

THE CITY OF TORONTO

Clerk's Division

Minutes of the Planning and Transportation Committee

Meeting No. 3

Tuesday, March 21, 2000

The Planning and Transportation Committee met on March 21, 2000, in Committee Room No. 2, 2nd Floor, City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:30 a.m.

Members Present:

Councillor Joanne Flint, Chair
Councillor Pam McConnell, Vice-Chair
Councillor Maria Augimeri
Councillor Milton Berger
Councillor Anne Johnston
Councillor Blake F. Kinahan
Councillor Gloria Lindsay Luby
Councillor Howard Moscoe
Councillor Joe Pantalone

Members were present for some or all of the meeting.

Confirmation of Minutes

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Minutes of the meeting of the Planning and Transportation Committee held on February 7, 2000, were confirmed.

Declarations of Interest Pursuant to the Municipal Conflict of Interest Act

No Conflicts of Interest were declared.

3.1 Review of the 2000 Operating Budget

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to the transmittal letter from the City Clerk, Budget Advisory Committee, advising that the Budget Advisory Committee on February 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 and 28, 2000, having completed its preliminary review of the 2000 Operating Budget, directed that:

- (1) the 2000 Operating Budget be forwarded to the relevant Standing Committees for consideration; and
- (2) the Standing Committees be requested to forward their recommendations pertaining to the 2000 Operating Budget to the Budget Advisory Committee prior to the commencement of the 'wrap-up' meeting on April 3, 2000.

The Committee also had before it the following communications/material:

- overhead material respecting the 2000 Preliminary Operating Budget Presentation – Supplemental Information for Standing Committees, submitted by the Chief Administrative Officer;
- overhead material respecting the 2000 Operating Budget for the City's Planning Division of Urban Development Services, submitted by the Chief Planner;
- communication (March 20, 2000) from Anne Dubas, President, CUPE Local 79, urging the Committee to recognize the importance of maintaining and enhancing the services provided by the Urban Development Services Department and to reinvest in front-line staffing and service levels;
- communication (undated) from Councillor Johnston requesting a report from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services to include accessibility on the application information sheet prepared by proponents of development and/or re-development within the City of Toronto.

The following persons gave presentations on the 2000 Operating Budget:

- Michael Garrett, Chief Administrative Officer, gave a power point presentation on the 2000 Operating Budget and provided supplementary information;
- James Ridge, Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards Division, gave an overhead presentation on the 2000 Operating Budget for the Urban Development Services Department and the Municipal Licensing and Standards Division;
- Paul Bedford, Chief Planner, gave an overhead presentation on the 2000 Operating Budget for the Planning Division of Urban Development Services Department; and

Planning and Transportation Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

- Yaman Uzumeri, Chief Building Official, gave a presentation on the 2000 Operating Budget for the Building Division of Urban Development Services.

Ken Amoroso, Membership Secretary, CUPE Local 79, addressed the Committee with regard to this matter.

The Committee, during its consideration of its review of the 2000 Operating Budget:

- (1) on motion by Councillor Pantalone, requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report to the Budget Advisory Committee's wrap up meeting on April 3, 2000, with a work schedule and costs to cover, over a two to three year phase-in period, the additional staff resources required to implement the expectations of Council with respect to the amendments it made at its meeting on December 14, 15 and 16, 1999 relating to the New Practices for the Review of Development Applications;
- (2) on motion by Councillor Moscoe, requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on whether a portion of building permit costs could be used to cover pre-inspection and pre-construction planning; and
- (3) on motion by Councillor Johnston, adopted her undated communication, and in so doing requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on including accessibility on the application information sheet prepared by proponents on development and/or re-development within the City of Toronto and other related matters as outlined in her communication.

