

THE CITY OF TORONTO

Clerk's Department

Minutes of the Toronto Community Council

Meeting No. 2

Tuesday, February 15, 2000

The Toronto Community Council met on Tuesday, February 15, 2000, in the Council Chambers, City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:30 a.m.

2.1 Appeal Of Denial Of Application For Commercial Boulevard Parking - 112 Berkeley Street (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (November 18, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City Council deny the application for commercial boulevard parking fronting 112 Berkeley Street and that physical barriers be installed to prevent any unauthorized boulevard parking.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (Undated) from Ms. Katherine Van de Mark.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on March 23, 2000.

(Letter sent to: Ms. Katherine Van de Mark and Ms. Carolyn Palazzo, Landmark Video Communications, 112 Berkeley Street, Toronto, Ontario, M5A 2W7; c: Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1; Ms. Fani Lauzon, Works and Emergency Services – February 18, 2000)

(Clause No. 51(a), Report No. 4)

2.2 Appeal Of Denial Of Application For A Boulevard Cafe - 1150 Queen Street West, Beaconsfield Avenue Flank (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (November 16, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City Council deny the application for a boulevard cafe on the Beaconsfield Avenue flank of 1150 Queen Street West; or City Council approve the application for a boulevard cafe on the Beaconsfield Avenue flank of 1150 Queen Street

West, notwithstanding the negative result of the public poll, and that such approval be subject to the applicant complying with the criteria set out in § 313-36 of Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications/report:

- (November 24, 1999) from Shirley Dobbin and Mary Dobbin;
- (Undated) Petition with 77 signatures in opposition;
- (November 10, 1999) from Ms. Maggie Lyons;
- (January 25, 2000) from Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism;
- (February 13, 2000) from Ms. Mai and Mr. Mike Stanek;
- (February 13, 2000) from Ms. Mai and Mr. Mike Stanek;
- (February 13, 2000) from Ms. Maureen McMath;
- (February 10, 2000) from Mr. Bob Dann, forwarding a petition signed by 9 persons;
- petition (undated) signed by 10 persons; and
- (February 14, 2000) from Ms. Maggie Lyons.

Mr. Andrey Kaminski, Stardust Restaurant, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council deny the application for a boulevard cafe on the Beaconsfield Avenue flank of 1150 Queen Street West.

(Clause No. 50, Report No. 4)

2.3 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 400 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code To Permit Front Yard Parking At 15 Boswell Avenue (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 24, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for front yard parking at 15 Boswell Avenue;
OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 15 Boswell Avenue, subject to:
 - (a) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved and equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
 - (b) the applicant removing the paving within 1.6 m of the existing City owned tree;
 - (c) a formal poll being conducted and that such poll have a favourable result;
and
 - (d) the applicant paying all applicable fees set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

Ms. Sandra Friedman, Toronto, Ontario appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 15 Boswell Avenue, subject to:

- (a) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved and equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
- (b) the applicant removing the paving within 1.6 m of the existing City owned tree;
- (c) a formal poll being conducted and that such poll have a favourable result; and
- (d) the applicant paying all applicable fees set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Clause No. 49, Report No. 4)

2.4 83 Bloor Street West, Application No. 999058: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, February 15, 2000

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 21, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that City Council refuse Application No. 999058 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit the replacement of existing faces of two non-conforming illuminated roof signs at 83 Bloor Street West with new electronic message display copy.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (January 17, 2000) from Mr. James L. Robinson, Bloor-Yorkville B.I.A.;
- (January 11, 2000) from Ms. Diane Lea Coutts, ABC Residents' Association; and
- (February 10, 2000) from Ms. Barbara J. Kovacs, Vice President, Tiffany & Co.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Shirley Morris, Toronto, Ontario;
- Ms. Diane Dyer, Toronto, Ontario; and
- Mr. Blair Murdoch, Mediacom.

The Toronto Community Council:

- (1) was advised that Application No. 999058 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit the replacement of existing faces of two non-conforming illuminated roof signs at 83 Bloor Street West with new electronic message display copy was withdrawn; and

On motion by Councillor Chow:

- (2) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report to the Toronto Community Council on the history of the existing two non-conforming illuminated roof signs located at 83 Bloor Street West.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Mr. Norm Girdhar, Urban Development Services – February 18, 2000)

(Clause No. 51 (b), Report No. 4)

2.5 300 Front Street West, Application No. 999059: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (November 19, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that City Council refuse Application No. 999059 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to replace both faces of an existing non-conforming illuminated ground sign at 300 Front Street West, with new electronic message display copy.

Mr. Blair Murdoch, Mediacom, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council refuse Application No. 999059 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to replace both faces of an existing non-conforming illuminated ground sign at 300 Front Street West, with new electronic message display copy.

(Clause No. 48, Report No. 4)

2.6 819 Yonge Street, Application No. 999074: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 21, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that City Council refuse Application No. 999074 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to maintain, for third party advertising, an illuminated fascia sign on the north wall of the building at 819 Yonge Street.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (January 17, 2000) from Mr. James L. Robinson, Bloor-Yorkville B.I.A.; and
- (January 11, 2000) from Ms. Diane Lea Coutts, ABC Residents' Association.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Shirley Morris, Toronto, Ontario;
- Ms. Diane Dyer; and
- Mr. Blair Murdoch, Mediacom.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council refuse Application No. 999074 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to maintain, for third party

advertising, an illuminated fascia sign on the north wall of the building at 819 Yonge Street.

On further motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report to the Toronto Community Council on:

- (1) eliminating such signs as the one presently located at 819 Yonge Street; and
- (2) regulating the intensity of light emitted from illuminated signs.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Mr. Norm Girdhar, Urban Development Services – February 18, 2000)

(Clause No. 47, Report No. 4)

2.7 48 Glen Road - Removal Of One City Owned Tree, And One Private Tree, And Injury To One Private Tree (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 4, 1999) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism recommending approval of the request for the removal of one City owned tree, and one private tree, and injury to one private tree at 48 Glen Road and such approval be conditional on:

- a) the trees in question not being removed or injured until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under the building permit application for the subject project commence which warrant the destruction of the trees; and
- b) the implementation of the recommendations in the tree preservation report, for the privately owned sugar maple, prepared by Arborvalley Urban Forestry Co. Inc., dated March 15, 1999; and
- c) the applicant submitting a certified cheque in the amount of \$1245.43 to cover the value of the City owned tree, its removal and replacement costs, and the applicant agreeing to the planting of a replacement tree to be planted on City property upon completion of construction; and
- d) the applicant agreeing to plant a large growing shade tree, to replace the privately owned black locust, to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (January 17, 2000) from Mr. Tom Spragge, Spragge Company Architects; and

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, February 15, 2000

- (February 9, 2000) from Ms. Karen Whitehorn and Mr. E. Fortune.

Mr. Tom Spragge, Spragge & Company Architects, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the request for the removal of one private tree and injury to one private tree at 48 Glen Road, conditional on:

- (a) the trees in question not being removed or injured until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under the building permit application for the subject project commence which warrant the destruction of the trees;
- (b) the implementation of the recommendations in the tree preservation report, for the privately owned sugar maple, prepared by Arborvalley Urban Forestry Co. Inc., dated March 15, 1999;
- (c) the applicant submitting a certified cheque in the amount of \$1245.43 to cover the encroachment on the City owned tree, and the applicant agreeing to the planting of a replacement tree to be planted on City property upon completion of construction;
- (d) the applicant agreeing to plant a large growing shade tree, to replace the privately owned black locust, to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism;
- (e) the owner providing, subject to the City Arborist's advice on feasibility, a tree to be planted at the owner's expense on the property between the sugar maple tree and Glen Road, or if this is not possible, the owner making a contribution of \$500.00 to the Midtown Tree Project; and
- (f) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved and equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services.

