

CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENTS

[Please take note that the amendments to Clause No. 2 of Report No. 17 of The Works Committee have been revised and an Addendum to this Certificate of Amendments was issued on October 30 2000.

For ease of reference, the revisions have been incorporated into this document.]

Certified to be a true copy of amendments to:

Report No. 17 of The Administration Committee,
Report No. 18 of The Administration Committee,
Report No. 19 of The Administration Committee,
Report No. 8 of The Community Services Committee,
Report No. 9 of The Economic Development and Parks Committee,
Report No. 9 of The Planning and Transportation Committee,
Report No. 11 of The Policy and Finance Committee,
Report No. 12 of The Policy and Finance Committee,
Report No. 16 of The Works Committee,
Report No. 17 of The Works Committee,
Report No. 9 of The East York Community Council,
Report No. 10 of The Etobicoke Community Council,
Report No. 11 of The Etobicoke Community Council,
Report No. 12 of The Etobicoke Community Council,
Report No. 10 of The North York Community Council,
Report No. 10 of The Scarborough Community Council,
Report No. 14 of The Toronto Community Council,
Report No. 15 of The Toronto Community Council,
Report No. 16 of The Toronto Community Council,
Report No. 17 of The Toronto Community Council,
Report No. 18 of The Toronto Community Council,
Report No. 9 of The York Community Council,
Report No. 5 of The Audit Committee,
Report No. 6 of The Audit Committee,
Report No. 7 of The Board of Health,
Report No. 4 of The Nominating Committee, and
Report No. 6 of The Striking Committee,

and Notices of Motions, as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its regular meeting held on October 3, 4 and 5, 2000, and its Special Meetings held on October 6, 2000, October 10 and 11, 2000, and October 12, 2000.

REPORT NO. 17 OF THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - "Program Enhancements and Consolidation of By-laws Affecting Parking Enforcement on Private Property".

Council adopted the following recommendations:

"It is recommended that the recommendations of the Planning and Transportation Committee embodied in the communication dated September 19, 2000, from the City Clerk, be adopted, subject to:

- (1) amending Recommendation No. (1)(a) of the Licensing Sub-Committee, as amended by the Planning and Transportation Committee, by inserting, after the word 'containing', the words 'more than', so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

(a) a 30-minute grace period between ticketing and towing be established for an area containing more than three parking spaces;";

and deferring consideration of the foregoing recommendation and all aspects of the Clause pertaining to the matter of the 30-minute grace period between ticketing and towing to the next regular meeting of City Council, with a request that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and the City Solicitor report specifically on this issue directly to Council; and

- (2) adding thereto the following new Recommendation No. (3):
 - (3) that staff submit the amended by-laws directly to the next regular meeting of City Council and report on any significant issues arising during their preparation.' "

REPORT NO. 18 OF THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - "Establishing New Community Councils in the City of Toronto (All Wards)".

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that:

- (a) the boundaries for the six Community Councils established by Council, effective December 1, 2000, be in accordance with Map Option 30 (see attached map);

- (b) the following recommendation of the Administration Committee embodied in the communication dated September 12, 2000, from the City Clerk, be adopted, subject to the names of the Community Councils being as familiar, logical and understandable to the public as possible:

‘The Administration Committee recommends the adoption of the report (August 31, 2000) from the City Clerk, entitled “New Community Council Names and Meeting Locations”, embodying the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council, at its October 3, 2000 meeting and after determining the boundaries for the six new Community Councils, adopt interim names for the new Community Councils based on the geographic references of ‘north’, ‘south’, ‘east’, ‘west’ and ‘centre’, and any variations thereof, which suit the final boundary configurations;
- (2) City Council adopt the following 3-step process, detailed in this staff report, to adopt permanent names for the new Community Councils:
 - (a) establish criteria for naming the Community Councils;
 - (b) community input and community council name recommendations; and
 - (c) Administration Committee consolidation of recommendations and City Council decision-making;
- (3) City Council adopt the following criteria to be used to consider and recommend permanent community council names:
 - (a) names should reflect the historical context associated with the community council jurisdiction;
 - (b) names should reflect the communities and neighbourhoods which make-up the community council jurisdiction; and/or
 - (c) names should reflect the geographic nature of the community council jurisdiction;
- (4) City Council, at its October 3, 2000 meeting and after determining the boundaries for the six new Community Councils, assign interim meeting locations for the new Community Councils using the civic centres and/or City Hall;

- (5) any funds from the approved capital account CTN100-6 for establishing new Community Councils, unspent by the end of 2000, be carried over into 2001 for the purpose of completing the establishment of new Community Councils, including new names; and
 - (6) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.’.”
- (c) the report dated October 4, 2000, from the City Clerk, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted, subject to the incoming Community Councils, where possible, using the existing Council Chambers in the Civic Centres noted therein:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council adopt the following interim names for the six new Community Councils shown on Map 30:
 - (a) “A” – West Community Council,
 - (b) “B” – North Community Council,
 - (c) “C” – Southwest Community Council,
 - (d) “D” – Midtown Community Council,
 - (e) “E” – Downtown Community Council, and
 - (f) “F” – East Community Council;
 - (2) the new Community Councils hold meetings at the following locations, on an interim basis:
 - (a) West Community Council – Etobicoke Civic Centre,
 - (b) North Community Council – North York Civic Centre,
 - (c) Southwest Community Council – York Civic Centre,
 - (d) Midtown Community Council – North York Civic Centre,
 - (e) Downtown Community Council – Toronto City Hall, and
 - (f) East Community Council – Scarborough Civic Centre; and
 - (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.’; and
- (d) the following motion be referred to the Chief Administrative Officer:

Moved by Councillor Sinclair:

‘That all departments (and agencies, boards and commissions where applicable) adapt, where possible, to the six new communities, and discontinue use of and reference to the terms “areas” and “districts”, where possible.’ ”

Clause No. 2 - “Ethics Steering Committee, Recommended Terms of Reference”.

The Clause was amended by amending Appendix A, entitled “Terms of Reference for the Ethics Steering Committee”:

- (1) to provide that the Ethics Steering Committee be permitted, at an appropriate time, to consider the merits of establishing an Ethics Commissioner function, and the Steering Committee be requested to submit a report thereon to City Council, through the Administration Committee; and
- (2) under Part (1), entitled “Functions”, by:
 - (a) amending Part (a)(i)(b), under the heading “Policy Review and Recommendation”, to read as follows:
 - “(b) policy regarding accountability for statements made by Members about an employee(s) or an employee(s) of a Member of Council;”; and
 - (b) adding the following additional duties of the Ethics Steering Committee to Part (d), entitled “Other Duties”:

“The Ethics Steering Committee shall also be responsible for:

 - (a) determining that all Members of Council shall be treated equally without favouritism or prejudice;
 - (b) determining policies for legal support for Members of Council; and
 - (c) considering appeals by Members of Council.”

Clause No. 3 - “Options for a Tenant Outreach Program for the 2000 Municipal Election”.

The Clause was received.

Clause No. 4 - “75 Commissioner Street – Lease Provisions”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that a copy of the Clause, as amended, be forwarded to the Board of Health for information, noting that both general ‘boiler plate’ provisions with respect to compliance with applicable laws, as well as certain specific compliance provisions, were included in the lease between TEDCO and Harkow Aggregate and Recycling Limited.”

REPORT NO. 19 OF THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - “Development Proposals for 42 Units of Affordable Housing at 1978 Lake Shore Boulevard West - (Ward 19 - High Park)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) the community consultation process be incorporated into the site plan review to facilitate community input on site plan related issues;
- (2) Let’s Build be requested to meet with the developer of the Stelco site immediately north of this property and community to determine what possibilities for mutual co-operation exist;
- (3) the City of Toronto indicate its intention to declare approximately 560 square metres of the eastern end of the City-owned land, municipally known as 2000 Lake Shore Boulevard West, required for the project, to be surplus to the City’s requirements, with the intended method of disposal to be a long-term lease with the Fred Victor Centre on the same terms and conditions as those for their lease at 1978 Lake Shore Boulevard West;
- (4) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services, in consultation with the appropriate City staff, be requested to work with Heritage Toronto to determine if the ‘Joy’ gas station can be relocated to the south side of Lake Shore Boulevard West and how such a move could be funded; and
- (5) any time deadlines currently imposed for the process be extended to accommodate any legal or procedural steps required by Recommendation No. (3), above.”

Clause No. 2 - “Toronto Firefighters’ Charitable Activities”.

Council adopted the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the Fire Chief be authorized to allow the use of City equipment as well as the Toronto name on the firefighter calendar produced annually to raise money for charity, if so requested;
- (2) the Fire Chief, in consultation with the Toronto Firefighters Association and the Chairs of the Administration Committee and the Community Services Committee draft a protocol to ensure that future fundraising activities are conducted in a manner

which is consistent with the community standards of appropriate behaviour and good taste;

- (3) City Council endorse the position of the Muscular Dystrophy Association of Canada, as set out in its communication dated October 4, 2000, regarding a request for an amendment to the Safe Streets Act to ensure that firefighters may continue to undertake fundraising activities on behalf of the Association; and
- (4) the following motion be referred to the Executive Director of Human Resources for report thereon to the Administration Committee:

Moved by Councillor Duguid:

‘That Council agree to waive the provisions of the Human Rights and Harassment Policy that have been applied, in this case, to prevent the annual firefighters mock striptease at the Beaches Jazz Festival, in order to allow this type of fundraising activity to continue provided that:

- (a) the activities are conducted in compliance with community standards of appropriate behaviour and good taste; and
- (b) the Fire Chief is briefed, prior to any such fundraising activity, on the specifics of what is to take place and that the Fire Chief be authorized to use his discretion to amend the activities or stop them if he believes the activities are inappropriate.’ ”

Clause No. 12 - “Status Report on the Disposal of Surplus Property (All Wards)”.

The Clause was struck out and referred back to the Administration Committee for further consideration.

Clause No. 13 - “Master Accommodation Plan – Surplus Toronto District School Boards Administration Facilities (Various Wards)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that City Council encourage the Toronto District School Board to use at least the proceeds from the sale of its administrative facilities to the City of Toronto or its agencies, boards and commissions for the purposes of:

- (1) replacing the recently renovated playground equipment; and
- (2) reducing the hefty increases in permit fees charged to community and recreation groups.”

Clause No. 15 - “Proposed Acquisition of CN Leaside Spur Line South from York Mills Road to Just North of Eglinton Avenue East, Toronto (Ward 11 - Don Parkway)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the City endorse the principle of entering into a permanent agreement with GO Transit (if it purchases the spur line) to use the property for parks purposes, including but not limited to a trail system, even if the land is actively used by GO Transit for trains.”

Clause No. 19 - “Declaration as Surplus, Vacant Parcel of Land Located on the East Side of Beecroft Road Between Poyntz Avenue and Bogert Avenue (Ward 10 - North York Centre)”.

The Clause was amended in accordance with the following recommendations embodied in the report dated September 26, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) Recommendation No. (1) of the report (August 25, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, entitled ‘Declaration as Surplus, Vacant Land Located on the East Side of Beecroft Road Between Poyntz Avenue and Bogert’, which was considered by the Administration Committee at its meeting held on September 12, 2000, be amended to read as follows:

‘The residual portion of the parcel of vacant land on the east side of Beecroft Road, north of Poyntz Avenue, being Part of Lots 914 and 770 on Plan 1743, and identified on the attached amended sketch as Part 1, having an area of 176 square metres, be declared surplus to the City’s requirements and offered for sale to Premium Properties Limited, the adjoining property owner, and all steps necessary to comply with By-law No. 551-1998 be taken;’; and

- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

Clause No. 20 - “Declaration as Surplus, Vacant Parcel of Land Located on the South Side of St. Clair Avenue East, East of Santamonica Boulevard (Ward 13 – Scarborough Bluffs)”.

The Clause was amended by amending Recommendation No. (1) embodied in the report dated August 18, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, by:

- (1) inserting, after the words “particularly described as”, the words “part of”; and

- (2) deleting the reference “Plan 64R-4756” and inserting in lieu thereof the reference “Plan R-4756”,

so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

- “(1) the vacant parcel of land located on the south side of St. Clair Avenue East, east of Santamonica Boulevard, more particularly described as part of Lot 108 on Plan M697, also designated at PART 4 on Plan R-4756, be declared surplus to the City’s requirements and offered for sale on the open market and all steps necessary to comply with By-law No. 551-1998 be taken;”.

Clause No. 21 - “Declaration as Surplus – Property Known Municipally as 590 Jarvis Street (Ward 24 – Downtown)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that, in order to ensure that eviction notices are not issued and existing occupants do not experience financial hardship, the Commissioner of Corporate Services be directed to assist the existing occupants (such as the YWCA, the Metro-Women’s Abuse Council, the Black Secretariat, the Congress of Black Women and the Council of African Organizations) to find alternative locations with similar rental payments and report thereon to the first meeting of the Administration Committee in the new term of Council.”

Clause No. 23 - “Acquisition of Property at 717 Broadview Avenue (Ward 25 - Don River)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (a) the report dated October 3, 2000, from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) Council approve the acquisition and renovation of the property at 717 Broadview Avenue for the purposes of an emergency family shelter, and the expenditure of up to \$400,000.00 in the last quarter of 2000 which has been included in the 2000 Capital Budget; and
- (2) in the event that a cost-sharing arrangement cannot be finalized, staff report back through the 2001 Capital Budget process.’;

- (b) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be directed to convene a meeting of the Community Liaison Committee for 717 Broadview Avenue and continue to meet on a regular basis to discuss the use of this facility;
- (c) the Community Liaison Committee:
 - (i) include in its membership the following:
 - the local school principals;
 - representatives from the Toronto District School Board and the Toronto Catholic District School Board;
 - representatives of the School Community Councils;
 - local residents and businesses;
 - the relevant social agencies;
 - the Ward Councillor or representatives from the Councillor's office;
 - a representative of the residents of 717 Broadview Avenue; and
 - a representative of the relatives of the residents of 717 Broadview Avenue;
 - (ii) be co-chaired by a member of City staff and a member of the community;
 - (iii) meet monthly for the first three months and then at a time interval as determined by the Committee, and hold periodic public meetings;
 - (iv) consider the development of a 'community contract' respecting 717 Broadview Avenue and submit recommendations thereon to the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services;
- (d) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be:
 - (i) requested to submit a report to the first meeting of the Community Services Committee in the new term of Council on the actions and recommendations of the Community Liaison Committee; and
 - (ii) directed to review the 717 Broadview Avenue site in the context of the Supporting Community Partnership Initiative (SCPI) plan and the hostel and housing priorities of the City of Toronto and report thereon to the Community Services Committee."

Clause No. 25 - **“Disposition of the Westerly Portion of 110 Wildwood Crescent (Ward 26 – East Toronto)”**.

The Clause was received. [See also Notice of Motion J(43), Page 130.]

Clause No. 28 - “Proposed Sale of 170 Plewes Road and Exchange of Vacant Land on the South Side of Hanover Road, West of Beffort Road (Ward 8 - North York Spadina)”.

Council adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the report dated September 28, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) Council approve the transaction, in principle, as outlined herein and authorize staff to negotiate a detailed agreement to be entered into by the City and Bombardier Aerospace or its nominee (“Bombardier”), whereby certain lands more particularly described in the body of this report will be sold and/or exchanged as follows:
 - (a) the City will sell to Bombardier the former Works Yard located at 170 Plewes Road, comprising approximately 3.1 acres, at a price of \$900,000.00;
 - (b) the City and Bombardier will exchange certain lands on an equal value basis as follows:
 - (i) Bombardier will transfer to the City a vacant parcel of land located on Beffort Road, being approximately 1.90 acres;
 - (ii) the City will transfer to Bombardier a vacant parcel of land located on the south side of Hanover Road, being approximately one (1) acre;
 - (iii) the City will transfer to Bombardier portions of the road allowance for Keswick Road and Gilley Road, being approximately 0.26 acres in area, and a portion of the road allowance for Plewes Road, being approximately 0.24 acres in area, having a combined area of approximately 0.50 acres, conditional, however, upon compliance with the provisions of the Municipal Act for the sale of road allowances;
 - (iv) the City will then consider applying additional lands transferred by Bombardier as part of the land exchange against parkland contribution required in connection with Bombardier’s proposed residential development application; and

- (v) such other terms and conditions as may be agreed upon by the parties as may be considered reasonable and necessary by the City Solicitor and/or the Commissioner of Corporate Services;
- (2) the City Solicitor be authorized to complete this transaction on behalf of the City, including payment of any necessary expenses, establishing the closing date and amending same to such earlier or later date as he considers reasonable;
- (3) the Commissioner of Corporate Services be authorized to execute the Letter of Intent and subsequent agreements related thereto on behalf of the City; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.’ ”

Clause No. 30 - “Scarborough Transportation Corridor and Spadina Expressway Properties Report on Hardship Situations (Ward 26 – East Toronto and Ward 28 - York Eglinton)”.

The Clause was amended by:

- (a) deleting Recommendation No. (3) embodied in the confidential report dated August 22, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, and re-numbering the remaining recommendation accordingly, so that the recommendations embodied in such report shall now read as follows, the balance of such report to remain confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, having regard that it contains personal information related to identifiable individuals:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) authority be granted to hold in abeyance the sale of certain residential Scarborough Transportation Corridor Project properties which are tenanted and were previously declared surplus, until the tenant(s) specified in this report vacates the property;
- (2) subject to provincial concurrence, authority be granted to hold in abeyance the sale of certain residential Spadina Expressway Project properties which are tenanted and were previously declared surplus, until the tenant(s) specified in this report vacates the property; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”; and

(b) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be requested to submit a report to the Administration Committee on the appropriate action that should be taken to serve the City’s interest when the tenant(s) specified in the report vacates the property.”

Clause No. 39 - “Accessibility Issues - Report on the Former Toronto Accessibility Improvements Program and Plans to Ensure an Accessible Toronto by 2008 (All Wards) and Design Exchange – Request to Access Accessibility Account”.

The Clause was amended by adding the following new Recommendation No. (5) to the joint report dated September 1, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Corporate Services, entitled “Accessibility Issues – Report on the Former Toronto Accessibility Improvements Program and Plans to Ensure an Accessible Toronto by 2008 (All Wards)”, and renumbering the remaining recommendation accordingly:

“(5) the Commissioner of Corporate Services include, in the Accessibility Audit and development plans, the facilities of the agencies, boards and commissions.”

Clause No. 43 - “City Position on Application of Continuing OMERS Pension Surpluses”.

The Clause was amended in accordance with the report dated October 3, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, embodying the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that:

(1) the joint report of the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Corporate Services (August 29, 2000), entitled ‘City Position on Application of Continuing OMERS Pension Surpluses’, considered by the Administration Committee at its meeting on September 12, 2000, be amended by deleting Recommendation No. (3) and inserting in its place the following:

‘(3) the employers’ share of pension surpluses be used for extension of the employers’ contribution holiday and for continued reduction of the unreduced early retirement factors to 80 and 75 for those whose normal retirement age is 65 and 60, respectively, and to continue the reduction in penalty for early retirement from 5 percent to 2.5 percent;’;

(2) OMERS be requested to approve the release of surplus funds from the former City of York OMERS Type 3 surplus agreement; and

(3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

Clause No. 52 - “City Policy Regarding Claims”.

The Clause was struck out and referred to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer for further consideration of additional improvements that can be made to the procedure for processing public insurance claims made against the City and report thereon to the Administration Committee, such report to specifically address the clarification of communications between staff and interested Councillors and the follow-up communications between the City’s insurance company and the claimant.

Clause No. 53 - “Other Items Considered by the Committee”.

The Clause was received as information, subject to striking out and referring Item (m), entitled “Change of Title for General Manager, Emergency Medical Services”, back to the Administration Committee for further consideration at the first regular meeting of the Committee to be held in the term of the new Council.

REPORT NO. 8 OF THE COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE

Clause No. 6 - “Early Childhood Education, Development and Care Pilot Project: Early Leader”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Medical Officer of Health be requested to work with the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services on ensuring that there is no duplication of human resources and approaches to the creation of the local steering committee under the provincial early years challenge funds as announced by the Children’s Secretariat of Ontario.”

Clause No. 8 - “Status Report on Standards of Care in Retirement and Lodging Homes”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that Recommendation No. (2) of the Board of Health, embodied in the communication dated September 26, 2000, from the Secretary, Board of Health, be adopted, viz.:

“(2) the provincial government be advised that the Board of Health and Council consider the seniors in retirement homes to be at risk and strongly recommend that the Ontario Government establish province-wide mandatory standards of care and provide funding for the implementation and enforcement of such standards;”.

Clause No. 14 - “City of Toronto Homeless Initiatives Fund Allocations Report”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be requested to:

- (1) deal with the funding crisis of KYTES by providing advance funding, if and when KYTES meets the criteria of the Supporting Community Partnership Initiative (SCPI) plan; and
- (2) review the grant request for Eva’s Initiatives for priority consideration in the second round of the RFP process of the Homeless Initiatives Fund, and provide an advance (if required), in order to ensure that services to the homeless can be offered this winter.”

Clause No. 17 - “Provincial Announcements on Social Housing Devolution”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) in assessing the condition of Ontario Housing complexes, meetings first be held with residents in the larger developments, for example, Lawrence Heights and Regent Park; and
- (2) an assessment be undertaken of playgrounds in public housing facilities.”

Clause No. 21 - “Request for Rent Freeze”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) City Council support the Tenant Defence Sub-Committee’s work on preparing a strategy to encourage the provincial government to legislate a rent freeze; and
- (2) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be requested to submit a report to the Tenant Defence Sub-Committee on ways and means in which the City of Toronto can deal with discrepancies between how Revenue Canada and the Rental Housing Tribunal define capital and operating costs.”

REPORT NO. 9 OF THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PARKS COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - "Expenditure of Funds Allocated for the Joint School Playground Program in the 2000 Capital Works Program (High Park, Trinity Niagara, North Toronto, East Toronto, Scarborough Wexford)".

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) deleting from Recommendation No. (4) of the Economic Development and Parks Committee, the words "and preference be given to schools that have already started fundraising activities", and inserting in lieu thereof the words "and preference be given to inner-city schools", so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

"(4) that the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be given the authority to approve the installation of two playgrounds, in an amount of up to \$50,000.00, being the balance of available funds, in public school areas that might be ready for approval this year; and further that Summit Heights Public School be considered in that regard on a matching fund basis and preference be given to inner-city schools;"; and

- (2) adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that the recommendations of the Economic Development and Parks Committee embodied in the communication dated October 2, 2000, from the City Clerk, be adopted, viz.:

'The Economic Development Committee recommended to Council that:

- (a) any future City funding for the Toronto District School Board playgrounds be conditional upon the Board agreeing to consult with the City and parents as part of its ongoing playground report and risk management process, as well as having appropriate proportional votes between the two stakeholders;
- (b) the Toronto District School Board and the Toronto Catholic District School Board be requested to obtain approval from the City of Toronto Community Councils and City Council prior to dismantling any school playground equipment that has received Toronto City Council capital financing;
- (c) the Toronto District School Board and the Toronto Catholic District School Board be requested to hold community consultation meetings prior to any school playground equipment being dismantled in the future; and further that the local Toronto City Councillors be invited to such community meetings; and

- (d) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to prepare a list of school playgrounds and other school facilities, such as pools and kitchens that have been partially paid for by the City, that are being threatened, and submit said list to the Toronto District School Board and the Toronto Catholic District School Board with a legal opinion which would protect the City's investment, as soon as possible.' ”

Clause No. 3 - “John Street Roundhouse, 222 Bremner Boulevard (Downtown)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (a) the confidential report dated October 2, 2000, from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted, the balance of such report to remain confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it contains information related to the security of property interests of the municipality:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) the City of Toronto proceed with the Roundhouse RFP as outlined in the September 5, 2000 report from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism to the Economic Development and Parks Committee;
 - (2) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services continue to work with Enwave to meet its infrastructure requirements; and
 - (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.’;
- (b) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to submit a report to the first meeting of the Economic Development and Parks Committee to be held in the new term of Council in 2001 on:
 - (1) the results of the Request for Proposals;
 - (2) potential governance structures for the rail museum;
 - (3) what measures can be undertaken to implement the rail museum as soon as possible;
 - (4) the allocation of the funds received from Marathon Realty as part of the previous sale of the rail spur; and

- (5) the outstanding audit of the railway items of historical value; and
- (c) the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to submit a report directly to this meeting of City Council on a strategy for responding to Enwave's requirements for additional cooling space."

Clause No. 8 - "Recycling and/or Diversion Programs for City Parks (All Wards)".

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, in consultation with the respective Ward Councillor(s), be authorized to implement pilot sites in advance of the budget approval for 2001, with the provision that there be no budget implications."

Clause No. 13 - "Year-Round Residence on Boats Moored on Parks and Recreation Property (Various Wards)".

The Clause was struck out and referred back to the Economic Development and Parks Committee for further consideration, together with the following motion:

Moved by Councillor Kinahan:

"It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to seek the advice of the Ontario Property Assessment Corporation (OPAC) with respect to the applicability of property taxes on boats that are used as year-round residences on Parks and Recreation property and submit a report thereon to the Economic Development and Parks Committee."

Clause No. 18 - "Feasibility of the City of Toronto Co-sponsoring a Festival Celebrating Electronic Music (All Wards)".

The Clause was amended by striking out the recommendation of the Economic Development and Parks Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"It is recommended that the report dated August 2, 2000, from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, as embodied in the Clause, be adopted."

Clause No. 23 - “Toronto Financial Services Cluster Study (All Wards)”.

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) adding the following words to the recommendation embodied in the report dated August 29, 2000, from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism:

“such report to also include a review of neighbourhood banking services and the effects of branch closures on:

- (a) bank line-ups and service to the public;
- (b) parking standards and the effects on local streets;
- (c) services lost to the local business community as a result of branch closures;
and
- (d) loss of local jobs.”,

so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“It is recommended that the findings of the Study of the Financial Services Cluster and the corresponding actions be reported to the Economic Development and Parks Committee early in 2001, such report to also include a review of neighbourhood banking services and the effects of branch closures on:

- (a) bank line-ups and service to the public;
- (b) parking standards and the effects on local streets;
- (c) services lost to the local business community as a result of branch closures;
and
- (d) loss of local jobs.”; and

- (2) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that Mayor Lastman be requested to communicate with Prime Minister Chretien and the federal Members of Parliament in the Ontario Liberal Caucus to enlist their support to advocate for the designation of the City of Toronto, Ontario’s Capital, as an International Banking Centre.”

Clause No. 26 - “Short-Term Delegation of Authority to Deal with Applications to Alter or Demolish Heritage Buildings During Council Election (All Wards)”.

The Clause was amended by inserting in Recommendation No. (2) embodied in the report dated September 7, 2000, from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, after the words “report back to Council”, the words “through the respective Community Councils and the City-wide Planning and Transportation Committee”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

- “(2) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to report back to Council, through the respective Community Councils and the City-wide Planning and Transportation Committee at the next available meeting, with a list of the applications received and the decisions made in relation thereto;”.

REPORT NO. 9 OF THE PLANNING AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

Clause No. 2 - “Memorandum of Understanding Between the City of Toronto and the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with the appropriate City departments, be requested to work expeditiously to ensure that, as soon as possible after the Province of Ontario releases the generic regulation under the Conservation Authorities Act, the City co-ordinates with the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority to develop a revised fill line mapping for the City of Toronto, and submit a further report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on any necessary revisions to the Memorandum of Understanding.”

Clause No. 3 - “Interim Procedures During Council Election”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to submit a status report to each Community Council on the use of delegated authority during the election period.”

Clause No. 6 - “Harmonization of Property Standards By-law”.

The Clause was amended:

- (a) in accordance with Recommendations Nos. (1), (2) and (3) embodied in the report dated September 26, 2000, from the City Solicitor, viz.:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the following recommendation with respect to fire route signage, in Recommendation No. (1)(b)(ii) of Clause No. 6 of Report No. 9 of The Planning and Transportation Committee, be referred to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services for consideration in consultation with the City Solicitor for inclusion in the harmonized fire routes by-laws:
 - ‘(ii) amend this Section to include the following:

‘With respect to fire routes, signage be in an approved form and that no signage be erected, or permitted to remain, unless under the auspices of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services supported by an amendment to the schedule under the Fire Route by-law;’;
 - (2) the following recommendation with respect to tagging or towing of vehicles signage, in Recommendation No. (1)(b)(ii) of Clause No. 6 of Report No. 9 of The Planning and Transportation Committee, not be adopted:
 - ‘(ii) amend this Section to include the following:

‘With respect to tagging and/or towing of vehicles, signage shall be in an approved form and no signage shall be erected, or permitted to remain, unless under the auspices of Toronto Police Services and, in accordance with, the towing from private property by-law;’;
 - (3) the following Recommendation No. (1)(j) of Clause No. 6 of Report No. 9 of The Planning and Transportation Committee, not be adopted:
 - ‘(j) amending Section 17 – Buffering, by adding the following additional Subsection:

“E. A barrier shall be erected to prevent airborne emissions from negatively impacting on the occupants of neighbouring properties.”’;
- (b) by deleting from the draft Property Standards By-law, Part C.(2) of Section 20, and inserting in lieu thereof the following new Part C.(2):
- “(2) Any above ground discharge from a downpipe or pipe shall be directed to discharge and be contained on the property in a manner that is not likely to cause damage to any adjoining property or create a hazardous condition on any stairway, walkway, street or boulevard.”; and

(c) by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) the City of Toronto petition the Province of Ontario for special legislation to enable the City to regulate the outdoor emission of objectionable odours, including kitchen odours;
- (2) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, be requested to submit a report to the Works Committee, in March 2001, on possible amendments to City of Toronto By-law No. 457-2000 (to regulate the discharge of sewage and land drainage), to address problems of surface drainage on adjoining properties, such report to specifically address changes in elevation caused by infill building;
- (3) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to submit a report to the Planning and Transportation Committee, in the new year, on the enforcement of the Property Standards by-law; and
- (4) the communication dated September 25, 2000, from the City Clerk, North York Community Council, be received.”

Clause No. 7 - “Proposed Construction Fence By-law”.

The Clause was amended by amending the proposed Construction Fence By-law by adding to subsection (f)(i), under Part 3, entitled “General Requirements”, the words “and be free of graffiti and posters”, so that such subsection shall now read as follows:

- “(f) be maintained,
- (i) in good repair with no gaps larger than 100 millimetres below the fencing, and be free of graffiti and posters;”.

Clause No. 9 - “Ingles v. The Corporation of the City of Toronto, Decision of the Supreme Court of Canada dated March 2, 2000”.

The Clause was struck out and referred back to the Planning and Transportation Committee for further consideration, and the Chief Planner and the Chief Building Official were requested to:

- (1) consult with the Ontario Home Warranty Program and submit a report thereon to the Planning and Transportation Committee; and
- (2) forward a copy of such report to the plaintiffs.

Clause No. 10 - “Special Legislation for the City of Toronto to Protect Rental Housing from Demolition”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the recommendation of the Policy and Finance Committee embodied in the communication dated September 21, 2000, from the City Clerk, be adopted, viz.:

“The Policy and Finance Committee recommends the adoption of the recommendation of the Planning and Transportation Committee embodied in the communication (September 14, 2000) from the City Clerk, that the expenditure of up to \$6,500.00, to be taken from the Corporate Contingency Account, for the purposes of covering the costs associated with making an application for special legislation, entitled ‘An Act to Protect Rental Housing Units from Demolition in the City of Toronto’, be approved.”

