#### THE CITY OF TORONTO

### **City Clerk=s Division**

### **Minutes of the Policy and Finance Committee**

### Meeting No. 7

Thursday, July 20, 2000

The Policy and Finance Committee met on Thursday, July 20, 2000, in Committee Room 1, 2nd Floor, City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:37 a.m.

#### <u>Attendance</u>

Members were present for some or all of the time periods indicated.

	9:37 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.	2:15 p.m. to 4:35 p.m.
Mayor Mel Lastman, Chair	X	-
Councillor Elizabeth Brown	X	X
Councillor Gordon Chong	-	-
Councillor Betty Disero	X	X
Councillor Tom Jakobek	-	-
Councillor Norm Kelly	X	X
Councillor Ron Moeser	X	X
Councillor Frances Nunziata	X	X
Councillor Case Ootes	X	X
Councillor Joe Pantalone	X	X
Councillor Kyle Rae	X	X

Mayor Lastman assumed the Chair during the morning session.

Councillor Case Ootes assumed the Chair during the afternoon session.

Confirmation of Minutes.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Policy and Finance Committee confirmed the minutes of its meeting held on June 22, 2000.

### 7-1. Our Toronto Waterfront: Building Momentum, A Report to Council on the Proposal of the Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Task Force.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it the following report and communication:

- (i) (July 17, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer recommending that:
  - (1) City Council approve the recommendations contained in Appendix 1 to this report;
  - (2) the Chief Administrative Officer be authorized, in consultation with appropriate officials, to initiate a dialogue with the federal and provincial governments and report back to Council on a preferred model for a new waterfront development governing body;
  - (3) the Chief Administrative Officer report to Policy and Finance Committee, as soon as possible, on a work program and budget to develop a new Official Plan and Zoning By-law for the Central Waterfront and to undertake more detailed studies of some aspects of the Task Force Proposal; and
  - (4) the appropriate officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect thereto; and
- (ii) (July 19, 2000) from Mr. Michael Harrison, President, Citizens Concerned about the Future of the Etobicoke Waterfront Inc., forwarding comments respecting the Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Task Force Report.

The Chief Administrative Officer gave a presentation to the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter and filed a copy of his presentation material.

The following persons appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. James Alcock;
- Ms. Susan Deryk, on behalf of the Canadian Automobile Association, and filed a written submission in regard thereto; and
- Ms. Catherine Nasmith, Co-Chair, Task Force on Gardner/Lakeshore Corridor.

The following Members of Council also appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Councillor Doug Holyday, Markland Centennial;
- Councillor Chris Korwin-Kuczynski, High Park; and
- Councillor Jack Layton, Don River;
- Councillor Gloria Lindsay Luby, Kingsway Humber.
- A. Councillor Pantalone moved that the Policy and Finance Committee:
  - (A) recommend to Council the adoption of the report (July 17, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer subject to amending Recommendation No. (3) by deleting the words "as soon as possible" and inserting in lieu thereof the words "at its meeting on September 21, 2000", so that Recommendation No. (3) now reads as follows:
    - "(3) the Chief Administrative Officer report to the Policy and Finance Committee at its meeting on September 21, 2000, on a work program and budget to develop a new Official Plan and Zoning By-law for the Central Waterfront and to undertake more detailed studies of some aspects of the Task Force Proposal;"; and
  - (B) refer the following proposed motion to the Chief Administrative Officer for report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee:

Moved by Councillor Jack Layton:

- "(1) That the following additional principle be added to the Waterfront Revitalization Proposal:
  - that there should be no restrictions to City Council's ability to appoint who it wishes to whatever governing and decision making bodies that may be created including Members of Council being appointed';

- (2) that as part of the implementation plan, the strategy for involving the public for the creation of advisory committees or task forces to realize certain elements, be considered;
- (3) that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report to Council on strategies for alternate plans regarding the ramp in the East Gardiner Expressway dismantling project;
- (4) that the City's representative on the Toronto Port Authority be requested to consult with Members of Council and attend a meeting of the Planning and Transportation Committee respecting this matter; and
- (5) that a sustainability strategy be included in the proposed plan." (Carried)
- B. Councillor Ootes moved that the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to submit a report as soon as possible to the Policy and Finance Committee respecting a process and timetable to determine whether toll fees should be charged if the Gardner Expressway is replaced. (Withdrawn)

(Chief Administrative Officer; c: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Councillor Jack Layton – July 20, 2000)

(Clause No. 1 – Report No. 10)

# 7-2. Accord Between the City of Toronto and the Greater Toronto Airports Authority.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it the following report and communications:

- (i) (June 19, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer recommending that:
  - (1) the Policy and Finance Committee defer consideration of the Recommendations Nos. (2) to (7) until its meeting of July 20, 2000, and further that at the Policy and Finance Committee meeting of July 20, 2000, the following recommendations be considered:

- (2) the draft Accord between the City of Toronto and the Greater Toronto Airport Authority (GTAA) as appended in Appendix "A" to this report be approved;
- (3) the draft Accord, as approved, be forwarded to the GTAA Board for its consideration;
- (4) City Council request Transport Canada, NAV Canada, and the GTAA to proactively monitor and enforce compliance with current regulations, standards, and procedures governing airport operations at L.B. Pearson International Airport;
- (5) City Council forward a copy of this report and the Accord, as approved, to the Federal Ministers of Transportation/Minister Responsible for the Greater Toronto Area, Health and Environment with a request that the federal government initiate a review of international best practices for airport operations and assess the environmental, social and economic implications of alternative noise mitigation, air quality and water quality improvement policy options at LBPIA, such studies to include full public consultation;
- (6) the City Clerk forward a copy of this report and the Accord, as approved, to the Region of Peel, Mississauga, Brampton, Caledon, the Region of York, Town of Vaughan and the Provincial Ministers of Municipal Affairs and Housing and Environment for their information; and
- (7) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto;
- (ii) (July 6, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000, directed that Clause No. 22 contained in Report No. 9 of the Policy and Finance Committee, headed "Other Items Considered by the Committee" be received for information, subject to striking out and referring the following Item (a), entitled "Accord Between the City of Toronto and the Greater Toronto Airports Authority", back to the Policy and Finance Committee for further consideration at its meeting scheduled to be held on July 20, 2000:
  - "(a) Accord Between the City of Toronto and the Greater Toronto Airports Authority.

The Policy and Finance Committee reports having:

- (1) deferred consideration of the following report and communications until its meeting scheduled to be held on September 21, 2000, and directed that such item be considered by the Committee at 4:00 p.m.;
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism to hold a public meeting prior to September, 2000, to give all interested parties an opportunity to review the documents pertaining to the Accord and make submissions and comments in regard thereto; and
- (3) requested the City Clerk to include with the agenda of the Policy and Finance Committee for its meeting on September 21, 2000, all submissions and documents filed respecting this matter:
  - (i) (June 19, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer recommending that:
    - (1) the Policy and Finance Committee defer consideration of the recommendations 2 to 7 until its meeting of July 20, 2000, and further that at the Policy and Finance Committee meeting of July 20 the following recommendations be considered:
    - (2) the draft Accord between the City of Toronto and the Greater Toronto Airports Authority (GTAA) as appended in Appendix "A" to this report be approved;
    - (3) the draft Accord, as approved, be forwarded to the GTAA Board for its consideration:
    - (4) City Council request Transport Canada, NAV Canada, and the GTAA to proactively monitor and enforce compliance with current regulations, standards and procedures governing airport operations at L.B. Pearson International Airport;

- (5) City Council forward a copy of this report and the Accord, as approved, to the Federal Ministers of Transportation/Minister Responsible for the Greater Toronto Area, Health and Environment with a request that the federal government initiate a review of international best practices for airport operations and assess the environmental, social and economic implications of alternative noise mitigation, air quality and water quality improvement policy options at LBPIA, such studies to include full public consultation;
- (6) the City Clerk forward a copy of this report and the Accord, as approved, to the Region of Peel, Mississauga, Brampton, Caledon, the Region of York, Town of Vaughan and the Provincial Ministers of Municipal Affairs and Housing and Environment for their information; and
- (7) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto;
- (iii) (June 21, 2000) from Councillor Dick O'Brien, Markland Centennial, expressing his support for the deferral of the matter of the Draft Accord between the City of Toronto and the Greater Toronto Airports Authority until the next meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee to be held on July 20, 2000;
- (iv) (June 21, 2000) from Councillor Mario Giansante, Chair, Etobicoke Community Council, advising that a one month deferral of the report respecting the Draft Accord between the City of Toronto and the Greater Toronto Airports Authority does not provide the community enough time to respond, particularly with the summer break; and urging the Committee to defer consideration of this matter until its September, 2000, meeting in order to allow further community consultation now that the Draft Accord has been made public.
- (v) (June 21, 2000) from Councillor Lindsay Luby, Kingsway Humber, advising that she has indicated to the Deputy Mayor that the Etobicoke Federation of Residents and Ratepayers Association is requesting a deferral of the report respecting the Draft Accord between the City of Toronto and the Greater Toronto Airports Authority; and trusting that the Policy and Finance Committee will be able to accede to this request.

- (vi) (June 21, 2000) from Councillor Bruce Sinclair, Rexdale Thistletown, advising that he concurs completely with the request from EFRRA that the Policy and Finance Committee defer deliberation and consequent decisions on the proposed accord between the City of Toronto and the GTAA, until such time as full public input has been received; and that he also concurs with the following suggestions that:
  - (1) community-approved noise and environmental pollution control limits are adequately reflected in the accord;
  - (2) the accord integrate a new report "LBPIA Noise Impact Assessment and Review Report"; and
  - (3) the report integrate MOH Dr. Sheela Basrur's reports: "The Health Effects of Noise" and "Air Pollution Burden of Illness in Toronto";
- (vii) written submissions from the following persons respecting the foregoing matter:
  - (May 24, 2000) from Mr. Michael Bricks, Environmental Planner, Cole, Sherman;
  - (October 2, 1999) from Mr. Stewart Cook;
  - (June 3, 2000) from Mr. Stewart Cook;
  - (June 7, 2000) from Mr. Duncan I. Sillars;
  - (June 7, 2000) from Ms. Irene S. Sillars;
  - (June 7, 2000) from Ms. Jane Mowat;
  - (June 8, 2000) from Wayne and Marie Reddick;
  - (June 8, 2000) from Mr. E.M. Kurak, M.A. Sc.;
  - (June 8, 2000) from Mr. Goretti Blimkie, C.A.;
  - (June 9, 2000) from Ms. June Moyle;
  - (June 12, 2000) from Ms. Pat Findlay;
  - (June 12, 2000) from L. Carroll;
  - (June 13, 2000) from Mr. D. Beards, P.Eng. MIM C.Eng.;
  - (undated) from Ms. Rose Belyk;
  - (undated) from Ms. Susan Ezeard;
  - (undated) from B. Carito;
  - (undated) from Mrs. Margaret Baxter;
  - (July 17, 1999) from Mr. Martin Kramer and Ms. Helen M. Kramer;
  - (June 5, 2000) from Mr. Larry Carroll;
  - (Undated) from L. R. Daubner;
  - (June 20, 2000) from Ms. Sylvia Giovanella, President, Etobicoke Federation of Ratepayer's and Residents' Association (EFRRA);
  - (Undated) from Mr. John and Ms. Laurel Sherlock;
  - (Undated) from Mr. Stan Lucy;
  - (June 21, 2000) from Ms. Susan Priest;
  - (June 22, 2000) from Mr. and Mrs. J. Culmore;

- (June 21, 2000) from Mr. Trent Howard;
- (June 21, 2000) from Mr. Roy Jane;
- (June 20, 2000) from Mr. Ken Chong;
- (June 19, 2000) from Mr. James Kirkpatrick;
- (June 20, 2000) from Ms. Sylvia Giovanella, President, Etobicoke Federation of Ratepayer's and Residents' Association (EFRRA);
- (June 1, 2000) from Mr. K. Chong;
- (July 7, 2000) from Ms. Stella Sobora;
- (July 4, 2000) from Ms. Helen Pidwerbecki;
- (July 4, 2000) from Ms. Barb Gorski;
- (July 4, 2000) from Ms. Armanda Rodrigues;
- (July 4, 2000) from Mr. Ian Jackson;
- (June 22, 2000) from Norman and Sharon Hudson;
- (June 22, 2000) from Ms. Sandy Schroder;
- (June 22, 2000) from Gwen and Bill Pulford;
- (June 22, 2000) from Ms. Carol Wright;
- (June 21, 2000) from S. Pavan;
- (June 21, 2000) from S. Mueller;
- (June 21, 2000) from Mrs. B. Hodgson;
- (June 21, 2000) from Mrs. Mary Carman;
- (June 21, 2000) from Nancy and Steven Mueller;
- (June 21, 2000) from Mrs. Linda Hodgson-Petrillo;
- (June 21, 2000) from Mr. William B. Rest;
- (June 21, 2000) from Mr. Don Routley, President, Westgate Residents' Association;
- (June 21, 2000) from Lauriet and Bruce Campbell;
- (June 21, 2000) from Ms. Jane Mowat;
- (Undated) from Ms. Jane Mowat and Ms. June Moyle;
- (Undated) from Mr. Ken Lopez;
- (Undated) from Mr. Ed Sado;
- (June 22, 2000) from Mr. John Powell;
- (June 17, 2000) from Ms. Kathleen Pitkin; and
- (July 13, 2000) from Mr. Richard Boehnke.
- (July 17, 2000) from Ms. Jane Mowat;
- (July 17, 2000) from Ms. E. Moyle;
- (July 17, 2000) from Mr. Tom Davis;
- (July 17, 2000) from Ms. Jennifer Beale;
- (July 17, 2000) from Mr. John Beale;
- (July 17, 2000) from Mr. Slopanos;
- (July 17, 2000) from Mr. Keith Jackson;
- (July 18, 2000) from Ms. Betty Bigelli;
- (July 18, 2000) from Ms. Joan Skatfeld;
- (July 18, 2000) from Mr. and Mrs. Mario and Vittoria Mazzuca;
- (July 18, 2000) from Ms. June Moyle;
- (Undated) from J. Polidoro;

- (July 18, 2000) from Ms. Ann R. Holt;
- (July 18, 2000) from Mr. Barry Holt;
- (July 18, 2000) from Ms. Teresa Reid;
- (July 18, 2000) from Ms. Margaret Coleman;
- (July 17, 2000) from E. Commeford;
- (July 18, 2000) from N. Weseluck;
- (July 18, 2000) from Ms. Rita Zaster and Romano Roman;
- (July 18, 2000) from O. Kostowsky;
- (July 18, 2000) from Ms. Irene Calistie;
- (June 20, 2000) from Ms. Barbara Gorski;
- (July 18, 2000) from Mr. Nick Yacynowycly;
- (July 18, 2000) from Ms. Eileen Menzel;
- (July 18, 2000) from Mr. Donald J. Connell;
- (July 19, 2000) from Lisa and Michael Drost;
- (July 19, 2000) from Fernando Mastronardi;
- (July 19, 2000) from Ms. Mary Moyle;
- (July 19, 2000) from Mr. and Mrs. Scanlan;
- (July 19, 2000) from I and M Bau;
- (July 18, 2000) from Ms. Sheila Hardy-Freed;
- (July 18, 2000) from Ms. Anne O'Neill;
- (July 18, 2000) from Rev. Deborah Vaughan;
- (July 18, 2000) from M. Ferrari;
- (July 16, 2000) from G. Nicholson;
- (July 16, 2000) from Donna Taubert;
- (July 19, 2000) from Mr. and Mrs. R. C. Richards;
- (July 22, 2000) from Mr. John Stasiuk; and
- (July 17, 2000) from Mary Hay, Co-Chair, Toronto Waterfront Coalition.

