#### THE CITY OF TORONTO

#### **Clerk's Department**

#### **Minutes of the Toronto Community Council**

#### Meeting No. 7

**Tuesday, July 18, 2000** 

The Toronto Community Council met on Tuesday, July 18, 2000, in the Council Chambers, City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:30 a.m.

# 7.1 Public Meeting Pursuant To The <u>Planning Act</u> Respecting Official Plan Amendment And Rezoning Application No. 199008 For 45 Lisgar Street (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 30, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services seeking Council direction on the proposed Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application No. 199008 to permit the construction of an apartment building at No. 45 Lisgar Street, and requesting authorization to appear at the Ontario Municipal Board hearing to present this position.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the <u>Planning Act</u>. The public meeting was held on July 18, 2000, and the following persons addressed the Toronto Community Council:

- Mr. Zigmund Uznanski, Toronto; and
- Mr. David Tang, Gowlings Lafleur Henderson, Barristers & Solicitors.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (June 30, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 10)

# 7.2 Public Meeting Pursuant To The <u>Planning Act</u> Respecting Rezoning Application No. 199038 For 982 Dundas Street West (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 30, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting Rezoning Application No. 199038 for No. 982 Dundas Street West and recommending approval of a by-law to permit the development of 3 row houses fronting on Beatrice Street and the maintenance of commercial and residential uses fronting on Dundas Street West.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (July 6, 2000) from Gui-Lan Len.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the <u>Planning Act</u>. The public meeting was held on July 18, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the foregoing report (June 30, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be amended by:

- (1) deleting Recommendation No. 2(ii)(a);
- (2) deleting the words, "and obtain" from Recommendation No. 2(iii)(e);

and that the report, as amended be adopted.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 2)

# 7.3 Public Meeting Pursuant To The <u>Planning Act</u> Respecting Rezoning Application No. 196022 For 92 And 100 Yorkville Avenue (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 16, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting Rezoning Application No. 196022 for Nos. 92 and 100 Yorkville Avenue and responding to the request by the Sierra Summer Festival to renew a Temporary Use By-law for the former City of Toronto to permit, for a further three year period, the use of a portion of the site as an open air market.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (June 26, 2000) from the co-owners of Soleiado En Provence;
- (July 5, 2000) from Ms. Miriam Shiell, Miriam Shiell Fine Art Ltd.;
- (July 4, 2000) from R.S. Saunderson, Chairman of the Board, Bloor-Yorkville Business Improvement Area;
- (July 6, 2000) from I.R. Wookey, President, Seniority Investments Limited;
- (July 10, 2000) from Ms. Betty Reid, Vice-President, Property Management, Allied Canadian Corporation;
- (July 7, 2000) from Lois Stein;
- (July 13, 2000) from Budd Sugarman, President, Budd Sugarman Interior Design Ltd.;
- (July 17, 2000) from William C. Jackson, The Paisley Shop Ltd.;

- (July 10, 2000) from Gregory and Teresa Cook, Cupid & Psyche; and
- (July 14, 2000) petition signed by 14 merchants of Cumberland Court.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the <u>Planning Act</u>. The public meeting was held on July 18, 2000, and the following persons addressed the Toronto Community Council:

- Ms. Lois Stein, Sierrra Outdoor Craft Market;
- Mr. Greg Cook, Cupid & Psyche;
- Ms. Teresa Cook, Cupid & Psyche;
- Ms. Suzan Mah, Sierra Summer Festival;
- Ms. Cheryl Purdie, These Two Moms; and
- Ms. Betty Reid, Allied Canadian Corporation.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) a Temporary Use By-law, to permit, for the 2000 season, the use of the site at 92-100 Yorkville Avenue as an open air market, be approved;
- (2) the City Solicitor be authorized to introduce the necessary Bill in Council to give effect to Recommendation No. (1) above; and
- (3) the application for renewal of the Temporary Use By-law for 2001 be considered by City Council at its meeting to be held in February, 2001.

On further motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council requested representatives of the Bloor-Yorkville B.I.A. and the Sierra Market to meet at the end of the season to address issues of concern, prior to City Council's consideration of the Temporary Use By-law Application for 2001.

(Letter sent to: R.S. Saunderson, Chairman, Bloor-Yorkville BIA; Suzan Mah, Sierra Summer Festival – July 25, 2000)

# (Report No. 13, Clause 3)

# 7.4 Public Meeting Pursuant To The <u>Planning Act</u> Respecting Technical Amendment To City's Zoning By-Law For 64 Prince Arthur Avenue (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 30, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, South District respecting the technical amendment to the City's Zoning By-law for No. 64 Prince Arthur Avenue, recommending that:

- (1) The attached draft by-law to amend Zoning By-law 438-86, as amended, be adopted to implement the technical amendment (Appendix A).
- (2) The City Solicitor be authorized to introduce the necessary Bills in Council to give effect to recommendation 1.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the <u>Planning Act</u>. The public meeting was held on July 18, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (May 30, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 4)

# 7.5 Public Meeting Pursuant To The <u>Planning Act</u> Respecting Official Plan Amendment And Rezoning Application No. 298007 Respecting 318 Clinton Street (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (July 4, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application No. 298007 for No. 318 Clinton Street and recommending approval of a by-law to permit an infill residential development consisting of 7 row houses and the maintenance of a 3-storey apartment building at the site.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (June 29, 2000) from Ms. Allison Doyle;
- (undated) from Mr. Greg Cook;
- (July 18, 2000) from Ms. Ann Rauhala and Ms. Lorne Slotnick;
- (July 18, 2000) from Ms. Connie Guberman;
- (July 14, 2000) petition signed by 17 neighbours; and
- (June 10, 2000) petition signed by 84 neighbours.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the <u>Planning Act</u>. The public meeting was held on July 18, 2000, and the following persons addressed the Toronto Community Council:

- Ms. Ann Rauhala, Toronto;
- Ms. Connie Guberman, Toronto;
- Ms. Myra Lefkowitz, Toronto;

- Mr. Vince Ammendolia, on behalf of Mr. George Ammendolia, Toronto;
- Ms. Marie Parise, Toronto;
- Ms. Alexandra Kindbom, Toronto;
- Mr. George Bobrovskis, G. B. Design Group;
- Mr. Adam Brown, Brown, Dryer, Karol;
- Ms. Rosemary Hanlin, Toronto; and
- Mr. Tony Tavares, Toronto.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) Recommendation No. (2) of the report (July 4, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be amended to require 7 parking spaces (number 3 through 7 on Figure 1 attached to the report);
- (2) the Site Plan Application be amended to require landscaped open space for former parking areas 1 and 2, including maintenance of the existing trees;
- (3) the owner be required that, in the event of any replacement of the existing fence on the south side of the property, the fence be replaced in the same location, and that this be secured through the Site Plan Undertaking;
- (4) the City Solicitor be authorized to introduce the necessary Bills in Council to give effect to Recommendation Nos. (1) and (2), as amended, of the report (July 4, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services; and
- (5) there be no further notice of Public Meeting of the proposed Zoning By-law, as amended.

On further motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council also:

- (1) requested the Ward Councillor to meet with the applicant, the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and those interested persons regarding the west face wall of the development, and report thereon directly to Council;
- (2) directed that any Site Plan Undertaking include:
  - (a) the additional trees and other improvements, such as light and garbage disposal, as negotiated with residents of 322 Clinton Street; and
  - (b) an assurance that construction will be done in such a way as to minimize negative effects on nearby residents.

(Letter sent to: Councillor Pantalone; Councillor Silva; Commissioner of Urban Development Services; c.: Catherine Cieply, Urban Development Services – July 24, 2000)

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 5)

7.6 Public Meeting Pursuant To The <u>Planning Act</u> Respecting Rezoning Application No. 299002 For 490 College Street And 307 And 311 Palmerston Boulevard (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (July 4, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services submitting the final report on Rezoning Application No. 299002 and recommending approval of a by-law to permit the development of commercial/residential buildings at 490 College Street and 307 Palmerston Boulevard and the maintenance of a residential building at 311 Palmerston Boulevard.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (July 12, 2000) from George and Agnessa Starostenko.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the <u>Planning Act</u>. The public meeting was held on July 18, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (July 4, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 6)

7.7 Public Meeting Pursuant To The Planning Act Respecting Official Plan Amendment Application Nos. 199028, 199029, 199030, 199031 And 199032 For 14 And 20 Strachan Avenue, 45, 121, 128 And 132r East Liberty Street, 1137 King Street West, 9 And 11 Hanna Avenue; Rezoning Application Nos. 199028, 199029, 199030, 199031, 199032 And Draft Plan Of Subdivision Application No. 400020 S.589 For Portions Of 14 And 20 Strachan Avenue, 45, 121, 128 And 132r East Libery Street (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (July 10, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services submitting his final report advising that applications had been received for approval of amendments to the Part II Garrison Common Official Plan and the Zoning By-law and a Draft Plan Subdivision, involving a large tract of now vacant land formerly used by the Inglis Manufacturing Company, west of Strachan Avenue, south of King Street West and east of Hanna Avenue. If approved, the applications would preclude the relocation of the northwest rail corridor between King Street West and Bathurst Street. The purpose of this report was to request Council to make a strategic decision to not proceed with the relocation of the rail corridor and to approve the mixed commercial-residential development concept proposed for the Inglis Lands

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (June 27, 2000) from the City Clerk, Task Force on the Gardiner/Lakeshore Corridor;
- (July 12, 2000) from Joe Gill, Friends of Fort York and Garrison Common;
- (undated) from Marta Skaab;
- (July 11, 2000) from Ann Martin, UrbanCorp Developments Inc.;
- (July 11, 2000) from Ian Wheal;
- (undated) from Marta Skaab;
- (July 17, 2000) from Steven A. Zakem, Aird & Berlis Barristers and Solicitors;
- (July 13, 2000) from Fred Dominelli;
- (July 14, 2000) from Mario Ruffolo, President, Unique Foods of the World;
- (July 14, 2000) from E.M. Blake Hutcheson, CB Richard Ellis Limited;
- (July 14, 2000) from Tom Callahan, Barrymore Furniture Co. Ltd.;
- (July 17, 2000) from Michael S. Steinberg, Robins, Appleby & Taub Barristers & Solicitors;
- (July 14, 2000) from Walter Jensen, CanAlfa;
- (July 17, 2000) from Robert Eisenberg, York Heritage Properties;
- (July 17, 2000) from Michael K. Hughes, A&P Properties Ltd.;
- (July 17, 2000) from Debbie Brown, Tina Cutler and Michael McBurnie;
- petition signed 215 persons;
- diagram and pictures of the site submitted by Councillor Pantalone;
- (undated) from Heather Wells;
- (July 7, 2000) from Kathi Ross, Coordinator, The Garrison Creek Linkage Project;
- (July 4, 2000) from Elizabeth Quance, President, Niagara Neighbourhood Residents Association;
- (July 18, 2000) from Catherine Nasmith; and

- (July 18, 2000) from Ian Wheal.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the <u>Planning Act</u>. The public meeting was held on July 18, 2000, and the following persons addressed the Toronto Community Council:

- Mr. Denis Gertler, Garrison Creek Linkage Project;
- Mr. Joe Gill, Chair, Friends of Fort York;
- Ms. Elizabeth Quance, President, Niagara Neighbourhood Residents' Association;
- Mr. Michael Steinberg, One Atlantic Avenue Limited;
- Ms. Kika Thorne, for Mr. Adrian Blackwell, Toronto;
- Ms. Phoebe Cleverley, on behalf of Ms. Helen Milk, Toronto Field Naturalists, North Toronto Green Community;
- Ms. Heather Wells, Toronto;
- Mr. Fred Dominelli, Toronto:
- Mr. Tom Callahan, Barrymore Furniture;
- Ms. Catherine Nasmith, Gardiner Lakeshore Task Force;
- Mr. Ian Wheal, Toronto Field Naturalists and Ontario Society for Industrial Archeology;
- Mr. John O'Toole, Executive President, C & Richard Ellis;
- Mr. Zigmund Uznanski, Toronto;
- Ms. Kika Thorne, Toronto; and
- Mr. Patrick Devine, Goodman and Carr, Barristers and Solicitors.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) City Council forego the opportunity to relocate the CN/CP north-west rail lines between King Street West and Bathurst Street;
- (2) Section 19.10 of the Part I Official Plan for the former City of Toronto, the Garrison Common North Part II Plan, be amended to enact an area specific amendment covering the lands defined on Attachment 3 of the report (July 10, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services as the Inglis Lands and the Hanna Avenue Technology District, substantially as set out in Appendix A of the report, which would permit the development of the lands for mixed uses and provide for specific community services and facilities;