Clause No. 11 - “Amendments to Toronto Licensing By-law No. 20-85, Taxicab Leasing and Vehicle Transferability”.

The Clause was amended in accordance with the following recommendations embodied in the report dated September 26, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the proposed time period for transferability of an existing taxicab be extended to August 31, 2001; and
- (2) the Taxicab Advisory Committee, in consultation with City staff, be directed to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on the development and implementation of guidelines for designated agents.”

Clause No. 13 - “Distribution of Free Newspapers and Their Negative Impact on the Cleanliness of the Transit System and Surrounding City Property”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief General Manager of the Toronto Transit Commission, be requested to submit a report to the first meeting of the Planning and Transportation Committee to be held in the new term of Council on ways and means of imposing conditions on renewal of licenses for newspaper vending boxes on City property to address the proliferation and litter problems associated with the distribution of free newspapers on the public transit system and surrounding City properties.”

REPORT NO. 11 OF THE POLICY AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - "Implementation Strategy and Citizen Engagement Process to Establish a New Relationship with Ontario and Canada, and Legality of a Referendum on Charter City Status".

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that, in developing materials for advocacy regarding the Charter City proposal, the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to include:

- (1) arguments in addition to those based on fiscal matters; and
- (2) the benefits of Toronto seeking Charter City status for other municipalities."

Clause No. 2 - "Toronto Port Authority 2000 Operating Budget and 2000-2001 Capital Program".

Council adopted the following recommendations:

"It is recommend that the report dated October 2, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, be adopted, subject to:

- (a) adding thereto the following new Recommendation No. (6):
 - '(6) the Policy and Finance Committee be requested to consider, on a priority basis, the 1999/2000 Operating Budget of the Toronto Port Authority at its first meeting of the new term of Council, and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to invite representatives of the Toronto Port Authority to participate in these deliberations."

so that the recommendations embodied in such report shall now read as follows:

'It is recommended that:

- (1) pursuant to City Council's directive of March 2, 1999, stating that subsidy requests from the Toronto Port Authority (TPA) commencing in 2000 should exclude funding requests for any projects approved prior to the year 2000, and that TPA be required to fund from its working capital reserves (of \$11.8 million as at December 31, 1999) projects approved in 1999 and prior years of \$1.103 million in 2000;

- (2) in order to mitigate the use of TPA working capital funds and given that the TPA is a federal public authority and, as such, is eligible for federal assistance, the TPA seek and reflect funding under the Airport Capital Assistance Program of \$1.425 million in 2000 for the Airport Certification Project (maximum funding at 95 percent of the \$1.5 million project cost) in the TPA 2000 Capital Budget;
 - (3) the 2000 Capital Budget for TPA consisting of 13 new projects and 8 ongoing projects with a one-year cash flow of \$2.975 million, as recommended in Appendix A, be approved and that the City of Toronto contribution amount to \$1.550 million (\$2.975 million net of federal assistance of \$1.425 million);
 - (4) the 2000 TPA Capital program amounting to \$3.83 million for 2000 be approved, subject to the recommendations and conditions outlined above;
 - (5) approval of the 2001 Capital program for the Toronto Port Authority be deferred to 2001; and
 - (6) the Policy and Finance Committee be requested to consider, on a priority basis, the 1999/2000 Operating Budget of the Toronto Port Authority at its first meeting of the new term of Council, and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to invite representatives of the Toronto Port Authority to participate in these deliberations.’;
- (b) the 2000 Operating Budget for the Toronto Port Authority and the related reports embodied in the Clause being referred to the Policy and Finance Committee for consideration with the 2001 Operating Budget process; and
 - (c) the funding and acquisition of financial software be deferred, and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer being requested to meet with Toronto Port Authority staff to review the possibility of the Toronto Port Authority joining the City’s financial system.”

REPORT NO. 12 OF THE POLICY AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

Clause No. 3 - “Expression of Interest to Host 2005 FCM Conference”.

The Clause was received.

Clause No. 5 - "Toronto Police Service 'Operation Save a Life' Gun Amnesty/Buyback Program".

The Clause was amended:

- (1) by deleting Recommendation No. (2)(b) of the Policy and Finance Committee, and inserting in lieu thereof Recommendation No. (1)(b) embodied in the report dated September 18, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer, viz.:

"(b) that funds up to a maximum of \$10,000.00 for the Corporate Communications community-based advertising campaign and a maximum \$50,000.00 for cash payment expenditures related to the gun return program be provided from Corporate Contingency;"

- (2) to provide that the Gun Amnesty Program for Toronto commence at 12:01 a.m., October 4, 2000; and

- (3) by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that the remaining balance of the funds for advertising be used to finance the removal of additional guns from the street."

Clause No. 8 - "Policy on Management of Surplus".

The Clause was amended to provide that a target level for the funding of reserves not be established until the 2001 Operating Budget process.

Clause No. 10 - "2000 Levy on Railway Roadways or Rights-of-Way and on Power Utility Transmission or Distribution Corridors".

Council adopted the following recommendations:

"It is recommended that:

- (a) the report dated October 3, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

'It is recommended that:

- (1) Council authorize the levy and collection of taxes for the 2000 taxation year on roadways or rights-of-way of railways and on transmission or distribution corridors owned by power utilities, in accordance with subsection 368.3(1) of the Municipal Act and subsection 257.7(1) of the Education Act; and

- (2) authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary Bill in Council to levy taxes for the year 2000 on such roadways, rights-of-way and transmission or distribution corridors, in the form or substantially in the form of the draft by-law attached hereto.’;
- (b) the Ontario Property Assessment Corporation (OPAC) be requested to amend the assessment of railway rights-of-way to exclude any and all of the portion of these lands to be utilized for telecommunications infrastructure to a width of one metre on either side of the cable installation;
- (c) OPAC be requested to conduct a visual examination of these lands, to determine the nature and extent of the lands to be classified as Industrial;
- (d) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to submit a report in this regard to Council for inclusion in the December rolls; and
- (e) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee on the question of making application to the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing for amendment to the Regulations to include in this category ‘airport runways’.”

Clause No. 11 - “Tax Policy Planning for 2001 and Beyond: Proposed Work Plan and Review of Business Reference Group, Recommendations, Proposed Tax Policy Tools, for 2001 Assessment”.

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) deleting from Recommendation No. (1) of the Policy and Finance Committee, the words “agree in principle”, and inserting in lieu thereof the words “adopt as policy”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:
 - “(1) that City Council adopt as policy that there should be no transfer of property tax burdens from the commercial/industrial property class to the residential class during the coming reassessment for implementation in 2001;”;
- (2) adding thereto the following:
 - “It is further recommended that:
 - (a) City Council request the Province of Ontario, through the Minister of Finance, to amend its rules to extend the deadline for property taxpayers to file appeals on their property assessment, in any year when a reassessment takes effect, until June 30, in order to provide taxpayers with an appeal period of at least 30 days after issuance of final tax bills for the respective tax year; and

- (b) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, when conducting the workplan, request the Province of Ontario not to place further restrictions on the City's ability to set its own tax rates, if capping is to be used as a tool to address tax increases resulting from the reassessment."

Clause No. 14 - "Light Vehicle Fleet Lease Financing and Management Services".

The Clause was struck out and referred to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer for report to the business portion of the Inaugural meeting of Council in December comparing lease costs with external borrowing, including in said comparison any savings from leasing; and further that the Request for Proposals deadline be extended accordingly.

Clause No. 18 - "Amendments to Vehicle and Equipment Replacement Programs for Solid Waste Management Services".

By its adoption of Notice of Motion J(6), moved by Councillor Ootes, seconded by Councillor Chong, without amendment, Council re-opened Clause No. 7 of Report No. 10 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed "2000 Vehicle and Equipment Replacement Program", for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the purchase of vehicles for Solid Waste Management Services, and amended Clause No. 18 of Report No. 12 of The Policy and Finance Committee by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that the report dated September 26, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, be adopted, subject to adding to the recommendation embodied therein the words "and that such purchases be done under the Better Transportation Partnership", so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

'It is recommended that, of the 53 vehicles now recommended for purchase in the revised Solid Waste Management Services Division 2000 Vehicle and Equipment Replacement Program, up to 24 units or approximately 45 percent be considered as potential candidates for "Green Fleet" purchases, provided there are sufficient funds remaining in the Vehicle and Equipment Replacement Program Budget, and that such purchases be done under the Better Transportation Partnership.' "

Clause No. 22 - "Ultra Low Emission Vehicles - TTC Non-Revenue Fleet".

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) be requested to apply for financial assistance from the Better Transportation Partnership and the Toronto Atmospheric Fund, if necessary, to help pay for the purchase of five ultra low emission vehicles (for the price of only four) so that both the TTC and the City receive the benefit of the TTC receiving one free vehicle for every four purchased, and that Council recommend this approach for consideration in the year 2001 Capital Budget process."

Clause No. 25 - “IHL Project, Response to Request for Financial Support”.

Council adopted the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council approve a loan to The Board of Governors of Exhibition Place in the amount of \$10 million for the capital renovations of the Coliseum Arena and West Annex for an ice rink, hockey and concert venue; such funds to be from under-expenditures and/or resources of City Departments and/or Exhibition Place;
- (2) the Board of Governors of Exhibition Place be authorized to include in its lease agreement with Coliseum Renovation Corporation provisions for the recovery of the Board’s capital contribution (not to exceed one third of the total capital cost, up to a maximum of \$10 million) on such terms and conditions as may be satisfactory to the General Manager of Exhibition Place, the City Solicitor and outside legal counsel as required, including appropriate arrangements to secure the investment by Exhibition Place, and that the City, in any negotiations, should be in a priority position over all other lenders to secure the \$10-million loan;
- (3) the lease agreement between the Board of Governors of Exhibition Place and the Coliseum Renovation Corporation include a term to require the Coliseum Renovation Corporation to pay the Board percentage rent equivalent to 35 percent of ‘net operating income’ as more specifically defined in the lease agreement that would result in profit participation by the Board of approximately \$130 million over the term of the lease;
- (4) the \$10 million loan, plus interest equivalent to the City’s borrowing costs, be recovered by the City from the Board of Governors of Exhibition Place on such terms and conditions as may be satisfactory to the General Manager of Exhibition Place and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and which reflect the Board’s rate of recovery of its capital contribution from Coliseum Renovation Corporation;
- (5) the lease agreement between the Board of Governors and the Coliseum Renovation Corporation be amended to include those terms (a), (b), (c), (e) and (f) outlined in the report dated September 6, 2000, from the Board of Governors of Exhibition Place, viz.:
 - ‘(a) the Long Form Lease Agreement, Concession Services Agreement and Management Agreement be executed by CRC by December 31, 2000, in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, General Manager of Exhibition Place and City’s Chief Financial Officer;
 - (b) CRC has completed to the satisfaction of the Board all conditions as set out in the Offer to Lease by December 31, 2000;

- (c) CRC has paid all reasonable consulting costs, including legal costs, incurred by the Board in negotiating the agreements and conducting its due diligence;
 - (e) the Board/City maintains the right to use the Coliseum for at least 115 days throughout the 49-year term, even if the present “grandfathered” events as defined by the Offer to Lease cease to be an event licenced by the Board; and
 - (f) CRC provide the Coliseum to the Toronto Olympic Bid, or its successor, for use for the 2008 Olympics, at no charge to the Toronto Olympic Bid or the City.’;
- (6) the report dated September 6, 2000, from the Board of Governors of Exhibition Place, as embodied in the Clause, be adopted, subject to deleting Recommendation No. (1);
 - (7) the confidential report dated October 2, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, be received, such report to remain confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, save and except the recommendations embodied therein, having regard that it contains information related to the security of property interests of the municipality; and
 - (8) the Board of Governors of Exhibition Place and appropriate staff be requested to negotiate and execute any documents and take all appropriate action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any bills which may be necessary.”

Clause No. 26 - “Closure of Corpus Christi School”.

The Clause was amended by amending the Motion, moved by Councillor Jakobek, seconded by Mayor Lastman, as embodied in the Clause, by:

- (1) inserting in the first Operative Paragraph, after the words “Corpus Christi”, the words “Bruce Public School”, so that such Operative Paragraph shall now read as follows:

“Therefore Be It Resolved that the City of Toronto request the Minister of Education to provide adequate funding for the reopening of Corpus Christi and Bruce Public School as public schools;”;

- (2) inserting in the second Operative Paragraph, after the words “Corpus Christi”, the words “Bruce Public School”, so that such Operative Paragraph shall now read as follows:

“That the Toronto District School Board be advised of the City’s support for the reopening of Corpus Christi School and Bruce Public School and the City’s interest in shared use similar to other schools;”;

- (3) adding thereto the following new Operative Paragraph:

“And That no City-funded facility, such as a kitchen, be removed from Bruce Public School without the prior approval of City Council.”

Clause No. 27 - “Heritage Preservation – Property Tax Treatment for Heritage Properties”.

The Clause was struck out and referred back to the Policy and Finance Committee for further consideration at its first meeting in the new term of Council.

Council also adopted the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council again request the Minister of Finance for amendments to provincial regulations to permit a separate heritage property tax class and for amendments to provincial legislation to permit municipalities in Ontario to opt-in to a separate heritage property tax sub-class, both of which will apply to designated heritage buildings that are subject to Heritage Easement Agreements; and
- (2) City Council send a delegation, co-ordinated by the Mayor’s Office, to meet with the Minister or his representatives to discuss Council’s request and direct staff to pursue this request in discussions with the Province about Municipal Act and Assessment Act amendments, and concerning special legislation.”

Clause No. 33 - “Proposed Acquisition of CN Land North of Fort York (Ward 20 - Trinity Niagara)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the confidential report dated October 2, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, be adopted, such report to remain confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it contains information related to the acquisition of property, save and except the following recommendations embodied therein:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) the purchase price in the Agreement of Purchase and Sale (“Agreement”) for the Property in the amount of \$200,000.00 be amended to \$125,000.00, plus all applicable taxes, for the reasons detailed herein and authority be given to enter into an amending agreement with the Vendor to revise the Purchase Price;

- (2) specific exemption for the purchase of this property be granted to the policy of the former City of Toronto prohibiting the acquisition of contaminated property, as contained in Clause No. 46 of Report No. 11 of the Executive Committee adopted by Council at its meeting of June 21 and 23, 1993;
- (3) in the event that the authority requested in Recommendations Nos. (1) and (2) above is provided, then authority be provided to waive the conditions in favour of the City detailed in the Agreement for the reasons herein and authority be granted to complete the purchase of the property; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.’ ”

Clause No. 38 - “World Youth Day Conference and Papal Visit in 2002 (All Wards)”.

The Clause was amended by amending the recommendations of the Economic Development and Parks Committee embodied in the communication dated September 18, 2000, from the City Clerk, as follows:

- (a) by adding to Recommendation No (3), the words “and that the staffing costs associated with the Secretariat not exceed \$55,000.00 in the year 2000, and that Council grant interim spending authority up to \$150,000.00 to be included in the 2001 Interim Estimates for staffing of this group from January to April 2001”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(3) authority be granted to establish a City World Youth Day Secretariat reporting to the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and comprised of a minimum of four seconded City staff and that the cost of their salaries and benefits be funded from Corporate Contingency during this period to allow the positions of seconded personnel to be temporarily backfilled and that the staffing costs associated with the Secretariat not exceed \$55,000.00 in the year 2000, and that Council grant interim spending authority up to \$150,000.00 to be included in the 2001 Interim Estimates for staffing of this group from January to April 2001;”;

- (b) by adding to Recommendation No (4), the words “and that this Public Safety Planning Group report to the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, through the World Youth Day Secretariat, and that Council grant interim spending authority up to \$180,000.00 to be included in the 2001 Interim Estimates to commence operations of this Group in January 2001”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(4) authority be granted to establish a World Youth Day Public Safety Planning Group comprised of seconded staff from Police Services, Fire Services, Ambulance Services and Transportation Services and that the cost of the salaries and benefits be funded from Corporate Contingency during this period to allow the positions of seconded personnel to be temporarily backfilled and that this Public Safety Planning

Group report to the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, through the World Youth Day Secretariat, and that Council grant interim spending authority up to \$180,000.00 to be included in the 2001 Interim Estimates to commence operations of this Group in January 2001;”;

- (c) by adding to Recommendation No (5), the words “and that the costs associated with the provision of accommodations, equipment and supplies not exceed \$50,000.00 in the year 2000, and that Council grant interim spending authority of up to \$25,000.00 to be included in the 2001 Interim Estimates to support operations of this Group from January to April 2001”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(5) the Commissioner of Corporate Services be requested to provide office space for the World Youth Day 2002 Secretariat and for the Public Safety Planning Group and funds for equipment, furnishings, materials and supplies be provided from Corporate Contingency and that the costs associated with the provision of accommodations, equipment and supplies not exceed \$50,000.00 in the year 2000, and that Council grant interim spending authority of up to \$25,000.00 to be included in the 2001 Interim Estimates to support operations of this Group from January to April 2001;”;

and

- (d) by adding thereto the following new Recommendations Nos. (10) and (11):

“(10) Council grant authority to fund the City’s share of the World Youth Day Business/Operational Plan of \$53,500.00 from Corporate Contingency in year 2000; and

(11) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism report back to Council as part of the 2001 Operating Budget Process on the amount of actual resources (financial and FTEs) required for Recommendation Nos. (3), (4) and (5).”

Clause No. 39 - “Amalgamation of Film Permitting Services (All Wards)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee on any controls the City could impose with respect to filming on residential private property.”

Clause No. 44 - “The North York Performing Arts Corporation’s Claims Against Livent Inc. and Others (North York Centre)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended the confidential report dated October 2, 2000, from the City Solicitor, be adopted, such report to remain confidential in its entirety, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it contains confidential instructions to staff pertaining to litigation or potential litigation.”

Clause No. 45 - “Basement Flooding All Wards”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the following Motion be adopted:

Moved by: Councillor Pitfield

Seconded by: Councillor Ootes

“**WHEREAS** the Works Committee has recommended that an additional \$1 million be reallocated from the Sewer Capital Works Account CWW-403-2 to the Downspout Disconnection Capital Account CWW-404-4 to accommodate the additional expenditures required to accelerate the Downspout Disconnection Program and other immediate short-term measures throughout the areas that have experienced basement flooding problems due to extreme rainstorm events; and

WHEREAS the implementation of short-term measures in high priority areas may require funding in addition to the recommended amount before the approval of the 2001 Capital Budget; and

WHEREAS the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer is in agreement with this request;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in addition to the reallocation of \$1 million from the Sewer Capital Works Account CWW-403-2 to the Downspout Disconnection Capital Account CWW-404-4, an additional \$1 million be reallocated to guarantee the continuity of short-term improvements before the approval of the 2001 Water and Waste Water Capital Budget.”

Clause No. 46 - “Request for Proposals for District Service Improvements (DSI) for Water and Wastewater Services and Award of Contract - RFP No. 9155-00-7241”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the following motion be referred to the Policy and Finance Committee, and the Chief Administrative Officer and the Canadian Union of Public Employees, Local 416, be requested to submit their comments thereon to the Committee:

Moved by Councillor Jakobek:

“**WHEREAS** the City of Toronto has created a Toronto Hydro Corporation for the delivery of hydro services through the City, consistent with the Ontario Energy Board Act of 1998; and

WHEREAS the City has restructured the governance of the former Toronto District Heating Corporation to a new, publicly owned En Wave Corporation, for the delivery of heating and cooling services throughout the City; and

WHEREAS a Works Best Practice implementation is currently underway for the Water and Wastewater Treatment Plant services and, in accordance with the recommendations contained herein, will begin for the remaining Water and Wastewater services known as the District Service Improvement Program;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

- (1) the Chief Administrative Officer form a staff group comprised of senior representatives from Corporate Services, Finance, Audit and Works and Emergency Services and a representative of the Union, to develop a Feasibility Plan for the creation of a publicly-owned Water and Wastewater Utility/Corporation;
- (2) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, or his designate, be the Corporate lead for the staff group, with responsibility for reporting to the Works Committee in 2002 on this initiative, consistent with the Phase 1 reporting timeline under the District Service Improvement Project;
- (3) the feasibility plan shall include, among other matters, an overview of the issues concerning governance, risk analysis, legal requirements, Corporate service agreements, human resources plan, financial plan and a physical and financial audit of assets; and
- (4) the assignment to Earth Tech Canada Inc. for the supply of professional services to undertake the District Service Improvement Project, at a cost not to exceed \$2,500,000.00, inclusive of GST, be amended by an additional amount not to exceed \$250,000.00, representing 10 percent of the original contract award, to provide professional services as required to develop a Feasibility Plan that addresses the pros and cons for the creation of a publicly-owned Water and Wastewater Utility/Corporation.”

Clause No. 54 - “Toronto Hydro Pre-Incorporation Municipal Access Agreement”.

The Clause was amended:

- (a) by adding to Recommendation No. (2) embodied in the confidential report dated September 18, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer, the words “and for greater certainty, the contemplated agreement shall apply to any new fibre laid by the Toronto Hydro Telecom subsidiary for its use or use by any third party for telecommunications purposes”, so that the recommendations embodied therein shall now read as follows, the balance of such report to remain confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it contains information related to the security of property interests of the municipality:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) approval be given to enter into a Pre-Incorporation Municipal Access Agreement with the Toronto Hydro Corporation Telecommunications subsidiary, or, failing the establishment of such subsidiary by December 31, 2000, with the Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited, to authorize the installation, maintenance, use and operation of telecommunications fibre optics cable, conduits and related facilities within the public highways, encompassing existing plant and the current use of rights-of-way solely for telecom purposes, and new cable installations or builds for telecom purposes, excluding those used solely for the internal business purposes of electricity distribution by Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited and not used for the purpose of leasing dark fibre or providing telecommunications services to the public;
- (2) the Pre-Incorporation Municipal Access Agreement be in accordance with the terms and conditions as generally set out in this report and such other terms and conditions as may be satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, the City Solicitor and Toronto Hydro officials, and, for greater certainty, the contemplated agreement shall apply to any new fibre laid by the Toronto Hydro Telecom subsidiary for its use or use by any third party for telecommunications purposes;
- (3) effective upon the date of approval of this report by City Council, Toronto Hydro Telecommunications shall commence to give the required sixty (60) days notice of the Per Permit fee for lease renewals, or new leases, applicable to the use of the legacy fibre system;
- (4) effective upon the date the agreement is signed by Toronto Hydro Telecom (or with Toronto Hydro-Electric System Ltd.) and the City of Toronto, the Per Metre fee shall apply to New fibre installations or facilities used solely for telecommunications purposes as defined in this report; and

- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”; and
- (b) to provide that the following Clause be deleted from the draft agreement:

“Fifth, the City shall agree to not grant access to the public ROW to any other party for telecommunications purposes on economic terms and conditions more favourable than the terms in the Toronto Hydro Telecom MAA. If the City, after the effective date of the MAA with the Company, does grant access to another party on more favourable terms and conditions, these shall be applied to Toronto Hydro Telecom on the same effective date of the agreement with said other party.”;

and the following Clause be inserted in lieu thereof:

“The parties and the City acknowledge the need for competitive equity between entities engaged in the business of providing similar telecommunications services and entities seeking access to the public highway for that purpose.”

Clause No. 55 - “Authority to Negotiate and Enter Access Agreements for Telecommunications Plant (All Wards)”.

The Clause was amended by:

- (a) inserting in Recommendation No. (1) embodied in the confidential report dated September 14, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, prior to the words “the appropriate City officials”, the words “on an interim basis until December 31, 2000”, so that the recommendations embodied in such report shall now read as follows, the balance of such report to remain confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it contains information related to the security of property interests of the municipality:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) on an interim basis until December 31, 2000, the appropriate City officials be given authority to negotiate and enter agreements of the form(s) applicable, with telecommunications firms seeking access to City public highways and other properties for the purposes of installing fibre optic networks (and associated support plant), subject to terms and conditions as may be satisfactory to the City Solicitor and Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; such terms and conditions to be generally in conformance with the model now in effect with other firms;
- (2) the appropriate City officials be given the authority to amend and/or rescind any of the current agreements related to the Sun-Canadian Pipeline as necessary to accommodate its use as a telecommunications conduit; and

- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary steps to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.”; and
- (b) by adding thereto the following:
- “It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the appropriate City officials be directed, when negotiating strands of dark fibre as part of municipal compensation, to take into consideration proportional amounts of strands, not just the practice in recent years of accepting eight strands per cable without regard to the growing number of strands per cable, and submit reports to the Telecommunications Steering Committee, in January 2001, on:
- (i) any appropriate amendments to the standard-form Municipal Access Agreement (MAA); and
 - (ii) the results of City MAA negotiations during the election.”

Clause No. 56 - “Empty Telecommunication Ducts”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the confidential report dated October 2, 2000, from the Executive Lead, Telecommunications, be adopted, subject to inserting in Recommendation No. (3), after the words “board and commissions”, the words “and corporations”, so that the recommendations embodied in such report shall now read as follows, the balance of such report to remain confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it contains information related to the security of property interests of the Municipality:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council adopt, as amended herein, the road management policy proposed by the Telecommunications Steering Committee with respect to the future construction of ducts for telecommunications purposes significantly in excess of the number of ducts required by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services (pursuant to the policy adopted by City Council at its meeting of August 1, 2, 3 and 4, 2000) to require that the applicant must confirm in writing to the Commissioner, prior to the issuance of the permit, that the applicant will, as may be agreed by the parties:
 - (i) pay the City reasonable compensation, to be determined by staff in a manner consistent with the City’s standard charges, for permission to construct this additional duct space; or

- (ii) in lieu of monetary compensation, transfer to the City a number of ducts in fee simple based on the number of ducts installed in excess of the City's requirements as per the formula proposed by the Telecommunications Steering Committee;
- (2) where permits are, or have been, issued for the construction of the maximum number of ducts required by the Commissioner, staff be authorized to negotiate a fee simple transfer or an option, to be exercised in the City's sole discretion within a period of three years from the date of installation of the ducts, to purchase such excess duct capacity as may be available and is required to service the City's needs;
- (3) the City, with respect to any duct space acquired under Recommendations Nos. (1) or (2) above, also obtain the right to use the carrier's vault facilities to connect, operate and maintain such ducts and any fibre installed within them, provided that such shared use of the carrier's vault facilities shall be limited to the City and its agencies, boards and commissions and corporations, and shall not extend to any other third party, except with the consent of the applicant or pursuant to an order by the CRTC;
- (4) this policy not apply to the construction of aerial installations as these are not permitted under City agreements;
- (5) City staff be requested to report back to City Council as appropriate, in 2001, with respect to the effect of the proposed policy;
- (6) staff prepare and release a public version of this report; and
- (7) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the execution of any documents which may be required.' "

Clause No. 57 - "Application by Rogers Communications Inc., and Shaw Communications Inc. to Exchange Subscribers".

The Clause was struck out and referred to the City Solicitor for further consideration and report thereon to the Telecommunications Steering Committee on the option of addressing this concern through the Municipal Access Agreement renewal process or through the Rogers Cable broadcasting licence renewal process.

Clause No. 59 - "Telecommunications Strategy – Status Report".

The Clause was received.

Clause No. 61 - “Three-Year Targets for Affordable Housing Development”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) the report requested by the Policy and Finance Committee of the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services also address the potential for having some of the efficiencies accrue across the board to tenants in the City of Toronto vis-à-vis reducing the tax rate for multi-residential buildings; and
- (2) the following motion be referred to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services for joint report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee, through the Community Services Committee, outlining the financial impact and the effect this proposal would have on the City’s departments, agencies, boards and commissions, and submitting recommendations with respect thereto, such report to be submitted for consideration during the 2001 Capital and Operating Budget deliberations:

Moved by Councillor Layton:

‘That the Clause be amended by:

- (a) rescinding the action of the Policy and Finance Committee with respect to Recommendation (b) of the Community Services Committee; and
- (b) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the proceeds from the sale of residential property by the City be deposited in the Mayor’s Homeless Initiatives Reserve Fund.’ ”

Clause No. 66 - “Harbourfront Parkland Funds – Establishment of Reserve Fund Downtown”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the report dated September 29, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that the report dated September 20, 2000, from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, be amended by adding thereto the following:

- (1) Council establish a reserve fund, called the “Harbourfront Parklands Reserve Fund”, to receive and hold funds received for Harbourfront parkland development, water’s edge promenade and programming on Harbourfront parkland, under the Harbourfront Implementation Agreement;
- (2) By-law No. 181-2000 (Reserves and Reserve Funds By-law) be amended by adding the “Harbourfront Parklands Reserve Fund” to schedule “C2”; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, and that leave be granted for the introduction of any necessary Bills in Council to give effect thereto.’ ”

Clause No. 69 - “Toronto District School Board - Funding Playground Equipment”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) the City raise with the Province of Ontario, City Council’s great concern over the fall in Capital funding for schools, which has fallen from a pre-amalgamation total of over \$75 million to less than \$38 million, making proper maintenance of the Capital stock, including playgrounds, virtually impossible;
- (2) the City of Toronto, which has no formal responsibility for Capital funding in schools, request the Province of Ontario to fully fund the playground repair and replacement;
- (3) any future City of Toronto funding of school playgrounds be contingent on the commitment by the School Boards to use property leasing and property sale income for the Capital needs of schools and playgrounds, rather than for administrative services or space; and
- (4) when the City provides funding for playgrounds that are not City playgrounds, there be an independent assessment to ensure that such playgrounds meet CSA standards.”

Clause No. 70 - “Applegrove Community Complex”.

Council took no action on this Clause.

Clause No. 72 - “Implications of Federal Government Screening of Landed Immigrants to Canada for HIV and Hepatitis B Viruses (All Wards)”.

Council took no action on this Clause.

REPORT NO. 16 OF THE WORKS COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - "Illuminated Municipal Numbers on Commercial, Industrial and Multi-Residential Properties".

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that municipal numbers affixed on commercial, industrial and multi-residential properties be illuminated at such time as the properties change hands or, in the case of rental properties, when new tenants occupy such commercial properties."

REPORT NO. 17 OF THE WORKS COMMITTEE

Clause No. 1 - "3Rs Implementation Plan for the City of Toronto".

