

THE CITY OF TORONTO

Clerk's Department

Minutes of the Toronto Community Council

Meeting No. 3

The Toronto Community Council met on Thursday, March 23, 2000, in the Council Chambers, City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:30 a.m.

3.1 Public Meeting Pursuant To The Planning Act Respecting 80 St. Clair Avenue East – Proposed Temporary Use Zoning By-Law (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 18, 2000) from the City Solicitor respecting the proposed Temporary Use Zoning By-law for No. 80 St. Clair Avenue East.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act. The public meeting was held on March 23, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the draft by-law attached to the report (February 18, 2000) of the City Solicitor be approved and that the City Solicitor be authorized to introduce in Council the necessary bill to give effect thereto.

(Clause No. 1, Report No. 6)

3.2 Public Meeting Pursuant To The Planning Act Respecting Application No. 299010 To Amend The Part I Official Plan And Zoning By-Law 438-86 To Permit The Construction Of A 7,402 Square Metre Canadian Tire Store At 2681, 2701, 2721 And 2575 Danforth Avenue. (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 2, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending approval of a draft Official Plan amendment and zoning by-law to introduce amendments which would allow a new and larger Canadian Tire Store to replace the existing store at Nos. 2682, 2701, 2721 and 2575 Danforth Avenue.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act. The public meeting was held on March 23, 2000, and Mr. Craig Hunter, Armstrong Hunter, addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (March 2, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

(Clause No. 2, Report No. 6)

3.3 Public Meeting Pursuant To The Planning Act Respecting Application No. 199015 For An Official Plan Amendment And Rezoning To Permit The Construction Of An Office Building And Other Construction Work On A Portion Of The Block Including The Lands Known As The Richmond - Adelaide Centre (Nos. 100, 120 And 130 Adelaide Street West, 85 And 111 Richmond Street West, 12 And 22 Sheppard Street) (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 17, 2000) from the City Solicitor respecting Application No. 199015 for an Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning to permit the construction of an office building and other construction work on a portion of the block including the lands known as the Richmond-Adelaide Centre (Nos. 100, 120 and 130 Adelaide Street West, 85 and 111 Richmond Street West, 12 and 22 Sheppard Street).

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following report/communications:

- (March 8, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services – Final Report recommending an Official Plan amendment and a site specific zoning by-law to permit the construction of an office tower and other at grade changes to a portion of the Richmond - Adelaide Centre and adjacent lands at 100 Adelaide Street West and 12 Sheppard Street, and Nos. 85 and 111 Richmond Street West;
- (March 6, 2000) from Mr. Randall Speller;
- (March 17, 2000) from Ms. Linda Barnett;
- (March 16, 2000) from Mickey Smith;
- (undated) from Paul Yau;
- (undated) from Mr. David Wistow, Art Gallery of Ontario;
- (March 9, 2000) from Mr. Don Adams;
- (March 7, 2000) from Mrs. R. Walmsley;
- (March 10, 2000) from President, Vice-President, Administration, Vice-President, Academic, Dean, Faculty of Art, Dean, Faculty of Design and Dean, Faculty of Foundation Studies, Ontario College of Art & Design;

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Thursday, March 23, 2000

- (March 10, 2000) from Ms. Jill Patrick, Director of Library Services, Dorothy H. Hoover Library, Ontario College of Art & Design;
- (March 16, 2000) from Ms. Mary F. Williamson;
- (March 20, 2000) from Ms. Margaret McBurney, the Arts and Letters Club of Ontario;
- (March 21, 2000) from Mr. Ross Gorrie;
- (March 21, 2000) from Mr. Joseph A. G. Berkovits;
- (March 21, 2000) from Mr. Anthony Belcher;
- (March 20, 2000) from Ms. Audrey Hutchison Fox, Chair, Bracondale Hill Residents' Association;
- (March 21, 2000) from Ms. Joyce S. Feinberg;
- (March 22, 2000) from Ms. Diane Dyer, on behalf of Ms. Rachel Grover, Mr. Lou Taylor Pamenter and Mr. Edward Pamenter;
- (March 22, 2000) from Mr. Dave LeBlanc, Production Director, CFRB 1010;
- (March 22, 2000) from Ms. Karin Stephens;
- (March 22, 2000) from Mr. Paul Oberst, Architect;
- (March 22, 2000) from Mr. Phillip H. Carter, Architect;
- (March 22, 2000) from Ms. Heather Wilson;
- (March 22, 2000) from Mr. Michael Tippin, Tippin Corporation, and Ms. Margie Zeidler, Zeidler Realty Corporation;
- (March 22, 2000) from Mrs. Joyce K. Sowby;
- (March 22, 2000) from Mr. David Peters, Architect Inc., and Mr. Scott Roper;
- (March 21, 2000) from Mr. Tim Morawetz;
- petition (March 7, 2000) from the Board of Directors, Ontario College of Art & Design Faculty Association, signed by 105 Faculty Members, staff, students and alumni;
- (March 20, 2000) from Mr. William Hall;

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Thursday, March 23, 2000

- (March 19, 2000) from Ms. Margaret E. McKelvey;
- (undated) from Ms. Irene M. Devlin;
- (March 23, 2000) from Ms. Danielle Waxer;
- (March 23, 2000) from Mr. John Gibson;
- (March 22, 2000) from Mr. Walter Daschko, Architect;
- (March 22, 2000) from Ms. Marcia Cuthbert;
- (March 23, 2000) from Ms. Ann Percival;
- (March 23, 2000) from Catherine Nasmith, Toronto Preservation Board;
- (March 19, 2000) from Ms. Ruth Vellis;
- (March 17, 2000) from George Smitherman, MPP, Toronto Centre-Rosedale;
- (March 23, 2000) from Ms. Edith Geduld;
- (March 22, 2000) from Ms. Catherine Seymour;
- (March 23, 2000) from Mr. Dennis Reid, Chief Curator, Art Gallery of Ontario;
- presentation material (March 23, 2000) from Mr. Stephen Diamond, McCarthy Tetrault, Barristers and Solicitors, on behalf of Oxford Properties Group Inc.; and
- presentation material (undated) from Mr. Michael McClelland, E.R.A. Architects Inc..

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act. The public meeting was held on March 23, 2000, and the following addressed the Toronto Community Council:

- Ms. Catherine Nasmith, Toronto Preservation Board;
- Mr. Paul McGaw;
- Ms. Joan Miles, Toronto Panel of Preservation Board;
- Mr. Tim Morawetz;
- Mr. Terry Russell, Toronto Historical Association;
- Ms. Edna Hudson, Toronto Region Architectural Conservancy;
- Ms. Sharon Vattay, Instructor, University of Toronto;
- Mr. Jon Harstone;
- Ms. Margaret Zeidler, President, Zeidler Realty Corporation;

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Thursday, March 23, 2000

- Ms. Shirley Morris, Member, Heritage Toronto;
- Mr. Michael Tippin, Tippin Corporation;
- Ms. Edith Geduld, North York LACAC;
- Mr. Bob Barnett;
- Mr. Steve Russell;
- Mr. Robert Cash, Lawyer;
- Mr. Stephen Diamond, McCarthy, Tetrault, Barristers & Solicitors, on behalf of Oxford Properties;
- Mr. Michael McClelland, ERA Architects Inc.;
- Mr. Allan Lai, Agree Property Management Limited; and
- Mr. David Hanna.

The Toronto Community Council:

On motion by Councillor Johnston:

- (1) adjourned the Public Meeting held pursuant to the provisions of the Planning Act, to be reconvened on May 2, 2000;

On motion by Councillor Layton:

- (2) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report on:
 - (a) other ways to accommodate the increase in density, recognizing an appropriate bonus if the Concourse Building is preserved; and
 - (b) the reorganization of the new density in such a way that the Concourse Building can be preserved;

On further motion by Councillor Johnston:

- (3) agreed that any approval of the final report on Application No. 199015 be conditional on ensuring complete accessibility of the project for persons with disabilities; and
- (4) deferred consideration of the following motion from Councillor Chow:

“That City Council request the Minister of Citizenship, Culture and Recreation to amend the Ontario Heritage Act to strengthen the provisions regarding demolition and to provide fiscal incentives to support preservation.”

The following motions by Councillor Walker were placed but not voted on:

- “(1) That the application be refused; and

- (2) That the City Solicitor be instructed to attend any Ontario Municipal Board Hearing in support of Recommendation No. (1) and that he be authorized to retain outside planning advice.”

(Letter sent to: All Interested Persons; c.: Rollin Stanley, Urban Planning and Development Services – April 19, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(a), Report No. 6)

3.4 Public Meeting Pursuant To The Planning Act Respecting Application No. 199016 To Permit The Conversion Of An Existing Rear Yard Garage At 46 Lakeview Avenue To A Residential Unit. (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 22, 2000) from the City Solicitor respecting Application No. 199016 to permit the conversion of an existing rear yard garage at No. 46 Lakeview Avenue to a residential unit.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following report/communications:

- (March 6, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services – Final Report recommending approval of a by-law to permit the conversion of an existing garage at the rear of 46 Lakeview Avenue for residential purposes;
- (March 8, 2000) from Gary and Erika Duke; and
- (March 21, 2000) from Ken Eggett and Danielle Lyons.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act. The public meeting was held on March 23, 2000, and the following addressed the Toronto Community Council:

- Mr. John Bencekowski, Soli Architects Ltd.;
- Mr. John Oswald;
- Mr. Fred Piller;
- Mr. Benny Methan; and
- Ms. Sandra Piller.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the Draft By-law attached to the report (March 22, 2000) of the City Solicitor be approved and that authority be granted to introduce the necessary Bill in Council to give effect thereto;

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Thursday, March 23, 2000

- (2) Recommendation No. 1(b)(i) of the Final Report (March 6, 2000) of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be deleted and replaced with the following:
- (i) the aggregate *residential gross floor area* of all buildings and structures erected or used on the site does not exceed 606.0 square metres, the *residential gross floor area* of the main house does not exceed 389.0 square metres, and the *residential gross floor area* of the converted garage does not exceed 217.0 square metre;
- (3) Recommendation No. 1(b)(vii) of the Final Report (March 6, 2000) of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be deleted and replaced with the following:
- (vii) an opaque fence with a minimum height of 1.8 metres is erected and maintained on the north and south lot lines;
- (4) Recommendation No. 1(b)(viii) of the Final Report (March 6, 2000) of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be amended by replacing the words “if closer to a lot line than required by Section 6(3) Part II of Zoning By-law 438-86, be of glass block” with “is constructed of glass block of sufficient thickness to distort visual images”;
- (5) the Final Report (March 6, 2000) of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be further amended by adding a Recommendation No. 4. as follows;
- “4. That prior to the introduction in Council of the bill to give effect to the above rezoning, the owner enter into an undertaking,
- a) to comply with recommendation No. 2 herein, and
- b) to erect and maintain the fences required on the north and south lot lines.”
- (6) the recommendations in the Final Report (March 6, 2000) of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be adopted, as amended.

(Clause No. 3, Report No. 6)

3.5 Public Meeting Pursuant To The Planning Act Respecting Application No. 199023 To Permit The Conversion Of An Existing Coach House At The Rear Of 323 Palmerston Boulevard To A Residential Unit (Trinity-Niagara)

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Thursday, March 23, 2000

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 17, 2000) from the City Solicitor respecting Application No. 199023 to permit the conversion of an existing coach house at the rear of No. 323 Palmerston Boulevard to a residential unit.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following report/communications:

- (March 6, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services – Final Report recommending approval of a by-law to permit the residential use of an existing coach house at the rear of 323 Palmerston Boulevard north of College Street;
- (March 21, 2000) from Michael Kainer, Palmerston Area Residents Association; and
- petition (March 7, 2000) signed by 28 persons.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act. The public meeting was held on March 23, 2000, and the following addressed the Toronto Community Council:

- Mr. Ram Rampersad;
- Mr. Antonio Gomes; and
- Mr. Michael Mellings, Davies Howe Partners.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the Draft By-law attached to the report (March 22, 2000) of the City Solicitor be approved and that authority be granted to introduce the necessary Bill in Council to give effect thereto, subject to the receipt by the City Solicitor, of an Undertaking in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, executed by the owner in satisfaction of Recommendation 4 of the Final Report (March 6, 2000) of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, as amended;
- (2) Recommendation Nos. 1(b)(i), (ii) and (vi) of the Final Report (March 6, 2000) of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be deleted and replaced with the following:
 - (ii) the aggregate *residential gross floor area* erected on the site does not exceed 403 square metres and, further, that the *residential gross floor area* of the main house does not exceed 288 square metres and, further, that the *residential gross floor area* of the coach house does not exceed 115 square metres;
 - (iii) not more than three *dwelling units* are permitted on the site and, further, that the total number of *dwelling units* in the main house does not exceed two and, further, that the total number of *dwelling units* in the coach house does not exceed one;

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Thursday, March 23, 2000

- (vi) an opaque fence with a minimum height of 1.8 metres is erected and maintained on the north lot line and an opaque fence with a minimum height of 1.5 metres is provided and maintained on the south lot line;
- (3) Recommendation No. 1(b)(vii) of the Final Report (March 6, 2000) of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be amended by replacing the words “if closer to a lot line than required by Section 6(3) Part II of Zoning By-law 438-86, of glass block” with “is constructed of glass block of sufficient thickness to distort visual images”;
- (4) Recommendation No. 1(b)(viii) of the Final Report (March 6, 2000) of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be deleted;
- (5) the Final Report (March 6, 2000) of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be further amended by adding Recommendation Nos. 2(d), (e) and (f) as follows:
 - (d) plant a tree within the City right-of-way in front of 323 Palmerston Boulevard, at the owner’s expense, subject to the approval of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services;
 - (e) plant a tree in the rear yard so as to limit views from the coach house to the rear yards of properties that are north of the site, subject to the approval of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services; and
 - (f) provide a privacy screen for the second storey window that is closest to the north lot line on the west façade of the coach house, subject to the approval of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services; and
- (6) the Final Report (March 6, 2000) of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be further amended by adding a Recommendation No. 4 as follows:
 - “4. That prior to the introduction in Council of the Bill to give effect to the above rezoning, the owner enter into an Undertaking:
 - a) to comply with Recommendation No. 2 herein, as amended; and
 - b) to erect and maintain the opaque fences as outlined in Recommendation 1(b)(vi).”;
- (7) the recommendations in the Final Report (March 6, 2000) of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be adopted, as amended.

(Clause No. 4, Report No. 6)

3.6 Public Meeting Pursuant To The Planning Act Respecting St. Michael's College (University Of Toronto) Property At 70 And Part Of 50 St. Joseph Street To Allow For The Construction Of A Student University Residence. (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 29, 2000) from the City Solicitor submitting a draft zoning by-law to allow for the construction of a University of Toronto student residence on St. Michael's College lands at No. 70 and part of No. 50 St. Joseph Street.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following reports:

- (March 3, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services – Final Report for Application No. 199022 to approve a proposal to construct a student residence on St. Michael's College lands west of Bay Street on Joseph Street.
- (March 6, 2000) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism - Request to Remove Twelve Trees Situated on Private Property and One City Owned Tree - 70 and part of 50 St. Joseph Street, Toronto (Downtown)

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act. The public meeting was held on March 23, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the Draft Zoning By-law attached to the report (February 29, 2000) of the City Solicitor be approved and that authority be granted to introduce the necessary Bill in Council to give effect thereto, substantially in the form of the by-law attached to the report;
- (2) the report (March 2, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be adopted;
- (3) the request for the removal of twelve trees situated on private property and one City-owned tree indicated in the report (March 6, 2000) of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be approved, conditional on:
 - (a) the trees in question not being removed until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under Zoning By-law Amendment (Rezoning) Application No. 199022 commence which warrant the destruction of the trees;
 - (b) the applicant submitting a certified cheque in the amount of \$1,756.80 to cover the value of the City-owned tree, removal and replacement costs; and

- (c) the applicant planting a minimum of nineteen (19) large growing shade tree species in accordance with Planting Plan L2 prepared by Du Toit Allsopp Hillier, date stamped as received by Urban Development Services on February 9, 2000 and on file with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

(Clause No. 5, Report No. 6)

3.7 Public Meeting Pursuant To The Planning Act Respecting Rezoning Application No. 12398 For 38 Abell Street And 1199 Queen Street West, For The Construction Of 114 Dwelling Units (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 9, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services forwarding his Final Report on a rezoning application for a site specific amendment to the Zoning By-law to permit the construction of 114 dwelling units in a stacked townhouse form on vacant lands to the south of Abell Street (No. 38 Abell Street and 1199 Queen Street West).

