

THE CITY OF TORONTO

City Clerk's Division

Minutes of the Administration Committee

Meeting No. 5

Tuesday, March 21, 2000.

The Administration Committee met on Tuesday, March 21, 2000, in Committee Room 1, 2nd Floor, City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:43 a.m.

Attendance

Members were present for some or all of the time periods indicated.

	9:43- 12:31 p.m.	2:14 - 5:11 p.m.	In Camera 5:12 p.m. – 6:16 p.m.	6:17-6:20 p.m.
Councillor Lorenzo Berardinetti (Chair)	X	X	x	X
Councillor John Adams	---	---	---	---
Councillor Gerry Altobello	X	X	X	X
Councillor Bas Balkissoon		---		
Councillor Sandra Bussin (Vice Chair)	X	X	X	X
Councillor Doug Holyday	X	X	X	X
Councillor Doug Mahood	X	X	X	X
Councillor David Miller	X	X	X	X
Councillor Denzil Minnan-Wong	X	X	X	X
Councillor Ron Moeser	X	X	X	X

Confirmation of Minutes.

On motion by Councillor Moeser, the Administration Committee confirmed the minutes of the meetings held on February 8, 2000, February 14, 2000 and March 1, 2000.

5-1. Process to Establish New Community Council Boundaries – All Wards.

The Administration Committee had before it a joint report (March 8, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer and the City Clerk, recommending that:

- (1) as provided for in section 7 of the City of Toronto Act, 1997, City Council reaffirm its support for community councils as geographic standing committees dealing with local matters as prescribed in the Council Procedural By-law as amended;

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

- (2) City Council endorse the process outlined in this report, and summarized in Attachment No. (3) to this report, as the basis for re-defining the community council boundaries for implementation after December 1, 2000;
- (3) City Council endorse the following principles to guide the development and consideration of options for re-defining the community council boundaries;
 - (a) Community councils must represent all of the urban area as required by section 7 of the City of Toronto Act, 1997;
 - (b) A ward must not be represented partly by one and partly by another community council as required by section 7 of the City of Toronto Act, 1997;
 - (c) Community council boundaries must be made up of groups of adjacent wards;
 - (d) Community council boundaries should enable a relatively equal distribution of workload between each community council;
 - (e) Community council boundaries should reflect the community council's mandate to enable a better focus on local matters of common interest that could be achieved by a city-wide standing committee of Council;
 - (f) Community councils should reflect the community councils' mandate to enable a better focus on local matters of common interest than could be achieved by a city-wide standing committee of Council;
 - (g) generally have the same number of members, and have overall variations of no more than 4 members between community councils; and
 - (h) community councils should not have fewer than 5 members or more than 12 members (excluding the Mayor's membership ex-officio);
- (4) the City Clerk be authorized to hold public open houses on May 1, 4 and 8, 2000, as outlined in this report, to receive public input and proposals on community council options;

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

- (5) the City Clerk, in consultation with the CAO and Commissioner of Urban Development Services, be authorized to prepare draft community council options based on the principles outlined in this report and the input received during the consultations for release at the end of May 2000;
- (6) the City Clerk be authorized to consult with interested members of Council on the issue of community council boundaries for their input and comments as part of the overall consultation for this project;
- (7) the City Clerk, in consultation with the CAO and Commissioner of Urban Development Services, be authorized to report to the Administration Committee on June 13, 2000 with the results from the consultations and specific community council boundary options which satisfy the principles adopted by Council;
- (8) subject to City Council deciding on the new community council boundaries, the City Clerk be requested to report to the Administration Committee on a process to name the community councils; and
- (9) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Administration Committee also had before it the following communications:

- (i) (February 7, 2000) addressed to the East York Community Council from Mr. John S. Ridout, President, The East York Historical Society, advising that now the Ward Boundaries have been settled he is hoping that East York Community Council take a strong hand in making sure that appropriate names are selected for the new wards; and suggesting names for the new wards in the East York area; and
- (ii) (March 14, 2000) addressed to the Scarborough Community Council from Mr. Gay Cowbourne, President, Centennial Community and Recreation Association (CCRA), advising that at a recent CCRA Executive meeting a motion was passed that the CCRA endorses the Scarborough Historical Society's recommendation that the east section of the former Scarborough East ward be known as Highland Creek, and requesting that Scarborough Community Council give consideration to the foregoing motion.

Mr. Peter Fay, Senior Policy Analyst, City Clerk's Division, gave a presentation to the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter and filed a copy of his presentation material.

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

The following Members of Council appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Councillor Rob Davis - York Eglinton;
- Councillor Michael Prue – East York; and
- Councillor Michael Walker – North Toronto.

Councillor Moeser moved:

- (1) that the Administration Committee recommend to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report; and **(Carried)**
- (2) that the City Clerk be requested to submit a report to the Administration Committee identifying the cost of the services provided by all staff to the Community Councils. **(Lost)**

(Clause No. 1 – Report No. 8)

**5-2. Remuneration and Expenses of Members of Council
and of the Council Appointees to Local Boards and
Other Special Purpose Bodies for the Period
January 1 to December 31, 1999.**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (March 3, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, reporting, in accordance with Section No. 247 of the Municipal Act, on Remuneration and Expenses of Members of Council and of the Council Appointees to Local Boards and Other Special Purpose Bodies for the period January 1 to December 31, 1999; and recommending that this report be received and forwarded to Council for information.

The Administration Committee was advised by staff that a technical adjustment was required respecting the printing/postage/courier expenses for Councillor Lindsay Luby.

Councillor Berardinetti appointed Councillor Bussin Acting Chair and vacated the Chair.

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

- A. Councillor Berardinetti moved that the Administration Committee amend Councillor Lindsay Luby's printing/postage/courier expenses contained in Appendix A embodied in the report (March 3, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, by deleting the figure "\$5,307" and inserting in lieu thereof the figure "\$7,178" and deleting the figure "\$8,902" for total expenditure and inserting in lieu thereof the figure "\$10,773"; **(Carried)**

Councillor Berardinetti resumed the Chair.

