

CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENTS

Certified to be a true copy of amendments to:

Report No. 14 of The Administration Committee,
Report No. 6 of The Community Services Committee,
Report No. 7 of The Economic Development and Parks Committee,
Report No. 6 of The Planning and Transportation Committee,
Report No. 7 of The Planning and Transportation Committee,
Report No. 8 of The Policy and Finance Committee,
Report No. 9 of The Policy and Finance Committee,
Report No. 13 of The Works Committee,
Joint Report No. 1 of The Policy and Finance Committee and The Works Committee.
Report No. 7 of The East York Community Council,
Report No. 7 of The Etobicoke Community Council,
Report No. 8 of The North York Community Council,
Report No. 7 of The Scarborough Community Council,
Report No. 10 of The Toronto Community Council,
Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council,
Report No. 7 of The York Community Council,
Report No. 3 of The Audit Committee,
Report No. 4 of The Striking Committee, and
Report No. 5 of The Board of Health,

and Notices of Motions, as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000.

REPORT NO. 14 OF THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - "Establishing New Community Councils in the City of Toronto - All Wards".

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) deleting from Recommendation No. (1) of the Administration Committee, the word "eight", and inserting in lieu thereof the word "four", so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

"(1) four Community Councils be established;"; and

- (2) deferring consideration of the balance of the Clause until the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on August 1, 2000.

Clause No. 3 - "Purchasing Policies and By-law".

The Clause was amended:

- (1) by amending Recommendation No. (I)(ii) of the Administration Committee by:
 - (a) deleting those portions pertaining to Recommendations Nos. (7)(b) and (c) embodied in the joint report dated May 29, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, as amended by the Administration Committee, and inserting in lieu thereof the following:
 - “(7)(b) as part of the procedures, the Purchasing and Materials Management Division, in consultation with other City Officials, as required, apply a ‘disclosure’ mechanism which includes:
 - (i) provision in the call that a bidder/proponent is required to ensure that no communication is made by the bidder/proponent or its representatives, including a third party representative employed or retained by it to promote or oppose any bid/proposal, unless such communication, relating to all meetings, written correspondence and telephone discussions that the representative has had with any Member of Council, City official, appointed member of any City board, agency, commission, task force, or related organization, is disclosed to the contact party identified in the competitive call document;
 - (ii) such disclosures to be submitted to the City Clerk up to the time of award of the competitive call; and
 - (iii) the City Clerk being required to provide the disclosure information upon request and to post the disclosure information on the City’s web site;
 - (7)(c) Council may continue to invoke a ‘prohibition’ mechanism which includes a ‘No Lobbying’ provision whereby a bidder/proponent and representatives employed or retained by them can only present their arguments advancing or opposing a bid/proposal in a public forum of Council (Committees, Task Forces, Boards of City agencies, boards or commissions, or the Boards of City-owned organizations) or the media; and to the contact party identified in the competitive call;”;

- (b) adding to the recommendations embodied in the joint report dated May 29, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, the following new Recommendation No. (7)(d):

“(7)(d) in the interim, the Purchasing Materials Management Division, in consultation with other City officials, as required, apply a ‘disclosure’ mechanism including the above provisions in (7)(b)(i), (ii) and (iii) for all Tender, Quotation and Proposal Calls estimated to be above the Bid Committee award limit;”;

- (2) by deleting Recommendation No. (I)(iii) of the Administration Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the following new Recommendation No. (I)(iii):

“(iii) amending Recommendation No. (11) to read as follows:

“(11) authority be granted for the introduction of a Bill in Council in the form of the draft by-law, attached as Appendix ‘G’, to establish procedures and authority for the Procurement of Goods and Services, subject to the awarding limit for contracts being as follows:

Award by	Amount
CAO or Designate	Contracts up to \$500,000.00.
Bid Committee	Contracts up to \$2.0 million, where lowest bidder meeting specifications and requirements is recommended for award.
Standing Committee	Contracts greater than \$2.0 million but not greater than \$5.0 million, where lowest bidder meeting specifications and requirements is recommended for award.
Council	Contracts greater than \$5.0 million in value; contracts where the lowest bidder meeting specifications and requirements is not being recommended for award; or where a written objection to the award is received.

’ ”;

- (3) to provide that the procedures in regard to lobbying to be applied to City Councillors also be applied to City staff; and

- (4) by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (a) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to include in her forthcoming report regarding purchasing procedures, the applicability of these disclosure provisions to Tender, Quotation and Proposal Calls estimated to be at or below the Bid Committee’s award limit; and

- (b) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, the City Solicitor and the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be requested to submit a joint report to the Administration Committee, as soon as possible, on how to stimulate and encourage the utilization of companies which employ and/or train disadvantaged youth and how this can be taken into consideration when evaluating quotations.”

Clause No. 4 - “An Official Town Crier for the City of Toronto (All Wards)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the City Clerk be requested to submit a report to the Administration Committee, prior to June 2001, on the appointment of an Official Town Crier for the City of Toronto.”

Clause No. 6 - “City of Toronto Employment Equity Policy”.

The Clause was amended by deleting from Recommendation (I)(1) of the Personnel Sub-Committee, the word “fully”, and adding thereto the words “and that this be achieved through employment equity programs that remove barriers and monitor outcomes rather than by establishing requirements to precisely reflect the percentage of designated groups in the community”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“The Personnel Sub-Committee at its meeting held on June 12, 2000 recommended to the Administration Committee:

- (I) the adoption of the Employment Equity Policy Statement, Appendix 1 (Revised May 18, 2000), entitled ‘Employment Equity Policy Statement’, embodied in the report dated May 17, 2000 from the Executive Director of Human Resources, with the following principle being reflected in the Revised version of the Policy:
 - (1) a recognition in the policy statement that ‘the citizens of Toronto are best served by a public service which reflects the diversity of the community which it serves’, and that this be achieved through employment equity programs that remove barriers and monitor outcomes rather than by establishing requirements to precisely reflect the percentage of designated groups in the community;”.

Clause No. 7 - “Ethics Steering Committee, Recommended Terms of Reference”.

Consideration of this Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on August 1, 2000.

Clause No. 8 - “Sheppard Subway - Bessarion Station, Initiation of Civil Lawsuit to Recover Contamination Costs”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the following motion be adopted:

Moved by: Councillor Shiner

‘**WHEREAS** the City Solicitor has sought authority to commence legal proceedings in regard to the cost associated with the excavation of petroleum contaminated soil at the Bessarion Station of the Sheppard Subway line; and

WHEREAS, subject to review by the City of Toronto/Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) environmental consultants, further testing may be of assistance in proceeding with the lawsuit;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Solicitor, in consultation with TTC staff and consultants, be authorized to determine whether additional off-site testing is necessary, and, if so, to carry out such testing, at a cost not to exceed \$15,000.00; such testing to be carried out only in respect of property owners that are prepared to enter into an agreement with the City of Toronto, providing for access, restoration of property to its pre-test condition and such other terms and conditions as are satisfactory to the City Solicitor, in consultation with the TTC.’ ”

Clause No. 11 - “Declaration as Surplus - Part of Sheppard Square Parkette as per Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendment UDOZ-99-20 and Draft Plan of Subdivision Application UDSB-1248 for 2-47 Sheppard Square, 1-5 Rean Drive and Parts of 17 and 19 Barberry Place (Ward 9 - North York Centre South)”.

The Clause was amended by deleting Recommendation No. (1) embodied in the report dated June 8, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, and inserting in lieu thereof the following new Recommendation No. (1):

- “(1) portions of the Sheppard Square Parkette legally described as parts of Block B on Registered plan 3440, identified as Parts 3 and 18 on a draft reference plan (Job No. 99107) prepared by Vladimir Krcmar Ltd. O.L.S., be declared surplus to the City’s requirements and all steps necessary to comply with By-law No. 551-1998 be taken; and”.

Clause No. 15 - “Long Branch Cenotaph, Southeast Corner of Long Branch Avenue and Park Boulevard (Ward 2 - Lakeshore - Queensway)”.

The Clause was amended by striking out Recommendation No. (2) embodied in the report dated June 12, 2000, from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, and inserting in lieu thereof the following new Recommendation No. (2):

“(2) funding in the amount of \$61,325.00 be provided from the Parkland Acquisition Reserve Fund (former Metro);”.

Clause No. 16 - “Bill C-31 - The Immigration and Refugee Protection Act”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

(a) Recommendation No. (1)(c)(iii) embodied in the report dated June 1, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer, be struck out and referred to the Chief Administrative Officer for further consultation, including consultation with Councillor Prue, and report thereon to the Administration Committee, through the Immigration and Refugee Working Group, viz.:

‘(1) Council request that Bill C-31 be amended:

(c) to reflect Canadian core values, democratic principles and human rights standards, specifically:

(iii) to limit the powers given to immigration officers to detain people on the basis of identity as genuine refugees are often forced to flee without proof of identity (Sections 50 – 55);’;

(b) as recommended in the report dated June 28, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer, Recommendation No. (1)(c)(iv) embodied in the report dated June 1, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer, be adopted, viz.:

‘(1) Council request that Bill C-31 be amended:

(c) to reflect Canadian core values, democratic principles and human rights standards, specifically:

(iv) to respect the status and rights of permanent residents as in the current Immigration Act (Sections 2, 27, 42 and 58);’; and

(c) the Federation of Canadian Municipalities be requested to assist the City of Toronto in approaching the federal government respecting the consultation process referred to in this Clause.”

Clause No. 21 - "Other Items Considered by the Committee".

The Clause was received as information, subject to striking out and referring Item (f), entitled "Options for a Tenant Outreach Program for the 2000 Municipal Election", embodied therein, back to the Administration Committee for further consideration.

REPORT NO. 6 OF THE COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - "Food and Hunger Action Committee Phase I Report, 'Planting the Seeds'".

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services, in consultation with the appropriate City staff, be requested to identify and report back to Community Services Committee on:
 - (a) how many City of Toronto wards in the 44 ward system will not have community gardens; and
 - (b) which of those wards would most benefit from access to a community garden (such as low income and seniors' communities);
- (2) the City of Toronto move towards building community gardens in those communities before 2003; and
- (3) Council express its appreciation to the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services and all staff involved in the preparation of this report."

Clause No. 9 - "Federal Announcement on Supporting Communities Partnership Initiative".

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be requested to:

- (1) develop a communications strategy to provide the public and other stakeholders with comprehensive information on all City of Toronto activities related to homelessness, including the new federal initiatives, in order to educate and involve the broader community in finding solutions and to encourage their participation in homelessness prevention programs; and

- (2) submit a report, by September 2000, to the Council Reference Group established to oversee the implementation of the Supporting Communities Partnership Initiative, on the actions taken to develop this communications strategy.”

