

## CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENTS

Certified to be a true copy of amendments to:

Report No. 12 of The Administration Committee,  
Report No. 13 of The Administration Committee,  
Report No. 5 of The Community Services Committee,  
Report No. 6 of The Economic Development and Parks Committee,  
Report No. 5 of The Planning and Transportation Committee,  
Report No. 7 of The Policy and Finance Committee,  
Report No. 10 of The Works Committee,  
Report No. 11 of The Works Committee,  
Report No. 12 of The Works Committee,  
Joint Report No. 2 of The Works Committee and The Economic  
Development and Parks Committee,  
Report No. 6 of The East York Community Council,  
Report No. 5 of The Etobicoke Community Council,  
Report No. 6 of The Etobicoke Community Council,  
Report No. 7 of The North York Community Council,  
Report No. 6 of The Scarborough Community Council,  
Report No. 9 of The Toronto Community Council,  
Report No. 6 of The York Community Council,  
Report No. 3 of The Board of Health, and  
Report No. 4 of The Board of Health,

and Notices of Motions, as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on June 7, 8 and 9, 2000.

### **REPORT NO. 12 OF THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE**

**Clause No. 1 - "Future Use of the Dempsey Store (Ward 10 - North York Centre)".**

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) striking out the recommendation of the Administration Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

“It is recommended that the report dated May 30, 2000, from Councillor Gardner, be adopted, subject to adding to Recommendation No. (3) the words ‘such inspection to include zoning requirements and any other pertinent information that may be necessary’, and deleting Recommendation No. (4) and re-numbering the remaining recommendation accordingly, so that the recommendations embodied in such report shall now read as follows:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) the Archives Association of Ontario and the North York Historical Society be relocated to an appropriate facility, such as the North York Civic Centre, beginning September 1, 2000;
  - (2) the Children's Services Division of the Community Services Department undertake an inspection of the Dempsey Building to determine its suitability vis-a-vis existing legislation, e.g., the Day Nurseries Act, for its intended use by the Learning Centre for Children with Autism;
  - (3) the appropriate City of Toronto staff undertake an inspection with respect to the suitability of the building structure for the intended purpose and use by the number of anticipated persons expected to use Dempsey Store under the auspices of the Learning Centre for Children with Autism, such inspection to include zoning requirements and any other pertinent information that may be necessary; and
  - (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.’ ”; and
- (2) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Learning Centre for Children with Autism be requested to provide for representation on its Board by a member of the North York Historical Society.”

## **REPORT NO. 13 OF THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE**

### **Clause No. 1 - “Proposed Election Sign By-law”.**

The Clause was amended:

- (1) by striking out Recommendation No. (1) of the Administration Committee, viz.:
  - “(1) amending Recommendation No. (2) embodied in the report dated May 11, 2000, from the City Clerk, and the proposed by-law, by striking out the requirement for a \$200.00 election sign deposit;”,

so that the \$200.00 election sign deposit is required as recommended in the report dated May 11, 2000, of the City Clerk;

- (2) by adding to the end of Recommendation No. (2) of the Administration Committee, the words “with the exception of those instances where the public property is the untravelled portion of the public right-of-way, (i.e. front yards on residential streets) such placement to be with the consent of the abutting private property owner”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(2) the placement of election signs not be allowed on public property/highways, with the exception of those instances where the public property is the untravelled portion of the public right-of-way, (i.e. front yards on residential streets) such placement to be with the consent of the abutting private property owner;”;

- (3) by adding to the end of Recommendation No. (3) of the Administration Committee, the words “(or 12.92 square feet), save and except bill board signs and signs on campaign offices, with such exceptions to apply to candidates for federal, provincial and municipal elections”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(3) the size of election signs be restricted to 1.2 square metres (or 12.92 square feet), save and except bill board signs and signs on campaign offices, with such exceptions to apply to candidates for federal, provincial and municipal elections;”;

- (4) by deleting Recommendation No. (5) of the Administration Committee, and inserting in lieu thereof the following new Recommendation No. (5):

“(5) the placement of election signs not be permitted on utility poles;”;

- (5) by amending Recommendation No. (6) of the Administration Committee to read as follows:

“(6) the Executive Director of Municipal Licensing and Standards be requested to submit a report to the next meeting of the Administration Committee on the number of inspectors who will be available to enforce the by-law the night before election day and on election day;”;

- (6) to provide that:

(a) the time period for the display of election signs be 25 days in lieu of 30 days; and

(b) a sign be permitted on campaign offices to identify the candidate up to 60 days prior to election day, and the size of this sign not be subject to the maximum 1.2-square metre restriction; and

(7) by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) the City Clerk and the Executive Director of Municipal Licensing and Standards be requested to submit a joint report to the next meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on July 4, 2000, through the Administration Committee, on plans to enforce the proposed by-law to regulate election signs, such report to include details of the review requested in Recommendation No. (6) of the Administration Committee; and
- (2) the Bill to regulate election signs be introduced and confirmed as soon as possible after the conclusion of the debate in this regard.”

Council subsequently enacted By-law No. 316-2000.

**Clause No. 2 - “Preparation of the Voter's List for the 2000 Municipal Election”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Ontario Property Assessment Corporation be requested to extend its request for updated tenant information to landlords of buildings with fewer than seven units.”

**Clause No. 3 - “Conditions of Employment - Council Staff Members”.**

Council adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the confidential report dated May 16, 2000, from the Executive Director of Human Resources, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted, subject to individual Members of Council, in consultation with the Executive Director of Human Resources, being permitted to set the appropriate placement of their own Executive Assistants (for both continuing staff and new hires) on the new salary scale, commensurate with the skills and experience of the employee, and that for Executive Assistants, such placement may be effective from January 1, 2000:

‘Consistent with the direction provided previously by the Administration Committee, as modified by the Policy and Finance Committee and Council, it is recommended that:

- (1) the recommended salary ranges, as proposed by the Hay Group, for the position of Executive Assistant to Councillor, be adopted at \$45,810.00 to \$60,560.00, effective January 1, 1998;
- (2) incumbent Executive Assistants below the minimum of \$45,810.00 be placed immediately at the level of \$45,810.00, effective January 1, 1998, or their date of hire, whichever is later;

- (3) incumbent Executive Assistants receive the benefit of up to a 3 percent performance increment for satisfactory performance in 1998 and up to a 3 percent increment for satisfactory performance in 1999; increases would be based on the annual anniversary date of the individual;
- (4) incumbent Executive Assistants who perform satisfactorily during 2000 be eligible for up to a 3 percent performance increment, based on the anniversary date of the individual;
- (5) future progression through the salary range for Executive Assistants be considered during 2000, consistent with forthcoming staff recommendations with respect to movement through the new salary ranges adopted by City Council for non-union staff;
- (6) this approach apply to all Executive Assistants currently on staff;
- (7) until the new Council deals with the appropriate mix and number of staff to be funded by the Council budget, a freeze be placed on the hiring of more than one Executive Assistant per office, with the current offices being grandparented;
- (8) those Constituency Assistants and exempt Administrative Assistants who are not at the maximum of their salary range be eligible to receive up to a 3 percent performance increase for 1998, 1999 and 2000, depending on their annual anniversary date;
- (9) future progression for Constituency Assistants and exempt Administrative Assistants be considered when City Council considers both the recommendations from the Hay Group on salary levels and staff recommendations with respect to the appropriate level of progression through the salary ranges;
- (10) the proposed job description for exempt Administrative Assistants be placed before the Administration Committee, through the Personnel Sub-Committee, no later than June 2000;
- (11) the recommendations on the appropriate salary levels for Constituency Assistants and exempt Administrative Assistants be placed before the Administration Committee, through the Personnel Sub-Committee, no later than August 2000; and
- (12) recommendations regarding progression through the approved salary ranges be placed before the Administration Committee, through the Personnel Sub-Committee, no later than August 2000.' ”

**Clause No. 4 - “Council Office Support Staff”.**

Council adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that Council adopt the following policy with respect to Council office support staff:

- (1) no employment of relatives of Members of Council shall be permitted within Councillors’ offices and the Mayor’s offices;
- (2) relatives, for the purposes of this policy, shall be defined as:
  - (i) spouse, including common-law and same-sex spouse;
  - (ii) parent, including step-parent and legal guardian;
  - (iii) child, including step-child;
  - (iv) sibling; and
  - (v) any person who lives with the employee on a permanent basis; and
- (3) implementation of this policy shall take effect with the new term of City Council, on December 1, 2000.”

**Clause No. 5 - “New Visual Identity Program for Toronto Ambulance Service”.**

The Clause was amended by deleting from Recommendation No. (2) of the Administration Committee, the words “Appendix D-1, D-2”, and inserting in lieu thereof the words “Appendices D-1 Vehicle Visual Identity (Option 2 - Alternate)”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

- “(2) that Appendices D-1 Vehicle Visual Identity (Option 2 - Alternate) and D-3 Vehicle Visual Identity (Option 2) be adopted as the preferred design for the City of Toronto’s Ambulance Service.”

**Clause No. 6 - “Update on Largest Tax Receivables, Including 1000 Finch Avenue West”.**

The Clause was struck out and referred back to the Administration Committee for further consideration, and to permit the Bailiffs an opportunity to address the Committee in this regard.

**Clause No. 7 - “Relocation of 51 Police Division (Ward 25 - Don River)”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the confidential report dated June 5, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, be adopted, such report to remain confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it contains information related to the acquisition of property, save and except the following recommendations embodied therein:

'It is recommended that:

- (1) the purchase price in the Agreement of Purchase and Sale ("Agreement") for the Property in the amount of \$4,375,000.00 be amended to \$4,175,000.00, plus all applicable taxes, for the reasons detailed herein, and authority be given to enter into an amending agreement with the Vendor to revise the Purchase Price;
- (2) specific exemption for the purchase of this property be granted to the policy of the former City of Toronto prohibiting the acquisition of contaminated property, as contained in Clause No. 46 of Report No. 11 of The Executive Committee adopted by Council at its meeting of June 21 and 23, 1993;
- (3) in the event that the authority requested in Recommendations Nos. (1) and (2) above is provided, then authority be provided to waive the conditions in favour of the City detailed in the Agreement for the reasons detailed herein, and authority be granted to complete the purchase of the property;
- (4) all other terms as set out in Clause No. 7 of Report No. 4 of The Administration Committee adopted, as amended, by Council at its meeting on February 29, March 1 and 2, 2000, be confirmed; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.' "

**Clause No. 8 - "Rehabilitation and Redevelopment of the Canada Malting Complex, Metronome Canada Incorporated (Ward 24 - Downtown)".**

The Clause was amended by striking out the recommendation of the Administration Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"It is recommended that:

- (1) Metronome Canada Incorporated (MCI) be granted a time extension to February 2001 to satisfy a condition of the Agreement to Lease relative to the acquisition of \$10,000,000.00 in capital funding, such extension to be the final extension granted by City Council, and further, that MCI be requested to submit its business plan and fundraising strategy to the Economic Development and Parks Committee for consideration;
- (2) the Commissioner of Corporate Services, in consultation with officials of Metronome Canada Incorporated, be requested to submit a report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee, clarifying the terms of the Agreement to Lease concerning MCI's financial obligation, specifically as it relates to in-kind contributions; and

- (3) the Ireland Commemorative Park be incorporated into the site plan for the project, and the Commissioner of Corporate Services be requested to submit a report to the Toronto Community Council in this regard.”

**Clause No. 10 - “Disposal of Surplus Library Property”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to develop a methodology to determine what share of the surplus property shall accrue to the originating agency, board or commission, and submit a report thereon to the Administration Committee;
- (2) the Commissioner of Corporate Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services, be requested to submit a report to the Administration Committee on opportunities for non-profit and community service organizations to acquire the use of surplus Library Board properties; and
- (3) no further action be taken with respect to the 525 Horner Avenue property until the report requested in Recommendation No. (2), above, is considered by the Administration Committee.”

**Clause No. 16 - “City of Toronto - Accessibility Issues”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to submit a joint report to the Administration Committee on an audit and financial plan to ensure that the City of Toronto is an accessible City by 2008.”

**Clause No. 18 - “Health Impacts Resulting from the Fire at 75 Commissioners Street - Lease Provisions Respecting City-Owned Properties”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the City Solicitor be requested to submit a report to the Administration Committee on why the Standard Boiler Plate clause, namely that the tenant shall obey on municipal, provincial and federal laws, was not included in the lease.”



**REPORT NO. 5 OF THE COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE**

**Clause No. 2 - "Provision of Ambulance Services at Rave Parties".**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that the Executive Director of Municipal Licensing and Standards be requested to:

- (1) submit a report to the Community Services Committee for its meeting scheduled to be held on July 13, 2000, on:
  - (a) the Jury verdict and recommendations arising from the Chief Coroner's Inquest into the death of Allen Ho;
  - (b) the implications to the City of Toronto from the provincial Private Member's Bill - 'Rave Act 2000'; and
  - (c) improvements required to amend the City of Toronto's protocol governing rave parties; and
- (2) co-ordinate the various reports and efforts underway in all other departments, agencies, boards and commissions with respect to this issue."

