

---

## WORKS COMMITTEE

<b>Date of Meeting:</b>	<b>July 12, 2000</b>	<b>Enquiry:</b>	<b>Trudy Perrin</b>
<b>Time:</b>	<b>9:30 a.m.</b>		<b>Committee Administrator</b>
<b>Location:</b>	<b>Committee Room 1</b>		<b>392-8027</b>
	<b>City Hall</b>		<b>tperrin@city.toronto.on.ca</b>
	<b>100 Queen Street West</b>		

---

### DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST PURSUANT TO THE MUNICIPAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST ACT.

### CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

### DEPUTATIONS/PRESENTATIONS:

PUBLIC HEARING – SCHEDULED FOR 10:30 a.m.
---

- 1. CITY SOLICITOR SUBMITTING DRAFT BY-LAW TO ASSUME HUMBER BOULEVARD BETWEEN BLOOR STREET WEST AND OLD MILL ROAD AND TO RENAME THAT ASSUMED HIGHWAY “OLD MILL TRAIL”.**

*(Not yet available)*

- 1(a).** Clause No. 3 of Report No. 1 of The Works Committee, entitled “Procedure for Eliminating Duplicate Street Names (All Wards)”, as amended by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on February 1, 2 and 3, 2000.

**2. PROPOSED STREET NAMING POLICY –  
COMMUNITY COUNCIL COMMENTS.**

*(DEFERRED FROM MEETING OF MAY 17, 2000)*

**DEPUTATION ITEM – SCHEDULED FOR 10:45 a.m.**

City Clerk

(February 7, 2000)

Advising that the Works Committee, at its meeting on February 7, 2000, had before it a report (January 21, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting the proposed Street Naming Policy; and recommending that:

- (1) the Street Naming Policy, outlined in Attachment No. 1, be circulated to the Community Councils for comment;
- (2) the Community Councils be requested to forward their comments to the Works Committee meeting of April 19, 2000, for processing through to City Council; and
- (3) if the Street Naming Policy contained in this report is adopted, the City Solicitor be authorized to apply for special legislation to extend the provisions of the City of Toronto Act, 1991, which allows for the naming and numbering of private streets, to other areas of the new City of Toronto;

and further advising that the Works Committee concurred in the recommendations embodied in the aforementioned report.

**2(a).** City Clerk

(East York Community Council)

(March 27, 2000)

Advising that the East York Community Council on March 23, 2000, recommended that the Criteria for Name Selection embodied in the proposed Street Naming Policy be amended by:

- (1) striking out No. (3) and inserting in lieu thereof: “Names of living persons may be used.”; and
- (2) striking out No. (4) and inserting in lieu thereof: “A person’s full name should be used as a street name to prevent duplication with an existing street name in Toronto and surrounding municipalities.”

**2(b).** City Clerk  
(Scarborough Community Council)  
 (March 29, 2000)

Advising that the Scarborough Community Council on March 23, 2000, directed that the Works Committee be advised that it endorses the staff recommendations contained in the report (January 21, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Street Naming Policy embodied therein.

**2(c).** City Clerk  
(Toronto Community Council)  
 (March 29, 2000)

Advising that the Toronto Community Council on March 23, 2000, recommended that the Street Naming Policy outlined in Attachment No. (1) of the report (January 21, 2000) be adopted, subject to a statement being inserted to read: "That, as often as possible, names of streets shall not be changed, due to the profound effect on people's lives."

**2(d).** City Clerk  
(North York Community Council)  
 (March 30, 2000)

Advising that the North York Community Council on March 23, 2000, recommended to the Works Committee that:

- (1) the section entitled "Naming and Renaming of Street and Lanes" be the first section to appear in the City of Toronto Street Naming Policy, after the word, "Guidelines";
- (2) item (2) of the section entitled "Application", be amended to read as follows:
  - "2. In the case of a name change, the name change should also include a petition stating the reason for the change and include the names, addresses and signatures of the persons who reside on or own property that fronts on or is adjacent to the subject street."

and further reporting, for the information of the Works Committee and Council, having requested:

- (i) the Commissioner, Urban Development Services, to bring forward a report, when the proposed Street Naming Policy is being considered by City Council, on the feasibility of securing the names of private roads on condominium properties through the condominium registration process; and

- (ii) the City Clerk to bring forward a report, when the proposed Street Naming Policy is being considered by City Council, regarding the effects of changing the names of streets with regard to the voters list; and to set forth recommendations as to when street name changes should be implemented.

**2(e).** City Clerk  
(Etobicoke Community Council)  
(April 1, 2000)

Advising that the Etobicoke Community Council on March 23, 2000, had before it a communication (February 7, 2000) from the City Clerk forwarding the Street Naming Policy, outlined in Attachment No. (1) to the report (January 21, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and that the Etobicoke Community Council received the aforementioned communication.

**2(f).** City Clerk  
(York Community Council)  
(April 13, 2000)

Advising that the York Community Council on March 23, 2000, endorsed the proposed Street Naming Policy as outlined in Attachment No. 1 to the report (January 21, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services.

**2(g).** Mr. Srdjan Besir,  
Toronto  
(April 18, 2000)

Suggesting that the proposed City of Toronto Street Naming Policy be amended by adding the criterion that in recognition of the increasing multicultural composition of the City and the contributions of its many ethnocultural groups, consideration should be given to names which recognize the heritage of the respective ethnocultural groups which comprise the City.

**2(h).** Mr. Srdjan Besir,  
Toronto  
(May 16, 2000)

Suggesting that ethnic content be officially considered as criteria of the Street Naming Policy, and that more opportunity be given to all individual ethnic groups to name streets.