- B. Councillor Moeser moved that the Administration Committee receive the aforementioned report as amended; and forward to Council for information. **(Carried)**
- C. Councillor Holyday moved, on behalf of Councillor Giansante, that the City Clerk be directed to release detailed transactions respecting expense information to any Members of Council, save and except for anything that would not be released under the Freedom of Information Act. **(Lost)**

(Clause No. 15 – Report No. 8)

5-3 2000 Operating Budget Preliminary Review.

The Administration Committee had before it a communication (March 6, 2000) from the City Clerk, advising that the Budget Advisory Committee, having completed its preliminary review of the 2000 Operating Budget respecting the following programs:

- (1) Audit Services;
- (2) Chief Administrator's Office;
- (3) City Clerk's;
- (4) Corporate Communications;
- (5) Council Services;
- (6) Facilities and Real Estate;
- (7) Fleet Management;
- (8) Finance Department;
- (9) Human Resources;
- (10) Information and Technology;
- (11) Legal;

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

- (12) Mayor's Office;
- (13) Non Program Expenditures and Revenues; and
- (14) Service Integration and Support;

directed that:

- (1) the 2000 Operating Budget be forwarded to the relevant Standing Committees for consideration; and
- (2) Standing Committees be requested to forward recommendations pertaining to the 2000 Operating Budget to the Budget Advisory Committee prior to the commencement of the 'wrap-up' meeting on April 3, 2000.

The Administration Committee also had before it a communication (March 21, 1000) from the President, CUPE Local 79, respecting the 2000 Operating Budget; and urging the Committee to recognize the importance of maintaining the services provided by the various departments and to reinvest in front-line staffing positions filled by union employees.

The following persons gave a presentation to the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter and filed a copy their presentation material:

- Mr. Glenn Vollebregt, Director, Budget Services Division, Finance Department and Ms. Josie LaVita, Budget Services Division, Finance Department; and
- Ms. Joan Anderton, Commissioner of Corporate Services.

A. Councillor Miller moved that the Administration Committee:

- (1) advise the Budget Advisory Committee that in the absence of the relevant reports pertaining to the 2000 Operating Budget, it was not possible for the Committee to give consideration thereto and forward recommendations to the Budget Advisory Committee; and
- (2) request the Budget Advisory Committee:
 - (i) to provide Members of the Administration Committee with a copy of all reports respecting the 2000 Operating Budget that are relevant to the Administration Committee;

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

- (ii) in future years, to revise the process for Standing Committees consideration of the Operating Budget to allow sufficient time for Standing Committees to give proper consideration to the Budget; and
 - (3) receive the aforementioned communication (March 6, 2000) from the City Clerk. **(Carried)**
- B. Councillor Mahood moved that the Administration Committee refer the Audit Services 2000 Preliminary Budget to the Audit Committee for consideration and report thereon to the Budget Advisory Committee. **(Carried)**

(Budget Advisory Committee, Audit Committee; c: Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer – March 21, 2000)

(Clause No. 17a – Report No. 8)

5-4. Public Education Report on Discrimination and Systemic Barriers in the Rental Housing Market.

The Administration Committee had before it a communication (March 6, 2000) from the Program Director, Centre for Equality Rights in Accommodation, requesting an opportunity to present the outcome of the Centre for Equality Rights in Accommodation's (CERA) initiative on systemic barriers and discrimination in the rental housing market; and outlining concerns about neglect of access and discrimination issues by policy-makers and politicians in addressing the current crisis of homelessness.

The Administration Committee also had before it an interim report entitled "The Hugh Burnett Report on Discrimination and Systemic Barriers in Accessing Rental Housing", prepared by the Centre for Equality Rights in Accommodation, dated March 2000.

Mr. M. Mwarigha, Program Director, Centre for Equality Rights in Accommodation, gave a presentation to the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter; and filed a submission in regard thereto.

The following Members of Council appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

- Councillor Joe Mihevc –York Eglinton; and
- Councillor Michael Walker –North Toronto.

Councillor Miller moved, on behalf of Councillor Walker, that the Administration Committee:

- (1) request the Chief Administrative Officer and the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services to submit a report to the Administration Committee on any suggestions they may have that would eliminate discrimination in housing; and
- (2) receive the presentation from Mr. Mwarigha, Program Director, Centre for Equality Rights in Accommodation, respecting the Public Education Report on Discrimination and Systemic Barriers in the Rental Housing Market and the aforementioned communications. **(Carried)**

(Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services, Chief Administrative Officer; c: Mr. Mwarigha, Program Director, Centre for Equality Rights in Accommodation – March 21, 2000)

(Clause No. 17b – Report No. 8)

5-5. Immigrants and Refugee Rights National Poster Campaign.

The Administration Committee had before it a communication (February 14, 2000) from Ms. Avvy Go, Metro Toronto Chinese and Southeast Asian Legal Clinic, respecting the Immigrants and Refugee Rights National Poster Campaign initiated to help counter public backlash against all immigrants and refugees, particularly immigrants and refugees of colour, and produce a series of posters to promote a better understanding of the experience of immigrants and refugees.

The Administration Committee also had before it a communication (March 7, 2000) from Councillor David Miller, High Park – Parkdale, requesting that the Administration Committee receive a presentation from Ms. Avvy Go on behalf of the Coalition for Just Immigration and Refugee Policy addressing issues affecting the public perception of refugees; and noting that as March 21st is the International Day for Elimination of Racial Discrimination it would be an appropriate opportunity to hear Ms. Go's presentation.

Ms. Avvy Go, Metro Toronto Chinese and Southeast Asian Legal Clinic, appeared before the Administration Committee, respecting the Immigrants and Refugee Rights National Poster Campaign, and filed a copy of the aforementioned poster.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Administration Committee received the presentation from Ms. Avvy Go, Metro Toronto Chinese and Southeast Asian Legal Clinic, respecting the Immigrants and Refugee Rights National Poster Campaign and the aforementioned communications.