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act. The public meeting was held on March 23, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council adjourned the Public Meeting held pursuant to the provisions of the Planning Act, to be reconvened on May 2, 2000.

(Letter sent to: All Interested Persons; c.: Commissioner of Urban Development Services – April 18, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(b), Report No. 6)

3.8 Environmental Task Force – Environmental Plan, “Clean, Green And Healthy – A Plan For An Environmentally Sustainable Toronto”.

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 8, 2000) from the City Clerk recommending that the Environmental Plan “Clean, Green and Healthy – A Plan for an Environmentally Sustainable Toronto” be received for information.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (March 14, 2000) from Chair, Task Force to Bring Back the Don;
- (March 22, 2000) from Councillor Joe Pantalone; and
- (March 22, 2000) from M. Wallace.

The Toronto Community Council:

On motion by Councillor Layton:

- (1) advised the Policy and Finance Committee that it had endorsed the Environmental Plan titled, "Clean, Green and Healthy – A Plan for an Environmentally Sustainable Toronto"; and

On motion by Councillor Pantalone:

- (2) endorsed the recommendations contained in the communication (March 22, 2000) from Councillor Pantalone.

(Letter sent to: Policy and Finance Committee; c.: Chair, Task Force to Bring Back the Don – March 27, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(c), Report No. 6)

3.9 Evaluation Report: 340 College Street, 15, 25 & 45 Brunswick Avenue, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 24, 26 Major Street - Application 399039 For Site Plan Approval For The Redevelopment Of A Long Term Care Facility On The Site Of The Former Doctors Hospital (Phase 2) (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 7, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting the redevelopment of a long term care facility on the site of the former Doctors Hospital (Phase 2) and forwarding to Council the application for an amended development approval for Kensington Health Centre, as requested by Councillor Olivia Chow, in accordance with Chapter 165, Article IV of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (March 21, 2000) from Rose Schwartz;
- (March 22, 2000) from Margaret Procter;
- (March 22, 2000) from Michael Kerman; and
- petition (March 23, 2000) signed by 9 persons.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on May 2, 2000, and requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to continue to process the building permit application, and in the event that the site plan application is approved, to expedite the building permit.

(Letter sent to: All Interested Persons; c.: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Helen Coombs, Urban Development Services – April 18, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(d), Report No. 6)

3.10 41 Burgess Avenue – Removal Of Private Tree (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 29, 2000) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the removal of a private tree at No. 41 Burgess Avenue and recommending that:

- (1) a permit for tree injury be refused; or
- (2) a permit for tree injury be issued conditional on i) the tree in question not being injured until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under the building permit application for the subject project commence which warrant injury to the tree; and ii) the applicant planting an 80 millimetre caliper large growing native shade tree as replacement on private property at this address.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (March 21, 2000) from Shawn Hutcheson and Rita Damignani; and
- (March 22, 2000) from Brad Mulligan/Patricia Toller.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a permit for tree injury at 41 Burgess Avenue be refused, and that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism consult with the applicant to resolve this matter.

(Clause No. 6, Report No. 6)

3.11 Proposed Street Naming Policy

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 7, 2000) from the City Clerk forwarding the action of the Works Committee in having concurred in the recommendations embodied in the report (January 21, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, and in so doing:

- (1) forwarded the Street Naming Policy, outlined in Attachment No. 1 to the aforementioned report, to the Community Councils for comment; and

- (2) requested the Community Councils to forward their comments to the Works Committee meeting of April 19, 2000, for processing through to City Council.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (January 21, 2000) from the Commissioner, Works and Emergency Services.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, as amended by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended to the Works Committee that the Street Naming Policy outlined in Attachment No. (1) of the report (January 21, 2000) be adopted, subject to a statement being inserted to read: "That, as often as possible, names of streets shall not be changed, due to the profound effect on people's lives."

The following motion by Councillor Bossons was voted on and lost:

"That a panel be created specifically to review suggested names for submission to Community Council, as suggested by Mr. Greg Gatenby in his communication."

(Letter sent to: Works Committee – March 29, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(e), Report No. 6)

3.12 Appeal Of Denial Of Application For Commercial Boulevard Parking - 112 Berkeley Street (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (November 18, 1999) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City Council deny the application for commercial boulevard parking fronting 112 Berkeley Street and that she be instructed to install physical barriers to prevent any unauthorized boulevard parking.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (undated) from Ms. Katherine Van de Mark.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council grant the application for commercial boulevard parking fronting 112 Berkeley Street, subject to the owner paying all the applicable fees.

(Clause No. 7, Report No. 6)

3.13 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 400 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code, To Permit The Existing Paving To Remain In Connection With Front Yard Parking At 24 Balsam Avenue (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 7, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1)(a) City Council deny the request to maintain the existing paving in connection with front yard parking at 24 Balsam Avenue and that the applicant be required to remove or modify the existing paving and replace it with a permeable material such as ecostone or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
- (1)(b) the owner pay all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; OR
- (2) City Council approve the request to maintain the existing paving in connection with front yard parking at 24 Balsam Avenue, subject to the owner paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the request to maintain the existing paving in connection with front yard parking at 24 Balsam Avenue, subject to the owner paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Clause No. 8, Report No. 6)

3.14 2 Wellesley Place (Rupert Simpson House) – Designation Under Part Iv Of The Ontario Heritage Act (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 1, 2000) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism recommending that:

- (1) City Council designate the property at 2 Wellesley Place (Rupert Simpson House) under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*.
- (2) City Council request the Ontario Realty Corporation to require any future owner of the property at 2 Wellesley Place (Rupert Simpson House) to enter into an Heritage Easement Agreement as a condition of the sale.
- (3) City Council request the Ontario Realty Corporation to consult with the Ontario Heritage Foundation about a provincial Heritage Easement Agreement on the property at 2 Wellesley Place (Rupert Simpson House).
- (4) should an Heritage Easement Agreement not be secured at the time of sale, City Council request any future owner of the property at 2 Wellesley Place (Rupert Simpson House) to enter into an Heritage Easement Agreement with the City of Toronto as a condition of the rezoning of the site.

- (5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 9, Report No. 6)

3.15 4 Wellesley Place (Mary Perram House) – Designation Under Part IV Of The Ontario Heritage Act (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 1, 2000) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism recommending that:

- (1) City Council designate the property at 4 Wellesley Place (Mary Perram House) under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*.
- (2) City Council request the Ontario Realty Corporation to require any future owner of the property at 4 Wellesley Place (Mary Perram House) to enter into a Heritage Easement Agreement as a condition of the sale.
- (3) City Council request the Ontario Realty Corporation to consult with the Ontario Heritage Foundation about a provincial Heritage Easement Agreement on the property at 4 Wellesley Place (Mary Perram House).
- (4) should an Heritage Easement Agreement not be secured at the time of sale, City Council request any future owner of the property at 4 Wellesley Place (Mary Perram House) to enter into an Heritage Easement Agreement with the City of Toronto as a condition of the rezoning of the site.
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 10, Report No. 6)

3.16 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 248 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code To Permit The Existing Paving To Remain In Connection With Driveway Widening At 95 Heddington Avenue (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 1, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the request to maintain the existing paving in connection with driveway widening at 95 Heddington Avenue and that the applicant be required to remove or modify the existing paving and replace it with a semi-permeable material such as ecostone or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in accordance with the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; OR
- (2) City Council approve the request to maintain the existing paving in connection with driveway widening at 95 Heddington Avenue.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the request to maintain the existing paving in connection with driveway widening at 95 Heddington Avenue.

(Clause No. 11, Report No. 6)

3.17 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 248 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code To Permit The Existing Paving To Remain In Connection With Driveway Widening At 49 Hoyle Avenue (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 1, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the request to maintain the existing paving in connection with driveway widening at 49 Hoyle Avenue and that the applicant be required to remove or modify the existing paving and replace it with a semi-permeable material such as ecostone or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in accordance with the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; OR
- (2) City Council approve the request to maintain the existing paving in connection with driveway widening at 49 Hoyle Avenue, subject to the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the request to maintain the existing paving in connection with driveway widening at 49 Hoyle Avenue, subject to the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Clause No. 12, Report No. 6)

3.18 519 Jarvis Street (Chester D. Massey House) – Designation Under Part IV Of The Ontario Heritage Act (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 1, 2000) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism recommending that:

- (1) City Council designate the property at 519 Jarvis Street (Chester D. Massey House) under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*.
- (2) City Council request the Ontario Realty Corporation to require any future owner of the property at 519 Jarvis Street (Chester D. Massey House) to enter into an Heritage Easement Agreement as a condition of the sale.
- (3) City Council request the Ontario Realty Corporation to consult with the Ontario Heritage Foundation about a provincial Heritage Easement Agreement on the property at 519 Jarvis Street (Chester D. Massey House).
- (4) should an Heritage Easement Agreement not be secured at the time of sale, City Council require any future owner of the property at 519 Jarvis Street (Chester D. Massey House) to enter into an Heritage Easement Agreement with the City of Toronto as a condition of the rezoning of the site.
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the foregoing report (March 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be adopted; and
- (2) City Council request the Provincial Government and the Ontario Realty Corporation to return to the practice of informing other levels of government when provincial lands are declared surplus, prior to their public notice for sale.

(Clause No. 13, Report No. 6)

3.19 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 248 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code To Permit Driveway Widening For Two Vehicles At 32 Manor Road East (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 1, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the request for an exemption from the by-law to permit driveway widening for two vehicles at 32 Manor Road East, as such a request does not comply with Chapter 248 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; OR
- (2) City Council approve the request for driveway widening for a second vehicle at 32 Manor Road East, subject to:
 - (a) the applicant maintaining the existing paved area; and
 - (b) the applicant submitting an application for driveway widening, paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the request for driveway widening for a second vehicle at 32 Manor Road East, subject to:

- (a) the applicant maintaining the existing paved area; and
- (b) the applicant submitting an application for driveway widening, paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Clause No. 14, Report No. 6)

3.20 Residential Demolition Application – 71 Mulock Avenue (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 25, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that City Council refuse the application to demolish the residential building at No. 71 Mulock Avenue.

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on May 2, 2000 and requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report on the possibility of adding the following conditions:

- (1) That any future industrial expansion on this site be subject to rezoning;
- (2) That the owner of 71 Mulock Avenue be required to build a fence, at his expense, between his house and that of his neighbour on the north side, to provide privacy; and
- (3) That access to the parking area be only from the public lane at the rear:

(Letter sent to: Mr. Sam Luca, International Cheese Co. Ltd.; c.: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Prabhaker Mahant, Urban Development Services – March 29, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(f), Report No. 6)

3.21 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 248 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code To Permit Driveway Parking For Two Vehicles At 125 Highbourne Road (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 7, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the request for an exemption from the by-law to permit driveway parking for two vehicles at 125 Highbourne Road, as such a request does not comply with Chapter 248 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code;
OR
- (2) City Council approve the request for driveway parking for two vehicles at 125 Highbourne Road, subject to the applicant submitting an application for driveway widening, paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; OR
- (3) City Council approve the request for driveway parking for two vehicles at 125 Highbourne Road, subject to the applicant submitting an application for driveway widening, paying all applicable fees, maintaining the existing paving, and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the request for driveway parking for two vehicles at 125 Highbourne Road, subject to the applicant submitting an application for driveway widening, paying all applicable fees, maintaining the existing paving, and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Clause No. 15, Report No. 6)

3.22 818 Eastern Avenue, Application No. 999101: Request For Approval Of Minor Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 6, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that City Council refuse Application No. 999101 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of

Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for third party advertising purposes, an illuminated two face roof sign on the top of a two-storey commercial building at 818 Eastern Avenue.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (March 22, 2000) from Leroy Cassanova.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on May 2, 2000.

(Letter sent to: All Interested Persons; c.: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; c.: Norm Girdhar, Urban Development Services – March 29, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(g), Report No. 6)

3.23 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 400 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code To Permit Front Yard Parking At 123 Fermanagh Avenue (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 6, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for front yard parking at 123 Fermanagh Avenue;
OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 123 Fermanagh Avenue, subject to:
 - (a) the maximum area to be paved for parking not to exceed 2.6 m wide by 5.9 m long;
 - (b) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved and equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
 - (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 123 Fermanagh Avenue, subject to:

- (a) the maximum area to be paved for parking not to exceed 2.6 m wide by 5.9 m long;

- (b) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved and equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
- (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Clause No. 16, Report No. 6)

3.24 550 Gerrard Street East (Don Jail) – Designation Under Part IV Of The Ontario Heritage Act (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, recommending that:

- (1) City Council designate the property at 550 Gerrard Street East (Don Jail) under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*.
- (2) City Council request the Ontario Realty Corporation to require any future owner of the property at 550 Gerrard Street East (Don Jail) to enter into an Heritage Easement Agreement with the City of Toronto as a condition of the sale.
- (3) should an Heritage Easement Agreement not be secured at the time of sale, City Council require any future owner of the property at 550 Gerrard Street East (Don Jail) to enter into an Heritage Easement Agreement as a condition of the rezoning of the site.
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report, subject to the insertion of the following paragraph into the report:

“The City understands that an adaptive reuse of the building will be required and would not want the designation to discourage reuse. In particular it understands and accepts that there will likely be alterations proposed to the exterior walls of the building, although not including the south façade, and to the interior. Those alterations may include the selective removal of elements mentioned in the complete designation description, including the jail cells and the bars on the windows. The City looks forward to working with a potential purchaser of the building and to seeing this building reused.”

