

CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENTS

Certified to be a true copy of amendments to:

Report No. 3 of The Administration Committee,
Report No. 4 of The Administration Committee,
Report No. 5 of The Administration Committee,
Report No. 6 of The Administration Committee,
Report No. 2 of The Community Services Committee,
Report No. 2 of The Economic Development and Parks Committee,
Report No. 3 of The Economic Development and Parks Committee,
Report No. 2 of The Planning and Transportation Committee,
Report No. 3 of The Policy and Finance Committee,
Report No. 3 of The Works Committee,
Report No. 4 of The Works Committee,
Report No. 5 of The Works Committee,
Report No. 2 of The East York Community Council,
Report No. 2 of The Etobicoke Community Council,
Report No. 3 of The North York Community Council,
Report No. 2 of The Scarborough Community Council,
Report No. 3 of The Toronto Community Council,
Report No. 4 of The Toronto Community Council, and
Report No. 3 of The York Community Council,
Report No. 1 of The Nominating Committee, and
Report No. 2 of The Striking Committee,

and Notices of Motions, as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on February 29, March 1 and 2, 2000.

REPORT NO. 3 OF THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - "Amendment to the Council Procedural By-law - Submission of Reports to Council".

Consideration of the Clause was deferred until the first meeting of the new City of Toronto Council.

REPORT NO. 4 OF THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - “Councillor’s Use of Corporate Vehicles”.

Consideration of the Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on April 11, 2000.

Clause No. 2 - “Expenses of Members of Council”.

The Clause was amended:

- (1) by striking out Recommendation No. (5) of the Audit Committee, as amended by the Administration Committee, and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

“(5) Councillors be encouraged to obtain quotes from the City’s internal printing function, prior to obtaining printing services from an outside company, where time permits, such quotes to include a delivery deadline;”;

- (2) to provide that, for greater certainty for the purpose of the relevant Council policies, sponsorships of sports teams in a Councillor’s Ward commencing prior to Nomination Day be deemed not to be advertising; and

- (3) by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (a) up to 500 City of Toronto corporate pins be issued, on request, to each Member of Council each year;
- (b) all business trips, business meetings, entertainment and other general expenses incurred by Members of Council, including all costs absorbed by departments, agencies, boards and commissions, be reported by the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer to City Council, through the Administration Committee; and
- (c) the Commissioner of Corporate Services be directed to ensure that the policy for constituency office space for Members of Council is reported to Council by January 2001, such policy to incorporate a provision for charge back based on square footage use.”

Clause No. 3 - “Amending Fair Wage Schedules and Related Items”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) the report dated February 25, 2000, from the Manager, Fair Wage and Labour Trades Office, embodying the following recommendation, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that the Manager, Fair Wage and Labour Trades Office, report back to the Administration Committee outlining the details and financial implications of using CUPE wage rates as the fair wage rate for non-construction classifications, such as janitorial workers or office cleaners, when the City procures these services.’;

- (2) the Manager, Fair Wage and Labour Trades Office, be requested to:
 - (a) forward the fair wage schedule and related items to the Minister of Labour of the Province of Ontario, with a recommendation that consideration be given to implementing similar recommendations to those adopted by the City of Toronto;
 - (b) include in his forthcoming report to the Administration Committee on the financial implications of using CUPE wage rates as the fair wage rate for non-construction classifications, incremental costs and benefits and any other impacts of proposed changes or options; and
 - (c) submit a report to Council, through the Administration Committee, on the feasibility of separating certain jobs from the policy, in particular those jobs which could possibly be open to small business; and
- (3) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, and the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to submit a joint report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee on barriers and access issues for small- and medium-sized businesses in Toronto to gain access to City of Toronto contracts.”

Clause No. 5 - “Acquisition of CN Belt Line Railway in the Former City of York (Ward 28 - York Eglinton)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to co-ordinate any adjacent site plan applications for projects under construction, now or in the future, with the intended use of this property; and

- (2) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to undertake a development plan for the property under the terms of this report.”

Clause No. 7 - “Authority to Acquire Property for the Relocation of 51 Police Division (Ward 25 – Don River)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) the recommendation of the Budget Advisory Committee embodied in the confidential communication dated February 21, 2000, from the City Clerk, be adopted, viz.:

‘The Budget Advisory Committee on February 21, 2000, recommended to Council that funding be provided to acquire property for the relocation of 51 Police Division (Ward 25 – Don River) from the City’s Land Acquisition Reserve Fund.’; and

- (2) the Toronto Police Services Board, in consultation with the Chief Administrative Officer, be requested to review its future plan to renovate and replace various police stations across the City and identify:
 - (a) how the proposed new boundaries will impact on the number and size of stations;
 - (b) how the design of new stations will facilitate community-based policing, and their impact on the environment;
 - (c) the cost of these buildings;
 - (d) the possibility of being flexible in the design, in order that they reflect the local neighbourhood; and
 - (e) the impact of information technology on the size of the buildings.”

Clause No. 9 - “Declaration as Surplus and Sale of Rail Corridor Lands to GO Transit as Part of the Purchase of Union Station by the City of Toronto from Toronto Terminals Railway Company Limited (Trinity Niagara, Downtown, Don River)”.

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) adding the following words to Recommendation No. (1) embodied in the report dated February 7, 2000, from the Acting Commissioner of Corporate Services:

“subject to:

- (a) the future granting of any lands or easements to the City at no cost by GO Transit as may be necessary for the construction of the Front Street Extension; and
 - (b) the sale, lease or any other future transaction involving GO Transit and any portion of this property first require written consent from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to allow for the protection of any lands or easements that may be necessary for the construction of the Front Street Extension;”; and
- (2) replacing Maps 1 and 2 appended to the report dated February 7, 2000, from the Acting Commissioner of Corporate Services, with revised Maps 1, 2 and 3.

Clause No. 13 - “Harmonization of Lieu Time Policy (Non-Union)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) the Executive Director of Human Resources be requested to submit the report requested in Recommendation No. (5) of the Administration Committee to the April 2000 meeting of the Personnel Sub-Committee; and
- (2) COTAPSAI be requested to provide its input to both the April and May 2000 meetings of the Personnel Sub-Committee on the issues set out in the Clause.”

Clause No. 16 - “Tax Adjustment – Municipal Act Section 442 and 443”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the report dated February 25, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, entitled ‘723 Markham Street, Assessment #1904 05 1 070 02400 - Ward 23’, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the recommended adjustment of \$209.83, as submitted in the report dated January 20, 2000, headed ‘Tax Adjustment – Municipal Act, Section 442 and 443’, to Administration Committee, be approved; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.’ ”

REPORT NO. 5 OF THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - “Administrative and Underwriting Services for Employee Benefits”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that City Council extend its appreciation to the Benefits Carrier Working Group and to Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada Inc. and Manulife Financial for their contributions of time and effort to this process.”

REPORT NO. 6 OF THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - “Collective Bargaining with the Canadian Union of Public Employees, Local 79”.

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) amending the recommendations embodied in the confidential report dated February 29, 2000, from the Executive Director of Human Resources, as amended by the Administration Committee, by adding to Recommendation No. (2) the words “such authority to be limited to those matters that are administrative and not monetary in nature, for example, promotions and grievances, and the Executive Director of Human Resources be requested to submit a report to the Administration Committee, in the event further instructions are required with respect to administrative matters”, so that such recommendations shall now read as follows:

“It is recommended that:

- (a) the Executive Director of Human Resources, in consultation with the City Solicitor, be authorized to request the Minister of Labour to issue ‘No-Board’ reports pursuant to the provisions of the Labour Relations Act (the ‘Act’) for the Union’s bargaining units, as may be appropriate;
- (b) the Executive Director of Human Resources, in consultation with the City Solicitor, be authorized to alter and harmonize some or all of the terms and conditions of employment for members of the Union in a manner consistent with the City’s comprehensive settlement proposals to the Union, as submitted to the Administration Committee for its meeting of March 1, 2000; that such action be taken upon the expiry of the sixteen (16) day time period under the Act following the issuance by the Minister of Labour of the ‘No-Board’ reports that may be requested by the City, such authority to be limited to those matters that are administrative and not monetary in nature, for example, promotions and grievances, and the Executive Director of Human Resources be requested to submit a report to the Administration Committee, in the event further instructions are required with respect to administrative matters;

- (c) notwithstanding the request for 'No Board' reports, staff continue to negotiate with the Union towards a settlement which is acceptable to the Union and the City;
- (d) should significant progress in negotiating a settlement continue to be impeded by the Union's position on having one collective agreement covering the four bargaining units, staff be instructed to request the Chair of the Administration Committee to call a Special Meeting of the Administration Committee and the Mayor to call a Special Meeting of Toronto City Council for the purpose of considering an application for First Contract Arbitration under the Act, prior to the end of March 2000;
- (e) the law firm of Hicks Morley be retained and authorized, in consultation with the City Solicitor, the Executive Director of Human Resources and the Chair of the Administration Committee, to take all necessary steps to protect the legal interests of the City relating to any illegal strike activity; and
- (f) the Executive Director of Human Resources provide the Administration Committee with an in-camera update on the status of collective bargaining as required on 24 hours' notice."; and

(2) adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that:

- (a) the 1998 lump sum payment (\$400.00) eligible for those who did not get a 1998 wage increase, and the 1999 (2 percent), 2000 (2.17 percent) and 2001 (3.2 percent) wage increases as set out in the Memorandum of Agreement between the City and the TCEU, Local 416, approved by City Council at its meeting on October 5, 1999, be extended to all exempt staff and management staff on the active payroll as of March 1, 2000;
- (b) the Executive Director of Human Resources be requested to:
 - (i) develop a process to determine remuneration for Members of Council, such remuneration to take effect for the new term of Council, and report thereon to the Administration Committee; and
 - (ii) investigate the economic factors that affect salaries for Members of Council and report thereon to the Administration Committee; and
- (c) the resignation of Councillor Pantalone from the Collective Bargaining Advisory Panel be accepted."

REPORT NO. 2 OF THE COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE

Clause No. 2 - "Toronto Report Card on Homelessness 2000".

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services and the City Solicitor be requested to investigate the feasibility of establishing an outreach team with experience in mental health and addiction problems to address those homeless individuals who refuse to use the shelter system; and
- (2) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be requested to:
 - (a) investigate, with the Ministry of Community and Social Services and the Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care, the feasibility of establishing a program similar to the 'Registered Care Homes' of St. Mungo's in London, England, to provide for extended treatment of homeless people with severe health problems, such as mental, physical and old age;
 - (b) discuss, with the Province of Ontario, the possibility of both support and funding for the development of an infirmary, a discharge strategy and additional harm reduction facilities;
 - (c) submit reports to the Community Services Committee on:
 - (i) the feasibility of establishing a registry of homeless people and whether Toronto City Hall can be used as an address for homeless people; and
 - (ii) a strategy for dealing with homeless clients with severe mental health problems."

Clause No. 3 - "New Provincial Rent Supplement Program".