#### (3) City Council:

- (i) endorse a policy that no Official Plan amendment or rezoning applications on the lands required for the Front Street Extension, as identified by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, will be processed until such time as Council has made a decision on the alignment of the road;
- (ii) not rezone the lands shown as Block 2 on Attachment 9 of the report (July 10, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, and that such

- lands be excluded from any zoning amendment passed in conjunction with this application; and
- (iii) support a statement in the proposed Official Plan amendment, as set out in Appendix A of the report (July 10, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, respecting the above;
- (4) Zoning By-law 438-86 for the former City of Toronto, be amended to enact an area specific amendment for the subject lands, which would permit the following amendments to the zoning provisions:

#### **Definitions**

- (a) define the Inglis Lands as shown on Attachment 3 of the report (July 10, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services;
- (b) an amendment to the definition of a "lot", to allow for the calculation of density over the entire Inglis Lands rather than on individual parcels of land;
- (c) permit indoor residential amenity space requirements to be provided in more than one building, provided the requirements are met on the block on which the building is located, for the blocks shown on Attachment 9 of the report (July 10, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services;
- (d) include a new definition of "internet/computer technology businesses" that encompasses the new business activities based on computer and internet technology and marketing strategies;

#### Density

- (e) that the density on Blocks 1, 3 and 4 (Attachment 9 of the report (July 10, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services), be limited to an amount no greater than three times the area of these blocks, which equals 285 820 square metres;
- (f) that no residential floor area be permitted on Block 4;
- (g) that the amount non-residential of floor area permitted within the Central Park be limited to 750 square metres;
- (h) that the size of any single retail and/or service use be limited to 1 000 square metres with the exception of one grocery store;
- (i) that the exemption for the grocery store mentioned in the previous recommendation, not be permitted unless a minimum of 1 400 square metres

of non-residential gross floor area is provided on Block 4, as a use other than a grocery store;

(j) that the amount of non-residential gross floor area used for retail and service uses permitted on each block, be limited to the following amounts:

BLOCK	MAXIMUM NON- RESIDENTIAL GROSS FLOOR AREA USED FOR RETAIL OR SERVICE USES (M2)
Block 1	2 320
Block 3	4 650
Block 4	14 000
Total	20 965

#### Uses

- (k) residential, industrial, commercial and institutional uses shall be permitted on Blocks 1 and 3, as set out in Section 8(1)(f) of the Zoning By-law;
- (l) no residential uses are to be permitted on Block 4;
- (m) permit industrial uses on Blocks 1, 3 and 4 (Attachment 9 of the report (July 10, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services), as set out in the I1 and IC use lists in Section 9(1)(f) of the Zoning By-law and as defined in the proposed definition of "internet/computer technology businesses" in Recommendation No. 4(d) above;
- (n) include a prohibition on "drive through" components of retail uses;
- (o) require that street related retail and service uses for buildings located on the frontages shown on Attachment 9 of the report (July 10, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, be provided to the extent of 60 percent of the frontage on the street or private roadway shown;
- (p) permit community and service uses within the Chapel Building located on Block 12 as shown on the draft plan of subdivision (see Attachment 2 of the report (July 10, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services), and specific commercial uses including specific forms of retailing, gallery space and a sales office for developments on the Inglis Lands, subject to the agreements recommended in the report (July 10, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services;

#### Setbacks

- (q) that at least 60 percent of the length of each block on a street or private roadway, shall be occupied by a building located within 3.0 metres of such streets or roadways, excluding any space conveyed to the City for park purposes;
- (r) that a 3.0 metre setback be provided from any lot line fronting on a street, at a height of 24.0 metres, with exceptions provided for common building element projections;
- (s) that where a building is required to have a setback on three sides, that the 3.0 metre setback referred to above, shall only be applied to two sides;

#### Parking

- (t) permit above grade parking structures, provided they are not located within 4.0 metres of grade, for a distance of 10.0 metres back from a street or private roadway, and within the setback area, and another permitted use is located in the building, other than a parking use;
- (u) that parking for the uses listed in Column A of the following table be provided at the ratios listed in the corresponding row under Column B:

USE (A)	REQUIRED PARKING (B)
retail store	4.3 parking spaces per 100 m2 of non-
	residential gross floor area
office	1.1 parking spaces per 100 m2 of non-
	residential gross floor area
Bachelor dwelling units	0.3 parking spaces per unit
one bedroom dwelling unit	0.7 parking spaces per unit
two bedroom dwelling unit	1.0 parking spaces per unit
three or more bedroom dwelling units	1.2 parking spaces per unit
Residential visitor parking	0.12 parking spaces per unit

(v) limit the amount of surface parking; and

#### Height

- (w) that the permitted height on the Inglis Lands be as shown on Attachment 10 of the report (July 10, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services;
- (5) Recommendation No. 6 of the report (July 10, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be amended by adding the words, "in consultation with the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services" after the words, "Commissioner of Urban Development Services";

- (6) Recommendation No. 7(a) of the report (July 10, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be amended by adding the words, "in consultation with the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services" after the words, "Commissioner of Urban Development Services";
- (7) Recommendation No. (12) of the report (July 10, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be amended to read:

"the owner submit suggestions for suitable names for the proposed public streets in accordance with the guidelines set out in Clause 4 in Executive Committee Report No. 22, adopted by the Council of the former City of Toronto on July 11, 1988, and with particular reference to the historical character of the subject site and surrounding areas, and that any proposed naming of the public streets be developed in consultation with the Ward Councillor(s)";

(8) Recommendation No. (13) of the report (July 10, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services Council be struck out and replaced as follows:

"A committee of stakeholders, chaired by the Ward Councillor(s) and with support from the Commissioners of Urban Development Services and Works and Emergency Services, be established to review ways and means of ameliorating or eliminating negative impacts of:

- (a) the proposed Front Street extension, east of Strachan Avenue;
- (b) the proposed Front Street extension, west of Strachan Avenue, only within the corridor to the south of the former Inglis lands, as established by the application presently before City Council,

such committee to report in early 2000; and further that necessary resources be made available to the committee to achieve its task";

- (9) Recommendation Nos. (6)-(13), as amended, and Recommendation Nos. (14) and (15) of the report (July 10, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be adopted;
- (10) staff be thanked for their efforts with respect to this matter.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report to the Toronto Community Council on extending the new definition of "industrial" zoning westerly to encompass Liberty Village.

The above recommendations and actions carried unanimously.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; c.: Rollin Stanley, West Section, Community Planning – July 25, 2000)

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 7)

# 7.8 Public Meeting Pursuant To The <u>Planning Act</u> Respecting Official Plan Amendment And Rezoning Application No. 199011 For 1195 Queen Street East (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 21, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting the Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application No. 199011 for the Heritage Nursing Home at No. 1195 Queen Street East and recommending approval of draft by-laws to permit the building renovations and addition.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the <u>Planning Act</u>. The public meeting was held on July 18, 2000, and Mr. Kevin Manuel, Kevin Manuel Architect Limited, addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (June 21, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 8)

# 7.9 Public Meeting Pursuant To The Planning Act Respecting Zoning By-Law Amendment Application Tcc-Z199014 For 305-341 Bremner Boulevard (Including A Portion Of Van De Water Crescent) And 350 Lake Shore Boulevard) (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 8, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting Zoning By-law Amendment Application TCC-Z1999014 for Nos. 305-341 Bremner Boulevard (including a portion of Van de Water Crescent) and No. 350 Lake Shore Boulevard, and recommending a Zoning By-law Amendment to reduce the number of required charter bus parking spaces (to service SkyDome) from the current minimum standard of 125 spaces to 70 spaces, and to permit tandem bus parking on these lands. No changes to existing residential and/or commercial permissions were proposed.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following reports/communications:

- (May 29, 2000) from the City Clerk, Toronto Community Council forwarding the Community Council's action from May 23, 2000;

- (July 7 and 14, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that the reports be received for information; and
- (July 17, 2000) from Hal Beck.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the <u>Planning Act</u>. The public meeting was held on May 23 and July 18, 2000, and the following addressed the Toronto Community Council:

On May 23, 2000:

Ms. Kim Kovar, Aird & Berlis, Barristers & Solicitors; and

On July 18, 2000:

Ms. Kim Kovar, Aird & Berlis, Barristers & Solicitors.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the City continue to oppose the reduction of number of buses parking from 125 to 70 (a reduction of 44%);
- (2) no exemption from the definition of parking spaces for bus parking be granted, which would have the effect of permitting tandem parking of buses;
- (3) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, report to the Toronto Community Council on a comprehensive and effective way of dealing with bus parking on the waterfront;
- (4) residents attending the bus parking meeting be mailed an information package that would include the anti-idling by-law, a log sheet for tracking offenders, and that residents be requested to suggest locations for the installation of the approved metal signs regarding the new anti-idling by-law;
- (5) the provincial government be urged to increase fines to deal with illegally parked buses; and
- (6) the Toronto Police Service be urged to enforce existing by-laws in the area where there are large tourist facilities such as Skydome, the Convention Centre and the C.N. Tower.

(Report No. 13, Clause 9)

# 7.10 Appeal Of Denial Of Application For A Curb Lane Vending Permit - Bay Street, East Side, 20 M North Of Queens Quay West And Extending A Further 5.5 M North (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 9, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for curb lane vending on Bay Street, east side, 20 m north of Queens Quay West and extending a further 5.5 m north; OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for curb lane vending on Bay Street, east side, 20 m north of Queens Quay West and extending a further 5.5 m north, notwithstanding that the location does not comply with the criteria set out in the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 315, Street Vending.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (May 19, 2000) from B.W. Brucker, Fellowes, McNeil;
- (May 15, 2000) from Chris Blue, Chris Blue Food Services & Catering;
- (May 29, 2000) from City Clerk, Toronto Community Council, forwarding the Community Council's action of May 23, 2000;
- (June 13, 2000) from Rod Seiling, The Greater Toronto Hotel Association;
- (July 12, 2000) from District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, recommending that the report be received for information; and
- (July 17, 2000) from B.W. Brucker, Fellowes, McNeil Barristers-at-Law.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing matter until its meeting to be held on September 19, 2000.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c.: Curtis Sealock, District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards – July 25, 2000)

(**Report No. 13, Clause 89(a)**)

### 7.11 Construction Of A Fence - 319-339 George Street (Seaton House) (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 30, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City Council approve the construction of a 214 m high wrought iron fence fronting 319-339 George Street (Seaton House).

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 11)

# 7.12 Maintenance Of A 1.16 M High Wrought Iron Fence Fronting 343 Lippincott Street (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 30, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City Council approve the maintenance of a 1.16 m high wrought iron fence fronting No. 343 Lippincott Street within the public right of way, provided the owners enter into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, as prescribed under Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (June 25, 2000) from Jorg Bentz.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Jorge Bentz, Architect; and
- Mr. Abdel Sayed, Toronto.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council submitted this matter to Council without recommendation.

On further motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council requested the Ward Councillor, staff and residents to meet to resolve this issue, and report thereon directly to Council.