REPORT NO. 7 OF THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PARKS COMMITTEE

Clause No. 10 - “2000 Budgets - Business Improvement Areas: Report No. 4”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the due date for the collection of the special charges for the Business Improvement Areas included in this Clause be September 8, 2000.”

Clause No. 11 - “East Toronto Athletic Field - Outdoor Rink Conversion (East Toronto)”.

The Clause was amended by amending Recommendation No. (2) embodied in the joint report dated May 31, 2000, from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, to read as follows:

- “(2) the Ted Reeve Arena Board of Management be engaged in the negotiations and the agreement between Air Athletics and the City of Toronto be contingent upon Air Athletics negotiating a suitable agreement with the Ted Reeve Arena Board of Management for the shared use of equipment, staff, utilities and other costs;”.

Clause No. 19 - “Organizational Structure of the Parks and Recreation Division - Staffing of Front Line Recreationist Positions (All Wards)”.

The Clause was amended by striking out the recommendations of the Economic Development and Parks Committee.

Council adopted the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the Executive Director of Human Resources be instructed to make application to the Ontario Labour Relations Board to reconsider the original decision to exclude these positions from the Collective Agreement;
- (2) the staff positions impacted be kept open until this matter has been resolved at the Ontario Labour Relations Board;
- (3) the Executive Director of Human Resources be requested to submit a report to City Council, through the Administration Committee, on the decision of the Ontario Labour Relations Board; and

- (4) the Executive Director of Human Resources be requested to review other City Departments to determine whether similar issues are arising and submit a report thereon to the Administration Committee, through the Personnel Sub-Committee, as soon as possible.”

REPORT NO. 6 OF THE PLANNING AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - “Pre-Payment of Taxi Fares”.

Council took no action on this Clause.

Clause No. 2 - “Harmonization of the Division Fence By-law”.

The Clause was struck out and referred back to the Planning and Transportation Committee for further consideration, together with the following motions; and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services was requested to submit a report to the Planning and Transportation Committee, for consideration therewith, on the impact of the proposed amendments, such report to address, in particular, the financial implications relative to the motion by Councillor Moscoe to delete Clause No. (5), headed “Public Highway”, from the proposed Division Fence By-law:

Moved by Councillor Bossons:

“That the Clause be amended:

- (1) to provide that Section 5 of the proposed Division Fence By-law apply to front fences only; and
- (2) by adding thereto the following:

‘It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to submit a report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on the cost implications to the City if the City is held responsible for paying one-half of the cost of basic front or back yard fences.’ ”

Moved by Councillor Mammoliti:

“That the Clause be amended by adding thereto the following:

‘It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to appoint as many members of existing staff he deems appropriate as Fence Arbitrators; that the Fence Arbitrators be granted the authority to make a final decision in the event of fence disputes; and that the by-law be amended accordingly.’ ”

Moved by Councillor Miller:

“That the Clause be amended:

- (1) to provide that the by-law be amended to maintain the current provisions of the former City of Toronto by-law within the boundaries of the former City; and
- (2) by adding thereto the following:

‘It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to submit a report to the Planning and Transportation Committee, in one year’s time, on the operation of this system and whether methods to facilitate dispute resolution are required in the former Cities of Etobicoke, Scarborough, East York and North York, and how and whether the City of Toronto should apply for the required special legislation.’ ”

Moved by Councillor Bossons:

“That Part (1) of the motion by Councillor Miller be amended by adding thereto the following words:

‘on the condition that fees be increased in order to pay as fully as possible for the cost of fence viewing/arbitration.’ ”

Moved by Councillor Mihevc:

“That Part (1) of the motion by Councillor Miller be amended to provide that fence viewers be continued and expanded in all former municipalities, and further that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to submit a report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on how this could be accomplished.”

Moved by Councillor Moscoe:

“That the Clause be amended to provide that Clause No. (5), entitled ‘Public Highway’, be deleted from the proposed Division Fence By-law.”

REPORT NO. 7 OF THE PLANNING AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

Clause No. 4 - “Sheraton Centre Toronto Hotel Motor Court - Relocation of Taxi Facilities”.

Council adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the report dated June 6, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, as embodied in the Clause and containing the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) the existing taxicab stand on the east side of York Street, from a point 30.5 metres north of Richmond Street West to a point 13 metres further north, be adjusted to operate from a point 22.5 metres north of Richmond Street West to a point 21 metres further north thereof, to accommodate four (4) vehicles, coincident with the relocation of the Sheraton Centre driveway; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.’ ”

Clause No. 6 - “Free Transit on Air Quality Advisory Days”.

Council adopted the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that:

- (a) Recommendations Nos. (1) and (2) embodied in the communication dated July 5, 2000, from Councillor Jack Layton, be adopted, viz.:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) Councillor Layton’s report dated July 5, 2000, on the first-ever Toronto Smog Summit, be received for information; and
 - (2) Council endorse and authorize the City’s participation in the Toronto Intergovernmental Clean Air Working Group, as set out in the Toronto Intergovernmental Declaration on Clean Air signed by Councillor Jack Layton on behalf of Mayor Mel Lastman, which includes representatives from all orders of government operational within the GTA, to follow up on key issues identified during the Summit and to establish a series of ongoing summits.’;
- (b) Recommendations Nos. (3) and (5) embodied in the communication dated July 5, 2000, from Councillor Jack Layton, be referred to the Policy and Finance Committee, viz.:

‘It is recommended that:

- (3) staff report directly to the August City Council meeting on any additional costs involved in purchasing Ultra Low Emissions Vehicles above those to be allocated in the 2000 Capital Budget for the replacement of automobiles, including any funding already provided by the Toronto Atmospheric Fund; and

- (5) staff report directly to the August City Council meeting on any additional costs involved and actions necessary in purchasing on-road diesel fuel, which has a much lower sulphur content, for use by off-road vehicles.’;
- (c) Recommendation No. (4) embodied in the communication dated July 5, 2000, from Councillor Jack Layton, be referred to the Administration Committee, viz.:

‘It is recommended that:

- (4) staff report directly to the August City Council meeting on any funding required for Fleet Management Services to develop a Transition Plan for Fleet Vehicles to Reduce Vehicle Emissions, including any funding already provided by the Toronto Atmospheric Fund.’; and
- (d) Recommendation No. (6) embodied in the communication dated July 5, 2000, from Councillor Jack Layton, be referred to the Toronto Transit Commission, viz.:

‘It is recommended that:

- (6) TTC Staff report directly to the August City Council meeting on the feasibility and costs associated with the TTC buying Ultra Low Emission Vehicles for its non-revenue vehicle fleet.’ ”

Clause No. 7 - “Car Free Sunday”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to submit a report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee on the potential economic impacts of the ‘Car Free Sunday’ initiative on the City of Toronto.”

REPORT NO. 9 OF THE POLICY AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - “Provincial Local Services Realignment - Making It Work, and Towards a New Relationship with Ontario and Canada”.

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) deleting from Recommendation No. (1) embodied in the joint report dated June 6, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, as amended by the Policy and Finance Committee, the words “acceptable to the Province”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(1) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be directed to proceed to contract an independent third party auditor, to verify the financial impacts on the City of the Province’s Local Services Realignment; and if the Province decides against participating, within two weeks, the City proceed on its own to contract the auditor;” and

(2) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (a) City Council encourage the Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM) to pursue its campaign to achieve constitutional status for municipal governments in Canada; and the Chief Administrative Officer, senior City staff, Team Toronto, and the FCM Board and Committee Members explore, with the FCM staff, ways in which the City of Toronto, the Big City Mayors’ Caucus, the Presidents of the Provincial/Territorial Municipal Associations and the FCM members can work together to achieve this objective;
- (b) the Federation of Canadian Municipalities be requested to appoint Councillor David Miller to its Standing Committee on the Future Role of Municipal Governments;
- (c) Members of Toronto City Council attending the Association of Municipalities (AMO) Conference be requested to put forward a motion requesting that AMO support the concept of a Charter City;
- (d) Team Toronto be requested to consider holding a Constitutional Assembly, with invitations to the City Councils of the major cities of Canada, as part of the strategy set out in the report;
- (e) the City of Toronto’s audit to verify the financial impacts on the City of the Province of Ontario’s Local Services Realignment include statistics on the following:
 - (i) the shortfall in provincial cost-sharing programs related to:
 - (1) childcare (as documented by the provincial KPMG audit);
 - (2) family resource centres;
 - (3) special needs children’s programs; and
 - (4) shelter and hostel services; and
 - (ii) the cost of side-loading as a result of cuts in education funding related to:
 - (1) child care centres being moved because of school closures;
 - (2) replacing playgrounds; and
 - (3) increased rental fees of schools for parks and recreation programs and community groups;

- (f) the Chief Administrative Officer and the City Solicitor be requested to submit a report to the next meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee scheduled to be held on July 20, 2000, on holding a public plebiscite as part of the 2000 municipal election to determine public support for the establishment of a City Charter for the City of Toronto, such report to address various ways of posing a question that is and is not consistent with provincial regulations;
- (g) the following motion be referred to the Chief Administrative Officer and the City Solicitor for joint report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee:

Moved by Councillor Walker:

‘It is recommended that the Chief Administrative Officer develop an extensive communications package outlining the arguments for and against Charter City status for the City of Toronto and a plan to provoke full participation and debate on the part of citizens, prior to the plebiscite being put forth on the 2000 municipal election ballot.’;

- (h) the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to:
 - (i) list in his forthcoming report to the Policy and Finance Committee, further examples of the unique nature of the City of Toronto, such as the fact that Toronto is the largest receptor of immigrants and refugees in Canada;
 - (ii) review whether appeals to the Ontario Municipal Board from decisions of City Council could be eliminated as part of the City Charter;
 - (iii) submit a report to Team Toronto on options available to City Council to ensure that any Charter cannot be unilaterally changed by the Province of Ontario; and
 - (iv) submit a report to City Council for its regular meeting scheduled to be held on October 3, 2000, through the Policy and Finance Committee, on the current relationship between the following municipalities and provinces, such report to be forwarded to the Premier of Ontario and the Prime Minister of Canada:
 - (1) Vancouver and British Columbia;
 - (2) Winnipeg and Manitoba;
 - (3) Montreal and Quebec; and
 - (4) St. John’s and Newfoundland; and
- (i) the following motions be referred to the Office of the Mayor for consideration:

Moved by Councillor Bossons:

‘That the Clause be amended by adding to Recommendation No. (3) embodied in the joint report dated June 6, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, the words “and representatives from the GTA’s regional municipalities be invited to join Team Toronto”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

- “(3) a Team Toronto, composed of a group of Councillors, be established that can provide advice to the Mayor and political guidance to staff on implementation of the strategy, and representatives from the GTA’s regional municipalities be invited to join Team Toronto;”.’