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that the report dated February 24, 2000, from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services, be adopted, subject to adding to Recommendation No. (1) the words 'within the City of Toronto', and inserting in Recommendation No. (2), after the word and acronym 'by OHC', the words 'the Metropolitan Toronto Housing Authority', so that the recommendations embodied in such report shall now read as follows:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) Council endorse the position that any sales of scattered houses owned by the Ontario Housing Corporation (OHC) in the City of Toronto only proceed where there is a viable business plan to replenish and reinvest the proceeds of any sale of public housing assets into additional affordable housing opportunities within the City of Toronto;
- (2) any decisions regarding the disposition of public housing assets in Toronto be made jointly by OHC, the Metropolitan Toronto Housing Authority and the City;
- (3) Council’s position be forwarded to the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing and to the Chairs of the Boards of the Ontario Municipal Housing Corporation and the Metropolitan Toronto Housing Authority (MTHA); and
- (4) the appropriate City officials take the necessary steps to give effect thereto.’ ”

REPORT NO. 2 OF THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PARKS COMMITTEE

Clause No. 2 - “Hanlan’s Point – Clothing Optional Beach Pilot Project (Downtown)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) the clothing optional beach be extended 100 metres to the lifeguard station; and
- (2) the confidential report dated February 16, 2000, from the City Solicitor, embodying the following recommendation, be adopted, the balance of such report to remain confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it contains legal advice which is subject to Solicitor/Client privilege:

‘It is recommended that City Council request the Police Services Board to comment on its policy respecting its allocation of lifeguard services between the several beaches referred to in this report.’ ”

Clause No. 11 - “Parks and Recreation Division - Food Service Review (All Wards)”.

The Clause was struck out and referred back to the Economic Development and Parks Committee for further consideration, together with the following motions, and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism was requested to conduct further community consultation in this regard:

Moved by Councillor Chow:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to submit a report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee on the impact of this program on youth employment.”

Moved by Councillor Mammoliti:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to canvass the community for their cultural food preferences and include such information in his report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee.”

Moved by Councillor Miller:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to submit a report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee on:

- (1) methods to ensure that small business private operators are encouraged and multinational brands discouraged or prevented from succeeding in the bids; and
- (2) methods to enhance the efficiency and quality of the directly-operated food service outlets.”

Moved by Councillor Shiner:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to submit a report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee on:

- (1) opportunities to provide for enhanced community partnership agreements; and
- (2) methods to encourage employment for youth.”

REPORT NO. 3 OF THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PARKS COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - "Toronto's 2008 Olympic and Paralympic Games Bid - All Wards".

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) amending the joint report dated February 11, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer, the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services, the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services, the City Solicitor and the Chief General Manager, Toronto Transit Commission, by:
 - (a) adding to Recommendation No. (6), under the heading "Economic Development", the words ", including maximizing the creation of quality jobs", so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(6) the Economic Development Office be requested to work with Tourism Toronto to maximize the economic potential that the Olympic Games can realize, including maximizing the creation of quality jobs;”;
 - (b) inserting in Recommendation No. (8), under the heading "Social Equity", after the words "Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services", the words "and the Medical Officer of Health", so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(8) the Commissioner Community and Neighbourhood Services and the Medical Officer of Health be requested to work with community, voluntary and private sectors to respond with appropriate recommendations for policies, programs, social investments and community grants to meet the City's public policy goals for social development and equity;”;
 - (c) adding to Recommendation No. (11), under the heading "Transportation", the words "and that GO Transit also consider a connection from the Dixon Road Airport Strip to Union Station", so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(11) the City of Toronto, in co-operation with the federal and provincial governments, ensure that Union Station receives the required improvements for TTC and GO Transit facilities in time for the Olympic Games in 2008 and that GO Transit also consider a connection from the Dixon Road Airport Strip to Union Station;”;
 - (d) deleting from Recommendation No. (19), under the heading "Planning", the words "wherever feasible", so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(19) existing heritage buildings and heritage features on the waterfront be preserved and incorporated into new facilities;”; and

- (e) inserting in the first bullet point, under the heading “Other Matters Respecting Federal and Provincial Support”, after the word “infrastructure”, the words “, including transportation infrastructure;”, so that such phrase shall now read as follows:

“infrastructure, including transportation infrastructure, necessary for the Olympic Games to proceed;”; and

- (2) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (a) there be no substantial changes to the Olympic Master Plan without the approval of City Council;
- (b) TO-Bid be requested to:
 - (i) work with the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) to determine which key TTC stations need to be made accessible to ensure disabled access to Olympic and Paralympic venues;
 - (ii) submit a report to City Council, through the Economic Development and Parks Committee, on the results of the Olympic and Media Village Design/Development competitions;
 - (iii) establish neighbourhood working groups in each of the ‘rings’ of the Olympic site, to ensure that, in addition to the City-wide civic engagement effort, local neighbourhoods have input into the design and planning of elements of the Olympic developments; and
 - (iv) report quarterly to the Economic Development and Parks Committee, through the Olympic Task Force, to ensure an open and public process;
- (c) the City Solicitor and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to ensure that the provincial guarantees for the approved 2008 Operating and Capital Budgets for all facilities and programs are satisfactory and provide protection to the City of Toronto from potential Olympic Games cost overruns, revenue shortfalls and any deficits;

- (d) the City Solicitor and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to report back to Council, through the Economic Development and Parks Committee, upon completion of discussions with the provincial government, on satisfactory guarantees, such report to address the financing for the Paralympic Games and an assurance that the City of Toronto will be protected;
- (e) the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to report to Council, through the Policy and Finance Committee, on the structure for the Olympic Organizing Committee and methods to ensure the protection of the public interest, such as an open and accountable process and appropriate financial controls;
- (f) the Chief Administrative Officer, the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the City Solicitor be requested to submit a joint report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee outlining a 'wish list' directed to the federal government for the 2008 Olympic Bid;
- (g) on completion of the Social Impact Assessment, the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be requested to submit a joint report to City Council, through the Economic Development and Parks Committee, outlining their assessment of the implications for local communities and particularly vulnerable people; and
- (h) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to provide to all Members of Council, in a timely manner, all documents which may impact on the City of Toronto's commitment, including:
 - (i) the International Olympic Committee's Bid Cities' Questionnaire and TO-Bid's response;
 - (ii) the Province of Ontario's guarantee, when finalized;
 - (iii) further commitments by the federal government, including for the Paralympic Games; and
 - (iv) the candidature documents, when signed."

REPORT NO. 2 OF THE PLANNING AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - “Exemption from Part Lot Control of Certain Lands in Connection with the Purchase of Union Station by the City of Toronto and GO Transit (Trinity-Niagara, Downtown, Don River)”.

The Clause was amended by amending the report dated January 24, 2000, from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services:

- (1) to provide that the purpose of the exemption be expanded to allow “all easements, rights or interests in favour of Toronto Terminals Railway Limited or its assignees as may be required to give effect to the Purchase Agreement”, in addition to the easement for the fibre optic cable network already identified in such report;
- (2) by amending the body of the report accordingly, by inserting the phrase “and such other easements, rights or interests contemplated by the Purchase Agreement”:
 - (a) after the acronym “TTR” in the first paragraph of the section entitled “Comments”; and
 - (b) after the phrase “fibre optic cable network” wherever such phrase appears in such report; and
- (3) by replacing Map 2 with Revised Map 2 which more accurately illustrates the rail corridor lands between Bay and Yonge Streets.

REPORT NO. 3 OF THE POLICY AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

Clause No. 2 - “Administration of Reserves and Reserve Funds”.

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) deleting Recommendation No. (2)(d) embodied in the report dated February 7, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, and inserting in lieu thereof the following:
 - “(2)(d) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer shall be authorized to make contributions to any tax supported reserve or reserve fund, where it is not contrary to law, to reduce or eliminate unanticipated changes in future years’ tax rates that would otherwise occur based on changes in the surplus from year to year, subject to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer reporting on a surplus management policy, or to fund unfunded reserve and reserve funds in accordance with Council-approved policies for addressing such under-funding. Such contributions are to be reported to the Budget Advisory Committee no later than four weeks following the closing of the accounts for the prior year;” and

- (2) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to include, as an integral part of the quarterly Capital and Operating variance analysis reports, the movement of funds in and out of reserves and any expenditures related thereto.”

Clause No. 3 - “Financial Control By-law”.

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) amending the draft by-law attached as Appendix “A” to the report dated February 1, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, by amending Section 9, entitled “Spending Authority – Capital Budget”, under Part I, headed “Budgets”, as follows:
- (a) inserting in subsection (1)(a), after the words “the capital budget approved by Council establishes the”, the words “specific project scope and”;
 - (b) inserting in subsection (2)(a), prior to the words “a Department Head is authorized to approve expenditures in excess of the awarded contract price”, the words “subject to the provisions of subsection (9)(3)(a), below,”; and
 - (c) inserting in subsection (3)(a), after the words “a Department Head is authorized to approve additional expenditures where costs for a Capital Project”, the words “, as outlined in subsection (9)(1)(a), above,”;

so that subsections (1)(a), (2)(a) and (3)(a) shall now read as follows:

- “(1) (a) the capital budget approved by Council establishes the specific project scope and spending authority for a Capital Project. Department Heads shall ensure that expenditures do not exceed the approved budget(s).”
- “(2) (a) subject to the provisions of subsection (9)(3)(a), below, a Department Head is authorized to approve expenditures in excess of the awarded contract price for a Capital Project to a maximum of 10 per cent of the original amount of the awarded contract, provided however that such additional amount shall not exceed \$500,000.00.”
- “(3) (a) a Department Head is authorized to approve additional expenditures where costs for a Capital Project, as outlined in subsection (9)(1)(a), above, increase to the extent that they exceed the original funding approval for the Capital Project by the lesser of 10 per cent or \$500,000.00, provided that excess funds are available in another Capital Project to fund the over-expenditure. If costs for a Capital Project exceed the original funding by more than 10 per cent or \$500,000.00 or excess funds are not available in another Capital Project, Council approval must be obtained before any payment can be made

to incur the additional cost, except for purchases made under subsection 11(6). If subsection 11(6) applies, the approval of the Chief Administrative Officer is required before any payment may be made for the additional costs and such additional costs shall be reported to Council. If the additional funding is to be provided through the issuance of debentures, the Treasurer shall certify that such funding is within the City's updated debt and financial obligation limit."'; and

(2) adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that:

- (a) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee on a recommended standard structure for which 2001 budgets for all departments will be presented, incorporating activity-based costing and performance-based budgeting, and on any amendments to the Financial Control By-law that may be required as a result;
- (b) the following motion be referred to the Chief Administrative Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer for further consideration and joint report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee:

Moved by Councillor Balkissoon:

'It is recommended that the Policy and Finance Committee be requested to consider amending the reporting procedure on all reports related to financial policies, strategies and by-laws, to provide that such reports be routed to the Audit Committee and the Budget Advisory Committee for their review and comments.'; and

- (c) the foregoing motion by Councillor Balkissoon also be referred to the City Auditor for report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee in conjunction with the joint report requested of the Chief Administrative Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer in Recommendation No. (2)(b) above."

Clause No. 4 - "Purchasing Policies and By-law".