The following persons appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Sylvia Giovanella, Etobicoke Federation of Ratepayers and Residents Associations:
- Ms. Pat Findlay;
- Mr. Rob Summers; and
- Ms. Joan Miles.

The following Members of Council appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Councillor Mario Giansante, Kingsway Humber;
- Councillor Doug Holyday, Markland Centennial;
- Councillor Chris Korwin-Kuczynski, High Park; and
- Councillor Gloria Lindsay Luby, Kingsway Humber.

On motion by Councillor Brown, on behalf of Councillor Giansante, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council:

- (1) the adoption of Recommendations Nos. (2) to (7) embodied in the report (June 19, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer, subject to striking out all references to noise and pollution and other environmental issues, and that these issues be considered at a future time; and
- (2) that a Task Force be established to review noise management and air pollution issues, and the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee outlining Terms of Reference for the proposed Task Force.

(Clause No. 3 – Report No. 10)

# 7-3. Overview of Projects and Priorities of Association of Municipalities of Ontario.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (May 8, 2000) from the Executive Director, Association of Municipalities of Ontario, requesting an opportunity to appear before the Policy and Finance Committee on July 20, 2000, together with Councillor Joan King, Caucus Chair and the City of Toronto representative on the AMO Executive, to provide the Committee with a short overview of the projects and priorities of AMO in 2000, particularly with respect to those projects which impact the City of Toronto.

Mr. Pat Moyle, Executive Director, Association of Municipalities of Ontario and Councillor Joan King, Seneca Heights, provided the Policy and Finance Committee with an overview of the projects and priorities of the Association of Municipalities for 2000.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Policy and Finance Committee received the overview of AMO's projects and priorities for the year 2000; and the foregoing communication from Mr. Pat Moyle, Executive Director, Association of Municipalities of Ontario.

(Mr. Pat Moyle, Executive Director, Association of Municipalities of Ontario; c: Councillor Joan King, Seneca Heights – July 20, 2000)

(Clause No. 59(a) – Report No. 10)

### 7-4. Council's Strategic Plan – Part II.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it the following report and communication:

- (i) (July 10, 2000) from the Council Reference Group for the Strategic Plan advising that City Council approved the development of Council's Strategic Plan on October 1 and 2, 1998, as the foundation document of the Corporate Management Framework approved by Council on May 13 and 14, 1998; that Council adopted Part I of the Strategic Plan on November 23, 24 and 25, 1999; that Part II of Council's Strategic Plan has been drafted and, together with a process for revisiting the Plan in each new term of Council, is being submitted by Council's Strategic Plan Reference Group for Council's consideration and approval; and recommending that:
  - (1) City Council adopt Part II of Council's Strategic Plan, as set out in the Appendix to this report;
  - (2) the Chief Administrative Officer include Council's Strategic Plan as a foundation document in the briefing process for the incoming Council;
  - (3) the incoming Council review and confirm the City Directions in the first six months of its term and establish a Strategic Planning Committee to guide this process, with an understanding that Council's Strategic Plan be revisited each new term of Council;
  - (4) the Chief Administrative Officer prepare Part II of Council's Strategic Plan for public distribution and coordinate this process during 2000, and develop a Council-led public consultation process with the Strategic Planning Committee early next year;

- (5) the Chief Administrative Officer and the respective Commissioners ensure that other City planning initiatives, including the Official Plan, Social Development Strategy, Economic Development Strategy, Environmental Plan, culture plan and fiscal sustainability plan are developed and implemented in a manner that is consistent with and aligns with Council's City Directions; and
- (6) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to undertake any necessary actions to give effect thereto; and
- (ii) (July 20, 2000) from Councillor Jack Layton, recommending that Recommendation No. (4) embodied in the report (July 10, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer, respecting Council's Strategic Plan Part II, be amended by adding thereto the following words "and that the views of the Sustainability Roundtable on the Strategic Plan be sought."

On motion by Councillor Moeser, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (July 10, 2000) from the Council Reference Group for the Strategic Plan.

### (Clause No. 4 – Report No. 10)

#### 7-5. Oak Ridges Moraine – Grants

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (July 10, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer recommending that:

- (1) the Oak Ridges Moraine Steering Committee report to Policy and Finance Committee all grants paid to groups from the \$200,000 envelop allocated to it by Council at its June 7, 8 and 9, 2000 meeting, in the same manner set out in Appendix A, clause 11;
- all groups receiving funds from the City in support of the City's position regarding the Oak Ridges Moraine from this time forward be required to sign a grant agreement to ensure that the relevant conditions from the City's general grant agreement (Appendix B), the relevant conditions from the report (June 20, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer & Treasurer entitled 'Oak Ridges Moraine Preservation Account (Appendix A) are met, to the satisfaction of the CFO;

- (3) the CFO require, as appropriate, additional conditions for those funds noted in recommendation 1 and any other grant recipients in support of Council's position on the Oak Ridges Moraine, to ensure the City's investment meets the City's requirements in terms of deliverables and sound financial practice, and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Moeser, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 6 – Report No. 10)

### 7-6. 2000 Vehicle And Equipment Replacement Programme.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a joint report (June 19, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Corporate Services recommending that:

- (1) a maximum of \$34.0 million be set as the limit for the 2000 purchase of vehicles and equipment (excluding Water and Waste Water) and that this funding be allocated by programme as per Appendix A;
- a maximum of \$13.7 million be set as the limit for the 2000 purchase of vehicles and equipment for the Water/Waste Water program on the proviso that the 2000 Operating Budget contribute \$6.6 million to the Vehicle and Equipment Replacement Reserve Water/Wastewater;
- (3) any proceeds from the premature retirement of vehicles and equipment due to an insurable loss be credited to the appropriate Vehicle and Equipment Replacement Reserve and a maximum of \$0.3 million be set aside as the limit for the 2000 purchase of vehicles and equipment required to be replaced prematurely; and
- (4) the vehicles/equipment identified in Appendix B be replaced from the aforementioned funds.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing joint report.

(Clause No. 7– Report No. 10)

# 7-7. Collective Bargaining - Implementation Request for Transitional Funding.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a joint report (July 11, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that:

- (1) transitional funding of \$2.46M in 2000, and \$4.89M in 2001 for a total of \$7.35M from the 2000 Capital Budgets Transition Project be approved to implement the Local 416 and Local 79 agreements, and that the 2000 Capital Budget be amended; and that the costs be funded from the Employee Benefits Reserve Fund;
- (2) funding of \$0.6M to implement the new benefits carrier be approved from the Employee Benefits Reserve Fund; and
- (3) a comprehensive review of the continuing need for the positions and funding in the three areas be undertaken in 2001 and that the Chief Administrative Officer and Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer report back accordingly.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing joint report.

(Clause No. 12 – Report No. 10)

# 7-8. Application to the Chief Justice of the Ontario Court of Justice for Set Fines for Violations of the Building Code Act, 1992.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (July 4, 2000) from the City Solicitor recommending that:

(1) the City Solicitor be granted authority to apply to the Chief Justice of the Ontario Court of Justice for the establishment of set fines under the *Provincial Offences Act* for violations of the *Building Code Act*, 1992, and that the City Solicitor, in consultation with the appropriate City staff, determine the amount of the set fine to be requested from the Chief Justice; and

(2) the City Solicitor be granted authority to apply from time-to-time to the Chief Justice of the Ontario Court of Justice for increases in set fines established under the *Provincial Offences Act* for violations of the *Building Code Act*, 1992, to amounts which more accurately reflect the seriousness of the violation at the time of application, such amounts to be determined by the City Solicitor in consultation with the appropriate City staff.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 21 – Report No. 10)

### 7-9. Credit And/Or Debit Card Payment Method – Taxes – Further Report.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it the following:

- (i) report (July 7, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer addressing additional issues raised by Councillors in response to a previous report on the same matter; and recommending that this report be received for information and be considered with the previous report from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer dated January 24, 2000.
- (ii) communication (March 9, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on February 29, March 1 and 2, 2000, struck out and referred Clause No. 14 contained in Report No. 3 of the Policy and Finance Committee, headed "Credit and/or Debit Card Payment Method Taxes", back to the Policy and Finance Committee for further consideration, such Clause containing a report (January 24, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that:
  - (1) the City of Toronto continue to encourage payment of property taxes by debit cards, the pre-authorized tax payment plan and electronic fund transfers; and
  - (2) the City of Toronto not approve payments of property taxes by credit cards because of the extra expenditure of \$3.3 million to \$6.7 million that would be created by the fees of credit card companies.

Councillor Michael Prue, East York, appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

- A. Councillor Nunziata moved that the Policy and Finance Committee recommend to Council that a pilot project for the use of credit cards for the payment of property taxes be implemented in the former East York. (Lost)
- B. Councillor Ootes moved that the Policy and Finance Committee:
  - (1) again recommend to Council the adoption of the Recommendation of the Assessment and Tax Policy Task Force embodied in the communication (January 31, 2000) from the City Clerk; and
  - (2) receive the report (July 7, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer addressing additional issues raised by Councillors in response to her previous report respecting Credit and/or Debit Card Payment Methods. (Carried)

(Clause No. 15 – Report No. 10)

### 7-10. Canada Lands Company – Draft Plan of Subdivision (Ward 18 – Scarborough Malvern).

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (July 5, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer responding to a number of Scarborough Community Council recommendations which provide for the repayment of \$100,000.00 to the Canada Land Company ("CLC"), the nullification of a requirement to pay a parks levy of \$400.00 per unit pursuant to a 1986 agreement and the exemption of the subject lands from the payment of development charges; and recommending that:

- (1) the City grant Canada Lands Company a credit equivalent to the parks and recreation service component of the development charges payable for the subdivision; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 16– Report No. 10)

# 7-11. Heritage Preservation – Exempting Heritage Properties from Property Tax Clawbacks.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it the following report and communications:

- (i) (July 12, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer responding to the Policy and Finance Committee's request for information on the financial impact of exempting certain buildings designated under the *Ontario Heritage Act* from a property tax claw-back for the three-year period 1998 to 2000; reporting on the financial implications of exempting certain heritage buildings from property tax claw-backs or other limitations on tax decreases in 2001 and subsequent years, once the current cap on tax increases has expired; and recommending that this report be received for information;
- (ii) (July 19, 2000) from Mr. Sheldon Godfrey, Barrister and Solicitor, submitting the following recommendations:
  - (1) that if a separate class or subclass under the Assessment Act is implemented by the Province for the 2001 taxation year, that properties in the class or subclass be taxed at a uniform effective tax rate without caps or clawbacks; such tax rate for the class or subclass being set at a level which would provide for manageable tax increases on those properties in respect of which the taxes would increase;
  - (2) that if a separate class or subclasses for heritage buildings under the Assessment Act has not been implemented by the Province by the 2001 taxation year, City Council endorse that upon the expiry of the cap on commercial and industrial classes in the year 2001, all entire heritage buildings designated under the Ontario Heritage Act that are also subject to Heritage Conservation Easements be assessed and taxed through the heritage rebate option described in the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer's report dated July 12, 2000; and
  - (3) that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to report directly to the next meeting of Council on the impact of Item 18 as limited to properties containing entire buildings designated under the Ontario Heritage Act that are also subject to Heritage Conservation Easements, and are also designated in whole or in part as National Historic Sites by the Historic Sites and Monuments Board of Canada;

- (iii) (July 19, 2000) from Mr. Terry Russell, President, Toronto Historical Association, expressing support of the report (July 12, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the comments and recommendations submitted by Mr. Sheldon Godfrey, Barrister and Solicitor;
- (iv) (July 20, 2000) from Ms. Catherine Nasmith, Chair, Toronto Preservation Board, writing in support of the submission made by Mr. Sheldon Godfrey.

The following persons appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Sheldon Godfrey;
- Mr. William Greer, Heritage Toronto; and
- Ms. Edna Hudson.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Policy and Finance Committee:

- (1) received the foregoing report (July 12, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; and
- (2) referred Recommendations Nos. (1) to (3) embodied in the communication (July 19, 2000) from Mr. Sheldon Godfrey to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer for consideration in developing the 2001 tax policy, and report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee.

(Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; c: Mr. Sheldon Godfrey, Mr. William Greer and Ms. Edna Hudson – July 20, 2000)

(Clause No. 59(b) – Report No. 10)

### 7-12. Reserve Funding – Community Centre, Eglinton-Black Creek Area.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (July 7, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer commenting on the availability of funds for, and the appropriateness of expending these funds on the construction of a community centre in the Eglinton-Black Creek area; advising that funds up to \$1,962,000 are available for community benefits which could include the construction of a community centre in the Eglinton-Black Creek area from the York Subdividers' Reserve Fund; and recommending that this report be received for information.

#### A. Councillor Nunziata moved:

- "(1) That funds up to \$1,962,000.00 from the York Subdividers Reserve Fund identified in the report (July 7, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be specifically dedicated to a Community Centre in the Black Creek area to service the community of Weston, including the Riverboat Landing subdivision; and
- (2) that the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to include this revenue in his assessment of the project's priority ranking in the Capital Budget."(**Referred**)
- B. Councillor Moeser moved that the Policy and Finance Committee:
  - (1) receive the foregoing report (July 7, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; and
  - (2) refer the foregoing motion A. moved by Councillor Nunziata to the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism for consideration and report thereon in the 2001 2005 Capital Budget. (Carried)

(Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; c. Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer – July 20, 2000)

(Clause No. 59(c) – Report No. 10)

### 7-13. Annual Financial Statements of Enwave District Energy Limited.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (July 12, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer providing the annual financial statements of Enwave District Energy Limited to City Council, as a 50 per cent Shareholder; advising that in accordance with Section 3.16 of the Shareholders Agreement, Enwave has provided its audited financial statements to the Shareholder within 120 days of the fiscal year end; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Brown, the Policy and Finance Committee received the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 59(d)– Report No. 10)

#### 7-14. Issuance of Tax-Exempt Municipal Debentures.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (July 4, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer discussing the potential advantages and disadvantages if the City is legally allowed to issue tax-free municipal bonds; providing a strategy to proceed with this issue; and recommending that:

- (1) this report be received for information; and
- (2) a copy of this report be forwarded to Team Toronto for its consideration with other possible alternative financing strategies being explored with the provincial and federal governments.

On motion by Councillor Moeser, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 17 – Report No. 10)

# 7-15. Providing Purchasing and Materials Management Services to the Newly Established Kensington Health Centre.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (June 19, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that:

- (1) approval be given to the Finance Department, Purchasing and Materials Management Division to provide purchasing and materials management services to the Kensington Health Centre (KHC) at an approximate fee to KHC (revenue to the city) of \$43,500.00 for the first year and \$20,300.00 for each year thereafter;
- (2) the fee structure be reviewed by KHC and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer annually and adjusted as agreed by both parties; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto.

Councillor Chow, Downtown, appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Rae, on behalf of Councillor Chow, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report; and further, that local Members of Council be included in any future negotiations respecting this matter.

(Clause No. 18 – Report No. 10)

### 7-16. Canadian National Exhibition Association (CNEA) Contributions to the Parkland Acquisition Reserve Fund.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (July 12, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that:

- (1) for 2001 and beyond, based on rates currently charged to concessionaires at Exhibition Place that the City's Parks and Recreation Division charge a rental fee to the CNEA at 23 percent of the net profits earned from its use of Marilyn Bell and Battery Parks for parking purposes;
- (2) CNEA continue to pay all costs associated with site preparation, parking operations and reparation of parkland at the conclusion of the annual 18-day event;
- (3) the funds received from the rental, for 2001 and beyond, be contributed to the Tree Advocacy Program; and
- (4) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer in consultation with the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority report back on a long term funding strategy for land acquisition for conservation and recreation purposes.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 25 – Report No. 10)

### 7-17. Energy Efficiency Office – In House Employee Energy Efficiency Pilot Program (All Wards).

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (July 6, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to design and implement an Employee Energy Efficiency Program in conjunction with the various stakeholders; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 22 – Report No. 10)

### 7-18. Proposed Better Transportation Partnership – Pilot Program (All Wards).

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a joint report (July 11, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Commissioner of Corporate Services requesting support of the City of Toronto's official goal of reducing carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions by 20 per cent relative to 1990 levels by the year 2005; and recommending that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Corporate Services in consultation with the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, be authorized to pilot the proposed Better Transportation Partnership program through an accelerated replacement of the City's aging fleet vehicles with lower emissions natural gas vehicles, at a rate up to 25 percent faster than permitted by the annual operating budgets, through a "pay from savings" partnership proposal with Enbridge Consumers Gas, described in this report as a component of the Better Transportation Partnership (BTP);
- vehicles procured, during the period 2000 to 2002, in conjunction with the approved City Fleet Vehicle Replacement Strategy, be acquired in conjunction with the proposed Better Transportation Partnership (BTP), in order that the BTP will advance the City with one additional lower emission natural gas vehicle (NGV) for every four NGVs leased or purchased, with no additional upfront cost to the City;

- (3) all vehicles acquired, in conjunction with the City's Fleet Vehicle Replacement Strategy and the proposed BTP, be monitored for a minimum period of 48 months in order to determine their fuel usage, operating and maintenance history, fuel cost avoidance, economic and environmental benefits;
- (4) the cost avoidance (fuel savings only) realized by all vehicles acquired under this cooperative initiative, be reserved by the City to satisfy the terms and conditions of this "pay from savings" program, for a period not to exceed 48 months;
- (5) an evaluation of the effectiveness of this pilot program be undertaken and the Commissioners of Corporate Services and Works and Emergency Services report back to City Council with recommendations respecting the pilot program;
- (6) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing joint report.

(Clause No. 23 – Report No. 10)

### 7-19. FY2000 Cash Flow and Budget Approval Adjustments to the Capital Works Program (High Park).

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it the following:

- (i) report (July 10, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development Culture and Tourism recommending that:
  - (1) the High Park Outdoor Pool project be approved in the amount of \$3.0 million for FY 2000, of which \$2.8 million in new debenture financing is required;
  - the cash flows associated with this project be increased by an additional \$500,000 for a total of \$700,000 in the Year 2000 and a balance of \$2.3 million in Year 2001; and
  - (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto; and

(ii) communication (July 5, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that City Council at its meeting on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000, re-opened Clause No. 1 of Report No. 1 of the Policy and Finance Committee headed "2000-2004 Capital Budget and Five Year Capital Program", only as it pertains to the Economic Development, Culture and Tourism Department's Capital Budget – Redevelopment of High Park Outdoor Pool; and referred the balance of the motion moved by Councillor Miller and seconded by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski in regard thereto, to the Policy and Finance Committee for consideration at its meeting scheduled to be held on July 20, 2000, and requested the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer to submit a report in this regard to the Committee for consideration therewith.

Councillor Jack Layton, Don River, appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Rae, on behalf of Councillor Layton, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report; and further, that the Commissioner of Economic Development Culture and Tourism be requested to investigate the possibility of installing solar heating for the High Park Outdoor Pool.

(Clause No. 24 – Report No. 10)

### **7-20.** Toronto Economic Development Corporation (TEDCO) Source of Funding for Forensic Audit.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (June 30, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that City Council, at its meeting held on May 9, 10 and 11, 2000, adopted a motion moved by Councillor McConnell, seconded by Councillor Ashton, as amended, regarding the new Lease provided to Sevendon Holdings Limited by the Toronto Economic Development Corporation (TEDCO) and that in so doing City Council requested the Ontario Provincial Police to conduct an investigation of all aspects of this transaction, and that part of such investigation be a forensic audit to be paid for by the City, the source of funding for such forensic audit to be referred to the Policy and Finance Committee.

The Policy and Finance Committee referred the foregoing communication to the Chief of Police; and requested the Chief of Police to determine whether there is any evidence by which charges may be laid, and submit a report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee.

(Chief of Police; c. City Solicitor; City Auditor – July 20, 2000)

(Clause No. 59(e) – Report No. 10)

#### 7-21. Review of Snow Budget Over-Expenditure.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (June 27, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Audit Committee on June 26, 2000, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee the adoption of the report (June 5, 2000) from the City Auditor respecting the Review of Snow Budget Over-expenditure, wherein it is recommended that:

- "(1) the Emergency Snow Plan be amended to identify corporate resources from other departments required to carry out the plan in the event of a winter storm emergency, including necessary financial, purchasing, human resources and communications personnel;
- (2) in order to facilitate budget monitoring and ensure the reporting of accurate and complete information, the Transportation Services budget be amended to establish a specific cost centre in the Financial Information System for winter maintenance activities;
- (3) the General Manager, Transportation Services conduct a review to determine the most effective mix of in-house and contracted staff that would deliver the required winter maintenance services at a minimum cost to the City, and report to the Works Committee prior to re-tendering of the winter maintenance contracts;
- (4) Finance Department staff be required to meet with district management staff in order to identify specific financial reporting requirements. Such financial reports be at a level of detail which would enable the division to effectively manage their respective budgets.
- (5) responsibility for budget monitoring be delegated to district management staff, and that timely and reliable cost and budget information be provided to these managers to enable them to monitor and compare actual costs with the approved budget at the district and activity levels;
- (6) formal performance measures be established to properly evaluate the performance of winter maintenance services and the economy, efficiency and effectiveness that such services are carried out;
- (7) the interface of Maintenance Management System with the SAP Financial Information System and Human Resources/Payroll System be expedited to eliminate duplication of processing and to ensure the accuracy and completeness of expenditure and payroll information in the Maintenance Management System;

- (8) the necessary staff, computer resources and training be provided to district operations to proceed with the timely implementation of the Maintenance Management System. Any deviations from the established timelines for implementation be reviewed and followed up;
- (9) in consultation with Legal Services and the Purchasing and Materials Management Division, a revised Contractor Performance Evaluation Form and a Contractor Infraction Report Form be implemented for all winter maintenance contracts;
- (10) the General Manager, Transportation Services, in consultation with the Commissioner, Corporate Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, review the Division's equipment and maintenance requirements with a view to developing a cost effective fleet management and replacement program; and
- (11) this report be forwarded to the Policy and Finance Committee for consideration."

On motion by Councillor Moeser, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the Recommendation of the Audit Committee embodied in the foregoing communication (June 27, 2000) from the City Clerk.

(Clause No. 34 – Report No. 10)

### 7-22. Review of Food Safety Program Toronto Public Health.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it the following communications:

- (i) (June 27, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Board of Health on June 26, 2000, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee the adoption of the report (June 26, 2000) from the City Auditor respecting the Review of Food Safety Program Toronto Public Health; and
- (ii) (July 20, 2000) from Mr. Terry Mundell, President, Ontario Restaurant Hotel and Motel Association, respecting the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the Recommendation of the Board of Health embodied in the foregoing communication (June 27, 2000) from the Secretary, Board of Health.

(Clause No. 35 – Report No. 10)

### 7-23. Comprehensive Food Safety Report and Food Premises Disclosure System.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it the following communications:

- (i) (June 27, 2000) from the Secretary, Board of Health, advising that the Board of Health on June 26, 2000, unanimously:
  - (1) recommended to Policy and Finance Committee that:
    - (a) the food premises disclosure system proposed in the report (June 19, 2000) from the Medical Officer of Health be approved;
    - (b) the final form of the food premises disclosure notices be subject to consumer focus testing and presented to the Board of Health in the Fall, 2000;
    - (c) Council approve the expenditure of the required start-up costs totaling \$371,857.00 (including a one-time cost of \$130,418.00) for four months between September and December 2000, as described in the aforementioned report from the Medical Officer of Health, to establish the food premises disclosure system;
    - (d) the estimated funding of \$371,857.00 required for this start-up be absorbed within the 2000 approved Operating Budget within Public Health and that a report be submitted to the Policy and Finance Committee on details of this expenditure and the underexpenditures in 2000 that may be re-allocated for this purpose, as part of the 2000 Operating Budget Variance reporting process;
    - (e) the funding implications of continuing the food premises disclosure system beyond December 31, 2000 be subject to a report to the Policy and Finance Committee through the Budget Advisory Committee for consideration with the 2001 Operating Budget process for Toronto Public Health;
    - (f) Council approve an expenditure which will enable a re-inspection to be conducted within 48 hours of any food premises being given a conditional pass;
    - (g) the Medical Officer of Health be requested to:

- (i) work with the Ontario Restaurant, Hotel and Motel Association to develop an education program to ensure all food premises owners/operators understand the proposed rules and standards and how they will apply;
- (ii) submit a report to the Board of Health, as soon as possible, on health inspections for hot dog vendors, grocery stores, markets, and institutional food providers;
- (iii) submit a report to the Board of Health on the implementation of the food premises inspection program, such report to focus on fair and equal treatment during the voluntary compliance period and after the relevant by-laws have been enacted;
- (iv) submit bi-annual reports to the Board of Health on inspection statistics, infractions, summonses issued, etc., paying particular attention to ensuring that there are sufficient inspectors to conduct prompt re-inspections for food premises that receive conditional passes and, further, to provide comment with respect to the effectiveness of the food inspection program one year after the date of its implementation; and
- (h) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto; and
- (2) referred the following Recommendation No. (3) embodied in the report (June 19, 2000) from the Medical Officer of Health to the Planning and Transportation Committee with the request that the Planning and Transportation Committee convene a special meeting of its Licensing Subcommittee; and, further, that the Licensing Subcommittee be directed to submit a report thereon directly to Council for its meeting scheduled to be held on August 1, 2000, to be considered together with the report from the Policy and Finance Committee with respect to the proposed food premises inspection program:
  - "(3) that the City of Toronto Licensing By-law be amended to require:

- (a) that Municipal Licensing and Standards staff consult with the Medical Officer of Health respecting all applications for the issuance and renewal of business licenses to operate food premises as defined in the Food Premises Regulation under the Health Protection and Promotion Act.
  - (b) food safety certification of every owner/operator of high and medium risk food premises (defined as the person in charge) and at least one certified food handler in a supervisory position per shift;
  - (c) every food premises owner/operator to post at or near the entrance, in a conspicuous place for public viewing, a copy of the disclosure notice in the form and manner approved by the Medical Officer of Health;
  - (d) every food premises owner/operator be required to produce on demand a copy of the Toronto Public Health food premises inspection reports for the previous six months.
  - (e) every food premises owner/operator to provide written notice to the Medical Officer of Health and Municipal Licensing and Standards staff of material changes in the nature of their business at least 30 days in advance;
  - (f) every food premises owner/operator to provide written notice to the Medical Officer of Health and Municipal Licensing and Standards staff of material changes in the management and control of their operations;"; and
- (ii) (July 10, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Planning and Transportation on July 10, 2000:
  - (1) recommended to City Council for its meeting on August 1, 2000 that the Licensing By-law 20-85 be amended to require:
    - (a) that Municipal Licensing and Standards staff consult with the Medical Officer of Health respecting all applications for the issuance and renewal of business licenses to operate food premises as defined in the Food Premises Regulation under the Health Protection and Promotion Act;

- (b) certification of every owner/operator of high and medium risk food premises (defined as the person in charge) and at least one certified food handler in a supervisory position per shift;
- (c) every food premises owner/operator to post at or near the entrance, in a conspicuous place for public viewing, a copy of the disclosure notice in the form and manner approved by the Medical Officer of Health;
- (d) every food premises owner/operator be required to produce on demand a copy of the Toronto Public Health food premises inspection reports for the previous six months;
- (e) every food premises owner/operator to provide written notice to the Medical Officer of Health and Municipal Licensing and Standards staff of material changes in the nature of their business at least 30 days in advance;
- (f) every food premises owner/operator to provide written notice to the Medical Officer of Health and Municipal Licensing and Standards staff of material changes in the management and control of their operations;

and that authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary bill in Council to give effect thereto; and

- (2) requested the Commissioner, Urban Development Services, to report directly to Council for its meeting on August 1, 2000 on any suggestions she may have with respect to the licensing aspects of the food disclosure system proposed in the report (June 19, 2000) from the Medical Officer of Health appended to the transmittal letter (June 27, 2000) from the Secretary, Board of Health;
- (3) requested the Medical Officer of Health to report directly to Council on the adequate number of staff required to ensure that the restaurant inspection program continues throughout the year; and
- (4) forwarded Councillor Moscoe's suggested revisions that the food premises disclosure notices which indicate past violations be enlarged and colour coded, to the Medical Officer of Health with a request that she consider incorporating these suggestions into the design of the notices.

On motion by Councillor Brown, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council:

- (1) the adoption of the Recommendations of the Board of Health embodied in the communication (June 27, 2000) from the Secretary, Board of Health; and
- (2) the adoption of the Recommendations of the Planning and Transportation Committee embodied in the communication (July 10, 2000) from the City Clerk.

#### (Clause No. 36 – Report No. 10)

### 7-24. Contingency Grant Requests.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (June 28, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Grants Sub-Committee on June 28, 2000, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee the adoption of the report (June 19, 2000) from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services wherein it is recommended that:

- (1) an allocation of \$20,000.00 from the Grants Contingency Fund be made to Community Information Fairview for one-time strategic planning activities, including a review of funding options, partnership and merger opportunities and collaborative models of service delivery;
- (2) an allocation of \$24,000.00 from the Grants Contingency Fund be made to York-Fairbank Centre for Seniors, for one-time costs incurred for program delivery in the new bocce courts:
- (3) an allocation of \$30,000.00 from the Contingency Fund be made to Scarborough Support Services for costs incurred in 1999 for the administration of the snow shovelling and grass-cutting program; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the Recommendation of the Grants Sub-Committee embodied in the foregoing communication (June 28, 2000) from the City Clerk.

(Clause No. 38 – Report No. 10)

### 7-25. Wayfinding Signage Program – Exhibition Place.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (June 30, 2000) from the General Manager and CEO, The Board of Governors of Exhibition Place recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve the Board of Governors of Exhibition Place (the "Board") entering into an agreement with Eller Media Company Canada ("Eller Media") for a term of ten years with an option for the Board to extend for an additional five years on the terms and conditions set out in this report and other such terms and conditions satisfactory to the City Solicitor for the purpose of designing and constructing three (3) Entrance Gate/Advertising sign structures on Lake Shore Boulevard and an internal wayfinding signage program at Exhibition Place subject to approvals as set out in Recommendations 2 and 3;
- (2) City Council approve of a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code as required to permit the construction of the three (3) Entrance Gate/Advertising sign structures as described in this report and depicted in Appendix No. 1 to this report and take all necessary action required;
- (3) the Board be authorized, in consultation with the City of Toronto Urban Planning and Development Services, to approve of the design consistent with the general specifications set out in the report for the three (3) Entrance Gate/Advertising sign structures on Lake Shore Boulevard in order to proceed with installation of these signs; and
- (4) the Board defer the implementation of the balance of the Wayfinding Signage Program for a period not to exceed two years.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 26 – Report No. 10)

# 7-26. Proposals for the Ontario Government Building and Horticulture Building Located at Exhibition Place.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (July 6, 2000) from the Chair, Board of Governors, Exhibition Place, recommending that:

- (1) Exhibition Place and City Officials report directly to Council meeting of August 1 and 2, 2000 on the Board of Governors' decision with respect to awarding the Request for Proposals to the successful proponent related to the Ontario Government Building;
- (2) Exhibition Place and City Officials report directly to the Council meeting of August 1 and 2, 2000, on the Board of Governors' decision with respect to awarding the Proposal for the Horticulture Building; and
- (3) the appropriate Exhibition Place and City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Policy and Finance Committee concurred with the recommendations embodied in the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 27 – Report No. 10)

### 7-27. Interim Report from First Treasury Management Inc., Regarding the Establishment of the Clean Air Investment Fund.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (July 12, 2000) from the Executive Director, Toronto Atmospheric Fund, forwarding the report, "The Toronto Energy Bank Study: Interim Report"; requesting authorization for an increase of \$10,000 in TAF's 2000 administrative budget for legal and investment advisory services related to overall implementation of TAF's new investment policy; and recommending that:

- (1) the report be received and referred to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer for review and further consultation with TAF staff; and
- (2) an increase in TAF's administrative budget for 2000 in the amount of \$10,000, from \$215,444 to \$225,444 for additional legal and investment advisory services be authorized.

Councillor Jack Layton, Don River, appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 28 – Report No. 10)

### 7-28. Amendments to the Toronto Atmospheric Fund Act, 1992.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (July 12, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Board of Directors of the Toronto Atmospheric Fund and the Toronto Atmospheric Fund Foundation ("The Clean Air Partnership") on July 10, 2000, approved the following proposed changes to their respective objects and requested that City Council apply to the Province of Ontario for amendments to the *Toronto Atmospheric Fund Act*, 1992, as follows:

- "A. Section 4(1) of the *Toronto Atmospheric Fund Act, 1992* is amended by adding to the objects set out therein the words in bold face and italics:
  - (1) To promote global climate stabilization by the reduction of emissions of greenhouse gases and greenhouse gas precursors into the atmosphere through public education, scientific research and technology development.

#### 1A to promote air quality improvement.

- (2) To promote public understanding of global warming and *air quality problems and their* implications for the urban environment.
- (3) To create and preserve carbon sinks.
- (4) To promote energy conservation *and air quality improvements*.
- (5) To provide support and funding for projects related to energy efficiency and global climate stabilization *and air quality improvements* in co-operation with non-government organizations, governments, industries, corporations, official committees, neighbourhood organizations, universities and public and private schools.

Section 15(1) of the *Toronto Atmospheric Fund Act, 1992* is amended by adding to the objects set out therein the words in bold face and italics:

a. to promote global climate stabilization by the reduction of emissions of greenhouse gases and greenhouse gas precursors into the atmosphere through public education, scientific research and technology development.

#### to promote air quality improvement;

- b. to promote public understanding of global warming and *air quality problems and their* implications for the urban environment.
- c. to promote projects related to energy conservation and efficiency and global climate stabilization and air quality improvements, including projects promoting the creation and preservation of carbon sinks."

Councillor Jack Layton, Don River, appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Moeser, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the Recommendation of the Board of Directors of the Toronto Atmospheric Fund, embodied in the foregoing communication (July 12, 2000) from the City Clerk.

(Clause No. 29 – Report No. 10)

#### 7-29. TTC Financial Statements for the Year Ended December, 1999.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (June 30, 2000) from the General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission, advising that on June 28, 2000, the Board of Directors of the Toronto Coach Terminal Inc. approved the financial statements for the year ended December 31, 1999, and forwarded the foregoing action to the City of Toronto, through the Policy and Finance Committee.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Policy and Finance Committee received the foregoing communication.

(Clause No. 59(f) – Report No. 10)

7-30. Acquisition of the Abandoned Canadian Pacific Railway Spur Line, the "PS LEAD" from Cariboo Avenue to Dundas Street West (Ward 19 – High Park), (Ward 20 - Trinity Niagara), (Ward 21 – Davenport).

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (July 11, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Administration Committee on July 11, 2000, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee and Council the adoption of the report (June 29, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services respecting the acquisition of the Abandoned Canadian Pacific Railway Spur Line, wherein it is recommended that:

- and Agreement of Purchase and Sale between the City of Toronto as "Purchaser" and the St. Lawrence & Hudson Railway Company Limited and its Lessee Canadian Pacific Railway Company as "Vendor" be approved for the abandoned Canadian Pacific Railway Spur Line identified as the PS LEAD on the terms outlined in the body of this report and in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor;
- (2) licence agreements and replacement Agreements of Purchase and Sale on terms and conditions satisfactory to the Commissioner of Corporate Services and in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor for a nominal sum of One Dollar (\$1.00) be approved for the two parcels of land adjoining 362 Wallace Avenue and 158 Sterling Road;
- (3) the City Solicitor be authorized to complete the transactions on behalf of the City, including payment of any necessary expenses and amending the closing date to such earlier or later date as he considers reasonable;
- (4) the PS LEAD be placed under the jurisdiction of the Commissioner of Corporate Services until such time as the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism proceeds with the development of a pathway;
- (5) funds generated from the lease to adjoining property owners of several parcels of land forming part of the spur line, estimated at \$24,500 annually, be utilized in the Facilities and Real Estate Operating Budget to offset interim management costs of approximately \$34,000 over the initial two year period and a one time cost required to secure the metal bridges crossing Dupont and Bloor Streets estimated at \$15,000 for safety/liability issues related to access by the public;
- (6) CH2M Gore & Storrie Limited be retained to complete verification testing of the soil remediation to industrial standards undertaken by CPR and to provide an opinion on the estimated cost of environmental remediation to permit the lands to be used for pathway purposes at a cost not to exceed \$40,000.00 and such cost to be from funds allocated for the purchase of the PS LEAD;

- (7) City Council exempt the PS LEAD lands from its policy of acquiring only lands having soil which meets the Ministry of Environment's requirements for the proposed use (park) as the lands would only be remediated by CPR to industrial standards;
- (8) the Economic Development Culture and Tourism Department's 2000-2004 Capital Budget be adjusted to include \$920,000.00 gross \$ 0.00 net, to be fully funded from the Capital Fund, for the acquisition of the "PS LEAD" from Cariboo to Dundas Street West;
- (9) the Commissioner of Economic Development Culture and Tourism include in the Parks and Recreation 2001-2005 Capital Budget submission a project for the improvement of these lands for trail purposes, including remediation measures;
- (10) this report be forwarded to the Policy and Finance Committee for consideration; and
- (11) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the Recommendation of the Administration Committee embodied in the foregoing communication (July 11, 2000) from the City Clerk.

(Clause No. 32 – Report No. 10)

#### 7-31. Union Station Acquisition: Post-Closing Items and Proposals for a Governance Structure.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (July 11, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Administration Committee on July 11, 2000, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee and Council, the adoption of the report (July 10, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer respecting the Union Station Acquisition Post-Closing Items and Proposals for a Governance Structure, subject to striking out the Recommendations embodied therein and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

#### "It is recommended that:

(1) the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to submit a report to the Administration Committee for its meeting scheduled to be held on September 12, 2000, on options for a governance model for Union Station, after further

discussions with representatives of the Federal Government and in consultation with the Office of the Mayor, GO Transit and the Chair of the Toronto Transit Commission or his designate;

- (2) the Federal proposal for a governance model that allows for Federal and Municipal participation in a separate entity and for a minimum federal financial contribution of \$25 Million be pursued;
- (3) that there be a requirement that City Council shall have the right to appoint a majority of the members of any governing body for Union Station;"
- (4) until a separate governing body has been established, a staff team headed by the Commissioner of Corporate Services, with assistance from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, the Chief Administrative Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the City Solicitor and other staff as required, in consultation with GO Transit, VIA Rail; TTC and federal government officials, prepare and issue an international Request for Expressions of Interest in order to determine the extent of private sector interest in the restoration, economic revitalization and ongoing management of Union Station;
- (5) the terms of the Expressions of Interest be finalized in consultation with the Chair of the Administration Committee and the Chair of the Toronto Coach Terminal and be circulated to Members of the Administration Committee for information;"
- (6) the retainer of Davies Ward and Beck be continued with the necessary funds up to \$200,000.00 to be allocated from Corporate Contingency; and
- (7) the appropriate City staff be authorized and directed to take all necessary actions to give effect thereto;".

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the Recommendation of the Administration Committee embodied in the foregoing communication (July 11, 2000) from the City Clerk.

