THE CITY OF TORONTO

Clerk's Department

Minutes of the Toronto Community Council

Meeting No. 1

Monday, January 18, 2000

The Toronto Community Council met on Monday, January 18, 2000, in the Council Chambers, City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:30 a.m.

1.1 Discharge Of Historical Designation And Heritage Easement Agreement – 333 Bloor Street East (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 29, 1999) from the City Clerk forwarding Clause 55 of Report No. 12 of the Toronto Community Council, titled, "Discharge of Historical Designation and Heritage Easement Agreement – 333 Bloor Street East (Downtown)" which was referred back to the Toronto Community Council by City Council on September 28 and 29, 1999, for further consideration.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council again recommended that City Council not support the repeal of the designation By-law 107-89 nor the discharge of the heritage easement agreement CA32714 for the property at 333 Bloor Street East (formerly known as 321 Bloor Street East, the Confederation Life Insurance Company Building).

(Clause No. 37, Report No. 2)

1.2 Residential Demolition Application – 123 Dupont Street (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (November 22, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner, Urban Planning and Development Services respecting the residential demolition application for No. 123 Dupont Street and recommending that City Council:

- (A) authorize the issuance of the residential demolition permit for 123 Dupont Street, after the applicant has obtained a building permit to erect a new building, subject to the standard conditions as set out in chapter 146-16B(5) of the Municipal Code, specifically:
 - (1) That the applicant for the permit construct and substantially complete the new building to be erected on the site of the residential property to be demolished, not later than two (2) years from the day demolition of the existing residential property is commenced; and

- (2) That, on failure to complete the new building within the time specified, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector's roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand dollars (\$20,000.00) for each dwelling unit contained in the residential property in respect of which the demolition permit is issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the land in respect of which the permit to demolish the residential property is issued; OR
- (B) Refuse the demolition permit.

Mr. Kerry J. Cantle, Zinc Construction, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the demolition permit for 123 Dupont Street be refused.

(Clause No. 38, Report No. 2)

1.3 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 400 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code To Permit Front Yard Parking At 15 Boswell Avenue (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 24, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for front yard parking at 15 Boswell Avenue; OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 15 Boswell Avenue, subject to:
 - (a) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved and equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
 - (b) the applicant removing the paving within 1.6 m of the existing City owned tree;
 - (c) a formal poll being conducted and that such poll have a favourable result; and
 - (d) the applicant paying all applicable fees set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on February 15, 2000 and requested the

Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to meet with the Ward Councillors, the applicant and the Heritage Preservation Board.

(Commissioner, Works and Emergency Services; Heritage Preservation Board; cc: Mr. Nino Pelligrini, Senior By-law Officer, Off Street Parking; Ms. Sandra Friedman, 15 Boswell Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, M5R 1M1 – January 27, 2000)

(Clause No. 60(a), Report No. 2)

1.4 Residential Demolition Application – 80 Roxborough Street East (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 9, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services respecting the residential demolition application for No. 123 Dupont Street and recommending that authority be granted to issue the residential demolition permit for 80 Roxborough Street East, subject to the standard conditions as set out in Chapter 146-16B(5) of the Municipal Code, specifically:

- (1) That the applicant for the permit construct and substantially complete the new building to be erected on the site of the residential property to be demolished, not later than two (2) years from the day demolition of the existing residential property is commenced.
- (2) That, on failure to complete the new building within the time specified, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector's roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand dollars (\$20,000.00) for each dwelling unit contained in the residential property in respect of which the demolition permit is issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the land in respect of which the permit to demolish the residential property is issued.

Mr. Ron Grossutti, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council also requested the Chief Building Official to report directly to Council on outstanding issues with respect to this matter.

(Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Chief Building Official; cc: Mr. Carlos Martins, Senior Plan Examiner – January 25, 2000)

(Clause No. 39, Report No. 2)

1.5 Residential Demolition Application – **50** Lavinia Avenue (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 8, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner, Urban Planning and Development Services recommending that City Council authorize the issuance of the residential demolition permit for 50 Lavinia Avenue subject to the standard conditions as set out in Chapter 146-16B(5) of the Municipal Code, specifically:

- (1) That the applicant for the permit construct and substantially complete the new building to be erected on the site of the residential property to be demolished, not later than two (2) years from the day demolition of the existing residential property is commenced.
- (2) That, on failure to complete the new building within the time specified, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector's roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand dollars (\$20,000.00) for each dwelling unit contained in the residential property in respect of which the demolition permit is issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the land in respect of which the permit to demolish the residential property is issued.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (January 17, 2000) from Mr. George A. Adams.

Ms. Karma J. Tax, Toronto, Ontario, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until the Building Permit has been issued.

(Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; cc: Interested Persons; Mr. Carlos Martins, Senior Plan Examiner – January 25, 2000)

(Clause No. 60(b), Report No. 2)

1.6 Results Of Re-Poll For Front Yard Parking At 172 Walmer Road (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 24, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for front yard parking at 172 Walmer Road; OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for front yard parking for one vehicle at 172 Walmer Road, subject to:
 - (a) the maximum area to be paved for parking not to exceed 2.4 m wide by 5.9 m long;

- (b) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved and equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
- (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

Mr. Marc Coulavin, Toronto, Ontario, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the application for front yard parking for one vehicle at 172 Walmer Road be approved, subject to:

- (a) the maximum area to be paved for parking not exceeding 2.4 m wide by 5.9 m long;
- (b) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved and equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
- (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; and
- (d) the applicant making a contribution of \$500.00 towards the planting of a tree on the City boulevard in the immediate vicinity of the property.

(Clause No. 58, Report No. 2)

1.7 Draft Official Plan And Zoning By-Law Amendment And Draft Plan Of Subdivision - 81 Turnberry Avenue And 101 Union Street (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 18, 2000) from the City Solicitor submitting the Draft Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendment and Draft Plan of Subdivision for Nos. 81 Turnberry Avenue and 101 Union Street.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following reports/communication:

- (January 4, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services – Final Report on Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendment Application Nos. 199001 and 298010 and Plans of Subdivision Application Nos. 499001 and 498041 to permit the construction of 7 Detached Dwellings and 88 Semi-Detached Dwellings at

81 Turnberry Avenue and 1 Detached Dwelling and 24 Semi-Detached Dwellings at 101 Union Street

- (January 17, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services forwarding Supplementary Report
- (January 17, 2000) from Ms. Wendy Nott, Walker, Nott, Dragicevic Associates Limited

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the <u>Planning Act</u>. The public meeting was held on January 18, 2000, and the following addressed the Toronto Community Council:

- Mr. Andrew Paton, Barrister and Solicitor; and
- Ms. Wendy Nott, Walker, Nott, Dragicevic.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the Draft By-laws attached to the report (January 18, 2000) of the City Solicitor be approved and that authority be granted to introduce the necessary Bills in Council, substantially in the form of the Draft By-laws, to give effect thereto, in respect of the proposals to develop 81 Turnberry Avenue and 101 Union Street; and
- (2) the reports dated January 4, 2000 and January 17, 2000 from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services be adopted.

On a further motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council requested the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with appropriate officials, to report directly to Council on:

- (a) amending Recommendation No. 7(xxxvii) of the report (January 4, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services to read:
 - "(xxxvii) The following warning clause be included in the Subdivision Agreement and in all Agreements of Purchase and Sale or Lease for each dwelling unit. Provisions must be included in the Subdivision Agreement to ensure the warning clause survives the release of the Owners' obligations under the subdivision agreement:

Warning: Lands in the immediate vicinity of this property are legally developed and operated for industrial uses, including scrap yards, asphalt plants, vehicle towing operations and automotive repair facilities. These industrial uses include indoor and outdoor operations and outdoor storage of goods and materials. The Purchasers and Lessees are advised that these industrial activities may, from time to time, emit noise,

odour and dust in the course of their normal operations which may include 24-hour activities. Purchasers and Lessees are advised that, despite the inclusion of control features either within the residential development or at the industrial operations, noise, odour and/or dust effects may continue to be of concern, occasionally interfering with some activities of the dwelling occupants. The industrial operations will not be responsible for any complaints or claims arising from use of such industrial facilities and/or operations, subject to applicable law."

(b) Adding a new Recommendation to the report (January 4, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services to read:

"The Owner be required, at its own expense, to undertake such minor road improvements as may be acceptable to the City, to address trucking movements on Turnberry Street and Old Weston Road, including the provision of a west-bound turn lane into the proposed residential development and modification of the vehicular stop controls."

(City Solicitor; Commissioner of Urban Development Services; cc: Mr. Stephen Bradley, Solicitor – January 25, 2000)

(Clause No. 40, Report No. 2)

1.8 Draft Official Plan And Zoning By-Law Amendments And Draft Plan Of Subdivision - 275 Wallace Avenue (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 10, 2000) from the City Solicitor submitting the Draft Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments and Draft Plan of Subdivision for No. 275 Wallace Avenue.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following report and communication:

- (January 4, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services Final Report on Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application No. 298006 and Draft Plan of Subdivision Application No. 449039 to Permit the Construction of 4 Detached Dwellings and 60 Semi-Detached Dwellings at 275 Wallace Avenue; and
- (January 18, 2000) from Mr. Michael B. Vaughan

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the <u>Planning Act</u>. The public meeting was held on January 18, 2000, and Mr. Michael B. Vaughan addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the Draft By-law attached to the report (January 10, 2000) of the City Solicitor be approved and that authority be granted to introduce the necessary Bills in Council, substantially in the form of the Draft By-laws, to give effect thereto, in respect of the proposal to develop 60 semi-detached houses and 4 detached houses at 275 Wallace Avenue; and
- (2) the report (January 4, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services be adopted.

On further motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council also requested the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with appropriate officials, to report directly to Council on the following amendments proposed by Michael B. Vaughan Q.C. in his communication dated January 18, 2000:

- (a) Amending Recommendation No. 10(xxiv) to read:
 - "10(xxiv) that the owner certify to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services that the crash wall and berm as designed meet CN's requirements or comparable safety requirements."
- (b) Amending Recommendation No. 10(xxix) to read:
 - "10(xxix) that the owner advise CN in writing of the particulars as to how CN's safety requirements will be resolved."