(Clause No. 17, Report No. 6)

3.25 558 Gerrard Street East (Governor's House, Don Jail) – Designation Under Part IV Of The Ontario Heritage Act (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, recommending that:

- (1) City Council designate the property at 558 Gerrard Street East (Governor's House, Don Jail) under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*.
- (2) City Council request the Ontario Realty Corporation to require any future owner of the property at 558 Gerrard Street East (Governor's House, Don Jail) to enter into an Heritage Easement Agreement with the City of Toronto as a condition of the sale.
- (3) should an Heritage Easement Agreement not be secured at the time of sale, City Council require any future owner of the property at 558 Gerrard Street East (Governor's House, Don Jail) to enter into an Heritage Easement Agreement as a condition of the rezoning of the site.
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 18, Report No. 6)

3.26 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Campbell Avenue, From Wallace Avenue To Dupont Street, By The Installation Of Speed Humps With A Possible Future Reduction In Speed From 40 Km/Hr To 30 Km/Hr. (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Campbell Avenue from Wallace Avenue to Dupont Street, by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (Davenport), and Clause 9 of Report No. 12 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Installation of Speed Humps – Campbell Avenue, from Wallace Avenue to Dupont Street (Davenport)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on September 28 and 29, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (March 2, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting Speed Hump Poll Results.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on March 3, March 8, March 15 and March 22, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Clause No. 19, Report No. 6)

3.27 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Campbell Avenue, From Paton Road To Wallace Avenue, By The Installation Of Speed Humps With A Possible Future Reduction In Speed From 40 Km/Hr To 30 Km/Hr. (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Campbell Avenue from Paton Road to Wallace Avenue, by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (Davenport), and Clause 13 of Report No. 15 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Installation of Speed Humps – Campbell Avenue from Paton Road to Wallace Avenue (Davenport)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on December 14, 15 and 15, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (March 2, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on March 3, March 8, March 15 and March 22, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Clause No. 20, Report No. 6)

3.28 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Duncan Street, From King Street West To Pearl Street By Narrowing The Pavement And Widening The Sidewalk. (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Duncan Street from King Street West to Pearl Street, by narrowing the pavement and widening the sidewalk (Downtown), and Clause 20 of Report No. 2 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Sidewalk Widening – Pearl Street, from Simcoe Street to Duncan Street – Duncan Street, east side, from King Street West to Pearl Street (Downtown)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on February 1, 2 and 3, 2000.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (March 22, 2000) from Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on March 3, March 8, March 15 and March 22, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Clause No. 21, Report No. 6)

3.29 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Pearl Street Between Duncan Street And Simcoe Street By Narrowing The Pavement And Widening The Sidewalk. (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Pearl Street between Duncan Street and Simcoe Street, by narrowing the pavement and widening the sidewalk (Downtown), and Clause 20 of Report No. 2 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Sidewalk Widening – Pearl Street, from Simcoe Street to Duncan Street – Duncan Street, east side, from King Street West to Pearl Street (Downtown)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on February 1, 2 and 3, 2000.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on March 3, March 8, March 15 and March 22, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Clause No. 77, Report No. 5)

3.30 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of The Esplanade, West Of Market Street, By Narrowing The Pavement By The Installation Of A Median Island. (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it draft by-law to authorize the alteration of The Esplanade, west of Market Street, by narrowing the pavement by the installation of a median island (Downtown), and Clause 14 of Report No. 2 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Implementation of Speed Limit, Installation of Median Island and Provision of Designated Left-turn Lane – The Esplanade, from Lower Jarvis Street to Yonge Street and the Intersection of The Esplanade and Market Street (Downtown)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on February 1, 2 and 3, 2000.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on March 3, March 8, March 15 and March 22, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Clause No. 76, Report No. 5)

3.31 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Macdonell Avenue, From Wabash Avenue To Seaforth Avenue, By The Installation Of Speed Humps With A Possible Future Reduction In Speed From 40 KM/HR To 30 KM/HR. (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of MacDonell Avenue from Wabash Avenue to Seaforth Avenue, by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed limit from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (High Park), and Clause 10 of Report No. 12 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Installation of Speed Humps – Macdonell Avenue, Wabash Avenue to Seaforth Avenue (High Park)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on September 28 and 29, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (March 1, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on March 3, March 8, March 15 and March 22, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Clause No. 75, Report No. 5)

3.32 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Old Forest Hill Road, From Eglinton Avenue West To Hilltop Road, By The Installation Of Speed Humps With A Possible Future Reduction In Speed From 40 Km/Hr To 30 Km/Hr. (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Old Forest Hill Road from Eglinton Avenue West to Hilltop Road, by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed limit from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (North Toronto) and Clause 27 of Report No. 15 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Installation of Speed Humps – Old Forest Hill Road, from Eglinton Avenue West to Hilltop Road (North Toronto)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on December 14, 15 and 16, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (March 2, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on March 3, March 8, March 15 and March 22, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Clause No. 74, Report No. 5)

3.33 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Windermere Avenue, Between Bloor Street West And Annette Street, By The Installation Of Speed Humps With A Possible Future Reduction In Speed From 40 KM/HR To 30 KM/HR. (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Windermere Avenue between Bloor Street West and Annette Street, by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed limit from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (High Park), and Clause 36 of Report No. 14 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Installation of Speed Humps – Windermere Avenue, from Bloor Street West to Annette Street (High Park)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on November 23, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following report/communications:

- (March 1, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting Speed Hump Poll Results;
- (October 3, 1999) from Ms. Eleanor Knapp;
- (March 22, 2000) from Paul Taylor and Bronwyn Krog.

Notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on March 3, March 8, March 15 and March 22, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Clause No. 73, Report No. 5)

3.34 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 248 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code To Permit Driveway Widening At 17 Whitewood Road (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 8, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the request for an exemption from the by-law to permit driveway widening at 17 Whitewood Road, as such a request does not comply with Chapter 248 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; OR
- (2) City Council approve the request for driveway widening for one vehicle at 17 Whitewood Road, adjacent to the mutual driveway, subject to:
 - (a) the maximum area to be paved not to exceed 2.3 m wide by 5.0 m long;
 - (b) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
 - (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the request for driveway widening for one vehicle at 17 Whitewood Road, adjacent to the mutual driveway, subject to:

- (a) the maximum area to be paved not to exceed 2.3 m wide by 5.0 m long;
- (b) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
- (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Clause No. 72, Report No. 5)

3.35 Appeal Of Denial Of Application For A Boulevard Café - 429a Yonge Street, Granby Street Flank (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 7, 2000) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing & Standards recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for a boulevard café on the Granby Street flank of 429A Yonge Street; OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for a boulevard café on the Granby Street flank of 429A Yonge Street, notwithstanding the negative result of the public poll, and that such approval be subject to the applicant complying with the criteria set out in § 313-36 of Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council deny the application for a boulevard café on the Granby Street flank of 429A Yonge Street.

(Clause No. 71, Report No. 5)

3.36 Pho Hung Restaurant And Market, 350 Spadina Avenue (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (January 11, 2000) from Councillor Disero respecting the Pho Hung Restaurant and Market at 350 Spadina Avenue.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following material:

- report (February 4, 2000) from Director, Transportation Services, District 1;
- Clause 57 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 12 titled, "Unauthorized Temporary Marketing Enclosure – St. Andrew Street Flankage of 350 Spadina Avenue", which was adopted, without amendment, by City Council at its meeting held on October 28, 29 and 30, 1998; and
- report (March 9, 2000) from Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the portion of the right of way of St. Andrew Street currently occupied by two refrigeration units be leased to the owner of Pho Hung Restaurant Ltd., subject to the owner:

- (a) indemnifying the City of Toronto from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages, charges and expenses that may result from such permission granted;
- (b) maintaining the canopy enclosure and refrigeration units in good and proper repair in a condition satisfactory to the Commissioners of Works and Emergency Services and Urban Development Services;
- (c) paying a minimum annual rental fee of \$1,656.00 for the canopy housing the refrigeration units;

- (d) accepting such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City; and
- (e) removing the canopy and 2 refrigeration units upon receiving 90 days notice from the City so to do.

(Clause No. 70, Report No. 5)

3.37 Designation Of 1107 Avenue Road – Eglinton Hunt Club (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 17, 2000) from the City Clerk respecting the designation of No. 1107 Avenue Road (Eglinton Hunt Club) and recommending that in accordance with Subsection 29 (7) of the Ontario Heritage Act, R.S.O. 1990, Chapter 0.18, the matter be referred to the Conservation Review Board for a hearing and report.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (February 9, 2000) from Mr. Claude Cohen, Claude Cohen Matlofsky.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended that, in light of the withdrawal of the objection to designation, the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the necessary by-law to designate the property at 1107 Avenue Road.

(Clause No. 69, Report No. 5)

3.38 300 Campbell Avenue - Request To Remove City-Owned Tree (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 25, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development Culture and Tourism recommending that the request to remove the City-owned tree at No. 300 Campbell Avenue be denied.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on May 2, 2000.

(Letter sent to: Mr. Samuel Shainhouse, Campbell Dupont Realty, 300 Campbell Avenue, Toronto, Ontario M6P 3V6; c.: Commissioner, Economic Development Culture and Tourism; Vicky McGrath, Economic Development Culture and Tourism – March 29, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(h), Report No. 6)

3.39 Front Yard Parking Fee Structure – 12 Alpine Avenue (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (January 27, 2000) from Councillor Disero respecting the current front yard parking fee structure for No. 12 Alpine Avenue.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following report/communications:

- (March 8, 2000) from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1;
- (March 16, 2000) from Councillor Walker; and
- (March 23, 2000) from Alicia C. Keating.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the fee of \$475.00 with respect to the front yard parking application for 12 Alpine Avenue be waived.

A motion by Councillor Bossons, to reopen this matter, lost on a tie vote.

(Clause No. 68, Report No. 5)

3.40 Appeal Of Denial Of Application For A Boulevard Café – Fronting 380 College Street And On The Borden Street Flank Of 380 College Street. (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 28, 2000) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing & Standards recommending that, with respect to the boulevard café fronting 380 College Street;

- (1) City Council deny the application for a boulevard café fronting 380 College Street:
OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for a boulevard café fronting 380 College Street, notwithstanding the negative response to the public notice, and that such approval be subject to the applicant complying with the criteria set out in § 313-36 of Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code: and

With respect to the boulevard café on the Borden Street flank of 380 College Street;

- (3) City Council deny the application for a boulevard café on the Borden Street flank of 380 College Street; OR
- (4) City Council approve the application for a boulevard café on the Borden Street flank of 380 College Street, notwithstanding the negative result of the public poll, and that such approval be subject to the applicant complying with the criteria set out in § 313-

36 of Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (March 22, 2000) from Richard Gilbert and a petition (March 20, 2000) signed by 25 persons.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report sine die.

(Letter sent to: All Interested Persons; c.: District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards)

(Clause No. 23(i), Report No. 6)

3.41 Drain Grant Appeal – 360 Victoria Park Avenue (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 8, 2000) from the Director of Districts 1 and 2, Water and Wastewater Operations respecting the drain grant appeal for No. 360 Victoria Park Avenue and recommending that:

- (1) The appeal be denied; and
- (2) This report and Toronto Community Council's recommendations be forwarded to City Council through the Works Committee.

Mr. Andrew Balfour appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended to the Works Committee that, based on extenuating circumstances and undue hardship, the appeal for a drain claim grant in the amount of \$1,382.03 for 360 Victoria Park Avenue be allowed, funds to be provided from the Water and Wastewater Services Division's 2000 Preliminary Operating Budget.

(Letter sent to: Works Committee – March 29, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(j), Report No. 6)

3.42 332 Cleveland Street – Removal Of Private Tree (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 29, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development Culture and Tourism respecting the removal of the private tree at No. 332 Cleveland Street and recommending that:

- (1) a permit for tree removal be refused; or
- (2) a permit for tree removal be issued conditional on i) the tree in question not being removed until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under the building permit application for the subject project commence which warrant the destruction of the tree; and ii) the applicant planting an 80 millimetre caliper red oak replacement tree on the private property of this address.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (March 22, 2000) from Nadine Azran.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on May 2, 2000.

(Letter sent to: All Interested Persons – March 29, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(k), Report No. 6)

3.43 94 Markham Street – Removal Of Private Trees (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 29, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development Culture and Tourism respecting the removal of private trees at No. 95 Markham Street and recommending that:

- (1) a permit for tree removal be refused; or
- (2) a permit for tree removal be issued conditional on i) the trees in question not being removed until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under the building permit application for the subject project commence which warrant the destruction of the trees; and ii) the applicant planting an 80 millimetre caliper large growing native shade tree as replacement on private property at this address.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a permit for the removal of the walnut tree at 94 Markham Street be issued, conditional on the applicant planting an additional suitable tree in the back yard, to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

(Clause No. 67, Report No. 5)

3.44 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 248 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code To Permit Angled Driveway Widening At 45 Hillsdale Avenue West (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 7, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the request for an exemption from the by-law to permit angled driveway widening at 45 Hillside Avenue West, as such a request does not comply with Chapter 248 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; OR
- (2) City Council approve the request for angled parking at 45 Hillside Avenue West, notwithstanding that the required landscaped space cannot be provided and subject to:
 - (a) the parking area not exceeding 1.72 m by 4.7 m;
 - (b) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
 - (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the request for angled parking at 45 Hillside Avenue West, notwithstanding that the required landscaped space cannot be provided and subject to:

- (a) the parking area not exceeding 1.72 m by 4.7 m;
- (b) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
- (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Clause No. 66, Report No. 5)

3.45 Residential Demolition Application – 40 Summerhill Gardens (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 25, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending:

1. That City Council authorize me to issue the residential demolition permit for 40 Summerhill Gardens, subject to the standard conditions as set out in Chapter 146-16B(5) of the Municipal Code, specifically:

- (a) That the applicant for the permit construct and substantially complete the new building to be erected on the site of the residential property to be demolished, not later than two (2) years from the day demolition of the existing residential property is commenced.
 - (b) That, on failure to complete the new building within the time specified, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector's roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand dollars (\$20,000.00) for each dwelling unit contained in the residential property in respect of which the demolition permit is issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the land in respect of which the permit to demolish the residential property is issued, OR
2. That the application to demolish the subject residential building be deferred by City Council, since there is no building permit issued at this time for the new replacement building.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (February 14, 2000) from Mr. Thomas B. Ridout.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on May 2, 2000.

(Letter sent to: Mr. Thomas Blackey Ridout; 40 Summerhill Gardens, Toronto, Ontario M4T 1G4)

(Clause No. 23(l), Report No. 6)

3.46 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 400 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code To Permit Front Yard Parking At 14 Boswell Avenue (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 7, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for front yard parking at 14 Boswell Avenue; OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 14 Boswell Avenue, subject to:
 - (a) a formal poll being conducted and that such poll have a favourable result;
 - (b) the maximum length of the vehicle to be parked not exceeding a length of 4.0m ;

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Thursday, March 23, 2000

- (c) the applicant removing the paving within 1.6 m of the existing City owned tree;
- (d) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
- (e) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 14 Boswell Avenue, subject to:

- (a) a formal poll being conducted and that such poll have a favourable result;
- (b) the maximum length of the vehicle to be parked not exceeding a length of 4.0 m ;
- (c) the applicant removing the paving within 1.6 m of the existing City owned tree; and
- (d) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code;

acknowledging that the applicant has made a voluntary contribution of \$500.00 to the Midtown Tree Project.

(Clause No. 65, Report No. 5)

3.47 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 248 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code To Permit Driveway Widening For Two Vehicles At 352b Spadina Road (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 8, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the request for an exemption from the by-law to permit driveway widening for two vehicles at 352B Spadina Road, as such a request does not comply with Chapter 248 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; OR
- (2) City Council approve the request for driveway widening for two vehicles at 352B Spadina Road, positioned such that one vehicle is within the limits of the private driveway and one vehicle parks adjacent to the driveway, subject to:
 - (a) the applicant maintaining the existing interlocking brick parking area;

- (b) the applicant maintaining the existing landscaping; and
- (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (November 15, 1999) from Gail Brenda Eisen.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the request for driveway widening for two vehicles at 352B Spadina Road, positioned such that one vehicle is within the limits of the private driveway and one vehicle parks adjacent to the driveway, subject to:

- (a) the applicant maintaining the existing interlocking brick parking area;
- (b) the applicant maintaining the existing landscaping; and
- (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Clause No. 65, Report No. 5)

3.48 152 Clinton Street – Removal Of Private Trees (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 29, 2000) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the removal of a private tree from No. 152 Clinton Street.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (March 22, 2000) from Yvonne & John O'Hara.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a permit for tree removal at 152 Clinton Street be issued.

(Clause No. 63, Report No. 5)

3.49 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 400 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code, To Permit Front Yard Parking And The Existing Paving To Remain At 118 Kendal Avenue (Midtown)

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Thursday, March 23, 2000

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 8, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for front yard parking at 118 Kendal Avenue; OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 118 Kendal Avenue, subject to:
 - (a) a formal poll being conducted and that such poll have a favourable result;
 - (b) the maximum area to be paved for parking not exceeding 2.6 m by 5.9 m;
 - (c) the applicant removing the existing paved surface of the parking area and replacing it with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
 - (d) the owner paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; OR
- (3) City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 118 Kendal Avenue, subject to:
 - (a) a formal poll being conducted and that such poll have a favourable result;
 - (b) the applicant maintain the existing interlocking brick parking area to a maximum area of 2.6 m by 5.9 m; and
 - (c) the owner paying all applicable fees, including a permanent ramp, and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (November 17, 1999) from John and Chriss Bogert.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 118 Kendal Avenue, subject to:

- (a) a formal poll being conducted and that such poll have a favourable result;
- (b) the applicant maintain the existing interlocking brick parking area to a maximum area of 2.6 m by 5.9 m; and

- (c) the owner paying all applicable fees, including a permanent ramp, and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council also requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Toronto Community Council on the unusual circumstances surrounding the granting of front yard parking for an alleged disabled person, which has never been used by a disabled person.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Manager, Right of Way Manager, Transportation Services, District 1; Mr. Nino Pellegrini, Senior By-law Officer, Off Street Parking; Mr. and Mrs. Bogert, 118 Kendal Avenue, Toronto M5R 1L9 – March 29, 2000)

(Clause No. 62, Report No. 5)

3.50 Appeal – Front Yard Parking – 137 Westminster Avenue (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 10, 2000) from the City Clerk forwarding Clause No. 1 contained in Report No. 1 of the Toronto Community Council titled “Appeal – Front Yard Parking – 137 Westminster Avenue (High Park)” which City Council on February 1, 2 and 3, 2000 struck out and referred back to the Toronto Community Council for further consideration.

