

CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENTS

Certified to be a true copy of amendments to:

Report No. 15 of The Administration Committee,
Report No. 16 of The Administration Committee,
Report No. 7 of The Community Services Committee,
Report No. 8 of The Economic Development and Parks Committee,
Report No. 8 of The Planning and Transportation Committee,
Report No. 10 of The Policy and Finance Committee,
Report No. 15 of The Works Committee,
Joint Report No. 2 of The Policy and Finance Committee and The Works Committee,
Report No. 8 of The East York Community Council,
Report No. 8 of The Etobicoke Community Council,
Report No. 9 of The Etobicoke Community Council,
Report No. 9 of The North York Community Council,
Report No. 8 of The Scarborough Community Council,
Report No. 9 of The Scarborough Community Council,
Report No. 12 of The Toronto Community Council,
Report No. 13 of The Toronto Community Council,
Report No. 8 of The York Community Council,
Report No. 4 of The Audit Committee,
Report No. 6 of The Board of Health,
Report No. 3 of The Nominating Committee, and
Report No. 5 of The Striking Committee,

and Notices of Motions, as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on August 1, 2, 3 and 4, 2000.

REPORT NO. 15 OF THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - "Establishing New Community Councils in the City of Toronto - All Wards".

Council re-opened Clause No. 1 of Report No. 14 of The Administration Committee, headed "Establishing New Community Councils in the City of Toronto - All Wards", for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the number of Community Councils and subsequently adopted the following Motion:

Moved by: Councillor Jakobek

Seconded by: Mayor Lastman

“BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council now establish six (6) Community Councils.”

Council deferred consideration of the balance of Clause No. 1 of Report No. 15 of the Administration Committee, pertaining to the Community Council boundaries, to its next meeting scheduled to be held on October 3, 2000.

Council also adopted the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the City Clerk be requested to submit a report to the next meeting of the Administration Committee scheduled to be held on September 12, 2000, on interim names for the new Community Councils and a process to establish permanent names; and
- (2) the Commissioner of Corporate Services, in conjunction with the City Clerk and other appropriate City staff, be requested to investigate potential meeting locations within each new Community Council jurisdiction and submit a report thereon to the Administration Committee for its meeting to be held on September 12, 2000, with recommended meeting locations and associated costs.”

Clause No. 2 - “Ethics Steering Committee, Recommended Terms of Reference”.

Consideration of this Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on October 3, 2000.

REPORT NO. 16 OF THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - “Approval of Funding for City Hall Council Chamber and Members Lounge Renovations as a Result of Reducing the Size of City Council”.

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) deleting Recommendation No. (2) of the Administration Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the following new Recommendation No. (2):

“(2) funds in the amount of \$7,714.00 to implement the signage program in connection with Option A for the Council Chamber be approved, and these funds be provided from the existing \$38.2 million Master Accommodation Plan (MAP) budget approved by City Council at its meeting held on December 14, 15 and 16, 1999, with the Commissioner of Corporate Services being requested to report back at a later time on the financial status of the MAP Project, along with a request for additional funds to cover change requests approved by the MAP Steering Committee for all items not previously covered in the scope of work for the MAP Project;” and

(2) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Corporate Services be requested to submit a report to the Administration Committee on the feasibility of establishing network connections in the Council Chamber for the computers of Members of Council and staff.”

Clause No. 2 - “Master Accommodation Plan - Surplus Toronto District School Board Administration Facilities”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

(1) the confidential report dated July 28, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, be adopted, such report to remain confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it contains information with respect to the acquisition of land, save and except the following recommendations embodied therein:

‘It is recommended that:

(a) the Commissioner of Corporate Services be directed to advise the Toronto District School Board that the City of Toronto may have an interest in its properties located at 150 Borough Drive and 5050 Yonge Street and that any interest will be confirmed at the October 3, 4 and 5, 2000, City Council meeting, and the Commissioner of Corporate Services will advise the TDSB with respect to the City’s interest thereafter; and

(b) the Commissioner of Corporate Services be directed to finalize the appraisals and submit to City Council for its approval a proposal for the purchase of the appropriate properties at the October 3, 4 and 5, 2000, City Council meeting.’; and

(2) the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to appoint a lead person representing City staff to:

- (a) co-ordinate all negotiations with the Toronto District School Board and the Toronto Catholic District School Board, which may include property purchases, use of school buildings, permit fees, school playgrounds and maintenance of school; and
- (b) report to the appropriate Committees, separately or comprehensively, as appropriate, on a regular basis.”

Clause No. 4 - “Use of Corporate Resources During an Election Year”.

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) adding to the end of Recommendation No. (1)(b) embodied in the report dated June 29, 2000, from the City Clerk, as amended by the Administration Committee, the words “and also, save and except if the flyer has been printed prior to the adoption of this recommendation”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(b) does not contain any photograph of the Member, save and except if it is now part, and has been part of the Member’s letterhead, and also, save and except if the flyer has been printed prior to the adoption of this recommendation;”;

- (2) deleting from Recommendation No. (5) embodied in the report dated June 29, 2000, from the City Clerk, as amended by the Administration Committee, the words “another Member of Council during the Term of Office”, and inserting in lieu thereof the words “registered candidates for municipal elections”, and adding to the end thereof the words “and that Minutes of City Council and Committee meetings be exempt from this policy”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(5) Members of Council may not print or distribute any material using City funds that makes reference to, or contains the names or photographs, or identifies registered candidates for municipal elections; except that Members of Council may send out joint communications within their wards with their ward mates, and that Minutes of City Council and Committee meetings be exempt from this policy”; and

- (3) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) with the approval of the City Clerk, notices regarding the Wilson Avenue Planning Study may be sent to residents by Councillor Maria Augimeri; and
- (2) the City Clerk be requested to submit a report to the first meeting of the Administration Committee during the next term of Council, on the establishment of a Members’ Services Committee to deal with this and other issues directly related to corporate services to Members of Council.”

Clause No. 5 - “Remuneration for Members of Council”.

Council adopted the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the report dated August 3, 2000, from Mayor Lastman, be adopted subject to amending Recommendation No. (2) embodied therein by deleting the words “rate of inflation”, and inserting in lieu thereof the words “Toronto Consumer Price Index”,

so that the recommendations embodied in such report shall now read as follows:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) the remuneration for Members of Toronto City Council include a 3 percent annual salary increase to be effective January 1st of 2001, 2002, and 2003;
 - (2) for each of the following years the annual salary increase be based on the Toronto Consumer Price Index, as determined by Statistics Canada; and
 - (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the decision of Council.’; and
- (2) Council reaffirm its previous requests of the Province of Ontario to eliminate the one-third tax free allowance for elected officials.”

Clause No. 6 - “Options for a Tenant Outreach Program for the 2000 Municipal Election”.

Consideration of this Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on October 3, 2000.

Clause No. 7 - “Inaugural Meeting”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the City Clerk be requested to submit a report to the meeting of the Administration Committee scheduled to be held on September 12, 2000, respecting the potential scheduling conflict between the meeting of the Board of Directors of the Federation of Canadian Municipalities and the inaugural meeting of City Council.”

Clause No. 10 - **“Development Proposals for 244 Units of Affordable Housing on Five City-Owned Sites (Ward 6 – North York Humber, Ward 8 – North York Spadina, Ward 19 – High Park, Ward 26 – East Toronto, Ward 28 – York Eglinton)”.**

The Clause was amended by inserting in Part (I) of the recommendations of the Administration Committee, the following new Recommendation No. (1), and renumbering the original recommendations accordingly:

“(1) amending Recommendation No. (1) by adding to the end thereof the words “or with non-profit tenant entities related to those not-for-profit groups that are satisfactory to the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services”, so that such Recommendation No. (1) shall now read as follows:

‘(1) for the purpose of securing affordable housing, the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be authorized to conclude negotiations authorized by Council and enter into 50 year less one day leases for the following five surplus City properties with the not-for-profit groups selected through a Request for Proposals (RFP) process, or with non-profit tenant entities related to those not-for-profit groups that are satisfactory to the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services.’ ”.

Clause No. 12 - **“Proposed Acquisition of CN Leaside Spur Line South from York Mills Road to Just North of Eglinton Avenue East, Toronto (Ward 11 - Don Parkway)”.**

The Clause was stuck out and referred back to the Administration Committee for further consideration and the hearing of depositions.

Clause No. 13 - **“Purchase of 2117 Bloor Street West (Ward 19 - High Park)”.**

Council adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that City Council not approve the purchase of 2117 Bloor Street West.”

Clause No. 19 - **“75 Commissioners Street - Lease Provisions”.**

Consideration of this Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on October 3, 2000.

Clause No. 21 - **“Employee Participation in Election Campaigns”.**

The Clause was amended to provide that the restrictions in the policy respecting employee participation in municipal election campaigns, as they pertain to staff of the Secretariat Unit, apply only to those staff in the Clerk’s Division who are working on the municipal election.

Clause No. 28 - "Funding Required for Fleet Management Services".

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is recommended that:

- (1) the report dated July 27, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, embodying the following recommendation, be adopted:

'It is recommended that this report be referred to the 2001 Operating Budget process for consideration.'; and

- (2) the Commissioner of Corporate Services, in consultation with the Director, Fleet Management Services, be requested to submit a report to the September 12, 2000, meeting of the Administration Committee, on the additional resources which would be required to:

- (1) complete by the end of 2000, the transition plan for fleet services to reduce vehicle emissions; and
- (2) offset the additional capital costs associated with the purchase of 10 hybrid vehicles to replace 10 gasoline powered vehicles."

Clause No. 30 - "Amendments to the Council Procedural By-law".

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is recommended that:

- (1) the report dated July 24, 2000, from the City Clerk, be adopted, subject to amending Recommendation No. (1) embodied therein, by adding to the end thereof the following:

'(j) by adding the following new subsection 17.3:

17.3 requests for time specific consideration of items shall be dealt with immediately after Council determines which items on the Agenda are to be held for debate.',

so that the recommendations embodied in such report shall now read as follows:

'It is recommended that:

- (1) the Council Procedural By-law be amended as follows:

- (a) subsection 17(3) be amended by adding after the words “make any such alteration of the order of the business” the words and punctuation “(whether or not the time for considering such business has previously been determined by Council)”, so as to read:

17. (3) When an alteration of the order of business is desired, Council, without debate, may by a majority vote of the Members present make any such alteration of the order of the business (whether or not the time for considering such business has previously been determined by Council) but shall not in so doing delete any portion of the business which has been set out in the Agenda;

- (b) Section 40 be amended by substituting for the words “the question” the words “an entire clause”, so as to read:

40. A motion to defer or a motion to refer an entire clause is only debatable in accordance with section 41 and shall be put to a vote immediately, and such motion shall, until its disposition, take precedence over any non-procedural motion or amendment;

- (c) subsection 46(3) be amended by adding the words “A motion for reconsideration is not debatable, and”, so as to read:

46. (3) A motion for reconsideration is not debatable, and no discussion of the main question shall be allowed until the motion for reconsideration is carried;

- (d) Section 57 be amended by substituting “Section 55” for the phrase “Section 54”, to correct a typographical error;

- (e) Section 90 be amended by replacing the word “six” with the word “four”, and deleting the text following the words “community councils”, so as to read:

There shall be four community councils **;

**** City Council, by its subsequent amendment of Clause No. 1 of Report No. 15 of The Administration Committee, headed “Establishing New Community Councils in the City of Toronto - All Wards”, established six Community Councils.**

- (f) Section 91 be amended by deleting numbered items (1) through (6), and that at such time as Council determines the names to be given to the Community Councils, the Council Procedural By-law be amended accordingly, without the need for further notice to be given;

- (g) subsections (2) through (6) of Section 95, pertaining to the membership of the Standing Committees, be amended by providing for a membership of eight (8) members in each case;
- (h) subsection 112(4), pertaining to the quorum of the East York Community Council, be deleted;
- (i) references to the words “Urban Environment and Development Committee” and “Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development” in subsections 27(4), 92(b) and 92.1(6) be replaced accordingly with the words “Planning and Transportation Committee” and “Commissioner of Urban Development Services”; and
- (j) by adding the following new subsection 17.3:

17.3 requests for time specific consideration of items shall be dealt with immediately after Council determines which items on the Agenda are to be held for debate;

- (2) Recommendations Nos. (1)(e) through (h) take effect as of December 1, 2000; and
 - (3) notice be given to amend the Council Procedural By-law in accordance with subsection 134(2) of the said By-law; and that authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary Bill in Council to give effect thereto.’; and
- (2) the City Clerk be requested to submit a report to the first meeting of the Administration Committee during the next term of Council on recommended changes to Council procedures which would serve to reduce the number of Notices of Motions submitted to meetings of Council.”

REPORT NO. 7 OF THE COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - “Establishment of Late Night Entertainment Event Protocol (Including Raves) and Co-ordinated Response to Inquest Recommendations into the Death of Allen Ho”.

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) deleting Recommendation (a) of the Community Services Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the following new Recommendation (a):

“(a) the adoption of the following Recommendations Nos. (2), (3) and (4) embodied in the report dated July 10, 2000 from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services:

- ‘(2) the Entertainment Event Protocol be extended to private property;
- (3) the Province of Ontario be requested to provide enabling legislation to create a large assembly by-law; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.’ ”;

(2) amending Recommendation (b)(1) of the Community Services Committee by striking out the words “and City Council”, and inserting in lieu thereof the words “and within the protocol approved by City Council”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(1) City Council authorize Exhibition Place to continue to hold Raves after concerns about security, Emergency Medical Services, and paid duty policing have been worked out to the satisfaction of Exhibition Place and within the protocol approved by City Council;”;

(3) striking out and referring the following Recommendation (c) of the Community Services Committee, back to the Community Services Committee for further consideration and report thereon to the meeting of Council scheduled to be held on October 3, 2000:

“(c) that City Council be requested to resubmit to the Province of Ontario for consideration and implementation, the suggestions prepared by the Municipal Licensing and Standards Division for provincial measures to strengthen municipal efforts to maintain safe communities and to deal with problem addresses, which were originally presented at a meeting co-ordinated by the Province on March 14, 2000 on Integrated Enforcement.”; and

(4) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (a) the Province of Ontario be requested to enact legislation allowing the City to inspect and close raves, and to charge organizers of raves if the requirements established by the Police and the City for raves on both public and private property are not met;
- (b) the provincial and federal governments be encouraged to apply the City of Toronto protocol to raves held on their properties;
- (c) the following recommendations of the Community Services Committee, embodied in the communication dated July 28, 2000, from the City Clerk, be adopted:

'The Community Services Committee recommends to Council:

- (1) the adoption of the joint report dated July 25, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services, embodying the following recommendations:

"It is recommended that:

- (1) the Entertainment Event Protocol (Appendix B) be amended to include the specific policies on Entertainment Events made by the Toronto Emergency Medical Services (Appendix B-1) and the Toronto Fire Services (Appendix B-2), and that these policies be approved by Council; and
- (2) the Community Services Committee's decision of July 13, 2000, (i.e., Recommendation (b)(1) contained in Clause No. 1 of Report No. 7 of The Community Services Committee), amending the recommendation of the Board of Governors of Exhibition Place respecting events on CNE property, be further amended to include that Toronto Fire Services' concerns also be worked out to the satisfaction of Exhibition Place and City Council, so that such recommendation reads as follows:

'City Council authorize Exhibition Place to continue to hold Raves after concerns about security, Emergency Medical Services, Fire Services, and paid duty policing have been worked out to the satisfaction of Exhibition Place and City Council' .';

- (2) the adoption of the recommendations of the Board of Health, embodied in the communication dated July 25, 2000, from the Secretary, Board of Health, subject to amending Recommendation No. (3) by adding thereto the words "and that this recommendation be referred to the 2001 Operating Budget process", so that such recommendations now reads as follows:

"It is recommended that:

- (1) the Ministry of Health and Long Term Care organize a network of public health units and boards of education throughout Ontario to develop educational strategies targeted at the drug use problems in the community including primary prevention and outreach to parents;

- (2) Toronto Public Health continue to support vehicles for peer-based interventions for promoting safe, healthy practices at raves, including the Toronto Raver Info Project and the Toronto Dance Safety Committee as outlined in the Protocol for the Operation of Safe Dance Events;
- (3) the Board of Health include rave health education as a priority for the Drug Abuse Prevention Grants Review Panel, with a contribution in 2001 from the City of Toronto Consolidated Grants Budget, Public Health Line, of \$20,000.00, and further contributions of funds from the promoters of each rave, based on the size of the rave, in lieu of the \$0.50 per ticket surtax suggested by the Inquest; and that this recommendation be referred to the 2001 Operating Budget process;
- (4) Toronto Public Health develop culturally appropriate print, radio, television, and Internet health promotion materials to promote safe, healthy practices at raves, in partnership with the Centre for Addictions and Mental Health and the private sector, as part of its ongoing substance abuse strategy;
- (5) the Ministry of Health and Long Term Care support and finance blood testing in drug overdose cases reported to emergency departments in order to add to the accuracy of reporting on the pattern of drug use in the community; and support hospital emergency departments to implement systems that would make it easier to extract information on drug overdoses from their records and make that information available to public health units;
- (6) this report be referred to City Council for consideration at its meeting of August 1, 2 and 3, 2000;
- (7) raves be permitted on City-owned property if they comply with:
 - (a) the applicable recommendations contained in this report;
 - (b) the protocol for the safe operation of a rave as approved in 1999 by City Council; and
 - (c) any further requirements that may be approved by City Council after consultation with the Toronto Dance Safety Committee;
- (8) City Council consider developing protocols for other similar kinds of events;

- (9) the Board of Health advocate to the Ministry of Education and Training to provide funding for the development of a substance use and abuse unit of study as part of the Grade 11 and 12 course profiles for the healthy living strand of the health and physical education curriculum; and
- (10) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to the foregoing.”;
- (3) the adoption of the recommendation of the Toronto Police Services Board, embodied in the report dated July 27, 2000, from the Chair, Toronto Police Services Board, viz.:
 - “It is recommended that the Community Services Committee recommend to Toronto City Council that it endorse the protocol, in the form attached as Appendix ‘A’ to this report, developed by the Toronto Police Service.”; and
- (4) that, through educational programs, individuals holding raves on private property be encouraged to follow the same protocols in use for raves held on public property.’;
- (d) the report dated July 31, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that, if Council lifts the prohibition for use of the property of the City or any of its agencies, boards and commissions for Late Night Entertainment events, then:

- (1) the process for approval be as described in Appendix A; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto;’,

subject to:

- (i) including in Appendix A, headed ‘Process for Application of the Entertainment Event Protocol’, attached thereto, the following additional instruction:

‘Historical practices since March 1998, among other factors, regarding paid duty emergency staff (Ambulance, Fire and Police) at City-owned venues will be used as a guideline for determining the number of paid duty emergency staff required at large entertainment events.’; and

- (ii) amending Appendix C, headed 'Clearances', attached thereto, to include a category, entitled 'Rationale', to explain why the number of Paid Duty Officers is being recommended, as well as Fire Inspectors and the number of staffed Ambulance units;
- (e) City Council support the recommendations of the Jury arising from the Coroner's Inquest into the death of Allen Ho;
- (f) City Council re-affirm its full endorsement of the Toronto Dance Safety Committee (TDSC) and the following groups and individuals of which it is comprised:
 - Toronto Ambulance Services;
 - Toronto Fire Services;
 - Toronto Police Services Board;
 - Central Drug Information Unit;
 - Municipal Licensing and Standards;
 - Toronto Dance Safety Committee;
 - Toronto Public Health;
 - Toronto Raver Info Project;
 - Party People Project;
 - Mayor's Office;
 - Councillor Chow's Office; and
 - Councillor Nunziata's Office;
- (g) the Toronto Dance Safety Committee continue to hold regular meetings, and the completed Appendices B and C of the rave application form be forwarded (subject to any restrictions imposed by the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act), to the TDSC for information only;
- (h) commencing August 1, 2000, the Mayor's office, interested Members of Council, appropriate City staff, representatives from the Toronto Police Service and the Toronto Board of Health, be requested to work with the renewed Toronto Dance Safety Committee to:
 - (a) follow up on all recommendations passed by City Council;
 - (b) ensure effective and equitable implementation of the City protocol regarding late night entertainment events; and
 - (c) report back to City Council, in six months' time, through the appropriate agency, board or commission and the Community Services Committee, on the implementation process, further developments and/or recommendations;
- (i) a member of City staff or a Member of Council, be appointed as the Co-Chair of the Toronto Dance Safety Committee;

- (j) with respect to the City's agencies, boards and commissions, City staff be requested to notify ward Councillors of any requests for permits and the terms thereof, prior to issuing a permit for events planned in their respective wards; the ward Councillor to respond within the protocol timeline;
- (k) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to:
 - (i) continuously review the protocol to ensure that it is working and report, on a quarterly basis, to the appropriate Committee, with respect thereto;
 - (ii) develop further criteria relating to the impact of rave events on the community, including such issues as parking, noise, and separation from residential property, prior to the consideration of a permit for any City park, arena, or community centre;
 - (iii) ensure, in conjunction with appropriate City officials, that owners and landlords of facilities keep clean/cold drinking water running for the duration of rave events;
 - (iv) submit to the Community Services Committee, in six months' time, an additional report which provides a detailed breakdown of each event, similar to the report provided to the Board of Governors of Exhibition Place, entitled 'Raves at Exhibition Place'; and
 - (v) submit a report to the Community Services Committee on how other urban centres, such as Montreal, Vancouver, and other European and North American Cities such as Detroit, handle raves in their jurisdictions, including the types of problems which have occurred;
- (l) the Director, Special Events Division, be requested to submit a report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee on the feasibility of the City of Toronto co-sponsoring a festival celebrating electronic music and, further, that City Council endorse the 'iDance Rally' on Nathan Phillips Square as an annual event;
- (m) a copy of this Clause be circulated to the unions representing emergency and medical services personnel and the Toronto Police Association;
- (n) the following motions be referred to the Commissioner of Urban Development Services with a request that she submit a report thereon to the Community Services Committee:

Moved by Councillor Cho:

'It is recommended that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to submit a report to the appropriate Committee, on a quarterly basis, providing information with respect to any known underground raves, in order to determine the correlation between open raves and underground raves.'; and

Moved by Councillor Davis:

‘That the Clause be amended by deleting from the section entitled “Advertising” embodied in Appendix D, entitled “Entertainment Event Protocol for City Property- Comprehensive Version (July 28, 2000)”, to the supplementary report dated July 31, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, the words “screen advertising”, and inserting in lieu thereof the words “screen all advertising, including printed and electronic formats”, so that that such section shall now read as follows:

“Advertising

Advertising for these events must not specifically or tacitly endorse or promote drug use. The relevant city agency, board, or commission reserves the right to screen all advertising, including printed and electronic formats. Public Health will provide advice as requested.” ’; and

- (o) the following motion be referred to the City Solicitor with a request that he submit a report thereon to the Community Services Committee:

Moved by Councillor Mammoliti:

‘That the Clause be amended to provide that:

- (1) only the promoters be held responsible for all liabilities on City-owned property during any rave events; and
- (2) any agreement made with promoters require that a bond be provided and that a standard liability clause, exempting the City from any liability, be included in the agreement.’ ”

Clause No. 3 - “Social Development Strategy Consultation Document”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that Councillor Maria Augimeri also be appointed to the steering committee to guide the design of the consultation process and the development of the final version of the Social Development Strategy.”

Clause No. 5 - “Effects of Permit Fees and User Fees on the Delivery of Services for Children and Youth and Access to Public Space”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) City Council respectfully request the Toronto District School Board, at its meeting scheduled to be held at the end of August, to defer the implementation of permit fees for use of schools as of September 1, 2000, to allow for the input of community stakeholders as part of the public meeting proposal; and
- (2) the City of Toronto support any community activities that facilitate such consultation.”

Clause No. 6 - “Children and Youth Action Committee - Changes to Membership”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) Ms. Sandra Morris be added to the Children and Youth Action Committee’s membership as an alternate member to represent the United Way of Greater Toronto, to replace Mr. Dan Clement; and
- (2) Ms. Ann Fitzpatrick be added to the Children and Youth Action Committee’s membership as an alternate member to represent the Early Years Action Group.”

REPORT NO. 8 OF THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PARKS COMMITTEE

Clause No. 2 - “City of Toronto Economic Development Strategy (All Wards)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, in consultation with the Executive Lead, Telecommunications, be requested to submit a report to the Telecommunications Steering Committee and the Economic Development and Parks Committee in September 2000, with recommendations respecting the inclusion in the Economic Development Strategy, of a priority action or actions regarding telecommunications infrastructure investment to increase economic opportunities.”

Clause No. 8 - “Proposed Lease of City Property Known as Lamport Stadium at 1155 King Street West, Toronto to the Toronto Soccer Association (Trinity-Niagara)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to:

- (1) provide a written assurance to CUPE Local 79, confirming that CUPE Local 79 jobs will be protected under any proposed leasing agreement; and
- (2) continue consultations with representatives of CUPE Local 79 in the implementation of the leasing agreement.”

Clause No. 9 - “Lifeguard Supervision at Various Beaches and the Overall Beach Lifeguard Operation (Lakeshore-Queensway, Scarborough Bluffs, High Park, Downtown, Don River, East Toronto)”.

The Clause was struck out and referred back to the Economic Development and Parks Committee for further consideration, together with the following motion:

Moved by Councillor Duguid:

‘It is recommended that the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, in consultation with the General Manager, Toronto Ambulance Service and the Chairman, Toronto Police Services Board, be requested to consider the viability of utilizing Toronto Ambulance to provide defibrillation and first aid instruction for the lifeguard program and report back through the Economic Development and Parks Committee if there are any concerns about this proposal.’

Clause No. 13 - “International and Non-Government Organizations: Importance for Toronto (All Wards)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the appropriate City staff be authorized to negotiate a five-year extension of the Host Agreement between the International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives and the City of Toronto, and the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to submit a report to the October 3, 2000, meeting of Council, through the appropriate Committee, on the terms of the Agreement.”

REPORT NO. 8 OF THE PLANNING AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - “New Official Plan - Directions Report, Toronto at the Crossroads: Shaping our Future”.

The Clause was amended in accordance with the report dated July 27, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, embodying the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that Council endorse the changes to the public consultation strategy outlined in this report.”

Clause No. 3 - “Options for Rat Control at Construction/Demolition Sites”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the provincial government be requested to grant to the City of Toronto, the powers of the former City of Toronto with respect to rat control at construction and demolition sites; and that the City Clerk be requested to forward to the Province of Ontario all relevant documentation in this regard.”

Clause No. 9 - “Toronto Catholic District School Board’s Proposals to Lease Schools Approved for Closure in Phase 1 (Seneca Heights - Ward 12, Scarborough Wexford - Ward 14, Trinity Niagara - Ward 20, Midtown - Ward 23, East Toronto - Ward 26)”.

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) striking out and referring that portion of the Clause pertaining to the Scarborough Community Council area, to the Scarborough Community Council for further consideration; and
- (2) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) the recommendation of the Policy and Finance Committee, embodied in the communication dated July 20, 2000, from the City Clerk, be adopted, viz.:

‘The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that the communication dated July 10, 2000, from the City Clerk, regarding the financial implications respecting the recommendations of the School Tax Sub-Committee, entitled “Toronto Catholic District School Board’s Proposal to Lease Schools for Closure in Phase 1 - Purchase of St. John Fisher School - 44 Kelvinway Drive”, be received.’; and

- (2) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to consult with the Toronto Catholic District School Board with respect to the introduction of recreational programs at St. John Fisher School and submit a report thereon to the Economic Development and Parks Committee.”

Clause No. 13 - “Section 37 of the Planning Act, Respecting Exchange of Increased Density or Height for Public Benefits: Proposed City-wide Implementation Framework”.

The Clause was amended:

- (1) by deleting from Recommendation No. (1) of the Planning and Transportation Committee, the words “50 percent for Section 37 purposes and 50 percent for the developer” and inserting in lieu thereof the words “30 percent for Section 37 purposes and 70 percent for the developer”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(1) the share of density benefits apportioned through the application of Section 37 be 30 percent for Section 37 purposes and 70 percent for the developer;”; and

- (2) in accordance with the joint report dated July 27, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, embodying the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the proposed revisions to the Implementation Framework for the use of Section 37 for new, purpose-built rental housing development, as outlined in Appendix 1, be adopted; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

REPORT NO. 10 OF THE POLICY AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - “Our Toronto Waterfront: Building Momentum, A Report to Council on the Proposal of the Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Task Force”.

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) amending Appendix 1 to the report dated July 17, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer, by:
 - (i) deleting from Recommendation No. (2) embodied therein, the words “that is consistent with” and inserting in lieu thereof the words “taking into consideration”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(2) City Council request senior staff to develop, in consultation with the appropriate City agencies, a new Official Plan and Zoning By-law for the Central Waterfront, taking into consideration the development concept put forward in the Task Force proposal;”;
 - (ii) adding to Recommendation No. (4)(a) embodied therein, the words “and residents of the City of Toronto”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(a) the waterfront governing body must be accountable to governments and residents of the City of Toronto;”; and

(iii) adding the following new principles to Recommendation No. (4) embodied therein:

“(f) the City of Toronto be assured of an independent and central role in the governing body; and

(g) the governing body shall be subject to the relevant Official Plan and Zoning policies;”;

(2) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that

(1) the Mayor be requested to facilitate discussions and the development of effective dialogue with the Toronto Port Authority and Members of Council, through the City’s representative on the Toronto Port Authority, Mr. Murray Chusid;

(2) the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to re-visit the issue of housing on public lands west of Bathurst Street, east of Jameson Avenue, and submit a report thereon through the Planning and Transportation Committee;

(3) the Chief Administrative Officer, in consultation with the appropriate City officials, in the preparation of further reports on ‘Our Toronto Waterfront’ and the new City of Toronto Official Plan, be requested to ensure that the following objectives are addressed for the waterfront in the Scarborough Community Council area:

(a) public access to the waterfront from adjacent communities is maximized;

(b) continuous pedestrian connections along the shoreline, including opportunities for walking trails and a boardwalk, are explored;

(c) economic development opportunities to further enhance recreational and tourism potential are investigated; and

(d) the environmental restoration and preservation of the east waterfront and Rouge River watershed are continued; and

(4) the following motion be referred to the Chief Administrative Officer for consideration:

Moved by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski:

‘It is recommended that the portion of the waterfront in the Western Beaches, west of Bathurst Street to the Humber River, continue to be a recreational area, without housing development, and further, that improved access in the Western Beaches, from the residential community to the beaches, be investigated.’ ”.

- Clause No. 2** - **“Implementation Strategy and Citizen Engagement Process to Establish a New Relationship with Ontario and Canada, and Legality of a Referendum on Charter City Status”.**

Consideration of this Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on October 3, 2000.

- Clause No. 3** - **“Draft Accord Between the City of Toronto and the Greater Toronto Airports Authority (All Wards)”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the following motion be referred to the Task Force to Review Noise Management and Air Pollution Issues at Lester B. Pearson International Airport:

Moved by Councillor Lindsay Luby:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) the Accord between the City of Toronto and the Greater Toronto Airports Authority be reviewed in 18 months; and
- (2) the Accord include a dispute resolution mechanism as contained in the Accords signed by the Region of Halton and the City of Brampton.’ ”

- Clause No. 4** - **“Council’s Strategic Plan - Part II”.**

The Clause was amended by inserting in Recommendation No. (5) embodied in the report dated July 10, 2000, from the Council Reference Group on the Strategic Plan after the word “Commissioners”, the words “and the Executive Lead, Telecommunications”, and after the words “Environmental Plan”, the words “Telecommunication Strategy”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

- “(5) the Chief Administrative Officer and the respective Commissioners, and the Executive Lead, Telecommunications, ensure that other City planning initiatives, including the Official Plan, Social Development Strategy, Economic Development Strategy, Environmental Plan, Telecommunications Strategy, Culture Plan and Fiscal Sustainability Plan are developed and implemented in a manner that is consistent with and aligns with Council’s City Directions; and”.

Clause No. 5 - "Toronto Port Authority - 2000 Operating Budget and 2000-2001 Capital Program".

Consideration of this Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on October 3, 2000.

Clause No. 7 - "2000 Vehicle and Equipment Replacement Program".

The Clause was amended by deleting from Appendix B, headed "Vehicles to be Replaced", as embodied in the joint report dated June 19, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Corporate Services, under the Service Area "Ambulance", the numbers "1" and "6" and inserting in lieu thereof the numbers "4" and "7" respectively, so that the number of vehicles to be replaced in the Services Area "Ambulance" now reads as follows:

Service Area	\$,000	Vehicle Type	Number
Ambulance	2,573.1	Automobile	4
		Light Trucks	7
		Ambulances	23

Clause No. 14 - "Investigation of Feasible Alternative Financing Options for Existing Program of Toronto Transit Commission Subway Cars".

The Clause was amended by adding to Recommendation No. (3) embodied in the report dated July 19, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, the words "including the indemnification agreements in order to facilitate the transition, which are acceptable to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, the City Solicitor, and the Chief General Manager, Toronto Transit Commission", so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

- "(3) the City's Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, in consultation with the Chief General Manager of the TTC, be authorized to negotiate and execute the necessary agreements that are required to enter into this financing arrangement, and to seek the necessary supporting agreements that are required for the transaction with the TTC, including the indemnification agreements in order to facilitate the transition, which are acceptable to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, the City Solicitor, and the Chief General Manager, Toronto Transit Commission;"

Clause No. 25 - "CNEA Contributions to the Parkland Acquisition Reserve Fund".

The Clause was amended by adding to Recommendation No. (3) embodied in the report dated July 12, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, the words "such contributions not to be made in years that the CNEA is in a deficit position", so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

- “(3) the funds received from the rental, for 2001 and beyond, be contributed to the Tree Advocacy Program, such contributions not to be made in years that the CNEA is in a deficit position;”.

Clause No. 26 - “Wayfinding Signage Program Exhibition Place”.

The Clause was amended by deleting Recommendation No. (2) embodied in the report dated June 30, 2000, from the General Manager and Chief Executive Officer, Board of Governors of Exhibition Place, and inserting in lieu thereof the following new Recommendation No. (2):

- “(2) City Council approve a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, as required to permit the construction of the three (3) Entrance Gate/Advertising sign structures described and depicted in this report, as amended by Application No. 00-174-184-00000ZR, and take all necessary action with respect thereto;”.

Clause No. 27 - “Proposals for the Ontario Government Building and Horticulture Building Located at Exhibition Place”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the report dated July 27, 2000, from the General Manager and Chief Executive Officer, Board of Governors of Exhibition Place, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council approve the proposal from the Liberty Entertainment Group (“Liberty”) for the long-term redevelopment of the Ontario Government Building (“OGB”) on the terms and conditions set forth in this report and such other terms and conditions as required by the General Manager and Chief Executive Officer and the City Solicitor with respect to this development project;
- (2) Exhibition Place officials be directed to negotiate any changes, amendments or modifications to the terms of the agreement as may, in the opinion of the General Manager and Chief Executive Officer and the City Solicitor, be in the interests of the Board of Governors of Exhibition Place and the City of Toronto; and
- (3) the appropriate Exhibition Place and City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.’ ”

Clause No. 35 - “Review of Food Safety Program Toronto Public Health”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the City Solicitor, the City Auditor and the Medical Officer of Health be requested to submit a joint report to the Board of Health on whether the City is protected in view of the peripatetic nature of health inspectors.”

Clause No. 36 - “Comprehensive Food Safety Report and Food Premises Disclosure System”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Medical Officer of Health be requested to submit a report to the Board of Health, the Planning and Transportation Committee and the Licensing Sub-Committee by September 2000, on amendments to Licensing By-law No. 20-85 relating to the phasing-in of food safety certification requirements and any other implementation issues pertaining to the food safety and disclosure system proposed in the June 19, 2000, report from the Medical Officer of Health.”

Clause No. 37 - “Purchase of Service Contracts”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Medical Officer of Health be requested to submit quarterly reports to the Policy and Finance Committee on the purchase of service contracts that have been awarded under the delegation of authority.”

Clause No. 40 - “Squeegee Working Youth Mobilization (SWYM) Contract Extension”.

