

THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF TORONTO

Clerk's Department

Minutes of the Scarborough Community Council

Meeting No. 1

Tuesday, January 18, 2000

The Scarborough Community Council met on Tuesday, January 18, 2000, in the Meeting Hall, Scarborough Civic Centre, commencing at 9:40 a.m.

Members present:

	9:40 a.m. <u>12:15 p.m.</u>	2:14 p.m. – <u>6:35 p.m.</u>
Councillor Bas Balkissoon, Chair	x	x
Councillor Gerry Altobello	x	x
Councillor Brian Ashton	x	x
Councillor Lorenzo Berardinetti	x	x
Councillor Raymond Cho	x	x
Councillor Brad Duguid	x	x
Councillor Norm Kelly	x	x
Councillor Doug Mahood	x	x
Councillor Ron Moeser	-	-
Councillor Sherene Shaw	x	x
Councillor David Soknacki	x	x
Councillor Mike Tzekas	x	x

Members were present for some or all of the time period indicated.

The Chairman read a communication from Councillor Moeser explaining his absence today due to illness.

Councillor Mahood advised of his intention not to seek re-election in November.

Mr. Ted Tyndorf, Director of Community Planning, East District, introduced new members of his staff: Mr. Klaus Lehmann and Mr. Richard Kendall, and advised that Ms. Jayne Naiman has transferred to the South District, and Mr. Bill Kiru has transferred to West District.

Declarations of Interest

Councillor Altobello declared an interest re Minute No. 1.27 (Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments Application – 447 Birchmount Road) as his family owns a business in the area of the application and took no part in the discussion or vote thereon.

Confirmation of Minutes

On a motion by Councillor Shaw, the Minutes of the meeting of the Scarborough Community Council held on December 2, 1999, were confirmed.

1.1 Right-Turn Prohibition onto Saunders Road from the New Esso Station/Tim Horton's Driveway North of Kingston Road (Ward 13 – Scarborough Bluffs)

The Community Council had before it a report (December 17, 1999) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 4, recommending that:

- (1) the westbound, right-turn movement from the Esso station/Tim Horton's driveway, 30 metres north of Kingston Road, be prohibited from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., Monday to Friday, as identified in Appendix 1 of this report; and
- (2) the appropriate by-law be amended accordingly.

On a motion by Councillor Ashton, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 1, Report No. 1)

1.2 Traffic Concerns at Scarborough Village Public School (Ward 13 – Scarborough Bluffs)

The Community Council had before it a report (December 20, 1999) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 4, recommending that:

- (1) the parking/stopping regulations identified in Appendix 1 of this report be adopted;
- (2) the School Bus Loading Zone identified in Appendix 2 of this report be adopted;
- (3) the southbound right-turn prohibition identified in Appendix 3 of this report be rescinded;

- (4) the southbound right-turn prohibition identified in Appendix 4 of this report be adopted;
- (5) the 40 kilometre per hour speed limits identified in Appendix 5 of this report be adopted; and
- (6) the appropriate by-laws be amended accordingly.

On a motion by Councillor Ashton, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 2, Report No. 1)

**1.3 Speed Limit Reduction on Manhattan Drive and Tower Drive
(Ward 14 – Scarborough Wexford)**

The Community Council had before it a report (December 17, 1999) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 4, recommending that:

- (1) the 40 kilometre per hour speed limits identified in Appendix 1 of this report be rescinded;
- (2) the 40 kilometre per hour speed limits identified in Appendix 2 of this report be adopted; and
- (3) the appropriate by-law be amended accordingly.

On a motion by Councillor Tzekas, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 3, Report No. 1)

**1.4 Proposed Amendments to Traffic By-Law No. 23503
(Wards 14, 15 and 16 – Scarborough Wexford,
Scarborough City Centre and Scarborough Highland Creek)**

The Community Council had before it a report (December 21, 1999) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 4, recommending that:

- (1) the parking regulation identified in Appendix 1 of this report be adopted;
- (2) the stop signs identified in Appendix 2 of this report be adopted; and
- (3) the appropriate by-laws be amended accordingly.

On a motion by Councillor Berardinetti, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 4, Report No. 1)

**1.5 Petition Requesting Noise Barrier on David Drive
(Ward 16 – Scarborough Highland Creek)**

The Community Council had before it a report (December 17, 1999) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 4, responding to a petition from residents on David Drive requesting that a noise barrier be erected, at City expense, between their homes and Highway 401; providing background information on this issue which dates from the 1980's, indicating that purchasers of dwellings in this area were made aware of potential increase in road traffic and noise levels through a clause in the agreement of purchase and sale, and recommending that this report be received for information.

Councillor Soknacki moved that:

- (1) Scarborough Community Council receive the foregoing report; and
- (2) City Council be requested to direct staff to work with residents and the Ward Councillors with a view to requesting the Ministry of Transportation:
 - (a) to undertake a Noise Study to determine a level of noise experienced by residents of David Drive; and
 - (b) to extend the existing acoustical barrier or implement other noise mitigating measures, including landscaping.

(Carried)

Mr. Eugene Guido appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter. Mr. Guido previously delivered a 29-signature petition from residents on David Drive requesting the implementation of noise control measures, including a barrier, between their homes and Highway 401, the original of which is on file in the Office of the City Clerk, Scarborough Civic Centre.

(Clause No. 5, Report No. 1)

1.6 Status Report
Phase 4 – Scarborough Transportation Corridor
Land Use Study (SC-W19990005)
Scarborough Village and Guildwood Communities
- And -
Preliminary Evaluation Report
Official Plan Amendment Application SC-P19990021
Zoning By-Law Amendment Application SC-Z19990039
1299089 Ontario Ltd., 2 Dale Avenue
Scarborough Village Community
(Ward 13 – Scarborough Bluffs)

The Community Council had before it a report (December 27, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that Community Council:

- (1) direct staff:
 - (a) to convene two Community Information Meetings regarding the Phase 4 – STC Land Use Study; one to be held in the Scarborough Village Community and the other in the Guildwood Community, with notice of the meetings being provided to nearby Community Associations, and all assessed persons within 120 metres (400 feet) of the STC Study Area boundary, in consultation with the Ward Councillors;
 - (b) to process the Official Plan Zoning By-law Amendment Applications in conjunction with the Phase 4 – STC Land Use Study, subject to the applicant submitting the following additional information:
 - (i) a servicing report detailing the proposed water and sanitary sewer service for the development, the fill and grading works proposed for the site and the management of stormwater drainage; and
 - (ii) a report examining the noise impacts of the abutting railway on the subject lands, with recommendations for appropriate attenuation measures;
 - (c) to convene a Community Information Meeting regarding the subject development applications to be held in conjunction with the Scarborough Village Community Information Meeting for the Phase 4 – STC Land Use Study;
- (2) convene a Public Meeting to consider recommendations for appropriate land uses for the Phase 4 STC lands targeted for the third quarter of 2000, with notice of the Public Meeting to be provided by newspaper advertisement and to all persons who requested notice through the study process; and

Scarborough Community Council Committee Minutes
Tuesday, January 18, 2000

- (3) convene a Public Meeting to consider recommendations for the subject development applications targeted for the same third quarter of 2000 meeting as above, with notice of the Public Meeting to be provided to all assessed persons within 120 metres (400 feet) of the subject property, and to all persons who requested notice through the study process.

On a motion by Councillor Ashton, the Scarborough Community Council approved the aforementioned report, subject to:

- (a) striking out the words “to be held in conjunction with the Scarborough Village Community Information Meeting for the Phase 4 – STC Land Use Study” in Recommendation No. (1)(c) and inserting in lieu thereof, the words “with notice of the meeting provided to nearby Community Associations and all assessed persons within 120 metres (400 feet) of the subject property”; and adding the following new Recommendation No. (4):

“(4) that the Director of Community Planning, East District, be requested to report separately to Community Council on the latitude of the policy of applying Section 37 of the Planning Act to provide for contributions to the City’s Capital Revolving Fund for affordable housing.”.

(Clause No. 23(a), Report No. 1)

**1.7 Preliminary Evaluation Report
Official Plan Amendment Application SC-P19990025
Zoning By-Law Amendment Application SC-Z19990044
Pajelle Investments Ltd., 2139 Lawrence Avenue East
Wexford Employment District
(Ward 14 – Scarborough Wexford)**

The Community Council had before it a report (December 17, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that Scarborough Community Council convene a Public Meeting, targeted for the first quarter of 2000, subject to:

- (1) staff convening a Community Information Meeting, in consultation with the Ward Councillors; and
- (2) staff providing notices of both meetings to all properties within 120 metres (400 feet) of the subject property.

