

# THE CITY OF TORONTO

## Clerk's Department

### Minutes of the Meeting of the North York Community Council

**Thursday, March 23, 2000.**

The North York Community Council met on Thursday, March 23, 2000, in the Council Chamber, North York Civic Centre, commencing at 10:00 a.m.

#### Attendance

Members were present for some or all of the time periods indicated.

	<b>10:00 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.</b>	<b>2:10 p.m. to 6:15 p.m.</b>
Councillor Feldman, Chair	x	x
Councillor Augimeri	x	x
Councillor Berger	x	x
Councillor Chong	x	x
Councillor Filion		
Councillor Flint	x	x
Councillor Gardner		
Councillor King	x	x
Councillor Li Preti		
Councillor Mammoliti		
Councillor Minnan-Wong	x	x
Councillor Moscoe	x	x

North York Community Council Minutes  
Thursday, March 23, 2000

---

Councillor Shiner	x	x
Councillor Valenti	x	x

Confirmation of Minutes:

On motion by Councillor Augimeri, Black Creek, the minutes of the meeting of the North York Community Council held on February 15, 2000, were confirmed.

**5.1 Tree Protection Zone Reduction Request – 444 Bedford Park Avenue – North York Centre South.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (January 25, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, providing information regarding an appeal to the Community Council from the owner, Mr. Waldman, 444 Bedford Park Avenue, to permit the reduction of the Tree Protection Zone of the 100 cm diameter City-owned Silver Maple tree, on the front lawn, to allow for the widening of the driveway entrance; and recommending that the request to reduce the tree protection area be refused.

The North York Community Council also reports having had before it communications (February 14, 2000 and March 15, 2000) from Mr. Adam J. Brown, Brown Dryer Karol, on behalf of the applicant, in connection with the scheduling of the item before the North York Community Council.

Mr. Adam Brown, Brown Dryer Karol, on behalf of the applicant, appeared before the North York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

A. Councillor Berger, North York Centre South, moved that:

- (1) The report (January 25, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, not be adopted; and
- (2) the Tree Protection Zone of the 100 cm diameter City-owned Silver Maple tree, on the front lawn, be reduced in order to allow for the widening of the driveway entrance, subject to the installation of grates to permit the percolation of water to the tree roots;

North York Community Council Minutes  
Thursday, March 23, 2000

---

- B. Councillor Moscoe, North York Spadina, moved that an additional portion of the existing driveway be replaced with lattice treatment so that there is sufficient additional percolation of water to nourish the tree.
- C. Councillor Flint, North York Centre South, moved that in the event the City-owned Silver Maple tree dies, the total removal cost and tree replacement cost be charged to the property owner.

A recorded vote on the motion, moved by Councillor Shiner, Seneca Heights, that the requested tree protection area be reduced by 2 feet, was as follows:

FOR: Councillors Valenti, Moscoe, Flint, Shiner

AGAINST: Councillors Augimeri, Berger, Feldman, King

ABSENT: Councillors Mammoliti, Li Preti, Gardner, Chong, Filion, Minnan-Wong

Lost.

A recorded vote on the motion moved by Councillor Moscoe, North York Spadina, was as follows:

FOR: Councillors Valenti, Moscoe, Augimeri, Feldman, Flint, Minnan-Wong

AGAINST: Councillors Berger, Shiner, King

ABSENT: Councillors Mammoliti, Li Preti, Gardner, Chong, Filion

Carried.

A recorded vote on the motion moved by Councillor Flint, North York Centre South, was as follows:

FOR: Councillors Moscoe, Augimeri, Berger, Feldman, Flint, Minnan-Wong, Shiner, King

AGAINST: Councillor Valenti

North York Community Council Minutes  
Thursday, March 23, 2000

---

ABSENT: Councillors Mammoliti, Li Preti, Gardner, Chong, Filion

Carried.

A recorded vote on the motion moved by Councillor Berger, North York Centre South, was as follows:

FOR: Councillors Valenti, Augimeri, Berger, Feldman, Minnan-Wong

AGAINST: Councillors Moscoe, Flint, Shiner, King

ABSENT: Councillors Mammoliti, Li Preti, Gardner, Chong, Filion

Carried.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 1)**

**5.2 All Way Stop Control: Esgore Drive at Haddington Avenue – North York Centre South.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (January 31, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 3, Works and Emergency Services, responding to a request to install an all way stop control at the intersection of Esgore Drive at Haddington Avenue, and recommending that the report be received for information and that no actions be taken.

Mr. Tim Higgins appeared before the North York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter, on behalf of the South Armour Heights Ratepayers' Association.

On motion by Councillor Flint, North York Centre South, the North York Community Council recommended to City Council that:

- (1) the report (January 31, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 3, Works and Emergency Services, not be adopted; and
- (2) that an all-way stop control be installed at the intersection of Haddington Avenue and Esgore Drive.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 2)**

**5.3 Variance for Ground Sign – 3280 Dufferin Street – North York Spadina.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (February 24, 2000) from the Director and Deputy Chief Building Official, reporting on a request for a variance from the sign by-law by Ms. Ingrid Brooks, to permit the erection of an illuminated third party 10 foot by 20 foot roof sign, within 390 feet of another roof sign where the by-law requires 750 feet and recommending that the request for a minor variance from the sign by-law be refused.

Mr. Noah Guterman appeared before the North York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

- A. Councillor Moscoe, North York Spadina, moved that consideration of the foregoing report be deferred sine die, to allow the Ward Councillors an opportunity to meet on-site with the applicant and the Manager, Plan Review, North District, in order to review the request.

A recorded vote on the motion moved by Councillor Moscoe, North York Spadina, was as follows:

FOR: Councillors Valenti, Moscoe, Augimeri, Feldman, Flint

AGAINST: Councillors Chong, Shiner, King

ABSENT: Councillors Mammoliti, Li Preti, Berger, Gardner, Filion, Minnan-Wong

Carried.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 34(a))**

**5.4 Variance for Proposed Roof Sign – 364 Wilson Avenue – North York Spadina.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (February 15, 2000) from the Director and Deputy Chief Building Official, reporting on a request for a variance from the sign by-law by Ingrid Brooks of Omni Outdoor Company, on behalf of the owners, to permit the erection of an illuminated 10 foot by 20 foot billboard sign on the roof of an existing 2 storey building, and recommending that the request for a minor variance from the sign by-law be refused.

Mr. Keith McKenzie appeared before the North York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter, on behalf of the applicant, and advised that he wished to withdraw the application.