The Clause was amended by striking out Recommendation No. (1) of the Policy and Finance Committee, and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

“It is recommended that the report dated June 27, 2000, from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services, be adopted;”.

Clause No. 42 - “Early Childhood Education, Development and Care Pilot Project”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the report dated July 26, 2000, from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) Council support the proposed action plan to include early childhood development services as part of the National Children’s Agenda;
- (2) Council communicate its support of the action plan for early childhood development services to the federal government, the Government of Ontario and the Federation of Canadian Municipalities; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.’ ”

Clause No. 46 - “Woodbine Park - Sponsorship of Festival Green Bandshell - Supplementary Report (East Toronto)”.

Council adopted the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the joint report dated July 31, 2000, from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) a revised report bandshell project and related grounds work at Woodbine Park at a cost of \$720,000.00 be included as a priority in the Department’s base 2000 Capital Budget variance;
- (2) that this \$720,000.00 capital cost plus interest equivalent to the City’s borrowing costs be recovered over a 10-year period from corporate sponsors by charging the 6 major events an appropriate per event fee;
- (3) \$10,000.00 for a portable stage and various set-up expenses be approved for the September 4, 2000, opening day of Woodbine Park;
- (4) funds of \$50,000.00 for the first stage of the restoration of the trees and related environment in Kew Gardens Park be earmarked a priority within the Department's Tree Advocate Program in its 2001 Capital Budget Submission; and
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.’;

- (2) in order to accommodate the foregoing Recommendation No. (1) above, Council re-open that portion of the 2000 Capital Budget pertaining to the Economic Development, Culture and Tourism Department, and amend such portion by adding \$720,000.00 in expenditures and \$720,000.00 in debt financing; and further that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be directed to charge the Parks and Recreation Division for the debt charges related to the Festival Green Bandshell project at Woodbine Park, with the fees to be recovered from the major event-holders at the new Bandshell in order to offset the costs of the debt charges;
- (3) the Director of Special Events be requested to assist in organizing the Woodbine Park opening;
- (4) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be directed to issue a permit for this year's Beachfest, on Labour Day at Kew Gardens, sponsored by CFRB and The Mix, having regard the event's planning is past the point of no return; and
- (5) the following motion be referred to the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism for consideration:

Moved by Councillor Bussin:

'It is recommended that the joint report dated July 31, 2000, from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and the Chief Financial Officer, be amended by adding to the second paragraph embodied in Section (a)(vi), headed "Acceptable Programming at Kew Gardens", the words "however, low amplified music may be permitted, subject to sound monitoring", after the words "be permitted at Kew Gardens", so that such paragraph shall now read as follows:

"With respect to the Beaches International Jazz Festival, it is anticipated that as the festival continues to evolve the main venue will be at Woodbine Park in 2001. However, Kew Gardens will continue to be made available as a venue on the condition that amplified music will not be permitted at Kew Gardens, however, low amplified music may be permitted, subject to sound monitoring. This condition addresses the concerns of local residents with respect to noise. It will also have the effect of reducing the magnitude and scale of the event at this venue while at the same time maintaining a significant and direct linkage to the Beaches Street Festival." ' '

Clause No. 54 - "Funding Issue - Road and Trail Safety Ambassadors".

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) adding to Recommendation No. (2) of the Toronto Cycling Committee, the words "and further, should such funding not be forthcoming from Human Resources Development Canada, that it be provided from the Corporate Contingency Account", so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(2) funds in the amount of \$75,000.00 be provided from appropriate sources, in order for this program to continue, having regard to anticipated funding from Human Resources and Development Canada not being confirmed, and further, should such funding not be forthcoming from Human Resources Development Canada, that it be provided from the Corporate Contingency Account.”; and

(2) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to submit a report to Council, through the Toronto Cycling Committee and the Planning and Transportation Committee, indicating the eventual decision of Human Resources Development Canada on this matter.”

Clause No. 55 - “Revised 2000 Capital Works Plan Water and Wastewater Programs”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit reports to the Works Committee:

- (1) for its meeting scheduled to be held on September 13, 2000, on remedial work and other initiatives which can be done and how the issue of basement flooding is being addressed; and
- (2) as part of the 2001 Water and Wastewater Capital Budget process, on appropriate mechanisms for setting funding priorities based on age and condition of infrastructure, with special regard to areas across the City where homeowners are having recurring problems with the state of the infrastructure.”

Clause No. 58 - “Resource Implications of the Environmental Plan”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the report dated July 25, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) departments and agencies integrate the work plan and resource requirements arising from the Environmental Plan recommendations and additional targets, as appropriate, in their multi-year program plans and operating and capital budgets, for further review and consideration in the City’s established decision making processes;

- (2) any resource requests for environmental initiatives that departments and agencies include in their multi-year program plans and budgets for 2001 should be based, in the first instance, on the Environmental Plan recommendations outlined in Appendix B of this report;
- (3) as a matter of policy, all applications by or on behalf of the City for funding from any external public or private funding sources in relation to matters of corporate interest, including the Environmental Plan and infrastructure programs, be developed in a co-ordinated manner across the corporation and be subject to review and approval by the Chief Administrative Officer; and further that the City's major agencies, boards and commissions be requested to co-ordinate their external funding proposals and related capital programs through this corporate process;
- (4) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer develop mechanisms to track resources and budget allocations that contribute towards the implementation of Environmental Plan recommendations;
- (5) the 2000 Operating Budget be adjusted as follows:
 - (a) the \$610,000.00 originally allocated for eight of the Environmental Plan initiatives be adjusted to \$463,500.00;
 - (b) the Technical Services budget (expenditure and revenue) be reduced by \$305,000.00;
 - (c) a new account for Environmental Plan Implementation be established under Other Corporate Expenditures in the Non-Program Expenditures Account for all external revenues and one-time funds identified for Environmental Plan implementation purposes, at a level of \$288,500.00 gross (\$130,000.00 net) for 2000 based on the following adjustments:
 - (i) \$80,000.00 (expenditure and revenue) to be received from the Toronto Atmospheric Fund;
 - (ii) \$78,500.00 (expenditure and revenue) anticipated grant funding from the Climate Change Action Fund; and
 - (iii) \$130,000.00 in one-time funds to be transferred from the Transportation budget (with a corresponding reduction in the Technical Services budget on an expenditure and revenue basis); and

- (d) the \$175,000.00 identified within the Works and Emergency Services budget (\$100,000.00 Transportation, \$75,000.00 Water and Wastewater) remain in the program budgets for this one-time expenditure; and
- (6) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.’ ”

Clause No. 59 - “Other Items Considered by the Committee”.

The Clause was received as information, subject to striking out and referring Item (b), entitled “Heritage Preservation - Exempting Heritage Properties from Property Tax Clawbacks”, embodied therein, back to the Policy and Finance Committee for further consideration at its meeting scheduled to be held on September 21, 2000; and notwithstanding subsection 127(5) of the Council Procedural By-law, Council requested the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer to submit a report for consideration therewith, on the impact of the following recommendation as limited to properties containing entire buildings designated under the Ontario Heritage Act that are also subject to Heritage Conservation Easements, and are also designated in whole or in part as National Historic Sites by the Historic Sites and Monuments Board of Canada:

“City Council endorse, in principle, that all entire buildings, designated under the Ontario Heritage Act, that are subject to Heritage Conservation Easements, be taxed without clawbacks as to tax decreases to which they would be entitled were it not for the ‘cap’; that these buildings be exempted from the clawbacks for the full three years that the ‘cap’ has been in effect; and that the Province of Ontario be requested to provide the requisite legislative and regulatory authority;”.

REPORT NO. 14 OF THE WORKS COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - “Illuminated Municipal Numbers on Commercial, Industrial and Multi-Residential Properties.”

Consideration of this Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on October 3, 2000.

REPORT NO. 15 OF THE WORKS COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - “Wind Turbine Project: Terms of Reference for Environmental Assessment Study; ICMC Review; and Siting of Utility Wind Turbine at the Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant”.

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) amending Recommendation No. (iii) of the Works Committee to provide that the report dated June 28, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, be amended by:
 - (a) inserting in Recommendation No. (2), after the first instance of the word “turbine”, the words “consent to and approve the leasing of the utility wind turbine site by the Toronto Port Authority to Toronto Renewable Energy Co-operative (TREC) and Toronto Hydro Energy Services Inc. or their nominee”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:
 - “(2) the City of Toronto facilitate the preparation between the proponents, the Toronto Port Authority, and other relevant parties, the legal arrangements necessary to permit the installation and operation of such a wind turbine, consent to and approve the leasing of the utility wind turbine site by the Toronto Port Authority to Toronto Renewable Energy Co-operative (TREC) and Toronto Hydro Energy Services Inc. or their nominee, and give effect to the required City approval of such a legal arrangement, for the purpose of locating a wind turbine, as described in the above, including provisions to ensure that the wind turbine does not interfere with the construction and/or operation of existing and future sewage treatment facilities;”; and
 - (b) adding thereto the following new Recommendation No. (4):
 - “(4) subject to the proponent completing all federal and provincial environmental assessments required and obtaining any other required approvals, authorization be given for the construction of a wind turbine at the Southern Ash Lagoon at Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant that may vary in size from the dimensions shown in this and/or previous reports, where the size of the wind turbine is in compliance with the approvals received from all other agencies.”; and
- (2) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in conjunction with the local community groups and TREC, be requested to submit a report to the Works Committee, one year after the installation of the wind turbine, on the impact of such installation on local bird populations, such report to include recommendations for mitigation, drawing on the expertise of the ornithological experts.”

Clause No. 2 - “Harmonization of Transit Shelter Agreements - Contract with Mediacom Inc.”.

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) deleting from Recommendation No. (2)(ii) of the Works Committee, the words “tailored approach”, and inserting in lieu thereof the words “frequent basis”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(ii) all shelters will be cleaned on a weekly basis, and in some areas on a more frequent basis;”;

- (2) inserting after the word “indicating” in Recommendation No. (2)(iii) of the Works Committee, the words “who is responsible for cleaning and/or maintenance, and”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(iii) a notice will be posted inside every shelter indicating who is responsible for cleaning and/or maintenance, and a number to call in the event that the shelter requires cleaning and/or maintenance.”; and

- (3) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to consult with the Chief General Manager, Toronto Transit Commission, respecting a co-ordinated approach to the scheduling of cleaning of transit shelters.”

Clause No. 3 - “Proposed Residential Solid Waste Collection By-law”.

The Clause was struck out and referred back to the Works Committee for further consideration.

Clause No. 6 - “Recycling at the TTC and Commercial Establishments”.

The Clause was struck out and referred back to the Works Committee for further consideration; and the General Secretary of the Toronto Transit Commission was requested to submit the comments of the Commission to the Works Committee with respect thereto.

**JOINT REPORT NO. 2 OF THE POLICY AND FINANCE COMMITTEE
AND THE WORKS COMMITTEE**

Clause No. 1 - “Toronto Integrated Solid Waste Resource Management (‘TIRM’) Process - Category 2, Proven Disposal Capacity - Residual Solid Waste Disposal Capacity Options”.

The Clause was amended:

- (1) by amending joint Recommendation (A) of the Policy and Finance Committee and the Works Committee to provide that Recommendation No. (1) embodied in the report dated July 14, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, be amended by:

- (a) deleting the words “should the Joint Committee and Council choose not to consider options involving the extension of the service life of the Keele Valley Landfill Site beyond 2002, then”;
- (b) deleting the words “to addition”, and inserting in lieu thereof, the words “subject to”;
- (c) deleting the words “to be detailed in an In Camera report”, and inserting in lieu thereof the words “in his confidential report dated August 1, 2000”; and
- (d) adding to the end thereof the words “and the approval of the final contracts with the named respondents to the satisfaction of Council”,

so that Recommendation No. (1) shall now read as follows:

- “(1) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to conduct final contract negotiations as follows, subject to satisfactory resolution of additional contractual matters and exceptions as identified by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services in his confidential report dated August 1, 2000, and the approval of the final contracts with the named respondents to the satisfaction of Council:
 - (a) with Republic Services of Canada Inc., for a ‘no-put-or-pay’ contract for tonnages above 100,000 tonnes per year for a flexible combined term of up to 20 years, to manage the private sector waste received by the City of Toronto for disposal; and
 - (b) with Rail Cycle North Ltd., for a ‘no-put-or-pay’ contract for a term of 20 years to encompass only the residual municipal solid waste disposal needs of the City of Toronto and the Greater Toronto Area Regional Municipalities of Peel, York and Durham, under the terms and conditions of the Memorandum of Understanding between the four participating municipalities;”;
- (2) by striking out joint Recommendation (B) of the Policy and Finance Committee and the Works Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the following:
 - “(B) the adoption of the confidential report dated August 1, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, entitled ‘TIRM Process – Category 2, Proven Disposal Capacity – Status of Contract Negotiations Exceptions and Conditions’, such report to remain confidential, including Appendices thereto, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it contains information related to the security of property interests of the municipality, save and except the following recommendation embodied therein:

‘It is recommended that the exceptions (contained in Appendix A) and the contract provisions and conditions (contained in Appendix B) cited in this report, be adopted.’ ”;

- (3) to provide that the exceptions, contract provisions and conditions recommended for adoption by Council for inclusion in any final contract with the respondents, as contained in the confidential report dated August 1, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, exclude any acceptance of provisions in respect of greenhouse gas credits and instead the Commissioner continue to negotiate with Rail Cycle North and Republic Services of Canada Inc. on the value of such credits, drawing on the advice of experts in the field, and report back on the issue in the report on any finalization of contracts; and

- (4) by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (a) the report dated July 31, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) this report be received for information;
- (2) should Council approve the recommendations contained in the report by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, dated July 14, 2000, the Solid Waste Management program include provisions for revenue and expenditure increases related to the approved TIRM option using the most up-to-date information available on disposal and diversion tonnage projections and costs when establishing its 2001 Operating Budget request and future year requests as necessary;
- (3) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer review opportunities for setting price increases for the disposal of the private sector portion of ICI materials and report back on the results of this review in September 2000, to the Works Committee and the Policy and Finance Committee;
- (4) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer establish a reserve fund to be funded from surpluses arising from greater than budgeted revenues from the sale of recyclable materials, as well as higher revenue derived from an increase to disposal fees, to the extent not required for overall City purposes; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.’;

- (b) City Council call on the provincial government to compensate the City of Toronto for the loss of \$50 million due to the forced closure of the Keele Valley landfill site in 2002;
- (c) the City of Toronto:
 - (i) make clear its intention to work towards the significant reduction of organics in the municipal waste stream and ensure that any recommended contract not jeopardize this goal in any way; and
 - (ii) host a symposium in 2001, on separation technology with leading experts in the field and invite representatives from all levels of government, the Association of Municipalities of Ontario, the Federation of Canadian Municipalities, environmental groups, interested stakeholders and the public to attend;
- (d) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to:
 - (i) immediately commence the investigation of alternative methods to reduce the amount of waste generated in the City of Toronto, and submit a report thereon to the Works Committee;
 - (ii) include such alternative methods as projects in the 2001-2005 Capital Budget and 2001 Operating Budget, under the project name "Toronto Waste Diversion Final", and that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer review and report on funding opportunities for these projects, including federal and provincial assistance;
 - (iii) submit a report to the meeting of Works Committee scheduled to be held on September 13, 2000, on how the City of Toronto will meet its 50 percent waste diversion target by 2006, in particular, the expansion of composting facilities and improvements in the diversion rates for multi-residential buildings;
 - (iv) submit a report to the Administration Committee, by December 31, 2001, in consultation with the unions and the Executive Director of Human Resources, on the plans to deal with the employment status of staff currently working at the Keele Valley landfill site;
 - (v) submit reports to the Works Committee periodically, regarding the results of scheduled environmental monitoring and check-points related to the commissioning of the Adams Mine Landfill, as they relate to the conditions of the Environmental Assessment Board approval of the site;

- (vi) submit reports to the Works Committee on a quarterly basis, on:
 - (1) recycling and diversion rates within the City of Toronto; and
 - (2) the status of the development of diversion technologies;
 - (vii) submit a report to the Works Committee on a semi-annual basis, on the progress of the development of new and emerging technology and proven waste diversion methodologies, in order to reduce the City's dependency on garbage disposal; and
 - (viii) submit a report to the Works Committee, after research into the experience of jurisdictions in Europe and North America, on the feasibility of incineration as an alternative method for waste management, including the cost of building the most technologically up-to-date incineration facility in the City of Toronto, such report to identify the cost, anticipated savings between landfill and incineration, the length of time to build the facility and when it could be operational;
- (e) the Commissioner of Corporate Services, the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit reports to the appropriate Standing Committees, in time for the October 3, 2000, meeting of City Council, on arrangements for more assertive recycling and/or diversion programs for City property, City parks and City Environment Days, respectively;
- (f) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to submit a report to:
- (i) the October 3, 2000, meeting of City Council, through the appropriate Committee, on the creation of a separate line on the property tax bill which would indicate the amount dedicated to waste collection and disposal; and
 - (ii) the Budget Advisory Committee and the Policy and Finance Committee during the 2001 budget process, on allocating the costs of waste disposal of the City's agencies, boards and commissions to the agencies, boards and commissions; and
- (g) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to make available to the public, the information provided to Members of Council under confidential cover with respect to prices, subject to the consent of the two recommended proponents for the management of the City's waste."

REPORT NO. 8 OF THE EAST YORK COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Clause No. 1 - "Insurance Coverage for Volunteer Organization to Operate City-Owned Vehicles".

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that the Guidelines and Qualifications, as outlined in the Finance Department Policy, entitled 'Use of City Vehicles by Volunteer Organizations', be adopted in conjunction with the recommendations contained in this Clause, in order to provide greater control over the use of City-owned vehicles by volunteer groups and to ensure the consistent application of this matter City-wide, viz.:

Guidelines:

- Use of City vehicles by volunteers will at all times be for temporary, occasional use and not for permanent use;
- the same level of supervision over volunteer drivers will be given as is given to City drivers;
- only experienced volunteer drivers with clean driving records will get permission to drive City vehicles; and
- the following guidelines must be documented and signed by a representative of the volunteer group:
 - the name of the specific volunteer designate to drive the City vehicle;
 - what passengers are allowed in the vehicle;
 - the days and hours of use permitted;
 - areas in which the vehicle is permitted to travel;
 - items permitted to be transported in the vehicle;
 - accident, injury and damage reporting procedures; and
 - procedures for reporting change in driver's status.

