THE CITY OF TORONTO

City Clerk=s Division

Minutes of The East York Community Council

Meeting No. 4

Tuesday, May 2, 2000

The East York Community Council met on Tuesday, May 2, 2000 in the True Davidson Council Chamber, East York Civic Centre, East York, commencing at 9:32 a.m.

Members Present:

Councillor Jane Pitfield, Chair Councillor Case Ootes Councillor Michael Prue

Confirmation of Minutes.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Minutes of the meeting of the East York Community Council held on March 23, 2000, were confirmed.

4.1 Doors Open Project.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the East York Community Council received a deputation from Mr. John Ridout, representing Doors Open – East York Organizing Committee, providing information with respect to "Doors Open" a City-wide project where heritage buildings normally not accessible to the public are open for a few days.

(Clause 8(a), Report No. 4)

4.2 870 Pape Avenue Release of Pre-Servicing Agreement.

The East York Community Council had before it a report (April 11, 2000) from Mr. Quinto M. Annibale, Loopstra, Nixon, Solicitors, East York Office, advising of a request by Upper East Side Developments Inc. for the release of the Pre-Servicing Agreement registered on title as Instrument No. E158156; advising that the cost of preparing and registering this release will be paid by the owner; and recommending that:

- (1) City Council authorize the release of the above agreement;
- (2) the Solicitors for the East York Office be directed to prepare and register on title an Application to Amend the Register; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take such actions and execute such documents as may be necessary to give effect to Recommendations Nos. (1) and (2).

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the East York Community Council recommended to Council adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 2, Report No. 4)

4.3 Community Festival Permit Application Cypriot Community of Toronto Inc.

The East York Community Council had before it a report (April 17, 2000) from the CityClerk, advising that a Community Festival Permit Application from the Cypriot Community of Toronto Inc. was received by the City Clerk=s East York Office on March 28, 2000, respecting a proposed Cultural and Wine Festival to be held at 6 Thorncliffe Park Drive on July 28, 29 and 30, 2000; that the purpose of the event is to raise funds for the Cypriot community; that the applicant has submitted the appropriate application fee and the required deposit; further advising the applicant's insurance coverage expires July 31, 2000; and recommending that the East York Community Council consider supporting the issuance of a Community Festival Permit to the Cypriot Community of Toronto Inc.

The East York Community Council also had before it communication (April 18, 2000) from the Environmental Health Officer, Toronto Public Health, advising that Toronto Public Health is in favour of the annual Cultural and Wine Festival to be held on July 28, 29 and 30, 2000, but is requesting more information regarding proposed plans for food preparation that address overall food safety issues.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the East York Community Council recommended to Council that:

- the Festival to be held on Friday, Saturday and Sunday, July 28, 29 and 30, 2000, hosted by the Cypriot Community of Toronto Inc., be deemed as a Community Festival in the community of East York; and
- (2) Council sanction and grant the Community Festival permit for the Cultural and Wine Festival, subject to the following terms and conditions:
 - that Section 3.2 (f) of the (i) former Borough of East York By-law No. 67-95 regarding security provisions to the Community Festival be waived provided that the Cypriot Community of provides Toronto Inc. approximately five to ten security officers from their membership who will oversee the security for the Festival;
 - (ii) the applicant shall be responsible for arranging the private collection and disposal of all waste generated from the Festival;
 - (iii) where the festival takes place outdoors, the applicant shall ensure that adequate containers are provided to control litter and that the containers are emptied on a regular basis to ensure that litter does not become a

problem on the permitted or surrounding properties;

- (iv) for any portion of the event to be held outdoors, the applicant shall ensure that there is provision of barriers for liquor control, portable washrooms and increased security;
- (v) for the protection of nearby residents, the sound pressure level shall not exceed 100 decibels, measured at a 100-foot radius. Noise levels above this limit are prohibited. Amplified sound shall cease in accordance with the following schedule:

Community Festival: Friday, July 28, 2000 from 6:00 p.m. to 1:00 a.m.; Saturday, July 29, 2000 from 5:00 p.m. to 1:00 a.m.; and Sunday, July 30, 2000 from 4:00 p.m. to 12:00 midnight;