(Ms. Avvy Go, Metro Toronto Chinese and Southeast Asian Legal Clinic-
March 21, 2000)

(Clause No. 17c – Report No. 8)

**5-6. Toronto Police Service – 1999 Annual Year End
Hate Crime Statistical Report.**

The Administration Committee had before it a communication (March 7, 2000) from Councillor Pam McConnell, Chair, City of Toronto Committee on Anti-Racism and Anti-Hate, advising that the City of Toronto Committee on Anti-Racism and Anti-Hate received a presentation from the Toronto Police Services Hate Crime Unit on the 1999 Year End Hate Crime Statistical Report and requested the Chair of the aforementioned Committee, along with Det. Sgt. Rick Stubbings, Head of the Hate Crime Unit, Toronto Police Service, to make a presentation to the Administration Committee on March 21, 2000, on the extent of racism and hate crimes; and attaching a copy of the Toronto Police Service Hate Crime 1999 Annual Year End Statistical report.

Councillor Pam McConnell, Chair, City of Toronto Committee on Anti-Racism and Anti-Hate gave a presentation to the Administration Committee respecting the foregoing matter.

Representatives of the Toronto Police Service Hate Crime Unit appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Administration Committee received the presentation from Councillor Pam McConnell, respecting the 1999 Annual Year End Hate Crime Statistical Report and the aforementioned communication.

(Toronto Police Services Board – March 21, 2000)

(Clause No. 17d – Report No. 8)

5-7. Final Report of the Bingo Task Force.

The Administration Committee had before it a report (March 6, 2000) from Councillor Frances Nunziata, Chair, Bingo Task Force, advising that this is the final report of the Bingo Task Force which summarizes the issues affecting the bingo industry in the City of Toronto; and recommending that:

- (1) when a new bingo hall or the relocation of a bingo hall is proposed, public meetings to be held and a market study be conducted, at the proponent's cost, by an independent party chosen by the City of Toronto through its Request for Proposals process, and such market study to include a financial impact analysis, and the applicant be required to provide a business plan for evaluation by the City Clerk;
- (2) the relocation of a bingo hall not be restricted to a specific minimum distance provided the licensed sessions in adjacent bingo halls are deemed to be sufficiently profitable as determined in the market study referred to in Recommendation No. (1) above, and as determined by Toronto City Council;
- (3) the City Clerk develop a municipal process in considering applications for new bingo halls or relocations of bingo halls outlining all approvals and studies necessary before such applications are considered by the City of Toronto;
- (4) any mandatory investment or improvement required of bingo hall facilities be deferred and re-assessed after January 2001 pending discussion involving the Province of Ontario and the bingo industry regarding a strategy to address the industry's profitability;
- (5) consideration of any applications for new bingo halls be deferred until January 2001 to permit staff to monitor and assess the impact of the introduction of slot machines within the City of Toronto;
- (6) the City of Toronto require bingo halls to prominently display information regarding responsible and problem gambling and information on where people can get help;

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

- (7) the City Clerk, in consultation with the bingo industry, develop security standards for bingo halls to deal with safety issues and all aspects of cash handling as well as volunteers;
- (8) the City Clerk conduct a complete review, every two years, of charitable and non-profit organizations to confirm on-going eligibility and use of proceeds according to provincial criteria;
- (9) City Council establish a Bingo Advisory Committee composed of City Councillors and citizen members to monitor the implementation of the recommendations of the Bingo Task Force;
- (10) The appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to these recommendations.

The Administration Committee also had before it the following communications registering concern respecting the recommendations of the Bingo Task Force:

- (1) (March 15, 2000) from Mr. Edmund A. Conway, Volunteer Chair, Gaming Committee, Variety, the Children's Charity; and
- (2) (March 20, 2000) from Mr. Kenneth Gunn, Executive Director, BHOAO (Bingo Hall Operators Association of Ontario).

Councillor Frances Nunziata –York Humber, gave a presentation to the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

The following persons appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Lynn Cassidy;
- Mr. Gary Gladstone, Delta Downsview Charities Association;
- Mr. Ken Gunn, Executive Director, Bingo Hall Operators Association of Ontario;
- Mr. Michael McLaughlin, Director of Charitable Gaming - Variety;
- Councillor Joan King – Seneca Heights.
- Councillor Frances Nunziata –York Humber; and

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

- Councillor Michael Prue –East York.
- A. Councillor Miller moved that the Administration Committee recommend to Council the adoption of the report (March 6, 2000) from Councillor Frances Nunziata, Chair, Bingo Task Force; and the following additional recommendations which address concerns identified by the Bingo Task Force which were not addressed in the aforementioned report:
- “(11) that a consideration of any policies to regulate prize boards and the pricing of paper within the City of Toronto be deferred until after January, 2001;
- (12) that the total operating budget of a charitable organization, to be raised through bingo revenue, based on financial need, taking into consideration the previous year’s operating budget be set at a maximum of 50 percent or \$100,000.00 per bingo licence and that service clubs be exempt from the cap;
- (13) that Council extend its sincere thanks to the community members of the Task Force who worked so hard to bring Bingo issues to the attention of the citizens of Toronto and, in doing so, guided efforts at drafting a workable new policy for the Bingo industry and the charities which benefit from the game; and
- (14) that Council express its support for the Bingo and Charity organizations in their discussions with the Province aimed at addressing competition and profitability issues within the gaming industry.” **(Carried)**
- B. Councillor Mahood moved that the Administration Committee request the City Clerk to submit a report directly to Council for its meeting scheduled to be held on April 11, 2000, respecting the impact of Council’s adoption of the foregoing Committee Recommendation No. (12), such report to include a list of those charities that are on the waiting list. **(Carried)**

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

- C. Councillor Altobello moved, on behalf of Councillor King, that the foregoing Motion B. by Councillor Mahood be amended to provide that the City Clerk also include in her report, information on the definition of the term “service clubs” referred to in Recommendation No. (12). **(Carried)**
- D. Councillor Holyday moved that the foregoing motion A. by Councillor Miller be amended by referring Recommendation No. (12) to the Bingo Task Force for consideration and the hearing of deputations. **(Lost)**

(City Clerk; c: Mr. Edmund A. Conway, Volunteer Chair, Gaming Committee, Variety, the Children’s Charity, Mr. Kenneth Gunn, Executive Director, BHOAO (Bingo Hall Operators Association of Ontario). – March 21, 2000)

(Clause No. 2 – Report No. 8)

**5-8. Provincial Legislation – Use of Private Bills Process
by Municipalities for “Special Legislation”.**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (March 3, 2000) from the City Solicitor, responding to a request from the Administration Committee respecting the use of the private bills process by municipalities for “special” legislation that will give the municipality new powers or privileges, including an exemption from an existing Act; outlining the difference between a Private Bill and a Private Member’s Bill; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Miller, on behalf of Councillor Davis, the Administration Committee:

- (1) received the aforementioned report; and
- (2) requested the City Solicitor to submit a report to the Administration Committee listing the number of private bills requested by the City and the status of such bills.