Moved by Councillor Cho:

‘It is recommended that Councillor Howard Moscoe be appointed as a member of Team Toronto.’

Moved by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski:

‘It is recommended that a third Team Toronto, consisting of citizens of the City of Toronto who are interested in pursuing Charter City status, be established.’

Moved by Councillor Moscoe:

‘It is recommended that Councillor Michael Walker be appointed as a member of Team Toronto.’ ”

Clause No. 2 - “Hot Weather Response Plan for the Summer of 2000”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be requested to submit a report to the Community Services Committee on resource requirements necessary to provide adequate community outreach and education related to vulnerable individuals and the frail elderly; and
- (2) the following motion be referred to the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services for report thereon to the next meeting of the Community Services Committee scheduled to be held on July 13, 2000:

Moved by Councillor Moscoe:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be requested to establish additional overnight Cooling Centres; and
- (2) any additional funding required be provided from the Corporate Contingency Account.’ ”

Clause No. 4 - “Telecommunications Strategy”.

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) adding to Recommendation No. (6) embodied in the joint report dated June 16, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer and the Executive Lead on Telecommunications, the sentence “Additionally, the Interim Lead on Telecommunications, with the approval of the Chief Administrative Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be authorized to enter into agreements for pilot projects in co-operation with various boards, agencies and commissions and private sector providers, utilizing both existing budgeted funds and revenues generated from existing telecommunications agreements.”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“Telecommunications Demonstration Projects:

- (6) staff should prepare a EOI/RFP to solicit proposals for telecommunications demonstration projects. These projects should be undertaken if there is limited or no cost/risk to the City and if the end result meets obvious corporate/ABC telecommunications needs. Additionally, the Interim Lead on Telecommunications, with the approval of the Chief Administrative Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be authorized to enter into agreements for pilot projects in co-operation with various boards, agencies and commissions and private sector providers, utilizing both existing budgeted funds and revenues generated from existing telecommunications agreements.”; and
- (2) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (a) the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to ensure that the Request for Expressions of Interest (REOI) and the award of the contract for a demonstration fibre optic installation project using the City of Toronto’s sewer system be achieved by October 1, 2000;

- (b) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be directed to generally include in all road reconstruction and new road construction projects, including, where feasible, those in the current Capital Budget, fibre optic conduit for the use of the City;
- (c) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, be directed to require the construction of fibre optic conduit for the ownership of the City generally in Section 37 agreements and subdivision agreements;
- (d) the Executive Lead on Telecommunications be requested to submit a report to the Telecommunications Steering Committee on the implications of Recommendations Nos. (2)(b) and (c), above;
- (e) the Executive Lead on Telecommunications be requested to:
 - (i) proceed with the preparation of a business case, with the source of funding, to an upset limit of \$300,000.00, to be allocated from telecommunications revenues; and
 - (ii) submit a quarterly report to the Telecommunications Steering Committee on the expenditures associated with the business case;
- (f) the Chair of the Telecommunications Steering Committee and the Executive Lead on Telecommunications be authorized to convene an information meeting with interested representatives of school boards, universities, colleges, hospitals, as well as the City's agencies, boards and commissions, to explore ideas for joint arrangements for fibre optic builds;
- (g) the Executive Lead on Telecommunications be requested to submit a report to the Telecommunications Steering Committee on how best to guarantee the removal of telecommunications plant when no longer useful;
- (h) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to submit a report to the Telecommunications Steering Committee, in September 2000, on all of the one-time and annual payments for telecommunications use of the City of Toronto's rights-of-way;
- (i) the Executive Lead on Telecommunications be requested to identify opportunities for public/private and public/public partnership opportunities, both in the development of the forthcoming Business Case Analysis for a City-owned Dark Fibre Build and in the interim report requested in Recommendation No. (9) of the joint report dated June 16, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer and the Executive Lead on Telecommunications;

- (j) the confidential report dated June 23, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, be adopted, subject to amending Recommendation No. (4) by adding thereto the words 'and subject to the City obtaining ownership and use of two spare ducts on each route segment', so that the recommendations embodied in such report shall now read as follows, the balance of such report to remain confidential, having regard that it contains information which is subject to the provisions of the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act:

'It is recommended that:

- (1) approval be given to enter into a Term Letter Agreement with Stream Intelligent Networks Corp. (or affiliate) to authorize the installation and maintenance of conduits and fibre optic cables within certain public highways, subject to the terms and conditions generally as set out in this report and such other terms and conditions as may be satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor;
 - (2) the requirement to pay further processing fees related to this Term Letter Agreement and the Municipal Access Agreement (MAA) be waived, as this fee was already paid by Stream in the context of the Pedestrian Tunnel Street Crossing Agreement;
 - (3) approval be given to enter into a Term Letter Agreement with GT Group Telecom Services Corp. (or affiliate) to authorize the installation and maintenance of conduits and fibre optic cables within certain public highways, subject to the terms and conditions generally as set out in this report and such other terms and conditions as may be satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and City Solicitor;
 - (4) approval be given to enter into a Term Letter Agreement with CI Communications Inc. (or affiliate) to authorize the installation and maintenance of conduits and fibre optic cables within certain public highways, subject to the terms and conditions generally as set out in this report and such other terms and conditions as may be satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and City Solicitor and subject to the City obtaining ownership and use of two spare ducts on each route segment; and
 - (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary steps to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.';
- (k) the following motion be referred to the Chief Administrative Officer for consideration and report thereon to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on August 1, 2000, through the Telecommunications Steering Committee:

Moved by Councillor Adams:

‘It is recommended that the City of Toronto enter into an agreement with Stream Intelligent Networks Corp. for a demonstration project of a fibre optic build employing sewer robot technology, based upon the confidential communication dated May 5, 2000, from the company, subject to an agreement being reached which is acceptable to the Executive Lead on Telecommunications, the City Solicitor, the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, the Chief of Police, the Fire Chief and the General Manager, Toronto Ambulance.’; and

- (l) the following motion be referred to the Executive Lead on Telecommunications for consideration and report thereon to the next meeting of the Telecommunications Steering Committee:

Moved by Councillor Moscoe:

‘That the Clause be amended by adding to Recommendation No. (2) embodied in the joint report dated June 16, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer and the Executive Lead on Telecommunications, the sentence “As an interim measure, to limit the number of road cuts and until such time as the City has developed a Rights-of-Way Management Plan, the City shall require, as a condition of the issuance of a road cut permit, all below grade users of the public road allowance to install spare conduit to the specifications of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, at no cost to the City.”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“Rights-of-Way Management:

- (2) City staff should be directed to prepare a Rights-of-Way (ROW) Management Plan to include at a minimum:
 - (i) estimates of demand for ROW access as a result of telecommunications growth; and
 - (ii) strategies, including co-operative strategies with the telecommunications companies themselves, to minimize the need to install fibre optics by digging in roads, including formal examination of options for installation in the rights-of-ways of other organizations, subway tunnels, abandoned water and gas mains and active sewers;

As an interim measure, to limit the number of road cuts and until such time as the City has developed a Rights-of-Way Management Plan, the City shall require, as a condition of the issuance of a road cut permit, all below grade users of the public road allowance to install spare conduit to the specifications of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, at no cost to the City.” ’ ”

City Council, at the in-camera portion of its meeting held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000, also issued confidential instructions to staff, such instructions to remain confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that they relate to the security of property interests of the municipality.

Clause No. 5 - “Cinram Fibre Cable System (Scarborough Malvern)”.

The Clause was amended to provide that Recommendation No. (1)(c) embodied in the report dated June 1, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, be approved on an interim basis, viz.:

“(c) resultant revenues credited to the Transportation Services, District Four (4) revenue account;”;

and that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Executive Lead on Telecommunications be requested to submit a joint report to the Telecommunications Steering Committee on the most appropriate allocation of revenues from Municipal Access Agreements and similar agreements that would be in the best interests of the City.”

Clause No. 6 - “Toronto Port Authority - Legal Advice”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the joint confidential report dated July 4, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer and the City Solicitor, be adopted, such report to remain confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it contains information which is subject to Solicitor/Client privilege, save and except the following recommendation embodied therein:

‘It is recommended that Council issue a shareholder’s directive pursuant to Section 108 of the Ontario Business Corporations Act directing the Toronto Economic Development Corporation (TEDCO) to execute, with the City, an agreement with the Toronto Port Authority, for the purpose of extending the limitation period by which the Toronto Port Authority would otherwise be required to commence legal proceedings against the City and TEDCO in respect of an Agreement of Purchase and Sale dated July 18, 1994, until July 31, 2001.’”

Clause No. 9 - “Transition Funding for a Unified Business Application System for the Transportation Services Division”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to submit a report to the Budget Advisory Committee, for consideration with the 2001 Operating Budget of the Transportation Services Division, highlighting the additional savings to the Division, as outlined in this report.”

Clause No. 12 - “Criteria for Property Tax Exemption Under Section 3(16) of the Assessment Act - Request for Tax Exemption for 260 Adelaide Street East, Toronto’s First Post Office, and Request for Tax Exemption for 78-80 Gerrard Street East, Gallery Arcturus”.

The Clause was amended by amending Recommendation No. (6) of the Assessment and Tax Policy Task Force to read as follows:

“(6) the City Clerk be instructed to inform Toronto’s First Post Office, the Gallery Arcturus, and the Ontario Property Assessment Corporation of Council’s decision with respect to Recommendations Nos. (2), (3), (4) and (5), above;”.

Clause No. 13 - “Exempting Non-Profit Housing from Planning Application Fees, Building Permit Fees and Parkland Dedication Requirements”.

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) deleting from Recommendation No. (1) of the Planning and Transportation Committee, embodied in the confidential communication dated June 14, 2000, from the City Clerk, the words “subject to ensuring that the fees, levies, and charges forgiven therein be transferred by the City back to the appropriate departments”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(1) Option 1(a) of Recommendation No. (1) of the joint confidential report (May 31, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and the City Solicitor, be adopted;” and

- (2) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to submit a bi-annual report to City Council, through the Planning and Transportation Committee, providing a detailed account of the fees that have been exempted and the number of units that have been built and occupied under this policy.”

