

**THE CITY OF TORONTO**

**City Clerk=s Division**

**Minutes of the Policy and Finance Committee**

**Meeting No. 6**

**Thursday, June 22, 2000**

The Policy and Finance Committee met on Thursday, June 22, 2000, in Committee Room 1, 2nd Floor, City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:42 a.m.

Attendance

Members were present for some or all of the time period indicated.

	9:42 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.
Mayor Mel Lastman, Chair	X
Councillor Elizabeth Brown	X
Councillor Gordon Chong	X
Councillor Betty Disero	X
Councillor Tom Jakobek	-
Councillor Norm Kelly	X
Councillor Ron Moeser	X
Councillor Frances Nunziata	X
Councillor Case Ootes	X
Councillor Joe Pantalone	X
Councillor Kyle Rae	X

Councillor Case Ootes assumed the Chair.

Confirmation of Minutes.

On motion by Councillor Moeser, the Policy and Finance Committee confirmed the minutes of its meeting held on May 25, 2000.

**6-1. Complaint Made Under *The Development Charges Act, 1997* by 1327115 Ontario Limited Respecting Development Charges Payable for the Development of 559 Jarvis Street.**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it the following report and communication:

- (i) (June 8, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and City Solicitor providing information and recommendations for consideration at the hearing respecting a complaint made under Section 20(1) of the Development Charges Act, 1997 by 1327115 Ontario Limited regarding development of 559 Jarvis Street; advising that the Act provides that after hearing the evidence and submissions of the complainant, the complaint may be dismissed or any incorrect determination or error in the calculation of the development charge that was the subject of the complaint may be rectified; that the amount of \$13,330 is payable in respect of a development charge for the development of 559 Jarvis Street; that this calculation is in full compliance with all applicable provisions of the Development Charges By-law and the Development Charges Act, and is immediately payable thereunder; that there was no error in the calculation of the development charge payable and the complaint filed in respect thereof has no merit; and recommending that:
  - (1) the complaint respecting the development charge payable for the development of 559 Jarvis Street be dismissed; and
  - (2) the amount of \$13,330.00 be confirmed as the development charge payable for the development of 559 Jarvis Street; and
- (ii) (June 12, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on June 7, 8 and 9, 2000, during its consideration of Clause No. 6 contained in Report No. 7 of the Policy and Finance Committee, headed "Complaint Made Under the Development Charges Act, 1977", which was adopted without amendment, took the following action:
  - (1) directed that the Policy and Finance Committee hold a hearing at its meeting scheduled to be held on June 22, 2000, in respect of the development charge complaint made on behalf of the owner of 559 Jarvis Street, in accordance with Section 20(4) of the Development Charges Act, 1977; and

Policy and Finance Committee Minutes  
Thursday, June 22, 2000

---

- (2) delegated authority to the Policy and Finance Committee to hold all future hearings in respect of complaints made under Section 20(1) of the Act.

Ms. Jane Burton appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee, on behalf of 1327115 Ontario Limited, in connection with the foregoing matter and in support of the complaint.

(Mayor Lastman declared his interest in the foregoing matter, in that his son, who is not a real estate lawyer, is employed by the law firm that was involved when the by-law was developed.)

On motion by Councillor Moeser, the Policy and Finance Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of the joint report (June 8, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the City Solicitor; and
- (2) received the foregoing communication (June 12, 2000) from the City Clerk.

**(Clause No. 20 – Report No. 9)**

**6-2. Provincial Local Services Realignment – Making it Work,  
and Towards a New Relationship With Ontario and Canada.**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it the following reports and communications:

- (i) (June 6, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer, entitled “Towards a New Relationship with Ontario and Canada”, advising that this report is intended to initiate a dialogue with the Provincial and Federal Governments about a new relationship between the City of Toronto and the other orders of government; that the directions recommended in this report are achievable within the existing constitutional framework; that the new relationships envisioned do not require secession or elevation of Toronto to provincial status; and recommending that:
  - (1) Council endorse, in principle, the changes that the City of Toronto should be seeking in its relationships with the provincial and federal governments, including its request to be established as a Charter City, as set out in this report;

Policy and Finance Committee Minutes  
Thursday, June 22, 2000

---

- (2) Council endorse the strategy set out in this report to achieve the desired changes in the City of Toronto's relationships with the provincial and federal governments;
  - (3) a Team Toronto, composed of a group of Councillors, be established that can provide advice to the Mayor and political guidance to staff on implementation of the strategy;
  - (4) the terms of reference for Team Toronto, set out in Attachment No. 1, be adopted;
  - (5) a copy of this report be sent to the Prime Minister of Canada, the Premier of Ontario, the provincial Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing, the federal Minister for the Greater Toronto Area, the Presidents of FCM and AMO, the leaders of the federal and Ontario official opposition parties and the heads of Council in the major cities in each Canadian province; and
  - (6) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto;
- (ii) (June 6, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, entitled "Provincial Local Services Realignment – Making it Work", providing background on the Province's Local Services Realignment process, to highlight the difficulties this placed on Toronto and the reasons why the City is not able to absorb the net impact of this downloading, and to initiate a process to make the realignment work; and recommending that:
- (1) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be directed to contract an independent third party auditor acceptable to the Province, to verify the financial impacts on the City of the Province's Local Services Realignment;
  - (2) this initiative be funded from the Corporate Contingency Account at a cost not to exceed \$20,000; and
  - (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto;
- (iii) (May 12, 2000) from Mayor Mel Lastman forwarding, for the consideration of the Policy and Finance Committee, a copy of correspondence between the Premier of Ontario and Mayor Lastman respecting provincial downloading;

Policy and Finance Committee Minutes  
Thursday, June 22, 2000

---

- (iv) (May 16, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that City Council, at its meeting held on May 9, 10 and 11, 2000:
- (1) received a presentation from Mayor Lastman, Mr. Patrick Moyle, Executive Director, Association of Municipalities of Ontario, the Chief Administrative Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer in response to the communication dated May 10, 2000, addressed to the Mayor, from the Premier of Ontario, with respect to provincial downloading;
  - (2) was advised by Mayor Lastman that he would be submitting material to the Policy and Finance Committee for consideration at its next regular meeting scheduled to be held on May 25, 2000, in this regard; and
  - (3) referred the following motion by Councillor Ashton to the Policy and Finance Committee for further consideration with the material to be submitted by Mayor Lastman:  
  
“BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Province of Ontario bring transparency and accountability with respect to the financial impact of disentanglement and amalgamation by hiring an independent auditor to determine that provincial totals for service costs reflect the full and true costs of delivering these services.”;
- (v) (May 18, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on May 9, 10 and 11, 2000, referred a motion moved by Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Silva, respecting the holding of a public referendum as part of the 2000 municipal election to determine public support for proceeding with separation from the Province of Ontario, together with a number of proposed amendments thereto, to the Chief Administrative Officer, with the request that he submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee, as soon as possible, on:
- (1) a comprehensive strategy to deal with the issues raised; and
  - (2) the issue of “Charter Cities” and any other alternative deemed appropriate to halt the provincial download;
- (vi) (June 21, 2000) from Mr. Brian Maguire, Secretary, North Hill district Home Owners’ Association, supporting the concept of a Toronto Charter and the increased powers and responsibilities that would go with it; and
- (vii) (June 21, 2000) from Mr. David Vallance forwarding comments respecting the secession of the City of Toronto from the Province of Ontario.