The North York Community Council received the report, having regard that the subject application for a variance from the Sign By-law was withdrawn, at the request of the applicant.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 34(b))**

**5.5 Variance for Proposed Roof Sign – 4140 Bathurst Street – North York Spadina.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (February 15, 2000) from the Director and Deputy Chief Building Official, reporting on a request for a variance from the sign by-law by Peter Mezei, to permit the erection of an illuminated 10 foot by 20 foot billboard sign on the roof of an existing 3 storey building and recommending that the request for the minor variance from the sign by-law be refused.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, North York Spadina, the North York Community Council recommended to City Council, the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 3)**

**5.6 Proposed Illuminated Double-Sided Ground Sign Third Party Advertising – Undeveloped Lot – 1460 Victoria Park Avenue – Don Parkway.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 1, 2000) from the Director and Deputy Chief Building Official, reporting on a request for a variance from the Sign By-law to permit the erection of a 200 sq ft illuminated double-sided, third party advertising ground sign on an undeveloped lot and recommending that the request be refused.

The North York Community Council also had before it a communication (March 22, 2000) from Ms. Ingrid Brooks, Omni Outdoor, on behalf of the owner, requesting a deferral of the matter to the next meeting of the North York Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Minnan-Wong, Don Parkway, the North York Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report to its next meeting scheduled for May 2, 2000.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 34(c))**

**5.7 Environmental Task Force – Environmental Plan “Clean, Green and Healthy: A Plan for an Environmentally Sustainable Toronto”.**

The North York Community Council had before it a communication (March 8, 2000) from the City Clerk, Environmental Task Force recommending that the report be received for information.

A presentation on this document was made by Ms. Lois Corbett, Environmental Task Force member and Executive Director, Toronto Environmental Alliance.

On motion by Councillor King, Seneca Heights, the North York Community Council:

- (1) received the Environmental Plan, “Clean, Green and Healthy: A Plan for an Environmentally Sustainable Toronto” for information;
- (2) received the communication (March 8, 2000) from the City Clerk, Environmental Task Force, recommending that the Environmental Plan, “Clean, Green and Healthy: A Plan for an Environmentally Sustainable Toronto”, be received for information;
- (3) expressed its appreciation to the members of the Environmental Task Force for their hard work in the development of the Environmental Plan.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 34(d))**

**5.8 Variance for Ground Sign – 6 Milvan Drive – North York Humber.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (February 24, 2000) from the Director and Deputy Chief Building Official, reporting on a request for a variance from the sign by-law by Nishan Ali, to permit the erection of an illuminated 14 foot high ground sign with an

advertising face of 8 feet by 10 feet and recommending that the request for a minor variance from the sign by-law as modified by the report (February 24, 2000) from the Director and Deputy Chief Building Official to permit a ground sign with an advertising face of 60 square feet be approved.

The North York Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 4)**

**5.9 Variance for Ground Sign – 3200 Dufferin Street – North York Spadina.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (February 22, 2000) from the Director and Deputy Chief Building Official, reporting on a request for a variance from the sign by-law by Peter Cheatley, to permit the erection of an illuminated 10 foot by 25 foot ground sign and recommending that the request for a minor variance from the sign by-law be approved.

The North York Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 5)**

**5.10 Variance for Proposed Ground Sign – 2470 Bayview Avenue – North York Centre South.**

ITEM WITHDRAWN

**5.11 Request for Exemption to Noise By-Law No. 31317 for DVP Bridge over Don River Reconstruction/Contract FS00-03S – Don Parkway.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 1, 2000) from the North District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards and Court Services, Urban Development Services, reporting on a request for Exemption to Noise By-law No. 31317 so that reconstruction of the bridge over the Don River (immediately north of the Lawrence Avenue interchange) can be completed in the shortest possible time thus minimizing disruption to the users of the Don Valley Parkway and the surrounding residences; and recommending that the



application be approved.

The North York Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 6)**

**5.12 Tree Removal Request – 22 Dunsmore Gardens – North York Spadina.**

The North York Community Council had before it a communication from the City Clerk advising that City Council on February 29, March 1 and 2, 2000 struck out and referred Clause 4, Report No. 3 of North York Community Council back to the North York Community Council for further consideration.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, North York Spadina, the North York Community Council recommended to City Council that:

- (1) the report (December 28, 1999) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, embodied in the communication from the City Clerk, not be adopted;
- (2) the applicant be permitted to remove one tree;
- (3) the tree to be retained be determined by the Supervisor, Urban Forestry, Planning and Protection;
- (4) the Supervisor, Urban Forestry, Planning and Protection, draft conditions to protect the remaining tree, in consultation with the Ward Councillors; and
- (5) the applicant pay the City the tree amenity value and the removal cost of the tree to be removed; such funds to be used to provide trees within this neighbourhood in consultation with the Ward Councillors.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 7)**

**5.13 Special Occasion Beer Garden Permit Requests for Community Events – North York Humber, Black Creek, North York Spadina and North York Centre.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (February 29, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, seeking Council's approval to grant Special Occasion Beer Garden Permits to the groups listed in Attachment No. 1 to the report and recommending that:

- (1) permission be granted to the groups listed in Attachment No. 1 to hold Special Occasion Beer Garden Permit event;
- (2) the groups obtain a Special Occasion Permit from the Liquor Licensing Board of Ontario;
- (3) the groups be charged the approved \$50.00 facility permit fee and additional costs incurred by the Parks and Recreation Division for goods and services not readily available at the site;
- (4) the groups provide proof of liability insurance coverage in the amount of \$2M naming the City as additional insured;
- (5) all bartenders and servers be required to attend a Smart Serve Training Program at the group's expense;
- (6) the groups comply with all regulations outlined in all City policies pertaining to alcohol consumption at the time of the event; and
- (7) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The North York Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 8)**

**5.14 Endorsement of Event Organizers to Obtain a Special Occasions Permit in Order to Sell Alcoholic Beverages on Mel Lastman Square – North York Centre.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 7, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, requesting endorsement of event organizers to seek Special Occasions Permit authorizing the sale of alcohol on Mel Lastman Square and recommending that the North York Community Council endorse those event organizations that have registered with Toronto Special Events and have met the appropriate criteria to seek a Special Occasion Permit to sell alcohol on Mel Lastman Square during the year 2000.

The North York Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 9)**

**5.15 Waiving of Building Permit Fee for Temporary Tent – Canadian Memorial Chiropractic College – “Backs In Motion Event”.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 6, 2000) from the Director and Deputy Chief Building Official, reporting on a request by Councillor Flint, to waive the Building Permit fees for the erection of a temporary tent, for the “Backs in Motion Event” sponsored by the Canadian Memorial Chiropractic College and advising that, upon a resolution by the Toronto City Council not to apply the requirements of the Building Permits By-law No. 163-1998 as it pertains to fees, to the above noted event, the Building Division has no objection to the waiving of the Building Permit fees.