- (vi) no open flames, i.e., candles, permitted on tables, etc;
- (vii) all decorative materials are to be of non-combustible material or treated for flame resistance;
- (viii) provide and maintain clear aisles to all exit doors;
- (ix) all food preparation will be done in the kitchen area;

- (x) provide additional portable fire extinguishers as may be required;
- (xi) flammable compressed gas cylinders are not to be stored or used inside the building;
- (xii) provide a hydro certificate for all new outside electrical work;
- (xiii) exit lights shall be clearly illuminated at all times when the building is occupied; and

any further terms and conditions specified by Toronto Public Health.

(Clause No. 2, Report No. 4)

4.4 Design Guidelines and Development Standards for Infill Housing.

The East York Community Council had before it a report (March 10, 2000) from the CityClerk, Planning and Transportation Committee, forwarding, as directed by the Chair, Planning and Transportation Committee, a copy of a transmittal letter (February 23, 2000) from the City Clerk, Etobicoke Community Council, addressed to the Planning and Transportation Committee, enclosing a copy of a joint report, dated January 31, 2000, from the Director, Community Planning, West District and the Director of Urban Design, City Planning, entitled "Design Guidelines and Development Standards for Infill Housing", for consideration and comment to the Planning and Transportation Committee.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the East York Community Council:

 requested the Director, Community Planning, East District, to submit a report to the East York Community Council with respect to how the proposed Design Guidelines and Development Standards for infill housing for the Etobicoke community outlined in the joint report (January 31, 2000) from the Director of Community Planning, West District and the Director of Urban Design, City Planning, might impact infill housing in the East York community; such report to include comment with respect to how the proposals compare with the guidelines zoning and code standards in the East York community; and

(2) directed that the Planning and Transportation Committee be advised of the East York Community Council's action in this regard.

(Planning and Transportation Committee; c. Director, Community Planning, East District; Director, Community Planning, West District; Director, Urban Design, City Planning; Ms. Susan Smallwood, Manager, Community Planning, East District; Interested Persons – May 3, 2000)

(Clause No. 8(b), Report No. 4)

4.5 Harmonization of the Division Fence By-law.

The East York Community Council had before it a report (March 23, 2000) from the CityClerk, Planning and Transportation Committee, forwarding the report (March 1, 2000) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services, with respect to the harmonization of the division fence by-law for review and report thereon to the Planning and Transportation Committee, together with the following proposed amendment:

"That the report be amended by directing that the Committees of Adjustment be advised that a standard condition of severance from any rail line for reasons of safety, is the installation of 2.5 metre chain link fence as a standard to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services"; and further advising that the City Solicitor has been requested to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on the foregoing proposed amendment when this matter returns to the Committee.

> On motion by Councillor Prue, the East York Community Council advised the Planning and Transportation Committee that it supports the proposed division fence bylaw attached to the report (March 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

(Planning and Transportation Committee; c. Commissioner, Urban Development Services; City Solicitor; Interested Persons – May 3, 2000)

(Clause No. 8(c), Report No. 4)

4.6 Harmonization of the Fence By-law.

The East York Community Council had before it the following communication and report:

- (1) (March 23, 2000) from the City Clerk, Planning and Transportation Committee, forwarding the report (March 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, with respect to the harmonization of the Fence By-law for review and report thereon to the Planning and Transportation Committee; advising that the Planning and Transportation Committee requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with the City Solicitor, to report to each Community Council on suggested wording to clarify the term "City employee" contained in Section 11 on page 10 of the report so as to define the City employee's role in exercising lawful authority in this respect as being limited to emergency situations of a temporary nature, and comment on the suggested stipulation that an employee be requested to consult with the Ward Councillor prior to exercising such authority; and
- (2) (April 17, 2000) from the City Solicitor, reporting on wording to clarify the term "City employee" in section 11 of the draft harmonized fence by-law and to comment on a proposed stipulation that ward councillors be consulted before employees exercise authority to require that specified fences be erected; and recommending that:
 - (1) sections 11 and 12 of the draft harmonized fence by-law be re-worded as outlined in this report; and

(2) ward councillors be informed when a property standards officer or the Chief Building Official has made an emergency order under the *Building Code Act*, 1992 requiring that a fence be erected that does not comply with the harmonized fence by-law.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the East York Community Council requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to submit a report to the Planning and Transportation Committee, and to the East York Community Council, on an appeal and exemption process, such report to include comment on the various appeal mechanisms. such as utilizing the Committee of Adjustment, Community Councils, or a City employee.