(Clause No. 33 – Report No. 10)

## 7-32. Elimination of the Provincial Clawback on Preferred Accommodation Revenue, Homes for the Aged.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Community Services Committee on July 13, 2000, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee the adoption of the report dated June 23, 2000, from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services respecting the elimination of the Provincial Clawback on Preferred Accommodation Revenue, Homes For The Aged, wherein it is recommended that:

- (1) the 2000 gross expenditure budget be increased by \$1,000,000.00 to \$128,784,300.00;
- (2) the additional \$1,000,000.00 increase in the expenditure budget be allocated to the Homes' program to refurnish and improve the quality of residents' living environment in 2000;
- (3) the revenue budget be increased by \$1,000,000.00 (Grants from Others) to \$66,617,600.00;
- (4) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services, in consultation with the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, report back to the Community Services Committee regarding the proposed use of the remaining \$350,000.00;
- (5) this report be referred to the Policy and Finance Committee for consideration; and
- (6) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the Recommendation of the Community Services Committee embodied in the foregoing communication (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk.

(Clause No. 39 – Report No. 10)

#### 7-33. Squeegee Working Youth Mobilization (SWYM), Contract Extension.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Community Services Committee on July 13, 2000, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee the adoption of the report dated June 27, 2000, from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services respecting the Squeegee Working Youth Mobilization Contract Extension, wherein it is recommended that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be authorized to enter into an agreement with HRDC for the second year of operation of the SWYM program for a twelve-month period beginning September 15, 2000;
- (2) the Commissioner include the 2001 budget implications for the second year of the SWYM program in the 2001 budget request, to be reviewed as part of the Department's 2001 budget review process; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The following Members of Council appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Councillor Chris Korwin-Kuczynski, High Park; and
- Councillor Denzil Minnan-Wong, Don Parkway.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council that:

- (1) the Recommendation of the Community Services Committee embodied in the communication (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk be received; and
- the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services retain the ability to rescind the City's financial commitment contained in the contractual agreement with Human Resources Development Canada (HRDC) should the comprehensive evaluation of the intent of the SWYM Program by the Canadian Training Institute due by September, 2000, and the evaluation of the program by the City Auditor, expected by October, 2000, prove to be unfavourable.

(Clause No. 40 – Report No. 10)

#### 7-34. Use of the Child Care Capital Reserve.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Community Services Committee on July 13, 2000, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee the adoption of the report (June 26, 2000) from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services respecting the use of the Child Care Capital Cost Reserve Fund, subject to amending Recommendation No. (1) to read as follows:

"(1) the criteria governing the use of the Child Care Capital Cost Reserve Fund outlined in this report be approved, and the respective By-law be amended accordingly."

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Policy and Finance Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of the Recommendation of the Community Services Committee embodied in the communication (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk; and
- (2) requested the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer to submit a status report directly to Council for its meeting scheduled to be held on August 1, 2000, respecting the Child Care Capital Reserve Fund.

(Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; c. Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services – July 20, 2000)

(Clause No. 41 – Report No. 10)

### 7-35. Early Childhood Education, Development and Care Pilot Project.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it the following communications:

- (i) (June 28, 2000) addressed to the Community Services Committee from the City Clerk advising that the Children and Youth Action Committee on June 26, 2000, recommended to the Community Services Committee, and Council, that:
  - (1) the City form a partnership with the Toronto District School Board, the Toronto Catholic School Board and the Atkinson Foundation to administrate early childhood education and development care pilot projects;

- (2) Councillor Irene Jones, the Chair of Community Services Committee and the Children's Advocate, on behalf of the Children and Youth Action Committee, be authorized to request the provincial and federal governments to maintain funding support of the pilot projects;
- (3) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services take "initial lead" for drawing together internal and external strategic alliances, to do the following:
  - form a new partnership, with a shared vision and objectives, shared risks and resource contributions, shared benefits and clear accountability for an (ECEDC) pilot project;
  - present a common front for negotiating federal and provincial contributions to the partnership up front, including sustaining funding;
  - identify an early leader as a pilot model to "kick-start" the ECEDC pilot project;
  - work within the new partnership to harmonize children/family policies across sectors and build policy and program coherence; and
  - show by example that more integrated, less fragmented goal setting, service planning and management across sectors are achievable.
- (4) a clear accountability framework for the project be developed, including realistic expectations and objectives, and clear roles, responsibilities and reporting relationships, and that the project and its management structure initially come under the planning and reporting mechanisms of the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services. Further, it is recommended that a new multi-year partnership arrangement for the pilot project include clear, mutually agreed principles and mechanisms for a strong accountability relationship among partners;
- (5) the new partnership adopt a strategic focus, by planning for coherence between project objectives and other emerging children's policy objectives at all levels and by promoting pilot models as just one of many mechanisms for moving forward on an "early years" agenda. Furthermore, it is recommended that the project plan include a sustainability strategy that includes:
  - a strong community development component;

- a cost/benefit component;
- an evaluation component as a main project goal;
- linkages to established public services like education, public health and recreation;
- linkages to municipal, provincial and federal child/family policy initiatives:
- a strategy for testing and securing multi-year funding commitment; and
- a communications and information dissemination component;
- (6) project funding sources involving senior government levels be explored immediately by the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services as "initial lead", and with new partners as soon as possible following the formation of a new partnership, in order to determine the final parameters and scope for the pilot project and the pilot models component;
- (7) a strong leadership and coordinating framework be established for the pilot project as a whole, and at the local pilot model level. Further, it is recommended that the framework include a strong project management function, an integrated planning approach, a project steering function for project oversight and directional guidance, and an advisory "expert panel" for evaluation, research and technical guidance;
- (8) the proposed selection criteria for pilot models be reviewed in terms of:
  - clear definition;
  - internal consistency;
  - focus on evaluation;
  - relative weighting or importance;
  - tests of compliance;

and that the criteria include consideration of leadership, readiness to implement a pilot model, and child outcome and advocacy indicators;

- (9) pilot models fit within the policy directions set out in the Toronto Children's Strategy and within the program policies of all project partners;
- (10) the pilot project build from the existing service base and from experience with "best practice" to identify a range of pilot models that meet project criteria. Furthermore, it is recommended that models be identified along a continuum of integration, and with the possible exception of an "early leader", that they include a strong community planning and development focus;

- (11) the rationale for selecting pilot model sites be clear and the selection process fair, equitable and transparent. Furthermore, it is recommended that if it is desirable to identify "early leaders", a two-part selection process (invited "exemplar" sites and RFP process) be used, with sufficient time and resources for community development and proposal support;
- (12) evaluation be highlighted as a goal of the pilot project, that an evaluation plan be included as part of the project plan and that expert advice be included early in the planning process to inform the design of the evaluation plan; and
- (13) an effective communication strategy be included in the project plan and that the strategy includes appropriate messages about child development principles, the importance of the "early years", links to broader policy directions, stakeholder impacts and mechanisms for the communication and dissemination of information and new knowledge; and
- (ii) (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Community Services Committee on July 13, 2000, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee the adoption of:
  - (i) the report dated June 27, 2000, from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services respecting the use of the Early Childhood Education, Development and Care Pilot Project, wherein it is recommended that:
    - (1) the recommendations in the attached ECEDC pilot project report executive summary be endorsed by Council;
    - (2) the budget of the Children's Services Division be adjusted by \$100,000.00 for the year 2000 with corresponding revenue from the Social Services Reserve Fund for project management and coordination;
    - (3) staff report back in September, 2000 on the funds needed to support an "early leader" pilot site, a process to obtain proposals for pilot sites and the status of discussions with other funding partners;
    - (4) a further staff report outline the successful proposals, the funds necessary to support them as pilot sites and the cost of project evaluation;

- (5) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services report annually on the progress of the ECEDC pilot project;
- (6) the Children's Services Division be authorised to proceed immediately to expend funds on project co-ordination; and
- (7) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto; and
- (ii) the recommendations of the Children and Youth Action Committee contained in the communication dated June 28, 2000, from the City Clerk.

The Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the Recommendation of the Community Services Committee embodied in the foregoing communication (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk.

(Clause No. 42 – Report No. 10)

#### 7-36. Annualized Implications of the "Welcome Baby Package" Millenium Project.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Community Services Committee on July 13, 2000, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee the adoption of the report dated June 26, 2000, from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services respecting the annualized implications of the "Welcome Baby Package" Millennium Project"; wherein it is recommended that:

- (1) the "Welcome Baby Package" millennium initiative be continued contingent upon funds remaining available in the approved 2001 budgets of the contributors to this initiative;
- (2) an evaluation of recipient satisfaction and analysis of the package impact be undertaken to assess the utility of the initiative and guide its future evolution; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Councillor Jack Layton, Don River, appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Rae, on behalf of Councillor Layton, the Policy and Finance Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of the Recommendation of the Community Services Committee embodied in the communication (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services to consult with the representatives of the White Ribbon Campaign with respect to the inclusion of fathers in this program.

(Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services – July 20, 2000)

(Clause No. 43 – Report No. 10)

#### 7-37. Transitional Residence/Housing and Child Care North Portion of 28 Bathurst Street (Ward 20 -Trinity Niagara).

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Community Services Committee on July 13, 2000, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee the adoption of the report dated July 7, 2000, from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services respecting the development of the northern portion of 28 Bathurst Street for a transitional residence/housing project and child care centre – Ward 20 – Trinity-Niagara; wherein it is recommended that:

- (1) the Community Services Committee adopt this report and forward it to Council for approval of the construction of a Transitional Residence/Housing and Child Care Centre on the northern portion of 28 Bathurst Street;
- (2) this report be forwarded to Policy and Finance Committee for approval of the expenditure of up to \$5.75 million from the 2000 Shelter, Housing and Support Division capital budget to proceed with construction of the proposed development at 28 Bathurst Street;
- (3) staff be authorized to seek 50 percent capital cost-sharing from the Federal Government under the Supportive Community Partnerships Initiative (SCPI) for the Transitional Residence/Housing development, and report back to the Community Services Committee should commitment for the funds not be forthcoming prior to award of a construction contract;

- (4) Children's Services be authorized to provide up to \$1.321 million from the Child Care Capital Reserve Fund for the construction of the Child Care Centre; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council:

- (1) the adoption of the Recommendation of the Community Services Committee embodied in the communication (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk; and
- (2) that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to undertake the laneway construction to this property at the same time as the development of the property in order to avoid disruption.

(Clause No. 44 – Report No. 10)

#### 7-38. Extended Services Required for Heat Warnings and Summer Water Distribution.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Community Services Committee on July 13, 2000, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee the adoption of the attached joint report dated July 13, 2000, from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services and the Medical Officer of Health respecting extended services required for Heat Warnings and summer water distribution to the homeless; wherein it is recommended that:

- (1) expenditures of up to \$100,000 for the Hot Weather Response program in 2000 be funded through underspending within the Toronto Public Health and the Shelter, Housing and Support Division to support implementation of Heat Warning activities including enhanced services such as opening cooling centers, extending library hours, and extending community outreach and education as required;
- (2) details of the under-expenditures in 2000 that may be reallocated for this purpose be included as part of the 2000 Operating Budget variance reporting process;
- (3) funding implications of continuing the Hot Weather Response Program beyond December 31, 2000 be subject to a report to the Policy and Finance Committee as part of the 2001 Operating Budget process for Toronto Public Health;

- (4) this report be forwarded to the Policy and Finance Committee; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary steps to give effect thereto.

The Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the Recommendation of the Community Services Committee embodied in the foregoing communication (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk.

(Clause No. 45 – Report No. 10)

7-39. Design Exchange (DX) Request to Access the City's DX Reserve Fund and the Access Improvement – City-Owned Buildings Capital Accessibility Account (All Wards).

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Economic Development and Parks Committee on July 13, 2000, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee, and Council, the adoption of the report (June 19, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the Design Exchange (DX) Request to Access the City's DX Reserve Fund and the Access Improvement, wherein it is recommended that:

- (1) Council authorize a draw of up to \$50,000.00 from the Design Exchange reserve fund held by the City to provide for capital expenditures and extraordinary repairs for the DX building, and that these funds be used for replacing the existing Trading Floor lighting fixtures with a new energy-efficient lighting system, subject to:
  - (a) approvals for the proposed improvements being obtained from the landlord and the City of Toronto, as appropriate;
  - (b) DX submitting a budget for this project, including the source of funding for expenditures in excess of \$50,000.00 to the satisfaction of the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, Commissioner of Corporate Services, and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism;
  - (c) the Commissioner of Corporate Services approve an implementation plan to include scope of work, assignment of responsibilities and costs, prepared by DX that provides the terms and conditions for the execution of the work; and

- (d) the DX reserve fund being replenished to the \$100,000.00 level approved by former Toronto City Council, including interest, by retaining \$15,000.00 in 2001, \$15,000.00 in 2002 and \$20,000.00 in 2003, from the developer/landlord's annual contribution;
- (2) Commissioner of Corporate Services review and evaluate the work necessary to upgrade the accessibility of the premises at 222 Bay Street (also known as 234 Bay Street), currently occupied by the Design Exchange (DX), to make it fully accessible permanently, and report to the appropriate Committee and Council no later than September 2000, on the outcome, the actions to be taken, the cost to implement the capital work, as well as any financial implications to the City;
- (3) Council request the Design Exchange to submit a capital improvements work plan establishing priorities and estimated costs for all proposed improvements not covered in Recommendation No. 1 and Recommendation No. 2 above, for approval by the Commissioner of Corporate Services, prior to DX submitting future requests for capital improvements funding by the City;
- (4) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, in consultation with the Chief Financial Officer, Commissioner of Corporate Services, and the City Solicitor commence negotiations on the terms and conditions of a new agreement to replace the current Grant Agreement with the Design Exchange, which expires on December 31, 2000, and report to Council;
- (5) this report be forwarded to Policy and Finance Committee for its consideration; and
- (6) that Council authorize and direct the appropriate City officials to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Councillor Jack Layton, Don River, appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council:

- (1) the adoption of the Recommendation of the Economic Development and Parks Committee embodied in the communication (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk; and
- (2) that the Board of the Design Exchange be requested to:
  - (i) explore the possibility of obtaining a loan from the Toronto Atmospheric Fund; and

(ii) consult with Toronto Hydro to explore the possibility of utilizing Toronto Hydro's in-house engineers to provide advice respecting this work.