On a motion by Councillor Tzekas, the Scarborough Community Council approved the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 23(b), Report No. 1)

**1.8 Preliminary Evaluation Report
Official Plan Amendment Application SC-P19990026
Zoning By-Law Amendment Application SC-Z19990046
Inaugural Source Inc., 901 Kennedy Road
Ionview Community
(Ward 15 – Scarborough City Centre)**

The Community Council had before it a report (December 22, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that Community Council convene a Public Meeting to consider these applications, targeted for the second quarter of 2000, subject to staff:

- (1) convening a Community Information Meeting, in consultation with the Ward Councillors; and
- (2) providing Notice of the Public Meeting to all properties within 120 metres (400 feet) of the subject property and to those attending the Community Information Meeting.

On a motion by Councillor Berardinetti, the Scarborough Community Council approved the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 23(c), Report No. 1)

**1.9 Preliminary Evaluation Report
Official Plan Amendment Application SC-P19990020
Zoning By-Law Amendment Application SC-Z19990038
Draft Plan of Subdivision SC-T19990013
Treewood Development Corporation
South Side of Steeles Avenue West, West of Markham Road
Tapscott Employment District
(Ward 18 – Scarborough Malvern)**

The Community Council had before it a report (December 20, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that Community Council:

- (1) direct staff to:
 - (a) process these applications in the normal manner, subject to the applicant:
 - (i) submitting a traffic study to address the impacts the proposal will have on the surrounding area; and
 - (ii) resolving the provision of services for the subdivision, to the satisfaction of the Works and Emergency Services Department;

- (b) prepare a By-law to designate the proposed two blocks fronting onto Steeles Avenue as a Site Plan Control Area, for Council's consideration concurrently with the proposed amendments and the draft plan of subdivision; and
- (2) convene a Public Meeting to consider the applications after the above requirements have been satisfied with a target date for the second quarter of 2000; the notice of the Public Meeting to be provided to all assessed persons within 120 metres (400 feet) of the subject property.

On a motion by Councillor Cho, the Scarborough Community Council approved the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 23(f), Report No. 1)

**1.10 Preliminary Evaluation Report
Official Plan Amendment Application SC-P19990027
Zoning By-Law Amendment Application SC-Z19990047
Bank of China (Power of Sale)
4430-4438 Sheppard Avenue and 2095 Brimley Road
Marshalling Yard Employment District
(Ward 18 – Scarborough Malvern)**

The Community Council had before it a report (December 29, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that Community Council direct staff to process these applications in the normal manner, subject to:

- (1) staff convening a Community Information Meeting, with expanded notice beyond 120 metres (400 feet) of the subject property, in early 2000; the expanded notice to include the area between Sheppard Avenue and Highway 401, from Brimley Road to and McCowan Road;
- (2) the applicant submitting a traffic impact and parking study which would examine the parking demand of the proposed uses and include a review of traffic impacts in relation to the previous traffic study undertaken for the 1990 commercial proposal for the subject property;
- (3) the applicant submitting a site plan, building elevations and landscaping plans in sufficient detail for staff review; and
- (4) target a Public Meeting to consider the applications after a review of the traffic impact and parking study has been completed and the traffic and parking issues have been satisfactorily addressed.

On a motion by Councillor Ashton, the Scarborough Community Council approved the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 23(g), Report No. 1)

**1.11 Status Report
Zoning By-Law Amendment Application SC-Z19980026
Mondeo Developments Inc., 740 Ellesmere Road
Dorset Park Community
(Ward 14 - Scarborough Wexford)**

The Community Council had before it a report (December 10, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, responding to a request by Community Council that a report be provided respecting the Retail/Commercial component of the Mondeo development and the application to remove the Holding Provision (H) from that component; advising of the status of the conditions imposed on this application, which conditions must be satisfied prior to the removal of the holding provision, and recommending that this report be received for information.

On a motion by Councillor Kelly, the Scarborough Community Council received the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 23(h), Report No. 1)

**1.12 Draft Plan of Condominium Application SC-C19990006
Omni South Inc., 125 Omni Drive
City Centre District
(Ward 15 – Scarborough City Centre)**

The Community Council had before it a report (November 26, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that City Council support the Draft Plan of Condominium SC-C19990006 by Elio Zoffranieri, on behalf of Omni South Inc., being Part of Block B, Registered Plan M-1410, known municipally as 125 Omni Drive, subject to the following conditions:

- (1) Plan as stamped "Recommended" this date (see Figures 1 and 2);
- (2) the owner to complete all conditions of the Site Plan Control Agreement, prior to registration, or enter into a financially-secured development agreement with the City secured by a performance guarantee in a form and amount satisfactory to the City Solicitor, to guarantee completion of the site work, if the owner chooses to register the condominium prior to completion of the project.

On a motion by Councillor Duguid, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 7, Report No. 1)

**1.13 Draft Plan of Condominium Application SC-C19990005
Kradler Investments Inc., 1075 Ellesmere Road
Dorset Park Employment District
(Ward 15 – Scarborough City Centre)**

The Community Council had before it a report (December 14, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that City Council support the Draft Plan of Condominium SC-C19990005 by Corey Leibel, on behalf of Kradler Investments Inc., being Part of Lot 108, Registrar's Compiled Plan 9846, known municipally as 1075 Ellesmere Road, subject to the following conditions:

- (1) Plan as stamped "Recommended" this date (see Figure 1);
- (2) the following warning clause be included in the Condominium Description Declaration and be brought to the attention of prospective owners and tenants:

"Purchasers/tenants are advised that there are existing industrial operations to the west and south of the site and that the impacts ordinarily associated with such operations may be experienced. Without limiting the foregoing, and despite the inclusion of noise control features in this development, noise levels may continue to be of concern and may occasionally interfere with some activities of the dwelling occupants."; and

- (3) the owner to complete all conditions of the Site Plan Control Agreement prior to registration, or enter into a financially-secured development agreement with the City secured by a performance guarantee in a form and amount satisfactory to the City Solicitor, to guarantee completion of the site work, if the owner chooses to register the condominium prior to completion of the project.

On a motion by Councillor Duguid, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 8, Report No. 1)

**1.14 Draft Plan of Condominium Application SC-C19990007
Kopas & Burritt Financial Agents Limited
565 Kennedy Road
Kennedy Park Community
(Ward 15 – Scarborough City Centre)**

The Community Council had before it a report (December 27, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that City Council support the Draft Plan of Condominium by Kopas & Burritt Financial Agents Limited, with respect to the lands on the north-east corner of Kennedy Road and Summer Drive, being Part of Lot 7, Registered Plan 3507, known municipally as 565 Kennedy Road, subject to the following condition:

- (a) Plan stamped “Recommended” this date, as illustrated on Figure 2.

On a motion by Councillor Berardinetti, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 9, Report No. 1)

**1.15 Part Lot Control Exemption Application SC-L1999007
1342713 Ontario Limited, 3609 Kingston Road
Scarborough Village Community
(Ward 13 – Scarborough Bluffs)**

The Community Council had before it a report (December 7, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that:

- (1) City Council enact a Part Lot Control Exemption By-law with respect to Block B and Part of Block C, Registered Plan 4235;
- (2) the Part Lot Control Exemption By-law be repealed one (1) year from the date of the passing of the By-law;
- (3) all conveyances which occur after the exemption from Part Lot Control shall be in accordance with Reference Plan(s) to be approved by the Director of Community Planning East District, prior to the plan(s) being deposited in the Land Registry Office; and
- (4) City Council authorize any unsubstantive technical, stylistic or format changes to the Exemption By-law as may be required to give effect to this resolution.

On a motion by Councillor Ashton, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 10, Report No. 1)

**1.16 Part Lot Control Exemption Application SC-L1999006
Intracorp Developments Ltd.
South Side of Shoalhaven Drive to Cherry Street,
East of Port Union Road
Centennial Community
(Ward 16 – Scarborough Highland Creek)**

The Community Council had before it a report (December 22, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that City Council:

- (1) enact a Part Lot Control Exemption By-law with respect to Block 163, Registered Plan 66M-2313; and
- (2) deem that the Part Lot Control exemption By-law shall expire one (1) year from the date of passing the By-law.

On a motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the approval of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 11, Report No. 1)

1.17 New Applications - All Scarborough Wards

The Community Council had before it a report (December 23, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, advising Community Council of the new applications received during the six-week period ending December 22, 1999; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On a motion by Councillor Altobello, the Scarborough Community Council received the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 23(j), Report No. 1)

1.18 Site Plan Control Approvals - All Scarborough Wards

The Community Council had before it a report (December 23, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, advising Community Council of the Site Plan Control Approvals granted by the Director, and recommending that this report be received for information.

On a motion by Councillor Altobello, the Scarborough Community Council received the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 23(k), Report No. 1)

1.19 Consent Approvals - All Scarborough Wards

The Community Council had before it a report (December 23, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, advising Community Council of the Consent Decisions granted by the Director, and recommending that this report be received for information.