Clause No. 17 - "New Telephone Charges to Councillors' Offices".

Council adopted the following recommendation:

"It is recommended that:

- (a) Recommendations Nos. (1), (2) and (4) embodied in the report dated July 4, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, be adopted, subject to amending Recommendation No. (2) by inserting, after the words 'City Hall offices', the words 'and constituency offices', so that such recommendations shall now read as follows:
 - '(1) the telephone budget for the Councillors' City Hall offices, based on an allocation of \$3,000.00 per year, per Councillor's office, be transferred from the Corporate Information and Technology account to the Council General account;
 - (2) all telephone expenditures incurred in Councillors' City Hall offices and constituency offices be charged to the Council General account; and
 - (4) the City Clerk be requested to report further to the Budget Advisory Committee, if necessary, during the 2001 budget review process.'; and
- (b) Recommendation No. (3) embodied in the report dated July 4, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, be referred to the Budget Advisory Committee for consideration as part of the 2001 Operating Budget process, viz.:
 - '(3) effective January 1, 2001, any telephone costs over and above the \$3,000.00 allocated for each Councillor's City Hall office be charged to the individual Councillor's global budget;.'

Clause No. 19 - "December 31, 1999, Operating Budget Variance Report".

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that:

- (1) the following reports be submitted to the Policy and Finance Committee at such time as the June 2000 variance report is submitted to the Committee:

- (a) **Solid Waste Management:**

The Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee providing two separate schedules of all corporate charges imposed on programs and other charge backs paid by programs across the City with details of the 1999 actual and the 2000 budget;

(b) **Outstanding Issues Between Parks and Recreation and Facilities and Real Estate Divisions:**

The City Auditor be requested to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee:

- (i) on the nature, background and circumstances of the issues between Parks and Recreation and the Facilities and Real Estate Divisions that have resulted in the transfer of expenditures of \$1,984.2 thousand subsequent to the reporting of the final actual expenditures as part of the year 2000 budget process;
- (ii) containing recommendations on how this issue may be resolved, in consultation with the programs involved; and
- (iii) on the potential for a similar transfer of expenditures in year 2000 and the resulting impact on the respective budgets;

(c) **Fleet Management Services:**

The City Auditor be requested to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee providing a comparison of the gross and net Fleet budgets for 1997 (pre-amalgamation composite), 1998 and 1999, to verify and demonstrate how the Fleet budget had been previously reduced by \$4.0 million; and

(d) **Interest on Tax Refunds and Computer Leasing Costs:**

The Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee on the circumstances behind the unbudgeted expenditures in 1999 of \$1.7 million on Tax Refunds and \$1.4 million for computer leasing costs, the reasons why these expenditures remain unbudgeted for year 2000 and the potential for incurring these expenditures in year 2000; and

- (2) the City Auditor be requested to ascertain the extent to which the financial management reporting needs of different City programs are adequately met by the City's SAP financial system and report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee in January 2001."

Clause No. 22 - "Other Items Considered by the Committee".

The Clause was received as information, subject to striking out and referring Item (a), entitled "Accord Between the City of Toronto and the Greater Toronto Airports Authority", embodied therein, back to the Policy and Finance Committee for further consideration at its meeting scheduled to be held on July 20, 2000.

REPORT NO. 13 OF THE WORKS COMMITTEE

Clause No. 5 - “Delegation of Authority for Temporary Street Closings for Construction and Street Event Purposes”.

The Clause was amended in accordance with the report dated June 28, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, embodying the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the Recommendations of Clause No. 5 of Report No. 13 of the Works Committee be replaced with the following recommendations:

- (1) that authority be delegated to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to close temporarily any highway or portion of a highway under the City’s jurisdiction during the construction, repair or improvement of such highway or portion of highway or of any works under, over, along, across or upon such highway or a portion of highway, where such construction, repair or improvement is initiated by the City of Toronto, or a utility company with statutory rights or a Municipal Access Agreement allowing occupancy of the highway;
- (2) that authority be delegated to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to close temporarily any highway or portion of a highway under the City’s jurisdiction for a period up to and including 30 days during the construction, repair or improvement of such highway or portion of highway or of any works under, over, along, across or upon such highway or portion of highway where such construction, repair or improvement is privately initiated, other than by a utility company as set out in Recommendation No. (1) above. This delegated authority does not extend to the F. G. Gardiner Expressway, the Don Valley Parkway, the W. R. Allen Road, Black Creek Drive, or Highway 27, closures which must be reported to Council for approval;
- (3) that authority be delegated to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to close temporarily to vehicular traffic any highway or portion of a highway under the City’s jurisdiction for a period of not more than four (4) consecutive days for social, recreational, community, athletic or cinematographic purpose, or combination of such purposes. This delegated authority does not extend to the F. G. Gardiner Expressway, the Don Valley Parkway, the W. R. Allen Road, Black Creek Drive, or Highway 27, closures which must be reported to Council for approval;
- (4) that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be required to notify the Ward Councillor of temporary street closings, and if so requested by the Councillor, such street closings be brought to the appropriate Community Council or Standing Committee for consideration; and
- (5) that the appropriate City Officials be requested to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary Bills.”

Clause No. 6 - “Illuminated Municipal Numbers on Commercial, Industrial and Multi-Residential Properties”.

Consideration of this Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on August 1, 2000.

Clause No. 9 - “Resurfacing of Toronto Roads in District 4, Contract No. 00D4-100RD, Tender Call No. 9-2000 (Scarborough Bluffs, Scarborough Wexford and Scarborough Civic Centre)”.

The Clause was struck out and referred back to the Works Committee for further consideration at its next meeting scheduled to be held on July 12, 2000; and the Manager, Fair Wage and Labour Trades Office, was requested, in consultation with the City Auditor, to review the contract and determine whether the contractor is in violation of the Fair Wage Policy of the City of Toronto and submit a report thereon to the Works Committee.

Clause No. 11 - “Basement Flooding at 192 Prescott Avenue”.

The Clause was received.

REPORT NO. 7 OF THE EAST YORK COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Clause No 7 - “Intersection Reconstruction, O’Connor Drive and Glenwood Crescent”.

The Clause was amended in accordance with the report dated June 28, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, embodying the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the reference in Point No. 1 of the Draft By-law submitted to Council with Clause No. 7 of Report No. 7 of The East York Community Council, to ‘Drawing No. 421F-5638’ be replaced with ‘Drawing No. P-1036-40B’, to facilitate the minor intersection design refinements described in this report;
- (2) the Draft By-law, as amended, be enacted; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

REPORT NO. 7 OF THE ETOBICOKE COMMUNITY COUNCIL

- Clause No. 6** - **“Proposed Renaming of Kipling Avenue, South of Lake Shore Boulevard West (Lakeshore-Queensway)”**.

Consideration of this Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on August 1, 2000.

REPORT NO. 8 OF THE NORTH YORK COMMUNITY COUNCIL

- Clause No. 34** - **“Ontario Municipal Board Hearing – Committee of Adjustment, Application No. A058/OONY – Hassan A. Ibrahim – 397 Hillcrest Avenue – North York Centre”**.

The Clause was amended by adding to the recommendation of the North York Community Council the words “subject to there being a below grade garage”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“The North York Community Council recommends the adoption of the following Resolution by Councillor Filion, North York Centre, subject to there being a below grade garage.”.

REPORT NO. 7 OF THE SCARBOROUGH COMMUNITY COUNCIL

- Clause No. 4** - **“Proposed Turn Prohibition at Private Driveway at 2511 Markham Road, North Side of Finch Avenue (Ward 18 - Scarborough Malvern)”**.

The Clause was struck out and referred back to the Scarborough Community Council for further consideration and the hearing of deputations.

- Clause No. 8** - **“Preliminary Report, Application to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law, Files Nos. SC-P20000005 and SC-Z20000012, Trusthouse 88 Inc., North Side of Ellesmere Road, Progress Employment District/Scarborough City Centre (Ward 15 - Scarborough City Centre)”**.

Consideration of this Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on August 1, 2000.

- Clause No. 13** - **“City-Initiated Official Plan Amendment and Various Zoning By-law Amendment Applications and Draft Plan of Subdivision Applications in the Morningside Heights and Upper Rouge Communities (Ward 18 - Scarborough Malvern)”**.

Consideration of this Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on August 1, 2000.

REPORT NO. 10 OF THE TORONTO COMMUNITY COUNCIL

- Clause No. 1** - **“Tree Removal - 4 Glen Edyth Drive (Midtown)”**.

Consideration of this Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on August 1, 2000.

REPORT NO. 11 OF THE TORONTO COMMUNITY COUNCIL

- Clause No. 3** - **“Draft By-law - Removal of the Holding Symbol (H) from the Zoning of the West Half of 401 Front Street West (Downtown)”**.

Council adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the report dated June 1, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, as embodied in the Clause, be adopted.”

- Clause No. 12** - **“Angled Commercial Boulevard Parking on Euclid Avenue Flank of 533 College Street (Trinity-Niagara)”**.

Council adopted the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that:

- (a) Recommendations Nos. (2)(b), (c) and (d) embodied in the report dated June 5, 2000, from the Manager, Right-of-Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, be adopted, viz.:
- (2) City Council approve the application for angled commercial boulevard parking and the increase of the number of parking spaces on the Euclid Avenue flank of 533 College Street, notwithstanding that the 0.91 metre setback cannot be provided and subject to:
 - (b) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code;

- (c) the applicant installing planters and two steel posts as indicated on the attached sketch (Attachment No. 1); and
 - (d) the applicant paying for the installation of additional ramping to service the proposed parking configuration, and the removal of any obsolete ramping.’; and
- (b) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to ensure, if possible, that landscaping is undertaken at this location.”

Clause No. 30 - “Installation of Two Chilled Water Pipe Routes Linking 50 Sussex Avenue to 651 Spadina Avenue (Downtown)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the University of Toronto be requested to submit to the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, commencing in September 2000, an annual, comprehensive development and construction plan containing timelines.”

Clause No. 47 - “Requests for Endorsement of Events for Liquor Licensing Purposes”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that City Council advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it is aware of the request by Ho Shim Bistro, 2352 Yonge Street, Main Floor, Toronto, Ontario, M4P 2E6, for a temporary extension of their liquor licence in conjunction with the Celebrate Toronto Street Festival 2000 for the following dates and times, and has no objection to such request:

- (a) Friday, July 7, 2000, from 8:30 p.m. to 11:00 p.m.;
- (b) Saturday, July 8, 2000, from 11:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m.; and
- (c) Sunday, July 9, 2000, from 11:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.”