(City Solicitor; Commissioner of Urban Development Serices; cc: Mr. Marc Kemerer, Solicitor – January 25, 2000)

(Clause No. 41, Report No. 2)

1.9 Introduction Of Permit Parking On The North Leg Of Burnside Drive, Between Bathurst Street And The West Dead End Of Burnside Drive (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 29, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) permit parking be introduced on the south side of the north leg of Burnside Drive, between Bathurst Street and the west dead end of Burnside Drive, on a street name basis, to operate during the hours of 2:00 a.m. to 8:00 a.m., Monday to Friday;
- (2) newly created part AF of Schedule XXV1 (Permit Parking), of Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, be

introduced to incorporate the north leg of Burnside Drive, between Bathurst Street and the west dead end of Burnside Drive; and

(3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Neill Kalvin, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Hart Nemoy, Toronto, Ontario;
- Ms. Diane Richard, Toronto, Ontario; and
- Mr. Mark Axselson, Toronto, Ontario.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council submitted this matter to Council without recommendation.

The following motion by Councillor Bossons was voted on and lost:

"That the Toronto Community Council defer consideration of this matter until its meeting to be held on February 15, 2000."

(Clause No. 42, Report No. 2)

1.10 Results Of Re-Poll For Front Yard Parking At 84 Hilton Avenue (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 23, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for front yard parking at 84 Hilton Avenue; OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for front yard parking for one vehicle at 84 Hilton Avenue, subject to:
 - (a) the maximum area to be paved for parking not to exceed 2.6 m wide by 5.9 m long;
 - (b) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved and equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
 - (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (January 10, 2000) from Mr. Andre Schmid and Ms. Sonia Sedivy;
- (Undated) from Ms. Deborah Buchler;
- (June 8, 1999) from Mr. David Gurin;
- (June 7, 1999) from Ms. Janet Wright;
- (June 17, 1999) from Ms. Ruth Fein;
- (June 15, 1999) from Mr. Joel Wiesenfeld; and
- (January 17, 2000) from Ms. Deborah Buchler.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Deborah Buchler, Toronto, Ontario; and
- Mr. Mark Wright, Toronto, Ontario.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the application for front yard parking at 84 Hilton Avenue be denied.

The following motion by Councillor Bossons was voted on and lost:

"That the Toronto Community Council defer consideration of this matter until its meeting to be held on February 15, 2000."

(Clause No. 43, Report No. 2)

1.11 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 248 Of The Former City Of Toronto MunicipaL Code To Permit Driveway Widening At 590 Millwood Road (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 24, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for driveway widening at 590 Millwood Road; OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for driveway widening at 590 Millwood Road, notwithstanding that the landscaped open space requirement cannot be satisfied and subject to the applicant complying with the other criteria set out in Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the application for driveway widening at 590 Millwood Road be approved, notwithstanding that the landscaped open space requirement cannot be satisfied, subject to the applicant

complying with the other criteria set out in Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Clause No. 44, Report No. 2)

1.12 Residential Demolition Application – 45 Bellevue Avenue (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 14, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services recommending that City Council authorize the issuance of the residential demolition permit for 45 Bellevue Avenue, subject to the standard conditions as set out in Chapter 146-16B(5) of the Municipal Code, specifically:

- (a) That the applicant for the permit construct and substantially complete the new building to be erected on the site of the residential property to be demolished, not later than two (2) years from the day demolition of the existing residential property is commenced.
- (b) That, on failure to complete the new building within the time specified, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector's roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand (\$20,000) for each dwelling unit contained in the building in respect of which the demolition permit is issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the land in respect of which the permit to demolish the residential property is issued.

Mr. Paul Oberst, Architect, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 45, Report No. 2)

1.13 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 400 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code To Permit Front Yard Parking At 227 Pearson Avenue (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 24, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for front yard parking at 227 Pearson Avenue; OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 227 Pearson Avenue, subject to:

- (a) the maximum area to be paved for parking not to exceed 2.6 m wide by 5.9 m long;
- (b) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved and equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
- (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (January 17, 2000) from Mr. Sean Valentini and Ms. Michelle Czukar.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the application for front yard parking at 227 Pearson Avenue be approved, subject to:

- (a) the maximum area to be paved for parking not to exceed 2.6 m wide by 5.9 m long;
- (b) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved and equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
- (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Clause No. 46, Report No. 2)

1.14 262 St. Clair Avenue West (Alexander Davidson House) – Designation Under Part Iv Of The Ontario Hertage Act (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 21, 1999) from the Managing Director, Toronto Historical Board recommending that the property at 262 St. Clair Avenue West (Alexander Davidson House) be designated under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following report/communications:

Clause 13 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 13, titled, "Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties – 262 and 264 St. Clair Avenue West (Alexander Davidson House and Coach House)(Midtown)", which was amended and adopted by City Council at its meeting held on October 26 and 27, 1999;

- (January 8, 2000) from Mr. Dwayne Blick;
- (January 13, 2000) from Ms. Marion E. L. Oliver;
- (January 17, 2000) from Ms. Roslyn Houser, Goodman Phillips & Vineberg;
- (Undated) from Ms. Nadia Battista;
- (January 18, 2000) from Mr. Joseph M. Peckham;
- (November 15, 1999) Examiner's Notice from Mr. P. Mahant;
- (October 27, 1999) Demolition Permit Application Form from Mr. Gary Switzer;
- (October 27, 1999) Permit Application No. 105918 from Mr. Gary Switzer;
- (October 27, 1999) Permit Application No. 105924 from Mr. Gary Switzer;
- (January 18, 2000) from Dr. Michelle Flax;
- (Undated) from Mr. Andre B. Meurer;
- (January 18, 2000) from Mr. Glen Campbell;
- (January 18, 2000) from Ms. Rosemary Helmer;
- (January 17, 2000) from Mr. Patrick Tannahill;
- (January 18, 2000) from Ms. Mira Bazzul;
- (January 18, 2000) from Mr. George Wm. Roth; and
- (January 17, 2000) from Mr. Farooque Dawood.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council:

- (1) deferred consideration of the foregoing report sine die;
- (2) requested the City Solicitor to review the demolition application for 262 St. Clair Avenue West and advise the Toronto Community Council on whether or not the demolition application is a proper and valid application, having regard to the fact that the application fails to disclose that the building contains a residential unit; and whether the application should, in light of this, be considered by the Toronto Community Council; and
- (3) requested the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, in consultation with the Chair of the Toronto Preservation Board and Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services, on the resources required to undertake a heritage conservation district study of the North Hill area, bounded by Lonsdale Road on the north, St. Clair Avenue on the south, Spadina Road on the west and Avenue Road/Oriole Parkway on the east.

The above recommendation carried unanimously as follows:

Yeas: Councillors McConnell, Adams, Bossons, Bussin, Johnston, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Miller, Silva and Walker - 10

(Inerested Persons; cc: City Solicitor; Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; Chair, Toronto Preservation Board; Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services – January 28, 2000)

(Clause No. 60(c), Report No. 2)

1.15 Residential Demolition Application – 262 St. Clair Avenue West (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 14, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services respecting the demolition application for No. 262 St. Clair Avenue West and recommending that City Council choose one of the following recommendations:

- 1. That the application to demolish the subject commercial and residential building be refused by City Council, since no replacement building is proposed at this time, and the building has been listed on the City's Inventory of Heritage Properties, and Heritage Toronto is considering the property for designation under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act, OR
- 2. That the application to demolish the subject commercial and residential building be granted by City Council, provided:
 - (a) that a replacement building is erected on the site not later than two (2) years from the day demolition of the existing building is commenced, and
 - (b) that, on failure to complete the new building within the time specified, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector's roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand (\$20,000) for each dwelling unit contained in the building in respect of which the demolition permit is issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the land in respect of which the permit to demolish the residential property is issued, OR
- 3. That the application to demolish the subject commercial and residential building be granted without conditions.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following report/communications:

- Clause 13 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 13, titled, "Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties 262 and 264 St. Clair Avenue West (Alexander Davidson House and Coach House)(Midtown)", which was amended and adopted by City Council at its meeting held on October 26 and 27, 1999;
- (January 8, 2000) from Mr. Dwayne Blick;
- (January 13, 2000) from Ms. Marion E. L. Oliver;

- January 17, 2000) from Ms. Roslyn Houser, Goodman Phillips & Vineberg;
- (Undated) from Ms. Nadia Battista;
- (January 18, 2000) from Mr. Joseph M. Peckham;
- (November 15, 1999) Examiner's Notice from Mr. P. Mahan;
- (October 27, 1999) Demolition Permit Application Form from Mr. Gary Switzer;
- (October 27, 1999) Permit Application No. 105918 from Mr. Gary Switzer;
- (October 27, 1999) Permit Application No. 105924 from Mr. Gary Switzer;
- (January 18, 2000) from Dr. Michelle Flax;
- (Undated) from Mr. Andre B. Meurer;
- (January 18, 2000) from Mr. Glen Campbell;
- (January 18, 2000) from Ms. Rosemary Helmer;
- (January 17, 2000) from Mr. Patrick Tannahill;
- (January 18, 2000) from Ms. Mira Bazzul;
- (January 18, 2000) from Mr. George Wm. Roth; and
- (January 17, 2000) from Mr. Farooque Dawood.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- The Hon. Michael Bryant, M.P.P., St. Paul's;
- Ms. Rosemary Helmer, Toronto, Ontario;
- Ms. Elizabeth Cobban, St. Clair Cloverhill Tenants' Association;
- Ms. Susan Ainley, North Hill District Homeowners' Association;
- Mr. Joseph Peckham, Investment Advisor;
- Mr. Briand Maguire, North Hill District Homeowners' Association;
- Mr. Gordon Smeaton, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. John Lazier, Edgecombe Capital Group;
- Ms. Margaret Knight, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Andre Meurer, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Al Pearson, South Hill Homeowners' Association; and
- Mr. Glen Campbell, Toronto, Ontario.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council:

- (1) deferred consideration of the foregoing report sine die;
- (2) requested the City Solicitor to review the demolition application for 262 St. Clair Avenue West and advise the Toronto Community Council on whether or not the demolition application is a proper and valid application, having regard to the fact that the application fails to disclose that the building contains a residential unit; and whether the application should, in light of this, be considered by the Toronto Community Council; and
- (3) requested the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, in consultation with the Chair of the Toronto Preservation Board and Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services, on the resources required to

undertake a heritage conservation district study of the North Hill area, bounded by Lonsdale Road on the north, St. Clair Avenue on the south, Spadina Road on the west and Avenue Road/Oriole Parkway on the east.