Qualifications:

- For the purposes of ensuring compliance with the above guidelines, Fleet Management staff shall:
 - confirm the driver's licence status of all volunteer drivers;
 - review the driver's abstracts of all volunteer drivers; and
 - provide safe driving training to all volunteer drivers.' "

Clause No. 3 - “Gledhill Avenue between Danforth Avenue and King Edward Avenue - Delineation of a ‘Student Pick-up/Drop-off Area’ ”.

The Clause was amended by deleting from the recommendation of the East York Community Council, the words “East York Civic Centre” and inserting in lieu thereof the words “Gledhill Public School”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“The East York Community Council recommends that Council refer the following report (June 12, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, to a joint meeting of the East York Community Council and the Toronto Community Council to be held in the evening at the Gledhill Public School; and, further, that the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, be requested to:

- (i) investigate the feasibility of implementing a ‘student pick-up/drop-off’ area on King Edward Avenue; and
- (ii) make enquiries of the Toronto School Board regarding the possible purchase or lease of the nearby Parking Authority lot by the Gledhill Public School;

and to submit a report thereon to such joint meeting.”

Clause No. 5 - “Drainage Problem - 74 Adair Road and 10 Fairland Road”.

The Clause was amended by deleting Recommendation No. (3) of the East York Community Council and inserting in lieu thereof the following new recommendation:

- “(3) the costs therefor be shared on a 50/50 basis between the City of Toronto and the affected homeowners, and that the City policy with respect thereto be amended accordingly.”

REPORT NO. 8 OF THE ETOBICOKE COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Clause No. 1 - “Proposed Renaming of Kipling Avenue South of Lake Shore Boulevard West (Lakeshore-Queensway)”.

Consideration of this Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on October 3, 2000.

REPORT NO. 9 OF THE ETOBICOKE COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Clause No. 10 - “Appeal to Ontario Municipal Board, Amendment to the Etobicoke Zoning Code 655 Evans Avenue (Lakeshore-Queensway)”.

Council adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the confidential report dated August 1, 2000, from the City Solicitor, be adopted, such report to remain confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it contains information pertaining to litigation, save and except the following recommendation embodied therein:

‘It is recommended that Council direct the City Solicitor to appear at the Ontario Municipal Board in support of the settlement conditions offered by the appellant and set out in Schedule A appended to this report.’ ”

Clause No. 13 - **“Lester B. Pearson International Airport (LBPIA) Noise Monitoring and Impact Review and Assessment (Wards 2, 3, 4 and 5)”**.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that a copy of this Clause be forwarded to the Board of Directors of the Greater Toronto Airports Authority, for information.”

Clause No. 20 - **“Preliminary Report – Applications to Lift the 'H' Holding Symbol from By-law No. 1994-197 and Site Plan Approval; 2077 Lakeshore Blvd. W. Ltd., 2077 Lake Shore Boulevard West File No. CMB 20000009 (Lakeshore-Queensway)”**.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to continue the review and assessment of this proposal and advise the Etobicoke Community Council, in the Final Recommendation Report, how all matters raised have been addressed and satisfied.”

REPORT NO. 9 OF THE NORTH YORK COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Clause No. 22 - **“Final Report – Application for Part Lot Control Exemption - Lots 20 to 74 both Inclusive – Blocks 75 to 83 both Inclusive - Plan 66M-2346 - UD54-00-02REL - Tiffany Park Homes Limited - Don Parkway”**.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that Council adopt the following motion:

Moved by: Councillor Minnan-Wong

‘WHEREAS on July 18, 2000, North York Community Council recommended to City Council, the adoption of a report dated June 23, 2000, from the Director, Community Planning, North District, dealing with an application for part lot control exemption UD54-00-02REL by Tiffany Park Homes Limited; and

WHEREAS the report dealt with Lots 20 to 74, both inclusive and Blocks 75 to 83, both inclusive, on Plan 66M-2346, to be exempted from part lot control; and

WHEREAS the application also required Lots 1 to 11 on Plan 66M-2346 to be included in the exemption from part lot control; and

WHEREAS Lots 1 to 11 were inadvertently omitted from the report of the Director, Community Planning, and are recommended to be exempted from part lot control;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council adopt a technical amendment whereby Lots 1 to 11 on Plan 66M-2346 are included as a part of the report dated June 23, 2000, from the Director, Community Planning, for part lot control.’ ”

REPORT NO. 8 OF THE SCARBOROUGH COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Clause No. 1 - **“Preliminary Report Application to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law File Nos. SC-P20000005 and SC-Z20000012 Trusthouse 88 Inc., North Side of Ellesmere Road, Progress Employment District/Scarborough City Centre (Ward 15 – Scarborough City Centre)”.**

The Clause was struck out and referred back to the Scarborough Community Council for further consideration.

REPORT NO. 9 OF THE SCARBOROUGH COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Clause No. 10 - **“Provision of Litter Bins with Advertising”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the following Recommendations Nos. (1) and (2), embodied in the report dated July 25, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) Council remove the restriction that at least two firms be selected for the provision of litter bins with advertising; and
- (2) if City Council adopts the resolution of Scarborough Community Council, the following conditions also be included:
 - (a) OMG allow one of the small side panels on all the bins throughout the City to be used by the City, at no cost, for public service announcements; and
 - (b) OMG retrofit, at their cost, approximately 450 of their existing bins in the City so that the openings where the recyclable materials are deposited are smaller than we originally specified.’ ”

Clause No. 18 - “Official Plan Amendment Application SC-P19990009, Zoning By-law Amendment Application SC-Z19990035, Draft Plan of Subdivision Application SC-T19990012, McAsphalt Industries Limited & Rouge River Park Ltd. 8800 Sheppard Avenue East Rouge Employment District (Ward 18 - Scarborough Malvern)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the following recommendations by Councillor Cho be referred to the Commissioner of Urban Development Services for further consideration in the preparation of the reports on the Zoning By-law amendment and Draft Plan of Subdivision:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) two-thirds of the site should be referred to the Scarborough Community Council, with a direction that the landowner be requested to present a plan as to where he will move the buried Fly Ash (105,000 cubic metres of bituminous coal fly ash, the volume of two football fields to a depth of more than 10 metres);
- (2) the owner of the land be requested to present a plan as to how he is going to control all the dust, when he and his workers excavate the Fly Ash;
- (3) in his (the applicant’s) application for sub-division, the owner be requested to provide a planning application that clearly indicates that the apartment buildings to be built on the site should not exceed four (4) storeys, as he promised at the community meeting held recently;

- (4) McAsphalt Industries agree to fund a performance bond and ongoing groundwater monitoring to protect the City from liabilities which may arise from residential uses on this site; and
- (5) further groundwater, geotechnical and contaminant (hidden drum) investigations be undertaken by the proponent and reviewed to the satisfaction of the Minister of Environment and Energy and the City.”

Clause No. 19 - **“Official Plan Amendment Application SC-P19990013 Zoning By-law Amendment Application SC-Z19990016 Convention Plaza Limited Partnership Lands on the North Side of Lee Centre Drive (Ward 15 - Scarborough City Centre)”**.

The Clause was amended by adding to the recommendations of the Scarborough Community Council, the following new Recommendation No. (6):

- “(6) that the subdivision agreement between Convention Plaza Limited and the former City of Scarborough be amended by adding thereto the following Clause:
 - ‘(a) The applicant is required to pay an amount equal to five percent of the appraised value of the land for parkland purposes at the time of the issuance of building permits for Blocks 1 and 6 as shown on Plan 66M-2288.’ ”

Clause No. 24 - **“Ontario Municipal Board Appeal, Official Plan Amendment Application SC-P1997009, Zoning By-law Amendment Application SC-Z1999027, Omni at the City Centre Inc., Omni South Inc., North-East Corner of Brimley Road and Omni Drive (Ward 15 - Scarborough City Centre)”**.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the report dated July 27, 2000, from the City Solicitor, embodying the following recommendation, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that the City Solicitor be authorized to retain expert consultants as required to provide appraisal evidence at Ontario Municipal Board hearings dealing with issues relating to Section 37 of the Planning Act with funding to be provided from the Legal Services budget for outside consultants.’ ”

REPORT NO. 12 OF THE TORONTO COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Clause No. 1 - **“Tree Removal - 4 Glen Edyth Drive (Midtown)”**.

Consideration of this Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on October 3, 2000.

REPORT NO. 13 OF THE TORONTO COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Clause No. 2 - “Zoning By-law Amendment - 982 Dundas Street West (Trinity-Niagara)”.

The Clause was amended by renumbering the recommendations embodied in the report dated June 30, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, as follows:

- (1) Recommendations Nos. 2(i)(c), 2(i)(d) and 2(i)(e) be renumbered as Recommendations Nos. 2(ii)(h), 2(ii)(i) and 2(ii)(j), respectively, in order to require that the Historical Review, Site and Building Audit, and soil and groundwater testing program and Soil and Groundwater Management Plan be submitted to and reviewed by the Medical Officer of Health prior to the issuance of a Building Permit, rather than prior to the introduction of a Bill in Council; and
- (2) Recommendations No. 2(i)(f) and 2(i)(g) be renumbered accordingly, as Recommendations Nos. 2(i)(c) and 2(i)(d).

Clause No. 5 - “Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning - 318 Clinton Street (Trinity-Niagara)”.

The Clause was amended in accordance with the report dated July 27, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, embodying the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) Recommendations Nos. 5(i)(a), 5(i)(b) and 5(i)(c) embodied in the report dated July 4, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, be renumbered as Recommendations Nos. 5(ii)(h), 5(ii)(i) and 5(ii)(j), in order to require that the Historical Review, Site and Building Audit, and soil and groundwater testing program and Soil and Groundwater Management Plan be reviewed and approved by the Medical Officer of Health prior to the issuance of a Building Permit, rather than prior to the introduction of a Bill in Council;
- (2) Recommendation No. 5(i) be deleted;
- (3) Recommendation No. 5(ii) be renumbered as Recommendation No. 5(i); and
- (4) upon satisfaction of the requirements of the Medical Officer of Health and prior to the issuance of a Building Permit, the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism (Forestry Services) be requested to review the landscape plan in the Site Plan Statement of Approval/Undertaking, in order to ensure the survival of the existing trees proposed to be maintained, or, alternatively, to ensure that any removal and replanting of the existing trees in situ or any additional new tree plantings to replace existing trees are undertaken in accordance with the provisions in the Site Plan Statement of Approval/Undertaking.”

- Clause No. 7** - **“Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning and Draft Plan of Subdivision - 14 and 20 Strachan Avenue, 45, 121, 128 and 132R East Liberty Street, 1137 King Street West, and 9 and 11 Hanna Avenue (Trinity-Niagara)”**.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to conduct a traffic impact study in the Liberty Village area, west of the CNR bridge to Dufferin Street, and submit a report thereon to the Toronto Community Council.”

- Clause No. 12** - **“Maintenance of Wrought Iron Fence Fronting 343 Lippincott Street (Downtown)”**.

The Clause was struck out and referred back to the Toronto Community Council for further consideration, and staff from the City’s fence mediation office were requested to meet with the applicant and the nearby landowners in order to determine if the concerns raised can be resolved with the assistance of the fence mediator.

- Clause No. 22** - **“Draft By-law – Alteration of Gladstone Avenue from the First Lane North of College Street to Sylvan Avenue Narrowing and Realigning the Pavement (Trinity-Niagara)”**.

The Clause was amended by striking out Recommendations Nos. (3) and (4) embodied in the report dated June 6, 2000, from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, as contained in Clause No. 44 of Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council, headed “Narrowing of Pavements on Niagara Street and Gladstone Avenue - Garrison Creek Initiatives (Trinity-Niagara)”, viz.:

- “(3) the ‘No Parking Anytime’ prohibition that operates on the west side of Gladstone Avenue from Lindsey Avenue to Sylvan Avenue be rescinded and switched to operate on the east side of the street;
- (4) the ‘12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m. daily’ Permit Parking hours of operation on the east side of Gladstone Avenue from Lindsey Avenue to Sylvan Avenue be rescinded and switched to operate on the west side of the street; and”.

- Clause No. 27** - **“Speed Hump and Two-Way Traffic Polling Results - Margueretta Street Between College Street and Bloor Street West (Trinity-Niagara)”**.

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) inserting in the recommendations of the Toronto Community Council, the following new Recommendation No. (1):

“(1) the one-way southbound regulation on Margueretta Street, between College Street and a point approximately 66 metres north thereof, be rescinded;”;

(2) renumbering the original recommendations accordingly.

Clause No. 31 - “Final Report - Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments - 1252-1260 Bay Street and 61-63 Yorkville Avenue (Midtown)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the report dated July 27, 2000 from the City Solicitor, embodying the following recommendation, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that the City Solicitor be authorized to retain expert consultants as required to provide appraisal evidence at Ontario Municipal Board hearings dealing with issues relating to section 37 of the Planning Act with funding to be provided from the Legal Services budget for outside consultants.’ ”

Clause No. 36 - “Residential Demolition Application - 10 Prince Arthur Avenue (Midtown)”.

Consideration of the Clause was deferred to the next meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on October 3, 2000.

Clause No. 42 - “Patio Licence - Bert ‘N Ernies Fun Food Eatery - 2918 Dundas Street West (High Park)”.

The Clause was struck out and referred back to the Toronto Community Council for further consideration, and staff from the City’s fence mediation office were requested to meet with the applicant and the nearby landowners who object to the liquor licence in order to determine if the concerns raised by these landowners can be resolved with the assistance of the fence mediator.

Clause No. 58 - “Requests for Endorsement of Events for Liquor Licensing Purposes”.

The Clause was amended by:

(1) adding to Recommendation No. (1) of the Toronto Community Council, the following event:

“(e) the Celtic Music Festival presented by the Friends of Fort York, to be held from 5:00 p.m. to 1:00 a.m. on September 8, 2000, at Historic Fort York;”;

(2) adding to Recommendation No. (2)(a) of the Toronto Community Council, the words “and further, that Council has no objection to the temporary extension by one hour (until 3:00 a.m.) of the liquor licences for the following venues, participating in the Gay Softball World Series from August 12 to 19, 2000:

- The Stables/Barn 83 Granby Street;
- Zipperz 72 Carleton Street;
- Woody’s 467 Church Street;
- Tango/Cruise 508 Church Street;
- Bar 501 501 Church Street;
- Zelda’s 542 Church Street;
- Fly 6 Gloucester Avenue;
- Sneakers 502 Yonge Street; and
- Remingtons 379 Yonge Street;”;

(3) adding to Recommendation No. (2) of the Toronto Community Council, the following events:

- “(j) Marche Movenpick’s 1st Annual Centre Island BBQ on August 21 and 22, 2000, from 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. at Centre Island; and
- (k) Nexacor Company Picnic and BBQ, on Friday August 11, 2000, from 12:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. at Centre Island - Olympic;”.

Clause No. 67 - “Installation/Removal of On-Street Parking Spaces for Persons with Disabilities (Davenport, Don River and High Park)”.

The Clause was amended by adding the following new location to the list of on-street parking spaces which are to be established for persons with disabilities, as embodied in Table “A” appended to the report dated June 30, 2000, from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1:

- | “Ward | Location |
|-------|--|
| 21 | Earlscourt Avenue, east side, between a point 160 metres north of St. Clair Avenue West and a point 5.5 metres further north thereof.
(Source: S. Iorioa, a resident of 57 Earlscourt Avenue, Toronto, Ontario).” |

Clause No. 74 - “Proposed Two-Way Traffic Operation - Beatrice Street, from College Street to the Lane 59 Metres South – Adjacent to 153 Beatrice Street (Trinity-Niagara)”.

The Clause was amended by deleting from Recommendation No. (3) of the Toronto Community Council, the word “north”, and inserting in lieu thereof the word “south”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

- “(3) parking be prohibited at anytime on the east side of Beatrice Street from College Street to a point 54 metres south;”.

Clause No. 89 - “Other Items Considered by the Community Council”.

The Clause was received as information, subject to striking out and referring Item (b), entitled “City Solicitor Submitting Draft By-law to Authorize the Alteration of Rosemount Avenue (Dufferin Street to Oakwood Avenue) by the Installation of Speed Humps with a Possible Future Reduction in Speed from 40 Km/Hr to 30 Km/Hr. (Davenport)”, embodied therein, back to the Toronto Community Council for further consideration.

REPORT NO. 4 OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - “Cash Control Review - Parks and Recreation Division, East and West Districts”.

Consideration of this Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on October 3, 2000.

REPORT NO. 6 OF THE BOARD OF HEALTH

Clause No. 3 - “Significance of the Nanticoke Coal-Fired Plant on Toronto’s Air Quality”.

The Clause was amended by adding to Recommendations Nos. (3) and (5) of the Board of Health, the words “the Ontario Environment Commissioner”, so that such recommendations shall now read as follows:

- “(3) forward this report to the Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM), the Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO), the New York Attorney General’s Office, the Ontario Minister of the Environment, the Ontario Minister of Energy, Science and Technology, the Federal Minister of the Environment, the Anti-Smog Action Plan Executive Committee, and the Ontario Environment Commissioner; and
- (5) request the Medical Officer of Health and the Chair of the Board of Health to seek a meeting with the Provincial Minister of Energy, Science and Technology and the Ontario Environment Commissioner.”