**3. WIND TURBINE PROJECT.**

DEPUTATION ITEM – SCHEDULED FOR 11:00 a.m.

**Terms of Reference for Environmental Assessment Study for Wind Turbine Project.**

Commissioner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
(June 26, 2000)

Recommending that the document entitled “Wind Turbine Assessment Scoping/Terms of Reference Document (Draft) November 1999” prepared by Toronto Renewable Energy Co-Operative and Toronto Hydro be accepted as the basis for the environmental assessment study for the wind turbine project.

**3(a). Implementation Compliance and Monitoring Committee (ICMC) Review of the Main (Ashbridges Bay) Treatment Plant (ABTP) Mediator’s Report dated April 1999, and Toronto Renewable Energy Co-operative (TREC) and Toronto Hydro’s report, entitled “Waterfront Turbines at the Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant” dated June 2000.**

Commissioner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
(June 28, 2000)

Respecting the findings of the Implementation Compliance and Monitoring Committee regarding the City’s compliance with the Mediation Agreement for the Main (Ashbridges Bay) Treatment Plant in respect to Toronto Renewable Energy Co-Operative and Toronto Hydro potentially locating a wind turbine at the Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant site; and recommending that:

- (1) the attached Implementation Compliance and Monitoring Committee compliance review be received for information; and
- (2) this report be forwarded to the Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Environment Canada.

(NOTE: A COPY OF THE AFOREMENTIONED REVIEW HAS BEEN FORWARDED TO MEMBERS OF COUNCIL ONLY.)

**3(b). Utility Wind Turbines on City of Toronto Owned or Operated Property as Proposed by Toronto Renewable Energy Co-operative (TREC) and Toronto Hydro.**

Commissioner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
(June 28, 2000)

Recommending that subject to the proponent's satisfactory completion of required environmental assessments, and the satisfactory completion of all other approval processes required to locate windmills on the Toronto waterfront:

- (1) the City of Toronto consent to, approve and facilitate the construction of a utility wind turbine in the abandoned southern ash lagoon at Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant by TREC and Toronto Hydro;
- (2) the City of Toronto facilitate the preparation between the proponents, the Toronto Port Authority, and other relevant parties, the legal arrangements necessary to permit the installation and operation of such a wind turbine, and give effect to the required City approval of such a legal arrangement, for the purpose of locating a wind turbine, as described in the above, including provisions to ensure that the wind turbine does not interfere with the construction and/or operation of existing and future sewage treatment facilities; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to the foregoing.

**4. CHANGE IN OWNERSHIP - TERRATEC ENVIRONMENTAL LTD.**

*(DEFERRED FROM MEETING OF MAY 17, 2000)*

**DEPUTATION ITEM – SCHEDULED FOR 2:00 p.m.**

Councillor Sandra Bussin,  
East Toronto  
(February 22, 2000)

Forwarding a communication (February 11, 2000) from Ms. Karen Buck, Member, Board of Directors, Citizens for a Safe Environment, and Ms. Karey Shinn, Chair, The Safe Sewage Committee, expressing concerns regarding the change of ownership of Terratec Environmental Ltd. to Azurix, and the impact on the City's biosolids land application program; and requesting that the Committee consider and respond to the issues raised therein.

**4(a).** Commissioner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
 (May 16, 2000)

Providing information requested by the Committee with regard to the acquisition of Terratec Environmental Ltd., one of the City's biosolids program contractors, by Azurix North America; advising that the acquisition of Terratec by Azurix is an internal business matter between these firms and should not affect any requirements of the City, and that Terratec will continue to provide services to the City under the Terratec name; further advising that the City is obligated to negotiate in "good faith" with Terratec in accordance with Council authority, and that if these negotiations are successfully concluded, the City will enter into an agreement with Terratec for the land application of the City's biosolids; noting that staff will also ensure that senior Terratec staff and Azurix North America management clearly understand the City's requirements and expectations for its biosolids beneficial use program contractors; and recommending that the report be received for information.

**4(b).** Mr. Brian Cochrane, President,  
 Toronto Civic Employees' Union  
CUPE Local 416  
 (March 22, 2000)

Respecting the change of ownership of Terratec Environmental Ltd. to Azurix, North America; and raising questions as to the impact on the City of Toronto's biosolids program.

**4(c).** Ms. Karey Shinn, Chair,  
The Safe Sewage Committee  
 (March 22, 2000)

Expressing concern respecting the change of ownership of Terratec Environmental Ltd. to Azurix, North America, and the future evaluation and monitoring process for the new owner with respect to the City's biosolids management master plan.

**4(d).** Ms. Karen Buck,  
Citizens for a Safe Environment  
 (undated)

Respecting the response dated February 21, 2000, from the General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services, with respect to the sale of Terratec Environmental Ltd.

**5. ENACTMENT OF BY-LAW TO PROHIBIT THE BURNING OF USED MOTOR OIL.**

DEPUTATION ITEM – SCHEDULED FOR 2:15 p.m.

City Clerk  
(June 16, 2000)

Forwarding for information and any attention deemed necessary, Clause No. 9 contained in Report No. 12 of The Works Committee, headed “Enactment of By-law to Prohibit the Burning of Used Motor Oil”, which was adopted, as amended, by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on June 7, 8, and 9, 2000; and advising that in so doing, Council adopted a recommendation to proceed with the enactment of the draft by-law to prohibit the burning of used motor oil, and that prior notice be given to affected parties for the purpose of deputations.

**6. PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE COLLECTION BY-LAW.**

DEPUTATION ITEM – SCHEDULED FOR 3:00 p.m.