The above recommendation carried unanimously as follows:

Yeas: Councillors McConnell, Adams, Bossons, Bussin, Johnston, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Miller, Silva and Walker - 10

(Inerested Persons; City Solicitor; Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; Chair, Toronto Preservation Board; Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services – January 28, 2000)

(Clause No. 60(c), Report No. 2)

1.16 Residential Demolition Application – 264 St. Clair Avenue West (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 14, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services respecting the demolition application for No. 264 St. Clair Avenue West and recommending that City Council choose one of the following recommendations:

- 1. That the application to demolish the subject commercial and residential building be refused by City Council, since no replacement building is proposed at this time, and the building has been listed on the City's Inventory of Heritage Properties, and Heritage Toronto is considering the property for designation under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act, OR
- 2. That the application to demolish the subject commercial and residential building be granted by City Council, provided:
 - (a) that a replacement building is erected on the site not later than two (2) years from the day demolition of the existing building is commenced, and
 - (b) that, on failure to complete the new building within the time specified, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector's roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand (\$20,000) for each dwelling unit contained in the building in respect of which the demolition permit is issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the land in respect of which the permit to demolish the residential property is issued, OR
- 3. That the application to demolish the subject commercial and residential building be granted without conditions.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following report/communications:

- Clause 13 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 13, titled, "Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties 262 and 264 St. Clair Avenue West (Alexander Davidson House and Coach House)(Midtown)", which was amended and adopted by City Council at its meeting held on October 26 and 27, 1999;
- (January 8, 2000) from Mr. Dwayne Blick;
- (January 13, 2000) from Ms. Marion E. L. Oliver;
- (January 17, 2000) from Ms. Roslyn Houser, Goodman Phillips & Vineberg;
- (Undated) from Ms. Nadia Battista;
- (January 18, 2000) from Mr. Joseph M. Peckham;
- (November 15, 1999) Examiner's Notice from Mr. P. Mahan;
- (October 27, 1999) Demolition Permit Application Form from Mr. Gary Switzer;
- (October 27, 1999) Permit Application No. 105918 from Mr. Gary Switzer;
- (October 27, 1999) Permit Application No. 105924 from Mr. Gary Switzer;
- (January 18, 2000) from Dr. Michelle Flax;
- (Undated) from Mr. Andre B. Meurer;
- (January 18, 2000) from Mr. Glen Campbell;
- (January 18, 2000) from Ms. Rosemary Helmer;
- (January 17, 2000) from Mr. Patrick Tannahill;
- (January 18, 2000) from Ms. Mira Bazzul;
- (January 18, 2000) from Mr. George Wm. Roth; and
- (January 17, 2000) from Mr. Farooque Dawood.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- The Hon. Michael Bryant, M.P.P., St. Paul's;
- Ms. Rosemary Helmer, Toronto, Ontario;
- Ms. Elizabeth Cobban, St. Clair Cloverhill Tenants' Association;
- Ms. Susan Ainley, North Hill District Homeowners' Association;
- Mr. Joseph Peckham, Investment Advisor;
- Mr. Briand Maguire, North Hill District Homeowners' Association;
- Mr. Gordon Smeaton, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. John Lazier, Edgecombe Capital Group;
- Ms. Margaret Knight, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Andre Meurer, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Al Pearson, South Hill Homeowners' Association; and
- Mr. Glen Campbell, Toronto, Ontario.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council:

(1) deferred consideration of the foregoing report sine die;

- (2) requested the City Solicitor to review the demolition application for 262 St. Clair Avenue West and advise the Toronto Community Council on whether or not the demolition application is a proper and valid application, having regard to the fact that the application fails to disclose that the building contains a residential unit; and whether the application should, in light of this, be considered by the Toronto Community Council; and
- (3) requested the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, in consultation with the Chair of the Toronto Preservation Board and Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services, on the resources required to undertake a heritage conservation district study of the North Hill area, bounded by Lonsdale Road on the north, St. Clair Avenue on the south, Spadina Road on the west and Avenue Road/Oriole Parkway on the east.

The above recommendation carried on the following division of votes:

Yeas: Councillors McConnell, Adams, Bossons, Bussin, Johnston, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Miller, Silva and Walker - 10

(Interested Persons; cc: City Solicitor; Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; Chair, Toronto Preservation Board; Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services – January 28, 2000)

(Clause No. 60(c), Report No. 2)

1.17 Proposed Installation And Maintenance Of A Wooden Retaining Wall Within The Public Right Of Way - 374 Cleveland Street (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (November 10, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City Council approve the installation and maintenance of a wooden retaining wall within the public right of way fronting 374 Cleveland Street, subject to the owner entering into an agreement with the City of Toronto, as prescribed under Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (November 1, 1999) from Mr. Dan J. Goldberger, New Paradigm Capital Corp.;
- (November 29, 1999) from Mr. Dan J. Golberger, requesting deferral; and
- (November 30, 1999) from Ms. Patricia A. Foran, Aird & Berlis.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Kim Kovar, Aird & Berlis; and
- Mr. Dan Goldberger, Toronto, Ontario.

On a motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (November 10, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1.

(Clause No. 47, Report No. 2)

1.18 Residential Demolition Application – 155, 157, 159 And 161 Beatrice Street (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 20, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services respecting the demolition application for Nos. 155, 1557, 159 and 161 Beatrice Street and recommending that City Council choose one of the following recommendations:

- 1. That the application to demolish the subject residential buildings be granted, OR
- 2. That the application to demolish the subject residential buildings be granted once "Site Plan Approval" under Section 41 of the Planning Act is obtained, OR
- 3. That the application to demolish the subject residential buildings be refused.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the application to demolish the subject residential buildings at 155, 157, 159 and 161 Beatrice Street be granted.

(Clause No. 48, Report No. 2)

1.19 Draft By-Law To Stop Up And Close A Portion Of The Unopened Road Allowance North Of Roxborough Street East Extending North-Westerly From Mount Pleasant Road And To Authorize The Sale Thereof (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law respecting To Stop Up and Close a portion of the unopened road allowance north of Roxborough Street East extending north-westerly from Mount Pleasant Road and to authorize the sale thereof (Midtown), and Clause 11 of Report No. 20 of the City Services Committee of the former City of Toronto, titled, "Proposed Closing of Unopened Road Allowance – Mount Pleasant Road, north of Roxborough Street East", which was adopted by the City Council of the former City of Toronto at its meeting held on November 29, 1989.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on December 27, 1999, January 3, January 10 and January 17, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

The Toronto Community Council:

On motion by Councillor Bossons:

(1) adjourned the Public Hearing held pursuant to the provisions of the <u>Municipal Act</u>, to be reconvened on February 15, 2000; and

On motion by Councillor Adams:

(2) requested the Acting Commissioner of Corporate Services to consult with the Ward Councillors, the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services, the Toronto Region Conservation Authority and the South Rosedale Ratepayers Association.

(Acting Commissioner of Corporate Services; Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services; The Toronto Region Conservation Authority; South Rosedale Ratepayers Association, Ms. Valerie Siren Schatzker, President – January 28, 2000)

(Clause No. 60(d), Report No. 2)

1.20 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Bellwoods Avenue From Queen Street West To Dundas Street West By The Installation Of Speed Humps With A Possible Future Reduction In Speed From 40 Km/Hr To 30 Km/Hr (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Bellwoods Avenue from Queen Street West to Dundas Street West by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (Trinity-Niagara), and Clause 52 of Report No. 8 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Installation of Speed Humps – Bellwoods Avenue between Queen Street West and Dundas Street West (Trinity-Niagara)", as adopted by the City Council at its meeting held on June 9, 10 and 11, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (December 23, 1999) from Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on December 30, 1999, January 3, January 10 and January 17, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Clause No. 49, Report No. 2)

1.21 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Crawford Street From Dundas Street West To College Street By The Installation Of Speed Humps With A Possible Future Reduction In Speed From 40 Km/Hr To 30 Km/Hr (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it the Draft By-Law to authorize the alteration of Bellwoods Avenue from Dundas Street West to College Street by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr. (Trinity-Niagara), and Clause 38 of Report No. 8 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Rescindment of Traffic Calming Proposal – Portugal Village, Area Bounded by Crawford Street, College Street, Grace Street/Bellwoods Avenue and Dundas Street West (Trinity-Niagara)", as adopted by the City Council at its meeting held on June 9, 10 and 11, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (December 23, 1999) from Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on December 30, 1999, January 3, January 10 and January 17, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Clause No. 50, Report No. 2)

1.22 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Dufferin Park Avenue From Gladstone Avenue To Havelock Street By The Installation Of Speed Humps With A Possible Future Reduction In Speed From 40 Km/Hr To 30 Km/Hr (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-Law to authorize the alteration of Dufferin Park Avenue from Gladstone Avenue to Havelock Street by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (Trinity-Niagara), and Clause 34 of Report No. 7 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Dufferin Park Avenue, between Gladstone Avenue and Havelock Street – Request for Speed Humps (Trinity-Niagara)" as adopted by City Council at its meeting held on May 11 and 12, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (December 23, 1999) from Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on December 30, 1999, January 3, January 10 and January 17, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Clause No. 51, Report No. 2)

1.23 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Eastern Avenue Between Trinity Street And Cherry Street By Narrowing The Pavement By The Installation Of A Pedestrian Refuge Centre Median Island (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a Draft By-Law to authorize the alteration of Eastern Avenue between Trinity Street and Cherry Street by narrowing the pavement by the installation of a pedestrian refuge centre median island (Don River), and Clause 33 of Report No. 14 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Installation of a Pedestrian Refuge Island, Eastern Avenue at Trinity Street (Don River) as adopted by City Council at its meeting held on November 23, 24, and 25, 1999.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on December 30, 1999, January 3, January 10 and January 17, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a bylaw in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Clause No. 52, Report No. 2)

1.24 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Gladstone Avenue From College Street To Sylvan Avenue And Lindsey Avenue From Dufferin Street To Havelock Street By The Installation Of Speed Humps With A Possible Future Reduction In Speed From 40 Km/Hr To 30 Km/Hr.