The Clause was amended by:

(1) amending Recommendation (A)(a) of the Works Committee to provide that Recommendation No. (1)(iii) embodied in the report dated September 1, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, be amended to read as follows:

"(1)(iii) retrofitting two rental apartments in the downtown core with automated chute systems for garbage, fibre, containers and organics to determine the impact on recycling levels, at a cost of \$80,000.00, and that full funding of \$80,000.00 be provided from the Corporate Contingency Account; the Waste Diversion Office be asked to participate in the funding; and further, such retrofitting be subject to the condition that the landlord not use the capital improvements grants in any above-guideline rent increase applications;"

(2) amending the report dated September 1, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services by:

(a) deleting Recommendation No. (1)(ii) and inserting in lieu thereof the following new recommendation:

"(1)(ii) introducing year-round once per week curbside collection of recyclable materials and weekly summer time collection of yard waste, to begin in 2001, and that a detailed implementation plan be presented to the first meeting of the Works Committee to be held in 2001, for subsequent submission to City Council;"

(b) deleting from Recommendation No. (1)(v), the words "50 percent diversion target", and inserting in lieu thereof the words "the new diversion targets adopted by City Council", so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(1)(v) continuing to seek opportunities to ensure that the City, through its own facilities and those of its agencies, is optimizing its waste diversion activity, and co-ordinating a corporate report as part of the 2001 Operating Budget process on the benefits of achieving the new diversion targets adopted by City Council, and on any financial implications; and”;

(c) deleting from Recommendation No. (3)(i), the year “2002”, and inserting in lieu thereof the year “2001”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(3)(i) completing the first phase of the Dufferin Mixed Waste Recycling and Organics Processing Facility for up to 25,000 tonnes of annual input capacity as soon as possible under the capital funding already approved, assessing its operation, developing recommendations of expansion of the facility up to 165,000 tonnes of input capacity and reporting to the Works Committee as part of the 2001 Capital Budget process thereon;” ; and

(d) deleting from Recommendation No. (3)(ii), the date “July 2001” and inserting in lieu thereof the date “January 2001”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(3)(ii) conducting a study, in co-operation with Enwave District Energy Limited, on the feasibility of siting an anaerobic digestion facility on City-owned property which would process municipal waste to generate biogas for the district energy needs of the downtown core, and report back to the Works Committee in January 2001, on the findings of the feasibility study and with recommendations on how to proceed further, subject to approval of funding for the study by the Federation of Canadian Municipalities’ ‘Green Municipal Enabling Fund’;”; and

(3) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

(a) the Province of Ontario be requested to:

(1) ban organic waste from landfills in Ontario;

(2) develop a system of extended producer responsibility which would require producers to take responsibility for waste they generate, as in Europe; and

(3) enact legislation requiring:

(i) all consumer goods packaging sold in Ontario to be returnable, recyclable or compostable; and further, that all beverage containers be subject to a provincial deposit/return system;

- (ii) that all schools include in their curricula, recycling program education to reinforce existing environmental education programs; and
 - (iii) that all students in Grades 5 to 12 be requested to participate in community work by assisting in the clean-up of the neighbourhoods around their schools;
- (b) City Council recommend to the new Council, that in its first year, a policy be adopted to achieve a phased-in 100 percent diversion plan for the City of Toronto, and that this target be achieved by the year 2010;
- (c) Rail Cycle North be advised that the City of Toronto is committed to the development of programs which will recycle and compost 80 percent of its municipally collected waste by the year 2009, with the reduction scheduled to coincide with the next three terms of Council, as follows:
 - (1) 2001-2003 - recycle and compost 30 percent;
 - (2) 2004-2006 - recycle and compost 60 percent; and
 - (3) 2007-2009 - recycle and compost 80 percent,

and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report to the first regular meeting of the Works Committee, and Council, in 2001 on a budget and timeframe to give effect to the recycling and composting targets adopted by Council;

- (d)
 - (i) the waste diversion target approved by City Council include waste diversion for all waste generated by all City facilities and operations, as well as those of its agencies, boards, commissions and special purpose bodies, including Toronto Hydro and Enwave District Energy Limited;
 - (ii) the City of Toronto adopt a first-stage target of 25 percent waste diversion by March 31, 2001, for all waste generated by all City facilities and operations, as well as those of its agencies, boards, commissions and special purpose bodies, including Toronto Hydro and Enwave District Energy Limited; and
 - (iii) the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to submit a report to Council, through the Works Committee, on an annual basis by March of each year, on the progress made towards the waste diversion targets, including a report from each agency, board, commission and special purpose body, including Toronto Hydro and Enwave District Energy Limited;

- (e) all City-owned agencies, boards and commissions be requested to institute recycling and diversion plans consistent with the diversion plans approved by City Council, and further, that staff from the City's Works and Emergency Services Department work with the School Boards to initiate recycling and diversion plans consistent with the goals of Council;
- (f) the Board of Directors and the President of the Toronto Parking Authority be requested to install and maintain recycling containers at all of its municipal parking lots;
- (g) recycling containers be placed in all City of Toronto parks in time for the 2001 summer season;
- (h) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, in conjunction with the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services:
 - (1) develop and implement a recycling program which includes a pilot reverse vending component for implementation at selected Parks and Recreation facilities, and that a status report on the program components, partnership funding and diversion achievements be submitted to the Economic Development and Parks Committee in the new year, and in the interim, staff install blue recycling containers in sufficient numbers in all City parks, particularly in the vicinity of vending services and picnic areas; and
 - (2) submit a joint report to the Works Committee during the new term of Council, on a permanent proposal for recycling in City parks, including a Request for Proposals;
- (i) the Commissioner of Corporate Services be directed to implement forthwith, recycling receptacles for newspaper, office paper and beverage containers, with or adjacent to all garbage receptacles at all City facilities and operations so that recycling is as convenient as garbage disposal for City employees and members of the public; and
- (j) the Commissioner of Corporate Services be requested to install forthwith, a composter at City Hall;
- (k) the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to submit a joint report to the Works Committee in January 2001, on the percentage of waste and recycling/diversion materials generated at all City corporate facilities, including Parks and Recreation facilities, with an analysis of trends over the past five years, to the extent possible, and with recommendations for additional initiatives to increase the rates of recycling and other forms of diversion from these facilities, and further that the necessary funding requests be submitted as part of the 2001 Capital and Operating Budget processes;

- (l) all Members of the new City Council be requested to insert in their newsletters an educational article respecting recycling programs and/or environmental issues in order to increase public awareness of this important issue;
- (m) City Council reaffirm its position respecting the strategy to remove and process organics, subject to the Province of Ontario providing some financial assistance in regard thereto; and that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report further thereon to the Works Committee for its first meeting in 2001;
- (n) the following criteria be used when negotiating with an individual proponent of a diversion technology:
 - (i) the proponent's facility must be located within a reasonable haulage distance from the City of Toronto (maximum 805 kilometres);
 - (ii) the proponent's facility must be fully operational and have all necessary approvals in place, including a Certificate of Approval from the Ministry of the Environment;
 - (iii) the proponent must be willing to allow City staff access to the facility and to share the results of any and all product quality and residue analysis performed on City of Toronto material;
 - (iv) any commitment with an individual proponent of a diversion technology should not exceed six months time or 1,000 tonnes of material, or any amount of material deemed appropriate by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, for the purpose of testing and research, unless dictated by pilot project requirements (e.g. testing of collection methods); and
 - (v) any negotiated contract between the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and a proponent of a diversion technology will be subject to approval by the City Solicitor and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
- (o) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services:
 - (1) be directed not to place any maximum tonnage on diversion in contract negotiations;
 - (2) be requested to expedite the New and Emerging Technologies Request for Proposals;

- (3) be requested to undertake an additional pilot project or projects to arrange for recycling or wet/dry separation to alternate with garbage disposal on a daily basis in targeted apartment buildings, with details of the program to be determined by the Commissioner;
- (4) be given permission to provide special purpose packers to conduct pilot projects at locations such as Danforth Avenue and Gerrard Street East, to pick up recyclable and waste materials at the same time, six days per week, with \$200,000.00 to be provided from the Corporate Contingency Account for this purpose; and further, that the Commissioner be requested to:
 - (i) report to the Works Committee, if necessary, on any by-laws which are affected or required as a result of undertaking these pilot projects; and
 - (ii) conduct an evaluation of these pilot projects, and report thereon to the Works Committee no later than September 2001;
- (5) be requested to report to the Works Committee as part of the 2001 Operating Budget process on the development of a detailed plan for a City-wide wet/dry system, including the cost and required phase-in cash flow to support such system;
- (6) be requested to prepare and mail a flyer to every household in the City of Toronto, and to purchase advertising in local and daily Toronto newspapers, outlining the City's 3Rs Plan and Waste Management Strategy, and that a maximum of \$200,000.00 be provided from the Corporate Contingency Account for this purpose;
- (7) be requested to meet with representatives of the plastics industry in order to seek a commitment for the establishment of a market place to allow the City of Toronto to add plastic food tubs and bags to its recycling program;
- (8) be requested to meet with the following Business Improvement Areas to discuss ways by which the City of Toronto could assist in restoring the cleanliness of their Areas, including discussion of the composting pilot project or other possible alternatives:
 - Bloor by the Park BIA;
 - Bloor West Village BIA;
 - Junction Gardens BIA;
 - Parkdale Village BIA;
 - Roncesvalles Village BIA; and
 - any other individual Business Improvement Area that so requests;

- (9) be requested to submit reports to the first regular meeting of the Works Committee to be held in 2001:
 - (i) in conjunction with Enwave District Energy Limited and Toronto Hydro, on any early findings regarding an anaerobic digester facility which would process municipal waste to generate biogas for energy needs; and
 - (ii) on any changes to the cost estimates for waste management systems outlined in Attachment 2 to this Clause, for achieving the diversion targets as approved by Council, in light of new cost information in any report from Enwave District Energy Limited, Toronto Hydro and/or the Works Department regarding an anaerobic digester facility, and that these cost estimates also be submitted as part of the 2001 Capital and Operating Budget processes;
- (10) be requested to submit reports to the Works Committee on:
 - (i) the possibility of eliminating the twice per week garbage pick-up in the summer, and having instead a once per week pick-up year round;
 - (ii) any changes in the amounts of recyclable materials collected resulting from the implementation of weekly recycling pick-up, in one year's time;
 - (iii) the projected cost of distributing home composters to the public, at no charge, during the 2001 Environment Days;
 - (iv) a by-law for mandatory recycling for existing apartment buildings;
 - (v) the feasibility of the City of Toronto acquiring a three-compartment automated collection truck to collect any recyclable materials which were missed during regular pick-up, in order that such recyclables would not end up as mixed garbage; and
 - (vi) product stewardship options for the diaper industry, such report to address actions and programs which the City of Toronto can undertake, as well as advocacy possibilities for other levels of government and industry;
- (p) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a joint report to the appropriate Committee, on ways in which packaging and product stewardship can be incorporated into tenders which are awarded by the City of Toronto;

- (q) the Chief Planner and the Chief Building Official be requested to submit a report to the appropriate Committee(s) on how the City can use the Planning Act, the Ontario Building Code, the Municipal Act, and the City of Toronto Act, to require developers and builders in new construction, renovations or alterations of multi-residential, commercial and industrial properties to facilitate recycling and other forms of diversion;
- (r) the Chief General Manager, Toronto Transit Commission, be requested to submit a report to the Works Committee on the progress being made by the TTC with respect to its recycling program on all TTC properties, and that any necessary funding requests be included as part of the 2001 Capital and Operating Budget processes;
- (s) the joint communication dated September 30, 2000, from Councillors John Adams, Ila Bossons, Elizabeth Brown, Olivia Chow, John Fillion, Jack Layton, Anne Johnston, Irene Jones, Pam McConnell, Joe Mihevc, David Miller, Howard Moscoe, Frances Nunziata, Joe Pantalone, Michael Prue, Kyle Rae, Mike Tzekas, and Michael Walker, entitled 'A Workable Alternative Waste Management Strategy to the Adams Mine Disposal Option', be endorsed in principle, subject to the following amendments, and subject further to a report from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to the first meeting of the Works Committee to be held in 2001, with a proposal on implementation plans for the Waste Management Strategy:
- (1) amending the last line in the section headed 'The Collection Systems', under the subheading 'For Single Family Homes', so that such line shall now read as follows:
- '- Household Hazardous Waste Collection (Red Box) or Special Waste Depots for toxics, batteries, solvents, etc.'; and
- (2) amending the last line in the section headed 'The Collection Systems', under the subheading 'For Multi-Residential Buildings', so that such line shall now read as follows:
- '- Dry Collection for all other waste or mixed waste processing.';
- (t) the following motion be referred to the Works Committee, for report thereon to the Budget Advisory Committee:

Moved by Councillor Cho:

'It is recommended that the following recommendations be forwarded to the Budget Advisory Committee for consideration as part of the 2001 Operating Budget process with a request that the necessary funds be approved to implement the actions outlined therein:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council authorize the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to provide free composters to those families who cannot afford to purchase one; and
 - (2) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to hire six summer students in 2001 (one student for each Community Council area), such students to be actively involved in facilitating the recycling program by recruiting additional student volunteers to deliver free composters and other related materials, as required.”’;
- (u) the following motions be referred to the Budget Advisory Committee for consideration during the 2001 Budget process:

Moved by Councillor Chow:

‘It is recommended that composters be provided at no charge to all schools (public, French, Catholic and private) and all licensed child care centres, by spring 2001.’; and

Moved by Councillor Johnston:

‘It is recommended that \$1.3 million be provided from the Corporate Contingency Account in order to provide composters to all schools and child care centres located in the City of Toronto.’;

- (v) the following motion be referred to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services with a request that he submit a report to the Works Committee on the feasibility of the user fee and bag limit proposals:

Moved by Councillor King:

‘It is recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report to the Works Committee on the benefits and possible implementation of a user fee for garbage collection, as well as a bag limit for garbage.’; and

- (w) the following motions be referred to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services with a request that he submit a report thereon to the first meeting of the Works Committee to be held in 2001, such report to include suitable locations for the disposal of toxic waste and the cost to establish such locations:

Moved by Councillor Walker:

‘It is recommended that centres be located at each municipal building to provide citizens the opportunity to deposit toxic waste.’; and

Moved by Councillor Flint:

‘It is recommended that the foregoing motion by Councillor Walker be amended to include fire stations as suitable locations to develop deposit facilities for hazardous/toxic waste.’ ”

Clause No. 2 - “Toronto Integrated Solid Waste Resource Management ("TIRM") Process - Category 2, Proven Disposal Capacity”.

Council adopted the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that:

(1) the confidential joint report dated October 2, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor, wherein it is recommended that the City of Toronto:

(1) execute a contract with Republic Services Inc., Republic Services of Canada Inc., Republic Services of Michigan I, LCC (doing business as Carleton farms), and Wilson Logistics Inc., substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions set out in Appendix “1” to this report and the negotiated contract document, which will be forwarded under separate confidential cover; and

(2) execute a contract with Rail Cycle North Ltd., Canadian Waste Services Inc., and Waste Management Inc., substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions set out in Appendix “2” to this report and the negotiated contract document, which will be forwarded under separate confidential cover.’

and the confidential report dated October 5, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, wherein it is recommended that:

‘City Council authorize the execution of an amending agreement with Browning-Ferris Industries, Inc., BFI Waste Systems of North America Inc., Browning-Ferris Industries Ltd., Allied Waste Industries, Inc., Superior Arbor Hills Landfill, Inc., and Canadian Waste Services Inc. in relation to the current Waste Transport and Disposal Agreement for waste disposal at the Arbor Hills landfill site in Michigan, substantially in accordance with the draft agreement attached as an Appendix to this report.’,

be adopted, subject to:

- (a) amending the draft agreement attached as an Appendix to the confidential report dated October 5, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, by changing the effective date as set out in highlight (d) of the report to a date which is 180 days from the date of execution of the amending agreement; and
- (b) further subject to the following amendments to the terms and conditions of the contracts:
 - (i) the Rail Cycle North (RCN) contract for the transport and disposal of Toronto's waste be terminated in the event the federal Minister of the Environment orders an Environmental Assessment of the Adams Mine landfill on or before February 15, 2001, and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to redirect to Republic Services of Canada Inc. and/or BFI Canada and Superior Arbor Hills Ltd. (the Arbor Hills landfill) the municipal waste that would otherwise be delivered to RCN based on the best price and tonnage combination and, further, that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to further amend the current Waste Transport and Disposal Agreement with BFI Canada and Superior Arbor Hills Limited (Onyx), as amended by the confidential report dated October 5, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, for a further term of five (5) years at the same or lower price and otherwise on the same terms and conditions;
 - (ii) deleting from Section 6.22, headed 'Keele Valley Closure and Equipment', of the RCN contract, the words 'as agreed to between RCN and the City. In the event that RCN and the City are not able to agree, the price shall be the lower of book value or', so that such Section shall now read as follows:

'6.22 Keele Valley Closure and Equipment

At the City's option, RCN shall purchase from the City any and all surplus landfill equipment, as listed in Schedule 6.22, resulting from the permanent closure of Keele Valley. The price of the surplus equipment shall be fair market value as determined by a mutually agreed upon third party, holding sufficient knowledge of the industry to make a fair and reasonable appraisal.';

- (iii) adding to Section 7.2 of the RCN contract, the following words:

‘The unavoidable cost provisions shall not be used in any way to require the City of Toronto to provide a minimum tonnage.’;
- (iv) deleting Section 10.6 of the RCN Contract concerning unavoidable cost increases;
- (v) the execution of the contract with Rail Cycle North shall be conditional on the removal of Section 10.6, concerning unavoidable cost increases, and failing acceptance by Rail Cycle North of the removal of such Section within four (4) days of Council’s decision on this matter, the contract not proceed and, in the event of failure by RCN to agree to this condition, the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to redirect the waste that would otherwise be sent to Rail Cycle North to:
 - (1) Republic Services of Canada Inc. under the contract before Council; and/or
 - (2) BFI Canada and Superior Arbor Hills Limited under the City’s current waste transport and disposal agreement at the Arbor Hills landfill,based on the best price and tonnage combination;
- (vi) the contract with RCN contain language which makes RCN responsible for any costs associated with ‘collapse’;
- (vii) the contract with RCN contain language which makes RCN responsible for any costs associated with earthquakes, as well as ‘pop ups’ (leaks) of the mine floor resulting from factors other than earthquakes, and any damages caused to ground and surface water resources as a result of failure, for any reason, of any of the engineered components of the landfill or the failure of the existing tailings containment structures;

- (viii) the contract with RCN be amended to permit the City of Toronto to consider all methods of diversion, including incineration, in the future, without penalty; the wording of the contract to reflect this change to be satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor;
 - (ix) a Clause be included in all three contracts (with Republic, Rail Cycle North and Browning-Ferris) that the parties agree to the public release of the contracts; and
 - (x) the City Auditor or an independent third party audit the tonnage to be directed to Adams Mine;
- (2) any revenues that will flow from the contracts with the proponents to the City of Toronto be dedicated to recycling programs; and
- (3) a group of engineers from the City of Edmonton be invited to visit the City of Toronto and provide, for the information of City of Toronto Councillors, a slide presentation on the waste disposal process used by the City of Edmonton, such visit to take place prior to December 5, 2000.”

The balance of the confidential joint report dated October 2, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor, and the confidential report dated October 5, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, to remain confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that they contain information related to the security of property interests of the municipality and are otherwise subject to the provisions of the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.

Clause No. 4 - “Redway Road/Brentcliffe Road Extensions - Feasibility Study Results (East York)”.

The Clause was amended by striking out the recommendation of the Works Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the City not protect lands within the former Alcatel Wire site for an extension of Brentcliffe Road, south of Wicksteed Avenue, for a future connection with Redway Road; and
- (2) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be directed to deploy the necessary staff resources to conclude, at the earliest possible date and in the fullest consultation with the Leaside community and other affected communities, a traffic study focussed on the especially high peak period traffic volumes in the South Leaside area, and submit a report to the Works Committee, through the appropriate Community Council, early in the new term of Council, outlining recommendations to reduce the high peak period traffic volumes early in the year 2001.”

Clause No. 8 - “Automated ‘Flower Pot’ Waste Collection System”.

The Clause was amended by amending the report dated July 12, 2000, from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, embodied in the communication dated July 25, 2000, from the City Clerk, by:

- (a) adding to Recommendation No. (2), as amended by the Works Committee, the words “and that the by-law include a short form so that tickets can be issued to assist in enforcement”, so that such recommendation, as amended by the Works Committee and Council, shall now read as follows:

“(2) property owners in the pilot area be advised that they will be responsible for keeping their carts clean, for rolling them out on collection day and then rolling them back out of public view and away from the curb soon after collection, and to place all of their waste materials into the carts and not on the ground, and further that a property standards by-law be prepared which would require the commercial property owners to be responsible for maintaining the City property which abuts their property, including the area around the waste collection containers and that the by-law include a short form so that tickets can be issued to assist in enforcement;” and

- (b) adding thereto the following new Recommendation No. (4):

“(4) the pilot project include a provision for the supply of 95-gallon recycling bins as well, and that businesses be encouraged to source separate their waste, and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to initiate other pilot projects he deems necessary.”

Clause No. 27 - “Purchase of Bulk Common Coarse Rock Salt (Road Salt)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that City Council encourage the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to accelerate a salt reduction plan.”

Clause No. 28 - “Sheppard Avenue East Widening - Kingston Road to Morningside Avenue: Addendum to the Environmental Study Report (1993) (Scarborough Malvern)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that, with the concurrence of the Executive Lead, Telecommunications, the installation of fibre optic duct work be included.”

Clause No. 31 - “Installation of Traffic Control Signals - Lawrence Avenue West at Blossomfield Drive (North York Spadina)”.

The Clause was amended by adding to Recommendation No. (2) of the Works Committee, the words “such report to include a review of southbound right-turn queuing of traffic on Allen Road, southbound at Lawrence Avenue”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(2) that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to monitor the impact of the changes to the intersection, the traffic pattern and volume of vehicles, including the effects on Bolingbroke Road, and report thereon to the appropriate Community Councils six months after the installation of the signals to determine whether the residents’ concerns have been addressed, such report to include a review of southbound right-turn queuing of traffic on Allen Road, southbound at Lawrence Avenue:”.

Clause No. 34 - “Installation of Traffic Control Signals: Dundas Street West at Manning Avenue (Trinity-Niagara)”.

The Clause was amended by adding to Recommendation No. (2) of the Works Committee the words “and Dundas Street West”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(2) that parking be prohibited within 15 metres of the intersection on Manning Avenue and Dundas Street West:”.

Clause No. 46 - “Southbound Through Prohibition: Emerald Lane/Village Gate at Steeles Avenue West (North York Centre)”.

The Clause was struck out and referred to the Works Committee for subsequent report to the first regular meeting of City Council in 2001.

Clause No. 50 - “Cycle Pathway on Kipling Avenue Between Panorama Court and Steeles Avenue”.

The Clause was amended in accordance with the following recommendation embodied in the report dated September 22, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services:

“It is recommended that Works and Emergency Services be authorized to construct a 3.0 metre wide boulevard pathway adjacent to Kipling Avenue on the west side between Finch Avenue West and Panorama Court, and on the east side between Panorama Court and Steeles Avenue West.”

Clause No. 51 - "Delays in Execution of Construction Contracts".

Council adopted the following recommendation:

"It is recommended that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor be requested to meet with representatives of the Greater Toronto Sewer and Watermain Contractors Association to discuss further ways and means to expedite the preparation and execution of construction contracts, and submit a report thereon to the Works Committee no later than February 2001; and
- (2) the joint report dated October 2, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor, be received for information."

REPORT NO. 9 OF THE EAST YORK COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Clause No. 1 - "Request for Variance from Sign By-law Requirements for Blockbuster Video Rental Store at 3003 Danforth Avenue".

Council adopted the following recommendation:

"It is recommended that the report dated July 4, 2000, from the Manager, East District Field Office, as embodied in the Clause, be adopted."

REPORT NO. 10 OF THE ETOBICOKE COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Clause No. 1 - "Proposed Renaming of Kipling Avenue South of Lake Shore Boulevard West (Lakeshore-Queensway)".

The Clause was amended by striking out the recommendations of the Etobicoke Community Council and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"It is recommended that the portion of Kipling Avenue, south of Lake Shore Boulevard West, be renamed 'Colonel Samuel Smith Park Drive'."

REPORT NO. 11 OF THE ETOBICOKE COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Clause No. 9 - "Introduction of No Parking Prohibition on Darlington Drive (Lakeshore-Queensway)".

The Clause was amended in accordance with the following recommendations embodied in the report dated September 26, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the parking prohibition approved by the Etobicoke Community Council at its meeting held on September 19 and 20, 2000, be amended to exclude the months of July and August, when school is not in session;
- (2) staff do an assessment of the parking situation, in consultation with the Area Councillor and the community, six months following the implementation of these new regulations; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any bills that may be required.”

Clause No. 32 - “Request to Amend Conditions to Approval, Richview Developments - 45 La Rose Avenue, File No. Z-2281 (Kingsway-Humber)”.

Council adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the report dated September 28, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, embodying the following recommendation, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that this report be approved and that staff be given authorization to bring forward the bill for the proposed development at 45 La Rose Avenue upon the fulfillment of the conditions of approval by the applicant.’ ”

REPORT NO. 12 OF THE ETOBICOKE COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Clause No. 1 - “Final Report – Application to Amend the Etobicoke Zoning Code; Berkley Developments (Ashbourne) Inc., 3890 Bloor Street West; File No. CMB20000001 (Markland-Centennial)”.

The Clause was struck out and referred to the West Community Council for subsequent report to the first regular meeting of City Council in 2001.

REPORT NO. 10 OF THE NORTH YORK COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Clause No. 10 - “Request for Exemption to the Sign By-law - Variance for Ground Signs - 1 York Gate Mall Boulevard – Black Creek”.

Council adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the report dated July 31, 2000, from the Director and Deputy Chief Building Official, as embodied in the Clause, be adopted.”

Clause No. 39 - “Final Report - Zoning and Official Plan Amendments and Draft Plan of Subdivision – UDOZ-00-14 and UDSP-1249 – Brown Dryer Karol for Pleasantville Gardens Inc. – 19 Brian Drive – Seneca Heights”.

The Clause was amended in accordance with the report dated September 26, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, embodying the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that the recommendations stated in the Final Report dated August 30, 2000, from the Director, Community Planning, North District, be replaced by the following:

- (1) the Official Plan Amendment 494 be revised to include those lands known as Blocks C and D, Registered Plan 3386, as outlined on Attachment E and that the application be approved;
- (2) the Zoning By-law be revised and the application approved to include those lands known as Blocks C and D Registered Plan as outlined on Attachment F and be rezoned from R3, R4 and RM2 to RM2 with Exception to permit single detached dwellings and semi-detached dwellings with the following exceptions:
 - (a) the minimum lot area for a single detached dwelling shall be 356 square metres where the lot is adjacent to a dwelling existing as of September 19, 2000;
 - (b) the minimum lot area shall be 474 square metres for each semi-detached dwelling and 237 square metres for each semi-detached dwelling unit;
 - (c) the minimum lot frontage for a single detached dwelling shall be 9.7 metres where the lot is adjacent to a dwelling existing as of September 19, 2000;
 - (d) the minimum lot frontage for a semi-detached dwelling shall be 12.9 metres and 6.4 metres for each semi-detached dwelling unit;
 - (e) the minimum side yard setback for a single detached dwelling shall be 0.6 metres and 1.2 metres where adjacent to a dwelling existing as of September 19, 2000;
 - (f) the minimum side yard setback for a semi-detached dwelling shall be 0.6 metres;
 - (g) the maximum lot coverage shall be 35 percent; and
 - (h) the maximum building height shall be three storeys and 9.2 metres;

- (3) prior to the issuance of any building permit, the applicant shall submit a landscape plan satisfactory to the Director, Community Planning, North District;
- (4) the owner agree to carry out the conditions of the Works and Emergency Services, Technical Services Division and Transportation Services Division as appended as Attachments F and G to the report from the Director, Community Planning, North District, dated August 30, 2000;
- (5) the owner agree to carry out the conditions of the Economic Development, Culture and Tourism Division, Policy and Development, as appended as Attachment H to the report from the Director, Community Planning, North District, dated August 30, 2000;
- (6) Draft Plan of Subdivision Applications UDSB-1249 be draft plan approved, subject to the following conditions:
 - (a) that this approval applies to the draft plan of subdivision prepared by David Harwood Limited, Ontario Land Surveyors, Project No. 4335DRAFT, dated September 25, 2000;
 - (b) that the Owner shall convey to the City, free and clear of all encumbrances, Block 21 for the purpose of a road widening along Brian Drive;
 - (c) that Street 'A' shall be dedicated as a public highway on the final plan;
 - (d) that the Owner shall enter into an agreement with the City, financial and otherwise, for the provision of roads and services;
 - (e) that the Owner grant all easements as may be required for the provision of services and utilities to the authority having jurisdiction;
 - (f) that, prior to the final approval and registration of this plan of subdivision, OPA 494 and the Zoning By-law amendment shall have come into full force and effect;
 - (g) that the subdivision agreement between the owner and the City provide for Urban Design Guidelines which include design features and elevations to the satisfaction of the Director, Community Planning, North District;
 - (h) that the Owner agree, in the subdivision agreement between the Owner and the City, to carry out or cause to be carried out the conditions of the Technical Services Division and the Transportation Services Division, Works and Emergency Services, as appended as Attachments F and G to the report from the Director, Community Planning, North District, dated August 30, 2000;

- (i) that the Owner agree, in the subdivision agreement between the Owner and the City, to carry out or cause to be carried out the conditions of the Economic Development, Culture and Tourism Department, as appended as Attachment H to the report from the Director, Community Planning, North District, dated August 30, 2000; and
- (j) that the appropriate Standard Conditions of Approval shall apply as appended as Attachment M to the report from the Director, Community Planning, North District, dated August 30, 2000.

Notes to Draft Plan Approval

- (1) Toronto Hydro-Electric Systems Limited is to confirm that the Owner has made satisfactory arrangements to enter into an underground supply agreement with Toronto Hydro-Electric Systems Limited;
- (2) Bell Canada is to confirm that the Owner has made satisfactory arrangements, financial and otherwise, with Bell Canada for any Bell Canada facilities serving this draft plan of subdivision which are required by the City to be installed underground; and, if there are any conflicts with existing Bell Canada facilities or easements, the Owner shall be responsible for rearrangements or relocations;
- (3) Enbridge Consumers Gas standard minimum clearances of 0.3 metres vertically and 0.6 metres horizontally are to be maintained;
- (4) the Owner is advised to grant any easements to Rogers Cable that may be required;
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto; and
- (6) Council determine that no further notice is required under Section 34(17) of the Planning Act.”

Clause No. 51 - “Service Road Implementation - North Yonge Centre Plan Service Road - North York Centre”.

The Clause was struck out and referred to the Budget Advisory Committee for further consideration during the 2001 Capital Budget process.

Clause No. 54 - “Consent Agreement - UDL-99-39 – West of 1100 Caledonia Road - Part of Lot 7, Concession 3, W.Y.S. - North York Spadina”.

The Clause was amended by striking out the recommendation of the North York Community Council and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

“It is recommended that the report dated September 1, 2000, from the City Solicitor, as embodied in the Clause, be adopted.

- Clause No. 60** - **“Exemption to Driveway Entrance Policy For Residential Properties within District 3, 54 Glendora Avenue - North York Centre”.**

The Clause was struck out and referred to the appropriate Community Council for subsequent report to the first regular meeting of City Council in 2001.

- Clause No. 63** - **“All Way Stop Control - Cassandra Boulevard at Kellythorne Drive - Don Parkway”.**

The Clause was struck out and referred to the appropriate Community Council for subsequent report to the first regular meeting of City Council in 2001.

REPORT NO. 10 OF THE SCARBOROUGH COMMUNITY COUNCIL

- Clause No. 18** - **“Derelict Building at 334 Morrish Road (Ward 16 – Scarborough Highland Creek)”.**

The Clause was received.

- Clause No. 21** - **“Zoning By-law Amendment Application SC-Z19990020, Draft Plan of Subdivision Application SC-T19990004, Parcival Developments Limited, 79-91 Westcroft Drive and 30 Weir Crescent, West Hill Community (Ward 16 - Scarborough Highland Creek)”.**

The Clause was amended by striking out the recommendation of the Scarborough Community Council and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

“It is recommended that the report dated June 7, 2000, from the Director of Community Planning, East District, as embodied in the Clause, be adopted.”

- Clause No. 28** - **“City-Initiated Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments SC-W20000003, Various Owners, East Side of Port Union Road, South of Lawrence Avenue, Port Union Village Community (Ward 16 - Scarborough Highland Creek)”.**

The Clause was struck out and referred to the East Community Council for further consideration.