The North York Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report; and that the request by Councillor Flint, to waive the Building Permit fees for the erection of a temporary tent, for the “Backs in Motion Event” sponsored by the Canadian Memorial Chiropractic College, be approved.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 10)**

**5.16 Traffic Calming Measures – Jane Osler Boulevard – North York Spadina.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 3, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 3, Works and Emergency Services, recommending that an additional speed hump be installed on Jane Osler Boulevard and that it be evaluated as part of the Traffic Management Plan, previously approved by Council for the City of Toronto at its meeting of November 23, 1999.

The North York Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 11)**

**5.17 Stopping/Parking Prohibitions – St. Germain Avenue and Falkirk Street – North York Centre South.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 3, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 3, Works and Emergency Services, recommending that:

- (1) Schedule IX of By-law No. 31001, of the former City of North York, be amended to delete the “No Stopping, 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday”, prohibition on the north side of St. Germain Avenue, from a point 15 metres east of the easterly limit of Falkirk Street to a point 35 metres easterly thereof;
- (2) Schedule IX of By-law No. 31001, of the former City of North York, be amended to install a “No Stopping, 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday”, prohibition on both sides of St. Germain Avenue, from the easterly limit of Falkirk Street to a point 60 metres easterly thereof;
- (3) Schedule IX of By-law No. 31001, of the former City of North York, be amended to install a “No Stopping Anytime” prohibition on both sides of St. Germain Avenue, from a point 60 metres east of the easterly limit of Falkirk Street to a point 21 metres easterly thereof;
- (4) Schedule VIII of By-law No. 31001, of the former City of North York, be amended to delete the “No Parking, 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday”, prohibition on the east side of Falkirk Street, from the northerly limit of St. Germain Avenue to the

southerly limit of Melrose Avenue;

- (5) Schedule X of By-law No. 31001, of the former City of North York, be amended to install “15 Minute Parking”, from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. Monday to Friday, on the east side of Falkirk Street, from a point 15 metres north of St. Germain Avenue to a point 15 metres south of Melrose Avenue; and
- (6) Schedule VIII of By-law No. 31001, of the former City of North York, be amended to install a “No Parking Anytime”, prohibition on the east side of Falkirk Street, from the southerly limit of St. Germain Avenue to a point 45 metres southerly thereof.

The North York Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 12)**

**5.18 All Way Stop Control – Glen Park Avenue and Englemount Avenue – North York Spadina.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 3, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 3, Works and Emergency Services, recommending that Schedules XVIII and XIX of By-law No. 31001, of the former City of North York, be amended to require traffic to stop at all approaches to the intersection of Glen Park Avenue and Englemount Avenue.

The North York Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 13)**

**5.19 Parking Prohibitions – Johnston Avenue – North York Centre.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 3, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 3, Works and Emergency Services, recommending that Schedule VIII of By-law No. 31001, of the former City of North York, be amended to prohibit parking at anytime on the south side of Johnston Avenue, from the westerly limit of Pewter Road to a point 42 metres westerly thereof.

The North York Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 14)**

**5.20 Parking Prohibitions – Longmore Street – North York Centre.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 3, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 3, Works and Emergency Services, recommending that Schedule VIII of By-law No. 31001, of the former City of North York, be amended to prohibit parking on both sides of Longmore Street, between 8:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, from Empress Avenue to Hillcrest Avenue.

The North York Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 15)**

**5.21 Parking Prohibitions – Grandravine Drive, West of Derrydown Road – Black Creek.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 3, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 3, Works and Emergency Services, recommending that Schedule VIII of By-law No. 31001, of the former City of North York, be amended to prohibit parking on the north side of Grandravine Drive, from the west limit of Derrydown Road to a point 32 metres west.

The North York Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 16)**

**5.22 Proposed Parking Prohibitions – Blandorman Road – North York Humber.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 1, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 3, Works and Emergency Services, recommending that

Schedule VIII of By-law No. 31001, of the former City of North York, be amended to prohibit parking on the west side of Blandorman Road, from the northerly limit of Rustic Road to a point 48.5 metres northerly thereof.

The North York Community Council recommended to City Council, the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 17)**

**5.23 Reduced Speed Zone – De Vere Gardens – North York Centre South.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 6, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 3, Works and Emergency Services, recommending that By-law No. 31878, of the former City of North York, be amended to regulate the maximum rate of speed on De Vere Gardens, from Mason Boulevard to a point 150 metres west and southerly thereof, at 40 km/h.

The North York Community Council recommended to City Council, the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 18)**

**5.24 Parking Prohibitions – Forge Drive – Black Creek.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 6, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 3, Works and Emergency Services, recommending that Schedule VIII of By-law No. 31001, of former City of North York, be amended to prohibit parking at anytime on the east side of Forge Drive from the northerly limit of Potsdam Road to a point 55 metres northerly thereof.

On motion by Councillor Augimeri, Black Creek, the North York Community Council recommended to City Council, the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 19)**

**5.25 Temporary Road Closure – Baycrest Avenue – North York Centre South.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (February 22, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 3, Works and Emergency Services, recommending that:

- (1) by enactment of a confirmatory By-law adopting this report, Baycrest Avenue be closed temporarily on Sunday, May 14, from 8:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m., subject to the applicant's compliance with procedural By-law No. 27433 of the former City of North York; and
- (2) during the temporary closure, there shall be no use of the closed road for vehicular traffic except under the authority of a permit issued by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services Department. The permit will identify any conditions imposed by the Toronto Police, Fire and Ambulance Services.

The North York Community Council recommended to City Council, the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 20)**

**5.26 Temporary Road Closure – Willowdale Avenue – North York Centre.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 3, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 3, Works and Emergency Services, recommending that:

- (1) by enactment of a confirmatory By-law adopting this report, Willowdale Avenue be closed temporarily on Sunday May 28, from 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Maplehurst Avenue to Spring Garden Avenue, subject to the applicant's compliance with procedural By-law No. 27433 of the former City of North York; and
- (2) during the temporary closure, there shall be no use of the closed road for vehicular traffic except under the authority of a permit issued by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services Department. The permit will identify any conditions imposed by the Toronto Police, Fire and Ambulance Services.



The North York Community Council recommended to City Council, the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 21)**

**5.27 Parking Prohibitions – Kingsdale Avenue – North York Centre.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 6, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 3, Works and Emergency Services, recommending that Schedule VIII of By-law No. 31001, of the former City of North York, be amended to prohibit parking from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the south side of Kingsdale Avenue, between Willowdale Avenue and Longmore Street.

The North York Community Council recommended to City Council, the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 22)**

**5.28 All Way Stop Control – Bedford Park Avenue and Ledbury Street – North York Centre South.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 3, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 3, Works and Emergency Services, recommending that Schedules XVIII and XIX of By-law No. 31001, of the former City of North York, be amended to require traffic to stop on all approaches to the intersection of Bedford Park Avenue and Ledbury Street.