Commissioner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
(June 28, 2000)

Recommending that:

- (1) the Residential Solid Waste Collection By-law, consolidating and harmonizing the residential components of the former Area Municipalities’ solid waste collection by-laws, be enacted;
- (2) the following by-laws continue to be in effect, provided that in the event of a conflict between the residential solid waste collection by-law and a by-law referred to below, the Residential Solid Waste Collection By-law will prevail:
  - Chapter 309, City of Toronto Municipal Code, as amended;
  - Chapter 149, Etobicoke Municipal Code, as amended;
  - By-law 1-86, as amended;
  - By-law 2890-78, as amended;
  - By-law 24478, as amended; and
  - By-law 21732, as amended;
- (3) authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary Bill to give effect thereto;



- (4) staff be authorized to take all steps necessary to enforce the Residential Solid Waste Collection By-law;
- (5) residents of the City of Toronto be advised accordingly;
- (6) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be given full authority to discontinue solid waste collection services at any residential location due to non-compliance with the by-law, and only in the event that the Ward Councillor is of the opinion that the location should remain eligible for solid waste collection services while in contravention of the by-law, the issue will be sent to Works Committee and Council for resolution; and
- (7) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be directed to initiate site investigation at multiple household residential locations and authorize the adjustment of garbage and/or recycling collection service based on the protocol identified in this report.

**6(a).** City Clerk (Toronto Community Council)  
(May 29, 2000)

Advising that the Toronto Community Council, on May 23, 2000, recommended that:

- (1) Section 2.5 of the proposed by-law attached to the report (March 9, 2000) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, be amended to read:
 

“2.5 The Commissioner may determine that Owners of multiple household residences who do not participate fully in the City’s collection of Recyclable Materials or who sell or otherwise transfer Recyclable Materials to persons other than the City are not eligible to receive any Services.”
- (2) a Schedule of set fines be submitted to Council prior to the adoption of the by-law;

and further advising that the Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Works Committee, at its meeting at which this matter will be considered:

- (a) in consultation with the City’s recycling firms, on the requirement that newspapers and magazines be bagged, given the concern of the Toronto Community Council that this requirement may reduce participation in recycling and make residents guilty of an offence for undertaking an activity which, in the past, was legal; and
- (b) on adding a new section to the proposed by-law which would ensure the proper setting out of garbage and proper recycling of materials for buildings with two or more dwelling units with an absentee landlord.

**6(b).** City Clerk (North York Community Council)  
(May 30, 2000)

Advising that the North York Community Council, on May 23, 2000:

- (1) received the report (March 9, 2000) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, Works and Emergency Services; and
- (2) referred the following recommendations respecting the Proposed Residential Solid Waste Collection By-law to the Works Committee for its consideration:
  - (a) that as single family homes, townhouses, semi-detached homes and multi-residential developments, other than apartment buildings, are all taxed at the same rate, they should all receive the same level of service for waste collection and curbside collection, and that central point collection be eliminated at all properties except those that may wish to retain central point collection;
  - (b) that Section 15.1(c) be amended to provide that only grey and green recycling boxes be used for the collection of the newspapers, telephone directories, magazines and catalogues, and that all references to the use of bags for the collection of paper be deleted; and
  - (c) the wording of the proposed Residential Solid Waste Collection By-law be amended to provide that the authority for the ceasing of solid waste collection be only at the discretion of City Council; and
- (3) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to:
  - (i) investigate and report on new vehicles and alternative methods that could be used in order to facilitate curbside collection in all townhouse developments and single family homes, including those on laneways and non-standard roadways; and
  - (ii) bring a clean-up crew from downtown to the former City of North York area to undertake a one-time clean-up of litter, in areas where the flower pot bins are located.

**7. PROPOSED SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT SERVICES REQUIREMENTS FOR NEW DEVELOPMENTS AND REDEVELOPMENTS.**

**DEPUTATION ITEM – SCHEDULED FOR 3:00 p.m.**

Commissioner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
(June 28, 2000)

Recommending that:

- (1) based on comments received during the stakeholder consultation process, the attached document, entitled “City of Toronto Requirements for Garbage and Recycling Collection from New Developments and Redevelopments” be approved;
- (2) staff be authorized to make this document available to all parties involved in new developments and redevelopments and impose the requirements contained within; and
- (3) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to make amendments to the access contained in “City of Toronto Requirements for Garbage and Recycling Collection from New Developments and Redevelopments” from time to time as a result of changes to collection operations.

**7(a).** City Clerk (Toronto Community Council)  
(May 29, 2000)

Advising that the Toronto Community Council, on May 23, 2000:

- (1) recommended that the requirements be amended so that public laneway collection would be permitted and encouraged if these new developments are on main commercial streets; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Works Committee, at its meeting at which this matter will be considered:
  - (a) on the possibility of mandatory refrigerated wet waste storage areas; and
  - (b) on a possible requirement for composting, organics separation and fibres separation for new buildings or redevelopment of old buildings.

**7(b).** City Clerk (North York Community Council)  
(May 30, 2000)

Advising that the North York Community Council, on May 23, 2000:

- (1) received the report (March 9, 2000) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, Works and Emergency Services; and
- (2) referred the following recommendations respecting the proposed Solid Waste Management Services Requirements for Developments and Redevelopments, to the Works Committee for its consideration:
  - (a) that all new single family homes, townhouses, semi-detached homes and multi-residential developments, other than apartment buildings, that are on public or private roads and laneways be designed to facilitate curbside collection in order to eliminate central point collection; and
  - (b) all references to the use of bags to collect paper as referred to in the document headed "Requirements for City of Toronto Garbage and Recycling Collection at New Developments and Redevelopments", be deleted and that grey green recycling boxes continue to be used for the collection of newspapers, telephone directories, magazines and catalogues;

and further advising that the North York Community Council requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to investigate and report on new vehicles and alternative methods that could be used in order to facilitate curbside collection in all new developments.