Clause No. 63 - “Pinch Points on Robert Street (Downtown)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (a) the report dated June 28, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

'It is recommended that:

- (1) approval be given to narrow the pavement at selected locations on Robert Street, from Harbord Street to Russell Street, for traffic calming purposes, as described below:

“The narrowing of the pavement from a width of 6.4 metres to a width ranging from 5.0 metres to 6.4 metres on the west side of ROBERT STREET, from Harbord Street to a point 15.0 metres south of Harbord Street; and from a point 9 metres north of Russell Street to a point 9 metres south of Russell Street, generally as shown on the attached prints of Drawings Nos. 421F-5746 and 421F-5747, dated June 2000.”;

and
 - (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.’; and
- (b) the hearing of depositions, as required by law prior to City Council enacting a by-law to authorize the work, be conducted at a meeting of the Toronto Community Council to be held in September 2000.”

Clause No. 65 - “Installation of Speed Humps - Poplar Plains Road, from Boulton Drive (North Intersection) to St. Clair Avenue West (Midtown)”.

The Clause was amended by rescinding the following action taken by the Toronto Community Council:

“The Toronto Community Council reports, for the information of Council, having requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Toronto Community Council on the feasibility of installing speed humps at the extreme south end of Poplar Plains Road.”

Clause No. 66 - “Traffic Safety on Queens Quay West and the Construction of a Lay-by (Downtown)”.

Council adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the report dated June 28, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

'It is recommended that:

- (1) approval be given to alter the north curb line of Queens Quay West, from a point 100 metres west of Lower Spadina Avenue to a point 29 metres further west, fronting Premises Nos. 460/470/480 Queens Quay West, for the purpose of providing off-street loading facilities, as described below:

“The widening of the north section (westbound lanes) of QUEENS QUAY WEST, from a point 100 metres west of Lower Spadina Avenue to a point 29 metres further west, from a width of 5.15 metres to a width varying between 5.15 metres and 7.65 metres, by the construction of a lay-by on the north side of Queens Quay West, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. Q-147-SK, dated June 22, 2000;”;

- (2) prior to the construction of the lay-by noted in Recommendation No. (1), the owners of Premises Nos. 460/470/480 Queens Quay West enter into an agreement with the City of Toronto to provide for a 1.5 metre wide right-of-way on their property to accommodate a continuous linkage of the public sidewalk around the lay-by;
- (3) approval be given to alter the north curb line of Queens Quay West, west of Portland Street, fronting Premises No. 500 Queens Quay West, for the purpose of providing off-street loading facilities, as described below:

“The widening of the north section (westbound lanes) of QUEENS QUAY WEST, from a point 133 metres west of Portland Street to a point 28 metres further west, from a width of 5.85 metres to a width varying between 4.85 metres and 7.35 metres, by the construction of a lay-by on the north side of Queens Quay West, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. Q-145-SK, dated June 22, 2000;”;

- (4) approval be given to alter the west curb line of Lower Spadina Avenue, between Queens Quay West and Lake Shore Boulevard West, flanking Premises Nos. 460/470/480 Lower Spadina Avenue, for the purpose of providing off-street loading facilities, as described below:

“The widening of the west section (southbound lanes) of LOWER SPADINA AVENUE, from a point 12 metres north of Queens Quay West to a point 50 metres further north, from a width of 7.1 metres to a width varying between 7.1 metres and 9.1 metres, by the construction of a lay-by on the west side of Lower Spadina Avenue and the alteration of the existing concrete median/TTC passenger loading platform, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. SK-2256, dated June 2000;”;

- (5) the City Solicitor and City Clerk be requested to commence the statutory advertising process of the draft by-laws to authorize the highway alterations noted in Recommendations Nos. (1), (3) and (4) such that ads are placed the weeks of July 10, July 17, July 24 and July 31, 2000, to enable the hearing of depositions on these matters at the September 7, 2000 Toronto Community Council special meeting; and

- (6) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.’ ”

Clause No. 69 - **“Various Modifications – Lower Jarvis Street and Lake Shore Boulevard East; Lower Jarvis Street, East Side, Between Lake Shore Boulevard East and The Esplanade - 45-77 Lower Jarvis Street; and Lower Jarvis Street, Between The Esplanade and Front Street West (Downtown)”**.

The Clause was amended to provide that the cost of the entrance way into the parking garage be co-shared between the City and the developer, i.e. the City of Toronto will pay \$10,000.00, and the Developer will pay \$10,000.00.

Clause No. 71 - **“Traffic and Parking Regulations - New Streets in the Greenwood Subdivision (East Toronto)”**.

The Clause was struck out and referred back to the Toronto Community Council for further consideration; and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor were requested to submit the joint report requested in the Clause to the next meeting of the Toronto Community Council scheduled to be held on July 18, 2000.

Clause No. 72 - **“Toronto Port Authority - Docking Fee”**.

The Clause was amended by deleting from Recommendation No. (3) of the Toronto Community Council, the words “charged towards”, and inserting in lieu thereof the words “deducted from”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

- “(3) the cost to the City as a result of the Authority’s fee increase be deducted from the annual \$2.8 million City subsidy to the Authority;”.

REPORT NO. 7 OF THE YORK COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Clause No. 1 - **“3671 Dundas Street West (Humbercrest Loblaws), Appeal to the Ontario Municipal Board – Site Plan Approval, Redevelopment of Grocery Store Parking Lot, Ward 27, York Humber”**.

Council adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the following Recommendations Nos. (3) and (5) embodied in the confidential report dated June 19, 2000, from the City Solicitor, be adopted, the balance of such report to remain confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it contains information pertaining to potential litigation:

- '(3) the City Solicitor be instructed to attend at the Ontario Municipal Board hearing in support of the recommendations of the Director, Community Planning, West District, in his report dated April 17, 2000; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.' ”

Clause No. 8 - **“Westmount Avenue between Cloverlawn Avenue and Rogers Road – Speed Hump Re-Survey Results, Ward 28, York Eglinton”.**

The Clause was struck out and referred back to the York Community Council for further consideration.

REPORT NO. 3 OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - **“Toronto Harbour Commissioners - Financial Review”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) a copy of this Clause and any future reports in this regard be forwarded to the Federal Minister of Transport for his information, and the Minister be requested to investigate any matters that relate to federal appointees on the Toronto Harbour Commission/Toronto Port Authority;
- (2) City Council authorize and encourage the City Auditor to continue his investigation into the matters reported;
- (3) the City Auditor be requested to:
 - (a) further investigate the details of these expenditures and attempt to recover those expenses that were untoward;
 - (b) submit a report to the Audit Committee providing more details respecting the expenditures outlined in his report, particularly regarding the large expenditure on hockey tickets, and how these hockey tickets were used;
 - (c) fully document the political donations and report thereon to the Audit Committee;
 - (d) determine whether the 1998/1999 reports of the Toronto Harbour Commission on remuneration of Council appointees and employees were consistent with the reports in the previous three-year period and report thereon to the Audit Committee; and

- (e) continue to work with senior staff, in order to determine those actions available to prevent any similar problems from emerging in the future and/or to recover any inappropriately expended funds;
- (4) based on the City Auditor's report on the Toronto Harbour Commission and the recent action of the Toronto Port Authority regarding Docking Fees, the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the City Auditor be requested to submit a joint report to the Policy and Finance Committee on an appropriate subsidy level for the 1999 and 2000 Operating and Capital Budgets for the Toronto Harbour Commission/Toronto Port Authority;
- (5) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, in consultation with the City Solicitor and the City Clerk, be requested to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee outlining a policy for reimbursement to Councillors for expenses arising from their positions on agencies, boards, commissions and other Special Purpose Bodies;
- (6) the City Solicitor and the Executive Director of Human Resources be requested to submit a joint report to the Audit Committee on whether employment contracts with senior officials of the City's departments, agencies, boards and commissions, should include a requirement that an employee must co-operate with any investigation by the City Auditor of the financial affairs of the relevant City departments, agencies, boards and commissions while that official was employed there; and
- (7) the report dated June 28, 2000, from the City Auditor, embodying the following recommendation, be adopted:

'It is recommended that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to review the budget submissions of the Toronto Harbour Commissioners for the years ended December 31, 1998, and December 31, 1999, and recover those actual expenditures incurred by the Toronto Harbour Commissioners which are not part of these budget submissions.' "

City Council, at the in-camera portion of its meeting held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000, also issued confidential instructions to staff, such instructions to remain confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, having regard that they relate to personal matters about an identifiable individual.

Clause No. 2 - "Cash Control Review – Parks and Recreation Division, East and West Districts".

Consideration of this Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on August 1, 2000.

NOTICES OF MOTIONS APPEARING UNDER ITEM I

(1) **Moved by:** Councillor Ootes

Seconded by: Councillor Adams

“BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Executive Director of Human Resources be requested to submit a report to the Administration Committee, during the next term of Council, on the implications of having a corporate-wide policy restricting the hiring of relatives.”

Disposition: *The Motion was referred to the Administration Committee.*

(2) **Moved by:** Councillor Moscoe

Seconded by: Councillor Adams

“WHEREAS every employee of the City of Toronto, including unionized, non-unionized, management staff, Councillors’ staff and the Chief Administrative Officer, have had their salaries increased for 1998, 1999 and 2000, except Members of Council; and

WHEREAS it seems unlikely that, on the eve of an election, City Council will be able to seek the same increases for its Members; and

WHEREAS Council Members have not had an increase for nine years; and

WHEREAS the provincial government is presently reviewing the salaries of Members of the Legislature; and

WHEREAS City Council, at its meeting held on February 29, March 1 and 2, 2000, in adopting, as amended, Clause No. 1 of Report No. 6 of The Administration Committee, headed ‘Collective Bargaining With the Canadian Union of Public Employees, Local 79’, requested the Executive Director of Human Resources to develop a process to determine remuneration for Members of Council, such remuneration to take effect for the new term of Council, and report thereon to the Administration Committee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 1 of Report No. 6 of The Administration Committee, headed ‘Collective Bargaining With the Canadian Union of Public Employees, Local 79’, be re-opened for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to salaries of Members of Council;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Council peg the salaries of Members of Toronto Council to those of the Members of the Ontario Legislature, and Toronto Council salaries be automatically adjusted proportionally to those of the Ontario Legislature whenever they are adjusted retroactively to the effective dates of any adjustments to the salaries of MPPs.”