Mr. Andrew Kopytynski appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 137 Westminster Avenue, subject to:

- (a) the maximum area to be paved for parking not to exceed 2.6 m wide by 5.9 m long;
- (b) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e. ecostone pavers or approved and equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
- (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Clause No. 61, Report No. 5)

3.51 113 Castlewood Road – Removal Of Private Tree (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 29, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the removal of a private tree at No. 113 Castlewood Road and recommending that:

- (1) a permit for tree removal be refused; or
- (2) a permit for tree removal be issued conditional on i) the tree in question not being removed until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under the building permit application for the subject project commence which warrant the destruction of the tree; and ii) the applicant planting an 80 millimetre caliper large growing native shade tree as replacement on private property at this address.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on May 2, 2000.

(Letter sent to: All Interested Persons – March 29, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(m), Report No. 6)

3.52 261 Inglewood Drive – Removal Of Private Tree (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 29, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the removal of a private tree at No. 261 Inglewood Drive and recommending that:

- (1) a permit for tree removal be refused; or
- (2) a permit for tree removal be issued conditional on the applicant planting an 80 millimetre caliper large growing native shade tree as replacement on private property at this address.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (February 26, 2000) from Mr. George S.B. Moad;
- (February 27, 2000) from R. Louise Lang;
- (March 16, 2000) from Jess and Kathy Bush;
- (March 20, 2000) from J.R. Thornton;
- (March 22, 2000) from Katia Vern Campbell; and
- (March 22, 2000) from Margaret Phelan.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Peter Bride, Landscape Architect;
- Mr. Tim Bermingham, Blake Cassels;
- Mrs. J. R. Thornton;
- Mr. George Moad; and
- Ms. Louise Lang.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a permit for tree removal at 261 Inglewood Drive be refused.

(Clause No. 60, Report No. 5)

3.53 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 400 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code To Permit Front Yard Parking At 76 Howland Avenue (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 7, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for front yard parking at 76 Howland Avenue; OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 76 Howland Avenue, subject to:
 - (a) a formal poll being conducted and that such poll have a favourable result;
 - (b) the applicant removing the existing paving within the proposed parking area and the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
 - (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 76 Howland Avenue, subject to:

- (a) a formal poll being conducted and that such poll have a favourable result;
- (b) the applicant removing the existing paving within the proposed parking area and the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and

- (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Clause No. 59, Report No. 5)

3.54 Residential Demolition Application –103 Glen Road (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 25, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting the residential demolition application for No. 103 Glen Road and recommending that:

1. That the application to demolish the subject residential building be refused by City Council, since no replacement building is proposed at this time, OR
2. That the application to demolish the subject residential building be granted by City Council, provided:
 - (a) that a replacement building is erected on the site not later than two (2) years from the day demolition of the existing building is commenced, and
 - (b) that, on failure to complete the new building within the time specified, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector's roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand (\$20,000) for each dwelling unit contained in the building in respect of which the demolition permit is issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the land in respect of which the permit to demolish the residential property is issued, OR
3. That the application to demolish the subject residential building be granted without conditions.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the application to demolish the subject residential building at 103 Glen Road be granted without conditions.

(Clause No. 58, Report No. 5)

3.55 262 St. Clair Avenue West (Alexander Davidson House) – Designation Under Part IV Of The Ontario Heritage Act (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 21, 1999) from the Managing Director, Toronto Historical Board recommending that the property at 262 St.

Clair Avenue West (Alexander Davidson House) be designated under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following materials:

- Clause 13 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 13, titled, "Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties – 262 and 264 St. Clair Avenue West (Alexander Davidson House and Coach House)(Midtown)", which was amended and adopted by City Council at its meeting held on October 26 and 27, 1999;
- (January 8, 2000) from Mr. Dwayne Blick;
- (January 13, 2000) from Ms. Marion E. L. Oliver;
- (January 17, 2000) from Ms. Roslyn Houser, Goodman Phillips & Vineberg;
- (Undated) from Ms. Nadia Battista;
- (January 18, 2000) from Mr. Joseph M. Peckham;
- (November 15, 1999) Examiner's Notice from Mr. P. Mahant;
- (October 27, 1999) Demolition Permit Application Form from Mr. Gary Switzer;
- (October 27, 1999) Permit Application No. 105918 from Mr. Gary Switzer;
- (October 27, 1999) Permit Application No. 105924 from Mr. Gary Switzer;
- (January 18, 2000) from Dr. Michelle Flax;
- (Undated) from Mr. Andre B. Meurer;
- (January 18, 2000) from Mr. Glen Campbell;
- (January 18, 2000) from Ms. Rosemary Hellmer;
- (January 17, 2000) from Mr. Patrick Tannahill;
- (January 18, 2000) from Ms. Mira Bazzul;
- (January 18, 2000) from Mr. George Wm. Roth;
- (January 17, 2000) from Mr. Farooque Dawood; and

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Thursday, March 23, 2000

- (January 28, 2000) from the City Clerk forwarding the action taken by the Toronto Community Council on January 18, 2000.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Susan Ainley, North Hill District Home Owners' Association;
- Mr. Paul Dilse, Heritage Planning Consultant;
- Mr. Joseph Peckham;
- Ms. Judith Hinchman, North Hill District Homeowners' Association;
- Mr. Brian Maguire, North Hill District Homeowners' Association;
- Ms. Rosemary Hellmer, St. Clair Cloverhill Tenants' Association; and
- Mr. Lorne Vineberg.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) City Council state its intention to designate the property at 262 St. Clair Avenue West (Alexander Davidson House) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
- (2) the application to demolish the subject commercial and residential building at 262 St. Clair Avenue West be refused by City Council, since no replacement building is proposed at this time, and the building has been listed on the City's Inventory of Heritage Properties, and Heritage Toronto is considering the property for designation under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
- (3) City Council state its intention to designate the property at 264 St. Clair Avenue West (Alexander Davidson Coach House) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.
- (4) the application to demolish the subject commercial and residential building at 264 St. Clair Avenue West be refused by City Council, since no replacement building is proposed at this time, and the building has been listed on the City's Inventory of Heritage Properties, and Heritage Toronto is considering the property for designation under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
- (5) Application No. 199020 for Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning and Site Plan Approval Application No. 300001, as revised on March 1, 2000 be refused;
- (6) the City Solicitor, the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism (Heritage Preservation Services) and any other appropriate staff be requested to oppose the Ontario Municipal Board appeal and referral made by the applicant on Application No. 199020;
- (7) the City Solicitor, the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and any other

appropriate staff be requested to oppose the Ontario Municipal Board appeal, if made, by the applicant on Site Plan Application No. 300001;

- (8) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to hold an information meeting in the community to discuss the application and to notify owners and tenants within 300 metres of the site and the Ward Councillors;
- (9) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to continue discussions with the applicant and neighbourhood representatives; and
- (10) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Recommendation Nos. (1)-(8) and Recommendation No. (10) carried unanimously as follows:

Yeas: Councillors McConnell, Adams, Bossons, Chow, Johnston, Korwin-Kuczynski, Palacio, Silva and Walker – 9

Recommendation No. (9) carried on the following division of votes:

Yeas: Councillors McConnell, Bossons, Chow, Johnston and Korwin-Kuczynski – 5
Nays: Councillors Adams, Palacio, Silva and Walker - 4

(Clause No. 22, Report No. 6)

3.56 Residential Demolition Application – 262 St. Clair Avenue West (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 14, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services respecting the residential demolition application for No. 262 St. Clair Avenue West and recommending that City Council choose one of the following recommendations:

1. That the application to demolish the subject commercial and residential building be refused by City Council, since no replacement building is proposed at this time, and the building has been listed on the City's Inventory of Heritage Properties, and Heritage Toronto is considering the property for designation under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act, OR
2. That the application to demolish the subject commercial and residential building be granted by City Council, provided:
 - (a) that a replacement building is erected on the site not later than two (2) years from the day demolition of the existing building is commenced, and

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Thursday, March 23, 2000

- (b) that, on failure to complete the new building within the time specified, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector's roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand (\$20,000) for each dwelling unit contained in the building in respect of which the demolition permit is issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the land in respect of which the permit to demolish the residential property is issued, OR
3. That the application to demolish the subject commercial and residential building be granted without conditions.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following materials:

- Clause 13 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 13, titled, "Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties – 262 and 264 St. Clair Avenue West (Alexander Davidson House and Coach House)(Midtown)", which was amended and adopted by City Council at its meeting held on October 26 and 27, 1999;
- (January 8, 2000) from Mr. Dwayne Blick;
- (January 13, 2000) from Ms. Marion E. L. Oliver;
- (January 17, 2000) from Ms. Roslyn Houser, Goodman Phillips & Vineberg;
- (Undated) from Ms. Nadia Battista;
- (January 18, 2000) from Mr. Joseph M. Peckham;
- (November 15, 1999) Examiner's Notice from Mr. P. Mahan;
- (October 27, 1999) Demolition Permit Application Form from Mr. Gary Switzer;
- (October 27, 1999) Permit Application No. 105918 from Mr. Gary Switzer;
- (October 27, 1999) Permit Application No. 105924 from Mr. Gary Switzer;
- (January 18, 2000) from Dr. Michelle Flax;
- (Undated) from Mr. Andre B. Meurer;
- (January 18, 2000) from Mr. Glen Campbell;
- (January 18, 2000) from Ms. Rosemary Helmer;
- (January 17, 2000) from Mr. Patrick Tannahill;

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Thursday, March 23, 2000

- (January 18, 2000) from Ms. Mira Bazzul;
- (January 18, 2000) from Mr. George Wm. Roth;
- (January 17, 2000) from Mr. Farooque Dawood;
- (January 28, 2000) from the City Clerk forwarding the action taken by the Toronto Community Council on January 18, 2000; and
- (March 7, 2000) from the City Solicitor.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Susan Ainley, North Hill District Home Owners' Association;
- Mr. Paul Dilse, Heritage Planning Consultant;
- Mr. Joseph Peckham;
- Ms. Judith Hinchman, North Hill District Homeowners' Association;
- Mr. Brian Maguire, North Hill District Homeowners' Association;
- Ms. Rosemary Hellmer, St. Clair Cloverhill Tenants' Association; and
- Mr. Lorne Vineberg.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) City Council state its intention to designate the property at 262 St. Clair Avenue West (Alexander Davidson House) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
- (2) the application to demolish the subject commercial and residential building at 262 St. Clair Avenue West be refused by City Council, since no replacement building is proposed at this time, and the building has been listed on the City's Inventory of Heritage Properties, and Heritage Toronto is considering the property for designation under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
- (3) City Council state its intention to designate the property at 264 St. Clair Avenue West (Alexander Davidson Coach House) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.
- (4) the application to demolish the subject commercial and residential building at 264 St. Clair Avenue West be refused by City Council, since no replacement building is proposed at this time, and the building has been listed on the City's Inventory of Heritage Properties, and Heritage Toronto is considering the property for designation under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
- (5) Application No. 199020 for Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning and Site Plan Approval Application No. 300001, as revised on March 1, 2000 be refused;

- (6) the City Solicitor, the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism (Heritage Preservation Services) and any other appropriate staff be requested to oppose the Ontario Municipal Board appeal and referral made by the applicant on Application No. 199020;
- (7) the City Solicitor, the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and any other appropriate staff be requested to oppose the Ontario Municipal Board appeal, if made, by the applicant on Site Plan Application No. 300001;
- (8) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to hold an information meeting in the community to discuss the application and to notify owners and tenants within 300 metres of the site and the Ward Councillors;
- (9) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to continue discussions with the applicant and neighbourhood representatives; and
- (10) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Recommendation Nos. (1)-(8) and Recommendation No. (10) carried unanimously as follows:

Yeas: Councillors McConnell, Adams, Bossons, Chow, Johnston, Korwin-Kuczynski, Palacio, Silva and Walker – 9

Recommendation No. (9) carried on the following division of votes:

Yeas: Councillors McConnell, Bossons, Chow, Johnston and Korwin-Kuczynski – 5
Nays: Councillors Adams, Palacio, Silva and Walker - 4

(Clause No. 22, Report No. 6)

3.57 264 St. Clair Avenue West (Alexander Davidson Coach House) – Designation Under Part IV Of The *Ontario Heritage Act* (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism recommending that City Council designate the property at 264 St. Clair Avenue West (Alexander Davidson Coach House) under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Thursday, March 23, 2000

- Ms. Susan Ainley, North Hill District Home Owners' Association;
- Mr. Paul Dilse, Heritage Planning Consultant;
- Mr. Joseph Peckham;
- Ms. Judith Hinchman, North Hill District Homeowners' Association;
- Mr. Brian Maguire, North Hill District Homeowners' Association;
- Ms. Rosemary Hellmer, St. Clair Cloverhill Tenants' Association; and
- Mr. Lorne Vineberg.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) City Council state its intention to designate the property at 262 St. Clair Avenue West (Alexander Davidson House) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
- (2) the application to demolish the subject commercial and residential building at 262 St. Clair Avenue West be refused by City Council, since no replacement building is proposed at this time, and the building has been listed on the City's Inventory of Heritage Properties, and Heritage Toronto is considering the property for designation under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
- (3) City Council state its intention to designate the property at 264 St. Clair Avenue West (Alexander Davidson Coach House) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.
- (4) the application to demolish the subject commercial and residential building at 264 St. Clair Avenue West be refused by City Council, since no replacement building is proposed at this time, and the building has been listed on the City's Inventory of Heritage Properties, and Heritage Toronto is considering the property for designation under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
- (5) Application No. 199020 for Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning and Site Plan Approval Application No. 300001, as revised on March 1, 2000 be refused;
- (6) the City Solicitor, the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism (Heritage Preservation Services) and any other appropriate staff be requested to oppose the Ontario Municipal Board appeal and referral made by the applicant on Application No. 199020;
- (7) the City Solicitor, the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and any other appropriate staff be requested to oppose the Ontario Municipal Board appeal, if made, by the applicant on Site Plan Application No. 300001;
- (8) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to hold an information meeting in the community to discuss the application and to notify owners and tenants within 300 metres of the site and the Ward Councillors;

- (9) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to continue discussions with the applicant and neighbourhood representatives; and
- (10) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Recommendation Nos. (1)-(8) and Recommendation No. (10) carried unanimously as follows:

Yeas: Councillors McConnell, Adams, Bossons, Chow, Johnston, Korwin-Kuczynski, Palacio, Silva and Walker – 9

Recommendation No. (9) carried on the following division of votes:

Yeas: Councillors McConnell, Bossons, Chow, Johnston and Korwin-Kuczynski – 5

Nays: Councillors Adams, Palacio, Silva and Walker - 4

(Clause No. 22, Report No. 6)

3.58 Residential Demolition Application – 264 St. Clair Avenue West (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (December 14, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services respecting the residential demolition application for No. 264 St. Clair Avenue West and recommending that City Council choose one of the following recommendations:

- 1. That the application to demolish the subject commercial and residential building be refused by City Council, since no replacement building is proposed at this time, and the building has been listed on the City's Inventory of Heritage Properties, and Heritage Toronto is considering the property for designation under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act, OR
- 2. That the application to demolish the subject commercial and residential building be granted by City Council, provided:
 - (a) that a replacement building is erected on the site not later than two (2) years from the day demolition of the existing building is commenced, and
 - (b) that, on failure to complete the new building within the time specified, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector's roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand (\$20,000) for each dwelling unit contained in the building in respect of which the demolition permit is issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the land in respect of which the permit to demolish the residential property is issued, OR

3. That the application to demolish the subject commercial and residential building be granted without conditions.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following materials:

- Clause 13 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 13, titled, "Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties – 262 and 264 St. Clair Avenue West (Alexander Davidson House and Coach House)(Midtown)", which was amended and adopted by City Council at its meeting held on October 26 and 27, 1999;
- (January 8, 2000) from Mr. Dwayne Blick;
- (January 13, 2000) from Ms. Marion E. L. Oliver;
- (January 17, 2000) from Ms. Roslyn Houser, Goodman Phillips & Vineberg;
- (Undated) from Ms. Nadia Battista;
- (January 18, 2000) from Mr. Joseph M. Peckham;
- (November 15, 1999) Examiner's Notice from Mr. P. Mahan;
- (October 27, 1999) Demolition Permit Application Form from Mr. Gary Switzer;
- (October 27, 1999) Permit Application No. 105918 from Mr. Gary Switzer;
- (October 27, 1999) Permit Application No. 105924 from Mr. Gary Switzer;
- (January 18, 2000) from Dr. Michelle Flax;
- (Undated) from Mr. Andre B. Meurer;
- (January 18, 2000) from Mr. Glen Campbell;
- (January 18, 2000) from Ms. Rosemary Hellmer;
- (January 17, 2000) from Mr. Patrick Tannahill;
- (January 18, 2000) from Ms. Mira Bazzul;
- (January 18, 2000) from Mr. George Wm. Roth;
- (January 17, 2000) from Mr. Farooque Dawood; and

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Thursday, March 23, 2000

- (January 28, 2000) from the City Clerk forwarding the action taken by the Toronto Community Council on January 18, 2000.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Susan Ainley, North Hill District Home Owners' Association;
- Mr. Paul Dilse, Heritage Planning Consultant;
- Mr. Joseph Peckham;
- Ms. Judith Hinchman, North Hill District Homeowners' Association;
- Mr. Brian Maguire, North Hill District Homeowners' Association;
- Ms. Rosemary Hellmer, St. Clair Cloverhill Tenants' Association; and
- Mr. Lorne Vineberg.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) City Council state its intention to designate the property at 262 St. Clair Avenue West (Alexander Davidson House) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
- (2) the application to demolish the subject commercial and residential building at 262 St. Clair Avenue West be refused by City Council, since no replacement building is proposed at this time, and the building has been listed on the City's Inventory of Heritage Properties, and Heritage Toronto is considering the property for designation under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
- (3) City Council state its intention to designate the property at 264 St. Clair Avenue West (Alexander Davidson Coach House) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.
- (4) the application to demolish the subject commercial and residential building at 264 St. Clair Avenue West be refused by City Council, since no replacement building is proposed at this time, and the building has been listed on the City's Inventory of Heritage Properties, and Heritage Toronto is considering the property for designation under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
- (5) Application No. 199020 for Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning and Site Plan Approval Application No. 300001, as revised on March 1, 2000 be refused;
- (6) the City Solicitor, the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism (Heritage Preservation Services) and any other appropriate staff be requested to oppose the Ontario Municipal Board appeal and referral made by the applicant on Application No. 199020;
- (7) the City Solicitor, the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and any other

appropriate staff be requested to oppose the Ontario Municipal Board appeal, if made, by the applicant on Site Plan Application No. 300001;

- (8) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to hold an information meeting in the community to discuss the application and to notify owners and tenants within 300 metres of the site and the Ward Councillors;
- (9) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to continue discussions with the applicant and neighbourhood representatives; and
- (10) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Recommendation Nos. (1)-(8) and Recommendation No. (10) carried unanimously as follows:

Yeas: Councillors McConnell, Adams, Bossons, Chow, Johnston, Korwin-Kuczynski, Palacio, Silva and Walker – 9

Recommendation No. (9) carried on the following division of votes:

Yeas: Councillors McConnell, Bossons, Chow, Johnston and Korwin-Kuczynski – 5
Nays: Councillors Adams, Palacio, Silva and Walker - 4

(Clause No. 22, Report No. 6)

3.59 Refusal Report On Official Plan And Zoning By-Law Amendments, Application No. 199020 And Site Plan Approval Application No. 300001, Respecting 262-276 St. Clair Avenue West, 288-290 Russell Hill Road And 9 Parkwood Avenue. (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 9, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, recommending that:

1. City Council refuse Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments, Application No. 199020 and Site Plan Approval Application No. 300001, as revised on March 1, 2000.
2. City Council request the City Solicitor, the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism (Heritage Preservation Services) and any other appropriate staff to oppose the Ontario Municipal Board appeal and referral made by the applicant on Application No. 199020.

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Thursday, March 23, 2000

3. City Council request the City Solicitor, the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and any other appropriate staff to oppose the Ontario Municipal Board appeal, if made, by the applicant on Site Plan Application No. 300001.
4. The Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to hold an information meeting in the community to discuss the application and to notify owners and tenants within 300 metres of the site and the Ward Councillors.
5. The Commissioner of Urban Development Services to continue discussions with the applicant and neighbourhood representatives.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (March 20, 2000) from Ms. Kathy Lipiec-Brown;
- (March 21, 2000) from Lorne Vineberg;
- (March 21, 2000) from Bryan Gooderham;
- (March 18, 2000) from Marion E.L. Oliver;
- (March 21, 2000) from David Love;
- (March 23, 2000) from Paul Dilse;
- (March 23, 2000) from Rosemary Helmer;
- (March 23, 2000) from Susan Ainley, President, North Hill District Home Owners' Association;
- (March 23, 2000) from Brian Maguire, North Hill District Home Owners' Association; and
- (March 23, 2000) from Judith Hinchman.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Susan Ainley, North Hill District Home Owners' Association;
- Mr. Paul Dilse, Heritage Planning Consultant;
- Mr. Joseph Peckham;
- Ms. Judith Hinchman, North Hill District Homeowners' Association;
- Mr. Brian Maguire, North Hill District Homeowners' Association;
- Ms. Rosemary Hellmer, St. Clair Cloverhill Tenants' Association; and
- Mr. Lorne Vineberg.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) City Council state its intention to designate the property at 262 St. Clair Avenue West (Alexander Davidson House) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
- (2) the application to demolish the subject commercial and residential building at 262 St. Clair Avenue West be refused by City Council, since no replacement building is proposed at this time, and the building has been listed on the City's Inventory of Heritage Properties, and Heritage Toronto is considering the property for designation under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
- (3) City Council state its intention to designate the property at 264 St. Clair Avenue West (Alexander Davidson Coach House) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.
- (4) the application to demolish the subject commercial and residential building at 264 St. Clair Avenue West be refused by City Council, since no replacement building is proposed at this time, and the building has been listed on the City's Inventory of Heritage Properties, and Heritage Toronto is considering the property for designation under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
- (5) Application No. 199020 for Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning and Site Plan Approval Application No. 300001, as revised on March 1, 2000 be refused;
- (6) the City Solicitor, the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism (Heritage Preservation Services) and any other appropriate staff be requested to oppose the Ontario Municipal Board appeal and referral made by the applicant on Application No. 199020;
- (7) the City Solicitor, the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and any other appropriate staff be requested to oppose the Ontario Municipal Board appeal, if made, by the applicant on Site Plan Application No. 300001;
- (8) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to hold an information meeting in the community to discuss the application and to notify owners and tenants within 300 metres of the site and the Ward Councillors;
- (9) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to continue discussions with the applicant and neighbourhood representatives; and
- (10) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Recommendation Nos. (1)-(8) and Recommendation No. (10) carried unanimously as follows:

Yeas: Councillors McConnell, Adams, Bossons, Chow, Johnston, Korwin-Kuczynski, Palacio, Silva and Walker – 9

Recommendation No. (9) carried on the following division of votes:

Yeas: Councillors McConnell, Bossons, Chow, Johnston and Korwin-Kuczynski – 5

Nays: Councillors Adams, Palacio, Silva and Walker – 4

(Clause No. 22, Report No. 6)

3.60 Runnymede Public School – Safety Concerns During Pick-Up/Drop-Off Periods (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 1, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting safety concerns at Runnymede Public School during pick-up/drop-off periods and recommending that:

- (1) the parking prohibition currently in effect from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., daily, on the north side of Colbeck Street, between Runnymede Road and Kennedy Avenue, be rescinded;
- (2) the parking prohibition currently in effect from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, on the east side of Runnymede Road, between Colbeck Street and a point 93 metres further north thereof, be rescinded;
- (3) stopping be prohibited from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., daily, on both sides of Colbeck Street between Runnymede Road and Kennedy Avenue;
- (4) parking be prohibited from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, on the east side of Runnymede Road, between Colbeck Street and a point 43 metres further north thereof;
- (5) parking be permitted for a maximum period of 10 minutes on the east side of Runnymede Road from a point 43 metres north of Colbeck Street to a point 50 metres further north thereof, from 8:00 a.m. to 9:30 a.m., 11:30 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. and 3:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday;
- (6) parking be prohibited on the east side of Runnymede Road from a point 43 metres north of Colbeck Street to a point 50 metres further north thereof, from 9:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m., and from 1:00 p.m. to 3:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday;

- (7) the existing "School Bus Loading Zone" on Runnymede Road, between a point 43 metres north of Colbeck Street and a point 33 metres further north thereof, be relocated to a point 93 metres north of Colbeck Street and a point 35 metres further north thereof; and
- (8) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (February 11, 2000) from Mr. Andrew Mahoney;
- (February 1, 2000) from Mr. Andrew Mahoney, addressed to Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski; and
- (February 24, 2000) from Ms. Elaine Stanley, Runnymede Public School Council, requesting deferral;
- (February 15, 2000) from Ms. Lisa Murzin, addressed to Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski;
- (undated) from Ms. Elaine Noble.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on May 2, 2000, for deputations.

(Letter sent to: All Interested Persons; c.: Director, Transportation Services, District 1 – April 19, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(n), Report No. 6)

5.61 Draft By-Law Respecting Proposed By-Law Amendment - Signs - Automobile Service Stations And Gas Bars (High Park, Trinity-Niagara, Davenport, North Toronto, Midtown, Downtown, Don River, East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 30, 1999) from the City Solicitor submitting the draft by-law respecting the proposed Sign By-law amendment for automobile service stations and gas bars.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following:

- report (September 27, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services reporting;

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Thursday, March 23, 2000

- Clause 9 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 6, entitled, A Draft Zoning By-law Amendment and Draft Sign By-law - Automobile Service Stations and Gas Bars (All Wards in the former City of Toronto), which was amended and adopted by City Council at its meeting held on April 13, 14 and 15, 1999;
- communication (October 8, 1999) from Ms. Victoria A. Masnyk, Swansea Area Ratepayers' Association and Swansea Area Ratepayers' Group;
- report (November 8, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services – Supplementary to Follow-up Report;
- report (December 21, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Development Services;
- report (March 6, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services; and
- communication (March 20, 2000) from V.R. Braun, Director, Swansea Area Ratepayers' Association.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the Municipal Act. The public meeting was held on October 12, November 9, 1999, January 18, and March 23, 2000. The following addressed the Community Council:

On October 12, 1999:

No one;

On November 9, 1999:

Mr. Paul K. Smith, Shell Canada Ltd./C.P.P.I.;

On January 18, 2000:

No one; and

On March 23, 2000:

Mr. Jack Irwin, Petro-Canada; and Mr. Ron Braun, Swansea Ratepayers' Association.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the report (March 6, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be adopted as amended by the recommendations contained in the communication (March 20, 2000) from V.R. Braun, Swansea Area Ratepayers' Association; and

- (2) the draft by-law attached to the report (September 30, 1999) of the City Solicitor, as amended by Recommendation No. (1) above, be approved and that authority be granted to introduce the necessary bill in Council to give effect thereto.

(Clause No. 57, Report No. 5)

3.62 Preliminary Report: 301-307 Macpherson Avenue - Official Plan, Rezoning And Site Plan Approval Application No. 299013 To Permit The Use Of The Site As A Garden Centre. (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 3, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that a public meeting be held in the community to discuss the Official Plan, Rezoning and Site Plan Approval application to permit the use of Nos. 301-307 Macpherson Avenue as a garden centre, and to notify owners and tenants within 300 metres of the site and the Ward Councillors.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services - No Encl. - Executive Director and Chief Planner No Encl. - Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attn: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons; c.: Mr. Gregory Byrne, Urban Development Services – No Encl.)

(Clause No. 23(o), Report No. 6)

3.63 Preliminary Report On Rezoning Application No. 100001 For The Construction Of A 4 Storey, 30 Unit Stacked Town House Project At 480 - 494 King Street East (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 2, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting the Rezoning Application for the construction of a town house project at Nos. 480-494 King Street East and recommending:

- (1) that a public meeting be held in the community to discuss and to notify owners and tenants within 120 metres of the site, the Corktown Business and Residents Association and the Ward Councillor of the meeting;
- (2) and that the owner be advised that, prior to approval of this project, the owner will be required to submit a Noise Impact Statement in accordance with City Council's

requirements. The owner will be advised further of these requirements as they relate to this project by the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services - No Encl. - Executive Director and Chief Planner - No Encl. - Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attn: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; - All Interested Persons; c.: Mr. Lance Alexander, Urban Development Services – No Encl.)

(Clause No. 23(p), Report No. 6)

3.64 Preliminary Report On Application No. 199035 For An Amendment To The Official Plan And Zoning By-Law To Permit The Construction Of 2 Detached Houses At 109 Chandos Avenue (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 23, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that a public meeting be held in the community to discuss the Official Plan, and Zoning By-law application to permit the construction of two detached houses at No. 109 Chandos Avenue, and to notify owners and tenants within 300 metres of the site and the Ward Councillors.

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services – No Encl. - Executive Director and Chief Planner – No Encl. - Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attn: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic; School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; - All Interested Persons - No Encl.; c.: Ms. Rhonda Petrella, Urban Development Services – No Encl. – March 29, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(q), Report No. 6)

3.65 Preliminary Report On Rezoning Application No. 199038 To Permit The Development Of Three Row Houses On Beatrice Street At The Rear Of 982 Dundas Street West, And To Facilitate Future Severance Of The Property Into 5 Lots (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 6, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services submitting the preliminary report on Rezoning Application No. 199038 to permit the development of three row houses on Beatrice Street at the rear of No. 982 Dundas Street West and recommending that:

- (1) a public meeting be held in the community to discuss the application and to notify owners and residents within 120 metres of the site, area resident and business associations, and the Ward Councillors;
- (2) the owner be advised that, prior to final Council approval of this project, the owner may be required to submit a Noise Impact Statement. The owner will be further advised of these requirements, as they relate to this project, by the Commissioner of Urban Development Services; and
- (3) the owner immediately conduct a detailed historical review of the site to identify existing and past uses which could have resulted in negative environmental effects to the site. This report should be submitted to the Commissioner of Urban Development Services for approval by the Medical Officer of Health, prior to the introduction of a Bill in Council.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services - No Encl. - Executive Director and Chief Planner - No Encl. - Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attn: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; - All Interested Persons - No Encl.; c.: Ms. Catherine Cieply, Urban Development Services - No Encl. - March 29, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(r), Report No. 6)

3.66 Preliminary Report On Rezoning Application 199009: 39 Beaty Avenue (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 3, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services submitting the preliminary report on Rezoning Application No. 199009 for No, 39 Beaty Avenue and recommending that:

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Thursday, March 23, 2000

- (1) a public meeting be held in the area to discuss the application and to notify the tenants and owners within 120 metres of the site, the Parkdale Village Residents Association and the Ward Councillors;
- (2) the owner be advised that, prior to final Council approval of this project, the owner may be required to submit a Noise Impact Statement. The owner will be further advised of these requirements, as they relate to this project, by the Commissioner of Urban Development Services; and
- (3) the owner immediately conduct a detailed historical review of the site to identify all existing and past land uses which could have resulted in negative environmental effects to the site. This report should be submitted to the Commissioner of Urban Development Services for approval by the Medical Officer of Health, prior to the introduction of a Bill in Council.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services - No Encl. - Executive Director and Chief Planner - No Encl. - Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attn: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; - All Interested Persons - No Encl.; c.: Mr. Barry Brooks, Urban Development Services – No Encl. – March 29, 2000)

(Clause 23(s), Report No. 6)

3.67 Preliminary Report On An Application To Amend The Zoning By-Law For 259 Victoria Street And A Portion Of Dundas Street East – “The Torch On The Square” (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services submitting the preliminary report on an application to amend the Zoning By-law for No. 259 Victoria Street and a portion of Dundas Street Est (The Torch on the Square) and recommending that:

- (1) a public meeting be held in the community to discuss the application and to notify owners and tenants within 120 metres of the site and the Ward Councillors; and
- (2) the Commissioner of Corporate Services review this proposal within the context of the approved agreement between the City and Penex Dundas Square Ltd.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services - No Encl.- Executive Director and Chief Planner – No Encl.- Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attn: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; - All Interested Persons –No Encl.; c.: Ms. Elyse Parker, Urban Development Services – No Encl. – March 29, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(t), Report No. 6)

3.68 Site Plan Approval: 2 Edith Drive (Formally Known 158-172 Eglinton Avenue West): Site Plan Application No. 399064 Respecting The Construction Of A Seven Storey Mixed Use Building Containing 60 Dwelling Units. (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 6, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services providing recommendations respecting an application for Site Plan Approval for a new seven storey mixed use building containing 60 dwelling units at 2 Edith Drive (formerly know as Nos. 158-172 Eglinton Avenue West).