On a motion by Councillor Altobello, the Scarborough Community Council received the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 23(l), Report No. 1)

1.20 Ontario Municipal Board Hearings - All Scarborough Wards

The Community Council had before it a report (December 23, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, advising Community Council of the status of current appeals to the Ontario Municipal Board, and recommending that this report be received for information.

On a motion by Councillor Ashton, the Scarborough Community Council received the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 23(m), Report No. 1)

**1.21 Ontario Municipal Board Appeal
Midov Developments Limited, 325 Morrish Road
(Ward 16 – Scarborough Highland Creek)**

The Community Council had before it a report (December 17, 1999) from the City Solicitor advising of the outcome of an Ontario Municipal Board hearing held with respect to No. 325 Morrish Road and recommending that this report be received for information.

On a motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Scarborough Community Council received the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 23(n), Report No. 1)

**1.22 McAsphalt Industries Limited and Rouge River Business Park Ltd.
Subdivision Agreement
(Ward 18 – Scarborough Malvern)**

The Community Council had before it a report (January 4, 2000) from the City Solicitor, responding to Community Council's request (from its November meeting) on the status of the provisions contained within the Subdivision and Amending Agreements with

McAsphalt Industries Limited and Rouge River Park Limited dealing with a conveyance of land, and recommending that this report be received for information.

On a motion by Councillor Shaw, the Scarborough Community Council received the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 23(o), Report No. 1)

**1.23 Buddhist Centre and Monastery in Scarborough
Request for Waiver of Fee
(Ward 16 – Scarborough Highland Creek)**

The Community Council had before it a report (October 27, 1999) from the Director and Deputy Chief Building Official recommending that:

- (1) the fees for the building permit collected according to the Building Permit By-law not be refunded; and
- (2) Development Charges, which were collected as per the Development Charges By-laws of the former City of Scarborough, be refunded.

The Community Council also had before it a report (December 5, 1999) from the Director and Deputy Chief Building Official responding to Community Council's request and submitting information respecting the previous fee waiver applications by Churchill Heights Church and Malvern Methodist Church, and a comparison with other Community Council area practices within the City of Toronto.

- A. Councillor Balkissoon moved that Recommendation (1) be deleted and that City Council provide this group with \$8,080.00 equivalent to the building permit fee to be funded from the Corporate Contingency Account as a grant in recognition of the charitable work it undertakes in the Community.

The vote was taken, as follows:

Yeas: Councillors Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Cho, Mahood, Shaw, Tzekas –8

Nays: Councillors Kelly, Soknacki –2

Decided in the affirmative by a majority of 6.

- B. Councillor Soknacki moved the adoption of Recommendations (1) and (2).

With respect to Recommendation (1), the vote was not taken as the motion of Councillor Balkissoon was carried.

The vote on Recommendation (2) was taken, as follows:

Yeas: Councillors Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Cho, Kelly, Mahood, Shaw, Soknacki, Tzekas –10

Nays: Nil

Adopted unanimously by the Members present and voting.

C. Councillor Shaw moved that the fees for the building permit collected according to the Building Permit By-law be refunded.

The Chair ruled Motion C. by Councillor Shaw out of order, based on the advice of the Solicitor that the corporate policy respecting reimbursement of building permit fees be treated as a grant.

Mr. Gamini Wanigasekera, Solicitor, on behalf of the Toronto Mahavihara Society and Mr. Ben Seneviratne, Vice President of the Toronto Buddhist Centre, appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter, and provided a list of community services which are provided free of charge by the Toronto Mahavihara Society, a copy of which was provided to all Members of Scarborough Community Council, and a copy of which is on file in the Office of the City Clerk, Scarborough Civic Centre.

(Clause No. 13, Report No. 1)

**1.24 Application for Permit to Remove Trees
Ostra Investment Inc.
South-West Corner of McCowan Road and Town Centre Court
(Ward 15 – Scarborough City Centre)**

The Community Council had before it a report (January 4, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, advising of an application to remove 42 trees at the south-west corner of McCowan Road and Town Centre Court to permit residential development, and recommending that, if Community Council approves the request, such approval be conditional on:

- (1) the trees in question not being removed until construction-related activities, in accordance with plans approved under this application, commence which warrant the destruction of the trees; and

- (2) the applicant planting a minimum of 135 deciduous and 55 coniferous trees in accordance with the landscape plans submitted and on file with the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development.

Mr. Carl Januszczak, representing Ostra Investment Inc., appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

(Refer also to Minute No. 1.45)

(Clause No. 20, Report No. 1)

**1.25 Surface Drainage on Lilian Drive
(Ward 14 – Scarborough Wexford)**

The Community Council had before it a report (January 7, 2000) from the Director and Deputy Chief Building Official, advising of complaints to the Building Division from the owners of numbers 39, 41, 43 and 45 Lilian Drive respecting surface drainage conditions; the result of the inspections undertaken by the Division with respect thereto, and recommending that this report be received for information.

Councillor Tzekas moved that the Director and Deputy Chief Building Official, in consultation with the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, be requested to report back to Community Council on an appropriate source of funds to complete the work.

(Carried)

The following persons appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mrs. Anna Maria Mineo, No. 39 Lilian Drive; and
- Mr. Charles Abraham, No. 41 Lilian Drive.

(Clause No. 23(r), Report No. 1)

**1.26 Morningside Tributary Subwatershed Study
Phase 3 – Implementation Plan Update
Scarborough Malvern**

The Community Council had before it a report (November 18, 1999) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services responding to a request by Councillor Balkissoon for an update on the status of the Morningside Tributary Subwatershed Study, as a result of a communication (September 30, 1999) from Mr. Jim Robb, Friends of the Rouge River Watershed, and recommending that this report be received for information.

On a motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Scarborough Community Council received the aforementioned report.

Mr. Jim Robb, Friends of the Rouge River Watershed, appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

(Clause No. 23(p), Report No. 1)

**1.27 Preliminary Evaluation Report
Zoning By-law Amendment Application SC-Z19990033
Aspen Ridge Homes (Markham Gardens) Inc.
Progress Avenue, South of Sheppard Avenue East
Malvern Community
(Ward 18 – Scarborough Malvern)**

The Community Council had before it a report (December 20, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that Community Council:

- (1) direct City staff to undertake negotiations with Aspen Ridge Homes (Markham Gardens) Inc. with respect to the provision of 60 parking spaces for the Scarborough Community Complex by Aspen Ridge Homes on Block 8, instead of Block 2, and the City acquiring Block 8, both blocks on Registered Plan 66M-2300; the negotiations should include all parties having interest in various agreements affecting these two blocks, such as Aspen Ridge Homes (Markham Gardens) Inc., The Toronto Catholic District School Board, The Chinese Cultural Centre of Greater Toronto Inc., and the City;
- (2) direct staff to process this application in the normal manner, subject to the applicant submitting the following:
 - (a) a Site Plan Control Application illustrating the proposed development and its relationship with adjacent residential housing and the City's facilities. The submission is to include the proposed parking lot for 60 spaces for the Scarborough Community Complex, and a report examining the impact of the illumination the new proposal may have on the adjacent residential development and recommending appropriate mitigation measures;
 - (b) an Official Plan Amendment application to revise height and distance restrictions relative to the building elements exceeding 20 metres; and to permit a density increase above the present cap of 1600 residential units, should the owner wish to proceed with conventional apartment and/or townhouse units; and

- (c) a statement by the Toronto Catholic District School Board advising as to how the children emanating from the Aspen Ridge subdivision are going to be accommodated in the Board's local elementary schools, if the proposed school is not developed on Block 8; and
- (3) convene a Public Meeting to consider these applications after the above requirements have been satisfied with a target date for the second quarter of 2000.

Mr. Lorne Ross, Lorne Ross Planning Services Inc., appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

(Refer also to Minute No. 1.43)

(Clause No. 6, Report No. 1)

The Community Council recessed at 12:15 p.m. to meet informally with representatives of the Toronto Police Service respecting the Police Boundaries Report.

The Community Council reconvened at 2:14 p.m.

**1.28 City-Initiated Zoning By-Law Amendment SC-W1998019
255 Blantyre Avenue
Birchcliff Community
(Ward 13 – Scarborough Bluffs)**

The Community Council conducted a statutory public meeting, in accordance with Section 17 and Section 34 of The Planning Act; appropriate notice of this meeting having been given in accordance with The Planning Act and the regulations thereunder; and had before it a report (December 8, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that City Council:

- (1) amend the Birchcliff Community Zoning By-law No. 8786, as amended, applying to the lands described as Block A, Registered Plan 3288, known municipally as 255 Blantyre Avenue as follows:
 - (1.1) Permitted Uses:
 - Retain the current Limited Institutional (LI) zoning permitting Day Nurseries, Nursing Homes, Places of Worship and Senior Citizens' Homes.