REPORT NO. 3 OF THE NOMINATING COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - “Selection Criteria for Citizen Appointments to Various Agencies, Boards and Commissions”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to review the compensation of members of the City's various agencies, boards and commissions for the next term of Council, and submit a report thereon in January 2001, to the Policy and Finance Committee, through the Nominating Committee;
- (2) Council adopt the following motion:

Moved by Councillor Sinclair:

'WHEREAS a review of the remuneration paid to the citizen members of the City's agencies, boards and commissions was initiated several years ago; and

WHEREAS equitable remuneration for all citizen members, especially the Chairs, is imperative; and

WHEREAS the responsibilities associated with the Pension funds, namely:

- (a) the Metropolitan Toronto Pension Fund, Board of Trustees;
- (b) the Metropolitan Toronto Police Benefit Fund, Board of Trustees;
- (c) the Toronto Civic Employees' Pension and Benefit Fund Committee; and
- (d) the Toronto Fire Department Superannuating and Benefit Fund Committee;

have increased substantially, with the assets of each of these Funds approaching one billion dollars;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the matter of equitable remuneration for citizen members of the City's agencies, boards and commissions be referred to the Executive Director of Human Resources, with a request that she submit a report thereon in this regard to the Administration Committee.' "

NOTICE OF MOTION APPEARING UNDER ITEM F

Moved by: Councillor Johnston

Seconded by: Councillor Miller

"WHEREAS City Council, at its meeting held on February 29, March 1 and 2, 2000, adopted a Notice of Motion regarding the 'True Blue Campaign' of the Toronto Police Association; and

WHEREAS in adopting the Motion, without amendment, Council requested the City Solicitor to report directly to Council on further legal developments in the 'True Blue' matter, only when such developments occur; and

WHEREAS the City Solicitor has prepared the attached report dated June 22, 2000;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council give consideration to the aforementioned report dated June 22, 2000, from the City Solicitor, and that such report be received, for information."

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, subject to adding thereto the following new Operative Paragraph:*

"AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, in view of the withdrawal of the by-law, the City Solicitor be requested to submit a report to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on October 3, 2000, on the restrictions on political activity of police officers under the applicable legislation."

NOTICES OF MOTIONS APPEARING UNDER ITEM J

(1) **Moved by:** **Councillor Pantalone**

Seconded by: **Councillor Silva**

"WHEREAS the Toronto Transit Commission extension of the Harbourfront LRT line to Exhibition Place has recently commenced service; and

WHEREAS a portion of the route extension is along Fleet Street, from Bathurst Street to Strachan Avenue; and

WHEREAS in order to enhance the operation of this transit service, certain measures have been implemented or are contemplated to provide some measure of exclusivity to transit vehicles on the section of track allowance on Fleet Street, including the prohibition of turns across the tracks into and out of the property on the north side of the street, as approved by City Council at its meeting held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000, by the adoption of Clause No. 48 of Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council; and

WHEREAS certain of the turn restrictions have had the effect of excessively limiting access opportunity to the existing business located at the northwest corner of the Fleet Street/Bathurst Street intersection; and

WHEREAS staff of the Toronto Transit Commission and the Works and Emergency Services Department concur that left turns from the track allowance into the property from the westerly-most of the three driveways will not unduly impact on streetcar operations;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 48 of Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council, headed 'Exclusive Lane Designation and Turn Prohibitions for Harbourfront LRT Extension - Fleet Street, West of Bathurst Street (Trinity-Niagara)', adopted by City Council at its meeting held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000, be re-opened for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the eastbound left-turn prohibitions;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the prohibition of the eastbound to northbound left turn from Fleet Street to the westerly-most driveway to the Molson property on the north side of Fleet Street be rescinded."

Disposition: Council re-opened Clause No. 48 of Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council, headed "Exclusive Lane Designation and Turn Prohibitions for Harbourfront LRT Extension - Fleet Street, West of Bathurst Street (Trinity-Niagara)", for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the eastbound left-turn prohibitions, and adopted the balance of the Motion, subject to adding thereto the following new Operative Paragraph:

"AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Toronto Transit Commission be requested to reconsider the new routes for 72 Pape and 65 Parliament, with a view to accommodating a stop at Union Station, having regard that the TTC vehicles on these routes no longer stop at Union Station."

(2) **Moved by: Councillor Walker**

Seconded by: Councillor Johnston

WHEREAS the lack of affordable housing in Toronto presents an intolerable situation that renders thousands homeless and many more at risk of becoming homeless; and

WHEREAS this City Council must commit itself to promoting, protecting and providing affordable housing, wherever possible; and

WHEREAS co-operative housing is effective in providing affordable and high quality homes for people; and

WHEREAS the Stanley Knowles Housing Co-operative is an oasis of affordable housing within Toronto, a bastion of community spirit, and a beloved home for hundreds of citizens; and

WHEREAS Stanley Knowles was one of Canada’s great parliamentarians, serving in the House of Commons as a Member of Parliament from 1942 to 1984, and as an Honourary Officer of the House of Commons until his death in 1997; and

WHEREAS Stanley Knowles was a great defender of the elderly, the poor, veterans and citizens in need of assistance or compassion; and

WHEREAS it is in the best interests of the Municipality to do everything in its power to promote the spirit of the Stanley Knowles Housing Co-op and, in so doing, promote the provision of affordable homes for people in Toronto; and

WHEREAS the Stanley Knowles Housing Co-op has professionally produced a video at a cost of \$3,000.00, explaining the history of the co-op, the co-operative housing movement and advocating for this form of affordable housing;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Toronto City Council provide a one-time grant of \$1,500.00 to the Stanley Knowles Housing Co-op to cover the outstanding costs of producing this informative video which captures the spirit of co-operative housing and of the great parliamentarian who embodied this spirit.”

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, subject to adding thereto the following new Operative Paragraph:

“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT funding for this purpose, in the amount of \$1,500.00, be allocated from the Corporate Contingency Account.”

(3) **Moved by: Councillor Johnston**

Seconded by: Councillor Walker

“**WHEREAS** at its meeting held on June 30, 2000, the Committee of Adjustment, South District, approved a consent to sever one lot into two lots and 6 minor variances to build two houses at 181 St. Clements Avenue; and

WHEREAS this application contravenes the City’s policy of protecting stable residential neighbourhoods from unreasonable intensification; and

WHEREAS the applicant will require the removal of 6 substantial City-owned trees and no proposal to replace these valuable trees has been offered; and

WHEREAS this development will produce lots and houses completely out of keeping with all other lots in the area; and

WHEREAS a number of residents attended the Committee of Adjustment hearing to voice their opposition to this proposal;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Solicitor be directed to appear at the Ontario Municipal Board on behalf of area residents to object to this variance.”

Disposition: Consideration of this Motion was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on October 3, 2000.

(4) **Moved by: Councillor Walker**

Seconded by: Councillor Johnston

“**WHEREAS** an appeal resulting from Council’s refusal or neglect to enact proposed Zoning By-law amendments and Official Plan amendments has been filed with the Ontario Municipal Board respecting 435-513 Rosewell Avenue and 164-170 Cheritan Avenue; and

WHEREAS the tenants in the Rosewell Court Apartments have been faced with numerous Ontario Rental Housing Tribunal hearings, including those resulting from improper eviction notices; and

WHEREAS the Rosewell Court Tenants’ Association has already spent a significant amount of effort and money protecting their homes at the Ontario Rental Housing Tribunal; and

WHEREAS many of the tenants in the Rosewell Court Apartments are senior citizens and, in some cases, have lived in this complex for decades; and

WHEREAS these senior citizens are in no position, either psychologically or financially, to bear the consequences of being evicted from their homes; and

WHEREAS the landlord has not filled recently vacated units, in order to lower the replacement value/number of units according to the City of Toronto’s rental housing protection policies, and to minimize the opposition to his applications; and

WHEREAS the protection of affordable rental housing remains and continues to build as a priority of this Council and these units are some of the most affordable in North Toronto; and

WHEREAS this application will set a precedent, in terms of the loss of affordable rental units, density and height on Lawrence Avenue, between Yonge Street and Avenue Road, and create significant traffic problems; and

WHEREAS the Lytton Park Ratepayers’ Association plans to be a participant in the Ontario Municipal Board hearing of this appeal;

WHEREAS the Rosewell Court Tenants' Association would also like to participate at the Ontario Municipal Board appeal to protect their interests and their homes;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council ensure that the Rosewell Court Tenants' Association has legal representation at the upcoming Ontario Municipal Board hearing, by approving a grant to the Rosewell Court Tenants' Association for the retention of legal representation at the Ontario Municipal Board hearing, such grant to be in the amount of \$15,000.00, and the funds therefor be allocated from Corporate Contingency.”

Disposition: *The Motion was referred to the Toronto Community Council.*

(5) **Moved by:** **Councillor Duguid**

Seconded by: **Councillor Pitfeld**

“**WHEREAS** in the City of Toronto our public safety and emergency services are headed up, respectively, in the Toronto Police Service, by the Chief of Police; in the Toronto Fire Services, by the Chief of Fire Services; and in the Toronto Emergency Medical Services, by the General Manager; and

WHEREAS, although there are obvious differences in the roles of the public safety and emergency services organizations, it is necessary to introduce some uniformity and recognition of command structure; and

WHEREAS the heads of emergency medical services in municipalities such as Calgary, Edmonton and Winnipeg are recognized as Chief, and their staff as Deputy Chief; and

WHEREAS we are proud of our emergency medical services and the vital and important function and role they provide to our community and wish to recognize and acknowledge their stature; and

WHEREAS the change in appellations will not result in financial, pensionable or other remunerative costs to the City, nor is intended to lead to any change in responsibilities or benefits as a result of this new designation;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council direct that the title General Manager, Emergency Medical Services, be changed to that of Chief, Emergency Medical Services, and the Directors to that of Deputy Chief.”

Disposition: *The Motion was referred to the Administration Committee.*

(6) **Moved by: Councillor Davis**

Seconded by: Councillor Johnston

“WHEREAS there are an average of over 300 firearm-related deaths in Ontario each year; and

WHEREAS there are an average of 292 firearm-related hospitalizations each year in Ontario; and

WHEREAS the Toronto Police Service reported 2,304 firearms-related crimes in 1997; and

WHEREAS Torontonians are deeply concerned about the number of gun-related incidents; and

WHEREAS the citizens of Toronto want the safest City for their families and all persons; and

WHEREAS The Coalition for Gun Control is supportive of gun amnesties; and

WHEREAS the Federal Government’s amendments to the Firearms Act will increase the licence fee from \$10.00 to \$60.00 as of October 15, 2000; and

WHEREAS Gun Buyback/Amnesty programs have been successful in other North American jurisdictions; and

WHEREAS a Gun Amnesty/Buyback Program will provide a positive method for citizens to dispose of their unwanted firearms, increasing the security of all citizens,

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council endorse, in principle, ‘Operation: Save A Life’ a Gun Amnesty/Buyback program for Toronto;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT City Council request the Toronto Police Services Board and the Chief of Police to negotiate a gun amnesty for Toronto with the Chief Crown Attorney;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, if possible, ‘Operation: Save A Life’ occur from Tuesday, October 10, 2000, and continue until Saturday, October 14, 2000, in order to take advantage of the licensing fee increase under the Federal Firearms Act;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT City Council direct the Chief Administrative Officer to provide funding in an amount no greater than \$50,000.00, to be provided from an appropriate account (i.e. Corporate Contingency);

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT City Council establish a Task Force comprised of three (3) Members of Council, the Mayor, the Chief of Police, and the Chairman of the Toronto Police Services Board. The purpose of the Task Force is to negotiate details of the program and to provide a report with recommendations to the Policy and Finance Committee for consideration at the next meeting of City Council.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, subject to:*

- (1) *striking out and referring the fourth Operative Paragraph to the Chief Administrative Officer for report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee, viz.:*

“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT City Council direct the Chief Administrative Officer to provide funding in an amount no greater than \$50,000.00, to be provided from an appropriate account (i.e. Corporate Contingency);”; and

- (2) *adding thereto the following new Operative Paragraphs:*

“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Toronto Police Services Board be requested to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee on the appropriate method for the registration and destruction of the firearms that have been handed in;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the City Solicitor, in consultation with the appropriate City officials, be requested to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee on the possibility of a gun prohibition program for the City of Toronto;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to:

- (a) *submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee on an appropriate amount to be spent and a process to be followed by the City of Toronto to advertise this City Council gun return program to the citizens of the City of Toronto; and*
- (b) *explore the possibility of obtaining corporate participation, including sports organizations, to determine if incentives can be put forward to make this program work.”*

(7) **Moved by:** Mayor Lastman

Seconded by: Councillor Ootes

“**WHEREAS**, at its meeting of February 1, 2 and 3, 2000, Council adopted By-law No. 42-2000, being a by-law to authorize agreements respecting the issue and sale of debentures; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to By-law No. 42-2000, the Mayor and Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer are authorized to enter into an agreement or agreements with a purchaser or purchasers, during the year, for the sale and issue of debentures, upon such terms and conditions, including price or prices as they deem expedient, to provide an amount not exceeding \$500,000,000.00, for the purposes of the City of Toronto, including the purposes of any former area municipality, the former Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto and a Board of Education; and

WHEREAS the Mayor and Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer have entered into an agreement for the issue and sale of debentures and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer is required to report the terms of the agreement to Council no later than the second regular Council meeting following the entering into of such agreement; and

WHEREAS the City of Toronto Act, 1997 (No. 2), subsection 102(6), requires Council to pass all necessary money by-laws in accordance with the said agreement and applicable legislation;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council consider the attached report dated July 25, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, regarding the issuance of debentures; that such report be adopted; and that leave be granted for the introduction of the necessary Bill in Council to give effect to the issuance of debentures.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and, in so doing, Council adopted, without amendment, the report dated July 25, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, embodying the following recommendations:*

“It is recommended that:

- (1) authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary Bill in Council on August 1, 2000, to given effect to the issuance of debentures; and*
- (2) the appropriate officials be authorized to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto.”.*

Council subsequently enacted By-law No. 494-2000.

(8) **Moved by:** **Councillor Mihevc**

Seconded by: **Mayor Lastman**

“**WHEREAS** City Council at its meeting held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000, considered Clause No. 1 of Report No. 14 of The Administration Committee, headed ‘Establishing New Community Councils in the City of Toronto – All Wards’; and

WHEREAS Council approved a four (4) Community Council model and deferred consideration of the balance of the Clause until the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on August 1, 2000; and

WHEREAS some members of the public, including those who participated in the City forums, continue to express a strong desire for more than four Community Councils; and

WHEREAS six Community Councils strikes a balance between the desire to bring local government closer to the people, while also providing a Community Council size reflecting a mix of views;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 1 of Report No. 14 of The Administration Committee, headed ‘Establishing New Community Councils in the City of Toronto – All Wards’, be re-opened for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the number of Community Councils;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT City Council rescind its previous decision to establish four (4) Community Councils and that Council now establish six (6) Community Councils.”

Disposition: Having regard that the motion to waive Notice and re-open Clause No. 1 of Report No. 14 of The Administration Committee for further consideration, insofar as it pertains to the number of Community Councils, did not carry, the Motion was not adopted.

Council subsequently re-opened this matter. See Notice of Motion J(36), Page No. 87.

(9) **Moved by:** **Councillor Berardinetti**

Seconded by: **Councillor Miller**

“**WHEREAS** City Council at its meeting held on September 28, 29 and 30, 1999, adopted, as amended, Clause No. 1 of Report No. 5 of The Administration Committee, headed ‘Request for Proposal for the Acquisition of Vote-Counting Equipment’, to provide that the sub-letting of the vote-counting equipment to other interested parties under the supervision of City staff be included in the contract with the successful proponent; and

WHEREAS the contract negotiated with the proponent includes the ability for the City to either contract out the equipment solely or to partner with the proponent on larger scale projects; and

WHEREAS paragraph 23 of Section 207 of the Municipal Act permits Council to authorize the use of the City's equipment and staff by any other person; and

WHEREAS a by-law is required to be enacted by Council to enable City staff to pursue opportunities to sub-lease the vote-counting equipment and contract services of the Election Services staff to other municipalities, unions, community organizations and others;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council consider the attached report dated July 26, 2000, from the City Clerk, and that such report be adopted, as amended, in accordance with the last Recital of this Motion.”

Disposition: ***The Motion was adopted and subject to adding thereto the following new Operative Paragraph:***

“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT any agreements entered into be subject to appropriate insurance and risk management conditions acceptable to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer.”

Council, by its adoption of the Motion, as amended, adopted, the report dated July 26, 2000, from the City Clerk, embodying the following recommendations, as amended:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council authorize the City Clerk to enter into agreements for the sub-leasing of the City's vote-counting equipment and election services to other municipalities, unions, community organizations and others; such agreements to include the supervision of the equipment by the contracted services of City staff, be on a profit basis and be in a form acceptable by the City Clerk and City Solicitor; and***
- (2) leave be granted to introduce the necessary Bill in Council and the appropriate City Officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”***

(10) **Moved by:** **Councillor Nunziata**

Seconded by: **Councillor Mihevc**

“**WHEREAS** the existing parking control on the portion of Emmett Avenue that runs through the Eglinton Flats Park, north of Eglinton, has been ineffective, with vehicles parking on both sides of the street; and

WHEREAS the problem is particularly acute on long weekends, with cars parked on both sides of the street creating a safety hazard for motorists and emergency vehicles; and

WHEREAS at a meeting of concerned residents on Monday, July 31, 2000, immediate action was requested in time for the August Civic Holiday Weekend; and

WHEREAS staff of the Toronto Parking Enforcement, the Parks and Recreation Division and Transportation Division are all recommending that the existing ‘No Parking’ designation be changed to ‘No Stopping’, to allow for increased enforcement measures;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the parking designation on that portion of Emmett Avenue that runs through the Eglinton Flats Park, be changed to ‘No Stopping Any Time’ and that additional signage indicating a ‘tow away zone’ be put up immediately and that the introduction of any necessary Bill be authorized.”

Disposition: ***The Motion was adopted, without amendment.***

(11) **Moved by:** **Councillor Duguid**

Seconded by: **Councillor Jakobek**

“**WHEREAS** the Toronto Firefighters’ calendar and the Toronto Firefighters’ participation in the Toronto Beaches International Jazz Festival have traditionally been used to raise much-needed funds for charitable causes in Toronto; and

WHEREAS these initiatives and public events have historically been carried out in good taste and with the support of the vast majority of the people of Toronto; and

WHEREAS the benefits to worthy causes, such as the Hospital for Sick Children, the Muscular Dystrophy Association, and the Burn Unit at Sunnybrook Hospital, are an example of the commitment of Toronto Firefighters to the advancement of benevolent and healing causes, and constitute no affront to a civilized society;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Council of the City of Toronto instruct that, Human Resources policies notwithstanding, for the purpose of raising charitable funds, Toronto Firefighters be allowed to continue their initiatives in a decorous and tasteful fashion, and not be impeded in the pursuit of these goals, and that Executive Director of Human Resources be requested to report to the Administration Committee on the most appropriate course of action to implement the intent of this resolution.”