**Clause No. 5 - "Enhancing the Co-ordination of Services to People who are Homeless".**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be requested to continue to pursue the development of an infirmary option, and that the emphasis be on harm reduction efforts in the service co-ordination and discharge planning;
- (2) the Chief Administrative Officer and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with the Medical Officer of Health, be authorized to initiate a City water bottling program for emergency use, with funding of up to \$25,000.00 to be provided from the Corporate Contingency Account, if necessary, the details of such water bottling program to be as set out in the communication dated June 9, 2000, from the General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services, an extract from which is as follows:

'Using Local 416 labour on regular time, we could prepare 5,000 half-litre bottles to have in storage, within two weeks, should an emergency occur. We estimate that, throughout the summer, we could produce 15,000 bottles at an estimated cost of \$25,000.00.'; and

- (3) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be requested to investigate the feasibility of having bottled City water distributed to the homeless during the summer months, due to the shortage of bottled water resulting from the Walkerton crisis, and submit a report to the Community Services Committee on an implementation strategy.”

**Clause No. 6 - “Expanded Tenant Hotline Service”.**

The Clause was amended by striking out the recommendation of the Community Services Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

“It is recommended that the report dated June 1, 2000, from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) the Federation of Metro Tenants Associations continue to operate the current (basic) tenant hotline service;
- (2) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services work with the Federation to assess its ability to deliver the expanded service by the fall; and
- (3) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services report back to the Community Services Committee in the fall on the results of this review.’ ”

**Clause No. 12 - “Establishment of a ‘211’ Community Information Telephone Service”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that, as recommended by the Telecommunications Steering Committee in the communication dated June 2, 2000, from the City Clerk, the report dated May 24, 2000, from the City Solicitor, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) the City Solicitor, in consultation with the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services and other City staff as appropriate, be authorized to register the City of Toronto as an interested party in any Public Notice proceeding initiated by the Canadian Radio-Television and Telecommunications Commission (“CRTC”) as a result of the application by Community Information Toronto, the United Way of Greater Toronto, Inform Canada and the United Way of Canada – Centraide Canada (the “Applicants”) to the CRTC for the designation of 2-1-1 as a community information service, and participate in the proceeding, as needed, to assist and support the Applicants;

- (2) the City Clerk be requested to immediately provide a letter of endorsement as requested by the Applicants so that it may be included in the Application; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give affect thereto.’ ”

**REPORT NO. 6 OF THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PARKS COMMITTEE**

**Clause No. 5 - “City of Toronto Culture Plan (All Wards)”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to include in the Culture Plan, methods of securing a sustainable source of funding for the art acquisition fund.”

**Clause No. 10 - “Establishment of a Task Force on User Fees (All Wards)”.**

Council re-opened Clause No. 1 of Report No. 5 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed “City of Toronto 2000 Recommended Operating Budget”, adopted, as amended, at the Special Meeting of Council held on April 26, 2000, only insofar as it pertains to the number of Members proposed for the Task Force on User Fees.

Council subsequently amended Clause No. 10 of Report No. 6 of The Economic Development and Parks Committee to provide that the Membership of the Recreation User Fee Task Force be increased to ten, and that the following Members of Council be appointed thereto:

- Councillor Bas Balkissoon, Scarborough Malvern;
- Councillor Sandra Bussin, East Toronto;
- Councillor Blake F. Kinahan, Lakeshore Queensway;
- Councillor Chris Korwin-Kuczynski, High Park;
- Councillor George Mammoliti, North York Humber;
- Councillor Pam McConnell, Don River;
- Councillor Ron Moeser, Scarborough Highland Creek;
- Councillor Frances Nunziata, York Humber;
- Councillor Jane Pitfield, East York; and
- Councillor David Shiner, Seneca Heights.

**REPORT NO. 5 OF THE PLANNING AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE**

**Clause No. 3 - "Accessible Taxicab Class of Licence".**

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) adding to additional Recommendation No. (3) proposed by the Planning and Transportation Committee, the words "the allotment of licences be issued firstly to applicants on the Drivers' List and secondly to the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) in the event that any licences remain from the allotment (licences issued to the TTC would be for the sole purpose of fulfilling the WheelTrans contract and would be issued only to licensed taxicab brokerages)", so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

"(3) the City of Toronto approve the issuance of 50 Accessible Taxicab licences for the current year and an additional 25 Accessible Taxicab licences in 2001, the allotment of licences be issued firstly to applicants on the Drivers' List and secondly to the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) in the event that any licences remain from the allotment (Licences issued to the TTC would be for the sole purpose of fulfilling the WheelTrans contract and would be issued only to licensed taxicab brokerages);";

- (2) inserting in additional Recommendation No. (4) proposed by the Planning and Transportation Committee, after the words "Accessible Taxicab", the words "obtaining a licence from the Drivers' List", so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

"(4) every owner of an Accessible Taxicab obtaining a licence from the Drivers' List, be required to personally drive his/her vehicle on a full time basis and be permitted to hire up to three drivers to cover the periods beyond the twelve hour per day working limit and weekend hours;"; and

- (3) deleting additional Recommendations Nos. (6), (7) and (8) proposed by the Planning and Transportation Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(6) all owners and drivers operating Accessible Taxicabs be required to attend and successfully complete specialized training developed and delivered by the Municipal Licensing and Standards Division relative to the needs of the disabled community; and

(7) all taxicab brokerages that receive licences from the TTC shall ensure that all vehicles used for the purpose of Accessible Taxicab service be properly licensed taxicabs within the City of Toronto."

**Clause No. 4 - "Prepayment of Taxi Fares".**

Consideration of this Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on July 4, 2000.

**Clause No. 5 - "Taxicab Driver Safety".**

The Clause was amended by adding to Recommendation No. (9) of the Planning and Transportation Committee, the words "and driver safety, and further, that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to submit a report thereon, to the Planning and Transportation Committee and Council, in one year's time", so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

- "(9) the Municipal Licensing and Standards Division support the work of the Sub-Committee, and continue to study the impact of various safety devices/procedures on ridership and driver safety, and further, that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to submit a report thereon, to the Planning and Transportation Committee and Council, in one year's time;"

**Clause No. 6 - "The Use of Alternative Fuels in the Taxicab Industry".**

The Clause, together with the report dated May 31, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, was received.

**Clause No. 8 - "Harmonization of the Division Fence By-law".**

Consideration of this Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on July 4, 2000.

**Clause No. 9 - "Harmonization of Fence By-law".**

The Clause was amended by amending the harmonized fence by-law:

- (1) in accordance with Recommendation No. (1) of the Scarborough Community Council, embodied in the communication dated May 29, 2000, from the City Clerk (Scarborough Community Council), viz.:

"(1) deleting subsection (3) from 'Part 2 - Fences', under Section 3. 'Fence Height', viz.:

- '(3) Despite subsection (1), no part of a fence on single residential property that is closer than 1.8 metres to a window located above the basement in a dwelling on abutting single residential property shall exceed a height of 1.2 metres or, subject to subsection (1), the height of the sill of the window, whichever is higher, unless the fence is a chain link fence with open mesh.'";

- (2) by inserting the words "or equivalent open fence construction that does not restrict sight lines" after all occurrences of the phrase "open mesh chain link fence", in the Section 3 Table, headed "Maximum Height of Fences", and elsewhere in the by-law, with the exception of those references to regulations for swimming pool fences;

- (3) by further amending the Section 3 Table, headed “Maximum Height of Fences”, to provide that:
- (1) the maximum height for those fences, other than chain-link, be increased from 800 millimetres to 1 metre when located in a front yard and within 2.4 metres of a front lot line, for single residential properties; and
  - (2) there be a requirement that fences, constructed of any material, located on the lot line in a front yard or flankage yard, be located no less than 3 metres from:
    - (a) a sidewalk which forms part of a highway; and
    - (b) the travelled portion of a highway in instances where there is no sidewalk, provided that such fence does not exceed 2 metres in height; and
- (4) in accordance with the report dated April 17, 2000, from the City Solicitor, wherein it is recommended that:

“(1) Sections 11 and 12 of the draft harmonized fence by-law be re-worded as outlined in this report, viz.:

‘11. Exemptions

Where a person is required to erect a specified fence under another City by-law or under an order made under subsection 15.7(1) or 17(1) of the Building Code Act, 1992, the fence is exempt from any provision of this by-law with which it does not comply.’

‘12. Conflict

Subject to Section 11, where this by-law conflicts with any other by-law, this by-law prevails to the extent of the conflict.’; and

- (2) Ward Councillors be informed when a Property Standards Officer or the Chief Building Official has made an emergency order under the Building Code Act, 1992 requiring that a fence be erected that does not comply with the harmonized fence by-law.”

**Clause No. 11 - “Official Plan Policy Approach to Parkland Acquisition, City-Wide Applicability”.**

The Clause was amended by adding to Recommendation No. (1) of the Planning and Transportation Committee, the following additional direction to the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism:

- “(d) incorporate, as part of the new Official Plan, an appropriate waterfront access policy, including policies regarding the retention and acquisition of waterfront lands;”.

**REPORT NO. 7 OF THE POLICY AND FINANCE COMMITTEE**

**Clause No. 1 - “Review of Business Reference Group Recommendations, Proposed Tax Policy Tools for 2001 Assessment”.**

The Clause was struck out and referred back to the Policy and Finance Committee for further consideration, and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer was requested to clarify, with provincial officials, the reasons why City Council’s previous request for the policy referenced in the following Recommendation No. (1)(iv)(b) of the Policy and Finance Committee, was modified to allow only an eight-year cap, rather than a permanent change for this tax class, and submit a report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee for consideration therewith:

“(1)(iv)(b) the optional ‘New multi-residential’ class that taxes newly-constructed rental buildings at the residential rate for an eight-year period be changed to allow for a permanent tax rate reduction for this class;”.

**Clause No. 12 - “Implementation of Sustainability Measures in City-Owned Facilities as Part of the Better Buildings Partnerships Program (All Wards)”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the joint report dated June 1, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, be adopted, subject to amending Recommendation No. (2) by inserting after the words “in consultation with”, the words “the Chief Administrative Officer”, so that the recommendations, embodied in such report, shall now read as follows:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) the Commissioners of Corporate Services and of Works and Emergency Services, be authorized to request a proposal from Toronto Hydro Energy Inc. (THES Inc.) to implement sustainability measures in a group of City-owned facilities using funding sources other than the funding available through the Better Buildings Partnership;
- (2) the Commissioners of Corporate Services and of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with the Chief Administrative Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, report to the Policy and Finance Committee with an evaluation of the benefits of the THES Inc. proposal, compared to utilizing the City’s process for implementation of sustainability measures in City-owned buildings under the Better Buildings Partnership and with recommendations as to whether an agreement between THES Inc. and the City should be implemented; and

- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.’ ”

**Clause No. 16 - “Acquisition of Municipal Electrical Utilities by Toronto Hydro Corporation”.**

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) inserting in Recommendation No. (3) embodied in the joint confidential report dated May 23, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, after the words “Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer”, the words “and City Council, through the Administration Committee”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(3) Council authorize an amendment to the Shareholder Direction requiring Toronto Hydro to provide the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and City Council, through the Administration Committee, with a quarterly update on its acquisition activity, indicating the bids that have been submitted, with commentary on the ones that have been successful, as well as those that have been unsuccessful along with the underlying reasons;”,

and amending the First Amendment to the Shareholder Direction Relating to Toronto Hydro Corporation (Draft 6/7/00) accordingly; and

- (2) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (a) the First Amendment to the Shareholder Direction Relating to Toronto Hydro Corporation (Draft 6/7/00) be adopted, as amended by Recommendation No. (1) above; and
- (b) Toronto Hydro be requested to provide City Council, through the Telecommunications Steering Committee, with an inventory of the full telecommunications assets of each successful acquisition, within two months of the date of such acquisition.”

**Clause No. 17 - “Procurement of Additional Subway Cars, Toronto Transit Commission”.**

Consideration of this Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled be held on July 4, 2000.

**Clause No. 18 - “Toronto Transit Commission - Policy Respecting the Placement of Names on TTC Tickets”.**

The Clause was received.



**Clause No. 19 - “Sustainability Roundtable Membership – 3 Members at Large”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (a) the recommendations of the Environmental Task Force embodied in the communication dated May 30, 2000, from the City Clerk, be adopted, viz.:

‘The Environmental Task Force recommends that:

- (1) the following be appointed as citizen members of the Sustainability Roundtable for a term of office to expire November 2003, and until their successors are appointed:

- Vicky J. Sharpe;
- Greg Allen; and
- Lisa Caton; and

- (2) should a vacancy occur for a citizen appointee during the term of office, the following be appointed to fill such vacancy:

- Shannon Thompson.’; and

- (b) Ms. Elizabeth Dowdeswell be appointed to the Sustainability Roundtable as a Member Emeritus.”

