

## **CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENTS**

Certified to be a true copy of amendments to:

Report No. 5 of The Policy and Finance Committee,

and Notices of Motions as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its Special Meeting held on April 26, 2000.

### **REPORT NO. 5 OF THE POLICY AND FINANCE COMMITTEE**

**Clause No. 1 - "City of Toronto 2000 Recommended Operating Budget".**

Council adopted the recommendations of the Policy and Finance Committee embodied in this Clause, subject to the following amendments:

### **COMMUNITY AND NEIGHBOURHOOD SERVICES**

#### **Children's Services:**

(1) by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that, in the event that the approved funding for the York Before and After School Program becomes unavailable, the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be requested to submit a report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee.";

#### **Shelter, Housing & Support:**

(2) by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that:

- (a) the \$0.02 per capita fee for participation in the National Housing Policy Options Team be approved; funds therefor, in the amount of \$46,000.00, to be provided from within the 2000 Operating Budget of the Shelter, Housing and Support Division; and

- (b) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be requested to submit a report to the Community Services Committee, as soon as possible, on:
  - (i) the resolution of discrepancies in the data that is available on vacancies in hostels; and
  - (ii) the strategy for the next twelve months, to ensure that City Council's targets for occupancy in shelters are achieved as soon as possible.”;

## **WORKS AND EMERGENCY SERVICES**

### **Fire Services:**

- (3) by adding to Recommendation No. (27) of the Policy and Finance Committee, the words “and further that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Fire Chief be requested to submit a joint report to the Community Services Committee, in June 2000, on which programs must be adjusted in the 2000 Fire Services Operating Budget to fund the \$300,000.00 expenditure for the Mentoring Program prior to its implementation, such report to also address other potential costs which could arise as a result of non-implementation, such as legal costs and judgements against the City”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:
  - “(27) funds in the amount of \$0.300 million be re-allocated from within the Program's Recommended 2000 Operating Budget to provide for the Mentoring Program, to establish a bursary to be utilized by community groups in assisting people to obtain qualifications for entry into the Toronto Fire Services, and further that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Fire Chief be requested to submit a joint report to the Community Services Committee, in June 2000, on which programs must be adjusted in the 2000 Fire Services Operating Budget to fund the \$300,000.00 expenditure for the Mentoring Program prior to its implementation, such report to also address other potential costs which could arise as a result of non-implementation, such as legal costs and judgements against the City;”; and
- (4) by striking out and referring the following Recommendation No. (28) of the Policy and Finance Committee to the Mayor, the Fire Chief and the Chief Administrative Officer, with a request that, recognizing the importance of Fire Services to the people of the City of Toronto, the Mayor, the Fire Chief and the Chief Administrative Officer develop a comprehensive implementation plan to meet the staffing requirements for the Toronto Fire Service and submit a joint report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee to ensure consideration by Council at the start of the 2001 Operating Budget process, such plan to take into consideration the impact of the staffing measures identified in the April 25, 2000 joint report from the Fire Chief and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services:

“(28) funding not be provided in the Fire Services’ 2000 Operating Budget for the 55 firefighters conditionally approved in July 1999 for recruitment in April 2000;”;

**Transportation Services:**

- (5) to provide that the funding, in the amount of \$25,000.00, recommended by the Budget Advisory Committee in the communication dated April 14, 2000, from the City Clerk, for inclusion in the Transportation Services 2000 Operating Budget for a water course grass cutting cycle increase from four to six cuts per year in the former City of Scarborough district, be recovered from the 2000 recommended budget for the Water and Wastewater Program, in lieu of being absorbed within the 2000 recommended budget for Transportation Services;

**ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, CULTURE & TOURISM**

**Arts, Culture & Heritage:**

- (6) by amending Recommendation No. (42) by increasing the one-year funding for the development initiative for Heritage Toronto from \$40,600.00 to \$90,600.00, with the increased funding of \$50,000.00 to be provided from the Corporate Contingency Account; and
- (7) by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that a full-time staff person for the Lakeshore Assembly Hall be approved, effective September 1, 2000, at a cost of \$20,000.00, funding therefor to be provided from the Corporate Contingency Account.”;

**Economic Development:**

- (8) by deleting the following Recommendation No. (48) of the Policy and Finance Committee, having regard that the Acting Chair of Council ruled such recommendation redundant, as City Council on April 11, 12 and 13, 2000, by its adoption, as amended, of Clause No. 3 of Report No. 4 of The Economic Development and Parks Committee, headed “Tourism Partnership Agreement with Tourism Toronto (All Wards)”, had already decided on this matter:

“(48) City Council request the Provincial Government to harmonize the sales tax in hotels from 5 percent to 8 percent and to ensure that the additional 3 percent difference be dedicated to Tourism Toronto;”;

**Parks & Recreation:**

- (9) by adding to Recommendation No. (56) of the Policy and Finance Committee, the words “and that the Toronto Youth Cabinet and Youth Committee be requested to provide support and assistance in the implementation of this recommendation”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(56) with regard to initiatives arising out of the Mayor’s Action Plan on Youth Violence and Gang Activity, also contained in the Children and Youth Action Task Force (CYAC), funding of \$428,000.00 gross and net (included in the 2000 Recommended Operating Budget) for the initiatives noted below, be approved; said initiatives to be subject to local community consultation and consultation with local Councillors prior to implementation of the Mall-based Youth Resource Centres and adding the Malvern Town Centre and the Don Mills Peanut Plaza to the program:

- (i) five mall-based youth resource centres;
- (ii) two TTC youth outreach programs;
- (iii) one Police-youth basketball program; and
- (iv) 13 late-night youth drop in programs;

and that the Toronto Youth Cabinet and Youth Committee be requested to provide support and assistance in the implementation of this recommendation;”;

- (10) by adding to Part (c) of the amendment by the Budget Advisory Committee, the words “as well as Members of the former User Fee Committee, if they so desire”, so that such Part shall now read as follows:

“(c) that, given the difficulties with the Parks User Fee issue, a short term Task Force be established, consisting of five members, to review the matter and report thereon to Council for its meeting of July 4, 2000, without any budgeting increase; and further that the membership of the said Task Force be referred to the Economic Development and Parks Committee and the Striking Committee, noting that the following Councillors expressed interest in being members of said Task Force, Councillors Pitfield, Balkissoon, Shiner, Kinahan, Chow, McConnell and Rae, as well as Members of the former User Fee Committee, if they so desire.”;

and

- (11) by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (a) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism absorb the expenditure of \$175,000.00 for the pesticide replacement program from within the Parks and Recreation 2000 Operating Budget, and, if this funding is not available, in whole or in part, the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee, no later than September 2000;

- (b) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to submit a report to the Task Force on Parks User Fees, when established, for report thereon to the Economic Development and Parks Committee, outlining, on a district by district basis, service standards for seniors recreational programs, including therapeutic programs, such report to also address staff responsibilities and complements; and
- (c) the City Clerk be directed to make the following motions available to the Task Force on Parks User Fees, when established:

Moved by Councillor Augimeri:

**‘WHEREAS** Toronto City Council has yet to provide an overall vision of the role and future of Parks and Recreation programs and services in Toronto’s neighbourhoods; and

**WHEREAS** a long-term vision and direction for the department will contribute to fiscal and program stability in public recreation services; and

**WHEREAS** fees have been a demonstrated barrier to participation in recreation programs; and

**WHEREAS** broad participation in community-based, public recreation programs has documented benefits to individuals, neighbourhoods and the City as a whole;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Toronto City Council establish the principle of phasing out user fees for municipal recreation services by 2003;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to submit a report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee on a plan to ensure that programming levels and program design are appropriate to the vision of accessible municipal recreation as a core neighbourhood service;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to consult with community groups and residents who have deputed before Council committees on recreation policies in developing such plan.’

Moved by Councillor Bussin:

**‘WHEREAS** user fees are a proven barrier to low-income people participating in public recreation and recent studies show that participation in recreation is physically, socially and economically beneficial to residents, local neighbourhoods and the City as a whole; and

**WHEREAS** out of 47 centres designated by the Economic Development, Culture and Tourism Department as “High Need”, only 25 are currently exempt from fees. The remaining 22 recreation centres which are charging fees to residents are in low-income neighbourhoods located throughout the new City, including six in the north, five in the east, six in the west and five in the south and central districts; and

**WHEREAS** City Council could benefit a large number of residents and their neighbourhoods in these areas for a very small sum of money, by lifting the fee barrier;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the City of Toronto 2000 Operating Budget be amended to provide up to \$200,000.00 to exempt the remaining 22 recreation centres on the Economic Development, Culture and Tourism Department’s “High Needs Communities” list from charging user fees as of September 2000.’ ”;

## **CORPORATE SERVICES**

### **Fleet Management Services:**

- (12) by striking out and referring Recommendation No. (86) of the Policy and Finance Committee to the Administration Committee for further consideration, having regard that, in 1999, City Council expended \$250,000.00 on consultants who made recommendations on Fleet Management and the issue has no impact on the 2000 Operating Budget, viz.:

“(86) the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to study the cost/benefit and feasibility of an external fleet management company taking over the management of the City’s fleet, and to report thereon to the Budget Advisory Committee by June 2000.”;

## **OTHER**

### **Chief Administrator’s Office:**

- (13) by striking out and referring Recommendations Nos. (96) to (99) of the Policy and Finance Committee back to the Policy and Finance Committee for further consideration, having regard that the issue of Alternative Service Delivery (“ASD”) is a large policy matter requiring a fuller discussion at the appropriate Committee and Council and the issue has no impact on the 2000 Operating Budget, viz.:

“(96) the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to establish a framework for the implementation of ASD initiatives in the City by September 2000;

- (97) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to ensure that, by September 2000, all potential ASD savings identified in relation to each program are shown as a separate activity to be reduced to zero after the year 2003;
- (98) the Commissioner of Corporate Services be requested to provide a plan, by September 2000, to enable programs to address all ASD-related issues immediately upon expiry of existing labour contracts, with a view to full ASD implementation by the year 2003; and
- (99) as part of the 2001 budget process:
  - (i) all programs provide an estimate of potential savings for the adoption of ASD on the basis there are no constraints; and
  - (ii) any program that does not report potential savings from adoption of ASD report back on the process that has been followed to determine that there are no potential savings from ASD;”;

**City Council:**

- (14) by deleting the following Recommendations Nos. (102) and (103) of the Policy and Finance Committee, having regard that the Acting Chair of Council ruled such recommendations redundant, as City Council on April 11, 12 and 13, 2000, by its adoption, as amended, of Clause No. 1 of Report No. 7 of the Administration Committee, headed “Councillor’s Use of Corporate Vehicles”, had already decided on this matter:
  - “(102) the Council Transportation Unit be reduced by one vehicle plus the related expenses effective September 1, 2000, as reflected in the 2000 Recommended Operating Budget; and that the Executive Director of Human Resources Division be directed to redeploy the resultant displaced driver within the City; and
  - (103) the City Clerk be requested to report back to the Policy and Finance Committee on:
    - (i) the appropriate number of cars for use by Members of Council;
    - (ii) reducing the number of cars from six to one for protocol use and how the drivers could be redeployed elsewhere in the City; and
    - (iii) the implications of reducing the number of cars;”;

## **SPECIAL PURPOSE BODIES**

### **Public Health:**

- (15) to provide that the funding for the non-mandatory dental program be increased by an additional amount of \$100,000.00 gross and net, to be provided from the Corporate Contingency Account, resulting in a total year 2000 Operating Budget allocation of \$5.2 million (an increase of \$1.6 million over the 1998 level) for this program; and
- (16) by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the joint report dated April 20, 2000, from the Medical Officer of Health and the Chief Administrative Officer, entitled ‘Toronto Public Health Tuberculosis Control Program’, be received.”;

### **Toronto Zoo:**

- (17) by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (a) the General Manager and Chief Executive Officer of the Toronto Zoo be requested to work with officials of the Toronto Transit Commission in the development of the Strategic Plan for the Toronto Zoo, in order to include improvements to transit service to the Toronto Zoo in such Plan;
- (b) the General Manager and Chief Executive Officer of the Toronto Zoo, in consultation with the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, be requested to submit a report to City Council, in July 2000, through the Policy and Finance Committee, on the projected revenue for the year 2000, based upon the actual revenue earned to date;
- (c) if such projected revenue for the year is less than the 2000 approved Operating Budget (\$14,908.8 thousand), spending of such revenue deficiency to a maximum of \$300.0 thousand on maintenance and repairs that were cut from the 2000 Operating Budget request be considered, if necessary;
- (d) the additional spending not be added to the 2000 base Operating Budget of the Toronto Zoo;
- (e) the General Manager and Chief Executive Officer of the Toronto Zoo be requested to submit a separate report to the Policy and Finance Committee on the nature and amount of such maintenance and repairs; and



- (f) if the actual revenue for the year is greater than the 2000 approved Operating Budget or the projected revenue referred to in Recommendation No. (17)(b), above, and if the Toronto Zoo received City funding for additional maintenance and repair as referred to in Recommendation No. (17)(c), above, the General Manager and Chief Executive Officer of the Toronto Zoo, in consultation with the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, be directed to arrange for the return of such additional funding to the City.”;

