

# THE CITY OF TORONTO

## Clerk's Department

### Minutes of the Toronto Community Council

#### Meeting No. 4

**Tuesday, May 2, 2000.**

The Toronto Community Council met on Tuesday, May 2, 2000, in the Council Chambers, City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:30 a.m.

#### **4.1 Evaluation Report: 340 College Street, 15, 25 & 45 Brunswick Avenue, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 24, 26 Major Street - Application 399039 for Site Plan Approval for the Redevelopment of a Long Term Care Facility on the Site of the Former Doctors Hospital (Phase 2) (Downtown)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 7, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services forwarding to Council the application for an amended development approval for Kensington Health Centre, as requested by Councillor Olivia Chow, in accordance with Chapter 165, Article IV of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (March 21, 2000) from Ms. Rose Schwartz;
- (March 22, 2000) from Ms. Margaret Procter;
- (March 22, 2000) from Mr. Michael Kerman;
- (April 18, 2000) from City Clerk, Toronto Community Council, forwarding the action of the Toronto Community Council at its meeting held on March 23, 2000;
- (April 26, 2000) from G.E. Brown;
- (October 4, 1999) from David DePoe, Co-Chair, Neighbourhood Liaison Committee; and
- (May 2, 2000) from Douglas Gameroff.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Dr. Jeremiah Dolan, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Gordon Brown, Toronto, Ontario;
- Ms. Rosie Schwartz, Toronto, Ontario;
- Dr. Bob Frankford, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. David DePoe, Chair, Neighbourhood Liaison Committee, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Cyril Greenland, Toronto, Ontario; and
- Mr. Frank Lewinberg, Urban Strategies Inc.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the report (March 7, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be adopted, subject to:
  - (a) renumbering Recommendation No. 5(c) as Recommendation No. (6);
  - (b) amending Recommendation No. 5(d) to read as follows:

“That authority be granted to introduce By-laws to permit on-street parking on that portion of Brunswick Avenue referred to in Recommendation No. (6) coincident with the completion of the project.”
  - (c) renumbering Recommendation No. 5(d), as amended, as Recommendation No. (7);
  - (d) renumbering Recommendation Nos. 5(e) and 5(f) as Recommendation Nos. 5(c) and 5(d);
- (2) the applicant provide landscaping on the northern portions of the site, to include trees, grass, shrubs, lighting, and that a plan be submitted to the Commissioner of Urban Development Services for approval as part of the current site plan approval;
- (3) the applicant maintain adequate snow removal.

The Toronto Community Council requested:

On motion by Councillor Layton:

- (1) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report directly to Council on the following recommendations respecting gardening:
  - “(a) That gardening space at grade be adequate for the gardening needs of the residents, and that the adequacy and nature of the provision of gardening space be considered by the Commissioner of Urban Development Services in consultation with those City officials working on community gardening;

- (b) That City staff involved in the rooftop gardening initiative be encouraged to discuss the possibility of rooftop gardening at the new facility;”
- (2) the Ward Councillor to report back at the appropriate time on the possibility of community involvement in a community gardening component;
- (3) the City Solicitor to provide a legal opinion directly to Council on the determination of a Long Term Care Facility as a Hospital for the as-of-right use; and

On motion by Councillor Johnston:

- (4) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services and the Medical Officer of Health to report directly to Council on the following motions by Councillor Chow:
  - “(a) That the City express to the Ministers of Health and Community and Social Services that it supports the concept of continuum of care; and
  - (b) That the City advise the Ministers that it objects to granting 150 additional beds to the Kensington Health Centre as the 2<sup>nd</sup> Phase Allocation of long term care beds.”

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; City Solicitor; Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services; Medical Officer of Health; c.: Helen Coombs, Urban Development Services, West Section; Beate Bowron, Director, Community Planning, South District; Gene Lee, Plan Review, Urban Planning and Development Services – No Encl. – May 5, 2000)

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 40)**

**4.2 Public Meeting Pursuant to the Planning Act Respecting Rezoning Application No. 12398 for 38 Abell Street and 1199 Queen Street West, for the Construction of 114 Dwelling Units (Trinity-Niagara)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 9, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services submitting final recommendations on a rezoning application for a site specific amendment to the Zoning By-law to permit the construction of 114 dwelling units in a stacked townhouse form on vacant lands to the south of Abell Street.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act. The public meeting was held on March 23, 2000 and May 2, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council adjourned the Public Meeting held pursuant to the provisions of the Planning Act, to be reconvened on May 23, 2000, and requested the Commissioners of Works and Emergency Services, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism (Parks) and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services (Planning and Buildings) to meeting with the Ward Councillor to resolve outstanding issues.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; Commissioner of Urban Development Services – No Encl. – May 9, 2000)

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 65(r))**

**4.3 Residential Demolition Application – 71 Mulock Avenue (Davenport)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 25, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, recommending that City Council refuse the application to demolish No. 71 Mulock Avenue.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a further report (April 14, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the application to demolish the subject residential building at 71 Mulock Avenue be granted, provided the applicant agrees to submit an undertaking satisfactory to the City Solicitor agreeing to the conditions in (a), (b) and (c) below:

- (a) That any future industrial expansion or use of the land for parking purposes on the site be approved either through rezoning approval or Committee of Adjustment approval;
- (b) That the owner of 71 Mulock Avenue be required to build a fence (subject to the approval of Works and Emergency Services), at his expense, between his house and that of his neighbour on the north side, to provide privacy, and
- (c) That access to parking be only from the public lane at the rear.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 41)**

**4.4 818 Eastern Avenue, Application No. 999101: Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Don River)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 6, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that City Council refuse Application No. 999101 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for third party advertising purposes, an illuminated two face roof sign on the top of a two-storey commercial building at 818 Eastern Avenue.

Mr. Borge Christensen, Toronto, Ontario, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council recommended that Application No. 999101 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for third party advertising purposes, an illuminated two face roof sign on the top of a two-storey commercial building at 818 Eastern Avenue be refused.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 42)**

**4.5 300 Campbell Avenue - Request to Remove City-Owned Tree (Davenport)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (January 25, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, recommending that the removal of the City-owned tree at No. 300 Campbell Avenue be denied.

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to prune the City-owned tree at 300 Campbell Avenue to the point of providing enough clearance whereby the sign at 300 Campbell Avenue can be seen;
- (2) the tree be trimmed back sufficiently that it will not encroach over or above the sign at 300 Campbell Avenue; and
- (3) the applicant be requested to plant a small tree immediately north of the existing tree.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 43)**

**4.6 332 Cleveland Street – Removal of Private Tree (North Toronto)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 29, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the removal of the City-owned tree at No. 332 Cleveland Avenue and recommending that:

- (1) a permit for tree removal be refused; or
- (2) a permit for tree removal be issued conditional on i) the tree in question not being removed until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under the building permit application for the subject project commence which warrant the destruction of the tree; and ii) the applicant planting an 80 millimetre caliper red oak replacement tree on the private property of this address.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (March 22, 2000) from Nadine Azran.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a permit for tree removal at 332 Cleveland Street be refused.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 44)**

**4.7 Residential Demolition Application – 40 Summerhill Gardens (Midtown)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 25, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and recommending:

- (1) That City Council authorize the issuance of the residential demolition permit for 40 Summerhill Gardens, subject to the standard conditions as set out in Chapter 146-16B(5) of the Municipal Code, specifically:
  - (a) That the applicant for the permit construct and substantially complete the new building to be erected on the site of the residential property to be demolished, not later than two (2) years from the day demolition of the existing residential property is commenced.
  - (b) That, on failure to complete the new building within the time specified, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector's roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand dollars (\$20,000.00) for each dwelling unit contained in the residential property in respect of which the demolition permit is issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the land in respect of which the permit to demolish the residential property is issued, OR
- (2) That the application to demolish the subject residential building be deferred by City Council, since there is no building permit issued at this time for the new replacement building.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (February 14, 2000) from Mr. Thomas B. Ridout;
- (April 25, 2000) signed by 14 residents of Summerhill Gardens;
- (April 25, 2000) from Michael E. Charles; and
- (April 27, 2000) from Janice Merson, President, Summerhill Residents Association.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council defer the application to demolish the subject residential building at 40 Summerhill Gardens, since there is no building permit issued at this time for the new replacement building.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 45)**

**4.8 Residential Demolition Application – 20 Benlamond Avenue (East Toronto)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting the demolition application for No. 20 Benlamond Avenue.

The matter was withdrawn.

**4.9 113 Castlewood Rd – Removal of Private Tree (North Toronto)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 29, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting that the removal of the City-owned tree at No. 113 Castlewood Road and recommending that:

- (1) a permit for tree removal be refused; or
- (2) a permit for tree removal be issued conditional on i) the tree in question not being removed until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under the building permit application for the subject project commence which warrant the destruction of the tree; and ii) the applicant planting an 80 millimetre caliper large growing native shade tree as replacement on private property at this address.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (April 25, 2000) from owner of 113 Castlewood Road, requesting deferral sine die.

Mr. Leonard Speed, Toronto, Ontario, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the applicant be permitted to remove the most westerly stem of the five-stemmed basswood tree located at 113 Castlewood Road, conditional on the applicant planting an additional tree following completion of the construction of the new home.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 46)**

**4.10 Bloor Street West And Brock Avenue – Installation of a Traffic Control Signal (Davenport and Trinity-Niagara)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (January 27, 2000) from Councillor Disero respecting the installation of a traffic control signal at Bloor Street West and Brock Avenue.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Dave Wilson, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Tim Dunne, Toronto, Ontario; and
- Ms. Susan Tibaldi, Chairman, Bloordale Village BIA.

A motion by Councillor Disero, to defer consideration of this matter until the meeting of the Toronto Community Council to be held on September 19, 2000, was voted on and lost.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of this matter sine die.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c.: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services – No Encl. – May 9, 2000)

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 65(a))**

**4.11 Poll Result for Front Yard Parking at 29 Admiral Road (Midtown)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for front yard parking at 29 Admiral Road; OR



- (2) City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 29 Admiral Road, subject to:
  - (a) the maximum area to be paved for parking not exceeding 2.6 m by 5.9 m;
  - (b) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
  - (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 29 Admiral Road, subject to:

- (a) the maximum area to be paved for parking not exceeding 2.6 m by 5.9 m;
- (b) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
- (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 47)**

**4.12 Request for an Exemption From Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Commercial Boulevard Parking at 301 Markham Street (Trinity Niagara)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for commercial boulevard parking at 301 Markham Street; OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for commercial boulevard parking at 301 Markham Street, notwithstanding the negative poll results and that the location does not meet the criteria of the Code, subject to the applicant complying with all other criteria set out in Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the application for commercial boulevard parking at 301 Markham Street, notwithstanding the negative poll results and that the location does not meet the criteria of the Code, subject to the applicant complying with all other criteria set out in Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 48)**

**4.13 Maintenance of a Wrought Iron Fence - Fronting 25 Homewood Avenue (Downtown)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City Council approve the maintenance of the wrought-iron fence within the right of way fronting 25 Homewood Avenue, subject to the owners entering into an agreement with the City of Toronto, as prescribed under Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 49)**

**4.14 Maintenance of a Fence - 230 Withrow Avenue (Don River)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City Council approve the maintenance of a 1.2 m high wooden fence within the public right of way fronting 230 Withrow Avenue, subject to the owner:

- (a) modifying the fence by removing the sharp pointed tops; and
- (b) entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, as prescribed under Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 50)**

**4.15 Unauthorized Canopy - 521 Danforth Avenue, Fenwick Avenue Flank – “The Lucky Spot” (Don River)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 14, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the applicant's request to lease a portion of the public right of way on the Fenwick Avenue flank of 521 Danforth Avenue that is currently enclosed by a canopy being used as a self-contained store; OR
- (2) City Council approve the applicant's request to lease a portion of the public right of way on the Fenwick Avenue flank of 521 Danforth Avenue that is currently enclosed by a canopy being used as a self-contained store, subject to the applicant complying with the following:
  - (a) indemnify the City of Toronto from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages, charges and expenses that may result from such permission granted;
  - (b) maintain the canopy enclosure in good and proper repair and in a condition satisfactory to the Commissioners of Works and Emergency Services and Urban Development Services;
  - (c) pay an annual rental fee for the canopy as determined by the Commissioner of Corporate Services;
  - (d) the owner acknowledges that the City has an existing storm sewer and maintenance hole within the subject lands, which the City will continue to use and maintain and to ensure unrestricted access to these services, the applicant agrees to remove the finished flooring from within the canopy area;
  - (e) the owner agrees that access will be retained by the City over the subject portion of Fenwick Avenue for the operation, use, inspection, repair, maintenance, reconstruction or alteration of the City's underground facilities;
  - (f) the Lessee shall not assign or sublet the Lease without consent from the City;
  - (g) no modifications shall be undertaken to the existing structure without the express written approval from the Commissioners of Works and Emergency Services and Urban Development Services;
  - (h) remove the canopy upon receiving 90 days notice from the City to do so;
  - (i) the Lessee shall, at its sole expense, restore the Lands affected as nearly as reasonably possible to its original condition at the expiry of the term, satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and

- (j) accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (April 30, 2000) from Peter S. Carlisi, Kapelos & Carlisi Barristers & Solicitors.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on May 23, 2000.

(Notice sent to Interested Persons)

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 65(b))**

**4.16 Request for an Exemption for Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Residential Boulevard Parking at 37 Bellwoods Avenue (Trinity Niagara)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 17, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the request for residential boulevard parking at 37 Bellwoods Avenue;
- OR
- (2) City Council approve the request for residential boulevard parking at 37 Bellwoods Avenue, notwithstanding that the location does not meet the criteria of the Code, and the negative results of the public poll, and that such approval be subject to:
  - (a) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
  - (b) the maximum area paved for parking not exceeding 2.6 m by 4.9 m;
  - (c) not more than one sub-compact vehicle, having a maximum length of 4.28 m, being parked on the boulevard; and
  - (d) the owner submitting an application, paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the request for residential boulevard parking at 37 Bellwoods Avenue, subject to:

- (a) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
- (b) the maximum area paved for parking not exceeding 2.6 m by 4.90 m;
- (c) not more than one sub-compact vehicle, having a maximum length of 4.28 m, being parked on the boulevard; and
- (d) the owner submitting an application, paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 51)**

**4.17 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 400 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking at 85 Cottingham Street (Midtown)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 17, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for front yard parking at 85 Cottingham Street and request the applicant to remove the existing brick paving within 1.6 m from the base of the City tree; OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 85 Cottingham Street, subject to:
  - (a) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
  - (b) the applicant altering the existing steps in order to accommodate the length of the vehicle;
  - (c) a formal poll being conducted and that such poll have a favourable result; and
  - (d) the applicant paying all applicable fees set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (May 2, 2000) from Robert Mede.

Councillor Adams declared his interest in this matter in that he owns property within the vicinity of the subject site.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, and any other appropriate officials, to consult with the applicant and report further on this matter.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1; Mr. Robert Mede, 85 Cottingham Street, Toronto M4V 1B9 – No Encl. – May 9, 2000)

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 65(c))**

**4.18 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 400 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking at 185 Brookside Drive (East Toronto)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 17, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for front yard parking at 185 Brookside Drive;

OR

- (2) City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 185 Brookside Drive, notwithstanding that there would be insufficient landscaped open space and soft landscaping, subject to:
  - (a) The applicant providing a letter of consent from the owner of 183 Brookside Drive agreeing to the proposal as outlined in this report and modifying their front yard parking proposal accordingly;
  - (b) The parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
  - (c) The applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (May 1, 2000) from Marcel Saumure; and
- (April 28, 2000) from Councillor Tom Jakobek.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 185 Brookside Drive, notwithstanding that there would be insufficient landscaped open space and soft landscaping, subject to:

- (a) the applicant providing a letter of consent from the owner of 183 Brookside Drive agreeing to the proposal as outlined in this report and modifying their front yard parking proposal accordingly;
- (b) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
- (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 52)**

**4.19 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Angled Commercial Boulevard Parking on the Euclid Avenue Flank of 533 College Street (Trinity-Niagara)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 18, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for angled commercial boulevard parking on the Euclid Avenue flank of 533 College Street; OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for angled commercial boulevard parking and the increase of the number of parking spaces on the Euclid Avenue flank of 533 College Street, notwithstanding that the 0.91 m setback cannot be provided and subject to:
  - (a) a formal poll being conducted and that such poll have a favourable result; and
  - (b) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it communications (March 30 and May 1, 2000) from Mr. Steve Rotstein, Shomber Investments Ltd.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report and requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report further, after meeting with Councillor Pantalone and the applicant, on binding obligations as to parking space design and vehicle limitations as to size and ownership of user.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Mr. Steve Rotstein, Shomber Investments Ltd., Dome Fabrics Ltd., 533 College Street, Main Floor, Toronto M6G 1A8 – No Encl. – May 9, 2000)

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 65(d))**

**4.20 Cancellation of Boulevard Marketing Privileges Fronting 1251 and 1253 St. Clair Avenue West (Davenport)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 13, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, recommending that:

City Council rescind the licences for boulevard marketing fronting 1251 and 1253 St. Clair Avenue West subject to:

- (a) The licence holder be notified and be given the opportunity to be heard by the Toronto Community Council;
- (b) That a 30 day notice of cancellation be provided to the licence holder; and
- (c) the licence holder be refunded the unexpired portion of any annual boulevard marketing fees.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it Clause 5 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 11, titled, “Boulevard Marketing – 1251 and 1253 St. Clair Avenue West (Davenport)”, which was amended and adopted by City Council at its meeting held on July 27, 28, 29 and 30, 1999.

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report sine die.



(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c.: Commissioner of Urban Development Services – No Encl. – May 9, 2000)

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 65(e))**

**4.21 Outdoor Patio – Court Square Rear of 57 Adelaide Street East (Downtown)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 18, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve a temporary licence for an outdoor patio at the Court Square rear of 57 Adelaide Street East, notwithstanding the fact that there are no provisions within Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit the licensing of boulevard cafes within the travelled roadway subject to the following:
  - (a) two removable bollards be installed and removed on a daily basis at the expense of the applicant;
  - (b) the patio only allowed to operate between the hours of 11:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m., 7 days a week; and
  - (c) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, report back at the end of the 2000 cafe season on the operation of the outdoor patio; OR
- (2) City Council deny the application for an outdoor patio at the Court Square rear of 57 Adelaide Street East.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (April 28, 2000) from Francisco Villanueva;
- (April 28, 2000) from David P. McNeil, M.T.C.C.# 954, King Plaza;
- (April 29, 2000) from J.J. Long;
- (May 1, 2000) from Jeff Marshall; and
- petition signed by 127 residents of 92 King Street East.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. J.J. Long, Toronto, Ontario;

- Mr. David McNeil, Toronto, Ontario; and
- Mr. Nick Di Donato, Toronto, Ontario.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve a temporary licence for an outdoor patio at the Court Square rear of 57 Adelaide Street East, notwithstanding the fact that there are no provisions within Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit the licensing of boulevard cafes within the travelled roadway subject to the following:

- (a) two removable bollards be installed and removed on a daily basis at the expense of the applicant;
- (b) the patio only allowed to operate between the hours of 11:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m., 7 days a week; and
- (c) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, report back to Councillor Rae at the end of the 2000 cafe season on the operation of the outdoor patio.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 53)**

**4.22 Intersection of Pacific Avenue and Vine Avenue – Installation of All-Way “Stop” Sign Control (High Park)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 17, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the installation of all-way “Stop” sign control at the intersection of Pacific and Vine Avenues and recommending that the report be received for information.

The Toronto Community Council also had before a communication (May 1, 2000) from Jennifer Suzanne and from Jo Ann Pinkney.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Toronto Community Council recommended that an all-way “Stop” sign control be installed at the intersection of Pacific Avenue and Vine Avenue.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 54)**

**4.23 Runnymede Public School – Safety Concerns During Pick-Up/Drop-Off Periods (High Park)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (February 1, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the parking prohibition currently in effect from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., daily, on the north side of Colbeck Street, between Runnymede Road and Kennedy Avenue, be rescinded;
- (2) the parking prohibition currently in effect from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, on the east side of Runnymede Road, between Colbeck Street and a point 93 metres further north thereof, be rescinded;
- (3) stopping be prohibited from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., daily, on both sides of Colbeck Street between Runnymede Road and Kennedy Avenue;
- (4) parking be prohibited from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, on the east side of Runnymede Road, between Colbeck Street and a point 43 metres further north thereof;
- (5) parking be permitted for a maximum period of 10 minutes on the east side of Runnymede Road from a point 43 metres north of Colbeck Street to a point 50 metres further north thereof, from 8:00 a.m. to 9:30 a.m., 11:30 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. and 3:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday;
- (6) parking be prohibited on the east side of Runnymede Road from a point 43 metres north of Colbeck Street to a point 50 metres further north thereof, from 9:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m., and from 1:00 p.m. to 3:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday;
- (7) the existing "School Bus Loading Zone" on Runnymede Road, between a point 43 metres north of Colbeck Street and a point 33 metres further north thereof, be relocated to a point 93 metres north of Colbeck Street and a point 35 metres further north thereof; and
- (8) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (February 11, 2000) from Mr. Andrew Mahoney;
- (February 1, 2000) from Mr. Andrew Mahoney, addressed to Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski;
- (February 15, 2000) from Ms. Lisa Murzin, addressed to Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski; and
- (undated) from Ms. Elaine Noble.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the parking prohibition currently in effect from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, daily, on the east side of Runnymede Road, between a point 76 metres north of Colbeck Street and a point 131 metres further north thereof, be rescinded;
- (2) stopping be prohibited from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., daily, on the south side of Colbeck Street between Runnymede Road and Kennedy Avenue;
- (3) parking be permitted for a maximum period of 15 minutes on the east side of Runnymede Road from a point 76 metres north of Colbeck Street to a point 131 metres further north thereof, from 8:00 a.m. to 9:30 a.m., 11:30 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. and 3:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday;
- (4) parking be prohibited on the east side of Runnymede Road from a point 76 metres north of Colbeck Street to a point 131 metres further north thereof, from 9:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m., and from 1:00 p.m. to 3:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday;
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 55)**

**4.24 Public Meeting Pursuant to the Planning Act Respecting Application No. 199015 for an Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning to Permit the Construction of an Office Building and Other Construction Work on a Portion of the Block Including the Lands Known as the Richmond - Adelaide Centre (Nos. 100, 120 And 130 Adelaide Street West, 85 And 111 Richmond Street West, 12 and 22 Sheppard Street) (Downtown)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 17, 2000) from the City Solicitor submitting the necessary draft Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments to permit a proposed 41-storey office tower at the north-west corner of Adelaide Street West and Sheppard Street.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following reports and communications:

- (March 8, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services – Final Report recommending an Official Plan amendment and a site specific zoning by-law to permit the construction of an office tower and other at grade changes to a portion of the Richmond - Adelaide Centre and adjacent lands at 100 Adelaide Street West and 12 Sheppard Street, and Nos. 85 and 111 Richmond Street West;

21  
Toronto Community Council Minutes  
Tuesday, May 2, 2000

---

- (March 6, 2000) from Mr. Randall Speller;
- (March 17, 2000) from Ms. Linda Barnett;
- (March 16, 2000) from Mickey Smith;
- (undated) from Paul Yau;
- (undated) from Mr. David Wistow, Art Gallery of Ontario;
- (March 9, 2000) from Mr. Don Adams;
- (March 7, 2000) from Mrs. R. Walmsley;
- (March 10, 2000) from President, Vice-President, Administration, Vice-President, Academic, Dean, Faculty of Art, Dean, Faculty of Design and Dean, Faculty of Foundation Studies, Ontario College of Art & Design;
- (March 10, 2000) from Ms. Jill Patrick, Director of Library Services, Dorothy H. Hoover Library, Ontario College of Art & Design;
- (March 16, 2000) from Ms. Mary F. Williamson;
- (March 20, 2000) from Ms. Margaret McBurney, The Arts and Letters Club of Ontario;
- (March 21, 2000) from Mr. Ross Gorrie;
- (March 21, 2000) from Mr. Joseph A. G. Berkovits;
- (March 21, 2000) from Mr. Anthony Belcher;
- (March 20, 2000) from Ms. Audrey Hutchison Fox, Chair, Bracondale Hill Residents' Association;
- (March 21, 2000) from Ms. Joyce S. Feinberg;
- (March 22, 2000) from Ms. Diane Dyer, on behalf of Ms. Rachel Grover, Mr. Lou Taylor Pamenter and Mr. Edward Pamenter;
- (March 22, 2000) from Mr. Dave LeBlanc, Production Director, CFRB 1010;
- (March 22, 2000) from Ms. Karin Stephens;
- (March 22, 2000) from Mr. Paul Oberst, Architect;

- (March 22, 2000) from Mr. Phillip H. Carter, Architect;
- (March 22, 2000) from Ms. Heather Wilson;
- (March 22, 2000) from Mr. Michael Tippin, Tippin Corporation, and Ms. Margie Zeidler, Zeidler Realty Corporation;
- (March 22, 2000) from Mrs. Joyce K. Sowby;
- (March 22, 2000) from Mr. David Peters, Architect Inc., and Mr. Scott Roper;
- (March 21, 2000) from Mr. Tim Morawetz;
- petition (March 7, 2000) from the Board of Directors, Ontario College of Art & Design Faculty Association, signed by 105 Faculty Members, staff, students and alumni;
- (March 20, 2000) from Mr. William Hall;
- (March 19, 2000) from Ms. Margaret E. McKelvey;
- (undated) from Ms. Irene M. Devlin;
- (March 23, 2000) from Ms. Danielle Waxer;
- (March 23, 2000) from Mr. John Gibson;
- (March 22, 2000) from Mr. Walter Daschko, Architect;
- (March 22, 2000) from Ms. Marcia Cuthbert;
- (March 23, 2000) from Ms. Ann Percival;
- (March 23, 2000) from Catherine Nasmith, Toronto Preservation Board;
- (March 19, 2000) from Ms. Ruth Vellis;
- (March 17, 2000) from George Smitherman, MPP, Toronto Centre-Rosedale;
- (March 23, 2000) from Ms. Edith Geduld;
- (March 22, 2000) from Ms. Catherine Seymour;
- (March 23, 2000) from Mr. Dennis Reid, Chief Curator, Art Gallery of Ontario;

- presentation material (March 23, 2000) from Mr. Stephen Diamond, McCarthy Tetrault, Barristers and Solicitors, on behalf of Oxford Properties Group Inc.;
- presentation material (undated) from Mr. Michael McClelland, E.R.A. Architects Inc.;
- (March 23, 2000) from Ms. Barbara Gauthier;
- (March 23, 2000) from Ms. Debbie Lis;
- (April 19, 2000) from the City Clerk, Toronto Community Council, forwarding the Community Council's action of March 23, 2000;
- (April 29, 2000) from Mr. Joseph Lukjanec;
- (April 29, 2000) from M. Mackie;
- (April 30, 2000) from Paul Reuber, Paul Reuber Incorporated Architect;
- (May 1, 2000) from Peter Hamilton;
- (May 1, 2000) from Walter Daschko;
- (May 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services;
- (May 1, 2000) from Edna Hudson, President, Toronto Region Architectural Conservancy;
- (May 1, 2000) from H. Carolyn Purden, President, Purden Communications;
- (May 2, 2000) from Tim Morawetz, Toronto's Art Deco Architecture Expert;
- (May 1, 2000) from Joseph Ashley Berkovits;
- (May 2, 2000) from Brian Anthony, Executive Director, Heritage Canada;
- (May 2, 2000) from Allan Gotlieb, Chairman, Ontario Heritage Foundation;
- (May 1, 2000) from Bernard Rasch;
- (May 2, 2000) from Sandra Lewis;
- (May 2, 2000) from Irene M. Devlin;

24  
Toronto Community Council Minutes  
Tuesday, May 2, 2000

---

- (May 1, 2000) from Nicholas Holman;
- (May 2, 2000) from Catherine Nasmith, Chair, Toronto Preservation Board;
- (May 2, 2000) from Margaret Zeidler;
- (May 2, 2000) from Stuart Smith, Oxford Properties;

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act. The public meeting was held on March 23 and May 2, 2000. The following addressed the Community Council:

On March 23, 2000:

- Ms. Catherine Nasmith, Toronto Preservation Board;
- Mr. Paul McGaw, Toronto, Ontario
- Ms. Joan Miles, Toronto Panel of Preservation Board;
- Mr. Tim Morawetz, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Terry Russell, Toronto Historical Association;
- Ms. Edna Hudson, Toronto Region Architectural Conservancy;
- Ms. Sharon Vattay, Instructor, University of Toronto;
- Mr. Jon Harstone, Toronto, Ontario;
- Ms. Margaret Zeidler, President, Zeidler Realty Corporation;
- Ms. Shirley Morris, Member, Heritage Toronto;
- Mr. Michael Tippin, Tippin Corporation;
- Ms. Edith Geduld, North York LACAC;
- Mr. Bob Barnett, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Steve Russell, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Robert Cash, Lawyer;
- Mr. Stephen Diamond, McCarthy, Tetrault, on behalf of Oxford Properties;
- Mr. Michael McClelland, ERA Architects Inc.;
- Mr. Allan Lai, Agree Property Management Limited; and
- Mr. David Hanna, Toronto, Ontario;

On May 2, 2000:

- Mr. Stuart H.B. Smith, Oxford Properties;
- Mr. Steve Diamond, McCarthy Tetrault, Barristers & Solicitors;
- Ms. Catherine Nasmith, Chair, Toronto Preservation Board;
- Mr. Tim Norawetz, Toronto's Art Deco Architecture Expert;
- Ms. Margaret McBurney, Arts & Letters Club;
- Mr. Louis Temporale, Toronto, Ontario;
- Ms. Margaret Zeidler, President, 401 Richmond Ltd.;
- Mr. T.M. Russell, President, Toronto Historical Association;
- Ms. Jane Burgess, Partner, Stevens Burgess Arch. Ltd.;



- Mr. Eric Meerkamper, Toronto, Ontario; and
- Mr. Nicholas Holman, Toronto, Ontario.

The Toronto Community Council recommended that:

On motion by Councillor Chow:

- (1) the report (March 8, 2000) of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be adopted, subject to;

On motion by Councillor Johnston:

- (a) the applicant ensuring the complete accessibility of the project for persons with disabilities;

On further motion by Councillor Chow:

- (b) the proposed day care centre being operational prior to the issuance of the demolition permit for 100 Adelaide Street West;

On motion by Councillor Layton:

- (c) the Official Plan being amended to provide for the requirement that the project be connected to the District Heating and Cooling System;

On motion by Councillor Pantalone:

- (d) the development being designed in such a way as to provide for a future underground P.A.T.H. easterly connection along or near the Temperance Street alignment, such a connection to be activated at the City's request once redevelopment plans for the block(s) east of the site are approved and implemented;

On further motion by Councillor Chow:

- (2) the Draft By-laws attached to the report (March 17, 2000) of the City Solicitor be approved and that authority be granted to introduce the necessary Bills in Council to give effect thereto substantially in the form of the by-laws attached to the report, which introduction is subject to receipt of:
  - (a) the Heritage Easement Agreements and an Agreement under s. 37 of the Planning Act securing the matters described in the draft by-laws and the report (March 8, 2000) of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor in consultation with the Commissioner

of Urban Development Services and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture & Tourism ;

- (b) postponements of all encumbrances on title to ensure that the Heritage Easement Agreements and the S. 37 Agreement constitute a first charge against title;
- (c) submit to and have approved by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services the following:
  - (i) a Material Recovery and Waste Reduction Plan addressing strategies for material recovery and waste reduction within the development;
  - (ii) a noise impact statement;
  - (iii) at least three weeks before introduction of bills, a reference plan of survey in metric units and integrated with the Ontario Co-ordinate System, delineating thereon by separate parts the lands under application and any appurtenant rights of way and the remainder of the site, as well as dimensioned plans of the development for the purpose of preparing site-specific exemption by-laws; and
- (3) City Council request the Minister of Citizenship, Culture and Recreation to amend the Ontario Heritage Act to strengthen the provisions regarding demolition and to provide fiscal incentives to support preservation.

Recommendation No. (1) carried on the following division of votes:

Yeas: Councillors McConnell, Adams, Chow, Disero, Johnston, Korwin-Kuczynski, Miller, Pantalone, Rae and Silva – 10

Nays: Councillors Bussin, Bossons, Layton and Walker – 4

A motion by Councillor Layton, to adjourn the Public Meeting held pursuant to the provisions of the Planning Act, to be reconvened on May 23, 2000, was voted on and lost.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 24)**

**4.25 Preliminary Report: 15 Trent Avenue - Rezoning Application No. 10003 to Permit the Construction of a Detached and Semi-Detached Residential Development Containing 12 Units (East Toronto)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 18, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

- “(1) I be requested to hold a public meeting in the area to discuss the application and to notify tenants and owners within 120 metres of the site and the Ward Councillors.
- (2) The owner be advised that, prior to final Council approval of this project, the owner may be required to submit a Noise Impact Statement. The owner will be further advised of these requirements, as they relate to this project, by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services.”

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Executive Director and Chief Planner – No Encl. – Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attention: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police – Attention: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge – Encl. – All Interested Persons; c.: Tim Burkholder, Urban Development Services – No. Encl. – May 9, 2000)

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 65(f))**

**4.26 Preliminary Report on Application No. 100007 to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law for 243 Church Street – Ryerson Centre for Computing and Engineering (Downtown)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting Application No. 100007 to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law for 243 Church Street – Ryerson Centre for Computing and Engineering (Downtown), and recommending that, “I be requested to hold a public meeting in the community to discuss the application and to notify owners and tenants within 300 metres of the site and the Ward Councillors.”