**7(c).** Councillor Norm Kelly,  
Scarborough Wexford  
(June 28, 2000)

Respecting curbside waste collection at condominium units; and requesting the Works Committee to recommend a pilot project at Stonehill Court, Scarborough, for a six-month period for curbside waste collection.

**8. HARMONIZATION OF TRANSIT SHELTER AGREEMENTS –  
CONTRACT WITH MEDIACOM INC.**

**DEPUTATION ITEM – SCHEDULED FOR 4:00 p.m.**

Commissioner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
(July 5, 2000)

Recommending that:

- (1) approval be given to enter into an agreement with Mediacom Inc. for the provision, repair, cleaning, operation and maintenance of transit shelters with and without advertising caissons, subject to the terms and conditions generally as described in this report and such other terms and conditions as may be satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor, with such agreement to replace all existing transit shelter agreements;
- (2) in the event that Recommendation No. (1) above is not adopted (i.e., the Mediacom offer is rejected), the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be directed to issue a Request for Proposals for the Metro and North York transit shelter agreements which expire in 2000 and 2001 respectively, for a term ending in 2005, as described in this report; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary steps to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

**COMMUNICATIONS/REPORTS:**

**9. NO-FAULT FLOOD GRANT PROGRAM - BASEMENT  
FLOODING DAMAGES AND CLEAN-UP COSTS.**

Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services  
and Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

Recommending that:

- (1) a City-wide Basement Flood Grant program not be established for flooded basements, as it would not provide any beneficial effects for the City, the cost of the program is unpredictable and potentially excessive, and the program is not required under the Municipal Act;
- (2) Resolution No. 91-21 of the former North York City Council be repealed, being a public No-Fault Grant program which provides financial assistance to former City

of North York residents for damages caused by sewer back-ups and watermain breaks;

- (3) as a supplement to the City's Claims Administration Procedures and to assist residents with the City's claims process, staff be authorized to provide the homeowner with a claims procedure cards as shown in Appendix "A";
- (4) should Committee wish to implement a City-wide Basement Flood Grant program, such program shall be in the amount of \$500.00 or \$1,000.00 or \$1,500.00 per flooding incident;
- (5) should Committee adopt Recommendation No. (4) of this report, then the administrative guidelines as set out in Appendix "B" of this report will apply;
- (6) should Committee adopt Recommendation No. (4) of this report, the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer report back on the creation of the Basement Flood Grant Reserve Fund By-law and funding plan for the program as part of the rate-setting report for the 2001 water rate;
- (7) should Committee adopt Recommendation No. (4) of this report, two additional clerical positions be created to administer the Basement Flood Grant program;
- (8) should Committee adopt Recommendation No. (4) of this report, the existing North York No-Fault Flood Grant program remain in place until such time as a City-wide Basement Flood Grant program is implemented as part of the 2001 budget process; and
- (9) staff be directed to give effect to the foregoing.

**10. WATER SUPPLY FROM DURHAM REGION FOR PROPERTIES ON FINCH AVENUE EAST AT PICKERING TOWN LINE IN TORONTO. (Scarborough Malvern)**

Commissioner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
 (June 28, 2000)

Recommending that:

- (1) the agreement between the Region of Durham and the City of Toronto for the supply of potable water to properties on Finch Avenue East be executed in order that staff can proceed with the tendering of Contract No. 00D4-318WS for the construction of the watermain; and

- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect.

(NOTE: A COPY OF THE AFOREMENTIONED AGREEMENT IS ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK.)

**11. UNIVERSAL METERING – PUBLIC NOTIFICATION.**

City Clerk  
(April 19, 2000)

Advising that City Council, at its meeting on April 11, 12 and 13, 2000, adopted, as amended, Clause No. 9 contained in Report No. 6 of The Works Committee, headed “Universal Metering – Public Notification”; and further advising that in doing so, Council amended the Clause by:

- (1) striking out and referring Recommendation No. (3) of the Works Committee back to the Works Committee for further consideration, viz.:

“(3) each Ward Councillor be notified of the names of any customers with increases in flat rate bills as a result of refusing a meter, prior to such increases;”;

and further recommended that:

- (a) the City Clerk and the City Solicitor be requested to submit a joint report to the Works Committee on ways the City of Toronto can ensure that homeowners and residents have been notified fully of the issue and on whether or not they want a meter; and
- (b) the City Solicitor be directed to seek a legal opinion from outside legal counsel, Mr. George Rust-D’Eye, in this regard.

**12. REVISED 2000 CAPITAL WORKS PLAN - WATER AND WASTEWATER PROGRAMS.**

*Report not yet available.*

**12(a). Water Service Replacement Program.**

Commissioner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
 (June 28, 2000)

Respecting the provision of making appropriate Capital budget provisions to allow for the replacement of lead or galvanized water services within one year of a resident's request, and providing residents an opportunity for water service upgrade prior to road work where streets are being reconstructed or resurfaced, as requested by the Budget Advisory Committee; advising that it appears that additional funding of approximately \$3.8 million would be required to make appropriate provision in 2001 to have "on demand" service replacement requests undertaken within one year; further advising that while there are potential cost savings when water service replacements occur just prior to resurfacing, there are significantly insufficient funds to replace all substandard pipe in this situation, let alone provide appropriate funding for "on demand" service replacements; and recommending that this report be received.