- (1.2) Performance Standards:
 - (1.2.1) minimum 6 metres (20 feet) streetyard building setback;
 - (1.2.2) minimum 8 metres (26 feet) building setback from lot lines that abut Single-Family Residential (S) and Two-Family Residential (T) Zones;
 - (1.2.3) maximum 3 storeys (excluding basements and rooftop mechanical and stairwell penthouses) and 15 metres (49 feet) building height (including rooftop mechanical and stairwell penthouses);
 - (1.2.4) maximum building coverage 45 percent of the lot area;
 - (1.2.5) maximum 75 Nursing Home beds and Senior Citizens' Home units; and
 - (1.2.6) minimum 0.3 parking spaces per Nursing Home bed and/or Senior Citizens' Home unit with a minimum of 4 surface parking spaces to be provided;
- (2) repeal Interim Control By-law 817-98, as amended by Interim Control By-law 643-1999, which currently applies to the lands described as Block A, Registered Plan 3288, known municipally as 255 Blantyre Avenue; and
- (3) authorize such unsubstantive technical, stylistic or format changes as may be required to properly carry out the intent of this resolution.

On a motion by Councillor Altobello, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

The following persons appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. John Bradley, area resident, expressing opposition to the proposal on the grounds that the planned structure is too large and may compromise the privacy of abutting residences; the frequency of delivery trucks bringing supplies to the senior citizens' home and increased traffic, in general, will aggravate local homeowners in a neighbourhood already impacted by traffic; the proposed home may have insufficient parking spaces given that many seniors drive their own vehicles; and the possibility that this facility may be used for other than senior citizens' housing; and

- Mr. Maurice Weaver, representing the Blantyre Community Association, expressing support for the recommendations which have been arrived at after many community meetings over an extended period of time and result in a compromise which the community considers is the best alternative to the original proposal.

(Clause No. 15, Report No. 1)

**1.29 Official Plan Amendment Application SP1999004
Zoning By-Law Amendment Application SZ1999007
Douglas and Betty Woodall, 447 Birchmount Road
Birchmount Park Employment District
(Ward 13 – Scarborough Bluffs)**

The Community Council conducted a statutory public meeting, in accordance with Section 17 and Section 34 of The Planning Act; appropriate notice of this meeting having been given in accordance with The Planning Act and the regulations thereunder; and had before it a report (December 8, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that City Council:

(1) 447 Birchmount Road

(A) Official Plan Amendment:

amend the Birchmount Park Employment District Secondary Plan with respect to the property located on the east side of Birchmount Road south of Parnell Avenue, by adding an Industrial District Commercial designation to the General Industrial Uses with High Performance Standards designation through the following Numbered Policy 4:

“(4) East Side of Birchmount Road South of Parnell Avenue

The Industrial District Commercial designation applies in addition to the General Industrial Uses with High Performance Standards designation.”;

(B) Zoning By-law Amendment:

amend the Employment Districts Zoning By-law Number 24982 (Birchmount Park Employment District), as amended, with respect to 447 Birchmount Road, being Lots 6-13 and Part of Lot 14, Registered Plan 2176Y, as follows:

Scarborough Community Council Committee Minutes
Tuesday, January 18, 2000

- (i) Permitted Use: Industrial Uses (M);
Industrial District Commercial (MDC)
(excluding vehicle repair garages and
vehicle service stations);
 - (ii) additional Permitted Uses: Retail stores;
Personal service shops;
Offices, including medical and
dental offices;
 - (iii) minimum side yard setback 3 metres (10 feet);
 - (iv) minimum street yard setback 3 metres (10 feet);
 - (v) minimum rear yard setback 2.5 metres (8 feet);
 - (vi) maximum gross floor area 0.75 times the area of the lot;
 - (vii) all uses shall be subject to the minimum parking requirements of
the Zoning By-law;
 - (viii) delete Exception 411, as it applies to this site; and
- (C) authorize any unsubstantive technical, stylistic or format changes to the
Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments as may be required to give
effect to this resolution.
- (2) Birchmount Road Study Area:
- (A) Official Plan Amendment:
 - (i) Birchmount Park Employment District Secondary Plan:

amend the Birchmount Park Employment District Secondary Plan
with respect to the properties on the east side of Birchmount Road
between Parnell Avenue and Raleigh Avenue, by adding an
Industrial District Commercial designation to the General
Industrial Uses with High Performance Standards designation
through the following Numbered Policy 4:

“4. East Side of Birchmount Road between Parnell Avenue and Raleigh Avenue

The Industrial District Commercial designation applies in addition to the General Industrial Uses with High Performance Standards designation.”

(ii) Oakridge Employment District Secondary Plan:

amend the Oakridge Employment District Secondary Plan with respect to the properties on the west side of Birchmount Road between Danforth Road and Mack Avenue, by adding an Industrial District Commercial designation to the General Industrial Uses with High Performance Standards designation through the following Numbered Policy 6:

“6. West Side of Birchmount Road between Danforth Road and Mack Avenue

The Industrial District Commercial designation applies in addition to the General Industrial Uses with High Performance Standards designation.”; and

- (B) authorize any unsubstantive technical, stylistic or format changes to these Official Plan Amendments as may be required to give effect to this resolution.

The Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (a) (December 29, 1999) from Joseph Lee Engineering Co. Ltd., submitting on behalf of Mr. and Mrs. Kwan, owners and occupants of 439 Birchmount Road, reasons for opposing the aforementioned applications.
- (b) (January 5, 2000) from Abraham and Madeline Ashamanoukian, owners of 444 Birchmount Road, in support of the aforementioned applications.

On a motion by Councillor Ashton, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

Mr. Eduardo Manapul, the applicant, appeared before the Community Council and expressed support for the staff recommendations.

(Clause No. 16, Report No. 1)

**1.30 Official Plan Amendment Application SC-P19990010
Zoning By-Law Amendment Application SC-Z19990018
Teblie Enterprises Inc., 2899 Eglinton Avenue East
Eglinton Community
(Ward 15 – Scarborough City Centre)**

The Community Council conducted a statutory public meeting, in accordance with Section 17 and Section 34 of The Planning Act; appropriate notice of this meeting having been given in accordance with The Planning Act and the regulations thereunder; and had before it a report (December 9, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that City Council:

(A) Official Plan:

amend the Eglinton Community Secondary Plan with respect to the land at the south-west corner of Eglinton Avenue and McCowan Road by:

(1) deleting the following from Numbered Policy 7:

“Within 35 metres from Eglinton Avenue East, the following provisions also apply:

A maximum residential density of 181 units per hectare is permitted. Office, retail and residential uses are permitted to a maximum density of 2.7 times the area of the site.”;

(2) adding a new Numbered Policy 16 as follows:

“16. South-West Corner of Eglinton Avenue and McCowan Road

1. Within the Commercial Mixed Use designation, High Density Residential development may be permitted to a maximum density of 330 units per hectare (134 units per acre). The overall site density shall not exceed 2.7 times the area of the site.
2. Amendments to the Zoning By-law to increase the height or density of development will, pursuant to Section 37 of the Planning Act 1983, require a financial contribution to the City’s Capital Revolving Fund for Affordable Housing.”;

(B) Zoning By-law:

amend the Eglinton Community Zoning By-law No. 10048, as amended, with respect to the land located at the south-west corner of Eglinton Avenue and McCowan Road being Part of Lot 23, Concession C, more particularly described as Parts 1 to 7 and

Scarborough Community Council Committee Minutes
Tuesday, January 18, 2000

Part 23 on Plan 66R-16051, known municipally as 2899 Eglinton Avenue East, as follows:

(1) Permitted Uses:

Retain the existing “Apartment Residential,” Neighbourhood Commercial” and “Office Uses” zoning with the same prohibited uses (automobile service stations, restaurants and banquet halls).

(2) Performance Standards:

(2.1) gross floor area of buildings shall not exceed 2.7 times the site area;*

(2.2) maximum number of dwelling units 397;

(2.3) gross floor area of retail uses shall not exceed 860 square metres (9,250 square feet);*

(2.4) maximum height of all buildings 55 metres (180 feet);

(2.5) minimum streetline building setback 3 metres (10 feet). Canopies and supporting columns may be erected to the streetline;

(2.6) underground parking garages may be erected to the streetline;

(2.7) indoor recreational floor space shall be provided on the basis of a minimum of 1 square metre (11 square feet) per dwelling unit once a minimum of 150 units are constructed on the site;

(2.8) parking shall be provided on the following basis:

A minimum 1.4 parking spaces per dwelling unit* of which 0.2 parking spaces per dwelling unit may be provided in tandem (*amounts to 80 parking spaces permitted in tandem*).

(2.9) the provisions of this By-law shall apply collectively to the existing site notwithstanding its division into two or more parcels.*

* Indicates existing Performance Standards to be maintained.