The North York Community Council recommended to City Council, the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 23)**

**5.29 Stopping Prohibitions – Bonnington Place – North York Centre.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 3, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 3, Works and Emergency Services, recommending that Schedule IX of By-law No. 31001, of the former City of North York, be amended to prohibit

stopping between 3:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, on both sides of Bonnington Place, from the southerly limit of Sheppard Avenue East to the northerly limit of Lyndale Drive.

The North York Community Council recommended to City Council, the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 24)**

**5.30 All Way Stop Control – Cactus Avenue and Patricia Avenue – North York Centre.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 3, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 3, Works and Emergency Services, recommending that Schedules XVIII and XIX of By-law No. 31001, of the former City of North York, be amended to require traffic to stop on all approaches to the intersection of Cactus Avenue and Patricia Avenue.

The North York Community Council recommended to City Council, the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 25)**

**5.31 Parking and Stopping Prohibitions – Churchill Avenue – North York Centre.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 3, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 3, Works and Emergency Services, recommending that:

- (1) Schedule IX of By-law No. 31001, of the former City of North York, be amended to delete the “No Stopping, 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” prohibition on the north side of Churchill Avenue, from Senlac Road to Claywood Road;
- (2) Schedule IX of By-law No. 31001, of the former City of North York, be amended to install a “No Stopping, 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” prohibition on the north side of Churchill Avenue, from the easterly limit of Senlac Road to a point 30 metres easterly thereof; and
- (3) Schedule X of By-law No. 31001, of the former City of North York, be amended to permit 15 minutes parking between 8:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, on

the north side of Churchill Avenue, from a point 30 metres east of the easterly limit of Senlac Road to the westerly limit of Claywood Road.

The North York Community Council recommended to City Council, the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 26)**

**5.32 Installation of an All Way Stop Control and Removal of a Pedestrian Crossover – Kenneth Avenue and McKee Avenue – North York Centre.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 6, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 3, Works and Emergency Services, recommending that:

- (1) Schedules XVIII and XIX of By-law No. 31001, of the former City of North York, be amended to require traffic to stop at all approaches to the intersection of Kenneth Avenue and McKee Avenue; and
- (2) Schedule “A” of By-law No. 30518, of the former City of North York, be amended to delete the Pedestrian Crossover on Kenneth Avenue, immediately north of McKee Avenue.

The North York Community Council recommended to City Council, the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 27)**

**5.33 All Way Stop Control – Overbrook Place and Elder Street – North York Spadina.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (February 10, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 3, Works and Emergency Services, responding to a request to introduce an all way stop control at the intersection of Overbrook Place and Elder Street and recommending that Schedules XVIII of By-law No. 31001, of the former City of North York, be amended to require traffic to stop on all approaches to the intersection of Overbrook Place and Elder Street.

The North York Community Council recommended to City Council, the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 28)**

**5.34 Driveway Width Variance – 1 Hazelglen Avenue – North York Centre.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 6, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 3, Works and Emergency Services, reporting on a request to maintain two driveway openings totalling 11.1 m and recommending that the total width of the driveway be reduced to 9.1 m to conform with the relevant policy for circular driveways.

The North York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (March 17, 2000) from Councillor Filion, North York Centre, in support of the request by the property owner to maintain two driveway openings totalling 11.1 metres; and
- (March 20, 2000) from Councillor Gardner, in support of the request by the property owner for two driveway openings totalling 11.1 metres.

On motion by Councillor Minnan-Wong, Don Parkway, on behalf of Councillor Filion and Gardner, North York Centre, the North York Community Council recommended to City Council that:

- (1) the report (March 6, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 3 not be adopted; and
- (2) the request by the property owner to maintain two driveway openings totalling 11.1 metres, be approved:

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 29)**

**5.35 Proposed Street Naming Policy.**

The North York Community Council had before it a communication (February 7, 2000) from the City Clerk, Works Committee, advising that the Works Committee concurred with the recommendations embodied in the report (January 21, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, and in so doing:

- (1) forwards the Street Naming Policy, outlined in Attachment No. 1 to the report (January 21, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, to the Community Councils for comments; and
  - (2) requests the Community Councils to forward their comments to the Works Committee meeting of April 19, 2000, for processing through to City Council.
- A. Councillor King, Seneca Heights, moved that it be recommended to the Works Committee that:
- (1) the section titled, "Naming and Renaming of Street and Lanes" be the first section to appear in the City of Toronto Street Naming Policy, after the word, "Guidelines";
  - (2) that item (2) of the section titled, "Application", be amended to read as follows:
    - "2. In the case of a name change, the name change should also include a petition stating the reason for the change and include the names, addresses and signatures of the persons who reside on or own property that fronts on or is adjacent to the subject street."
- B. Councillor Moscoe, North York Spadina, moved that the Commissioner, Urban Development Services be requested to bring forward a report, when the proposed Street Naming Policy is being considered by City Council, on the feasibility of securing the names of private roads on condominium properties through the condominium registration process; and
- C. Councillor Shiner, Seneca Heights, moved that the City Clerk be requested to bring forward a report, when the proposed Street Naming Policy is being considered by City Council, regarding the effects of changing the names of streets with regard to the voters

list; and to set forth recommendations as to when street name changes should be implemented.

Upon the question of the adoption of Motion A., moved by Councillor King, Motion B., moved by Councillor Moscoe and Motion C., moved by Councillor Shiner, it was carried.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 34(e))**

**5.36 Naming of Private Lane – 111 Barber Greene Road – Dunbury Way – Don Parkway.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 1, 2000) from the City Surveyor, Works and Emergency Services, recommending that:

- (1) the proposed private lane at the residential development at 111 Barber Greene Road, illustrated on “Attachment No. 1”, be named “Dunbury Way”;
- (2) 1324937 Ontario Limited, operating as Dunbury Homes, be required to pay the costs estimated to be in the amount of \$300.00 for the fabrication and installation of street name signs; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, North York Spadina, the North York Community Council deferred the report to its next meeting scheduled for May 2, 2000 in order to obtain clarification from the Fire Chief and the City Surveyor, Works and Emergency Services, respecting the conflicting comments about the proposed name for the private lane.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 34(f))**

**5.37 Proposed Solid Waste Management Services Requirements for Developments and Redevelopments.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 9, 2000) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, providing each Community Council the opportunity to comment on the proposed Solid Waste Management Services Requirements for Developments and Redevelopments and recommending that:

- (1) this report be received for information;
- (2) consultation with the public be held at the next meeting of Community Council or, alternatively, at a separate open house;
- (3) Community Council notify the General Manager of Solid Waste Management Services of their preference with regards to Recommendation No. 2 to allow for appropriate planning; and
- (4) any comments be forwarded to the contact noted at the end of this report by May 12, 2000.