(Budget Advisory Committee; Commissioner of Urban Development Services; cc: Paul Bedford, Chief Planner, Urban Development Services; James Ridge, Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards Division; Yaman Uzumeri, Chief Building Official, Urban Development Services; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; John Di Lallo, Manager, Urban Planning & Development Services, Finance; and Interested Persons – March 23, 2000)

(Report No. 3, Clause 5(a))

3.2 Discussion on the Implications of the Supreme Court Decision – Ingles v. Tutkaluk Construction Ltd. and The Corporation of the City of Toronto

The Planning and Transportation Committee had before it an issue note prepared by Building Division, Urban Development Services, titled “City’s Duty of Care in Illegal Construction Cases – Supreme Court Ruling – Ingles v. Tutkaluk.

Councillor Pantalone assumed the Chair.

On motion by Councillor Flint, the Committee adjourned its public session at 2:15 p.m. to meet privately to discuss the matter of the Implications of the Supreme Court Decision – Ingles v. Tutkaluk Construction Ltd., and the Corporation of the City of Toronto, having regard that the subject matter related to the receipt of advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, in accordance with the Municipal Act.

Councillor Flint resumed the Chair.

The Committee, after having discussed this matter in-camera, resumed its public session at 2:45 p.m. and Councillor Pantalone assumed the Chair.

Councillor Pantalone assumed the Chair.

On motion by Councillor Flint, the Committee requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with the City Solicitor, to report to the Budget Advisory Committee’s wrap-up meeting on April 3, 2000 on any staffing repercussions resulting from the recent Supreme Court Ruling regarding Ingles v. Tutkaluk;

Councillor Flint resumed the Chair.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Committee

- (1) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with the City Solicitor, to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on this matter and draft the necessary amendments to provincial legislation that would be required to further protect the City in this respect;
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to instruct her staff to give a presentation to the Board of Directors, Association of Municipalities of Ontario on this matter with a view to seeking their support for legislative amendments; and

- (3) requested that in future matters of this nature not be considered by the Committee as in-camera items.

(Commissioner of Urban Development Services; City Solicitor; cc: Administrator, Budget Advisory Committee – March 23, 2000)

(Report No. 3, Clause 5(b))

3.3 Hours of Operation for Auto Body Shops, Car Washing Establishments and Coin-Operated Car Washes

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to the transmittal letter (February 17, 2000) from the City Clerk, Licensing Sub-Committee, recommending:

- (1) the adoption of the report (January 11, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services, titled “Hours of Operation for Auto Body Shops and Car Washing Establishments”, which recommends that:
 - “(1) a program of targeting problem locations be adopted; and
 - (2) the By-law not be amended at this time”; and
- (2) that Schedule 24 of the Licensing By-law 20-85 be amended so that the hours of operation of coin-operated car washing facilities located within a 122-metre distance from a residential area be regulated as follows:
 - (a) 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m., Mondays to Fridays;
 - (b) 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Saturdays and Sundays; and
 - (c) 7:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m., Mondays to Fridays (beginning of June until end of September).

The Committee also had before it the following communications:

- (March 13, 2000) from David J. Armstrong, President, Cango Inc., requesting that the report be deferred for one month to enable Cango Inc. to conduct a full review of the issues, and advising that the proposed amendment to By-law 20-85 would have a severe impact on business operations;
- (March 13, 2000) from Bradley Goetz, Canadian Carwash Association, supporting the recommendations that the existing By-law not be amended and a system be developed to deal with problem locations and advising that they are willing to deal with the City to resolving problems; and

Planning and Transportation Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

- (March 21, 2000) from Councillor Miller endorsing the request of the Ripley Area Residents Group Ltd. as set out in their letter of March 20, 2000.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Committee referred this matter back to the Licensing Sub-Committee with a request that it be dealt with as expeditiously as possible.