Disposition: *The Motion was referred to the Administration Committee and the Executive Director of Human Resources was requested to submit a report to the Administration Committee on the recommendation embodied therein.*

(12) **Moved by:** Councillor Feldman

Seconded by: Councillor Layton

“**WHEREAS** recent events have brought to light a site that was declared surplus, though it was usable for housing and even zoned for social housing; and

WHEREAS the City has declared the housing shortage a top priority; and

WHEREAS the City has already adopted a housing first policy, dedicating all lands suitable for housing to be held for housing purposes; and

WHEREAS the City is pressing the provincial and the federal governments to make a firm commitment to housing; and

WHEREAS any action by the City to sell viable housing sites could undermine the public perception of our commitment to our affordable housing policies; and

WHEREAS media reports have already questioned the City’s commitment to housing, because sites are being sold instead of being used for housing;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council request the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services to conduct an immediate review of all properties on the surplus list and remove from the surplus list all properties suitable for affordable housing;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be requested to report to Council, through the Administration Committee, on the findings of their review in January of 2001;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Commissioner of Corporate Services take this review into consideration before the sale of other properties on the surplus site list, until the review by the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services, as provided for above, has been completed;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT staff be directed and authorized to take any necessary actions to carry out the aforementioned.”

Disposition: The Motion was withdrawn.

(13) **Moved by: Councillor Miller**

Seconded by: Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski

“**WHEREAS** the Committee of Adjustment denied an application made by Ecarim Inc. Development Inc. for variances at 1947 – 1997 Bloor Street and the decision has been appealed to the Ontario Municipal Board; and

WHEREAS this application is a very serious issue to local residents, as a result of the history of the site, previous Ontario Municipal Board decisions with respect to the site, and the proximity to High Park; and

WHEREAS the Swansea Area Ratepayers’ Association and local residents oppose this application and support the decision of the Committee of Adjustment; and

WHEREAS the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism have been requested to report to the Toronto Community Council on options available to the City of Toronto to acquire the property to complete High Park; and

WHEREAS it is in the interest of the City of Toronto to uphold the decision of the Committee of Adjustment;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Solicitor be instructed to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing to support the decision of the Committee of Adjustment;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the City Solicitor be authorized to retain outside consultants, if needed.”

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.

(14) **Moved by:** **Councillor Adams**

Seconded by: **Councillor Moscoe**

“**WHEREAS** City Council at its meeting held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000, adopted, as amended, Clause No. 4 of Report No. 9 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed ‘Telecommunications Strategy’, and in so doing, referred the following motion to the Chief Administrative Officer for report thereon to Council at its meeting to be held on August 1, 2000:

Moved by Councillor Adams:

‘It is recommended that the City of Toronto enter into an agreement with Stream Intelligent Networks Corp. for a demonstration project of a fibre optic build employing sewer robot technology, based upon the confidential communication dated May 5, 2000, from the company, subject to an agreement being reached which is acceptable to the Executive Lead on Telecommunications, the City Solicitor, the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, the Chief of Police, the Fire Chief and the General Manager, Toronto Ambulance.’; and

WHEREAS the Chief Administrative Officer and the Executive Lead on Telecommunications have submitted the attached joint report dated July 28, 2000, entitled ‘Unsolicited Telecommunication Proposal from Stream Intelligent Networks’;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council consider the aforementioned joint report dated July 28, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer and the Executive Lead on Telecommunications, and that such report be received, for information.”

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and, in so doing, Council received the joint report dated July 28, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer and the Executive Lead on Telecommunications, for information.

(15) **Moved by:** **Councillor Mihevc**

Seconded by: **Councillor Walker**

“**WHEREAS** on February 18, 2000, the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB) approved demolition of 246 rental units at 310—320 Tweedsmuir Avenue; and

WHEREAS on June 7, 2000, the Ontario Municipal Board confirmed its intention that current and former tenants of 310— 320 Tweedsmuir Avenue are to receive assistance from the landlord; and

WHEREAS the Tweedsmuir tenants have so far contributed \$5,000.00 towards the legal costs associated with the OMB hearings and implementation of the decisions; and

WHEREAS Legal Aid Ontario, in recognition of the potential impact on tenants in the City of Toronto where there are applications to demolish rental housing, has provided financial assistance to the Tweedsmuir tenants to obtain legal representation before the Board; and

WHEREAS in implementing the Board's decision, the Tweedsmuir tenants require further legal representation; and

WHEREAS Legal Aid Ontario is considering a proposal to fund further legal representation, but has indicated it may only be able to fund a portion of further costs; and

WHEREAS the Tweedsmuir tenants are undertaking further fundraising to assist with these additional costs, however, they will only be able to raise a small amount of funding; and

WHEREAS the owner of 310—320 Tweedsmuir Avenue, Goldist Properties Inc., has been approached to cover the tenants' legal costs in order to facilitate the implementation of the Board's decision in a timely manner, however, no commitment has been made to date to cover any of the tenants' legal costs; and

WHEREAS the timely and effective implementation of the Board's decision has implications for similar such cases involving the proposed demolition of rental housing, and the City has an interest in ensuring that the Tweedsmuir tenants are properly represented through this implementation process;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council acknowledge the assistance which Legal Aid Ontario has provided to the Tweedsmuir tenants and to the Ontario Municipal Board by providing funding for legal representation at both a hearing and continuation of the hearing;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT City Council ensure the Tweedsmuir tenants continue to have legal representation through the process of implementing the Board's decision, by approving a grant to the Tweedsmuir Tenants' Association for the purpose of covering the cost of legal representation; the amount of the grant not to exceed \$5,000.00 plus applicable taxes, and the funds to be allocated from the Tenant Defence Fund (the cost of the grant is based on the fee schedule of Legal Aid Ontario)."

Disposition: *The Motion was referred to the Community Services Committee.*

(16) **Moved by:** **Councillor Rae**

Seconded by: **Councillor Chow**

“WHEREAS Council authorized the establishment of Bus and Delivery Vehicle Parking Zones and Bus Loading Zones and an application for set fines is still outstanding; and

WHEREAS Council established set fines for parking meter offences which are lower than those recommended by Works and Emergency Services' staff for Bus and Delivery Vehicle Parking Zones and Bus Loading Zones and it is necessary to amend By-law No. 542-1999 to allow the set fine application to be filed; and

WHEREAS the Toronto Police Service should be requested to exercise its authority under Subsection 170(15) of the Highway Traffic Act to tow vehicles in contravention of the by-laws respecting Bus and Delivery Vehicle Parking Zones and Bus Loading Zones, where such contravention is impeding traffic circulation and compromising pedestrian safety; and

WHEREAS the Toronto Police Service and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services should be requested to erect Tow-Away Zone signs at mutually-agreed locations to address this matter; and

WHEREAS the City Solicitor has prepared the attached report dated July 31, 2000, with respect to these matters;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council give consideration to the above-mentioned report dated July 31, 2000, from the City Solicitor, and that such report be adopted."

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, subject to adding to the Operative Paragraph, the words "subject to amending Recommendation No. (1), embodied therein, by deleting the figures '\$20.00 and \$30.00', and inserting in lieu thereof the figures '\$200.00 and \$300.00' ", so that such Operative Paragraph shall now read as follows:*

"NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council give consideration to the above-mentioned report dated July 31, 2000, from the City Solicitor, and that such report be adopted, subject to amending Recommendation No. (1), embodied therein, by deleting the figures '\$20.00 and \$30.00', and inserting in lieu thereof the figures '\$200.00 and \$300.00'."

By its adoption of the Motion, as amended, Council adopted, as amended, the report dated July 31, 2000, from the City Solicitor, the recommendations embodied in such report to now read as follows:

"It is recommended that:

- (1) voluntary payments and set fines for Bus and Delivery Vehicle Parking Zones and Bus Loading Zones be set at \$200.00 and \$300.00, respectively;***

- (2) *authority be given to amend By-law No. 542-1999 to implement Recommendation No. (1), above;*
- (3) *the Toronto Police Service be requested to exercise their towing authority in these Parking and Loading Zones where there is contravention of the relevant by-laws and traffic circulation and pedestrian safety are compromised; and*
- (4) *Tow-Away Zone signs be posted in Parking and Loading Zone areas mutually agreed to by the Toronto Police Service and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services.”*

(17) **Moved by:** Councillor Rae

Seconded by: Councillor Chow

“**WHEREAS** the Film Industry in Toronto creates a large number of jobs and opportunities for many of our talented citizens; and

WHEREAS the production, ‘Jackie Ethel Joan – Women of Camelot’, is currently in production in downtown Toronto; and

WHEREAS the production company has asked to have the King Street streetcar (504) either temporarily re-routed on Monday, August 7, 2000 or paused for up to twenty minute intervals to accommodate this production; and

WHEREAS the Toronto Transit Commission has refused this request based on the need for full streetcar service to accommodate the Caribana Festival; and

WHEREAS the Caribana Festival on this day is located on Olympic Island and therefore away from the downtown core;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Toronto Transit Commission be requested to accommodate Pebblehut Camelot Productions with their scheduled production shoot on Monday, August 7, 2000.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, subject to adding to the Operative Paragraph the words “subject to Pebblehut Camelot Productions reimbursing the Toronto Transit Commission for any loss of revenue”, so that such Operative Paragraph shall now read as follows:*

“NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Toronto Transit Commission be requested to accommodate Pebblehut Camelot Productions with their scheduled production shoot on Monday, August 7, 2000, subject to Pebblehut Camelot Productions reimbursing the Toronto Transit Commission for any loss of revenue.”

(18) **Moved by:** **Councillor King**

Seconded by: **Councillor Adams**

“**WHEREAS** the Committee of Adjustment (North District) approved an application to sever Block 64 from the Bowan Court Subdivision Agreement and recommended the conveyance of the part thereof to the adjoining landowners of lots 26, 27 and 28, despite the objection of the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA); and

WHEREAS the Bowan Court Subdivision Agreement was carefully drafted with the assistance of the TRCA to ensure that the principles of the Valley and Stream Corridor Management Program of the TRCA were respected; and

WHEREAS the City of Toronto will have to consider amending the Bowan Court Subdivision Agreement, prior to the implementation of the Committee of Adjustment decision; and

WHEREAS the TRCA has directed it’s staff to appeal the decision of the Committee of Adjustment to the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB); and

WHEREAS the OMB hearing will be expensive for the TRCA and redundant if the City were to decide to not amend the Subdivision Agreement;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Toronto City Council request the North York Community Council to review the Committee of Adjustment decision (attached), which is premised on City Council amending the Subdivision Agreement, at its September meeting;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the North York Community Council make a recommendation to the October 3, 2000 City Council meeting regarding amending the Subdivision Agreement.”

Disposition: Having regard that a motion to waive Notice did not carry, consideration of the Motion was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on October 3, 2000.

(19) **Moved by:** **Councillor Kelly**

Seconded by: **Councillor Duguid**

“**WHEREAS** illegal postering and placing of signs on telephone and electrical poles is an unsightly phenomenon that makes our communities appear more unkempt; and

WHEREAS sign and poster littering give rise to unsafe driving conditions by obstructing sight lines at many of the City’s intersections; and

WHEREAS the ‘Clean Toronto’ campaign and the City of Toronto recognizes illegal sign proliferation as ‘garbage’; and

WHEREAS the Municipal Licensing and Standards Division lacks the resources to remove illegal posters and signs; and

WHEREAS residents have become so disillusioned with the City’s capacity to enforce its own by-laws that they have been taking the matter of removing illegal signs into their own hands;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOVED THAT all By-law Enforcement Officers, regardless of Department, be immediately directed to remove posters and signs ;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT all By-law Enforcement Officers ensure that illegally posted signs that go up in the future are also removed;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Works and Emergency Services Department co-operate with the Municipal Licensing and Standards Division and provide the equipment that is necessary to take down illegal posters and signs.”

Disposition: The Motion was referred to the Works Committee.

(20) **Moved by: Councillor Rae**

Seconded by: Councillor Chow

“**WHEREAS** applications for the use of Nathan Phillips Square have been received from the following organizations for the following events:

- (1) the United Way of Greater Toronto, for Proctor and Gamble’s Leaps and Bounds for the United Way on September 10, 2000;
- (2) the AIDS Committee of Toronto, for the AIDS Walk Toronto on September 24, 2000; and
- (3) the Canadian Breast Cancer Foundation, for the CIBC Run for a Cure on October 1, 2000; and

WHEREAS the Municipal Code, Chapter 237, prohibits the solicitation and sale of alcoholic beverages on Nathan Phillips Square without the approval of City Council; and

WHEREAS the aforementioned applicants have requested that they be permitted to solicit for donations and/or sell alcoholic beverages on Nathan Phillips Square, as detailed in the attached reports dated July 27, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services; and

WHEREAS, having regard that these events will occur prior to the next regular meeting of City Council, approval of these applications is being sought at this meeting of Council;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Council give consideration to the attached reports dated July 27, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, and that such reports be adopted.”

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and, in so doing, Council adopted, without amendment, the following reports embodying the following recommendations:

- (a) *report dated July 27, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, entitled “Use of Nathan Phillips Square: Proctor and Gamble’s Leaps and Bounds for the United Way - September 10, 2000”:*

“It is recommended that:

- (1) *permission be granted to the event organizers of the Proctor and Gamble’s Leaps and Bounds for the United Way to solicit donations in support of the United Way of Greater Toronto, a non-profit organization; and*
- (2) *the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to given effect thereto.”;*

- (b) *report dated July 27, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, entitled “Use of Nathan Phillips Square - AIDS Walk Toronto - September 24, 2000”:*

“It is recommended that:

- (1) *permission be granted to the event organizers of the AIDS Walk Toronto to solicit donations in support of the AIDS Committee of Toronto, a non-profit organization;*
- (2) *permission be granted to the event organizers to operate a tented beer garden, contingent upon the following conditions:*
- (a) *approval of the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario (A.G.C.O.);*
- (b) *approval of the Medical Officer of Health;*

- (c) *compliance with the City of Toronto's Municipal Alcohol Policy; and*
 - (d) *receipt of the necessary permits associated with the production of the event; i.e. a building permit; and*
- (3) *the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to given effect thereto.”; and*
- (c) *report dated July 27, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, entitled “Use of Nathan Phillips Square: Canadian Breast Cancer Foundation’s CIBC Run for the Cure - October 1, 2000”:*

“It is recommended that:

- (1) *permission be granted to the event organizers of the Canadian Breast Cancer Foundation’s CIBC Run for the Cure to solicit donations in support of the Canadian Breast Cancer Foundation, a non-profit organization; and*
- (2) *the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to given effect thereto.”.*

(21) **Moved by:** Councillor Walker

Seconded by: Councillor Johnston

“WHEREAS it is a fundamental principle of democracy that voters and taxpayers have all possible information available to them before they vote; and

WHEREAS, if the assessment roll will be ready November 14, 2000, it is just as possible to make it ready for November 1, 2000;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council state unequivocally that the new assessment figures for the 2001 taxation year must be made public two weeks prior to the municipal election.”

Disposition: *The Motion was ruled out of order.*

(22) **Moved by:** **Councillor Sinclair**

Seconded by: **Councillor Duguid**

“**WHEREAS**, in recognition that the City of Toronto’s corporate image is one of its most valuable assets, Council has approved the Corporate Identity Program developed by the Corporate Communications Division, in collaboration with the Chief Administrative Officer, all departments and the Toronto Protocol Office, that unifies our portfolio of services to the public; and

WHEREAS the City of Toronto logo represents the Corporation of the City of Toronto, its departments and associated bodies across all facets of municipal business; and

WHEREAS two of our most important and visible associated bodies are the Toronto Police Service and the Toronto Transit Commission;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in keeping with the recent Council approval of the Corporate Identity Program, Council request the Toronto Police Service and the Toronto Transit Commission to work with the City’s Corporate Communications Division to feature the City of Toronto’s corporate logo on all their rolling stock, consistent with its use on Ambulances and Fire vehicles.”

Disposition: The Motion was referred to the Administration Committee.

(23) **Moved by:** **Councillor Moscoe**

Seconded by: **Councillor Mihevc**

“**WHEREAS** the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC), at an in-camera meeting held on June 28, 2000, considered a confidential memorandum from Mr. R. Ducharme, Chief General Manager, entitled ‘Renewal of Licence Agreements for Islington, Kipling and Finch Commuter Parking Lots’; and

WHEREAS the implementation of Current Value Assessment by the Province of Ontario has resulted in significant increases in the assessed value of the commuter parking lots operated by the Toronto Transit Commission for the benefit of TTC patrons, including those lots at Islington, Kipling and Finch Subway Stations leased by the City and the Toronto Transit Commission from Ontario Hydro; and

WHEREAS the significant increase in assessments for the lots operated by the Toronto Transit Commission will result in substantial cost increases to operate the lots; and

WHEREAS Toronto Transit Commission commuter parking lots serve as an essential tool in encouraging transit ridership and providing assistance in the relief of traffic congestion on the streets of the City of Toronto;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council take the appropriate action to seek a property tax exemption for Toronto Transit Commission-operated commuter parking lots, including hydro corridors which are used for this purpose.”

Disposition: *The Motion was referred to the Policy and Finance Committee.*

(24) **Moved by:** **Councillor Duguid**

Seconded by: **Councillor Kelly**

“**WHEREAS** the Canadian Radio-Television and Telecommunications Commission (CRTC) is scheduled to hear, as early as September 2000, an application of Rogers Communications Inc. and Shaw Communications Inc. to exchange Rogers’ subscribers in the Vancouver-area with Shaw’s subscribers in Southern Ontario (including Scarborough) and New Brunswick; and

WHEREAS Shaw local coverage, through the Scarborough Pickering News (SPN), provides daily professional news coverage of local community issues that are often not covered by any other television media; and

WHEREAS the most recent viewership rating figures show that 42.3 percent of Scarborough customers over 17 years of age watch SPN at least once per week and 23 percent watch SPN every day; and

WHEREAS Toronto City Council recognizes the importance of ensuring that community residents are informed about current events in their local neighbourhoods; and

WHEREAS City Council recognizes that if the Rogers/Shaw subscriber exchange is to proceed without safeguarding the quality of local news programming currently provided by Shaw, that Scarborough residents could lose a much-valued community service and an opportunity for residents throughout the rest of the City of Toronto to obtain a similar standard of local community news broadcasting will be lost;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council request that the CRTC protect the quality of local news programming currently provided to the Scarborough community by Shaw Communications Inc., and that it encourage a similar level of professional local news coverage across Toronto during its deliberations and recommendations on the Rogers Communications/Shaw Communications Inc. subscriber exchange application;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT a copy of this resolution be forwarded to other local councils covered by Shaw, including Pickering City Council, the Region of York, the local Councils of Richmond Hill, Vaughan, Whitechurch-Stouffville, Markham, King Township, and Bolton.”