The Clause was amended:

- (1) by referring Recommendation No. (1) embodied in the report dated February 3, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, to the Administration Committee, viz.:
 - "(1) new Purchasing Policies for the Ban of Purchase of Products Manufactured in Factories where Children are used as Slave Labour, Canadian Content and Live Animal Testing, outlined in Appendix 'A' of this report be adopted;"

(2) to provide that Recommendations Nos. (2) and (3) embodied in the report dated February 3, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, be adopted, and Appendix "B" to such report, as amended by the Policy and Finance Committee, be implemented as the City of Toronto's Interim Purchasing By-law, with the understanding that the Administration Committee's deliberations on Recommendation No.(1), above, may recommend amendments to the Interim Purchasing By-law, and subject to further amending Appendix "B" as follows:

(a) by deleting from the definition of "Departmental Direct Purchase Limit", in Section 1, "Definitions", the reference to "subsection 5(2)", and inserting in lieu thereof reference to "subsection 5(3)", so that such definition shall now read as follows:

" 'Departmental Direct Purchase Limit' means the maximum dollar amount that any Department Head may expend to procure goods and services directly rather than through the offices of the Purchasing Agent, such amount not to exceed \$10,000.00 or such increased amount as approved by the Chief Administrative Officer in accordance with subsection 5(3);";

(b) by amending clause 8(2)(b) to read as follows:

"(b) the amount of the Award is equal to or less than the Approval by Council and is equal to or less than \$1 million;";

(c) by amending Section 9, "Standing Committee and Council", as follows:

(i) by deleting clause (3)(a)(i) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(i) the conditions specified in subsection 8(2) or in subsection 8(3), as applicable, are met with the exception of the monetary limit set out in clause 8(2)(b);";

(ii) by deleting clause (3)(a)(ii) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(ii) the amount of the Award is equal to or less than the Approval by Council and is greater than \$1 million and less than or equal to \$5 million;";

so that subsection 9(3) shall now read as follows:

"A Standing Committee to which a Bid or Proposal is referred under subsection 9(1) shall

- (a) be authorized to make an Award if,
 - (i) the conditions specified in subsection 8(2) or in subsection 8(3), as applicable, are met with the exception of the monetary limit set out in clause 8(2)(b), and
 - (ii) the amount of the Award is equal to or less than the Approval by Council and is greater than \$1 million and less than or equal to \$5 million, or
- (b) make a recommendation to Council.”;
- (d) inserting the following new Section 14, and renumbering the existing Section 14 as Section 15:

“14. Environmental Procurement:

All Calls, Requests and Solicitations shall have regard to the City’s Environmental Procurement Policy.”; and

- (3) by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (a) the following new Section 14 incorporated in the Interim Purchasing By-law be referred to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, with a request that she submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee on the cost and effects to the City of Toronto if such Section is included in the final Purchasing By-law:

“14. Environmental Procurement:

All Calls, Requests and Solicitations shall have regard to the City’s Environmental Procurement Policy.”; and

- (b) the following proposed amendments to the Purchasing By-law embodied in the communication dated March 1, 2000, from Councillor Adams, be referred to the Administration Committee for further consideration:

Moved by Councillor Adams:

‘That:

- (1) Section 3, “Ethics and Purchasing”, be amended by adding the following paragraph:

“Once a Call, Request, or Solicitation has been issued, lobbyists shall be required to disclose communications relating to all meetings, written correspondence and telephone discussions that they have had with any Member of Council, City official, appointed member of any City board, agency, commission, task force, or related organization to promote or oppose any bid, tender or proposal. This disclosure must be made to the City Clerk, in a form satisfactory to the City Clerk, within five business days of the communication and must be made prior to the scheduled opening of the bid, tender or proposal. Disclosure documents must be made available to the public and posted in a timely fashion on the City’s website.”; and

- (2) the following new definition be added to Section 1, “Definitions”:

“ ‘Lobbyist’ includes an individual who is paid to communicate with Members of Council, City officials and/or appointed members of City agencies, boards, commissions, task forces and other related organizations, to promote or oppose any Bidder or Proponent.” ’ ’ ”

Clause No. 6 - “City Power Purchase in the Competitive Market”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the report dated February 28, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer be adopted, subject to amending Recommendation No. (1), embodied therein, to read as follows:

- (1) Toronto Hydro and the Chief Administrative Officer be encouraged to negotiate a municipal access agreement pertaining to telecommunications, using their best efforts to conclude such negotiations and develop a mutually-acceptable municipal access agreement by September 2000;”;

so that the recommendations embodied in such report shall now read as follows, the balance of such report to remain confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it contains information related to the security of a property interest of the municipality:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) Toronto Hydro and the Chief Administrative Officer be encouraged to negotiate a municipal access agreement pertaining to telecommunications, using their best efforts to conclude such negotiations and develop a mutually-acceptable municipal access agreement by September 2000;

- (2) the requirement contained within the Shareholder Direction that Toronto Hydro protect and enhance the City's urban forest be considered within the context of overall performance assessment of Toronto Hydro rather than being a condition of the power purchase agreement;
- (3) City staff, in conjunction with Toronto Hydro, develop high-level performance criteria pertaining to the City's objectives articulated in the Shareholder's Direction against which Toronto Hydro's overall performance will be assessed, including their impact on the City's return, and that the City's Tree Advocate, among others, be consulted on the development of these measures; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto."

Clause No. 7 - "Issuance of Tax Receipts for EarlsCourt Park Amphitheatre".

Council adopted the following recommendations:

"It is recommended that:

- (1) the report (undated) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be adopted; and
- (2) the tax receipts also include 'in-kind' services and that cheques to suppliers for disbursements be issued directly by the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; and
- (3) the project proceed once full funding, by way of donations, is received."

Clause No. 8 - "Development of a Request for Expression of Interest (EOI) for Telecommunications Use and/or Build of City Infrastructure".

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that the Chief Administrative Officer be directed to also enter into discussions with the General Manager of Water and Wastewater Services in this regard."

Clause No. 14 - "Credit and/or Debit Card Payment Method - Taxes".

The Clause was struck out and referred back to the Policy and Finance Committee for further consideration.

Clause No. 17 - “Toronto District Heating Corporation Shareholder Approvals”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the confidential report dated February 28, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer, be adopted, such report to remain confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it contains information pertaining to the security of property interests of the municipality.”

Clause No. 18 - “Consolidation of Audit Activities”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the City Solicitor be requested to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee on the issue of governance and reporting relationships of the Toronto Police Services Board vis-à-vis City Council and the Ontario Civilian Commission on Policing Services.”

REPORT NO. 3 OF THE WORKS COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - “Compliance Program with Monetary Concession – Coca-Cola Bottling Ltd. (Ward 1)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to:

- (1) provide verbal status reports to the Works Committee on a quarterly basis; and
- (2) prior to the implementation of the reduction in fees, obtain a letter of undertaking from Coca-Cola Ltd. that they will complete the installation referred to in the Clause.”

REPORT NO. 4 OF THE WORKS COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - “Road Classification – Review of Outstanding Issues and Proposed Classifications (All Wards)”.

The Clause was amended:

- (1) by striking out and referring the road classifications for the following streets back to the Works Committee for further consideration:

- (a) Dundas Street East, east of the Don River to Jones Avenue; and
 - (b) Silverthorn Avenue, from Rowntree Avenue to the York Community Council boundary (Ward 21);
- (2) by deleting the proposed classification of “Collector” for Windermere Avenue, from Bloor Street to Saint Johns Road, and inserting in lieu thereof the classification of “Local”;
- (3) by adopting the following portions of Recommendations Nos. (1) and (3) of the North York Community Council embodied in the communication dated December 20, 1999, from the City Clerk, viz.:
- “(1) the speed limit on Finch Avenue East, between Bayview Avenue and Don Mills Road, and on Bayview Avenue, between Cummer Avenue and Steeles Avenue East, be reduced to 50 kilometres per hour;”;
 - “(3) the following roads be classified as Local:
 - (a) (i) Blithfield Road;
 - (ii) Citation Drive;
 - (iii) Bayview Mews;
 - (iv) Hawksbury Drive, from Elkhorn to Sheppard Avenue;
 - (v) Burbank Drive;
 - (vi) Ruddington Drive;
 - (vii) Tollerton Avenue; and
 - (viii) Heathview Avenue; and
 - (b) McNicoll Avenue, from Gordon Baker Road to Bayview Avenue; be classified as a Collector Road;”;
- (4) by adding thereto the following:
- “It is further recommended that:
- (a) the Proposed Road Classification System be considered as a preliminary document to be evaluated in the broader context of goals for public health, sustainable transportation, environmental protection and the City of Toronto’s Official Plan; and
 - (b) the communication dated February 24, 2000, from the Toronto Pedestrian Committee, be referred to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services for further consideration and report thereon to the Works Committee.”

Clause No. 2 - “Integration of Works Construction Contract Documents - Harmonized General Conditions of Contracts”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the joint report dated February 28, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that, in the event Council wishes to adopt the recommendation of the Works Committee to incorporate final and binding arbitration on a trial basis for a period of one year:

- (1) the trial period commence May 1, 2000, and the General Conditions, as may be approved by Council, be included in all future tender documents issued on or after April 3, 2000, rather than the date of February 1, 2000, as contained in the report of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, dated January 4, 2000;
- (2) the trial basis be reorganized by a direction to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to include the provision for final and binding arbitration for all claims in the amount of \$150,000.00 or less in the Information for Tenderers section of the tender documents for Works construction contracts, rather than by formal amendment to the General Conditions, and the Commissioner be so directed; and
- (3) Council approve, for the purpose of such arbitrations, the rules for the conduct of arbitrations contained in the document of the Canadian Construction Documents Committee (CCDC 40-1994), subject to the modifications set out in Appendix 1 attached to this report, and such rules, as modified, be incorporated by reference in the Information for Tenderers section of the tender documents for Works construction contracts.’ ”

Clause No. 3 - “City of Toronto Draft Sewer Use By-law Status Report”.

The Clause was amended by inserting, at the beginning of Recommendation No. (2) of the Works Committee, the words “that the Medical Officer of Health be requested to submit a report to the Works and Economic Development and Parks Committees, and”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

- “(2) that the Medical Officer of Health be requested to submit a report to the Works and Economic Development and Parks Committees, and that Members of the Board of Health be invited to attend the aforementioned joint meeting:”.

REPORT NO. 2 OF THE ETOBICOKE COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Clause No. 4 - "Traffic Concerns on Prince Edward Drive (South) and Berry Road (Lakeshore-Queensway)".

Having regard that Council adopted the recommendations of the Etobicoke Community Council, the Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that Recommendation No. (1) embodied in the report dated February 29, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, be adopted, viz.:

'(1) should Council adopt the Etobicoke Community Council recommendation for an all-way stop at Prince Edward Drive and Berry Road, then bus bays should be deleted from the reconstruction plans;'. "

Clause No. 15 - "Proposed Temporary Closure of Fasken Drive/Campus Road (Rexdale-Thistleton)".

The Clause was amended by amending Recommendation No. (3) of the Etobicoke Community Council to provide that the new Recommendation No. (4) added to the report dated February 15, 2000, from the Director, Transportation Services - District 2, shall now read as follows:

"(4) the Greater Toronto Airports Authority be responsible for the protection of the City of Toronto against any liability as a result of the road closure."