(Clause No. 47 – Report No. 10)

## 7-40. Gord and Irene Risk Community Centre – Change of Capital Project Scope (North York Humber).

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Economic Development and Parks Committee on July 12, 2000, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee and Council the adoption of the report (June 13, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting the Gord and Irene Risk Community Centre, wherein it is recommended that:

- (1) the project scope be amended to delete the expansion of a portion of the community centre, at an approved cost of \$150,000.00, and change it to installation of artificial bocce surfacing and the development of a play area at the new Humber Sheppard Community Centre;
- (2) the expansion of a portion of the Gord and Irene Risk Community Centre be deferred for consideration with future capital works programming;
- (3) this report be forwarded to Policy and Finance Committee for its consideration; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the Recommendation of the Economic Development and Parks Committee embodied in the foregoing communication (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk.

(Clause No. 48 – Report No. 10)

### 7-41. Toronto – Rochester Fast Ferry - Request for Proposals Process (Don River).

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Economic Development and Parks Committee on July 12, 2000, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee, and Council, the adoption of the report (June 26, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting the Toronto – Rochester Fast Ferry, wherein it is recommended that:

- (1) the City of Toronto participate with the City of Rochester, Monroe County, and the Toronto Port Authority in the preparation and issuance of a detailed Request for Proposals for the establishment of fast ferry service between Toronto and Rochester;
- (2) City Council provide up to \$50,000.00 (U.S.) as our contribution toward this international initiative; the funds to be used for the preparation, issuance and evaluation of the Request for Proposals;
- (3) this report be forwarded to Policy and Finance Committee for a source of funding;
- (4) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee with respect to recommending the selection of a ferry operator; and
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the Recommendation of the Economic Development and Parks Committee embodied in the foregoing communication (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk; and further, that funds therefor be provided from the Corporate Contingency Account.

(Clause No. 49 – Report No. 10)

## 7-42. City of Toronto Sponsorship of the International Development Research Council, Spring World Congress in 2003 (All Wards)

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Economic Development and Parks Committee on July 12, 2000, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee and Council, the adoption of the report (June 12, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting the City of Toronto Sponsorship of the International Development Research Council, wherein it is recommended that:

- (1) the Economic Development and Parks Committee endorse the City's participation as a sponsor for the Toronto 2003 IDRC World Congress of \$50,000;
- (2) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism write a letter of commitment for the \$50,000 sponsorship to the IDRC 2003 Organizing Committee;
- (3) the report be forwarded to the Policy and Finance Committee for information; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing.

The Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the Recommendation of the Economic Development and Parks Committee embodied in the foregoing communication (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk.

(Clause No. 50 – Report No. 10)

### 7-43. Etobicoke Centennial Stadium – Change of Capital Budget Financing (Markland-Centennial).

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Economic Development and Parks Committee on July 12, 2000, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee and Council, the adoption of the report (June 13, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting the Etobicoke Centennial Stadium, wherein it is recommended that:

(1) funding of \$100,000.00 be reallocated from the tennis court refurbishment project and be used to remove asbestos in the Centennial Park Stadium;

- (2) the tennis court refurbishment project be deferred for consideration with future capital works programming;
- (3) this report be forwarded to the Policy and Finance Committee for its consideration; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Brown, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the Recommendation of the Economic Development and Parks Committee embodied in the foregoing communication (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk.

(Clause No. 51 – Report No. 10)

#### 7-44. Woodbine Park – Sponsorship of Festival Green Bandshell – Supplementary Report (East Toronto).

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it the following communications:

- (I) (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Economic Development and Parks Committee on July 12, 2000:
  - (1) forwarded the supplementary report (June 21, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting the Woodbine Park, Sponsorship of the Festival Green Bandshell, to the Policy and Finance Committee without recommendation;
  - (2) requested the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, together with appropriate staff and relevant members of the public and private sector associated with this matter, to report to the Policy and Finance Committee for its meeting of July 20, 2000, on the following matters:
    - (i) effective December 1, 2000, all events at Kew Gardens being transferred to the new Woodbine Park and permit holders being notified that their permits are subject to change and cancellation by the City without cost to the City;
    - (ii) new guidelines and usage rules for the new park being prepared by staff and brought back to the Economic Development and Parks Committee by September 2000 at the latest;

- (iii) interim rules and up to \$10,000.00 for a portable stage being authorized for the opening day, tentatively scheduled for Labour Day, September 4, 2000;
- (iv) the restoration of Kew Gardens in 2001;
- (v) an economic study on the impact of the move of the festivals as well as the merchants east of Woodbine being conducted and reported to City Council by December 2001; and
- (vi) in consultation with the affected residents and business community, providing a report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee for its meeting of September 18, 2000, on what acceptable programming will take place at Kew Gardens once the main events are transferred; and
- (3) requested the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, in consultation with the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, to report to the Policy and Finance Committee for its meeting of July 20, 2000, on the capital funds needed (approximately \$900,000.00) for the City to construct the new bandshell and surrounding festival area;
- requested the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer to report to the Policy and Finance Committee for its meeting of July 20, 2000, on:
  - (i) developing an alternate funding mechanism for the Festival Bandshell on a capital cost recovery/user pay model for special events at the New Woodbine Park; and
  - (ii) an alternate funding mechanism;
- (5) received the confidential report (April 26, 2000) from the City Solicitor; and
- (6) received the communications and petitions which are on file in the Office of the City Clerk;
- (II) (Undated) from Mr. Tony Irwin, Beaches International Jazz Festival forwarding a copy of an economic impact study entitled, "Economic Effects Beaches Jazz Festival"; and

(III) (July 20, 2000) from Councillor Sandra Bussin, East Toronto, strongly support that the City build the Festival Green Bandshell and permitting events in the normal fashion; and also supporting the movement of all the large amplified Kew Garden Festivals to the new park in 2001, to be accomplished through a process mutually agreed upon negotiated settlements between the City, local residents and the organizers of the festivals; and stating that the City must ensure that the residents and neighbourhoods in her community benefit from these festivals and have input into their conduct.

The Policy and Finance Committee was advised by the Mayor that staff in his office and City Commissioners are engaged in discussions with sponsors and key stakeholders respecting this matter; and that a further report regarding this issue will be submitted to Council for consideration at its meeting scheduled to be held on August 1, 2000.

Councillor Sandra Bussin, East Toronto, appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

The Policy and Finance Committee submitted to Council, without recommendation, the matter of the Woodbine Park Sponsorship of Festival Green Bandshell.

(Mayor Mel Lastman; c. Chief Administrative Officer; Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer – July 20, 2000)

(Clause No. 46 – Report No. 10)

### 7-45. Revised 2000 Capital Works Plan – Water and Wastewater Program.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it the following:

- (i) communication (July 12, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Works Committee on July 12, 2000, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee, and Council:
  - (1) the adoption of the report dated July 6, 2000, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting the revised 2000 Capital Works Plan Water and Wastewater Programs; and
  - (2) that a program be developed to accelerate the replacement of lead and galvanized pipes;

- (ii) report (June 28, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services addressed to the Works Committee, entitled "Water Service Replacement Program", reporting on (1) making appropriate Capital Budget provisions to allow for the replacement of lead or galvanized water services within one year of a resident's request; and (2) providing residents an opportunity for water services upgrade prior to road work where streets are being reconstructed or resurfaced; and recommending that this report be received; and
- (iii) joint report (July 19, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, entitled "Water Service Replacement Project Financial Options for funding the 2000 Water Service Replacement Project", wherein it is recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services consider accelerating the on demand replacement of sub-standard water services to permit a one year waiting period as part of the funding request for the 2001-2005 Capital Water and Wastewater Program and further, that the financial impact of the accelerated program be considered by the Chief Financial Officer in setting an annual water rate.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council:

- (1) the adoption of the Recommendations of the Works Committee embodied in the communication (July 12, 2000) from the City Clerk; and
- (2) the adoption of the joint report (July 19, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services.

(Clause No. 55 – Report No. 10)

#### 7-46. Source Separated Organics Pilot Project for Commercial Waste.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (July 12, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Works Committee on July 12, 2000, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee, and Council, the adoption of the report (July 10, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting the implementation of a Source Separated Organics Pilot Project for Commercial Waste, wherein it is recommended that:

- (1) a source separated organics collection pilot for small commercial businesses in the Broadview, Gerrard and Danforth area be implemented for a period of three months starting in September 2000 at an estimated cost of \$73,656;
- (2) the garbage and recycling service fees for businesses participating in the pilot project be waived for the duration of the pilot project to provide a financial incentive to businesses to participate; and
- (3) the General Manager of Solid Waste Management Services monitor the financial impact of undertaking the pilot project and report on the Program's ability to accommodate the expenditures through the quarterly variance reporting process and the result of the pilot project upon completion.

The Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the Recommendation of the Works Committee embodied in the foregoing communication (July 12, 2000) from the City Clerk.

(Clause No. 56 – Report No. 10)

## 7-47. Toronto Catholic District School Board's Proposals to Lease Schools for Closure in Phase 1 – Purchase of St. John Fisher School – 44 Kelvinway Drive.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it the following:

(i) communication (July 10, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Planning and Transportation Committee on July 10, 2000, recommended to City Council for its meeting on August 1, 2000, the adoption of the report (June 26, 2000) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services, respecting the "Toronto Catholic District School Board's Proposals to Lease Schools for Closure in Phase 1 – Purchase of St John Fisher School located at 44 Kelvinway Drive"; and further:

- (1) referred the transmittal letter (June 22, 2000) from the City Clerk, School Tax Sub-Committee to the Commissioner, Urban Development Services with a request that she review the recommendations of the School Tax Sub-Committee and report to the Policy and Finance Committee, at its next meeting on July 20, 2000, on whether she considers the suggested approach therein to be a high priority for the City; and
- (2) in view of the financial implications inherent in the aforementioned report, requested the Policy and Finance Committee to consider this matter in its financial context and forward its comments, together with the requested report from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, to City Council for its meeting on August 1, 2000 for consideration with Planning and Transportation's recommendations in this respect; and
- (ii) joint report (July 14, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, responding to a request for a report from the Planning and Transportation Committee, on the priority for a community centre in the vicinity of North Bridlewood Public School; advising that the impact of moving the student population from North Bridlewood Public School to St. John Fisher would have to be reviewed by the school boards; that the TCDSB may also be contemplating other uses for St. John Fisher, which would remove it from the list of schools for lease; that the provision of additional community centre facilities in this area of the city is not a high priority; that opportunities for the provision of additional recreation programs and services on a permit basis in schools will be further explored; and recommending that this report be received for information.

Councillor Mike Tzekas, Scarborough Wexford, appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Kelly, the Policy and Finance Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council, for consideration with Clause No. 9 of Report No. 8 of the Planning and Transportation Committee, that the communication (July 10, 2000) from the City Clerk regarding the financial implication respecting the recommendations of the School Tax Sub-Committee, be received; and
- (2) received the foregoing joint report.

(City Council; c: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; Committee Administrator, Planning and Transportation Committee – July 20, 2000)

(Clause No. 59(g) – Report No. 10)

## 7-48. Front Yard and On-Street Permit Parking Fees (All Wards) Late Invoicing of Residents in York (York Humber and York Eglinton).

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it the following communications:

- (i) (July 12, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Works Committee on July 12, 2000, amongst other things, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee:
  - the adoption of Option 3 contained in the report dated June 30, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, subject to deleting the amount of 50 percent or \$24.00 refund (City revenue loss: \$79,608.00) and inserting in lieu thereof the amount of 75 percent or \$36.00 (City revenue loss: \$119,412.00) so that such Option reads as follows:
    - "Option 3: Forgive a portion of the 1999 fee for York licence holders (i.e., 75 percent or \$36.00 refund) City revenue loss: \$119,412.00"; and
  - (2) that the appropriate City officials be requested to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills; and
- (ii) (July 10, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Planning and Transportation Committee on July 10, 2000:
  - (1) recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee, and Council, that the following Option 3 contained in the report (June 30, 2000) from the Commissioner, Works and Emergency Services respecting the post-billing of 1999 front yard parking fees in the York community be adopted, and forwards this matter to the Policy and Finance Committee for consideration of the financial implications inherent therein:
    - "Option 3: Forgive a portion of the 1999 fee for York licence holders (i.e. 50 percent or \$24.00 refund Revenue Loss \$79,608"; and
  - (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with appropriate City staff, to report further on the feasibility of having the collection of all fees related to front yard parking, boulevard parking and driveway widening included in municipal taxes.