The Toronto Community Council had before it By-Law to authorize the alteration of Gladstone Avenue from College Street to Sylvan Avenue and Lindsey Avenue from Dufferin Street to Havelock Street by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (Trinity-Niagara), and Clause 11 of Report No. 12 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Speed Humps – Gladstone Avenue, between College Street and Sylvan Avenue, and Lindsey Avenue, between Dufferin Street and Havelock Street (Trinity-Niagara) as adopted by City Council at its meeting held on September 28 and 29, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (January 12, 2000) from Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the foregoing matter.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on December 30, 1999, January 3, January 10 and January 17, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Clause No. 53, Report No. 2)

1.25 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Gore Vale Avenue From Queen Street West To Dundas Street West By The Installation Of Speed Humps With A Possible Future Reduction In Speed From 40 Km/Hr To 30 Km/Hr. (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-Law to authorize the alteration of Gore Vale Avenue from Queen Street West to Dundas Street West by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (Trinity-Niagara), and Clause 43 of Report No. 9 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Gore Vale Avenue between Queen Street West and Dundas Street West — Operational Safety (Trinity-Niagara)", as adopted by City Council at its meeting held on July 6, 7 and 8, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (December 23, 1999) from Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on December 30, 1999, January 3, January 10 and January 17, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Clause No. 54, Report No. 2)

1.26 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Heydon Park Road Between Dovercourt Road And Rusholme Road By The Installation Of Speed Humps With A Possible Future Reduction In Speed From 40 Km/Hr To 30 Km/Hr. (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-Law to authorize the alteration of Heydon Park Road between Dovercourt Road and Rusholme Road by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (Trinity-Niagara), and Clause 46 of Report No. 8 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Installation of Two Speed Humps – Heydon Park Road (Trinity-Niagara)", as adopted by the City Council at its meeting held on June 9, 10 and 11, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (December 21, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on December 30, 1999, January 3, January 10 and January 17, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Clause No. 55, Report No. 2)

1.27 2300 Yonge Street, Application No. 999042: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (November 16, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services recommending that City Council refuse Application No. 999042 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit the replacement of an existing illuminated double face ground sign, for identification purposes, with a triple face illuminated ground sign containing electronic message display copy, located on the south-east corner of the property at 2300 Yonge Street.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that Application No. 999042 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit the replacement of an existing illuminated double face ground sign, for identification purposes, with a triple face illuminated ground sign containing electronic message display copy, located on the south-east corner of the property at 2300 Yonge Street be refused.

(Clause No. 56, Report No. 2)

1.28 595 Bay Street, Application No. 999087: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (November 23, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services recommending that City Council refuse Application No. 999087 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated fascia sign, on the south elevation of the building at 595 Bay Street.

Ms. Kim Kovar, Aird & Berlis, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended that Application No. 999087 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated fascia sign, on the south elevation of the building at 595 Bay Street, be approved.

(Clause No. 57, Report No. 2)

1.29 83 Bloor Street West, Application No. 999058: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 21, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that City Council refuse Application No. 999058 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit the replacement of existing faces of two non-conforming illuminated roof signs at 83 Bloor Street West with new electronic message display copy.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (January 11, 2000) from Blair Murdoch, Mediacom, requesting deferral;
- (January 17, 2000) from Mr. James L. Robinson, Bloor-Yorkville B.I.A.; and
- (January 11, 2000) from Ms. Diane Lea Coutts, ABC Residents' Association.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on February 15, 2000.

(Interested Persons; c.: Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Norm Girdhar, Urban Development Services – No Encl. – January 27, 2000)

(Clause No. 60(e), Report No. 2)

1. 30 300 Front Street West, Application No. 999059: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (November 21, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services recommending that City Council refuse Application No. 999059 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to replace both faces of an existing non-conforming illuminated ground sign at 300 Front Street West, with new electronic message display copy.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (January 11, 2000) from Blair Murdoch, Mediacom, requesting deferral.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on February 15, 2000.

(Mr. Thomas Smith, Mediacom, 377 Horner Avenue, Toronto, M8W 1Z6; Front-John Investments Limited, c/o H & R Developments, 3625 Dufferin Street, Suite 500, Toronto, Ontario, M3K 1N4; c.: Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Norm Girdhar, Urban Planning and Development Services – No Encl. – January 28, 2000)

(Clause No. 60(f), Report No. 2)

1.31 819 Yonge Street, Application No. 999074: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 21, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that City Council refuse Application No. 999074 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to maintain, for third party advertising, an illuminated fascia sign on the north wall of the building at 819 Yonge Street.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (January 11, 2000) from Blair Murdoch, Mediacom, requesting deferral;
- (January 17, 2000) from Mr. James L. Robinson, Bloor-Yorkville B.I.A.; and
- (January 11, 2000) from Ms. Diane Lea Coutts, ABC Residents' Association.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on February 15, 2000.

(Interested Persons; c.: Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Norm Girdhar, Urban Development Services – No Encl. – January 27, 2000)

(Clause No. 60(g), Report No. 2)

1.32 48 Glen Road - Removal Of One City Owned Tree, And One Private Tree, And Injury To One Private Tree (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 4, 1999) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, recommending that Toronto Community Council approve the request for the removal of one City owned tree, and one private tree, and injury to one private tree at No. 48 Glen Road, and such approval be conditional on:

a) the trees in question not being removed or injured until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under the building

permit application for the subject project commence which warrant the destruction of the trees; and

- b) the implementation of the recommendations in the tree preservation report, for the privately owned sugar maple, prepared by Arborvalley Urban Forestry Co. Inc., dated March 15, 1999; and
- c) the applicant submitting a certified cheque in the amount of \$1245.43 to cover the value of the City owned tree, it's removal and replacement costs, and the applicant agreeing to the planting of a replacement tree to be planted on City property upon completion of construction; and
- d) the applicant agreeing to plant a large growing shade tree, to replace the privately owned black locust, to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (January 17, 2000) from Mr. Tom Spragge, Spragge Company Architects.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Tom Spragge, Spragge & Company Architect; and
- Ms. Diana H. Emery, Toronto, Ontario.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on February 15, 2000.

(Interested Persons; c.: Andrew Pickett, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism – No Encl. – January 26, 2000)

(Clause No. 60(h), Report No. 2)

1.33 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 400 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code To Permit Front Yard Parking At 279 Windermere Avenue (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 24, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

(1) City Council deny the application for front yard parking at 279 Windermere Avenue; OR

- (2) City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 279 Windermere Avenue, subject to:
 - (a) the maximum area to be paved for parking not to exceed 2.6 m wide by 5.9 m long;
 - (b) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved and equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
 - (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the application for front yard parking at 279 Windermere Avenue be approved, subject to:

- (a) the maximum area to be paved for parking not to exceed 2.6 m wide by 5.9 m long;
- (b) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved and equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
- (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Clause No. 35, Report No. 2)

1.34. 17 Forest Ridge Drive – Removal Of Private Tree (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 4, 2000) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting the removal of the private tree at No. 17 Forest Ridge Drive and recommending that:

- (1) a permit for tree removal be refused; or
- (2) a permit for tree removal be issued conditional on:
 - (i) the tree in question not being removed until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under the building permit application for the subject project commence which warrant the destruction of the tree.

(ii) the applicant implementing a landscape plan acceptable to the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (January 13, 2000) from Ms. Susan and Mr. Lorne Wallace.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Lorne Wallace, Toronto, Ontario;
- Ms. E. Greisman, Toronto, Ontario; and
- Mr. William Greisman.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a permit for tree removal at 17 Forest Ridge Drive be issued conditional on:

- (i) the tree in question not being removed until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under the building permit application for the subject project commence which warrant the destruction of the tree.
- (ii) the applicant implementing a landscape plan acceptable to the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

(Clause No. 34, Report No. 2)

1.35 Application For Front Yard Parking At 43 Nanton Avenue (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 24, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City Council approve the front yard parking at 43 Nanton Avenue, as such a request complies with Chapter 400 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

Ms. Nella Fiorino, Toronto, Ontario, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 33, Report No. 2)

1. 36 314/316 Avenue Road – Removal Of Private Tree (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 4, 2000) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting the removal of the private tree at Nos. 314/316 Avenue Road and recommending that:

- (1) a permit for tree removal be refused; or
- (2) a permit for tree removal be issued conditional on the implementation of the landscape plan, prepared by Acme Environmentals Landscape Design Ltd., dated September 1999, revised October 1, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- January 13, 2000) from Kemeel Azan
- (January 14, 2000) from Ms. Madge Azan

Ms. Enza Schembre, Adme Environmentals Landscape Design, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a permit for tree removal at 314/316 Avenue Road be refused.

(Clause No. 32, Report No. 2)

1.37 1643 Yonge Street (Massey Mausoleum, Mount Pleasant Cemetery) – Designation Under Part IV Of The Ontario Heritage Act (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 16, 1999) from the Managing Director, Toronto Historical Board recommending that:

- (1) the portion of the property of 1643 Yonge Street containing the Massey Mausoleum be designated under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Mr. Vincent Massey Tovell, Massey Foundation, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 31, Report No. 2)

1.38 106 Trinity Street (Enoch Turner Schoolhouse) – Designation Under Part IV Of The Ontario Heritage Act (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 16, 1999) from the Managing Director, Toronto Historical Board recommending that:

- (1) City Council designate the property at 106 Trinity Street (Enoch Turner Schoolhouse) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 30, Report No. 2)

1. 39 Schools Report – Inclusion On The City Of Toronto Inventory Of Heritage Properties

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 16, 1999) from the Managing Director, Toronto Historical Board respecting the inclusion of the following school properties on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties and recommending that:

- (1) The property at 125 Evelyn Crescent (Western Technical-Commercial School) be recommended for inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.
- (2) The property at 228 Hallam Street (Dovercourt Public School) be recommended for inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.
- (3) The property at 410 Pape Avenue (Pape Avenue Public School) be recommended for inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.
- (4) The property at 180 Shaw Street (Givins Public School, 1914) be recommended for inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.
- (5) The property at 1100 Spadina Road (Forest Hill Village Public School) be recommended for inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.
- (6) The property at 24 Williamson Road (Williamson Road Public School) be recommended for inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.
- (7) The Schools Study be extended to evaluate school properties owned by the Toronto Separate School Board for inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.