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Executive Director and Chief Planner – No Encl. – Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attention: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan

Toronto Police – Attention: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge – Encl. – All Interested Persons; c.: Elyse Parker, Urban Development Services – No Encl. – May 9, 2000)

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 65(g))**

**4.27 Preliminary Report: 233-247 Davisville Avenue and 450 Mount Pleasant Road – Application No. 100006 for Official Plan and Zoning By-Law Amendment and Application No. 300012 for Site Plan Approval to Permit A Nine-Storey Residential Condominium Apartment Building with Off-Site Parking (North Toronto)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 18, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting Application No. 100006 for Official Plan and Zoning By-Law Amendment and Application No. 300012 for Site Plan Approval to permit a nine-storey residential condominium apartment building with off-site parking at 233-247 Davisville Avenue and 450 Mount Pleasant Road and recommending that, “I be requested to hold a public meeting in the community to discuss the application, and to notify owners and tenants within 300 metres of the site, area residents and business associations and the North Toronto Councillors.”

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Executive Director and Chief Planner – No Encl. – Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attention: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police – Attention: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge – Encl. – All Interested Persons; c.: Ted Cymbaly, Urban Development Services – No Encl. – May 9, 2000)

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 65(h))**

**4.28 243 Church Street, Application No. 900007: Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 12, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900007 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for third party

advertising purposes, a second face to an existing illuminated ground sign at 243 Church Street.

- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900007, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 56)**

**4.29 255 Bremner Boulevard, Application No. 900030: Request for Approval of a Minor Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 18, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, recommending that:

- (1) City Council partially approve Application No. 900030 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit signs for identification purposes. The approval would be for seven of the proposed ground, fascia and projecting signs including corporate name and logo in various locations within the site as noted below (see Exhibit 1 for locations) subject to the following conditions:

Locations F or G be permitted in accordance with the application drawings;

Location A - the fascia sign is not to be lit from fixtures on the ground;

Location B - the fascia sign be redesigned to be oval in shape (approximately the same size as proposed) and only lit by directional lighting from below but not located on the ground;

Location C - the dimensions of the proposed ground sign be a maximum of 1.22 metres high and 1.22 metres wide (4 ft. high and 4 ft. wide) and only have down lighting;

Location E - the sign be proud of the masonry and concrete lintels by approximately 2 inches and fastened to the building in no more than 3 locations;

Location H - the sign have a maximum height of 1.83 metres (6 ft.) and width of 1.22 metres (4 ft.) and employ a simplified downlighting "armature" and not employ backlighting or a self-illuminated box; and

- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900030, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and any necessary license agreements/occupation permits from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and that the exact location of the signage be determined in consultation with staff of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 56)**

**4.30 483 Bay Street, Application No. 900031: Request for Approval of a Minor Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 18, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900031 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to erect an illuminated fascia sign, for identification purposes, at 483 Bay Street (see Figures 1, 2 & 3).
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900031, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 56)**

**4.31 241 Jarvis Street, Application No. 900023: Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900023 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to erect six illuminated fascia signs, for identification purposes, four signs at the top floor level and two signs at the first floor level of the building at 241 Jarvis Street (see Figures 1, 2 & 3).

- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900023, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 56)**

**4.32 Hot Air Balloons and Inflatables** (All Wards in the Former City of Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a revised report (April 17, 2000) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and recommending that:

- (1) effective May 1, 2000, that tethered hot air balloons or inflatables advertising corporate sponsors be permitted in South and Central District parklands subject to consultation with local Councillors. That the corporate advertising continue to follow the previous guidelines as per Attachment No. 1.
- (2) fees be applied for the installation of tethered hot air balloons or inflatables as follows:  
  
\$200.00 per item when a utility stakeout is required (utility stakeout refer to underground utility locates)
- (3) the appropriate officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) effective May 1, 2000, that tethered hot air balloons or inflatables advertising corporate sponsors be permitted in South and Central District parklands, subject to consultation with local Councillors which would permit the local Councillors to consult their constituents;
- (2) the corporate advertising continue to follow the previous guidelines as per Attachment No. 1 of the report (April 17, 2000) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism;
- (3) fees be applied for the installation of tethered hot air balloons or inflatables as follows:  
  
\$200.00 per item when a utility stakeout is required (utility stakeout refer to underground utility locates); and

- (3) the appropriate officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 57)**

**4.33 Street Festival 2000 – Liquor Licence Request** (North Toronto, Midtown and Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 3, 2000) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, recommending that Celebrate Toronto Street Festival be declared an event of municipal significance, for LLBO purposes and indicate that there is no objection from City Council to granting a liquor licence for beer tents in designated areas on the festival site.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 58)**

**4.34 St. Clarens Avenue, from College Street to Bloor Street West - Speed Hump Poll Results** (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 12, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that the draft by-law to alter the section of St Clarens Avenue, between College Street and Bloor Street West, by means of the installation of speed humps, not be enacted in light of the negative poll results.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it Clause No. 28 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 15, titled, "Installation of Speed Humps – St. Clarens Avenue, Between College Street and Bloor Street West (Trinity-Niagara), which was adopted, without amendment, by City Council at its meeting held on December 14, 15 and 16, 1999.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto Community Council recommended that, in view of the negative poll results, the draft by-law to alter the section of St Clarens Avenue, between College Street and Bloor Street West, by means of the installation of speed humps, not be enacted.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 59)**

**4.35 Shaw Street between Dupont Street and Bloor Street West – Speed Hump Poll Results** (Davenport)



The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 17, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that the draft by-law to alter the section of Shaw Street between Dupont Street and Bloor Street West by means of the installation of speed humps not be enacted in light of the negative poll result.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it Clause No. 7 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 8, titled, "Installation of Speed Humps – Shaw Street, from Dupont Street to Bloor Street West", which was adopted, without amendment by City Council at its meeting held on November 23, 24 and 25, 1999.

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Toronto Community Council recommended that, in view of the negative poll results, the Draft By-law to alter the section of Shaw Street between Dupont Street and Bloor Street West by means of the installation of speed humps not be enacted.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 60)**

**4.36 Kingston Road, East of Woodbine Avenue – Relaxation of the Eastbound Left Turn Prohibition from 4:00 P.M. to 6:00 P.M., Monday to Friday at the Driveway Leading to Premises No. 320 Kingston Road (East Toronto)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 22, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the eastbound and southbound left turn prohibition at Kingston Road and the entrance to the City Home Development approximately 50 metres east of Woodbine Avenue from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, be rescinded;
- (2) eastbound left turns be prohibited from Kingston Road at the entrance to the City Home Development approximately 50 metres east of Woodbine Avenue from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., Monday to Friday;
- (3) southbound left turns be prohibited from the entrance of the City Home Development approximately 50 metres east of Woodbine Avenue to Kingston Road from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 61)**

**4.37 Paton Road, North Side, between Campbell Avenue and its Terminus at the Canadian National Railway Right-Of-Way – Removal of the “No Parking 8:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M., Monday to Friday” Regulation (Davenport)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 12, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the “No Parking 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” regulation on the north side of Paton Road from a point 38.4 metres east of Campbell Avenue to the Canadian National Railway right-of-way be rescinded; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 62)**

**4.38 Proposed Closing of a Portion of the Public Lane, Extending Easterly from Northern Dancer Boulevard, South of Queen Street East, and the Creation of a New Public Lane Outlet In Lieu Thereof (East Toronto)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 14, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the proposed closing of a portion of the public lane, extending easterly from Northern Dancer Boulevard, south of Queen Street East, and the creation of a new public lane outlet in lieu thereof.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (April 20, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services requesting City Council’s authority for the conveyance of a portion of the public lane extending easterly from Northern Dancer Boulevard, south of Queen Street East in exchange for the construction and conveyance to the City of a new public lane outlet to the south thereof.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the reports (April 14, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 and (April 20, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services be adopted.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 63)**

**4.39 Installation of a Deck – 411 Clendenan Avenue**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 14, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City Council approve the installation of the wooden floating deck within the public right of way fronting 411 Clendenan Avenue, subject to the owner entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to:

- (a) indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted;
- (b) indemnify the City and utility companies of any damage sustained to the deck in the event of tree removal, future growth of the City owned tree, or if access is required to area covered by proposed deck;
- (c) provide a large enough circumference around the tree that would not inhibit future growth;
- (d) sign a Letter of Responsibility regarding the City owned tree that may be affected by this proposal;
- (e) remove the existing limestone screening within a 2.4 m radius of the City owned tree;
- (f) construct the deck without the use of footings; and
- (g) accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 64)**

**4.40 Public Lane North of Bloor Street West between Dufferin Street and Gladstone Avenue – Proposed One-Way Operation (Davenport)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 12, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that the public lane first north of Bloor Street West between Dufferin Street and Gladstone Avenue be designated to operate one-way eastbound.

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 39)**

**4.41 Euclid Avenue, between Robinson Street and Dundas Street West - Proposed Installation of Speed Humps** (Trinity-Niagara - Ward 20)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 12, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that:

- (1) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Euclid Avenue, from Robinson Street to Dundas Street West, for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of the affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

“The construction of speed humps on EUCLID AVENUE, from Robinson Street to Dundas Street West, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5625, dated February 2000”;

- (2) a speed limit of 30 kilometres per hour be introduced on Euclid Avenue, from Robinson Street to Dundas Street West, coinciding with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 38)**

**4.42 Manor Road East, from Mt. Pleasant Road to Bayview Avenue – Feasibility of Installing Speed Humps** (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 14, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that:

- (1) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Manor Road East, from Mt. Pleasant Road to Bayview Avenue, for traffic calming purposes as described below, with the implementation subject to the favourable polling of the affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

“The construction of speed humps on MANOR ROAD EAST, from Mt. Pleasant Road to Bayview Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5629, dated February, 2000.”

