

THE CITY OF TORONTO

City Clerk=s Division

Minutes of The East York Community Council

Meeting No. 2

Tuesday, February 15, 2000

The East York Community Council met on Tuesday, February 15, 2000 in the True Davidson Council Chamber, East York Civic Centre, East York, commencing at 9:35 a.m.

Members Present:

Councillor Jane Pitfield, Chair
Councillor Case Ootes
Councillor Michael Prue

Confirmation of Minutes.

On motion by Councillor Prue, the Minutes of the meeting of the East York Community Council held on January 18, 2000, were confirmed.

2.1 Request for an Exemption from By-law No. 122-93 of the former Borough of East York to Approve a Front Yard Parking Application at 231 Monarch Park Avenue.

The East York Community Council had before it a report (January 31, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, reporting on the applicant's appeal of staff's refusal of an application for front yard parking at 231 Monarch Park Avenue, which does not meet the requirements of By-law No. 122-93 of the former Borough of East York. As this is an appeal and a request for an exemption from the By-law, it is scheduled as a deputation item; and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 231 Monarch Park Avenue, subject to the applicant complying with the criteria set out in By-law No. 122-93 of the former Borough of East York; or
- (2) City Council deny the application for front yard parking which involves the paving in hard surface the entire front yard at 231 Monarch Park Avenue; or
- (3) City Council approve the application for front yard parking which involves the paving in hard surface the entire front yard at 231 Monarch Park Avenue,

East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, February 15, 2000

notwithstanding that it does not meet the provisions of By-law No. 122-93, subject to:

- (a) the applicant installing physical barriers (such as planters of sufficient weight that cannot be easily removed) in order to delineate the parking pad and the private walkway;
- (b) parking be approved for one vehicle only; and
- (c) the applicant entering into an agreement for the construction and maintenance of the front yard parking pad as provided in By-law No. 122-93 of the former Borough of East York.

The East York Community Council also had before it a communication (February 15, 2000) from Mr. Toni Schembri, East York, in support of Recommendation No. (3) embodied in the foregoing report from the Manager, Right of Way Management Services, District 1.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the East York Community Council recommended that City Council approve the application for front yard parking which involves paving in hard surface the entire front yard at 231 Monarch Park Avenue, notwithstanding that it does not meet the provisions of By-law No. 122-93, subject to:

- (a) the applicant installing physical barriers (such as planters of sufficient weight that cannot be easily removed) in order to delineate the parking pad and the private walkway;
- (b) parking be approved for one vehicle only; and
- (c) the applicant entering into an agreement for the construction and maintenance of the front yard parking pad as provided in By-law No. 122-93 of the former Borough of East York.

(Clause No. 1, Report No. 2)

2.2 Traffic Poll Results: Implementation of Alternate Side Overnight Permit Parking on Sutherland Drive Between Lea Avenue and Markham Avenue.

The East York Community Council had before it a report (February 1, 2000) from the City Clerk, reporting on results of a traffic poll conducted on Sutherland Drive between Lea Avenue and Markham Avenue regarding the implementation of alternate side overnight permit parking; advising that the proposed changes can be accommodated from within the existing Operating Budget; and recommending that the East York Community Council consider the poll results and determine whether or not to implement alternate side overnight permit parking on Sutherland Drive between Lea Avenue and Markham Avenue.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the East York Community Council received the foregoing report.

(City Clerk Attention: Ms. J. McCusker; c. Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Manager, Transportation Services, District1; Interested Persons – February 16, 2000)

(Clause No. 11(a), Report No. 2)

2.3 Request for Direction on Minor Variance Appeals Regarding 3 King Edward Avenue; 7 Parkview Hills Crescent; 35 Epsom Avenue.

The East York Community Council had before it a report (January 5, 2000) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, seeking direction from Council regarding appeals of the Committee of Adjustment decisions; advising that there are no financial implications for the City of Toronto in this report; and recommending that the report be received for information.

The East York Community Council:

- (1) on motion by Councillor Prue, recommended to City Council that the foregoing report be received; and
- (2) on motion by Councillor Ootes, requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to submit a report to the East York

Community Council with respect to Variance Application A99EY139, 35 Epsom Avenue, such report to include comment as to why such building application was approved by Building officials.