(City Solicitor – March 21, 2000)

(Clause No. 17e – Report No. 8)

5-9. Declaration as Surplus Nos 1160, 1180, and 1200 Lakeshore Boulevard East (Ward 26 – East Toronto).

The Administration Committee had before it a communication (February 9, 2000) from the City Clerk, advising that City Council, at its meeting held on February 1, 2 and 3, 2000, had before it Clause No. 2 contained in Report No. 2 of The Administration Committee, headed “Declaration as Surplus Nos. 1160, 1180 and 1200 Lakeshore Boulevard East (Ward 26 – East Toronto)”, such Clause embodying a report (November 10, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Corporate Services recommending that:

- (1) the properties known municipally as Nos. 1160, 1180 and 1200 Lake Shore Boulevard East be declared surplus to the City’s requirements and offered for sale to the abutting owner, Canada Post, and all steps necessary to comply with By-law 551-1998 be taken; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto; and

directed that the aforementioned Clause be struck out and referred back to the Administration Committee for further consideration; and requested the Acting Commissioner of Corporate Services to submit a report to the Committee, for consideration therewith, on whether these properties have been evaluated to determine if they are suitable for affordable housing.

The Administration Committee also had before it a report (March 7, 2000) from Commissioner of Corporate Services, reporting, as requested by City Council, on February 1, 2 and 3, 2000, as to whether the properties located at Nos. 1160, 1180 and 1200 Lake Shore Boulevard East, have been evaluated to determine their suitability for affordable housing; advising that since no municipal interest has been expressed in retaining the subject parcels, City Council should declare the subject parcels surplus to the City’s requirements and offer them for sale to the abutting property owner, Canada Post; and recommending that this report be received for information.

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Administration Committee again recommended to Council the adoption of the report (November 10, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Corporate Services embodied in the aforementioned communication (February 9, 2000) from the City Clerk; and received the report (March 7, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services.

(Clause No. 6 – Report No. 8)

5-10. Portion of Stormwater Channel Adjacent to 11 Grand Marshall Drive – Permission to Include Water Course Lands in Application for Official Plan and Zoning By-Law Amendments and Declare Surplus (Ward 18 – Scarborough Malvern).

The Administration Committee had before it a report (March 6, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:

- (1) the owner of 11 Grand Marshall Drive be granted permission to include that portion of the abutting City-owned stormwater channel shown in heavy outline on the attached sketch plan in his applications to amend both the Official Plan and Zoning By-law, and for site plan approval;
- (2) the City-owned land (stormwater channel) adjoining 11 Grand Marshall Drive, described as Part Block 19, on Registered Plan 66M-2249, shown in heavy outline on the attached sketch, be declared surplus and offered for sale to the adjoining owner, and all steps necessary to comply with By-law 551-1998 be taken; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Moeser, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 4 – Report No. 8)

**5-11. One Foot Reserve at the End of Rajah Street (Closed)
(Ward 9 – North York Centre South).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (March 6, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services recommending that:

- (1) the one foot reserve at the northern extremity of Rajah Street (Closed) Parts 5, 6, 7 and 8, Plan 64R-16364 be declared surplus to municipal requirements and notice of a proposed sale be given to the public in accordance with the requirements of By-law No. 551-1998;
- (2) if there is no objection to the proposed sale, the lands be offered for the nominal sum of \$1.00 to the adjoining property owner, Baycrest Centre for Geriatric Care, subject to reserving easement rights over Part 6, 64R-16364 for the purpose of operating and maintaining an existing storm sewer; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Councillor Howard Moscoe, North York Spadina, appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Miller, on behalf of Councillor Moscoe, the Administration Committee referred the aforementioned report to the North York Community Council for consideration at its meeting scheduled to be held on March 23, 2000.

(North York Community Council – March 21, 2000)

(Clause No. 17f – Report No. 8)

5-12. Status of the Walks and Gardens Trust Account.

The Administration Committee had before it a communication (February 9, 2000) from the City Clerk, advising that City Council at its meeting held on February 1, 2 and 3, 2000 amended Clause No. 9 contained in Report No. 2 of The Administration Committee, headed “Union Station Acquisition: Conditions for Closing and Business Case Analysis” by adding thereto the following:

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

“It is further recommended that the City Solicitor be requested to submit a report to the Administration Committee for its meeting scheduled to be held on March 21, 2000, indicating the status of the Walks and Gardens Trust, the amount of reserve funding that should be in the Walks and Gardens Trust account and possible uses for the funds, such report to address the feasibility of using the funds to finance the First Parliament Historical Site.”

The Administration Committee also had before it a report (March 15, 2000) from the City Solicitor recommending that:

- (1) the repeal of the legislative provisions regarding the Walks and Gardens Trust be addressed as part of the City’s response to the Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Task Force;
- (2) City staff continue to monitor the availability of or any redevelopment proposals for the First Parliament Historic Site and consult with representatives of the Citizens for the Old Town in order to identify other opportunities to achieve a public presence at this location; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Administration Committee also had before it a communication (March 20, 2000) from Mr. William H. Roberts, Barrister and Solicitor, advising that he has been retained by the Citizens for the Old Town to request at least a four week deferral of the matter of the status of the Walks and Gardens Trust, to allow them to properly review and respond to the report from the City Solicitor.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Administration Committee deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting scheduled to be held on April 25, 2000.

(City Solicitor; c.: Mr. William H. Roberts, Barrister and Solicitor – March 21, 2000)

(Clause No. 17g – Report 8)

5-13 Terms of Reference for the Office Consolidation Working Groups.