A. Councillor King, Seneca Heights moved that:

- (1) the North York Community Council defer consideration of the report (March 9, 2000) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, to its meeting scheduled for May 23, 2000;
- (2) it be requested that the public meeting be held in the evening at the meeting of North York Community Council scheduled for May 23, 2000;
- (3) it be requested that the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services submit a report to the North York Community Council, for its meeting scheduled for May 23, 2000, on the following:
  - (a) clarifying that the new Requirements for Garbage and Recycling Collection be for “new” developments and redevelopments and that the document title be so amended; and
  - (b) providing a list of the roads where multi-residential developments in the North District currently receive door-to-door collection on private roads.

- B. Councillor Moscoe, North York Spadina, moved that the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, be requested to submit a report to the North York Community Council, for its meeting scheduled for May 23, 2000, on the feasibility of establishing a standard distance residents would be required to carry garbage to a central pick-up location, in order to assist in the design of future condominium subdivisions; and
- C. Councillor Shiner, Seneca Heights, moved that the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, be requested to:
- (i) notify all registered Ratepayer Associations and all registered Condominium Associations inviting them to attend the public meeting in order to provide comments;
  - (ii) place an advertisement in the local newspapers respecting the public meeting to be held on May 23, 2000;

Upon the question of the adoption of Motion A., moved by Councillor King, Motion B., moved by Councillor Moscoe and Motion C., moved by Councillor Shiner, it was carried.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 34(g))**

**5.38 Proposed Residential Solid Waste Collection By-Law.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 9, 2000) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, providing each Community Council an opportunity to review and comment on the proposed residential solid waste collection by-law and recommending that:

- (1) this report be received for information;
- (2) consultation with stakeholders be held at the next meeting of Community Council or, alternatively, at a separate open house;
- (3) each Community Council notify the General Manager of Solid Waste Management Services of their preference with regards to Recommendation No. 2 to allow for appropriate planning; and
- (4) any comments be forwarded to the contact noted at the end of this report by May 12, 2000.



North York Community Council Minutes  
Thursday, March 23, 2000

---

- A. Councillor King, Seneca Heights, moved that the North York Community Council:
- (1) defer consideration of the report (March 9, 2000) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, to its meeting scheduled for May 23, 2000; and
  - (2) requested that the public meeting be held in the evening at the meeting of the North York Community Council scheduled for May 23, 2000;
- B. Councillor Moscoe, North York Spadina, moved that the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services be requested to submit a report to the North York Community Council, for its meeting scheduled for May 23, 2000, on the proposed Residential Solid Waste Collection By-law containing a provision that requires a minimum of three days between garbage pick-ups;
- C. Councillor Flint, North York Centre South, on behalf of Councillor Shiner, Seneca Heights, moved that the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services be requested to:
- (i) notify all registered Ratepayer Associations and all registered Condominium Associations inviting them to attend the public meeting in order to provide comments; and
  - (ii) place an advertisement in the local newspapers respecting the public meeting to be held on May 23, 2000.
- D. Councillor Flint, North York Centre South, moved that the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, be requested to submit a report to the North York Community Council for its meeting scheduled for May 23, 2000, on the following:
- (i) identifying high compliance/use areas of recycling and the cost of providing once per week recycling pick-up in those areas;
  - (ii) providing previously requested information regarding the cost of instituting once per week recycling pick-up City-wide; and
  - (iii) providing a copy of the provincial regulations regarding the frequency of recycling pick-up in relation to the frequency of garbage pick-up.

Upon the question of the adoption of Motion A., moved by Councillor King, Motion B., moved by Councillor Moscoe, Motion C., moved by Councillor Flint, on behalf of Councillor Shiner and Motion D., moved by Councillor Flint, it was carried.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 34(h))**

**5.39 Report: Referral of Official Plan Amendment – 584952 Ontario Limited (UDOP-99-38) - 1100 Sheppard Avenue West – North York Spadina.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 7, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, North District, Urban Development Services, reporting on appeals of the Official Plan Amendment Application No. UDOP-99-38, filed by A. Milliken Heisey, solicitor for the applicant, 584952 Ontario Limited and recommending that:

- (1) Staff be directed to schedule a Community Consultation Meeting for March 28, 2000;
- (2) Staff be authorized to schedule a Public Meeting under the Planning Act to consider this application;
- (3) Notices for Community Consultation Meeting and the Public Meeting under the Planning Act, be given in accordance with the Regulations under the Planning Act; and
- (4) Staff be authorized to continue processing the application.

The North York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (February 29, 2000) from Councillor Moscoe, urging the applicant to withdraw his premature appeal and continue to work with the planning department and neighbours to design this community together; and
- (March 18, 2000) from Gerritt de Boer, Idomo Furniture International, in response to the communication from Councillor Moscoe.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, North York Spadina, the North York Community Council approved the foregoing report, subject to:

- (1) Recommendation (1) being amended to read as follows:

- “(1) Staff be directed to schedule a Community Consultation Meeting for March 28, 2000, such meeting to be held in the evening;”
- (2) Recommendation (2) being amended to read as follows:
- “(2) Staff be authorized to schedule a Public Meeting under the Planning Act to consider this application; such public meeting to be held in the evening;”
- (3) Recommendation (3) being amended to read as follows:
- “(3) Notices for the Community Consultation Meeting and the Public Meeting under the Planning Act, be given in accordance with the Regulations under the Planning Act; and as follows:
- (i) the circulation area for notice be extended to cover the area bounded by Faywood and Wilson Heights Boulevard on the east, Overbrook/Steeprock on the north, Chesswood on the west and Wilson Avenue on the south;
  - (ii) direct mailing be done to all persons who participated in the community planning working group regarding the city lands at the south-east corner of the Allen and Sheppard;
  - (iii) the notification by direct mail for statutory meetings be extended to those persons who registered at meetings held to consider the Technodome application and the application regarding the Downsview lands Official Plan Amendment; and
- (4) That the communication (February 29, 2000) from Councillor Moscoe, North York Spadina, be forwarded to the Ontario Municipal Board.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 34(i))**

**5.40 Report – Referral of Application for Zoning By-Law Amendment (UDZ-99-29) and Referral of Application for Approval of Plan of Subdivision (UDSB-1243) - Metrodome Properties Inc. – 5365 Leslie Street – Seneca Heights.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 1, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, North District, Urban Development Services, reporting on appeals of zoning by-law amendment application UDZ-99-29 and subdivision application UDSB-1243 filed by Murray Chusid, solicitor for the applicant, Metrodome Properties Inc.; and recommending that:

- (1) Staff be directed to schedule a Community Consultation meeting for March 28<sup>th</sup>, 2000;
- (2) Staff be authorized to schedule a Public Meeting under the Planning Act to consider this application;
- (3) Notices for the Community Consultation meeting and the Public Meeting under the Planning Act, be given according to the Regulations under the Planning Act; and,
- (4) Staff be authorized to continue processing the application.