A. Councillor Disero moved that the Policy and Finance Committee recommend to Council the adoption of the Recommendation of the Works Committee embodied in the foregoing communication (July 12, 2000) from the City Clerk. (Lost on the following recorded vote:

FOR: Disero, Nunziata

AGAINST: Brown, Kelly, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae)

B. Councillor Pantalone moved that the Policy and Finance Committee recommend to Council the adoption of the Recommendation of the Planning and Transportation Committee embodied in the foregoing communication (July 10, 2000) from the City Clerk. (Carried on the following recorded vote:

FOR: Disero, Nunziata, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae

AGAINST: Brown, Kelly)

(Clause No. 57 – Report No. 10)

#### 7-49. Taxi Licensing Matters.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it the following communication and report:

- (I) (July 10, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Planning and Transportation Committee on July 10, 2000:
  - (i) endorsed the recommendations contained in the following reports:
    - (a) (June 21, 2000) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services respecting the establishment of fees for the provision of services by the Municipal Licensing and Standards Division;
    - (b) (June 21, 2000) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services respecting Licensing Fees for the Year 2001; and
    - (c) (June 27, 2000) from the City Clerk, Licensing Sub-Committee respecting Taxicab Driver and Owner/Agent Refresher Training Courses

subject to amending the report (June 27, 2000) from the City Clerk, Licensing Sub-Committee by:

- (1) amending Recommendation (4) by deleting the requirement that owners have to complete a First Aid/CPR course, providing an exemption process for drivers who are unable to attend because of medical reasons, and limiting the amount of course fee so that this Recommendation now reads:
  - "(4) (a) every driver shall be required, as a condition for licence renewal, to attend and successfully complete a one-day First Aid/CPR course every three (3) years, as provided by Toronto Ambulance Services, or other approved agency;
    - (b) a driver will be not be required to pay for more than one First Aid/CPR course; and
    - (c) a driver will be exempt from taking the First Aid/CPR course upon production of a medical certificate stating, due to health reasons, he/she is unable to take this course."
- (2) amending Recommendation (6) to read:
  - "(6) "That Municipal Licensing and Standards Division be authorized to cover initial costs of the operational start-up including training facilities, staffing equipment, course design and development, materials and supplies, such partial year costs to be offset by additional revenues from the revised license fees and that this matter be forwarded to the Policy and Finance committee for consideration of the financial implications therein."; and
- (ii) in view of the financial implications inherent herein, referred the aforementioned reports to the Commissioner, Urban Development Services, with a request that she report to the Policy and Finance Committee for its meeting on July 20, 2000 with a consolidated report which would include:
  - (1) all the recommendations contained in the three aforementioned reports;

- (2) the amendments proposed by the Committee as noted above; and
- (3) advise whether the above-mentioned amendments proposed by the Planning and Transportation Committee respecting attendance by drivers at the CPR/First Aid Course would satisfy the recent decision made by the Divisional Court with respect to a challenge on the validity of Section 45 of Schedule 8 of By-law No. 20-85; and
- (II) (July 17, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, entitled "By-law to Establish Fees for the Provision of Services by the Municipal Licensing and Standards Division; Licensing Fees for the Year 2001; Taxicab Driver and Owner/Agent Refresher Training Courses"; recommending that:
  - (1) the Municipal Licensing and Standards Division be authorized to over spend its 2000 Operating Budget by up to \$250,000 to cover start-up costs of the taxicab refresher training courses;
  - (2) the recommendation relating to the Year 2001 licensing fees for taxicab drivers be amended to reflect the cost of the refresher training course without the CPR component. This will result in a licence renewal fee for taxicab drivers of \$146.00. The fee for the First Aid/ CPR component will be charged to the applicant at the time of registration;
  - (3) the recommendation that the cost of the mandatory refresher training course for taxicab owners/agents be incorporated into the annual licence fee be deleted; and
  - (4) the matter of owner/agent refresher training courses be referred to the Licensing Sub-Committee for consideration at the time the communication (July 10, 2000) from George H. Rust-D'Eye, Weir & Foulds is considered and the City Solicitor be requested to provide a report to the Licensing Sub-Committee relative to the impact of the recent Divisional Court decision concerning owner-attendance at vehicle inspections.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the report (July 17, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, subject to adding the following words to Recommendation No. (1) "and that such cost overrun be recovered from the amended drivers license fee", so that Recommendation No. (1) now reads as follows:

"(1) the Municipal Licensing and Standards Division be authorized to over spend its 2000 Operating Budget by up to \$250,000 to cover start-up costs of the taxicab refresher training courses; and that such cost overrun be recovered from the amended drivers license fee;".

(Clause No. 53 – Report No. 10)

#### 7-50. Additional Costs – Purchasing of Ultra Low Emissions Vehicles, and On-Road Diesel Fuel.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk enclosing for information and any attention deemed necessary, Clause No. 6 contained in Report No. 7 of The Planning and Transportation Committee, headed "Free Transit on Air Quality Advisory Days", which was adopted, as amended, by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000; and advising that in so doing, Council referred Recommendations Nos. (3) and (5) embodied in the communication dated July 5, 2000, from Councillor Jack Layton, to the Policy and Finance Committee, viz.:

#### "It is recommended that:

- (3) staff report directly to the August City Council meeting on any additional costs involved in purchasing Ultra Low Emissions Vehicles above those to be allocated in the 2000 Capital Budget for the replacement of automobiles, including any funding already provided by the Toronto Atmospheric Fund; and
- (5) staff report directly to the August City Council meeting on any additional costs involved and actions necessary in purchasing on-road diesel fuel, which has a much lower sulphur content, for use by off-road vehicles."

Councillor Jack Layton, Don River, appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, on behalf of Councillor Layton, the Policy and Finance Committee referred the foregoing communication to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer for report thereon to the meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee scheduled to be held on September 21, 2000.

(Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer – July 20, 2000)

(Clause No. 59(h) – Report No. 10)

#### 7-51. Reporting of Grants Programs

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (July 11, 2000) from Councillor Denzil Minnan-Wong, Don Parkway, advising that several groups and organizations receive funding through the various City Grants Programs, which the City offers; that in addition, many of these groups receive funding from more than one program; that since it is in the public interest to know the total amount of funding that each group receives from the City, the Province and the Federal government, requesting that the Policy and Finance Committee report on this matter, specifically, outlining the total amount of taxpayers dollars allocated to groups and organizations through all City Grants Programs as well as a list of Provincial and Federal funding; and that it would also be valuable to Council to receive the City Auditor's comments on whether sufficient procedures are in place to evaluate if funds are being spent to meet program objectives – as outlined by the City's funding criteria; and that this report should also include a break down of the funds which each group or organization spends on direct programming as opposed to administration and communications.

Councillor Denzil Minnan-Wong, Don Parkway, appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

- A. Councillor Nunziata moved, on behalf of Councillor Minnan-Wong, that the communication (July 11, 2000) from Councillor Denzil Minnan-Wong be referred to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services for a report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee at its meeting scheduled to be held on September 21, 2000. (Lost)
- B. Councillor Pantalone moved that the Policy and Finance Committee receive the foregoing communication. (Carried)

(Councillor Denzil Minnan-Wong, Don Parkway – July 20, 2000)

(Clause No. 59(i) – Report No. 10)

#### 7-52. 2001 Budget Process and Timetable.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it the following:

- (i) joint report (July 20, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that:
  - (1) the 2001 Capital and Operating Budget Process and timetables, as outlined in Appendix A be adopted and that the City Clerk, in consultation with the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, develop the 2001 meeting schedule that accommodates the 2001 Budget Process timetable;
  - the Chief Administrative Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer report back to the Policy and Finance Committee in September 2000 on a 2002 capital and operating budget process and implementation strategy;
  - (3) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer develop a comprehensive set of capital and operating budget policies, financial protocols and practices for use beginning with the 2001 budget cycle and report to the Policy and Finance Committee in September 2000; and
  - (4) the 2001 five—year capital plan be prepared based on affordability targets, and that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer report to the Policy and Finance Committee in September 2000 with capital budget targets for the 2001-2005 capital program based on corporate affordability criteria and the fiscal envelopes allocated to program areas; and
- (ii) communication (July 19, 2000) from Councillor Soknacki, Scarborough Highland Creek, recommending that the Policy and Finance Committee forward this matter to Council, without recommendation, to allow consultation between City staff and Council members.

Councillor David Soknacki, Scarborough Highland Creek, appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Moeser, on behalf of Councillor Soknacki, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing joint report (July 20, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, subject to amending Recommendation No. (2) to read as follows:

"(2) the Chief Administrative Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer report back to the Policy and Finance Committee in September 2000 on a 2001, 2002 Capital and Operating Budget process and implementation strategy;".

(Clause No. 11 – Report No. 10)

#### 7-53. Purchase of Service Contracts.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (July 11, 2000) from Dr. Sheela V. Basrur, Medical Officer of Health, recommending that:

- (1) authority be granted to the Medical Officer of Health to award the purchase of service contracts related to the programs named in this report, such purchase of service agencies to be selected in accordance with specific selection criteria as described in the report;
- authority be granted to the Medical Officer of Health to both award and execute, on an ongoing annual basis, the purchase of service contracts for the programs named in this report, within the Toronto Public Health approved budget;
- (3) authority be granted to the Medical Officer of Health to amend the amount of said purchase of service contracts subject to a prior report to Policy and Finance Committee on changes in provincial funding and/or service targets;
- (4) the Medical Officer of Health ensure accountability of agencies in accordance with program requirements specified in Appendices "A","B","C", and "D" in this report; and
- (5) that the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary steps to give effect thereto.

The Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 37 – Report No. 10)

### 7-54. Manson Lands Community Consultation and Peer Review Proposed Funding Source.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (June 28, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that funds in the amount of \$105,000.00 be allocated from the Parkland Acquisition Reserve Fund (Scarborough Land Development) to offset the costs of the Manson Land Study in the Urban Development Services operating program.

On motion by Councillor Moeser, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 20 – Report No. 10)

#### 7-55. 2000 Toronto Police Service Vehicle and Equipment Replacement Programme.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it the following:

- (i) joint report (July 11, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Chief Administrative Officer, Toronto Police Service recommending that:
  - (1) a total draw of \$5.36 million from the City's Vehicle and Equipment Replacement Reserve be approved (which is a increase of \$1.56 million) for the Toronto Police Service's 2000 policing and parking enforcement vehicle replacement requirements as found in Appendix A, including the \$3.8 million already approved by Council, subject to the following recommendations:
  - (2) the Toronto Police Services Board increase its contribution to \$5.36 million in each of 2001 and 2002 to the City's Vehicle and Equipment Replacement Reserve as follows:
    - (a) maintenance savings of \$100,000 be used to increase net contributions in 2001 to 2002 to the City's Vehicle and Equipment Replacement Reserve in those years for a total contribution of \$3.2 million each year;
    - (b) any additional maintenance savings incurred throughout the year 2000 or in addition to the \$100,000 identified in future years be used to increase its annual contribution;

- (c) Toronto Police Services Board apply all additional salvage proceeds over 2000 budgeted levels of \$300,000 to increase its contribution to the City's Vehicle and Equipment Replacement Reserve estimated as an additional \$500,000 in 2001 (over 2000) and an additional \$1.3 million in 2002 (over 2000), respectively;
- (d) Toronto Police Services Board request OMERS and the Province of Ontario to release, as a lump sum, all funds held in the Toronto Police Service's OMERS Type 3 'account' currently estimated at \$45 million, and such funds be used to first replenish the draws (\$11 million) from the City's Vehicle and Equipment Replacement Reserve to the end of 2000 and the balance be contributed to this City Reserve for the future specific vehicle replacement requirements of the Toronto Police Service;
- (e) if the release of the Toronto Police Service's OMERS Type 3 surplus (estimated at \$45 million) is not approved by OMERS and/or the Province, then the Toronto Police Service Board request OMERS and the Province to release the interest accruing to the OMERS type 3 savings so that it can be used to increase the Toronto Police Service's Contribution to the City's Vehicle and Equipment Replacement Reserve;
- (f) that the Toronto Police Service provide for the balance of the \$5.36 million in each of its Operating Budget submissions for 2001 and 2002 as a Contribution to the City's Vehicle and Equipment Reserve utilizing the initiatives described above; and,
- (g) that the \$5.36 million be set as the Contribution to the City's Vehicle and Equipment Reserve for 2000, 2001 and 2002 and that a review of the Toronto Police Service's contribution level be conducted through a report on contribution levels for all Departments, Agencies, Boards and Commissions to be completed by the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and Commissioner of Corporate Services in 2000; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto; and

- (ii) Joint report (June 21, 2000) from the Chairman, Toronto Police Services and the City Auditor, entitled "Vehicle Replacement Policy Toronto Police Service", recommending that:
- (1) the amount of \$5.36 million requested by the Toronto Police Services Board in connection with the replacement of vehicles for the year 2000 be approved;
  - (2) the Budget Advisory Committee give consideration to the adoption of a policy which provides for the replacement of marked police vehicles after 150,000 kilometres; and
  - (3) in reviewing future budget requests the Budget Advisory Committee give consideration to the information contained in this report which indicates that the optimum annual budgetary requirement amount for police vehicles, which takes into account vehicle costs, disposition proceeds and maintenance costs, is in the range of \$7.2 million.

Councillor Jack Layton, Don River, appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

- A. Councillor Moeser moved that the Policy and Finance Committee recommend to Council:
  - (1) the adoption of the joint report (July 11, 2000) from the Chief financial Officer and Treasurer and the Chief Administrative Officer, Toronto Police Service, entitled "2000 Toronto Police Service Vehicle and Equipment Replacement Programme"; and
  - (2) the adoption of the joint report (June 21, 2000) from the Chairman, Toronto Police Services Board and the City Auditor, entitled "Vehicle Replacement Policy, Toronto Police Service". (Carried)
- B. Councillor Brown moved, on behalf of Councillor Layton, that the foregoing motion A. moved by Councillor Moeser be amended by adding thereto the following:
  - "(3) that the Toronto Police Services Board be requested to direct their staff to consult with the City of Toronto Fleet Management Team to determine whether the police might be able to use any ultra-low emission vehicles; and

(4) that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee respecting any additional costs that might be incurred." (Carried)

(Clause No. 8 – Report No. 10)

#### **7-56. 186** Bartley Drive.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a confidential joint report (June 29, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the City Solicitor submitting confidential recommendations respecting 186 Bartley Drive, such recommendations to be considered in-camera having regard that the subject matter being considered relates to the security of the property of the municipality, in accordance with the Municipal Act.

The Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the confidential joint report (June 29, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the City Solicitor respecting 186 Bartley Drive, which was forwarded to Members of Council under confidential cover; and further, that in accordance with the Municipal Act any discussion pertaining thereto be considered in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to the security of the property of the municipality.

(Clause No. 19 – Report No. 10)

# 7-57. Implementation Strategy and Citizen Engagement Process to Establish a New Relationship with Ontario and Canada and Legality of a Referendum on Charter City Status.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it the following reports:

- (i) (July 6, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer, entitled "Implementation Strategy and Citizen Engagement Process to Establish a New Relationship with Ontario and Canada", recommending that:
  - (1) Council endorse the various components of a public education campaign and consultation strategy as outlined in this report;
  - funding for phasing a public education campaign and consultation strategy beyond 2000 be included in the 2001 budget estimates of the Chief Administrator's Office;

- (3) Team Toronto, as advisors on the development and implementation of key components of the public education strategy, report on a regular basis to the Policy and Finance Committee on the status of the implementation strategy; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto; and
- (ii) (July 17, 2000) from the City Solicitor, entitled "Legality of a Referendum on Charter City Status", responding to the request of City Council at its meeting on July 4, 5, and 6, 2000, that City staff report on holding a referendum as part of the 2000 municipal election to determine public support for Charter City status for the City; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Policy and Finance Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of the report (July 6, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer, entitled "Implementation Strategy and Citizen Engagement Process to Establish a New Relationship with Ontario and Canada"; and
- (2) received the report (July 17, 2000) from the City Solicitor, entitled "Legality of a Referendum on Charter City Status".

(Clause No. 2 – Report No. 10)

### 7-58. Designation of a Geary Avenue Business Improvement Area (Davenport).

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a communication (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Economic Development and Parks Committee at its meeting on July 12, 2000, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee, and Council, the adoption of the report (July 12, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, wherein it is recommended that:

(1) Economic Development Division staff work with the Geary Avenue businesses and commercial/industrial property owners for the purposes of establishing a Business Improvement Area and report back to Council at such time when sufficient notice and business area support warrants proceeding with a Notice of Intention to Designate the area as a Business Improvement Area;

- (2) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to report back to the Committee on a recommended procedure for starting new Business Improvement Areas and that this review be done in consultation with the Toronto Association of Business Improvement Areas (TABIA) and that such procedures when approved precede a formal request to designate new Business Improvement Areas;
- (3) this report be forwarded to the Policy and Finance Committee for consideration; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the Recommendation of the Economic Development and Parks Committee embodied in the foregoing communication (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk.

(Clause No. 52 – Report No. 10)

#### 7-59. Review of Standard Form Municipal Access Agreements and Street Crossing Agreements.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a confidential communication (July 13, 2000) from the City Clerk forwarding confidential recommendations from the Telecommunications Steering Committee meeting held on July 13, 2000, respecting the Review of Standard Form Municipal Access Agreements and Street Crossing Agreements, such recommendations to be considered in-camera having regard that the subject matter being considered relates to the security of the property of the municipality and is subject to solicitor-client privilege, in accordance with the Municipal Act:

The Policy and Finance Committee referred the foregoing confidential communication to the Executive Lead on Telecommunications for report thereon to the meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee scheduled to be held on September 21, 2000.

(Executive Lead on Telecommunications; c. Telecommunications Steering Committee – Confidential – July 20, 2000)

(Clause No. 59(j) – Report No. 10)

### 7-60. 2000 Levy on Institutions Under Section 157 of The Municipal Act.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (July 14, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer requesting Council's authority for the adoption of by-laws for the levying and collection of taxes on hospitals, universities and colleges, and correctional facilities; and recommending that:

- (1) Council authorize the levy of taxes for the 2000 taxation year on hospitals, colleges and universities and correctional facilities as authorized by Section 157 of the Municipal Act;
- (2) the maximum prescribed amount of \$75 be applied for each provincially rated hospital bed, full time student, or resident place; and
- (3) authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary bills in Council to levy taxes for the year 2000 on hospitals, colleges and universities and correctional facilities in the form or substantially in the form of the draft by-laws attached hereto.

The Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 13 – Report No. 10)

#### 7-61. Authority to Make Grants and Contracts to December 31, 2001.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (July 17, 2000) from the Executive Director, The Toronto Atmospheric Fund, recommending that the Board of Directors of the Toronto Atmospheric Fund be authorized by Council to enter into grant and contract agreements in 2000 that extend to December 31, 2001.

Councillor Jack Layton, Don River, appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Moeser, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 31 – Report No. 10)

#### 7-62. Reallocation of 1999 (Toronto Atmospheric Fund) TAF Surplus.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (July 17, 2000) from the Executive Director, The Toronto Atmospheric Fund, recommending that TAF Board's request to allocate its 1999 surplus of \$192,204.00 to additional grants, contracts, and legal costs be approved; and advising that TAF has consulted with the Finance Department's Accounting Services Division, which has confirmed the figures presented in this report.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 30 – Report No. 10)

#### 7-63. Authority to Submit Applications to the FCM's Green Fund Programs.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (July 18, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer recommending that:

- (1) the Chief Administrative Officer be authorized to submit applications on behalf of the City to the Federation of Canadian Municipalities' Green Municipal Enabling Fund and Green Municipal Investment Fund for its September 1, 2000 deadline;
- (2) the Chief Administrative Officer submit a summary of the applications made under this authority to the September meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee for confirmation; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 10 – Report No. 10)

#### 7-64. Enwave District Energy Limited – Annual Shareholders Meeting.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a joint meeting (July 17, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer and the City Solicitor recommending that:

- (1) City Council appoint the Chief Administrative Officer or his designate as the City's proxyholder to attend and vote the common shares of Enwave District Energy Limited ("Enwave") owned by the City at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Enwave to be held at 9 am on August 15, 2000 and/or at any adjournment of such Meeting (the "Annual Shareholders Meeting");
- (2) City Council direct the proxyholder to act as follows at the Annual Shareholders Meeting:
  - (a) to receive the financial statements of Enwave for the period ended December 15, 1999 together with the auditor's report thereon;
  - (b) to vote for the re-appointment of Ernst & Young LLP, Chartered Accountants, as Enwave's auditors, and for the authorization of Enwave's Board of Directors to fix the auditors' remuneration;
  - (c) to vote for a resolution approving and confirming the change of Enwave's financial year-end from December 31 to October 31, effective for the 2000 financial year;
  - (d) to vote at his or her discretion on any minor amendment or minor variation to matters specified in the notice of the Annual Shareholders Meeting or on any other minor matter that may properly come before the Annual Shareholders Meetings.
- (3) City Council authorize and direct the Chief Administrative Officer or his designate to execute on behalf of the City the proxy substantially in the form of Attachment Two to this report.
- (4) City Council authorize and direct the Chief Administrative Officer or his designate to take or cause to be taken all necessary action to give full effect to the foregoing.

The Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing joint report.

(Clause No. 9 – Report No. 10)

#### 7-65. Resource Implications of the Environmental Plan.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (July 18, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer recommending that the Chief Administrative Officer be authorized to report to the August 1, 2000 meeting of City Council on the Resource Implications of the Environmental Plan.

The Policy and Finance Committee concurred with the Recommendation embodied in the foregoing report.

(Chief Administrative Officer – July 20, 2000)

(Clause No. 58 – Report No. 10)

#### 7-66. Toronto Port Authority – 2000 Operating Budget and 2000-2001 Capital Program.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it the following reports and communication:

- (i) (July 19, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, entitled "Toronto Port Authority 2000 Operating Budget, recommending that:
  - (1) the 1999 BAC Recommended Operating Budget of \$14.266 million gross and \$2.775 million net, be approved;
  - the 2000 Recommended Operating Budget of \$15.193 million gross and \$2.907 million net, representing an increased City Subsidy of \$0.132 million, comprising the following services, be approved:

	Service Net		Gross
<b>Toronto Port Operations</b>	6.319	0.410	
Outer Harbour		1.277	(.397)
Toronto City Centre Airport	3.601	0.678	
Corporate Administration	<u>3.996</u>	<u>2.216</u>	
Total Program Budget	<u>15.193</u>	<u>2.907</u>	

(3) the staff of the Toronto Port Authority report back to the Policy and Finance Committee on the adjustments to the 2000 Operating Budget required to accommodate the reduction of \$400,000.00 in the expenditure estimate, in accordance with City Council's directive of July 5, 2000; and,

- (4) the Chief Administrative Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer review and report to the Budget Advisory Committee on the financial implications of a revised subsidy agreement;
- (ii) (July 19, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, entitled "The Toronto Port Authority 2000 2001 Capital Program", recommending that:
  - (1) pursuant to City Council's directive of March 2, 1999, stating that subsidy requests from the TPA commencing in 2000 exclude funding requests for any projects approved prior to the year 2000, TPA be required to fund from its working capital reserves (of \$11.8 million as of December 31, 1999) projects approved in 1999 and prior years of \$1.103 million and \$0.300 million for 2000 and 2001 respectively;
  - in order to mitigate the use of TPA working capital funds and given that the TPA is a federal public authority and as such is eligible for federal assistance, the TPA seek funding under the Airport Capital Assistance Program of \$1.5 million in 2000 for the Airport Certification Project and \$2.0 million in 2001 for the runway resurfacing project;
  - (3) the 2000 Capital Budget for Toronto Port Authority consisting of 12 new projects and 8 ongoing projects with one-year cash flow of \$2.725 million as recommended in Appendix A be approved and that the City of Toronto contribution amount to \$1.225 million (\$2.725 million net of federal assistance of \$1.5 million);
  - (4) the 2001 Capital Program for Toronto Port Authority consisting of 5 new projects and 7 ongoing projects with one-year cash flow of \$2.521 million as recommended in Appendix A be approved and that the City of Toronto contribution amount to \$0.521 million (\$2.521 million net of federal assistance of \$2.0 million); and
  - (5) the 2000 and 2001 TPA capital program amounting to \$3.83 million and \$2.821 million for 2000 and 2001 respectively be approved subject to the recommendations and conditions outlined above; and
- (iii) (May 2, 2000) from Mr. John D. Morand, General Manager and Chief Executive Officer, Toronto Port Authority, forwarding comments respecting the Toronto Port Authority's Operating and Capital Budget.

Councillor Olivia Chow, Downtown, appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Kelly, on behalf of Councillor Chow, the Policy and Finance Committee:

- (1) submitted to Council, without recommendation, the reports (July 19, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the communication (May 2, 2000) from the General Manager and Chief Executive Officer, Toronto Port Authority, respecting the Toronto Port Authority Operating and Capital Budgets; and
- (2) requested the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer to:
  - (i) work towards flat lining the Toronto Port Authority Operating Budget to the 1999 approved level of \$2.775 Million;
  - (ii) examine and reduce the consultants and legal costs;
  - (iii) obtain information from the Toronto Port Authority (as previously requested by the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer) regarding the particular Capital projects that were delayed resulting in additional operating maintenance; and
  - (iv) consult with the Toronto Port Authority staff, Chair and Board Members respecting their budget.

(Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; c. Mr. John D. Morand, General Manager and Chief Executive Officer, Toronto Port Authority – July 20, 2000)

(Clause No. 5 – Report No. 10)

#### 7-67. Funding Issue – Road and Trail Safety Ambassadors.

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it the following communications:

- (i) (July 18, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Toronto Cycling Committee on July 17, 2000, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that:
  - (1) the continuation of the Road and Trail Safety Ambassadors program to the end of summer 2000, be endorsed; and

- (2) the Policy and Finance Committee be requested to provide funds in the amount of \$75,000.00 from appropriate sources, in order for this program to continue, having regard to anticipated funding from Human Resources Development Canada not being confirmed; and
- (ii) (July 19, 2000) from Councillor Jack Layton, Don River, advising that he will be recommending that the Policy and Finance Committee direct the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to:
  - (1) continue with the Road and Trail Safety Ambassadors program;
  - (2) continue to seek funding from Human Resources Development Canada, or other funding source groups; and
  - (3) report back in September 2000 to the Policy and Finance Committee, if any other funds are required from Toronto contingency accounts, at that time.

Councillor Jack Layton, Don River, appeared before the Policy and Finance Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Rae, on behalf of Councillor Layton, the Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the Recommendations of the Toronto Cycling Committee embodied in the foregoing communication (July 18, 2000) from the City Clerk.

(Clause No. 54 – Report No. 10)

### 7-68. Investigation of Feasible Alternative Financing Options for Existing Program of TTC Subway Cars

The Policy and Finance Committee had before it a report (July 19, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that:

- (1) Council approve proceeding with entering into:
  - (a) a Lease-into-Service-Contract U.S. cross-border financing arrangement, with Macquarie North America Ltd. to arrange and execute this transaction,
  - (b) requesting the Province to grant relief from double Provincial Sales Tax taxation on the subway cars, and

- (c) requesting the Federal Government to grant relief from double Goods and Services Tax taxation on the subway cars;
- (2) if the Provincial government does not grant relief from double PST taxation, Council approve entering into a Lease-into-Service-Contract for the remaining cars that have not yet been delivered;
- (3) the City's Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, in consultation with the Chief General Manager of the TTC, be authorized to negotiate and execute the necessary agreements that are required to enter into this financing arrangement, and to seek the necessary supporting agreements that are required for the transaction with the TTC;
- (4) an amount not exceeding \$250,000.00 be allocated from the Capital Financing Reserve Fund to the TTC for the purposes of retaining independent legal support for this transaction;
- (5) the City's Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be given authority to deposit any up-front funds received as part of any such transaction in the City of Toronto Sinking Fund, in order to offset any related debt obligations;
- (6) the City's Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer report back to Council the details of the financing transaction that was effected; and
- (7) the appropriate officials be authorized to undertake the necessary work and implement these recommendations.

The Policy and Finance Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 14 – Report No. 10)

The Committee adjourned its meeting at 4:35 p.m.

Chair.	