Disposition: Council did not re-open Clause No. 1 of Report No. 6 of The Administration Committee, headed “Collective Bargaining With the Canadian Union of Public Employees, Local 79”, for further consideration.

NOTICES OF MOTIONS APPEARING UNDER ITEM J

(1) **Moved by: Councillor Miller**

Seconded by: Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski

“**WHEREAS** City Council at its meeting held on December 14, 15, and 16, 1999, adopted, without amendment, Clause No. 18 of Report No. 15 of The Toronto Community Council, headed ‘Operation of Boulevard Café – Axis Gallery and Grill – McMurray Street Flank of 3048 Dundas Street West (High Park)’; and

WHEREAS, in adopting this Clause, Council approved the continuation of the licence of the Boulevard Café – Axis Gallery and Grill – McMurray Street Flank of 3058 Dundas Street West, with the same terms and conditions as previously approved, i.e. an 11:00 p.m. closing - 7 days a week; and

WHEREAS the applicant wishes to extend the closing time to 1:00 a.m., on a trial basis; and

WHEREAS there have been no complaints from residents;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 18 of Report No. 15 of The Toronto Community Council, headed ‘Operation of Boulevard Café – Axis Gallery and Grill – McMurray Street Flank of 3048 Dundas Street West (High Park)’, be re-opened for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the operation hours of the boulevard café;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Council approve an extension to the operation for the boulevard café to 1:00 a.m., Thursday, Friday and Saturday.”

Disposition: Council re-opened Clause No. 18 of Report No. 15 of The Toronto Community Council, headed “Operation of Boulevard Café – Axis Gallery and Grill – McMurray Street Flank of 3048 Dundas Street West (High Park)”, for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the operation hours of the boulevard café, and adopted the balance of the Motion, without amendment.

(2) **Moved by:** **Councillor Johnston**

Seconded by: **Councillor Miller**

“**WHEREAS** City Council, at its meeting held on February 29, March 1 and 2, 2000, adopted a Notice of Motion regarding the ‘True Blue Campaign’ of the Toronto Police Association; and

WHEREAS in adopting the Motion, without amendment, Council requested the City Solicitor to report directly to Council on further legal developments in the ‘True Blue’ matter, only when such developments occur; and

WHEREAS the City Solicitor has prepared the attached report dated June 22, 2000;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council give consideration to the aforementioned report dated June 22, 2000, from the City Solicitor, and that such report be received, for information.”

Disposition: Consideration of the Motion was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on August 1, 2000.

(3) **Moved by:** **Councillor Bossons**

Seconded by: **Councillor Adams**

“**WHEREAS** Olifas Marketing Group (OMG) is under contract to the City of Toronto to supply waste receptacles to most parts of the Toronto Community, except Midtown; and

WHEREAS the City of Toronto receives a monthly fee for each OMG receptacle, which increases in 2003 and again in 2005 and also receives 10 percent of all revenue from advertising on these receptacles; and

WHEREAS OMG pays for the cost of cleaning, repairing and replacing its waste receptacles; and

WHEREAS City Council, at its meeting held on June 9, 10 and 11, 1999, considered this issue in Clause No. 57 of Report No. 8 of The Toronto Community Council, headed ‘Provision of Litter Bins With Advertising’, and excepted the Midtown Ward from its recommendations; and

WHEREAS the Forest Hill BIA has requested installation of OMG waste receptacles in its business area;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, within the next 30 days, the City of Toronto offer to amend its contract with OMG to include the area of the Forest Hill BIA, in the Midtown Ward, in the Toronto Community, in the contract, for the same prices and under the same conditions as for the rest of the Toronto Community.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, subject to adding thereto the following new Operative Paragraph:*

“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the following motion be referred to the Toronto Community Council for consideration:

Moved by: Councillor Adams

Seconded by: Councillor Rae

‘That Motion J(3) be amended:

(1) *by adding thereto the following new Recital:*

“WHEREAS the Bloor-Yorkville Business Improvement Area has asked to be excluded from the OMG litter bin program;”; and

(2) *to provide that the appropriate City staff be authorized to amend the contract with OMG to include the entire Midtown Ward, excluding the Bloor-Yorkville Business Improvement Area, for litter bin replacements, under the same conditions and prices of the remainder of the City of Toronto.’ ”*

(4) **Moved by: Councillor Moscoe**

Seconded by: Councillor King

“WHEREAS many North York Councillors seem to have lost interest in the Community Council; and

WHEREAS several North York Councillors register their attendance in the morning and disappear for the rest of the day; and

WHEREAS the North York Community Council has difficulty maintaining a quorum;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the quorum on the North York Community Council be reduced from eight to five members or Councillor Howard Moscoe and Councillor Joan King, whichever group should happen to appear.”

Disposition: *Having regard that a motion to waive Notice did not carry, the foregoing Motion was not introduced.*

(5) **Moved by:** **Councillor Miller**

Seconded by: **Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski**

“**WHEREAS** at its meeting held on October 26 and 27, 1999, City Council enacted By-law No. 741-1999 (‘the By-law’) to permit the erection and use of four (4) semi-detached houses; and

WHEREAS provision for the construction of certain restricted projections, including front and rear platforms, was inadvertently left out of the by-law; and

WHEREAS these projections have always been an integral part of the proposal approved by Council at its October 26 and 27, 1999 meeting;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council adopt the report dated June 22, 2000, from the City Solicitor, and that authority be granted to introduce the necessary Bill in Council.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and, in so doing, Council adopted the report dated June 22, 2000, from the City Solicitor, embodying the following recommendation:*

“It is recommended that the draft by-law attached to the report dated June 22, 2000, from the City Solicitor, be approved and that authority be granted to introduce the necessary Bill in Council, substantially in the form of the draft by-law, to give effect thereto.”

(6) **Moved by:** **Councillor McConnell**

Seconded by: **Councillor Jones**

“**WHEREAS** the School Tax Sub-Committee met on June 22, 2000, and considered the attached joint report dated June 20, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, entitled ‘Payments to Toronto District School Board for Space Used for Recreation Programs’, and the communication dated June 15, 2000, from the City Clerk (Community Services Committee), entitled ‘Fees for Community Use of Schools’; and

WHEREAS the School Tax Sub-Committee in its communication dated June 22, 2000, recommends that City Council:

- (1) request the Chair of the School Tax Sub-Committee, the Children’s Advocate, the Mayor or his designate, and interested Councillors and representatives of Community groups to meet with Gail Nyberg, Chair of the Toronto District School Board, and Rose Andrachuk, Chair of the Toronto District Catholic School Board, to

arrange a joint delegation to the Minister of Education respecting the school funding formula; and, in so doing, defer consideration of the joint report (June 20, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting payment to the Toronto District School Board for space used for recreation programs, until the October meeting of City Council, pending the result of the foregoing meeting;

(2) endorse the recommendations of the Children and Youth Action Committee, viz.:

- '(a) that the Toronto District School Board be requested to defer decision-making on fees for community use of space for one year;
- (b) that the Toronto District School Board be requested to hold in-depth consultation with community groups on the impact of fee and service reductions; and
- (c) that the Minister of Education be requested to amend the school funding formula, since it results in reduced access to School Board space for programs and services for children and youth.';

(3) endorse the recommendation of the Community Services Committee, viz.:

'That the Toronto District School Board and the Toronto Catholic District School Board be requested to co-sponsor, with the City of Toronto, a consultation process with community groups on the impacts of fee and service reductions; and further, that Provincial officials be invited to participate in such community consultations.'; and

(4) direct the appropriate staff to advise community groups that appeared before the School Tax Sub-Committee, on the matter of community use of schools, of the upcoming meetings; and

WHEREAS the Budget Advisory Committee and the Policy and Finance Committee will not meet again prior to the meeting of City Council to be held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000; and

WHEREAS the School Tax Sub-Committee directed its Chair to submit a Notice of Motion, in order that the recommendations from the School Tax Sub-Committee, respecting the payments to the Toronto District School Board for space used for recreation programs and fees for community use of schools, can be considered by City Council at its meeting held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council give consideration to the aforementioned recommendations of the School Tax Sub-Committee, and that such recommendations be adopted."

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, subject to:*

(1) *amending Recommendation No. (3) of the School Tax Sub-Committee, as embodied in the second Recital, by inserting after the words “service reductions”, the words “and the impact of not replacing playgrounds that have been removed as the result of not meeting the new CSA standards”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:*

“(3) endorse the recommendation of the Community Services Committee, viz.:

‘That the Toronto District School Board and the Toronto Catholic District School Board be requested to co-sponsor, with the City of Toronto, a consultation process with community groups on the impacts of fee and service reductions and the impact of not replacing playgrounds that have been removed as the result of not meeting the new CSA standards; and further, that Provincial officials be invited to participate in such community consultations.’ ”; and

(2) *adding thereto the following new Operative Paragraph:*

“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Council adopt the following motion:

Moved by: Councillor Chow

‘WHEREAS 112 playgrounds have been listed as unsafe in the Toronto District School Board sites. These sites include both school playground equipment and equipment owned by child care centres co-located in School Board sites; and

WHEREAS the School Boards have indicated that there are no funds in the funding formula to replace these playground structures;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT appropriate City staff be requested to meet with School Board officials regarding the following matters, and submit a report thereon, as soon as possible, to the Community Services Committee, through either the Children and Youth Action Committee or the School Tax Sub-Committee, depending upon which Committee is meeting in the summer:

- (a) *a planned approach for replacement of the playground equipment; and*
- (b) *the negative impact that not replacing playground equipment would have on the local neighbourhood children and the child care centres.’ ”*

(7) **Moved by:** Councillor Holyday

Seconded by: Councillor Lindsay Luby

“**WHEREAS** the Etobicoke Sports Hall of Fame deposited monies in trust, in the approximate sum of \$150,000.00, with the former City of Etobicoke; and

WHEREAS the Etobicoke Sports Hall of Fame does not receive and has never received any City funding whatsoever; and

WHEREAS these monies remain in trust with the City of Toronto; and

WHEREAS the Etobicoke Sports Hall of Fame has incorporated and now wishes to obtain these funds from the City of Toronto, in order to place them in an account specifically designated for the Etobicoke Sports Hall of Fame;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the funds presently in this trust be turned over to the Etobicoke Sports Hall of Fame, forthwith, as they are required to meet financial commitments.”

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.