Disposition: *The Motion was referred to the Scarborough Community Council and the Telecommunications Steering Committee.*

(25) **Moved by:** **Councillor Berardinetti**

Seconded by: **Councillor Holyday**

“**WHEREAS** Council, at its meeting of July 4, 5 and 6, 2000, adopted Clause No. 3 of Report No. 14 of The Administration Committee, headed ‘Purchasing Policies and By-law’; and

WHEREAS, in doing so, Council changed the recommended Standing Committee award limit of contracts from greater than \$2.0 million where the lowest bidder meeting specifications and requirements is recommended for award to an award limit of greater than \$2.0 million but not greater than \$5.0 million; and

WHEREAS the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, by report dated July 27 2000, is recommending a change to the Purchasing By-law to provide for the Bid Committee’s and Standing Committee’s authority during the summer recess and election periods when Standing Committees or Council meetings are not held; and

WHEREAS, in the absence of such delegated authority, there will be a delay in the awarding of contracts which would affect the delivery of goods and services required in day-to-day operations and the completion of construction work, particularly during the short construction season;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 3 of Report No. 14 of The Administration Committee, headed ‘Purchasing Policies and By-law’, be re-opened for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the extended authority of the Bid Committee and the Standing Committees;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Council give consideration to the aforementioned report dated July 27, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, and that such report be adopted.”

Disposition: *Council re-opened Clause No. 3 of Report No. 14 of The Administration Committee, headed “Purchasing Policies and By-law”, for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the extended authority of the Bid Committee and the Standing Committees, and adopted the balance of the Motion, subject to adding thereto the following new Operative Paragraphs:*

“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to submit a report to the Administration Committee, at the earliest available opportunity following the period in question, on the transactions that were approved under this authority;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, except with respect to issues considered during the summer recess and the election period, the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to notify Members of Council, in writing, if this authority is being assumed.”

By its adoption of the balance of the Motion, as amended, Council adopted, without amendment, the report dated July 27, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, embodying the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) Sections 8 and 10 of By-law No. 462-2000, the Purchasing By-law, be revised in accordance with Appendix ‘A’ attached to this report, in order to grant the Bid Committee and the Standing Committees an extended authority to award contracts within the award limits of Standing Committees and Council when their meetings are cancelled and during the summer recess and election periods when such meetings are not held; and***
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”***

(26) Moved by: Councillor Feldman

Seconded by: Councillor Moscoe

“WHEREAS the Capital Budget for Traffic Calming, Account Code CTP 800-6, is allocated on a City-wide basis; and

WHEREAS each District is permitted to draw funding against the Traffic Calming Capital Budget; and

WHEREAS funding for traffic calming may be disproportionately dispersed to each District, depending on the particular traffic calming projects; and

WHEREAS funding for District traffic calming projects may exceed the available funds provided in the Traffic Calming Capital Budget; and

WHEREAS it is necessary for this Council to consider this matter because of the September deadlines proposed for the 2001 Capital Budget;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT each District, at the time of the preparation of the Capital Budget, project the extent of traffic calming to be undertaken within that year;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT a Traffic Calming Capital Budget be provided for each District to address the specific traffic calming projects;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT any remaining traffic calming funding not committed within the District be made available to cover shortfalls for other District traffic calming projects.”

Disposition: The Motion was referred to the Policy and Finance Committee.

(27) **Moved by: Councillor Filion**

Seconded by: Councillor Flint

“**WHEREAS** the North York Community Council approved a by-law which prohibits below-grade garages on lots with a frontage under 45 feet that have been created by severance; and

WHEREAS on July 13, 2000, an application was made to the Committee of Adjustment, by Hazelton Construction Inc., to sever Plan 2097 PT LOT 7 (206 Ellerslie Avenue) File No. B036/00NY, in order to create two (2) lots (206 Ellerslie Avenue East and 206 Ellerslie Avenue West); and

WHEREAS at the same meeting, the Committee of Adjustment approved several variances for East 206 (A264/00NY) and West 206 (A265/00NY), including variances to allow the elevation of the entrance of the floor of the garages on both properties to be located below the elevation of the centre of the street; and

WHEREAS these decisions could set a precedent in this neighbourhood, which would seriously erode the intent of City by-laws with respect to below-grade garages;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City support By-law No. 7625, as amended, by appealing the Committee of Adjustment’s decision for 206 Ellerslie Avenue West and 206 Ellerslie Avenue East, with respect to the below-grade garages only;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the City Solicitor be instructed to submit a Notice of Appeal immediately;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT legal staff be instructed to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing in support of this appeal.”

Disposition: *The Motion was referred to the North York Community Council.*

(28) **Moved by:** **Councillor Filion**

Seconded by: **Councillor Moscoe**

“WHEREAS parking was recently prohibited on both sides of Avondale Avenue, west of Willowdale Avenue; and

WHEREAS the cars previously parked on Avondale Avenue have moved to the cul-de-sac at the easterly end of Avondale Avenue, technically known as Willowdale Avenue; and

WHEREAS the cul-de-sac is now jammed, with parked cars preventing garbage trucks and other City vehicles from turning around;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT parking be prohibited on both sides of Willowdale Avenue, from Avondale Avenue north to the cul-de-sac, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday.”

Disposition: *The Motion was referred to the North York Community Council.*

(29) **Moved by:** **Councillor Mihevc**

Seconded by: **Councillor Moscoe**

“WHEREAS City Council at its meeting held on October 26 and 27 1999, adopted, without amendment, Clause No. 16 of Report No. 4 of The Works Committee, entitled ‘Advance Warning on W.R. Allen Road at Eglinton Avenue West (Ward 28, York-Eglinton; Ward 22, North Toronto)’, and, in so doing, approved the installation of rumble strips at the foot of the Allen Road; and

WHEREAS the rumble strips have been installed this past weekend; and

WHEREAS hundreds of residents have complained about the inability to sleep as a result of the noise emanating from the rumble strips; and

WHEREAS hundreds of residents have complained about the inability to use their yards and porches because of the rumble strips;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 16 of Report No. 4 of The Works Committee, headed ‘Advance Warning on W.R. Allen Road at Eglinton Avenue West (Ward 28, York-Eglinton; Ward 22, North Toronto)’, be re-opened for further consideration;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the rumble strips be removed forthwith;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Transportation Division, in the interim, recommend alternative methods of reducing traffic speeds and a report thereon be forwarded to the Works Committee.”

Disposition: Council re-opened Clause No. 16 of Report No. 4 of The Works Committee, headed “Advance Warning on W.R. Allen Road at Eglinton Avenue West (Ward 28, York-Eglinton; Ward 22, North Toronto)”, for further consideration, and adopted the balance of the Motion, subject to:

- (1) *deleting from the second Operative Paragraph, the word “forthwith”, and inserting in lieu thereof the words “no later than August 9, 2000”, so that such Operative Paragraph shall now read as follows:*

“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the rumble strips be removed no later than August 9, 2000;”; and

- (2) *inserting in the third Operative Paragraph, after the words “in the interim”, the words “after consultation with the local community”, so that such Operative Paragraph shall now read as follows:*

“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Transportation Division, in the interim, after consultation with the local community, recommend alternative methods of reducing traffic speeds and a report thereon be forwarded to the Works Committee.”

(30) **Moved by: Councillor Filion**

Seconded by: Councillor Flint

“WHEREAS the provisions of the former City of North York Zoning By-law do not permit below grade garages in certain situations and, in particular, the Zoning By-law requires that the floor of the entrance of the garage be at or above the elevation of the centre line of the street when: the lot fronts on a street that is not serviced by municipal storm sewers; the lot frontage is greater than 13.7 metres; the lot is 13.7 metres or less and the lot was created by consent; or, the driveway is in the rear yard or side yard; and

WHEREAS owners of land are applying to the Committee of Adjustment for variances to allow below grade garages, in situations where the Zoning By-law does not permit below grade garages; and

WHEREAS in situations where the Committee of Adjustment dismisses the application for variances to permit below grade garages, the owner frequently appeals the decision of the Committee of Adjustment to the Ontario Municipal Board; and

WHEREAS there have been recent examples where the Committee of Adjustment has granted variance applications to permit below grade garages, notwithstanding the provisions of the Zoning By-law; and

WHEREAS it is in the City's interest to uphold the provisions of the Zoning By-law prohibiting below grade garages; and

WHEREAS, in view of City Council's summer recess and subsequent election recess, it is important that the City continue to uphold its by-laws during the period when City Council is not able to give the necessary directions to staff respecting appeals of Committee of Adjustment decisions dealing with below grade garages;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT during City Council's summer and election recess, the City Solicitor, in consultation with the Chief Planner, be authorized to appeal, on behalf of the City of Toronto, any Committee of Adjustment decisions in the North District comprising the former City of North York, to the Ontario Municipal Board, where the Committee of Adjustment has granted variances permitting a below grade garage, notwithstanding that the Zoning By-law prohibits same;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT during City Council's summer and election recess, the City Solicitor and appropriate staff be authorized to appear at Ontario Municipal Board hearings where an owner of land has appealed a Committee of Adjustment decision in the North District, comprising the former City of North York, refusing variances for a below grade garage in situations where the Zoning By-law prohibits a below grade garage."

Disposition: *The Motion was referred to the North York Community Council.*

(31) **Moved by:** **Councillor Adams**

Seconded by: **Councillor Moscoe**

“WHEREAS City Council, at its meeting held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000, adopted, as amended, Clause No. 4 of Report No. 9 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed ‘Telecommunications Strategy’, and in so doing, approved Term Letter Agreements with Stream Intelligent Networks Corp., GT Group Telecom Services Corp. and CI Communications Inc. (or their affiliates) to authorize the installation and maintenance of conduits and fibre optic cables within certain public highways, subject to terms and conditions satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor; and

WHEREAS in so doing, Council amended the Term Letter Agreement with CI Communications Inc. (or its affiliate) to provide that the Agreement was also subject to the City obtaining ownership and use of two spare ducts on each route segment; and

WHEREAS the Term Letter Agreements with Stream Intelligent Networks Corp. and GT Group Telecom Services Corp. (or their affiliates) were not subject to this additional condition; and

WHEREAS it is appropriate to ensure that there is a consistent process for ensuring that all empty duct installed by telecommunications companies is managed in the public interest, and that the City is appropriately compensated; and

WHEREAS the City has, since the last meeting of Council, reached a Term Letter Agreement with Wispra Networks Inc;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 4 of Report No. 9 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed ‘Telecommunications Strategy’, be re-opened for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the Term Letter Agreements with CI Communications Inc., Stream Intelligent Networks Corp. and GT Group Telecom Services Corp. (or their affiliates);

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Term Letter Agreement with CI Communications Inc. be amended to remove the requirement that the Company provide the City duct;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Council consider the attached confidential report dated August 1, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer and the Executive Lead on Telecommunications, and that such report be adopted; thereby requesting staff to report to Council on methods to ensure that the City is appropriately compensated for spare duct installed in City rights-of-way, through cash compensation, transfer of ducts to the City or both;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Council also consider the attached confidential report dated July 28, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, respecting a Term Letter Agreement with Wispra, and that such report be adopted.”

Disposition: *Council re-opened Clause No. 4 of Report No. 9 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed “Telecommunications Strategy”, for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the Term Letter Agreements with CI Communications Inc., Stream Intelligent Networks Corp. and GT Group Telecom Services Corp. (or their affiliates), and adopted the balance of the Motion, subject to:*

- (1) *amending the third Operative Paragraph by inserting, after the words “and that such report be adopted”, the words “subject to amending Recommendation No. (1) embodied therein to provide that the report requested of the Chief Administrative Officer and the Executive Lead on Telecommunications for the September 2000 meeting of the Telecommunications Steering Committee also include a policy for vaults and lateral connections, as well as a policy for empty ducts”, so that such Operative Paragraph shall now read as follows:*

“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Council consider the attached confidential report dated August 1, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer and the Executive Lead on Telecommunications, and that such report be adopted, subject to amending Recommendation No. (1) embodied therein to provide that the report requested of the Chief Administrative Officer and the Executive Lead on Telecommunications for the September 2000 meeting of the Telecommunications Steering Committee also include a policy for vaults and lateral connections, as well as a policy for empty ducts; thereby requesting staff to report to Council on methods to ensure that the City is appropriately compensated for spare duct installed in City rights-of-way, through cash compensation, transfer of ducts to the City or both;”;

- (2) *amending the fourth Operative Paragraph by adding, after the words, “and that such report be adopted”, the words “subject to the Municipal Access Agreement with Wispra Networks Inc. including a clause acceptable to the Executive Lead on Telecommunications and the City Solicitor which addresses the following:*

- (a) *the City reserves the right to issue construction permits with terms and conditions which are reasonably necessary for reducing the cumulative impacts on the roadway itself and the other users; and*
- (b) *the City reserves the right to issue construction permits subject to future City policies regarding vaults, lateral connections and empty ducts.”,*

so that such Operative Paragraph shall now read as follows:

“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Council also consider the attached confidential report dated July 28, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, respecting a Term Letter Agreement with Wispra, and that such report be adopted, subject to the Municipal Access Agreement with Wispra Networks Inc. including a clause acceptable to the Executive Lead on Telecommunications and the City Solicitor which addresses the following:

- (a) the City reserves the right to issue construction permits with terms and conditions which are reasonably necessary for reducing the cumulative impacts on the roadway itself and the other users; and***
 - (b) the City reserves the right to issue construction permits subject to future City policies regarding vaults, lateral connections and empty ducts.”; and***
- (3) adding thereto the following new Operative Paragraphs:***

“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Municipal Access Agreements with Stream Intelligent Networks Corp., GT Group Telecom Services Corp. and CI Communications Inc. now be approved, subject to the inclusion of the same clause acceptable to the Executive Lead for Telecommunications and the City Solicitor, referred to above;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, in order to ensure a level playing field, all future Municipal Access Agreements and Street Crossing Agreements or equivalents, include the same provisions, and staff be requested to communicate, in writing, to those currently holding Municipal Access Agreements, Term Letter Agreements or Street Crossing Agreements with the City, that they will be subject to the same policies;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, in order to minimize repeat construction in the same high-demand routes, staff be requested to take immediate steps to ensure that telecommunications builds in the City core include, to the extent practical, the maximum amount of extra duct; the spare duct shall be constructed at the expense of the party(ies) building the duct and shall be owned by those

parties, but will be made available to other organizations at a later date on a proportional cost basis or some other basis acceptable to the respective parties and will be subject to future policy regarding vault and lateral connections and empty ducts.”

Council, by its adoption of the Motion, as amended, adopted, as amended, the following confidential reports:

- (a) *confidential joint report dated August 1, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer and the Executive Lead on Telecommunications, entitled “Empty Telecommunications Ducts”, such report now public in its entirety, the recommendations embodied therein amended to read as follows:*

“It is recommended that:

- (1) *the Chief Administrative Officer and the Executive Lead on Telecommunications report to the September 2000 meeting of the Telecommunications Steering Committee on methods for the City to be compensated for the installation of empty ducts, and methods for the City to participate in the management of those ducts, such report to also include a policy for vaults and lateral connections, as well as a policy for empty ducts; and*
 - (2) *in the interim, City staff will notify all telecommunications companies of Recommendation No. (1), before construction permits are issued.”; and*
- (b) *confidential report dated July 28, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, entitled “Term Letter Agreement for Telecommunications Installations - Wispra Networks Inc.”, such report to remain confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it contains information related to the security of property interests of the Municipality, save and except the recommendations embodied therein amended to read as follows:*

“It is recommended that:

- (1) approval be given to enter into a Term Letter Agreement with Wispra Networks Inc. (or Affiliate) to authorize the installation and maintenance of conduits and fibre optic cables within certain public highways, subject to the terms and conditions generally as set out in this report and such other terms and conditions as may be satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor, and subject to the Municipal Access Agreement with Wispra Networks Inc. including a clause acceptable to the Executive Lead on Telecommunications and the City Solicitor which addresses the following:*
 - (a) the City reserves the right to issue construction permits with terms and conditions which are reasonably necessary for reducing the cumulative impacts on the roadway itself and the other users; and*
 - (b) the City reserves the right to issue construction permits subject to future City policies regarding vaults, lateral connections and empty ducts; and*
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary steps to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.”*

(32) Moved by: Councillor Rae

Seconded by: Councillor Mihevc

“WHEREAS this Council has declared homelessness a national disaster; and

WHEREAS one of the main causes of homelessness is the difficulty in securing affordable housing; and

WHEREAS the Mayor’s Task Force on Homelessness stated that the City requires 2,000 new rental units per year; and

WHEREAS the supply of new rental units has been less than a tenth of the required supply; and

WHEREAS the proposed development at 25 Leonard Street serves the City's stated objectives to deliver over 50 units of affordable housing in a timely fashion; and

WHEREAS the proposed development at 25 Leonard Street which was approved at the Committee of Adjustment on July 11, 2000, was appealed to the Ontario Municipal Board;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council authorize the City Solicitor to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing, in support of the Committee of Adjustment's decision, with appropriate City Staff."

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.