(Clause No. 46, Report No. 4)

2.8 Draft Official Plan Amendment Respecting 145 Queen Street West (Canadian Opera House Corporation) (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 28, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services forwarding the Final Report on

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, February 15, 2000

Application No. 299004 for an Official Plan amendment to permit the construction of an Opera House at 145 Queen Street West.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act. The public meeting was held on February 15, 2000, and the following addressed the Toronto Community Council:

- Ms. Marilyn Sopper, Hilton Hotel Toronto; and
- Ms. Kevin Garland, Executive Director, Canadian Opera House Corporation.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the recommendations contained in the report (January 28, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services be amended to add further emphasis about the unique circumstances of securing the Opera House facility and that the use of the density transfer policy is a "one-off" situation to realize the benefits of the Opera House, which is a non-profit corporation;
- (2) the report (January 28, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services, as amended by Recommendation No. (1), be adopted;
- (3) the City Solicitor be authorized to introduce the necessary Bills in Council to give effect to Recommendation Nos. (1) and (2); and
- (4) appropriate officials obtain the necessary agreements and design to achieve the eventual extension of the PATH System (at the City's discretion) to the Hilton and Sheraton Hotel sites and to the subway.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Toronto Community Council also requested:

- (1) the General Manager of the Toronto Transit Commission and representatives of the Canadian Opera Company to meet to review the feasibility of establishing a link to the Osgoode Subway Station, including making the link accessible; and
- (2) its Chair to obtain the report of the Mayor's Task Force on Agencies, Boards and Commissions, and its schedule of meetings, public or otherwise, and to place it on the agenda of the Toronto Community Council meeting to be held on March 23, 2000.

(Letter sent to: Councillor McConnell, Chair, Toronto Community Council; c: Mr. Rick Ducharme, Chief General Manager, Toronto Transit Commission, 1900 Yonge Street, Toronto, M4S 1Z2; Ms. Kevin Garland, Executive Director, Canadian Opera House Corporation, 227 Front Street East, Toronto, M5A 1E8; Commissioner of Urban Development Services; City Solicitor; Rollin Stanley, Urban Development Services – February 18, 2000)

(Clause No. 45, Report No. 4)

2.9 Draft By-Law Respecting Signs – 10 Dundas Street East (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 8, 2000) from the City Solicitor submitting a draft by-law to permit signage at No. 10 Dundas Street East.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (January 26, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting the request for approval of Site Specific Amendment to Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit signage at 10 Dundas Street East.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the Municipal Act. The public meeting was held on February 15, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the Draft By-law attached to the report (February 8, 2000) of the City Solicitor be approved and that authority be granted to introduce the necessary Bill in Council to give effect thereto; and
- (2) the recommendation of the report (January 26, 2000) of the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services be adopted.

(Clause No. 44, Report No. 4)

2.10 Draft Zoning By-Law Amendment Respecting Lands Within And Abutting The Vale Of Avoca Ravine (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 4, 2000) from the City Solicitor submitting a draft Zoning By-law amendment respecting lands within and abutting the Vale of Avoca Ravine.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (January 28, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting the rezoning of the lands within and abutting the Vale of Avoca Ravine and currently covered by Interim Control By-law No. 44-1998 as amended by By-law No. 88-1999.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act. The public meeting was held on February 15, 2000, and Mr. Ira Kagan, Kagan, Zucker, addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the Draft By-law attached to the report (February 4, 2000) of the City Solicitor be approved and that authority be granted to introduce the necessary Bill in Council to give effect thereto; and
- (2) the recommendations in the report (January 28, 2000) of the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services be adopted.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council also requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with appropriate officials, to report to the Toronto Community Council on a study of the natural area immediately south of the CP railway line, west of Mount Pleasant Road and south to Roxborough Avenue East.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; c: Mr. William Hawryliw, Legal Services; Mr. Angus Cranston, Urban Development Services – February 18, 2000)

(Clause No. 43, Report No. 4)

2.11 Drain Grant Appeal For 31 Glen Stewart Avenue (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 31, 2000) from the Director of Districts 1 and 2 Water and Wastewater Operations recommending that the drain grant appeal for No. 31 Glen Stewart Avenue be denied.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the appeal for a drain claim grant for 31 Glen Stewart Avenue be denied.

(Clause No. 42, Report No. 4)

2.12 Drain Grant Appeal For 59 Gainsborough Road (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 31, 2000) from the Director of Districts 1 and 2 Water and Wastewater Operations recommending that the drain grant appeal for No. 59 Gainsborough Road be denied.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the appeal for a drain claim grant in the amount of \$850.65 for 59 Gainsborough Road be allowed, funds to be provided from the Water and Wastewater Services Division's 2000 Preliminary Operating Budget.

(Clause No. 41, Report No. 4)

2.13 Request For Removal Of One City-Owned And One Private Tree At 19 Ridgewood Road (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 25, 2000) from the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the request for removal of one City-owned tree and one private tree at No. 19 Ridgewood Road, and recommending that:

- (1) the request for removal of this City-owned tree be denied; and
- (2) a permit to remove the private tree be denied; or
- (3) City Council issue a permit for tree removal conditional on the applicant agreeing to plant replacement trees on the property to the satisfaction of the City Forester.

Mr. Aaron Fox, Janet Rosenberg & Associates Landscape Architects, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the request for removal of the City-owned tree at 19 Ridgewood Road be denied; and
- (2) a permit to remove the private tree at 19 Ridgewood Road be denied.

(Clause No. 40, Report No. 4)

2.14 Drain Grant Appeal For 251 Bingham Avenue (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 31, 2000) from the Director of Districts 1 and 2 Water and Wastewater Operations recommending that the drain grant appeal for No. 251 Bingham Avenue be denied.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the appeal for a drain claim grant for 251 Bingham Avenue be denied.

(Clause No. 39, Report No. 4)

2.15 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 313 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code To Permit Residential Boulevard Parking At 22 Norman Avenue (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 31, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for residential boulevard parking at 22 Norman Avenue; OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for residential boulevard parking at 22 Norman Avenue, and such approval be subject to the applicant complying with the criteria set out in Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, including a favourable poll.

Mr. Adelino Da Silva, Toronto, Ontario, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the application for residential boulevard parking at 22 Norman Avenue, subject to the applicant complying with the criteria set out in Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, including a favourable poll.

(Clause No. 38, Report No. 4)

2.16 Drain Grant Appeal For 69 Woodrow Avenue (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 31, 2000) from the Director of Districts 1 and 2 Water and Wastewater Operations recommending that the drain grant appeal for No. 69 Woodrow Avenue be denied.

Ms. Jacqueline Campbell, Toronto, Ontario, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the appeal for a drain claim grant in the amount of \$1,568.00 for 69 Woodrow Avenue be allowed, funds to be provided from the Water and Wastewater Services Division's 2000 Preliminary Operating Budget.

(Clause No. 37, Report No. 4)

2.17 Draft By-Law To Stop Up And Close A Portion Of The Unopened Road Allowance North Of Roxborough Street East Extending North-Westerly From Mount Pleasant Road And To Authorize The Sale Thereof (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law respecting to stop up and close a portion of the unopened road allowance north of Roxborough Street East extending north-westerly from Mount Pleasant Road and to authorize the sale thereof (Midtown), and Clause 11 of Report No. 20 of the City Services Committee of the former City of Toronto, titled, "Proposed Closing of Unopened Road Allowance – Mount Pleasant Road, north of Roxborough Street East", which was adopted by the City Council of the former City of Toronto at its meeting held on November 29, 1989.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on December 27, 1999, January 3, January 10 and January 17, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council on January 18, 2000 nor on February 15, 2000.

The Toronto Community Council:

On motion by Councillor Adams

- (1) adjourned the Public Hearing held pursuant to the provisions of the Municipal Act, to be reconvened on June 20, 2000; and

On motion by Councillor Pantalone

- (2) requested the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism to report at that time on whether this land can be incorporated, in whole or in part, as part of the park.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism c: City Solicitor; Commissioner of Urban Development Services; The Toronto Region Conservation Authority; South Rosedale Ratepayers' Association – February 18, 2000)

(Clause No. 51(c), Report No. 4)

2.18 Drain Grant Appeal For 28 Silver Birch Avenue (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 31, 2000) from the Director of Districts 1 and 2 Water and Wastewater Operations recommending that the drain grant appeal for No. 28 Silverbirch Avenue be denied.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (February 15, 2000) from Mr. John Cole.

Mr. John Cole, Toronto, Ontario, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the appeal for a drain claim grant in the amount of \$3,943.99 for 28 Silver Birch Avenue be allowed, funds to be provided from the Water and Wastewater Services Division's 2000 Preliminary Operating Budget.