Policy and Finance Committee Minutes  
Thursday, June 22, 2000

---

The following persons appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Olga Kremko;
- Ms. Ruth Cohen, and filed a written submission in regard thereto;
- Mr. David Vallance;
- Ms. Hilda Jones;
- Mr. Dan King, and filed a written submission in regard thereto; and
- Mr. Alan Broadbent, Chairman, Avana Capital, and filed:
  - (i) a written submission in regard thereto;
  - (ii) a publication, entitled "Toronto Considering Self-Government"; and
  - (iii) a paper entitled "Towards a Greater Toronto Charter – A Declaration for the Greater Toronto Region"

Councillor Michael Walker, North Toronto, also appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

A. Mayor Lastman moved that the Policy and Finance Committee recommend to Council:

- (I) the adoption of the joint report (June 6, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, entitled "Provincial Local Services Realignment – Making it Work", subject to amending Recommendation No. (1) to read as follows:

"(1) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be directed to proceed to contract an independent third party auditor acceptable to the Province, to verify the financial impacts on the City of the Province's Local Services Realignment; and if the Province decides against participating, within two weeks, the City proceed on its own to contract the auditor;"

Policy and Finance Committee Minutes  
Thursday, June 22, 2000

---

so that the Recommendations embodied in the  
aforementioned report now read as follows:

- “(1) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be directed to proceed to contract an independent third party auditor acceptable to the Province, to verify the financial impacts on the City of the Province’s Local Services Realignment; and if the Province decides against participating, within two weeks, the City proceed on its own to contract the auditor;”;
  - (2) this initiative be funded from the Corporate Contingency Account at a cost not to exceed \$20,000; and
  - (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto;”;
- (II) the adoption of the report June 6, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer, entitled “Towards a New Relationship with Ontario and Canada”, wherein it is recommended that:
- (1) Council endorse, in principle, the changes that the City of Toronto should be seeking in its relationships with the Provincial and Federal governments, including its request to be established as a Charter City, as set out in this report;
  - (2) Council endorse the strategy set out in this report to achieve the desired changes in the City of Toronto’s relationships with the Provincial and Federal governments;
  - (3) a Team Toronto, composed of a group of Councillors, be established that can provide advice to the Mayor and political guidance to staff on implementation of the strategy;
  - (4) the terms of reference for Team Toronto, set out in Attachment No. 1, be adopted;

Policy and Finance Committee Minutes  
Thursday, June 22, 2000

---

- (5) a copy of this report be sent to the Prime Minister of Canada, the Premier of Ontario, the provincial Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing, the Federal Minister for the Greater Toronto Area, the Presidents of FCM and AMO, the leaders of the Federal and Ontario official opposition parties and the heads of Council in the major cities in each Canadian province; and
  - (6) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto. **(Carried)**
- B. Councillor Pantalone moved that the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to submit a report to the meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee scheduled to be held on July 20, 2000, on the implementation mechanism that will be utilized to achieve a new relationship with Ontario and Canada. **(Carried)**
- C. Councillor Rae moved that the foregoing motion B. moved by Councillor Pantalone be amended by adding thereto the following:
- “including citizen engagement in the process, such report to indicate how citizen participation will be strengthened and financed to make it a key component of the strategy. **(Carried)**
- (Councillor Kelly was opposed to the foregoing motions B. and C.)**
- D. Councillor Rae moved, on behalf of Councillor Walker, that the appropriate City staff be requested to:
- (1) submit a report to the next meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee on holding a public plebiscite as part of the 2000 municipal election to determine public support for proceeding with the establishment of the Province of Toronto;
  - (2) develop an extensive communication package outlining the arguments (financial and social) for and against provincial status and a plan to provoke full participation and debate on the part of the citizens prior to the plebiscite. **(Lost)**

**The decision of the Policy and Finance Committee, therefore, is as follows:**

The Policy and Finance Committee:

- (A) recommended to Council:
- (I) the adoption of the joint report (June 6, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, entitled "Provincial Local Services Realignment – Making it Work", subject to amending Recommendation No. (1) to read as follows:
- “(1) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be directed to proceed to contract an independent third party auditor acceptable to the Province, to verify the financial impacts on the City of the Province’s Local Services Realignment; and if the Province decides against participating, within two weeks, the City proceed on its own to contract the auditor;”,
- so that the Recommendations embodied in the aforementioned report now read as follows:
- “(1) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be directed to proceed to contract an independent third party auditor acceptable to the Province, to verify the financial impacts on the City of the Province’s Local Services Realignment; and if the Province decides against participating, within two weeks, the City proceed on its own to contract the auditor;”;
- (2) this initiative be funded from the Corporate Contingency Account at a cost not to exceed \$20,000; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto;”;

- (II) the adoption of the report June 6, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer, entitled “Towards a New Relationship with Ontario and Canada”, wherein it is recommended that:
  - (1) Council endorse, in principle, the changes that the City of Toronto should be seeking in its relationships with the Provincial and Federal governments, including its request to be established as a Charter City, as set out in this report;
    - (2) Council endorse the strategy set out in this report to achieve the desired changes in the City of Toronto’s relationships with the Provincial and Federal governments;
    - (3) a Team Toronto, composed of a group of Councillors, be established that can provide advice to the Mayor and political guidance to staff on implementation of the strategy;
    - (4) the terms of reference for Team Toronto, set out in Attachment No. 1, be adopted;
    - (5) a copy of this report be sent to the Prime Minister of Canada, the Premier of Ontario, the provincial Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing, the Federal Minister for the Greater Toronto Area, the Presidents of FCM and AMO, the leaders of the Federal and Ontario official opposition parties and the heads of Council in the major cities in each Canadian province; and
    - (6) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto; and
  - (2) requested the Chief Administrative Officer to submit a report to the meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee scheduled to be held on July 20, 2000, on the implementation mechanism that will be utilized to achieve a new relationship with Ontario and Canada, including citizen engagement in the process, such report to indicate how citizen participation will be strengthened and financed to make it a key component of the strategy.

**(Clause No. 1 – Report No. 9)**

**6-3. Accord Between the City of Toronto and the Greater Toronto Airports Authority.**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it the following material:

- (i) report (June 19, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer recommending that:
  - (1) the Policy and Finance Committee defer consideration of the recommendations 2 to 7 until its meeting of July 20, 2000, and further that at the Policy and Finance Committee meeting of July 20 the following recommendations be considered:
  - (2) the draft Accord between the City of Toronto and the Greater Toronto Airports Authority (GTAA) as appended in Appendix "A" to this report be approved;
  - (3) the draft Accord, as approved, be forwarded to the GTAA Board for its consideration;
  - (4) City Council request Transport Canada, NAV Canada, and the GTAA to proactively monitor and enforce compliance with current regulations, standards and procedures governing airport operations at L.B. Pearson International Airport;
  - (5) City Council forward a copy of this report and the Accord, as approved, to the Federal Ministers of Transportation/Minister Responsible for the Greater Toronto Area, Health and Environment with a request that the federal government initiate a review of international best practices for airport operations and assess the environmental, social and economic implications of alternative noise mitigation, air quality and water quality improvement policy options at LBPIA, such studies to include full public consultation;
  - (6) the City Clerk forward a copy of this report and the Accord, as approved, to the Region of Peel, Mississauga, Brampton, Caledon, the Region of York, Town of Vaughan and the Provincial Ministers of Municipal Affairs and Housing and Environment for their information; and