**REPORT NO. 10 OF THE WORKS COMMITTEE**

**Clause No. 1 - “Toronto Integrated Solid Waste Resource Management (‘TIRM’) Process - Proven Diversion Capacity - Envelope 1 Informal Submissions”.**

Council adopted the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that:

- (a) the joint report dated May 9, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) the responses provided in connection with the Works Committee direction of April 25, 2000, that TIRM Diversion respondents be given an additional time period until May 3, 2000, to respond further to the issues raised at the aforementioned meeting, be received; and

- (2) the following recommendations contained in the joint reports dated April 18, 2000, and April 25, 2000, respectively, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor, be adopted:
- “It is recommended that:
- (a) the TIRM Proven Diversion Capacity RFP submissions from All Treat Farms Limited, HUWS Corporation, Stone and Webster Canada Limited and Canada Composting Inc., and SUBBOR be declared informal [for the reasons set out in this report (joint report dated April 18, 2000, from Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor)] and that they not be considered further in the current RFP process; and
  - (b) City Council agree to the revisions in the composition of the consortium of Groupe Comporec, Inc., and Services Matrec, Inc., [as described in this report (joint report dated April 25, 2000, from Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor)] and that the RFP proposal submission from the consortium of Groupe Comporec, Inc., and Services Matrec, Inc., proceed to the Comparative Evaluation of Performance step of the evaluation process, subject to confirmation by the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of the acceptability of the financial statements provided by Services Matrec, Inc.” ; and
- (b) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report to the Works Committee outlining a proposed process to facilitate consideration of firms declared informal in the Proven Diversion Category in the New and Emerging Category of the TIRM Process.”

#### **REPORT NO. 11 OF THE WORKS COMMITTEE**

##### **Clause No. 1 - “Toronto Integrated Solid Waste Resource Management (‘TIRM’) Process - Request for Proposals for Disposal Services”.**

The Clause was amended by deleting from the recommendation of the Works Committee, after the words “Emergency Services”, the words “a verifiable environmental”, and inserting in lieu thereof the words “an environmental”, and adding to such recommendation the words “verifiable to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services”, so that the recommendation of the Works Committee shall now read as follows:

“The Works Committee recommends that TIRM Respondents offering disposal services be required to have in place at the time of contract implementation, or an implementation schedule acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, an environmental management system for their disposal, operations and applicable transportation systems, verifiable to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services.”

**REPORT NO. 12 OF THE WORKS COMMITTEE**

**Clause No. 1 - “Kraft Bag Leaf and Yard Waste Collection”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to:

- (1) instruct yard waste collectors to immediately commence pick-up of yard waste in kraft paper bags, should some residents choose to start using such bags sooner than 2001;
- (2) submit a report to the Works Committee on a strategy for distributing free kraft bags and refuse collection calendars to the public, together with an explanation for the City’s transition from plastic to kraft leaf and yard waste bags; and
- (3) submit a report to the Works Committee in the event that technical advances lead to the development of alternative collection methods which provide equivalent or better program performance, in order that such developments can be considered by City Council.”

**Clause No. 3 - “Lester B. Pearson International Airport (LBPIA) Noise Monitoring and Impact Review and Assessment (Wards 2, 3, 4 and 5)”.**

The Clause was amended by inserting in Recommendation No. (1) embodied in the report dated May 2, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, after the acronym “(EFRRA)”, the words “Toronto Community Council, concerned residents of Ward 19”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

- “(1) this report, including the study report ‘LBPIA Noise Impact Assessment and Review’ prepared by Aercoustics Engineering Ltd., dated March 23, 2000, be forwarded to the Greater Toronto Airports Authority (GTAA), the Etobicoke Community Council, the Etobicoke Federation of Residents and Ratepayers Association (EFRRA), the Toronto Community Council, concerned residents of Ward 19, and Transport Canada for review and comment; and”.

**Clause No. 4 - “Deep Lake Water Cooling Project Pre-Design Study”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Deep Lake Water Cooling Project Pre-Design Study be considered by the Chief Administrative Officer and relevant City staff, for the various federal funding programs being made available through the Federation of Canadian Municipalities’ Green Municipal Enabling and Investment Funds, and the Federal Government’s Infrastructure Program.”

**Clause No. 9 - “Enactment of By-law to Prohibit the Burning of Used Motor Oil”.**

The Clause was amended by amending Recommendation No. (1) embodied in the report dated May 1, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, to read as follows:

- “(1) Council proceed with the enactment of the draft by-law to prohibit the burning of used motor oil, and that prior notice be given to affected parties for the purpose of deputations;”.

**Clause No. 10 - “Appointment of Representative to Sustainability Roundtable”.**

Council adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the following Members of Council be appointed to the Sustainability Roundtable:

- (1) Councillor Ila Bossons, as the representative of the Works Committee; and
- (2) Councillor Jack Layton, as the Sustainability Advocate.”

**Clause No. 11 - “Traffic Calming Measures and Policies”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) the Chair of the Toronto Police Services Board, in consultation with the Mayor, be requested to submit a report to the Works Committee, through the Toronto Police Services Board, on the possibility of using the existing Community Action Policing program for traffic enforcement;
- (2) the Toronto Police Services Board be requested to review:
  - (a) the effectiveness of the Divisional organization of traffic enforcement; and
  - (b) the potential for traffic enforcement by non-police officers;
- (3) the Toronto Police Services Board be requested to investigate the possibility of parking police vehicles which are not being used in active service in appropriate locations, in order to encourage reductions in speed; and
- (4) the Chief Administrative Officer, in consultation with the Chair of the Toronto Police Services Board, be requested to submit a report to Council, through the Works Committee, on the possibility of creating a separate police unit, to be financed from revenues from ticketing, to enforce speed limits throughout the City of Toronto.”

**Clause No. 12 - “Review of Specific Road Classifications”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report to the Works Committee, in one year’s time, on the experience of designating Dundas Street East, from Broadview Avenue to Jones Avenue, as a minor arterial road.”

**JOINT REPORT NO. 2 OF THE WORKS COMMITTEE AND THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PARKS COMMITTEE**

**Clause No. 1 - “City of Toronto New Sewer Use By-law”.**

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) amending joint Recommendation No. (1) of the Works Committee and the Economic Development and Parks Committee to provide that:
  - (a) subsection 5.(3) and 5.(4) of Section 5, Pollution Prevention Planning, of the proposed Sewer Use By-law be deleted, and the following inserted in lieu thereof:
    - “5.(3) (a) The plan shall be in the form designated by the City for that purpose from time to time.
    - (b) In addition to any other matter or requirement designated by the City, and notwithstanding subsection 5.(3)(c), each plan shall include the following:
      - (i) a description of the processes at the premises which use or produce subject pollutants;
      - (ii) a description of those processes at the premises which are to be the subject of pollution prevention planning;
      - (iii) a list of the subject pollutants present at the premises at any stage of the operations of the premises;
      - (iv) a description setting out the types, quantities and concentrations of all subject pollutants discharged, directly or indirectly, to a sewer;
      - (v) a description of current waste reduction, recycling, waste treatment and pollution prevention activities with respect to sewer discharges at the premises;

- (vi) a description of pollution prevention options for subject pollutants and sewer discharge and an evaluation of those options;
  - (vii) a list of possible three- and six-year targets to reduce or eliminate the discharge of subject pollutants to the City's sewers; and
  - (viii) a declaration from an authorized person that the content of the plan is, to the best of that person's knowledge, true, accurate and complete.
- (c) The City may designate a different form for the plan with respect to any class of industrial, commercial or institutional premises, or with respect to any class of industry.
- 5.(4) (a) The plan summary shall be in the form designated by the City for that purpose from time to time.
- (b) In addition to any other matter or requirement designated by the City, and notwithstanding subsection 5.(4)(c), each plan summary shall include the following:
- (i) a description of the processes at the premises which use or produce subject pollutants;
  - (ii) a description of those processes at the premises which are to be the subject of pollution prevention planning;
  - (iii) a list of the subject pollutants present at the premises at any stage of the operations of the premises;
  - (iv) a summary of the plan; and
  - (v) a declaration from an authorized person that the content of the plan summary is, to the best of that person's knowledge, true, accurate and complete.
- (c) The City may designate a different form for the plan summary with respect to any class of industrial, commercial or institutional premises, or with respect to any class of industry.”;
- (b) in accordance with the recommendation embodied in the communication dated May 16, 2000, from the Director, Watershed Management Division, Toronto and Region Conservation Authority, subsection 11.(20)3. of Section 11, Sewer Connections, of the proposed Sewer Use By-law be deleted, and the following new subsections 11.(20)3. and 4. be inserted in lieu thereof:

“11.(20)3. over a valley/ravine wall; and

11.(20)4. such that it may cause erosion or instability of the valley or ravine slope.”;

(c) typographical errors in Draft 6 of the Sewer Use By-law be corrected in accordance with Recommendation No. (2) embodied in the report dated June 6, 2000, from the City Solicitor, viz.:

“(2) in order to correct typographical errors in Draft 6 of the Sewer Use By-law, the following changes be made:

(i) the words, ‘subject sector’, where they appear in subsection 5.(14) of the draft Sewer Use By-law be amended to read, ‘subject sector industry’;

(ii) the first three words of subsection 1.(tt) of the draft Sewer Use By-law be amended to read as follows:

‘(tt) subject sector industry;’;

(iii) the words ‘to the Commissioner a plan summary with respect to the premises from which the discharge occurs’, be inserted in lieu of the words, ‘a plan summary to the Commissioner’ in subsection 5.(1) of the draft Sewer Use By-law;

(iv) the word and numbers ‘subsection 5.(1)’, be inserted in place of the word and numbers, ‘subsection 5.(6)’ in subsection 5.(10) of the draft Sewer Use By-law; and

(v) the words ‘subject sector’, where they appear in subsection 5.(14) of the draft Sewer Use By-law be amended to read, ‘subject sector industry’;”; and

(2) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

(a) the report dated May 30, 2000, from the Medical Officer of Health, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

(1) Toronto City Council receive this report for information; and

(2) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with the Medical Officer of Health, be directed to report to the Works Committee on the final results of the dental waste management study currently underway in Toronto.’; and

- (b) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report to the Works Committee, in the event that any provincial or federal ministry responsible for discharges in water recommends a more stringent discharge level for any controlled substance, such report to detail the relevant changes.”

#### **REPORT NO. 5 OF THE ETOBICOKE COMMUNITY COUNCIL**

- Clause No. 1 - “Designation of 40 km/h Speed Limit - Prince Edward Drive, South of Bloor Street West and Edgevalley Drive/Edgehill Road”.**

The Clause was struck out and referred back to the Etobicoke Community Council for further consideration.

#### **REPORT NO. 6 OF THE ETOBICOKE COMMUNITY COUNCIL**

- Clause No. 4 - “Citizen Appointments to the Montgomery's Inn Museum Management Board (Kingsway-Humber)”.**

The Clause was amended by inserting in Recommendation No. (1) embodied in the report dated May 4, 2000, from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, after the date “November 30, 2003”, the words “despite subsection 5(4) of By-law No. 793-1999”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

- “(1) Etobicoke Community Council nominate citizens Ms. Jean Sinclair, Mr. Paul O’Connor, Mr. Robert Wigle and Mr. Nick Doran for a term expiring on November 30, 2003, despite subsection 5(4) of By-law No. 793-1999, or until their successors are appointed;”.

- Clause No. 5 - “Appointment of Etobicoke Historical Society Representative on the Montgomery's Inn Museum Management Board (Kingsway - Humber)”.**

The Clause was amended by inserting in Recommendation No. (1) embodied in the report dated May 4, 2000, from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, after the date “November 30, 2003”, the words “despite subsection 5(4) of By-law No. 793-1999”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

- “(1) Etobicoke Community Council nominate Mr. Robert Given as the representative from the Etobicoke Historical Society to serve on the Montgomery’s Inn Museum Management Board for a term expiring on November 30, 2003, despite subsection 5(4) of By-law No. 793-1999, or until his successor is appointed;”.



**REPORT NO. 7 OF THE NORTH YORK COMMUNITY COUNCIL**

**Clause No. 3 - "Request for Exemption to the Sign By-law - Variance for Ground Sign – 5000 Jane Street - Black Creek".**

The Clause was amended by striking out the recommendation of the North York Community Council and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"It is recommended that the report dated May 3, 2000, from the Director and Deputy Chief Building Official, Urban Development Services, embodying the following recommendation, be adopted:

'It is recommended that the request for a minor variance from the sign by-law be refused.' "

**Clause No. 16 - "Final Report – Zoning Amendment and Subdivision Applications UDZ-99-29, UDSB-1243 and Further Report UDOP-00-11 - Metrodome Properties Inc. - 5365 Leslie Street - Seneca Heights".**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that:

- (1) Recommendation No. (3)(d)(v) embodied in the report dated May 23, 2000, from the Director, Community Planning, North District, Urban Development Services, be adopted, viz.:
  - '(d)(v) The applicant shall consent to designation of the former McDougald estate house under the Ontario Heritage Act and enter into a heritage conservation easement agreement with the City of Toronto;'; and
- (2) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, or the Director, Community Planning, North District, as appropriate, and the City Solicitor be authorized to continue discussions with the applicant and report directly to North York Community Council, if possible, or to City Council respecting any proposed settlement arising therefrom."