(3) Matters to be Provided Under Section 37 of the Planning Act, R.S.O 1983:

(3.1) the maximum density as described in Sections 2.1 and 2.2 above shall be permitted in exchange for the provision of the facilities, services or matters as follows:

Scarborough Community Council Committee Minutes
Tuesday, January 18, 2000

(3.1.1) the payment of a maximum of \$297,750.00 based on a project build-out of 397 residential units. The amount to be paid shall be calculated on the basis of \$750.00 for every dwelling unit for which a building permit is issued, with payment due upon permit issuance. The funds will be directed to the City's Capital Revolving Fund for Affordable Housing; and

(3.1.2) the owners of the land shall enter into one or more agreement(s) with the Corporation of the City of Toronto pursuant to Section 37 of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1983, to secure the facilities, services and matters referred to in Section 3.1.1 herein, which agreement shall be registered on title to the lands to which this By-law applies prior to the issuance of any building permits; and

(C) Miscellaneous:

authorize any unsubstantive technical, stylistic or format changes to the Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments as may be required to give effect to this resolution.

On a motion by Councillor Altobello, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report, subject to the following amendments:

- (1) under (A) Official Plan, Recommendation (2)(16)(2), by striking out the words "the City's Capital Revolving Fund for Affordable Housing" and substitute in lieu thereof the words "the City"; and
- (2) under (B) Zoning By-law, Recommendation (3)(3.1.1.), by striking out the words "the funds will be directed to the City's Capital Revolving Fund for Affordable Housing" and substituting in lieu thereof the following:

"Twenty-five percent of the funds will be directed to the City's Capital Revolving Fund for Affordable Housing and seventy-five percent of the funds will be directed to support the proposed McCowan Road Park".

Mr. Jack Winberg, President and C.E.O., The Rockport Group, appeared before the Community Council on behalf of the applicant and indicated concurrence in the staff recommendations.

(Clause No. 17, Report No. 1)

**1.31 Official Plan Amendment Application SC-P1998007
Zoning By-Law Amendment Application SC-Z1998006
1600 Pacific Place et al, 4200 Kingston Road
West Hill Community
(Ward 16 – Scarborough Highland Creek)**

The Community Council conducted a statutory public meeting, in accordance with Section 17 and Section 34 of The Planning Act; appropriate notice of this meeting having been given in accordance with The Planning Act and the regulations thereunder; and had before it a report (December 9, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that City Council:

(A) Official Plan:

amend the West Hill Community Secondary Plan with respect to the property located on the north side of Kingston Road, east of Overture Boulevard, by deleting the High Density Residential (RH) designation and Numbered Policy 17, replacing it with a Medium Density Residential (RM) designation;

(B) Zoning By-law:

(1) amend the West Hill Community Zoning By-law Number 10327, as amended, with respect to 4200 Kingston Road, being Part of Lot 13, Concession D, as follows:

- (i) Uses Permitted: Multiple-Family Residential (M) Zone;
- (ii) one dwelling unit per 161 square metres (1,730 square feet) of lot area;
- (iii) an enclosed refuse storage room shall be provided on the site;
- (iv) minimum building setback 3 metres (10 feet) from the street line;
- (v) minimum building setback of 5.6 metres (18 feet) abutting the Two-Family Residential (T) Zone;
- (vi) maximum building height 3 storeys;
- (vii) a minimum of one parking space per dwelling unit shall be provided as enclosed parking for residents and a minimum of 0.3 parking spaces per dwelling unit shall be provided for visitors;
- (viii) minimum side yard building setback 4.5 metres (15 feet); and

(ix) maximum building coverage 45 percent of the lot area; and

(C) Miscellaneous:

authorize such unsubstantive technical, stylistic or format changes to the Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments as may be necessary to give effect to this resolution.

On a motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

Mr. K. Nathan, the applicant, appeared before the Community Council and indicated concurrence in the staff recommendations.

(Clause No. 18, Report No. 1)

**1.32 Official Plan Amendment Application SC-P1999014
Zoning By-Law Amendment Application SC-Z19990021
Draft Plan of Subdivision SC-T19990011
Canada Lands Company
North Side of McLevin Avenue, East & West of Tapscott Road
Malvern Community
(Ward 18 – Scarborough Malvern)**

The Community Council conducted a statutory public meeting, in accordance with Section 17 and Section 34 of The Planning Act; appropriate notice of this meeting having been given in accordance with The Planning Act and the regulations thereunder; and had before it a report (January 10, 2000) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that City Council:

(A) Official Plan:

(1) amend the Malvern Community Secondary Plan with respect to the property bounded by McLevin Avenue and the Canadian Pacific Railway (CPR), east and west of Tapscott Road, as shown on Figure 2, by:

(a) deleting the following land use designations:

High Density Residential, Office Uses, Transportation Uses, District and Community Park and the Pedestrian Grade Separation (across McLevin Avenue)

and substituting therefor the following land use designations:

Low Density Residential - RL, Medium Density Residential - RM, High Density Residential – RH, Open Space, Community Facilities and Pedestrian Grade Separation (across the CPR) east of Tapscott Road and Medium Density Residential - RM and Open Space west of Tapscott Road;

(b) adding a new Numbered Policy 9 as follows:

“9. North Side of McLevin Avenue
Both sides of Tapscott Road

That a school and site as defined under The Education Act, as amended, and under the jurisdiction of the Toronto District School Board, the Toronto Catholic District School Board, or Le Conseil des Ecoles Francaise de la Communaute Urbaine de Toronto, shall be permitted on any part of the property bounded by McLevin Avenue, Neilson Road, the Canadian Pacific Railway (CPR) and McLevin Community Park.”;

(c) adding a new Numbered Policy 10 as follows:

“10. East Side of Tapscott Road, North Side of McLevin Road
South Side of the C.P.R.

The Medium Density Residential designation shall include the following dwelling units: semi-detached, street townhouses, townhouses and multiple family dwellings to a maximum net residential density of 36 units per hectare (13 units per acre).”;

(d) adding a new Numbered Policy 11 as follows:

“11. North West Corner of McLevin Avenue and Tapscott Road

The Medium Density Residential designation shall include the following dwelling units: semi-detached, street townhouses, townhouses and multiple family dwellings to a maximum net residential density of 54 units per hectare (22 units per acre).”;

(e) adding a new Numbered Policy 12 as follows:

“12. West Side of Neilson Avenue, South of the C.P.R.

The High Density Residential designation shall include townhouses and multiple family dwellings, but not apartment units to a maximum net density of 154 units per hectare (56 units per acre).”;

(f) adding a new Numbered Policy 13 as follows:

“13. North East Corner of McLevin Avenue and Tapscott Road

A stormwater management facility shall be permitted.”;

(2) amend the Road Plan, Schedule “C” of the former City of Scarborough’s Official Plan by reducing the right-of-way for McLevin Avenue between Tapscott Road and Neilson Road from 30 metres (98 feet) to 27 metres (89 feet).

(B) Zoning By-law:

direct Urban Development Services staff to bring forward an implementing zoning by-law after the recommendations of Council respecting the draft plan of subdivision;

(C) Draft Plan of Subdivision SC-T19990011:

support approval of the Draft Plan of Subdivision SC-T19990011, by Canada Lands Company, for lands on the north side of McLevin Avenue, east and west of Tapscott Road, subject to the following conditions:

Plan as stamped “Recommended” on this date (Figure 2);

(1) the Owner shall enter into a subdivision agreement with the City of Toronto;

(2) the Owner shall make satisfactory arrangements with the City of Toronto regarding:

(a) the conveyance of Blocks 12 to 14, inclusive, to the City of Toronto in undisturbed condition;

(b) the conveyance to the City of Toronto of Blocks 15 and 16 for park or other public recreational purposes;

- (c) a restrictive covenant on Lots 7 and 8, Lots 15 to 17, inclusive, Lots 18 to 23, inclusive, Blocks 7, 9 and 10 prohibiting the installation of any form of screening or fencing along abutting property lines which abut Blocks 12 to 16 inclusive other than the standard fencing required by the City;
 - (d) a restrictive covenant on Lots 20 to 23 inclusive and Blocks 7, 9 and 10 prohibiting the construction of gates and private accesses to the woodlots;
 - (e) the conveyance to the City of Toronto of streets, road widenings and street improvements, as required by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
 - (f) the conveyance to the City of Toronto of all services and easements as required by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
 - (g) the conveyance of all lands to the City of Toronto shall be free and clear, above and below grade, of all easements, encumbrances and encroachments, except those existing already on the lands that are for the benefit of the City;
- (3) the Owner shall grant such easements as may be required for utilities, drainage and servicing purposes to the appropriate authorities;
- (4) the Owner shall be responsible for an environmental assessment of Blocks 15 and 16 prior to their conveyance to the City of Toronto and any associated costs or remediation works required as a result of that assessment. Such assessment or remediation shall ensure that Blocks 15 and 16 will, at the time of conveyance, meet all applicable laws, regulations and guidelines respecting site to be used for public park purposes including City of Toronto policies respecting soil remediation of sites to be acquired by the City, such opinion to be prepared by a qualified environmental consultant acceptable to the Medical Officer of Health;
- (5) the Owner shall be responsible for the base construction and installation of the park on Blocks 15 and 16 which includes the following: grading, sodding, positive drainage, electrical and water connections to the street line; such work to be completed satisfactory to the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism;