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 56 - Report No. 5)

3.69 2300 Yonge Street, Application No. 900002: Request For Approval Of A Minor Variance From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 3, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900002 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit an illuminated fascia sign, for identification purposes, over the entrance to a glass rotunda at 2300 Yonge Street, on condition that the fascia sign be illuminated only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 11:00 p.m. and this be achieved by an automated timing device; and

- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900002, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 55, Report No. 5)

3.70 22 St. Clair Avenue East, Application No. 900008: Request For Approval Of Minor Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 17, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900008 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, two non-illuminated fascia signs on the front elevation of the building at 22 St. Clair Avenue East; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900008, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 55, Report No. 5)

3.71 200 FRONT STREET WEST, APPLICATION NO. 900004: REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A MINOR VARIANCE FROM CHAPTER 297, SIGNS, OF THE FORMER CITY OF TORONTO MUNICIPAL CODE (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 15, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900004 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, one illuminated fascia sign in the form of corporate name and logo on the top of the front elevation of the building at 200 Front Street West; and

- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900004, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 55, Report No. 5)

3.72 228 Queen Street West, Application No. 999103: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 16, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 999103 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to maintain, for identification purposes, an illuminated fascia sign on the front elevation of the building at 228 Queen Street West; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999103, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 55, Report No. 5)

3.73 151 Eglinton Avenue West, Application No. 900013: Request For Approval Of A Minor Variance From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 3, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900013 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit an illuminated fascia sign, for identification purposes, on the front elevation of the building at 151 Eglinton Avenue West, on condition that the fascia sign be illuminated only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m. and this be achieved by an automated timing device; and

- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900013, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 55, Report No. 5)

3.74 Ontario Municipal Board Decision Respecting 40 And 64 Colgate Avenue, 309 And 355 Logan Avenue (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 24, 2000) from the City Solicitor forwarding the Ontario Municipal Board decision for Nos. 40 and 64 Colgate Avenue and Nos. 309 and 355 Logan Avenue and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Letter sent to: All Interested Persons; c.: City Solicitor; Mr. Stephen M. Bradley, Legal Services – March 29, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(u), Report No. 6)

3.75 Contributions From Tree Removal & Income Tax Receipts

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 9, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer respecting contributions from tree removal and income tax receipts and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Letter sent to: Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; c.: Mr. Casey Brendon, Senior Financial Analyst, Financial Policy & Research – March 29, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(v), Report No. 6)

3.76 Hot Air Balloons And Inflatables (All Wards In The Former City Of Toronto)

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Thursday, March 23, 2000

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 29, 2000) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting hot air balloons and inflatables and recommending that:

- (1) effective April 1, 2000, that tethered hot air balloons or inflatables advertising corporate sponsors be permitted in South and Central District parklands subject to consultation with local Councillors. That the corporate advertising continue to follow the previous guidelines as per Appendix A.
- (2) fees be applied for the installation of tethered hot air balloons or inflatables as follows:
 - \$200.00 per item without a required utility stakeout
 - \$400.00 per item with a utility stakeout (utility stakeout refer to underground utility locates).
- (3) the appropriate officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on May 2, 2000.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; c.: Mr. John Macintyre, Acting General Manager – March 29, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(w), Report No. 6)

3.77 Naming Of The Proposed Public Street, Public Lane And Private Walkways North Of Queen Street East And East Of River Street – 19 Rear River Street (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 6, 2000) from the City Surveyor, Works and Emergency Services respecting the naming of the proposed public street, public lane and private walkways north of Queen Street East and east of River Street (No. 19 Rear River Street) and recommending that:

- (1) the proposed public street, the public lane and private walkways north of Queen Street East and east of River Street, illustrated on “Attachment No. 1” be named Wascana Avenue, Old Brewery Lane, Old Primrose Lane and Old Trillium Lane; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 54, Report No. 5)

3.78 Turn Prohibition – Victoria Park Avenue At Henley Gardens Driveway, South Of Kingston Road (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 9, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) northbound right-turn movements from Victoria Park Avenue into the Henley Gardens driveway, approximately 35 metres south of Kingston Road, be prohibited at all times;
- (2) that the former City of Scarborough by-law entries on the portion of Victoria Park Avenue between Kingston Road and Bracken Avenue, as identified in Appendix 1, 2, 3 and 4 of this report, be incorporated as part of the municipal code of the former City of Toronto; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 53, Report No. 5)

3.79 Symington Avenue, Between Bloor Street West And Dupont Street – Removal Of The Morning And Afternoon Peak Period Parking Prohibitions (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 9, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) The existing “No parking 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” regulation on the west side of Symington Avenue, between Bloor Street West and Dupont Street, be rescinded; and
- (2) The appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 5, Report No. 5)

3.80 Bloor Street West, Between Dufferin Street And Bathurst Street - Impacts Of Introducing Parking During Weekday Peak Periods (Trinity-Niagara, Davenport, Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 9, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the impacts of introducing parking during weekday peak periods on Bloor Street West, between Dufferin Street and Bathurst Street and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Mr. Danny Budimirovic, Works and Emergency Services; Director, Transportation Services, District 1 – March 29, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(x), Report No. 6)

3.81 St. Clair Avenue West, Between Tweedsmuir Avenue And Wells Hill Avenue, In The Vicinity Of Premises No. 396 - Proposed Introduction Of A Westbound U-Turn Prohibition (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 9, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the proposed introduction of a westbound U-turn prohibition in the vicinity of premises No. 396 St. Clair Avenue West, between Tweedsmuir Avenue and Wells Hill Avenue and recommending that:

- (1) westbound U-turns be prohibited at all times on St. Clair Avenue West, between a point 113 metres west of Tweedsmuir Avenue and a point 29 metres further west thereof; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is required to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 51, Report No. 5)

3.82 Naming Of Public Lane Extending Northerly From Eastern Avenue To Queen Street East, Between Woodfield Road And Woodward Avenue – Cam Fella Lane (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 1, 2000) from the City Surveyor, Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) the public lane, extending northerly from Eastern Avenue to Queen Street East, between Woodfield Road and Woodward Avenue, illustrated on "Attachment No. 1", be named "Cam Fella Lane"; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 50, Report No. 5)

3.83 Fronting Premises No. 262 Grace Street – Removal Of An On-Street Loading Zone For Disabled Persons (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 28, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the removal of an on-street loading zone for disabled persons fronting premises No. 262 Grace Street and recommending that:

- (1) the on-street loading zone for disabled persons, operating from 8:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m. and from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m., daily, on the west side of Grace Street, from a point 187.0 metres south of Harbord Street to a point 8.0 metres further south, be rescinded; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 49, Report No. 5)

3.84 Poulett Street, North Of Shuter Street – Narrowing Of The Roadway (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 1, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) in order to install a modular traffic island on Poulett Street in the vicinity of its intersection with Shuter Street, the following be approved:

- (a) The narrowing of the pavement on the west side of Poulett Street from a point 7.0 metres north of Shuter Street to a point 5.0 metres further north, from a width of 5.5 metres to a width varying from 5.5 metres to 3.0 metres, as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5437 dated February 2000; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 8, Report No. 5)

3.85 Introduction Of Permit Parking On The South Side Of McGill Street, Between Church Street and Sheard Street (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 1, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) permit parking be introduced on the south side of McGill Street, between Church Street and Sheard Street, on a street name basis, to operate during the hours of midnight to 8:00 a.m., 7 days a week;
- (2) Part SS of Schedule XXVI (Permit Parking), of Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, be amended to incorporate the south side of McGill Street, between Church Street and Sheard Street; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 47, Report No. 5)

3.86 Introduction Of Permit Parking On The South Side Of Granby Street, Between Church Street And Sheard Street (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 1, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) permit parking be introduced on the south side of Granby Street, between Church Street and Sheard Street, on a street name basis, to operate during the hours of midnight to 8:00 a.m., 7 days a week;
- (2) Part SS of Schedule XXVI (Permit Parking), of Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, be amended to incorporate the south side of Granby Street, between Church Street and Sheard Street; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 46, Report No. 5)

3.87 Conversion Of Hallam Street, Between Dufferin Street And Shaw Street, From ‘Street Name Based Permit Parking’ To ‘Area Based Permit Parking’ (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 1, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) permit parking on Hallam Street, between Dufferin Street and Shaw Street, be converted from ‘Street Name Based Permit Parking’ to ‘Area Based Permit Parking’; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 45, Report No. 5)

3.88 Castlefield Avenue, From Rosewell Avenue To Avenue Road – Feasibility Of Installing Speed Humps (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 1, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting the feasibility of installing speed humps on Castlefield Avenue from Rosewell Avenue to Avenue Road recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Ms. Teresa Carmichael, Works and Emergency Services; Director, Transportation Services, District 1 – March 29, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(y), Report No. 6)

3.89 Gladstone Avenue, Bloor Street West To Shanly Street – Installation Of Speed Humps (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 6, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting the installation of speed humps on Gladstone Avenue, Bloor Street West to Shanly Street and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Gladstone Avenue and Bartlett Avenue, between Bloor Street West and Hallam Street, for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

“The construction of speed humps on GLADSTONE AVENUE, from Bloor Street West to Hallam Street”;

“The construction of speed humps on BARTLETT AVENUE, from Bloor Street West to Hallam Street”;

- (2) the speed limit be reduced from 40 km/h to 30 km/h on Gladstone Avenue and Bartlett Avenue, from Bloor Street West to Hallam Street, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Clause No. 44, Report No. 5)

3.90 St. Clarens Avenue, Bloor Street West To Wallace Avenue – Installation Of Speed Humps (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 7, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting the installation of speed humps on St. Clarens Avenue, Bloor Street West to Wallace Avenue and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on St. Clarens Avenue, between Bloor Street West and Wallace Avenue, for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council, such poll to be conducted in English, Italian and Portuguese:

“The construction of speed humps on ST. CLARENS AVENUE, from Bloor Street West to Wallace Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5442 dated August 1999”;

- (2) the speed limit be reduced from 40 km/h to 30 km/h on St. Clarens Avenue, from Bloor Street West to Wallace Avenue, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Clause No. 43, Report No. 5)

3.91 515 Jarvis Street (Arthur McMaster House) – Permission To Enter Into An Heritage Easement Agreement (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 1, 2000) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism recommending that:

- (1) City Council request the Ontario Realty Corporation to require any future owner of the property at 515 Jarvis Street (Arthur McMaster House) to enter into an Heritage Easement Agreement as a condition of the sale.
- (2) City Council request the Ontario Realty Corporation to consult with the Ontario Heritage Foundation about a provincial Heritage Easement Agreement on the property at 515 Jarvis Street (Arthur McMaster House).
- (3) should an Heritage Easement Agreement not be secured at the time of sale, City Council request any future owner of the property at 515 Jarvis Street (Arthur

McMaster House) to enter into an Heritage Easement Agreement with the City of Toronto as a condition of the rezoning of the site.

- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the foregoing report (March 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be adopted; and
- (2) City Council request the Provincial Government and the Ontario Realty Corporation to return to the practice of informing other levels of government when provincial lands are declared surplus, prior to their public notice for sale.

(Clause No. 42, Report No. 5)

3.92 Installation/Removal Of On-Street Parking Spaces For Persons With Disabilities
(Davenport, Don River, Downtown, East Toronto, Midtown, North Toronto, Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 7, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the installation/removal of disabled on-street parking spaces as noted in Table "A" of this report be approved; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 41, Report No. 5)

3.93 Sale Of Surplus Properties – 195 Crawford Street (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 8, 2000) from the Chief Executive Officer, Toronto Housing Company respecting the sale of the surplus property at No. 195 Crawford Street and recommending that:

- (1) the Chief Executive Officer of the Toronto Housing Company be authorized to accept the offer in the amount of \$ 481,000.00 as detailed herein;

- (2) the net sale proceeds on closing be directed to the Toronto Housing Company;
- (3) the City Solicitor be authorized and directed to take the appropriate action to complete the transaction on behalf of the City of Toronto and be further authorized to amend the closing date and any other terms of sale as are considered reasonable by them; and
- (4) the appropriate Toronto Housing Company and City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 40, Report No. 5)

3.94 Sale Of Surplus Properties – 217 Crawford Street (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 8, 2000) from the Chief Executive Officer, Toronto Housing Company respecting the sale of the surplus property at No. 217 Crawford Street and recommending that:

- (1) the Chief Executive Officer of the Toronto Housing Company be authorized to accept the offer in the amount of \$ 298,000.00 as detailed herein,
- (2) the net sale proceeds on closing be directed to the Toronto Housing Company,
- (3) the City Solicitor be authorized and directed to take the appropriate action to complete the transaction on behalf of the City of Toronto and be further authorized to amend the closing date and any other terms of sale as are considered reasonable by them; and,
- (4) the appropriate Toronto Housing Company and City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 39, Report No. 5)

3.95 Sale Of Surplus Properties – 213 Crawford Street (Trinity-Niagara)

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Thursday, March 23, 2000

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 8, 2000) from the Chief Executive Officer, Toronto Housing Company respecting the sale of the surplus property at No. 213 Crawford Street and recommending that:

- (1) the Chief Executive Officer of the Toronto Housing Company be authorized to accept the offer in the amount of \$ 313,000.00 as detailed herein,
- (2) the net sale proceeds on closing be directed to the Toronto Housing Company,
- (3) the City Solicitor be authorized and directed to take the appropriate action to complete the transaction on behalf of the City of Toronto and be further authorized to amend the closing date and any other terms of sale as are considered reasonable by them; and,
- (4) the appropriate Toronto Housing Company and City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 38, Report No. 5)

3.96 Proposed Closing To Vehicular Traffic, Portions Of The Public Lane System In The Block Bounded By Gerrard Street East, George Street, Dundas Street East And Sherbourne Street (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 8, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the 0.3 metre wide strips of land within the above-noted public lane system, shown as Areas 1, 2, 3 and 4 on the attached Plan SYE2940, be stopped-up and closed to vehicular traffic and bollards be erected within these strips to enforce the due observance thereof;
- (2) easements be reserved, if necessary, for the City and Utility Companies, over the entire portions of the public lanes to be closed, for access, operation, use, inspection, repair, maintenance, reconstruction or alteration of the existing utilities and for the construction of additional or new services;
- (3) vehicular entry be prohibited from:
 - i) George Street, to the lane running east of George Street first south of Gerrard Street East;

- ii) Pembroke Street, to the lanes running east and west of Pembroke Street first north of Dundas Street East;
 - iii) Sherbourne Street, to the lane running west of Sherbourne Street first south of Gerrard Street East;
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required and giving notice to the public of the proposed by-law to close the portions of the public lanes.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 37, Report No. 5)

3.97 Castlefield Avenue, From Castlewood Road To Caldow Road – Feasibility Of Installing Speed Humps (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 6, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that:

- (1) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Castlefield Avenue, from Castlewood Road to Caldow Road, for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to the favourable polling of the affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

“The construction of speed humps on CASTLEFIELD AVENUE, from Castlewood Road to Caldow Road, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5637 dated March, 2000.”
- (2) a speed limit of thirty kilometres per hour be introduced on Castlefield Avenue, from Castlewood Road to Caldow Road, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 36, Report No. 5)