**REPORT NO. 6 OF THE SCARBOROUGH COMMUNITY COUNCIL**

- Clause No. 12** - **“Request for Direction Draft Plan of Subdivision Application SC-T19990011 Canada Lands Company, North side of McLevin Avenue, East and West of Tapscott Road, Malvern Community (Ward 18 - Scarborough Malvern)”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with officials of the Canada Lands Company and other appropriate City staff, be requested to explore the possibility of other stormwater mitigation techniques that may be incorporated into the development, such as french drains, permeable or oversized piping or other kinds of retention and mitigation measures.”

**REPORT NO. 9 OF THE TORONTO COMMUNITY COUNCIL**

- Clause No. 14** - **“Installation/Removal of On-Street Parking Spaces for Persons With Disabilities (High Park, North Toronto and Trinity-Niagara)”.**

The Clause was amended by adding the following new location to the list of on-street parking spaces which are to be established for persons with disabilities, as embodied in Table “A” appended to the report dated May 9, 2000, from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1:

“Ward	Location
21	Hallam Street, north side, between a point 29 metres east of Concord Avenue and a point 5.5 metres further east thereof. (Source: Concetta Deangelis, a resident of 62 Hallam Street, Toronto, Ontario, M6H 1W6).”

- Clause No. 31** - **“Tree Removal - 4 Glen Edyth Drive (Midtown)”.**

Consideration of this Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on July 4, 2000.

- Clause No. 51** - **“Draft Zoning By-law Amendment - 134 Edgewood Avenue and Part of 130 Edgewood Avenue (East Toronto)”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the reports requested by the Toronto Community Council, of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services pertaining to policies related to infill housing projects, also be submitted to the Scarborough Community Council for information.”

**Clause No. 59 - “Tree Removal - 241 Wellington Street West on the John Street Flank (Downtown)”.**

The Clause was amended by striking out the recommendations of the Toronto Community Council and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

“It is recommended that the report dated June 2, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) Council authorize the removal of the four existing street trees within the John Street right-of-way, subject to the applicant paying all associated costs totalling \$1,027.44;
- (2) removal of the City-owned trees be permitted, subject to the applicant constructing the glass canopy required by the Sign By-law amendments related to this site and implementing the outstanding landscaping required at 253 Wellington Street West; and
- (3) Council authorize the applicant to replace the four removed trees with four skyline honeylocust, subject to the applicant providing a Letter of Credit in the amount of \$1372.76, for a period of two years, as a guarantee that the trees will remain in good health.’ ”

**REPORT NO. 6 OF THE YORK COMMUNITY COUNCIL**

**Clause No. 5 - “Appointment of Citizens to Fill Vacancies on the York Museum Management Board, Ward 27 - York Humber, and Ward 28 - York Eglinton”.**

The Clause was amended by inserting in Recommendation No. (1) embodied in the report dated May 4, 2000, from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, after the date “November 30, 2003”, the words “despite subsection 5(4) of By-law No. 793-1999”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

- “(1) York Community Council nominate citizens Ms. Michelle Clement, Mr. Tim Morris and Ms. Colleen Young for a term expiring on November 30, 2003, despite subsection 5(4) of By-law No. 793-1999, or until their successors are appointed;”.

**Clause No. 6 - “Glenholme Avenue between St. Clair Avenue W. and Rogers Road (1) Traffic Calming Survey Results; and (2) Installation of Speed Humps, Ward 28 - York Eglinton”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the raised intersection proposed at Holland Park Avenue and Glenholme Avenue also be approved.”

**Clause No. 8 - “Rogers Road between Caledonia Road and Oakwood Avenue, Proposed Road Modifications with Reconstruction, Ward 28 - York Eglinton”.**

Council deferred consideration of traffic calming measures on that portion of Rogers Road, between Caledonia Road and Oakwood Avenue, sine die, and took no action with respect to the balance of the Clause.

**REPORT NO. 3 OF THE BOARD OF HEALTH**

**Clause No. - “Venomous Snakes”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) City Council adopt the following motion:

‘**WHEREAS** the City’s Animal Control By-law No. 28-1999 prohibits the keeping of poisonous and venomous animals by members of the general public; and

**WHEREAS** the continued existence of these animals in unregulated premises requires a comprehensive control strategy to protect the health and safety of the general public, City staff and other workers;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** City Council endorse the development of an inter-agency response plan that includes public education, a voluntary compliance program, animal relocation and emergency preparedness;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the Medical Officer of Health be requested to submit a report to the Board of Health on the implementation of this plan; in consultation with the appropriate City officials and stakeholders.’; and

- (2) City Council request the federal government to ensure that, when dangerous animals or reptiles are imported into Canada, antidotes, where available, accompany such animals.”

**REPORT NO. 4 OF THE BOARD OF HEALTH**

**Clause No. 1 - “Air Pollution Burden of Illness in Toronto - Summary Report”.**

The Clause was amended by striking out and referring the following Recommendation No. (5) of the Board of Health to the Chief Administrative Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, with a request that they submit a joint report to the Policy and Finance Committee on the financial implications of such recommendation:

- “(5) ensure that adequate and sustained funding is provided in a timely fashion to implement the recommendations contained in the Environmental Plan that are directed at the improvement of air quality in Toronto, including Phase II of the Toronto Smog Plan;”.

**NOTICE OF MOTION APPEARING UNDER ITEM F**

**Moved by: Councillor Duguid**

**Seconded by: Councillor Davis**

“**WHEREAS** City Council established the Task Force on Community Safety in January 1998, with a mandate to establish a blueprint for crime prevention; and

**WHEREAS** City Council, at its meeting held on March 2, 3 and 4, 1999, adopted, as amended, Clause No. 4 of Report No. 5 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed ‘Final Report of the Task Force on Community Safety’, and, in so doing, elected to re-create the Task Force on Community Safety to carry on its work; and

**WHEREAS** the 35 Recommendations of the Task Force on Community Safety are designed as the most comprehensive crime prevention and community safety strategy ever undertaken in the history of the City of Toronto; and

**WHEREAS** the Task Force, endeavouring to fulfill its mandate and report back to the current Council, has of necessity scheduled full day meetings of the Task Force, which is comprised of Members of Council and community representatives;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** authority be granted to amend quorum restrictions for the Task Force on Community Safety, from 8 out of 15 members, to 6 out of 15 members, to accommodate the full day meetings, and to allow for the possible temporary absence of private or Council members of the Task Force.”

*Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

**NOTICE OF MOTION APPEARING UNDER ITEM I**

**Moved by: Councillor Pitfield**

**Seconded by: Councillor Rae**

“**BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to submit a report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on the feasibility of requiring any developer proposing to demolish any heritage building(s) to conduct an international design competition with respect to the replacement structure.”

*Disposition: The Motion was referred to the Planning and Transportation Committee.*

**NOTICES OF MOTIONS APPEARING UNDER ITEM J**

(1) **Moved by:**                   **Councillor Pitfield**

**Seconded by:**               **Councillor Prue**

“**WHEREAS** City Council, at its meeting held on May 9, 10 and 11, 2000, adopted, without amendment, Clause No. 7 of Report No. 4 of The East York Community Council, headed ‘Florida Restaurant Boulevard Café/Patio – 940 Pape Avenue, Extension of the Hours That Alcoholic Beverages Can Be Served on the Patio’, and, in so doing, among other things, approved the applicant’s request for an extension of the hours that alcoholic beverages may be served on the boulevard café/patio on the Westwood Avenue flank at 940 Pape Avenue, from 10:30 p.m. to 11:00 p.m.; and

**WHEREAS** the East York Community Council, at its meeting on May 2, 2000, requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to submit a report to the next meeting of the Community Council, scheduled to be held on May 23, 2000, with respect to the comparative restaurant/patio operating hours in this area that might warrant extending the hours of operation to 12:00 midnight on Fridays and Saturdays; and

**WHEREAS** the East York Community Council, at its meeting on May 23, 2000, in considering the aforementioned requested report dated May 11, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services (copy attached), noted that, in the comparison study conducted relating to the operating hours of eight boulevard cafés/patios currently licensed in the area that flank onto residential streets, six have no restriction on the hours of operation, one has an Agreement that restricts the operating hours between 7:00 p.m. of one day to 11:00 a.m. on the following day, and one has an Agreement extending the hours of operation to 1:00 a.m., with a condition that Council would have the authority to limit the operating hours back to 10:30 p.m., provided that a 15-day notice is provided to the business operator; and

**WHEREAS** restricting the hours that alcoholic beverages may be served on the boulevard/patio on the Westwood Avenue flank at 940 Pape Avenue to 11:00 p.m., puts the operator of the Florida Restaurant at a commercial disadvantage;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT**, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 7 of Report No. 4 of The East York Community Council, headed ‘Florida Restaurant Boulevard Café/Patio – 940 Pape Avenue Extension of the Hours That Alcoholic Beverages Can Be Served on the Patio’, be re-opened for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the extension of the hours that alcoholic beverages may be served on the boulevard café/patio on the Westwood Avenue flank at 940 Pape Avenue;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** City Council amend Recommendation No. (1) embodied in Clause No. 7 of Report No. 4 of The East York Community Council, headed 'Florida Restaurant Boulevard Café/Patio – 940 Pape Avenue Extension of the Hours That Alcoholic Beverages Can Be Served on the Patio', by adding the words:

'on Sundays through Thursdays, and until 12:00 midnight on Fridays and Saturdays',

so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

'Council approve the applicant's request for extension of the hours that alcoholic beverages may be served on the boulevard café/patio on the Westwood Avenue flank at 940 Pape Avenue, from 10:30 p.m. to 11:00 p.m. on Sundays through Thursdays, and until 12:00 midnight on Fridays and Saturdays.' "

**Disposition:** *Council re-opened Clause No. 7 of Report No. 4 of The East York Community Council, headed "Florida Restaurant Boulevard Café/Patio – 940 Pape Avenue Extension of the Hours That Alcoholic Beverages Can Be Served on the Patio", for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the extension of the hours that alcoholic beverages may be served on the boulevard café/patio on the Westwood Avenue flank at 940 Pape Avenue, and adopted the balance of the Motion, without amendment.*

(2) **Moved by:** **Councillor Adams**

**Seconded by:** **Councillor Augimeri**

**WHEREAS** the Assessment and Tax Policy Task Force met on May 30, 2000, and considered the attached report dated May 17, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, respecting the 2001 Interim Tax Levy; and

**WHEREAS** the Assessment and Tax Policy Task Force recommends the adoption of the aforementioned report from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; and

**WHEREAS** the Task Force reports to City Council, through the Policy and Finance Committee; and

**WHEREAS** the Policy and Finance Committee will not meet again prior to the meeting of City Council to be held on June 7, 8 and 9, 2000; and

**WHEREAS** the Assessment and Tax Policy Task Force directed its Chair and Vice-Chair to submit a Notice of Motion, in order that the report dated May 17, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, can be considered by City Council at its meeting held on June 7, 8 and 9, 2000; and

**WHEREAS** the Task Force concurs with the opinion of the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer that this matter is best considered at the same meeting of City Council as a related report dealing with other requests to the provincial government for statutory and regulatory changes regarding the next version of Current Value Assessment;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Council give consideration to the report dated May 17, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, respecting the 2001 Interim Tax Levy, and that such report be adopted.”

*Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and, in so doing, Council adopted, without amendment, the report dated May 17, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, embodying the following recommendations:*

*“It is recommended that:*

- (1) the Province of Ontario be requested to amend provincial legislation or the 2001 and future year interim levies for all property classes, including residential, to be billed on an amount no more than 50 percent the prior year’s total levy; and*
- (2) the appropriate civic officials be authorized and directed to take any necessary action to give effect to the foregoing.”*

(3) **Moved by: Councillor Jones**

**Seconded by: Councillor Filion**

“**WHEREAS** City Council, at its Special meeting held on April 26, 2000, adopted, as amended, Clause No. 1 of Report No. 5 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed ‘City of Toronto 2000 Recommended Operating Budget’; and

**WHEREAS** Council adopted the recommendation of the Policy and Finance Committee that the joint report dated February 17, 2000, from the Medical Officer of Health, the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services and the Chief Administrative Officer, respecting the purchase of service contract for animal sheltering services with the Toronto Humane Society, be received; and

**WHEREAS** the aforementioned report included recommendations respecting the need to extend the City’s purchase of service contract for animal sheltering services with the Toronto Humane Society; and

**WHEREAS** the current purchase of service contract with the Toronto Humane Society for animal sheltering services expires June 30, 2000; and



**WHEREAS** in the 2000 budget process, it was recognized by the Budget Advisory Committee that the negotiations for a new purchase of service contract for animal sheltering services with the Toronto Humane Society are expected to extend beyond June 30, 2000; and

**WHEREAS** it was an oversight that an extension of the purchase of service contract for animal sheltering services with the Toronto Humane Society was not adopted by Council;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT**, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 1 of Report No. 5 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed 'City of Toronto 2000 Recommended Operating Budget', be re-opened for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the extension of the existing purchase of service agreement for animal sheltering services with the Toronto Humane Society;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** Council approve the extension of the current contract with the Toronto Humane Society to December 31, 2000, under the existing terms and conditions for funding and service levels, as previously recommended by the Medical Officer of Health, the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services and the Chief Administrative Officer."