- (8) Preservation staff report on methods to study the remaining schools in the City of Toronto for inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.
- (9) The appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 29, Report No. 2)

1.40 Draft By-Law Respecting Proposed Official Plan Amendment And Zoning By-Law – Yonge-St. Clair Part II Plan (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (November 29, 1999) from the City Solicitor submitting the Draft By-law respecting the proposed Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law for the Yonge-St. Clair Part II Plan.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following reports/communications:

- (November 15, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services Final Report respecting Yonge-St. Clair Part II Plan Study Review Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments for the Yonge St. Clair Planning District;
- (November 30, 1999) from Ms. Heather M. McGregor, YWCA;
- (November 30, 1999) from Mr. Michael B. Vaughan;
- (November 30, 1999) from Mr. Murray H. Chusid, Blaney, McMurtry, Stapells, Friedman;
- (November 30, 1999) from Ms. Janice Merson, Summerhill Residents Association;
- (December 1, 1999) from Mr. Leon R. Kentridge, Kentridge Johnston Limited;
- (December 29, 1999) from Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services Supplementary Report;
- (January 14, 2000) from Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services Further Supplementary Report;
- (January 18, 2000) from Mr. Murray Chusid, Blaney McMurtry;

- (January 14, 2000) from Mr. Richard Weldon, Crown Regal Lands; and
- (January 18, 2000) from Ms. Janice Merson, Mr. Matthias Schlaepfer, Mr. Barry De Zwaan and Mr. John Tyacke, Summerhill Residents Associations.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the <u>Planning Act</u>. The public meeting was held on December 2, 1999 and January 18, 2000 and the following persons addressed the Toronto Community Council:

On December, 2, 1999:

- Ms. Joanne Barnett, YWCA of Greater Toronto; and
- Ms. Catherine Lyons, Goodman, Phillips & Vineberg, on behalf of A&D Mandel Investments Ltd. & Context Real Estate.

On January 18, 2000:

- Mr. Matthias Schlaepfer, Summerhill Residents' Association.

The Toronto Community Council recommended that:

On motion by Councillor Adams:

- (1) Schedule "A" of Draft By-law No. (1) attached to the report (November 29, 1999) of the City Solicitor be amended by deleting Section 7.6(a) and inserting a new Section 7.6(a) to read:
 - "7.6 (a) be no more than 12 metres in height;"

On motion by Councillor Bossons:

- (2) the report (January 14, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services be amended by adding a new Recommendation No. (4) to read:
 - "(4) That the order of the Ontario Municipal Board on 7 Gange Avenue be received prior to the introduction of Bills in Council."
- (3) the report (November 15, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services, as amended by his further reports dated December 29, 1999 and January 14, 2000 (as amended by Recommendation No. (2)) be adopted;
- (4) Draft By-laws (1) and (2), attached to the report (November 29, 1999) of the City Solicitor, as amended by Recommendation Nos. (1), (2) and (3) above, be approved and that authority be granted to introduce the necessary Bills in Council to give effect thereto, substantially in the form of the by-laws attached to the report.

(Clause No. 28, Report No. 2)

1.41 Draft By-Law Respecting Proposed By-Law Amendment - Signs - Automobile Service Stations And Gas Bars (High Park, Trinity-Niagara, Davenport, North Toronto, Midtown, Downtown, Don River, East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 30, 1999) from the City Solicitor submitting the Draft By-law respecting the proposed Sign By-law amendment for automobile service stations and gas bars.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following reports/communications:

- (September 27, 1999) Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services reporting as requested on: height limits and sizes of pedestal signs and ground signs at automobile service stations and gas bars; merchandise signage at automobile service stations and gas bars; and signage for drive through operations as separate uses and in association with automobile service stations and gas bars;
- Clause 9 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 6, entitled, (Draft Zoning Bylaw Amendment and Draft Sign By-law Automobile Service Stations and Gas Bars (All Wards in the former City of Toronto), which was amended and adopted by City Council at its meeting held on April 13, 14 and 15, 1999;
- (October 8, 1999) from Ms. Victoria A. Masnyk, Swansea Area Ratepayers' Association and Swansea Area Ratepayers' Group;
- (November 8, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services Supplementary to Follow-up Report; and
- (December 21, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the <u>Municipal Act</u>. The public meeting was held on October 12, November 9, 1999 and January 18, 2000. No one addressed the Toronto Community Council on October 12, 1999, Mr. Paul K. Smith, Shell Canada Ltd./C.P.P.I. addressed the Toronto Community Council on November 9, 1999, and no one addressed the Community Council on January 18, 2000.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council adjourned the Public Meeting held pursuant to the provisions of the <u>Municipal Act</u>, to be reconvened on March 23, 2000.

(Interested Persons; c: Ian Cooper, Urban Development Services; William Hawryliw, Legal Services – No Encl. – January 26, 2000)

(Clause No. 60(i), Report No. 2)

1.42 Parkdale Conflict Resolution Process (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a joint report (October 22, 1999) from the Executive Director/Chief Building Official, South District; Executive Director/Chief Planner; Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards and the General Manager, Shelter, Housing and Support Division respecting the Parkdale Conflict Resolution Process, and recommending that Council:

- (1) approve, in principle, recommendations 1 to 18 in Section 4 of this report;
- (2) defer recommendation 19 in Section 4 of this report to the Toronto Community Council meeting of December 2, 1999, pending the conclusion of on-going discussions with stakeholders:
- (3) authorize staff to convene a Public Meeting to present the recommended approach;
- (4) direct staff to report further on the detailed staffing and financial implications of implementing this approach; and,
- (5) refer this report to the Commissioners of Urban Planning and Development Services, Community and Neighbourhood Services, and the Chief Financial Officer for consideration during the 2000 Operating Budget process.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following reports/communications:

- Clause 32 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 12, titled, "Parkdale Planning Initiatives (High Park)", which was amended and adopted by City Council at its meeting held on October 28, 29 and 30, 1998;
- (November 8, 1999) from the Community Services Agencies Group;
- (November 5, 1999) from Mr. Ray Van Eenooghe, Co-Chair and Mr. Murray Lowe, Treasurer, Bachelorette Owners Association;
- (November 9, 1999) from Mr. Philippe Campaie for Robert Keel, Chairman, Rupert Community Residential Services Inc.;
- (January 15, 1999) from Mr. Robert B. Levitt, Secretary, Parkdale Tenants' Association:

- (November 17, 1999) from City Clerk, Toronto Community Council, forwarding the Community Council's actions of November 9, 1999;
- (December 22, 1999) from Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services;
- (January 13, 2000) from Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services;
- (January 18, 2000) from Mr. Walter Jarsky;
- (January 18, 2000) from Mr. Bart Poesiat, Parkdale Community Legal Services Inc.;
- (January 18, 2000) from Mr. Don Weitz;
- (January 18, 2000) from Mr. Robert B. Levitt, Parkdale Tenants' Association;
- (January 18, 2000) from Mr. Murray Lowe;
- (January 18, 2000) from Ms. Maureen Fair, Community Response and Advocacy;
- (January 18, 2000) from Mr. Bruce Voogd and Ms. Maggie Cresswell-Weber, Roncesvalles-Macdonell Residents' Association;
- (January 18, 2000) from Mr. Victor Willis, Parkdale Activity–Recreation Centre;
- (Undated) from Mr. Paul Rodgers; and
- (January 18, 2000) from Mr. Bing Tung.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Oudit Raghubir, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Robert B. Levitt, Secretary, Parkdale Tenants' Association;
- Mr. John Stewart, Parkdale Tenants' Association;
- Ms. Margaret Moores, Habitat Services;
- Mr. John Davies, Secretary, Bachelorette Tenants Association;
- Mr. Patrick Bosch, Parkdale Bachelorette Tenants' Association;
- Mr. Victor Willis, Parkdale Activity-Recreation Centre;
- Ms. Nora McCabe, Chair, Joint Neighbourhood Committee;
- Ms. Maureen Fair, Director of Community Response;
- Ms. B. Millar, Roncesvalles/Macdonell Residents' Association;
- Mr. Bart Poesiat, Parkdale Community Legal Services;
- Mr. Mike Coward, Sheriff, Ontario Coalition Against Poverty;
- Mr. Ray Van Eenooghe, Bachelorette Owners' Association;
- Mr. George Vuckovich, Bachelorette Owners' Association;

- Mr. Murray Lowe, Bachelorette Owners' Association;
- Mr. Paul Rodgers, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Kumar Kanagaratnam, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Mel Starkman, Member, Ontario Coalition Against Poverty;
- Ms. Rhoda Landis, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Mark Crowe, Parkdale Village B.I.A.;
- Mr. Walter Jarsky, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. John Colautti, Parkdale Village Residents' Association;
- Ms. Annie Walsh, Houselink Community Homes and Agency for Parkdale Conflict Resolution Process;
- Mr. Bing Tung, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Michael B. Vaughan, Lawyer;
- Mr. Carlton Dailey, Toronto, Ontario;
- Ms. Anna C. Thaker, Parkdale Tenants' Association;
- Mr. Alain Naud, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Allan Griffith, Toronto, Ontario; and
- Mr. Leo Anter, Toronto, Ontario.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) Recommendation Nos. (1) (18) contained in Section 4 of the joint report (October 22, 1999) from the Executive Director/Chief Building Official, South District, Executive Director/Chief Planner, Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards and the General Manager, Shelter Housing and Support Division be adopted; and
- (2) the report (December 22, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services be adopted.

On further motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council also:

- (1) approved in principle the allocation of \$250,000 annually (for two years) to fund the operations of the Parkdale Pilot Project Group; and
- (2) referred the allocation of \$250,000 for the Parkdale Pilot Project Group to the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services, the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Budget Advisory Committee for consideration during preparation of the 2000 Operating Budget.