(Letter sent to: Councillor Chow; c.: Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1; Ken McGuire, Supervisor, Construction Activities – July 24, 2000)

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 12)

# 7.13 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 248 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code To Permit Driveway Widening At 344 Hillsdale Avenue East (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 30, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting the driveway widening at No. 344 Hillsdale Avenue East and recommending that:

(1) City Council deny the request for an exemption from the by-law to permit driveway widening at 344 Hillsdale Avenue East, as such a request does not comply with Chapter 248 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code and that the applicant be required to pay for the cost of reconstructing the city curb;

OR

- (2) City Council approve the request for driveway widening for one vehicle at 344 Hillsdale Avenue, notwithstanding that the required landscape open space is not provided, subject to:
  - (a) the maximum paved area not exceeding 2.3 m wide by 4.85 m long;
  - (b) the existing paving being modified so that the parking area is paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
  - (c) the paving around the tree being removed to provide a minimum clearance of 1.6 m from the base of the tree;
  - (d) the applicant paying for the cost of reconstructing the curb ramp so the work is done in accordance with City specifications; and
  - (e) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a petition (July 13, 2000) signed by 27 neighbours in support.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the request for driveway widening for one vehicle at 344 Hillsdale Avenue, notwithstanding that the required landscape open space is not provided, subject to the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 13)

7.14 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 248 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code To Permit The Existing Paving To Remain In Connection With Driveway Widening At 590 Hillsdale Avenue East (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 29, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

(1) City Council deny the request to maintain the existing paving in connection with driveway widening at 590 Hillsdale Avenue East and that the applicant be required to remove the existing paving and replace it with a semi-permeable material such as ecostone or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in accordance with the former City of Toronto Municipal Code;

OR

(2) City Council approve the request to maintain the existing paving in connection with driveway widening at 590 Hillsdale Avenue East, subject to the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the request to maintain the existing paving in connection with driveway widening at 590 Hillsdale Avenue East, subject to the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

## (Report No. 13, Clause 14)

7.15 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 248 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code To Permit Driveway Widening For Two Vehicles At 63 Auburn Avenue (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 29, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

(1) City Council deny the request for an exemption from the by-law to permit driveway widening for two vehicles at 63 Auburn Avenue, as such a request does not comply with Chapter 248 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code;

OR

- (2) City Council approve the request for driveway widening for two vehicles at 63 Auburn Avenue, subject to:
  - (a) the applicant removing the existing asphalt paving and paving the second space using semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;

- (b) the maximum area to be paved for the second parking space not exceeding 2.6 m wide by 5.9 m long; and
- (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licenses, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code;

OR

(3) City Council approve the request for driveway widening for two vehicles at 63 Auburn Avenue, notwithstanding the existing asphalt paving does not meet the permeable paving specifications and the required soft landscaped space will not be provided, subject to the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the request for driveway widening for two vehicles at 63 Auburn Avenue, notwithstanding the existing asphalt paving does not meet the permeable paving specifications and the required soft landscaped space will not be provided, subject to the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 15)

7.16 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 248 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code To Permit Driveway Widening For Two Vehicles At 480 Clendenan Avenue (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 29, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

(1) City Council deny the request for an exemption from the by-law to permit driveway widening for two vehicles at 480 Clendenan Avenue, as such a request does not comply with Chapter 248 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code;

OR

- (2) City Council approve the request for driveway widening for two vehicles at 480 Clendenan Avenue, of which, one vehicle is to be parked partially within the limits of the mutual driveway, subject to:
  - (a) the applicant paving the second parking space using semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;

- (b) a minimum clearance of 1.2 m being provided from the existing City owned tree;
- (c) the maximum area to be paved for each parking space not exceeding 1.91 m by 5.14 m;
- (d) the existing verandah steps being relocated to accommodate the second parking space; and
- (e) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licenses, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the request for driveway widening for two vehicles at 480 Clendenan Avenue, of which, one vehicle is to be parked partially within the limits of the mutual driveway, subject to:

- (a) a minimum clearance of 1.2 m being provided from the existing City owned tree;
- (b) the maximum area to be paved for each parking space not exceeding 1.91 m by 5.14 m;
- (c) the existing verandah steps being relocated to accommodate the second parking space; and
- (d) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licenses, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 16)

# 7.17 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Rosemount Avenue (Dufferin Street To Oakwood Avenue) By The Installation Of Speed Humps With A Possible Future Reduction In Speed From 40 KM/HR To 30 KM/HR (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Rosemount Avenue from Dufferin Street to Oakwood Avenue by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (Davenport), and Clause 8 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 14, titled "Installation of Speed Humps – Rosemount Avenue, from Dufferin Street to Oakwood Avenue (Davenport)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on November 23, 24 and 25, 1999.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following reports/communications:

- (April 17, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting speed hump polling results;
- (May 18, 2000) from R.E. Watts, Chairman, Regal Heights Residents' Association;
- (May 29, 2000) from City Clerk, Toronto Community Council, forwarding the Community Council's action of May 23, 2000;
- (July 13, 2000) from Director, Transportation Services District 1;
- (undated) from Roman Koniuk, Regal Heights Residents' Association.

Pursuant to the <u>Municipal Act</u>, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on May 2, May 8, May 15 and May 22, 2000. No one addressed the Toronto Community Council on May 23, 2000, and on July 18, 2000 the following persons addressed the Toronto Community Council:

- Mr. R. E. Watts, Chairman, Regal Heights Residents' Association; and
- Mr. Roman Koniuk, Regal Heights Residents' Association.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council:

- (1) adjourned the Public Meeting held pursuant to the provisions of the <u>Municipal Act</u>, to be reconvened in January, 2001;
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to assist a neighbourhood traffic committee comprised of residents' representatives in the further development of alternative traffic calming measures, and that staff provide support to such committee and the committee meet once a month:

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Interested Persons – July 25, 2000)

#### (**Report No. 13, Clause 89(b)**)

7.18 City Solicitor Submitting Draft By-Law To Stop Up And Close For Vehicular Traffic Portions Of The Public Lane System In The Block Bounded By Gerrard Street East, George Street, Dundas Street East And Sherbourne Street And To Authorize The Erection Of Bollards To Enforce The Due Observance Thereof (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law to stop up and close for vehicular traffic portions of the public lane system in the block bounded by Gerrard Street East, George Street, Dundas Street East and Sherbourne Street, and to authorize the erection of bollards to enforce the due observance thereof (Downtown), and Clause 37 of Toronto

Community Council Report No. 5, headed "Stop Up and Closing to Vehicular Traffic – Block Bounded by Gerrard Street East, George Street, Dundas Street East and Sherbourne Street (Downtown)", as adopted by City Council at its meeting held on April 11, 12 and 13, 2000.

Pursuant to the <u>Municipal Act</u>, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on June 27, July 3, July 10 and July 17, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a bylaw in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report No. 13, Clause 17)

# 7.19 Draft By-Law To Stop Up And Close A Portion Of The Public Lane Extending Easterly From Northern Dancer Boulevard, South Of Queen Street East And To Authorize The Sale Thereof (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law to stop up and close a portion of the public lane extending easterly from Northern Dancer Boulevard, south of Queen Street East and to authorize the sale thereof (East Toronto), and Clause 63 of Report No. 8 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Proposed Closing of Portion of Public Lane, Extending Easterly from Northern Dancer Boulevard, South of Queen Street East and Creation of New Public Lane Outlet in Lieu Thereof (East Toronto)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on May 9, 10 and 11, 2000.

Pursuant to the <u>Municipal Act</u>, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on June 27, July 3, July 10 and July 17, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a bylaw in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report No. 13, Clause 18)

# 7.20 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Castlefield Avenue From Castlewood Road To Caldow Road By The Installation Of Speed Humps With A Possible Future Reduction In Speed From 40 KM/HR To 30 KM/HR. (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Castlefield Avenue from Castlewood Road to Caldow Road by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (North Toronto), and Clause 36 of Report No. 5 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Installation of Speed Humps – Castlefield Avenue from Castlewood Road to Caldow Road (North

Toronto)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on April 11, 12 and 13, 2000.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (June 28, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that the draft by-law to alter the section of Castlefield Avenue, between Caldow Road and Castlewood Road, by means of the installation of speed humps not be enacted in light of the poll results which do not satisfy the criteria set out in the Speed Hump Policy.

Pursuant to the <u>Municipal Act</u>, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on June 27, July 3, July 10 and July 17, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended that, in view of the negative poll results, the draft by-law not be enacted.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 19)

# 7.21 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of College Street Between Spadina Avenue And Bathurst Street By Narrowing And Realigning The Pavement At Its Intersections With Robert Street, Brunswick Avenue, Croft Street, Lippincott Street And Bellevue Avenue (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of College Street between Spadina Avenue and Bathurst Street by narrowing and realigning the pavement at its intersections with Robert Street, Brunswick Avenue, Croft Street, Lippincott Street and Bellevue Avenue (Downtown), and Clause 50 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 11, headed "Realignment of Intersections – College Street, from Spadina Avenue to Bathurst Street (Downtown)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (July 18, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that:

In order to incorporate design refinements to the College Street intersection realignment project, namely the removal of a streetcar island and widening of the sidewalk on the southwest and southeast corners of the intersection:

- (1) the following amendments be made to the draft by-law:
  - (a) add "Augusta Avenue" to the list of intersections contained in Column 2 Location;
  - (b) replace reference to "Drawing No. T61207, dated June 2000" with "Drawing Nos. 421F-5770 and 421F-5771, dated July 2000; and

(2) the draft by-law, as amended, be enacted.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (July 18, 2000) from James Brown and Kim Storey, Brown and Storey Architects.

Pursuant to the <u>Municipal Act</u>, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft bylaw was advertised in a daily newspaper on June 27, July 3, July 10 and July 17, 2000, and Ms. Kim Storey, Brown Storey Architects, addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the report (July 18, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, be adopted; and
- (2) a by-law in the form of the draft by-law, as amended by Recommendation No. (1), be enacted.

On further motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council also requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with appropriate officials, to examine the possibility of public art and landscaping, including the planting of trees, and that merchants, the deputants and the Ward Councillor(s) be included in the consultation process.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Andrew Koropeski, Director, Transportation Services District 1 – July 24, 2000)

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 20)

# 7.22 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Donlands Avenue From Glebeholme Boulevard To Strathmore Boulevard By Narrowing The Pavement By The Installation Of Traffic Calming Islands (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Donlands Avenue from Glebeholme Boulevard to Strathmore Boulevard by narrowing the pavement by the installation of traffic calming islands (East Toronto), and Clause 16 of Report No. 9 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Installation of Traffic Calming Islands – Donlands Avenue between Strathmore Boulevard and Glebeholme Boulevard (East Toronto), as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on June 7, 8 and 9, 2000.

Pursuant to the <u>Municipal Act</u>, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft bylaw was advertised in a daily newspaper on June 27, July 3, July 10 and July 17, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a bylaw in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report No. 13, Clause 21)

7.23 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Gladstone Avenue From The First Lane North Of College Street To Sylvan Avenue By Narrowing And Realigning The Pavement (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Gladstone Avenue from the first lane north of College Street to Sylvan Avenue by narrowing and realigning the pavement (Davenport), and Clause 44 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 11, headed "Narrowing of Pavements on Niagara Street and Gladstone Avenue – Garrison Creek Initiatives (Davenport)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000.

Pursuant to the Municipal Act, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on June 27, July 3, July 10 and July 17, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report No. 13, Clause 22)

7.24 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Lakeshore Boulevard East By The Removal Of Existing Right-Turn Channelization Lane On North Side Of Lakeshore Boulevard East, East Of Lower Jarvis Street, Extension Of The North-East Corner To Street Line And Modification Of Traffic Islands In Lakeshore Boulevard East And Lower Jarvis Street Intersection (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Lakeshore Boulevard East by the removal of the existing right-turn channelization lane on the north side of Lakeshore Boulevard East, east of Lower Jarvis Street, and the extension of the north-east corner to the street line and modification of the traffic islands in Lakeshore Boulevard East and Lower Jarvis Street intersection (Downtown), and Clause 69 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 11, headed "Various Modifications – Lower Jarvis Street and Lake Shore Boulevard East; Lower Jarvis Street, east side, between Lake Shore Boulevard East and The Esplanade – 45-77 Lower Jarvis Street; and Lower Jarvis Street, between The Esplanade and Front Street West (Downtown)", as amended and adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (July 14, 2000) from Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

Pursuant to the <u>Municipal Act</u>, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on June 27, July 3, July 10 and July 17, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted; and
- (2) the report (July 14, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, be adopted.