*Disposition: Council re-opened Clause No. 1 of Report No. 5 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed "City of Toronto 2000 Recommended Operating Budget", for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the extension of the existing purchase of service agreement for animal sheltering services with the Toronto Humane Society, and adopted the balance of the Motion, subject to amending the last Operative Paragraph by deleting the date "December 31, 2000", and inserting in lieu thereof the date "April 1, 2001", so that such Operative Paragraph shall now read as follows:*

*"AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Council approve the extension of the current contract with the Toronto Humane Society to April 1, 2001, under the existing terms and conditions for funding and service levels, as previously recommended by the Medical Officer of Health, the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services and the Chief Administrative Officer."*

(4) **Moved by: Councillor Berardinetti**

**Seconded by: Councillor Ootes**

**"WHEREAS** City Council, at its meeting held on May 9, 10 and 11, 2000, considered a Motion, moved by Councillor Moscoe, seconded by Councillor Soknacki, regarding the access to personal information by Members of Council; and

**WHEREAS** in adopting the Motion, as amended, Council requested the City Clerk to consult with Members of Council and submit a report to the next regular meeting of Council scheduled to be held on June 7, 2000, on how the Members of Council can be provided with the information they require to properly fulfill their obligations of office within the parameters of the present legislation; and

**WHEREAS** the City Clerk has prepared the attached report dated May 28, 2000, as directed by Council;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Council give consideration to the aforementioned report dated May 28, 2000, from the City Clerk, and that such report be received for information.”

**Disposition:** *The Motion was adopted, subject to adding thereto the following new Operative Paragraph:*

**“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT:**

- (1) *the appeal of the Assistant Privacy Commissioner authorized by Council at its last meeting proceed as soon as possible;*
- (2) *Council seek an interim order to permit Councillors to at least have access to on-line assessment and property tax data; and*
- (3) *Council seek a statutory amendment to permit Councillors sufficient data to be able to fulfil their obligations to their constituents.”*

*In addition, Council re-opened Motion I which was adopted, as amended, by City Council on May 9, 10 and 11, 2000, and adopted the following recommendation:*

**“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** Council direct the Chair of the Administration Committee to seek a meeting with the Attorney General of Ontario to request an amending regulation that would ensure the Council Members have sufficient access to data to properly do their jobs.”

(5) **Moved by:** Councillor Augimeri

**Seconded by:** Councillor Pantalone

**“WHEREAS** the City of Toronto recognizes the contribution that CFMT-TV, also known as Channel 47, has made to the community in the past 20 years; and

**WHEREAS** CFMT represents the multicultural mosaic that constitutes the very fabric of this City; and

**WHEREAS** CFMT reaches our City's many ethnocultural communities and helps newcomers understand and adjust to life in a new country; and

**WHEREAS** CFMT-TV is Canada's first multilingual/multicultural television system and the first such broadcaster to be named 'Television Station of the Year' in 1999, by the Ontario Association of Broadcasters; its ongoing commitment to the reflecting and evolving needs of its diverse audience is evidenced by a regular production schedule that sees more than 22 hours of original multilingual programming produced each week in Toronto, including three daily language newscasts in Italian, Portuguese and Chinese; and CFMT also produces many other current affairs and magazine programs; and

**WHEREAS** CFMT has applied for a broadcasting licence renewal; and

**WHEREAS** the CRTC will be considering this licence renewal at its July 4, 2000 meeting in Toronto;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT**, for all the above-mentioned reasons, the City of Toronto support CFMT's broadcast licence renewal application, as we believe that this would be in the best interest of all the citizens of Toronto;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the City Clerk be directed to indicate Council's support to the Canadian Radio-Television and Telecommunications Commission for its hearing to take place on July 4, 2000."

*Disposition:                    The Motion was adopted unanimously.*

(6) **Moved by:                    Councillor Bossons**

**Seconded by:                Councillor Adams**

**WHEREAS** there was no access to the Yorkville commercial area or to Bay Street for any of the 20,000 southbound vehicles on Avenue Road, except through tiny Cumberland Street, which was forced to serve as a quasi-collector/arterial road; and

**WHEREAS** the Works and Emergency Services staff recommendation to undertake a pilot project during April, May and June, 2000, to rescind the 35-year old southbound left-turn prohibition at Avenue Road and Davenport Road, and Avenue Road and Bloor Street West, was recommended by Toronto Community Council in Clause No. 1 of Report No. 4 of The Toronto Community Council, headed 'Proposed Rescission of the Southbound Left-Turn Prohibitions on a Trial Basis – Avenue Road, at Intersections with Davenport Road and Bloor Street West (Midtown, Downtown)', and was adopted, without amendment, by City Council at its meeting held on February 29, March 1 and 2, 2000; and

**WHEREAS** the Annex Community and the Bloor-Yorkville BIA has, since the early 1970s, requested that these southbound left-turn prohibitions be rescinded; and

**WHEREAS** to ease the impact to the Avenue Road traffic flow, of these vehicles making the new left-turns as part of this pilot project, a southbound advanced green signal was installed temporarily; and

**WHEREAS**, except for a sign stating 'NEW', on a pole north of the Avenue Road/Davenport Road intersection, the ability to make these left-turns was not well advertised; and

**WHEREAS** the 'NEW' sign disappeared some time ago, causing the community apprehension that drivers are not sufficiently aware that left-turns may now be made - hence the likelihood that motorists may not take these left-turn opportunities, therefore, no true, full-impact assessment can be made; and

**WHEREAS**, the BIA, in particular, is very anxious that this project be given enough time to be utilized fully by drivers and thus evaluated fully by Works and Emergency Services staff; and

**WHEREAS** the pilot project is scheduled to end on June 30, 2000, and the full impact of motorists utilizing these new left-turns opportunities will not have been able to be assessed;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT**, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 1 of Report No. 4 of The Toronto Community Council, headed 'Proposed Rescission of the Southbound Left-Turn Prohibitions on a Trial Basis – Avenue Road, at Intersections with Davenport Road and Bloor Street West (Midtown, Downtown)', adopted by City Council at its meeting on February 29, March 1 and 2, 2000, be re-opened for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the trial period for this pilot project;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** an extension of the pilot project permitting left turns from southbound Avenue Road to Davenport Road eastbound, and Bloor Street West eastbound, for a minimum of three months, until at least September 30, 2000, including the southbound advanced green signal, be approved;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** Works and Emergency Services staff be requested to post sufficient notices/signs, for the duration of the pilot project, to ensure that all motorists are aware of these left-turn opportunities."

**Disposition:** *Council re-opened Clause No. 1 of Report No. 4 of The Toronto Community Council, headed "Proposed Rescission of the Southbound Left-Turn Prohibitions on a Trial Basis – Avenue Road, at Intersections with Davenport Road and Bloor Street West (Midtown, Downtown)", for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the trial period for this pilot project, and adopted the balance of the Motion, without amendment.*

(7) **Moved by: Councillor Kelly**

**Seconded by: Councillor Duguid**

“**WHEREAS** Olifas Marketing Group (OMG) is under contract to supply waste receptacles to most parts of all Community Council areas except Scarborough; and

**WHEREAS** the City of Toronto currently receives \$10.00 per month for each OMG receptacle that is installed in Toronto, and this amount will increase to \$15.00, as of October 15, 2003, and then to \$20.00, as of October 15, 2005; and

**WHEREAS** the City of Toronto receives 10 percent of all revenue that is generated from the sale of advertising on the OMG receptacles; and

**WHEREAS** OMG pays for the cost of cleaning, repairing and replacing its waste receptacles, thus resulting in considerable savings for the City; and

**WHEREAS** projections show that the City of Toronto would have gained a total net revenue and savings of \$1.9 million over ten years, had the Community Council area of Scarborough been included in the OMG program; and

**WHEREAS** OMG receptacles are of high quality, and are aesthetically pleasing; and

**WHEREAS** OMG waste receptacles contain compartments for recyclable containers and newspapers, as well as for garbage;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT**, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 13 of Report No. 6 of The Scarborough Community Council, headed ‘Provision of Litter Bins with Advertising’, be re-opened for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the issue of OMG waste receptacles in the Community Council area of Scarborough;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT**, within the next 30 days, the City of Toronto offer to amend its contract with OMG to include the Community Council area of Scarborough, so long as OMG is willing to provide its waste receptacles to the Community Council area of Scarborough for the same prices and under the same conditions as it does for the other Community Council areas;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT**, if OMG accepts the City of Toronto’s offer to amend the contract to include the Community Council area of Scarborough, the City will act on this, as soon as possible, by amending its contract with OMG to include the Community Council area of Scarborough.”

**Disposition:** *Council re-opened Clause No. 13 of Report No. 6 of The Scarborough Community Council, headed “Provision of Litter Bins with Advertising”, for further consideration, only insofar as it*

*pertains to the issue of OMG waste receptacles in the Community Council area of Scarborough, and referred the balance of the Motion to the Scarborough Community Council, with a request that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services submit a report to the Scarborough Community Council, for consideration therewith, on the implications of the second Operative Paragraph embodied therein, viz.:*

*“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, within the next 30 days, the City of Toronto offer to amend its contract with OMG to include the Community Council area of Scarborough, so long as OMG is willing to provide its waste receptacles to the Community Council area of Scarborough for the same prices and under the same conditions as it does for the other Community Council areas;”.*

(8) **Moved by:** Councillor Disero

**Seconded by:** Councillor Palacio

**WHEREAS** at its meeting held on December 14, 15 and 16, 1999, City Council, by its adoption of Motion J(14), adopted a report from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that expropriation proceedings be commenced for the remaining lands required for the construction of a public lane at the rear of 357 to 399 Bartlett Avenue North and 448 to 492 Salem Avenue North; and

**WHEREAS** Notice of Application to Expropriate the said lands has been published and served on the required parties; and

**WHEREAS** Facilities and Real Estate staff have determined that additional rights-of-way; a temporary easement and a fee simple interest need to be expropriated to prevent an adverse effect that the proposed expropriation will otherwise have on two of the property owners from whom land is to be expropriated, for which significant compensation would have to be paid; and

**WHEREAS** the expropriation of the land required for the lane has already been initiated, it is necessary to expedite the process to obtain approval to initiate expropriation proceedings for the additional interests in land that are required, so that the potential adverse effect of the lane expropriation can be prevented; and

**WHEREAS** the Commissioner of Corporate Services has submitted the attached report dated June 5, 2000, recommending that expropriation proceedings be commenced for the acquisition of the above-noted interests;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** City Council consider the aforementioned report dated June 5, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, entitled 'Application for Approval to Expropriate Interest in Land - Part of 373 and 375 Bartlett Avenue North and 460 and 462 Salem Avenue North, Toronto, Ward 21 Davenport', and that the recommendations contained in such report be adopted."