(Commissioner of Urban Development Services; c. Director of Community Planning, East District; Field Office Manager, Building, East York Office – February 21, 2000)

(Clause No. 2, Report No. 2)

2.4 New Applications Received.

The East York Community Council had before it a report (January 18, 2000) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, advising the East York Community Council of new applications that have been received as of January 18, 2000; noting that there are no financial implications; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Prue, the East York Community Council received the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 11(b), Report No. 2)

2.5 Site Plan Control Approvals, East York.

The East York Community Council had before it a report (January 26, 2000) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, advising the East York Community Council of Site Plan approvals granted by the Director of Community Planning, East District as of January 26, 2000; noting that there are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report; and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the East York Community Council received the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 11(c), Report No. 2)

**2.6 Part Lot Control By-law Amendment Application,
Proposed 11 Unit Townhouse Development,
131466 Ontario Ltd., 401 Dawes Road.**

The East York Community Council had before it a report (January 10, 2000) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending approval of a Part Lot Control Exemption Application to permit the development of 11 townhouses on a property currently zoned Residential R2A Site Specific at 401 Dawes Road; advising that there are no financial implications; and recommending that:

- (1) Council enact Part Lot Control Exemption By-law with respect to Lots 24, 25, 26 and Part of Lot 27 Registered Plan M-395 City of Toronto known municipally as 401 Dawes Road, (being Part 1 to 21, inclusive, on Draft Reference Plan – 66R, a copy of which is attached as Figure 1);
- (2) the Part Lot Control Exemption By-law shall expire two years from the date of the passing of the By-law;
- (3) prior to Council passing the Part Lot Control Exemption By-law, the owner shall register against title a restriction satisfactory to the City Solicitor, whereby no transfer or charge of the subject lands or any part thereof shall be made without the consent of the Director of Community Planning, East District;
- (4) prior to the Director of Community Planning, East District's consent, the applicant is to demonstrate to the City through appropriate legal instrument(s) that easements for access and servicing will be granted to the appropriate property-owners in the development and that a maintenance agreement has been or will be registered against the appropriate titles; and
- (5) Council authorize such unsubstantive, technical or stylistic changes to the Part Lot Control Exemption By-law as may be required to properly carry out the intent of this resolution.

On motion by Councillor Prue, the East York Community Council recommended to City Council adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 3, Report No. 2)

**2.7 Request for Variance from Sign By-law
Requirements for 995 Broadview Avenue.**

The East York Community Council had before it a report (January 27, 2000) from the Manager, East District Field Office, advising of an application to install a “V” shaped outdoor advertising structure on the roof of the commercial building at 995 Broadview Avenue; noting that there are no financial implications with respect to this report; and recommending that:

- (1) Council deny the variance from the sign by-law to permit the installation of an outdoor advertising structure on a property with an area of 687 square metres (7,400 square feet); or
- (2) if Council wishes to permit the installation of the proposed sign, to do so by way of a Site Specific Sign By-law Amendment, for 995 Broadview Avenue; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Mr. John Mastoras, East York, appeared before the East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the East York Community Council recommended that:

- (1) City Council approve the variance from the Sign By-law requirements to permit the installation of the proposed sign by way of a Site Specific Sign By-law Amendment, for 995 Broadview Avenue; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

(Clause No. 4, Report No. 2)

2.8 Request for Variance from Sign By-law Requirements for 25 Bermondsey Road.

The East York Community Council had before it a report (February 1, 2000) from the Manager, East District Field Office, reporting on a proposal to relocate an oversized pylon sign at 25 Bermondsey Road; advising that there are no financial implications with respect to this report; and recommending that Council grant the variance from the Sign By-law to permit the relocation of an oversized Pylon sign at 25 Bermondsey Road.

Mr. Danny Maniates, East York, appeared before the East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the East York Community Council recommended to City Council:

- (1) adoption of the foregoing report; and
- (2) that the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

(Clause No. 5 Report No. 2)

2.9 Application to Install Three Signs Incidental to Construction and Land Development on a Vacant Lot at Curity Avenue and Cranfield Road.

The East York Community Council had before it a report (February 1, 2000) from the Manager, East District Field Office, recommending that Council approve the report to permit the installation of three signs incidental to construction and land development, with an aggregate sign area of 20.8 square metres (224.0 sq. ft), on a vacant lot at Curity Avenue and Cranfield Road, subject to requirements under article 5.1 (b) of the Sign By-law.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the East York Community Council recommended to City Council:

- (1) adoption of the foregoing report; and
- (2) that the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

(Clause No. 6, Report No. 2)

**2.10 Appeal Decision – Committee of Adjustment
Respecting 4 Kenrae Road.**

The East York Community Council had before it a report (January 31, 2000) from the Medical Officer of Health, seeking authority for action taken by the Medical Officer of Health to appeal the Committee of Adjustment's decision dated January 11, 2000 respecting 4 Kenrae Road; advising that there are no financial implications and recommending that:

- (1) City Council confirm actions taken to appeal the decision of the East York Committee of Adjustment respecting 4 Kenrae Road insofar as it applies to the conditions in the decision restricting the number of dogs to ten; and
- (2) the City Solicitor be directed to attend at the Ontario Municipal Board hearing of this appeal to limit the number of dogs to three, in accordance with By-law No. 28-1999, at the property 4 Kenrae Road.