(Clause No. 36, Report No. 4)

2.19 267 College Street, Application No. 999098: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 11, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that City Council refuse Application No. 999098 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for third party advertising, an illuminated two face roof sign to be located on top of a two-storey commercial building at 267 College Street.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (February 11, 2000) from Mr. Thomas Smith, Real Estate Representative, Mediacom.

Mr. Martin Zimmerman, Kensington Market Businessmen's Association, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report sine die.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Mr. Norm Girdhar, Urban Development Services – February 18, 2000)

(Clause No. 51 (d), Report No. 4)

2.20 Drain Grant Appeal For 103 Alton Avenue (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 31, 2000) from the Director of Districts 1 and 2 Water and Wastewater Operations recommending that the drain grant appeal for No. 103 Alton Avenue be denied.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the appeal for a drain claim grant in the amount of \$1,498.00 for 103 Alton Avenue be allowed, funds to be provided from the Water and Wastewater Services Division's 2000 Preliminary Operating Budget.

(Clause No. 35, Report No. 4)

2.21 Pho Hung Restaurant And Market, 350 Spadina Avenue (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (January 11, 2000) from Councillor Disero respecting the Pho Hung Restaurant and Market at No. 350 Spadina Avenue.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following:

- report (February 4, 2000) from Director, Transportation Services, District 1
- Clause 57 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 12 titled, "Unauthorized Temporary Marketing Enclosure – St. Andrew Street Flankage of 350 Spadina Avenue", which was adopted, without amendment, by City Council at its meeting held on October 28, 29 and 30, 1998.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the following matter until its meeting to be held on March 23, 2000 and requested:

- (1) the Commissioner of Corporate Services to report at on the annual rental fee for the canopy housing if the City chose to lease the land to the owner of Pho Hung Restaurant Ltd.; and
- (2) the City Solicitor and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to identify additional conditions that would ensure the enclosure is aesthetically pleasing and any other conditions they may deem necessary in the interest of the City.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c: Commissioner of Corporate Services; City Solicitor; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services – February 18, 2000)

(Clause No. 51(e), Report No. 4)

2.22 Drain Grant Appeal For 28 Morton Road (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 31, 2000) from the Director of Districts 1 and 2 Water and Wastewater Operations respecting that the drain grant appeal for No. 28 Morton Road.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council adjourned its public session to meet in camera to discuss this matter, having regard that the subject matter

relates to personal matters about an identifiable individual, including municipal a local board employee.

On a further motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the appeal for a drain claim grant in the amount of \$283.30 for 28 Morton Road be allowed, funds to be provided from the Water and Wastewater Services Division's 2000 Preliminary Operating Budget, and further that, in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining to this Clause be held in camera, having regard that the subject matter relates to personal matters about an identifiable individual, including municipal or local board employees.

(Clause No. 34, Report No. 4)

2.23 Sale of A Vacant Parcel Of City-Owned Property – 40R Wells Street (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 31, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner of Corporate Services recommending that:

- (1) the Offers to Purchase submitted by the adjoining property owners of 163, 165, 167, 169, 171 and 175 Howland Street and 466\$ Brunswick Avenue to purchase the City-owned property known municipally as 40R Wells Street, in the total amount of \$81,156.94, be accepted on the terms outlined in the body of this report;
- (2) the City Solicitor be authorized to complete the transactions on behalf of the City, including payment of any necessary expenses and amending the closing date to such earlier or later date as he considers reasonable; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 33, Report No. 4)

2.24 Preliminary Report On Application No. 199039 - Official Plan And Re-Zoning Application For 450 And 500 Lake Shore Boulevard West And 2 And 20 Housey Street And 511 Bremner Boulevard (Nelson Mandela Boulevard) To Amend Built Form And Use Provisions For Lands In The Bathurst/Strachan And Railway Lands West Areas By Wittington Properties (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 17, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner, Urban Development Services, recommending that:

- “(1) staff of Urban Development Services meet further with the applicant to finalize the proposed changes in height and building massing and increased flexibility for non-residential uses in the Railway Lands West;
- (2) the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to hold a public meeting in the community to discuss the application and to notify owners and residents within 300 metres of the site and the Ward Councillors when more detailed proposals are available;
- (3) the applicant be required to submit the following information in support of the application:
- Bathurst/Strachan Planning Area
- Traffic Impact Study
Community Services and Facilities Strategic Plan
Urban Design Guidelines
Parks dedication plan
Development Context Plan
Phasing plan
Municipal Servicing Plan
the means to implement the City’s affordable housing policies
Environmental Studies and reports on soil and groundwater, noise and vibration, ambient air quality, stormwater control, pedestrian-level wind, sun and shade and construction practices and procedures, and
a public art plan;
- Railway Lands West Planning Area
- Precinct Plan
Environmental Report
Precinct Agreement
Environmental Agreement, and
a public art plan;
- (4) staff of Urban Development Services meet further with the applicant and its representatives to determine the amount, timing, and manner of securing the applicant’s contributions to social and physical infrastructure; and
- (5) the applicant prepare a report regarding the preservation and restoration of the Loblaw’s Groceteria Warehouse at 500 Lake Shore Boulevard West.”

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services - No Encl. - Executive Director and Chief Planner - No Encl. - Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attn: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons – No Encl. - cc: Ian Cooper, Urban Development Services, Waterfront Section – February 21, 2000)

(Clause No. 51(f), Report No. 4)

2.25 Preliminary Report: 852 -860 Yonge Street And 21 Scollard Street - Application 199037 For Official Plan And Zoning By-Law Amendments To Permit A 27 Storey Building, An 11 Storey Building And Stacked Townhouses Containing Residential Uses And An Underground Parking Garage With Access From Public Lanes Off Yorkville Avenue And Scollard Street (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 27, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting 852 -860 Yonge Street and 21 Scollard Street - Application 199037 for Official Plan and Zoning By-Law Amendments to Permit a 27 Storey Building, an 11 Storey Building and Stacked Townhouses Containing Residential Uses and an Underground Parking Garage with Access from Public Lanes off Yorkville Avenue and Scollard Street (Midtown), and recommending that:

- “(1) I be requested to hold a public meeting in the community to discuss the application, and to notify owners and tenants within 300 metres of the site, area residents and business associations and the Midtown Ward Councillors; and
- (2) the owner be advised that, prior to final reporting on this project, the owner will be required to submit a Traffic Impact Study, a Shadow Impact Study, a Noise Impact Statement and additional information regarding environmental conditions on the site, including any soil and ground water contamination.”

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (February 14, 2000) from R. S. Saunderson, Chairman, Bloor-Yorkville Business Improvement Area.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report, and requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to:

- (1) consider the suggestions contained in the communication (February 14, 2000) from R. S. Saunderson, Bloor-Yorkville, B.I.A. and to report to the Toronto

Community Council in the context of the final planning reports on the development applications for 852 Yonge Street and 1252 Bay Street;

- (2) consult further with the applicant and report to the Ward Councillors, prior to February 25, 2000 respecting:
 - (a) the appropriateness of setting the Yonge Street building back along Yorkville Avenue to preserve views of the proposed open space;
 - (b) the maximum building height of the Scollard Street building above which Frank Stollery Park would be inappropriately shadowed;
 - (c) the maximum tower diameter and height that can be supported on this site; and
 - (d) any other planning issues as may be appropriate.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services – No Encl. - Executive Director and Chief Planner – No Encl. - Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attn: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons – No Encl. - c: Mr. Wayne Morgan, Urban Development Services, North Section – February 21, 2000)

(Clause No. 51(g), Report No. 4)

2.26 Preliminary Report: 1252 – 1260 Bay Street And 61 – 63 Yorkville Avenue – Application 199025 For Official Plan And Zoning By-Law Amendment And Application 399101 For Site Plan Approval To Permit An 18 Storey Mixed Use Building Containing Ground And Second Floor Retail Uses And An Underground Parking Garage With Access From Genoa Street (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 25, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting 1252 – 1260 Bay Street and 61 – 63 Yorkville Avenue – Application 199025 for Official Plan and Zoning By-Law Amendment and Application 399101 for Site Plan Approval to Permit an 18 Storey Mixed Use Building Containing Ground and Second Floor Retail Uses and an Underground Parking Garage with Access from Genoa Street (Midtown), and recommending that:

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, February 15, 2000

- “(1) I be requested to hold a public meeting in the community to discuss the application, and to notify owners and tenants within 300 metres of the site, area residents and business associations and the Midtown Ward Councillors; and
- (2) the owner be advised that, prior to final Council approval of this project, the owner will be required to submit a Noise Impact Statement in accordance with Council's requirements.”