(Planning and Transportation Committee; c. Commissioner, Urban Development Services; City Solicitor; Interested Persons – May 3, 2000)

(Clause No. 8(d), Report No. 4)

4.7 Installation of an "All-Way Stop" Control at the Intersection of McRae Drive and Sutherland Drive.

The East York Community Council had before it a report (March 27, 2000) from the CityClerk, reporting on the results of a traffic poll conducted in the vicinity of McRae Drive and Sutherland Drive regarding the installation of an "all-way stop" control at the intersection of McRae Drive and Sutherland Drive; advising that the proposed changes can be accommodated from within the existing Operating Budget; and recommending that the East York Community Council consider the poll results and determine whether or not to install an "all-way stop" control at the intersection of McRae Drive.

The East York Community Council also had before it a communication (January 20, 2000) from the City Clerk, forwarding a copy of item (d) entitled "Request for an 'All-Way Stop' Control McRae Drive at Sutherland Drive" embodied in Clause No. 6 contained in Report No. 13 of the East York Community Council, headed "Other Items Considered by Council" which was referred back to the East York Community Council for further consideration, by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on December 14, 15 and 16, 1999; which the East York Community Council, at its meeting on January 18, 2000, referred to the City Clerk with the request that she conduct

a poll of residents at McRae Drive and Sutherland Drive with respect to the installation of an "all-way stop" control at the intersection of McRae Drive and Sutherland Drive and to submit a report thereon to the East York Community Council.

The East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (i) (November 28, 1999) from Ms. D. Hodgson, East York, in support of an "all-way stop" control; and
- (ii) (April 27, 2000) from Mr. C. G. H. Sumner, East York, in support of an "all-way stop" control.

On motion by Councillor Prue, the East York Community Council recommended to Council:

- (1) the installation of an "all-way stop" control at the intersection of McRae Drive and Sutherland Drive; and
- (2) that the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

(Clause No. 3, Report No. 4)

4.8 Intersection Restruction O'Connor Drive at Glenwood Crescent.

The East York Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, reporting, as requested by the East York Community Council, on the history of changes to the curb radii at the intersection of O'Connor Drive and Glenwood Crescent; concluding that, given the current volume of motorists on Glenwood Crescent, it is appropriate to reduce the corner radii on the north-east and south-east corners to a more typical design, highlighting the local road classification of Glenwood Crescent; and recommending that the report be received for information.

The East York Community Council again had before it a report (February 29, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, seeking authorization to proceed with the reconstruction of O'Connor Drive and Glenwood Crescent in conjunction with the installation of traffic control signals previously approved by Council; advising that funds to undertake the necessary work in the estimated amount of \$40,000.00 are included in the Transportation Department's 2000 Capital Budget (Account No. TR031); and recommending that:

- (1) the south-west corner radius of the intersection of O'Connor Drive and Glenwood Crescent be reduced from 50 metres to approximately 7.6 metres, and that the south-east corner be constructed to a more uniform radius of 7.6 metres as shown on attached print of Drawing No. 421F 5638 dated March 2000; and
- (1) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Prue, the East York Community Council recommended to Council adoption of the aforementioned report (February 29, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

(Clause No. 4, Report No. 4)

4.9 Request for Direction on Minor Variance Appeals Regarding 165 McRae Drive.

The East York Community Council had before it a report (April 18, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, East District, æeking direction from Council regarding an appeal of the Committee of Adjustment decision regarding the Leaside Public Library at 165 McRae Drive; advising that there are no financial implications for the City of Toronto in this report; and recommending that City Council authorize the City Solicitor and appropriate staff to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing to support the decision of the East York Committee of Adjustment regarding the minor variance application for 165 McRae Drive.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the East York Community Council recommended to Council adoption of the foregoing report; and, further, that the City Solicitor request the Ontario Municipal Board to expedite the hearing of this matter.