- (2) a speed limit of thirty kilometres per hour be introduced on Manor Road East, from Mt. Pleasant Road to Bayview Avenue, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 37)**

**4.43 Bristol Avenue from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue – Installation of Speed Humps (Davenport)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 17, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting the installation of speed humps on Bristol Avenue from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue and recommending that the report be received for information.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a petition signed by 62 persons.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Bristol Avenue from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue, for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

“The construction of speed humps on BRISTOL AVENUE, from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5686 dated April 2000”;

- (2) the speed limit be reduced from 40 km/h to 30 km/h on Bristol Avenue from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits;

- (3) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Westmoreland Avenue North from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue, for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

“The construction of speed humps on WESTMORELAND AVENUE NORTH, from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5688 dated April 2000”;

- (4) the speed limit be reduced from 40 km/h to 30 km/h on Westmoreland Avenue North from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits; and
- (5) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Salem Avenue North from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue, for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

“The construction of speed humps on SALEM AVENUE NORTH, from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5687 dated April 2000”;

- (6) the speed limit be reduced from 40 km/h to 30 km/h on Salem Avenue North from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits; and
- (7) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 36)**

**(See also Minute Nos. 4.60 and 4.61)**

**4.44 Lawlor Avenue – Prohibition of Parking on the West Side from the Westerly Branch of Swanwick Avenue to a Point 20 Metres North (East Toronto)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that:

- (1) parking be prohibited on the west side of Lawlor Avenue from the westerly branch of Swanwick Avenue to a point 20 metres north thereof; and

- (2) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 35)**

**4.45 Glenrose Avenue, both sides, between Mt. Pleasant Road and Welland Avenue – Request to Prohibit Parking (Midtown)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 14, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that parking be prohibited from 8:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., Monday to Friday, on both sides of Glenrose Avenue from Mt. Pleasant Road to Welland Avenue.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 34)**

**4.46 Inglewood Drive, between St. Clair Avenue East and Mount Pleasant Road – Speed Hump Poll Results (Midtown)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 14, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that the draft by-law to alter the section of Inglewood Drive between St. Clair Avenue East and Mount Pleasant Road by means of the installation of speed humps not be enacted in light of the negative poll result.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it Clause No. 24 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 2, titled, "Installation of Speed Humps – Inglewood Drive, from St. Clair Avenue East to Mount Pleasant Road", which was adopted, without amendment, by City Council at its meeting held on February 1, 2 and 3, 200.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that, in view of the negative poll results, the draft by-law to alter the section of Inglewood Drive between St. Clair Avenue East and Mount Pleasant Road, by means of the installation of speed humps, not be enacted.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 33)**

**4.47 Removal of the Pick-Up and Drop-Off Zone for Disabled Persons – 39 Connolly Street (Davenport)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 132, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that the on-street loading zone for disabled persons operating from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, on the south side of Connolly Street from a point 72.5 metres west of Whiltshire Avenue to a point 11 metres further west be rescinded.

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 32)**

**4.48 Dovercourt Road, West Side, South of College Street – Implementation of a “Day-Care Pick-Up and Drop-Off Area” with a Fifteen Minute Maximum Parking Limit (Trinity-Niagara)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 14, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the existing one hour parking 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, on the west side of Dovercourt Road, from College Street to a point 67.1 metres north Heydon Park Road, be adjusted to apply from a point 42.0 metres south of College Street to a point 67.1 metres north Heydon Park Road;
- (2) parking be allowed for a maximum period of fifteen minutes from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., Monday to Friday on the west side of Dovercourt Road from a point 30.0 metres south of College Street to a point 12.0 metres further south;
- (3) parking be allowed for a maximum period of one hour from 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, on the west side of Dovercourt Road from a point 30.0 metres south of College Street to a point 12.0 metres further south; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 31)**



**4.49 Front Street West, South Side, between York Street and Simcoe Street – Provision of a “Loading Zone” Fronting Premises No. 123 (Nicholby’s) (Downtown)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that the installation of a “Loading Zone” fronting Nicholby’s (No. 123 Front Street West) be approved, subject to the payment of appropriate fees by the applicant (Olde Towne Tours Inc.), on the south side of Front Street West, from a point 78.0 metres west of York Street to a point 12.0 metres west thereof.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 30)**

**4.50 Relocation of Pedestrian Crossover from Queen Street East at Brookmount Road to Queen Street East at Lockwood Road (East Toronto)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 9, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that:

- 1) the approval of a pedestrian crossover on Queen Street East at the east side of Brookmount Road be rescinded;
- 2) a pedestrian crossover be installed on Queen Street East at the east side of Lockwood Road; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it Clause No. 11 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 6, titled, “Installation of Pedestrian Crossing Device – Queen Street East and Brookmount Road (East Toronto)”, which was adopted, without amendment, by City Council at its meeting held on June 3, 4 and 5, 1998.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 29)**

**4.51 Designation of 106 Trinity Street (Enoch Turner School House) (Don River)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 22, 2000) from the City Clerk recommending that authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary Bill in Council to designate 106 Trinity Street for architectural and historical reasons under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 28)**

**4.52 Designation of 1643 Yonge Street (Massey Mausoleum, Mount Pleasant Cemetery)**  
(Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 22, 2000) from the City Clerk recommending that authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary Bill in Council to designate 1643 Yonge Street for architectural and historical reasons under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 27)**

**4.53 Eastbound Lane Designations – Wellesley Street East at Parliament Street** (Toronto, Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 12, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the northerly eastbound lane on Wellesley Street East be designated for left-turning movements only from a point 30.5 metres west of the west curb line of Parliament Street to the west curb line of Parliament Street;
- (2) the southerly eastbound lane on Wellesley Street East be designated for right-turning and through movements only from a point 30.5 metres west of the west curb line of Parliament Street to the west curb line of Parliament Street; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 26)**

**4.54 Conversion from Two-Way to One-Way Northbound Operation Ravina Crescent, between Baird Avenue and Jones Avenue** (Toronto, East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 12, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that Ravina Crescent, between Baird Avenue and Jones Avenue, be converted from a two-way to a one-way northbound operation.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 25)**

**4.55 Woodlawn Avenue East, North Side, from a Point 50 Metres East of Yonge Street to a Point 50 Metres Further East – Adjustment of Parking Regulations and Installation of Parking Meters/Pay and Display Machines** (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 14, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the current one hour parking regulation on the north side of Woodlawn Avenue East be adjusted to operate for a maximum period of two hours, from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, from a point 50 metres east of Yonge Street to a point 50 metres further east;
- (2) the Toronto Parking Authority be requested to install parking meters/pay and display machines on the north side of Woodlawn Avenue East, from a point 50 metres east of Yonge Street to a point 50 metres further east, to operate for a maximum period of two hours from 11:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, and for a maximum period of three hours from 6:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, and from 1:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., Sundays, at a rate of \$1.00 per hour; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the City Clerk be directed to post a notice in one of the daily newspapers of the proposed rescindment of permit parking on Woodlawn Avenue East, from 50 m east of Yonge Street to a point 50 m further east, to accommodate the installation of parking meters/pay and display machines; and

- (2) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the Toronto Community Council, as a deputation item, on the parking regulations required to accommodate additional parking for businesses on the north side of Woodlawn Avenue East, from 50 m east of Yonge Street to a point 50 m further east.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 23)**

**4.56 Beech Avenue between Pine Avenue and Balsam Avenue – Installation of Speed Humps**  
(East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 27, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting the installation of speed humps on Beech Avenue between Pine Avenue and Balsam Avenue and recommending that the report be received for information.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (April 28, 2000) from Councillor Jakobek.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Beech Avenue from Pine Avenue to Balsam Avenue for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to the favourable results of polling of the affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

"The construction of speed humps on BEECH AVENUE from Pine Avenue to Balsam Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5639 dated March 2000";

- (2) a speed limit of thirty kilometres per hour be introduced on Beech Avenue from Pine Avenue to Balsam Avenue, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 22)**

**4.57 Swanwick Avenue between Malvern Avenue and Hannaford Street – Removal of the Traffic Islands and Replacement with a Speed Hump** (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 12, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that:

- (1) approval be given to widen a section of the pavement on Swanwick Avenue, from Malvern Avenue to Hannaford Street through the removal of the traffic islands, as described in the body of this report and generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-4761, dated May 1996 and as follows:
  - (a) the widening of the pavement on Swanwick Avenue from a point 35.4 metres east of Malvern Avenue to a point 31.9 metres west of Hannaford Street, from a current width varying from 5.0 metres to 7.3 metres to a consistent width of 7.3 metres;
- (2) approval be given to alter a section of the roadway on Swanwick Avenue, from Malvern Avenue to Hannaford Street for traffic calming purposes, as described in the body of this report and generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5507, dated November 1999, and as follows through:
  - (a) the construction of a speed hump on Swanwick Avenue at a point 51.3 metres east of Malvern Avenue; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 21)**

**4.58 Installation/Removal of On-Street Parking Spaces for Persons With Disabilities**  
(Davenport, Don River, East Toronto, High Park and North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 17, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending the installation/removal of disabled on-street parking spaces as noted in Table "A" of the report.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 20)**

**4.59 Gainsborough Road between Gerrard St. E. and Eastwood Road – Removal of “Stop” Signs and Installation of an Additional Speed Hump** (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 12, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the northbound and southbound “Stop” signs on Gainsborough Road at Newbold Avenue, be removed;
- (2) the draft by-law approved by City Council at its meeting of July 3 and 4, 1999, authorizing the installation of speed humps on Gainsborough Road, between Gerrard Street East and Eastwood Road, be amended as follows in order to add one speed hump in the vicinity of Premises No. 158:
  - delete the entry in Section (1) under Column 6, and replace with “Drawing No. 421F-5426 dated March 2000” and the draft by-law, as amended, be enacted; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 19)**

**4.60 Westmoreland Avenue North from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue – Installation of Speed Humps** (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 17, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting the installation of speed humps on Westmoreland Avenue North from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Bristol Avenue from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue, for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

“The construction of speed humps on BRISTOL AVENUE, from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5686 dated April 2000”;

- (2) the speed limit be reduced from 40 km/h to 30 km/h on Bristol Avenue from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits;
- (3) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Westmoreland Avenue North from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue, for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

“The construction of speed humps on WESTMORELAND AVENUE NORTH, from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5688 dated April 2000”;

- (4) the speed limit be reduced from 40 km/h to 30 km/h on Westmoreland Avenue North from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits; and
- (5) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Salem Avenue North from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue, for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

“The construction of speed humps on SALEM AVENUE NORTH, from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5687 dated April 2000”;

- (6) the speed limit be reduced from 40 km/h to 30 km/h on Salem Avenue North from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits; and
- (7) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 36)**

**(See also Minute Nos. 43 and 61)**

**4.61 Salem Avenue North from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue – Installation of Speed Humps (Davenport)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 17, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting the installation of speed humps on Salem Avenue North from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Bristol Avenue from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue, for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

“The construction of speed humps on BRISTOL AVENUE, from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5686 dated April 2000”;

- (2) the speed limit be reduced from 40 km/h to 30 km/h on Bristol Avenue from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits;
- (3) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Westmoreland Avenue North from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue, for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

“The construction of speed humps on WESTMORELAND AVENUE NORTH, from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5688 dated April 2000”;

- (4) the speed limit be reduced from 40 km/h to 30 km/h on Westmoreland Avenue North from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits; and
- (5) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Salem Avenue North from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue, for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:



“The construction of speed humps on SALEM AVENUE NORTH, from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5687 dated April 2000”;

- (6) the speed limit be reduced from 40 km/h to 30 km/h on Salem Avenue North from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits; and
- (7) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 36)**

**(See also Minute Nos. 43 and 60)**

**4.62 Pacific Avenue in the Vicinity of the No Frills Store – Prohibition Of Stopping At All Times (High Park)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 17, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the existing one-hour parking regulation on the west side of Pacific Avenue, from Annette Street to Dundas Street West, be rescinded;
- (2) stopping be prohibited at all times on the west side of Pacific Avenue, from a point 131 metres north of Annette Street to a point 31 metres further north thereof;
- (3) one-hour parking be permitted between 8:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m., daily, on the west side of Pacific Avenue, from a point 31 metres north of Annette Street to a point 100 metres further north thereof, and from a point 162 metres north of Annette Street to a point 18.5 metres further north thereof; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 18)**

**4.63 Operation of the 2000 Molson Indy Race at Exhibition Place (Trinity-Niagara)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 12, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting the operation of the 2000 Molson Indy Race at Exhibition Place and recommending that:

- (1) authority be granted to totally occupy or close Lake Shore Boulevard West between Strachan Avenue and Ontario Drive from 9:00 p.m. Thursday, July 13, 2000, to 11:59 p.m. Sunday, July 16, 2000, except for emergency vehicles and Transportation Department vehicles. (Access and egress will be maintained to Ontario Place and local Lake Shore Boulevard West traffic by an alternate route via Remembrance Drive, a parallel road on the south edge of Lake Shore Boulevard West);
- (2) in the event the final race is postponed or cancelled on Sunday July 16, 2000, the road closure authorized under Recommendation No. (1) above be extended to 11:59 p.m. Monday July 17, 2000;
- (3) stopping be prohibited from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. from July 14, 2000 to July 16 2000 inclusive, on both sides of Fleet Street from Bathurst Street to Strachan Avenue, and on both sides of Strachan Avenue from Lake Shore Boulevard West to King Street West, and extended to include July 17, 2000, if necessary;
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized to cause notice of the closing by-laws to be given in accordance with the *Municipal Act* and prepare the draft by-laws for a public hearing before the Toronto Community Council at its meeting of June 20, 2000; and
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 17)**

**4.64 947 Dovercourt Road, Application No. 999094: Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Davenport)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 11, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 999094 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to maintain, for identification

purposes, one illuminated ground sign and one illuminated fascia sign at 947 Dovercourt Road, on condition that the signs be illuminated only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 9:00 p.m. and this be achieved by an automated timing device.

- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999094, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 56)**

**4.65 100 Bloor Street West, Application No. 999100: Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Midtown)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 12, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 999100 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to maintain, for the purpose of marketing a condominium development, three temporary illuminated fascia signs at 100 Bloor Street West, on condition that:
  - (a) the signs be permitted only for a period of 6 months from the date of Council approval;
  - (b) the signs "A" and "B" on the south elevation are turned off between 11:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. by means of an automated device; and
  - (c) the sign "C" on the north wall remains non-illuminated at all times.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999100, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 56)**

**4.66 30 St. Clair Avenue West, Application No. 900018: Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Midtown)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 12, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900018 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated fascia sign at the top floor level on the east elevation of the building at 30 St. Clair Avenue West.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900018, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 56)**

**4.67 267 College Street, Application No. 900015: Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 12, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900015 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated fascia sign to be located on the west elevation of the building at 267 College Street.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900015, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 56)**

#### 4.68 Harmonization of the Fence By-Law

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (March 23, 2000) from the City Clerk, advising that the Planning and Transportation Committee on March 21, 2000:

- (1) adopted the report (March 1, 2000) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services and in so doing forwarded it to all Community Councils for their review and report back to the Planning and Transportation Committee; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with the City Solicitor, to report to each Community Council on suggested wording to clarify the term “a City employee” contained in Section 11 on page 10 of the report so as to define the City employee’s role in exercising lawful authority in this respect as being limited to emergency situations of a temporary nature, and comment on the suggested stipulation that the employee be requested to consult with the Ward Councillor prior to exercising such authority.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (April 17, 2000) from the City Solicitor respecting Harmonization of Fence By-Law – Clarification of Section 11 of Draft By-Law, and recommending that:

- (1) sections 11 and 12 of the draft harmonized fence by-law be re-worded as outlined in this report; and
- (2) ward councillors be informed when a property standards officer or the chief building official has made an emergency order under the *Building Code Act, 1992* requiring that a fence be erected that does not comply with the harmonized fence by-law.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council advised the Planning and Transportation Committee of its endorsement of the draft by-law attached to the report (March 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, subject to the adoption of the proposed amendments contained in the report (April 17, 2000) from the City Solicitor.

(Letter sent to: Planning and Transportation Committee; c.: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Mr. E. Gino Vescio, Sr. Policy and Research Officer, Municipal Licensing and Standards; Mr. Bryan Byng, East District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards; City Solicitor; Mr. Rob Billingsley, Solicitor, Legal Services – May 4, 2000)

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 65(i))**

#### **4.69 Harmonization of the Division Fence By-Law**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 23, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Planning and Transportation Committee on March 21, 2000:

- (1) adopted the report (March 1, 2000) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services with respect to the Harmonization of the Division Fence By-Law and in so doing forwarded this report to all Community Councils for their review and report back to the Planning and Transportation Committee; and
- (2) forwarded to each Community Council for their consideration with the above-noted report, the following amendment proposed by the Planning and Transportation Committee:

“That the report be amended by directing that the Committees of Adjustment be advised that a standard condition of severance from any rail line for reasons of safety, is the installation of a 2.5 metre chain link fence as a standard to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services”.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council advised the Planning and Transportation Committee of its endorsement of the draft by-law attached to the report (March 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, and the proposed amendment by the Planning and Transportation Committee.

(Letter sent to: Planning and Transportation Committee; c.: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Mr. E. Gino Vescio, Sr. Policy and Research Officer, Municipal Licensing and Standards – May 4, 2000)

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 65(j))**

#### **4.70 Sale of Surplus Property – 1 Hubbard Boulevard (East Toronto)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 14, 2000) from the Chief Executive Officer, Toronto Housing Company respecting the sale of the surplus property at 1 Hubbard Boulevard and recommending that:

- (1) the Chief Executive Officer of the Toronto Housing Company be authorized to accept the offer in the amount of \$ 360,000.00 as detailed herein:
- (2) the net sale proceeds on closing be directed to the Toronto Housing Company.
- (3) the City Solicitor be authorized and directed to take the appropriate action to complete the transaction on behalf of the City of Toronto and be further authorized to

amend the closing date and any other terms of sale as are considered reasonable by them; and

- (4) the appropriate Toronto Housing Company and City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (April 14, 2000) from the Chief Executive Officer, Toronto Housing Company.

Councillor Walker requested that he be recorded as having voted in the negative.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 16)**

**4.71 Sale of Surplus Property – 187 Crawford Street (Trinity-Niagara)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 15, 2000) from the Chief Executive Officer, Toronto Housing Company respecting the sale of the surplus property at 187 Crawford Street and recommending that:

- (1) the Chief Executive Officer of the Toronto Housing Company be authorized to accept the offer in the amount of \$ 425,000.00 as detailed herein,
- (2) the net sale proceeds on closing be directed to the Toronto Housing Company,
- (3) the City Solicitor be authorized and directed to take the appropriate action to complete the transaction on behalf of the City of Toronto and be further authorized to amend the closing date and any other terms of sale as are considered reasonable by them; and,
- (4) the appropriate Toronto Housing Company and City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (April 15, 2000) from the Chief Executive Officer, Toronto Housing Company.

Councillor Walker requested that he be recorded as having voted in the negative.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 15)**

**4.72 Sale of Surplus Property – 185 Crawford Street (Trinity-Niagara)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 12, 2000) from the Chief Executive Officer, Toronto Housing Company respecting the sale of the surplus property at 185 Crawford Street and recommending that:

- (1) the Chief Executive Officer of the Toronto Housing Company be authorized to accept the offer in the amount of \$ 307,500.00 as detailed herein,
- (2) the net sale proceeds on closing be directed to the Toronto Housing Company,
- (3) the City Solicitor be authorized and directed to take the appropriate action to complete the transaction on behalf of the City of Toronto and be further authorized to amend the closing date and any other terms of sale as are considered reasonable by them; and,
- (4) the appropriate Toronto Housing Company and City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (April 12, 2000) from the Chief Executive Officer, Toronto Housing Company.

Councillor Walker requested that he be recorded as having voted in the negative.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 14)**

**4.73 Sale of Surplus Property – 114 Havelock Street (Trinity-Niagara)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 2000) from the Chief Executive Officer, Toronto Housing Company respecting the sale of the surplus property at 114 Havelock Street and recommending that:

- (1) the Chief Executive Officer of the Toronto Housing Company be authorized to accept the offer in the amount of \$ 441,000.00 as detailed herein,
- (2) the net sale proceeds on closing be directed to the Toronto Housing Company,
- (3) the City Solicitor be authorized and directed to take the appropriate action to complete the transaction on behalf of the City of Toronto and be further authorized to amend the closing date and any other terms of sale as are considered reasonable by them; and,



- (4) the appropriate Toronto Housing Company and City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (April 13, 2000) from the Chief Executive Officer, Toronto Housing Company.

Councillor Walker requested that he be recorded as having voted in the negative.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 13)**

**4.74 Design Guidelines and Development Standards for Infill Housing**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (March 10, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Planning and Transportation Committee agenda briefing meeting on March 8, 2000, the Chair of the Planning and Transportation Committee directed that the attached transmittal letter (February 23, 2000) from the City Clerk forwarding a joint report (January 31, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, West District and the Director of Urban Design, City Planning, entitled "Design Guidelines and Development Standards for Infill Housing" be forwarded to all Community Councils for consideration and comment to the Planning and Transportation Committee.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (May 2, 2000) from Bill Joyce, Edgewood Mews Ltd., with respect thereto.

The Toronto Community Council:

On motion by Councillor Adams:

- (1) deferred consideration of this matter until its meeting to be held on May 23, for deputations;

On motion by Councillor Pantalone:

- (2) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to make a presentation at that time;
- (3) requested the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism to report to the Toronto Community Council, at its meeting to be held on May 23, 2000, on additional requirements for maximizing tree planting and species selection in infill projects; and

- (4) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Toronto Community Council, at its meeting to be held on May 23, 2000 on maximizing the undergrounding of utilities in infill projects.

(Letter sent to: Planning and Transportation Committee; c.: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services)

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 65(k))**

#### **4.75 Appointments – Board of Management for William H. ‘Bill’ Bolton Area**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (March 21, 2000) from the Chairman, William H. “Bill” Bolton Arena, forwarding nominations to the Board of Management for William H. (Bill) Bolton Arena .

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that Brian McGroarty, Peter Groves, Mary Botelho, Ralph Calcagno, Susan O’Connor, Gus Falcioni, Aster Fessahaie, Doug Croker and John Fulford be appointed to the Board of Management of the William H. (Bill) Bolton Arena until November 30, 2000, on an interim basis, at the pleasure of Council, and until their successors are appointed.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 12)**

#### **4.76 Extension of Parking Prohibitions on Queen Street East**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (March 10, 2000) from the General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission advising that the Toronto Transit Commission on March 8, 2000 after hearing the deputations, approved the following:

- (1) That the Commission advise Toronto Community Council that the TTC has not written any formal Commission reports that would support the extension of parking prohibitions on Queen Street East; and
- (2) That the TTC work with the new Business Association to develop positive strategies that would benefit both transit users and the local business community.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council received the foregoing communication for information.

(Letter sent to: Mr. Vincent Rodo, General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission – May 9, 2000)

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 65(l))**

**4.77 Operational Improvements on 504 King Streetcar (Trinity-Niagara)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (March 10, 2000) from the General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission, forwarding the action of the Toronto Transit Commission at its meeting held on March 8, 2000, respecting operational improvements on the 504 King streetcar.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council:

- (1) received the foregoing communication for information; and
- (2) requested the General Manager of the Toronto Transit Committee to expedite the evaluation of a number of additional measures which would further improve operations on the 504 King service, including restoring the peak period reserved streetcar lanes between Dufferin Street and Parliament Street with improved signage and enforcement, and modifying the terminal stations – Dundas West Stations and Broadview Station – to separate the operation of the 504 King and 505 Dundas streetcars and achieve faster and more efficient operations.