REPORT NO. 3 OF THE NORTH YORK COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Clause No. 4 - "Tree Removal Request – 22 Dunsmore Gardens – North York Spadina".

The Clause was struck out and referred back to the North York Community Council for further consideration.

Clause No. 31 - "Ontario Municipal Board Decision and Legal Proceedings – 15 - 17 Lorraine Drive – North York Centre".

Consideration of the Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on April 11, 2000.

REPORT NO. 2 OF THE SCARBOROUGH COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Clause No. 16 - "Billboard Signs Court Case".

Consideration of the Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on April 11, 2000.

REPORT NO. 3 OF THE TORONTO COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Clause No. 1 - "Tree Removal - 314/316 Avenue Road (Midtown)".

The Clause was amended by striking out the recommendation of the Toronto Community Council and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"It is recommended that, as recommended in Recommendation No. (2) embodied in the report dated January 4, 2000, from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, a permit for tree removal be issued conditional on the implementation of the landscape plan, prepared by Acme Environmentals Landscape Design Ltd., dated September 1999, revised October 1, 1999, subject to the applicant being requested to make a contribution of \$500.00 toward the Midtown Tree Project."

Clause No. 2 - "Introduction of Permit Parking on the North Leg of Burnside Drive, Between Bathurst Street and the West Dead End of Burnside Drive (Midtown)".

The Clause was received.

REPORT NO. 4 OF THE TORONTO COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Clause No. 2 - "Temporary Licence - 319 Keele Street and Liquor Plebiscite (Davenport)".

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that:

- (1) a liquor plebiscite be held in Ward 21, Davenport, during the November 2000 election; and
- (2) the report dated February 25, 2000, from the City Solicitor, be received."

Clause No. 3 - “Residential Demolition – 50 Lavinia Avenue (High Park)”.

Council adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the report dated December 8, 1999, from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, be adopted.”

Clause No. 6 - “Connaught Avenue at Eastern Avenue - Request for Larger One-Way Signs (East Toronto)”.

Council adopted the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that:

- (a) the report dated February 24, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) the Chief General Manager, Toronto Transit Commission (TTC), be requested to advise staff of the Russell complex located on Connaught Avenue to respect the existing one-way traffic regulation on Connaught Avenue and that vehicular access to the complex is limited to Queen Street East; and
 - (2) this report be forwarded to the Toronto Police Service for any action deemed necessary to enforce the existing one-way designation on Connaught Avenue at Eastern Avenue.’; and
- (b) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be directed to reposition and enlarge the existing one-way directional signs on Connaught Avenue at Eastern Avenue to ensure visibility.”

Clause No. 34 - “Drain Grant Appeal - 28 Morton Road (East Toronto)”.

Council re-opened Clause No. 3 of Report No. 7 of The Works and Utilities Committee, headed “Sewer Connection Blockage Inspection and Repair Program, and Tree Root Removal and Grants Policy”, which was adopted, as amended, by City Council on May 11 and 12, 1999, and struck out and referred Clause No. 34 of Report No. 4 of The Toronto Community Council to the Works Committee for further consideration.

Council also adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report to the Works Committee, for consideration therewith, on the current drain grant appeal process and what amendments would be required to ensure an appropriate method for dealing with drain grant appeals.”

Clause No. 35 - “Drain Grant Appeal - 103 Alton Avenue (East Toronto)”.

Council re-opened Clause No. 3 of Report No. 7 of The Works and Utilities Committee, headed “Sewer Connection Blockage Inspection and Repair Program, and Tree Root Removal and Grants Policy”, which was adopted, as amended, by City Council on May 11 and 12, 1999, and struck out and referred Clause No. 35 of Report No. 4 of The Toronto Community Council to the Works Committee for further consideration.

Council also adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report to the Works Committee, for consideration therewith, on the current drain grant appeal process and what amendments would be required to ensure an appropriate method for dealing with drain grant appeals.”

Clause No. 36 - “Drain Grant Appeal - 28 Silver Birch Avenue (East Toronto)”.

Council re-opened Clause No. 3 of Report No. 7 of The Works and Utilities Committee, headed “Sewer Connection Blockage Inspection and Repair Program, and Tree Root Removal and Grants Policy”, which was adopted, as amended, by City Council on May 11 and 12, 1999, and struck out and referred Clause No. 36 of Report No. 4 of The Toronto Community Council to the Works Committee for further consideration.

Council also adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report to the Works Committee, for consideration therewith, on the current drain grant appeal process and what amendments would be required to ensure an appropriate method for dealing with drain grant appeals.”

Clause No. 37 - “Drain Grant Appeal - 69 Woodrow Avenue (East Toronto)”.

Council re-opened Clause No. 3 of Report No. 7 of The Works and Utilities Committee, headed “Sewer Connection Blockage Inspection and Repair Program, and Tree Root Removal and Grants Policy”, which was adopted, as amended, by City Council on May 11 and 12, 1999, and struck out and referred Clause No. 37 of Report No. 4 of The Toronto Community Council to the Works Committee for further consideration.

Council also adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report to the Works Committee, for consideration therewith, on the current drain grant appeal process and what amendments would be required to ensure an appropriate method for dealing with drain grant appeals.”

Clause No. 39 - “Drain Grant Appeal - 251 Bingham Avenue (East Toronto)”.

Council re-opened Clause No. 3 of Report No. 7 of The Works and Utilities Committee, headed “Sewer Connection Blockage Inspection and Repair Program, and Tree Root Removal and Grants Policy”, which was adopted, as amended, by City Council on May 11 and 12, 1999, and struck out and referred Clause No. 39 of Report No. 4 of The Toronto Community Council to the Works Committee for further consideration.

Council also adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report to the Works Committee, for consideration therewith, on the current drain grant appeal process and what amendments would be required to ensure an appropriate method for dealing with drain grant appeals.”

Clause No. 41 - “Drain Grant Appeal - 59 Gainsborough Road (East Toronto)”.

Council re-opened Clause No. 3 of Report No. 7 of The Works and Utilities Committee, headed “Sewer Connection Blockage Inspection and Repair Program, and Tree Root Removal and Grants Policy”, which was adopted, as amended, by City Council on May 11 and 12, 1999, and struck out and referred Clause No. 41 of Report No. 4 of The Toronto Community Council to the Works Committee for further consideration.

Council also adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report to the Works Committee, for consideration therewith, on the current drain grant appeal process and what amendments would be required to ensure an appropriate method for dealing with drain grant appeals.”

Clause No. 42 - “Drain Grant Appeal - 31 Glen Stewart Avenue (East Toronto)”.

Council re-opened Clause No. 3 of Report No. 7 of The Works and Utilities Committee, headed “Sewer Connection Blockage Inspection and Repair Program, and Tree Root Removal and Grants Policy”, which was adopted, as amended, by City Council on May 11 and 12, 1999, and struck out and referred Clause No. 42 of Report No. 4 of The Toronto Community Council to the Works Committee for further consideration.

Council also adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report to the Works Committee, for consideration therewith, on the current drain grant appeal process and what amendments would be required to ensure an appropriate method for dealing with drain grant appeals.”

REPORT NO. 3 OF THE YORK COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Clause No. 1 - “Draft By-law - Alteration of Atlas Avenue, Cherrywood Avenue, Northcliffe Boulevard and Westmount Avenue - Ward 28, York Eglinton”.

Council adopted the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the draft by-law from the City Solicitor with respect to traffic calming measures on Atlas Avenue, Cherrywood Avenue, Northcliffe Boulevard and Westmount Avenue, be approved; and
- (2) the following motion be referred to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, with a request that he address the concerns raised therein in his forthcoming report to the Works Committee on the review of procedures for traffic calming measures:

Moved by Councillor Davis:

“It is further recommended that the policy for streets that are bounded by schools be revised to allow for a petition by the Parent Council to be considered by City Council.”

Clause No. 6 - “Appointment of Members of Council to the York Community LACAC Panel and the York Community Museum Management Board”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that Councillor Frances Nunziata be appointed to the York Community Museum Management Board for a term expiring November 30, 2000, and until her successor is appointed.”

NOTICES OF MOTIONS APPEARING UNDER ITEM J

(1) **Moved by:** **Councillor Ootes**

Seconded by: **Councillor Disero**

“**WHEREAS** City Council at its Special meeting held on January 19, 2000, by its adoption, without amendment, of Notice of Motion J(1), moved by Councillor Berardinetti, seconded by Councillor Silva, accepted the resignation of Councillor Dennis Fotinos and declared the Office of Councillor, Ward 21, Davenport, to be vacant in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act; and

WHEREAS City Council at its meeting held on February 1, 2 and 3, 2000, by its adoption, as amended, of Clause No. 1 of Report No. 2 of The Administration Committee, headed ‘Policy on Filling Vacancies on City Council’, adopted a policy for filling vacancies on Council which provides, in part, that any vacancy in the office of the Mayor or a Councillor declared by Council after November 30 in the year prior to an election year be filled through an appointment; and

WHEREAS City Council determined that the vacancy in Ward 21, Davenport, would be filled by the appointment of a qualified elector to serve the remainder of the term of office, in accordance with the adopted policy, and that Council would consider such appointment at its regular meeting to be held on February 29, March 1 and 2, 2000;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council consider the appointment of a person to fill the vacancy in the office of Councillor, Ward 21, Davenport, at 5:00 p.m. on Wednesday, March 1, 2000.”

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.

Council subsequently appointed Mr. Cesar Palacio as Councillor, Ward 21, Davenport.

(2) **Moved by:** **Councillor Walker**

Seconded by: **Councillor Prue**

“**WHEREAS** 50 percent of Toronto’s households are tenant households, and

WHEREAS it is a well documented fact that voter turnout among tenants is low because tenants tend to be more transient than homeowners and are often in a different apartment from one enumeration to the next, and

WHEREAS the registration process for those not on the voter’s list for the 1999 Provincial election was a difficult and frustrating one; and

WHEREAS now, more than ever, tenants need every opportunity to vote because the affordability of their homes is under threat through the Tenant Protection Act, and especially vacancy decontrol, as well as harassment, declining maintenance, demolition and conversion; and

WHEREAS it is in the interest of the municipality to raise voter participation among its constituents; and

WHEREAS Council must act on this matter now in order to allow sufficient time to prepare for a municipal enumeration of tenants;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Toronto City Council take all necessary actions to ensure that a municipal enumeration of tenants in high rise apartments take place prior to the civic election on November 13, 2000, in order to ensure there are no impediments in exercising their franchise; and the City Clerk be requested to submit a report on this issue by no later than the May 2000 meeting of Toronto City Council.”

Disposition: The Motion was referred to the Administration Committee for further consideration, and the City Clerk was requested to submit a report thereon to the Committee, for consideration therewith.