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (February 14, 2000) from R. S. Saunderson, Chairman, Bloor-Yorkville Business Improvement Area, with respect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services – No Encl. - Executive Director and Chief Planner – No Encl. - Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attn: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons – No Encl. - c: Mr. Wayne Morgan, Urban Development Services, North Section – February 21, 2000)

(Clause No. 51(h), Report No. 4)

2.27 Preliminary Report On Application No. 199036 For An Amendment To The Official Plan And Zoning By-Law And Plan Of Subdivision Application No. 499001 To Permit The Construction Of 35 Townhouses At 56 And 86 Osler Street (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 28, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services on Application No. 199036 for an Amendment to the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law and Plan of Subdivision Application No. 499001 to Permit the Construction of 35 Townhouses at 56 and 86 Osler Street (Davenport), and recommending that, “I be requested to hold a public meeting in the community to discuss the application and notify owners and residents within 300 metres of the site and the Ward Councillor.”

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report:

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services – No Encl. - Executive Director and Chief Planner – No Encl. - Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attn: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons – No Encl. - cc: Ms. Rhonda Petrella, Urban Development Services, West Section – February 21, 2000)

(Clause No. 51(i), Report No. 4)

2.28 Danforth Avenue, From Broadview Avenue To Dewhurst Boulevard - Installation Of Parking Meters On The Flankage Of Various Intersecting Streets (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 31, 1999) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting the installation of parking meters on the flankage of various intersecting streets along Danforth Avenue, from Broadview Avenue to Dewhurst Boulevard.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council receive the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 32, Report No. 4)

2.29 866 Avenue Road: Request For Approval Of Minor Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 10, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 999081 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit ten illuminated signs, for identification purposes, four fascia signs, two canopy signs, a red stripe on the north, east and south side of the weather canopy and one ground sign for the gas bar and retail convenience store to be located at 866 Avenue Road, on condition that the signs be illuminated only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 11:00 p.m. and this be achieved by an automated timing device.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999081, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 27, Report No. 4)

2.30 Acquisition For Public Lane Purposes Of The Private Lane In The Block Bounded By Brunswick Avenue, Ulster Street And Major Street (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 26, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City Council:

- (1) Authorize an application to Council for approval of the expropriation of all rights, title and interests, for public lane purposes, of certain land described as follows:

SCHEDULE "A"

In the City of Toronto and Province of Ontario, being composed of parts of Lots 21 and 24 on Plan 87 and the Private Lane and parts of Lots A and B on Plan 1133 designated as Parts 1, 5, 6, 7 and 8 on Plan 64R-16211, all of the said Plans being in the Land Registry Office for the Metropolitan Toronto Registry Division (No. 64).

- (2) Authorize the service and publication of the Notice of such application required by the Expropriations Act;
- (3) Authorize the appropriate Officials to forward to the Chief Inquiry Office, pursuant to the said Act, any requests for hearings that are received;
- (4) Authorize the Acting Commissioner of Corporate Services to obtain any appraisal reports required to comply with The Expropriations Act;
- (5) Direct the appropriate Officials to report further to City Council as the occasion may require;
- (6) Authorize a by-law to lay out the lands to form the new lane as described in Schedule "A" hereinabove, and thereafter dedicate the lands for public lane purposes; and
- (7) Authorize the appropriate Officials to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto, including the introduction in City Council of any bills that might be necessary.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 31, Report No. 4)

2.31 740 Dupont Street, Application No. 999096: Request For Approval Of Minor Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code, Upon Application By Dominic Rotundo/Neon Products Ltd. (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 14, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 999096 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to erect, for identification purposes, five illuminated fascia signs and one illuminated ground sign at 740 Dupont Street, on condition that the signs be illuminated only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m. and this be achieved by an automated timing device.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999096, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 27, Report No. 4)

2.32 2401 Dundas Street West, Application No. 999099: Request For Approval Of Minor Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 11, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services, recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 999099 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit an illuminated ground sign, for identification purposes, on the Dundas Street frontage at 2401 Dundas Street West, on condition that the ground sign be illuminated only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 11:00 p.m. and this be achieved by an automated timing device.

- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999099, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 27, Report No. 4)

2.33 595 Bay Street, Application No. 999104: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 25, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services, recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 999104 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated fascia sign, on the south elevation of the building at 595 Bay Street.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999104, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 27, Report No. 4)

2.34 Lytton Boulevard, From Avenue Road To Proudfoot Avenue - Reduction Of The Maximum Speed Limit From 50 Km/H To 40 Km/H (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 26, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that the maximum speed limit on Lytton Boulevard, from Avenue Road to Proudfoot Avenue, be reduced from 50 km/h to 40 km/h.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 30, Report No. 4)

2.35 Acquisition For Public Lane Purposes Of The Private Lane East Of Huntley Street Extending Between Linden Street And Selby Street (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 25, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City Council:

- (1) Authorize an application to Council for approval of the expropriation of all rights, title, and interests, for public lane purposes, of certain lands described as follows:

SCHEDULE "A"

In the City of Toronto and Province of Ontario, being composed of parts of Lots 17, 18 and 19 on Plan 132-A designated as Parts 1 and 2 on Plan 64R-16234, both said Plans being in the Land Registry Office for the Metropolitan Toronto Registry Division (No. 64).

- (2) Authorize the service and publication of the Notice of such application required by the Expropriations Act;
- (3) Authorize the appropriate Officials to forward to the Chief Inquiry Officer, pursuant to the said Act, any requests for hearings that are received;
- (4) Authorize the Acting Commissioner of Corporate Services to obtain any appraisal reports required to comply with the The Expropriations Act;
- (5) Direct the appropriate Officials to report further to City Council as the occasion may require;
- (6) Authorize a by-law to lay out the lands to form the new lane as described in Schedule "A" hereinabove, and thereafter dedicate the lands for public lane purposes; and
- (7) Authorize the appropriate Officials to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto, including the introduction in City Council of any bills that might be necessary.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 29, Report No. 4)

2.36 Rusholme Road, Fronting Premises No. 357 – Proposed Loading Zone (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 28, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that standing be prohibited

at anytime on the east side of Rusholme Road, from a point 86 metres south of Bloor Street West to a point 17 metres further south.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 28, Report No. 4)

2.37 Montclair Avenue, North Side, From Spadina Road To A Point 37 Metres West Thereof, And Spadina Road, West Side, From Montclair Avenue To A Point 12.5 Metres North Thereof – Adjustment To Parking Regulations And Installation Of Parking Meters. (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 25, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending:

- (1) That standing be prohibited at anytime on the north side of Montclair Avenue, from Spadina Road to a point 14 metres west;
- (2) That standing be prohibited at anytime on the west side of Spadina Road, from Montclair Avenue to a point 12.5 metres north;
- (3) That the existing “One Hour Parking, from 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., daily” regulation on the north side of Montclair Avenue, from Spadina Road to the west end of Montclair Avenue, be amended to operate from a point 43 metres west of Spadina Road to the west end of Montclair Avenue;
- (4) That parking be permitted for a maximum period of two hours, from 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, on the north side of Montclair Avenue, from a point 14 metres west of Spadina Road, to a point 29 metres further west;
- (5) That the Toronto Parking Authority be requested to install parking meters/pay-and- display machines on the north side of Montclair Avenue, from a point 14 metres west of Spadina Road, to a point 29 metres further west, to operate for a maximum period of two hours from 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, and for a maximum period of three hours from 6:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, as well as from 1:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., Sundays, at a rate of \$1.00 per hour; and
- (6) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 25, Report No. 4)