(Report No. 13, Clause 23)

7.25 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Lower Jarvis Street By Widening East Side Of Lower Jarvis Street, South Of The Esplanade By Construction Of Three Lay-Bys And Widening West Side Of Lower Jarvis Street From Front Street East To The Esplanade To Provide For An Exclusive Southbound Left Turn Lane (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Lower Jarvis Street, south of The Esplanade by construction of three lay-bys and widening the west side of Lower Jarvis Street from Front Street East to The Esplanade to provide for an exclusive southbound left turn lane (Downtown), and Clause 69 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 11, headed "Various Modifications – Lower Jarvis Street and Lake Shore Boulevard East; Lower Jarvis Street, east side, between Lake Shore Boulevard East and The Esplanade – 45-77 Lower Jarvis Street; and Lower Jarvis Street, between The Esplanade and Front Street West (Downtown)", )", as amended and adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (July 14, 2000) from Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

Pursuant to the <u>Municipal Act</u>, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft bylaw was advertised in a daily newspaper on June 27, July 3, July 10 and July 17, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted; and
- (2) the report (July 14, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, be adopted.

(Report No. 13, Clause 24)

# 7.26 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Manor Road East From Mount Pleasant Road To Bayview Avenue By The Installation Of Speed Humps With A Possible Future Reduction In Speed From 40 KM/HR To 30 KM/HR (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Manor Road East from Mount Pleasant Road to Bayview Avenue by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (North Toronto), and Clause 37 of Report No. 8 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Installation of Speed Humps – Manor Road East, from Mt. Pleasant Road to Bayview Avenue (North Toronto)" as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on May 9, 10 and 11, 2000.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (June 26, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, forwarding speed hump poll results.

Pursuant to the <u>Municipal Act</u>, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft bylaw was advertised in a daily newspaper on June 27, July 3, July 10 and July 17, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a bylaw in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report No. 13, Clause 25)

# 7.27 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Margueretta Street From College Street To Bloor Street West By The Installation Of Speed Humps With A Possible Future Reduction In Speed From 40 KM/HR To 30 KM/HR (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft by-law to authorize the alteration of Margueretta Street from College Street to Bloor Street West by the installation of speed humps with a possible future reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (Trinity-Niagara), and Clause 23 of Report No. 2 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Installation of Speed Humps – Margueretta Street, between College Street and Bloor Street West (Trinity-Niagara)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on February 1, 2 and 3, 2000.

Pursuant to the <u>Municipal Act</u>, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on June 27, July 3, July 10 and July 17, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(See Also Minute No. 7.58)

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 26)

# 7.28 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Niagara Street By The Narrowing And Realignment Of Pavement On Niagara Street From Tecumseth Street To Wellington Street West. (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Niagara Street by the narrowing and realignment of pavement on Niagara street from Tecumseth Street to Wellington Street West (Trinity-Niagara), and Clause 44 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 11, headed "Narrowing of Pavements on Niagara Street and Gladstone Avenue – Garrison Creek Initiatives (Trinity-Niagara)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000.

Pursuant to the <u>Municipal Act</u>, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on June 27, July 3, July 10 and July 17, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 28)

# 7.29 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Power Street By The Narrowing And Realignment Of Pavement On Power Street From Queen Street East To Approximately 38 Metres South (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Power Street by the narrowing and realignment of pavement on Power Street from Queen Street East to approximately 38 metres south (Don River), and Clause 49 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 11, headed "Pavement Narrowing – East Side of Power Street, from Queen Street East to a point 37.5 metres south (Don River)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000.

Pursuant to the <u>Municipal Act</u>, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on June 27, July 3, July 10 and July 17, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a bylaw in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report No. 13, Clause 29)

7.30 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of The Esplanade By Removing The Median Island On The Esplanade At Its Intersection With Market Street, Constructing Raised Intersections At The Esplanade And Market Street And The Esplanade And Church Street And Constructing Sidewalk And Boulevard Enhancements On South Side Of The Esplanade From Market Street To Lower Jarvis Street (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of The Esplanade by removing the median island on The Esplanade at its intersection with Market Street, constructing raised intersections at The Esplanade and Market Street and The Esplanade and Church Street and constructing sidewalk and boulevard enhancements on the south side of The Esplanade from Market Street to Lower Jarvis Street (Downtown), and Clause 70 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 11, headed "The Esplanade and Its Intersections with Church Street and with Market Street – Proposed Raised Intersections (Downtown)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000.

Pursuant to the Municipal Act, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on May 2, May 8, May 15 and May 22, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council on May 23, 2000, and on July 18, 2000, the following persons addressed the Toronto Community Council:

- Ms. Dorothy Fletcher, Older Women's Network; and
- Ms. Helen Hansen, Toronto.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended that a bylaw in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 30)

7.31 Final Report: 1252-1260 Bay Street And 61-63 Yorkville Avenue - Application 199025 For Official Plan And Zoning By-Law Amendments To Permit An 18 Storey, Mixed Use Building (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (July 4, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services submitting the final report on Official Plan and Zoning By-law Application No. 199025 for the erection of an 18 storey, mixed use building for 1252-1260 Bay Street and 61-63 Yorkville Avenue.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (July 17, 2000) from R.S. Saunderson, Chairman, Bloor-Yorkville BIA.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (July 4, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On further motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council:

- (1) requested the City Solicitor to report directly to Council on the retention of expert consultants to provide appraisal evidence at this and other upcoming Ontario Municipal Board hearings dealing with Section 37 issues, and the funding implications thereof; and
- (2) referred the communication (July 17, 2000) from R.S. Saunderson, Chairman, Bloor-Yorkville BIA to the Commissioner of Urban Development Services for consideration.

(Letter sent to: City Solicitor; Commissioner of Urban Development Services; c.: Wayne Morgan, Senior Planner – July 24, 2000)

(Report No. 13, Clause 31)

Councillor Layton assumed the Chair

# 7.32 225 Jarvis Street, Application No. 900035: Request For Approval Of Minor Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 23, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that City Council refuse Application No. 900023 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to maintain two illuminated fascia signs, for identification purposes, one on the north and the other on the south elevation of the building at 225 Jarvis Street.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended that Application No. 900023 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to maintain two illuminated fascia signs, for identification purposes, one on the north and the other on the south elevation of the building at 225 Jarvis Street, be approved.

(Report No. 13, Clause 32)

Councillor Rae resumed the Chair.

# 7.33 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 248 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code To Permit Driveway Widening At 72 Kendal Avenue (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 29, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for driveway widening at 72 Kendal Avenue; OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for driveway widening at 72 Kendal Avenue, notwithstanding that the mutual driveway exceeds 2.6 m in width and subject to the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with the other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto.

Mr. Bob Kellermann, Toronto, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, as amended by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the application for driveway widening at 72 Kendal Avenue, notwithstanding that the mutual driveway exceeds 2.6 m in width and subject to the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with the other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto and a poll being conducted on this application, with the costs of the poll being borne by the applicant.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 33)

# 7.34 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 400 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code To Permit Front Yard Parking At 4 Kingswood Road (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 29, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

(1) City Council deny the request that an application be accepted and processed for front yard parking at 4 Kingswood Road since 2 years have not passed since the last poll which resulted in a negative response, as required in the Municipal Code;

OR

- (2) City Council approve the request that a new application for front yard parking at 4 Kingswood Road be accepted and processed, in accordance to the Code, notwithstanding that the 2 year period from the date of the last poll which resulted in a negative response has not lapsed, subject to:
  - (a) a formal poll being conducted and that such poll have a favourable result; and
  - (b) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

Ms. Elizabeth Keenan, Solicitor, Fraser Milner, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the request for front yard parking at 4 Kingswood Road, subject to the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 34)

# 7.35 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 400 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code To Permit Front Yard Parking At 31 Admiral Road (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 30, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

(1) City Council deny the application for front yard parking at 31 Admiral Road;

OR

- (2) City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 31 Admiral Road, subject to:
  - (a) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
  - (b) the maximum dimensions of the parking area not exceeding 2.6m by 5.9m;
  - (c) a formal poll being conducted and that such poll have a favourable result; and
  - (d) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

Ms. Barbara Devonshire, Toronto, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

The Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 31 Admiral Road, subject to:

On motion by Councillor Bossons:

- (a) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
- (b) the maximum dimensions of the parking area not exceeding 2.6m by 5.9m;
- (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; and

On motion by Councillor Adams:

(d) the applicant contributing \$500.00 to the City's Urban Forestry Program

(Report No. 13, Clause 35)

# **7.36** Residential Demolition Application – 10 Prince Arthur Avenue (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 22, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting the residential demolition permit application for No. 10 Prince Arthur Avenue and recommending that:

- (1) the application to demolish the subject residential building be refused by City Council, since no replacement building is proposed at this time, OR
- (2) the application to demolish the subject residential building be granted by City Council, provided:
  - (a) that a replacement building is erected on the site not later than two (2) years from the day demolition of the existing building is commenced, and
  - (b) that, on failure to complete the new building within the time specified, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector's roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand (\$20,000) for each dwelling unit contained in the building in respect of which the demolition permit is issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the land in respect of which the permit to demolish the residential property is issued, OR
  - (3) That the application to demolish the subject residential building be granted without conditions.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Marilyn Finkelstein, Building Manager, Halmir Investments Ltd.; and
- Ms. Elaine Mascall, Toronto.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the application to demolish the subject residential building at 10 Prince Arthur Avenue be granted, provided:

- (a) that a replacement building is erected on the site not later than two (2) years from the day demolition of the existing building is commenced, and
- (b) that, on failure to complete the new building within the time specified, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector's roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand (\$20,000) for each dwelling unit contained in the building in respect of which the demolition permit is issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the land in respect of which the permit to demolish the residential property is issued.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 36)

# 7.37 Residential Demolition Applications – 421, 423 And 425 Woodbine Avenue (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 28, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting the residential demolition permit applications for Nos. 421, 423 and 425 Woodbine Avenue and recommending that:

- (1) the applications to demolish the subject residential buildings be refused by City Council, since no replacement buildings are proposed at this time, OR
- (2) the applications to demolish the subject residential buildings be granted by City Council, provided:
  - (a) that a replacement building is erected on the sites not later than two (2) years from the day demolition of the existing buildings is commenced, and
  - (b) that, on failure to complete the new building within the time specified, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector's roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand (\$20,000) for each dwelling unit contained in the buildings in respect of which the demolition permits are issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the lands in respect of which the permits to demolish the residential properties are issued.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the applications to demolish the subject residential buildings at 421, 423 and 425 Woodbine Avenue be granted by City Council, provided:

- (a) that a replacement building is erected on the sites not later than two (2) years from the day demolition of the existing buildings is commenced, and
- (b) that, on failure to complete the new building within the time specified, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector's roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand (\$20,000) for each dwelling unit contained in the buildings in respect of which the demolition permits are issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the lands in respect of which the permits to demolish the residential properties are issued.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 37)

# 7.38 Residential Demolition Applications – 55, 57, 59 And 61 Delisle Avenue And 56 St. Clair Avenue West (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 29, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting the residential demolition applications for Nos. 55, 57, 59 and 61 Delisle Avenue and No. 56 St. Clair Avenue West and recommending that:

- (1) the applications to demolish the subject residential buildings be refused by City Council, since no replacement building is proposed at this time, OR
- (2) the applications to demolish the subject residential buildings be granted by City Council, provided:
  - (a) that a replacement building is erected on the site not later than two (2) years from the day demolition of the existing buildings is commenced, and
  - (b) that, on failure to complete the new building within the time specified, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector's roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand (\$20,000) for each dwelling unit contained in the buildings in respect of which the demolition permits are issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the lands in respect of which the permits to demolish the residential properties are issued.
  - (c) For 56 St. Clair Avenue West only, in addition to (a) and (b) above, an approval from Heritage Preservation Services be obtained by the applicant/owner prior to the issuance of demolition permits.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (July 18, 2000) from Michael Bryant, M.P.P., St. Paul's.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Linda Miller, obo Deer Park Ratepayers' Group Inc.;
- Mr. Brian Kearney, Toronto; and
- Ms. Roslyn Houser, Goodman, Phillips & Vineberg, Barristers & Solicitors.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the applications to demolish the subject residential buildings at 55, 57, 59 and 61 Delisle Avenue and 56 St. Clair Avenue West be granted by City Council, provided:

- (a) that a replacement building is erected on the site not later than two (2) years from the day demolition of the existing buildings is commenced, and
- (b) that, on failure to complete the new building within the time specified, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector's roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand (\$20,000) for each dwelling unit contained in the buildings in respect of which the demolition permits are issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the lands in respect of which the permits to demolish the residential properties are issued.