**13. ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURES FOR WORK ON THE BIOSOLIDS PELLETIZING FACILITY AT THE ASHBRIDGES BAY TREATMENT PLANT.**

Commissioner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
 (June 23, 2000)

Recommending that:

- (1) additional expenditures in the amount of \$750,000.00 including Goods and Services Tax, be authorized for the performance of necessary additional work on the design/build contract with USF Canada Inc. for the construction of the Biosolids Pelletizing Facility resulting in an overall increase in the contract price from \$22,235,959.00 to \$22,985,959.00; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**14. ULTRAVIOLET DESIGN OPTIMIZATION STUDY AT THE ASHBRIDGES BAY TREATMENT PLANT.**

Commissioner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
 (June 15, 2000)

Respecting the status and details of the Ultraviolet Design Optimization Study at the Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant, as requested by the Committee at its meeting on March 22, 2000; advising that the majority of the field work has already been completed,



and that work will continue over the summer of 2000 with a series of vendor evaluations to allow for selection of a UV equipment supplier; noting that further work on the UV system and outfall, including the retention of a consultant for the design and construction management of the project will proceed once the Environmental Assessment is received from the Ministry of the Environment; and recommending that this report be received for information.

**15. TRANSPORTATION AND CLIMATE CHANGE:  
OPTIONS FOR ACTION.**

Commissioner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
(June 28, 2000)

Recommending that:

- (1) the National Climate Change Secretariat be requested to extend its consultations and ongoing dialogue concerning greenhouse gas emissions and climate change to the three largest urban areas in Canada: Toronto, Vancouver and Montreal;
- (2) this report be forwarded to the Greater Toronto Services Board and Greater Toronto Area Regions for their information; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorised and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**16. AIR QUALITY.**

Secretary,  
Board of Health  
(May 29, 2000)

Advising that the Board of Health, at its meeting on May 29, 2000, had before it a report (May 7, 2000) from the Medical Officer of Health advising that the Ministry of the Environment has committed to shorten the time frame between the collection and reporting of the air quality monitoring results across Ontario; that Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with Public Health, has agreed to prepare annual air quality reports specific to the City of Toronto; and recommending that the Board of Health forward this report to the Works Committee and request that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with the Medical Officer of Health, be directed to report annually to the Works Committee and the Board of Health on the status of air quality in Toronto.

**16(a).** City Clerk  
(June 12, 2000)

Forwarding for information and any attention deemed necessary Clause No. 1 of Report No. 4 of The Board of Health, headed “Air Pollution Burden of Illness in Toronto – Summary Report”, which was adopted, as amended by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting on June 7, 8 and 9, 2000.

**17. WARRANTS FOR THE JUSTIFICATION OF TRAFFIC CONTROL SIGNALS.**

Commissioner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
(May 26, 2000)

Reviewing the City of Toronto’s current practice used to assess the technical justification for the installation of a new traffic control signal, and to present the rationale that is used to select the order in which new traffic control signals are installed; noting that while no changes are recommended at this time, the Transportation Services Division monitors trends and technological advances in transportation engineering, and is committed to improving safety for pedestrians, cyclists and drivers; and recommending that the report be received for information.

**17(a).** Toronto Pedestrian Committee  
(June 30, 2000)

Advising that the Toronto Pedestrian Committee, on June 28, 2000, recommended the adoption of the report dated June 28, 2000, from the Toronto Pedestrian Committee, which recommended that the report be referred to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services with a request for a report, through the Pedestrian Committee, on the merits of implementing the following proposals:

- (1) that, to properly assess requests to improve the pedestrian crossing environment, the following information be collected in the study conducted by Transportation Services for each of the eight hours selected for the study:
  - (a) number and adequacy of interruptions of continuous vehicular traffic (also referred to as “gaps in traffic”), in both directions, that allow safe pedestrian street crossings without interference from vehicular traffic (excessive delays in adequate gaps may cause pedestrians to endanger themselves by attempting to cross the street during an inadequate gap);
  - (b) motor vehicle operating speeds in both directions, in both the curb and passing lanes;

- (c) counts of heavy trucks and other large vehicles that obstruct a driver's view of a pedestrian(s) occupying a travel lane;
  - (d) counts of motor vehicle turning movements within the study area that affect pedestrian crossings; (e.g., Brimley Road south of Huntingwood Drive);
  - (e) street lighting conditions and illumination of pedestrians;
  - (f) location of bus stops and service schedule (can affect the level of risk-taking); and
  - (g) type of development and land use along the approach to, and at, the proposed installation site;
- (2) that Transportation Services' reports include a comprehensive description of traffic and other physical conditions that constitute a hazard for pedestrians crossing the street within the defined study area;
  - (3) that the minimum pedestrian volume requirement to justify the installation of traffic control signals be reduced in those parts of the City (mostly suburban) that were built to accommodate travel by the automobile for both long and short trips; and
  - (4) that studies be conducted to determine the extent to which improvements to pedestrian crossing environments encourage people to walk (not drive), or walk further, especially for short trips.

**18. REVIEW OF LEVEL CROSSINGS AND THE CONSTRUCTION OF GRADE SEPARATIONS IN THE CITY OF TORONTO.**

Commissioner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
 (May 31, 2000)

Providing an overview of the status of level rail crossings and grade separations in the City of Toronto, in response to a request from the former Urban Environment and Development Committee; and recommending that a program to construct high priority grade separations in the City of Toronto be considered as part of the City of Toronto's response to the recently announced federal infrastructure program.