(Clause No. 5, Report No. 4)

4.10 Cash-in-Lieu of Parking Application 391-391A Dawes Road.

The East York Community Council had before it a report (April 15, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, East District, providing a review of an application for Cash-in-Lieu of Parking for a site located at 391-391A Dawes Road; advising that there are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report; and recommending that:

- (1) Council approve this Cash-in-Lieu of Parking application, subject to the owner entering into an agreement with the City of Toronto to secure payment of \$2,375.50 in lieu of 1 parking space; and,
- (2) Council's approval be conditional upon the owner agreeing to the following specific conditions:
 - (i) the Centre's gross floor area is restricted to maximum of 387.9 square metres;
 - (ii) the Centre's maximum enrolment is capped at 75 children;
 - (iii) the Centre makes appropriate arrangements to secure parking for its staff off-site and ensures that this parking is maintained on a long term basis; and
 - (iv) the seven on-site parking spaces are clearly signed to indicate that they are intended for the exclusive use of pick-up and drop-off activity and that parking is limited to ten minutes.

Mr. Abdul Kaderau, Toronto, appeared before the East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Prue, the East York Community Council recommended to Council adoption of the foregoing report amending Recommendation subject to No. (1) by deleting the amount of "\$2,375.00" and inserting in lieu thereof the "\$5.00" amount SO that such recommendation shall now read as follows:

"(1) Council approve this Cash-in-Lieu of Parking application, subject to the owner entering into an agreement with the City of Toronto to secure payment of \$5.00 in lieu of one parking space; and".

(Clause No. 6, Report No. 4)

4.11 Proposed Solid Waste Management Services Requirements for Developments and Redevelopments.

The East York Community Council again had before it a report (March 9, 2000) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, providing each Community Council the opportunity to comment on the proposed Solid Waste Management Services Requirements for Developments and Redevelopments, prior to Council consideration; advising that there are no direct financial implications as a result of this report; and recommending that any comments be forwarded to the contact noted at the end of this report by May 12, 2000.

Ms. Anne Wheatley, Coordinator, Material Reduction, Works and Emergency Services, gave an overhead slide presentation with respect to the proposed solid waste management service requirements for developments and redevelopments.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the East York Community Council received the foregoing report. Members of the East York Community Council provided verbal comments to staff from Solid Waste Management Services.

(General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services; c. Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Ms. Catharine Daniels, Senior Analyst, Policy Development; Interested Persons – May 3, 2000)

(Clause No. 8(e), Report No. 4)

4.12 Proposed Residential Solid Waste Collection By-law.

The East York Community Council again had before it a report (March 9, 2000) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, providing each Community Council an opportunity to review and comment on the proposed residential solid waste collection by-law, prior to Council consideration; and recommending that any comments be forwarded to the contact noted at the end of this report by May 12, 2000.

Ms. Catharine Daniels, Policy Development, Solid Waste Management Services, Works and Emergency Services, gave an overhead slide presentation with respect to the proposed residential solid waste collection by-law.

Ms. Maureen Lindsay, East York, appeared before the East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the East York Community Council requested staff from Solid Waste Management Services to submit a report to the Works Committee, when this matter is to be considered, providing clarification for existing situations as to what constitutes health or safety issues, and who determines what is a health or safety issue as it relates to whether or not garbage will be collected from storage bins on private property owned by businesses or multiple residential buildings.

(General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services; c. Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Ms. Catharine Daniels, Senior Analyst, Policy Development; Interested Persons – May 3, 2000)

(Clause No. 8(f), Report No. 4)

4.13 Florida Restaurant Boulevard Café/Patio – 940 Pape Avenue Extension of the Hours that Alcoholic Beverages Can Be Served on the Patio.