(8) **Moved by:** Councillor Li Preti

Seconded by: Councillor Valenti

“**WHEREAS** a request for a special occasion permit to hold a community event for the 2000 Tennis Masters Series – Canada – Canada’s International Men’s Tennis Championships to be held at the National Tennis Centre at York University on July 29 to August 6, 2000, was received by the City Clerk, North York Civic Centre, on June 30, 2000; and

WHEREAS the North York Community Council will be meeting on July 18, 2000, and reporting to the City Council meeting to be held on August 1, 2 and 3, 2000, and, as such, approval cannot be given to coincide with the dates of the community event; and

WHEREAS the time sensitive nature of this matter requires City Council’s consideration at its meeting to be held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council, for liquor licensing purposes, declare the 2000 Tennis Masters Series – Canada – Canada’s International Men’s Tennis Championships event to be an event of municipal and/or community significance; that it has no objection to the event taking place, and that the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario be so advised.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(9) **Moved by:** **Councillor Chow**

Seconded by: **Councillor Rae**

“**WHEREAS** by its adoption of Clause No. 24 of Report No. 8 of The Toronto Community Council, headed ‘Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning - 100, 120 and 130 Adelaide Street West, 12 and 22 Sheppard Street and 85 and 111 Richmond Street West (Downtown)’, at its meeting on May 9, 10 and 11, 2000, City Council approved an Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning in relation to 100, 120 and 130 Adelaide Street West, 12 and 22 Sheppard Street and 85 and 111 Richmond Street West; and

WHEREAS the report required the enactment of a by-law to amend By-law No. 514-75, which designates No. 100 Adelaide Street West as a historical building, prior to the enactment of the Official Plan amendment and re-zoning by-law; and

WHEREAS the amendment to By-law No. 514-75 was inadvertently included in the report and is unnecessary, due to the fact that the matters referred to in the report as requiring the amendment to By-law No. 514-75 are more appropriately dealt with in the Heritage Easement Agreements which Council directed be entered into with respect to 100 Adelaide Street West;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 24 of Report No. 8 of The Toronto Community Council, be re-opened for further consideration, for the limited purpose of deleting the requirement in that report, and in the draft Official Plan Amendment by-law and draft site specific zoning by-law amendment attached thereto, that the designation By-law No. 514-75 for 100 Adelaide Street West be amended;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT reference to the requirement in that report, and in the draft Official Plan Amendment by-law and draft site specific zoning by-law amendment attached thereto, that the designation By-law No. 514-75 for 100 Adelaide Street West be amended, be deleted.”

Disposition: *Council re-opened Clause No. 24 of Report No. 8 of The Toronto Community Council, for further consideration, for the limited purpose of deleting the requirement in that report, and in the draft Official Plan Amendment by-law and draft site specific zoning by-law amendment attached thereto, that the designation By-law No. 514-75 for 100 Adelaide Street West be amended, and adopted the balance of the Motion, without amendment.*

(10) **Moved by:** Mayor Lastman

Seconded by: Councillor Ootes

“**WHEREAS** Councillor Olivia Chow has resigned from the Toronto Police Services Board, effective June 23, 2000; and

WHEREAS the City Clerk has prepared the attached report dated July 4, 2000, in this regard;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council consider the attached report dated July 4, 2000, from the City Clerk, and that such report be received, for information.”

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.

(11) **Moved by:** Councillor Disero

Seconded by: Councillor Palacio

“**WHEREAS** every year, for the past 19 years, Mr. Joe Foti has held a summer barbecue which hosted over 2,000 people; and

WHEREAS Mr. Nick Nicolaides has taken over this event and will be holding a tribute to Mr. Joe Foti; and

WHEREAS, in order to accommodate the large number of people, Mr. Nicolaides has requested that Luverne Avenue be closed on Saturday, July 29, 2000, from 2:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council approve the closure of Luverne Avenue on Saturday, July 29, 2000, from 2:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m., and that City staff be instructed to take whatever action is necessary to achieve this.”

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.

(12) **Moved by:** Councillor Chow

Seconded by: Councillor Pantalone

“**WHEREAS** Mark Logan of JQ Production Services Limited has requested the removal of two trees to facilitate the filming of ‘John Q’, a hospital drama and major feature film, starring Denzel Washington; and

WHEREAS one of the trees is dead and the other tree is healthy, and the applicant is willing to replace these trees with trees that are seven years of age, twelve feet high and with a bushy head six feet in diameter; and

WHEREAS the application for the tree removal missed the deadline for submitting a report to the Toronto City Council; and

WHEREAS the plan is to film in Toronto in August and approval of the tree removal must be done at the City Council meeting in July;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City of Toronto agree to the removal of a tree at 80 Grosvenor Street on the condition that:

- (1) the applicant pay for all associated costs, including tree value, removal and replacement costs, a total of \$872.92;
- (2) the applicant provide a Letter of Credit of \$1,745.84 to cover any maintenance costs; and
- (3) the applicant provide additional funds to an inner city school for purposes including urban reforestation, such program to be developed with the Tree Advocate and the Ward Councillor.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(13) **Moved by:** **Councillor Miller**

Seconded by: **Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski**

“**WHEREAS** an application has been submitted by the owners of 28 Woodland Heights to build a fence on the City road allowance; and

WHEREAS the staff report dated June 8, 2000, was not placed on the Toronto Community Council agenda due to a misunderstanding of the relevant Procedural By-law; and

WHEREAS the only objector to this application has now provided his written consent to the application; and

WHEREAS the matter is time sensitive because contractors were retained for July 2000, after the matter was settled and the owners had been advised that the matter would be tabled for approval at this meeting of Council;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council consider the attached report dated June 8, 2000, from the Manager, Right-of-Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Council amend the aforementioned report by adding to the end of Recommendation No. (1) embodied therein, the words ‘and, provided that an agreement satisfactory in form and content to the City Solicitor can be drafted and executed by the owners of 28 Woodland Heights, 79 Ellis Avenue, and the City of Toronto, approval be given to construct the fence on the unopened public laneway leased to the owner of the adjacent property at 79 Ellis Avenue which extends to the rear of 28 Woodland Heights’, and that such report, as amended, be adopted.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and, in so doing, Council adopted the report dated June 8, 2000, from the Manager, Right-of-Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, embodying the following recommendations, as amended:*

“It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council approve the installation of the proposed fence provided that the fence is not constructed within any portion of the unopened public laneway leased to owner of the adjacent property at 79 Ellis Avenue which extends to the rear of 28 Woodland Heights, and, provided that an agreement satisfactory in form and content to the City Solicitor can be drafted and executed by the owners of 28 Woodland Heights, 79 Ellis Avenue, and the City of Toronto, approval be given to construct the fence on the unopened public laneway leased to the owner of the adjacent property at 79 Ellis Avenue which extends to the rear of 28 Woodland Heights; and*
- (2) the property owner enter into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, as described under Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.”*

(14) **Moved by:** Councillor Gardner

Seconded by: Councillor Berardinetti

“WHEREAS the Toronto Police Service has been operating a satellite dish on the roof top of its headquarters at 40 College Street to receive high security signals from various satellites; and

WHEREAS Bay-College Holdings Inc. has constructed a condominium tower immediately adjacent to 40 College Street which will block the signals to the satellite dish; and

WHEREAS Bay-College Holdings Inc. has permitted the dish to be relocated onto its roof top, prior to a licence agreement being executed; and

WHEREAS the licence agreement has a term of 25 years and requires Council approval; and

WHEREAS it is necessary to register an executed licence prior to the registration of the individual condominium units and the registration of these condominium units is imminent;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council give consideration to the attached report dated June 27, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, and that such report be adopted.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, subject to:*

- (1) *amending the Operative Paragraph by adding thereto the words “subject to adding to Recommendation No. (1) embodied therein, the words ‘subject to the Toronto Police Service paying for the costs associated with this licence, namely \$58,000.00 inclusive of GST, and consultants fees of \$6,394.99.’ ”,*

so that the recommendations embodied in this report shall now read as follows:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) *the City enter into a licence agreement with Bay-College Holdings Inc. for police communication equipment on the roof of 801 Bay Street, in accordance with the terms and conditions set out in the body of this report, subject to the Toronto Police Service paying for the costs associated with this licence, namely \$58,000.00 inclusive of GST, and consultants fees of \$6,394.99;*
 - (2) *the City Solicitor be authorized and directed to take the appropriate action to complete this transaction on behalf of the City of Toronto; and*
 - (3) *the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”; and*
- (2) *adding thereto the following new Operative Paragraph:*

“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with the City Solicitor and the Commissioner of Corporate Services, be requested to submit a report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on available mechanisms by which the City of Toronto can recoup costs associated with the relocation of City, and City agency, board and commission radio transmission facilities required as a result of development and/or building permit approvals,

such report to include a process to be followed by the City of Toronto and its agencies, boards and commissions, for consideration of the telecommunications implications of proposed developments.”

(15) **Moved by:** Mayor Lastman

Seconded by: Councillor Jakobek

“**WHEREAS** the Greater Toronto Airports Authority (GTAA) has written to the City of Toronto seeking its support for changes to the GTAA’s by-laws in order to eliminate a large scale turnover of Directors in 2001 and 2002 and to create an orderly and staged plan of succession in Board membership; and

WHEREAS this objective can be achieved by implementing a one-time adjustment to the term lengths and limits of some of the current Board members; and

WHEREAS on March 2, 1999, the Toronto Board of Trade, through City Council, nominated Mr. Gerry Meinzer to a four-year term, ending in 2003; and

WHEREAS the GTAA’s request for this by-law amendment has, to date, received the support of the Toronto Board of Trade, the Province of Ontario, the Regional Municipalities of Peel, York and Durham, with similar consideration moving forward in the Region of Halton; and

WHEREAS it is in the interests of the City of Toronto to ensure a timely appointment of the City of Toronto representative on the GTAA;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City of Toronto support the GTAA’s request for a by-law amendment, as outlined in the letter dated June 29, 2000, from the Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, Greater Toronto Airports Authority, and in particular, waive the current eight-year cap to permit Mr. Gerry Meinzer to serve as a Director of the GTAA until 2003, and thereby complete the four-year term to which he was nominated.”