**19. EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF  
COMMUNITY SAFETY ZONES.**

Commissioner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
(June 23, 2000)

Recommending that:

- (1) the existing 12 Community Safety Zones (CSZ's) be maintained;
- (2) additional CSZ's not be installed at this time;
- (3) the Toronto Police Service be informed and be requested to maintain a sustained enforcement program for all existing CSZ's;
- (4) the Province be requested to review the existing regulatory sign design associated with CSZ's, with a view to improving their visibility amongst other regulatory signs;
- (5) the Province be requested to expedite the Ministry of the Solicitor General and Correctional Services Provincial CSZ promotion initiatives; and
- (6) Transportation Services staff maintain a program to monitor traffic operations at the three subject study locations, and to conduct a follow-up study of the effectiveness of the subject CSZ's two months after a public education program has been initiated by the Province at the following study locations:
  - (i) Dundas Street West between Montgomery Road and 100 metres east of Old Oak Road;
  - (ii) East Avenue between Lawrence Avenue East and Island Road; and
  - (iii) Parkside Drive between Bloor Street West and Lake Shore Boulevard West.

**20. TURN PROHIBITIONS AT THE INTERSECTION OF LAWRENCE AVENUE EAST AND ELLINGTON DRIVE. (Scarborough Wexford)**

Commisisoner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
(June 20, 2000)

Recommending that:

- (1) southbound and eastbound left-turn movements be prohibited at all times at the intersection of Lawrence Avenue East and Ellington Drive; and
- (2) the appropriate by-law be amended accordingly.

**21. PROPOSED INSTALLATION OF TRAFFIC CONTROL SIGNALS ON WILSON AVENUE AT A POINT 210 METRES WEST OF TRANSIT ROAD, AND ON DUFFERIN STREET AT TRANSIT ROAD, AND MODIFICATIONS TO THE TRAFFIC CONTROL SIGNALS AT WILSON AVENUE AND TRANSIT ROAD. (North York Spadina)**

Commissioner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
(June 21, 2000)

Recommending that:

- (1) traffic control signal installation be approved on Wilson Avenue at a point 210 metres west of Transit Road;
- (2) traffic control signals be installed at the intersection of Dufferin Street and Transit Road;
- (3) coincidental with the activation of the signals referenced in Recommendation No. (1):
  - (i) the northbound curb lane be designated a compulsory right-turn lane;
  - (ii) the most westerly northbound lane be designated a compulsory left-turn lane; and
  - (iii) the road widening and improvements described therein be co-ordinated with the installation of traffic control signals on Wilson Avenue;

- (4) coincidental with the activation of the signals referenced in Recommendation No. (2):
  - (i) the two most southerly westbound lanes be designated as a double westbound left turn and the curb lane be designated for westbound right turns;
  - (ii) east–west pedestrian crossing on the south side of the intersection be prohibited in order to eliminate vehicle/pedestrian conflicts associated with double turning movements;
  - (iii) the northbound curb lane of Dufferin Street be designated a compulsory right-turn lane; and
  - (iv) southbound left turns be prohibited at all times at the intersection of Dufferin Street and Transit Road;
- (5) traffic control signals at the intersection of Wilson Avenue and Transit Road be modified to incorporate the extension of Transit Road to the south and subsequently to the west to intersect with Dufferin Street;
- (6) coincidental with the modification of the signals referenced in Recommendation No. (5):
  - (i) the northbound curb lane be designated a compulsory northbound right-turn lane;
  - (ii) rescind the existing compulsory right-turn designation for the southbound curb lane; and
  - (iii) the construction of the Transit Road extension to the south and west, described therein, be co-ordinated with the modification of the intersection of Wilson Avenue and Transit Road;
- (7) the appropriate By-law(s) be amended accordingly; and
- (8) all funding is to be secured by way of the Section 37 agreement for the development.

**22. PROPOSED INSTALLATION OF TRAFFIC CONTROL SIGNALS -  
LAWRENCE AVENUE WEST AT BLOSSOMFIELD DRIVE.  
(North York Spadina)**

Commissioner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
(June 22, 2000)

Recommending that:

- (1) traffic control signals be installed at the intersection of Lawrence Avenue West and Blossomfield Drive;
- (2) coincidental with the activation of the signals referenced in Recommendation No. (1):
  - (i) Blossomfield Drive be widened and the easterly southbound lanes be designed for southbound left turns and the curb lane be designated for southbound left or right turns;
  - (ii) north-south pedestrian crossing on the east side of Blossomfield Drive be prohibited;
  - (iii) westbound no right turn prohibitions for the access from the Fortino's store to Blossomfield Drive be implemented to eliminate site generated traffic from entering the surrounding neighbourhood;
  - (iv) S.C.O.O.T. traffic control system be implemented at the proposed new signal and the signal at Bolingbroke Road/CIBC access;
  - (v) the road improvements described therein be co-ordinated with the installation of traffic control signals on Lawrence Avenue West at Blossomfield Drive; and
  - (vi) the appropriate By-law(s) be amended accordingly; and
- (3) all roadway improvements required as part of the development will be completed to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, at no expense to the City of Toronto.

**23. PROPOSED MODIFICATION OF TRAFFIC CONTROL SIGNALS AT SHEPPARD AVENUE WEST/TUSCAN GATE INTERSECTION, CONSTRUCTION OF FOURTH LEG OF INTERSECTION AND ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS ON SHEPPARD AVENUE WEST AT TUSCAN GATE.  
(North York Spadina)**

Commissioner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
(June 21, 2000)

Recommending that:

- (1) traffic control signals be modified at the intersection of Sheppard Avenue West at Tuscan Gate to accommodate the fourth leg of the intersection;
- (2) coincidental with the modification referenced in Recommendation No. (1):
  - (i) Sheppard Avenue West be widened to incorporate a westbound left-turn lane at the intersection of Sheppard Avenue West at Tuscan Gate;
  - (ii) a new access/egress be constructed on the south side of Sheppard Avenue West to form the fourth leg of the intersection;
  - (iii) a northbound no right turn on red prohibition be implemented to address concerns for restricted sight lines; and
  - (iv) north/south pedestrian crossings on the east side of the intersection be prohibited; and
- (3) the appropriate By-law(s) be amended accordingly.