**Disposition:** *The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and, in so doing, Council adopted the report dated June 5, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, embodying the following recommendations:*

*"It is recommended that:*

- (1) authority be granted to initiate expropriation proceedings for the acquisition, from the owners of No. 375 Bartlett Avenue North, of their existing right-of-way over a portion of the property at No. 373 Bartlett Avenue North, in order to extinguish the right-of-way;*
- (2) authority be granted to initiate expropriation proceedings for the acquisition, from the owners of No. 460 Salem Avenue North, of their existing right-of-way over a portion of the property at No. 462 Salem Avenue North in order to extinguish the right-of-way;*
- (3) authority be granted to initiate expropriation proceedings for the acquisition, from the owner of No. 462 Salem Avenue North, of a fee simple interest consisting approximately of the westerly 2.5 metres from the centre line of the double garage across the full width of the lands;*
- (4) authority be granted to initiate expropriation proceedings for a temporary working easement (for a three-month period) over a portion of No. 375 Bartlett Avenue North consisting approximately of the westerly 5.0 metres from the centre wall of the double car garage across the full width of the lands, to the extent necessary to undertake any work, including the removal of any structures that is required to facilitate the construction of the public lane;*
- (5) authority be granted to serve and publish a Notice of Application for Approval to Expropriate the above-noted property interests, to forward to the Chief Inquiry Officer any requests for hearings that are received and to report the Inquiry Officer's recommendations to Council for its consideration; and*

(6) *authority be granted for the appropriate City Officials to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto, including the introduction in City Council of any bills that might be necessary.”*

(9) **Moved by:** Councillor Adams

**Seconded by:** Councillor Miller

“**WHEREAS** Toronto City Council has acknowledged the importance of the Oak Ridges Moraine to Toronto and the impact of development of the Moraine on wetlands and watercourses, aquifers, surface and ground water and Lake Ontario; and

**WHEREAS** at its meeting of October 26 and 27, 1999, Toronto City Council directed the City to participate at Ontario Municipal Board Hearings in Richmond Hill; and

**WHEREAS** at its meeting December 14, 15 and 16, 1999, Council directed that the City seek party status at the Richmond Hill Ontario Municipal Board Hearings; and

**WHEREAS** at its meeting of February 29, and March 1 and 2, 2000, Council directed the City Solicitor to take all such steps as may be necessary to secure full party status at the Ontario Municipal Board Hearing in Richmond Hill; and

**WHEREAS** the City of Toronto was denied party status at the Richmond Hill Ontario Municipal Board Hearing on April 12, 2000, and in Divisional Court on May 23, 2000; and

**WHEREAS** the twelve-week Richmond Hill OMB hearing commenced on Monday, May 29, 2000, and the first two phases of the hearing concluded on June 5, 2000;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Council consider the attached report dated June 6, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, entitled ‘Oak Ridges Moraine – Richmond Hill Ontario Municipal Board Hearing’, and that such report be adopted.”

**Disposition:** *The Motion was adopted, subject to:*

(1) *adding to the Operative Paragraph, the following words:*

*“subject to:*

(a) *amending Recommendation No. (2) embodied therein to read as follows:*

(2) *that a grant of up to \$300,000.00 be given to the Save the Rouge Valley System Inc. (SVRS) to assist in presenting its case at the*



*Richmond Hill Ontario Municipal Board (OMB) Hearing, subject to SVRS entering into an agreement with the City which protects the City's interests and in form and content satisfactory to the City Solicitor, and the SVRS be requested to use its best efforts to work collaboratively with the Jefferson Forest Residents Association at the OMB Hearing;'; and*

(b) *inserting the following new recommendations and re-numbering the remaining recommendation accordingly:*

*'(6) that the Commissioners of Urban Development Services and Works and Emergency Services undertake a study on regional strategies for managing development patterns, protecting valuable countryside such as the Oak Ridges Moraine, reducing greenhouse gas emissions and air pollution;*

*(7) that, in order to expedite the completion of the above study, the key appropriate consultants hired as part of the Richmond Hill OMB Hearing be retained, and that \$200,000.00 from the Oak Ridges Preservation Account be allocated towards internal staff costs and consultants working towards this purpose and that the Oak Ridges Moraine Steering Committee be authorized to approve the terms of reference; and',*

*so that the recommendations embodied in such report shall now read as follows:*

*'The Oak Ridges Moraine Steering Committee recommends that Council endorse the following:*

*(1) that staff maintain a watching brief at the Richmond Hill Ontario Municipal Board (OMB) Hearing which is currently underway;*

*(2) that a grant of up to \$300,000.00 be given to the Save the Rouge Valley System Inc. (SVRS) to assist in presenting its case at the*

*Richmond Hill Ontario Municipal Board (OMB) Hearing, subject to SVRS entering into an agreement with the City which protects the City's interests and in form and content satisfactory to the City Solicitor, and the SVRS be requested to use its best efforts to work collaboratively with the Jefferson Forest Residents Association at the OMB Hearing;*

- (3) *that a grant of \$220,000.00 be given to the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA) to assist them in presenting their case at the Richmond Hill OMB Hearing;*
- (4) *that the grants are deemed to be in the interest of the City of Toronto;*
- (5) *that \$200,000.00 from the Oak Ridges Preservation Account (\$800,000.00) be made available to the Oak Ridges Moraine Steering Committee for allocation at their discretion;*
- (6) *that the Commissioners of Urban Development Services and Works and Emergency Services undertake a study on regional strategies for managing development patterns, protecting valuable countryside such as the Oak Ridges Moraine, reducing greenhouse gas emissions and air pollution;*
- (7) *that, in order to expedite the completion of the above study, the key appropriate consultants hired as part of the Richmond Hill OMB Hearing be retained, and that \$200,000.00 from the Oak Ridges Preservation Account be allocated towards internal staff costs and consultants working towards this purpose and that the Oak Ridges Moraine Steering Committee be authorized to approve the terms of reference; and*
- (8) *that the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.' ”; and*

(2) *adding thereto the following new Operative Paragraphs:*

*“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Chief Administrative Officer, in consultation with the City Solicitor, be requested to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee on a mechanism to review and evaluate the program and deliverables of those agencies or groups receiving grant monies;*

*AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to submit a report to the next meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee scheduled to be held on June 22, 2000, on the appropriate financial controls and detailed reporting regarding all expenditures.”*

(10) **Moved by:** Councillor Moscoe

**Seconded by:** Councillor Feldman

**“WHEREAS** City Council on September 28 and 29, 1999, adopted, as amended, Clause No. 16 of Report No. 8 of The North York Community Council, headed ‘Zoning Amendment Application UDOZ-97-41 – Costco Canada Limited – South Side of Wilson Avenue, West of Allen Road – North York Spadina’; and

**WHEREAS** in approving the development of Block H (UDOZ-97-41) on Wilson Avenue, one of the conditions of approval was the phasing of the development to measure the impact of traffic on the local community; and

**WHEREAS** the phasing of the project will have an effect of lengthening the construction period and creating an enhanced inconvenience for the community; and

**WHEREAS** as an alternative, the developer has offered to provide a Letter of Credit to pay for traffic modification requirements in an amount sufficient to ameliorate any possible impact on traffic conditions; and

**WHEREAS**, through the settlement of an appeal to the Ontario Municipal Board, the applicant will pay \$250,000.00 to the Toronto Transit Commission for transit signal priority on Wilson Avenue;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT**, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 16 of Report No. 8 of The North York Community Council, headed ‘Zoning Amendment Application UDOZ-97-41 – Costco Canada Limited – South Side of Wilson Avenue, West of Allen Road – North York Spadina’, be re-opened for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the conditions of approval;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the development conditions Re: UDOZ-97-41 be modified so that requirement two of the conditions of approval be amended to read:

- '(d)(iii) To accommodate neighbourhood protection the applicant shall provide to the city a Letter of Credit in the amount of \$50,000.00 and the traffic monitoring shall continue for one year after the stores have been in operation.
  
- (iv) The Letter of Credit shall be returned only after the traffic infiltration studies have indicated that local traffic infiltration measures attributable to the development have been adopted by the city in consultation with the local Councillor(s) at the expense of the applicant and if Council does not decide to implement mitigation measures within 2 years of receipt of the traffic infiltration study, the letter of credit shall thereupon be returned.' ”

***Disposition:*** ***Council re-opened Clause No. 16 of Report No. 8 of The North York Community Council, headed “Zoning Amendment Application UDOZ-97-41 – Costco Canada Limited – South Side of Wilson Avenue, West of Allen Road – North York Spadina”, for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the conditions of approval, and adopted the balance of the Motion, without amendment.***

(11) **Moved by:** **Councillor Soknacki**

**Seconded by:** **Councillor Moeser**

**“WHEREAS** the residents of David Drive, in Scarborough Highland Creek, abut Highway 401 and have no protection from the visual, noise and atmospheric pollution from the highway; and

**WHEREAS** the recent expansion of the highway to 16 lanes has made the visual, noise and atmospheric pollution unbearable to the residents of David Drive; and

**WHEREAS** the noise and air pollution have aggravated respiratory and other health concerns among the David Drive children and elderly residents, in particular; and

**WHEREAS** the Province of Ontario, in spite of repeated requests, has not taken effective action to ameliorate these conditions; and

**WHEREAS** Toronto City Council needs to take action today to respond to the health problems of the residents of David Drive created by the increased noise and air pollution; and

**WHEREAS** the vast majority of residential homes abutting Highway 401 have some protection from the highway; and

**WHEREAS** the planting of a number of suitable trees along approximately 150 metres of City property abutting Highway 401 has the support of residents and will go a long way towards resolving the problem;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the Commissioners of Works and Emergency Services and Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to bring forward a report to the June 19, 2000 meeting of the Economic Development and Parks Committee on the planting of a number of suitable trees along the City's property between Highway 401 and the residents of David Drive, such report to include a detailed implementation plan and estimated costs."

*Disposition: The Motion was referred to the Economic Development and Parks Committee.*

(12) **Moved by: Councillor Gardner**

**Seconded by: Councillor Filion**

"**WHEREAS** City Council, at its meeting of April 11, 12 and 13, 2000, adopted Clause No. 21 of Report No. 5 of The North York Community Council, headed 'Temporary Road Closure - Willowdale Avenue - North York Centre', to temporarily close a portion of Willowdale Avenue on May 28, 2000, to accommodate the 2nd Annual Willowdale Avenue Street Party, sponsored by the North York Chamber of Commerce; and

**WHEREAS** the North York Chamber of Commerce has requested that the date of this community festival be rescheduled to June 11, 2000, and that the boundaries of this event be expanded to include the portion of Willowdale Avenue, between Alfred Avenue and Sheppard Avenue East;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT**, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 21 of Report No. 5 of The North York Community Council, headed 'Temporary Road Closure - Willowdale Avenue - North York Centre', be re-opened for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the date and the boundaries for the event;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** City Council grant approval for the 2nd Annual Willowdale Avenue Street Party to take place on June 11, 2000, and permit the temporary closure of Willowdale Avenue, from Alfred Avenue to Sheppard Avenue East, for this community festival."

*Disposition: Council re-opened Clause No. 21 of Report No. 5 of The North York Community Council, headed "Temporary Road Closure - Willowdale Avenue - North York Centre", for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the date and the boundaries for the 2nd Annual Willowdale Avenue Street Party, and adopted the balance of the Motion, without amendment.*

(13) **Moved by:** **Councillor Ashton**

**Seconded by:** **Councillor Davis**

“**WHEREAS** Toronto Hydro has applied to the Ontario Energy Board for a 6 percent rate increase to take effect July 1, 2000; and

**WHEREAS** the rate increase means \$60.00 on a homeowner’s typical electricity bill of \$1,000.00 annually; and

**WHEREAS** the impact of this increase will be detrimental to Toronto businesses; and

**WHEREAS** provincial deregulation permits utilities like Toronto Hydro to earn a profit of up to 9.88 percent; and

**WHEREAS** the City of Toronto is the sole shareholder of Toronto Hydro; and

**WHEREAS** Toronto Hydro has stated publicly that the proposed rate increase will generate \$90.0 million in profit to the City of Toronto;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** City of Toronto Council request Toronto Hydro to defer implementing a rate increase, pending a review by the City of Toronto of Toronto Hydro’s submission to the Ontario Energy Board and justification for a rate increase, far in excess of inflation.”

*Disposition: The Motion was referred to the Chief Administrative Officer with a request that he submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee on the Minister of Energy’s announcement pertaining to the Toronto Hydro rate increase.*

(14) **Moved by:** **Councillor Feldman**

**Seconded by:** **Councillor Lindsay Luby**

“**WHEREAS** the Annual General Meeting of the Toronto Housing Company Inc. is being held on June 19, 2000, and the Auditor’s Report and Financial Statements for the Year Ended December 31, 1999, are required for the Annual Report; and

**WHEREAS** Auditor’s Report and Financial Statements for the Toronto Housing Company Inc. would normally be submitted to City Council, through the Policy and Finance Committee; and

**WHEREAS** the next regular meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee is scheduled to be held on June 22, 2000, which would not provide an opportunity for the Toronto Housing Company to submit the Auditor’s Report and Financial Statements to the Committee and Council, prior to the Annual General Meeting of the Toronto Housing Company; and

**WHEREAS** the Board of Directors of the Toronto Housing Company Inc., on May 29, 2000, approved a report dated May 25, 2000, from the Chief Executive Officer, respecting the Auditor's Report and Financial Statements for the Year Ended December 31, 1999, and directed that such report be forwarded to City Council for approval;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the attached communication dated June 1, 2000, from the Chief Executive Officer of the Toronto Housing Company Inc., forwarding the Auditor's Report and Financial Statements for the Year Ended December 31, 1999, for the Toronto Housing Company Inc., be considered by City Council at its meeting scheduled to be held on June 7, 2000, and that the Auditor's Report and Financial Statements for the Year Ended December 31, 1999, for the Toronto Housing Company Inc. be received by City Council as Shareholder of the Toronto Housing Company Inc."

*Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(15) **Moved by: Councillor Bossons**

**Seconded by: Councillor Adams**

"**WHEREAS** City Council at its meeting held on April 11, 12 and 13, 2000, adopted, without amendment, Clause No. 60 of Report No. 5 of The Toronto Community Council, headed 'Tree Removal - 261 Inglewood Drive (Midtown)', and, in so doing, refused the removal of the subject tree; and

**WHEREAS** a further investigation by an Arborist has uncovered a structural weakness in the subject tree; and

**WHEREAS** City staff concurs with the results of the Arborist's report;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT**, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 60 of Report No. 5 of The Toronto Community Council, headed 'Tree Removal - 261 Inglewood Drive (Midtown)', be re-opened for further consideration;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** Council rescind the previous decision in this regard and adopt the attached report dated May 31, 2000, from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism."

*Disposition: Council re-opened Clause No. 60 of Report No. 5 of The Toronto Community Council, headed "Tree Removal - 261 Inglewood Drive (Midtown)", for further consideration, adopted the balance of the Motion, without amendment, and, in so doing, adopted, without amendment, the report dated May 31, 2000, from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, embodying the following recommendation:*

*“It is recommended that City Council rescind its decision of April 11, 12 and 13, 2000, to refuse a permit for tree removal at 261 Inglewood Drive, conditional on the applicant planting a 80 millimetre caliper red oak tree as replacement.”*

(16) **Moved by:** Councillor Moscoe

**Seconded by:** Councillor Feldman

“**WHEREAS** Deal Makers of Canada Inc. applied to the Committee of Adjustment North District office for consent to sever lands at 1000 Finch Avenue West and 4580 Dufferin Street; and

**WHEREAS** the Committee of Adjustment on March 9, 2000, adjourned the hearing, sine die, at the request of the local Councillor(s) to discuss the application; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant has launched an appeal against a deferral of the application to the Ontario Municipal Board;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the City Solicitor be directed to attend the Ontario Municipal Board to oppose the application from Deal Makers of Canada Inc.”

*Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(17) **Moved by:** Councillor Rae

**Seconded by:** Councillor Chow

“**WHEREAS** City Council, during its consideration of the 1999 Operating Budget (Recommendation No. 181), determined that the cost of policing the Lesbian and Gay Pride Day, the Santa Claus Parade, Caribana and the Beaches Jazz Festival would be borne by the Toronto Police Service; and

**WHEREAS** Council determined that figure to be approximately \$350,000.00; and

**WHEREAS** the Committees organizing these festivals, and Councillors, were told, in 1999, that this Council decision would clarify for the future the source of funds for policing these major community festivals; and

**WHEREAS** the April 2000 variance report of the Toronto Police Service demonstrates there is a \$0.3 Million Operating Budget surplus;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the Toronto Police Services Board adhere to the 1999 Operating Budget recommendations concerning policing of designated community events and provide officers, as required, at no cost to these organizing committees and that this directive be the operating standard in the future.”

*Disposition: The Motion was withdrawn.*



(18) **Moved by:** **Councillors Davis and Duguid**

**Seconded by:** **Councillors Chow, Korwin-Kuczynski, McConnell, Nunziata and Sinclair**

**“WHEREAS** during the past two and one-half years, the City has released various recommendations on youth violence, such as The Mayor’s Task Force on Young Offenders (January ’99); Action Plan on Youth Violence in Schools from the Mayor’s Task Force on Youth Violence (February 1999); Community Safety Task Force (February 1999); and Youth and Police Action Committee, through the Police Services Board (March 2000); and

**WHEREAS** at this time, aside from the Task Force on Community Safety, there are various committees working on youth violence, including the Youth Cabinet; the Youth Committee (from the City); Police and Youth Action Committee, and the Task Force on Youth Violent Crimes (from the Police Services Board and the Chief of Police); a staff working group who meets regularly on issues of youth violence and who organized a very successful conference highlighting the best practices of various City departments, Public Health, Police and School Boards; and

**WHEREAS** it is essential that all of these initiatives be integrated and co-ordinated; and

**WHEREAS** the Community Safety Task Force will not be formally reporting to Council (through the Policy and Finance Committee) until August; and

**WHEREAS** City staff are eager to implement, as soon as possible, the various recommendations aimed at fostering youth safety (and clarification of the reporting structure is required); and

**WHEREAS** the Task Force appointed Councillors Pam McConnell, Chris Korwin-Kuczynski and Bruce Sinclair, and Member Marg Stanowski, to be members of the Youth Safety Sub-Committee; and

**WHEREAS** the Task Force requested that the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services report directly to Council on June 7, 2000, with respect to the proposed Youth Safety Sub-Committee;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the report dated May 29, 2000, from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services, be considered by Toronto City Council at its meeting commencing on June 7, 2000, and that such report be adopted;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** a Youth Sub-Committee (as part of the Task Force on Community Safety) be formed with a mandate to co-ordinate, integrate and oversee the implementation of all recommendations, present and future, in dealing with youth violence prevention;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the Task Force on Community Safety designate a lead Councillor, or Councillors, to work on this sub-committee, and seek other interested Councillors, community members and staff to join.”

**Disposition:** *The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and, in so doing, Council adopted, without amendment, the report dated May 29, 2000, from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services, embodying the following recommendations:*

*“It is recommended that:*

- (1) a Youth Safety Sub-Committee of the Task Force on Community Safety be established with a mandate to co-ordinate, integrate and oversee the implementation of all recommendations dealing with youth violence prevention;*
- (2) the Community Safety Task Force designate a lead Councillor to work on the Sub-Committee with the Children and Youth Advocate, and seek other interested Toronto City Councillors, community members and staff to participate; and*
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”*

(19) **Moved by:** Councillor Chow

**Seconded by:** Councillor Pantalone

**“WHEREAS** Councillor Olivia Chow, as a Member of the Board of Directors of the Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO), is unable to attend the AMO Annual Conference August 13 to 16, 2000, in Ottawa;

**WHEREAS** is preferable for the City of Toronto to have full representation on the Board of Directors for the Board meetings to be held the last Friday in June and immediately prior to the Annual meeting in August; and

**WHEREAS** there are no financial impacts associated with this Motion;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the resignation of Olivia Chow as a member of the Board of Directors of AMO as a member of the Toronto Caucus, be accepted;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** Councillor Joe Pantalone be appointed to the Board of Directors of AMO as a member of the Toronto Caucus, for a term to expire at the Annual Meeting of AMO in August, 2000.”

*Disposition: The Motion was adopted, subject to amending the second Operative Paragraph to read as follows:*

**“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Councillor Joe Pantalone be appointed to the Board of Directors of AMO as a member of the Toronto Caucus, for a term to expire on November 30, 2000.”**

(20) **Moved by: Councillor Chow**

**Seconded by: Councillor Shaw**

**“WHEREAS** the Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM) requires Council to provide a list of City Councillors approved as the City’s representatives to FCM no later than June 18, 2000;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** interested City Councillors (see proposed names attached) be approved as the City’s representatives and forwarded by the Chief Administrative Officer to the FCM no later than June 18, 2000;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the Chief Administrative Officer, in consultation with Council’s FCM representatives, be requested to report to the Policy and Finance Committee on a strategy that ensures Toronto takes maximum advantage of funding from FCM initiatives developed over the past year, that could increase City revenues from between \$108.0 million to \$123.0 million per year;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** interested Councillors and the Chief Administrative Officer meet with the Executive Director of FCM regarding appropriate levels of representation of large urban centres on the FCM;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the Chief Administrative Officer consider sending staff to all FCM Board meetings.”

*Disposition: The Motion was adopted, subject to amending the first Operative Paragraph by adding thereto the words “subject to amending the listing entitled ‘Proposed List of City Councillors to be Approved as the City’s Representatives to the FCM’, to provide that Councillor Anne Johnston be included as a member of the Future Role of Municipal Government Committee’, so that such Operative Paragraph shall now read as follows:*

***“NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT interested City Councillors (see proposed names attached) be approved as the City’s representatives and forwarded by the Chief Administrative Officer to the FCM no later than June 18, 2000, subject to amending the listing entitled ‘Proposed List of City Councillors to be Approved as the City’s Representatives to the FCM’, to provide that Councillor Anne Johnston be included as a member of the Future Role of Municipal Government Committee;”***

(21) **Moved by:** Councillor Prue

**Seconded by:** Councillor Pitfield

**“WHEREAS** the severe thunderstorm on May 12, 2000, caused severe flooding and damage within the Don Valley River System at Taylor Bush Park; and

**WHEREAS** two pedestrian bridges were washed away and a third severely damaged as a result of flood waters; and

**WHEREAS** these are the only trans-river crossings at this Park for cyclists, pedestrians, dog walkers and joggers, and these people are now at risk making unsafe fordings; and

**WHEREAS** staff have determined that the tendering process will add six to eight weeks to the time frame before these bridges can be replaced; and

**WHEREAS** the actual cost of each bridge is in the range of \$20,000.00 to \$25,000.00;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Council waive the requirement to tender these projects and that staff be instructed to begin construction as soon as insurance claims are finalized.”

***Disposition:*** *The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(22) **Moved by:** Councillor Mihevc

**Seconded by:** Councillor Rae

**“WHEREAS** the Government of Ontario, through its Ministry of Citizenship which has responsibility for access, equity and immigrant settlement issues, while maintaining all its Provincial District Offices has closed down its Toronto District Office; and

**WHEREAS** residents of Toronto seeking the services of that Ministry now need to go to Hamilton; and

**WHEREAS** City Council has reiterated its commitment on many occasions to the continuation of access and equity values, policies and programs; and

**WHEREAS** Toronto prides itself on its diversity and the tremendous benefits that it brings the City; and

**WHEREAS** Toronto is the primary destination of immigrants and refugees to Ontario;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** City Council communicate its concerns to the Province of Ontario regarding this apparent slight to the residents of Toronto and urge that the Government of Ontario re-establish a Toronto District Office of the Ministry of Citizenship to ensure equitable access and to those particular services that a large proportion of Toronto residents require.”

*Disposition:                   The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(23) **Moved by:                   Councillor Jakobek**

**Seconded by:               Councillor Berardinetti**

“**WHEREAS** the City will once again be celebrating our Nation’s birthday on July 1, 2000; and

**WHEREAS** there are a number of events being planned for the residents of this City and also to attract tourism; and

**WHEREAS** the ‘Friends of Fort York’ have organized a Tall Ship and Re-enactment for July 1 and 2, 2000; and

**WHEREAS** this event is significant and will attract thousands of visitors from outside the City; and

**WHEREAS** the Planning Committee has raised significant funds of approximately \$200,000.00 to hold this event; and

**WHEREAS** there is a shortfall of \$20,000.00; and

**WHEREAS** there is insufficient time to process a ‘one time grant’ towards this event;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** City Council approve a one time grant of \$20,000.00 to the ‘Friends of Fort York’ to assist in the Canada Tall Ship and Re-enactment event, such funds to be provided from the Corporate Contingency Account.”

*Disposition:                   The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(24) **Moved by:** **Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski**

**Seconded by:** **Councillor Miller**

“**WHEREAS** 40 Wabash Avenue has been acquired for a Municipal Recreation Facility; and

**WHEREAS** this land is being designated to start with construction of a recreational facility in the year 2002; and

**WHEREAS** the Wabash Community Recreational Centre Working Group has been established; and

**WHEREAS** members of the Wabash Community Recreational Centre Working Group intend to fundraise for the purpose of building a recreational facility; and

**WHEREAS** the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer concurs with the fundraising project but advises that, technically, Council approval is needed; and

**WHEREAS** any further delay prejudices the success of this project;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the City acknowledge 40 Wabash Avenue as an approved project for the purposes of fundraising by the members of the Wabash Community Recreational Centre Working Group and the issuing by the City of charitable receipts.”

**Disposition:** *The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(25) **Moved by:** **Councillor Li Preti**

**Seconded by:** **Councillor Moscoe**

“**WHEREAS** the City of Vaughan established the Spadina Subway - Corporate Centre Transportation Committee; and

**WHEREAS** the City of Toronto=s Transportation Planning Group is currently working on the development of the transportation component of the City=s new Official Plan, and has produced a vision statement that defines the basic principles intended to guide the development of that Plan; and

**WHEREAS** the vision statement also provides some examples of possible rapid transit priorities to be considered in further detail, among which, extension of the Spadina subway to York University has already been identified for being highly consistent with the general thrust of the Official Plan itself; and

**WHEREAS** Transportation staff are positioning themselves to advise Council on short term rapid transit priorities in the event of infrastructure money, should it become available from either the provincial or federal governments; and

**WHEREAS** the first project being analyzed by staff is the subway extension to York University, identified as having potential for reducing automobile dependence and increasing transit use both above and below Steeles Avenue; and

**WHEREAS** the City of Toronto would greatly benefit from an extended transportation corridor into York Region; and

**WHEREAS** representatives from York University and York Region area are also involved in discussions; and

**WHEREAS** the City of Toronto would greatly benefit from participation on this Committee;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** City of Toronto Council appoint Councillor Peter Li Preti and Councillor Howard Moscoe as City of Toronto representatives on the Spadina Subway - Corporate Centre Transportation Committee.”