2.38 Elm Avenue, From Glen Road To Nanton Avenue – Adjustments To Parking Regulations. (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 24, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that parking be prohibited from 9:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m., Monday to Friday on the south side of Elm Avenue, from Glen Road to Nanton Avenue.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 24, Report No. 4)

2.39 Stafford Street, From Wellington Street West To King Street West – Parking Regulations (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 26, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending:

- (1) That the existing parking prohibition on the east side of Stafford Street, from Wellington Street West to King Street West, be rescinded;
- (2) That the existing parking prohibition from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., daily, on the west side of Stafford Street, from Wellington Street West to King Street West, be rescinded;
- (3) That parking be prohibited at anytime on the west side of Stafford Street, from Wellington Street West to King Street West;
- (4) That parking be restricted to a maximum period of two hours at anytime on the east side of Stafford Street, from Wellington Street West to King Street West; and
- (5) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 23, Report No. 4)

2.40 Edgewood Avenue, West Side From A Point 47.2 Metres North Of Maughan Crescent To A Point 74.7 Metres Further North – Adjustment Of The “No Parking 8:30 a.m. To 6:00 p.m.” Prohibition (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 10, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the parking prohibition from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. on the west side of Edgewood Avenue from a point 47.2 metres north of Maughan Crescent to a point 74.7 metres further north, be rescinded;
- (2) parking be prohibited from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the west side of Edgewood Avenue from a point 47.2 metres north of Maughan Crescent to a point 74.7 metres further north; and
- (3) that the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 22, Report No. 4)

2.41 Implementation Of Two-Sided Overnight Permit Parking On Davenport Road, Between Dufferin Street And Lightbourne Avenue (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 31, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) permit parking be introduced on both sides of Davenport Road, between Dufferin Street and Lightbourne Avenue, within permit area 3E, to operate during the hours of 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., 7 days a week;
- (2) Part A of Schedule XXVI (Permit Parking), of Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, be amended to incorporate two-sided permit parking on Davenport Road, between Dufferin Street and Lightbourne Avenue; and

- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 21, Report No. 4)

2.42 Extension Of Permit Parking Hours On Hamilton Street, Between Thompsom Street And Dundas Street East (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 31, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the permit parking hours of operation on Hamilton Street, between Thompsom Street and Dundas Street East, be extended from 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., 7 days a week, to 12:01 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., 7 days a week;
- (2) Part P of Schedule XXVI (Permit Parking), of Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, be amended to incorporate Hamilton Street, between Thompson Street and Dundas Street East; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 20, Report No. 4)

2.43 College Street, Fronting Premises No. 864 – Proposed Commercial Loading Zone. (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 26, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the proposed commercial loading zone on College Street, fronting premises No. 864 and recommending that the report be received for information.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (February 13, 2000) from Mr. Antonio J. Aires, Senior Partner, Aires Group Inc..

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) parking be prohibited on the north side of College Street, from Concord Avenue to a point 19 metres west;
- (2) an annual fee for lost parking metre revenue in the amount of \$3,952.00 be payable to the Toronto Parking Authority;
- (3) a one-time fee of \$150.00 for the removal of the parking meter and post be payable to the Toronto Parking Authority;
- (4) a yearly licencing fee of \$225.00 (the fee subject to yearly review by Toronto City Council), be billed by the City of Toronto, Transportation Services;
- (5) a one-time fee of \$120.00 for the installation of signs and posts, be billed by the City of Toronto, Transportation Services; and
- (6) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Clause No. 19, Report No. 4)

2.44 Roxborough Street West In The Vicinity Of Premises No. 80, The Mcloughlin School – Provision Of A School Pick-Up/Drop-Off Zone (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 24, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the permit parking regulation on the north side of Roxborough Street West, from a point 27 metres east of Molson Street to a point 44 metres east of Molson Street, be adjusted to operate between the hours of 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., Monday to Friday and 12:01 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., Saturdays and Sundays;
- (2) a new Schedule AE of the Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, be created to incorporate the north side of Roxborough Street West, from a point 27 metres east of Molson Street to a point 44 metres east of Molson Street, in conjunction with Recommendation (1), above;
- (3) parking be restricted for a maximum period of ten minutes, from 8:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m. and from 11:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon, Monday to Friday, on the north side of Roxborough Street West, from a point 27 metres east of Molson Street to a point 44 metres east of Molson Street (the location of the proposed student pick-up/drop-off zone); and

- (4) appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 18, Report No. 4)

2.45 Summerhill Avenue, North Side, From A Point 54 Metres East Of Yonge Street To A Point 36 Metres Further East – Adjustment Of Stopping And Parking Regulations And Installation Of Parking Meters. (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 24, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending:

- (1) That the “No Stopping Anytime” regulation on the north side of Summerhill Avenue from a point 54 metres east of Yonge Street to a point 36 metres further east, be rescinded;
- (2) That parking be permitted for a maximum period of two hours, from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, on the north side of Summerhill Avenue, from a point 54 metres east of Yonge Street to a point 36 metres further east;
- (3) That pay and display machines and/or parking meters be installed on the north side of Summerhill Avenue, from a point 54 metres east of Yonge Street to a point 36 metres further east, to operate for a maximum period of two hours from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, and for a maximum period of three hours from 6:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, and from 1:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., Sundays, at a rate of \$1.00 per hour; and
- (4) That the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 17, Report No. 4)

2.46 High Park Vegetation Management – Proposed Prescribed Burn (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 25, 2000) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism recommending that the City Forester of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, Parks and Recreation Division, be authorized to approve the Operational Prescribed Burn Plan, prepared by the Fire Boss and reviewed by the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources Fire Supervisor, and proceed with implementation of a High Park burn in spring 2000.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (February 9, 2000) from Ms. Karen Yukich, Co-Chair, and Mr. Ron Allan, Co-Chair, Natural Environment Subcommittee, High Park Citizens' Advisory Committee.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (January 25, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

(Clause No. 16, Report No. 4)

2.47 Prohibition Of Left Turns From Streetcar Tracks (All Wards)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 26, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Programming and Policy, Transportation Services Division respecting the prohibition of left turns from streetcar tracks and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Letter sent to: Mr. Vincent Rodo, General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission; c: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Director, Transportation Programming and Policy, Transportation Services Division – February 18, 2000)

(Clause No. 51(j), Report No. 4)

2.48 Naming Of Private Lane At 1307 And 1309 Queen Street East – Agnes Lane (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 31, 2000) from the City Surveyor, Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) the proposed private lane at 1307 and 1309 Queen Street East, illustrated on "Attachment No. 1", be named "Agnes Lane";

- (2) Eastend Developments Limited, be required to pay the costs estimated to be in the amount of \$600.00 for the fabrication and installation of street name signs; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 15, Report No. 4)

2.49 Construction Of A Fence – 117 To 127 Davenport Road (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 31, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City Council approve the construction of a 1 m high wrought iron fence within the public right of way fronting 117 to 127 Davenport Road, subject to the owners entering into an agreement with the City of Toronto, as prescribed under Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 14, Report No. 4)

2.50 Designation Of 319 Merton Street – Dominion Coal Silos (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 17, 2000) from the City Clerk respecting the designation of No. 319 Merton Street (Dominion Coal Silos) and recommending that:

- (1) in accordance with Subsection 29 (7) of the Ontario Heritage Act, R.S.O. 1990, Chapter 0.18, the matter be referred to the Conservation Review Board for a hearing and report; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (January 6, 2000) from Ms. Nancy Bell.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 13, Report No. 4)

2.51 Appointments To The Colborne Lodge / Mackenzie House / Spadina Community Museum Management Board And Toronto Community Local Architectural Conservation Advisory Committee (LACAC) Panel

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 1, 2000) from the City Clerk recommending that:

- (1) the Toronto Community Council give consideration to Members' preferences outlined in Schedule 1, and recommend to City Council the appointment of Members of Council to:
 - (i) Toronto Community LACAC Panel; and
 - (ii) Colborne Lodge / Mackenzie House / Spadina Community Museum Management Board

for a term of office expiring November 30, 2000, and until their successors are appointed; and

- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) Councillor Bossons be appointed to the Toronto Community LACAC Panel and the Colborne Lodge/Mackenzie House/Spadina Community Museum Management Board for a term of office expiring November 30, 2000, and until her successor is appointed; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