Disposition: *The Motion was referred to the Nominating Committee.*

(16) **Moved by:** Councillor Miller

Seconded by: Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski

“**WHEREAS** the Department of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism’s Parks and Recreation Capital Works Program contains funding for the reconstruction of the High Park Outdoor Pool, in the amount of \$3.0 million, with \$200,000.00 approved in the 2000 Capital Budget for design and site investigation work and the remaining \$2.8 million for the construction work to be considered for approval in 2001, pending the results of the investigative work (to replace the piping, pool basin, decks, circulation system and washroom refurbishment); and

WHEREAS during the preparation to ready the pool for this summer's opening, Parks and Recreation staff detected a number of significant leaks underneath the pool deck due to the corrosion and splitting of old piping, and hired a pool specialist to investigate the condition of the pool; and

WHEREAS the pool specialist noted that this emergency repair work, to be priced at a time and materials basis, would take four weeks to repair, not including the time needed to tender and award the work, which means that the pool will not be open this summer; and

WHEREAS there is no available budget to do this repair work and it would not be fiscally prudent to do this work and tear it out again next year when undertaking the pool replacement and renovation work in 2001; and

WHEREAS, if the funding for the pool renovation work is not advanced to Year 2000, this pool will not be ready for the summer of 2001, which will result in a significant impact on the community and the park; and

WHEREAS this request has been reviewed and concurred in by the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 1 of Report No. 1 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed '2000-2004 Capital Budget and Five Year-Capital Program', be re-opened for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the Economic Development, Culture and Tourism Department's Capital Budget – Redevelopment of High Park Outdoor Pool;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Council approve the project expenditure and debt financing of \$2.8 million in the Department of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism's Parks and Recreation Capital Works Program, with an increase to the 2000 cash flow of \$500,000.00 (for a total of \$700,000.00 for Year 2000) for the High Park Pool sub-project, with a balance of \$2.1 million for 2001, so that construction work can commence this fall and the pool can be opened in the summer of 2001."

Disposition: *Council re-opened Clause No. 1 of Report No. 1 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed "2000-2004 Capital Budget and Five Year-Capital Program", for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the Economic Development, Culture and Tourism Department's Capital Budget - Redevelopment of High Park Outdoor Pool, and referred the balance of the Motion to the Policy and Finance Committee, for consideration at its next regular meeting scheduled to be held on July 20, 2000; and requested the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer to submit a joint report in this regard to the Committee for consideration therewith.*

(17) **Moved by:** Councillor Berardinetti

Seconded by: Councillor Holyday

“**WHEREAS** the Chief Administrative Officer has identified a personnel matter that must be resolved as soon as possible, and has prepared a confidential report dated June 26, 2000, in this regard;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council consider the aforementioned confidential report dated June 26, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer and that such confidential report be adopted.”

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and in so doing, Council adopted the confidential report dated June 26, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer, such report to remain confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, having regard that it contains personal information with respect to an identifiable individual, save and except the following recommendation embodied therein:

“It is recommended that Paragraph D, Section 1 of By-law No. 1996-0234 (former City of Toronto), be repealed.”

(18) **Moved by:** Councillor King

Seconded by: Councillor Shiner

“**WHEREAS** Metrodome Properties Inc. filed applications with the City for an Official Plan Amendment, rezoning and plan of subdivision for the property located at 5365 Leslie Street; and

WHEREAS the owner referred the applications to the Ontario Municipal Board with a hearing scheduled to commence on July 17, 2000; and

WHEREAS this proposal has been subject to a high level of community involvement and the community has met several times with the owner’s representatives to achieve a development which will complement the existing community and reflect the concerns of the community; and

WHEREAS the owner and the community achieved a resolution of the outstanding issues at a meeting held on Tuesday evening, July 4, 2000; and

WHEREAS City Council needs to consider the settlement proposal and provide instructions to the City Solicitor for the upcoming Ontario Municipal Board hearing;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council consider and adopt the recommendations of the attached confidential report dated July 5, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, with respect to this matter.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and, in so doing, Council adopted the confidential report dated July 5, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, embodying the following recommendations, such report now public in its entirety:*

“It is recommended that:

- (1) Council endorse the proposed settlement set out in this report;*
- (2) the City Solicitor be instructed to support the settlement proposal set out in this report at the Ontario Municipal Board hearing; and*
- (3) staff be authorized to make any unsubstantive, technical, stylistic, or format changes as may be required to give effect to the resolution.”*

(19) **Moved by:** Councillor Mihevc

Seconded by: Councillor Nunziata

“WHEREAS a request for a special occasion permit to hold a community event for the Jamaican Independence Day to be held at Keelesdale Park on July 29, 2000, was received by the Ward Councillor on July 5, 2000; and

WHEREAS the York Community Council will be meeting on July 18, 2000, and reporting to the City Council meeting to be held on August 1, 2 and 3, 2000, and, as such, approval cannot be given to coincide with the dates of the community event; and

WHEREAS the time sensitive nature of this matter requires City Council’s consideration at its meeting to be held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council, for liquor licensing purposes, declare the Jamaican Independence Day celebration to be an event of municipal and/or community significance; that it has no objection to the event taking place, and that the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario be so advised.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(20) **Moved by:** **Councillor McConnell**

Seconded by: **Councillor Kinahan**

“**WHEREAS** City Council, at its meeting held on June 7, 8 and 9, 2000, adopted, as amended, Clause No. 9 of Report No. 5 of The Planning and Transportation Committee, headed ‘Harmonization of the Fence By-law’; and

WHEREAS Council amended this Clause by amending the draft harmonized fence by-law appended to the report dated March 1, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services; and

WHEREAS, in adopting this Clause, Council authorized the introduction of the necessary Bill in Council to give effect to the draft by-law appended to the Commissioner’s report, as amended; and

WHEREAS the Bill that was introduced and subsequently enacted as By-law No. 394-2000 failed, through inadvertence, to reflect the amendments made to the draft by-law by Council;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT By-law No. 394-2000 be repealed and that a new Bill be introduced that reflects the amendments made to the draft by-law by Council at its meeting held on June 7, 8 and 9, 2000.”

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.

(21) **Moved by:** **Councillor Ashton**

Seconded by: **Councillor Feldman**

“**WHEREAS** City Council at its meeting held on May 9, 10 and 11, 2000, adopted, as amended, Clause No. 10 of Report No. 5 of The Economic Development and Parks Committee, headed ‘Draft By-law - City Street Trees (All Wards)’; and

WHEREAS, in adopting this Clause, Council authorized the repeal of former Metropolitan Toronto By-law No. 211-74, in its entirety, rather than only that section relating to trees, being Section 10; and

WHEREAS the Clause did not provide for the repeal of former Scarborough By-law No. 20975, relating to trees; and

WHEREAS Council subsequently enacted By-law No. 388-2000; and

WHEREAS it is necessary to amend By-law No. 388-2000 in order to reinstate former Metropolitan Toronto By-law No. 211-74, with the exception of Section 10 relating to trees, and to also repeal former Scarborough By-law No. 20975;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 10 of Report No. 5 of The Economic Development and Parks Committee be re-opened for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the repealing provisions contained therein;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT former Metropolitan Toronto By-law No. 211-74 be reinstated, with the exception of Section 10 contained therein, and former City of Scarborough By-law No. 20975 be repealed;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the City Solicitor be authorized to introduce the necessary bill in Council to amend By-law No. 388-2000 accordingly.”

Disposition: Council re-opened Clause No. 10 of Report No. 5 of The Economic Development and Parks Committee, headed “Draft By-law - City Street Trees (All Wards)”, for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the repealing provisions contained therein, and adopted the balance of the Motion, without amendment.

(22) **Moved by: Councillor Chow**

Seconded by: Councillor Shiner

“**WHEREAS** on December 16 and 17, 1998, and November 23, 24 and 25, 1999, Toronto City Council directed that the Toronto Police Service instigate a no-charge policy to conduct criminal reference checks on prospective new City employees and volunteers whose prospective duties would involve working directly with children and/or vulnerable adults; and

WHEREAS Toronto City Council approved as part of the 2000 Toronto Police Services Budget ‘to charge fees of \$45.00 plus GST per check for full- or part-time employees and a no fee rate for criminal reference checks on full- or part-time volunteers at non-municipally funded agencies effective April 3, 2000.’ (As approved by the Toronto Police Services Board on February 24, 2000); and

WHEREAS the intention of the Toronto Police Services Board and the Budget Advisory Committee is to charge employees for non-municipally funded agencies and not charge for employees of municipal and municipally-funded agencies; and

WHEREAS the budget motion did not clearly define what would be fees for criminal checks for municipal employees that work with children, youth and vulnerable adults from Community Services, Parks and Recreation and Public Health Departments; and

WHEREAS a large number of employees must be hired this summer to serve residents of Toronto and this clarification must be done at this Council meeting; and

WHEREAS the City Solicitor has indicated that a memo must be signed reflecting the requirements of privacy legislation (the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act), and that it cannot be signed until a specific policy is established for municipal employees;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 1 of Report No. 5 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed 'City of Toronto 2000 Recommended Operating Budget', be re-opened for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to that portion of the Toronto Police Services 2000 Operating Budget regarding the charging of fees for Criminal Reference Checks for all applicants for municipal employment and all municipally-funded agencies;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT no fees be charged for Criminal Reference Checks for all applicants for municipal employment and all municipally-funded agencies;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Toronto Police Services Board be asked to clarify its policy in this regard."

Disposition: Council re-opened Clause No. 1 of Report No. 5 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed "City of Toronto 2000 Recommended Operating Budget", for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to that portion of the Toronto Police Service 2000 Operating Budget regarding the charging of fees for Criminal Reference Checks, and adopted the balance of the Motion, without amendment.

CONDOLENCE MOTION:

Moved by: Councillor Holyday

Seconded by: Councillors Brown, Giansante, Jones, Kinahan, Lindsay Luby, O'Brien and Sinclair

"WHEREAS Mrs. Flora Voisey passed away on Friday, June 23, 2000, following a brief illness, in her 92nd year; and

WHEREAS Mrs. Voisey was a long-time resident of the southern Etobicoke community of Long Branch, having lived in her home for 61 years before moving to Beechwood Place Retirement Home in 1999; and

WHEREAS Mrs. Voisey was an executive member of the Lakefront Home Owners Association for over 30 years; and

WHEREAS Mrs. Voisey was a long-time member of the Etobicoke Historical Society; and

WHEREAS Mrs. Voisey was an avid Council follower, regularly attending Etobicoke Council meetings relating to issues dealing with the Etobicoke waterfront; and

WHEREAS Mrs. Voisey was recognized by both the federal and provincial governments through being awarded medals in acknowledgement of her commitment to her community; and

WHEREAS Mrs. Voisey, who was affectionately known as ‘The Lady of the Lake’, was one of Etobicoke’s treasures and a wonderful person who will be sorely missed by her many friends and family;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Clerk be directed to convey, on behalf of members of City Council, our sincere sympathy to the family of Mrs. Flora Voisey.”

Disposition: ***The Motion was adopted unanimously.***

Toronto, Ontario
July 13, 2000

City Clerk