- Clause No. 29** - **“Official Plan Amendment Application SC-P20000013, Zoning Amendment Application SC-Z20000021, Thomas and Carol Campbell and Terry Bell, 20 Port Union Road, Port Union Village (Ward 16 – Scarborough Highland Creek)”.**

The Clause was struck out and referred to the East Community Council for further consideration.

Clause No. 32 - “Proposed Brimley Station on Scarborough Rapid Transit Line (Ward 15 – Scarborough City Centre)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that Council approve the necessary funds (\$125,000.00) to proceed with the feasibility study for the proposed Brimley Station on the Scarborough Rapid Transit Line.”

Clause No. 33 - “Disposition of the Westerly Portion of 3100 Eglinton Avenue East (Ward 13 - Scarborough Bluffs)”.

Council adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the report dated September 29, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) the Offer to Purchase from SAGI Holdings Limited to purchase the westerly portion of 3100 Eglinton Avenue East (Parts 1 and 3, 66R-18699), in the amount of \$90,000.00, be accepted on the terms outlined in the body of this report, and that either one of the Commissioner of Corporate Services or the Director of Real Estate Services be authorized to accept the Offer on behalf of the City;
- (2) authority be granted to direct a portion of the sale proceeds on closing to fund the outstanding balance of Costing Unit No. CA8328;
- (3) the City Solicitor be authorized to complete the transaction on behalf of the City, including payment of necessary expenses and amending the closing date to such earlier or later date as he considers reasonable; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.’ ”

Clause No. 37 - “Conditions of Approval for Draft Plan of Subdivision McAsphalt Industries Ltd. and Rouge River Park Limited, Rouge Employment District (Ward 18 - Scarborough Malvern)”.

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) deleting Condition No. 18 embodied in the report dated September 12, 2000, from the Director of Community Planning, East District, and inserting in lieu thereof the following new Condition No. 18:

“18. The Owner shall agree that the approval of building permits for Lots 4, 37 to 54 shall be subject to the approval of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services upon the City’s completion of a full assessment, at the City’s expense, of the condition of the former Tyrell Landfill with respect to landfill gas migration and water quality impact and shall register on title of the plan of subdivision that these lots are abutting the former Tyrell Landfill. The City agrees that, upon completion of the assessment, remedial works deemed necessary by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, within the boundaries of the former Tyrell Landfill, will be completed by the City at the City’s expense and that the remediation process of site testing, design, construction and re-testing will be carried out expeditiously.”; and

(2) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (a) the report dated September 26, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be withdrawn, as requested by the Commissioner; and
- (b) the following Conditions Nos. 16 and 17, to which mutual agreement has been reached between staff and the Applicant, be adopted:

‘Condition No. 16:

East of the neighbourhood park, Lot 4 and Lots 37 to 54 inclusive is an open space area, Block 45 Registered Plan 66M-2297. The City of Toronto owns this block. The Subdivision Agreement shall provide that the integrity of Block 45 shall be maintained. The proposed amount of drainage from the residential subdivision to Block 45 shall be subject to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services.

Condition No. 17:

17(a) The Owner shall submit to the Ministry of the Environment a Record of Site Condition for the subject property. The Owner shall submit a copy of the Record of Site Condition acknowledged by the Ministry of the Environment to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services. Remediation of the site and all lands deeded to the City shall proceed in phases satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and shall be done in accordance with the applicable standards for the proposed use of the lands by the City and with the Ministry of Environment’s Guidelines for use at Contaminated Sites in Ontario (1997).

- 17(b) The Owner shall agree to be responsible for all costs associated with the remedial measures for any waste deposits that may be found within the Owner's property.' ”

Clause No. 39 - “Canada Lands Company - Parks Levies”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the report dated October 3, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, embodying the following recommendation, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that the refund of \$100,000.00 to the Canada Lands Corporation be funded from the Parkland Acquisition Reserve Fund – Pre 1999 (Scarborough).’ ”

Clause No. 41 - “Ontario Municipal Board Appeal, Official Plan Amendment No. 913, City-Initiated Interim Control By-law Study W95051, KJT Group Investments Ltd., 4181 Sheppard Avenue East, Agincourt Centre Community (Ward 17 - Scarborough Agincourt)”.

Council adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the confidential report dated August 11, 2000, from the City Solicitor, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted, such report now public in its entirety:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) Council approve, in principle, a settlement between the City and the appellant for the OMB appeal with respect to City-Initiated Zoning By-law No. 25242 and OPA No. 913 – Deferral No. 1, as they apply to 4181 Sheppard Avenue East on the following terms:

The appellant agrees to provide a private road across the subject property in the form of a public easement providing vehicular and pedestrian access (the first segment of a possible future connection between Lamont Avenue and Midland Avenue) and traffic signal improvements required at the Midland/Sheppard Avenue intersection.

The City will support “Community Commercial” (CC) zoning without an “H” (Holding Provision) thereby allowing the appellant to develop at the permitted maximum gross floor area of 0.4 times the area of the lot (equivalent to 83,500 square feet); and

- (2) Council direct staff to complete negotiations to make satisfactory arrangements for provision of these transportation improvements including matters such as easement width, location and maintenance and liability issues to the satisfaction of the Director, Community Planning, East District and the Director of Transportation Services, District 4;
- (3) once satisfactory arrangements have been made to secure these improvements, the City Solicitor be directed to approach the OMB to present the settlement reached between the City and the appellant as follows:
 - (a) request the OMB to approve OPA No. 913 (Deferral No. 1) and Zoning By-law No. 25242 as they apply to 4181 Sheppard Avenue East, save and except the Holding Provision and Clauses Nos. 3 and 4 of Exception No. 11; and
 - (b) request the OMB to withhold its Order with respect to the Zoning By-law No. 25242 until such time as an agreement between the City and the appellant to secure the agreed upon transportation improvements has been registered on Title; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect hereto.’ ”

Clause No. 42 - “Other Items Considered by the Community Council”.

The Clause was received as information, subject to striking out and referring Item (f), entitled “Preliminary Report, Official Plan Amendment Application SC-P20000011, Zoning By-law Amendment Application SC-Z20000019, 172965 Ontario Limited, 3600 Sheppard Avenue East, Tam O’Shanter Community (Ward 14 – Scarborough Wexford)”, to the East Community Council for further consideration.

REPORT NO. 15 OF THE TORONTO COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Clause No. 1 - “Residential Demolition Application - 10 Prince Arthur Avenue (Midtown)”.

The Clause was amended by striking out the recommendation of the Toronto Community Council and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

“It is recommended that the report dated July 20, 2000, from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, embodying the following recommendation, be adopted:

'It is recommended that City Council refuse the demolition application for the heritage building at 10 Prince Arthur Avenue located in the East Annex Conservation District.' ”

REPORT NO. 16 OF THE TORONTO COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Clause No. 1 - “Zoning By-law Amendment - 326 to 358 King Street West (Downtown)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the joint report dated September 25, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Commissioner of Corporate Services, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) subject to compliance with the requirements of the Municipal Act and upon compliance by Ivan Reitman and Reitclas Limited (“Reitman and Reitclas”) with the following terms and conditions, the public lane (the “Lane”), shown hatched on the attached Plan SYE2929, be stopped-up and closed as public lane, in conjunction with the Official Plan amendment and Zoning By-law amendment pertaining to the lands comprising Nos. 326 to 358 King Street West (Application No. 199017) becoming final and binding and coming into full force and effect:
 - (a) Reitman and Reitclas together with such other persons as the City Solicitor may require, shall indemnify the City against all loss, cost, damage or action arising as a result of the closing and conveyancing of the Lane;
 - (b) Reitman and Reitclas shall agree to pay a sale price of \$3,000.00 per square metre for the fee in the Lane, for a total estimated price of \$197,100.00, with the final sale price to be determined once the exact site area is calculated following the preparation of a Reference Plan of Survey;
 - (c) Reitman and Reitclas shall agree to pay the cost of registering the authorizing by-law and any other documents necessary or incidental to the closing and conveyancing of the Lane;

- (d) Reitman and Reitclas shall pay all out-of-pocket expenses that will be incurred by the City as a result of the closing and conveyancing of the Lane, estimated to be \$5,000.00, on the understanding that any such expenses paid for by the applicants will not be refunded in the event that the transaction is not completed;
 - (e) Reitman and Reitclas shall obtain and deposit in the appropriate Land Registry Office, at its sole cost and expense, a reference plan of survey, integrated with the Ontario Co-ordinate System, satisfactory to the City Surveyor, delineating thereon as separate PARTS, the Lane and the remainder of the site; and
 - (f) Reitman and Reitclas shall comply with any other terms and conditions related to the closing and conveyancing of the Lane as the City Solicitor may deem advisable to protect the City's interests;
- (2) the Lane be declared surplus to the City's requirements and notice of the proposed sale be given to the public, in accordance with the requirements of By-law No. 551-1998;
 - (3) the proposed conveyance of the Lane be declared to be in compliance with the former City of Toronto Part 1 Official Plan (Section 3.3);
 - (4) notice be given to the public of the proposed by-law to stop up, close and sell the Lane, in accordance with the requirements of the Municipal Act;
 - (5) the Toronto Community Council or its successor hold a public hearing concerning the proposed by-law, if any person who claims that the person's land will be prejudicially affected by the proposed by-law applies to be heard, in accordance with the requirements of the Municipal Act;
 - (6) the sale price for the fee in the Lane be set at \$3,000.00 per square metre;
 - (7) following the stopping up and closing of the Lane, upon compliance by Reitman and Reitclas with the terms and conditions set out in Recommendation No. (1) hereof and the payment by Reitman and Reitclas of the sale price set out in Recommendation No. (6) hereof, the Lane be conveyed to Reitman and Reitclas; and
 - (8) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any bills necessary to give effect thereto.' ”

Clause No. 9 - “Proposed Intersection Modifications - Bathurst Street and Vaughan Road/Helena Avenue (Midtown)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the report dated September 26, 2000, from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that Forestry Services staff work with Transportation Services staff and the necessary underground utility companies, in order to confirm the feasibility of tree planting and to determine exact locations for tree placement on the sidewalk that is proposed to be widened.’ ”

Clause No. 19 - “Draft By-law – Alteration of Bartlett Avenue, Bloor Street West to Hallam Street - Installation of Speed Humps (Davenport)”.

The Clause was amended in accordance with the report dated September 27, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, embodying the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that, in order to authorize the speed hump plan for Bartlett Avenue, from Bloor Street West to Hallam Street, including an additional location in front of Dovercourt Park, the draft by-law contained in Clause No. 19 of Report No. 16 of The Toronto Community Council be amended by adding under ‘(Column 6 Drawing No./Date)’ the entry ‘421F-5786, August 2000’, and be enacted as amended.”

Clause No. 22 - “Draft By-law – Alteration of Gladstone Avenue, Bloor Street West to Hallam Street - Installation of Speed Humps (Davenport)”.

The Clause was amended in accordance with the report dated September 27, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, embodying the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that, in order to authorize the speed hump plan for Gladstone Avenue, from Bloor Street West to Hallam Street, including the relocation of the proposed speed hump in front of Premises No. 627 Gladstone, the draft by-law contained in Clause No. 22 of Report No. 16 of The Toronto Community Council be amended by adding under ‘(Column 6, Drawing No./Date)’ the entry ‘421F-5831, September 2000’, and be enacted as amended.”

Clause No. 69 - “David A. Balfour Park Playground Community Group, Authority to Seek Private Donations (Midtown)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to submit a further report to the Midtown Community Council, for its first meeting to be held in January 2001, on plans for the playground redevelopment.”

Clause No. 80 - “Introduction of a 10 Minute Student Pick-Up and Drop-Off Zone - Lonsdale Road, North Side, Fronting Grace Church on the Hill - 300 Lonsdale Road (Midtown)”.

The Clause was amended by inserting in Recommendation No. (3) embodied in the report dated August 17, 2000, from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, after the words “Monday to Friday, the words “and at anytime on Saturday and Sunday”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(3) parking be prohibited from 6:30 p.m. of one day to 7:30 am. of the next following day, from 9:00 a.m. to 11:30 a.m., and from 12:00 noon to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, and at anytime on Saturday and Sunday, on the north side of Lonsdale Road, from a point 60 metres west of Russell Hill Road to a point 25 metres further west;”.

Clause No. 82 - “Adjustment of Parking Regulations and Installation of Parking Meters/Pay and Display Machines, Woodlawn Avenue East, North Side, from a point 50 Metres East of Yonge Street to a point 50 Metres Further East (Midtown)”.

The Clause was amended by deleting from Recommendation No. (4) embodied in the report dated August 16, 2000, from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, the time “11:00 a.m.” and inserting in lieu thereof the time “8:00 a.m.”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(4) that the Toronto Parking Authority be requested to install parking meters/pay and display machines on the north side of Woodlawn Avenue East, from a point 50 metres east of Yonge Street to a point 50 metres further east, to operate for a maximum period of two hours from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, and for a maximum period of three hours from 6:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, and from 1:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., Sundays, at a rate of \$1.00 per hour;”.

Clause No. 92 - “Installation of Speed Humps - Langley Avenue, Between Broadview Avenue and Howland Road (East Toronto)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to develop a speed hump plan for the balance of Langley Avenue, from Howland Road to Carlaw Avenue;
- (2) approval be granted to alter sections of the roadway on Langley Avenue, from Howland Road to Carlaw Avenue, by the construction of speed humps, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council, and subject to review in the 2001 Budget process;
- (3) the speed limit be reduced from 40 kilometres per hour to 30 kilometres per hour on Langley Avenue, between Howland Road and Carlaw Avenue, coincident with the implementation of the speed humps and as legislation permits; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.”

Clause No. 93 - “Introduction of ‘No Parking 9:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m.’ Regulation - Conrad Avenue (Davenport)”.

The Clause was amended by deleting from Recommendation No. (1) embodied in the report dated August 11, 2000, from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, the word “daily” and inserting in lieu thereof the words “Monday to Friday”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

- “(1) parking be prohibited on both sides of Conrad Avenue between 9:30 a.m. and 11:30 a.m., Monday to Friday;”.

REPORT NO. 17 OF THE TORONTO COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Clause No. 1 - “Heritage Conservation Districts - Status Report (All Wards of the Former City of Toronto)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the recommendation of the Policy and Finance Committee embodied in the communication dated September 21, 2000, from the City Clerk, be adopted, viz.:

‘The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that authority be granted to open a S.A.P. account to receive monies set out in Recommendation No. (1) of the report (September 11, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.’ ”

Clause No. 12 - “Residential Demolition Application – 294, 296-298 Sherbourne Street (Downtown)”.

Council adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the report dated September 13, 2000, from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, as embodied in the Clause, be adopted.”

Clause No. 15 - “Parking Regulations - Lonsdale Road, South Side, from Oriole Parkway to Baker Avenue (Midtown)”.

The Clause was amended by striking out the recommendation of the Toronto Community Council and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council direct the appropriate City staff to conduct a permit parking assessment for the south side of Lonsdale Road, from Oriole Parkway to Lawton Boulevard, and, upon a positive assessment, the City Clerk be requested to commence a street-specific permit parking poll to determine whether permit parking is to be implemented on the south side of Lonsdale Road, from Oriole Parkway to Lawton Boulevard; and
- (2) the petition submitted by Councillor Adams, in support of creating permit parking on Lonsdale Road, between Oriole Parkway and Lawton Boulevard, be entered as the warrant for this action.”

Clause No. 16 - “Cancellation of Boulevard Café Extension - Logan Avenue Flank of 484 Danforth Avenue (Don River)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with the Business Improvement Area and the applicant, be requested to submit a report to the Downtown Community Council, in one year’s time, on the operation of the café and the extension.”

Clause No. 17 - “Patio Licence - Bert ’N Ernies Fun Food Eatery – 2918 Dundas Street West (High Park)”.

Council adopted the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the City Solicitor be authorized to:
 - (a) attend the hearing, yet to be determined, by the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario, and support the liquor licence for inside the subject premises;
 - (b) request that the patio be approved, subject to:
 - (i) an 11:00 p.m. closing, seven days a week; and
 - (ii) no amplified equipment being permitted on the patio; and
- (2) the applicant be encouraged to install other measures to reduce the noise.”

Clause No. 28 - “Draft By-law - Alteration of St. Germain Avenue, between Avenue Road and Yonge Street - Installation of Speed Humps (North Toronto)”.

Council received this Clause and directed that By-law No. 929-2000 not be enacted.

Clause No. 46 - “Exemption from Part Lot Control – 2078, 2300 St. Clair Avenue West and 66 Symes Road (Maple Clair Village Subdivision) (Davenport)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that City Council support an application to the Committee of Adjustment for an exemption of the subject property from Section 6(3), Part IX of Zoning By-law No. 438-86, as amended, until the by-law exempting the lots from Part Lot Control is adopted by City Council.”

Clause No. 60 - “Installation/Removal of On-Street Parking Spaces for Persons with Disabilities (Davenport, Don River, East Toronto, Trinity-Niagara and Midtown)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the following new locations be added to the list of on-street parking spaces which are to be established for persons with disabilities, as embodied in Table ‘A’ appended to the report dated September 5, 2000, from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1:

‘Ward	Location
25	Bleecker Street, west side, between a point 44.5 metres north of Carlton Street and a point 5.5 metres further north thereof; and
26	Wineva Avenue, east side, between a point 58 metres north of Isleworth Avenue and a point 5.5 metres further north thereof.’ ”

Clause No. 64 - “Extension of Permit Parking Hours - Trinity Street, Between Eastern Avenue and King Street East (Don River)”.

The Clause was amended by deleting from Recommendation No. (3) embodied in the report dated August 16, 2000, from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, the words “parking on the west side”, and inserting in lieu thereof the words “parking on the east side”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(3) parking on the east side of Trinity Street be restricted to a maximum length of one hour between the hours of 10:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m., daily;”.

Clause No. 74 - “Safety of Cornice Extension of Spadina Avenue and Harbord Street (Downtown)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the City Solicitor be requested to release to the public those portions of the confidential report dated September 5, 2000, from the City Solicitor, that can be made public.”

Clause No. 77 - “Parking Regulation and the Introduction of Permit Parking - Cedarvale Avenue, East Side, from Ethelwin Avenue to Keystone Avenue (East Toronto)”.

The Clause was amended by deleting from Recommendation No. (1) of the Toronto Community Council, the words “the standing prohibition”, and inserting in lieu thereof the words “the parking prohibition”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(4) the parking prohibition on the east side of Cedarvale Avenue between Ethelwin Avenue and Keystone Avenue, be rescinded;”.

Clause No. 78 - “Installation of Speed Humps - Wildwood Crescent (East Toronto)”.

The Clause was amended by striking out the recommendation of the Toronto Community Council and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

“It is recommended that:

- (a) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Wildwood Crescent for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to the favourable results of polling of the affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

‘The construction of speed humps on Wildwood Crescent, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5789 dated August 2000.’;

- (b) a speed limit of thirty kilometres per hour be introduced on Wildwood Crescent, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits; and
- (c) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required, subject to review in the 2001 budget process.”

REPORT NO. 18 OF THE TORONTO COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Clause No. 1 - “Potential Acquisition of No. 1947-1997 Bloor Street West (High Park)”.

The Clause was struck out and referred to the Southwest Community Council for subsequent report to the first regular meeting of City Council in 2001.

Clause No. 21 - “Provision of Litter Bins With Advertising”.

The Clause was amended by deleting from the recommendation of the Toronto Community Council, all of the words after the words “Midtown Ward”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“The Toronto Community Council recommends that the contract with OMG be amended to include the entire Midtown Ward.”

Clause No. 22 - “Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning - Site Plan Approval - 164 Cheritan Avenue (North Toronto)”.

The Clause was amended by:

- (a) inserting in Recommendation No. (2) of the Toronto Community Council, after the words “Rosewell Court tenants”, the words “Havergal College”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(2) the City Solicitor, the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and any other appropriate officials work with the Lytton Park Residents’ Organization, Rosewell Court tenants, Havergal College and the local Councillor(s) regarding any settlement proposal;”; and

- (2) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the full text of the communication dated September 28, 2000, from Councillor Johnston, addressed to Ms. Beate Bowron, Director, Community Planning, South District, and the communication dated October 2, 2000, from Ms. Bowron, in reply thereto, in regard to the Rosewell Court Development Proposal (164 Cheritan Avenue), be appended to the Clause.”

Clause No. 30 - “Relocation of Parking – Geary Avenue from Dovercourt Road to Ossington Avenue (Davenport)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the report dated September 28, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) the “No Parking Anytime” prohibition on the south side of Geary Avenue, between Dovercourt Road and Salem Avenue North, be rescinded;
- (2) parking be allowed on the south side of Geary Avenue, between Dovercourt Road and Salem Avenue North, with a maximum limit of one hour from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday;
- (3) the one hour parking regulation from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, on the north side of Geary Avenue, between Dovercourt Road and Salem Avenue North, be rescinded;
- (4) parking be prohibited at all times on the north side of Geary Avenue, between Dovercourt Road and Salem Avenue North;

- (5) the existing permit parking regulation on the north side of Geary Avenue, between Dovercourt Road and Salem Avenue North, be rescinded and transferred to the south side; and
- (6) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.’ ”

Clause No. 31 - **“Installation of New Metered Parking and/or Pay and Display Parking Spaces and Associated Parking Regulation Amendments (East Toronto, Don River, Downtown, Trinity-Niagara, Davenport, Midtown)”**.

The Clause was amended by adding to Recommendation No. (1) of the Toronto Community Council, the words “and flankage streets related thereto”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

- “(1) the following report (September 1, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, be adopted, subject to the deletion of Queen Street East from Herbert Avenue to Neville Park Boulevard and flankage streets related thereto;”.

Clause No. 34 - **“Ontario Municipal Board Appeal, Committee of Adjustment Decision - 20 Strathearn Boulevard (Midtown)”**.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

- “It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to submit a report to the Planning and Transportation Committee more clearly defining the description of terraces and balconies.”

Clause No. 43 - **“Adjustment of Parking Regulations - Fairford Avenue, South Side, East of Hiawatha Road (East Toronto)”**.

The Clause was amended by deleting from Recommendation No. (2) embodied in the report dated September 21, 2000, from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, the reference “3:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday”, and inserting in lieu thereof the reference “1:00 p.m. to 3:00 p.m., Monday to Friday”, so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

- “(2) the 10-minute maximum parking regulation from 8:00 a.m. to 8:30 a.m., 11:30 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. and 1:00 p.m. to 3:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the south side of Fairford Avenue, between Hiawatha Road and Ashdale Avenue, be adjusted to apply from 7:00 a.m. to 9:30 a.m., 11:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. and 3:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday;”.

REPORT NO. 9 OF THE YORK COMMUNITY COUNCIL

- Clause No. 2** - **“963 and 1001 Roselawn Avenue, Zoning By-law Amendment Application - Supplementary Report, Change to the Proposed By-law After the Public Meeting, Owners: Westside Developments Limited, Applicant: Mr. M. Goldman; File No. R99-066, Ward 28 - York Eglinton”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the report dated September 26, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) Zoning By-law No. 1-83 be amended generally in accordance with the revised Draft Zoning By-law attached as Attachment No. 2 to this report and worded to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor;
- (2) in consideration of the By-law revisions noted in this report, no further notice of a public meeting be given in respect of the revised Draft Zoning By-law; and
- (3) Council authorize staff to take the necessary action to introduce the revised Draft Zoning By-law to City Council for enactment, once comments are received from Works and Emergency Services.’ ”

- Clause No. 6** - **“2322-2400 Eglinton Avenue West - Final Report, Application to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law No. 1-83 of the former City of York; Westside Developments Ltd., File No. OR00-001, SP00-006, Ward 27, York Humber”.**

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) adding the following new condition to the recommendation of the York Community Council:
 - “(c) that a public information meeting be held to provide the community with the staff reports on traffic, fire, police, environmental conditions and landscaping which are still outstanding.”; and

(2) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

(a) the report dated September 28, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) modifications to the site plan for the proposed redevelopment of Premises Nos. 2322-2400 Eglinton Avenue West be made to ensure that truck access and egress can be accommodated to/from Gabian Way;
- (2) the owner be required to provide and maintain a truck restrictor at the access to Carnarvon Street to physically preclude trucks from using the neighbourhood streets to the north to access/egress this site;
- (3) the Uniform Traffic By-laws Nos. 196-84 and 2958-94 be amended to prohibit heavy trucks at all times on Carnarvon Street and Woodborough Avenue; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.’; and

(b) the report dated September 27, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, and the communication dated September 22, 2000, from the Silverthorn Ratepayers Association, be received.”

Clause No. 8 - “559 Arlington Avenue, Sale of Surplus Property (Ward 28, York Eglinton)”.

Council adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the report dated October 2, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) in the event that an Offer to Purchase the property known municipally as 559 Arlington Avenue is received prior to 4 p.m. on Monday, October 16, 2000, on the terms and conditions detailed in the body of this report, then:
 - (a) either of the Commissioner of Corporate Services or the Director of Real Estate Services, on the City’s behalf, be authorized to accept the Offer to Purchase;

- (b) Council, pursuant to Clause No. 14 of Report No. 27 of the former Metropolitan Management Committee, adopted on September 28, 1994, waive the minimum required deposit of 10 percent of the purchase price;
 - (c) authority be granted to direct a portion of the sale proceeds on closing to fund the outstanding balance of Costing Unit No. CA6130; and
 - (d) the City Solicitor be authorized, in conjunction with Province of Ontario Officials and/or agents, to complete the transaction on behalf of the City, including payment of any necessary expenses and amending the closing date to such earlier or later date as he considers reasonable;
- (2) in the event that the tenant requests that the matter of the purchase price be referred to arbitration, then the results of the hearing be the subject of a report to Committee and Council in the new year; and
 - (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.’.”

Clause No. 17 - “Traffic Calming Survey Results, Installation of Speed Humps on Crang Avenue Between St. Clair Avenue West and Glenhurst Avenue (Ward 28, York Eglinton)”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report to the Southwest Community Council on:

- (1) the removal of the traffic islands on Appleton Avenue;
- (2) the installation of speed signs on Appleton Avenue and Crang Avenue; and
- (3) harmonization of the parking regulations on Crang Avenue and Appleton Avenue.”

REPORT NO. 7 OF THE BOARD OF HEALTH

Clause No. 4 - “Early Years Community Co-ordinators Initiative”.

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Medical Officer of Health be requested to work with the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services on ensuring there is no duplication of human resources and approaches to the creation of the local steering committee under the provincial early years challenge funds as announced by the Children’s Secretariat of Ontario.”

REPORT NO. 6 OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

Clause No. 7 - "Toronto Harbour Commissioners - Financial Review - Further Information".

The Clause was struck out and referred to the Audit Committee for subsequent report to the first regular meeting of City Council in 2001.

NOTICE OF MOTION APPEARING UNDER ITEM F

Moved by: Councillor Johnston

Seconded by: Councillor Walker

"WHEREAS at its meeting held on June 30, 2000, the Committee of Adjustment, South District, approved a consent to sever one lot into two lots and 6 minor variances to build two houses at 181 St. Clements Avenue; and

WHEREAS this application contravenes the City's policy of protecting stable residential neighbourhoods from unreasonable intensification; and

WHEREAS the applicant will require the removal of 6 substantial City-owned trees and no proposal to replace these valuable trees has been offered; and

WHEREAS this development will produce lots and houses completely out of keeping with all other lots in the area; and

WHEREAS a number of residents attended the Committee of Adjustment hearing to voice their opposition to this proposal;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Solicitor be directed to appear at the Ontario Municipal Board on behalf of area residents to object to this variance."

Disposition: The Motion was withdrawn.

NOTICES OF MOTIONS APPEARING UNDER ITEM I

(i) **Moved by: Councillor King**

Seconded by: Councillor Adams

"WHEREAS the Committee of Adjustment (North District) approved an application to sever Block 64 from the Bowan Court Subdivision Agreement and recommended the conveyance of the part thereof to the adjoining landowners of lots 26, 27 and 28, despite the objection of the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA); and

WHEREAS the Bowan Court Subdivision Agreement was carefully drafted with the assistance of the TRCA to ensure that the principles of the Valley and Stream Corridor Management Program of the TRCA were respected; and

WHEREAS the City of Toronto will have to consider amending the Bowan Court Subdivision Agreement, prior to the implementation of the Committee of Adjustment decision; and

WHEREAS the TRCA has directed it's staff to appeal the decision of the Committee of Adjustment to the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB); and

WHEREAS the OMB hearing will be expensive for the TRCA and redundant if the City were to decide to not amend the Subdivision Agreement;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Toronto City Council request the North York Community Council to review the Committee of Adjustment decision (attached), which is premised on City Council amending the Subdivision Agreement, at its September meeting;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the North York Community Council make a recommendation to the October 3, 2000, City Council meeting regarding amending the Subdivision Agreement.”

Disposition: The Motion was referred to the North Community Council for subsequent report to the first regular meeting of City Council in 2001.

(ii) **Moved by: Councillor Moscoe**

Seconded by: Councillor Rae

“**BE IT RESOLVED THAT**, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 1 of Report No. 15 of The Administration Committee, headed ‘Establishing New Community Councils in the City of Toronto (All Wards)’, be re-opened for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the number of Community Councils.”

Disposition: The Motion was not adopted.

NOTICES OF MOTIONS APPEARING UNDER ITEM J

(1) **Moved by: Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski**

Seconded by: Councillor Miller

“**WHEREAS** City Council at its meeting held on June 7, 8 and 9, 2000, adopted, as amended, Clause No. 3 of Report No. 12 of The Works Committee, headed ‘Lester B. Pearson International Airport (LBPIA) Noise Monitoring and Impact Review and Assessment (Wards 2, 3, 4 and 5)’, and in so doing, forwarded the study report, entitled ‘LBPIA Noise Impact Assessment and Review’, to the Toronto Community Council for review and comment; and

WHEREAS the Toronto Community Council, at its meeting on July 18, 2000, deferred consideration of the aforesaid study until its special meeting to be held on September 7, 2000, for deputations, to permit distribution to interested parties and community consultation with local Councillors; and

WHEREAS City Council at its meeting held on August 1, 2, 3 and 4, 2000, adopted, as amended, Clause No. 13 of Report No. 9 of The Etobicoke Community Council respecting this matter, without the requested comment from the Toronto Community Council; and

WHEREAS the Toronto Community Council, at its special meeting held on September 7, 2000, did hear deputations from interested members of the Community, but, in view of Council's action on August 1, 2, 3 and 4, 2000, could only receive this matter;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 13 of Report No. 9 of The Etobicoke Community Council, headed 'Lester B. Pearson International Airport (LBPIA) Noise Monitoring and Impact Review and Assessment (Wards 2, 3, 4 and 5)', be re-opened to permit amendments arising from the comments of the Toronto Community Council, as directed by Council on June 7, 8 and 9, 2000;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT:

- (1) the City of Toronto write to the Minister of Transport encouraging him to establish an effective noise monitoring system as recommended in the Aercoustics Report, based on scientific placement of monitors, and not only on community complaints; and
- (2) the City of Toronto ensure that the Airport Task Force be established as a continuing committee with clear directions dealing with all airport issues."

Disposition: *Council re-opened Clause No. 13 of Report No. 9 of The Etobicoke Community Council, headed "Lester B. Pearson International Airport (LBPIA) Noise Monitoring and Impact Review and Assessment (Wards 2, 3, 4 and 5)", and adopted the balance of the Motion, subject to adding to Recommendation No. (2) embodied in the second Operative Paragraph, the words "and that the mandate of such Task Force include the examination of noise generated by all aircraft, save and except those involved in emergency services", so that such recommendation shall now read as follows:*

"(2) the City of Toronto ensure that the Airport Task Force be established as a continuing committee with clear directions dealing with all airport issues, and that the mandate of such Task Force include the examination of noise generated by all aircraft, save and except those involved in emergency services."