On motion by Councillor King, Seneca Heights, the North York Community Council approved the foregoing report, subject to Recommendation No. (2) being amended to read as follows:

- “(2) Staff be authorized to schedule an evening Public Meeting under the Planning Act to consider this application at the North York Community Council meeting to be held on May 2, 2000;”

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 34(j))**

**5.41 Preliminary Report – Zoning By-Law Amendment No. Udz-97-50 and Draft Plan of Subdivision No. UDSB-1246 – Ramzanali Akbarali In Trust – 101 – 123 Bartley Drive – Don Parkway.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 3, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, North District, Urban Development Services, providing preliminary information on the above-noted applications and to seek Community Council's directions on further processing of the applications and recommending that:

- (1) Staff be authorized to schedule a Public Meeting under the Planning Act to consider these applications.
- (2) Notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act, be given according to the Regulations under the Planning Act.

On motion by Councillor Minnan-Wong, Don Parkway, the North York Community Council approved the foregoing report subject to Recommendation (2) being amended to read as follows:

- “(2) Notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act, be given according to the Regulations under the Planning Act; and including the area easterly to Cranborne Avenue”.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 34(k))**

**5.42 Preliminary Report – Application to Amend the Zoning By-Law No. Udz-99-42 – Medallion Properties Limited – 1555 Jane Street – North York Humber.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 9, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, North District, Urban Development Services, providing preliminary information on the above-noted application and to seek Community Council's directions on further processing of the application and on the community consultation process and recommending that:

- (1) The proponent file an application for an Official Plan Amendment and site plan approval in addition to the application to amend the Zoning By-law;

- (2) Staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting for April 4, 2000;
- (3) Staff be authorized to schedule a Public Meeting under the Planning Act to consider this application; and
- (4) Notice of the Public Meeting be given in the manner prescribed in the Regulations under the Planning Act.

The North York Community Council approved the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 34(I))**

**5.43 Final Report – Official Plan and Zoning Amendment Application No. UDOZ-99-18 and Site Plan Approval No. UDSP-99-083 – Options For Homes – 650 Lawrence Avenue West – North York Spadina.**

As directed by the North York Community Council at its meeting held on November 9, 1999, appropriate notice of this public meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act and the regulation thereunder.

The North York Community Council had before it the following report and communications:

- (March 3, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, North District, providing final recommendations respecting applications to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law 7625 of the former City of North York and for site plan approval to permit a 393 unit apartment dwelling and 51 townhouse units.
- (March 9, 2000) from the Area Planner, Provincial Planning and Environmental Services Branch, Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing; and
- (March 23, 2000) from Mr. Jeffrey P. Peters, SDC Holdings Ltd., expressing a strong interest in this application and advising that he has set a meeting date to review the applications and plans with city staff.

A staff presentation was made by Lynne Poole, Planner, Community Planning, North District.

The following persons appeared before the North York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Michael Labbe, Options for Homes, on behalf of the applicant, who commented on the merits of the application and requested that consideration of the matter not be deferred as was being suggested by the Ward Councillor; and
- Ms. Mady Cherninsky, who spoke in opposition to the proposed development. Her primary objections were with respect to increased traffic congestion and the negative impact the proposal would have on the existing hard and social services such as parks, schools, and recreational facilities.

A. Councillor Moscoe, North York Spadina, moved that:

- (1) the North York Community Council defer consideration of the report (March 3, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, North District, until such time the Planning and Transportation Committee deals with the issue of grants, levies or the forgiveness of development fees;
- (2) the Commissioner, Urban Development Services and the City Solicitor be requested to submit a joint report to the Planning and Transportation Committee for its meeting scheduled for April 25, 2000, on the following motion:

“PROVIDED THAT the applicant provide full and adequate financial disclosure satisfactory to the Treasurer for the City of Toronto for the purposes of considering the applicant’s request to defer all municipal fees, development charges and levies generated by this development application; and

PROVIDED THAT a conditional Offer of Purchase and Sale Agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner of Community Services and Housing has been made between the applicant and the City to enable a non-profit housing provider to secure 62 residential units at 650 Lawrence Avenue West, and provided that under such conditional agreement the Toronto Housing Company has a right of first refusal to be that non-profit housing provider;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT all municipal fees, development charges and levies be deferred and become a charge or lien against the property apportioned against each individual unit and registered on title; and

FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT all such deferred fees, charges and levies be secured under agreement(s) to be paid to the City of Toronto at such time as any residential unit is resold to any party; and

THAT the City Solicitor be directed to secure all such agreements as may be necessary to accomplish this direction”.

- (3) the Director, Community Planning, North District, be requested to submit a status report to the North York Community Council for consideration at its meeting scheduled on May 2, 2000, in the event the Planning and Transportation Committee does not deal with the joint report from the Commissioner of Urban Development and City Solicitor referred to in Recommendation (1) above;

B. Councillor Flint, North York Centre South, moved that the applicant be requested to negotiate with the Ward Councillors and the Director, Community Planning, North District, regarding the public art component/contribution in connection with the development proposed for 650 Lawrence Avenue West.

C. Councillor Moscoe, North York Spadina, moved that the following motions be tabled at such time as the official plan and zoning amendment application for 650 Lawrence Avenue West is being considered by the North York Community Council:

- (1) Amend Recommendation 4(a) in the report (March 3, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, North District, to read as follows:

“4 (a) to provide 62 units to a non-profit housing provider acceptable to the City to own and rent out under terms and conditions authorized by the Commissioner of Community Services and Housing and the satisfaction of the City Solicitor with the right of first refusal going to the City’s Housing Company”;



- (2) Add a further condition to Recommendation (4) to read as follows:
  - “4 (f) that the Director of Community Planning, North District, be permitted to negotiate an adjustment in conditions for parcel B to a maximum of 5% in order to secure funding to assist in the transfer of units in accordance with Recommendation 4 (a) referred to above;”
- (3) The City review the feasibility of closing the turning circle at the south end of Replin Road in order to create additional parkland adjacent to this site; and
- (4) Pedestrian access to Lawrence Heights via Replin Road be protected and enhanced.