(Licensing Sub-Committee; cc: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards, Urban Development Services; Interested Persons – March 23, 2000)

(Report No. 3, Clause 5(c))

3.4 Car Sharing Initiatives

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to the report (February 23, 2000) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services presenting, for Council's consideration, a number of proposals that support car sharing and recommending that:

- (1) given Council's recognition of residentially-based car sharing as part of the City's sustainable transportation strategy, the following three initiatives (as outlined more fully in the text of this report) be endorsed:
 - (a) permit parking privileges be made available to AutoShare vehicles at the current rate of \$84.00 per year;
 - (b) the Toronto Parking Authority (TPA) be requested to grant AutoShare's request for a flat rate for all monthly permits issued for the use of Toronto Parking Authority lots and that accompanying lock boxes be installed on TPA lots where appropriate; and
 - (c) as a promotional effort, AutoShare partner with the TTC to offer current annual TTC pass holders residing in areas where AutoShare services are available a \$100 reduction in AutoShare membership fees;
- (2) the initiatives outlined in Recommendation (1) be adopted on a one-year pilot project basis and the appropriate City staff be requested to report back to the Planning and Transportation Committee on the experience gained from those measures; and
- (3) staff of Moving the Economy and Urban Development Services develop a set of guidelines to help determine the amount, type and duration of Council support that should be provided to private sector initiatives that further the public policy objective of moving towards a more sustainable transportation system in the City.

Planning and Transportation Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

Rod McPhail, Director, Transportation Planning, Urban Development Services, gave an overhead presentation on this matter, and Liz Reynolds, AutoShare addressed the Committee in this respect.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council, for its meeting on April 11, 2000, the adoption of the report (February 23, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, subject to:
 - (a) amending Recommendation (1)(a) by deleting the amount "\$84.00" and replacing it with the amount "\$89.88", which represents the cost inclusive of tax; and
 - (b) ensuring that the parking permits be issued as 'blanket' permits allowing car-share vehicles to park overnight anywhere in the City where permit parking is allowed, and that parking permit fees for the first year be waived; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report directly to Council for its meeting on April 11, 2000 on the recommended amendment to the above-noted report respecting a blanket parking permit and waiving of first year fees. The Commissioner was also requested to include in her report further information on AutoShare's request that the flat rates for the monthly permits be at the lower end of the price range.

(Commissioner of Urban Development Services; cc: Rod McPhail, Director, Transportation Planning, Urban Development Services; Greg Stewart, Program Coordinator, Transportation Planning, Urban Development Services – March 23, 2000)

(Report No. 3, Clause 3)

3.5 Free Transit on Air Quality Advisory Days

The following report will be considered at the Planning and Transportation Committee's meeting, scheduled to be held on April 25, 2000, having regard that the Committee lost quorum.

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to the communication (February 9, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that City Council at its meeting on February 1, 2 and 3, 2000, struck out and referred Item (d) of Clause No. 6 of Report No. 1 of the Planning and Transportation Committee, entitled "Free Transit on Air Quality Advisory Days", back to the Planning and Transportation Committee for further

consideration and the hearing of depositions, with a request that Members of the Toronto Cycling Committee be notified when this matter will again be before the Planning and Transportation Committee.

(Report No. 3, Clause 5(d))

3.6 Harmonization of the Division Fence By-law

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to the report (March 1, 2000) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services reporting on the harmonization of the division fence by-law and recommending that the attached draft division fence by-law be received and forwarded to all Community Councils for their review and comment for the next meeting of the Committee.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Committee:

- (1) adopted the report (March 1, 2000) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services and in so doing forwarded this report to all Community Councils for their review and report back to the Planning and Transportation Committee;
- (2) forwarded to each Community Council for their consideration with the above-noted report, the following amendment proposed by the Planning and Transportation Committee:

“That the report be amended by directing that the Committees of Adjustment be advised that a standard condition of severance from any rail line for reasons of safety, is the installation of a 2.5 metre chain link fence as a standard to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services”.

- (3) requested the City Solicitor to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on the proposed amendment when this matter returns to the Committee for consideration.

(Recommendation (3) was moved by Councillor Kinahan and accepted as a friendly amendment by Councillor Moscoe.)