**24. ALTERATIONS TO TRAFFIC CALMING MEASURES ON WINONA DRIVE.  
(Ward 28, York Eglinton)**

City Clerk  
(May 29, 2000)

Advising that York Community Council on May 23, 2000, recommended that the Works Committee request the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report on the estimated cost of the removal of the raised pedestrian crossings at Winona Drive and Ava Road and Winona Drive and Belvidere Avenue and replacement with raised intersections, including various construction design options with textured concrete, height reduction and appropriate alternatives, having regard to the Works Committee's request for staff to report on a moratorium on traffic calming and in consideration of proposed City-wide standards for the implementation of traffic calming measures.



**25. TRANSPORTATION CAPITAL WORKS PROGRAM –  
RESPONSE TO ISSUES RAISED DURING CONSIDERATION  
OF THE 2000 CAPITAL BUDGET.**

Commissioner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
(June 28, 2000)

Responding to requests tabled during the final review of the Transportation Services 2000 Capital Works Program at the City Council meeting on January 27, 2000, with respect to the following:

- (1) Executive Court Extension;
- (2) Port Union Road;
- (3) Scarlett Road/St. Clair Avenue West/Dundas Street West Underpass;
- (4) Railway Lands;
- (5) Dufferin Street/Eglinton Avenue West Intersection Improvements; and
- (6) Rane Avenue/Bentworth Avenue/Dufferin Street Intersection Improvements;

and recommending that this report be received for information.

**26. FEASIBILITY OF CONNECTING WOODFIELD ROAD OR  
KNOX AVENUE TO LAKE SHORE BOULEVARD EAST,  
AND OTHER ISSUES RELATED TO F.G. GARDINER  
EXPRESSWAY DISMANTLING PROJECT.  
(Don River, East Toronto)**

Commissioner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
(June 26, 2000)

Respecting the results of a feasibility study that examined connecting Woodfield Road or Knox Avenue to Lake Shore Boulevard East, and other issues relating to the F.G. Gardiner Expressway Dismantling Project; advising that the review of alternative methods of relieving the eastbound left-turn congestion at Lake Shore Boulevard and Coxwell Avenue concluded that Woodfield Road and Knox Avenue should not be extended to Lake Shore Boulevard East, and that constructing double left-turn lanes at the intersection would be the most desirable and cost-effective approach to the identified problem; noting that no action should be taken until after the F.G. Gardiner Expressway Dismantling Project is complete and traffic patterns have been re-established; commenting on discussions with the Toronto Port Authority and the Toronto Economic Development Corporation with respect to rail relocation; further commenting on temporary traffic management measures on Logan Avenue south of Eastern Avenue, and improvements to current lighting levels; and recommending that this report be received for information.

**27. FEASIBILITY OF INSTALLING A SIDEWALK ON DON MILLS ROAD FROM O'CONNOR DRIVE TO THE DON VALLEY PARKWAY EXIT RAMP.  
(East York)**

Commissioner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
(May 30, 2000)

Advising that staff have investigated the feasibility of installing a sidewalk on the south side of Don Mills Road from O'Connor Drive to the Don Valley Parkway Exit Ramp, as requested by East York Community Council at its meeting on May 26 and 27, 1999, and have determined that it is not feasible at this time; and recommending that the report be received for information.

**28. FRONT YARD AND ON-STREET PERMIT PARKING FEES (All Wards)  
LATE INVOICING OF RESIDENTS IN YORK.  
(York Humber and York Eglinton)**

Commissioner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
(June 30, 2000)

Responding to communications from Councillors Michael Walker, Rob Davis and Joe Mihevc that were considered by the Committee at its meeting of March 22, 2000, with respect to front yard parking and on-street parking fee revisions and the billing procedures in the York community; and recommending that:

(1) this report be received for information;

OR

(2) the Works Committee, in consideration of concerns raised with the post-billing of 1999 front-yard parking fees in the York community, decide whether redress is appropriate, and if so recommend to City Council one of Options 2 or 3 set out in this report; and

(3) the appropriate City officials be requested to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

**29. PROPOSED ESTABLISHMENT OF A PARKING COMMITTEE.**

Commissioner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
 (May 30, 2000)

Respecting the desirability of establishing a Parking Committee, as recommended by Councillor Bossons in a communication dated September 8, 1998, to the Urban Environment and Development Committee; advising that overall, the current system of parking functions is handled by the City and the Toronto Parking Authority which co-ordinate their activities at the staff level and through City Council where regulation is required; noting that this approach is currently delivering a good service level and would be the approach recommended to deal with the issues raised by Councillor Bossons; further advising that staff do not recommend that City Council establish a separate Parking Committee at this time; and recommending that the report be received for information.

**30. PARKING ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS –  
 AUTHORITY TO TOW UNPLATED VEHICLES,  
 AND VEHICLES WITH EXPIRED PERMITS,  
 ON CITY STREETS.**

Chairman,  
Toronto Police Services Board  
 (June 22, 2000)

Responding to issues raised by the Committee regarding the City's authority to tow un plated vehicles parked on City streets; and recommending that:

- (1) the Works Committee received this report; and
- (2) following review of this report, the Works Committee forward this report to Toronto City Council for information.

**31. ALGAE COLLECTION (FORMER CITY OF ETOBICOKE).  
 (Lakeshore – Queensway)**

Commissioner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
 (June 20, 2000)

Responding to a communication from Councillor Irene Jones, Lakeshore-Queensway, that was before the Committee at its meeting on September 8, 1999, with respect to the collection of algae along the lakefront area in south Etobicoke; advising that the equipment used for cleaning the algae from the shore and lake which experienced a

lengthy breakdown in 1999 resulting in a significant number of complaints, has been serviced and is in satisfactory condition; further advising that replacement is not recommended at this time, and arrangements have been made to provide back-up equipment should there be a significant breakdown; and recommending that this report be received for information.