Upon the question of the adoption of Motion A., moved by Councillor Moscoe, Motion B., moved by Councillor Flint and Motion C., moved by Councillor Moscoe, it was carried.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 34(m))**

**5.44 Final Report - Zoning By-Law Amendment Application UDZ-99-16 - 77, 79 83 Spring Garden Avenue and 153 Doris Avenue - Zoning By-Law Amendment Application UDZ-99-28 - 85,89,93, and 95 Spring Garden Avenue - Oxford Hills Development - Ward 10 - North York Centre.**

As directed by the North York Community Council at its meeting held on January 18, 2000, appropriate notice of this public meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act and the regulations thereunder.

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 1, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, North District, commenting on an application to amend the Zoning By-law to permit 43 condominium townhouse units on properties municipally known as 153 Doris Avenue and 77 to 95 Spring Garden Avenue and submitting recommendations with respect thereto.

The North York Community Council also had before it a report (March 21, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, North District, consolidating his Final Report recommendations dated March 1, 2000 with revised by-law standards for measuring established grade and for

measuring projections of garages, decks, balconies and bay windows into yards; which recommendations replace and supercede those in the report dated March 1, 2000.

A staff presentation was made by Mr. Dennis Glasgow, Senior Planner, Community Planning, North District, Urban Development Services.

Mr. Ken Slater, applicant, appeared before the North York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter, and he commented on the merits of the application. During his submission he also indicated that numerous meetings had been held with the Ward Councillors and area residents, as well as meetings with the neighbouring developer to the south-east and that many of the concerns have now been addressed. He also clarified that there would be shared registered facilities and agreements would be registered on title. Mr. Slater concluded his submission by stating that he concurred with the recommendations contained in the staff report.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, North York Spadina, on behalf of Councillor Filion, North York Centre, the North York Community Council, after considering the depositions and based on the findings of fact, conclusions and recommendations contained in the reports (March 1, 2000) and (March 21, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, North District, Urban Development Services and for the reasons that the proposal is an appropriate use of lands, recommended to City Council that the applications submitted by Oxford Hills Development regarding Zoning Amendment Applications UDZ-99-16 and UDZ-99-28 be approved, subject to the conditions outlined in the report dated March 21, 2000.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 30)**

**5.45 Planning a Barrier Free City of Toronto – A Statement of Planning Principles.**

The North York Community Council, reports having had before it during consideration of this matter, the following reports and communications:

- (i) (January 25, 2000) from Councillor Elizabeth Brown, Rexdale – Thistletown, forwarding the report headed “Planning a Barrier Free City of Toronto – A Statement of Planning Principles”, which was endorsed by the Etobicoke Community Council on January 20, 2000 and requesting North York Community Council’s endorsement of same and to advise the Commissioner of Urban Development Services accordingly.

North York Community Council Minutes  
Thursday, March 23, 2000

---

- (ii) (February 28, 2000) from the City Clerk, advising that the Etobicoke Barrier Free Accessibility Committee, at its meeting held on February 24, 2000:
  - (a) received the aforementioned communications;
  - (b) requested the North York Community Council to provide an opportunity for deputations respecting the aforementioned requested report from the Director, Community Planning, North District; and
  - (c) expressed its appreciation to Mr. Shane Holton for the document, headed “Planning a Barrier Free City of Toronto – A Statement of Principles.”
  
- (iii) (March 8, 2000) from the Director of Community Planning, North District, Urban Development Services, responding to a request for a follow-up report on the above-noted document in the context of the current Barrier-Free Access Guidelines in effect in North York and recommending that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to report back to the Planning and Transportation Committee on the potential to adopt the North York Barrier-Free Access Guidelines on a City-wide basis, including an assessment of the impacts of recent legislative changes and a consideration of other former municipal approaches.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, North York Spadina, the North York Community Council:

- (1) endorsed the report headed, “Planning a Barrier-Free City of Toronto – A Statement of Planning Principles”; and
  
- (2) endorsed the report (March 8, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, North District, Urban Development Services, and in so doing, requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report back to the Planning and Transportation Committee on the potential to adopt the North York Barrier-Free Access Guidelines on a City-wide basis, including an assessment of the impacts of recent legislative changes and a consideration of other former municipal approaches.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 34(n))**

**5.46 Tree Removal Request – 144 Upper Canada Drive – North York Centre South.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (February 29, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, providing information regarding an appeal to the Community Council from the owner of the above address to permit the removal of a City owned 36 cm Norway Maple from the lawn in front of their house and recommending that the request to remove the City owned tree be refused.

The North York Community Council also had before it a communication (February 21, 2000) from Ms. Heather Robinson, commenting on the tree root problem and requesting reimbursement for all costs she will incur to replace the private sewer connection due to tree root infiltration from a City-owned tree.

Mrs. Heather Robinson appeared before the North York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Flint, North York Centre South, the North York Community Council recommended to City Council, the adoption of the foregoing report.

A recorded vote on the motion, moved by Councillor Flint, North York Centre South, was as follows:

FOR: Councillors Valenti, Moscoe, Feldman, Flint, Chong, Minnan-Wong, Shiner, King

AGAINST: Nil

ABSENT: Councillors Mammoliti, Li Preti, Augimeri, Berger, Gardner, Filion

Carried.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 31)**

**5.47 Drain Grant Appeal – 144 Upper Canada Drive – Ward 9 – North York Centre South.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 3, 2000) from the Director of Water and Wastewater Operations, District 3 & 4, Works and Emergency Services, reporting on an appeal to the current Drain Grant Policy made by the property owner (Mrs. Heather Robinson) and recommending that this appeal be denied.

The North York Community Council reports, for the information of Council, having also had before it during consideration of the foregoing matter, a communication (March 18, 2000) from Ms. H. Robinson forwarding three estimates, and a copy thereof is on file in the office of the City Clerk, North York Civic Centre.

Mrs. Heather Robinson appeared before the North York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

- A. Councillor Flint, North York Centre South, moved that the applicant take the best tender and upon completion of the work, she be granted \$1,500.00 towards that cost and 50% of the costs in excess of the maximum grant.
- B. Councillor Shiner, Seneca Heights, moved that:
  - (1) the report (March 3, 2000) from the Director, Water and Wastewater Operations, District 3 and 4, not be adopted; and
  - (2) the applicant be reimbursed the full amount of \$2,400.00, subject to submitting to the Director, Water and Wastewater Operations, District 3 and 4, paid invoices for the completed work.”

A recorded vote on the motion moved by Councillor Shiner, Seneca Heights, was as follows:

FOR: Councillors Valenti, Moscoe, Feldman, Flint, Chong, Minnan-Wong, Shiner, King

AGAINST: Nil

ABSENT: Councillors Mammoliti, LiPreti, Augimeri, Berger, Gardner Filion

Carried.

Having regard for the foregoing decision of the North York Community Council, Motion A., moved by Councillor Flint, was not voted upon.