Policy and Finance Committee Minutes  
Thursday, June 22, 2000

---

- (7) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto;
- (ii) communication (June 21, 2000) from Councillor Dick O'Brien, Markland Centennial, expressing his support for the deferral of the matter of the Draft Accord between the City of Toronto and the Greater Toronto Airports Authority until the next meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee to be held on July 20, 2000;
- (iii) communication (June 21, 2000) from Councillor Mario Giansante, Chair, Etobicoke Community Council, advising that a one month deferral of the report respecting the Draft Accord between the City of Toronto and the Greater Toronto Airports Authority does not provide the community enough time to respond, particularly with the summer break; and urging the Committee to defer consideration of this matter until its September, 2000, meeting in order to allow further community consultation now that the Draft Accord has been made public;
- (iv) communication (June 21, 2000) from Councillor Lindsay Luby, Kingsway Humber, advising that she has indicated to the Deputy Mayor that the Etobicoke Federation of Residents and Ratepayers Association is requesting a deferral of the report respecting the Draft Accord between the City of Toronto and the Greater Toronto Airports Authority; and trusting that the Policy and Finance Committee will be able to accede to this request;
- (v) communication (June 21, 2000) from Councillor Bruce Sinclair, Rexdale Thistletown, advising that he concurs completely with the request from EFRRA that the Policy and Finance Committee defer deliberation and consequent decisions on the proposed accord between the City of Toronto and the GTAA, until such time as full public input has been received; and that he also concurs with the following suggestions that:
- (1) community-approved noise and environmental pollution control limits are adequately reflected in the accord;
- (2) the accord integrate a new report "LBPIA Noise Impact Assessment and Review Report";
- (3) the report integrate MOH Dr. Sheela Basrur's reports: "The Health Effects of Noise" and "Air Pollution Burden of Illness in Toronto"; and
- (vi) written submissions from the following persons respecting the foregoing matter:
- (May 24, 2000) from Mr. Michael Bricks, Environmental Planner, Cole, Sherman;

- 13 –  
Policy and Finance Committee Minutes  
Thursday, June 22, 2000

---

- (October 2, 1999) from Mr. Stewart Cook;
- (June 3, 2000) from Mr. Stewart Cook;
- (June 7, 2000) from Mr. Duncan I. Sillars;
- (June 7, 2000) from Ms. Irene S. Sillars;
- (June 7, 2000) from Ms. Jane Mowat;
- (June 8, 2000) from Wayne and Marie Reddick;
- (June 8, 2000) from Mr. E.M. Kurak, M.A. Sc.;
- (June 8, 2000) from Mr. Goretti Blimkie, C.A.;
- (June 9, 2000) from Ms. June Moyle;
- (June 12, 2000) from Ms. Pat Findlay;
- (June 12, 2000) from L. Carroll;
- (June 13, 2000) from Mr. D. Beards, P.Eng. MIM C.Eng.;
- (undated) from Ms. Rose Belyk;
- (undated) from Ms. Susan Ezeard;
- (undated) from B. Carito;
- (undated) from Mrs. Margaret Baxter;
- (July 17, 1999) from Mr. Martin Kramer and Ms. Helen M. Kramer;
- (June 5, 2000) from Mr. Larry Carroll;
- (Undated) from L. R. Daubner;
- (June 20, 2000) from Ms. Sylvia Giovanella, President, Etobicoke Federation of Ratepayer's and Residents' Association (EFRRA);
- (Undated) from Mr. John and Ms. Laurel Sherlock;
- (Undated) from Mr. Stan Lucy;

- 14 –  
Policy and Finance Committee Minutes  
Thursday, June 22, 2000

---

- (June 21, 2000) from Ms. Susan Priest;
- (June 22, 2000) from Mr. and Mrs. J. Culmore;
- (June 21, 2000) from Mr. Trent Howard;
- (June 21, 2000) from Mr. Roy Jane;
- (June 20, 2000) from Mr. Ken Chong;
- (June 19, 2000) from Mr. James Kirkpatrick; and
- (June 20, 2000) from Ms. Sylvia Giovanella, President, Etobicoke Federation of Ratepayer's and Residents' Association (EFRRA).

Councillor Mario Giansante, Kingsway Humber, appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

Councillor Moeser moved, on behalf of Councillor Giansante, that the Policy and Finance Committee:

- (1) defer consideration of the foregoing report and communications until its meeting scheduled to be held on September 21, 2000, and that such item be considered by the Committee at 4:00 p.m.;
- (2) request the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism to hold a public meeting prior to September, 2000, to give all interested parties an opportunity to review the documents pertaining to the Accord and make submissions and comments in regard thereto; and
- (3) request the City Clerk to include with the agenda of the Policy and Finance Committee for its meeting on September 21, 2000, all submissions and documents filed respecting this matter.

(Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; City Clerk; All Interested Parties – June 23, 2000)

**(Clause No. 22(a) – Report No. 9)**

**6-4. Harbourfront – Commitment to Renew Operating Agreement with Harbourfront Centre for the Period April 1, 2001 to March 31, 2006 (Downtown).**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (June 12, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism recommending that:

- (1) the current annual commitment to Harbourfront Centre of \$750,000 per year be extended for an additional five years from April 1, 2001 to March 31, 2006 or until Harbourfront ceases to exist, ceases to operate Harbourfront or loses its non-profit status;
- (2) the current arrangement between the City and Harbourfront Centre for operation of the parking lot on the lands known as MLQ2 be extended until the City provides notice that it has scheduled the park work – environmental remediation, site preparation, parking construction, park construction, and/or that it requires the site for special programming purposes, or until April 1, 2006, whichever occurs earlier;
- (3) the City enter into an agreement with Harbourfront Centre with respect to Recommendations 1 and 2 on such additional terms and conditions as deemed necessary or appropriate by the City Solicitor and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism;
- (4) subject to City Council adopting Recommendation 1 above, the Government of Canada be advised that the City of Toronto has committed to extend the financial support requested by Harbourfront Centre from April 1, 2001 to March 31, 2006 and be requested to confirm its commitment and join with the City in implementing a further five year financial plan to ensure its financial stability and continuation of its public activities without interruption when the Monahan Plan terminates; and
- (5) the appropriate City official be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (June 12, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

**(Clause No. 7 – Report No. 9)**

**6-5. The Heritage Conservation Tax Back Grant, Procedures for Implementation (All Wards).**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a joint report (June 8, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services defining a procedure for implementing Council's decision to offer conditional tax back grants as an incentive for private sector owners to conserve designated heritage properties; and recommending that:

- (1) Council adopt the procedures for the Heritage Conservation Tax Back Grant Programme contained in the Attachment No. 1; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing joint report (June 8, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

**(Clause No. 8 – Report No. 9)**

**6-6. December 31, 1999 Operating Budget Variance Report.**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (June 13, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that:

- (1) the Operating variance report for period ending December 31, 1999, be received for information; and
- (2) the technical adjustments to the 1999 Operating Budget as outlined in Appendix F, be approved.

The Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (June 13, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer.

**(Clause No. 19 – Report No. 9)**

**6-7. City of Toronto Quarterly Investment Report  
as at March 31, 2000.**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (June 5, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer summarizing the holdings in the City's investment portfolios; reporting on year to date returns; advising that the City continues to receive returns on its investments that generally exceed established benchmarks; that interest earnings attributable to the Operating Budget are projected to meet the budget expectations at year end; that the City's investments are in compliance with the Council adopted investment policies; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Policy and Finance Committee received the foregoing report (June 5, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, and directed that such report be forwarded to Council for information.

(Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer – June 23, 2000)

**(Clause No. 21 – Report No. 9)**

**6-8. Hot Weather Response Plan for the Summer of 2000.**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it the following communications:

- (i) (May 29, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Board of Health on May 29, 2000, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee the adoption of the report (May 12, 2000) from the Medical Officer of Health respecting the Hot Weather Response Plan for the Summer of 2000, wherein it is recommended that:
  - (1) the Medical Officer of Health call a Heat Warning when there is a weather forecast involving a Humidex 40 degrees Celsius or greater for at least a two day period, and that this Heat Warning activate the Hot Weather Response protocol as outlined in this report;
  - (2) the Mayor declare a Heat Emergency when there is a weather forecast involving a Humidex greater than 45 degrees Celsius for at least a two day period, and that the Medical Officer of Health activate the City's emergency operations centre under the provisions of the City's Emergency Plan;

- (3) funds up to \$40,000 for the Hot Weather Response be reallocated within the Public Health 2000 Operating Budget from underspending in the Cold Weather Alert program;
  - (4) Toronto Public Health continue to participate in research into the relationship between extreme hot weather and health impacts, including the establishment of a Toronto specific heat response threshold;
  - (5) Toronto Public Health continue to develop a long-term plan that addresses the broader issues of climate change and global warming in collaboration with community partners and other stakeholders;
  - (6) the Medical Officer of Health report to the Board of Health the results of the summer alerts in the fall of 2000;
  - (7) this report be forwarded to the Policy and Finance Committee; and
  - (8) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary steps to give effect thereto; and
- (ii) (June 1, 2000) from Councillor Jack Layton, Co-Chair Advisory Committee on Homeless and Socially Isolated Persons, advising that the Advisory Committee on Homeless and Socially Isolated Persons reviewed the Medical Officer of Health's report on the proposed Hot Weather Response Plan for the Summer of 2000, stating that the Advisory Committee considers the proposed Hot Weather Response Plan to be inadequate; and submitting recommendations in regard thereto.

The following persons appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Sharole B. Gabriel, and filed a written submission in regard thereto;
- Ms. Cathy Crowe, Toronto Disaster Relief Committee; and filed a written submission in regard thereto;
- Ms. Anita Young, Street Health, and filed a written submission in regard thereto; and
- Pat Larson, Parkdale Activity and Recreation Centre, and filed a written submission in regard thereto.

Councillor Jack Layton, Don River, also appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

- A. Councillor Rae moved that the Policy and Finance Committee:
- (1) recommend to Council the adoption of the Recommendation of the Board of Health embodied in the communication (May 29, 2000) from the Secretary, Board of Health, and
  - (2) refer the communication (June 1, 2000) from Councillor Jack Layton, Co-Chair, Advisory Committee on Homeless and Socially Isolated Persons, to the Medical Officer of Health, the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, for report thereon directly to Council for its meeting scheduled to be held on July 4, 2000. **(Carried)**
- B. Councillor Kelly moved that Recommendations Nos. 1(a), 1(c), (6) and (8) be referred to the appropriate City staff for a report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee. **(This motion was declared by the Chair to be redundant.)**

(Medical Officer of Health; Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services; Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; c: All Interested Parties – June 23, 2000)

**(Clause No. 2 – Report No. 9)**

**6-9. Scarborough Community Council – City-Wide  
Basketball League – All Wards,  
Scarborough Community Council.**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (June 6, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism reporting as requested by the Scarborough Community Council on the issue of funding support for a venue in the Scarborough Community Council for the East District Teams playing in the City-wide Basketball League; advising that the Parks and Recreation Division, East District, has been able to identify sponsorship support to off-set the costs associated with the obligations of the home teams in the East District for participation in the City-wide

Basketball League for the balance of this season; that further discussions will be held prior to 2001, on examining various options to off-set the costs associated with the operation of the program; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Policy and Finance Committee received the foregoing report.

(Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism –  
June 23, 2000)

**(Clause No. 22(b) – Report No. 9)**

**6-10. Transition Funding for a Unified  
Business Application System for the  
Transportation Services Division.**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (May 17, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer responding to a request for additional information from the Budget Advisory Committee at its meeting of January 12, 2000 regarding the transition funding for a Unified Business Application System for the Transportation Services Division; advising that since amalgamation, the transportation business systems inherited from the former municipalities continue to be used; that in order to facilitate and support the harmonization of business procedures and the standardization of services across the City, a unified set of business systems is required; that this project is essential to ensure that current services are provided without increasing staffing levels or incurring other costs; that a total of \$2.83 million annually in cost reductions and avoidances will be realized once these systems are in place; that the approval of the expenditure plan contained in this report is a key component to the amalgamation efforts of the Transportation Services Division of the Works and Emergency Services Department; and recommending that the condition on the above approval be removed and that the program be authorized to proceed with the Unified Business Application System.

The Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (May 17, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer.

**(Clause No. 9 – Report No. 9)**

**6-11. Use of Homes for the Aged Capital Reserve for Upgrades to the Resident Security System.**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (May 24, 2000) from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services requesting Council approval for the Homes for the Aged Division to draw on funds available in the Homes for the Aged (HFA) Capital Reserve, so that the resident security system may be upgraded in various Homes to decrease the risks associated with residents at risk to wander; and recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to proceed immediately with the resident safety upgrades identified in the body of this report, at a total combined cost of no more than \$250,000.00;
- (2) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be authorized to approve future expenditures from this account, providing the total dollar value of the project does not exceed \$250,000.00; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (May 24, 2000) from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services.

**(Clause No. 10 – Report No. 9)**

**6-12. Criteria for Property Tax Exemption Under Section 3(16) of The Assessment Act; Request for Tax Exemption for 260 Adelaide Street East, Toronto's First Post Office; and Request for Tax Exemption of 78-80 Gerrard Street East, Gallery Arcturus.**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (May 30, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Assessment and Tax Policy Task Force on May 30, 2000:

- (A) recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee, and Council, that:

Policy and Finance Committee Minutes  
Thursday, June 22, 2000

---

- (1) the criteria, as set out in Appendix A of the report (May 15, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, for consenting to property tax exemptions under Section 3(16) of the Assessment Act, R.S.O. 1990, be adopted, subject to the addition of a new criteria 3(4) to read:

“3(4) Each application is unique and should be considered on its own merits.”
  - (2) despite the criteria contained in the report (May 15, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, and since the First Post Office at 252 Adelaide Street East is a unique historical part of Toronto which provides an exhibit of historical benefits to the community; and since there are no admission fees charged; and since it is a non-profit organization; the requirement for a 99 year lease or ownership be waived and the First Post Office be exempted from property tax under Section 3(16) of the Assessment Act, as long as the space is occupied by Toronto’s First Post Office;
  - (3) O.P.A.C. provide a space utilization report respecting the First Post Office at 252 Adelaide Street East to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer;
  - (4) Council not consent to exempt the space occupied by the Gallery Arcturus on the land municipally known as 78 Gerrard Street East under Section 3(16) of the Assessment Act, R.S.O. 1990;
  - (5) the request put forward by the Gallery Arcturus for property tax exemption be forwarded to the Non-Profit Working Group of the City of Toronto’s Business Reference Group for consideration in their development of a comprehensive tax policy for charitable and similar organizations;
  - (6) The City Clerk be instructed to inform Toronto’s First Post Office, the Gallery Arcturus, and the Ontario Property Assessment Corporation of Council’s decision with respect to Recommendation No. (2) and Recommendation No. (3) above; and
  - (7) The appropriate civic officials be authorized and directed to take any necessary action to give effect to the foregoing; and
- (B) requested the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer to forward to the Committee a summary of those buildings granted exemption under Section 3(16) of the Assessment Act with the amount of annual taxes foregone.