(Clause No. 12, Report No. 4)

2.52 Hilton Avenue, From A Point 36 Metres North Of The West Branch Of Austin Terrace To A Point 96 Metres Further North (Hillcrest Public School) – Establishment Of A Student Pick-Up/Drop-Off Area (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 1, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, February 15, 2000

- (1) the “No Parking Anytime” regulation on the west side of Hilton Avenue, from the west branch of Austin Terrace to Nina Street, be rescinded;
- (2) parking be permitted for a maximum period of ten minutes on the west side of Hilton Avenue, from a point 36 metres north of the west branch of Austin Terrace to a point 96 metres further north, from 8:30 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., 11:30 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. and from 3:00 p.m. to 3:30 p.m., Monday to Friday;
- (3) parking be prohibited on the west side of Hilton Avenue, from a point 36 metres north of the west branch of Austin Terrace to a point 96 metres further north, from 3:30 p.m. of one day to 8:30 a.m. of the next following day, from 9:00 a.m. to 11:30 a.m., from 1:00 p.m. to 3:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, and at anytime on Saturday and Sunday;
- (4) parking be prohibited at anytime on the west side of Hilton Avenue, from the west branch of Austin Terrace to a point 36 metres north and from a point 132 metres north of the west branch of Austin Terrace to Nina Street;
- (5) entry be prohibited from 8:30 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., 11:30 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. and 3:00 p.m. to 3:30 p.m., Monday to Friday, to Hilton Avenue at the west branch of Austin Terrace; and
- (6) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is required to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the “No Parking Anytime” regulation on the west side of Hilton Avenue, from the west branch of Austin Terrace to Nina Street, be rescinded;
- (2) parking be permitted for a maximum period of ten minutes on the west side of Hilton Avenue, from a point 36 metres north of the west branch of Austin Terrace to a point 17 metres south of Nina Street, from 7:30 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., 11:30 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. and from 3:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m., Monday to Friday;
- (3) parking be prohibited on the west side of Hilton Avenue, from a point 36 metres north of the west branch of Austin Terrace to a point 17 metres south of Nina Street, from 6:30 p.m. of one day to 7:30 a.m. of the next following day, from 9:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m., from 1:00 p.m. to 3:30 p.m., Monday to Friday, and at anytime on Saturday and Sunday;
- (4) parking be prohibited at anytime on the west side of Hilton Avenue, from the west branch of Austin Terrace to a point 36 metres north and from a point 17 metres south of Nina Street to Nina Street;

- (5) entry be prohibited from 7:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m., 11:30 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. and 3:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m., Monday to Friday, to Hilton Avenue at the west branch of Austin Terrace; and
- (6) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is required to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Clause No. 11, Report No. 4)

2.53 Proposed Closing Of The Public Lane West Of Carroll Street, Extending Northerly From The First Lane North Of Matilda Street, Adjacent To Premises No. 777 Dundas Street East (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 28, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that, subject to any additional terms and conditions, including compensation and costs, that may be determined by City Council in connection with the conveyance of the subject lane, as set out in the report to Toronto Community Council from the Acting Commissioner of Corporate Services:

- (1) That the public lane west of Carroll Street, extending northerly from the first lane north of Matilda Street, shown hatched on the attached sketch dated September, 1999, be stopped-up and closed; and
- (2) That the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required, and giving notice to the public of the proposed by-law to close the public lane.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (January 26, 2000) from Acting Commissioner of Corporate Services.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the report (January 28, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 and the report (January 26, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner of Corporate Services be adopted.

(Clause No. 10, Report No. 4)

2.54 Installation Of On-Street Disabled Persons' Parking Spaces (Don River, High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 31, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that the installation of

disabled persons' on-street parking spaces as noted in Table "A" of this report be approved.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 9, Report No. 4)

2.55 Yonge Street, Application No. 999095: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 28, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 999095 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit an illuminated projecting banner sign, for identification purposes, on the front elevation of the building at 1320 Yonge Street, on condition that the projecting banner sign be illuminated only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m. and that this be achieved by means of an automated timing device.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999095, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (January 28, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services, on the understanding that the applicant will be donating \$500.00 to the Midtown Tree Project.

(Clause No. 26, Report No. 4)

2.56 University Avenue, Application No. 999089: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code, And Amendments To The University Avenue By-Law For The Same Property (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 19, 2000) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 999089 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit two illuminated fascia signs in the form of corporate name and logo, for identification purposes, one sign on the top of the east elevation and one sign on

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, February 15, 2000

the top of the west elevation of a 25-storey office building at 181 University Avenue, such signs to be of the following dimensions:

letters 2.44 m (8') by 7.3 m (24')
logo 3.25 m (10' 8") by 5.1 m (16'9")
area of sign 34.4 m² (370 ft²)

(2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999089, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

(3) City Council approve two amendments to the University Avenue By-law 13409, for the property to permit:

(i) two illuminated fascia signs in the form of corporate name and logo, for identification purposes, one sign on the top of the east elevation and one sign on the top of the west elevation of a 25-storey office building at 181 University Avenue, such signs having dimensions not exceeding the following:

letters 2.44 m (8') by 7.3 m (24')
logo 3.25 m (10' 8") by 5.1 m (16'9")
area of sign 34.4 m² (370 ft²)

(ii) the exterior of the building to be covered with materials other than the durable material of light grey, buff or similar colour required by Section III (1) of the By-law.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 27, Report No. 4)

2.57 Designation of 1107 Avenue Road – Eglinton Hunt Club (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 17, 2000) from the City Clerk respecting the designation of No. 1107 Avenue Road (Eglinton Hunt Club) and recommending that:

(1) in accordance with Subsection 29 (7) of the Ontario Heritage Act, R.S.O. 1990, Chapter 0.18, the matter be referred to the Conservation Review Board for a hearing and report; and

(2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (December 10, 1999) from Mr. Brian M. Dourley, Barristers & Solicitors
- (February 9, 2000) from Mr. Claude Cohen, Claude Cohen Matlofsky
- (February 14, 2000) from Ms. Audrey Hopkins, Chairperson, North Toronto Seniors Millennium Residence Association
- (February 14, 2000) from Mr. Patrick Smyth, President, Avenue Road Eglinton Community Association (ARECA)

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on March 23, 2000, for deputations.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c.: Ms. Glenda Williams, City of Toronto Archives, 255 Spadina Road – February 18, 2000)

(Clause No. 51(k), Report No. 4)

2.58 Report On The Results Of The Pilot For The Restoration Of Paved Areas To Green Areas For Locations That Had Previously Been Refused A Parking Pad (All Wards in the Former City of Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 28, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve the continuation of the restoration project of paved areas to green areas for those locations that do not qualify for pad parking on an 'as requested' basis to a maximum of five locations per year;
- (2) if the owner of a property wishes to have the parking pad removed, the City would agree, at its own expense to:
 - (a) plant a City tree in the boulevard in front of the house;
 - (b) re-sod the area;
 - (c) provide free downspout disconnection service; and
 - (d) offer a free water conservation audit to the property owner; and
- (3) if the City has removed the parking pad and restored the City boulevard at its expense, the owner and subsequent owners may not apply to repave the front yard, exclusive of any private approaches, for 5 years.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on March 23, 2000.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Mr. Bob Runnings, Works and Emergency Services; Director, Transportation Services, District 1 – February 18, 2000)

(Clause No. 51(I), Report No. 4)