A motion by Councillor Walker, to adopt Recommendation 1 of the foregoing report, was placed but not voted on.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 38)

# 7.39 Residential Demolition Applications –23, 25, 27, 29, 31 And 33 Heath Street West And 22 Delisle Avenue (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 29, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting the residential demolition applications for Nos. 23, 25, 27, 29, 31 and 33 Heath Street West and 22 Delisle Avenue and recommending:

(a) For 23, 25, 27, 29, 31 and 33 Heath Street West:

That City Council choose one of the following recommendations:

(1) That the applications to demolish the subject residential buildings be refused by City Council, since no replacement building is proposed at this time, or

- (2) That the applications to demolish the subject residential buildings be granted by City Council, provided the proposed commercial parking lot is operated by the Toronto Parking Authority and the owner obtains Site Plan Approval.
- (b) For 22 Delisle Avenue:

That City Council choose one of the following recommendations:

- (1) That the application to demolish the subject residential building be refused by City Council, since no replacement building is proposed at this time, or
- (2) (a) That the applicant for the permit construct and substantially complete the new building to be erected on the site of the residential property to be demolished, not later than two (2) years from the day demolition of the existing residential property is commenced.
  - (b) That, on failure to complete the new building within the time specified, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector's roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand (\$20,000) for each dwelling unit contained in the building in respect of which the demolition permit is issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the land in respect of which the permit to demolish the residential property is issued.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following report and communication:

- (July 17, 2000) from Director, Community Planning, South District recommending that this report be received for information; and
- (July 17, 2000) from Florence de Camprieu.

Cynthia MacDougall, McCarthy Tetrault, Barristers & Solicitors, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

The Toronto Community Council recommended that:

On motion by Councillor Bossons:

- (1) the applications to demolish the subject residential buildings at 23, 25, 27, 29, 31 and 33 Heath Street West be granted, provided the proposed commercial parking lot is operated by the Toronto Parking Authority and the owner obtains Site Plan Approval;
- (2) the application to demolish the subject residential building at 22 Delisle Avenue be granted on the following conditions:

- (a) the applicant for the permit construct and substantially complete the new building to be erected on the site of the residential property to be demolished at 22 Delisle Avenue, not later than two (2) years from the day demolition of the existing residential property is commenced; and
- (b) on failure to complete the new building within the time specified, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector's roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand (\$20,000) for each dwelling unit contained in the building in respect of which the demolition permit is issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the land in respect of which the permit to demolish the residential property is issued; and

#### On motion by Councillor Adams:

- (3) the request for the removal of two trees situated on private property at 33 Heath Street West be approved, conditional on:
  - (a) the trees in question not being removed until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under Site Plan Approval Application No. 300032 commence which warrant the destruction of the trees;
  - (b) the applicant planting a minimum of three (3), 300cm Colorado Blue Spruce trees in accordance with Landscape Plan L1 prepared by NAK Design Group, date stamped as received by Urban Development Services on May 3, 2000 and on file with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

(See Also Minute No. 7.43)

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 39)

#### 7.40 181 St. Clements Avenue – Removal Of Private Trees (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (July 4, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting the removal of private trees at No. 181 St. Clements Avenue and recommending that:

- (1) a permit for removal of six privately owned trees and injury of two privately owned trees be refused and removal or injury of City trees be refused; or
- (2) a permit for private tree removal be issued conditional on i) the trees in question not being removed until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under the building permit application for the subject project commence which warrant the destruction of the trees. ii) the applicant

planting replacement trees to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; and removal or injury of any City trees be conditional on the applicant paying the value of the City tree(s), all removal costs and the cost to plant replacement tree(s).

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on September 7, 2000.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c.: Andrew Pickett, Urban Forestry Planner – July 25, 2000)

(**Report No. 13, Clause 89(p)**)

7.41 Results Of A Second Poll To Determine Neighbourhood Support Or Opposition To A Boulevard Café On The Humewood Drive Flank Of 696 St. Clair Avenue West (Midtown).

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 26, 2000) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards recommending that:

- (1) City Council denies the applicant's request to operate the boulevard café on the Humewood Drive flank of 696 St. Clair Avenue West. OR
- (2) (a) Should City Council approve the boulevard café application on the Humewood Drive flank of 696 St. Clair Avenue West, the café is required to close and clear by 11:00 p.m., 7 days a week, as set out in Municipal code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; and
  - (b) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to report back at the end of the 2000 and 2001 café season on the operation of the café.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a petition (July 15, 2000) signed by 24 residents of Humewood Drive in opposition.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Mary MacDonald, Toronto;
- Ms. Heather MacDonald, Toronto;
- Ms. Gloria Pereira, Toronto;
- Mr. Sean Makhdumi, Toronto; and
- Councillor Rob Davis.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council deny the applicant's request to operate the boulevard café on the Humewood Drive flank of 696 St. Clair Avenue West.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 40)

# 7.42 171 Old Forest Hill Road (William Moore House) – Designation Under Part IV Of The Ontario Heritage Act (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 16, 2000) from the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism recommending that:

- (1) City Council state its intention to designate the property at 171 Old Forest Hill Road (William Moore House) under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*.
- (2) both the Long and Short Statements of Reasons for Designation constitute the Reasons for Designation and will be included in the designating by-law.
- (3) authority be granted for the execution of a Heritage Easement Agreement under Section 37 of the *Ontario Heritage Act* between the City of Toronto and the owners of the property at 171 Old Forest Hill Road.
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 41)

# 7.43 33 Heath Street West, Toronto – Request To Remove Two Trees Situated On Private Property (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 20, 2000) from the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the removal of two trees situated on private property at No. 33 Heath Street West and recommending that:

- (1) Toronto Community Council deny the request for the removal of the subject trees; or
- (2) If Toronto Community Council approves the request for the removal of two trees situated on private property indicated in this report, that such approval be conditional on:

- (i) the trees in question not being removed until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under Site Plan Approval Application No. 300032 commence which warrant the destruction of the trees;
- the applicant planting a minimum of three (3), 300cm Colorado Blue Spruce trees in accordance with Landscape Plan L1 prepared by NAK Design Group, date stamped as received by Urban Development Services on May 3, 2000 and on file with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

The Toronto Community also had before it the following communications:

- (July 14, 2000) from John McGinnis, President, Deer Park Ratepayers' Group Inc.;
- (July 17, 2000) from Florence de Camprieu.

Cynthia MacDougall, McCarthy Tetrault, Barristers & Solicitors, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

The Toronto Community Council recommended that:

On motion by Councillor Bossons:

- (1) the applications to demolish the subject residential buildings at 23, 25, 27, 29, 31 and 33 Heath Street West be granted, provided the proposed commercial parking lot is operated by the Toronto Parking Authority and the owner obtains Site Plan Approval;
- (2) the application to demolish the subject residential building at 22 Delisle Avenue be granted on the following conditions:
  - (a) the applicant for the permit construct and substantially complete the new building to be erected on the site of the residential property to be demolished at 22 Delisle Avenue, not later than two (2) years from the day demolition of the existing residential property is commenced; and
  - (b) on failure to complete the new building within the time specified, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector's roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand (\$20,000) for each dwelling unit contained in the building in respect of which the demolition permit is issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the land in respect of which the permit to demolish the residential property is issued; and

On motion by Councillor Adams:

- (3) the request for the removal of two trees situated on private property at 33 Heath Street West be approved, conditional on:
  - (a) the trees in question not being removed until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under Site Plan Approval Application No. 300032 commence which warrant the destruction of the trees;
  - (b) the applicant planting a minimum of three (3), 300cm Colorado Blue Spruce trees in accordance with Landscape Plan L1 prepared by NAK Design Group, date stamped as received by Urban Development Services on May 3, 2000 and on file with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

(See Also Minute No. 7.39)

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 39)

# 7.44 Preliminary Report Application To Amend The Offical Plan And Zoning By-Law, 319 Merton Street Developments Inc., 319 Merton Street (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 21, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, South District respecting the application to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law for 319 Merton Street Developments Inc. (319 Merton Street), and recommending that:

- (1) Staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillors.
- (2) Notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site.
- (3) Notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (July 17, 2000) from Adam Bell.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Executive Director and Chief Planner – No Encl. – Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attention: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing

Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police – Attention: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge – Encl. – All Interested Persons – No Encl.; c.: Leontine Major, Urban Development Services – No Encl. – July 25, 2000)

### (Report No. 13, Clause 89(c))

7.45 Covering Report For Two Preliminary Reports On Applications To Amend By-Law 438-86 To Permit Additional Dwelling Units In The Existing Buildings At 15 Temple Avenue (Application No. 100012) And 74 Melbourne Avenue (Application No. 100013) (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 30, 2000) from the Director, Parkdale Pilot Project respecting two preliminary reports on Application Nos. 100012 and 100013 to permit additional dwelling units to the existing buildings at No. 15 Temple Avenue and No. 74 Melbourne Avenue, and recommending that the report be received for information.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following reports:

- (July 13, 2000) from Director, Parkdale Pilot Project re Application No. 100013; and
- (July 13, 2000) from Director, Parkdale Pilot Project re Application No. 100012.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary reports (July 13, 2000) from the Director, Parkdale Pilot Project.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Executive Director and Chief Planner – No Encl. – Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attention: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police – Attention: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge – Encl. – All Interested Persons – No Encl.; c.: Barry Brooks, West Section, Urban Development Services – No Encl. – July 25, 2000)

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 89(d))

7.46 Preliminary Report On Application No. 200003 For A Zoning By-Law Amendment To Permit 38 Pairs Of Semi-Detached Houses And 2 Detached Houses At 135 Laughton Avenue (The Great Atlantic And Pacific Company Of Canada Limited) (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 27, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, South District submitting the preliminary report on Zoning By-law Amendment Application No. 200003 to permit 38 pairs of semi-detached and 2 detached houses at No. 135 Laughton Avenue and recommending that:

- (1) Staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillors;
- (2) Notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) Notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council amended the foregoing preliminary report by deleting Recommendation Nos. (1) and (2), and adopted the report, as amended.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services; Executive Director and Chief Planner – No Encl. – Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attention: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director, Property Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police – Attention: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge – Encl. – All Interested Persons – No Encl.; c.: Rhonda Petrella, West Section, Urban Development Services – No Encl. – July 25, 2000)

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 89(e))

# 7.47 592 Sherbourne Street, Application No. 900017: Request For Approval Of A Variance From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 31, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

(1) City Council approve Application No. 900017 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated ground sign at 592 Sherbourne Street, on condition that the sign be illuminated only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 11:00 p.m. and this be achieved by means of an automated timing device.

(2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900017, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

### (Report No. 13, Clause 47)

# 7.48 203 Queen's Quay West, Application No. 900041: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 28, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900041 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit a third party pedestal sign at 203 Queen's Quay West.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900041, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 47)

# 7.49 1386 Queen Street West, Application No. 900040: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 26, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900040 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to maintain, for identification purposes, an illuminated projecting sign on the front elevation of the building at 1386 Queen Street West.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900040, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

### (Report No. 13, Clause 47)

7.50 330A Parliament Street, Application No. 900026: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 23, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900026 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit an illuminated mural sign, for third party advertising purposes, on the north wall of a two-storey building at 330A Parliament Street on condition that illumination for the sign is turned off between 11:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. by means of an automated timing device.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900026, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on September 19, 2000.