(City Solicitor; East York Community Council; Etobicoke Community Council; North York Community Council; Scarborough Community Council; Toronto Community Council and York Community Council – March 23, 2000)

(Report No. 3, Clause 5(e))

3.7 Harmonization of the Fence By-law

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to the report (March 1, 2000) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services reporting on the harmonization of the fence by-law and recommending that the attached harmonized fence by-law be received and forwarded to all Community Councils for their review and comment for the next meeting of the Committee.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Committee:

- (1) adopted the report (March 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and in so doing forwarded this report to all Community Councils for consideration and report back to the Planning and Transportation Committee; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with the City Solicitor, to report to each Community Council on wording to clarify the term “a City employee” contained in Section 11 on page 10 of the report so as to define the City employee’s role in exercising lawful authority in this respect as being limited to emergency situations of a temporary nature.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Committee requested the City Solicitor to also report to Community Councils on a proposed stipulation that the employee be requested to consult with the Ward Councillor prior to exercising such authority.

(East York Community Council; Etobicoke Community Council; North York Community Council; Scarborough Community Council; Toronto Community Council and York Community Council – March 23, 2000)

(Report No. 3, Clause 5(f))

3.8 Refining Qualifications for Taxi Natural Gas Extension

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to the report (March 1, 2000) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services reporting, as directed by the Licensing Sub-Committee on February 14, 2000, on a mechanism for closing the loophole which allows taxicab owners to convert their vehicles to natural gas in the final year of the vehicle’s life as a taxicab simply to qualify for the two-year extension, and recommending that By-law 20-85 be amended to only allow a two-year extension to natural gas fuelled

Planning and Transportation Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

vehicles which are Originally Equipped Manufactured (OEM) vehicles or are converted to natural gas within six months of first being registered as a taxicab.

The following persons addressed the Committee with regard to this matter:

- Tony O'Donohue, Environmental Probe Co. Ltd.; and
- Peter Zahakos, Co-op Cabs.

The Committee:

- (1) on motion by Councillor Moscoe:
 - (a) recommended the adoption of the report (March 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services subject to adding the words "or within 6 months of approval of the By-law", so as to read:

"It is recommended that By-law 20-85 be amended to only allow a two-year extension to natural gas fuelled vehicles which are Originally Equipped Manufactured (OEM) vehicles or are converted to natural gas within six months of first being registered as a taxicab, or within 6 months of approval of this by-law."; and
 - (b) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report to the next meeting of the Planning and Transportation Committee on the various incentives available to the natural gas industry and the safety issues involved in propane fuelled vehicles and outline any history of offences and/or safety violations occurring from the use of propane gas and natural gas; and
- (2) on motion by Councillor Pantalone, requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report to the next meeting of the Planning and Transportation Committee:
 - (a) with an update on the pros and cons of using propane only in taxicabs; and
 - (b) what terms and conditions should be applied if the City chooses to treat propane or other alternate fuels in taxicabs on a par with natural gas.

Planning and Transportation Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

A motion placed by Councillor Moscoe to refer the report (March 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, and all motions submitted to the Committee, to the Licensing Sub-Committee was voted on and lost on the following division of votes:

Yeas: Councillor Augimeri
Councillor Moscoe
Councillor Pantalone

Nays: Councillor Flint
Councillor Kinahan
Councillor McConnell

(Commissioner of Urban Development Services; c.c.: Interested Persons – March 23, 2000)

(Report No. 3, Clause 2)

3.9 Steeles Avenue Boundary Road Agreement with the Region of York

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to the transmittal letter (February 1, 2000) from the City Clerk, Steeles Avenue Sub-Committee recommending that the report (January 19, 2000) from the Commissioner, Works and Emergency Services, headed “Steeles Avenue Boundary Road Agreement – Region of York”, be adopted, subject to amending the Principles contained in Table 1 of the report as follows:

- (1) inserting the words “west of Markham Road” after the phrase “improvements to north-south links between the municipalities” contained in Principle 3;
- (2) adding the following two Principles:

Principle 9

From a service point of view, all properties on Steeles Avenue should share the same level of services at the higher standards.