- (6) the Owner shall pay all costs associated with the conveyance of Blocks 12 to 16, inclusive, such as Land Transfer Tax and the preparation and registration of all relevant documents;
- (7) the Owner shall provide the abutting Tapscott Road and McLevin Avenue watermain service improvements to include the extension of the existing watermains, as required by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, to service the draft plan of subdivision;
- (8) the Owner shall submit a grading plan satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism;
- (9) the Owner shall submit a stormwater management report satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
- (10) lands marked by an "X" on the draft plan shall be excluded from draft plan approval until the location of a stormwater management facility for quantity and quality treatment is established to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and lotting and road pattern is designed accordingly;
- (11) notwithstanding Condition No. (10), the balance of the draft plan of subdivision shall not be registered without the location of the stormwater management facility being approved and construction secured to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
- (12) the Owner shall be required to revise the plan of subdivision to provide for turning lanes on McLevin Avenue at Tapscott Road satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
- (13) the Owner shall be required to revise the plan of subdivision to provide for 0.3 metre (1 foot) reserves across the frontage of Neilson Road, McLevin Avenue and Tapscott Road;
- (14) the Owner shall prepare the final plan of subdivision in metric units related to the Ontario Co-ordinate System and shall submit a digital copy of the plan to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
- (15) prior to the registration of the subdivision plan, the Owner shall submit a clearance letter from the Radioactive Waste Management Office that the subject property has been cleaned of all low level radioactive waste;

- (16) the Owner shall satisfy all requirements, financial and otherwise, of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services including, among other matters, selected inspection fees and the provision of geodetic and aerial surveys;
- (17) the Owner shall enter into an agreement with Toronto Hydro regarding the installation of an underground hydro facilities, as well as other provisions as deemed appropriate by Toronto Hydro;
- (18) the Owner shall agree to make satisfactory arrangements, financial and otherwise, with Bell Canada including the granting of any easement that may be required for telecommunications services;
- (19) the Owner shall agree to make satisfactory arrangements with Enbridge Consumers Gas, including the relocation of the existing gas regulation meter, prior to final registration of Block 11;
- (20) the Owner shall submit a tree streetscape plan, tree planting plan, landscape plan and an edge management plan (detailing methods of tree protection and mitigating measures of possible adverse impacts on trees adjacent to the limits of construction) satisfactory to the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
- (21) the Owner shall contact the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism regarding the requirements of the Tree Protection and Conservation By-law No. 25150 prior to the removal of trees from the development site which are over 30 centimetres (12 inches) in diameter for those lands not being conveyed to the City of Toronto;
- (22) the Owner shall satisfy the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, financial and otherwise, regarding all tree planting and maintenance of boulevard trees with the exception of McLevin Avenue;
- (23) the Owner shall agree to the construction of a berm, or combination berm and noise attenuation fence, having extensions or returns at the ends on Blocks 1, 2, 9 and 11 parallel to the railway right-of-way and adjacent to Tapscott Road with construction according to the following:
 - (a) minimum total height of 2.5 metres (8 feet) above the top-of-rail;
 - (b) berm minimum height of 2.5 metres (8 feet) and side slopes not steeper than 2.5 to 1;

- (c) fence, or wall, to be constructed without openings and of a durable material weighing not less than 20 kilograms per square metres (44 pounds per square feet) of surface area;
 - (d) no part of the berm or noise barrier is to be constructed on railway property;
- (24) the Owner shall bear the full construction costs of the berm, or combination berm and noise attenuation fence;
 - (25) the Owner shall locate the acoustical fence(s) adjacent to Tapscott Road satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
 - (26) the Owner shall include in all offers of purchase and sale or lease, and be registered on title or included in the lease for each dwelling affected by any noise and vibration attenuation measures, a clause advising that any berm, fencing or vibration isolation features implemented are not to be tampered with or altered, and that the Owner and successors in title shall have the sole responsibility for and shall maintain these features;
 - (27) the Owner shall include in all offers of purchase and sale or lease and in the title deed or lease of each dwelling within the 300 metres (1,000 feet) of the railway right-of-way, a clause warning prospective purchasers or tenants of the existence of the Canadian Pacific Railway's operating right-of-way; the possibility of alterations including the possibility that the Railway may expand its operations, which expansions may affect the living environment of the residents notwithstanding the inclusion of noise and vibration attenuating measures in the design of the subdivision and individual units, and that the Railway will not be responsible for complaints or claims arising from the use of its facilities and/or operations;
 - (28) the Owner shall ensure that any proposed alterations to the existing drainage pattern affecting the railway property must receive prior concurrence from the Canadian Pacific Railway, and be substantiated by a drainage report to be reviewed by the Canadian Pacific Railway;
 - (29) the Owner shall enter into a Development Agreement with Canadian Pacific Railway Company satisfying all requirements, financial and otherwise, of the Railway;
 - (30) the Owner shall implement recommendations contained in the Noise and Vibration Impact Feasibility Report by J.E. Coulter Associates Ltd., dated July 15, 1999;
 - (31) the Owner shall be required to submit a revised Noise and Vibration

Impact Feasibility Report to provide for the inclusion of a pedestrian bridge through the berm/acoustic fence;

- (32) in the event that Block 9 shall be developed for a school, the Owner shall be required to submit a revised Noise and Vibration Impact Feasibility Report detailing noise and vibration mitigation requirements;
- (33) engineering drawings shall be provided, at no cost to the City of Toronto, satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
- (34) the Owner shall name the road allowances included in this draft plan of subdivision satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
- (35) the subject land is to be zoned in accordance with the uses proposed on the draft plan prior to the registration of the plan;
- (36) the owner shall make arrangements satisfactory to the Toronto District School Board for the acquisition of Block 9 for elementary school purposes;
- (37) the Owner shall agree, in the subdivision agreement, to include in all offers of purchase and sale or lease, the following warning clause for a period of 10 years after registration of the subdivision plan:

“Despite the best efforts of the Toronto District School Board, sufficient accommodation may not be locally available for all students anticipated from the development area and that students may be accommodated in facilities outside the area and that students may later be transferred.

The purchasers or tenants agree for the purpose of transportation to school, if bussing is provided by the Toronto District School Board, that children will not be bussed from home to school and/or from school to home, but will meet the bus at designated locations in or outside of the area.”;

- (38) the Owner shall revise the draft plan of subdivision in order to accommodate sufficient land for a pedestrian grade separation over the CPR line satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services. The subject lands are to be identified by City staff by the end of the first quarter of the year 2000;
- (39) the Owner shall enter into an Option Agreement for two years with the City of Toronto for the acquisition of lands required for a pedestrian grade separation of the CPR line;

Scarborough Community Council Committee Minutes
Tuesday, January 18, 2000

- (40) the Owner shall revise the draft plan of subdivision to delete Block 17 and Block 18 from the plan; and
- (41) the proponent shall carry out an archaeological assessment of the subject property and mitigate, through preservation or resource removal and documentation, adverse impacts to any significant archaeological resources found. No grading or other soil disturbances shall take place on the subject property prior to the Ministry of Citizenship, Culture and Recreation confirming that all archaeological resource concerns have met licensing and resource conservation requirements.

Councillor Balkissoon moved that Scarborough Community Council recommend to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report, subject to the following amendments:

- (1) under (A) Official Plan, Recommendation (1)(a), delete the words “Pedestrian Grade Separation (across the CPR) east of Tapscott Road and”
- (2) under (C) Draft Plan of Subdivision SC-T19990011:
 - (a) delete conditions (10) and (11);
 - (b) substitute in lieu of condition (10), the following:
 - “(10) the owner shall be required to provide sufficient land in the approximate area of Block 19 for storm water quantity treatment satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, and the owner is required to make a cash contribution as deemed satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services for the runoff generated from the subject property. In the event that Council adopts a policy respecting cash-in-lieu of storm water facilities prior to the execution of the subdivision agreement, said policy shall apply;”;
 - (c) amend condition (19) by inserting the following words after the word “meter” in the second line: “or submit an appropriate buffering plan in the event such gas regulation meter is not relocated;”
 - (d) delete conditions Nos. (31), (38) and (39) regarding the pedestrian overpass; and direct, subject to report to the Policy and Finance Committee from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer as to the budgetary implications, and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, as to the parks levies;

- (e) add the following new conditions:
 - (i) the owner shall provide for a walkway through Block 9 to Tapscott Road and a walkway through Block 11 to Neilson Road satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Noise and Vibration Feasibility Impact Report be revised to reflect the inclusion of these walkways; and
 - (ii) for Lots with rear lot drainage and catchbasins, owners with lands abutting these Lots along the rear property lines shall have common drainage easements registered on title satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services.
- (f) direct that the applicant shall provide lands across Blocks 9 and 11 sufficient to provide a pedestrian walkway provided that Canada Lands Company shall have no obligation towards the construction and maintenance of such walkways; such walkways to be provided at the time of Site Plan Approval;
- (g) recommend the approval of the following, subject to report to the Policy and Finance Committee from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer as to the budgetary implications, and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, as to the parks levies:
 - (i) direct that the City shall forthwith repay to Canada Land Company \$100,000.00 being the sum prepaid for park levies pursuant to an agreement made the 31st day of October, 1986, between the Ontario Land Corporation and the Corporation of the City of Scarborough related to these lands as contained in Paragraph 6(b) of the said agreement;
 - (ii) direct that the Subdivision Agreement shall provide that there shall be no further levies attributable in cash or kind toward park levies. A further provision shall provide for the nullification of Paragraph 6(a) of the aforementioned agreement with respect to the requirement for \$400.00 per unit on future residential development; and
 - (iii) direct that in recognition of the significant financial contributions in cash and kind over and above the normal requirements, Council shall exempt such lands from development charges.