**Toronto Police Services:**

- (18) by adding to Recommendation No. (151) of the Policy and Finance Committee, the words “and further that the Chief of Police be requested to submit a report to the Toronto Police Services Board on the number of calls that have been received by 23 Division since the Woodbine Racetrack & Slots has been in operation and the resources allocated to respond to such calls”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(151) the Toronto Police Service be requested to separately track the costs associated with the Woodbine Racetrack & Slots and report back through the City’s variance reporting process on any financial and operational impacts to the Toronto Police Service as a result of the Woodbine Racetrack & Slots operations, and further that the Chief of Police be requested to submit a report to the Toronto Police Services Board on the number of calls that have been received by 23 Division since the Woodbine Racetrack & Slots has been in operation and the resources allocated to respond to such calls;”; and

- (19) by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Chief of Police be requested to:

- (a) focus on outreach to youth in the northwest section of the City of Toronto and consider the provision of extra resources to this ‘high needs’ area; and
- (b) submit reports to the Toronto Police Services Board on:
  - (i) the feasibility of deploying the Community Action Policing monies to the various divisions to use for community policing programs, based on discussions with the community to determine how these funds can best be used; and
  - (ii) how at least five percent of the Police Service Budget can be dedicated to crime prevention.”

## CORPORATE ACCOUNTS

### Consolidated Grants:

- (20) by rescinding the following action taken by the Policy and Finance Committee with respect to the Toronto Arts Council:

“The Policy and Finance Committee reports, for the information of Council, having:

- (vi) requested the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism to meet with representatives of the Toronto Arts Council and the appropriate City Officials on the future role of the Toronto Arts Council;”;

- (21) by deleting Recommendation No. (161) and inserting in lieu thereof the following new Recommendation No. (161), to reflect technical adjustments and the recommended increase to the grants budget of \$150,000.00 for harmonization, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee:

“(161) the 2000 Recommended Operating Budget of \$46.690 million gross and \$42.193 million net as detailed in Appendix ‘B’, comprised of the following grant envelopes, be approved:

<u>Service</u>	<u>Gross</u> <u>(\$000s)</u>	<u>Net</u> <u>(\$000s)</u>
Arts and Culture Grants	12,934.1	12,934.1
Community Service Grant	10,794.1	10,794.1
Recreational Grant	1,233.3	1,233.3
Public Health Grant	3,185.8	3,185.8
Housing Grant	6,967.3	2,525.3
Miscellaneous	6,117.2	6,062.1
Access and Equity	470.4	470.4
Economic Development	4,701.2	4,701.2
Urban Development Grants	<u>286.4</u>	<u>286.4</u>
Consolidated Corporate Grants	<u>46,689.8</u>	<u>42,192.7</u> ; and

- (22) by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that Recommendation No. (3) embodied in the communication dated April 17, 2000, from the President, Toronto Arts Council, be adopted, viz.:

- ‘(3) Receive for information the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism’s report dated April 10, 2000, so that Toronto Arts Council can clarify with appropriate City Officials the Committee of Adjustment’s budgetary model and the implications for the Toronto Arts Council in moving towards such a model.’ ”;

**GENERAL:**

- (23) by striking out Recommendation No. (183) of the Policy and Finance Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the following new Recommendation No. (183):

“(183) the 2000 Operating Budget of \$5,934.4 million gross and \$2,598.9 million net, as detailed in the revised Appendix ‘A’, entitled ‘2000 Operating Budget - Program Summary’, and the revised Appendix ‘B’, entitled ‘2000 Operating Budget, Base Budget & New Service Charges’, be adopted, as further amended by Clause No. 2 of Report No. 5 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed ‘Water and Wastewater Program’;”; and

- (24) by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (a) the report dated April 25, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) the levy and collection of taxes for the 2000 taxation year for municipal (City) purposes, the collection of taxes for 2000 other than those levied under By-law No. 887-1999, the imposition of a penalty charge for non-payment of 2000 taxes, and the provision of interest to be added to tax arrears be authorized;
- (2) staff be directed to report directly to Council on May 9, 2000, with respect to the tax levy by-law for education purposes and the special charge by-law for certain BIA’s;
- (3) in order to maintain consistency of due dates for the City’s taxpayers, Council be authorized to waive, on a one-time basis, its directive for a clear 30 days from the date of mailing of the tax bill to the due date of July 4, 2000, due to the delay in the setting of the provincial education tax rates; and
- (4) authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary bill in Council to levy taxes for the taxation year 2000, for municipal (City) purposes, to provide for the collection of taxes for 2000 other than those levied under By-law No. 887-1999, to impose a penalty charge for non-payment of taxes and to provide for interest to be added to tax arrears in the form or substantially in the form of the draft by-law attached hereto.’; and