The Policy and Finance Committee also had before it a report (June 14, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer providing a summary of the taxes foregone for the three non-profit exhibition buildings in the City of Toronto granted property tax exemption for public exhibition space on privately-owned property under Section 3(16) of the Assessment Act; advising that the annual municipal share of taxes (City and Metro) for the three properties exempted from taxation totaled \$492,319.00; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Policy and Finance Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of the Recommendations of the Assessment and Tax Policy Task Force embodied in the communication (May 30, 2000) from the City Clerk; and
- (2) received the report (June 14, 2000), from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer.

(Also See Minute No. 6-27)

**(Clause No. 12 – Report No. 9)**

**6-13. Etobicoke Safety Committees.**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (May 25, 2000) from Councillors Rob Davis and Brad Duguid, Co-Chairs, Task Force on Community Safety advising that the Task Force on Community Safety at an informal meeting on May 8, 2000, requested its Co-Chairs to recommend to the Policy and Finance Committee, and Council, that the recommendations of the Etobicoke Community Council respecting the Etobicoke Safety Committees be adopted subject to Recommendation No. (2) being amended to read as follows:

- “(2) the Grants Sub-Committee be requested to review an application from the Etobicoke Safety Committees;”

so that the Recommendations of the Etobicoke Community Council now read as follows:

- “(1) a new organization be established to be known as the Etobicoke Safe Community Association (ESCA), comprised of five citizen representatives of each of the three existing community safety groups; (Crime S.C.O.P.E., Etobicoke Safety Council, Toronto Crime Prevention Association), and one representative of each of the following:
- Etobicoke Community Council;
  - Toronto District School Board;

Policy and Finance Committee Minutes  
Thursday, June 22, 2000

---

- Toronto Catholic District School Board;
  - Toronto Police Service;
  - Toronto Fire Service;
  - Community Social Planning Council of Toronto; and
  - Crime Concerns;
- (2) the Grants Sub-Committee be requested to review an application from the Etobicoke Safety Committees;
- (3) the Association liaise with the Etobicoke Community Council in an advisory and advocacy capacity in the development of community programs to increase the safety consciousness of the public, government, business and industrial sectors of the Etobicoke community, including, but not limited to:
- (i) traffic safety;
  - (ii) local crime prevention;
  - (iii) safe, clean neighbourhoods (vandalism/gangs); and
  - (iv) high priority issues that may occur from time to time, such as drug dealing/abuse, housing standards, etc.; and
- (4) the Association continue to avail itself of the outside financial and advisory resources available to existing groups.”

The Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the Recommendation embodied in the foregoing communication (May 25, 2000) from the Co-Chairs, Task Force on Community Safety.

**(Clause No. 18 – Report No. 9)**

**6-14. Power Interruptions in Ward 16, Scarborough Highland Creek.**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (May 24, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer forwarding for information a report from Toronto Hydro concerning its action plan to address power outages in Ward 16.

The following persons appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Pietro Schembri; and
- Mr. Roberto Anzivino.

On motion by Councillor Moeser, the Policy and Finance Committee:

- (1) requested the President and Chief Executive Officer of Toronto Hydro, to submit a report to Council, through the Policy and Finance Committee, respecting compensating the residents of Scarborough Highland Creek for damages caused by power interruptions in that area, such report to advise whether any revisions are necessary to compensate residents who have been affected by power interruptions; and
- (2) received the foregoing report (May 24, 2000) from Chief Administrative Officer.

(Mr. John Brooks, President and Chief Executive Officer of Toronto Hydro; c. Mr. Pietro Schembri; Mr. Roberto Anzivino – June 23, 2000)

**(Clause No. 22(c) – Report No. 9)**

**6-15. Consolidated Financial Statements of the  
Toronto Transit Commission – December 31, 1999.**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (June 1, 2000) from the General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission, advising that the Toronto Transit Commission on May 31, 2000, adopted the Consolidated Financial Statements for the Commission for the year ended December 31, 1999 without amendment, and directed that a copy be forwarded to Toronto City Council, through the Policy and Finance Committee for information, as required by the City of Toronto Act.

The Policy and Finance Committee received the foregoing communication.

**(Clause No. 22(d) – Report No. 9)**

**6-16. New Telephone Charges to Councillors' Offices.**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (June 5, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Telecommunications Steering Committee on June 1, 2000, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee, and Council, that:

- (1) with respect to new telephone charges to Councillors' offices, these amounts be charged against the General Council budget, rather than Councillors' office budgets, with funds provided from the Corporate Services Information and Technology budget;
- (2) should a shortfall result, such amount be charged against the Corporate Contingency Account; and
- (3) the City Clerk be requested to report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee with respect to these costs advising of any resulting shortfall.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Policy and Finance Committee requested the Executive Director of Information and Technology, in consultation with the Commissioner of Corporate Services, to submit a report directly to Council for its meeting scheduled to be held on July 4, 2000, respecting the Recommendations of the Telecommunications Steering Committee embodied in the foregoing communication (June 5, 2000) from the City Clerk.

(Executive Director of Information and Technology; Commissioner of Corporate Services – June 23, 2000)

**(Clause No. 17 – Report No. 9)**

**6-17. Mondeo Park Development – Additional Funding From Tridel Development (Scarborough Wexford).**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (June 20, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Economic Development and Parks Committee on June 19, 2000, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee, and Council, the adoption of the report (May 31, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, wherein it is recommended that:

- (1) funding in the amount of \$24,517.98 from Tridel be accepted by the City;

- (2) expenditures and revenues in the Parks and Recreation 2000 Capital Budget, Mondeo Park Development (SAP capital account CPR103-14) be increased by \$24,517.98 and be applied to finishing grading and sodding of the site;
- (3) this report be forwarded to Policy and Finance Committee for consideration; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the Recommendation of the Economic Development and Parks Committee embodied in the foregoing communication (June 20, 2000) from the City Clerk.

