointment; and
- what increase of application fees would be required to accommodate increased membership on the Committee of Adjustment panels;

and providing comments on the above issues; and recommending that the August 26, 1999 Report entitled "Organizational structure for the new Committee of Adjustment (All Wards)" be adopted.

- (ii) (September 14, 1999) from the City Clerk, advising that on September 13, 1999, the Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to the August 26, 1999 report from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services and that the Planning and Transportation Committee recommended that:

Recommendation (2) to (6) in the report (August 26, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, and the following motions, be referred to the Community Councils for review and comment to the Planning and Transportation Committee for its November 1, 1999 meeting:

By Councillor McConnell:

"That Recommendation 2(iii) of the report (August 26, 1999) be amended to clarify that it is the Committee of Adjustment that appoints a City-wide Chair, so as to read:

"2(iii) the Committee of Adjustment appoint a City-wide Chair to provide leadership for the Committee and each Panel appoint a District Chair to guide the process at the local level"; and"

By Councillor Berger

"That the membership of the Committee of Adjustment consist of 5 members, chaired by the Secretary-Treasurer."; and

that the Planning and Transportation Committee further requested the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services to:

- (1) report to the next meeting of the Planning and Transportation Committee on October 4, 1999, and to the Community Councils, if possible:
  - a. with a compilation of sample staff reports utilized by each of the Committees of Adjustment for review by the Planning and Transportation Committee with a view to recommending a standard format;

- b. on how the process could be structured to allow for evening meetings where requested;
- c. on a "bump-up" provision for evening meetings made at the request of a City Councillor;
- d. on the right of a City Councillor to defer a hearing for additional community input;
- e. on amending the second "Basic Qualification for Appointment to the Committee of Adjustment" contained in Appendix 3 of the report (August 26, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services by deleting the words "and/or municipal property taxpayer in", so as to read:

"Any person applying for appointment shall be, and must maintain status throughout their term of office as:

- a resident of the City of Toronto
- a Canadian citizen or landed immigrant
- at least 18 years of age"
- f. on a Committee of Adjustment fee increase to accommodate an increase in the membership of the panels, as follows:
  - north, east and west panels to 7 members with 5 members sitting per session; and
  - south district panel to 9 members; and

- (2) give a presentation on the organizational structure for the new Committee of Adjustment to the Planning and Transportation Committee when it considers this matter and the comments from the Community Councils.

- (iii) (August 26, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, advising that this report recommends the organizational structure for a new city-wide Committee of Adjustment, as requested by City Council prior to proceeding with the nomination process and subsequent appointments; that the City Solicitor will submit the draft enabling by-law which is required by the Planning Act; that no additional costs would result from the recommendations in this report; that City Council requested this report at its meeting of April 13, 14, and 15, 1999 when it adopted, with amendments, the February 10, 1999 report, Policy for Citizen Appointments through the Nominating Committee and the Corporate Services Committee; that at that meeting, City Council also terminated the terms of

appointees to any Agency, Board, Commission or Tribunal of a former City effective December 31, 1999; that the Chief Administrative Officer was also requested to report to the Administration Committee at its September, 1999 meeting on those committees requiring an extension of appointments to facilitate the nomination process; that a new report on New Practices for the Review of Development Applications, which will be considered by Planning and Transportation Committee at its October 4, 1999 meeting, also recommends submission of an organizational structure report for the Committee of Adjustment; that a critical review was conducted of the organizational structure in place for the six Committees of the former municipalities and that given the common legislative base, the Planning Act, the difference in practices, resources and service levels are remarkable, but understandable as varied approaches were developed over time in the cultures of the former cities; that staff proposals with respect to (1) Composition of the New Committee (see Appendix 2); (2) the Time and Location of Hearings; (3) Member Qualifications; and (4) the Administrative Support and Budget are set out in this report for harmonizing the organizational structure for the new Committee of Adjustment city-wide; that these proposals provide a framework for development of common operations to offer consistent customer service levels across the new City; and recommending that:

- (1) this report be referred to the Community Councils for review and comment to the Planning and Transportation Committee for its November 1, 1999 meeting;
- (2) Council approve the following structure for the new Committee of Adjustment for the City of Toronto:
  - (I) the Committee operate as four District Panels corresponding with the four geographic Districts through which City Planning services are delivered;
  - (ii) the Committee comprise a total of 22 members assigned and nominated as follows:
 

North District:	5 members - all nominated by North York Community Council;
South District:	7 members- all nominated by Toronto Community Council;
East District:	5 members - 2 nominated by East York Community Council; and 3 nominated by Scarborough Community Council;
West District:	5 members - 2 nominated by York

York Community Council Minutes  
Tuesday, October 12, 1999

---

Community Council; and  
3 nominated by Etobicoke  
Community Council;