(3) **Moved by: Councillor Walker**

Seconded by: Councillor Kinahan

“**WHEREAS** City Council, on February 1, 2, and 3, 2000, adopted, as amended, Clause No. 7 of Report No. 1 of The Community Services Committee, headed ‘Implementation of the Tenant Defence Fund and Rental Housing Office’ and, in part, confirmed the process for administering grants from the Tenant Defence Fund, approved the Terms of Reference for the Tenant Defence Sub-Committee, and requested the Chair of the Sub-Committee to Restore Rent Control to canvass existing members of that Sub-Committee, and report back on the membership of the new Tenant Defence Sub-Committee; and

WHEREAS Council, on November 23, 24 and 25, 1999, by its adoption, as amended, of Clause No. 1 of Report No. 10 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed ‘Implementation of a Tenant Defence Fund’, approved funding for the Tenant Defence Fund for a total budget of \$300,000.00; and

WHEREAS Council directed that the membership of the Tenant Defence Sub-Committee will be eight Councillors, initially to be selected from the membership of the former Sub-Committee to Restore Rent Control, and appointed the Chair of the Sub-Committee to Restore Rent Control as the Chair of the Tenant Defence Sub-Committee; and

WHEREAS the Sub-Committee to Restore Rent Control met on February 21, 2000, and made recommendations for appointment to the Tenant Defence Sub-Committee; and

WHEREAS it is important that the Tenant Defence Sub-Committee begin to meet immediately to monitor the Tenant Defence Fund Program which provides assistance and grants to tenants wishing to dispute applications for above-guideline applications at the Ontario Rental Housing Tribunal; and

WHEREAS a component of the Tenant Defence Fund which the Tenant Defence Sub-Committee is responsible for overseeing is the establishment of an Outreach/Co-ordinating Team to work with tenant groups, and as hearings before the Ontario Rental Housing Tribunal are happening in rapid succession, it is important that this Outreach/Co-ordinating Team be established immediately to assist tenants at these hearings;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

- (1) in addition to Councillor Walker having been appointed as the Chair of the Tenant Defence Sub-Committee, the following additional Members of Council be appointed to the Tenant Defence Sub-Committee for a term of office expiring on November 30, 2000, and until their successors are appointed:

Councillor Kinahan;
Councillor McConnell;
Councillor Mihevc;
Councillor Moscoe;
Councillor Prue;
Councillor Rae; and
Councillor Tzekas;

- (2) the following Members of Council be appointed to the Tenant Defence Sub-Committee as alternate members, and in the absence of the full member, be included in quorum and have full voting privileges:

Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski as alternate to Councillor Rae; and
Councillor Pitfield as alternate to Councillor Prue;

- (3) the report (February 17, 2000) from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services regarding the selection of the Outreach/Co-ordinating Team for the Tenant Defence Fund, be adopted;
- (4) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services report quarterly to the Tenant Defence Sub-Committee, and to each member of Council, with an evaluation of hearings before the Ontario Rental Housing Tribunal, indicating which hearings have had involvement with the Federation of Metro Tenants Associations, who have represented tenant groups at the hearings, the number of successful applications by tenant groups, and what financial awards were made by the Tribunal; and

- (5) the Greater Toronto Tenants Association be requested, if they wish, to also report quarterly to the Tenant Defence Sub-Committee with an evaluation of their activities with respect to successes in their representation of tenant groups at hearings of the Tribunal.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, subject to amending the Operative Paragraph by:*

- (1) *adding the following to Recommendation No. (2):*

“Councillor Adams as alternate to Councillor Tzekas; and Councillor Davis as alternate to Councillor Mihevc;”; and

- (2) *adding to Recommendation No. (3) the words “subject to the Federation of Metro Tenants Associations signing a sub-contract agreement with the Greater Toronto Tenants Association for funding of 25 percent of the organizing component of the Tenant Defence Fund, with an upset limit of \$35,000.00, such sub-contract agreement to be approved by the Tenant Defence Sub-Committee at its next regular meeting”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:*

“(3) the report (February 17, 2000) from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services regarding the selection of the Outreach/Co-ordinating Team for the Tenant Defence Fund, be adopted, subject to the Federation of Metro Tenants Associations signing a sub-contract agreement with the Greater Toronto Tenants Association for funding of 25 percent of the organizing component of the Tenant Defence Fund, with an upset limit of \$35,000.00, such sub-contract agreement to be approved by the Tenant Defence Sub-Committee at its next regular meeting;”.

Council, by its adoption of Motion J(3), as amended, adopted the report dated February 17, 2000, from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services, embodying the following recommendations, subject to the Federation of Metro Tenants Associations signing a sub-contract agreement with the Greater Toronto Tenants Association for funding of 25 percent of the organizing component of the Tenant Defence Fund, with an upset limit of \$35,000.00, such sub-contract agreement to be approved by the Tenant Defence Sub-Committee at its next regular meeting:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) Council encourage the Federation of Metro Tenants Associations and the Greater Toronto Tenants Association to work co-operatively to ensure that as many tenants as possible will receive the benefits of the Tenant Defence Fund in dealing with ‘above guideline rent increase’ applications and express appreciation for their efforts to date;*
- (2) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services establish a Tenant Defence Fund project steering committee consisting of City staff, representatives of the Federation of Metro Tenants Associations and the Greater Toronto Tenants Association and such other community representatives that the Commissioner may consider appropriate;*
- (3) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services enter into a purchase of service agreement with the Federation of Metro Tenants Associations in order to provide outreach and co-ordination of services to tenants related to the Tenant Defence Fund, subject to the Commissioner approving any sub-contracts with other parties, and in a form satisfactory to the Commissioner and the City Solicitor;*
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take all necessary action to give effect to these recommendations.”*

(4) **Moved by: Councillor Moeser**

Seconded by: Councillor Disero

“WHEREAS City Council at its meeting held on May 11 and 12, 1999, by its adoption, as amended, of Clause No. 1 of Report No. 6 of The Striking Committee, headed ‘Appointment of Members of Council to Standing and Other Committees of Council, Various Boards and Special Purpose Bodies’, decided to nominate one candidate only, namely Councillor Tom Jakobek, for appointment as the City of Toronto Municipal Council Member representative on the Toronto District Health Council (TDHC) for a term of office expiring on November 30, 2000, rather than the two listed in the Minister of Health's guidelines for this appointment; and

WHEREAS TDHC, in a communication from the Chair dated January 12, 2000, has advised the City Clerk that District Health Council Members are appointed by Provincial Cabinet, on the advice of the Minister of Health, and reiterated that the Minister of Health's guidelines require that two candidates must be nominated for each position on Council; and

WHEREAS TDHC also advised that it forwarded to the Minister of Health the name of Councillor Tom Jakobek as the City's nominee as a Member of the City of Toronto Council, but the Special Assistant, Public Appointments in the Minister's Office, has indicated that no further action will be taken on this municipal appointment until they receive two nominees for this outstanding position;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 1 of Report No. 6 of The Striking Committee, headed 'Appointment of Members of Council to Standing and Other Committees of Council, Various Boards and Special Purpose Bodies', be re-opened for further consideration, only insofar as it relates to the appointment of Councillor Tom Jakobek to the Toronto District Health Council;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT such appointment be referred back to the Striking Committee for further consideration in light of the aforementioned communication dated January 12, 2000, from the Chair of TDHC."

Disposition: Council re-opened Clause No. 1 of Report No. 6 of The Striking Committee, headed "Appointment of Members of Council to Standing and Other Committees of Council, Various Boards and Special Purpose Bodies", for further consideration, only insofar as it relates to the appointment of Councillor Tom Jakobek to the Toronto District Health Council, and adopted the balance of the Motion, without amendment.

(5) **Moved by: Councillor Johnston**

Seconded by: Councillor Prue

“WHEREAS March 1, 2000, is St. David's Day, Patron Saint of Wales; and

WHEREAS it is a date of great importance to the Welsh people abroad and in the City of Toronto;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Members of City Council extend their sincere best wishes to the Welsh Community of the City of Toronto for a Happy Saint David's Day;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the City Clerk be requested to forward this resolution to the St. David's Society."

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.

(6) **Moved by: Councillor Disero**

Seconded by: Councillor Jakobek

“WHEREAS Council on June 9, 10 and 11, 1999 adopted, as amended, Clause No. 28 in Report No. 8 of The Toronto Community Council, thereby authorizing the preparation of a local improvement recommendation on the initiative plan for the opening of a public lane, extending westerly from Spring Grove Avenue, between 34 and 36 Spring Grove Avenue and at the rear of 1697 to 1703 St. Clair Avenue West; and

WHEREAS as a result of negotiations with staff, the owner of the aforesaid lane has submitted an Offer to Sell the lane with an irrevocable date for acceptance of March 9, 2000;

WHEREAS the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services has prepared a report (February 25, 2000) recommending that the proposed public lane be opened as a local improvement on the initiative plan; and

WHEREAS the Commissioner of Corporate Services has prepared a report (February 28, 2000) recommending acceptance of the aforesaid Offer, which report needs to be considered by City Council prior to the irrevocable date of the Offer of March 9, 2000;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council consider the report (February 25, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the report (February 28, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services respecting the opening of this public lane and adopt the recommendations contained in these reports."

Disposition:

The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and, in so doing, Council adopted:

(a) *the report dated February 25, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, embodying the following recommendations:*

“It is recommended that:

- (1) *a public lane, 3.05m in width, extending westerly from Spring Grove Avenue between Premises Nos. 34 and 36 Spring Grove Avenue and at the rear of Premises Nos. 1697 to 1703 St Clair Avenue West, shown hatched on the attached Plan SYE2925, be opened as a local improvement on the initiative plan, at an estimated cost of \$70,397.66;*
- (2) *as the following lot abutting on the work in my opinion is not benefited by the work, it be exempt in the by-law for undertaking the work from special assessment and that the amount of special assessment which would otherwise be chargeable thereon be assessed against the other benefiting lots:*

<u>Lot</u>	<u>Plan</u>	<u>Property</u>	<u>Frontage on Work</u>	<u>Recommended Exemption</u>
Pt. 45	1736Y	36 Spring Grove Avenue	11.44 m	11.44 m

- (3) *as the following lot abutting on the work is not benefited by the work to the same extent as the other abutting lots, the By-law for undertaking the work include the reduction shown below in the special assessment which would otherwise be chargeable thereon and that the entire cost of the work be specially assessed as if it were the cost in respect of the reduced frontage but the whole of the lot granted the reduction shall be charged with the special assessment as so reduced:*

<u>Lot</u>	<u>Plan</u>	<u>Property</u>	<u>Frontage on Work</u>	<u>Recommended Exemption</u>
Pt. 46	1736Y	34 Spring Grove Avenue	32.38 m	30.761 m

- (4) *the payment of the cost of this work in the estimated amount of \$70,397.66, be paid by lump sum or, alternatively, spread over a period of 10 years and that, if the actual cost exceeds or falls short of the estimated cost, the assessment shall be for such actual cost;*
- (5) *as this improvement is purely local in character, the sum of \$70,397.66 or 100 percent of the estimated cost be levied upon the following properties (all measurements are more or less):*

Lane as opened, north side, at the rear of Premises Nos. 1697 to 1703 St Clair Avenue West and abutting Premises No. 36 Spring Grove Avenue, less an exemption of 11.44m, in respect of Premises No. 36 Spring Grove Avenue.....21.03m

Lane as opened, west end, abutting Premises No. 1705 St Clair Avenue West, a distance of 3.05m.....3.05m