Principle 10

Development and planning activities on properties abutting Steeles Avenue should share a common set of planning standards, and dialogue should take place between the two municipalities in developing Official Plan policies that would apply to Steeles Avenue.

So that the Sub-Committee’s recommendations to the Planning and Transportation Committee now read:

Planning and Transportation Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

That City of Toronto staff be authorized to enter into discussions with Region of York staff regarding the development of a Boundary Road Agreement with the Region of York, based upon the following principles:

- (1) Steeles Avenue provides benefits to both the City of Toronto and The Regional Municipality of York;
- (2) the City and Region recognize that development growth will occur on both sides of Steeles Avenue and improved transportation infrastructure is needed to service this development;
- (3) the City and Region recognize that in addition to improved east-west transportation services, improvements to north-south links between the municipalities, west of Markham Road, are also required to accommodate development growth on both sides of the boundary;
- (4) the municipalities should share costs for the maintenance and operation of Steeles Avenue;
- (5) the municipalities should share the capital cost of infrastructure improvements to Steeles Avenue;
- (6) the priority of infrastructure improvements should be agreed to by both parties;
- (7) cross-boundary transportation improvements need to be co-ordinated between the City and the Region;
- (8) the City of Toronto and the Region of York will seek to include the Regions of Durham and Peel in discussions regarding those sections of Steeles Avenue close to the respective boundaries;
- (9) from a service point of view, all properties on Steeles Avenue should share the same level of services at the higher standards; and
- (10) development and planning activities on properties abutting Steeles Avenue should share a common set of planning standards, and dialogue should take place between the two municipalities in developing Official Plan policies that would apply to Steeles Avenue.

Lois James addressed the Committee with regard to this matter.

The Committee:

- (1) on motion by Councillor Augimeri, amended the recommendations of the Steeles Avenue Sub-Committee contained in the transmittal letter

Planning and Transportation Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

(February 1, 2000) from the City Clerk, subject to providing Principles 2 and 9 with the same protection as provided for in Principle 3; and

- (2) on motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Committee recommended to Council that the Steeles Avenue Sub-Committee recommendations, as amended by Councillor Augimeri, be adopted, and further recommended that the report and Council's action in respect hereto be forwarded to the Chairs of the Regions of York and of Durham, the Chair of the Greater Toronto Services Board and to the Telecommunications Steering Committee.

(Report No. 3, Clause 3)

3.10 West Don Lands – Final Report

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to the transmittal letter (February 18, 2000) from the City Clerk, Toronto Community Council advising of the action taken by the Toronto Community Council at its meeting on February 15, 2000, in which Toronto Community Council received the Workshop Final Report, titled "Obstacles and Opportunities – Realizing the Potential of the West Don Lands, November 18–20, 1999", and forwarded same to the Planning and Transportation Committee for consideration.

Cynthia Wilkey, West Don Lands Committee, addressed the Committee with respect to this matter.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services:
 - (a) be authorized to include consideration of the development principles contained in the Workshop Final Report, titled "Obstacles and Opportunities – Realizing the Potential of the West Don Lands, November 18-20, 1999", in any current or future planning exercises and ensure that they are included in the consideration by Bidco and the Waterfront Vision Task Force;
 - (b) be requested to authorize her staff to work with the West Don Lands Committee and recognize their role as representatives of community interests in the West Don lands; and

- (2) endorsed the development principles contained in the Workshop Final Report, titled "Obstacles and Opportunities – Realizing the Potential of the West Don Lands, November 18-20, 1999" and requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report to the next meeting of Planning and Transportation Committee on the results of recent studies of the development potential of the site and steps necessary to meet the conditions required to lift the zoning "hold".

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Committee recommended to Council that copies of the above-noted report be forwarded to the Community Reference Group reviewing the lands surrounding the Kennedy Subway Station and to staff in the North District Planning Office with a request that they conduct a similar study and produce a report in the same presentation format.