(2) **Moved by: Councillor Bussin**

Seconded by: Councillor Pantalone

“**WHEREAS** City Council at its meeting held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000, adopted, without amendment, Clause No. 21 of Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council, headed ‘Traffic Calming Measures – Lawlor Avenue, between Kingston Road and Gerrard Street East (East Toronto)’; and

WHEREAS the residents of Lawlor Avenue, between Kingston Road and Gerrard Street East, have indicated to me their full support for speed humps to be placed on the street; and

WHEREAS the strong support has been amply demonstrated by an unofficial poll conducted as an informal petition signed by the majority of residents; and

WHEREAS the street has become a thoroughfare for drivers, which poses a danger to children attending the Adam Beck Public School, located approximately in the middle of this section of Lawlor Avenue, and as it is the only street for a series of blocks which allows north and south travel;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 21 of Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council, headed ‘Traffic Calming Measures – Lawlor Avenue, between Kingston Road and Gerrard Street East (East Toronto)’, be re-opened for further consideration;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the City Clerk be directed to waive the Official Poll normally conducted by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, Transportation Division, to assess the opinion of residents of streets with a request for traffic calming measures;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to install, as soon as possible, speed humps on Lawlor Avenue, between Kingston Road and Gerrard Street East;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT 30 kilometre per hour advisory signs be installed, when the humps are being installed;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, prior to this traffic calming construction start, advisory signs be posted that would designate a ‘SPEED CONTROL ZONE, Please Drive Slowly. This to occur as soon as possible’.”

Disposition: *Council re-opened Clause No. 21 of Report No. 11 of The Toronto Community Council, headed “Traffic Calming Measures – Lawlor Avenue, between Kingston Road and Gerrard Street East (East Toronto)”, for further consideration, and adopted the balance of the Motion, without amendment.*

(3) **Moved by:** Councillor Disero

Seconded by: Councillor Palacio

“**WHEREAS** City Council at its meeting held on November 23, 24 and 25, 1999, considered Clause No. 6 of Report No. 14 of The Toronto Community Council, headed ‘Introduction of a “No Stopping Anytime” Regulation - Old Weston Road, between St. Clair Avenue West and the North Limit of S.A.D.R.A. Park (Davenport)’; and

WHEREAS in adopting the Clause, without amendment, Council approved various changes to stopping and parking regulations in order to facilitate improved vehicular traffic flow and discourage illegal vendor activity on this section of Old Weston Road; and

WHEREAS these changes have had positive results, with the exception of that section of the east side of Old Weston Road in front of residences numbers 373, 375, 379, 381, 383, 387, 389, 393, 399, 401, 409 and 411 Old Weston Road;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 6 of Report No. 14 of The Toronto Community Council, headed ‘Introduction of a “No Stopping Anytime” Regulation - Old Weston Road, between St. Clair Avenue West and the North Limit of S.A.D.R.A. Park (Davenport)’, be re-opened for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the stopping and parking regulations on that portion of the public highway in front of 373-411 Old Weston Road;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT City Council:

- (a) rescind the stopping prohibition at any time, on the east side of Old Weston Road, from St. Clair Avenue West to a point 142 metres further north;
- (b) reinstate the stopping prohibition from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the east side of Old Weston Road, from St. Clair Avenue West to a point 142 metres further north; and
- (c) reinstate the parking prohibition from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., Monday to Friday, on the east side of Old Weston Road, from a point 82 metres north of St. Clair Avenue West to a point 60 metres further north.”

Disposition: *Council re-opened Clause No. No. 6 of Report No. 14 of The Toronto Community Council, headed “Introduction of a ‘No Stopping Anytime’ Regulation - Old Weston Road, between St. Clair Avenue West and the North Limit of S.A.D.R.A. Park (Davenport)”, for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the stopping and parking regulations on that portion of the public highway in front of 373-411 Old Weston Road, and adopted the balance of the Motion, without amendment.*

(4) **Moved by: Councillor King**

Seconded by: Councillor Duguid

“**WHEREAS** City Council, at its meeting held on August 1, 2, 3 and 4, 2000, adopted, without amendment, Clause No. 12 of Report No. 8 of The Planning and Transportation Committee, headed ‘Membership - TaxiWatch Committee’; and

WHEREAS in the recommendation respecting resource/advisors to the TaxiWatch Committee, a representative from ‘Crime Concern’ was inadvertently omitted; and

WHEREAS as a resource/advisor, ‘Crime Concern’ would be of valuable assistance to the TaxiWatch Committee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 12 of Report No. 8 of The Planning and Transportation Committee, headed ‘Membership - TaxiWatch Committee’, be re-opened for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to resource/advisors to the TaxiWatch Committee;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT a representative of ‘Crime Concern’ be also included as a resource/advisor to the TaxiWatch Committee.”

Disposition: Council re-opened Clause No. 12 of Report No. 8 of The Planning and Transportation Committee, headed “Membership - TaxiWatch Committee”, for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to resource/advisors to the TaxiWatch Committee, and adopted the balance of the Motion, without amendment.

(5) **Moved by: Councillor Miller**

Seconded by: Councillor Johnston

“**WHEREAS** City Council, at its meeting held on August 1, 2, 3 and 4, 2000, by its adoption, as amended, of Motion F regarding an update from the City Solicitor on the ‘True Blue’ Campaign, requested the City Solicitor to submit a report to the October 3, 2000, meeting of City Council on the restrictions on political activity of police officers under applicable legislation; and

WHEREAS the City Solicitor has prepared the attached report dated September 19, 2000, entitled ‘Legislative Restrictions on Political Activity of Police Officers’;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council now give consideration to the aforementioned report dated September 19, 2000, from the City Solicitor, and that such report be received for information.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, subject to adding thereto the following new Operative Paragraphs:*

“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT City Council:

- (1) *urge the Toronto Police Services Board to request the Chief of Police to write to the Toronto Police Association requesting that its members and executive not participate in any election campaign or to endorse any candidate;***
- (2) *direct its representatives on the Toronto Police Services Board to convey Council’s request to the Board and the Toronto Police Association; and***
- (3) *call on all candidates for municipal election not to accept any endorsement or participation from the Toronto Police Association;***

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT *the Toronto Police Services Board be requested to release publicly, the legal conclusions embodied in the confidential communication dated September 29, 2000, from the Chairman, Toronto Police Services Board.*”

(6) Moved by: Councillor Ootes

Seconded by: Councillor Chong

“WHEREAS City Council, at its meeting held on August 1, 2, 3 and 4, 2000, by its adoption, as amended, of Clause No. 7 of Report No. 10 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed ‘2000 Vehicle and Equipment Replacement Program’, approved funding envelopes for vehicle and equipment replacements for various programs, including Solid Waste Management Services; and

WHEREAS the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services has reported to the Policy and Finance Committee that the needs of Solid Waste Management Services have changed significantly, and it is necessary to revise the previously approved equipment list to ensure that the necessary equipment can be purchased this year; and

WHEREAS the Policy and Finance Committee has recommended to Council the adoption of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services' recommendations, as embodied in Clause No. 18 of Report No. 12 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed 'Amendments to Vehicle and Equipment Replacement Programs for Solid Waste Management Services'; and

WHEREAS, in order to consider the recommendations of the Policy and Finance Committee, Council must first re-open its previous decision in this regard;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 7 of Report No. 10 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed '2000 Vehicle and Equipment Replacement Program', be re-opened for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the purchase of vehicles for Solid Waste Management Services; and that Council then give consideration to the recommendations of the Policy and Finance Committee."

Disposition: Council re-opened Clause No. 7 of Report No. 10 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed "2000 Vehicle and Equipment Replacement Program", for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the purchase of vehicles for Solid Waste Management Services, and adopted the balance of the Motion, without amendment.

[See Page 28 for Council's action on Clause No. 18 of Report No. 12 of The Policy and Finance Committee.]

(7) **Moved by: Councillor McConnell**

Seconded by: Councillor Chow

WHEREAS City Council, at its meeting held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000, by its adoption, as amended, of Motion J(6) regarding payments to the Toronto District School Board for space used for recreation programs, requested the Chair of the School Tax Sub-Committee, the Children=s Advocate, the Mayor or his designate, interested Councillors and representatives of Community Groups to meet with the Chairs of the Toronto District School Board and the Toronto Catholic District School Board and arrange a joint delegation to the Minister of Education respecting the school funding formula; and

WHEREAS Council deferred consideration of a joint report dated June 20, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting payments to the Toronto District School Board for space used for recreational programs, to its October 3, 2000 meeting, pending the outcome of the aforementioned meeting; and

WHEREAS in a communication dated August 31, 2000, the Toronto District School Board indicated that it has agreed to participate in a delegation to the Minister of Education with respect to the school funding formula; and

WHEREAS the Toronto District School Board has also advised that, with regret, the Board has reaffirmed its Permit Policy and Fee Structure; and

WHEREAS Councillor Pam McConnell, in her communication (undated) advises that discussions with the Toronto District School Board are still ongoing, and it is hoped that the Board will agree to delay collection of the fees until the City can attempt to resolve this issue with the Province;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council give consideration to the joint report dated June 20, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, subject to:*

- (1) *adding to the Operative Paragraph the words “and that the recommendations embodied in such joint report be adopted”, so that such Operative Paragraph shall now read as follows:*

“NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council give consideration to the joint report dated June 20, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, and that the recommendations embodied in such joint report be adopted.”; and

- (2) *adding thereto the following new recitals and Operative Paragraphs:*

“WHEREAS the Toronto District School Board has recently increased its user fees for community use of schools, as a result of no longer receiving Provincial funding for this purpose; and

WHEREAS these increased fees are a significant barrier to the use of these facilities by many community sports and recreational organizations;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Toronto District School Board be requested to immediately freeze its user fees for community use of schools at 1999 levels, in order to allow community groups to access these facilities and continue to provide a valued service to the community;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT City Council endorse the following resolutions respecting community use of schools, adopted by the Toronto District School Board at its meeting held on September 27, 2000:

- (a) That the Toronto District School Board continue working with the City of Toronto and the Toronto Catholic District School Board towards mutual support through a working group consisting of the following representatives:***
 - (i) Toronto District School Board: Trustees Irene Atkinson, Suzan Hall, Gerri Gershon, Shelley Laskin, Lilein Schaffer and Mike Thomas;***
 - (ii) City of Toronto: Councillors Raymond Cho, Olivia Chow, Pam McConnell, Frances Nunziata, Jane Pitfield and Bruce Sinclair; and***
 - (iii) Toronto Catholic School Board: Rose Andrachuk, Chair of the Board;***
- (b) that there be a joint communication strategy:***
 - (i) to clearly state to the media and the public the impact of the funding formula;***
 - (ii) to again notify all permit-holders about the impact of permit fees; and***
 - (iii) to advertise public hearings;***
- (c) that the Toronto District School Board, in partnership with the City, hold hearings for permit-holders in October in at least four areas of the City;***
- (d) that the Chair, Toronto District School Board, in partnership with the Mayor, interested Councillors and the Chair of the Toronto Catholic District School Board, communicate with the Premier of Ontario requesting an amendment to the funding formula to accommodate community use of schools; and***

- (e) *that the Board's decision be communicated to the Mayor and the City Clerk as soon as possible."*

Council, by its adoption of the Motion, as amended, adopted the following recommendations embodied in the joint report dated June 20, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism:

"It is recommended that:

- (1) *the City of Toronto enter into an agreement to make remittances to the Toronto District School Board at an hourly rate of \$0.0038 per square foot for space used for City-run recreational programs in schools (see detail in Table 1) starting September 1, 2000, excluding sites covered by existing agreements or where the City has made a capital investment;*
- (2) *these charges apply to the following City uses of school facilities: gymnasias, multi-purpose program space and meeting rooms;*
- (3) *no charges be levied for after school recreation programs up to 6:00 p.m. on school days;*
- (4) *the cost for such remittances irrespective of use, not exceed \$1 million in 2000;*
- (5) *the TDSB be requested to provide itemized billings for this purpose, including the hours, number of square feet, type of facility and name of school;*
- (6) *the above recommendations be subject to resolution and approval by Council of payment agreements reflecting the cost of services provided to the TDSB by the City;*
- (7) *any school use of indoor City facilities be subject to the same rates and conditions listed above and staff be authorized to enter into agreements with the TDSB to secure revenues from such uses;*
- (8) *any school use of arenas or outdoor artificial ice rinks be subject to the Council approved hourly City rates for youth, effective September 1, 2000; and*

(9) *the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.*”

(8) **Moved by:** Councillor Nunziata

Seconded by: Councillor Saundercook

“**WHEREAS** the City of Toronto has harmonized ice rental rates for Community Youth User Groups at City-managed arenas, resulting in new revenue for the City of Toronto of approximately \$1,000,000.00, phased over three years; and

WHEREAS Council has decided that individual Arena Boards of Management and other agencies operating City-owned arenas be permitted to set their own fees higher than the harmonized rate at City-operated arenas of \$92.00 per hour; and

WHEREAS the current rates payable by the parents of children using the Weston Lions Arena is more than double the rate paid by user groups at City-operated arenas; and

WHEREAS the Board of Management of the Weston Lions Arena has a mandate to operate the arena on a cost-recovery basis and, therefore, cannot offer the City’s harmonized rate to Community Youth Groups using its facility; and

WHEREAS the user groups have been given a further rate increase after their registration materials were issued for the current season, causing a serious shortfall in budgeted revenue; and

WHEREAS these high ice rental rates are causing the Weston Minor Hockey Association and the Weston Figure Skating Club severe financial hardship to the point where they may not be able to continue operating as they have for more than 50 years; and

WHEREAS the Clubs involved have made every attempt to seek alternative ice time, raise funds and live within their means, and still cannot offer a similar level of service as other Clubs in the community; and

WHEREAS it is clearly unfair that these community groups should have to pay more for ice time at a board or agency-managed arena than similar groups at City-managed arenas; and

WHEREAS staff reports on options for addressing this inequity will not be forthcoming in time to assist with the current season’s operations;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Weston Minor Hockey Association and the Weston Figure Skating Association be given one-time emergency subsidies from the Corporate Contingency Account, for the 2000-2001 season, in the amount of \$16,279.00 and \$34,762.00, respectively, to cover the cost differential;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the outstanding staff reports on ice rental rates be brought forward as early as possible in the new term of Council, in order to resolve this inequity before the end of the current season and to allow adequate time for budgeting by the affected groups for the 2001-2002 season.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, subject to deleting the figure “\$34,762.00” from the first Operative Paragraph, and inserting in lieu thereof the figure “\$24,762.00”, so that such Operative Paragraph shall now read as follows:*

“NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Weston Minor Hockey Association and the Weston Figure Skating Association be given one-time emergency subsidies from the Corporate Contingency Account, for the 2000-2001 season, in the amount of \$16,279.00 and \$24,762.00, respectively, to cover the cost differential;”.

(9) **Moved by:** Councillor Saundercook

Seconded by: Councillor King

“WHEREAS the City of Toronto (the ‘City’) composts leaf and yard materials at the Avondale site, adjacent to the Keele Valley Landfill in the City of Vaughan, pursuant to temporary zoning granted by the City of Vaughan, which temporary zoning expires on May 31, 2001; and

WHEREAS the City of Vaughan has indicated that it is prepared to consider a three-year extension to the temporary zoning rather than a one-year extension, as has been the case in the past; and

WHEREAS staff at the City of Vaughan have indicated that a public meeting in respect of which this matter would be considered would be held in early December and that there is, therefore, a need to obtain immediate authority from City of Toronto Council to make an application that could be placed before the December public meeting; and

WHEREAS the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services has prepared the attached report dated September 20, 2000, in this regard;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council give consideration to the report dated September 20, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, and that such report be adopted.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and in so doing, Council adopted, without amendment, the report dated September 20, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, embodying the following recommendations:*

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to request an extension of the temporary zoning by-law applicable to the Avondale Composting Facility from the City of Vaughan;*
- (2) in the event that a temporary zoning extension from the City of Vaughan is not granted, the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to appeal to the Ontario Municipal Board;*
- (3) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to apply to the Ministry of the Environment for an amendment to the Certificate of Approval applicable to the Avondale Composting Facility; and*
- (4) the appropriate City of Toronto officials be authorized to take such steps as may be required to implement the foregoing.”*

(10) **Moved by:** Councillor Moscoe

Seconded by: Councillor Shiner

“WHEREAS City Council at its meeting held on August 1, 2, 3 and 4, 2000, adopted, without amendment, Clause No. 6 of Report No. 9 of The North York Community Council, headed ‘Inclusion of Properties to the Road Boulevard Maintenance Program’, and, in so doing, included a number of properties to the Road Boulevard Maintenance Program; and

WHEREAS the Road Boulevard Maintenance Program, as previously instituted, permitted Members of Council, from time to time, to add locations; and

WHEREAS the direction given by North York Community Council on July 18, 2000, and as adopted by City Council, without amendment, on August 1, 2, 3 and 4, 2000, is unclear and open to interpretation;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 6 of Report No. 9 of The North York Community Council, headed ‘Inclusion of Properties to the Road Boulevard Maintenance Program’, be re-opened for further consideration;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT additional properties be allowed to be added to the Road Boulevard Maintenance Program, at the request of Members of North York Community Council, and that the appropriate City officials be so advised.”

Disposition: Having regard that the motion to waive Notice and re-open Clause No. 6 of Report No. 9 of The North York Community Council, headed “Inclusion of Properties to the Road Boulevard Maintenance Program”, did not carry, the Motion was not adopted.

(11) **Moved by: Councillor Sinclair**

Seconded by: Councillor Brown

“**WHEREAS** the Dare Program (Drug Abuse Resistance Education), operated successfully by the Toronto Police Service in a number of schools in Rexdale, has been cancelled; and

WHEREAS the Children and Youth Action Committee, at its meeting held on September 22, 2000, considered the following motion by Councillor Sinclair with respect to the DARE Program, and endorsed such motion:

‘Whereas the DARE Program was an extremely valuable preventative Program dealing with helping school-age kids cope with peer pressure encountered in facing exposure to drugs and alcohol, building self-esteem and enabling kids to resist these pressures;

Therefore Be It Resolved That the Children and Youth Action Committee strongly urge the Toronto Police Services Board to work to develop a successor program across the City that will:

- (1) help school kids deal with the issue of gangs, alcohol and drug abuse; and
- (2) provide an opportunity to develop self-esteem, in order to meet the challenges so many face on a daily basis.’; and

WHEREAS the Children and Youth Action Committee concurred in Councillor Sinclair’s proposal to bring forward a Notice of Motion to Toronto City Council for consideration at its meeting to be held on October 3, 2000;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City of Toronto Council concur in and endorse the above Resolution from Councillor Sinclair.”

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.

(12) **Moved by: Councillor Sinclair**

Seconded by: Councillor Brown

“**WHEREAS** the Children and Youth Action Committee, at its meeting held on September 22, 2000, considered a motion by Councillor Sinclair with respect to the ‘Roots of Empathy’ Program, as follows:

‘Whereas there is grave concern regarding the effects of violence in the early school grades; and

Whereas there is also grave concern regarding incidents of shooting in the secondary grades;

Therefore Be It Resolved That the Children and Youth Action Committee recommend that:

- (1) the ‘Roots of Empathy’ Program, operating primarily in the ‘old’ City of Toronto, be wholeheartedly endorsed; and
- (2) the senior levels of government be encouraged to guarantee permanent funding for the Program, and for its extension to other areas of the new City of Toronto.’; and

WHEREAS the Children and Youth Action Committee concurred in Councillor Sinclair’s proposal to bring forward a Notice of Motion to Toronto City Council for consideration at its meeting to be held on October 3, 2000;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City of Toronto Council concur in and endorse the above Resolution from Councillor Sinclair.”

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.

(13) **Moved by: Councillor Cho**

Seconded by: Councillor Soknacki

“**WHEREAS** there are currently six Committees of Adjustment serving the areas bounded by the six Community Councils; and

WHEREAS there are a total of 34 members serving on the six Committees of Adjustment; and

WHEREAS remuneration for the 34 Committee members is drawn from a total honorarium allocation of just over \$185,200.00; and

WHEREAS the remuneration for members of each Committee of Adjustment is based on the pay levels set by their respective former municipality; and

WHEREAS there is a wide disparity of remuneration levels between each Committee of Adjustment; and

WHEREAS the current Committee of Adjustment members, appointed by the former municipalities, were requested to continue to serve after amalgamation pending a review of the Committee structure and remuneration levels; and

WHEREAS Committee of Adjustment members have been awaiting the outcome of this review for close to three years; and

WHEREAS comments by the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, contained in Clause No. 1 of Report No. 6 of The Planning and Transportation Committee, headed “Organizational Structure for the New Committee of Adjustment”, which was considered by City Council on November 23, 24 and 25, 1999, indicate that ‘Currently there is a significant difference between the honorarium of the six Committees of Adjustment for the former municipalities. The total of all honoraria allocated by the former six municipalities is over \$185,200.00, which if averaged for 22 members, allows an honorarium of about \$8,500.00 per year per member.’;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, effective January 1, 2001, Committee of Adjustment members be equally remunerated, based on a per meeting attended basis, with funding provided from within the existing total honorarium allocation set aside for this purpose;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT this approval remain in effect until such time as a full review of the structure of the Committee of Adjustment has been completed.”

Disposition: *The Motion was referred to the Policy and Finance Committee and the Planning and Transportation Committee.*

(14) **Moved by:** **Councillor Altobello**

Seconded by: **Councillor Augimeri**

“**WHEREAS** on May 14, 1996, the Council of the former City of Scarborough instructed the Scarborough City Solicitor to seek leave to appeal a decision of the Ontario Municipal Board dated May 2, 1996, granting a minor variance permitting the use of 375 Danforth Road for automotive repair purposes; and

WHEREAS leave to appeal was granted on September 25, 1996; and

WHEREAS, since the time such leave was granted, the substantive issue under appeal has become moot due to amendments to the relevant Official Plan and Zoning By-law which permit automotive repair purposes at the subject property; and

WHEREAS the Divisional Court has assigned December 11, 2000, for the hearing of the appeal and there is insufficient time for this matter to first be submitted to the Scarborough Community Council for its consideration; and

WHEREAS the City Solicitor has prepared a confidential report dated September 21, 2000, respecting this matter;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council give consideration to the confidential report dated September 21, 2000, from the City Solicitor, and that such report be adopted.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and in so doing, Council adopted the confidential report dated September 21, 2000, from the City Solicitor, embodying the following recommendation, such report now public in its entirety:*

“It is recommended that the City Solicitor be authorized to withdraw the appeal from the decision of the Ontario Municipal Board dated May 2, 1996, regarding 375 Danforth Road, which appeal is pending in the Ontario Divisional Court. The appeal is scheduled to be heard on December 11, 2000.”

(15) **Moved by:** **Councillor Augimeri**

Seconded by: **Councillor Nunziata**

“WHEREAS application was made respecting 91 Hallsport Crescent (in the former City of North York) to rezone the property from R5 to RM2 to permit two semi-detached houses; and

WHEREAS the North York Community Council, in Clause No. 26 of Report No. 6 of The North York Community Council, headed ‘Final Report - Zoning Amendment Application - Gabor + Popper Architects Inc. - 91 Hallsport Crescent UDZ-99-26 - Black Creek’, recommended to City Council that the application be refused, contrary to the recommendation of planning staff that it be approved, following submissions in opposition to the application on behalf of area residents that the proposed semi-detached houses would be out of character with the surrounding neighbourhood of predominantly single-detached houses; and

WHEREAS City Council at its meeting of May 9, 10 and 11, 2000, adopted the recommendation of the North York Community Council, without amendment; and

WHEREAS the applicant appealed Council's decision to the Ontario Municipal Board and a hearing is scheduled to commence on October 3, 2000; and

WHEREAS discussions between the area residents and the applicant have resulted in a settlement between them that the proposal be modified from two semi-detached homes to two single-detached homes and the zoning of the property remain R5 with exceptions only in respect of minimum lot frontage and area, with a site specific provision that each home only be designed and used to accommodate a single family; and

WHEREAS the subdivision agreement applicable to the property provides that a conveyance to create a new lot pursuant to the part lot control exemption by-law currently applicable to the site requires approval of the former planning board of the former City of North York;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City support the consensus reached between the residents and the applicant and the City Solicitor be directed to attend at the Ontario Municipal Board hearing in support of the settlement;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Council approve the conveyance pursuant to the part lot control exemption by-law to create a new lot in accordance with the standards of the proposed site-specific zoning, and authorize any amendment to the subdivision agreement to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor as may be necessary to allow same."

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.

(16) **Moved by: Councillor Moeser**

Seconded by: Councillor Altobello

WHEREAS the Scarborough Committee of Adjustment on September 12, 2000, approved Minor Variance Application Numbers B44/00SC, A123/00SC and A124/00SC, to sever the lot at 112 Homestead Road; and

WHEREAS the residents and myself have a concern on the substandard frontage on this application; and

WHEREAS area residents and I both strongly object to this application; and

WHEREAS this application is not upholding the lot standards in the neighbourhood; and

WHEREAS approval of this application may set a precedent for future applications;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Solicitor be authorized to retain expert consultants as required to provide appraisal evidence at Ontario Municipal Board hearings dealing with issues relating to Section 37 of the Planning Act with funding to be provided from the Legal Services budget for outside expertise.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, subject to adding thereto the following new Operative Paragraph:*

“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the City Solicitor be directed to appeal the decision of the Committee of Adjustment dated September 12, 2000, with respect to 112 Homestead Road, to the Ontario Municipal Board, and that the City Solicitor be directed to appear at the Ontario Municipal Board in support of the City’s appeal.”

(17) **Moved by:** Councillor Shiner

Seconded by: Councillor Brown

“WHEREAS City staff attend some of the meetings of the City’s various agencies, boards and commissions and therefore receive some but not all of the related agendas and minutes; and

WHEREAS there is not a consistent policy in place as to the level of information the City’s agencies, boards and commissions are requested to provide to city staff, or to whom this information should be provided; and

WHEREAS there are many issues that arise at meetings of the City’s agencies, boards and commissions that are of interest to City staff and that are interrelated with issues with which the City is directly involved; and

WHEREAS Councillors appointed to serve on boards of the City’s agencies, boards and commissions often need to be able to discuss the impact on the City of actions and decisions taken by these bodies, or conversely the impact of actions and decisions by the City on these bodies; and

WHEREAS the City’s Chief Administrative Officer, or his designate, is the most appropriate person to provide briefing notes and comments on such issues to Councillors, to better ensure that they are prepared for discussions at meetings of the City’s agencies, boards and commissions;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT all agencies, boards and commissions upon which City Councillors sit as members be requested to forward to the City’s Chief Administrative Officer, or his designate, copies of their meeting agendas and minutes, for information, at the same time as these items are sent to the City Councillors;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT all such agencies, boards and commissions be requested to permit the City's Chief Administrative Officer, or his designate, to attend meetings at his request."

Disposition: The Motion was referred to the Chief Administrative Officer.

(18) **Moved by: Councillor Pitfield**

Seconded by: Councillor Prue

"WHEREAS there is a need for an exterior identification sign for the Jenner Jean Marie Community Centre which is attached to the Thorncliffe Library; and

WHEREAS there is a preliminary agreement with the Toronto Library Board to share equally in the supply and installation of a new sign; and

WHEREAS the Finance Department has confirmed the existence of a Reserve Account established by the former Borough of East York for the purposes of improvements to the Jenner Jean Marie Community Centre;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, following further consultation with the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, staff be given the authority to proceed with the erection of an exterior illuminated sign at the Jenner Jean Marie Community Centre, at a total cost not to exceed \$30,000.00 with the cost being shared equally with the Toronto Library Board."

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.

(19) **Moved by: Councillor Miller**

Seconded by: Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski

"WHEREAS the reconstruction of the Dundas Street West sidewalk from Annette Street to Quebec Avenue is planned for the 2000 construction season and is part of the approved Capital Budget; and

WHEREAS the contract needs to be awarded as early as possible in order for the contractor to complete the scheduled work in time; and

WHEREAS there are no Standing Committee meetings in the coming months, and the contract is slightly above the Bid Committee award limit;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council consider the joint report dated October 2, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending the award of the contract to CRCE Construction Ltd., for the reconstruction of the sidewalk of Dundas Street West from Annette Street to Quebec Avenue;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT such report be adopted.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and in so doing, Council adopted the joint report dated October 2, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, embodying the following recommendation:*

“It is recommended that Contract No. 00D1-11RD, Tender Call No. 221-2000, for the Reconstruction of Curb and Sidewalk and Permanent Restoration of Utility Cuts on the Pavement on Dundas Street West from Annette Street to Quebec Avenue, be awarded to CRCE Construction Ltd., in the amount of \$2,390,754.50, including all taxes and charges, being the lowest tender received.”

(20) **Moved by:** Councillor Miller

Seconded by: Councillor Feldman

“**WHEREAS** City Council at its meeting held on August 1, 2, 3 and 4, 2000, directed staff to initiate a community consultation process concerning the redevelopment of 1978 Lake Shore Boulevard West for the purpose of affordable housing; and

WHEREAS, as a part of that community consultation process, members of the Swansea Community have raised concerns related to the siting of the proposed building in terms of its proximity to the Gardiner Expressway, the provision of on-site parking and the safety of access for vehicles leaving the site on Windermere Avenue; and

WHEREAS the Fred Victor Centre has responded to these concerns by redesigning the proposed building to move it away from the Gardiner Expressway, increase the number of on-site parking spaces and increase the safety of vehicular access on Windermere Avenue; and

WHEREAS these changes in design have increased the acceptability of the proposed building to area residents and will enhance the liveability of the building for future residents; and

WHEREAS these changes in design will require approximately 560 square metres of the eastern end of the City-owned property abutting the westerly boundary of 1978 Lake Shore Boulevard West to facilitate the community process which has taken place and which resulted in an improved design for the development at 1978 Lake Shore Boulevard;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Commissioner of Corporate Services, in consultation with staff of Works and Emergency Services, Community and Neighbourhood Services and Urban Development Services and the local Councillors be requested to determine the exact area and configuration of the additional lands required by the Fred Victor Centre and report back to the first meeting of the Administration Committee in 2001, declaring the required lands surplus to the City's requirements with the general intent that the lands be included in and under the same terms and conditions as the lease for 1978 Lake Shore Boulevard West;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto."

Disposition: The Motion was withdrawn.

(21) **Moved by: Councillor Miller**

Seconded by: Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski

WHEREAS the Dundas West area (encompassing the Junction and Malta Village areas) is going through a revitalization and redevelopment which has resulted in the growth of new restaurants and businesses in the Dundas area but lacks sufficient parking along Dundas Street; and

WHEREAS the Toronto Parking Authority has been very helpful in recognizing that the community is working hard to revitalize the neighbourhood and is going through a positive change;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City of Toronto request that the Toronto Parking Authority base their parking projections for this area on the future potential growth of the community rather than the present actual needs."

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.