2.59 Eglinton Avenue West, Between Vesta Drive And Gardiner Road, Fronting Premises No. 666 - Proposed Amendments To Parking Regulations (North Toronto, Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 28, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the existing parking prohibition on the north side of Eglinton Avenue West, between a point 30.5 metres west of Belt Line Bridge (just east of Spadina Road) and Vesta Drive, in effect from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., except Saturdays, Sundays and Public Holidays, be rescinded;
- (2) the existing one-hour maximum parking regulation on the north side of Eglinton Avenue West, between Vesta Drive and a point 30.5 metres west of Spadina Road in effect from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., daily, be rescinded;
- (3) the existing school bus loading zone on the north side of Eglinton Avenue West, between a point 53 metres east of Vesta Drive and a point 20 metres further east thereof; be rescinded;
- (4) parking be prohibited at all times on the north side of Eglinton Avenue West, between Vesta Drive and a point 43 metres east thereof and between a point 67 metres east of Vesta Drive and a point 30.5 metres west of Belt Line Bridge;
- (5) parking be permitted on the north side of Eglinton Avenue West, between a point 43 metres east of Vesta Drive and a point 24 metres east thereof; for a maximum period of 15 minutes, from 9:00 a.m. to 9:15 a.m., 11:15 a.m. to 11:30 a.m., from 1:00 p.m. to 1:15 p.m. and from 3:00 p.m. to 3:30 p.m., Monday to Friday, for the purpose of establishing a student pick-up/drop-off area;
- (6) parking be prohibited on the north side of Eglinton Avenue West, between a point 43 metres east of Vesta Drive and a point 24 metres east thereof, from 12:00 midnight to 9:00 a.m., from 9:15 a.m. to 11:15 a.m., from 11:30 a.m. to 1:00 p.m., from 1:15 p.m. to 3:00 p.m. and from 3:30 p.m. to 11:59 p.m., Monday to Friday, and at all times on Saturdays and Sundays; and

- (7) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is required to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (February 9, 2000) from Ms. Janice Spitz, Coordinator, Play and Learn Programs.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 8, Report No. 4)

2.60 Request For Removal Of City Tree At 121 Runnymede Road (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 25, 2000) from the Commissioner Economic Development Culture and Tourism recommending that the request for removal of the City-owned tree at No. 121 Runnymede Road be denied.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the request for the removal of the City-owned tree at 121 Runnymede Road be approved, conditional upon:

- (1) the applicant agreeing to pay all costs related to the value of the tree, and the associated removal and replacement costs for a total of \$3,244.00; and
- (2) the applicant planting an additional tree to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

(Clause No. 7, Report No. 4)

2.61 300 Campbell Avenue - Request To Remove City-Owned Tree (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 25, 2000) from the Commissioner Economic Development Culture and Tourism recommending that the request for removal of the City-owned tree at No. 300 Campbell Avenue be denied.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on March 23, for deputations.

(Letter sent to: Mr. Samuel Shainhouse, Campbell Dupont Realty, 300 Campbell Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, M6P 3V6 c.: Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism February 18, 2000)

(Clause No. 51(m), Report No. 4)

2.62 Board Of Management Of Community Centre 55

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (February 1, 2000) from the Executive Director, Community Centre 55, forwarding nominations for appointment to the Board of Management

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing communication and requested the Chief Administrative Officer to submit to the Community Council the previously requested report on the process of determining candidates for nomination to Boards of Management of Community Centres and the public process involved.

The deferral and report request was carried on the following division of votes:

Yeas: Councillors McConnell, Adams, Bossons, Bussin, Chow, Miller and Pantalone –
7

Nays: Councillors Disero and Jakobek - 2

(Letter sent to: Chief Administrative Officer; c: Mr. Bob Murdoch, Executive Director, Community Centre 55, 97 Main Street, Toronto, M4E 2V6 – February 18, 2000)

(Clause No. 51(n), Report No. 4)

2.63 Robertson Parkette – 1555 Danforth Avenue (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (December 23, 1999) from Councillor Jakobek requesting that the parkette at 1555 Danforth Avenue be named the “Robertson Parkette”.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (February 10, 2000) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism to report back to a future meeting of the Toronto Community Council on the possibility of naming the parkette located at 1555 Danforth Avenue to Robertson Parkette (as one of the suggested names), as per the Policy for Renaming Parks approved by City Council on July 8, 1998.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism – February 18, 2000)

(Clause No. 51(o), Report No. 4)

2.64 Connaught Avenue At Eastern Avenue – Request For Larger One-Way Signs (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (January 28, 2000) from Councillor Jakobek requesting that staff install larger one-way signs on Connaught Avenue at Eastern Avenue.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council submitted this matter to Council without recommendation.

On further motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report directly to Council on the request contained the communication (January 28, 2000) from Councillor Jakobek.

The following motion by Councillor Bussin was voted on and lost:

“That the Toronto Community Council defer consideration of this matter until its meeting to be held on March 23, 2000.”

The Chair ruled that the following motion by Councillor Jakobek should take precedence:

“That staff install larger one-way signs on Connaught Avenue at Eastern Avenue.”

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the ruling of the Chair was not upheld.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services – February 18, 2000)

(Clause No. 6, Report No. 4)

2.65 Front Yard Parking Application – 6 Elmer Avenue (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (January 14, 2000) from Councillor Jakobek requesting consideration on waiving the cost for the replacement tree with respect to the front yard parking application at 6 Elmer Avenue.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communication/report:

- (February 11, 2000) from Ms. Dianne Murdoch; and

- (February 14, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the fees for a replacement tree with respect to the Front Yard Parking application at 6 Elmer Avenue be waived.

(Clause No. 5, Report No. 4)

2.66 Front Yard Parking Fee Structure – 12 Alpine Avenue (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (January 27, 2000) from Councillor Disero respecting the current front yard parking fee structure in relation to No. 12 Alpine Avenue.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing matter until its meeting to be held on March 23, 2000, and requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report at that time.

(Letter sent to: Ms. Alicia Keating, 12 Alpine Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, M6P 3R7
c.: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services – February 18, 2000)

(Clause No. 51(p), Report No. 4)

2.67 Grant Allocation – University Of Toronto Urban Forestry Centre To Facilitate A Private Tree Study In The Midtown Ward (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (February 1, 2000) from Councillor Adams respecting the grant allocation to the University of Toronto Urban Forestry Centre to facilitate a private tree study in the Midtown Ward.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- February 14, 2000) from Councillor Adams, Midtown; and
- (February 14, 2000) from Professor Andy Kenney.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the funds allocated for the purpose of planting trees on the Municipal Right of Way in the Midtown area and/or for the Midtown Tree Project be made available for the Midtown Toronto Urban Forest Inventory and Strategy, as described in the communication (February 14, 2000) from Professor Andy Kenney, on condition that the City's Urban Forestry Staff and the City's Tree

Advocate be involved in the preparation and scope of the study and the final recommendations; and

- (2) City staff be authorized to use the funds for the purpose set out in Recommendation No. (1).

(Clause No. 4, Report No. 4)

2.68 Proposed Area Traffic Management Study – Area Bounded By Eglinton Avenue, Yonge Street, Chaplin Crescent And Oriole Parkway (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (January 27, 2000) from the Chairman, Traffic Control Sub-Committee, Oriole Park Association, requesting official recognition from the Toronto Community Council to initiate an area traffic management study in North Toronto, with a view to developing traffic control measures.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a joint communication (February 11, 2000) from Councillor Walker and Councillor Johnston.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council:

- (1) formalized the area bounded by Eglinton Avenue West, Yonge Street, Chaplin Crescent and Oriole Parkway for a traffic management study; and
- (2) authorized the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to assist the Oriole Park Association's Traffic Control Sub-Committee in seeking solutions to reduce speed and volume of traffic on residential streets in the area.

(Letter sent to: Ms. Anne Lovering, Oriole Park Association, 127 Lascelles Boulevard, Toronto, Ontario M5P 2E4; c: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Mr. Stephen Benjamin, Manager of Traffic Operations, District 1, Central Area; Sergeant Malone, Traffic Unit, 35 Division, Toronto Police Services – February 18, 2000)

(Clause No. 51(q), Report No. 4)

2.69 Residential Demolition Application – 50 Lavinia Avenue (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 8, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, recommending that City Council authorize the issuance of the residential demolition permit for 50 Lavinia Avenue subject to the standard conditions as set out in Chapter 146-16B(5) of the Municipal Code, specifically:

- (1) That the applicant for the permit construct and substantially complete the new building to be erected on the site of the residential property to be demolished, not later than two (2) years from the day demolition of the existing residential property is commenced.
- (2) That, on failure to complete the new building within the time specified, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector's roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand dollars (\$20,000.00) for each dwelling unit contained in the residential property in respect of which the demolition permit is issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the land in respect of which the permit to demolish the residential property is issued.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (January 17, 2000) from Mr. George A. Adams; and
- (February 9, 2000) from Mr. George A. Adams, addressed to Mr. Walter Bettio.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Walter Bettio, Toronto, Ontario; and
- Ms. Karma Tax, Toronto Ontario.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council submitted this matter to Council without recommendation.