The Administration Committee had before it a communication (February 29, 2000) from the City Clerk, advising that at its meeting held on February 11, 2000, the Office Consolidation Sub-Committee had before it a report (January 14, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer, respecting the Terms of Reference for the Office Consolidation Working Groups; and recommended that:

- (1) the East District Work Group and the North/West District Work Group be combined as one Work Group; and
- (2) the aforementioned report be adopted as amended.

On motion by Councillor Moeser, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the Recommendations of the Office Consolidation Sub-Committee embodied in the aforementioned communication; and further that the Chair of the aforementioned Sub-Committee be ex-officio member on both Working Groups.

(Clause No. 16 – Report No. 8)

5-14. Town Crier for the City of Toronto

The Administration Committee had before it a communication (February 29, 2000) from the City Clerk, forwarding for consideration, in accordance with Subsection 28(1) of the Council Procedural By-law, the following Notice of Motion:

Moved by: Councillor Brad Duguid

WHEREAS the City of Toronto has not yet selected an Official Town Crier, an ancient and notable civic office; and

WHEREAS the City of Toronto risks being 'in abstentia' at several upcoming international competitions involving Town Criers; and

WHEREAS Mr. Frank Knight formerly represented the former City of Scarborough as Town Crier at ceremonial and honourific days, such as days of Proclamation, Flag Day, Heritage Day, and Canada Day, and said Town Crier carried out those duties with enthusiasm and skill;

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, on an interim basis, that being from the date of acceptance b Toronto Council of this Notice of Motion, and until such time as the New Toronto Council, to be sworn-in in January of 2001, selects by competition or appointment an Official Town Crier, Mr. Frank Knight be appointed Official Town Crier for the City of Toronto;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Mr. Knight be authorized to represent Toronto as Official Town Crier at any and all competitions held in this vein, from time of appointment until December 31, 2000, and that this authorization not encumber the City in any way financially or otherwise.

The Administration Committee referred the aforementioned communication to the City Clerk for report thereon to the Administration Committee on the appropriate process to follow in appointing an Official Town Crier for the City of Toronto.

(City Clerk – March 21, 2000)

(Clause No. 17h – Report No. 8)

5-15. Review of Fair Wage and Labour Trades Office.

The Administration Committee had before it a report (February 15, 2000) from the Executive Director of Human Resources, reviewing the responsibilities and functions of the Fair Wage and Labour Trades Office; summarizing the new resource requirements and structure within the financial constraints of the corporation; and recommending that:

- (1) the financial implications, of the proposed hiring of one staff person, as outlined in this report be referred to the Budget Advisory Committee for consideration during the 2000 Operating Budget process; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to this report.

Councillor Minnan-Wong moved that the Fair Wage and Labour Trades Office report directly to the Executive Director of Human Resources on all administrative and policy issues. **(Motion Ruled Out of Order)**

The Administration Committee concurred with the recommendations embodied in the aforementioned report.

(Budget Advisory Committee – March 21, 2000)

(Clause No. 17I – Report No. 8)

5-16. First Graduate Recipient – City of Toronto Women’s Studies Scholarship at the University of Toronto.

The Administration Committee had before it a report (March 2, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer, advising of the result of the scholarship application process for the City of Toronto Women’s Studies Graduate Scholarship; and recommending that:

- (1) City Council extend its congratulations to Margaret Reeves on being selected as the first graduate recipient of the City of Toronto Women’s Studies Scholarship at the University of Toronto; and
- (2) The appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Altobello, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 13 – Report No. 8)

5-17. First Recipient – City of Toronto Graduate Scholarship for Women in Mathematics - University of Toronto.

The Administration Committee had before it a report (March 2, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer, advising of the result of the scholarship application process for the City of Toronto Graduate Scholarship for Women in Mathematics – University of Toronto; and recommending that:

- (1) City Council extend its congratulations to Ann Thi Hoang Nguyen on being selected as the first recipient of the City of Toronto Graduate Scholarship for Women in Mathematics at the University of Toronto; and

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 14 – Report No. 8)

**5-18. Spadina and Thelma (Municipal Carpark No. 164)
Joint Venture (Midtown).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (March 7, 2000) from the President, Toronto Parking Authority, requesting authority to enter into a Purchase and Sale Agreement with First Spadina Place Inc., to provide an additional 20 public parking spaces at Carpark No. 164 through the redevelopment of the site as a ten unit residential complex with approximately 62 or 63 public underground parking spaces; and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve a Purchase and Sale Agreement with First Spadina Place Inc., for the sale of the above grade lands located at 453 Spadina Road (Municipal Carpark No. 164) and construction of the underground parking garage. The consideration is valued at approximately \$1,995,000. The consideration will be paid as follows:
 - (i) \$135,000 cash to be paid to the Toronto Parking Authority; and
 - (ii) the construction of a 62 space underground parking garage, built to the Parking Authority's specifications which has an estimated value of not less than \$1,860,000; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized to execute all necessary documents including the Purchase and Sale Agreement, a Reciprocal Cost Sharing and Easement Agreement granting various easements pertaining to the new construction, a Construction Procedures Agreement, and all necessary transfers/undertakings necessary to facilitate integration of the project elements.

Councillor Rob Davis – York Eglinton, appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

Councillor David Miller declared his interest in the foregoing matter in that members of his family reside in close proximity to the property in question.

Councillor Altobello, on behalf of Councillor Davis, moved that the Administration Committee recommend to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report (March 7, 2000) from the President, Toronto Parking Authority; and further that the Parking Authority be requested to consult with City Planning staff in order to incorporate Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) principles in the design of the replacement below surface parking lot and report on any additional costs thereto.