The East York Community Council had before it a communication (April 17, 2000) from the City Clerk, forwarding Clause No. 2 of Report No. 3 of the East York Community Council, headed "Florida Restaurant Boulevard Café/Patio – 940 Pape Avenue Extension of Hours that Alcoholic Beverages can be Served on the Patio" which City Council, at its meeting on April 11, 12 and 13, 2000, struck out and referred back to the East York Community Council for further consideration and public consultation.

The East York Community Council also had before it the following:

(i) a petition, signed by approximately 12 residents in opposition to the proposed extension; and

(ii) a petition, signed by approximately 105 residents in support of the proposed extension.

The following persons appeared before East York Community Council with respect to the foregoing matter:

- Mr. John Massin, East York
- Mr. Bill Moyer, East York
- Mr. Patrick Mazurek, East York
- Mr. Geoffrey Seymour, East York
- Mr. Otto Abbatangelo, East York, and submitted a written brief with respect thereto;
- Mrs. Mary Kuzyk, East York;
- Mr. Spiros Thiakos, East York;
- Ms. Lena Letsos, Owner, Florida Restaurant;
- Mr. Shazam Ali, East York;
- Mrs. Eva Beames, East York;
- Mr. Chris Torkos, East York;
- Mr. Jim Diamantakos, East York;
- Mr. Justin Van Dette, East York;
- Mr. Alex Letsos, East York;
- Ms. Souad Zaragiannis, East York;
- Ms. Linda Perant, East York; and
- Ms. Jennifer Griffen, East York.

Councillor Ootes moved that:

- (A) East York Community Council re-iterate its previous recommendation subject to amending Recommendation No. (1) by deleting the time 2:00 a.m. and inserting in lieu thereof the time 11:00 p.m.; and
- **(B)** that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to submit a report to the next meeting of the Community Council, scheduled to be held on May 23, 2000. with respect to the comparative restaurant/patio operating hours in this area that might warrant extending the hours of operation to 12:00 midnight on Fridays and Saturdays.

(C) Councillor Prue moved, in amendment, that motion (A) by Councillor Ootes be amended to provide that the hours of operation be extended to midnight.

Motion (C) by Councillor Prue **failed**.

Motions (A) and (B) by Councillor Ootes carried.

In summary, the decision of the East York Community Council is as follows:

The East York Community Council recommended to Council that:

- (1) Council approve the applicant's request for extension of the hours that alcoholic beverages may be served on the boulevard café/patio on the Westwood Avenue flank at 940 Pape Avenue, from 10:30 p.m. to 11:00 p.m.;
- (2) approval be granted subject to the applicant complying with the criteria set out in By-law No. 16-97 of the former Borough of East York;
- (3) the applicant enter into an agreement with the City of Toronto which reflects the change in hours; and
- (4) staff be requested to report back to the East York Community Council on the operation of the café under the extended hours after the 2000 café season.

The East York Community Council also requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to submit a report to the next meeting of the Community Council, scheduled to be held on May 23, 2000, with respect to the comparative restaurant/patio operating hours in this area that might warrant extending the hours of operation to 12:00 midnight on Fridays and Saturdays.

(Commissioner Urban Development Services; c. Supervisor, Municipal Licencing and Standards, East District – May 3, 2000)

(Clause No. 7, Report No. 4)

4.14 Recycling Operations in the Leaside Business Park Area.

The East York Community Council had before it a communication (April 7, 2000) from Ms. Patricia Prentice, Corresponding Secretary, Leaside Property Owners' Association, advising that the Board of Directors of the Leaside Property Owners' Association unanimously supports the Leaside Business Park Association in opposing any further recycling operations in the Business Park area, specifically the use of the former Wabash Alloys site at 205 Wickstead Avenue.

The following persons appeared before East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Leonard Linton, President, Leaside Business Park Association;
- Mr. Jim Hannah, Toronto;
- Mr. J. Ivor Wray, East York;
- Mr. P. Beatt, Winpack Technologies, East York;
- Mr. D'Arcy Sheedy, Toronto;
- Mr. Paul Martin, DEL Equipment, Toronto, and submitted a written brief with respect thereto; and
- Mr. D. Horning, Siltech.

Councillor Pitfield appointed Councillor Ootes Acting Chair, and vacated the Chair.