3.98 Orde Street, North Side, From Murray Street To Mccaul Street – Extension Of The Existing 10-Minute Maximum Parking Regulation Associated With The Designated “Student Pick-Up And Drop-Off Area” (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 9, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the standing prohibition from 9:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the north side of Orde Street, from Murray Street to a point 82.5 metres west, be rescinded;
- (2) the ten minute maximum parking regulation from 7:30 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and from 3:30 p.m. to 6:15 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the north side of Orde Street, from Murray Street to a point 82.5 metres west, be rescinded;
- (3) standing be prohibited from 9:00 a.m. to 11:30 a.m. and from 1:30 p.m. to 3:30 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the north side of Orde Street, from Murray Street to a point 82.5 metres west;
- (4) parking be permitted for a maximum period of ten minutes from 7:30 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., from 11:30 a.m. to 1:30 p.m. and from 3:30 p.m. to 6:15 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the north side of Orde Street, from Murray Street to a point 82.5 metres west; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 35, Report No. 5)

3.99 Installation Of Disabled Access Elevator Within The Public Right Of Way - Fronting 65 Front Street West (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 9, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services District 1, respecting the installation of a disabled access elevator within the public right of way fronting No. 65 Front Street West and recommending that City Council approve the installation, subject to the affected licensee of the building, the Toronto Transit Commission, General Secretary's Office, 1900 Yonge Street, Toronto, Ontario M4S 1Z2, entering into an agreement with the City agreeing to:

- (1) Indemnify the City of Toronto from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages, charges and expenses that may result from such

permission granted;

- (2) Maintain the elevator in good and proper repair and a condition satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
- (3) Remove the elevator upon receiving notice so to do with the understanding that the City shall not give such notice in the first 75 years following completion of the elevator or for the life of the building at 65 Front Street West whichever period is less;
- (4) Permit alterations and modifications to such elevator as may be required at any time by the City to serve the public interest;
- (5) Pay an annual rental fee, as determined the Commissioner of Corporate Services; and
- (6) Accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services may deem necessary in the interest of the Corporation.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 34, Report No. 5)

3.100 Kingsmount Park Road At Wildwood Crescent – Installation Of A Northbound “Stop” Sign (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 2, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that a “Stop” sign be installed for northbound traffic on Kingsmount Park Road at Wildwood Crescent.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 33, Report No. 5)

3.101 Maitland Street, South Side, From Church Street To Mutual Street – Prohibition Of Parking From 8:00 A.M. To 6:00 P.M., Daily (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 9, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the stopping prohibition at anytime on the south side of Maitland Street, from Church Street to Mutual Street, be rescinded;

- (2) stopping be prohibited from 6:00 p.m to 8:00 a.m., daily, on the south side of Maitland Street, from Church Street to Mutual Street;
- (3) parking be prohibited from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., daily, on the south side of Maitland Street, from Church Street to Mutual Street; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 32, Report No. 5)

3.102 Bathurst Street, South Of Dundas Street West - Proposed Introduction Of An Eastbound Left-Turn Prohibition At The Driveway To Premises Nos. 330-344 Bathurst Street (Downtown, Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 8, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that:

- (1) eastbound to northbound left turns be prohibited from 11:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, from the driveway to Premise Nos. 330-344 Bathurst Street, located on the west side of Bathurst Street, approximately 50 metres south of Dundas Street West; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 31, Report No. 5)

3.103 Silverthorn Avenue From Rowntree Avenue To Rogers Road – Parking Regulation Changes (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 6, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the alternate side parking regulations on Silverthorn Avenue, between Rowntree Avenue and Rogers Road be rescinded;

- (2) parking be prohibited at anytime on the east side of Silverthorn Avenue, between Rowntree Avenue and Rogers Road;
- (3) parking on the west side of Silverthorn Avenue, between a point 40 metres north of Rowntree Avenue and Rogers Road be restricted to a maximum period of one hour anytime;
- (4) the permit parking regulation be adjusted to be in effect on the west side of Silverthorn Avenue only, between a point 40 metres north of Rowntree Avenue and Rogers Road; and
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 30, Report No. 5)

3.104. Wolverleigh Boulevard And Glebeholme Boulevard Between Woodington Avenue And Glebemount Avenue (Earl Beatty Public School) - Delineation Of "Student Pick-Up/Drop-Off" Areas And Separate Short-Term Parking Areas. (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 7, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the parking prohibition at anytime on the north side of Wolverleigh Boulevard between Woodington Avenue and Glebemount Avenue be rescinded;
- (2) standing be prohibited between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., daily on the north side of Wolverleigh Boulevard from a point 15.0 metres east of Woodington Avenue to a point 56.0 metres east of Woodington Avenue;
- (3) parking be prohibited at anytime on the north side of Wolverleigh Boulevard
 - (a) from Woodington Avenue to a point 15.0 metres east; and
 - (b) from a point 56.0 metres east of Woodington Avenue to Glebemount Avenue;
- (4) parking be prohibited between the hours of 5:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m., daily, on the north side of Wolverleigh Boulevard from a point 15.0 metres east of Woodington Avenue to a point 56.0 metres east of Woodington Avenue;

- (5) parking be allowed for a maximum period of 10 minutes between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., daily on the south side of Wolverleigh Boulevard from a point 15.0 metres east of Woodington Avenue to a point 56.0 metres east of Woodington Avenue;
- (6) the regulation prohibiting parking except by permit from 12:01 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., daily on the south side of Wolverleigh Boulevard from Woodington Avenue to the east City limit, be adjusted to apply;
 - (a) from 12:01 a.m. to 8:00 a.m., daily from a point 15.0 metres east of Woodington Avenue to a point 56.0 metres east of Woodington Avenue; and
 - (b) from 12:01 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., daily from a point 56.0 metres east of Woodington Avenue to a point 152.6 metres east of Woodmount Avenue;
- (7) the parking prohibition from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., daily, on the south side of Glebeholme Boulevard between Woodington Avenue and a point 128.0 metres east be rescinded;
- (8) standing be prohibited between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., daily, on the south side of Glebeholme Boulevard from a point 14.0 metres east of Woodington Avenue to a point 64.0 metres east of Woodington Avenue;
- (9) parking be prohibited from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., daily, on the south side of Glebeholme Boulevard from a point 64.0 metres east of Woodington Avenue to a point 128.0 metres east of Woodington Avenue;
- (10) parking be allowed for a maximum period of 10 minutes from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., daily on the north side of Glebeholme Boulevard from a point 15.0 metres east of Woodington Avenue to a point 64.0 metres east of Woodington Avenue; and
- (11) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 29, Report No. 5)

3.105 Proposed Installation Of Speed Bumps In Public Lanes (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 8, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the installation of speed bumps in the first public lane system west of Christie Street, between Essex Street and Garnet Avenue, of the type and design noted and at the locations shown on Drawing No. 421F-5303 dated December 7, 1998, be approved; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 28, Report No. 5)

3.106 University Theatre Project – 100 Bloor Street West And 10 Bellair Street - Amended Percent For Public Art Plan (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 7, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that Toronto Community Council approve the proposed Private Developer Percent for Public Art Plan for 100 Bloor Street West and 10 Bellair Street, subject to the incorporation of the following recommendations from the Public Art Commission:

- (a) that the owner of 100 Bloor Street West approach the owners of 102 Bloor Street West with the idea to invite art proposals that span the full walkway between the two buildings;
- (b) that the competition brief include the north exit of the walkway as a focal point;
- (c) that the competition brief include details about the anticipated signage along the walkway; and
- (d) that the reference to the walkway as a “film strip” be deleted in the competition brief.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 27, Report No. 5)

3.107 230 Wellington Street West – Percent For Public Art Plan (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 8, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that Toronto Community Council approve the proposed Private Developer Percent for Public Art Plan for 230

Wellington Street West, subject to the incorporation of the following recommendation from the Public Art Commission:

- a) that the competition method allow for the short-listed artists to propose members for the Public Art Team.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 26, Report No. 5)

3.108 Yonge-Summerhill: Urban Square Design (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 6, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting the Urban Square Design for Yonge-Summerhill and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve the design for the Urban Square as shown on Plans L02 Site Plan and L02 A Site Design Phase 1, date stamped as received on January 20, 2000, prepared by Du Toit Allsopp Hillier Landscape Architecture, Urban Design as on file with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, as fulfilment of the requirements of Section 20.1 of the Station and Shaftesbury Subdivision Agreement and as a condition of approval the owner shall:
 - (i) complete the public art program for the Urban Square, as outlined in the Yonge-Summerhill Private Developer Percent for Public Art Plan, and approved by the Public Art Commission and the former City of Toronto Council in July 1997;
 - (ii) upon the completion of the public art program, submit to the Commissioner of Urban Development Services a plan of the Urban Square showing public art features as approved by the owner's Art Advisory Committee;
 - (iii) prior to any occupancy of buildings submit the Final Plan of the Urban Square design to the Commissioner of Urban Development Services for approval in consultation with the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Toronto Transit Commission; and
 - (iv) construct and maintain the Urban Square substantially in accordance with the approved design.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 25, Report No. 5)

3.109 1117 Yonge Street: Site Plan Application No. 399066 Respecting The Construction Of A Ten Storey Apartment Building Containing 166 Dwelling Units (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 9, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting Site Plan Application No. 399066 for the construction of a ten storey apartment building containing 166 dwelling units at No. 1117 Yonge Street.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (March 1, 2000) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting Limiting Distance Restriction Affecting Pricefield Playground.

The Toronto Community Council recommended that:

On motion by Councillor Bossons:

- (1) Recommendation No. (3) of the report (March 9, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be amended by striking out the words, "That the owner enter into a Collateral Agreement requiring that", and inserting in lieu thereof the words, "That the City Solicitor be authorized to prepare a Collateral Agreement between the City and the owner and that as a condition of City Council approval, the owner enter into the Collateral Agreement requiring that";

On motion by Councillor Adams:

- (2) a new Recommendation No (2)(b)(iv) be added to read:

"(2)(b)(iv) be monitored at all times by means acceptable to the Commissioner of Urban Development Services;"

On further motion by Councillor Bossons:

- (3) the report (March 9, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, as amended by Recommendation Nos. (1) and (2) be adopted; and
- (4) the report (March 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be adopted.

(Clause No. 24, Report No. 5)

3.110 10 Scrivener Square (Formally Known as 1121 and 1123 Yonge Street): Site Plan Application No. 399075 Respecting The Re-Use of The North Toronto Train Station And Baggage Area For Retail Uses (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 9, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting Site Plan Application No. 399075 for the reuse of the North Toronto Train Station and Baggage Area for retail uses at No. 10 Scrivener Square (formerly known as Nos. 1121 and 1123 Yonge Street).

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a supplementary report (March 13, 2000) from Commissioner of Urban Development Services with respect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the report (March 9, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, as amended by her supplementary report dated March 13, 2000, be adopted.

On a further motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council advised the Toronto Transit Commission of its concern about the loss of the current or future opportunity to have a pedestrian access tunnel for the Summerhill Subway stop to the neighbourhood on the south side of the CP Rail Corridor and having requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and the General Manager of the Toronto Transit Commission to report directly to Council on ways and means to preserve the access corridor through the development project.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; General Manager, Toronto Transit Commission; c.: Director, Community Planning, South District; Mr. Gregory Byrne, Planner – March 29, 2000)

(Clause No. 23, Report No. 5)

3.111 Proposed Residential Solid Waste Collection By-Law

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 9, 2000) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services respecting the proposed Residential Solid Waste Collection By-law, and recommending that:

- (1) this report be received for information;
- (2) consultation with stakeholders be held at the next meeting of Community Council or, alternatively, at a separate open house;
- (3) each Community Council notify the General Manager of Solid Waste Management Services of their preference with regards to Recommendation No. 2 to allow for appropriate planning; and

- (4) any comments be forwarded to the contact noted at the end of this report by May 12, 2000.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on May 2, 2000 to permit consultation to be held at an open house prior to the meeting.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Ms. Catharine Daniels, Solid Waste Management Services; General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services – March 29, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(z), Report No. 6)

3.112 Proposed Solid Waste Management Services Requirements For Developments And Redevelopments

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 9, 2000) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services respecting the proposed Solid Waste Management Services requirements for Developments and Redevelopments, and recommending that:

- (1) this report be received for information;
- (2) consultation with the public be held at the next meeting of Community Council or, alternatively, at a separate open house;
- (3) Community Council notify the General Manager of Solid Waste Management Services of their preference with regards to Recommendation No. 2 to allow for appropriate planning; and
- (4) any comments be forwarded to the contact noted at the end of this report by May 12, 2000.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on May 2, 2000 to permit consultation to be held at an open house prior to the meeting.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Ms. Catharine Daniels, Solid Waste Management Services; General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services – March 29, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(aa), Report No. 6)

3.113 84 And 92 Jarvis Street – Agreement with Intracorp Developments (French Quarter) Ltd. – Committee of Adjustment (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 8, 2000) from the City Solicitor recommending that the City Clerk and City Treasurer be authorized to sign the Agreement, made between Intracorp Developments (French Quarter) Ltd. and the City of Toronto with respect to 84 and 92 Jarvis Street and any other documentation necessary to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 22, Report No. 5)

3.114 1717 & 1847 Queen Street East (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (February 9, 2000) from Councillor Jakobek respecting Nos. 1717 and 1847 Queen Street East.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the height restriction of 12 metres along Queen Street East from Victoria Park Avenue to Greenwood Avenue be maintained and that the Director of Planning ensure that his staff and reviews of any development applications on the affected lands respect the height restriction.

(Clause No. 21, Report No. 5)

3.115 Speed Humps – Rhodes Avenue, Craven Road, Ashdale Avenue, Kent Road, Hiawatha Road And Woodfield Road – Between Queen Street East And Gerrard Street East (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (February 11, 2000) from Councillor Jakobek respecting the installation of speed humps on Rhodes Avenue, Craven Road, Ashdale Avenue, Kent Road, Hiawatha Road and Woodfield Road between Queen Street East and Gerrard Street East.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services design a plan for speed humps on Rhodes Avenue, Craven Road, Ashdale Avenue, Kent Road, Hiawatha Road and Woodfield Road between Queen Street East and Gerrard Street East and that a poll be conducted on the plan.

(Clause No. 20, Report No. 5)

3.116 Speed Humps – Highcroft Road, Cairns Avenue & Eastwood Road (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (February 11, 2000) from Councillor Jakobek respecting the installation of speed humps on Highcroft Road, Cairns Avenue and Eastwood Road.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services design a plan for speed humps on Highcroft Road between Cairns Avenue and Eastwood Road and that a poll be conducted on the plan.

(Clause No. 19, Report No. 5)

3.117 Front Yard Parking Application – 255 Ashdale Avenue (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (February 4, 2000) from Councillor Jakobek requesting the waiver of fees for a replacement tree with respect to the front yard parking application at No. 255 Ashdale Avenue.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (March 20, 2000) from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the fees for a replacement tree with respect to the Front Yard Parking application at 255 Ashdale Avenue be waived.

(Clause No. 18, Report No. 5)

3.118 Pedestrian Crossover Woodbine Avenue At Cassels Avenue (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (February 23, 2000) from Councillor Jakobek requesting that the Public Works Department install a pedestrian crosswalk on Woodbine Avenue at Cassels Avenue.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council submitted this matter to Council without recommendation.

On a further motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council also requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report directly to Council on this matter.

The following motion by Councillor Miller was voted on and lost:

“That the Toronto Community Council defer consideration of this matter until its meeting to be held on May 2, 2000 and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report at that time.”