(Commissioner of Urban Development Services – March 23, 2000)

(Report No. 3, Clause 4)

3.11 School Closures

The following report will be considered at the Planning and Transportation Committee's meeting, scheduled to be held on April 25, 2000, having regard that the Committee lost quorum.

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to the report (March 2, 2000) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services providing:

- (1) Members of Council with an update regarding the Toronto District School Board (TDSB) Phase 1 closures; and
- (2) a preliminary assessment of the impact of TDSB Phase 2 school closures on the local delivery of municipal services and programs,

and recommending that:

- (1) City Council adopt the draft principles for re-use of surplus schools as set out in Appendix A to this report;
- (2) this report be forwarded to the Toronto District School Board and the Toronto Catholic District School Board, and that they be requested to review and adopt the principles for re-use of surplus schools contained within Appendix A, prior to the release of any requests for proposals for schools declared surplus; and

- (3) the Toronto District School Board be requested to consult with appropriate City officials prior to any restriction of evening use of school facilities, to ensure that existing municipal programs can be adequately accommodated, and public meeting space continues to be available to local residents.

The Committee also had before it a communication (March 3, 2000) from the Executive Officer, Facility Services, Toronto District School Board, forwarding proposals for lease of some of their properties.

(Report No. 3, Clause 5(g))

3.11 Holistic Practitioner Licensing Category

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to the communication (March 8, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that City Council, at its meeting held on February 29, March 1 and 2, 2000, referred the following Motion to the Planning and Transportation Committee:

Moved by: Councillor Miller

Seconded by: Councillor Chow

“WHEREAS City Council on October 28, 29 and 30, 1998, by its adoption, as amended of Clause No. 2 of Report No. 11 of The Emergency and Protective Services Committee, headed ‘Holistic Practitioner Licensing Category’, and its adoption of By-law No. 806-1998, amended the Licensing By-law No. 20-85, to control the negative consequences of ‘body-rub’ parlours; and

WHEREAS the by-law amendment also applies to certain holistic health practices, such as acupuncture; and

WHEREAS the by-law as written, has the unintended effect of making some standard acupuncture procedures unlawful; and

WHEREAS staff originally intended to report on revisions to this by-law by March 2000, which would have allowed anomalies to be addressed; and

WHEREAS the process required means the report will not be ready for some time; and

WHEREAS there is considerable concern in the acupuncture community regarding this by-law; and

Planning and Transportation Committee Minutes
Tuesday, March 21, 2000

WHEREAS there is no consensus regarding whether the City should be regulating this health profession at all;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City of Toronto By-law No. 20-85, as amended, be further amended by deleting reference to `acupuncture' from the list of practices covered under `Holistic Practitioners' or `Holistic Centres';

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT staff report further, after consultation with the acupuncture community and the Province of Ontario, an appropriate mechanism to regulate the health profession of acupuncture.”

The Committee also had before it the following material:

- report (March 7, 2000) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services providing information to the Planning and Transportation Committee on the current status of the licensing of acupuncturists and the merits of continuing to license them, and recommending that:
 - (1) the licensing of acupuncturists continue until such time as they become regulated by the Province; and
 - (2) that the Licensing By-law be amended to address the issue of contact with specified body parts;
- (March 21, 2000) from Dr. Richard R. Wang suggesting that a qualification evaluation and exam system for the profession be created;
- (March 11, 2000) from Dr. Luheng Han, Executive Director, Ontario Acupuncture Examination Centre, commenting that the by-law is misleading the public, invading the confidentiality between the acupuncturist and their patients, damaging the reputation of acupuncture and destroying the qualified acupuncture practitioner's normal practice.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Committee referred the report (March 8, 2000) from the City Clerk to the Licensing Sub-Committee for consideration and the hearing of depositions.

(Licensing Sub-Committee; cc: Interested Persons – March 23, 2000)

(Report No. 3, Clause 5(h))

The meeting adjourned at 4:03 p.m.

Chair