(Carried)

The Community Council also had before it the following communication (December 29, 1999) from Ms. Freni Khamis:

Please note I object to your proposal for building 592 residential units as proposed by Canada Lands Company for the following reasons:

- (1) there are already low/medium residential housing in this area. As a result, there is a lot of crime and my property value will go down; and
- (2) this notice was received by me last week when people would be on vacation – away from their homes and would not have a chance to give this matter serious thought.

Mr. Ivan Fleischmann, Solicitor representing Canada Land Company, appeared before the Community Council and tabled a series of amendments to the staff recommendations for Community Council's consideration, which amendments were supported by Community Council and are recommended herein.

(Clause No. 19, Report No. 1)

**1.33 Supplementary Report
City-Initiated Official Plan Amendment SW1994073 and
Zoning By-Law Amendment Application SC-Z19990045 and
Draft Plan of Subdivision SC-T19990014 by Anndale Properties
Lands in and Abutting East Metro Transportation Corridor
Malvern, Rouge and Upper Rouge Communities and
Rouge Employment District
(Ward 18 – Scarborough Malvern)**

The Community Council had before it a report (December 22, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that Community Council:

- (1) receive the information contained in this report concerning the 1986 agreement between the Province (Ministry of Transportation and Communications) and Bavida Enterprises Limited; and
- (2) defer consideration of the reports from the Director of Community Planning, East District, dated November 12, November 25 and November 26, 1999 until its February 15, 2000 meeting, to allow more time for the affected parties to explore land exchange opportunities.

The Community Council also had before it a report (November 12, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that City Council:

- (1) Official Plan:
- (a) amend the Rouge Employment District Secondary Plan by deleting the words “in view of special Provincial transportation proposals” from Policy 4.47.2.4;
 - (b) amend the Rouge Community Secondary Plan by deleting all references to the EMTC and replacing Numbered Policy 2 with the following:

“2. North Side of Highway 401, East of Conlins Road

If the subject lands are not required for transportation purposes in the future, the land may be used for Low Density Residential purposes without further amendment to this plan.”;
 - (c) amend the Malvern Community Secondary Plan by:
 - (i) redesignating the Milne House property and the lands designated as EMTC, save and except for the portion referenced in Clause 1.3.(c)(iii) affected by Numbered Policy 6, as Regional Natural Environment and transferring them to the Upper Rouge Community;
 - (ii) deleting Neighbourhood No. 3 Policy 14.1 and renumbering the remainder of Policy 14;
 - (iii) replacing Numbered Policy 6 with the following:

“6. South Side of Old Finch Avenue, west of Sewells Road:

Low Density Residential uses are permitted on these lands unless the privately-owned property is acquired for Rouge Park, in which case a Regional Natural Environment designation will apply and the lands will be incorporated into the Upper Rouge Community without further amendment to this plan.”;
 - (iv) deleting the Special Uses Area designation west of Sewells Road, the Temporary Connection designation for Old Finch Avenue, and the Neighbourhood Park designation within the former EMTC;
 - (d) amend the Upper Rouge Community Secondary Plan by incorporating the lands referenced in Clause 1(c) above;

- (2) authorize such unsubstantive technical, stylistic or format changes to the Official Plan as may be necessary to give effect to this resolution; and
- (3) request the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing to now repeal Minister's Zoning Order 20/74 as it applies to lands within the City of Toronto.

The Community Council also had before it a report (November 26, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, advising of the concerns of the Ministry of Municipal Affairs with respect to the aforementioned proposed City-initiated Official Plan amendments and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Community Council also had before it a report (November 25, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending:

- (1) that Community Council direct the Director of Community Planning, East District, to:
 - (a) process the applications by Anndale Properties Limited in the normal manner;
 - (b) schedule a community information meeting in consultation with the Ward Councillors, for the first quarter of 2000; and
- (2) that City Council request the Province of Ontario to consider the acquisition of the Anndale lands and their conveyance to the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority as part of the Rouge Park.

On a motion by Councillor Mahood, the Scarborough Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing reports to its next regular meeting to be held on February 15, 2000 at 2:00 p.m. as a Public Meeting under the Planning Act, to allow more time for the affected parties to explore land exchange opportunities.

(Clause No. 23(q), Report No. 1)

**1.34 Preliminary Evaluation Report
Official Plan Amendment Application SC-P19990024
Zoning By-Law Amendment Application SC-Z19990043
Canadian Tire Real Estate Ltd., 4675 Steeles Avenue East
Milliken Employment District
(Ward 17 – Scarborough Agincourt)**

The Community Council had before it a report (December 22, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, recommending that Scarborough Community Council:

Scarborough Community Council Committee Minutes
Tuesday, January 18, 2000

- (1) convene a Public Meeting to consider the applications targeted for the second quarter of 2000, subject to staff hosting a community information meeting and inviting all assessed persons within 120 metres (400 feet) of the subject site as well as any other owners of property on the existing portion of Redlea Avenue;
- (2) direct the applicant to submit a Site Plan Control application for the proposed site redevelopment;
- (3) direct the applicant to submit a traffic impact and parking demand study satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services that fully addresses, among other matters, the relocation of a Redlea Avenue link to Steeles Avenue over the subject lands, requirements for intersection signalization on Steeles, all work to date by the City pertaining to the Steeles grade separation, and any findings of the traffic impact study being conducted for the Pacific Mall; and
- (4) deem the proposed realignment of a new road running southerly from Steeles Avenue to comply with the general intent of the Milliken Employment Secondary Plan, without the necessity of further amendment.

Councillor Shaw moved that Scarborough Community Council receive the foregoing report subject to deleting Recommendation No. (1) and substituting in lieu thereof the following:

- “(1) convene a Public Meeting to consider the applications targeted for the second quarter of 2000, subject to staff hosting community information meetings and inviting all assessed persons as follows:
- (a) within 120 metres (400 feet) of the subject site as well as any other owners of property on the existing portion of Redlea Avenue in the Milliken Employment District; and
 - (b) within that portion of the Steeles Community north of L’Amoreaux Park and east of Birchmount Road;

with the notification costs for such meetings to be borne by the applicant.”.

(Carried)

(Clause No. 23(d), Report No. 1)

- 1.35 Preliminary Evaluation Report**
Zoning By-Law Amendment Application SC-Z19990048
Draft Plan of Subdivision Application SC-T19990015
1385917 Ontario Limited, 1471 Neilson Road
- And -
Zoning By-Law Amendment Application SC-Z19990049
Draft Plan of Subdivision Application SC-T19990016
Trans-Gate Inc.
Morningside Heights Community
(Formerly Tapscott Employment District)
(Ward 18 – Scarborough Malvern)

The Community Council had before it a report (December 17, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that Community Council direct the Director of Community Planning, East District, to continue to process these applications in the normal manner and to convene a Public Meeting, targeted for the first quarter of the year 2000.

On a motion by Councillor Balkissoon, the Scarborough Community Council approved the foregoing report, subject to adding the following:

“direct staff to process the Zoning By-law Amendment Application and Draft Plan of Subdivision Application for 138597 Ontario Limited, subject to the applicant revising the Draft Plan of Subdivision in order to remove the proposed walkway abutting Lot 8 and relocating this walkway within Block 31.”