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Director, Transportation Services, District 1 – March 29, 2000)

(Clause No. 17, Report No. 5)

3.119 Bloor Street West And Brock Avenue – Installation Of A Traffic Control Signal (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (January 27, 2000) from Councillor Disero respecting the installation of a traffic control signal on Bloor Street West and Brock Avenue.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the following communication until its meeting to be held on May 2, 2000, for deputations.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: General Manager, Transportation Services Division; Manager, Traffic Operations, District 1 West – March 29, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(bb), Report No. 6)

3.120 Appeal Of Committee Of Adjustment Decision – 18 Columbus Avenue (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a joint communication (undated) from Councillors Korwin-Kuczynski and Miller requesting the City Solicitor and Commissioner of Urban Development Services to attend the hearing of the Ontario Municipal Board in defense of the Committee of Adjustment decisions for Nos. 18, 26 and 30 Columbus Avenue.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (March 17, 2000) from Mr. Nigel Dean with respect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the City Solicitor and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be instructed to attend the hearing of the Ontario Municipal Board in defense of Committee of Adjustment decisions respecting 18 Columbus Avenue, 26 Columbus Avenue and 30 Columbus Avenue.

(Clause No. 16, Report No. 5)

3.121 100% Parkland Use of The TTC Wychwood Car Barn Site

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (February 21, 2000) from Councillor Davis respecting the use of the TTC Wychwood Car Barn Site for 100% parkland.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested report on this matter to the York and Toronto Community Councils.

(Clause No. 15, Report No. 5)

3.122 Appointments – Committee of Management – North Toronto Memorial Arena (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (January 14, 2000) from the Manager, North Toronto Memorial Arena forwarding recommendations for appointments to the Committee of Management.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended that Gord Thompson, Crawford Spratt, Nancy Smith, Susan Krausz and Don Priston be appointed to the Board of Management of the North Toronto Memorial Arena until November 30, 2000, on an interim basis, at the pleasure of Council, and until their successors are appointed.

(Clause No. 14, Report No. 5)

3.123 Jolly Italian Café – Operation of The Boulevard Café During The 1999 Café Season – Extension of Hours of Operation – Boon Avenue Flank – 1256 St. Clair Avenue West (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 28, 2000) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards recommending that City Council approve the continuation of the licence for a boulevard café on the Boon Avenue flank of 1256 St Clair Avenue West, with the same terms and conditions as previously approved, i.e., extended hours of operation from 6:00 p.m. to 2:30 a.m., 7 days a week, as there were no complaints received from the neighbourhood.

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (February 28, 2000) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards.

(Clause No. 13, Report No. 5)

3.124 Report On The Results of The Pilot For The Restoration of Paved Areas To Green Areas For Locations That Had Previously Been Refused a Parking Pad (All Wards In The Former City Of Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 31, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, forwarding the results of the pilot for the restoration of paved areas to green areas for locations that had previously been refused a parking pad in all wards in the former City of Toronto and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve the continuation of the restoration project of paved areas to green areas for those locations that do not qualify for pad parking on an 'as requested' basis to a maximum of five locations per year;
- (2) if the owner of a property wishes to have the parking pad removed, the City would agree, at its own expense to:
 - (a) plant a City tree in the boulevard in front of the house;
 - (b) re-sod the area;
 - (c) provide free downspout disconnection service; and
 - (d) offer a free water conservation audit to the property owner; and
- (3) if the City has removed the parking pad and restored the City boulevard at its expense, the owner and subsequent owners may not apply to repave the front yard, exclusive of any private approaches, for 5 years.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the foregoing report (January 31, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 be adopted; and
- (2) funding in the amount of \$20,000 be reserved on an annual basis from the Off Street Parking Account Code TP0148 for this purpose.

(Clause No. 12, Report No. 5)

3.125 Requests For Endorsement of Events For Liquor Licensing Purposes

The Toronto Community Council had before it the following requests for endorsement of events for liquor licensing purposes:

- (February 17, 2000) from Councillor Rae;
- (January 25, 2000) from Councillors Pantalone and Silva;
- (February 15, 2000) from Ms. Tara Grant;

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Thursday, March 23, 2000

- (January 31, 2000) from Rev. Liborio Tavares;
- (January 25, 2000) from Councillors Disero and Silva;
- (February 14, 2000) from Mr. Joshua H. Cooper, Par Golf Camps;
- (February 17, 2000) from Pat Carpignano, Beaches International Jazz Festival;
- (February 29, 2000) from Councillors Pantalone and Silva;
- (March 1, 2000) from Mr. Nicolas Gamache, Ontario Place;
- (February 28, 2000) from Mr. Cameron Heaps, Rosedale Moorepark Association;
- (March 6, 2000) from Rana Sodhi, Toronto Australia New Zealand Club;
- (March 9, 2000) from Lido Chilelli, Toronto Fiesta;
- (February 17, 2000) from Pat Carpignano, Toronto Beaches Lions Club;
- (March 13, 2000) from Robin Toderian, University of Toronto; and
- (March 22, 2000) from Jill Ward, Factory Theatre.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council, for liquor licensing purposes:

- (1) declare the following to be events of municipal and/or community significance and advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it has no objection to their taking place:
 - (a) The Fashion Cares Gala, to be held on April 29, 2000 from 6:00 p.m. to 3:00 a.m. at the Convention Centre;
 - (b) Holy Spirit Festival to be held on June 24 and 25, 2000 at Osler Playground;
 - (c) Our Lady of the Angels Festival to be held on August 19 and 20, 2000, at Osler Playground;
 - (d) Sante: the Bloor-Yorkville Wine 2000 Festival to be held on May 4, 2000 – May 7, 2000, at various times and locations set out in the communications dated February 15 and 16, 2000 from Ms. Tara Grant;

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Thursday, March 23, 2000

- (e) the Senhor Santo Cristo Festival to be held on May 27, 2000 and May 28, 2000 at various times and locations set out in the communication (January 31, 2000) from Rev. Liborio Tavares;
 - (f) Festa do Imigrante to be held on July 1 and 2, 2000 at Dovercourt Park;
 - (g) Annual Senhor Da Pedra Festival at Trinity Bellwoods Park, to be held on August 5 and 6, 2000;
 - (h) Beaches International Jazz Festival to be held on July 29 and 30, 2000 from 11:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. in Kew Gardens Park;
 - (i) Toronto Fiesta, to be held on July 15 and 16, 2000, from 5:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m. at Earls court Park; and
- (2) advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it is aware of the following events and has no objection to their taking place:
- (a) the Fringe of Toronto Festival to be held on July 6 to 16, 2000, inclusive, at the TRANZAC Club, 292 Brunswick Avenue, and that City Council has no objection to an extension of liquor licence #40010 to cover an outdoor marquee area and the front lobby during such event; and
 - (b) the events requiring a temporary licence extension for Senior's Restaurant located at 1397 Yonge Street on July 7, 2000 – July 9, 2000 in conjunction with the annual Yonge Street Party;
 - (c) the proposed Beer Garden at Rosedale Park on May 13, 2000, from 11:00 a.m. - 6:00 p.m. in conjunction with the Mayfair Festival,
 - (d) Benson & Hedges Symphony of Fire VIP reception, to be held on June 17, 24, 28, July 1, 5 and 8, 2000 from 8:00 p.m. to 12:00 p.m. in and around Ontario Place;
 - (e) Beaches Canada Day Festival to be held on July 1, 2000 from 11:00 a.m. to 8:00p.m. in Kew Gardens Park;
 - (f) Images Festival of Independent Film & Video to be held on April 13 – 22, 2000 at various times and locations set out in the communication (March 13, 2000) from Robin Toderian; and
 - (g) the 30th Anniversary Celebration of the Factory Theatre to be held on May 1, 2000 and from August 3 – 13, 2000, and that City Council has no objection to the temporary extension of the Factory Theatre's liquor licence for the event.

(Clause No. 11, Report No. 5)

3.126 Proposed Elimination Of Vehicular Access From Residential Infill Development At 322 Clinton Street To The Abutting Public Lane (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 6, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 that, in the event that the Toronto Community Council wished to proceed with the elimination of vehicular access from Premises No. 322 Clinton Street to the public lane which abuts the west limit of the site:

- (1) a 0.3 metre-wide section of the public laneway extending northerly from Page Street abutting the rear of Premises No. 322 Clinton Street be stopped up and closed to vehicular traffic and posts be erected within this strip to enforce the due observance thereof; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required, and giving notice to the public of the proposed by-law to close the portion of the public lane.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a confidential report (March 8, 2000) from the City Solicitor and, on motion by Councillor Pantalone adjourned its public session to meet in camera having regard that the subject dealt with the receiving of advice that was subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) a 0.3 metre-wide section of the public laneway extending northerly from Page Street abutting the rear of Premises No. 322 Clinton Street be stopped up and closed to vehicular traffic and posts be erected within this strip to enforce the due observance thereof;
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required, and giving notice to the public of the proposed by-law to close the portion of the public lane; and
- (3) should City Council wish to consider the confidential report (March 8, 2000) from the City Solicitor, which was forwarded to all Members of Council under separate cover, in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining to this report be held in camera, having regard that the subject deals with the receiving of advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose.

(Clause No. 10, Report No. 5)

3.127 Residential Boulevard Parking At 35 Markham Street (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 4, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the request for residential boulevard parking at 35 Markham Street; OR
- (2) City Council approve the request for residential boulevard parking at 35 Markham Street, subject to a favourable poll and:
 - (a) the owner removing the existing asphalt paving and repaving the parking area with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
 - (b) the excess paved area being removed and the area restored with soft landscaping, i.e., planting area or sod;
 - (c) the maximum area paved for parking not exceeding 2.6 m by 5.9 m;
 - (d) not more than one vehicle being parked on the boulevard; and
 - (e) the owner submitting an application, paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the request for residential boulevard parking at 35 Markham Street, subject to a favourable poll and:

- (a) the owner removing the existing asphalt paving and repaving the parking area with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
- (b) the excess paved area being removed and the area restored with soft landscaping, i.e., planting area or sod;
- (c) the maximum area paved for parking not exceeding 2.6 m by 5.9 m;
- (d) not more than one vehicle being parked on the boulevard; and
- (e) the owner submitting an application, paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Clause No. 9, Report No. 5)

3.128 Elmer Avenue, Kenilworth Avenue, Waverley Road, Bellefair Avenue And Wheeler Avenue Between Queen Street East And Norway Avenue – Installation Of Speed Humps (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 31, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the installation of speed humps on Elmer Avenue, Kenilworth Avenue, Waverley Road, Bellefair Avenue and Wheeler Avenue between Queen Street East and Norway Avenue and recommending that:

- (1) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Elmer Avenue and Kenilworth Avenue between Queen Street East and Norway Avenue for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:
 - (a) The construction of speed humps on ELMER AVENUE, from Queen Street East to Norway Avenue (west branch), generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5614, dated January 2000;
 - (b) The construction of speed humps on KENILWORTH AVENUE, from Queen Street East to Norway Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5326, dated February 1999;
- (2) The speed limit be reduced from 40 km/h to 30 km/h on Elmer Avenue and Kenilworth Avenue, from Queen Street East to Norway Avenue, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits; and
- (3) The appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 8, Report No. 5)

3.129 Use Of Nathan Philips Square – Toronto Downtown Jazz Festival – June 23-29, 2000

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (March 20, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services respecting the use of Nathan Phillips Square on June 23-29, 2000, for the Toronto Downtown Jazz Festival.

On further motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 7, Report No. 5)

3.130 Use Of Nathan Phillips Square – Buskerfest 2000 – June 15-18, 2000

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (March 20, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services respecting the use of Nathan Phillips Square on June 15-18, 2000, for the Buskerfest 2000.

On further motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 6, Report No. 5)

3.131 Settlement Of Prior Agreements With Toronto Port Authority

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a confidential report (March 21, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting the Settlement of Prior Agreements with Toronto Port Authority, having regard that the subject matter may involve litigation or potential litigation.

On further motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council agreed to meet privately to discuss the matter of Settlement of Prior Agreements with Toronto Port Authority, having regard that the subject matter deals with the security of the property of the municipality or local board, in accordance with the Municipal Act.

On further motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the confidential report dated March 21, 2000 from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting Settlement of Prior Agreements with Toronto Port Authority which was forwarded to all Members of Council under separate cover be adopted;
- (2) the recommendations contained in the confidential communication (March 23, 2000) from Councillor Chow be adopted; and further that:
- (3) in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining to this Clause be held in camera, having regard that the subject matter deals with the security of the property of the municipality or local board.

(Clause No. 5, Report No. 5)

3.132 Edgewood Avenue Between Maughan Crescent And Eastwood Road – Traffic Calming Measures (East Toronto)

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (March 17, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting traffic calming measures for Edgewood Avenue between Maughan Crescent and Eastwood Road.

On further motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (March 17, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

(Clause No. 4, Report No. 5)

3.133 Additional Street Lighting – Dalhousie And Mutual Streets (Between Shuter And Gould Streets) (Downtown)

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a communication (March 22, 2000) from Councillor Rae requesting the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to install additional street lighting on Dalhousie and Mutual Streets (between Shuter and Gould Streets).

On further motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to:

- (1) install additional street lighting on Dalhousie Street and Mutual Street between Shuter Street and Gould Street; and
- (2) review the existing lighting guidelines.

On further motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council requested the Policy and Finance Committee to report directly to Council on a source of funds to implement Recommendation No. (1) above.

(Letter sent to: Policy and Finance Committee – March 27, 2000)

(Clause No. 1, Report No. 5)

3.134 Designated Welcome Signs At Entrance Points, Throughout Ward 19 (High Park-Parkdale)

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a communication (March 22, 2000) from Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski

requesting the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Community Services Committee on the implementation of installing designated welcome signs at entrance points, throughout Ward 19.

On further motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the recommendations contained in the foregoing communication (March 22, 2000) from Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski.

(Clause No. 3, Report No. 5)

3.135 Alteration Of Hounslow Heath Road, From St. Clair Avenue West To Laughton Avenue, For Traffic Calming Purposes And To Install Speed Humps (Davenport)

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a joint communication (March 23, 2000) from Councillors Disero and Palacio respecting the alteration of Hounslow Heath Road from St. Clair Avenue West to Laughton Avenue, for traffic calming purposes and to install speed humps.

On further motion by Councillor Palacio, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) approval be given to alter Hounslow Heath Road, from St. Clair Avenue West to Laughton Avenue, for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to the favourable polling of the affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

“The construction of speed humps on HOUNSLOW HEATH ROAD, from St. Clair Avenue West to Laughton Avenue.”

- (2) the speed limit be reduced from 40 kilometres per hour to thirty kilometres per hour on Hounslow Heath Road, from St. Clair Avenue West to Laughton Avenue, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits;
- (3) staff of Works and Emergency Services report to the Toronto Community Council on traffic management measures, including the possibility of one-way configurations for the area generally including Hounslow Heath Road, Spring Grove Avenue and Laughton Avenue; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Clause No. 2, Report No. 5)

3.136 Request To Name City-Owned Building At 140 Merton Street “The Charlotte Maher Centre” (North Toronto)

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a communication (March 23, 2000) from Councillor Johnston requesting the Commissioner of Corporate Services report to the Toronto Community Council meeting to be held on May 23, 2000 respecting naming the City-owned building at 140 Merton Street “The Charlotte Maher Centre”.

On further motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Corporate Services to report to the Toronto Community Council, at its meeting to be held on May 23, 2000 on the request to name the City-owned building at 140 Merton Street, “The Charlotte Maher Centre”:

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Corporate Services – March 29, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(cc), Report No. 6)

The Committee adjourned its meeting at 8:00 p.m.

Chair.

Toronto Community Council Minutes
Thursday, March 23, 2000

Attendance

March 23, 2000	*11:00 am to 1:20 pm	1:30 pm Quorum Call – Adjourned at 7:55 pm	In Camera 7:55 pm to 8:00 pm
Councillor McConnell (Chair)	X	X	X
Councillor Adams	X	X	X
Councillor Bossons	X	X	X
Councillor Bussin	X	X	X
Councillor Chow	X	X	X
Councillor Disero	X	X	X
Councillor Jakobek	X	X	X
Councillor Johnston	X	X	X
Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski	X	X	X
Councillor Layton	X	X	X
Councillor Miller	X	X	X
Councillor Palacio	X	X	X
Councillor Pantalone	X	X	X
Councillor Rae	X	X	X
Councillor Silva	X	X	X
Councillor Walker	X	X	X
Mayor Lastman	-	-	-

*Members were present for some or all of the time indicated.