Lane as opened, south side, abutting Premises No. 34 Spring Grove Avenue, less an allowance totalling 30.761m, in respect of Premises No. 34 Spring Grove Avenue.....1.619m; and

- (6) *the appropriate City Officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any bills that might be necessary.”; and*
- (b) *the report dated February 28, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, embodying the following recommendations:*

“It is recommended that:

- (1) *the Offer to Sell from Maria Salituro to sell the lands shown on the attached Plan SYE2925 to the City for a sale price of \$55,000.00, plus an amount equal to the amount of the special assessment that is specially assessed upon the Vendor’s property at 1705 St. Clair Avenue West, be accepted on the terms outlined in the body of this report, and that either one of the Commissioner of Corporate Services or the Executive Director of Facilities and Real Estate be authorized to accept the Offer on behalf of the City;*
- (2) *authority be given to acquire the right-of-way interest over the lands shown on Plan SYE2925 from the owners of 36 Spring Grove Avenue for a nominal sum at no cost to these owners;*
- (3) *the City Solicitor be authorized to complete the transactions on behalf of the City, including payment of any necessary expenses, extending the conditional period of the Agreement of Purchase and Sale as may be necessary to enable the City to satisfy the Local Improvement Condition discussed in the body of this report and amending the closing date to such earlier or later date as he considers reasonable; and*
- (4) *the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto”.*

(7) **Moved by:** Councillor Moscoe

Seconded by: Councillor Feldman

“WHEREAS 1000 Finch Avenue West is a building located in North York Spadina (Ward 8); and

WHEREAS the attached article from the Toronto Star indicates that there were \$9.6 million of tax arrears incurred against this building; and

WHEREAS for some reason the City has cancelled the certificate of arrears registered against the building and the building has been sold to ‘Kenneth Dusang who heads Deal Makers of Canada Inc.’, costing the City an amount equivalent to a one percent increase in the residential tax rate (\$9.6M);

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Auditor be requested to conduct an investigation of this matter and report thereon, directly to Council at its next meeting, in camera, with particular attention to who authorized the cancellation of the certificate of arrears and what or who prompted its cancellation.”

Disposition: Council struck out the Operative Paragraph embodied in the foregoing Motion and inserted in lieu thereof the following new Operative Paragraphs:

“NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to report fully to the next meeting of the Administration Committee to be held on March 21, 2000, on the full chronology of events surrounding 1000 Finch Avenue West, from the time of first delinquency to the present, including the actions currently being taken to mitigate the risk of financial loss, such report to also address:

- (1) the areas in the collection process of 1000 Finch Avenue West where improvements could be made;***
- (2) the current policy of the City of Toronto on collection efforts for outstanding taxes and corrective action being taken to ensure that all of the City’s tax receivables are secured and not at financial risk;***
- (3) all outstanding tax arrears, penalties and interest, and collection efforts connected therewith, and all accounts in litigation; and***
- (4) the status of the largest twenty (20) tax receivable accounts;***

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the City Auditor be requested to review and report to City Council, through the Audit Committee, as part of his 2000 workplan, on the efficiency and effectiveness of the tax collection process, including the adequacy of the City's systems for the collection of tax arrears."

(8) **Moved by:** Councillor Pitfield

Seconded by: Councillor Bossons

"WHEREAS City Council, at its meeting held on February 1, 2 and 3, 2000, adopted a Notice of Motion regarding the 'True Blue Campaign' of the Toronto Police Association; and

WHEREAS in adopting the Motion, as amended, Council requested the City Solicitor to report directly to Council, for each meeting, on future developments, until the issue of the 'True Blue' campaign has been resolved; and

WHEREAS the City Solicitor has prepared the attached report dated February 25, 2000;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council give consideration to the aforementioned report dated February 25, 2000, from the City Solicitor, and that such report be adopted."

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and, in so doing, Council adopted, without amendment, the report dated February 25, 2000, from the City Solicitor, embodying the following recommendation:*

"It is recommended that the City Solicitor be requested to report on further legal developments in the 'True Blue' matter, only when such developments occur."

(9) **Moved by:** Mayor Lastman

Seconded by: Councillor Filion

"WHEREAS it is in the public interest for the City to provide regular access to information on food safety in Toronto restaurants; and

WHEREAS some former City of Toronto Municipal Councils received regular staff reports on tickets, convictions and closures of restaurants which were found to be operating in unsafe or unsanitary conditions; and

WHEREAS Public Health staff have been directed to report back by April, through the Board of Health, on a comprehensive program for the inspection of restaurants, including a method of grading and public notification;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, pending consideration of the staff report, staff, through the Board of Health, provide City Council with regular reports on tickets, convictions and closures affecting restaurants in the City of Toronto.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, subject to adding thereto the following new Operative Paragraphs:*

“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Board of Health be requested to ensure that all categories of restaurants (i.e. small, franchise, fast food, etc.) are inspected;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the City Auditor be requested to conduct a review in relation to the food inspection program at the Public Health Division, with particular emphasis on:

- (a) compliance with legislative requirements, both in terms of the extent of food testing and the levying of appropriate penalties;*
- (b) an evaluation of the effectiveness and efficiency of the current and proposed service delivery model, including the adequacy of management and staffing levels, the appropriateness of administrative procedures and quality assurance programs; and*
- (c) a comparison of policies and practices with other municipalities;*

such report to be submitted jointly to the Audit Committee and the Board of Health, by the April 2000 meeting of the Board of Health, if possible.”

(10) **Moved by:** **Councillor Saundercook**

Seconded by: **Councillor Miller**

“WHEREAS the establishment at 2446-2448 Bloor St. W., known as ‘The Fan’, ‘Billy Bob’s’ and the ‘Wedgewood Restaurant’ straddles two former municipalities, with the rear of the building being located in the former City of York, and the front of the building being located in the former City of Toronto; and

WHEREAS the Alcohol and Gaming Commission has announced that on March 29th and 30th, they will be holding a hearing in the community to decide on the latest liquor licence application submitted by this establishment; and

WHEREAS Toronto City Council in 1999 passed three contradictory motions originating from two Community Councils, and

WHEREAS the first motion from York Community Council deals exclusively with the City exercising its right to formally object to the Alcohol and Gaming Commission issuing liquor licences, under section 7.1 of Regulation 719, clause 6(2)h of the Liquor Licence Act; and

WHEREAS the first motion also directs Council to send this motion to Toronto Community Council for their records; and

WHEREAS the second motion, also from York Community Council, passed concurrently with the first, contradicts the first motion by requesting action from Toronto Community Council, as compared to 'for their records'; and

WHEREAS the second motion also requests that Council express its opposition to the issuance of a building permit for a rooftop patio; and

WHEREAS the Divisional Court in Ontario, through a prior decision, ordered the City to issue a building permit for the patio, rendering the legality of second motion questionable; and

WHEREAS a third motion from Toronto Community Council, passed by City Council within a six-month period from the first motion, without the necessary re-opening of the item (liquor licences for the above named establishment) as required under the Council Procedural By-law, requests conditions on the licence, in contradiction to the first two motions;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 33 of Report No. 9 of The Toronto Community Council, headed 'Building Permit - Construction of a Third Floor Patio - 2446-2448 Bloor Street West – "The Fan", "Billy Bob's" and "The Wedgewood Restaurant" (York Humber, High Park)' and Clause No. 9 of Report No. 5 of The York Community Council, headed '2446-2448 Bloor Street West, "The Fan", "Billy Bob's" and the "Wedgewood Restaurant" Ward 27, York Humber and Ward 19, High Park', be re-opened for further consideration, having regard for the timing of the hearing;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Council declare the previous three motions all null and void, and replace them with the following to indicate the will of Toronto City Council:

“BE IT RESOLVED THAT TORONTO CITY COUNCIL:

- (1) advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of the community’s serious concerns regarding the possibility of noise and other problems arising from the issuance of a liquor licence for a rooftop patio at 2446-2448 Bloor Street West, and
- (2) request the Alcohol and Gaming Commission not to grant any additional liquor licences, or expansion of existing licences, for the establishment at 2446-2448 Bloor Street West, unless the community interests are fully and clearly addressed;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, given the past involvement of City Legal in the history of this site, Council request staff of City Legal to be in attendance at the hearing, to provide clarity and act as a resource to the community.”

Disposition: ***Council re-opened Clause No. 33 of Report No. 9 of The Toronto Community Council, headed “Building Permit - Construction of a Third Floor Patio - 2446-2448 Bloor Street West – ‘The Fan’, ‘Billy Bob’s’ and ‘The Wedgewood Restaurant’ (York Humber, High Park)” and Clause No. 9 of Report No. 5 of The York Community Council, headed “2446-2448 Bloor Street West, ‘The Fan’, ‘Billy Bob’s’ and the ‘Wedgewood Restaurant’ Ward 27, York Humber and Ward 19, High Park”, for further consideration, and adopted the balance of the Motion, without amendment.***

(11) **Moved by:** **Councillor Mihevc**

Seconded by: **Mayor Lastman**

“WHEREAS at its meeting on July 27, 28, 29 and 30, 1999, City Council refused the Official Plan and rezoning applications by Goldlist Properties for 310 and 320 Tweedsmuir Avenue in the (former) City of York; and

WHEREAS the applications would result in the demolition of 246 purpose-built, rental apartments and the construction of two 25-storey condominium towers and 36 four-storey condominium townhouses; and

WHEREAS on February 18, 2000, the Ontario Municipal Board issued a decision, on the appeal by Goldlist Properties, which permits the demolition of the existing rental housing and the construction of two 25-storey condominium apartment towers (250 units), thirteen condominium units, and a 9-storey replacement rental apartment building (146 units); and

WHEREAS the 146 replacement rental units represent 60 percent of the total existing rental apartments and 100 percent of the affordable apartment units at the site; and

WHEREAS the Ontario Municipal Board, in issuing its decision, determined the following:

- (1) the Board found that there is a social, as well as an economic, cost associated with the redevelopment of the site and that the public interest would be well-served by the developer assisting in every way to relocate existing tenants;
- (2) the Board acknowledged that some of the existing tenants, particularly some of the elderly, may suffer from the effects of relocation syndrome;
- (3) the Board acknowledged that there is a very low vacancy rate for affordable units in the City of Toronto and a general shortage of affordable housing;
- (4) the Board stated that the decision will result in a net loss, as existing apartment units will be demolished and only replaced by 60 percent of new apartment units, and only partially addresses a much larger housing problem in the City of Toronto; and
- (5) the Board acknowledged that the decision only represents a partial solution; and

WHEREAS it is the City's policy to make gains in affordable rental housing and to ensure that there is no net loss; and

WHEREAS there are currently six applications affecting approximately 400 rental apartment units in the City at risk of being demolished through other development applications; and

WHEREAS the Greatwise (North York) and Tweedsmuir applications will result in the combined net loss of 267 purpose-built, rental apartments; and

WHEREAS the Tenant Protection Act (TPA) repealed all municipal powers to prohibit demolition of rental housing; and

WHEREAS the TPA is meant to address landlord/tenant matters and the Board, through this decision, has provided for an enhanced tenant compensation and relocation package greater than that offered by the TPA; and

WHEREAS there are no effective tools to restrict demolition of rental housing;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council, yet again, request that the provincial government recognize the significant limitations of the TPA and introduce new legislative tools to prevent demolition and require full replacement, as appropriate, and to enhance the provisions offered to the tenants affected by demolition of their housing."