(Acting commissioner of Urban Development Services; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; Budget Advisory Committee; c.: Executive Director/Chief Building Official, South District; Executive Director/Chief Planner; Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards; General Manager, Shelter, Housing and Support Division; Mr. David McKillop, Manager, Community Planning, South – No Encl. – January 24, 2000)

(Clause No. 27, Report No. 2)

1.43 Revised Preliminary Report On 235 Carlaw Avenue – Rezoning Application No. 199026 To Permit The Conversion Of Four Floors Of An Existing Industrial Building To Live/Work Units (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 23, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services submitting the Revised Preliminary Report for Rezoning Application No. 199026 for No. 235 Carlaw Avenue, and recommending that:

- "(1) I be requested to hold a public meeting in the community to discuss the application and to notify owners and tenants within 120 metres of the site and the Ward Councillors; and
- (2) The owner be advised that, prior to final Council approval of this project, the owner may be required to submit a Noise Impact Statement in accordance with City Council requirements. The owner will be further advised of these requirements, as they relate to this project, by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services."

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing revised preliminary report.

(Commissioner of Urban Development Services – No Encl. – Executive Director and Chief Planner – No Encl. – Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attention: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police – Attention: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons – No Encl. - January 26, 2000)

(Clause No. 60(j), Report No. 2)

1.44 399 Church Street, Application No. 999082: Request For Approval Of Minor Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 3, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services recommending that:

(1) City Council approve Application No. 999082 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit two illuminated fascia signs in the form of letters, for identification purposes, to be maintained one on the

north elevation and one on the west elevation of the building at 399 Church Street, on condition that the fascia sign on the north wall of the building be illuminated only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 11:00 p.m. and this be achieved by an automated timing device.

(2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999082, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 26, Report No. 2)

1.45 220 Bay Street, Application No. 999083: Request For Approval Of A Variance From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report November 25, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 999083 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated fascia sign on the south elevation of the building at 220 Bay Street.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999083, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 26, Report No. 2)

1.46 1606 Queen Street East, Application No. 999097: Request For Approval Of Minor Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (November 25, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, recommending that:

(1) City Council approve Application No. 999097 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit one illuminated fascia sign and one illuminated projecting sign, for identification purposes, on the front

elevation of the building at 1606 Queen Street East, on condition that the projecting sign be illuminated only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 11:00 p.m. and that this be achieved by means of an automatic timing device.

(2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999097, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 26, Report No. 2)

1.47 1651 Queen Street East, Application No. 999086: Request For Approval Of Minor Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (November 25, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services, recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve in part Application No. 999086 for minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit two illuminated fascia signs, for identification purposes, one on the north elevation and one on the south elevation of the building at 1651 Queen Street East, on condition that the proposed fascia sign on the north elevation be illuminated only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m. and this be achieved by an automated timing device.
 - (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999086, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (January 17, 2000) from Ms. Zoe Cunningham, BBM Productions.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (December 7, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services.

(Clause No. 59, Report No. 2)

1.48 5 Rosehill Avenue (1353 Yonge Street), Application No. 999069: Request For Approval Of Minor Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 15, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services, recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 999069 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to maintain at 5 Rosehill Avenue, for identification purposes, nine illuminated fascia signs. Eight fascia signs are facing Yonge Street and one fascia sign is facing Rosehill Avenue.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999069, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (December 15, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services, subject to the applicant contributing \$500.00 per sign to the Midtown Tree Project.

(Clause No. 25, Report No. 2)

1.49 250 Bloor Street East, Application No. 999070: Request For Approval Of Minor Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 20, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services, recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 999070 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to maintain at 250 Bloor Street East, for identification purposes, an existing illuminated Time and Temperature Unit sign located on the top floor of the building facing Bloor Street East.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999070, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report to permit the Ward Councillors to consult with the Asquith-Collier Residents' Association.

(Interested Persons; c.: Councillor John Adams; Councillor Ila Bossons; Commissioner, Urban Development Services; Norm Girdhar, Urban Development Services; William Hawryliw, Legal Services – No Encl. – January 26, 2000)

(Clause No. 60(k), Report No. 2)

1.50 28 Fairlawn Avenue, Application No. 999091: Request For Approval Of Minor Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 20, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services, recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 999091 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit an illuminated ground sign, for identification purposes, on the Fairlawn Avenue frontage at 28 Fairlawn Avenue, on condition that the sign be illuminated only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 10:30 p.m. and that this be achieved by means of an automated timing device.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999091, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 26, Report No. 2)

1.51 2434 Yonge Street, Application No. 999092: Request For Approval Of A Minor Variance From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 3, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 999092 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit four non-illuminated projecting banner signs, for identification purposes, on the front elevation of the building at 2434 Yonge Street.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999092, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 26, Report No. 2)

1.52 2400 Yonge Street, Application No. 999093: Request For Approval Of A Minor Variance From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 1, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 999093 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit an illuminated fascia sign, for identification purposes, on the front elevation of the building at 2400 Yonge Street, on condition that the fascia sign be illuminated only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 11:00 p.m. and this be achieved by an automated timing device.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999093, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (January 17, 2000) from Mr. Philip Pye, Steel Art Signs.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 999093 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit an illuminated fascia sign, for identification purposes, on the front elevation of the building at 2400 Yonge Street, on condition that the fascia sign be illuminated only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 11:00 p.m. (except 7:00 a.m. to midnight, Friday and Saturday) and this be achieved by an automated timing device; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999093, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services.

(Clause No. 36, Report No. 2)

1.53 700 King Street West, Application No. 999072: Request For Approval Of Minor Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 29, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services, recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 999072 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit eight illuminated awning signs, for identification purposes, with four signs on the east elevation and four signs on the south elevation of the building at 700 King Street West.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999072, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 26, Report No. 2)

1.54 Inglewood Drive, From St. Clair Avenue East To Mount Pleasant Road – Installation Of Speed Humps (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 17, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the installation of speed humps on Inglewood Drive from St. Clair Avenue East to Mount Pleasant Road and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

(1) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Inglewood Drive, from St. Clair Avenue East to Mount Pleasant Road, for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to the favourable results of polling of the affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

"The construction of speed humps on INGLEWOOD DRIVE, from St. Clair Avenue East to Mount Pleasant Road, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5508 dated November 1999";

- (2) a speed limit of thirty kilometres per hour be introduced on Inglewood Drive, from St. Clair Avenue East to Mount Pleasant Road, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

(Clause No. 24, Report No. 2)

1.55 Margueretta Street, Between College Street And Bloor Street West – Proposed Installation Of Speed Humps (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 16, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that:

- (1) That approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Margueretta Street, from College Street to Bloor Street West, for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of the affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:
 - "The construction of speed humps on MARGUERETTA STREET, from College Street to Bloor Street West, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing Nos. 421F-5165, 421F-5166, 421F-5167 and 421F-5168, dated March 1998";
- (2) That a speed limit of 30 kilometres per hour be introduced on Margueretta Street, from College Street to Bloor Street West, coinciding with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits; and
- (3) That the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the foregoing report (December 16, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 be adopted; and
- (2) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services conduct an additional poll, allowing for 2-way traffic on Margueretta Street, from College Street to a point near Premises No. 58, to access the public laneway.

(Clause No. 23, Report No. 2)

1.56 Premises No. 51 Glenholme Avenue. Establishment Of An On-Street Loading Zone For Disabled Persons. (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 14, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that:

- (1) an on-street disabled persons loading zone be established on the east side of Glenholme Avenue from a point 41 metres south of Rosemount Avenue to a point 2.4 metres further south; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (December 14, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, with funds to be provided from Interim Appropriations Account No. TPO126.

(Clause No. 22, Report No. 2)

1.57 Swanwick Avenue – Installation Of A "Stop" Sign At Enderby Road And Reduction Of Maximum Speed Limit To 40 Kilometres Per Hour (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 21, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that:

- (1) That a forty kilometres per hour maximum speed limit be introduced on Swanwick Avenue, from Main Street to Norwood Road;
- (2) That a "Stop" sign not be installed for westbound traffic on Swanwick Avenue at Enderby Road; and
- (3) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) a forty kilometres per hour maximum speed limit be introduced on Swanwick Avenue, from Main Street to Norwood Road;
- a "Stop" sign be installed for westbound traffic on Swanwick Avenue at Enderby Road with funds to be provided from Interim Appropriations Account No. TPO126; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction of any Bills that are required.

(Clause No. 21, Report No. 2)

1.58 Pearl Street, From Simcoe Street To Duncan Street -Duncan Street, East Side, From King Street West To Pearl Street - Proposed Sidewalk Widenings (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 17, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending:

- (1) That approval be given to narrow the pavement and widen the south sidewalk on Pearl Street, between Duncan and Simcoe Streets, described as follows:
 - The narrowing of the pavement (and corresponding widening of the south sidewalk) from a width of 7.3 metres to a width varying from 6.1 metres to 7.3 metres on PEARL STREET at various locations, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. SK-2241 dated December 1999;
- (2) That approval be given to narrow the pavement and widen the east sidewalk on Duncan Street, between King Street West and Pearl Street, described as follows:
 - The narrowing of the pavement (and corresponding widening of the east sidewalk) from a width of 11.0 metres to a width of 9.7 metres on DUNCAN STREET, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. SK-2242 dated December 1999;
- (3) That the enactment of By-laws by City Council respecting Recommendation Nos. (1) and (2) above, be contingent on the receipt of funds necessary to cover the incremental costs of the adjustments to the rights of way as described herein;
- (4) That staff be directed to prepare all necessary tender documents and contract drawings to implement the foregoing on receipt of all private funds; and
- (5) That the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 20, Report No. 2)

1.59 Intersection Of Avenue Road And Oxton Avenue And Avenue Road, North Of Oxton Avenue – Proposed Westbound Left Turn Prohibition And Proposed Northbound U-Turn Prohibition (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 23, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending:

- (1) That westbound left turns on Oxton Avenue at Avenue Road be prohibited at anytime;
- (2) That U-turns be prohibited at anytime for northbound traffic on Avenue Road, between Oxton Avenue and Chaplin Crescent; and
- (3) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (December 23, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council also thanked the Works and Emergency Services staff and the contractors, for their efforts during construction of the alteration to the road.