(Clause No. 23(e), Report No. 1)

- 1.36 Status Report**
Official Plan Amendment Application SC-P1999009
Zoning By-law Amendment Application SC-Z1999035
Draft Plan of Subdivision Application SC-T1999012
McAsphalt Industries Ltd. & Rouge River Business Park Ltd.
8800 Sheppard Avenue East
Rouge Employment District
(Ward 18 – Scarborough Malvern)

The Community Council had before it a report (December 23, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, providing an update on the status of the negotiations with the applicant respecting these applications, and recommending that Community Council direct the Director of Community Planning, East District, to continue:

- (1) to process the applications with a Community Information Meeting to be held in late February, 2000, and a Public Meeting targeted for March 23, 2000; and

Scarborough Community Council Committee Minutes
Tuesday, January 18, 2000

- (2) to negotiate with the applicant with the objective of retaining the westerly one-third of the site for employment uses.
- A. Councillor Cho moved that the report (December 23, 1999) of the Director of Community Planning, East District be amended by striking out the date "February 2000" in Recommendation No. (1) and substituting in lieu thereof the date "March, 2000", and the Public Meeting targeted for May 2, 2000.

(Lost)

- B. Councillor Balkissoon moved that the report (December 23, 1999) of the Director of Community Planning, East District, be approved. A vote was taken, as follows:

Yeas: Councillors Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Duguid, Mahood, Soknacki -7

Nays: Councillors Cho, Tzekas -2

Decided in the affirmative by a majority of 5.

The Community Council received a communication (January 17, 2000) from Mr. David Clark, David Clark Realty Consulting, respecting the foregoing matter, a copy of which was provided to all Members of the Community Council and a copy thereof is on file in the Office of the City Clerk, Scarborough Civic Centre.

(Clause No. 23(i), Report No. 1)

1.37 Harmonization of the Fence By-Laws

The Community Council had before it a report (January 4, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner, Urban Planning and Development Services, responding to Community Council's request that an update be provided on the status of the harmonization of the Fence By-laws, and recommending that this report be received for information.

Councillor Balkissoon moved that City Council be requested to direct the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on harmonized fence regulations across the City with a view to introducing appropriate standards in the Zoning By-law and repealing Fence By-laws previously adopted under the Municipal Act.

(Carried)

(Clause No. 22, Report No. 1)

1.38 Indianapolis-Scarborough Peace Games

The Community Council had before it a report (December 28, 1999) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, reporting on the results of the Indianapolis-Scarborough Peace Games hosted in Scarborough on the weekend of July 23 – 26, 1999, and recommending that:

- (1) the Parks and Recreation Division, East District, continue to support the partnership with the Indianapolis-Scarborough Peace Games for 2000 in Indianapolis and in the City of Toronto, East District, in 2001; and
- (2) the appropriate City of Toronto officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On a motion by Councillor Shaw, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 12, Report No. 1)

**1.39 Grey Abbey Park
(Ward 13 – Scarborough Bluffs)**

Councillor Ashton moved that the Director of Parks and Recreation, East District, be requested to delay the construction of a proposed barrier across the edge of the Scarborough Bluffs in Grey Abbey Park to permit public consultation, and report thereon to the next meeting of the Scarborough Community Council scheduled to be held on February 15, 2000.

(Carried)

(Clause No. 23(t), Report No. 1)

1.40 Police Boundaries Report

The Scarborough Community Council received an informal presentation by Superintendent Jim Bamford, Toronto Police Services, respecting the Police Boundaries Report.

- A. Councillor Soknacki moved that Scarborough Community Council:
 - (i) endorse the principles contained therein, as they pertain to the Scarborough community;

- (ii) request the Police Services Board to advance to within five years the implementation of Phase III, being the establishment of the new 43 Division; and
- (iii) endorse the requests by various Community Associations that, in the event the 42 Division Substation is closed, the new 43 Division be located as close as possible to the current 42 Division Substation site, and advise the Police Services Board accordingly.

B. Councillor Ashton moved that the motion by Councillor Soknacki be amended by adding the following: “that Scarborough Community Council recommends that the Police Services Board request the Chief of Police to report to the Board on the budgetary implications of staffing the proposed new 43 Division.”

The Chair ruled the foregoing motion by Councillor Soknacki out of order on the grounds that it was moved under “Any Other Matters” on the Agenda and, as such, did not conform to the criteria with respect thereto.

Councillor Soknacki challenged the ruling of the Chair. A recorded was taken on the question shall the ruling of the Chair be upheld:

Yeas: Councillors Balkissoon, Kelly, Mahood, Shaw –4

Nays: Councillors Ashton, Berardinetti, Cho, Duguid, Soknacki, Tzekas –6

The Chair was not upheld and Motion A. was approved, as amended by Motion B.

(Clause No. 23(s), Report No. 1)

**1.41 Site Plan Approval for the Wishing Well Portion
of the Scarborough Hydro Corridor
(Ward 14 – Scarborough Wexford)**

On a motion by Councillor Tzekas, the Scarborough Community Council requested that the Director of Community Planning, East District, bring forward a report to the next meeting of the Scarborough Community Council scheduled to be held on February 15, 2000, regarding correspondence dated January 14, 2000 (a copy of which Councillor Tzekas tabled) from Mr. Robert Brown, President, Wishing Well Acres Community Association, respecting the status of the Site Plan Approval for the Wishing Well Portion of the Scarborough Hydro Corridor lands.

(Clause No. 23(u), Report No. 1)

**1.42 Surface Drainage Problems on Existing Residential Properties
(All Wards)**

On a motion by Councillor Balkissoon, the Scarborough Community Council requested that City Council establish a staff Task Force comprising representation from the Works and Emergency Services Department, Urban Development Services (Buildings Division and Municipal Standards Division), Finance Department and Legal Services to report to the appropriate Committee on the issue of surface drainage problems on existing residential properties and recommendations thereon with a view to providing recourse to affected homeowners such that homeowners are not financially impacted by the cost of remedial work, and further, that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer provide information to the Task Force respecting any assistance programs that might be available from other levels of government to support such remedial work.

(Clause No. 21, Report No. 1)

**1.43 Preliminary Evaluation Report
Zoning By-law Amendment Application SC-Z19990033
Aspen Ridge Homes (Markham Gardens) Inc.
Progress Avenue, South of Sheppard Avenue East
Malvern Community
(Ward 18 – Scarborough Malvern)**

(See also Minute No. 1.27)

Councillor Balkissoon moved that the report (December 20, 1999) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, be amended by:

- (1) striking out the staff recommendations; and
- (2) recommending to City Council that the Zoning By-law Amendment Application SC-Z19990033 by Aspen Ridge Homes (Markham Gardens) Inc., be refused on the grounds that it is premature.

(Carried)

(Clause No. 6, Report No. 1)

1.44 The Scarborough Community Council resolved itself into Committee of the Whole to receive advice of the Solicitor.

The Scarborough Community Council rose and reported having approved a request by Councillor Cho for confidential information to be submitted to the next meeting of Scarborough Community Council scheduled to be held on February 15, 2000.

**1.45 Application for Permit to Remove Trees
Ostra Investment Inc.
South-West Corner of McCowan Road and Town Centre Court
(Ward 15 – Scarborough City Centre)**

(See also Minute No. 1.24)

A. Councillor Mahood moved the matter be deferred to the next meeting scheduled to be held on February 15, 2000 and in the interim the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to negotiate with the applicant to explore options to preserve the trees and report thereon to Scarborough Community Council.

(Lost)

B. Councillor Duguid moved that Scarborough Community Council recommend to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report subject to striking out Recommendation No. (1).

(Lost)

On the question of adoption of the foregoing report, the vote was taken, as follows:

Yeas: Councillors Altobello, Ashton, Berardinetti, Cho, Duguid, Kelly, Soknacki –7

Nays: Councillors Balkissoon, Mahood, Tzekas –3

Decided in the affirmative by a majority of 4.

(Clause No. 20, Report No. 1)

**1.46 Classical Chinese Garden Fundraising Committee
Progress Report**

The Community Council had before it a report (January 4, 2000) from Committee Members of the Classical Chinese Garden Fundraising Committee advising, as directed by City Council, on the activities to date of the Classical Chinese Garden Fundraising Committee and recommending that City Council:

- (1) appoint Councillor Soknacki a member of the Fundraising Committee;
- (2) expand the membership to include a Member of City Council from another community; and

Scarborough Community Council Committee Minutes
Tuesday, January 18, 2000

- (3) conditional on funds being raised by the Fundraising Committee, approve, in principle, a matching contribution to the capital cost of the project.
- A. Councillor Soknacki moved that the Scarborough Community Council recommend to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.
- B. Councillor Balkissoon moved that Recommendation No. (3) be referred to the Policy and Finance Committee with the request that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer report thereto on the budgetary implications of this Recommendation by the Fundraising Committee.

Upon the question of the adoption of Motion B. by Councillor Balkissoon, the vote was taken, as follows:

Yeas: Councillors Ashton, Balkissoon, Kelly, Soknacki, Tzekas –5

Nays: Councillors Berardinetti, Cho, Duguid, Shaw –4

Decided in the affirmative by a majority of 1.

On a motion by Councillor Soknacki, Scarborough Community Council recommend to City Council the adoption of Recommendations (1) and (2) in the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 14, Report No. 1)

The Community Council adjourned its meeting at 6:35 p.m.

Chair.