The East York Community Council also had before it a communication (February 15, 2000) from the Medical Officer of Health, proposing an alternative approach which may provide a better resolution of the issues for all concerned; and suggesting that:

- (1) the Medical Officer of Health and the Commissioner of Urban Development, in consultation with the City Solicitor, draft amendments to the City's business licensing By-law that would permit adequate regulation of businesses that provide animal-related services (including but not necessarily limited to animal daycares);
- (2) proposed By-law amendments be referred to the Board of Health's Animal Services Advisory Committee for public deputations;
- (3) Toronto Animal Services ensure that the animal daycare business at 4 Kenrae Road complies with the conditions proposed by the Committee of Adjustment until such time as Council adopts City-wide provisions for licensing these businesses;

East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, February 15, 2000

- (4) the City exercise its discretion to withdraw the appeal of the Committee of Adjustment's decision (File No. A00EY011) to the Ontario Municipal Board; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and instructed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Ms. Andrea Villiers, East York, appeared before the East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Prue, the East York Community Council recommended to City Council that:

- (1) the Medical Officer of Health and the Commissioner of Urban Development, in consultation with the City Solicitor, draft amendments to the City's business licensing By-law that would permit adequate regulation of businesses that provide animal-related services (including but not necessarily limited to animal daycares;
- (2) proposed By-law amendments be referred to the Board of Health's Animal Services Advisory Committee for public depositions;
- (3) Toronto Animal Services ensure that the animal daycare business at 4 Kenrae Road complies with the conditions proposed by the Committee of Adjustment until such time as Council adopts City-wide provisions for licensing these businesses;
- (4) the City exercise its discretion to withdraw the appeal of the Committee of Adjustment's decision (File No. A00EY011) to the Ontario Municipal Board; and

- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and instructed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

(Clause No. 7, Report No. 2)

**2.11 Appointments to the Todmorden Mills Museum
Community Museum Management Board and the
East York Community Local Architectural Conservation
Advisory Committee (LACAC) Panel.**

The East York Community Council had before it a report (February 1, 2000) from the City Clerk, implementing Council's process for appointing Members of Council to Community Local Architectural Conservation Advisory Committee (LACAC) Panels and Community Museum Management Boards; advising that there are no financial implications; and recommending that:

- (1) the East York Community Council give consideration to Members' preferences outlined in Schedule 1, and recommend to City Council the appointment of Members of Council to:
 - (i) East York Community LACAC Panel; and
 - (ii) Todmorden Mills Museum Community Museum Management Board for a term of office expiring November 30, 2000, and until their successors are appointed; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the East York Community Council recommended:

- (1) the appointment of:
 - (i) Councillor Prue to the East York Community LACAC Panel; and
 - (ii) Councillors Ootes and Pitfield to the Todmorden Mills Museum Community Museum Management Board

for a term of office expiring November 30, 2000, and until their successors are appointed; and

- (2) that the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

(Clause No. 8, Report No. 2)

2.12 Outstanding Items.

The East York Community Council had before it report (February 1, 2000) from the City Clerk, providing, in accordance with section 120(c) of the City of Toronto Procedural By-law, a list of outstanding items for the East York Community Council as of December, 1999.

On motion by Councillor Prue, the East York Community Council received the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 11(d), Report No. 2)

2.13 East York General Radio Emergency Service Report on Activities.

The East York Community Council had before it a communication (February 1, 2000) from Mr. Chris Salmond, Managing Director, EYGRES, providing a report on activities of the East York General Radio Emergency Service.

Mr. Christopher Salmond, Manager, EYGRES, East York, appeared before the East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Prue, the East York Community Council:

- (1) referred the matter to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services with a request that he appoint a staff person as a liaison for EYGRES to assist in arranging for the pick up of garbage and, further, submit a report with respect to the effectiveness of such arrangement; and
- (2) requested the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer to submit a report to the East York Community Council, as soon as possible, as to whether the City could obtain private insurance for the provision of one truck for volunteer organizations such as EYGRES.