**(Clause No. 16 – Report No. 9)**

**6-18. Request for Exemptions from Building Permit Fees in the Scarborough Community.**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it the following communications:

- (i) (May 23, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that City Council, at its meeting held on May 9, 10 and 11, 2000, referred the following Motion to the Policy and Finance Committee:

**Moved by: Councillor Soknacki**

**Seconded by: Councillor Ashton**

**“WHEREAS** there have been a number of requests for exemptions from building permit fees in the Scarborough Community; and

**WHEREAS** in the Scarborough Community, all applicants have been exempted from building permit fees, regardless of staff recommendations; and

**WHEREAS** applicants for exemption have generally expressed their support of City programs and objectives as a reason for their exemption; and

**WHEREAS** there is a need to establish a City-wide policy in this regard;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:**

- (1) City staff be requested to report to the Policy and Finance Committee on a City-wide policy which considers to which groups or land uses, if any, it would be appropriate to grant an exemption from building permit fees;
  - (2) staff consider, with any recommendation for the exemption of building permit fees, how any City Agency, Board, Commission or Department could find it suitable to use such facilities for City or City-supported programs;
  - (3) staff consider what conditions might be appropriate to place on facilities for full or partial exemption from fees, these conditions may include insurance coverage, staffing for City usage and minimum amounts of time available for City use;
  - (4) staff be requested to report on the financial impacts of any such recommendations and how the financial impacts would be reported; and
  - (5) no further exemptions for building permit fees be allowed until Council approves a City-wide policy in this regard.”; and
- (ii) (June 21, 2000) from Councillor David Soknacki, Scarborough Highland Creek, requesting that this matter be referred to the Chief Administrative Officer for a report.

On motion by Councillor Moeser, the Policy and Finance Committee referred the foregoing communication to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services for report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee.

(Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services – June 23, 2000)

**(Clause No. 22(e) – Report No. 9)**

**6-19. Planning Application Fees,  
Building Permit Application and Parkland  
Dedication Payment Requirements and  
Development Charges for 650 Lawrence Avenue  
West (Ward 8 – North York)**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a confidential communication (June 14, 2000) from the City Clerk forwarding confidential Recommendations from the Planning and Transportation Committee respecting Planning Application Fees, Building Permit Application and Parkland Dedication Payment Requirements and Development Charges for 650 Lawrence Avenue, such recommendations to be considered in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to the receiving of advice that is solicitor-client privilege.

The Policy and Finance Committee referred the foregoing confidential communication to the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer for report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee.

(Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services – June 23, 2000)

**(Clause No. 22(f) – Report No. 9)**

**6-20. Exempting Non-Profit Housing from Planning  
Application Fees, Building Permit Fees and  
Parkland Dedication Requirements.**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a confidential communication (June 14, 2000) from the City Clerk forwarding confidential Recommendations from the Planning and Transportation Committee respecting the exempting of Non-Profit Housing from Planning Application Fees Building Permit Fees and Parkland Dedication Requirements, such recommendations to be considered in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to the receiving of advice that is solicitor-client privilege.

The Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the Recommendations of the Planning and Transportation Committee embodied in the confidential communication (June 14, 2000) from the City Clerk respecting Exempting Non-Profit Housing from Planning

Application Fees, Building Permit Fees and Parkland Dedication Requirements, which was forwarded to Members of Council under confidential cover, and further that, in accordance with the *Municipal Act*, discussions pertaining thereto be held in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to the receiving of advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege.

**(Clause No. 13 – Report No. 9)**

**6-21. Legal Advice Respecting Not-In-Use Gas Pipelines.**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a confidential communication (June 1, 2000) from the City Clerk submitting confidential recommendations from the Telecommunications Steering Committee meeting held on June 1, 2000, regarding Legal Advice Respecting Not-In-Use Gas Pipelines, such recommendations to be considered in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to the security of the property of the municipality.

The Policy and Finance Committee referred the foregoing communication to the Executive Lead on Telecommunications for report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee.

(Executive Lead on Telecommunications – June 26, 2000 – Confidential)

**(Clause No. 22(g) – Report No. 9)**

**6-22. Update on the Retirement Homes Hotline Inspection Program.**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it the following communication and report:

- (i) (June 15, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Community Services Committee on June 15, 2000:
  - (1) recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that:
    - (a) funding be provided for two FTEs to maintain the Retirement Homes Hotline Inspection Program from July to December 2000; and further that the Policy and Finance Committee be requested to determine the appropriate source of funding;
    - (b) the Province of Ontario be requested to cost-share the Retirement Homes Hotline Inspection Program; and

- (c) the appropriate staff be requested to develop a cost-effective communications strategy to raise the awareness of the Retirement Homes Hotline Inspection Program; and
  - (2) requested the Medical Officer of Health to report to the Policy and Finance Committee for its meeting on June 22, 2000, providing details of the financial implications and possible sources of funding to maintain the Retirement Homes Hotline Inspection Program; and
- (ii) (June 21, 2000) from the Medical Officer of Health recommending that:
- (1) City Council approve the expenditure of \$298,700.00 for the Retirement Homes Hotline and Inspection Program for six months between July and December 2000;
  - (2) the estimated funding of \$298,700.00 required to continue the program be absorbed within the approved 2000 Operating Budget for Public Health; and
  - (3) the Medical Officer of Health report back through the Board of Health on details of the under-expenditures in 2000 that may be reallocated for this purpose, as part of the 2000 Operating Budget variance reporting process.

The Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council:

- (1) the adoption of the Recommendation of the Community Services Committee embodied in the communication (June 15, 2000) from the City Clerk; and
- (2) the adoption of the report (June 21, 2000) from the Medical Officer of Health.

**(Clause No. 11 – Report No. 9)**

**6-23. Impacts of Storm Event on May 12 and 13, 2000 and Engagement of Consultants for the Provision of Engineering Services to Undertake the Development of the Wet Weather Flow Management Master Plan (All Wards).**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it the following communications and report:

- (i) (June 14, 2000) from the City Clerk entitled “Impacts of Storm Event on May 12 and 13, 2000” advising that the Works Committee on June 14, 2000:
  - (A) recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that:
    - (1) funding in the amount of \$1 million be allocated to the Works and Emergency Services Department to facilitate the immediate retention of technical consultants and investigation services to review the state of sewer infrastructure in the areas subject to major flooding, and to develop recommendations and cost estimates for permanent solutions to the problem;
    - (2) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the Works Committee on the history of the construction of sewers across the City of Toronto with a view to examining their maximum capacities in light of the May 12 and 13, 2000 storm event, and determining the need for upgrading to minimize any future flood occurrence;
    - (3) the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to coordinate the expenses incurred as a result of the damage created by the storm event, and investigate and seek possible sources of funding from other levels of government for relief to compensate for expense recovery and flood damage control;
    - (4) a database be established of any properties that had flooding problems in the past and had filed claims against the City, and any other potential ones that may be affected in the future; and that those property owners be advised of any remedies that could alleviate their flooding problems;

Policy and Finance Committee Minutes  
Thursday, June 22, 2000

---

- (5) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to:
  - (i) identify watercourses which are technically under Parks' jurisdiction but which act as storm sewers;
  - (ii) investigate other options available to homeowners to address flooding problems;
  - (iii) investigate an improved system for handling calls due to extreme weather conditions; and
  - (iv) consider producing a booklet to provide information to the public on sewer overflows;and report thereon to the Works Committee; and
- (6) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to report to the Works Committee on the issue of risk management and the best time for insurance adjusters to be brought forward, with a request that appropriate staff from the Insurance and Risk Management Division be present at the Committee meeting at that time; and
- (B) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee on an appropriate source of funding for the review set out in Recommendation No. (1);
- (ii) (June 14, 2000) from the City Clerk entitled "Engagement of Consultants for the Provision of Engineering Services to Undertake the Development of the Wet Weather Flow Management Master Plan" advising that the Works Committee on June 14, 2000, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee the adoption of the joint report dated June 6, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer respecting the engagement of consultants for the provision of engineering services to undertake the development of the Wet Weather Flow Management Master Plan; and