(Clause No. 7 – Report No. 8)

**5-19. Future Use of the Dempsey Store
(Ward 10 – North York Centre).**

The Administration Committee had before it a joint report (March 9, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and City Clerk, recommending that:

- (1) the plan for the future use of the Dempsey Store, as set out in the body of this report, be approved;
- (2) the Commissioner of Corporate Services or the Executive Director, Facilities and Real Estate, be authorized, subject to Corporate policy, to enter into occupancy agreements with the Archives Association of Ontario and the North York Historical Society for use of the Dempsey Store in the manner set out in this report; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Moeser, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned joint report from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the City Clerk, subject to amending Recommendation No. (2) by deleting the words “enter into” and inserting in lieu thereof the words “to approve”, so that such Recommendation shall now read as follows:

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

- “(2) the Commissioner of Corporate Services or the Executive Director, Facilities and Real Estate, be authorized, subject to Corporate policy, to approve occupancy agreements with the Archives Association of Ontario and the North York Historical Society for use of the Dempsey Store in the manner set out in this report;”.

(Clause No. 8 – Report No. 8)

5-20 Receipt of Procurement Partner Award.

The Administration Committee had before it a report (December 9, 1999) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer addressed to the Toronto Inter-departmental Environmental Team (TIE), advising that the efforts of the Finance Department, Purchasing and Materials Management Division (PMMD) in the area of Environmentally Responsible Procurement has resulted in PMMD, along with the Province of Ontario, being the first recipients of the Environmental Choice Program Procurement Partner Award; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Altobello, the Administration Committee received the aforementioned report noting the efforts of the Finance Department, Purchasing and Materials Management Division, in obtaining the Environmental Choice Program Procurement Partner Award.

(Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer – March 21, 2000)

(Clause No. 17j – Report No. 8)

5-21. 1999/2000 Insurance Program Renewal.

The Administration Committee had before it a report (March 14, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that:

- (1) the City continue the casualty and property insurance policies for a term of 12 months at May 1 and June 1 expiry dates, respectively, with the insurers, limits and deductibles identified in Table 1 at a combined premium of \$4,021,635 plus applicable provincial taxes; and

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

- (2) a further report(s) be submitted to the Administration Committee on, (a) the City's other insurance policies which are not subject to the May 1 and June 1 expiry dates; (b) recommended standardization of City practices on liability insurance for non-profit groups, organizations and non-City users of City facilities; and (c) insurance claims information including amounts paid by the City and by insurers.

On motion by Councillor Moeser, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 11 – Report No. 8)

5-22. Purchasing Policies and By-Law.

The Administration Committee had before it a communication (March 9, 2000) from the City Clerk, advising that Council, on February 29, March 1 and 2, 2000, in adopting Clause No. 4 contained in Report No. 3 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed "Purchasing Policies and By-law":

- (1) referred the following Recommendation No. (1) to the Administration Committee:

“(1) new Purchasing Policies for the Ban of Purchase of Products Manufactured in Factories where Children are used as Slave Labour, Canadian Content and Live Animal Testing, outlined in Appendix “A” of this report be adopted;”;
- (2) enacted an Interim Purchasing By-law with the understanding that the Administration Committee's deliberations on Recommendation No. (1), above, may recommend amendments to the Interim Purchasing By-law; and
- (3) referred the following proposed amendments to the Purchasing By-law embodied in the communication dated March 1, 2000, from Councillor Adams, to the Administration Committee for further consideration:

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

Moved by Councillor Adams:

‘That:

- (1) Section 3, “Ethics and Purchasing”, be amended by adding the following paragraph:

“Once a Call, Request, or Solicitation has been issued, lobbyists shall be required to disclose communications relating to all meetings, written correspondence and telephone discussions that they have had with any Member of Council, City official, appointed member of any City board, agency, commission, task force, or related organization to promote or oppose any bid, tender or proposal. This disclosure must be made to the City Clerk, in a form satisfactory to the City Clerk, within five business days of the communication and must be made prior to the scheduled opening of the bid, tender or proposal. Disclosure documents must be made available to the public and posted in a timely fashion on the City’s website.”;

- (2) the following new definition be added to Section 1, “Definitions”:

“‘Lobbyist’ includes an individual who is paid to communicate with Members of Council, City officials and/or appointed members of City agencies, boards, commissions, task forces and other related organizations, to promote or oppose any Bidder or Proponent.””

The Administration Committee also had before it a communication (March 21, 2000) from Councillor John Adams, Midtown, advising that in his opinion the proposed procurement by-law requires further refinement and articulation; and outlining the areas where he feels clarification is required.

The following persons appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Bruce Davis, Urban Intelligence Inc. and filed a submission in regard thereto;
and
- Councillor Rob Davis –York Eglinton.

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

- A. Councillor Miller moved that the Administration Committee:
- (1) refer the aforementioned communication (March 9, 2000) from the City Clerk to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, the Chief Administrative Officer and the City Solicitor for report thereon to the Administration Committee for its meeting scheduled to be held on April 25, 2000; and
 - (2) amend the aforementioned communication (March 21, 2000) from Councillor John Adams by:
 - (1) adding the following words to Item No. (3), “and a review of relevant international agreements”, so that Item No. (3) now reads as follows:

“(3) concise evaluation of other cities’ procurement policies, with specific attention to New York City, the City of Chicago and the American Bar Association Massachusetts Institute of Technology, et al “Model Procurement Code Revision Project”, 1999, and a review of relevant international agreements;”
 - (2) adding the following additional items Nos. (5) and (6):

“(5) staff consult with relevant community organizations and interested Councillors”;

“(6) staff report, in consultation with the staff of Enterprise Toronto, on matters to simplify the purchasing process, including plain language forms particularly for smaller projects”; and

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

refer the aforementioned communication, as amended, to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, the Chief Administrative Officer and the City Solicitor for report thereon to the aforementioned meeting of the Administration Committee. **(Carried)**

- B. Councillor Holyday moved that the submission from Mr. Bruce Davis, Urban Intelligence Inc., be referred to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, the Chief Administrative Officer and the City Solicitor, for report thereon to the meeting of the Administration Committee scheduled to be held on April 25, 2000. **(Carried)**
- (C) Councillor Moeser moved that the appropriate staff be requested to report to the meeting of the Administration Committee scheduled to be held on April 25, 2000, on the provincial process regarding lobbying as well as how they define a lobbyist, such report to include how successful the Province has been in dealing with lobbyists. **(Carried)**
- D. Councillor Holyday further moved that the Administration Committee recommend to Council that the Section pertaining to Departmental Direct Purchase Limit contained in Appendix B embodied in the report (February 3, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer read as follows:

“Departmental Direct Purchase Limit” means the maximum dollar amount that any Department Head may expend to procure goods and services directly rather than through the offices of the Purchasing Agent, such amount not to exceed \$5,000.00 or such increased amount as approved by the Chief Administrative Officer in accordance with subsection 5.(2);”. **(Deferred)**

Councillor Berrardinetti appointed Councillor Bussin Acting Chair and vacated the Chair.