- (iii) the Committee appoint a city-wide Chair, to provide leadership for the Committee and each Panel appoint a District Chair to guide the process at the local level;
  - (iv) each Hearing be conducted by three of the respective District Panel members, the sitting members to be assigned on a rotational basis;
  - (v) each Committee Hearing be held during regular business hours; and
  - (vi) the Committee's four District Panels conduct Hearings in the following four locations: Toronto City Hall and the North York, Scarborough and Etobicoke civic centres;
- (3) the City Solicitor be requested to prepare a by-law for presentation to and approval by City Council to constitute one Committee of Adjustment for the City of Toronto and to delegate approval authority to hear applications for minor variance/permission and for the creation of new lots by consent, as permitted by the Planning Act;
  - (4) City Council direct the City Clerk's Division, Corporate Services Department to commence the process for nominations by the Community Councils;
  - (5) the Committee of Adjustment, when constituted, be requested to appoint the City Planning Executive Director/Chief Planner or delegate(s) as its Secretary-Treasurer; and
  - (6) the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services submit a report to City Council, at the beginning of its next term, evaluating the new Committee of Adjustment's organizational structure.
- (iv) (October 5, 1999) from Mr. Vincent Santamaura, Chair, York Committee of Adjustment, (October 5, 1999) from Mr. Vincent J. Santamaura, Chair, York Committee of Adjustment, submitting comments and concerns in response to the following Recommendations in the report dated August 26, 1999 from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services:
    - 1. Geographic Districts
    - 2. Composition of the Committee
    - 3. Time of Committee Hearings



York Community Council Minutes  
Tuesday, October 12, 1999

---

4. Location of Committee Hearings
5. Member Qualifications
6. Financial Implications
7. Corrections to the Appendices
8. Committee Operations
9. Consultation Process; and
10. Integrity of the Committee;

(A copy of the complete communication is available from the Clerk's Division, York Civic Centre.)

- (v) (October 8, 1999) from Mr. William H. Roberts, Director and former President, Swansea Area Ratepayers Association to the Toronto and York Community Councils, advising that the Association does not support the decision to exclude citizens from the process by holding daytime meetings; that the former City of Toronto took great pride in supporting "participatory planning" which involved citizens in the process not just the stakeholders (i.e. lawyers, agents, architects, builders) who because of their profession can attend daytime meetings; that it is often neighbours who draw to the Committee's attention inconsistencies in what may otherwise appear to be a minor variance; that they fail to see how access would be improved by requiring the citizens of York or East York to travel outside their communities to Etobicoke or Scarborough respectively; that this would require travelling by car not public transit given the existing connections and times to travel; and that the creation of four panels would appear to be a pre-emptive strike to end the existence of East York and York and to move to a four community council structure and preclude the recommendations of the Miller committee of having more, not fewer community councils;
- (vi) (October 8, 1999) from Ms. Sandra Melville, Co-Chair, Warren Park Ratepayers Association; requesting that the hearings of the York Committee of Adjustment be maintained at the civic centre, 2700 Eglinton Avenue West; that moving the meetings will be less convenient for the residents of York and would further dilute and eliminate its local autonomy; and requesting that the meetings for the York district continue to be held at the York Civic Centre.

The following persons appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Vincent Santamaura, Chair, York Committee of Adjustment;
- Mr. Rod Bissell, Chair, Etobicoke Committee of Adjustment;
- Mr. William Roberts, Director, Swansea Area Ratepayers Association; and

- Ms. Marjorie, Mt. Dennis Ratepayers Association.

**On motion by Councillor Mihevc, the Community Council recommended to the Transportation Committee, for consideration at its November 1, 1999 meeting, that:**

- (1) the Panel for the West District consist of :**
  - 5 members all nominated by York Community Council; and
  - 5 members all nominated by Etobicoke Community Council;
- (2) the Committee of Adjustment Hearings for the West District be held in the evenings at the respective York and Etobicoke Civic Centre locations in the interest of accessibility and convenience to applicants and members of the community;**
- (3) the current fees charged by Committees of Adjustment be either maintained or lowered so as to provide a stimulus for renovations and re-development;**
- (4) the requirement that all panelists conduct site visits with respect to assessing applications be continued;**
- (5) the organizational structure for the Committee of Adjustment and its District Panels be re-visited after the matter relating to the structure of Community Councils is dealt with; and**
- (6) the Committee of Adjustment structure follow the principle of a Committee of Adjustment panel for each Community Council area.**

**On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council recommended to the Transportation Committee that:**

- (7) the Nominating Committee's role regarding the interviewing of candidates for Committee of Adjustment panels be delegated to the Community Councils to:**
  - (a) interview only candidates to be nominated as panelists for the Committee of Adjustment in their respective geographic districts; and**
  - (b) submit recommendations to City Council with respect to these appointments;**

Councillor Davis appointed Councillor Nunziata Acting Chair, and vacated the Chair.

**Councillor Davis moved:**

**That staff consider redistributing the panels that do not necessarily conform with the Community Councils boundaries, but comply with some of the built form and natural boundaries that exist on a ward by ward basis.**

**For:           Councillor Davis**  
**Against:     Councillors Mihevc and Nunziata**

**Motion lost.**

**(Clause No. 8(b), Report No. 10)**

Councillor Davis returned to the Chair.

The York Community Council adjourned at 9:45 p.m.

Councillor R. Davis  
Chair