(Letter sent to: Mr. Vincent Rodo, General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission – May 9, 2000)

**(Report No. 8, Clause 65(m))**

**4.78 Proposed Opera House at 145 Queen Street West (Downtown)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (March 14, 2000) from the General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission respecting the proposed Opera House at 145 Queen Street West.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council received the foregoing communication for information.

(Letter sent to: Mr. Vincent Rodo, General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission – May 9, 2000)

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 65(n))**

**4.79 Toronto Outdoor Art Exhibition – Nathan Phillips Square**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a joint communication (April 12, 2000) from Councillors Chow and Pantalone respecting the Toronto Outdoor Art Exhibition on Nathan Phillips Square.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) City Council indicate its support for the Toronto Outdoor Art Exhibition; and
- (2) City staff be authorized to continue to provide support to this important event, which is North America's premier outdoor art exhibition

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 11)**

**4.80 Request to Rename the Imperial Parkette to the Fiona Nelson Parkette to Honor Former City of Toronto School Trustee Fiona Nelson (North Toronto)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a joint communication (April 18, 2000) from Councillors Johnston and Walker respecting the renaming of the Imperial Parkette to the Fiona Nelson Parkette to honor the former City of Toronto School Trustee, and requesting the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism to report on this matter at the meeting of the Toronto Community Council to be held on June 20, 2000.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism to report to the Toronto Community Council, at its meeting to be held on June 20, 2000, on the request to rename the Imperial Parkette to the Fiona Nelson Parkette to honour the former City of Toronto School Board Trustee Fiona Nelson.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism – May 9, 2000)

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 65(o))**

**4.81 Renaming and Renumbering the Westerly Portion of Earl Street and Renumbering 9 and 11 Earl Street (Downtown)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 19, 2000) from the City Surveyor, Works and Emergency Services, recommending that:

- (1) subject to the statutory requirements for changing the name of a street under the Municipal Act, the portion of Earl Street west of Huntley Street be changed to Earl Place;
- (2) the properties fronting on both sides of Earl Street west of Huntley Street be renumbered accordingly;
- (3) premises 11 Earl Street be renumbered to accommodate the 16 new row houses at 9 Earl Street; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the report (April 19, 2000) from the City Surveyor, Works and Emergency Services be adopted, subject to:

- (1) increasing the new addresses by 100 for the properties on the portion of Earl Street, west of Huntley Street (Earl Place);
- (2) amending Recommendation No. 3 by adding the words, "such numbering to commence at 7 Earl Street"; and
- (3) the post office change of address costs being incurred by the residents of the proposed Earl Place being borne by the City.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 10)**

**4.82 Nominations to the Community Centre 55 Board of Management (East Toronto)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 13, 2000) from the Chief Administrative Officer respecting nominations to the Community Centre 55 Board of Management and recommending that the report be received for information.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it communications (January 19 and February 25, 2000) from Mr. Bob Murdoch, Executive Director, Community Centre 55.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended that LeeAnn Acton, Kevin Douglas Kelly, Debbie Mary Visconti, Gene Stanley, Glen Michael Cochrane, James Warren and Douglas Kinsella be appointed to the Board of Management of Community Centre 55 until November 30, 2000, on an interim basis, at the pleasure of Council, and until their successors are appointed.

On a further motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council requested the Chief Administrative Officer to report to the Toronto Community Council on the harmonization of the process for the selection of candidates to the Boards of Management of Community Centres and Arenas.

(Letter sent to: Chief Administrative Officer – May 9, 2000)

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 9)**

**4.83 Request for Endorsement of Events for Liquor Licensing Purposes**

The Toronto Community Council had before it the following reports requesting endorsement of events for liquor licensing purposes:

- (March 31, 2000) from Mr. Charlie Johnston, Executive Director, Molson IndyFest;
- (March 15, 2000) from Ms. Alison Kemper, Executive Director, The 519 Church Street Community Centre;
- (April 9, 2000) from Ms. Patty MacPherson, Festival Coordinator, Festival on Bloor;
- (March 30, 2000) from Ms. Kim Marshall, The Power Plant Contemporary Art Gallery;
- (March 29, 2000) from Rev. Santo Cigolini, C.S. Pastor, St. Matthew's Church;
- (March 15, 2000) from Mr. Roger Denbeigh, Chair 2000 Parkdale Then and Now Festival;
- (March 23, 2000) from Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski;
- (February 17, 2000) from Pat Carpignano, Director of Operations, Toronto Beaches Lions Club;
- (April 15, 2000) from Ms. Jill Ward, House Manager, The Factory Theatre;
- (April 11, 2000) from Mr. David Bednar, General Manager, Canadian National Exhibition;
- 
- (March 21, 2000) from President, Alliance of Portuguese Clubs and Associations of Ontario;
- (April 12, 2000) from Rebecca Ferguson, Client Relations Co-ordinator, McMillan Binch;

- (April 11, 2000) from Ms. Elizabeth Dove, Assistant Director, African Medical and Research Foundation (AMREF);
- (March 31, 2000) from Mr. Charlie Johnstone, Quattro Communications Inc.;
- (April 24, 2000) from Mr. Philip Noble, Noble Associates Event Management;
- (April 18, 2000) from Mr. Thomas G. Ullrich, Events Committee, Tranzac Club;
- (April 12, 2000) from Ms. Sarah B. Hood;
- (April 17, 2000) from Mr. John Beynon, Chick 'N' Deli;
- (April 27, 2000) from Councillor Rae;
- (May 2, 2000) from Councillor Disero; and
- (May 2, 2000) from Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski and Councillor Miller.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council, for liquor licensing purposes:

- (1) declare the following to be events of municipal and/or community significance and advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it has no objection to their taking place:
  - (a) Molson IndyFest Celebrations to be held in various locations from July 8 to July 19, 2000, as set out in the communication (March 31, 2000) from Mr. Charlie Johnstone, Executive Director, Molson Indyfest;
  - (b) Fifth Annual Festival on Bloor, to be held on between Spadina Avenue and Bathurst Street on Bloor Street West on Sunday, June 11, 2000; nor to an temporary extension of the liquor licences of the restaurants participating in the festival;
  - (c) Annual Festival of Our Lady of Light to be held in the school playground at St. Matthew's Church from September 1 to 4, 2000;
  - (d) 2000 Parkdale Then and Now Festival to be held from on June 16 to June 19, 2000;
  - (e) Mariposa Folk Foundation Artbeat 2000 Festival to be held on Saturday, June 24, 2000 from noon to 10:00 p.m.;

- (f) The Ukrainian Festival to be held on Friday, August 18, 2000, from 6:00 p.m. to midnight and Saturday, August 19, 2000, from 11:00 a.m. to midnight in Bloor West Village;
  - (g) Beaches Labour Day Festival taking place in Kew Gardens Park on September 4, 2000;
  - (h) Canadian National Exhibition to be held at Exhibition Place from August 18, 2000 to September 4, 2000;
  - (i) Annual Portugal Week Festivities taking place at Trinity-Bellwoods Park during the week of June 9 to 11, 2000;
  - (j) the Cabbagetown Group Softball League World Series 2000 Opening Ceremonies taking place from August 15 to August 16, 2000, on Church Street between Wellesley Street south to Alexander Street, including Maitland Street;
  - (k) Lesbian and Gay Pride Celebrations taking place on June 24 and 25, 2000 in the area of Church and Wellesley Streets;
  - (l) Toronto Fiesta taking place on July 15 and 16, 2000 from 5:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m. in EarlsCourt Park;
- (2) advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it is aware of the following events and has no objection to their taking place:
- (a) Beer garden at Cawthra Square Park (wading pool area and north side of 519 Church Street) as part of Lesbian and Gay Pride Celebrations on the weekend of June 24 and 25, 2000;
  - (b) Power Ball Event to be held at the Power Plant – Contemporary Art Gallery on June 1, 2000;
  - (c) Events taking place at 125 Bathurst Street on July 6 to 16, 2000 in conjunction with The Fringe of Toronto Theatre Festival; nor to an extension of the liquor licence of the Factory Theatre to encompass the outdoor courtyard during the Fringe of Toronto Theatre Festival;
  - (d) McMillan Binch client appreciation event taking place on Toronto Island (Hanlan's Point, Area 111) on June 24, June 15, June 22, August 17 and August 24, 2000 from 4:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m.



- (e) African Medical and Research Foundation (AMREF) fundraising event taking place on May 25, 2000 in the St. Lawrence Market North and in the adjacent parkette to the west of the market.
- (f) Music Festival for Charity taking place on Pearl Street, from Duncan Street to John Street on June 1, 2000 from 6:00 p.m. to 1:00 a.m.; and
- (g) Events taking place at the Toronto Australia New Zealand Club Club (TRANZAC) in conjunction with the Toronto Jazz Festival and the Fringe, Toronto's Theatre Festival to be held on June 23, 2000 to July 2, 2000, and from July 6, 2000 to July 16, 2000; nor to an extension of liquor licence #40010 to cover an outdoor marquee area and the front lobby of the Toronto Australia New Zealand Club;
- (h) 7<sup>th</sup> St. Stephen's Courier Classic taking place on the Courtyard behind College Park Shops (College and Yonge Streets) from Noon to 7:00 p.m. Cloud Park on Temperance Street on from 6:00 p.m. to 1:00 a.m. on June 3, 2000;
- (i) Annual Patio Opening of the Chic 'N' Deli at 744 Mount Pleasant Road on June 10 and 11, 2000 from 12:01 p.m. to 11:00 p.m.; and
- (j) the Street Festival to be held by Whelan's Gate Restaurant and Bloor By the Park BIA on June 10, 2000, nor to an extension of the liquor licences of the relevant businesses for the day of June 10, 2000, on the sidewalk and boulevard café immediately outside 1663 Bloor Street West, including the eastbound lanes of Bloor Street West, from Indian Road to Alhambra Avenue.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 8)**

**4.84 Various Streets in Ward 26 - Installation of Speed Humps (East Toronto)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 12, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the installation of speed humps on various streets in Ward 26 and recommending that, in order to facilitate the polling of residents as directed by the Toronto Community Council and to initiate the statutory requirements for speed hump installation should the poll results be positive:

- (1) the City Solicitor be authorized to submit draft by-laws authorizing alterations to the below noted sections of roadways for traffic calming purposes, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of affected residents as directed by Toronto Community Council at its meeting of March 23, 2000:

- (a) The construction of speed humps on WOODFIELD ROAD, from Queen Street East to Gerrard Street East, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5666, dated March 2000;
  - (b) The construction of speed humps on KENT ROAD, from Queen Street East to Dundas Street East, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5670, dated March 2000;
  - (c) The construction of speed humps on HIAWATHA ROAD, from Dundas Street East to Gerrard Street East, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5667, dated March 2000;
  - (d) The construction of speed humps on ASHDALE AVENUE, from Queen Street East to Gerrard Street East, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5669, dated March 2000;
  - (e) The construction of speed humps on CRAVEN ROAD, from Queen Street East to Gerrard Street East, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5668, dated March 2000;
  - (f) The construction of speed humps on RHODES AVENUE, from Queen Street East to Dundas Street East, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5665, dated March 2000;
  - (g) The construction of speed humps on EASTWOOD ROAD, from Coxwell Avenue to Bowmore Road, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5673, dated March 2000;
  - (h) The construction of speed humps on GAINSBOROUGH ROAD, from Eastwood Road to Cairns Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5671, dated March 2000;
  - (i) The construction of speed humps on CAIRNS AVENUE, from Gainsborough Road to Highcroft Road, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5674, dated March 2000; and
  - (j) The construction of speed humps on HIGHCROFT ROAD, from Cairns Avenue to Eastwood Road, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5672, dated March 2000;
2. the City Clerk provide the necessary public notice to introduce the above-noted traffic calming measures;