(City Council on February 29, March 1 and 2, 2000, re-opened Clause No. 3 of Report No. 7 of The Works and Utilities Committee, headed "Sewer Connection Blockage Inspection and Repair Program, and Tree Root Removal and Grants Policy", which was adopted, as amended, by City Council on May 11 and 12, 1999, and struck out and referred several Clauses in Report No. 4 of The Toronto Community Council regarding drain grant appeals to the Works Committee for further consideration.)

Having regard for the foregoing decision of Council, the recommendation of the North York Community Council with respect to the Drain Grant appeal for 144 Upper Canada Drive, was referred to the Works Committee for consideration and report thereon to Council.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 34(o))**

**5.47(a) Drain Grant Appeals.**

The North York Community Council had before it, for information, a copy of the report (March 20, 2000) from the Commissioner, Works and Emergency Services addressed to the Works Committee commenting on the current Drain Grant Appeal Process and what criteria should be established to ensure an appropriate method for dealing with drain grant appeals.

On motion by Councillor Shiner, Seneca Heights, the North York Community Council, subsequent to considering a drain grant appeal for 144 Upper Canada Drive, requested:

- (1) the Commissioner, Works and Emergency Services to submit a report directly to City Council for its meeting to be held on April 11, 12 and 13, 2000 outlining:
  - (a) the total amount reimbursed for drain claims per district for 1997, 1998 and 1999;
  - (b) the budgeted amount of drain claims per district for the year 2000, based on the criteria for the proposed Drain Grant Appeal process; and

- (c) the rationale for dealing with drain grant appeals at Community Council rather than at the Works Committee.
  
- (2) the Chief Administrative Officer to submit a report directly to City Council for its meeting to be held on April 11, 12 and 13, 2000, advising why the report (March 20, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Service submitted to the Works Committee, respecting the Drain Grant Appeal Process, did not contain the financial implications of implementing the proposed drain grant appeal process and why it was submitted without the signature of the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 34(p))**

**5.48 Fully Automated “Flower Pot” Waste Collection System.**

The North York Community Council had before it the following Resolution by Councillor Moscoe, North York Spadina:

“WHEREAS the North York Community Council at its meeting held on January 18, 2000, established a working group to deal with the Fully Automated “Flower Pot” Waste Collection System; and

WHEREAS the Secretariat does not provide support to working groups unless specifically directed to do so; and

WHEREAS a staff person from Works and Emergency Services has been asked to provide support to this working group but authorization is required.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that a staff person from Works and Emergency Services be assigned to the working group and the City Clerk’s Division be required to provide secretariat support.”

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, North York Spadina, the North York Community Council, in accordance with Subsection 121(b) of the Council Procedural By-law, waived the requirement that the supplementary item be placed on the agenda for the next subsequent regular meeting, and decided to consider same at that meeting, which carried, more than two-thirds of members present having voted in the affirmative.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the North York Community Council recommended to City Council, the adoption of the foregoing Resolution.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 32)**

**5.49 One Foot Reserve at the end of Rajah Street (Closed) – North York Centre South.**

The North York Community Council had before it a communication (March 21, 2000) from the City Clerk, Administration Committee advising that the Administration Committee at its meeting held on March 21, 2000, had before it a report (March 6, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services recommending that:

- (1) the one foot reserve at the northern extremity of Rajah Street (Closed) Parts 5, 6, 7 and 8, Plan 64R-16364 be declared surplus to municipal requirements and notice of a proposed sale be given to the public in accordance with the requirements of By-law No. 551-1998;
- (2) if there is no objection to the proposed sale, the lands be offered for the nominal sum of \$1.00 to the adjoining property owner, Baycrest Centre for Geriatric Care, subject to reserving easement rights over Part 6, 64R-16364 for the purpose of operating and maintaining an existing storm sewer; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto;

And further advising that Councillor Howard Moscoe, North York Spadina, appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter; and that the Administration Committee referred the aforementioned report to the North York Community Council for consideration at its meeting scheduled to be held on March 23, 2000.

- A. Councillor Berger, North York Centre South, moved that it be recommended to City Council that the report (March 6, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, embodied in the communication (March 21, 2000) from the City Clerk, Administration Committee, be adopted.
- B. Councillor Moscoe, North York Spadina, moved that:



- (1) the North York Community Council deferred sine die, the report (March 6, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, embodied in the communication (March 21, 2000) from the City Clerk, Administration Committee; and
- (2) the City Solicitor, and the Director, Community Planning, North District be requested to submit a joint report to the North York Community Council advising how the North York Community Council can be kept informed and notified when one foot reserves affect any properties which are the subject of reports before the North York Community Council.

Upon the question of the adoption of Motion B., moved by Councillor Moscoe, it was carried.

Having regard for the foregoing decision of the North York Community Council, Motion A., moved by Councillor Berger, was not voted upon.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 34(q))**

**5.50 Request for Exemption to Noise By-law No. 31317 – Sheppard Subway – North York Centre and Seneca Heights.**

The North York Community Council had before it a report (March 14, 2000) from the Manager, North District, Municipal Licensing and Standards and Court Services, Urban Development Services, reporting on an application from the Toronto Transit Commission for an extension to the most recent Exemption from Noise By-law which will expire on April 1, 2000. This application seeks an exemption from April 1, 2000 to September 30, 2000. The purpose for the request is so that work can proceed on the Yonge, Bayview, Bessarion, and Don Mills Subway Stations, and recommending that in view of the responsible management of the construction activities to date, that the application be approved.

North York Community Council Minutes  
Thursday, March 23, 2000

---

On motion by Councillor Shiner, Seneca Heights, the North York Community Council, in accordance with Subsection 121(b) of the Council Procedural By-law, waived the requirement that the supplementary item be placed on the agenda for the next subsequent regular meeting, and decided to consider same at that meeting, which carried, more than two-thirds of members present having voted in the affirmative.

On motion by Councillor Shiner, Seneca Heights, the North York Community Council recommended to City Council that:

- (1) at this time, an extension to the most recent exemption from Noise By-law 31317, be granted for April 1, 2000 to May 11, 2000 only;
- (2) the balance of the request for an extension to the most recent exemption from Noise By-law 31317; (from May 12, 2000 to September 30, 2000), outlined in the report dated March 14, 2000 from the Manager, North District, Municipal Licensing and Standards and Court Services be deferred and considered at the next meeting of the North York Community Council scheduled for May 2, 2000; and
- (3) the appropriate TTC Officials be invited to be in attendance at the May 2, 2000 meeting, to respond to any questions presented by Members of the North York Community Council.

**(Report No. 5 – Clause No. 33)**

The North York Community Council adjourned its meeting at 6:15 p.m., Thursday, March 23, 2000.

---

Chair.