(Chief Financial Office and Treasurer; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c. Insurance and Risk Management; Mr. Christopher Salmond, EYGRES, East York – February 21, 2000)

(Clause No. 11(e), Report No. 2)

2.14 Planning a Barrier Free City of Toronto – A Statement of Planning Principles.

The East York Community Council had before it a communication (January 25, 2000) from Councillor Elizabeth Brown, Chair, Etobicoke Community Council, forwarding a copy of “Planning A Barrier Free City of Toronto – A Statement of Planning Principles”, prepared by the Toronto Joint Citizen’s Committee for People with Disabilities, for inclusion in the first Official Plan of the new City of Toronto, which was endorsed by the Etobicoke Community Council at its meeting on January 18, 2000; and requesting the East York Community Council to endorse the aforementioned document and advise the Commissioner of Urban Development Services accordingly.

Ms. Mary Floro-White, Toronto, appeared before the East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Prue, the East York Community Council endorsed the document entitled "Planning A Barrier-Free City of Toronto – A Statement of Planning Principles", prepared by the Toronto Joint Citizen's Committee for People with Disabilities; and, further, directed that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be advised accordingly.

(Commissioner of Urban Development Services; c. Councillor Elizabeth Brown; Ms. Mary Floro-White, Toronto, Toronto Joint Citizen's Committee for People With Disabilities – February 16, 2000)

(Clause No. 11(f), Report No. 2)

2.15 Promotional Opportunities for Re-Use and Recycling of Construction and Demolition Waste.

The East York Community Council had before it a communication (February 7, 2000) from the City Clerk, Works Committee, advising that the Works Committee, on February 7, 2000, received the report (January 20, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, respecting the promotional opportunities for re-use and recycling of construction and demolition waste; and referred such report to the East York Community Council and the 3R's Subcommittee for information.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the East York Community Council received the foregoing communication and report.

(Administrator, Works Committee; c. Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; 3R's Subcommittee; Mr. Kevin McGroaty, Home Again Recycling Depot – February 21, 2000)

(Clause No. 11(g), Report No. 2)

Councillor Prue moved that the East York Community Council meet privately to discuss the matter of a request for direction with respect to an Ontario Municipal Board Hearing Scheduled for March 27, 2000, regarding Kosmor Lands, having regard that the subject matter relates

to the receiving of advise under solicitor-client privilege, in accordance with the Municipal Act, which was carried.

2.16 Request for Direction for Ontario Municipal Board Hearing Scheduled for March 27, 2000, on Kosmor Lands.

The East York Community Council had before it the following confidential reports:

- (i) (February 11, 2000) from Mr. C.M. Loopstra, Q.C., Loopstra, Nixon, LLP, Solicitors, East York Office; and
- (ii) (February 1, 2000) from the Director of Community Planning, East District;

requesting direction with respect to an upcoming Ontario Municipal Board hearing, scheduled for March 27, 2000, regarding Kosmor lands.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the East York Community Council recommended to City Council adoption of the foregoing confidential reports.

(Clause No. 9, Report No. 2)

Councillor Prue moved that the East York Community Council meet privately to discuss the matter of the “Agnes Macphail Award, 2000”, having regard that the subject matter relates to personal information about an identifiable individual, in accordance with the Municipal Act, which was carried.

2.17 Agnes Macphail Award, 2000.

The East York Community Council had before it a communication (February 14, 2000) from Ms. A. Vince, Member, Agnes Macphail Recognition Committee, advising that the Agnes Macphail Award Community Selection Committee met on February 13, 2000, to discuss the nominations received and to select the winner for the 2000 Agnes Macphail Award; forwarding confidential background information with respect to the 2000 Agnes Macphail Award nominee; requesting that the name of the nominee remain confidential until the evening of the awards ceremony on March 24, 2000; and recommending that the nominee named in the attached confidential material be awarded the 2000 Agnes Macphail Award.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the East York Community Council recommended that City Council award the 2000 Agnes Macphail Award to the nominee named in the confidential background information appended to the foregoing communication.

(Clause No. 10, Report No. 2)

2.18 Parking Study in Area of Toronto East General and Orthopaedic Hospital.

The East York Community Council had before it a communication (February 14, 2000) from Councillor Prue, forwarding a communication (February 14, 2000) from Mr. and Mrs. Cirone, East York, respecting a request for a parking study to be conducted on all streets within a 300 metre radius of the Toronto East General and Orthopaedic Hospital.

On motion by Councillor Prue, the East York Community Council referred the foregoing communications to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services with a request that he submit a report thereon to the East York Community Council.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.
Councillor Prue; Mr. and Mrs. Cirone – February 21, 2000)

(Clause No. 11(h), Report No. 2)

The East York Community Council adjourned its meeting at 11:00 a.m.