(Clause No. 19, Report No. 2)

1.60 Hillsdale Avenue West, South Side, From Yonge Street To A Point 28 Metres West – Request To Introduce A More Restrictive Parking Regulation. (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 17, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending:

- (1) That the maximum one hour parking limit, from 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., daily, be rescinded on the south side of Hillsdale Avenue West, from Yonge Street to a point 28 metres west;
- (2) That stopping be prohibited on the south side of Hillsdale Avenue West, from 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, from Yonge Street to a point 28 metres west; and
- (3) That the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 18, Report No. 2)

1.61 Guest Avenue - Amendments To The Parking Regulations (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 16, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1 respecting amendments to the parking regulations on Guest Avenue and recommending that:

- (1) The parking prohibition from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., on the east side of Guest Avenue, be rescinded;
- (2) The regulation restricting parking to a maximum period of one hour from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., on the west side of Guest Avenue, be rescinded;
- (3) Parking be prohibited at anytime on the east side of the north/south branch of Guest Avenue, from Danforth Avenue to the south end;
- (4) Parking be prohibited at anytime on the west side of the north/south branch of Guest Avenue, from a point 75 metres south of Danforth Avenue to the south end;
- (5) Parking be prohibited at anytime on the north side of the east/west branch of Guest Avenue, from the north/south of Guest Avenue to Dawes Road;
- (6) Parking be prohibited at anytime on the south side of the east/west branch of Guest Avenue, from the north/south branch of Guest Avenue to a point 9 metres east;
- (7) Parking be restricted to a maximum period of one hour, from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., on the west side of the north/south branch of Guest Avenue, from Danforth Avenue to a point 75 metres south;
- (8) Parking be restricted to a maximum period of one hour, from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., on the south side of the east/west branch of Guest Avenue, from a point 9 metres east of the north/south branch of Guest Avenue to Dawes Road;
- (9) The No Parking Except By Permit, 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., regulation on the west side of Guest Avenue, from the south end to Danforth Avenue, be adjusted to indicate, No Parking Except By Permit, 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., on the west side of the north/south branch of Guest Avenue, from Danforth Avenue to a point 75 metres south;
- (10) Parking be restricted to permit holders only, from, 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., on the south side of the east/west branch of Guest Avenue, from a point 9 metres east of the north/south branch of Guest Avenue to Dawes Road; and
- (11) The appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary steps to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 17, Report No. 2)

1.62 Sorauren Avenue, West Side, From Queen Street West To Saunders Avenue. Request To Implement Parking. (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 15, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the "No Parking Anytime" regulation on the west side of Sorauren Avenue, from Queen Street West to Saunders Avenue, be rescinded;
- parking be prohibited at anytime on the west side of Sorauren Avenue, from Queen Street West to a point 30.5 metres further north; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 16, Report No. 2)

1.63 Installation/Removal On-Street Disabled Persons Spaces (Don River, East Toronto, Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 4, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that the installation/removal of disabled on-street parking spaces as noted in Table "A" of this report be approved.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 15, Report No. 2)

1.64 The Esplanade, From Lower Jarvis Street To Yonge Street And The Intersection Of The Esplanade And Market Street – Implementation Of A Maximum Forty Kilometre Per Hour Speed Limit, Installation Of A Median Island And Provision Of A Designated Left-Turn Lane (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 4, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending:

- (1) That the maximum speed limit on The Esplanade, from Lower Jarvis Street to Yonge Street, be reduced from 50 kilometres per hour to 40 kilometres per;
- (2) That approval be given to narrow the pavement on the eastbound approach of The Esplanade, west of Market Street as described as follows:
 - "The narrowing of the pavement from a width of 9.75 metres to a width ranging from 7.75 metres to 9.75 metres on The Esplanade, between a point 5.0 metres west of Market Street and a point 24.0 metres further west, by the installation of a median island generally as indicated on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5496 dated October 1999";
- (3) That an exclusive left-turn lane for westbound traffic be designated on The Esplanade, from Market Street to a point 39.0 metres east of Market Street, as indicated on attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5496 dated October 1999; and
- (4) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 14, Report No. 2)

1.65 800 Fleet Street – Plan For Parkland And Re-Integration With The Rest Of The Fort York And Garrison Common Heritage Conservation District (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 24, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting the plan for parkland at No. 800 Fleet Street and reintegration with the rest of the Fort York and Garrison Common Heritage Conservation District, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council re-affirm its intent to develop the City-owned land at the north-east corner of Fleet Street and Strachan Avenue, known municipally as 800 Fleet Street, as parkland consistent with existing City policy as expressed in the Bathurst/Strachan Part II Official Plan, the Zoning By-law and its designation as a Heritage Conservation District;
- (2) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, in consultation with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and Heritage Toronto be

requested to prepare plans and cost estimates by September 2000 for the transformation of 800 Fleet Street from a parking lot to a park;

- (3) Heritage Toronto, in consultation with the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, be requested to report further on the incorporation of additional City-owned lands into the Fort York and Garrison Common Heritage Conservation District when land north of Fort York is acquired from Canadian National Railways; and
- (4) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, in consultation with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, Heritage Toronto and the Friends of Fort York be requested to report on an Open Space Master Plan for the Fort York area.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 13, Report No. 2)

1.66 Triller Avenue, From Queen Street West To King Street West, Reduction Of The Maximum Speed Limit From 50 Km/H To 40 Km/H (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 14, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the speed limit on Triller Avenue, between Queen Street West and King Street West be reduced from 50 km/h to 40 km/h; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 12, Report No. 2)

1.67 Golden Avenue And Silver Avenue, Morrow Avenue And Silver Avenue. Installation Of "Stop" Sign Control; Andgolden Avenue, From Dundas Street West To Its Terminus; Morrow Avenue, Between Dundas Street West And Its Terminus; And Silver Avenue, Between Golden Avenue And Morrow Avenue, Reduction Of The Maximum Speed Limit From 50 Km/H To 40 Km/H (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 14, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) a "Stop" sign be installed for westbound traffic on Silver Avenue at its intersection with Golden Avenue;
- (2) a "Stop" sign be installed for eastbound traffic on Silver Avenue at its intersection with Morrow Avenue:
- (3) the speed limit on Golden Avenue, between Dundas Street West and its terminus, on Morrow Avenue, between Dundas Street West and its terminus and on Silver Avenue between Golden Avenue and Morrow Avenue be reduced from 50 km/h to 40 km/h; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the foregoing report (December 14, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 be adopted; and
- one-hour parking, from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, be instituted on Golden Avenue, between Dundas Street West and its terminus.

(Clause No. 11, Report No. 2)

1.68 Naming Of Private Lane - Charlesworth Lane (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 17, 1999) from the City Surveyor, Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) the private lane at the residential development at 15, 35 and 65 Shaftsbury Avenue, illustrated on "Attachment No. 1", be named "Charlesworth Lane."
- (2) Victor J. Heinrichs Architect be required to pay the costs, estimated to be in the amount of \$600.00, for the fabrication and installation of the appropriate signage; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 10, Report No. 2)

1.69 Ontario Municipal Board Decision - 324 Cherry Street And 429 Lake Shore Boulevard East (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (November 24, 1999) from the City Solicitor respecting the Ontario Municipal Board decision for Nos. 324 Cherry Street and 429 Lake Shore Boulevard East and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Interested Persons; c.: City Solicitor, Legal Services; Mark Kemerer, Solicitor, Planning and Administrative Tribunal Law – No Encl. – January 26, 2000)

(Clause No. 60(l), Report No. 2)

1.70 Queen Street East, South Side, From Coxwell Avenue To Nursewood Road - Extension Of The Operational Period Of The Afternoon Rush Hour Stopping Prohibition (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 17, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, Works and Emergency Services, recommending that:

- (1) the stopping prohibition from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday on the south side of Queen Street East, be rescinded:
 - (a) from Jarvis Street to Eastern Avenue;
 - (b) from Kingston Road to Nursewood Road; and
 - (c) from Kingston Road to a point 200 metres west thereof;
- (2) stopping be prohibited from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., except Saturday, Sunday and Public Holidays on the south side of Queen Street East, from Jarvis Street to Coxwell Avenue;
- (3) stopping be prohibited from 4:00 p.m. to 6:30 p.m., except Saturday, Sunday and Public Holidays, on the south side of Queen Street East, from Coxwell Avenue to Nursewood Road:

- (4) the hours of operation of the Pay-&-Display parking machines on the south side of Queen Street East from Coxwell Avenue to a point 200 metres west of Kingston Road and from Kingston Road to Woodbine Avenue, be adjusted to operate at a rate of \$1.25 per hour from:
 - (a) 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, for a maximum period of two hours:
 - (b) 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Saturday and Sunday, for a maximum period of two hours:
 - (c) 6:30 p.m. to 12:00 a.m., Monday to Friday, for a maximum period of three hours; and
 - (d) 6:00 p.m. to 12:00 a.m. Saturday and Sunday for a maximum period of three hours; and
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Bruce Fair, Lion on the Beach; and
- Mr. William Gallos, 7th Wave Bistro.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report sine die.