(22) **Moved by: Councillor Rae**

Seconded by: Councillor Chow

WHEREAS the Canadian Stage Corporation ('Canadian Stage') was created from the 1988 merger of The Toronto Free Theatre and CentreStage and is the largest year-round not-for-profit theatre in the country; and

WHEREAS Canadian Stage performs to over 350,000 patrons yearly at the Bluma Appel Theatre in the St. Lawrence Centre for the Arts, the Canadian Stage Theatres at 26 Berkeley Street and the outdoor amphitheatre in High Park; and

WHEREAS Canadian Stage has received dozens of Dora Mavor Moore Awards, Toronto's theatre awards for artistic merit; and

WHEREAS in 1995, Canadian Stage was one of the first recipients of the prestigious Lieutenant Governor's Award for the Arts, in recognition of building exceptional private sector and community support; and

WHEREAS Canadian Stage has recently learned that its bank is not willing to provide a line of credit in an amount sufficient to meet the organization's cash flow in January 2001; and

WHEREAS Canadian Stage has asked the City of Toronto for assistance in meeting its 2001 cash flow through a line of credit guarantee in the amount of \$300,000.00 for the period January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2001; and

WHEREAS the City of Toronto has provided similar assistance to Young People's Theatre and Theatre Passe Muraille; and

WHEREAS the issuance of a line of credit guarantee is considered a financial commitment of the City; and

WHEREAS the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer has advised that a commitment of \$300,000.00 is within the updated Debt and Financial Obligation Limit of the City of Toronto;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT authority be granted to enter into an agreement with The Canadian Stage Corporation's ('Canadian Stage') bank for a guarantee of a line of credit in the amount of \$300,000.00 (inclusive of all interest payable by Canadian Stage to the bank) for the period January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2001, and that such guarantee be only in respect of that portion of Canadian Stage's liabilities which exceed the sum of \$700,000.00, and that such guarantee be on terms and conditions satisfactory to the City Solicitor and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT authority be granted to enter into an agreement with Canadian Stage with respect to the line of credit guarantee on terms and conditions approved by the City Solicitor, the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the guarantee of the line of credit be deemed to be in the interests of the municipality;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the appropriate officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, subject to adding thereto the following new Operative Paragraph:*

“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to submit to the first regular meeting of the new City Council, through the appropriate Committee, a policy on extending lines of credit to cultural groups.”

(23) **Moved by:** Councillor Chow

Seconded by: Councillor Rae

“WHEREAS City legal and finance staff have been attempting to settle all outstanding lease issues with Island residents; and

WHEREAS the proposed arrangement by staff will allow for the application, by the residents, of the federally funded Residential Rehabilitation Assistance program (RRAP); and

WHEREAS these houses are in disrepair and the application of the federal funds is urgently needed and required before the winter season;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council consider the attached report dated October 2, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and that such report be adopted.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and in so doing, Council adopted the report dated October 2, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, embodying the following recommendation:*

“It is recommended that the City Solicitor and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be authorized to proceed with finalizing mortgage arrangements with the five subject Toronto Island households.”

(24) **Moved by:** Councillor Sinclair

Seconded by: Councillor Brown

“WHEREAS the Somali Immigrant Women Association provides assistance to new immigrants through referral services, counselling, life-skills training and social and recreational activities for children and teenagers; and

WHEREAS on September 29, 2000, the Somali Immigrant Women Association lost its access to program space located at Islington and Albion Roads; and

WHEREAS the Somali Immigrant Women Association requires emergency financial support to cover the relocation to new premises located in Rexdale; and

WHEREAS the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services has indicated that due to an unused grant from another agency, there is sufficient funds to provide emergency support to the Somali Immigrant Women Association;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT a one time allocation of up to \$4,000.00 be made to the Somali Immigrant Women Association for the purpose of renting alternate space to maintain its program activities;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Somali Immigrant Women Association continue its efforts to secure funding to maintain its programming space in 2001;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Somali Immigrant Women Association undertake a strategic planning process to include a review of funding options and partnership opportunities before requesting further funding from the City of Toronto.”

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, subject to adding thereto the following new Operative Paragraph:

“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be requested to review the future plans and organizational structure of the Somali Immigrant Women Association, and submit a report thereon to the Community Services Committee.”

(25) **Moved by: Councillor Chow**

Seconded by: Councillor Duguid

“WHEREAS on September 18, 2000, the Ministry of Health and Long Term Care announced its plans to initiate the process for the final round of allocations for the remaining 5,500 long term care beds required to meet the government’s commitment to build 20,000 new long term care beds; and

WHEREAS the Ministry announced that it is streamlining its application process for these 5,500 long term care beds, and that applicants must express their intent to submit proposals to the Ministry by October 13, 2000; and

WHEREAS the Ministry announced that applicants must own or have an option on land and the resources to complete projects by 2004 in order to be considered; and

WHEREAS the Ministry announced that 2,334 of these new beds will be built within the City of Toronto; and

WHEREAS the previous Metropolitan Toronto Council adopted a position supporting and valuing the benefit of a strong public sector presence within the long term care system, recognizing that a balanced combination of public and private sector providers contributes to achieving accountability and a balance of quality and cost efficiency in the long term care system; and

WHEREAS the results of the first and second rounds of allocations have significantly changed the mix of public and private sector providers on a provincial basis, with 63 percent of long term care within the Province of Ontario being provided by the for-profit sector; and

WHEREAS the City of Toronto did not apply for additional long term care beds in either the first or second rounds of allocations, and a number of other municipalities did, with eight municipalities being awarded beds; and

WHEREAS the City's Homes for the Aged currently operates 22 percent of all of the long term beds within the Toronto area, but that this percentage share will drop to 17 percent once the beds awarded from the first and second allocations rounds have been built and opened, and to 15 percent if the City does not apply for beds in the final round of allocations; and

WHEREAS the City of Toronto's Homes for the Aged has a very positive reputation for providing efficient, effective, high quality care within the community, is often first choice for applicants and their families, and often provides care and service to individuals who are hard to serve in other locations; and

WHEREAS expression of intent to submit a proposal does not legally bind the City, but rather authorizes staff to proceed through the process in order for City Council to make an informed decision at a later date;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council direct staff to submit an application on the City's behalf by October 13, 2000, and to report back to the Community Services Committee and City Council at the first regular meeting following the election of the new City Council."

Disposition: ***The Motion was adopted, without amendment.***

(26) **Moved by:** **Councillor Adams**

Seconded by: **Councillor Chow**

“WHEREAS the Assessment and Tax Policy Task Force failed to reach quorum at its meeting scheduled for September 26, 2000; and

WHEREAS there are no further meetings of the Assessment and Tax Policy Task Force scheduled during this term of Council; and

WHEREAS the attached report from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer dated September 19, 2000, entitled 'Property Tax Relief for Centre francophone du Toronto metropolitan - 20 Lower Spadina Avenue', was included on the agenda for the September 26, 2000, meeting of the Assessment and Tax Policy Task Force; and

WHEREAS the September 19, 2000, report dealt with the issue of providing property tax relief for the period 1998 to 2000 and beyond for the Centre francophone - 20 Lower Spadina Avenue; and

WHEREAS Centre francophone requests relief with respect to the payment of the 1998-2000 property taxes and also requests permanent property tax relief; and

WHEREAS the Council of the former City of Toronto authorized a grant for the same purpose to provide tax relief in 1997 at its final meeting in October, 1997;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council approve a one time grant in the amount of \$89,074.77 for the period 1998 to 2000 (City portion of \$40,514.00 and education portion of \$48,560.52) to offset property taxes for the Centre francophone for the portion of the premises it occupies at 20 Lower Spadina Avenue and allocate these funds from the Corporation Contingency Account;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT such grant be deemed to be in the interests of the municipality;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be directed to report to the Assessment and Tax Policy Task Force in the next term of Council on mechanisms to provide permanent property tax relief for the Centre francophone, such report to deal with but not limited to the following:

- (1) amending the lease agreement between the Centre francophone and the City of Toronto to eliminate the Centre francophone's responsibility to pay property tax;
- (2) amendments of the City's Ethno Cultural Centre criteria to provide that a long term lease would also qualify for eligibility under the criteria; and
- (3) transfer of title of the property to Centre francophone."

Disposition: *The Motion was referred to the Policy and Finance Committee and the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer were requested to submit a joint report to the Policy and Finance Committee, during the next term of Council, on options to provide permanent property tax relief for the Centre francophone du Toronto metropolitan.*

(27) **Moved by:** **Councillor Adams**

Seconded by: **Councillor Chow**

“WHEREAS the Assessment and Tax Policy Task Force failed to reach quorum at its meeting scheduled for September 26, 2000; and

WHEREAS there are no further meetings of the Assessment and Tax Policy Task Force scheduled during this term of Council; and

WHEREAS a report from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer dated September 1, 2000, entitled ‘Options for Tax Relief for Non-Profit Organizations for 1998, 1999 and 2000’ was included on the agenda for the September 26, 2000, meeting of the Assessment and Tax Policy Task Force, and was considered informally by Task Force members present at that meeting; and

WHEREAS provincial legislation and regulations that govern the capping program adopted by Council fail to address the situation where charities or non-profit organizations have relocated or commenced new operations since January of 1998; and

WHEREAS such organizations have not been afforded the protection that Bill 16, the Small Business and Charities Protection Act, 1998, was intended to provide; and

WHEREAS charitable and non-profit organizations that have relocated or commenced new operations within the business classes, particularly in the early part of 1998, and that have occupied space not previously occupied by a charity or non-profit, have faced tax increases far in excess of the 2.4 percent limit approved by Council; and

WHEREAS the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer’s report dated September 1, 2000, identifies a means for Council to provide tax relief directly to affected organizations in cases of demonstrated financial hardship by way of individually approved grants, provided the Province agrees to contribute the education tax portion of any tax relief provided in these circumstances;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the September 1, 2000, report from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, entitled ‘Options for Tax Relief for Non-Profit Organizations for 1998, 1999 and 2000’, attached hereto, and the recommendations contained therein, be adopted.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and in so doing, Council adopted, without amendment, the report dated September 1, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, embodying the following recommendations:*

“It is recommended that:

- (1) *the Government of Ontario be requested to devise means to identify non-profit organizations that have not been afforded protection against tax increases due to relocations in the period 1998 to 2000, and to introduce legislative and regulatory amendments to provide tax relief directly to affected organizations to offset increases in property taxes arising from taxation at full commercial/industrial tax rates;*
- (2) *if legislative and regulatory amendments are not introduced, the Province fund the full costs of any tax relief provided by municipalities to affected organizations, in recognition that the capping provisions of Bill 16 (the Small Business and Charities Protection Act, 1998), fail to adequately protect charities and non-profits that have relocated, despite the Bill's original intent;*
- (3)
 - (a) *if the Province does not agree to fully fund the costs of municipal tax relief, the Province be requested to voluntarily fund the provincial education tax portion of any tax relief provided by municipalities to relocated organizations, as consistent with the original provisions for municipal rebates to charities and non-profits under Bill 16;*
 - (b) *subject to the Province agreeing to contribute this portion, Council approve the use of individually-approved one-time grants for tax relief to eligible non-profit organizations in cases of demonstrated financial hardship where it can be established that, due to relocations in 1998, these organizations have not been protected against CVA-related tax increases during the period 1998 to 2000, and where other means of providing direct tax relief are not available; and*
 - (c) *that a one-time allocation of \$289,000.00 from the 2000 Corporate Contingency to the Grants Contingency be approved to cover the City's portion of estimated requests for tax relief grants from eligible organizations;*
- (4) *subject to the Province agreeing to contribute the education tax portion of any tax relief provided, tax relief grants be administered under the City's existing grants review process by the Grants Sub-Committee, under the terms of the City's approved grants policy, and in accordance with the eligibility*

criteria and general principles set out in this report and summarized in Appendix 1 and Appendix 2;

- (5) *staff be directed to develop a communications package and strategy to inform potentially eligible non-profit organizations of the City's ability to correct errors on the frozen assessment listing where it can be established that premises occupied by the organization were incorrectly returned on the assessment roll for taxation in 1998 and should have been entitled to taxation at a reduced rate, as applicable;*
- (6) *the Ontario Property Assessment Corporation (OPAC) be requested to provide the necessary assistance and co-operation to City staff in facilitating the determination of revised 1998, 1999 and/or 2000 taxes payable, by providing updated 1997 frozen assessment listings for individual rental units, as necessary;*
- (7) *should additional fees be charged by OPAC for the services described above, the Province be requested to fund the associated costs of services provided, either in full or in part; and*
- (8) *this report be forwarded to the Policy and Finance Committee."*

(28) **Moved by:** Councillor Pantalone

Seconded by: Councillor Silva

“WHEREAS the construction of Fort York Boulevard is planned for the 2001 construction season and the preparation of detailed engineering plans, specifications and tender documents needs to begin in October to allow for spring construction; and

WHEREAS the financial implications are outlined in the attached joint report from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council consider the joint report dated October 2, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, and that such joint report be adopted.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and in so doing, Council adopted the joint report dated October 2, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief*

Financial Officer and Treasurer, embodying the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the consulting engineering firm of DS-Lea Associates Limited, being the highest overall scoring proponent, be engaged to provide Detailed Design, Consultation, Construction Inspection and Contract Administration services for Fort York Boulevard from Bathurst Street to Lakeshore Boulevard West for an amount of \$786,455.00 including all contingencies and GST;*
- (2) a consulting services agreement be entered into with DS-Lea Associates Limited on such terms and conditions satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor; and*
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”*

(29) **Moved by:** Councillor Feldman

Seconded by: Councillor Chong

“WHEREAS City Council at its meeting held on August 1, 2, 3 and 4, 2000, adopted, without amendment, Clause No. 27 of Report No. 9 of The North York Community Council, headed ‘Further Report - Proposed Modifications to the Downsview Area Secondary Plan (OPA 464) and Proposed Amendments to the OMB Order on the Downsview Area Secondary Plan (OPA 464) - Parc Downsview Park Inc. Lands - Sports and Entertainment Designation Deferral - West of Allen Road/South of Sheppard Avenue West - City-owned Lands East of Allen Road/South of Sheppard Avenue West’; and

WHEREAS, in so doing, Council directed the City Solicitor to seek amendments to the Ontario Municipal Board Order on the Downsview Area Secondary Plan (OPA 464), for the south-east corner of Allen Road and Sheppard Avenue West; and

WHEREAS new information has been introduced respecting the potential sale of certain of these City-owned lands; and

WHEREAS the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism has prepared a confidential report dated October 2, 2000, in this regard;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 27 of Report No. 9 of The North York Community Council, headed ‘Further Report - Proposed Modifications to the Downsview Area

Secondary Plan (OPA 464) and Proposed Amendments to the OMB Order on the Downsview Area Secondary Plan (OPA 464) - Parc Downsview Park Inc. Lands - Sports and Entertainment Designation Deferral - West of Allen Road/South of Sheppard Avenue West - City-owned Lands East of Allen Road/South of Sheppard Avenue West', be re-opened for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to seeking amendments to the Ontario Municipal Board Order on the Downsview Area Secondary Plan (OPA 464), for the south-east corner of Allen Road and Sheppard Avenue West;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Council consider the aforementioned confidential report dated October 2, 2000, from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, and that such report be adopted.”

Disposition: *Council reopened Clause No. 27 of Report No. 9 of The North York Community Council, headed “Further Report - Proposed Modifications to the Downsview Area Secondary Plan (OPA 464) and Proposed Amendments to the OMB Order on the Downsview Area Secondary Plan (OPA 464) - Parc Downsview Park Inc. Lands - Sports and Entertainment Designation Deferral - West of Allen Road/South of Sheppard Avenue West - City-owned Lands East of Allen Road/South of Sheppard Avenue West”, only insofar as it pertains to seeking amendments to the Ontario Municipal Board Order on the Downsview Area Secondary Plan (OPA 464), for the south-east corner of Allen Road and Sheppard Avenue West, and adopted the balance of the Motion, without amendment.*

By its adoption of the balance of the Motion, without amendment, Council adopted the confidential report dated October 2, 2000, from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, such report to remain confidential in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it contains information related to the Security of the Property Interest of the Municipality, save and except the following recommendations embodied therein:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) Council direct the Commissioner Corporate Services, in consultation with the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, and other appropriate City staff, to enter into discussions to advance this economic development opportunity and to report to the next meeting of Council with recommendations on the specific size, location and configuration of the site to be declared surplus pursuant to By-law 551-1998 to facilitate this opportunity;*

- (2) *Recommendation No. (2) embodied in Clause No. 27 of Report No. 9 of The North York Community Council, adopted by City Council on August 1, 2, 3 and 4, 2000, be deferred until such time as the current discussions regarding the aforesaid economic development opportunity on these City-owned lands have concluded; and*
- (3) *the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto."*

(30) **Moved by:** Councillor Layton

Seconded by: Councillor McConnell

“WHEREAS Transport Canada has recently released a set of proposals for the Port of Toronto, developed without consultation with City staff or the community at large; and

WHEREAS the Transport Canada options include plans which would threaten the viability of one of Toronto’s few swimmable beaches, Cherry Beach (Clarke Beach); and

WHEREAS the Transport Canada options include plans which would damage the viability of recreational sailing in the outer harbour which currently involves thousands of lower and moderate income citizens; and

WHEREAS Transport Canada plans would have a major effect on industrial operations in the portlands; and

WHEREAS the Transport Canada options include plans which would threaten the ecology of the world famous Leslie Street Spit; and

WHEREAS the City of Toronto, the Waterfront Regeneration Trust, the Fung Task Force, TEDCO, the Portlands Community Forum (a broad group of citizens) and many other groups have been working extensively on plans for these land for some time;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City of Toronto:

- (1) express its deep concerns about the consultation process involved in the preparation and discussion of the Transport Canada plans for the Port of Toronto;
- (2) invite the Minister of Transport to commit to engage in a collaborative process with the City, its citizens, the Fung Task Force, the Waterfront Regeneration Trust, the Olympic Bid Committee, the Task Force to Bring Back the Don; and all other groups and agencies which are working on these areas and to place Transport Canada plans in abeyance until these consultations are complete;

- (3) ensure that the future needs of recreational boating are fully considered and satisfactorily addressed in the planning for the Toronto waterfront proposal; and
- (4) request the Toronto Port Authority to enter into collaborative discussions with the City of Toronto in the development of plans for the area.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(31) **Moved by:** **Councillor Bussin**

Seconded by: **Councillor Pantalone**

“**WHEREAS** City Council at its meeting held on April 11, 12 and 13, 2000, by the adoption of Clause No. 8 of Report No. 5 of The Toronto Community Council, entitled ‘Installation of Speed Humps – Elmer Avenue, Kenilworth Avenue, Waverly Road, Bellefair Avenue and Wheeler Avenue between Queen Street East and Norway Avenue (East Toronto)’, authorized the implementation of speed humps on Elmer Avenue and Kenilworth Avenue, from Queen Street East to Norway Avenue, subject to the favourable results of polling of residents on these streets; and

WHEREAS the report from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services dated January 31, 2000, in the said Clause contained discussion about the installation of speed humps on Waverly Road, from Queen Street East to Norway Avenue, but this installation was not authorized for polling of the affected residents; and

WHEREAS residents of Waverly Road, Norway Avenue, from Elmer Avenue to Woodbine Avenue, and similarly, residents on Herbert and Hartford Avenues have expressed concern about the possible impacts on their streets arising from speed humps on Elmer and Kenilworth Avenues; and

WHEREAS it would be desirable to allow the residents of Waverly Road, Norway Avenue, Herbert Avenue, and Hartford Avenue to consider whether speed humps should be installed on their streets coincident with those on Elmer and Kenilworth Avenues;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 8 of Report No. 5 of The Toronto Community Council headed, ‘Installation of Speed Humps – Elmer Avenue, Kenilworth Avenue, Waverly Road, Bellefair Avenue and Wheeler Avenue between Queen Street East and Norway Avenue (East Toronto)’ be re-opened for further consideration;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Waverly Road between Queen Street East and Norway Avenue by the construction of speed humps with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council, and review in the 2001 Budget process;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Norway Avenue, between Elmer Avenue and Woodbine Avenue, and on Herbert Avenue, and on Hartford Avenue by construction of speed humps, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council, and review in the 2001 Budget process;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the speed limit be reduced from 40 kilometres per hour to 30 kilometres per hour on Waverley Road, from Queen Street East to Norway Avenue, on Norway Avenue from Elmer Avenue to Woodbine Avenue, and on the streets indicated with the implementation of speed humps coincident with those on Elmer and Kenilworth Avenues and as legislation permits.”

Disposition: Council re-opened Clause No. 8 of Report No. 5 of The Toronto Community Council, headed “Installation of Speed Humps - Elmer Avenue, Kenilworth Avenue, Waverly Road, Bellefair Avenue and Wheeler Avenue between Queen Street East and Norway Avenue (East Toronto)”, for further consideration, and adopted the balance of the Motion, subject to adding thereto the following new Operative Paragraph:

“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT speed humps also be installed on Herbert Avenue and Lee Avenue, subject to favourable results of the polling of affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council, and review in the 2001 Budget process.”

(32) **Moved by: Councillor Mihevc**

Seconded by: Councillor Disero

“WHEREAS the Upper Village Festival and the Urban Harvest Festival in a letter to the City dated August 14, 2000, advised that their community festival was scheduled to take place on Saturday, September 9, 2000 and Saturday, September 30, 2000; and

WHEREAS on Saturday, September 9, 2000 and Saturday, September 30, 2000, the Eglinton BIA submitted applications to Transportation Services, District 1, Works and Emergency Services, for the following street closings:

Saturday, September 9, 2000 (Upper Village Festival)

Time: 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. on Eglinton Avenue, from Bathurst Street to the Allen Road; and

Saturday, September 30, 2000 (Urban Harvest Festival)

Time: 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Oakwood Avenue from Rogers Road to Earncliffe Road; and

WHEREAS the two parties provided the necessary insurance and other documentation required by Transportation Services, District 1, Works and Emergency Services;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City designate the ‘Upper Village Festival’ and ‘Urban Harvest Festival’ as a community event to facilitate road closures on Eglinton Avenue and Oakwood Avenue; and

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the City Council recommend that the temporary road closures be declared post facto events of municipal and/or community significance.”

Disposition: The Motion was withdrawn.

(33) **Moved by: Councillor Walker**

Seconded by: Councillor Rae

“**WHEREAS**, in October of 1998, Council enacted By-law No. 749–1998, a vital services by-law; and

WHEREAS the by-law has operated well to minimize occurrences where vital services (such as fuel, hydro, gas or hot or cold water) have been discontinued to a rental unit; and

WHEREAS the by-law would be strengthened by expressly delineating the landlord’s obligations to provide vital services to a rental unit; and

WHEREAS it is in the interests of the health and safety of tenants in the City that the landlord’s responsibilities to provide vital services to a rental unit be clearly set out in the by-law before the winter months; and

WHEREAS there are no financial impacts associated with this Motion;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT By-law No. 749-1998 be amended to provide for the following:

- (1) every landlord shall provide adequate and suitable vital services to each of landlord’s rental units;
- (2) no landlord shall cease to provide a vital service for a rental unit;

- (3) a landlord shall be deemed to have caused the cessation of a vital service for a rental unit if the landlord is obliged to pay a supplier for the vital service and fails to do so and, as a result of the non-payment, the vital service is no longer provided for the rental unit;
- (4) a landlord may cease to provide a vital service only when such cessation is necessary to alter or repair the rental unit and only for the minimum period necessary to effect the alteration or repair; and
- (5) the by-law does not apply to a landlord with respect to a rental unit to the extent that a tenant has expressly agreed to obtain and maintain the vital services;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT By-law No. 749-1998 be amended to make it an offence for a landlord to fail to comply with the provisions of the by-law;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary bill in Council to give effect to this Motion.”

Disposition: ***The Motion was adopted, without amendment.***

(34) **Moved by:** **Councillor Bussin**

Seconded by: **Councillor Jakobek**

“**WHEREAS** at its meeting held on May 9, 10 and 11, 2000, City Council adopted Clause No. 63 of Report No. 8 of The Toronto Community Council, headed ‘Proposed Closing of Portion of Public Lane, Extending Easterly from Northern Dancer Boulevard, South of Queen Street East and Creation of New Public Lane Outlet in Lieu Thereof’, and thereby authorized the closing and conveyancing of a portion of the public lane south of Queen Street East, extending easterly from Northern Dancer Boulevard and the creation of a new public lane outlet in lieu thereof; and

WHEREAS on August 1, 2, 3 and 4, 2000, City Council passed By-law No. 510-2000 to implement the foregoing; and

WHEREAS at the time staff reported on the proposed closing and conveyancing of the said portion of lane in exchange for the new lane, it was recommended that the land exchange not take place until the new lane was constructed to City specifications and standards, acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and

WHEREAS the applicant has requested that this recommendation be amended to permit the conveyance of the new lane in exchange for the existing lane prior to construction of the new lane, with construction of the new lane being deferred to coincide with construction of the remaining streets and lanes within the development site, subject to and in accordance with the provisions of the existing subdivision agreement pertaining to these lands; and

WHEREAS the Commissioner of Corporate Services has submitted a report dated September 22, 2000, to City Council, entitled ‘Amendment to the Proposed Conveyance of a Portion of the Existing Public Lane, South of Queen Street East, Extending Easterly from Northern Dancer Boulevard’;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 63 of Report No. 8 of The Toronto Community Council, headed ‘Proposed Closing of Portion of Public Lane, Extending Easterly from Northern Dancer Boulevard, South of Queen Street East and Creation of New Public Lane Outlet in Lieu Thereof’, adopted by City Council at its meeting held on May 9, 10 and 11, 2000, be reopened for further consideration;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT City Council give consideration to the report dated September 22, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, entitled ‘Amendment to the Proposed Conveyance of a Portion of the Existing Public Lane, South of Queen Street East, Extending Easterly from Northern Dancer Boulevard’, and that such report be adopted.”

Disposition: *Council re-opened Clause No. 63 of Report No. 8 of The Toronto Community Council, headed “Proposed Closing of Portion of Public Lane, Extending Easterly from Northern Dancer Boulevard, South of Queen Street East and Creation of New Public Lane Outlet in Lieu Thereof”, and adopted the balance of the Motion, without amendment.*

By its adoption of the balance of the Motion, without amendment, Council adopted the report dated September 22, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, embodying the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) Clause No. 63 of Report No. 8 of The Toronto Community Council, headed ‘Proposed Closing of Portion of Public Lane, Extending Easterly from Northern Dancer Boulevard, South of Queen Street East and Creation of New Public Lane Outlet in Lieu Thereof’ adopted by City Council at its meeting held on May 9, 10 and 11, 2000, be amended by deleting the requirement that the applicant complete construction of the new lane prior to conveying the new lane lands (shown as Part 2 on the attached Sketch No. PMC-2000-029) to the City of Toronto and instead requiring the applicant to complete construction of the new lane following conveyance of the new lane lands to the City, subject to and in accordance with the provisions of the existing subdivision agreement relating to lane construction*

within the Plan of Subdivision pertaining to these lands (the 'Subdivision Agreement');

- (2) *authority be given to enter into an agreement amending the Subdivision Agreement to reflect the change in location of the subject lane from the existing lane lands (shown as Part 1 on the attached Sketch No. PMC-2000-029) to the new lane lands; and*
- (3) *the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to the foregoing."*

(35) **Moved by:** Councillor O'Brien

Seconded by: Councillor Holyday

“WHEREAS the Council of the former City of Etobicoke adopted Clause 240-A-97 of Report No. 16 of The Administration Committee, 1997, authorizing an option agreement with Calcorp Inc. for the purchase of Viking Road, subject to Calcorp Inc. making application for Official Plan and zoning amendments; and

WHEREAS City Council at its meeting held December 14, 15 and 16, 1999, adopted Clause No. 11 of Report No. 14 of The Etobicoke Community Council, headed 'Calcorp Incorporated Option of Viking Road - Request for Extension', which approved a 12-month extension option to purchase until December 4, 2000; and

WHEREAS a further extension is required before the agreement lapses, in order to complete traffic studies related to the Official Plan and zoning amendments proposed by Calcorp Inc.; and

WHEREAS the Commissioner of Corporate Services has prepared the attached report dated September 22, 2000, in this regard and, for reasons set out in the report, this matter should be dealt with as soon as possible;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 11 of Report No. 14 of The Etobicoke Community Council, headed 'Calcorp Incorporated Option of Viking Road - Request for Extension', be re-opened for further consideration;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT City Council give consideration to the aforementioned report dated September 22, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and that such report be adopted.”

Disposition: *Council re-opened Clause No. 11 of Report No. 14 of The Etobicoke Community Council, headed “Calcorp Incorporated Option of Viking Road - Request for Extension”, for further consideration, and adopted the balance of the Motion, without amendment.*

By its adoption of the balance of the Motion, without amendment, Council adopted the report dated September 22, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, embodying the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the Option to Purchase Agreement between Calcorp Incorporated and the City of Toronto be extended until July 4, 2001, on the same terms and conditions as the original option, save and except for any further rights of extension;*
- (2) the City Solicitor be authorized and directed to complete this transaction according to the terms and conditions as set out in this report and pay any City costs incidental to the closing and be further authorized to amend the closing date to such earlier or later date as considered reasonable; and*
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”*

(36) **Moved by:** Councillor Nunziata

Seconded by: Councillor Saundercook

“WHEREAS an application has been received from St. John’s Anglican Church at 2125 Weston Road for a minor variance to Sign By-law No. 3369-79, as amended, (former City of York); and

WHEREAS the application is to erect an on-premise, ground sign, with a maximum height of 3.0 metres, notwithstanding the by-law which permits a maximum height of 2.0 metres; and

WHEREAS the new sign will be the same height, area and location as the existing ground sign that is to be replaced; and

WHEREAS visiting Church officials are expected to participate in a ceremony to commemorate the new sign at a special visit in December; and

WHEREAS there are no further meetings of Community Council or Council before this time; and

WHEREAS staff of the Urban Development Services Department are of the opinion that the variance requested is minor and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services has prepared the attached report dated September 26, 2000, in this regard;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that Council give consideration to the aforementioned report dated September 26, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, and that such report be adopted.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and in so doing, Council adopted the report dated September 26, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, embodying the following recommendations:*

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the application by the St. John’s Anglican Church for a variance to the provisions of By-law No. 3369-79, as amended, to permit an on-premise, ground sign, with a maximum height of 3 metres at 2125 Weston Road be approved as a minor variance; and*
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”*

(37) **Moved by:** Councillor Brown

Seconded by: Councillor Walker

“WHEREAS at the April 5, 2000, meeting of the Greater Toronto Airports Authority (GTAA) Noise Management Committee, member municipalities were asked to select potential locations for the placement of a permanent noise monitor to be installed by the GTAA at no cost to the City of Toronto; and

WHEREAS it was noted at the June 14, 2000, meeting of the Noise Management Committee that the GTAA had received and reviewed the location submissions from the City of Brampton and advised that they are ready to proceed with the installation of a permanent noise monitor at the South Fletchers Sportsplex (northeast corner of McLaughlin Road and Ray Lawson Blvd., Brampton); and

WHEREAS at the same meeting it was noted that a submission from the City of Toronto had not yet been received; however, Councillor Elizabeth Brown and Mr. Rob Summers suggested that a monitor be placed in the Martin Grove Road/Eglinton Avenue area; and

WHEREAS Councillor Brown has canvassed all Members of City Council with respect to their preference for a noise monitor location and received no suggestions; and

WHEREAS Councillor Brown, in consultation with residents of her community, other affected surrounding communities and Councillors Walker and Johnston, submitted a prioritized list of locations to the September 13, 2000, meeting of the Noise Management Committee; and

WHEREAS without Council approval at its October 3, 2000 meeting, funding for this initiative will not be provided by the Greater Toronto Airports Authority; and

WHEREAS the Commissioner of Urban Development Services has prepared the attached report dated September 26, 2000, submitting recommendations in this regard;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council give consideration to the attached report dated September 26, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, and that such report be adopted.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and in so doing, Council adopted the report dated September 26, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, embodying the following recommendations:*

“It is recommended that:

- (1) Council approve the attached prioritized list of noise monitor locations (Attachment No. 1); and*
- (2) the list be forwarded to the Greater Toronto Airports Authority in response to its request for an appropriate location to install one additional noise monitor in the City of Toronto by the end of 2000.”*

(38) **Moved by:** **Councillor Palacio**

Seconded by: **Councillor Disero**

“WHEREAS City Council at its meeting on October 1 and 2, 1998, adopted, without amendment, Clause No. 6 of Report No. 19 of The Strategic Policies and Priorities Committee, headed ‘Capping of Business and Realty Taxes for BIAs’, and in so doing, requested the Province to amend legislation in order that individual BIAs may create their own tax policies on BIA levies, at the individual discretion of each BIA; and

WHEREAS the Province responded on November 24, 1999, assuring that it would take the views of the City under consideration; the City is still pending a decision from the Province in regards to this matter;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in view of the length of time that has passed, Toronto Council reiterate to the Province its previous request to amend the existing legislation in order that individual BIAs may create their own tax policies on BIA levies, at the individual discretion of each BIA.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, subject to adding to the Operative Paragraph, the words “and in consultation with the respective Community Council”, so that such Operative Paragraph shall now read as follows:*

“NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in view of the length of time that has passed, Toronto Council reiterate to the Province its previous request to amend the existing legislation in order that individual BIAs may create their own tax policies on BIA levies, at the individual discretion of each BIA, and in consultation with the respective Community Council.”