3. coincident with the implementation of speed humps at any or all of the locations identified in Recommendation No. (1) above and as legislation permits, the speed limits be reduced to 30 km/h; and
4. the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the foregoing report (April 12, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 be adopted; and
- (2) the poll of affected residents for the following alterations be conducted in English, Chinese and Vietnamese:
  - (a) The construction of speed humps on WOODFIELD ROAD, from Queen Street East to Gerrard Street East, generally as shown on the print of Drawing No. 421F-5666, dated March 2000;
  - (b) The construction of speed humps on KENT ROAD, from Queen Street East to Dundas Street East, generally as shown on the print of Drawing No. 421F-5670, dated March 2000;
  - (c) The construction of speed humps on HIAWATHA ROAD, from Dundas Street East to Gerrard Street East, generally as shown on the print of Drawing No. 421F-5667, dated March 2000;
  - (d) The construction of speed humps on ASHDALE AVENUE, from Queen Street East to Gerrard Street East, generally as shown on the print of Drawing No. 421F-5669, dated March 2000;
  - (e) The construction of speed humps on CRAVEN ROAD, from Queen Street East to Gerrard Street East, generally as shown on the print of Drawing No. 421F-5668, dated March 2000; and
  - (f) The construction of speed humps on RHODES AVENUE, from Queen Street East to Dundas Street East, generally as shown on the print of Drawing No. 421F-5665, dated March 2000.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 7)**

**4.85 Sale of Surplus Scarborough Transportation Corridor Property at 38 Darrell Avenue (East Toronto)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 11, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services respecting the sale of surplus Scarborough Transportation Corridor property at 38 Darrell Avenue and recommending that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Corporate Services or the Director of Real Estate be authorized to accept the highest offer in the amount of \$148,000.00 as detailed herein;
- (2) Council, pursuant to Clause No. 14, Report No. 36 of the former Metropolitan Management Committee adopted on September 28, 1994, waive the minimum required deposit of 10 percent. of the purchase price;
- (3) authority be granted to direct a portion of the sale proceeds on closing to fund the outstanding balance of Costing Unit No. CA7001CA6195;
- (4) the City Solicitor be authorized and directed to take the appropriate action to complete this transaction on behalf of the City of Toronto and be further authorized to amend the closing date to such earlier or later date as considered reasonable; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council:

- (1) requested the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Community Services to submit to the Policy and Finance Committee and the Community Services Committee the previously requested report on applying funds received from the sale of surplus properties for affordable housing purposes; and
- (2) deferred consideration of the foregoing report until the report requested in Recommendation No. (1) above is considered by City Council.

(Letter sent to: Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; Commissioner of Community Services; c.: Policy and Finance Committee; Community Services Committee – May 9, 2000)

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 65(p))**

**4.86 Transfer of Funds to Accommodate Improvements - George Ben and Fred Hamilton Parks (Trinity-Niagara)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (March 30, 2000) from Councillor Pantalone requesting staff to report on the transfer of funds to accommodate improvements to George Ben and Fred Hamilton Parks.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (May 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services requesting City Council approval for use of funds from the 700 King Street West Capital Account (No. WBS Element CUR014-2), for improvements to both George Ben and Fred Hamilton Parks.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (May 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 6)**

**4.87 Approval Under the Cemeteries Act (Revised) for a Second Mausoleum within the Prospect Cemetery at 1450 St. Clair Avenue West (Davenport)**

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (April 18, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

- (1) City Council by resolution, grant approval of an 800 crypt mausoleum to be located within the Prospect Cemetery at 1450 St. Clair Avenue West.
- (2) the City Clerk be requested to prepare a "Notice of Decision" under the requirements of the Cemeteries Act (Revised) indicating Council's decision on this matter for publication in a local newspaper and that the applicant be requested to pay for this notice.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (May 1, 2000) from Joan Miles; and
- (May 1, 2000) from Pleasances Kaufman Crawford, Landscape Design Historian.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (April 18, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to meet with concerned citizens respecting the historical impact of the proposal.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services – May 4, 2000)

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 5)**

**4.88 Bill 62 - Municipal Referenda**

On motion by Councillor McConnell, with Councillor Rae in the Chair, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of the communication (April 27, 2000) from Mr. John Sewell, requesting to speak on the matter of Bill 62, the Direct Democracy through Municipal Referendums Act.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a further communication (May 2, 2000) from Mr. John Sewell.

Mr. John Sewell addressed the Toronto Community Council.

The Toronto Community Council recommended that:

On motion by Councillor Miller:

- (1) in view of the serious constraints the proposed legislation will have on the tradition of representative democracy at the municipal level of government, City Council state its unequivocal opposition to Bill 62, the Direct Democracy through Municipal Referendums Act; and

On motion by Councillor Layton:

- (2) this matter be forwarded as an emergency item to the meeting of the Federation of Canadian Municipalities to be held in London, Ontario on June 1, 2000.

The Toronto Community Council also:

On motion by Councillor McConnell, with Councillor Rae in the Chair:

- (1) instructed its Chair, and other interested Councillors, to meet immediately with the Mayor to inform him of concerns with Bill 62, to encourage him to take immediate action and to publicly outline concerns with the Bill;

On motion by Councillor Layton:

- (2) requested the Mayor to initiate one or more of the following actions:
  - (a) convene an emergency meeting of Council to hear the public's concerns regarding the bill; or
  - (b) convene an emergency meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee for the same purposes;

- (c) publicize these meetings with a full explanation to the public of the concerns regarding the restrictions on representative democracy;

On motion by Councillor Miller:

- (3) directed its Chair to write to the Premier of Ontario, the Minister of Municipal Affairs, the Speaker of the House and the Legislative Assembly, stating the Toronto Community Council's unequivocal opposition to Bill 62, in view of the serious constraints the proposed legislation will have on the tradition of representative democracy at the municipal level of government;
- (4) directed its Chair to write to the Premier of Ontario, the Minister of Municipal Affairs, the Speaker of the House and the Legislative Assembly to request public committee hearings on Bill 62; and

On further motion by Councillor Layton:

- (5) directed its Chair to request the Association of Municipalities of Ontario, in particular the Large Urban Mayor's Caucus of the A.M.O., to take immediate action and endorse the actions of the Toronto Community Council.

On further motion by Councillor Miller, the ruling by the Chair that the following motions were out of order, was not upheld:

- “(1) That the Chair be directed to write to the Premier of Ontario, the Minister of Municipal Affairs, the Speaker of the House and the Legislative Assembly, stating the Toronto Community Council's unequivocal opposition to Bill 62, in view of the serious constraints the proposed legislation will have on the tradition of representative democracy at the municipal level of government; and
- (2) That the Chair be directed to write to the Premier of Ontario, the Minister of Municipal Affairs, the Speaker of the House and the Legislative Assembly to request public committee hearings on Bill 62.”

On further motion by Councillor Layton, the ruling by the Chair that the following motion was out of order, was not upheld:

“That the Chair be directed to request the Association of Municipalities of Ontario, in particular the Large Urban Mayor's Caucus of the A.M.O., to take immediate action and endorse the actions of the Toronto Community Council.”

(Letter sent to: Mayor Lastman; Councillor McConnell, Chair, Toronto Community Council; c.: All Members of Council; City Solicitor; Chief Administrative Officer – May 3, 2000)

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 4)**

**4.89 132 Bowood Avenue – Ontario Municipal Board Hearing** (North Toronto)

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of the communication (March 20, 2000) from Councillor Walker respecting the Ontario Municipal Board hearing for 132 Bowood Avenue.

The following motion by Councillor Walker failed:

“That the City Solicitor be instructed to attend the Ontario Municipal Board Hearing in support of the Committee of Adjustment decision respecting 132 Bowood Avenue.”

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 65(q))**

**4.90 14 Lonsdale Road – Ontario Municipal Board Hearing** (Midtown)

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of the communication (March 20, 2000) from Councillor Adams respecting 14 Lonsdale Road.

Councillor Miller declared an interest in this matter in that his father-in-law resides in the vicinity of the subject site.

On further motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the City Solicitor be instructed to attend the Ontario Municipal Board Hearing in support of the Committee of Adjustment decision respecting 14 Lonsdale Road.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 3)**

**4.91 Aylmer Avenue/Severn Street/Rosedale Valley Road - Reconstruction of Intersection to Implement Safety and Operational Road Improvements** (Midtown)

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of the report (May 1, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway in the vicinity of the intersection Aylmer Avenue/Severn Street/Rosedale Valley Road, by narrowing and realigning the curbs, generally as described in this report and as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5693, dated May, 2000:



“The narrowing of the roadway and the realignment of the curbs on AYLMER AVENUE/SEVERN STREET/ROSEDALE VALLEY ROAD, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5693, dated May, 2000”;

- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any bills that might be required.

On further motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (May 1, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 2)**

**4.92 Tree Lighting for St. Clair West Village Project (Davenport)**

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of the communication (April 2000) from Councillor Disero respecting tree lighting for the St. Clair West Village Project.

The Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the following motion from Councillor Disero:

“WHEREAS Toronto Community Council recommended to the Budget Advisory Committee that \$10,000 be added to the Economic Development Division’s 2000 Capital Budget for tree lighting for the St. Clair West Village Project, conditional on the project raising matching funds; and

WHEREAS the intent of the Toronto Community Council was that \$10,000 net be added to the Economic Division’s 2000 Capital Budget for this purpose; and

WHEREAS the Budget Advisory committee endorsed the recommendation of the Toronto Community Council; and

WHEREAS City Council, on January 27, 2000, adopted the 2000 Capital Budget, and by so doing, endorsed the recommendation of the Toronto Community Council and the Budget Advisory Committee; and

WHEREAS however, \$10,000 gross was added to the Economic Development Division’s 2000 Capital Budget; and

WHEREAS the Community has now raised approximately \$6,000 for the street lighting project, and is continuing to raise money;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT a further \$5,000 be added to Economic Development Division's 2000 Budget; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT \$6,000 is released to the St. Clair West Village Project so they may start their project.

On further motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council, referred the recommendation from the Toronto Community Council to the Policy and Finance Committee and the Budget Advisory Committee for identification of source of funds.

(Letter sent to: Policy and Finance Committee; Budget Advisory Committee; c.: Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism – May 4, 2000)

**(Report No. 8, Clause No. 1)**

The Committee adjourned its meeting at 6:00 p.m.

Chair.

75  
 Toronto Community Council Minutes  
 Tuesday, May 2, 2000

---

Attendance:

May 2, 2000	9:30 a.m. - 12:50 p.m.	2:15 p.m. - 6:00 p.m.
McConnell (Chair)	x	X
Adams	x	X
Bossons	x	X
Bussin	x	X
Chow	x	X
Disero	x	X
Jakobek	-	-
Johnston	x	X
Korwin- Kuczynski	x	X
Layton	x	X
Miller	x	X
Palacio	x	X
Rae	x	X
Silva	x	X
Walker	x	X
Mayor Lastman	-	-

\* Members were present for some or all of the time indicated.