- (b) the following motion be adopted:

Moved by Councillor Jakobek:

**‘WHEREAS** I am tendering my resignation as Chair of the Budget Advisory Committee effective April 26, 2000; and

**WHEREAS** Councillor Chong has indicated his willingness to act as Interim Chair of the Budget Advisory Committee, until such time as the Committee elects a new Chair;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** City Council accept the resignation of Councillor Jakobek as Chair of the Budget Advisory Committee effective April 26, 2000, and approve the appointment of Councillor Chong as Interim Chair of the Budget Advisory Committee’.”

**Clause No. 2 - “Water and Wastewater Program”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to include with the joint report to be submitted to the Policy and Finance Committee, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, on an appropriate methodology for the allocation of Finance, WES and other corporate charges against the Water and Wastewater operations for implementation in the 2001 Capital Budget, a copy of the report requested by the Budget Advisory Committee, on the lead and galvanized pipe service replacement program, which is scheduled to be submitted to the Works Committee for its meeting to be held on June 14, 2000.”

**NOTICES OF MOTIONS APPEARING UNDER ITEM J**

(1) **Moved by:** Councillor Adams

**Seconded by:** Councillor Bossons

**‘WHEREAS** the City’s Committee of Adjustment, in its Decision of February 8, 2000, regarding 23 Howland Avenue, refused an application to construct a rear one storey addition; and

**WHEREAS** the refusal Decision has been appealed to the Ontario Municipal Board, with a Hearing scheduled for May 4, 2000; and

**WHEREAS** the Urban Development Services Department, in their letter dated February 7, 2000, recommended to the Committee of Adjustment that the application for 23 Howland Avenue be refused; and

**WHEREAS** in their letter, the Urban Development Services Department indicated that the rear addition had been largely completed without the required permits; that the gross floor area exceeds the Official Plan and the proposed building depth (27 metres instead of the maximum 14 metres), and the lack of side-yard set back may reduce the residential amenity of the adjacent rear yards; and

**WHEREAS** the Chief Building Official saw fit to issue a Stop-Work Order as a result of the construction taking place without the required permit;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the City Solicitor be directed to appear before the Ontario Municipal Board to defend the City of Toronto Committee of Adjustment Decision of February 8, 2000, regarding 23 Howland Avenue.”

*Disposition:                    The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(2) **Moved by:                    Councillor Moscoe**

**Seconded by:                  Councillor Soknacki**

“**WHEREAS** on October 28, 1998, on the strength of a single anonymous complaint about the availability to Councillors of TEELA data and ‘on line access’ to assessment data, the Assistant Privacy Commissioner of the Province of Ontario ruled that Councillors ought not to have access to information that they have been receiving for many years, (copy attached); and

**WHEREAS** this ruling was based on the assertion by the complainant that the use of this information ‘could create an unfair electoral advantage for incumbent politicians’; and

**WHEREAS** this ruling has resulted in a series of rulings from the City’s Director of Corporate Access and Privacy that have severely impacted on the ability of Councillors to do their legitimate jobs as Councillors; and

**WHEREAS** much of this data is provided freely by the Ontario government, (TEELA), to the real estate industry, and the City itself subscribes to this service and it is available to anyone for a price; and

**WHEREAS** the directors of this corporation are being denied access to data that is freely available to hundreds of City employees in the daily conduct of their work; and

**WHEREAS** the same data is freely made available to Councillors in other Ontario municipalities; and

**WHEREAS** Councillors no longer have access to the City-generated sign-in sheets at Community meetings; and

**WHEREAS** these and further restrictions on information are making it increasingly difficult for Councillors to contact their constituents;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the City Solicitor use whatever action may be necessary to overturn the rulings in investigation MC-980018-1 by the Ontario Assistant Privacy Commissioner, including court action and the use of an outside solicitor, if necessary;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the necessary funds be taken from the Corporate Contingency Account;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** Council direct the Chair of the Administration Committee to seek a meeting with the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing to request an amending regulation that would ensure the Council Members have sufficient access to data to properly do their jobs;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT**, until such time as this appeal has reached its final conclusion, Councillors continue to be provided access to data which Councillors deem necessary to provide service to residents.”

*Disposition: Notice of the foregoing Motion was given to permit consideration at the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on May 9, 2000.*

Toronto, Ontario  
May 2, 2000

City Clerk