On further motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council requested the applicant and the immediate neighbours of the subject property to meet with the Chief Building Official to review the plans for the property.

(Clause No. 3, Report No. 4)

2.70 Runnymede Public School – Safety Concerns During Pick-Up/Drop-Off Periods (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 1, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting safety concerns during pick-up/drop-off periods at Runnymede Public School, and recommending that:

- (1) the parking prohibition currently in effect from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., daily, on the north side of Colbeck Street, between Runnymede Road and Kennedy Avenue, be rescinded;

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, February 15, 2000

- (2) the parking prohibition currently in effect from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, on the east side of Runnymede Road, between Colbeck Street and a point 93 metres further north thereof, be rescinded;
- (3) stopping be prohibited from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., daily, on both sides of Colbeck Street between Runnymede Road and Kennedy Avenue;
- (4) parking be prohibited from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, on the east side of Runnymede Road, between Colbeck Street and a point 43 metres further north thereof;
- (5) parking be permitted for a maximum period of 10 minutes on the east side of Runnymede Road from a point 43 metres north of Colbeck Street to a point 50 metres further north thereof, from 8:00 a.m. to 9:30 a.m., 11:30 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. and 3:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday;
- (6) parking be prohibited on the east side of Runnymede Road from a point 43 metres north of Colbeck Street to a point 50 metres further north thereof, from 9:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m., and from 1:00 p.m. to 3:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday;
- (7) the existing "School Bus Loading Zone" on Runnymede Road, between a point 43 metres north of Colbeck Street and a point 33 metres further north thereof, be relocated to a point 93 metres north of Colbeck Street and a point 35 metres further north thereof; and
- (8) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required..

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- February 11, 2000) from Mr. Andrew Mahoney; and
- (February 14, 2000) from N. Puopolo, Principal, Runnymede Public School.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on March 23, 2000, for deputations.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c: Jacqueline White, Works and Emergency Services Director, Transportation Services, District 1 – February 18, 2000)

(Clause No. 51(r), Report No. 4)

2.71 319 Keele Street and Further Liquor Plebiscite (Davenport)

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, February 15, 2000

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (February 3, 2000) from Councillor Disero requesting the City Solicitor to report to directly to Council respecting a temporary regulation to allow the sale of liquor at No. 319 Keele Street.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (February 11, 2000) from Ms. Joan Miles, President, Preserving West End Neighbourhood;
- (February 14, 2000) from Ms. Joan Miles, President, Preserving West End Neighbourhood;
- (February 8, 2000) from Mr. Victor Latchman, Chair, Junction Gardens Business Improvement Area;
- (February 9, 2000) from H. Calderon, Project Director, West Toronto Junction Team; and
- (February 14, 2000) from Ms. Helen Ness, Toronto Orthopedic Appliance Services Ltd.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council request the Alcohol and Gaming Commission to recommend to the Minister of Consumer and Commercial Relations a regulation to allow the operators of 319 Keele Street to have a temporary liquor licence to the end of the year 2000.

On further motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council requested the City Solicitor to report directly to Council on:

- (1) requesting the Province of Ontario to put in place a temporary regulation to allow the sale of liquor at 319 Keele Street; and
- (2) the process for having a liquor plebiscite in West Toronto during the November, 2000 election.

(Letter sent to: City Solicitor; c: Ms. Dolores Morrell, Legal Services – February 18, 2000)

(Clause No. 2, Report No. 4)

2.72 Introduction Of Permit Parking On Sudbury Street, Between King Street West And Dovercourt Road (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 2, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the

introduction of permit parking on Sudbury Street, between King Street West and Dovercourt Road and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council received the foregoing report for information, and requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report further to the Toronto Community Council at its meeting to be held on May 2, 2000.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Ms. Lisa Forte, Supervisor, Permit Parking; Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1 – February 18, 2000)

(Clause No. 51(s), Report No. 4)

2.73 Community Services Needs Assessment For The King-Spadina Area (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (February 7, 2000) from Councillor Chow requesting staff to report on the community service needs assessment for the King-Spadina Area.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council requested the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with appropriate officials, to report to the Toronto Community Council, by September 2000, on the community services needs of the growing residential community in the King-Spadina area, such report to recommend appropriate measures to ensure adequate community services are provided in this new neighbourhood.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services – February 18, 2000)

(Clause No. 51(t), Report No. 4)

2.74 Residential Boulevard Parking at 35 Markham Street (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 4, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the request for residential boulevard parking at 35 Markham Street; OR
- (2) City Council approve the request for residential boulevard parking at 35 Markham Street, subject to a favourable poll and:

- (a) the owner removing the existing asphalt paving and repaving the parking area with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
- (b) the excess paved area being removed and the area restored with soft landscaping, i.e., planting area or sod;
- (c) the maximum area paved for parking not exceeding 2.6 m by 5.9 m;
- (d) not more than one vehicle being parked on the boulevard; and
- (e) the owner submitting an application, paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on March 23, 2000, for deputations.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Mr. Nino Pellegrini, Senior By-law Officer, Off Street Parking, Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, Mr. Peter Costa, 35 Markham Street, Toronto M6J 2G3 – February 18, 2000)

(Clause No. 51(u), Report No. 4)

2.75 Planning A Barrier Free City Of Toronto – A Statement Of Planning Principles

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (January 25, 2000) from Councillor Brown requesting Toronto Community Council's endorsement of the Statement of Planning Principles for Planning a Barrier Free City of Toronto.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council endorsed the Statement of Planning Principles - Planning a Barrier Free City of Toronto and having forwarded same to the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services – February 18, 2000)

(Clause No. 51(v), Report No. 4)

2.76 West Don Lands

The Toronto Community Council had before it the West Don Lands Committee's Workshop Final Report titled "Obstacles and Opportunities – Realizing the Potential of the West Don Lands, November 18 – 20, 1999.

Ms. Cynthia Wilkey, West Don Lands Committee, made a presentation to the Toronto Community Council.

On motion Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council received the Workshop Final Report titled, "Obstacles and Opportunities – Realizing the Potential of the West Don Lands, November 18 – 20, 1999" and forwarded same to the Planning and Transportation Committee for consideration.

(Letter sent to: Planning and Transportation Committee; c: Ms. Cynthia Wilkey, West Don Lands Committee, 5 Percy Street, Toronto, Ontario M5A 3M7 – February 18, 2000)

(Clause No. 51(w), Report No. 4)

2.77 Avenue Road At Its Intersections With Davenport Road And With Bloor Street West – Proposed Rescission Of The Southbound Left-Turn Prohibitions On A Trial Basis (Midtown and Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 1, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the proposed rescission of the southbound left-turn prohibitions on Avenue Road at its intersection with Davenport Road and with Bloor Street West on a trial basis.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 1, Report No. 4)

2.78 Lands Subject To Ravine Control And Calculations Of Density

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (February 8, 2000) from Councillor Walker respecting lands subject to ravine control and calculations of density.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on the feasibility of:

- (1) excluding the areas of land under ravine control from calculations of density in development applications; and

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, February 15, 2000

- (2) establishing a minimum setback by-law from the top-of-bank line on properties abutting and enveloping ravines; and
- (3) expanding the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority fill lines.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; c: Administrator, Planning and Transportation Committee – February 18, 2000)

(Clause No. 51(x), Report No. 4)

The Committee adjourned its meeting at 1:15 p.m.

Chair.

Attendance

February 15, 2000	* 9:30 a.m. to 1:14 p.m.	1:14 p.m. Quorum Call – Adjourned at 1:15 p.m.
Councillor McConnell	x	x
Councillor Layton	x	
Councillor Adams	x	x
Councillor Bossons	x	x
Councillor Chow	x	x
Councillor Rae	x	
Councillor Bussin	x	x
Councillor Jakobek	x	
Councillor Walker	x	x
Councillor Johnston	x	
Councillor Disero	x	x
Councillor Silva	x	
Councillor Pantalone	x	x
Councillor Miller	x	
Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski	x	x

*Members were present for some or all of the time indicated.