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted unanimously.*

(12) **Moved by:** **Councillor Miller**

Seconded by: **Councillor Chow**

“**WHEREAS** City Council on October 28, 29 and 30, 1998, by its adoption, as amended, of Clause No. 2 of Report No. 11 of The Emergency and Protective Services Committee, headed ‘Holistic Practitioner Licensing Category’, and its adoption of By-law No. 806-1998, amended the Licensing By-law No. 20-85, to control the negative consequences of ‘body rub’ parlours; and

WHEREAS the by-law amendment also applies to certain holistic health practices, such as acupuncture; and

WHEREAS the by-law, as written, has the unintended effect of making some standard acupuncture procedures unlawful; and

WHEREAS staff originally intended to report on revisions to this by-law by March 2000, which would have allowed anomalies to be addressed; and

WHEREAS the process required means the report will not be ready for some time; and

WHEREAS there is considerable concern in the acupuncture community regarding this by-law; and

WHEREAS there is no consensus regarding whether the City should be regulating this health profession at all;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City of Toronto By-law No. 20-85, as amended, be further amended by deleting reference to ‘acupuncture’ from the list of practices covered under ‘Holistic Practitioners’ or ‘Holistic Centres’;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT staff report further, after consultation with the acupuncture community and the Province of Ontario, on an appropriate mechanism to regulate the health profession of acupuncture.”

Disposition: The Motion was referred to the Planning and Transportation Committee.

(13) **Moved by:** **Councillor Duguid**

Seconded by: **Councillor Feldman**

“**WHEREAS** the federal government, in December 1999, announced new funding for homelessness, a significant portion of which will be directed to Toronto; and

WHEREAS most of the funds will flow in the three fiscal years commencing in April 2000, but some 'Community Plans and Research' funds are available in the fiscal year ending March 31, 2000, to flow to specific projects and community agencies through the City budget; and

WHEREAS authorization for these funds to flow in March 2000 is required before the next meeting of City Council; and

WHEREAS City staff have identified several planning and research activities which can proceed quickly and which the federal government is prepared to support, including research and inventory for the homeless health strategy in partnership with the Toronto District Health Council, project planning costs for new shelters and Let's Build housing, support to the Aboriginal Steering Committee and to service planning for Housing Help Centres and other activities;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City accept a transfer, prior to March 31, 2000, of up to \$120,000.00 from Human Resources Development Canada, at no net cost to the City, for planning and research activities related to homeless services and affordable housing, and the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be given pre-budget approval to spend these funds for the agreed purposes, subject to normal City spending authorities and to such terms and conditions as the City and Human Resources Development Canada may agree to;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Budget Advisory Committee be requested to incorporate the expenditure and revenue for this initiative as part of the 2000 Operating Budget of the Shelter, Housing and Support Division;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, notwithstanding normal City purchasing procedures, approval given to provide up to \$30,000.00 of these funds to the Toronto District Health Council, on a sole-source basis, for research and inventory for the homeless health strategy;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the City, if required, enter into an agreement with the federal government regarding these funds, to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor."

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.

(14) **Moved by: Councillor Adams**

Seconded by: Councillor Miller

"WHEREAS on May 1, 2000, the Ontario Municipal Board was scheduled to consider an appeal of Town of Richmond Hill OPA 200 and York Region OPA 20, which allow for the expansion of the urban boundary onto the Oak Ridges Moraine; and

WHEREAS at the same hearing, the Ontario Municipal Board will be considering a number of appeals from private landowners in the Town of Richmond Hill to develop land on the Oak Ridges Moraine; and

WHEREAS the Town of Richmond Hill has voted to defer consideration of OPA 200; and

WHEREAS despite the Town's deferral, the Ontario Municipal Board will continue to hear the private appeals; and

WHEREAS the Council of the City of Toronto, at the in-camera portion of its meeting held on February 1, 2, and 3, 2000, issued confidential instructions to staff pertaining to the City's participation in the hearing, such instructions to remain confidential in accordance with the Municipal Act, having regard that they pertain to Solicitor/Client privilege; and

WHEREAS the City Solicitor must take certain actions in respect of the City of Toronto's position at the upcoming hearing, and, in that respect, has prepared the attached confidential report dated February 29, 2000, entitled 'The Oak Ridges Moraine – Town of Richmond Hill OPA 200, York Region OPA 20 and Related Private Official Plan Amendments and Development Applications Before the Ontario Municipal Board'; and

WHEREAS at its meeting on February 24, 2000, the Oak Ridges Moraine Council Steering Committee recommended that City Council consider certain expenditures to raise public awareness of the significance of the moraine, which expenditures must be undertaken immediately to ensure an impact in advance of the upcoming hearing;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council give consideration to a confidential report dated February 29, 2000, from the City Solicitor, and that Council also give consideration to the report dated February 28, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, subject to adding thereto the following new Operative Paragraphs:*

“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT:

(a) *the report dated February 28, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:*

‘It is recommended that Council adopt the following recommendations of the Oak Ridges Moraine Council Steering Committee from its meeting held on February 24, 2000:

- (1) *City Council support Non-Government Organizations and Associations (NGOs) in their efforts to raise and sustain public awareness to protect and preserve the Oak Ridges Moraine, providing their vision and the City's are similar, in the amount of up to \$100,000.00, subject to the approval of the Oak Ridges Moraine Council Steering Committee; and*
- (2) *City Council approve a Councillor and media bus tour of the moraine and a media and public awareness campaign, to be organized by Corporate Services, to protect the moraine and for staff to develop additional materials and activities required for raising public awareness of the need to save the Oak Ridges Moraine lands because of the potential impact of overdevelopment of the moraine on Toronto, in the amount of up to \$20,000.00'; and*
- (b) *the City Solicitor be authorized to take all necessary actions to secure full party status at the Richmond Hill Ontario Municipal board hearing involving the private Official Plan amendments and development applications, and to actively participate in any portion of the hearing related to the expansion of the urban boundary and environmental policy, and the implications thereof;*
- (c) *the confidential report dated March 1, 2000, from the City Solicitor, entitled 'Oak Ridges Moraine Application for a New Policy Applicable to the Oak Ridges Moraine Under the Environmental Bill of Rights, 1992', be adopted, subject to amending Recommendation No. (1) embodied therein, to indicate that Councillors Adams and Miller, with Councillor Saundercook as alternate, are authorized to apply pursuant to s.61(2) of the Environmental Bill of Rights, 1992, so that the recommendations embodied in such report shall now read as follows:*

'It is recommended that:

- (1) *Councillors Adams and Miller, with Councillor Saundercook as alternate, be authorized to apply pursuant to s.61(2) of the Environmental Bill of Rights, 1992 (the "EBR") to seek a review of the need for a new provincial policy applicable to development on the Oak Ridges Moraine;*

- (2) *the City Solicitor be authorized to assist in the preparation of the materials in support of such an application in accordance with the requirements of the EBR;*
- (3) *the City Solicitor be authorized to take such steps as may be necessary, in the opinion of the City Solicitor, in relation to any such application and its effect on pending proceedings at the Ontario Municipal Board (“OMB”); and*
- (4) *the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.’; and*

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Councillors Jones and Moeser be appointed to the Oak Ridges Moraine Council Steering Committee.”

City Council at the in-camera portion of its meeting held on February 29, March 1 and 2, 2000, also issued confidential instructions to staff, such instructions to remain confidential in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that the instructions are subject to Solicitor/Client privilege.

- (15) **Moved by:** **Councillor Adams**
- Seconded by:** **Councillor Moscoe**

“WHEREAS City Council, at its meeting of September 28 and 29, 1999, adopted, as amended, Clause No. 17 of Report No. 7 of The Policy and Finance Committee, thereby authorizing the entering into of an agreement with Leducor Communications Ltd. (or its corporate affiliate Worldwide Fiber (F.O.T.S.) Ltd.) to permit Leducor to install telecommunications equipment and cable at various railway crossing locations throughout the City of Toronto; and

WHEREAS the terms and conditions of the agreement, as approved by City Council, included the requirement that the agreement would eventually be superseded by a municipal access agreement to allow Leducor to expand its facilities beyond the railway crossing locations; and

WHEREAS the Telecommunications Steering Committee, at its meeting held on February 14, 2000, received a confidential briefing from staff concerning the progress of discussions with Leducor, and directed staff to continue discussions with a view to bringing forward a recommendation on an agreement to the Policy and Finance Committee for its meeting of February 17, 2000, or, failing that, to City Council for its meeting of February 29, 2000; and

WHEREAS the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with the City Solicitor, has therefore prepared a confidential report (February 28, 2000) to City Council concerning this matter; and

WHEREAS for the reasons outlined in the aforementioned confidential report, it is important that City Council consider this matter prior to the March break;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council give consideration to the confidential report (February 28, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and that such confidential report be adopted.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and, in so doing, Council adopted the confidential report dated February 28, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, embodying the following recommendations, the balance of such report to remain confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it contains information pertaining to the security of property interests of the municipality:*

“It is recommended that:

- (1) approval be given to enter into a Term Letter Agreement with WFI Urbanlink Ltd. (or Affiliate) to authorize the installation and maintenance of conduits and fibre optic cables within certain public highways, subject to the terms and conditions generally as set out in this report and such other terms and conditions as may be satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and City Solicitor;*
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized to negotiate and finalize a Municipal Access Agreement (MAA) for telecommunications purposes with WFI Urbanlink Ltd. (or its Corporate Affiliate) on such terms and conditions as may be required to protect the City's interests, provided that such agreement is in accordance with the principles established in the Term Letter Agreement;*

- (3) *the requirement to pay further processing fees related to the MAA be waived based on the reasonable terms and conditions negotiated to protect the City's interests, and as this fee was already paid in the context of the Street Crossing Agreement; and*
- (4) *the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary steps to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.”*

(16) **Moved by:** Councillor Flint

Seconded by: Councillor Moscoe

“WHEREAS Allstate Insurance Company of Canada et al, challenged, through a Court Application, subsection 14(2) and section 15 of Part 6 of Schedule 24 of By-law No. 20-85 of the former Metropolitan Council, being a by-law for the licensing, regulating and governing of trades, callings, businesses and occupations in the City of Toronto, as amended, pertaining to Collision Reporting Centres (CRC); and

WHEREAS subsection 14(2) of Part 6 of Schedule 24 to By-law No. 20-85 prohibits any person in a CRC from recommending a body shop or vehicle repair facility to any vehicle owner; and

WHEREAS section 15 of Part 6 of Schedule 24 to By-law No. 20-85 requires that a sign be posted in CRCs stating that representatives of insurance companies on the premises cannot recommend a body shop or repair facility; and

WHEREAS by a decision dated November 5, 1999, the Ontario Court of Justice declared subsection 14(2) and section 15 of Part 6 of Schedule 24 to By-law No. 20-85 of the former Metropolitan Council invalid on the basis that these provisions contravene the right of freedom of expression of the insurance companies, contrary to section 2(b) of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms; and