Policy and Finance Committee Minutes  
Thursday, June 22, 2000

---

- (iii) (June 20, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services entitled "Engagement of Consultants for the Provision of Engineering Services to Undertake the Development of the Wet Weather Flow Management Master Plan" recommending that:
- (1) Purchase Order No. 6001476 to Mircam Pipe Inspection Incorporated in the amount of \$143,208.00 be amended by an additional amount not to exceed \$143,208.00, 100 percent of the original contract award, consistent with the contract clause allowing for same, for the provision of CCTV inspection services at the unit prices quoted, in Districts 3 and 4, to support the flooding investigation;
  - (2) Purchase Order No. 6001478 to Pipespec Limited in the amount of \$147,253.00 be amended by an additional amount not to exceed \$147,253.00, representing 100 percent of the original contract award, consistent with the contract clause allowing for same, for the provision of CCTV inspection services at the unit prices quoted, in Districts 3 and 4, to support the flooding investigation;
  - (3) the contract award for the provision of CCTV inspection services, in Districts 1 and 2, under Tender Call No. 115-2000 and Contract No. 00D1N2-100-WS to Pipespec Limited in the amount of \$338,441.00 be amended, at the unit prices quoted, by an additional amount not to exceed \$169,200.00, representing 50 percent of the original contract award, to support the flooding investigation;
  - (4) the assignment for the development of wet weather flow management strategies for Study Area 1 (Combined Sewer Service Area in the former municipalities of Toronto, York, Scarborough and East York) as part of the Wet Weather Flow Management Master – Step 2 for the City of Toronto to be awarded to the firm of CH2M Gore and Storrie Limited at a cost not to exceed \$755,385.00 including all contingencies and GST, on such terms and conditions satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, be amended by an additional amount not to exceed \$377,700.00, representing 50 percent of the original contract award, to provide additional engineering services for the development of remedial options, recommendations and cost estimates for permanent solutions in areas of the City, subject to basement flooding, that are serviced by combined sewers;

Policy and Finance Committee Minutes  
Thursday, June 22, 2000

---

- (5) the assignment for the development of wet weather flow management strategies for Study Area 3 (Humber River Watershed) as part of the Wet Weather Flow Management Master Plan – Step 2 for the City of Toronto to be awarded to the firm of XCG Consultants Limited at a cost not to exceed \$488,379.00 including all contingencies and GST, on such terms and conditions satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, be amended by an additional amount not to exceed \$244,200.00, representing 50 percent of the original contract award, to provide additional engineering services for the development of remedial options, recommendations and cost estimates for permanent solutions in areas of the City, subject to basement flooding, that are serviced by separated sewer systems ;
- (6) the assignment for the development of wet weather flow management strategies for Study Area 4 (Don River Watershed) as part of the Wet Weather Flow Management Master Plan – Step 2 for the City of Toronto to be awarded to the firm of Marshall Macklin Monaghan Limited at a cost not to exceed \$456,880.00 including all contingencies and GST, on such terms and conditions satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, be amended by an additional amount not to exceed \$228,400.00, representing 50 percent of the original contract award, to provide additional engineering services for the development of remedial options, recommendations and cost estimates for permanent solutions in areas of the City, subject to basement flooding, that are serviced by separated sewer systems;
- (7) the combined value of the contract amendments in items 1-6 inclusive shall not exceed \$1,000,000.00 including GST; and
- (8) the appropriate City Officials be directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council:

- (1) the adoption of the Recommendations of the Works Committee embodied in the following communications from the City Clerk:
  - (i) (June 14, 2000) entitled “Impacts of Storm Event on May 12 and 13, 2000”; and
  - (ii) (June 14, 2000) entitled “Engagement of Consultants for the Provision of Engineering Services to Undertake the Development of the Wet Weather Flow Management Master Plan”; and

- (2) the adoption of the joint report (June 20, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, entitled “Engagement of Technical Consultants and Investigation Services for the Development of Remedial Options and Cost Estimates to Resolve Basement Flooding Problems in Areas Subject to Major Flooding”.

(Also see Minute No. 6-25)

**(Clause No. 14 – Report No. 9)**

**6-24. Grant for Fee for Water Service Connection –  
Dunblaine School, 21 Deloraine Avenue  
(Ward 22 – North Toronto).**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (June 14, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Works Committee on June 14, 2000, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that a grant be made to The Dunblaine School located at 21 Deloraine Avenue in the amount of \$7,000.00, being the fee paid for a 4” water connection needed for a sprinkler system; that such grant be considered in the interests of the municipality; and that such funds be allocated from the Water Reserve Account.

The Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the Recommendation of the Works Committee embodied in the foregoing communication (June 14, 2000) from the City Clerk.

**(Clause No. 15 – Report No. 9)**

**6-25. Engagement of Consultants for the Provision of  
Engineering Services to Undertake the Development  
of the Wet Weather Flow Management Master Plan  
(All Wards).**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it the following:

- (i) communication (June 14, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Works Committee on June 14, 2000, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee the adoption of the joint report dated June 6, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer respecting the engagement of consultants for the provision of engineering services to undertake the development of the Wet Weather Flow Management Master Plan; and

- (ii) report (June 20, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:
- (1) Purchase Order No. 6001476 to Mircam Pipe Inspection Incorporated in the amount of \$143,208.00 be amended by an additional amount not to exceed \$143,208.00, 100 percent of the original contract award, consistent with the contract clause allowing for same, for the provision of CCTV inspection services at the unit prices quoted, in Districts 3 and 4, to support the flooding investigation;
  - (2) Purchase Order No. 6001478 to Pipespec Limited in the amount of \$147,253.00 be amended by an additional amount not to exceed \$147,253.00, representing 100 percent of the original contract award, consistent with the contract clause allowing for same, for the provision of CCTV inspection services at the unit prices quoted, in Districts 3 and 4, to support the flooding investigation;
  - (3) the contract award for the provision of CCTV inspection services, in Districts 1 and 2, under Tender Call No. 115-2000 and Contract No. 00D1N2-100-WS to Pipespec Limited in the amount of \$338,441.00 be amended, at the unit prices quoted, by an additional amount not to exceed \$169,200.00, representing 50 percent of the original contract award, to support the flooding investigation;
  - (4) the assignment for the development of wet weather flow management strategies for Study Area 1 (Combined Sewer Service Area in the former municipalities of Toronto, York, Scarborough and East York) as part of the Wet Weather Flow Management Master – Step 2 for the City of Toronto to be awarded to the firm of CH2M Gore and Storrie Limited at a cost not to exceed \$755,385.00 including all contingencies and GST, on such terms and conditions satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, be amended by an additional amount not to exceed \$377,700.00, representing 50 percent of the original contract award, to provide additional engineering services for the development of remedial options, recommendations and cost estimates for permanent solutions in areas of the City, subject to basement flooding, that are serviced by combined sewers;