(39) **Moved by:** **Councillor Saundercook**

Seconded by: **Councillor Nunziata**

WHEREAS Contract No. YK9905RD has increased in scope and exceeded the awarded limit; and

WHEREAS the contractor Il Duca needs to be paid in 2000; and

WHEREAS the financial implications are outlined in the attached report dated October 2, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council consider the attached report dated October 2, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and that such report be adopted.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and in so doing, Council adopted the report dated October 2, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, embodying the following recommendations:*

“It is recommended that:

- (1) approval be given for the expenditure of additional funds in the amount of \$829,240.08 under Contract No. YK9905RD; and*
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”*

(40) **Moved by: Councillor Silva**

Seconded by: Mayor Lastman

“WHEREAS the Right Honourable Pierre Elliott Trudeau loyally served our country as Prime Minister for fifteen years; and

WHEREAS since the passing of The Right Honourable Pierre Elliott Trudeau, there has been an overwhelming outpouring of public sympathy; and

WHEREAS it is the established practice that the City of Toronto commemorates the contribution of significant historical figures through the naming of streets, public buildings, squares, and other landmarks; and

WHEREAS Pierre Elliot Trudeau believed in creating opportunities for the youth of our nation;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Toronto City Council endorse the naming of a significant Toronto Landmark to commemorate the memory and accomplishments of the late Prime Minister The Right Honourable Pierre Elliott Trudeau;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the City of Toronto provide the public and Members of City Council with opportunities to participate in the naming of a significant Toronto Landmark in honour of former Prime Minister Pierre Elliot Trudeau;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT City Council establish a fund in honour of Pierre Elliott Trudeau and that the purpose of this fund be to support the youth of Toronto initiatives aimed at creating a just and compassionate society;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to report to the first meeting of the Administration Committee of the new Council in 2001 on the recommended landmark to commemorate the memory of The Right Honourable Pierre Elliot Trudeau and on proposed funding sources and implementation options for the proposed fund.”

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, subject to deleting from the fourth Operative Paragraph, the words “the first meeting of the Administration Committee of the new Council in 2001”, and inserting in lieu thereof the words “the Administration Committee of the new City Council, as soon as possible”, so that such Operative Paragraph shall now read as follows:

“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to report to the Administration Committee of the new City Council, as soon as possible, on the recommended landmark to commemorate the memory of The Right Honourable Pierre Elliot Trudeau and on proposed funding sources and implementation options for the proposed fund.”

(41) **Moved by:** **Councillor Flint**

Seconded by: **Councillor Moscoe**

“**WHEREAS** at its meeting on August 1, 2, 3 and 4, 2000, Council adopted Clause No. 53 of Report No. 10 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed ‘Taxi Licensing Matters’; and

WHEREAS the specific recommendations contained in the report dated June 21, 2000, from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services respecting the establishment of fees for the provision of services by the Municipal Licensing and Standards Division were not included in the report (July 17, 2000) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services to the Policy and Finance Committee; and

WHEREAS specific Recommendations Nos. (1), (2), (4) and (5) contained in the report dated June 27, 2000, from the Clerk, Licensing Sub-Committee, respecting Taxicab Driver and Owner/Agent Refresher Training Courses were not included in the report (July 17, 2000) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services, to the Policy and Finance Committee; and

WHEREAS the establishment of the proposed renewal fee of \$604.00 for the Ambassador Class Taxicab licence was inadvertently excluded from the June 21, 2000, report from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services, respecting licensing fees for the year 2001;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law Clause No. 53 of Report No. 10 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed ‘Taxi Licensing Matters’, be re-opened for further consideration;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the attached report dated September 29, 2000 from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services, which includes the outstanding recommendations with proposed amendments from the reports which were before the Planning and Transportation Committee at its meeting held on July 10, 2000, be adopted; that Schedule 1 of By-law 20-85 be further amended to establish a renewal fee of \$604.00 for the Ambassador Class Taxicab licence; and that the Bill implementing these recommendations, along with the recommendations already adopted by Council at its August 1, 2, 3 and 4, 2000 meeting, be deemed to have been enacted as of August 1, 2, 3 and 4, 2000;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

Disposition: *Council re-opened Clause No. 53 of Report No. 10 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed “Taxi Licensing Matters”, for further consideration, and adopted the balance of the Motion, without amendment.*

By its adoption of the balance of the Motion, without amendment, Council adopted the report dated September 29, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, embodying the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that City Council approve the following outstanding recommendations contained in the three aforementioned reports which were considered by the Planning and Transportation Committee at its meeting on July 10, 2000:

(I) from the report dated June 21, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, respecting the establishment of fees for the provision of services by the Municipal Licensing and Standards Division:

(1) the following fees be revised as recommended in the report for:

- (a) cancellation and refund of the Taxicab Drivers’ Training Course;*
- (b) re-booking of CPR/First Aid courses;*
- (c) attending Taxicab Drivers’ Training course examinations;*
- (d) processing of applications for the accredited vehicle repair facility list;*
- (e) inspections for the accredited vehicle repair facility list;*
- (f) rescheduling of exams for trades licences be included in the fees for services provided by the Municipal Licensing and Standards Division;*
- (g) rescheduling of attendance at the Taxicab Drivers’ Training Course;*
- (h) attendance at the Customer Service Module of the Taxicab Drivers’ Training Course; and*
- (i) attendance at the Accessible Taxicab Training Course; and*

- (2) *the City Solicitor, in consultation with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, be authorized to prepare and introduce in Council a bill to establish fees for the provision of services provided by the Municipal Licensing and Standards Division of Urban Development Services;*
- (II) *from the report dated June 27, 2000, from the City Clerk, Licensing Sub-Committee, respecting taxicab refresher training courses:*
- (1) *the Municipal Licensing and Standards Division, Taxi Industry Unit, Training Section, design, develop and deliver internally a five-day taxicab driver refresher training course that must be taken every two years by all taxicab drivers, except Ambassador Taxicab drivers, licensed in the City of Toronto;*
 - (2) *the Municipal Licensing and Standards Division, Taxi Industry Unit, Training Section, design, develop and deliver internally a five-day taxicab driver refresher training course for Ambassador Taxicab drivers that must be taken four years after the initial course and every two years thereafter;*
 - (3) *every driver, owner and agent shall be required, as a condition for licence renewal, to attend and successfully complete a one-day First Aid/CPR course every three years, as provided by Toronto Ambulance Services, or other approved agency; and*
 - (4) *By-law 20-85 be amended to implement the taxicab driver and owner/agent refresher training courses;*

The Planning and Transportation Committee endorsed the recommendations contained in the aforementioned reports, subject to amending the report (June 27, 2000) from the City Clerk, Licensing Sub-Committee, by:

- (1) *amending Recommendation No. (3) as above, by deleting the requirement that owners have to complete a First Aid/CPR course, providing an exemption process for drivers who are unable to attend because of medical reasons, and limiting the amount of course fee so that this recommendation now reads:*

- (3) (a) *every driver shall be required, as a condition for licence renewal, to attend and successfully complete a one-day First Aid/CPR course every three years, as provided by Toronto Ambulance Services, or other approved agency;*
- (b) *a driver will not be required to pay for more than one First Aid/CPR course; and*
- (c) *a driver will be exempt from taking the First Aid/CPR course upon production of a medical certificate stating, due to health reasons, he/she is unable to take this course.’ and*

with respect to the report dated June 21, 2000 from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services respecting licensing fees for the year 2001, it is recommended that the report be amended to include:

- (1) *a renewal fee of \$604.00 be established for the Ambassador Class Taxicab Licence; and*

with respect to each of the aforementioned recommendations the appropriate City officials be authorized to undertake any necessary action to give effect thereto.”

(42) **Moved by: Councillor Rae**

Seconded by: Councillor Walker

“WHEREAS the Tenant Defence Sub-Committee, at its meeting held on September 29, 2000, during consideration of a report dated September 6, 2000, from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services, respecting an update on Grant Applications under the Tenant Defence Fund, received this report and recommended that a grant be awarded to the Tenants Association of 44 Charles Street West, upon receipt of a satisfactory application, to assist the tenants in disputing the landlord’s application for an above guideline rent increase (AGI); and

WHEREAS the Tenant Defence Sub-Committee noted that, if the grant application for 44 Charles Street West did not meet the criteria for approval by the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services pursuant to the Tenant Defence Fund, then a Notice of Motion would be prepared for introduction into Council for its October 3, 2000, meeting, recommending approval of this grant; and

WHEREAS it is important that Council consider this matter at its October 3, 2000, meeting because a hearing date of October 25, 2000, has been set for the landlord's application for a review of 603 units in this 792 unit building; and

WHEREAS the Tenants Association at 44 Charles Street had difficulty in collecting sufficient signatures for the grant application because of the landlord's policy on door-to-door canvassing; and

WHEREAS an incomplete application from the Tenants Association, without signatures, was received at the Shelter, Housing and Support Divisional Office in the afternoon of October 2, 2000;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council approve a grant in the amount of \$1,000.00 to the Tenants Association of 44 Charles Street West to assist them in disputing the landlord's application for an above guideline rent increase (AGI), and the funds be allocated from the Tenant Defence Fund."

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.

(43) **Moved by: Councillor Bussin**

Seconded by: Councillor Jakobek

WHEREAS City Council at its meeting held on September 28 and 29, 1999, adopted Clause No. 15 of Report No. 5 of The Administration Committee, entitled 'Declaration as Surplus, Westerly Portion of 110 Wildwood Crescent (Ward 26 – East Toronto)', thereby declaring surplus to the City's requirements the westerly portion of 110 Wildwood Crescent and authorizing that notice be given to the public of the intended manner of sale being sale on the open market; and

WHEREAS at its meeting held on May 9, 10 and 11, 2000, City Council re-opened the issue insofar as it related to the proposed manner of sale and adopted the report (May 5, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, entitled 'Disposition of the Westerly Portion of 110 Wildwood Crescent' thereby authorizing that the approved manner of sale be a direct sale to the Forward Baptist Church, to enter into direct negotiations with the Church and to report back should an acceptable agreement be reached, and that if an agreement cannot be reached within three months, then the approved manner of sale be to offer the property for sale on the open market; and

WHEREAS the Administration Committee at its meeting held on September 12, 2000, gave consideration to the report (September 6, 2000) from Commissioner of Corporate Services, entitled 'Disposition of the Westerly Portion of 110 Wildwood Crescent', thereby recommending that the Commissioner of Corporate Services be authorized to continue negotiations with the Forward Baptist Church and should an acceptable offer be received to report directly to City Council for its meeting scheduled to be held on October 3, 4 and 5, 2000; and

WHEREAS the Commissioner of Corporate Services is now in receipt of correspondence dated September 26, 2000, from the Forward Baptist Church requesting an extension of time to January 31, 2001, with respect to completing negotiations for the purchase of 110 Wildwood Crescent; and

WHEREAS the City will not have complied with the authority as previously granted, if it were to agree to the extension of time, and it is necessary that a revised approval be given for the extension;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 15 of Report No. 5 of The Administration Committee, entitled 'Disposition of the Westerly Portion of 110 Wildwood Crescent', adopted by City Council at its meeting held on May 9, 10 and 11, 2000, be re-opened for further consideration, insofar as it pertains to the extension of time;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Council approve the recommendations in the report (September 29, 2000) of the Commissioner of Corporate Services, entitled 'Request for Extension of Time - Disposition of the Westerly Portion of 110 Wildwood Crescent – Supplementary Report' ”.

Disposition: *Council re-opened Clause No. 15 of Report No. 5 of The Administration Committee, headed “Disposition of the Westerly Portion of 110 Wildwood Crescent”, for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the extension of time, and adopted the balance of the Motion, without amendment.*

By its adoption of the balance of the Motion, without amendment, Council adopted the report dated September 29, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, embodying the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the time extension to January 31, 2001, to complete negotiations with the Forward Baptist Church be granted;*
- (2) the Commissioner of Corporate Services be authorized to continue negotiations with the Forward Baptist Church and to report back should an acceptable agreement be received;*
- (3) if an agreement cannot be reached by January 31, 2001, then the approved manner of sale be to offer the property on the open market; and*
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”*

(44) **Moved by:** **Councillor Minnan-Wong**

Seconded by: **Councillor Chong**

“**WHEREAS** residents of 105 Rowena Drive have experienced ongoing problems related to their tenancies, including property standards issues and legal issues; and

WHEREAS an information meeting was scheduled for tenants on August 24, 2000, and the individual invited to address the tenants was unable to attend; and

WHEREAS the residents of 105 Rowena Drive require information to resolve their legal and property standards issues; and

WHEREAS a meeting has been tentatively scheduled for October 17, 2000, this being the earliest possible date that an individual with expertise related to tenants’ issues is available;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 4 of Report No. 16 of The Administration Committee, headed ‘Use of Corporate Resources During an Election Year’, be re-opened for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to distribution of materials by Council Members after October 8, 2000;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, with the approval of the City Clerk, notices regarding the 105 Rowena Drive Tenants meeting be allowed to be distributed to residents by Councillor Denzil Minnan-Wong.”

Disposition: Council re-opened Clause No. 4 of Report No. 16 of The Administration Committee, headed “Use of Corporate Resources During an Election Year”, for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the distribution of materials by Members of Council after October 8, 2000, and adopted the balance of the Motion, without amendment.

(45) **Moved by:** **Councillor Chow**

Seconded by: **Councillor Rae**

“**WHEREAS** the Mayor’s Homeless Initiatives Reserve Fund approved a \$250,000.00 Capital grant and a \$25,000.00 planning grant for a project at 25 Leonard Avenue, this project involves the conversion of an existing medical office building to 51 units of affordable housing in the Kensington Market area; and

WHEREAS the application has been appealed to the Ontario Municipal Board and this was not anticipated in the project budget and funds are required to pay for consulting and legal fees related to the hearing;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT up to \$50,000.00 be designated solely for costs associated to the Ontario Municipal Board hearing (consulting, legal and planning fees) from the approved Capital grant on an invoice for service rendered basis with payments administered by the City of Toronto.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, subject to deleting from the Operative Paragraph, the figure “\$50,000.00” and inserting in lieu thereof the figure “\$10,000.00”, so that such Operative Paragraph shall now read as follows:*

“NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT up to \$10,000.00 be designated solely for costs associated to the Ontario Municipal Board hearing (consulting, legal and planning fees) from the approved Capital grant on an invoice for service rendered basis with payments administered by the City of Toronto.”

(46) **Moved by:** **Councillor Johnston**

Seconded by: **Councillor Moscoe**

“WHEREAS at its April 11, 12 and 13, 2000, meeting City Council adopted a confidential joint report dated April 12, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, and the City Solicitor, pertaining to the tax sale respecting 39 McGlashan Road; and

WHEREAS the matter is now the subject of leave to appeal to the Supreme Court of Canada; and

WHEREAS the matter of leave to appeal could be decided after October 16, 2000; and

WHEREAS this property has been the subject of multi-faceted litigation; and

WHEREAS City Council should have an opportunity to review the Supreme Court’s ruling;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, the action taken on April 11, 12 and 13, 2000, with respect to Notice of Motion J(18) regarding the Tax Sale Proceeding of 39 McGlashan Road be re-opened for further consideration;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT:

- (1) City Council request the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the City Solicitor to submit a further report on the tax sale proceeding against 39 McGlashan Road, including a review of legal opinions as to whether or not the exercise by a municipality of the power to terminate a tax sale at any time prior to the registration of a tax deed makes a municipality liable to a prospective tax sale purchaser; and

- (2) pending City Council's consideration of the above-requested report, no further steps be taken by City staff in respect to the 39 McGlashan Road tax sale proceeding."

Disposition: *Having regard that the motion to waive Notice and re-open the action taken by City Council on April 11, 12 and 13, 2000, with respect to Notice of Motion J(18) regarding the Tax Sale Proceeding of 39 McGlashan Road, did not carry, Council did not give consideration to the Motion.*

(47) **Moved by:** **Councillor Shiner**

Seconded by: **Councillor Feldman**

“WHEREAS City of Toronto Council at its meeting of June 7, 8 and 9, 2000, considered Clause No. 1 of Report No. 12 of The Administration Committee, headed ‘Future Use of the Dempsey Store (Ward 10 – North York Centre)’, pertaining to the Dempsey Store located at 250 Beecroft Road, and adopted the recommendation that ‘the appropriate City of Toronto staff undertake an inspection with respect to the suitability of the building structure for the intended purpose and use by the number of anticipated persons expected to use Dempsey Store under the auspices of the Learning Centre, such inspection to include zoning requirements and any other pertinent information that may be necessary’; and

WHEREAS City of Toronto Council at its meeting of August 1, 2, 3 and 4, 2000, adopted Clause No. 3, of Report No. 16 of The Administration Committee, headed ‘Suitability of the Dempsey Store for Use by the Learning Centre for Children with Autism (Ward 10 – North York Centre)’, and recommended the following:

- (1) a lease with the Learning Centre for Children with autism be approved on a joint non-profit basis, subject to the terms and conditions set out in the body of the joint report (July 10, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services; and
- (2) the City make application to the Committee of Adjustment, on behalf of the Learning Centre for Children with Autism, for a minor variance application forthwith respecting locating the Learning Centre at the Dempsey Store; and

WHEREAS at its meeting held on September 21, 2000, the Committee of Adjustment, City of Toronto – North District considered and unanimously approved an application for the following variances at 250 Beecroft Road that were submitted by the City of Toronto:

- (1) to permit an institutional use (day nursery for children with autism) and an accessory resource information and support centre within the existing building; and
- (2) 0 parking spaces to be provided on site; and

WHEREAS the appeal period pertaining to the decision of the Committee of Adjustment in this matter will expire after the last meeting of City Council this term; and

WHEREAS in the event of an appeal, the use of 250 Beecroft Road by the Learning Centre will be further delayed and its program prejudiced;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT should an appeal of the decision of the Committee of Adjustment be filed such that the Committee's decision not become final and binding, the City Solicitor be directed to take such action on behalf of the Learning Centre for Children with Autism necessary to:

- (i) defend the decision of the Committee of Adjustment; and
- (ii) support a site specific application to amend the zoning by-law to achieve the same relief approved by the Committee of Adjustment, should the Learning Centre for Children with Autism apply for same."

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(48) **Moved by:** **Councillor McConnell**

Seconded by: **Councillor Augimeri**

“WHEREAS the City of Toronto is committed to open dialogue with its residents and community participation and consultation; and

WHEREAS the Women's World March 2000 is a global campaign to focus the attention of governments to the concerns of women, in particular the issues of violence against women and poverty; and

WHEREAS the participants in this campaign in their home countries have been able to meet with their Prime Ministers and Presidents to discuss these vital concerns; and

WHEREAS an international delegation of women will meet at the United Nations on October 17, 2000, with the General Secretary, to present the 13 immediate points of concern of this global campaign, which are legitimate and essential for the well-being of women all over the world;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council encourage the Prime Minister of Canada to meet with the Canadian delegation to demonstrate his commitment to the well-being of women across Canada and Canada's support for the Women's World March 2000."

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(49) **Moved by:** **Councillor Jakobek**

Seconded by: **Councillor McConnell**

“**WHEREAS** the Young Ambassadors of Toronto Program was established in 1988 by Toronto City Council and the Toronto Board of Education in co-operation with the Learnxs Foundation; and

WHEREAS through the Young Ambassadors of Toronto Program, secondary school students in Toronto have an opportunity to participate in overseas exchanges; and

WHEREAS through participation in overseas exchanges, Toronto students act as Ambassadors of the City of Toronto and Toronto schools, deepen their understanding of diversity and appreciate Canada’s unique contribution to world citizenship, and learn about the culture, heritage, social and economic systems of other countries; and

WHEREAS the Young Ambassadors of Toronto program provides a mechanism for low-income students to participate in off-shore exchanges; and

WHEREAS since its establishment, over 40 exchanges to 19 countries have involved over 800 Toronto students; and

WHEREAS participation in the Young Ambassadors of Toronto Program is restricted to only students of the former City of Toronto;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Toronto City Council endorse the expansion of the Young Ambassadors of Toronto Program across the new City of Toronto;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Toronto City Council increase its contribution by \$500,000.00, such funds to be provided from Corporate Contingency, to support the expansion of the Young Ambassadors of Toronto Program across the City.”

Disposition: *The Motion was referred to the Policy and Finance Committee.*

(50) **Moved by:** **Councillor Layton**

Seconded by: **Councillor Miller**

“**WHEREAS** 1990 to 1999 was the warmest decade of the millennium; and

WHEREAS a global reduction in emissions of greenhouse gases (GHG) is necessary to slow climate change and reduce the risks to human health, the physical environment, economy and quality of life; and

WHEREAS the 1998 ice storm cost the City of Montreal \$116 million; Baie Comeau more than \$2.5 million, and the Regional Municipality of Ottawa-Carleton \$6.32 million, while the Red River flood cost Winnipeg \$32.3 million; and

WHEREAS these weather-related expenses are creating an additional financial burden for municipalities at a time when responsibilities are increasing as a result of devolution; and

WHEREAS reducing greenhouse gases makes good economic sense; new and improved infrastructure, energy efficiency, building retrofits, water conservation, more effective wastewater treatment and distribution, renewable energy technologies, waste reduction, better fleet management, etc., reduce municipal operating costs and help maintain community services, while having a positive impact on the environment; and

WHEREAS industrialized countries, realizing initial commitments were inadequate to protect the Earth's climate system, agreed in December 1997, to the Kyoto Protocol which, if ratified, commits Canada to reducing its greenhouse gas emissions six percent below 1990 levels between 2008 - 2012; and

WHEREAS in November, national governments will meet in The Hague, Netherlands, to negotiate terms and conditions for ratifying the Kyoto Protocol and it is critical that Canada has the support it needs to conclude negotiations; and

WHEREAS Mayors and Municipal leaders responsible for the well-being of communities across Canada urge federal and provincial/territorial governments to make climate protection a priority;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City of Toronto endorse this Municipal Leaders' Resolution on Climate Change."

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(51) **Moved by:** **Councillor Pantalone**

Seconded by: **Councillor Shiner**

WHEREAS a clean, safe city is one of the cornerstones of the quality of life and international reputation of Toronto; and

WHEREAS City Council approved a \$2.0 million Clean City initiative in the Works and Emergency Services Department 2000 Operating Budget to provide additional litter pick up and street cleaning; and

WHEREAS this initiative has been well received by the public; and

WHEREAS there are still concerns over the cleanliness and state of our parks and greenspaces, and there is the potential to expand this initiative to improve the state of our parks and greenspaces;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, in consultation with other City officials, develop a program for a Clean Parks Initiative and report on this proposed program to the Economic Development and Parks Committee in January 2001, and to the Budget Advisory Committee as part of the 2001 Operating Budget process.”

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.

(52) **Moved by: Councillor Walker**

Seconded by: Councillor Prue

“**WHEREAS** Canada’s Olympians have invested a great deal of personal sacrifice to bring honour to Canada, and they have increasingly become discouraged by the minimal financial support given them by the federal government for amongst other things: practice facilities, travel, and accommodation expenses, during both Olympic events and in between Olympic events; and

WHEREAS our athletes are disappointed that their commitment and personal sacrifice is not being adequately recognized by their beloved Canada and its governments at all levels, and it is incumbent on Toronto (the largest municipality in Canada and a bidder for the 2008 Olympic Games), to provide leadership in the provision of supplementary financial support to that being provided; and

WHEREAS Toronto’s support can only be extended to its own athletes, due to budget constraints arising from provincial downloading etc., and Toronto’s tax base is dependent solely on the assessment of real property; and

WHEREAS Council has committed itself to a bid to host the 2008 games at an estimated cost of over 2 billion dollars for the construction of facilities and infrastructure, and, considering the amount needed by Toronto’s athletes to adequately support their personal best endeavours, is very small in comparison;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council adopt principles for the creation of the ‘Toronto Olympic Athletes Assistance Program’ as follows:

- (1) Council immediately commit to an initial yearly funding of 50 cents per capita;
- (2) Council lobby the federal and provincial levels of government to provide funds in addition to that currently provided, at some multiple of Toronto’s contribution of 50 cents per capita;

- (3) Council create a new Committee of Council early in the new year to further develop Toronto's commitment to its athletes. The Committee's mandate will include:
- (a) investigate examples of the difficulties and financial shortfalls experienced by our athletes in the Sydney and previous Olympic Games;
 - (b) the appropriate level of ongoing funds;
 - (c) the most cost effective manner in which financial support can be delivered to our athletes; and
 - (d) engender discussion with other municipalities across Canada to introduce, encourage and facilitate expansion of financial support to Olympians from all parts of Canada."

Disposition: *The Motion was referred to the Policy and Finance Committee.*

(53) **Moved by:** **Councillor McConnell**

Seconded by: **Councillor Ootes**

“WHEREAS Toronto's 2008 Olympic Bid, the Waterfront Revitalization Task Force Proposal and the City's preparation of a new Central Waterfront Official Plan and Zoning By-law, have focused considerable attention on the waterfront; and

WHEREAS the City needs to protect for future options to realize a waterfront with continuous public access and potential reconfiguration of the Gardiner Expressway; and

WHEREAS three Areas of the Central Waterfront and surrounding areas are impacted by these initiatives; and

WHEREAS the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in the attached report dated October 3, 2000, has recommended Interim Control for these three Areas of the Central Waterfront and surrounding areas;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council adopt the attached report dated October 3, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, regarding an Interim Control By-law for these three Areas;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the necessary Bill be introduced to give effect thereto.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, subject to adding thereto the following new Operative Paragraph:*

“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to report to the first meeting of the Planning and Transportation Committee in 2001, on interim control by-laws, and a process to ensure the notification of affected Members of Council.”

Council, by its adoption of the Motion, as amended, adopted the report dated October 3, 2000, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, embodying the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) based on City Council’s prior resolution of August 1, 2, 3 and 4, 2000, directing senior staff to undertake a review of land use policies and prepare a new Central Waterfront Official Plan and Zoning By-law, taking into consideration the Waterfront Revitalization Task Force proposal, and the ongoing work on the 2008 Olympic Bid, City Council pass an interim control by-law, pursuant to Section 38 of the Planning Act, to prohibit the new use of land, buildings or structures, except temporary structures, tents or marquees used in connection with special event programming, for the three areas shown on the attached Map 1 and located within the Study Area referred to in this report, and that this by-law be in force for a period of one year; and*
- (2) authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary bill in Council to implement Recommendation No. (1) above.”*

Council subsequently enacted By-law No. 627-2000.

(54) **Moved by:** Councillor Flint

Seconded by: Councillor Berger

“WHEREAS the 1857 Leslie Street commercial development was approved for the north-east corner of the Leslie Street/York Mills Road intersection; and

WHEREAS the access to Leslie Street was to be designed and constructed for right-in/right-out traffic movements only; and

WHEREAS the design does not effectively restrict inbound and outbound left turn movements; and

WHEREAS staff of the Transportation Services Division has observed traffic operational difficulties with inbound and outbound left turn movements;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT southbound and westbound left turns be prohibited at anytime to/from the development driveway access to Leslie Street, located at a distance of approximately 110 metres north of York Mills Road.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(55) **Moved by:** **Councillor Rae**

Seconded by: **Councillor Berardinetti**

“**WHEREAS** a tentative settlement for a collective agreement has recently been reached between the City of Toronto, the Canadian Union of Public Employees, Local 2998 and the following community centres:

- (1) Community Centre 55 – 97 Main Street;
- (2) 519 Church Street Community Centre;
- (3) Eastview Neighbourhood Community Centre;
- (4) Cecil Street Community Centre;
- (5) Scadding Court Community Centre;
- (6) Ralph Thornton Community Centre;
- (7) Applegrove Community Complex;
- (8) Central Eglinton Community Centre;
- (9) Harbourfront Community Centre; and
- (10) Swansea Town Hall; and

WHEREAS the Memorandum of Agreement conforms to the financial mandate provided by Council for collective bargaining; and

WHEREAS the Memorandum of Agreement has been ratified by the Canadian Union of Public Employees, Local 2998; and

WHEREAS nine of ten boards operating the above-listed community centres have ratified the Agreement, with the tenth board to be considering the Agreement on October 16, 2000; and

WHEREAS deferral of consideration by Council will be detrimental to the implementation of the new collective agreement;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council consider the attached confidential report dated October 4, 2000, from the Executive Director of Human Resources, and that such report be adopted, providing for ratification of the Memorandum of Agreement.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and in so doing, Council adopted the confidential report dated October 4, 2000, from the Executive Director of Human Resources, such report to remain confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it contains information related to Labour Relations, save and except the following recommendations embodied therein:*

“It is recommended that:

- (1) *Council approve the Memorandum of Agreement between the City of Toronto, the Community Centres, and Local 2998 (the Community Centres' Unit); and*
- (2) *the appropriate officials be authorized to take the necessary action to implement the recommendation."*

(56) **Moved by:** Councillor Rae

Seconded by: Councillor Adams

“WHEREAS an application from Edilcan Construction Corporation on behalf of Elev’n21 Residences Inc. has been received in the past few days for permission to erect temporary construction hoarding at the Elev’n21 Residences Inc. site (No. 1121 Bay Street), in the eastbound curb lane of Charles Street West, from Bay Street to the lane first east thereof (La Scala Lane), and La Scala Lane from Charles Street West to a point approximately 31.0 metres south thereof, in connection with the establishment of construction staging areas to facilitate the work associated with the completion of a 21 storey residential building; and

WHEREAS the construction of No. 1121 Bay Street is scheduled to proceed in November 2000; and

WHEREAS it is necessary to obtain Council approval for the requested temporary lane closures;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council give consideration to the attached report dated September 29, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, and that such report be adopted.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and in so doing, Council adopted the report dated September 29, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, embodying the following recommendations:*

“It is recommended that:

- (1) *in order to facilitate construction of a new residential tower at Premises No. 1121 Bay Street, the following temporary lane closures (as described more particularly in the text of this report) be authorized for a period of approximately two years:*
 - (a) *eastbound curb lane on Charles Street West from Bay Street to Las Scala Lane; and*

(b) *La Scala Lane from Charles Street West to a point approximately 31.0 metres south thereof;*

(2) *La Scala Lane operate two-way from St. Mary Street to a point approximately 31.0 metres south of Charles Street; and*

(3) *the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.”*

(57) **Moved by:** Councillor Ashton

Seconded by: Councillor McConnell

“WHEREAS the Board of Directors of the Toronto Economic Development Corporation (TEDCO) approved the execution of agreements between TEDCO, Imperial Oil Limited (Imperial), and the City of Toronto for the acquisition of lands controlled by Imperial at 51-61 Commissioners Street and 185 Cherry Street at its meeting on October 4, 2000; and

WHEREAS the Board of Directors of TEDCO has recommended that the City support this transaction through an indemnification of Imperial against future environmental liabilities; and

WHEREAS the subject lands are the site proposed for an Olympic Stadium in the Master Plan for the Toronto 2008 Olympic and Paralympic Games and are also in the broader area proposed for redevelopment as part of the plan proposed by the Waterfront Revitalization Task Force; and

WHEREAS the candidature files for the City’s 2008 Olympic Bid must be submitted to the International Olympic Committee by mid-January 2001, and it would be advantageous for these files to make reference to the City’s commitment towards acquiring the site for the principal Games venue; and

WHEREAS it is anticipated that announcements over the next several months committing the three levels of government to the waterfront revitalization may raise the development value of the Port Lands; and

WHEREAS deferral of the proposed transaction until the next term of Council may place the proposed transaction in jeopardy;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the confidential joint report dated October 4, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, and the City Solicitor, entitled ‘Acquisition of Land at 51-61 Commissioners Street and 185 Cherry Street’, attached hereto, and the recommendations contained therein, be adopted.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, subject to:*

(1) *adding to the Operative Paragraph, the words “subject to inserting in such report the following new Recommendation No. (2) and renumbering the remaining recommendations accordingly:*

“(2) authority be granted to acquire the lands known as 51-61 Commissioners Street and 185 Cherry Street, and that the said lands be declared surplus to the City’s requirements and that all steps necessary to comply with By-law No. 551-1998 be taken;”,

so that such Operative Paragraph shall now read as follows:

“NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the confidential joint report dated October 4, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, and the City Solicitor, entitled ‘Acquisition of Land at 51-61 Commissioners Street and 185 Cherry Street’, attached hereto, and the recommendations contained therein, be adopted subject to inserting in such report the following new Recommendation No. (2) and renumbering the remaining recommendations accordingly:

“(2) authority be granted to acquire the lands known as 51-61 Commissioners Street and 185 Cherry Street, and that the said lands be declared surplus to the City’s requirements and that all steps necessary to comply with By-law No. 551-1998 be taken;”; and

(2) *adding thereto the following new Operative Paragraph:*

“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the City Solicitor be directed to retain outside environmental legal expertise to ensure that any agreement entered into by TEDCO does not in any way increase the City of Toronto’s liability or potential liability beyond that identified in the confidential joint report.”