- E. Councillor Berardinetti moved that the Administration Committee defer consideration of the foregoing Motion D. by Councillor Holyday until the meeting of the Administration Committee scheduled to be held on April 25, 2000. **(Carried)**:

Councillor Berardinetti resumed the Chair.

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

The decision of the Administration Committee therefore is as follows:

The Administration Committee:

(1) referred the aforementioned communication (March 9, 2000) from the City Clerk to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, the Chief Administrative Officer and the City Solicitor for report thereon to the Administration Committee for its meeting scheduled to be held on April 25, 2000; and

(2) amended the following communication (March 21, 2000) from Councillor John Adams by:

(1) adding the following words to Item No. (3), “and a review of relevant international agreements”, so that Item No. (3) now reads as follows:

“(3) concise evaluation of other cities’ procurement policies, with specific attention to New York City, the City of Chicago and the American Bar Association Massachusetts Institute of Technology, et al “Model Procurement Code Revision Project”, 1999, and a review of relevant international agreements;”

(2) adding the following additional items Nos. (5) and (6):

“(5) staff consult with relevant community organizations and interested Councillors”

(6) staff report, in consultation with the staff of Enterprise Toronto, on matters to simplify the purchasing process, including plain language forms particularly for smaller projects”; and

referred the aforementioned communication, as amended, to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, the Chief Administrative Officer and the City Solicitor for report thereon to the aforementioned meeting of the Administration Committee;

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

- (3) referred the communication submitted by Mr. Bruce Davis, Urban Intelligence Inc., to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, the Chief Administrative Officer and the City Solicitor, for report thereon to the aforementioned meeting of the Administration Committee;
- (4) requested the appropriate staff to report to the aforementioned meeting of the Administration Committee on the provincial process regarding lobbying as well as how they define a lobbyist, such report to include how successful the Province has been in dealing with lobbyists; and
- (5) deferred consideration of the following motion until the aforementioned meeting of the Administration Committee:

Moved by Councillor Holyday:

“That the Committee recommend to Council that the Section pertaining to Departmental Direct Purchase Limit contained in Appendix B embodied in the report (February 3, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer read as follows:

“Departmental Direct Purchase Limit” means the maximum dollar amount that any Department Head may expend to procure goods and services directly rather than through the offices of the Purchasing Agent, such amount not to exceed \$5,000.00 or such increased amount as approved by the Chief Administrative Officer in accordance with subsection 5.(2);”.

(Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; Chief Administrative Officer; City Solicitor – March 21, 2000)

(Clause No. 17k – Report No. 8)

On motion by Councillor Altobello, in accordance with the Municipal Act, the Administration Committee met privately to give consideration to those items of a confidential nature as indicated.

5-23. Purchase of Property - Dundas Street West.

The Administration Committee had before it a confidential report (March 7, 2000) from the President, Toronto Parking Authority, respecting the purchase of property on Dundas Street West, such confidential report to be considered in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to the proposed acquisition of property for municipal purposes.

The Administration Committee recommended to Council:

- (1) the adoption of the confidential report (March 7, 2000) from the President, Toronto Parking Authority, respecting the Purchase of Property – Dundas Street West, which was forwarded to Members of Council under confidential cover; and
- (2) that in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining to this Clause be held in camera, having regard that the subject matter relates to the proposed acquisition of property for municipal purposes.

(Clause No. 9 – Report No. 8)

5-24. Initiation of the Provincial Offences Court Transfer.

The Administration Committee had before it a confidential report (February 17, 2000) from the Provincial Offences Act Transfer Task Force, respecting the initiation of the Provincial Offences Court Transfer, such confidential report to be considered in-camera having regard that portions thereof deals with labour relations issues.

The Administration Committee also had before it a report (March 9, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer, summarizing the key issues of the Provincial Offences Act Transfer and the potential impact on the City; advising that if the City accepts responsibility for the prosecution and administration responsibilities for provincial offences courts, it will be entitled to retain all fine revenue net of expenses, dedicated fines, victim fine surcharges and the Province's costs for the judiciary and prosecution of more serious offences; that the Ministry of the Attorney General estimates this net revenue to be approximately \$13.9 million per year, however, a review of the implications of the transfer suggests that the actual amount will be less because the Ministry has underestimated the staffing and facility costs to be borne by the municipality; and recommending that this report be received for information.

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Administration Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of the confidential report (February 14, 2000) from the Provincial Offences Act Transfer Task Force, entitled "Initiation of the Provincial Offences Court Transfer", which was forwarded to Members of Council under confidential cover; and further that, in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining to the aforementioned report be held in camera, having regard that portions of the aforementioned report deals with labour relations issues; and
- (2) received the report (March 9, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer, entitled "Background on Provincial Offences Act (POA) Transfer".

(Clause No. 3 – Report No. 8)

5-25. Renewal of Senior Staff Contracts.

The Administration Committee had before it a confidential report (March 9, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer, respecting renewal of senior staff contracts, such confidential report to be considered in-camera having regard that it relates to matters about identifiable individuals.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Administration Committee recommended to Council:

- (1) the adoption of the confidential report (March 9, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer, entitled "Renewal of Senior Staff Contracts", which was forwarded to Members of Council under confidential cover;
- (2) that authority be granted to introduce any bills necessary to give effect thereto; and

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

- (3) that, in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining to the aforementioned report be held in camera, having regard that it relates to matters about identifiable individuals.

(Clause No. 12 – Report No. 8)

5-26. Conditions of Employment – Council Staff Members.

The Administration Committee had before it a confidential communication (March 20, 2000) from the City Clerk, forwarding the Recommendations of the Personnel Sub-Committee respecting the Conditions of Employment for Council Staff Members, such confidential communication to be considered in-camera having regard that it deals with labour relations or employee negotiations.