**32. AGREEMENT WITH OLIFAS MARKETING GROUP INC. (OMG)  
FOR LITTER BINS IN ETOBICOKE.**

Commissioner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
(June 27, 2000)

Recommending that:

- (1) authority be granted to extend the term of the existing litter bin agreement with Olifas Marketing Group Inc. for the Etobicoke Community Council area so that it will terminate on October 14, 2009, to coincide with the expiry date of the litter bin agreements relating to the other participating Community Council areas; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto.

**33. RECYCLING AT THE TTC AND  
COMMERCIAL ESTABLISHMENTS.**

Commissioner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
(June 30, 2000)

Responding to a request from the Budget Advisory Committee for a report on incentives for the Toronto Transit Commission and commercial establishments to pick up recyclables; and recommending that OMG three-compartment litter bins be placed in the vicinity of all Toronto Transit Commission subway station entrances in order to allow Transit commuters the opportunity to recycle away from home.

**34. HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE**

Commissioner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
(June 27, 2000)

Responding to a request from Council at its meeting of February 1, 2 and 3, 2000, for a report on a more aggressive program to collect household hazardous waste (HHW), possibly at gas stations; outlining an HHW return- to-retailer program whereby retailers

accept from residents categories of HHW related to selected products sold in their stores, similar to a program recently implemented in the Region of Ottawa-Carleton; noting that setting up such a system in Toronto would have budget implications, but that this may be offset by a reduction in HHW operating costs if quantities received through the existing HHW program declined; advising that staff will monitor the Ottawa-Carleton program and report further recommending a retailer-based HHW program in the City if it appears that it could be operated cost-effectively; and recommending that this report be received for information.

**35. COMMERCIAL GARBAGE AND RECYCLING  
SERVICE HARMONIZATION STATUS REPORT.**

Commissioner of Works and  
Emergency Services  
(June 28, 2000)

Respecting the status of plans to harmonize commercial garbage and recycling service and fees across the City, and options for service eligibility criteria, service levels and service fee structures; presenting a definition of a core area of the City requiring more frequent commercial garbage collection, preliminary eligibility criteria and collection frequency for small commercial locations both within and outside of the core area, as well as a preliminary graduated fee structure; advising that staff are proposing to report back in early 2001 with the results of further systems analysis and consultation with stakeholders, with the new service structure to be implemented in January 2002; and recommending that this report be received for information.

**36. TORONTO PUBLIC HEALTH AUTHORITY UNDER  
THE HEALTH PROTECTION AND PROMOTION ACT  
AS IT PERTAINS TO GARBAGE HANDLING AND STORAGE.**

Medical Officer of Health  
(June 2, 2000)

Outlining Toronto Public Health's legislative authority in dealing with issues relating to small business garbage handling and storage, and more specifically the role of this Division in dealing with the problem of garbage accumulations in laneways originating from food service premises, as requested by City Council on April 11, 12 and 13, 2000; advising that Public Health and Works and Emergency Services are currently working together to identify those food premises which back or front onto problematic laneways or municipal rights-of-way, and are also developing an information package for distribution to the small business community outlining the relevant legislative requirements and information pertinent to garbage storage and collection; noting that this joint venture is expected to have an immediate positive impact on the cleanliness of such laneways within the City over the summer; and recommending that the report be received for information.

**37. RESURFACING OF TORONTO ROADS IN DISTRICT 4, FOR 2000 –  
CONTRACT No. 00D4-101RD, TENDER CALL No. 10-2000.  
(Scarborough Highland Creek, Scarborough Malvern,  
Scarborough Agincourt)**

Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services  
and Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer  
(June 28, 2000)

Recommending:

- (1) subject to a favourable report by the Manager, Fair Wage and Labour Trades Office, that Contract No. 00D4-101 RD, Tender Call No.10-2000 for the resurfacing of Toronto roads in District 4, for 2000, be awarded to Furfari Paving Co. Ltd. in the total amount of \$2,696,258.23 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received; and
- (2) in the event that the lowest bidder, Furfari Paving Co. Ltd., does not receive a favourable report by the Manager, Fair Wage and Labour Trades Office, that Contract No. 00D4-101 RD, Tender Call No. 10-2000 for the resurfacing of Toronto roads in District 4, for 2000, be awarded to D. Crupi & Sons Ltd. in the total amount of \$3,077,768.14 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received meeting specifications.

**38. KINGSTON ROAD RESURFACING, CHINE DRIVE  
TO DANFORTH AVENUE (DISTRICT 4),  
CONTRACT No. 00D4-004RD, TENDER CALL No. 114-2000.**

Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services  
and Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer  
(June 15, 2000)

Recommending that Contract No. 00D4-004RD, Tender Call No. 114-2000 issued for Kingston Road resurfacing (Chine Drive to Danforth Avenue) (District 4), for 2000, be awarded to D. Crupi & Sons Limited in the total amount of \$1,142,142.00 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received.

**39. CLEANING AND CEMENT MORTAR LINING OF  
EXISTING WATERMAIN ON VARIOUS STREETS,  
CONTRACT No. 00D1-531WS, TENDER CALL No. 120-2000.  
(York Humber and York Eglinton)**

Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services  
and Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer  
(June 16, 2000)

Recommending that Contract No. 00D1-531WS, Tender Call No. 120-2000, for cleaning and cement mortar lining of existing watermain on various streets in York Humber and York Eglinton, be awarded to Spiniello Companies in the total amount of \$1,310,814.20 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received.

**ANY OTHER MATTERS.**