- (5) the assignment for the development of wet weather flow management strategies for Study Area 3 (Humber River Watershed) as part of the Wet Weather Flow Management Master Plan – Step 2 for the City of Toronto to be awarded to the firm of XCG Consultants Limited at a cost not to exceed \$488,379.00 including all contingencies and GST, on such terms and conditions satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, be amended by an additional amount not to exceed \$244,200.00, representing 50 percent of the original contract award, to provide additional engineering services for the development of remedial options, recommendations and cost estimates for permanent solutions in areas of the City, subject to basement flooding, that are serviced by separated sewer systems ;
- (6) the assignment for the development of wet weather flow management strategies for Study Area 4 (Don River Watershed) as part of the Wet Weather Flow Management Master Plan – Step 2 for the City of Toronto to be awarded to the firm of Marshall Macklin Monaghan Limited at a cost not to exceed \$456,880.00 including all contingencies and GST, on such terms and conditions satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, be amended by an additional amount not to exceed \$228,400.00, representing 50 percent of the original contract award, to provide additional engineering services for the development of remedial options, recommendations and cost estimates for permanent solutions in areas of the City, subject to basement flooding, that are serviced by separated sewer systems;
- (7) the combined value of the contract amendments in items 1-6 inclusive shall not exceed \$1,000,000.00 including GST; and
- (8) the appropriate City Officials be directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

This matter was considered with Item No. 23.

(See Minute No. 6-23 for decision)

**(Clause No. 14 – Report No. 9)**

**6-26. Telecommunications Strategy.**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a joint report (June 16, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer and the Executive Lead on Telecommunications seeking Council direction on a number of telecommunications initiatives; setting out a workplan for the development of a business case for a municipal dark fibre optic network; and submitting recommendations respecting the development of a municipal dark fibre optic network.

Councillor John Adams, Midtown, appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Chong, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing joint report (June 16, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Office and the Executive Lead on Telecommunications.

**(Clause No. 4 – Report No. 9)**

**6-27. Property Tax Exemptions Under Section 3(16)  
of The Assessment Act – Summary.**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (June 14, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer providing a summary of the taxes foregone for the three non-profit exhibition buildings in the City of Toronto granted property tax exemption for public exhibition space on privately-owned property under Section 3(16) of the Assessment Act; advising that the annual municipal share of taxes (City and Metro) for the three properties exempted from taxation totaled \$492,319.00; and recommending that this report be received for information.

This matter was consider with Item No. 12.

(See Minute No. 6-12 for decision)

**(Clause No. 12 – Report No. 9)**

**6-28. Organizational Structure of the Parks and Recreation Division – Staffing of Front Line Recreationist Positions (All Wards).**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it the following:

- (i) confidential communication (June 20, 2000) from the City Clerk forwarding confidential Recommendations from the Economic Development and Parks Committee respecting the Organizational Structure of the Parks and Recreation Division, Staffing of Front Line Recreationist Positions (All Wards), such recommendations to be considered in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to labour relations issues; and
- (ii) confidential report (June 21, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism forwarding confidential Recommendations respecting the Organizational Structure of the Parks and Recreation Division, Staffing of Front Line Recreationist Positions (All Wards), such recommendations to be considered in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to labour relations issues.

A. Councillor Pantalone moved that the Policy and Finance Committee:

- (1) submit to Council without recommendation, the confidential communication (June 20, 2000) from the City Clerk respecting the Organizational Structure of the Parks and Recreation Division; for consideration with Clause No. 19 of Report No. 7 of the Economic Development and Parks Committee, which was forwarded to Members of Council under confidential cover; and further that, in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining thereto be held in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to labour relations issues; and
- (2) concur with the recommendations embodied in the confidential report (June 21, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.  
**(Carried)**

- B. Councillor Moeser moved that the Executive Director of Human Resources be directed to take no further action(s), including the posting of any position or altering of anyone's employment status, until such time as Council has dealt with the issue at its meeting scheduled to be held on July 4, 2000. **(Carried)**

(Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism;  
Executive Director of Human Resources – June 23, 2000)

**(Clause No. 22(h) – Report No. 9)**

**6-29. Cinram Fiber Cable System (Scarborough Malvern).**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (June 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) authority be granted to enter into the necessary agreement(s) with Cinram International Inc. to install and maintain a fiber cable system within the Markham Road right-of-way. The agreement is to contain but not necessarily be restricted to the following:
  - terms and conditions deemed necessary by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services (outlined in the body of this report);
  - payment of such fees as specified from time to time by Council;
  - resultant revenues credited to the Transportation Services, District Four (4) revenue account, and
  - installation of an additional duct structure at time of construction by the proponent within their approved alignment for use as determined by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
- (2) given the tight construction schedule facing Cinram, the Steering Committee recommendation be sent directly to the next meeting of Council for their decision.

Councillor John Adams, Midtown, appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

Policy and Finance Committee Minutes  
Thursday, June 22, 2000

---

On motion by Councillor Chong, on behalf of Councillor Adams, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the report (June 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services subject to:

- (i) amending Recommendation No. (1) (d) by adding after the word "structure" the words "to be owned by the City and used for any lawful purpose"; and
- (ii) striking out Recommendation No. (2);

so that the recommendations embodied in the aforementioned report now read as follows:

"It is recommended that:

- (1) authority be granted to enter into the necessary agreement(s) with Cinram International Inc. to install and maintain a fiber cable system within the Markham Road right-of-way. The agreement is to contain but not necessarily be restricted to the following:
  - (a) terms and conditions deemed necessary by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services (outlined in the body of this report);
  - (b) payment of such fees as specified from time to time by Council;
  - (c) resultant revenues credited to the Transportation Services, District Four (4) revenue account; and
  - (d) installation of an additional duct structure to be owned by the City and used for any lawful purpose at time of construction by the proponent within their approved alignment for use as determined by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services."

**(Clause No. 5 – Report No. 9)**

**6-30. Oak Ridges Moraine Preservation Account.**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (June 20, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer recommending that:

- (1) the financial controls contained in Appendix A be followed for the disbursement of funds from the Oak Ridges Moraine Preservation Account;
- (2) the Co-Chairs of the Oak Ridges Moraine Steering Committee report to City Council on a quarterly basis, providing an update on the status of the funds remaining in the Oak Ridges Moraine Preservation Account, and providing the details of expenditures that have been approved by the Committee; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (June 20, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer.

**(Clause No. 3 – Report No. 9)**

**6-31. Toronto Port Authority – Legal Advice.**

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a confidential report (June 21, 2000) from the City Solicitor respecting the Toronto Port Authority – Legal Advice Respecting Extending a Limitation Period, such report to be considered in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to the receiving of information that is subject to solicitor-client privilege.

Policy and Finance Committee Minutes  
Thursday, June 22, 2000

---

On motion by Councillor Chong, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the confidential report (June 21, 2000) from the City Solicitor, respecting the Toronto Port Authority – Legal Advice, which was forwarded to Members of Council under confidential cover; and further that, in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining thereto be held in-camera, having regard that the subject matter relates to the receiving of information that is subject to solicitor-client privilege.

**(Clause No. 6 – Report No. 9)**

The Committee adjourned its meeting at 1:00 p.m.

---

Acting Chair.