The Executive Director of Human Resources gave a presentation to the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

Councillor Mahood declared his interest in the foregoing matter in that a member of his family is an employee of the City of Toronto.

On motion by Councillor Altobello, the Administration Committee:

- (1) deferred consideration of the aforementioned confidential communication until its meeting scheduled to be held on April 25, 2000, or at such other time as the Chair of the Committee deems appropriate; and that staff provide to the Administration Committee the chronology of events respecting this matter; and
- (2) issued confidential instructions to staff respecting the aforementioned matter, such instructions to remain confidential in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that the instructions deals with labour relations or employee negotiations.

(Executive Director of Human Resources – March 21, 2000)

(Clause No. 171 – Report No. 8)

5-27. Briefing on Labour Relations.

The Administration Committee received a confidential briefing from the Executive Director of Human Resources and the Director of Employee and Labour Relations, respecting the contract negotiations with CUPE Local 79, and in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act directed that such briefing remain confidential having regard that the subject matter relates to labour relations issues.

(Commissioner of Corporate Services – March 21, 2000)

(Clause No. 17m – Report No. 8)

**5-28. Acknowledgement of Action Taken
by City Hall Security Guards.**

The Administration Committee deferred consideration of the issue of the acknowledgement of Security Guards at City Hall until its meeting scheduled to be held on April 25, 2000.

(Clause No. 17n – Report No. 8)

**5-29. Declaration as Surplus – A Portion of 3 Dohme Avenue,
Identified as Parts 2 and 3 (Ward 1 – East York).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (March 13, 2000) from Commissioner of Corporate Services, requesting authority to declare a portion of 3 Dohme Avenue, identified as Parts 2 and 3 on the attached sketch, surplus to municipal requirements; and recommending that:

- (1) a portion of 3 Dohme Avenue, identified as Parts 2 and 3 on the attached sketch, be declared surplus to the City's requirements, Part 3 be transferred to Toronto Hydro if required for its operational needs, or together with Part 2 be offered for sale on the open market, subject to a sewer easement, and all steps necessary to comply with By-law 551-98 be taken; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 5 – Report No. 8)

**5-30. Application for Approval to Expropriate Land,
6282 Kingston Road, Toronto (The Morrish Store)
(Ward 16 Scarborough Highland Creek).**

The Administration Committee had before it a confidential report (March 14, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, respecting the expropriation of property in the Scarborough area, such confidential report to be considered in-camera having regard that it relates to the possible acquisition of property.

The Administration Committee recommended to Council:

- (1) the adoption of the confidential report (March 14, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, entitled “Application for Approval to Expropriate Land, 6282 Kingston Road, Toronto (The Morrish Store) – Ward 16 Scarborough Highland Creek”, which was forwarded to Members of Council under confidential cover; and
- (2) that, in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining to this Clause be held in camera having regard that it relates to the possible acquisition of property.

(Clause No. 10 – Report No. 8)

**5-31. Update on Largest Tax Receivables,
Including 1000 Finch Avenue West.**

The Administration Committee had before it a joint confidential report (March 17, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the City Solicitor providing an update on largest tax receivables, including 1000 Finch Avenue West, such confidential report to remain confidential having regard that portions thereof relates to matters about identifiable individuals.

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

Councillor Howard Moscoe, North York Spadina, appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

Councillor Bussin, on behalf of Councillor Moscoe, moved that the Administration Committee:

- (1) defer consideration of the aforementioned confidential joint report until its meeting scheduled to be held on April 25, 2000;
- (2) request staff to provide a public version of the aforementioned report to all Members of Council including appendices A, B, E, F, G and a public version of appendix D;
- (3) request staff to provide all Members of Council, on a confidential basis, with a list of addresses appearing in the appendices; and
- (4) request the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer to submit a further report to the aforementioned meeting of the Committee respecting the use of bailiffs.

Subsequent to the meeting a public version of the aforementioned confidential report was distributed to all Members of Council.

(Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer – March 21, 2000)

(Clause No. 17o – Report No. 8)

5-32. Proposed Acquisition of the Anndale Property.

The Administration Committee had before it a report (March 14, 2000) from the Chair, Rouge Park Alliance, advising that Anndale Properties Ltd., is proposing to develop their lands of 8.3 acres at the corner of Sewells Road and Old Finch Avenue in the City of Toronto; that this development is located on the westerly boundary of the Toronto Zoo south of Old Finch Avenue and is the subject to an upcoming OMB hearing; that the owners have indicated an interest in selling the property for an estimated \$2.2 M; that the Rouge Park Alliance has identified the property in its pool of priority sites for acquisition; and requesting the Administration Committee to recommend to Toronto City Council that the City sell the two road allowances on Morningview as well as the 1.97 acres on the north-east corner of Sheppard Avenue and Collins Road.

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

The Administration Committee referred the aforementioned communication to the Commissioner of Corporate Services for report thereon to the Administration Committee.

(Commissioner of Corporate Services; c: Mr. R. M. Christie, Chair,
Rouge Park Alliance – March 21, 2000)

(Clause No. 17p – Report No. 8)

5-33. 110 Wildwood Crescent, City of Toronto.

The Administration Committee had before it a communication (March 20, 2000) from Councillor Sandra Bussin, East Toronto advising that Forward Baptist Church of 1891 Gerrard Street East in her ward has asked that she present to the Committee its proposal to purchase City owned surplus property at 110 Wildwood Crescent for a parking lot to serve the nearby church; and advising that she has met with the Church Community to discuss this matter and asked that a poll of the neighbourhood be done by the Church to determine its acceptability in the community and as a result she is satisfied that this is a good proposal that merits consideration by the Committee and request that staff prepare a report for the next meeting of the Administration Committee on April 25, 2000.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Administration Committee referred the aforementioned communication to the Commissioner of Corporate Services for report thereon to the Administration Committee.

(Commissioner of Corporate Services – March 21, 2000)

(Clause No. 17q – Report No. 8)

The Committee adjourned its meeting at 6:29 p.m.

Chair