(33) **Moved by: Councillor Johnston**

Seconded by: Councillor Walker

"WHEREAS at its meeting held on June 6, 2000, the Committee of Adjustment, South District, refused consent to sever one lot into two lots at 265 Glengrove Avenue West; and

WHEREAS it is the decision of the Committee of Adjustment, South District, that '...the application does not satisfy the requirements of Section 52(24) of the Planning Act and is not approved for the following reason(s):

- 'The suitability of the dimensions and shapes of the proposed lots has not been demonstrated; and
- The adequacy of roads, vehicular access and parking facilities have not been demonstrated, and the Committee of Adjustment, South District, refused also a minor variance application related to the proposed severance'; and

WHEREAS a number of residents attended the Committee of Adjustment to oppose the applications; and

WHEREAS the applicant is now appealing the Committee of Adjustment, South District, refusal of consent to sever and minor variances to the Ontario Municipal Board; and

WHEREAS we have just learned that there is a possibility of the Ontario Municipal Board setting a hearing for the 265 Glengrove Avenue West Appeal prior to the October 3, 2000 meeting of City Council;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Solicitor be requested to appear at the Ontario Municipal Board in support of the Committee of Adjustment decision to refuse consent to sever and minor variances at 265 Glengrove Avenue West."

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.

(34) **Moved by:** **Councillor Moscoe**

Seconded by: **Councillor Feldman**

“**WHEREAS** the Report of the Mayor’s Homelessness Action Task Force estimated that about 2,000 new below-market rental units are needed every year in Toronto just to meet the new demand; and

WHEREAS in the City of Toronto, there have only been 159 and 203 rental starts (excluding lifelease units) in 1998 and 1999, respectively; and

WHEREAS City Council endorsed the Task Force’s Action Plan which contains 104 recommendations; and

WHEREAS Recommendation No. 79 in the final report, states that ‘The City and its agencies, boards and commissions should defer development charges, land use application fees, park levies, hook-up fees and other charges for housing developments that meet affordability criteria.’; and

WHEREAS the obligation to repay any deferred fees, levies and charges will be that of the Home Ownership Alternatives Non-Profit Corporation, a mortgagee of the development, and payable out of the proceeds of its mortgages; and

WHEREAS the Toronto Housing Company endorses the arrangement proposed for the creation of these affordable rental seniors units; and

WHEREAS North York Community Council, at its meeting of May 23, 2000, resolved to advise the Planning and Development Committee that it favoured the deferral of levies and development charges for the proposed development of 650 Lawrence Avenue West, in order to create affordable units under the ownership of the City or a non-profit housing provider; and

WHEREAS the Planning and Transportation Committee, at its meeting of June 12, 2000, recommended:

- ‘(1) that parkland dedication requirements and fees for building permit applications, development charges and planning applications for 650 Lawrence Avenue West be deferred and placed as an encumbrance on all individual units to be registered on title to be repaid at the time when the property is sold or after a 22-year period, such period subject to possible renewal at the end thereof; and

- (2) that, as a condition of deferring the above levies, fees and development charges, the 32 residential units of housing associated with this property be conveyed to the ownership of the Toronto Housing Company, at no cost to the City of Toronto or the Toronto Housing Company, such conveyance to be subject to the approval of the Board of Directors of the Toronto Housing Company.’;

and referred such recommendations to the Policy and Finance Committee for consideration of their financial impact (Item (h) embodied in Clause No. 10 of Report No. 7 of The Planning and Transportation Committee); and

WHEREAS the Policy and Finance Committee, at its meeting of June 22, 2000, requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer to report on the proposal and such report dated August 1, 2000, is before Council as confidential communication No. C.15; and

WHEREAS City Council’s immediate consideration of this matter is required because Options for Homes will not be able to proceed on the same affordable cost basis if construction of its proposed development does not commence this autumn, notwithstanding that Policy and Finance Committee has not considered this matter as forwarded to it by the Planning and Transportation Committee; and

WHEREAS the Director of Community Planning, North District, recommended the approval of the Applications filed by Options for Homes to provide 444 affordable residential dwelling units at 650 Lawrence Avenue West, subject to conditions in a Final Report dated March 3, 2000;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the developer provide a number of suites equal to the development charges, levies and fees of approximately 1.6 million dollars;

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Resolution of the Board of Directors of the Toronto Housing Company, in-camera item 14 – Proposal to Acquire New Units – 650 Lawrence Avenue West, which reads as follows: ‘that the repayment of deferred development charges be made to the Toronto Housing Company and held in reserve for the potential redevelopment of North Acres.’, be approved; this resolution was made based on the first paragraph in the letter from McCarthy Tetrault attached hereto;

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT, notwithstanding the provisions of the Council Procedural By-law, Council now consider the aforementioned Recommendations Nos. (1) and (2) of the Planning and Transportation Committee, referred to above, and that they be amended to read as follows:

- ‘(1) that residential units of housing for seniors at 650 Lawrence Avenue West, having a value equivalent to \$1,600,000, be conveyed to the Toronto Housing Company at no charge; and

- (2) that cash in lieu of parkland dedication, building permit fees, development charges and planning application fees for the development located at 650 Lawrence Avenue West be paid to the City out of the proceeds of sale at the time when each unit in the project is sold.’,

and that these recommendations, as so amended, be adopted;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT authority be granted to enter into such agreements necessary to secure the aforementioned transaction, in a form and content satisfactory to the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the City Solicitor.”

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.

Council took no action on the confidential joint report dated August 1, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, such report to remain confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it contains information related to the security of property interests of the municipality.

(35) **Moved by: Councillor Berardinetti**

Seconded by: Councillor Duguid

“**WHEREAS** Bryon Boutis applied to the Committee of Adjustment, East District office, under Minor Variance Application No. A107/00SC, for permission to establish a vehicle sales and leasing operation, in addition to the currently permitted uses at 50 Wickware Gate; and

WHEREAS the Zoning By-law does not include vehicle sales as a permitted use; and

WHEREAS the Committee of Adjustment, on June 28, 2000, refused the application; and

WHEREAS the applicant has launched an appeal against the Committee of Adjustment’s refusal of the application to the Ontario Municipal Board; and

WHEREAS due to the summer recess, the earliest that direction can be obtained from Scarborough Community Council on whether the City Solicitor should be directed to attend the Ontario Municipal Board to oppose the application is at its meeting on September 19 and 20, 2000; and

WHEREAS an Ontario Municipal Board hearing to consider the application could be held without representation from City staff, prior to obtaining the Council direction;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Solicitor and planning staff be directed to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing to oppose the appeal of Minor Variance Application No. A107/00SC.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(36) **Moved by:** **Councillor Jakobek**

Seconded by: **Mayor Lastman**

“**WHEREAS** City Council at its meeting held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000, considered Clause No. 1 of Report No. 14 of The Administration Committee, headed ‘Establishing New Community Councils in the City of Toronto – All Wards’; and

WHEREAS Council approved a four (4) Community Council model and deferred consideration of the balance of the Clause until the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on August 1, 2000; and

WHEREAS some members of the public, including those who participated in the City forums, continue to express a strong desire for more than four Community Councils; and

WHEREAS six Community Councils strikes a balance between the desire to bring local government closer to the people, while also providing a Community Council size reflecting a mix of views; and

WHEREAS Council on August 1, 2000, decided against a Motion to re-open Clause No. 1 of Report No. 14 of The Administration Committee, headed ‘Establishing New Community Councils in the City of Toronto – All Wards’;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Council re-open the foregoing decision of Council;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 1 of Report No. 14 of The Administration Committee, headed ‘Establishing New Community Councils in the City of Toronto – All Wards’ be re-opened for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the number of Community Councils;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Council now establish six (6) Community Councils.”

Disposition: *Council re-opened the previous decision of Council pertaining to Notice of Motion J(8), and re-opened Clause No. 1 of Report No. 14 of The Administration Committee, headed “Establishing New Community Councils in the City of Toronto – All Wards”, for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the number of Community Councils, and considered the balance of the Motion with Clause No. 1 of Report No. 15 of The Administration Committee, headed “Establishing New Community Councils in the City of Toronto – All Wards”.*

(37) **Moved by:** **Councillor Flint**

Seconded by: **Councillor Berger**

“WHEREAS City Council at its meeting held on October 1 and 2, 1998, adopted, without amendment, the attached Clause No. 35 of Report No. 9 of The North York Community Council, headed ‘Post Road Road Allowance East of Bridle Heath Gate - North York Centre South’, which incorporated certain amendments which were moved at the North York Community Council meeting of September 16, 1998; and

WHEREAS the wording of the amendments that were adopted by the North York Community Council does not reflect the intent of the amendments; and

WHEREAS the proposed closure and sale of the road allowance must be advertised for four consecutive weeks, prior to a public hearing at the North York Community Council; and

WHEREAS, in order to proceed with the advertising so that the public hearing can be held by the North York Community Council, Council must first amend the recommendations that were adopted in 1998; and

WHEREAS it is desirable that the matter be brought to a satisfactory conclusion during this term of Council; and

WHEREAS the last North York Community Council of the term is scheduled for September 19 and 20, 2000;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Clause No. 35 of Report No. 9 of The North York Community Council, adopted by City Council on October 1 and 2, 1998, be amended as follows:

(1) Recommendation No. (1) be amended to read:

‘legally close the unimproved portion of the Post Road road allowance which extends east of Bridle Heath Gate.’;

- (2) Recommendation No. (3) be amended to read:

‘that the portion of road allowance to be closed which abuts No. 1 Bridle Heath Gate, save and except the southerly 4 metres thereof, be declared surplus to the City’s needs and be offered for sale to the abutting property owners, in accordance with the requirements of the Municipal Act, and that the remainder of the road allowance to be closed be dedicated as parkland;’; and

- (3) the following additional Recommendation No. (5) be added thereto:

‘that the cost of advertising the proposed By-law be paid up front by the Parks and Recreation Division, and that, in the event that the lands abutting No. 1 Bridle Heath Gate are sold, the cost of the advertising be recovered from the purchaser and the Parks and Recreation Division be reimbursed for same;’;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the necessary By-law to implement the foregoing for consideration by the North York Community Council at its meeting to be held on September 19, 2000.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(38) **Moved by:** **Councillor Layton**

Seconded by: **Councillor Pitfield**

“**WHEREAS** City Council, at its meeting held on June 7, 8 and 9, 2000, in adopting, without amendment, Clause No. 5 of Report No. 9 of The Toronto Community Council, headed ‘Requests for Endorsement of Events for Liquor Licensing Purposes’, among other things, declared the ‘Taste of the Danforth’ event to be an event of municipal and/or community significance, taking place on August 11, 2000, from 6:00 p.m. to midnight, August 12, 2000, from noon to midnight, and August 13, 2000, from noon to 8:00 p.m.; and

WHEREAS the Greektown on the Danforth Business Improvement Association has requested that the restaurants participating in the ‘Taste of the Danforth Event’ be permitted to serve alcohol on their extended patios for the same hours as already existing patios on Danforth Avenue only;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 5 of Report No. 9 of The Toronto Community Council, headed, ‘Requests for Endorsement of Events for Liquor Licensing Purposes’, be re-opened for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the operating hours for those restaurants with patio extensions on Danforth Avenue, participating in the ‘Taste of the Danforth’ event;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the patio extensions be permitted to serve alcohol until 2:00 a.m. on Friday August 11, 2000, and Saturday, August 12, 2000, and until 8:00 p.m. on Sunday August 13, 2000, and that the Alcohol and Gaming Commission be so advised.”

Disposition: *Council re-opened Clause No. 5 of Report No. 9 of The Toronto Community Council, headed “Requests for Endorsement of Events for Liquor Licensing Purposes”, for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the operating hours for those restaurants with patio extensions on Danforth Avenue, participating in the “Taste of the Danforth” event, and adopted the balance of the Motion, without amendment.*

(39) **Moved by:** **Councillor Layton**

Seconded by: **Councillor Prue**

“**WHEREAS** a boulevard café application has received approval by City officials for the location known as 484 Danforth Avenue (Alessandro’s); and

WHEREAS the location in the parkette on the northeast corner of Logan Avenue and Danforth Avenue is an important community and public space; and

WHEREAS the approval of the café would more appropriately come before Toronto Community Council and then City Council for approval; and

WHEREAS there is an urgency with regard to the upcoming ‘Taste of the Danforth’ festival;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council revoke the permit for the patio at 484 Danforth Avenue, and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to submit a report on the proposal to the Toronto Community Council for its meeting to be held on September 20, 2000;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Greektown B.I.A. be consulted in the preparation of this report.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(40) **Moved by:** **Councillor Moscoe**

Seconded by: **Councillor Feldman**

“**WHEREAS** residents of Viewmount Avenue, Glenmount Avenue and Hillmount Avenue have expressed concerns for traffic operations and on-street parking in the vicinity of the Bialik Hebrew Day School; and

WHEREAS there is an urgency for the installation of the following traffic calming measures, prior to the September 2000 school year commencing; and

WHEREAS staff of the Transportation Services Division have advised that existing turn/entry prohibitions and traditional enforcement by the Toronto Police Service, Parking Enforcement Unit, has had minimal positive impacts on traffic flow or illegal parking;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the existing a.m. and p.m. peak hour turn restrictions at the Bathurst Street/Viewmount Avenue intersection be rescinded and that northbound left and southbound right turns be prohibited from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and 3:30 p.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday to Friday, at the Bathurst Street/Hillmount Avenue intersection;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT stopping be prohibited at any time on the south side of Hillmount Avenue, from Bathurst Street to a point 80 metres west;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT parking be prohibited at any time on the north side of Viewmount Avenue, from Bathurst Street to a point 30 metres west and from a point 94 metres west of Bathurst Street to Glenmount Avenue;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT parking be prohibited from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the west side of Glenmount Avenue, between Hillmount Avenue and Viewmount Avenue, and from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 a.m., Monday to Friday, on the east side of Glenmount Avenue, from Viewmount Avenue to Hillmount Avenue;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT parking be permitted for periods of up to a maximum of 30 minutes, from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the north side of Viewmount Avenue, from a point 30 metres west of Bathurst Street to a point 94 metres west of Bathurst Street, on the east side of Glenmount Avenue, between Viewmount Avenue and Hillmount Avenue, and on the south side of Hillmount Avenue, from Glenmount Avenue to a point 80 metres west of Bathurst Street.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(41) **Moved by:** **Councillor Jones**

Seconded by: **Councillor Kinahan**

“**WHEREAS** the south side of Lake Shore Boulevard West, between Twenty Third Street and Thirty First Street, was recently reconstructed to improve the pedestrian amenity areas, as authorized by the adoption of Clause No. 19 of Report No. 11 of The Etobicoke Community Council, headed ‘Long Branch Mainstreets Pilot Project, Reconstruction of the South Side of Lake Shore Boulevard West, Twenty Second Street to Thirty First Street (Lakeshore-Queensway)’, by City Council on September 28 and 29, 1999; and

WHEREAS all the road and sidewalk reconstruction work has now been completed; and

WHEREAS the reconstruction of the street included a reconfiguring of the road cross-section to include a Designated Bicycle Lane; and

WHEREAS Section 314, paragraph 10 of the Municipal Act requires that, before a by-law is passed designating any lane on a highway as a Bicycle Lane, there must first be four weeks' notice advertised in a newspaper and the notice shall indicate the date and time at which Council will consider passing the proposed by-law;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the advertising for the proposed Designated Bicycle Lane on the south side of Lake Shore Boulevard West, between Twenty Third Street and Thirty First Street, commence in adequate time for the by-law to be presented to Council for enactment at its meeting to be held on October 3, 4 and 5, 2000."

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.

(42) **Moved by: Councillor King**

Seconded by: Councillor O'Brien

"**WHEREAS** the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority has appealed the decision of the Committee of Adjustment to allow Bowan Investments Inc. to subdivide Part of Block 64, Plan 66M-2304; and

WHEREAS the parcel of land, Block 64, is within the valley corridor of the Don River; and

WHEREAS, when the subdivision was approved in 1995, North York Planning staff developed conditions for the subdivision, in consultation with the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority and the developer;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Solicitor be requested to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing in support of the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority with respect to this matter."

Disposition: The Motion was withdrawn, on the understanding that Councillor King could present her motion at such time as Council gives consideration to Notice of Motion J(18) which deals with the same matter.

(43) **Moved by: Councillor Moscoe**

Seconded by: Councillor Rae

"**BE IT RESOLVED THAT**, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 1 of Report No. 15 of The Administration Committee, headed 'Establishing New Community Councils in the City of Toronto (All Wards)', be re-opened for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the number of Community Councils."

Disposition: Notice of the foregoing Motion was given to permit consideration at the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on October 3, 2000.

CONDOLENCE MOTIONS:

(1) **Moved by: Councillor Walker**

Seconded by: Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski

“WHEREAS Michael Kukulewich died suddenly on July 16, 2000; and

WHEREAS Michael Kukulewich was actively involved in community life in South Eglinton where he lived all his life; and

WHEREAS Michael worked at Canada Post where he was a strong unionist fighting for the little guy; and

WHEREAS Michael effectively fought for his community, often making life difficult for politicians and bureaucrats at all three levels of government; and

WHEREAS Michael owned many race horses over the years and he instilled his passion for this sport in his family and many of his friends; and

WHEREAS Michael’s passion for life and irreverent disregard for authority infected all those who knew and loved him; and

WHEREAS Michael’s deepest love of all were his wife Laurie and his two children Rachel and John;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Clerk be directed to convey, on behalf of Members of City Council, our sincere sympathy to the Kukulewich family, especially his wife Laurie and his children Rachel and John.”

**“Michael was a great guy.
He’ll be sadly missed.”**

Disposition: The Motion was adopted unanimously.

(2) **Moved by: Councillor Kinahan**

Seconded by: Mayor Lastman

“WHEREAS the Members of Toronto City Council are saddened to learn of the passing of Mr. Charles E. Archibald, Q.C., on Friday, July 21, 2000; and

WHEREAS Mr. Archibald revealed his commitment to the City of Toronto through his activities in the legal and political community in Toronto; and

WHEREAS Mr. Archibald was a litigation lawyer since 1969 and was appointed to Queen's Council in 1982; and

WHEREAS Mr. Archibald served as a Deputy Judge in Ontario's Small Claims Court since 1993; and

WHEREAS Mr. Archibald was first appointed to the Metropolitan Licensing Commission in 1992, serving as Vice-Chair of the Commission in 1994 and Chair of the Commission from 1995 until 1998; and

WHEREAS Charles Archibald always fought for what he thought to be just;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Clerk be directed to convey, on behalf of Members of City Council, our sincere sympathy to the Archibald family, especially his wife Deborah and his daughters Shauna and Jennifer.”

Disposition: ***The Motion was adopted unanimously.***

Toronto, Ontario
August 10, 2000

for City Clerk