*Disposition: The Motion was referred to the Striking Committee.*

(26) **Moved by: Councillor Jakobek**

**Seconded by: Councillor Rae**

“**WHEREAS** City Council at its meeting held on May 9, 10 and 11, 2000, in adopting Notice of Motion J(2) regarding the 2000 Education Tax levy and the 2000 BIA levy approved the levy and collection of special charges in respect of certain Business Improvement Areas for the year 2000 and adopted By-law No. 252-2000 in regard thereto; and

**WHEREAS**, by inadvertence, gross expenditures were used as the basis of calculating the special charge rate set out in By-law No. 252-2000 for certain of the Business Improvement Areas, rather than net expenditures, resulting in an incorrect special charge for those Business Improvement Areas; and

**WHEREAS** it is necessary to amend By-law No. 252-2000 so that the total special charge reflects a calculation on the basis of net expenditures rather than gross expenditures for certain of the Business Improvement Areas set out in By-law No. 252-2000;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT**, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Notice of Motion J(2) regarding the 2000 Education Tax levy and the 2000 BIA levy be re-opened for further consideration, in order to amend By-law No. 252-2000 to correct the special charge rate and the special charge levied in respect of certain Business Improvement Areas as set out above;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the City Solicitor be authorized to introduce the necessary bill in Council to amend By-law No. 252-2000.”

*Disposition: Council re-opened Notice of Motion J(2) regarding the 2000 Education Tax levy and the 2000 BIA levy, which was adopted by City Council on May 9, 10 and 11, 2000, for further consideration, in order to amend By-law No. 252-2000 to correct the special charge rate and the special charge levied in respect of certain Business Improvement Areas, and adopted the balance of the Motion, without amendment.*

*Council subsequently enacted By-law No. 387-2000.*

(27) **Moved by: Councillor Berardinetti**

**Seconded by: Councillor Jakobek**

**“WHEREAS** City Council, at its meeting held on January 27, 2000, adopted, as amended, Clause No. 1 of Report No. 1 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed ‘2000-2004 Capital Budget and Five Year Capital Program’; and

**WHEREAS** City Council at its meeting held on May 9, 10 and 11, 2000, adopted, as amended, Clause No. 1 of Report No. 10 of The Administration Committee headed, ‘Green Roofs Infrastructure – Demonstration Project’, and, in so doing, approved a green roof on the Eastview Neighbourhood Community Centre, and struck out and referred that portion of the recommendation of the Administration Committee pertaining to the green roof demonstration project for the City Hall podium roof, back to the Administration Committee for further consideration; and

**WHEREAS** the City of Toronto is committed to reducing greenhouse gas emissions by 20 percent and to reduce smog precursors and to promote environmentally-sustainable development; and

**WHEREAS** a Green Roof project provides for many public and private benefits, such as energy efficiency, stormwater management, improving roof membrane durability, air and water quality improvements, increased public amenity space, reduction in the urban heat island effect and reduced greenhouse gas emissions; and



**WHEREAS** the City of Toronto has, by far, the largest market potential in Canada for green roofs infrastructure and a City-sponsored pilot project will increase the visibility of this technology; and

**WHEREAS** staff from the Facilities and Real Estate Division, the Parks and Recreation Division, the Chief Administrative Officer's office, the Energy Efficiency Office, Urban Development Services and the Toronto Public Health Division have developed, and the Administration Committee has approved, a 6,000 square foot project to demonstrate green roof infrastructure technology on the City Hall podium roof; and

**WHEREAS** the replacement of the City Hall podium roof has already been approved in the 2004 Capital Budget and the incremental cost of the green roof pilot approved by the Administration Committee is \$130,000.00; and

**WHEREAS** the Green Roofs for Healthy Cities Coalition is prepared to donate \$70,000.00 towards the project and an application has been made to the Toronto Atmospheric Fund for the remaining \$60,000.00 of the project; and

**WHEREAS** it is necessary to move forward with the City Hall podium green roof in order to ensure plant survival over the winter;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT**, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 1 of Report No. 1 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed '2000-2004 Capital Budget and Five Year Capital Program', and Clause No. 1 of Report No. 10 of The Administration Committee headed, 'Green Roofs Infrastructure – Demonstration Project', be re-opened for further consideration, insofar as they pertain to the green roof demonstration project on the City Hall podium roof;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** City Council amend the 2000-2004 budget to provide \$165,000.00 in funding to repair a portion of the City Hall podium roof in 2000 rather than 2004 as approved in the Capital Budget;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the City Hall podium green roof project be approved, conditional on \$130,000.00 being received from the Green Roofs for Healthy Cities Coalition, the Toronto Atmospheric Fund or other sources."

**Disposition:** *Council re-opened Clause No. 1 of Report No. 1 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed "2000-2004 Capital Budget and Five Year Capital Program", and Clause No. 1 of Report No. 10 of The Administration Committee headed, "Green Roofs Infrastructure – Demonstration Project", for further consideration, insofar as they pertain to the green roof demonstration project on the City Hall podium roof, and adopted the balance of the Motion, without amendment.*

(28) **Moved by:**                   **Councillor Saundercook**

**Seconded by:**               **Councillor Chong**

“**WHEREAS** City Council, at its meeting held on May 9, 10 and 11, 2000, by its adoption of Clause No. 3 of Report No. 7 of The Toronto Community Council, headed ‘Installation of Speed Humps – Windermere Avenue, from Bloor Street West to Annette Street (High Park)’, approved the installation of speed humps on Windermere Avenue; and

**WHEREAS** at this same meeting of Council, petitions signed by hundreds of local residents were before Council, requesting that the humps not be installed until a full review;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT**, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 3 of Report No. 7 of The Toronto Community Council, headed ‘Installation of Speed Humps – Windermere Avenue, from Bloor Street West to Annette Street (High Park)=, be re-opened for further consideration;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** Council’s previous action be rescinded and the Clause be referred to the Works Committee for further consideration in conjunction with the overall traffic calming policy.”

*Disposition:                   Council did not re-open Clause No. 3 of Report No. 7 of The Toronto Community Council, headed “Installation of Speed Humps - Windermere Avenue, from Bloor Street West to Annette Street (High Park)”, for further consideration.*

(29) **Moved by:**                   **Councillor Pantalone**

**Seconded by:**               **Councillor Silva**

“**WHEREAS** the owner of 667 King Street West has permission to operate a licensed boulevard cafe on the Bathurst Street flankage; and

**WHEREAS** the owner recently began resurfacing the boulevard area without the proper permit; and

**WHEREAS** there is a stop work order in place; and

**WHEREAS** the existing plans submitted are acceptable but require permission to allow the area to remain elevated and constructed of wood; and

**WHEREAS** there are other elevated wooden decks on other City boulevards;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** City Council adopt Recommendation No. (2) embodied in the attached report dated June 6, 2000, from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, to allow for the plans submitted to be approved, provided that there no objections from the circulated utilities.”

**Disposition:** *The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and, in so doing, Council adopted Recommendation No. (2) embodied in the report dated June 6, 2000, from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, viz.:*

*“It is recommend that:*

(2) *City Council approve the applicant’s request for the construction and maintenance of a boulevard café deck at 667 King Street West, Bathurst Street flank, subject to the café operator confining the deck and café fence to the licensed café area and notwithstanding the Deck Criteria of Municipal Code 313, Streets and Sidewalks of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code and only on approval from all relevant utility companies.”*

(30) **Moved by:** Councillor Walker

**Seconded by:** Councillor Prue

**“WHEREAS** the Kimbark Coldstream Ravine is designated in the Official Plan for the former City of Toronto as a ‘Natural Area’; and

**WHEREAS** it is the policy of Council ‘to protect, preserve and maintain and, where possible enhance Natural Areas of the City in perpetuity’; and

**WHEREAS** the Kimbark Coldstream Ravine received its designation pursuant to Section 2 of the City of Toronto Act on May 21, 1981; and

**WHEREAS** the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in the attached report dated June 8, 2000 has recommended Interim Control for these Ravine Lands;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Council adopt the attached report dated June 8, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, regarding an Interim Control By-law for these Ravine Lands;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the necessary Bill be introduced to give effect thereto.”

**Disposition:** *The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and, in so doing, Council adopted the report dated June 8, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, embodying the following recommendations:*

*“It is recommended that:*

- (1) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with the Commissioners of Works and Emergency Services, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and other City departments, be directed, pursuant to Section 38 of the Planning Act, to undertake a review of the land use policies appropriate for the lands within and abutting the boundary of the Kimbark Coldstream Ravine;*
- (2) in connection with the review of the land use policies recommended above, Council pass an Interim Control By-law for lands within the Kimbark Coldstream Ravine and for portions of lands adjacent to the Kimbark Coldstream Ravine to prohibit the erection of buildings or structures in the ravine and within 10 metres of the limit of the ravine shown on the attached map, and that this By-law be in force for a period of one year; and*
- (3) authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary Bill in Council to implement Recommendation No. (2), above.”*

*Council subsequently enacted By-law No. 319-2000.*

(31) **Moved by:** Councillor Silva

**Seconded by:** Councillor Berardinetti

**“WHEREAS** the Molson Indy Toronto race event is being held over July 14, 15 and 16, 2000, at the Canadian National Exhibition Grounds in Toronto; and

**WHEREAS** the Molson Indy Toronto has had a special permit to serve liquor in the tiered seating areas at the event, for the years 1996 to 1999, inclusive; and

**WHEREAS** the Molson Indy Toronto has changed its caterer for the 2000 year event and requires a Resolution from the City of Toronto that it is an Event of Municipal Significance, in order to obtain a special permit to serve liquor in the tiered seating areas;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the City of Toronto declare the Molson Indy Toronto an Event of Municipal Significance for the purposes of obtaining a special permit to serve liquor in the tiered seating areas, and the Alcohol and Gaming Commission be advised accordingly.”

**Disposition:** *The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(32) **Moved by:** Councillor Davis

**Seconded by:** Councillor Adams

**“BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the Executive Director of Human Resources be requested to submit a report to the Administration Committee, during the next term of Council, on the implications of having a corporate-wide policy restricting the hiring of relatives.”

*Disposition:* **Notice of the foregoing Motion was given to permit consideration at the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000.**

(33) **Moved by:** Councillor Moscoe

**Seconded by:** Councillor Adams

**“WHEREAS** every employee of the City of Toronto, including unionized, non-unionized, management staff, Councillors’ staff and the Chief Administrative Officer, have had their salaries increased for 1998, 1999 and 2000, except Members of Council; and

**WHEREAS** it seems unlikely that, on the eve of an election, Council will be able to seek the same increases for themselves; and

**WHEREAS** Council members have not had an increase for nine years; and

**WHEREAS** the provincial government is presently reviewing the salaries of Members of the Legislature; and

**WHEREAS** City Council, at its meeting held on February 29, March 1 and 2, 2000, in adopting, as amended, Clause No. 1 of Report No. 6 of The Administration Committee, headed, ‘Collective Bargaining With the Canadian Union of Public Employees Local 79’, requested the Executive Director of Human Resources to develop a process to determine remuneration for Members of Council, such remuneration to take effect for the new term of Council, and report thereon to the Administration Committee;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT**, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 1 of Report No. 6 of The Administration Committee, headed, ‘Collective Bargaining With the Canadian Union of Public Employees Local 79’, be reopened for further consideration, insofar as it pertains to salaries of Members of Council;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** Council peg the salaries of Toronto Council to those of the Members of the Ontario Legislature and Toronto Council salaries be automatically adjusted proportionally to those of the Ontario Legislature whenever they are adjusted retroactively to the effective dates of any adjustments to the salaries of MPPs.”

*Disposition:* **Notice of the foregoing Motion was given to permit consideration at the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000.**

**CONDOLENCE MOTION:**

**Moved by: Councillor Sinclair**

**Seconded by: Councillor Chow**

“**WHEREAS** the Members of City of Toronto Council are saddened to learn of the passing of Dr. Paul Steinhauer, on Saturday, May 27, 2000; and

**WHEREAS** Dr. Steinhauer was a renowned advocate for children’s rights in Canada, and was a consultant to several children’s aid organizations; and

**WHEREAS** Dr. Steinhauer, a child psychiatrist for 38 years, cared deeply for the well-being of children, particularly those facing obstacles, such as poverty; and

**WHEREAS** Dr. Steinhauer was honoured many times during his career, most recently by the Ontario Medical Association, in recognition for his service to the community;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the City Clerk be directed to convey, on behalf of members of City Council, our sincere sympathy to Dr. Steinhauer’s wife Estelle, and their family.”

***Disposition: The Motion was adopted unanimously.***

Toronto, Ontario  
June 15, 2000

City Clerk