Council, by its adoption of the Motion, as amended, adopted, as amended, the confidential joint report dated October 4, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the City Solicitor, such report to remain confidential in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it contains information related to the security of property interests of the Municipality, save and except the recommendations embodied therein, amended to read as follows:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the Chief Administrative Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the City Solicitor be given the authority to proceed with the proposed transaction with Imperial Oil Limited after being satisfied with the results of additional environmental site assessment analysis currently being completed;*
- (2) authority be granted to acquire the lands known as 51-61 Commissioners Street and 185 Cherry Street, and that the said lands be declared surplus to the City’s requirements and that all steps necessary to comply with By-law No. 551-1998 be taken;*
- (3) the Chief Administrative Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the City Solicitor be requested to report back to Council on their decision as to whether the proposed transaction has been proceeded with;*
- (4) all agreements and documents necessary to implement the transaction be in a form and content satisfactory to the City Solicitor; and*
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to the foregoing.”*

(58) **Moved by:** Councillor Flint

Seconded by: Councillor Filion

“**WHEREAS** at its meeting of September 28 and 29, 1999, the Council of the City of Toronto, pursuant to Clause No. 17 of Report No.8 of The North York Community Council required prior to the issuance of the Ontario Municipal Board (‘the OMB’) Order in the matter involving applications for official plan and zoning amendments and subdivision respecting 305-308 Poyntz Avenue and 314-317 and 325 Bogert Avenue, North York that:

- (1) the owner enter into agreements with the City pursuant to Section 37 and Section 51 of the Planning Act, and such agreements to be registered on title as a first charge against the lands; and
- (2) the City Solicitor and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services report back directly to City Council for authority of the execution of these agreements prior to the issuance of the OMB order; and

WHEREAS the Ontario Municipal Board has issued a decision in this matter supporting the position of the City of Toronto; and

WHEREAS the City Solicitor and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services wish to report to City Council for such authority;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the attached report of the City Solicitor (October 4, 2000) be received and that the appropriate City staff be authorized to sign such agreements provided the City Solicitor and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services are satisfied with the form and the content of the Agreements and provided they are substantially in accordance with the aforesaid report.”

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.

(59) **Moved by: Councillor Jakobek**

Seconded by: Councillor Bussin

“**WHEREAS** Council, at its meeting of August 1, 2, 3 and 4, 2000, adopted Clause No. 37 of Report No. 13 of The Toronto Community Council, headed ‘Residential Demolition Applications – 421, 423 and 425 Woodbine Avenue (East Toronto)’; and

WHEREAS in adopting the Clause, Council authorized the issuance of demolition permits to Imperial Oil for properties located at 421, 423 and 425 Woodbine Avenue under section 33 of the Planning Act; and

WHEREAS the City’s standard conditions were attached to the permits regarding the construction of replacement buildings on the site; and

WHEREAS Imperial Oil, by way of letter from its solicitors dated September 13, 2000, has applied to have the conditions removed; and

WHEREAS Council can, under Section 2 of the City of Toronto Act, 1991 (No. 4), choose to remove any conditions attached to a permit, at the request of the permit holder;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the conditions attached to the above-mentioned permits be removed.”

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.

(60) **Moved by: Councillor Miller**

Seconded by: Councillor Ashton

“WHEREAS at its meeting of August 1, 2, 3 and 4, 2000, Council adopted, as amended, Clause No. 14 of Report No. 10 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed ‘Investigation of Feasible Alternative Financing Options for Existing Program of Toronto Transit Commission Subway Cars’, and, in so doing, approved proceeding with entering into a Lease-into-Service-Contract U.S. cross-border financing arrangement (LISC) with respect to an existing program to purchase 372 Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) subway cars; and

WHEREAS in adopting, as amended, Clause No. 14 of Report No. 10 of The Policy and Finance Committee referred to above, Council adopted, as amended, Recommendation No. (3) of the report as follows:

- ‘(3) the City’s Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, in consultation with the Chief General Manager of the Toronto Transit Commission, be authorized to negotiate and execute the necessary agreements that are required to enter into this financing arrangement, and to seek the necessary supporting agreements that are required for the transaction with the TTC, including the indemnification agreements in order to facilitate the transaction, which are acceptable to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, the City Solicitor, and the Chief General Manager, Toronto Transit Commission;’; and

WHEREAS the necessary agreements referred to in Recommendation No. (3) will require the City to guarantee the TTC’s obligations under the LISC and such guarantee requires Council’s approval under Section 113 of the Municipal Act; and

WHEREAS the City is in negotiations with the TTC with respect to the necessary supporting agreements referred to in Recommendation No. (3); such agreements may include an agreement in which the City will agree to reimburse the TTC, its employees, commissioners, officers, directors and agents for the liabilities, costs and expenses, etc. that they might incur under the Lease-into-Service-Contract financing which they would not have incurred had the 372 subway cars been purchased by means of a traditional debenture financing; and for legal reasons, this agreement may not be called an indemnification agreement, as contemplated by Recommendation No. (3), but will perform a similar function and, for convenience in this Notice of Motion, is called a ‘commitment to pay agreement’, no matter what title and terminology it might actually employ; and

WHEREAS it may be necessary to seek the approval of the Ontario Municipal Board for either or both the guarantee and the commitment to pay agreement, since both are likely to be in effect for at least 26 years and it may not be possible to quantify either financial obligation;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council adopt the following recommendations:

- (1) (a) the City make a grant to the TTC under section 113 of the Municipal Act, in the form of a guarantee up to a maximum net amount of \$134 million of the TTC's obligations under a proposed LISC in respect of some or all of 372 TTC subway cars, upon such terms and conditions as are acceptable to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the City Solicitor; and
- (b) Council declare that the guarantee is in the interests of the municipality;
- (2) the City enter into a commitment to pay agreement with the TTC (the agreement to employ whatever name and terminology is legally advisable) in which the City will agree to reimburse the TTC, its employees, commissioners, officers, directors and agents for the liabilities, costs and expenses, etc. that they might incur under the LISC financing which they would not have incurred had the 372 subway cars been purchased by means of a traditional debenture financing, such agreement to be upon such terms and conditions as are acceptable to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the City Solicitor;
- (3) (a) if legally required, the City make a grant to the TTC under section 113 of the Municipal Act in the form of the commitment to pay agreement; and
- (b) Council declare that the commitment to pay agreement is in the interests of the municipality;
- (4) the City seek the approval of the Ontario Municipal Board for the guarantee referred to in Recommendation No. (1) above and/or the commitment to pay agreement referred to in Recommendations Nos. (2) and (3) above if either or both would cause the City to exceed its permitted debt and financial obligation limits under the Municipal Act or if such approval is otherwise required by law; and
- (5) the appropriate officials be authorized to under the necessary work and take the necessary action to implement these recommendations.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(61) **Moved by:** **Councillor Jakobek**

Seconded by: **Mayor Lastman**

“**WHEREAS** the work related to the various Purchase Orders issued for renovations required to consolidate Revenue Services of the Finance Department at the North York Civic Centre exceed the original Purchase Order amounts; and

WHEREAS the increase in work was a result of an increase in scope of work and the overall project budget has not been exceeded; and

WHEREAS the contractors are now required to be paid in full; and

WHEREAS the financial control by-law restricts the any increase on the original Purchase Order award to maximum of ten percent;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council consider and adopt the attached report dated October 4, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending the increase of the various Purchase Orders to the contractors as per the attached report totalling \$ 533,395.00 (GST included).”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and in so doing, Council adopted the report dated October 4, 2000, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, embodying the following recommendations:*

“It is recommended that:

(1) *the various Purchase Orders to the contractors be increased by a total of \$533,395.00 (GST included) as identified in the attached appendix to accommodate the consolidation of the Finance Department’s Revenue Services Division at the North York Civic Centre;*

(2) *the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”*

(62) **Moved by:** **Councillor Moscoe**

Seconded by: **Mayor Lastman**

“**WHEREAS** a strong transit system and ridership growth is key to the overall well-being of the City and the success of the City’s Olympic Bid; and

WHEREAS TTC ridership growth has increased subway car fleet requirements by an additional 80 cars; and

WHEREAS Bombardier Inc. has provided TTC with a revised price resulting in a further \$3 million savings which will result in the City avoiding an estimated \$23 million in capital costs by purchasing an additional 80 cars from Bombardier Inc. at this time;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with Section 46 of the Council Procedural By-law, Clause No. 1 of Report No. 8 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed 'Procurement of Additional Subway Cars, Toronto Transit Commission' be re-opened for further consideration;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the City of Toronto approve the extension of the current T1 contract to allow for the purchase of 80 additional cars at a cost of \$172 million, on condition that the federal/provincial funding is over and above any normal or expected federal/provincial/municipal cost shared programs such as the recently announced Infrastructure Program;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the TTC not sign any contracts relating to this matter until the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer is satisfied that the appropriate federal/provincial funding is in place.”

Disposition: Council re-opened Clause No. 1 of Report No. 8 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed “Procurement of Additional Subway Cars, Toronto Transit Commission”, for further consideration, and adopted the balance of the Motion, without amendment.

(63) **Moved by: Councillor Bussin**

Seconded by: Councillor Gardner

“**WHEREAS** the Committee of Adjustment (‘the Committee’), at its meeting held on July 13, 2000, approved a minor variance request on the part of the owner of 1987 Queen Street East (the Brasa Restaurant) to permit a change of use for the premises from a retail clothing store to a restaurant for a four year period, subject to the condition that the applicant obtain a leasing arrangement for one parking space within 300 metres of the premises; and

WHEREAS the decision of the Committee has been appealed to the Ontario Municipal Board (‘the Board’) by a local resident; and

WHEREAS the Board has scheduled a date in December to hear the subject application; and

WHEREAS parking is in very short supply in this area; and

WHEREAS it is possible that further variances, in addition to the parking variance, may be identified in relation to this application, particularly with respect to the potential operation of a rear patio; and

WHEREAS City staff have recently revoked the building permit for the premises for building permit and zoning violations; and

WHEREAS City staff have also issued a zoning violation letter and a (Metro) licensing notice to the owner of the premises; and

WHEREAS the impact of the operation of the Brasa Restaurant has already been a source of numerous complaints on the part of local residents, who have had their peace and quiet disrupted by the restaurant;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Solicitor be instructed to attend before the Ontario Municipal Board in opposition to the subject application (A522/00TO).”

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.

(64) **Moved by: Councillor Berardinetti**

Seconded by: Councillor Ootes

“**WHEREAS** City Council at its meeting held on August 1, 2, 3 and 4, 2000, adopted, as amended, Clause No. 21 of Report No. 16 of The Administration Committee, headed ‘Employee Participation in Election Campaigns’; and

WHEREAS in so doing, Council requested agencies, boards and commissions to adopt a policy consistent with that of the City and advise the Chief Administrative Officer of the actions taken in this regard, for report directly to Council at its October 3, 2000, meeting; and

WHEREAS the City Clerk has prepared the attached report summarizing the responses received to date;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council give consideration to the attached report dated October 5, 2000, from the City Clerk, and that such report be received, for information.”

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, subject to adding thereto the following new Operative Paragraph:

“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the City Clerk be requested to submit a report to the first meeting of the Administration Committee to be held in 2001, on details of the policies adopted by the City’s agencies, boards, commissions, and special purpose bodies including Toronto Hydro, respecting participation by their employees in election campaigns.”

(65) **Moved by: Councillor Adams**

Seconded by: Councillor Miller

“WHEREAS the City of Toronto agreed earlier this year to provide \$220,000.00 towards the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority’s (TRCA) estimated additional costs of \$320,000.00 for participation at the Hearing before the Ontario Municipal Board concerning development proposals on the Oak Ridges Moraine in Richmond Hill; and

WHEREAS the current estimates of the total funding required by the TRCA to participate effectively in the Richmond Hill Hearing through to its conclusion in 2001 is \$750,000.00; and

WHEREAS another Hearing is scheduled to commence in 2001 on a residential and golf course development application on the Moraine in Uxbridge. The TRCA will be participating in the hearing to consider the Sandhill Aggregates proposal located at the southwest corner of Brock Road and Highway 47 in the Hamlet of Coppins Corners. The site is partially located within the Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority and the Toronto Region Conservation Authority. At this time, the OMB is considering a motion by Durham Region to consolidate this hearing with Gan Eden, as the issues to be dealt with at the hearing are similar. As a result, no estimates of the Hearing costs are yet available; and

WHEREAS the TRCA has secured \$120,000.00 direct funding as well as in-kind support from York Region and will be requesting additional funding from York Region as well as approaching Peel Region as set out in the copy of a staff report to the TRCA as adopted at its meeting of September 29, 2000;

WHEREAS the City of Toronto agreed at its June meeting to provide \$300,000.00 toward Save the Rouge Valley System’s (SRVS) estimated costs for participation at the Hearing before the Ontario Municipal Board concerning development proposals on the Oak Ridges Moraine in Richmond Hill; and

WHEREAS the earlier estimates of costs were based on the Ontario Municipal Board hearing commencing in May 2000, and continuing for 12 weeks, and the current schedule suggests that the hearing will last until May 2001; and

WHEREAS the SRVS’s current estimates of the total funding required by the SRVS to participate effectively in the Richmond Hill Hearing through to its conclusion in 2001 is \$800,000.00; and

WHEREAS this is the last meeting of Council in 2000 and the Ontario Municipal Board has resumed the environmental phase of the hearing in which SRVS will be required to cross-examine, prior to 2001, on the environmental significance of this area and the planning merits of the development proposals, and then lead evidence on environmental and planning issues;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council consider the attached report dated October 5, 2000, from the Oak Ridges Moraine Steering Committee.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, subject to adding to the Operative Paragraph to words “and that such report be adopted”, so that such Operative Paragraph shall now read as follows:*

“NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council consider the attached report dated October 5, 2000, from the Oak Ridges Moraine Steering Committee, and that such report be adopted.”

Council, by its adoption of the Motion, as amended, adopted the report dated October 5, 2000, from the Oak Ridges Moraine Steering Committee, embodying the following recommendations:

“The Oak Ridges Moraine Steering Committee recommends that Council endorse the following recommendations:

- (1)** *that a further commitment of up to \$500,000.00 be made available to Save the Rouge Valley System Inc. (SRVS) to complete the Richmond Hill OMB hearing subject to a detailed budget, and extension of the existing legal agreement which includes regular reporting and submission of invoices for payment;*
- (2)** *that a further commitment of \$280,000.00 be made available to the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA); that \$240,000.00 of this amount is to complete the Richmond Hill OMB hearing and a further \$40,000.00 for the pending OMB hearing in Durham Region; and that this funding to be conditional upon regular reporting and payments to be made in three instalments;*
- (3)** *funding in the amount of \$200,000.00 be provided from the Account NP 2053, which was created in 1999 to address the Richmond Hill OMB hearing and funded from the 1999 Corporate Contingency Account;*
- (4)** *funding in the amount of \$580,000.00 be provided from the 2000 Corporate Contingency Account;*
- (5)** *that \$45,000.00 be approved from the Oak Ridges Moraine Preservation Account to allow for production, stuffing and distribution of the new ‘Saving the Oak Ridges Moraine’ brochure in the water bills; and*

(6) *the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.*”

(66) **Moved by:** Councillor Mammoliti

Seconded by: Councillor Valenti

“**WHEREAS** a subdivision agreement was entered into between the former City of North York and Signet Development Corporation on the fourth day of February, 1988; and

WHEREAS special condition H.66.24 in the agreement requires that the grading and drainage works be undertaken in such a manner as to ensure that the depth of flooding is not greater than one metre during the one hundred year storm; and

WHEREAS an application for site plan approval has been submitted for Lot 4 proposing grading and drainage works that provide a depth of flooding greater than one metre in a storm water detention system to accommodate the hundred year storm; and

WHEREAS the change to the subdivision agreement requires approval of City Council;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the subdivision agreement between the former City of North York and Signet Development Corporation approved and authorized by By-law No. 39953 and enacted the 28th day of July, 1986, be amended by deleting clause H.66.24 and replacing it with the following new condition H.66.24:

‘The owner of Lot 4 shall carry out geotechnical and hydrologic studies, design, construct and maintain the storm water detention system and the associated safety features on Lot 4 and indemnify and save harmless the City from all liabilities and claims to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services.’ ”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(67) **Moved by:** Councillor Sinclair

Seconded by: Councillor Walker

“**BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to submit a report to Council, through the Administration Committee, on the allocation of a Community Co-ordinator for each Community, from existing management personnel.”

Disposition: *Notice of the foregoing Motion was given to permit consideration at the first regular meeting of City Council in the new term.*

(68) **Moved by: Councillor Jakobek**

Seconded by: Councillor Bussin

“**WHEREAS** Toronto East Arena Gardens Incorporated, at its annual meeting held on September 19, 2000, nominated 6 citizen members to the Committee of Management of the Ted Reeve Arena and Grounds; and

WHEREAS after the upcoming municipal election there will be only one Councillor for the area in which the arena is situated; and

WHEREAS under § 25-13 of the former Toronto Municipal Code, membership of the Committee of Management of the Ted Reeve Arena is a total of eight persons, six citizens *who may be* nominated by Toronto East Arena Gardens Incorporated and two Councillors, one of whom is the Councillor for the Ward; and

WHEREAS the City of Toronto Act, 1991, allows that notwithstanding the Community Recreation Centres Act, a Committee of Management under the Community Recreation Centres Act composed of five or more persons may have only one member of Council appointed to it; and

WHEREAS the appointment of only one Councillor representing the area would reduce the composition of the committee to seven persons, facilitating the ability to obtain quorum so that the Committee of Management may carry out its responsibilities; and

WHEREAS the Committee of Management has submitted a letter (distributed under confidential cover to Members of Council) requesting such amendment; and

WHEREAS there are no financial impacts associated with this Motion:

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT effective December 1, 2000, Council amend the composition of the Committee of Management of the Ted Reeve Arena and Grounds to seven persons, one Member of Council, the member of Council for Ward 32, and six persons, who may be nominated for consideration for appointment by Toronto East Arena Gardens Incorporated;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Council appoint the list of citizens, as outlined in the attached confidential communication dated September 26, 2000, as members of the Committee of Management of the Ted Reeve Arena and Grounds for a term to take effect December 1, 2000, and to expire November 30, 2001, and until their successors are appointed;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 25, Community and Recreation Centres § 25-13, Ted Reeve Arena (175 Main Street) be amended accordingly, such amendment to come into force December 1, 2000;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT leave be granted to permit the introduction of the necessary Bills in Council to give effect thereto.”

Disposition: ***The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and in so doing, Council appointed the following persons to the Committee of Management for the Ted Reeve Arena:***

Mr. Donald Ottaway;
Mr. Jack Lee;
Ms. Edythe Gerrard;
Dr. W.T. Jones;
Mr. Tom Jakobek; and
Mr. Frank Devine.

(69) **Moved by:** **Councillor Flint**

Seconded by: **Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski**

“WHEREAS the Hockey Hall of Fame wishes to erect a memorial sculpture to commemorate the winning goal of the 1972 Canada/Russia hockey series as the ‘sports moment’ of the century; and

WHEREAS the proposed memorial has been professionally designed and created; and

WHEREAS the City of Toronto, Preservation Services approves of tasteful and appropriate commemoration and are pleased with the design and intent of the Hockey Hall of Fame project; and

WHEREAS for maximum public viewing and enjoyment, the memorial should be erected out of doors; and

WHEREAS the memorial should be placed in a secure position on or in close proximity to the Hockey Hall of Fame property; and

WHEREAS the Hockey Hall of Fame property is governed by 1996 Heritage Easement Agreement conditions that include matters of landscaping, grading and additional structures; and

WHEREAS the Hockey Hall of Fame wishes to unveil the memorial in mid-November in connection with the 2000 Induction ceremonies of Hockey Hall of Fame honoured members; and

WHEREAS discussions to determine the exact location of the memorial are currently underway between the Hockey Hall of Fame and the City of Toronto, Preservation Services staff; and

WHEREAS any changes to or exemptions from conditions of the Heritage Easement Agreement that may be necessary as the result of the negotiated location of this particular memorial would need the approval of Toronto City Council; and

WHEREAS the next meeting of Council is not scheduled until December 2000;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council approve, in principle, the erection of the desired memorial in a location on or near the Hockey Hall of Fame property to be determined to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the above named Commissioners be granted authority to approve any necessary changes/exemptions to the Heritage Easement Agreement; such changes to be brought forward to the first Council meeting of 2001 for ratification.”

Disposition: The Motion was adopted, subject to adding to the first Operative Paragraph, the words “in co-operation with the Public Art Commission, the local Councillor and the property owner”, so that such Operative Paragraph shall now read as follows:

“NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council approve, in principle, the erection of the desired memorial in a location on or near the Hockey Hall of Fame property to be determined to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in co-operation with the Public Art Commission, the local Councillor and the property owner;”.

(70) **Moved by:** Councillor Mihevc

Seconded by: Councillor Davis

“WHEREAS at its meeting held on July 6, 7 and 8, 1999, City Council adopted, without amendment, Clause No. 11 of Report No. 7 of The York Community Council, headed ‘450 Gilbert Avenue - Zoning By-law Amendment, 1289643 Ontario Limited (Ward 28, York Eglinton)’, which recommended that a zoning by-law amendment application be approved, subject to conditions, to permit the development of five pairs of semi-detached dwelling houses on the vacant lands located at 450 Gilbert Avenue; and

WHEREAS two preconditions to the introduction of the draft zoning by-law require that the owner provide satisfactory mitigation of noise from equipment associated with an abutting storage and distribution use and that the Works and Emergency Services Department advise that the proposal is satisfactory; and

WHEREAS the owner has pursued a mediation process that was facilitated by the City; involved two adjoining owners, who have submitted similar rezoning applications, and the owners of the business creating the noise impact; and, had the objective of coming to an agreement for achieving satisfactory mitigation of noise for the proposed developments; and

WHEREAS the mediation process to date has resulted in no signed agreement; and

WHEREAS the Works and Emergency Services Department also has not yet advised that the redevelopment proposal is satisfactory; and

WHEREAS noise mitigation to minimize impact on the proposed residential development and any Works and Emergency Services Department requirements for the development can be addressed through Site Plan Approval, for which an application previously was submitted by the owner; and

WHEREAS any delay in the passage of the zoning by-law for the redevelopment beyond this Council session will cause severe hardship for the owner;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council amend Clause No. 11 of Report No. 7 of The York Community Council by deleting preconditions (2)(ii) and (2)(iii) set out in the report dated June 1, 1999, from the Director, Community Planning, West District, embodied therein, and by amending the section of the Clause headed ‘Conditions to Approval’ by adding the words ‘except conditions 2(ii) and 2(iii)’, so as to allow introduction of the Draft Zoning By-law.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(71) **Moved by:** **Councillor Sinclair**

Seconded by: **Councillor Mihevc**

“**WHEREAS** the people of Serbia have democratically elected a new leader; and

WHEREAS the people of Serbia have duly installed their new Leader as President without any bloodshed;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Mayor and Council of the City of Toronto extend congratulations to the Serbian people on this landmark triumph of democracy; and further, that this Council strongly urge the Government of Canada to immediately recognize the new government, and immediately lift all sanctions against Serbia.”

Disposition: *The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

CONDOLENCE MOTIONS:

(1) **Moved by:** Mayor Lastman

Seconded by: Councillor Kelly

“**WHEREAS** the death of Pierre Elliott Trudeau has saddened our nation and our City of Toronto; he was a national icon and one of the proudest and strongest Canadians in our history; and

WHEREAS Pierre Trudeau was the Prime Minister of Canada for 15 years, giving our Country a Constitution, our Charter of Rights and official bilingualism; and

WHEREAS Pierre Trudeau would not tolerate or consider Quebec separation and held this great Country together, from his election in 1968 to his retirement in 1984, to return to the practice of law and spend time with his three sons; and

WHEREAS our hearts went out to Pierre Trudeau on the tragic accidental death of his son Michel in 1998 and today our hearts go out to his family; and

WHEREAS Pierre Elliott Trudeau always encouraged immigration and cultural diversity and helped make our great City of Toronto the most culturally diverse in the world; and

WHEREAS Pierre Elliott Trudeau will always be remembered as the man with the rose in his lapel, who instilled a great sense of pride and dignity in our country and fostered an international reputation for Canada;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Mayor Lastman and Members of Toronto City Council offer their official condolences to the family of Pierre Elliott Trudeau and recognize his memory by a moment of silence.”

Disposition: The Motion was adopted unanimously.

(2) **Moved by:** Councillor Johnston

Seconded by: Councillor Walker

“**WHEREAS** the Members of Council are saddened to learn of the death of Mr. Ernest Annau on August 4, 2000; and

WHEREAS Ernest Annau escaped his homeland of Hungary during the last days of the Second World War and went on to achieve a distinguished career in Canada as both an architect and arts executive; and

WHEREAS, after forming Ernest Annau Architects Inc. in Toronto in 1972, the company went on to design a variety of award-winning projects, such as the Bedford Glen Condominiums and Avenue Road and Lawrence Avenue, the 7th Street School, and Rosegarden Mews in Toronto, and to win the international competition for China's first enclosed hockey arena, the 10,000-seat Naling Multi-Purpose Stadium in Changchun; and

WHEREAS Ernest Annau was a tireless supporter of both Canada's architectural heritage and the arts, who served as chair of the Toronto Historical Board preservation committee, chair of Heritage Markham and vice-chair of the Toronto 200 Committee to commemorate the 200th anniversary in 1993 of the founding of the town of York, now Toronto; and

WHEREAS Ernest Annau, as frequent lecturer and published critic, who as a member of the Ontario Association of Architects, was elected a fellow of the Royal Architectural Institute of Canada in 1988; and

WHEREAS Ernest leaves behind his beloved wife of 37 years, Patricia, and his three daughters, Catherine, Marion and Adrienne;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Clerk be directed to convey, on behalf of Members of City Council, our sincere sympathy to the Annau family, especially his wife Patricia and his three daughters."

Disposition: The Motion was adopted unanimously.

(3) **Moved by: Councillor Kinahan**

Seconded by: Mayor Lastman

WHEREAS the Members of City Council are deeply saddened to learn of the passing of Mr. Tom Cowen, father of Councillor Irene Jones;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Clerk be directed to convey, on behalf of members of City Council, our sincere sympathy to Councillor Jones and her family."

Disposition: The Motion was adopted unanimously.

(4) **Moved by: Councillor Shiner**

Seconded by: Councillor Feldman

WHEREAS the Members of Council are saddened to learn of the death of Mr. Frank Whilsmith on September 30, 2000; and

WHEREAS Frank Whilsmith served overseas as an officer in the Canadian Navy in World War II; and

WHEREAS Frank Whilsmith was the founder, president and national director of the Christian Children’s Fund of Canada; and

WHEREAS Frank Whilsmith was a tireless advocate of heritage in the community of North York who served as president of the North York Historical Society, and also served on the North York Heritage Committee from 1989 to 1999, as well as serving on the Gibson House Museum/Historic Zion Schoolhouse Museum Management Board from 1999 until his passing; and

WHEREAS Frank Whilsmith brought the history of North York to life through his writing, lectures and his animated tours of the community; and

WHEREAS Frank leaves behind his beloved wife Dora; his children Don and his wife Milagros, Graham, Greg and his wife Pat, Grant and Glen and his wife Rosie and his grandchildren André, Marilyn, Shane, Chris, Madeline, Evan and Harrison;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Clerk be directed to convey, on behalf of the Members of City Council, our sincere sympathy to the Whilsmith family, especially his wife Dora, his sons and his grandchildren.”

Disposition: The Motion was adopted unanimously.

(5) **Moved by: Councillor Minnan-Wong**

Seconded by: Councillor Jakobek

“**WHEREAS** the Members of Council are saddened to learn of the death of Tom Wells; and

WHEREAS Tom Wells was a member of the Provincial Legislature for over 22 years from 1963 to 1985 representing the constituency of Scarborough North; and

WHEREAS Tom Wells served under two Premiers, John Robarts and William Davis, and served as Minister of Intergovernmental Affairs, Minister of Municipal Affairs, Minister of Education and Government House Leader; and

WHEREAS as Intergovernmental Affairs Minister, Tom Wells played an important role representing the Province of Ontario in the 1982 Constitution Debate, and

WHEREAS Tom Wells was a Great Canadian, Ontarian and Torontonian;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Clerk be directed to convey, on behalf of Members of City Council, our sincere sympathy to the Wells family, especially his wife Audrey, son Andrew and daughters Brenda, Belgue and Beverly.”

Disposition: The Motion was adopted unanimously.

Toronto, Ontario
October 23, 2000

City Clerk

Attachment