Councillor Pitfield moved:

 (A) that the East York Community Council re-iterate its request for a report made at its meeting held on December 2, 1999, viz:

> "The East York Community Council requested the Commissioner of Economic Development Culture and Tourism, in consultation with the Acting Commissioner of Planning and Development Services, to submit a report to the East York Community Council with respect to the concerns expressed regarding the volume of recycling businesses in the Leaside Business Park, such report to include comment with respect to:

- (a) economic development issues regarding the Leaside Business Park;
- (b) possible re-zoning remedies to prevent the addition of more recycling businesses in the area; and
- (c) any outstanding infractions to the City's by-laws by recycling businesses in the Leaside Business Park;"

such report to be submitted as soon as possible;

(B) that City Council enact an Interim Control By-law in the area of the Leaside Business Park to exclude recycling operations beyond those already in place, until such time as a study has been conducted of the area.

Councillor Pitfield resumed the Chair.

At this point in the proceedings, Councillor Prue moved that the East York Community Council meet privately to discuss the matter of recycling operations in the Leaside Business Park Area and a possible Interim Control By-law having regard that the subject matter relates to the receiving of advice under solicitor-client privilege, in accordance with the Municipal Act, which was **carried**.

The East York Community Council resumed its public meeting.

Councillor Pitfield appointed Councillor Ootes Acting Chair, and vacated the Chair.

Councillor Pitfield moved that:

(C) in light of the emergence of so many recycling businesses across the City, the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to submit a report to the Planning and Transportation Committee with respect to the feasibility of separate Official Plan and Zoning By-law requirements for recycling operations;

Councillor Pitfield resumed the Chair.

Councillor Prue moved that:

(C) the Chair of the East York Community Council be requested to meet with the present owner of 205 Wicksteed Avenue to discuss the concerns expressed by the deputants regarding future uses of the property and that the owner be requested to consider compatibility of uses, keeping in mind the expectations of the East York Community Council with respect to the future use of the Leaside Business Park.

The foregoing motions (A) and (C) by Councillor Pitfield carried.

The foregoing motion (B) by Councillor Pitfield failed.

The foregoing motion (D) by Councillor Prue carried.

In summary, the action of the East York Community Council is as follows:

The East York Community Council:

(1) re-iterated its request for a report made at its meeting held on December 2, 1999, viz:

"The East York Community Council requested the Commissioner of Economic Development Culture and Tourism, in consultation with the Acting Commissioner of Planning and Development Services, to submit a report to the East York Community Council with respect to the concerns expressed regarding the volume of recycling businesses in the Leaside Business Park, such report to include comment with respect to:

- (a) economic development issues regarding the Leaside Business Park;
- (b) possible re-zoning remedies to prevent the addition of more recycling businesses in the area; and
- (c) any outstanding infractions to the City's by-laws by recycling businesses in the Leaside Business Park;"

such report to be submitted as soon as possible;

- (2) in light of the emergence of so many recycling businesses across the City, requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to submit a report to the Planning and Transportation Committee with respect to the feasibility of separate Official Plan and separate Zoning By-law requirements for recycling operations; and
- (3) requested the Chair of the East York Community Council to meet with the present owner of 205 Wicksteed Avenue to discuss the concerns expressed by the deputants regarding future uses of the property and that the owner be requested to consider compatibility of uses, keeping in mind the expectations of the East York Community Council with respect to the future use of the Leaside Business Park.

(Commissioner of Economic Development Culture and Tourism; Chair, East York Community Council; Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Chief Planner c. Ms. Brenda Librecz, Managing Director, Economic Development; Ms. Susan Smallwood, Manager, Community Planning, East District; Administrator, Planning and Transportation Committee; Interested Persons - May 3, 2000)

(Clause No. 8(g), Report No. 4)

The East York Community Council recessed at the following times:

recessed to meet In-camera :	11:10 a.m.;
resumed public meeting:	11:30 a.m.;
recessed:	12:22 p.m;
resumed:	7:05 p.m.

The East York Community Council adjourned its meeting at 10:17 p.m.

Chair