The above recommendation carried initially on the following division of votes:

Yeas: Councillors McConnell, Bossons, Bussin, Chow, Johnston, Miller, Rae and Walker – 8

A motion to reopen the question, placed by Councillor Jakobek, carried on the following division of votes:

Yeas: Councillors McConnell, Bussin, Chow, Jakobek, Johnston, Miller, Rae and Walker –

Nays: Councillor Bossons - 1

The above recommendation again carried on the following division of votes:

Yeas: Councillors McConnell, Bussin, Bossons, Chow, Miller, Rae and Walker – 8

Nays: Councillor Jakobek - 1

A motion by Councillor Jakobek, to adopt the report (September 17, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, was placed but not voted on.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Bob Runnings, Works and Emergency Services; Director, Transportation Services, District 1; Interested Persons – No Encl. – January 26, 2000)

(Clause No. 60(m), Report No. 2)

1.71 Designation Of 395 Brunswick Avenue - William Thompson House (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 17, 1999) from the City Clerk recommending that Council authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary Bill in Council to designate 395 Brunswick Avenue for architectural and historical reasons under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (January 17, 2000) from Mr. Jeffrey L. Davies, Davies Howe Partners, with respect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Adam, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 9, Report No. 2)

1.72 Designation Of 397 And 399 Brunswick Avenue - William And Robert Smith Houses (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 17, 1999) from the City Clerk recommending that Council authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary Bill in Council to designate 397 and 399 Brunswick Avenue for architectural and historical reasons under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 8, Report No. 2)

1.73 117-147 Merton Street And 195-253 Merton Street – Limiting Distance Restriction Affecting Beltline Park (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 28, 1999) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, recommending that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be authorized to issue letters to each of the owners of 117-147 Merton Street and 195-253 Merton Street advising that the City of Toronto has no objection to the respective owners utilizing lands within the abutting Beltline Park for the purposes of calculating the limiting distance from the respective owner's south building wall as required by the Ontario Building Code, Section 3.2.3.1; conditional upon:
 - (i) the respective owners satisfying any requirements of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, including the issuance of an Occupation Permit respecting occupation of any portion of the abutting parklands, including the provision of satisfactory financial security in respect of restoration of the park following construction;
 - (ii) the respective owners securing any necessary approvals from the City and Council with respect to potential damage and removal of trees in Beltline Park affected by the construction and maintenance of 117-147 Merton Street and 195-253 Merton Street, including the provision of compensation satisfactory to the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism for the loss or damage to any plant material in Beltline Park as a result of these activities; and
 - (iii) the respective owners ensuring that the maintenance and operation of the buildings, once completed, will not, in anyway, impact or encroach onto the park;
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to prepare and enter into an agreement respecting the Limiting Distance provisions of the Ontario Building Code Act as described in this report.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 7, Report No. 2)

1.74 Renaming Of Edgewood Park To Orchard Park (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 28, 1999) from the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture & Tourism, recommending that the park currently known as Edgewood Park at Dundas Street East and east of Coxwell Avenue be renamed Orchard Park.

The Toronto Community Council recommended that:

On motion by Councillor Bussin:

(1) the foregoing report (December 28, 1999) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be adopted; and

On motion by Councillor Jakobek:

(2) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism expedite the redevelopment of the park so that spring construction can occur.

(Clause No. 6, Report No. 2)

1.75 Request For Exemption – Front Yard Parking By-Law – Tree Planting – 13 Herbert Avenue (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 6, 1999) from Councillor Jakobek requesting an exemption from planting a tree at No. 13 Herbert Avenue as part of the Front Yard Parking application.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the requirement to plant a tree or paying a fee for the planting of a tree with respect to the application for Front Yard Parking at 13 Herbert Street be waived.

On a further motion by Councillor Jakobek, t#he Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with appropriate officials, to report to the appropriate committee on fees charged to senior citizens over 65 and on fixed income, for such matters as Encroachment Agreements for repair or restoration of walkways or retaining walls, Front Yard Parking and Permit Parking, and any other such matters.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Acting commissioner of Urban Development Services – No Encl. – January 25, 2000)

(Clause No. 5, Report No. 2)

1.76 Request For Formal Poll - BIA On St. Clair Avenue West Between Westmount Avenue And Glenholme Avenue (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 2, 1999) from Councillor Disero requesting that City Council instruct staff to conduct a poll on St. Clair Avenue West, between Westmount Avenue and Glenholme Avenue, for purposes of establishing a Business Improvement Association.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council forwarded the following communication to the Economic Development and Parks Committee, for consideration at its meeting to be held on February 14, 2000.

(Economic Development and Parks Committee; c.: Councillor Betty Disero; Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism – No Encl. – January 26, 2000)

(Clause No. 60(n), Report No. 2)

1.77 Request For Endorsement Of Events For Liquor Licensing Purposes

The Toronto Community Council had before it the following communications requesting endorsement of events for liquor licensing purposes:

- (December 23, 1999) from Mr. Greg Cosway, President, The Cottage Creek Corporation respecting Toronto's Festival of Beer 2000 August 11, 12 and 13, 2000.
- (January 17, 2000) from Mr. Anthony Byrne, Scruffy Murphy's Irish Pub

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council:

- (1) declare Toronto's Festival of Beer to be held in Historic Fort York on August 11, 12 and 13, 2000 an event of municipal and/or community significance and advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it has no objection to its taking place; and
- (2) advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it is aware of the event to be held at Scruffy Murphy's Irish Pub, 150 Eglinton Avenue East on March 17, 2000, and has no objection to it taking place; nor to an extension of the liquor licence at the rear of 150 Eglinton Avenue East to accommodate the projected volume of people for the event.

(Clause No. 4, Report No. 2)

1.78 Innis Avenue Footbridge – Responsibility For Lighting (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 5, 2000) from the City Solicitor respecting responsibility for the lighting of the Innes Avenue Footbridge and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(City Solicitor; c.: Lorraine Searles-Kelly, Legal Services – No Encl. – January 26, 2000)

(Clause No. 60(o), Report No. 2)

1.79 80 St. Clair Avenue East – By-Law No. 1996-0430 Of The Former City Of Toronto (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (January 7, 2000) from Councillor Adams respecting No. 80 St. Clair Avenue East.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council requested the City Solicitor to report to the Toronto Community Council on a by-law to renew By-law No. 1996-0430 of the former City of Toronto respecting 80 St. Clair Avenue East.

(City Solicitor; c.: Councillor Adams; Mr. Frederick Eisen, Eisen Corporation, 1100 Eglinton Avenue East, Toronto, M3C 1H8; Interested Persons – No Encl. – January 26, 2000)

(Clause No. 60(p), Report No. 2)

1.80 Permit Parking On Sudbury Street Between King Street West And Dovercourt Road (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (January 10, 2000) from Councillor Pantalone respecting permit parking on Sudbury Street between King Street West and Dovercourt Road.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Toronto Community Council, at its meeting to be held on February 15, 2000 on instituting permit parking on Sudbury Street between King Street West and Dovercourt Road.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services – No Encl. – January 26, 2000)

(Clause No. 60(q), Report No. 2)

1.81 Harbourfront Community Centre Board Of Management

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (January 4, 2000) from the Executive Director, Harbourfront Community Centre submitting nominations for appointments to the Harbourfront Community Centre Board of Management.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended that Girma Alemayehu, Corrine McPherson, Anisa Lancione and Pattie Nwe be appointed to the Board of Management of the Harbourfront Community Centre to replace Patricia Finley, Harry

Sifton, Alfred Rasmussen and Sylvia Pellman, until November 30, 2000, on an interim basis, at the pleasure of Council, and until their successors are appointed.

(Clause No. 3, Report No. 2)

1.82 Residential Boulevard Parking – 35 Markham Street (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (December 21, 1999) from Councillor Pantalone respecting existing residential boulevard parking at No. 35 Markham Street.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Toronto Community Council, at its meeting to be held on February 15, 2000, on the existing residential boulevard parking at 35 Markham Street.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Mr. Peter Costa, 35 Markham Street, Toronto, M6J 2G3 – No Encl. – January 26, 2000)

(Clause No. 60(r), Report No. 2)

1.83 Lane Designations – Queen Street East At Eastern Avenue/Kingston Road (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 16, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the northerly eastbound lane on Queen Street East be designated for left-turning movements only from a point 60 metres west of the west curb line of Eastern Avenue to the west curb line of Eastern Avenue;
- (2) the southerly westbound lane on Queen Street East be designated for left-turning movements only from the east curb line of Kingston Road to a point 150 metres east thereof; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 2, Report No. 2)

1.84 Pho Hung Restaurant And Market, 350 Spadina Avenue (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (January 11, 2000) from Councillor Disero respecting the Pho Hung Restraurant and Market at 350 Spadina Avenue

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Toronto Community Council at its meeting to be held on February 15, 2000, as a deputation matter, on Pho Hung Restaurant and Market, 350 Spadina Avenue.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Councillor Disero; Councillor Chow; Steve Ellis, Barrister & Solicitor, 377 Spadina Avenue, Toronto, M5T 2G3; Dac Toi Nguyen, Pho Hung Restaurant and Market, 350 Spadina Avenue, Toronto, Ontario – No Encl. – January 26, 2000)

(Clause No. 60(s), Report No. 2)

1.85 866 Avenue Road: Request For Approval Of Minor Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 10, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 999081 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit ten illuminated signs, for identification purposes, four fascia signs, two canopy signs, a red stripe on the north, east and south side of the weather canopy and one ground sign for the gas bar and retail convenience store to be located at 866 Avenue Road, on condition that the signs be illuminated only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 11:00 p.m. and this be achieved by an automated timing device.
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999081, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on February 15, 2000.

(Interested Persons; c.: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Norm Girdhar, Urban Development Services; William Hawryliw, Legal Services – No Encl. – January 26, 2000)

(Clause No. 60(t), Report No. 2)

1.86 OMB Appeal - 415 Jarvis Street

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (undated) from Councillor Rae respecting the Ontario Municipal Board appeal from the Committee of Adjustment decision for No. 415 Jarvis Street.

The Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the following motion from Councillor Rae:

"WHEREAS the Committee of Adjustment on December 14, 1999 granted, in part, the variances for the construction of townhouses at 415 Jarvis Street; and

WHEREAS the Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services is currently preparing the Site Plan approval for this application; and

WHEREAS the application has been appealed to the Ontario Municipal Board;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Solicitor and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be instructed to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing in defense of the Committee of Adjustment decision respecting 415 Jarvis Street.

(Clause No. 1, Report No. 2)

The Committee adjourned its meeting on January 18, 2000 at 7:00 p.m.

Chair.

Attendance

January 18,	9:30 a.m	11:00 a.m	2:00 p.m	Quorum Call –
2000	10:50 a.m.	12:45 p.m.	7:00 p.m.	3:45 p.m.
McConnell	X	X	X	X
(Chair)				
Adams	X	X	X	
Bossons	X	X	X	X
Bussin	X	X	X	X
Chow		X	X	X
Disero	X			
Fotinos				
Jakobek	X		X	
Johnston	X	X	X	X
Korwin-	X	X	X	
Kuczynski				
Layton	X	X	X	
Miller	X	X	X	X
Pantalone	X			
Rae	X	X	X	X
Silva	X	X	X	
Walker	X	X	X	X
Mayor Lastman				

^{*}Members were present for some or all of the time indicated.