WHEREAS the Commissioner of Urban Development Services has prepared the attached confidential reported dated February 28, 2000, seeking direction from Council in the appeal of this decision; and

WHEREAS City Council’s direction to appeal is required to ensure that deadlines imposed by the Court’s rules of practice are met and to ensure that there is no delay which may prejudice City Council’s position in this matter;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council give consideration to the confidential report dated February 28, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services; and that such report be adopted.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and, in so doing, Council adopted the confidential report dated February 28, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, embodying the following recommendations, the balance of such report to remain confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it pertains to litigation:*

“It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council appeal the decision of the Ontario Court of Justice in Allstate Insurance Company of Canada et al. v. City of Toronto to the Court of Appeal for Ontario;*
- (2) City Council retain the law firm of Borden & Elliot as counsel for the City in this matter; and*
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”*

(17) **Moved by:** Councillor Mihevc

Seconded by: Councillor Shiner

“**WHEREAS** there is confusion over the existing Access and Equity Committees of former municipalities; and

WHEREAS pursuant to Recommendation No. (2) of the Task Force on Community Access and Equity and clarification of the term ‘Employment Equity’, adopted, as amended, by Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held December 14, 15 and 16, 1999, which reads as follows:

‘Community Councils establish working groups on access, equity and human rights issues as the needs currently exists or arise and permit membership on these working groups to include individuals who work or reside in the City.’;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the North York Committee on Community, Race and Ethnic Relations, the Etobicoke Multicultural and Race Relations Committee, the Scarborough Race Relations Committee and the Toronto Mayor’s Committee on Community and Race Relations continue on an interim basis until the end of December, 2000, or until the Community Councils have decided on the establishment of working groups on access, equity and human rights issues;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT these committees receive secretariat and program support from within existing resources;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Chief Administrative Officer report to Council, through the Administration Committee, on terms of reference for access and equity working groups established by Community Councils, such terms of reference to include:

- (1) promoting Access and Equity concerns related to program activities under the jurisdiction of community councils; and
- (2) engaging in volunteer outreach activities related to Access and Equity issues broadly understood;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Chief Administrative Officer continue the process to establish an appointment protocol for members of the new city wide access and equity committees which Council created at its meeting on December 14, 15 and 16, 1999.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(18) **Moved by:** **Councillor Jakobek**

Seconded by: **Councillor Shiner**

“WHEREAS the Budget Advisory Committee has just completed its initial review of the 2000 Preliminary Operating Budget; and

WHEREAS the 2000 Preliminary Operating Budget is currently \$57.2 million over a zero budget for 2000; and

WHEREAS the \$57.2 million increase consists of real pressures including \$31.3 million in provincial downloading; \$17.3 million in requests from agencies, boards and commissions; \$1.7 million in net increases for new service changes and \$7 million in other non-program expenditures, excluding capital impacts associated with the Toronto Transit Commission subsidy loss; and

WHEREAS the City is committed to delivering a zero tax rate increase for the third year in a row; and

WHEREAS the 2000 Operating Budget will not be approved by City Council until its meeting of April 26 and 27, 2000;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT effective immediately, the following action be taken until Council approval of the 2000 Operating Budget:

- (1) no new service changes by City departments,
- (2) no new service changes or expansions by agencies, boards or commissions,
- (3) no changes to existing service levels,
- (4) program spending be limited to basic operating expenditures only; and
- (5) all discretionary spending be frozen.”

Disposition: *Having regard that a motion to waive Notice did not carry, the foregoing Motion was not introduced.*

(19) **Moved by:** **Councillor Johnston**

Seconded by: **Councillor Mihevc**

“**WHEREAS** there is overwhelming support for a barrier free City by 2008 for Toronto’s Olympic Bid; and

WHEREAS the needs of people with disabilities have not been addressed equally with those of other marginalized groups in Ontario; and

WHEREAS it is estimated that approximately 17 percent of the people living in the Province of Ontario have some form of disability; and

WHEREAS by 2011 it is estimated that one in every six Ontarians will be over the age of 65 and the over 75 population will more than double; and

WHEREAS it is an established fact that increasing age results in some form of a disability;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Council of the City of Toronto request the Premier of Ontario to enact, expeditiously, the long-awaited and promised ‘Ontarians With Disabilities Act’.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted unanimously.*

(20) **Moved by:** **Councillor Davis**

Seconded by: **Mayor Lastman**

“**WHEREAS** at its meeting on July 27, 28, 29 and 30, 1999, City Council refused the Official Plan and rezoning applications by Goldlist Properties for 310 and 320 Tweedsmuir Avenue in the (former) City of York; and

WHEREAS the application has been approved by the Ontario Municipal Board, subject to finalization of a number of matters including site plan approval; and

WHEREAS the Oakwood Vaughan Secondary Plan contains general objectives regarding urban design applicable to the secondary plan area within which the project is located and regard should be given to these general objectives as well as to site planning matters, including but not limited to, setback, shadow impact, access and egress, landscaping of the site and massing of the development in the review of any site plan application relating to the development; and

WHEREAS on February 18, 2000, the Ontario Municipal Board issued a decision, on the appeal by Goldlist Properties, which permits the demolition of the existing rental housing and the construction of two 25-storey condominium apartment towers (250 units), thirteen condominium units, and a 9-storey replacement rental apartment building (146 units); and

WHEREAS the 146 replacement rental units represent 60 percent of the total existing rental apartments and 100 percent of the affordable apartment units at the site; and

WHEREAS the Ontario Municipal Board, in issuing its decision, determined the following:

- (1) the Board found that there is a social as well as an economic cost associated with the redevelopment of the site and that the public interest would be well-served by the developer assisting in every way to relocate existing tenants;
- (2) the Board acknowledged that some of the existing tenants, particularly some of the elderly, may suffer from the effects of relocation syndrome;
- (3) the Board acknowledged that there is a very low vacancy rate for affordable units in the City of Toronto and a general shortage of affordable housing;
- (4) the Board stated that the decision will result in a net loss, as existing apartment units will be demolished and only replaced by 60 percent of new apartment units, and only partially addresses a much larger housing problem in the City of Toronto; and
- (5) the Board acknowledged that the decision only represents a partial solution; and

WHEREAS it is the City's policy to make gains in affordable rental housing and to ensure that there is not net loss; and

WHEREAS there are currently six applications affecting approximately 400 rental apartment units in the City at risk of being demolished through other development applications; and

WHEREAS the Greatwise (North York) and Tweedsmuir applications will result in the combined net loss of 267 purpose-built, rental apartments; and

WHEREAS the Tenant Protection Act (TPA) repealed all municipal powers to prohibit demolition of rental housing; and

WHEREAS the TPA is meant to address landlord/tenant matters and the Board, through this decision, has provided for an enhanced tenant compensation and relocation package greater than that offered by the TPA; and

WHEREAS the TPA has failed as a strategy to stimulate the production of new rental housing;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City staff work closely with Councillor Davis and the local community to resolve outstanding site planning matters regarding the location of the two 25-storey condominium apartment towers, thirteen condominium units, and the 9-storey replacement rental apartment building to ensure that the impact of these buildings is mitigated;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the proposed new buildings reinforce the character of the area in terms of the relationship of buildings to the street, light, view and privacy for the existing and future residents in addition to the location of driveways and pedestrian access.”

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, subject to deleting from the first Operative Paragraph the name “Councillor Davis”, and inserting in lieu thereof the words “the local Ward Councillors”, so that such Operative Paragraph shall now read as follows:

“NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City staff work closely with the local Ward Councillors and the local community to resolve outstanding site planning matters regarding the location of the two 25-storey condominium apartment towers, thirteen condominium units, and the 9-storey replacement rental apartment building to ensure that the impact of these buildings is mitigated;”.

(21) **Moved by: Councillor Ootes**

Seconded by: Councillor Disero

“WHEREAS on March 1, 2000, Mr. Cesar Palacio was appointed by City of Toronto Council as Councillor for Davenport to replace the former Councillor Dennis Fotinos, whose resignation was accepted by Council on February 1 and 2, 2000; and

WHEREAS it is necessary to make appointments to fill those positions held by the former Councillor Fotinos;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Councillor Cesar Palacio be appointed to serve on the following Committees, Task Forces, and Business Improvement Areas, in place of the former Councillor Dennis Fotinos:

- (1) the Works Committee for a term of office expiring on November 30, 2000;
- (2) the Olympic Task Force for a term of office expiring on November 30, 2000;
- (3) the Road Allowance Task Force for a term of office expiring on November 30, 2000;
- (4) the Bloorcourt Village Business Improvement Area for a term of office expiring on November 30, 2000, and until his successor is appointed;
- (5) the Bloordale Village Business Improvement Area for a term of office expiring on November 30, 2000, and until his successor is appointed;
- (6) the Dovercourt Village Business Improvement Area for a term of office expiring on November 30, 2000, and until his successor is appointed; and
- (7) the St. Clair Gardens Business Improvement Area for a term of office expiring on November 30, 2000, and until his successor is appointed;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, in view of the specialized knowledge required, the following positions held by the former Councillor Fotinos be referred to the Striking Committee for recommendation thereon to City Council, and that the City Clerk be requested to canvass Members of Council for their interest in these appointments, and submit a list of interested Members to the Striking Committee for its consideration:

- (1) the Telecommunications Steering Committee for a term of office expiring on November 30, 2000;
- (2) the Greater Toronto Services Board (Member) for a term of office expiring on November 30, 2000, and until his successor is appointed; and
- (3) the Association of Municipalities of Ontario, Board of Directors, as a City of Toronto Caucus Representative, for a term of office expiring at the Annual meeting of AMO in August 2000.”

Disposition: ***The Motion was adopted, without amendment.***

CONDOLENCE MOTION:

Moved by: Mayor Lastman

Seconded by: Councillors Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Cho, Duguid, Kelly, Mahood, Moeser, Shaw, Soknacki and Tzekas

“WHEREAS the Mayor and Members of City of Toronto Council are deeply saddened to learn of the passing of former Scarborough Mayor, Gus Harris, at the age of ninety-one years; and

WHEREAS Mr. Harris’ distinguished public service career spanned forty years, having first entered public life in 1949, as School Trustee for Scarborough Area 1 in the election held in December 1948; and

WHEREAS Mr. Harris served the people of Scarborough as Councillor, Deputy Reeve and Reeve, Controller and representative of Scarborough on the former Metropolitan Toronto Council, and was elected Mayor in 1978, which office he held until his retirement at the age of eighty in 1988; and

WHEREAS the ease with which Gus Harris won many elections with a minimum of campaign expenditure and publicity confounded observers and gained the admiration of colleagues; and

WHEREAS Mr. Harris always gracefully declined any attempt by Scarborough Council to officially recognize his outstanding record of service and will be remembered for his unpretentious manner, his fairness and great integrity; and

WHEREAS Mr. Harris was predeceased by his daughter Jane and his beloved wife Anna;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Clerk be directed to convey, on behalf of Members of City Council, an expression of sincere sympathy to the Harris family, especially his sons Peter and David and daughters Pat and Rose.”

Disposition: The Motion was adopted unanimously.

Toronto, Ontario
March 8, 2000

City Clerk