(Letter sent to: Mr. Mike McTague; 66 Industry Street, Toronto M6M 4L7; c.: Mr. Tebbyan Mahnaz, 40 Orpington Crescent, Toronto M9V 3E2; Mr. Norm Girdhar, West Section, Community Planning – July 25, 2000)

### (Report No. 13, Clause 89(f))

7.51 2595 Bloor Street West, Application No. 000025, For Consent Under Chapter 276, Ravines, And Application No. 900033 For An Approval Of A Minor Variance From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code To Permit Three Non-Illuminated Signs In The Humber Marshes Park, Within The Humber Valley Ravine (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 22, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

(1) City Council consent to Application No. 000025 to permit three non-illuminated ground signs within the Humber Valley Ravine, subject to the condition that the work be undertaken substantially in accordance with the Humber Heritage Murals Site Plan (SP-1), dated April 18, 2000, prepared by the Toronto and Region Conservation

Authority, and date stamped as received on April 26, 2000 and Humber Heritage Murals, View from the East (EL-1), dated April 18, 2000, prepared by the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority, and date stamped as received on April 26, 2000, all as on file with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

- (2) City Council approve Application No. 900033 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit three non-illuminated ground signs which illustrate the historical, cultural and recreational elements of the Humber River.
- (3) The applicant be advised of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

### (Report No. 13, Clause 51)

# 7.52 1987 Yonge Street, Application No. 900025: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 30, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900025 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to maintain, for identification purposes, one non-illuminated projecting sign on the south elevation of the building at 1987 Yonge Street.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900025, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 47)

# 7.53 303 Bay Street, Application No. 999102: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 20, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 999102 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit one non-illuminated mural sign, for third party advertising purposes, on the north wall of a five-storey building at 303 Bay Street, on condition that:
  - (a) the sign be permitted only for a period of two years from the date of Council approval; and
  - (b) the sign copy will not be offensive for the financial district.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 999102, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

On further motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council again requested the Commissioner or Urban Development Services to expedite the previously requested report on applying funds raised from sign by-law applications to public art.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services – July 25, 2000)

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 53)

7.54 2300 Yonge Street, Application No. 900032: Request For Approval Of A Minor Variance From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 30, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900032 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit two illuminated corporate logo fascia signs, for identification purposes, on the south and west elevations of No. 2300 Yonge Street.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900032, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 13, Clause 47)

7.55 60 Adelaide Street East, Application No. 900044: Request For Approval Of Minor Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 30, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900044 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated fascia sign in the form of a corporate name and logo on the west elevation of the building at 60 Adelaide Street East.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900044, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing.

### (Report No. 13, Clause 47)

7.56 247 Yonge Street, Application No. 900027: Request For Approval Of A Minor Variance From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 30, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900027 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated roof sign in the form of individual letters at 247 Yonge Street.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900027, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

### (Report No. 13, Clause 47)

7.57 220 Yonge Street, Application No. 900042: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 30, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900042 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated projecting sign on the east elevation of the Toronto Eaton's Centre building at 220 Yonge Street.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900042, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

### (Report No. 13, Clause 47)

# 7.58 Margueretta Street, Between College Street And Bloor Street West - Speed Hump And Two-Way Traffic Polling Results (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 13, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, forwarding the speed hump and two-way traffic polling results for Margueretta Street, between College Street and Bloor Street West, and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) parking be prohibited at anytime on the west side of Margueretta Street, from College Street to a point 60 metres north;
- stopping be prohibited at anytime on the west side of Margueretta Street, from a point 60 metres north of College Street to a point 9.5 metres further north;
- (3) parking be prohibited at anytime on the east side of Margueretta Street, from College Street to a point 66 metres north;
- (4) an island or planter be installed on the east side of Margueretta Street fronting Premises No. 61/63 to deter northbound traffic from proceeding the wrong-way on the street to the north of this location;
- (5) suitable advisory signs be installed on Margueretta Street at College Street to alert motorists that northbound traffic ends at a midblock point; and

(6) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(See Also Minute No. 7.27)

(Report No. 13, Clause 27)

# 7.59 St. Germain Avenue, From Avenue Road To Yonge Street – Installation Of Speed Humps (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 29, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the installation of speed humps on St. Germain Avenue, from Avenue Road to Yonge Street and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

(1) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on St. Germain Avenue, from a point 112.4 metres west of Elm Road to Yonge Street, for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to the favourable results of polling of the affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

"The construction of speed humps on ST. GERMAIN AVENUE, from a point 112.4 metres west of Elm Road to Yonge Street, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5749 dated June 30, 2000":

- (2) a speed limit of thirty kilometres per hour be introduced on St. Germain Avenue, from 112.4 metres west of Elm Road to Yonge Street, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits: and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required, subject to review in the 2001 budget process.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council also requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Budget Advisory Committee at its quarterly capital variance meeting, on any additional funds available to address traffic safety measures being undertaken by any and all Community Councils.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Andrew Koropeski, Director, Transportation Services, District 1; Administrator, Budget Advisory Committee – July 25, 2000)

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 59)

# 7.60 Premises No. 30 Balfour Avenue – Removal Of An On-Street Loading Zone For The Disabled (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (July 4, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the removal of an on-street loading zone for the disabled near premises No. 30 Balfour Avenue, and recommending that:

- (1) the loading zone for the disabled, operating from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., daily, on the north side of Balfour Avenue, from a point 23.5 metres west of Palmer Street to a point 11.0 metres further west, be rescinded; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

### (Report No. 13, Clause 60)

#### 7.61 80 Grosvenor Street – Removal Of One (1) City Owned Tree (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 22, 2000) from the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the removal of one City-owned tree at No. 80 Grosvenor Street.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council received the foregoing report, as Council dealt with this matter at its meeting held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 89(r))

# 7.62 Exemption From Part Lot Control Application No. 000026 Colgate/Logan Plan Of Subdivision: 309 And 355 Logan Avenue, 40 And 64 Colgate Avenue (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 29, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, recommending that:

(1) A Part Lot Control Exemption By-law, pursuant to Section 50 (7) of the Planning Act, be enacted for Lots 1 to 39 and Blocks 40 - 43, inclusive, on the Plan to be registered in respect to the lands known as 309 and 355 Logan Avenue and 40

Colgate Avenue within the Colgate/Logan Subdivision, with an expiry date of 3 years from the date of adoption by Council.

(2) The City Solicitor be authorized to introduce the necessary Bills in Council to give effect to Recommendation 1 but not prior to the Plan of Subdivision being registered on title.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 62)

# 7.63 Surplus Land Declaration And Proposed Closing And Conveyancing Of A Portion Of The Public Lane, South Of Danforth Avenue, Extending Between Trent Avenue And Kelvin Avenue, Abutting Premises No. 15 Trent Avenue (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a joint report (June 29, 2000) from the Commissioner, Works and Emergency Services and Commissioner, Corporate Services, recommending that the portion of the public lane, extending between Trent Avenue and Kelvin Avenue, be stopped-up and closed, declared surplus and sold.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing joint report.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 63)

# 7.64 Princes' Boulevard And Ontario Drive – Proposed Adjustment Of The "Stop" Sign Control (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 30, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 recommending that:

- (1) a "Stop" sign be installed for northbound traffic on Ontario Drive at its intersection with Princes' Boulevard;
- (2) the "Stop" sign control regulation for eastbound traffic on Princes' Boulevard at its intersection with Ontario Drive be rescinded; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

### (Report No. 13, Clause 64)

7.65 Balfour Avenue, Between Barrington Avenue And Dawes Road, And Barrington Avenue, Between Danforth Avenue And Balfour Avenue – Amendments To Parking Regulations (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 5, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) The existing "No Parking 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m. except by permit" regulation on the north side of Balfour Avenue, between Barrington Avenue & Dawes Road be rescinded:
- (2) A "No Parking 12:01 a.m. to 10:00 a.m. except by permit" regulation be enacted on the north side of Balfour Avenue, between Barrington Avenue & Palmer Street;
- (3) A "No Parking 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m. except by permit" regulation be enacted on the north side of Balfour Avenue, between Palmer Street & Dawes Road;
- (4) The existing one hour parking regulation from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the north side of Balfour Avenue, between Barrington Avenue & Palmer Street, be rescinded;
- (5) Parking be restricted to 60 minutes from 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the north side of Balfour Avenue, between Barrington Avenue & Palmer Street;
- (6) The existing "No Parking 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m. except by permit" regulation on the west side of Barrington Avenue, between Danforth Avenue & Balfour Avenue, be rescinded;
- (7) A "No Parking 12:01 a.m. to 10:00 a.m. except by permit" regulation be enacted on the west side of Barrington Avenue, between Danforth Avenue & Balfour Avenue;
- (8) Parking be restricted to 60 minutes from 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the west side of Barrington Avenue, between Danforth Avenue & Balfour Avenue; and
- (9) The appropriate Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 13, Clause 65)

# 7.66 Danforth Avenue, South Side, West Of Moberly Avenue - Delineation Of A Commercial Loading Zone In The Vicinity Of Premises No. 2005 Danforth Avenue (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (May 29, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, recommending that a Commercial Loading Zone not be delineated on the south side of Danforth Avenue in the vicinity of Premises No. 2005, but that if Toronto Community Council deemed it necessary to take steps to accommodate night-time loading, the alternative recommendations set out in the text of this report could be considered.

Mr. R. Van Steenburgh, Show Pro, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) parking be prohibited from 1:00 a.m. to 4:00 a.m. daily on the south side of Danforth Avenue from a point 30.2 metres west of Moberly Avenue to a point 5.5 metres further west;
- (2) signs identifying the aforementioned parking prohibition be augmented by affixing thereto "Loading Only" tabs; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 66)

# 7.67 Installation/Removal Of On-Street Parking Spaces For Persons With Disabilities (Davenport and Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 30, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting the installation/removal of on-street parking spaces for persons with disabilities and recommending that:

- (1) the installation/removal of disabled on-street parking spaces as noted in Table "A" of this report be approved; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (July 18, 2000) from Joanne Farrugia.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (June 30, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

On further motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council also requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Toronto Community Council on the number of disabled on-street parking spaces installed and removed in the last two years, and on a disabled parking strategy.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services – July 25, 2000)

(Report No. 13, Clause 67)

# 7.68 Surplus Land Declaration And Proposed Closing And Conveyancing Of A Below-Grade Portion Of The Hayden Street Road Allowance, At The Rear Of Premises No. 175 Bloor Street East (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a joint report (June 27, 2000) from the Commissioner, Works and Emergency Services and Commissioner, Corporate Services, recommending that a below-grade portion of Hayden Street, at the rear of Premises No. 175 Bloor Street East, be stopped-up and closed, declared surplus and sold.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing joint report.

(Report No. 13, Clause 68)

# 7.69 Premises No. 201 Wallace Avenue – Establishment Of A Pick-Up And Drop-Off Zone For Disabled Persons (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 20, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting the establishment of a pick-up and drop-off zone for disabled persons near No. 201 Wallace Avenue and recommending that:

- (1) an on-street loading zone for disabled persons operating from 6:00 a.m. to 6:30 a.m., and from 12:00 noon to 1:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, be established on the south side of Wallace Avenue from a point 51 metres west of Lansdowne Avenue to a point 5.5 metres further west; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

### (Report No. 13, Clause 69)

# 7.70 Mallory Gardens, West Side, From Oriole Gardens To The Turning Basin – Provision Of A "Student Pick-Up And Drop-Off" Zone For Oriole Nursery School (Premises No. 1570 Yonge Street) (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 23, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting the provision of a student pick-up and drop-off zone for the Oriole Nursery School (No. 1570 Yonge Street) and recommending that:

- (1) the existing "No parking at Anytime" prohibition on the west side of Mallory Gardens from a point 9 metres south of Oriole Gardens to a point 27 metres further south be rescinded;
- (2) parking be permitted for a maximum period of 10 minutes from 8:45 a.m. to 9:15 a.m. and from 11:15 a.m. to 11:45 a.m., Monday to Friday, on the west side of Mallory Gardens, from a point 9 metres south of Oriole Gardens to a point 27 metres further south;
- (3) parking be prohibited from 9:15 a.m. to 11:15 a.m. and from 11:45 a.m. of one day to 8:45 a.m. of the next following day, Monday to Friday, and at anytime Saturdays and Sundays, on the west side of Mallory Gardens, from a point 9 metres south of Oriole Gardens to a point 27 metres further south; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that my be required

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

### (Report No. 13, Clause 70)

# 7.71 Curzon Street And Leslie Street Between Dundas Street East And Queen Street East – Installation Of Measures To Facilitate Student Pick-Up/Drop-Off At St. Joseph Catholic School (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 29, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting the installation of measures to facilitate student pick-up/drop-off at St. Joseph Catholic School, and recommending that:

- (1) the one-way southbound regulation on Curzon Street between Dundas Street East and Queen Street East be rescinded;
- (2) a one-way northbound regulation be implemented on Curzon Street between Dundas Street East and Queen Street East;
- (3) contingent upon the approval of Recommendation Nos. (1) and (2), a "School Bus Loading Zone" be delineated on the east side of Curzon Street between a point 282 metres north of Queen Street East and a point 52 metres further north;
- (4) contingent upon the approval of Recommendation Nos. (1), (2) and (3), parking be prohibited between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday on the west side of Curzon Street from a point 276.5 metres north of Queen Street East to a point 63 metres further north;
- (5) the parking prohibition on the east side of Leslie Street between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday from a point 56.4 metres south of Dundas Street East to a point 91.4 metres further south, be rescinded;
- parking be allowed on the east side of Leslie Street for a maximum period of ten minutes between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 9:00 a.m., 11:30 a.m. and 1:00 p.m., and 3:00 p.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, from a point 234 metres north of Queen Street East to a point 91.4 metres further north;
- (7) parking be prohibited on the east side of Leslie Street between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 11:30 a.m., 1:00 p.m. and 3:00 p.m., and 4:00 p.m. and 5:00 p.m. Monday to Friday, from a point 234 metres north of Queen Street East to a point 91.4 metres further north; and
- (8) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the parking prohibition on the east side of Leslie Street between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday from a point 56.4 metres south of Dundas Street East to a point 91.4 metres further south, be rescinded;
- parking be allowed on the east side of Leslie Street for a maximum period of ten minutes between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 9:00 a.m., 11:30 a.m. and 1:00 p.m., and 3:00 p.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, from a point 234 metres north of Queen Street East to a point 91.4 metres further north;
- parking be prohibited on the east side of Leslie Street between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 11:30 a.m., 1:00 p.m. and 3:00 p.m., and 4:00 p.m. and 5:00 p.m. Monday to

Friday, from a point 234 metres north of Queen Street East to a point 91.4 metres further north; and

(4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

### (Report No. 13, Clause 71)

# 7.72 Gledhill Avenue Between Danforth Avenue And King Edward Avenue - Delineation Of A "Student Pick-Up/Drop-Off Area" (East Toronto and East York)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 29, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting the delineation of a student pick-up/drop-off area on Gledhill Avenue between Danforth Avenue and King Edward Avenue and recommending that:

East York Community Council recommend approval of the following:

- (1) the parking prohibition anytime on the east side of Gledhill Avenue from the East York Ward boundary (a point about 70 metres north of Danforth Avenue) to King Edward Avenue, be rescinded;
- (2) the parking prohibition at anytime on the west side of Gledhill Avenue between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m., daily, from the East York Ward boundary (a point about 70 metres north of Danforth Avenue) to King Edward Avenue, be rescinded;
- (3) stopping be prohibited on the east side of Gledhill Avenue from the East York Ward boundary (a point about 70 metres north of Danforth Avenue) to King Edward Avenue;
- (4) parking be prohibited on the east side of Gledhill Avenue between the hours of 5:00 p.m. to 8:00 a.m., daily, from the East York Ward boundary (a point about 70 metres north of Danforth Avenue) to King Edward Avenue;
- (5) parking be allowed for a maximum period of ten minutes on the west side of Gledhill Avenue between the hours of 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., daily, from a point 97 metres north of Danforth Avenue to a point 65 metres further north;
- (6) parking be prohibited at anytime on the west side of Gledhill Avenue from the East York Ward boundary (a point about 70 metres north of Danforth Avenue) to a point 97 metres north of Danforth Avenue;

Toronto Community Council recommend approval of the following:

- (7) the one hour maximum parking restriction on the east side of Gledhill Avenue between the hours of 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., daily, from a point 30.5 metres north of Danforth Avenue to the East Toronto Ward boundary (a point 39.5 metres further north), be rescinded;
- (8) stopping be prohibited on the east side of Gledhill Avenue between the hours of 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., daily, from a point 30.5 metres north of Danforth Avenue to the East Toronto Ward boundary (a point 39.5 metres further north);
- (9) parking be allowed by permit only on the east side of Gledhill Avenue between the hours of 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., daily, from a point 30.5 metres north of Danforth Avenue to the East Toronto Ward boundary (a point 39.5 metres further north); and
- (10) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (July 16, 2000) from Oliver Williams.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council:

- (1) deferred consideration of the foregoing report; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to meet with residents, officials of the Gledhill Public School and the Ward Councillors of East Toronto and East York to consider alternative parking and school loading arrangements.

(Letter sent to: City Council; c.: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Director, Transportation Services, District 1; David G. Dignard, Acting Senior Traffic Investigator; Interested Persons – July 24, 2000)

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 89(g))

# 7.73 Booth Avenue, South Of Queen Street East – Daycare Pick-Up/Drop-Off Zone (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 30, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting a daycare pick-up/drop-off zone on Booth Avenue, south of Queen Street East, and recommending that:

(1) the regulation which prohibits parking at anytime on the west side of Booth Avenue, between Queen Street East and the first lane south of Queen Street East, be rescinded;

- parking be prohibited at anytime on the west side of Booth Avenue, between Queen Street East and a point 22.0 metres south of Queen Street East;
- (3) parking be allowed for a maximum period of fifteen minutes between the hours of 7:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the west side of Booth Avenue, between a point 22.0 metres south of Queen Street East and the first lane south of Queen Street East;
- (4) parking be prohibited between the hours of 6:00 p.m. to 7:30 a.m., Monday to Friday, and at anytime on Saturday and Sunday on the west side of Booth Avenue, between a point 22.0 metres south of Queen Street East and the first lane south of Queen Street East; and
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

### (Report No. 13, Clause 73)

# 7.74 Beatrice Street, From College Street To The Lane 59 Metres South (Adjacent To Premises No. 153 Beatrice Street) – Proposed Two-Way Traffic Operation (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 15, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting the proposed two-way traffic operation on Beatrice Street from College Street to the lane 59 metres south, adjacent to premises No. 153 Beatrice Street, and recommending that:

- (1) parking be prohibited at anytime on the west side of Beatrice Street from College Street to a point 61 metres south;
- parking be prohibited at anytime on the east side of Beatrice Street from College Street to a point 54 metres north;
- (3) stopping be prohibited at anytime on the east side of Beatrice Street from 54 metres south of College Street to a point 15 metres further south;
- (4) an island or planter be installed on the west side of Beatrice Street fronting Premises No. 148 to deter traffic from proceeding the wrong-way on the street;
- (5) suitable advisory signs are installed on Beatrice Street at College Street to alert motorists that southbound traffic ends at a midblock point; and

(6) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the one-way northbound operation of Beatrice Street, between Dundas Street West and College Street be amended to operate between Dundas Street West and a point 59 metres south of College Street;
- parking be prohibited at anytime on the west side of Beatrice Street from College Street to a point 61 metres south;
- parking be prohibited at anytime on the east side of Beatrice Street from College Street to a point 54 metres north;
- (4) an island or planter be installed on the west side of Beatrice Street fronting Premises No. 148 to deter traffic from proceeding the wrong-way on the street, as indicated on Drawing No.421F-5768, dated July 2000;
- (5) suitable advisory signs are installed on Beatrice Street at College Street to alert motorists that southbound traffic ends at a midblock point; and
- (6) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 74)

# 7.75 Rankin Crescent – Introduction Of A One-Way Traffic Operation And Double Sided Parking On The East-West Leg Of The Street. (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 26, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting the introduction of a one-way traffic operation and double-sided parking on the east-west leg of Rankin Crescent, and recommending that:

- (1) Rankin Crescent be designated to operate one-way westbound between the public lane first west of the north-south leg of Rankin Crescent and Pear Tree Mews (private lane);
- parking be permitted on the south side of Rankin Crescent between the public lane first west of the north-south leg of Rankin Crescent and Pear Tree Mews;

- (3) permit parking be designated to operate between 12:01 a.m. and 7:00 a.m., daily, on the south side of Rankin Crescent; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 75)

# 7.76 Corley Avenue Between Golfview Avenue And Firstbrooke Road –Installation Of Road Narrowing Islands (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 30, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting the installation of road narrowing islands on Corley Avenue between Golfview Avenue and Firstbrook Road, and recommending that:

- (1) approval be given to alter a section of the roadway on Corely Avenue, from Golfview Avenue to Firstbrooke Road for traffic control purposes, as described in the body of this report and generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5710, dated May 2000, and as follows through:
  - (a) The construction of two precast traffic islands with planters on the north and south side of Corley Avenue at a point 7.0 metres east of Golfview Avenue; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (June 30, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

On further motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Budget Advisory Committee at its quarterly capital variance meeting, on any additional funds available to address traffic safety measures being undertaken by any and all Community Councils.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Andrew Koropeski, Director, Transportation Services, District 1; Administrator, Budget Advisory Committee – July 25, 2000)

### (Report No. 13, Clause 76)

### 7.77 **Heyworth Crescent – Installation Of Speed Humps** (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 19, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting the installation of speed humps on Heyworth Crescent and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

(1) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Heyworth Crescent for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to the favourable results of polling of the affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

"The construction of speed humps on HEYWORTH CRESCENT, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5727 dated June 2000";

- (2) a speed limit of thirty kilometres per hour be introduced on Heyworth Crescent, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required, subject to review in the 2001 budget process.

On further motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Budget Advisory Committee at its quarterly capital variance meeting, on any additional funds available to address traffic safety measures being undertaken by any and all Community Councils.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Andrew Koropeski, Director, Transportation Services, District 1; Administrator, Budget Advisory Committee – July 25, 2000)

### (Report No. 13, Clause 77)

# 7.78 Duplex Avenue, From Chatsworth Drive To Lawrence Avenue West – Installation Of Speed Humps (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 27, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting the installation of speed humps on Duplex Avenue from Chatsworth Drive to Lawrence Avenue West, and recommending that:

(1) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Duplex Avenue, from Chatsworth Drive to Lawrence Avenue West, for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to the favourable results of the polling of affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council;

"The construction of speed humps on DUPLEX AVENUE, from Chatsworth Drive to Lawrence Avenue West, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 42IF-5745, dated June 2000";

- (2) the speed limit be reduced from 40 km/h to 30 km/h on Duplex Avenue, from Chatsworth Drive to Lawrence Avenue West, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council also requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Budget Advisory Committee at its quarterly capital variance meeting, on any additional funds available to address traffic safety measures being undertaken by any and all Community Councils.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Andrew Koropeski, Director, Transportation Services, District 1; Administrator, Budget Advisory Committee – July 25, 2000)

(Report No. 13, Clause 78)

### **7.79** Sale Of Surplus Property – 8 Wineva Avenue (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (July 4, 2000) from the Chief Executive Officer, Toronto Housing Company respecting the sale of surplus property No. 8 Wineva Avenue, and recommending that:

- (1) the Chief Executive Officer of the Toronto Housing Company be authorized to accept the offer in the amount of \$ 523,235.00 as detailed herein;
- (2) the net sale proceeds on closing be directed to the Toronto Housing Company, pursuant to the plan adopted by City Council "A Plan for the Property Houses Maximizing housing opportunities for low income tenants";

- (3) the City Solicitor be authorized and directed to take the appropriate action to complete the transaction on behalf of the City of Toronto and be further authorized to amend the closing date and any other terms of sale as are considered reasonable by them; and,
- (4) the appropriate Toronto Housing Company and City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

### (Report No. 13, Clause 79)

# 7.80 Proposed Installation Of Speed Bumps In First Public Lane South Of St. Clair Avenue West, Between Northcliffe Boulevard And Glenholme Avenue (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 30, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the installation of speed bumps in the first public lane south of St. Clair Avenue West, between Northcliffe Boulevard and Glenholme Avenue, of the type and design noted and at the locations shown on Drawing No. 421F-5723 dated June 2000, be approved; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized to take any action necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

### (Report No. 13, Clause 80)

# 7.81 Browning Avenue And Fulton Avenue From Carlaw Avenue To Broadview Avenue – Installation Of Speed Humps (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 278, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

(1) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Browning Avenue and Fulton Avenue between Carlaw Avenue and Broadview Avenue for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by former City of Toronto Council:

# Tuesday, July 18, 2000

- (a) the construction of speed humps on Browning Avenue, from Carlaw Avenue to Broadview Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5743, dated June 2000;
- (b) the construction of speed humps on Fulton Avenue, from CarlawAvenue to Broadview Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5742, dated June 2000;
- (2) the speed limit be reduced from 40 km/h to 30 km/h on Browning Avenue and Fulton Avenue, from Carlaw Avenue to Broadview Avenue, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council also requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Budget Advisory Committee at its quarterly capital variance meeting, on any additional funds available to address traffic safety measures being undertaken by any and all Community Councils.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Andrew Koropeski, Director, Transportation Services, District 1; Administrator, Budget Advisory Committee – July 25, 2000)

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 81)

# 7.82 Pleasant Boulevard, East Of Yonge Street – Rescindment Of Eastbound Left-Turn Prohibition At The Rear Of Premises No. 81 St. Clair Avenue East (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 19, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that the eastbound left-turn prohibition on Pleasant Boulevard, at the rear of No. 81 St. Clair Avenue East, approximately 274.3 m east of Yonge Street, from 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday be rescinded.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 13, Clause 82)

# 7.83 Proposed Closing Of A Portion Of Crawford Street, South Of King Street West To Canniff Street (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 26, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the proposed closing of a portion of Crawford Street, south of King Street West to Caniff Street and recommending that:

- (1) subject to compliance with the requirements of the *Municipal Act* and upon compliance by the Parks and Recreation Division ("Parks") of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism with the following terms and conditions, the portion of Crawford Street (the "Highway"), shown as Part 1 on the attached Sketch No. PMC-99-047, be stopped-up and closed as public highway:
  - (a) Parks shall agree to accept the conveyance of the fee in the Highway subject to the reservation of easements over the entire portion of the Highway, in favour of Bell Canada, Toronto Hydro and Enbridge Consumers Gas, for access, operation, use, repair, maintenance, reconstruction or alteration of the existing service, and for the construction of additional or new services;
  - (b) Parks shall agree to pay the cost of registering the conveyance of the abovenoted easements, the authorizing by-law and any other documents necessary or incidental to the closing of the Highway;
  - (c) Parks shall pay all out-of-pocket expenses that will be incurred by the City as a result of the closing of the Highway, estimated to be \$3,500.00; and
  - (d) Parks shall pay the cost for the installation of Jersey Barriers and the installation of hazard makings on these barriers, in the estimated amount of \$3,000.00, with these barriers being placed across the pavement at both the north end (King Street West) and the south end (Canniff Street) of the Highway;
- (2) notice be given to the public of the proposed by-law to stop-up and close the Highway, in accordance with the requirements of the *Municipal Act*;
- (3) the Toronto Community Council hold a public hearing concerning the proposed bylaw if any person who claims that the person's land will be prejudicially affected by the proposed by-law applies to be heard, in accordance with the requirements of the *Municipal Act*;

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 13, Clause 83)

### 7.84 1121 Bay Street - Percent For Public Art Plan

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 28, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that Toronto Community Council approve the proposed 1121 Bay Street Percent for Public Art Plan, as presented by the owner, subject to the incorporation of the following recommendations from the Public Art Commission:

- (a) that the opportunity for public art consists of the development of artwork applied to or integrated into the focal point column at the corner of Bay and Charles Streets; and
- (b) that if the selected artist or alternate are unable to proceed with the project, that the owner consult with the Public Art Commission on the appropriate next steps.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 13, Clause 84)

### 7.85 The Public Art Commission – New Citizen Appointments

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 29, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that Toronto Community Council approve the proposed new citizen volunteer appointments to the Public Art Commission.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 13, Clause 85)

# 7.86 Naming Of Public Lane North Of Dundas Street East Between Dalhousie Street And Mutual Street - Frank Natale Lane (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 30, 2000) from the City Surveyor, Works and Emergency Services recommending that the public lane located north of Dundas Street West between Dalhousie Street and Mutual Street, illustrated on Attachment No. 1, be named "Frank Natale Lane".

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 13, Clause 86)

#### 7.87 350 Russell Hill Road And 304 Lonsdale Road – Grace Church On-The-Hill (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (July 5, 2000) from the City Solicitor respecting the construction of a columbarium by Grace Church on-the-Hill (350 Russell Hill Road and 304 Lonsdale Road) and recommending that:

- (1) the report be received for information; or
- (2) subject to receipt of a letter of indemnity from the Anglican Diocese of Toronto, satisfactory to the City Solicitor, City Council support a request for exemption from the \$100,000 deposit to the Care and Maintenance Fund, required under the Cemeteries Act (Revised), for the construction of a columbarium by Grace Church on-the-Hill.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that, subject to receipt of a letter of indemnity from the Anglican Diocese of Toronto, satisfactory to the City Solicitor, City Council support a request for exemption from the \$100,000 deposit to the Care and Maintenance Fund, required under the Cemeteries Act (Revised), for the construction of a columbarium by Grace Church on-the-Hill.

## (Report No. 13, Clause 87)

# 7.88 Towards A Community Improvement Plan For The St. Lawrence Neighbourhood (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 26, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting a Community Improvement Plan for the St. Lawrence Neighbourhood and recommending that:

- (1) City Council designate the lands shown on Attachment 1 of this report as a Community Improvement Project Area pursuant to Section 28 of the Planning Act.
- (2) The City Solicitor be authorized to introduce the necessary Bill into Council to give effect thereto.
- (3) The Commissioner of Urban Development Services be instructed to prepare a Community Improvement Plan for the area shown on Attachment 1 of this report, in consultation with the community and other relevant civic officials.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 13, Clause 72)

# 7.89 Lester B. Pearson International Airport (LBPIA) Noise Monitoring And Impact Review And Assessment (WARDS 2, 3, 4 AND 5)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 16, 2000) from the City Clerk requesting the Toronto Community Council to review and comment on the Lester B. Pearson International Airport Noise Monitoring and Impact Review and Assessment.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (July 17, 2000) from Pat Findlay, Toronto Airport Watch.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing communication until its meeting to be held on September 7, 2000, for deputations.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons – July 25, 2000)

(Report No. 13, Clause 89(h))

### 7.90 Wayfinding Signage Program – Exhibition Place

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (June 30, 2000) from the Corporate Secretary, The Board of Governors of Exhibition Place respecting the Wayfinding Signage Program and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve the Board of Governors of Exhibition Place (the "Board") entering into an agreement with Eller Media Company Canada ("Eller Media") for a term of ten years with an option for the Board to extend for an additional five years on the terms and conditions set out in this report and other such terms and conditions satisfactory to the City Solicitor for the purpose of designing and constructing three (3) Entrance Gate/Advertising sign structures on Lake Shore Boulevard and an internal wayfinding signage program at Exhibition Place subject to approvals as set out in Recommendations 2 and 3:
- (2) City Council approve of a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code as required to permit the construction of the three (3) Entrance Gate/Advertising sign structures as described in this report and depicted in Appendix No. 1 to this report and take all necessary action required;
- (3) The Board be authorized, in consultation with the City of Toronto Urban Planning and Development Services, to approve of the design consistent with the general specifications set out in the report for the three (3) Entrance Gate/Advertising sign structures on Lake Shore Boulevard in order to proceed with installation of these signs; and

(4) The Board defer the implementation of the balance of the Wayfinding Signage Program for a period not to exceed two years.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the recommendations contained in the foregoing communication (June 30, 2000) from the Corporate Secretary, Board of Governors, Exhibition Place.

(Letter sent to: City Council – July 24, 2000)

(**Report No. 13, Clause 89(q)**)

### 7.91 Appointments To Board Of Management – Cecil Community Centre

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (June 28, 2000) from the Executive Director, Cecil Community Centre requesting that Ms. Ingrid Barret be appointed to replace Ms. Shirley Ross on the Board of Management of the Cecil Community Centre.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended that Ms. Ingrid Barret be appointed to the Board of Management of the Cecil Community Centre, to replace Ms. Shirley Ross, until November 30, 2000, on an interim basis, at the pleasure of Council, and until her successor is appointed.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 61)

#### 7.92 Request For Endorsement Of Events For Liquor Licensing Purposes

The Toronto Community Council had before it the following reports requesting endorsement of events for liquor licensing purposes:

- (June 20, 2000) from Mariusz Rygiel, Head Manager, Future Bakery & Café;
- (June 6, 2000) from Ms. Cathy Craig, Assistant Director, Series 2000 Inc.;
- (June 28, 2000) from Mr. David Stearn for RIV/QM Inc.;
- (June 7, 2000) from Mr. Greg Cosway, Executive Promoter, Toronto's Festival of Beer;
- (July 13, 2000) from Ms. Loretta Heron, Toronto Dominion/Canada Trust Bank;
- (July 7, 2000) from Chris Layton;
- (July 5, 2000) from Mr. Jim Shenkman, President, CEO and Executive Publisher, Brunico Communications Inc.:

- (July 10, 2000) from Robin Toderian, Director, University of Toronto;
- (July 11, 2000) from Tony Decaria, Project 360 Investment Limited;
- (July 11, 2000) from Mr. Vincent Donohoe, Project 360 Investment Limited;
- (July 11, 2000) from Joice Guspie, Manager, Old Cabbagetown Business Improvement Area;
- (July 10, 2000) from Niva Chow, Revolver Film Company;
- (July 5, 2000) from Mr. Franck Leray;
- (July 12, 2000) from Councillor McConnell;
- (July 7, 2000) from Andrew Cook, Royal York Hotel;
- (July 13, 2000) from George Avgeropoulos, Athens Restaurant;
- (undated) from Leroy St. Germainc;
- (July 11, 2000) from Amy Rivier;
- (July 11, 2000) from Jacqueline Code;
- (July 7, 2000) from Cynthia Shipley, Congress Canada; and
- (July 18, 2000) from Mary Szkambara and Jurij Klufas, Ukrainian Canadian Congress.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council, for liquor licensing purposes:

- (1) declare the following to be events of municipal and/or community significance and advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it has no objection to their taking place:
  - (a) 24<sup>th</sup> Cabbagetown Festival to be held from September 6 10, 2000;
  - (b) Fifth Annual Moss Park Community Festival to be held on Friday, August 11, 2000, in the Moss Park Community, bounded by Sherbourne Street on the west, Queen Street on the south, Parliament Street on the east and Shuter Street on the north:

# Tuesday, July 18, 2000

- (c) the 7<sup>th</sup> Annual Beaches Blues Festival to be held from August 5 August 7 inclusive, from noon until 9:00 p.m. at Ashbridges Bay Park;
- (d) Fourth Annual Bloor West Village Ukranian Community Festival to be held from 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 a.m. on August 18 and 19, 2000, and also advise that it has no objection to the outdoor food/refreshment garden located on Bloor Street West between Runnymede Road and Windermere Avenue for the duration of the festival;
- (2) advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it is aware of the following events and has no objection to their taking place:
  - (a) World Series 2000 Opening Ceremonies to be held Tuesday August 15<sup>th</sup> to August 16<sup>th</sup>, 2000 on Church Street between Wellesley Street south to Alexander Street, including Maitland Street East & West of Church Street;
  - (b) RIV/QM Inc. staff picnic at the Ward's Island Association Clubhouse at 14 Withrow Ave. on Sunday July 23, 2000 from 2:00 p.m. 1:00 a.m.;
  - (c) Event to be held outside and inside the Munk Centre at 3 Devonshire Place on Monday, August 28, 2000 from 4:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m.
  - (d) Post Party for the Much Music Video Awards, to be held at The Courthouse, 57 Adelaide Street West on September 21, 2000, nor to an extension of the Courthouse's liquor licence for the duration of the party:
  - (e) Wedding reception on August 26, 2000 from 11:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. in the immediate area of the Catholic and Anglican Church on Centre Island.
  - (f) Royal York Hotel Staff Picnic to be held on August 26, 2000 at the Toronto Olympic Island (Area 2, 22 and 23)
  - (g) Wedding Reception of Philip Playfair and Jacqueline Code at Historic House Museum;
  - (h) Applied System Client Network Function to be held on October 6, 2000 from 6:00 p.m. 11:00 p.m. at the The Docks Entertainment Complex;
  - (i) TD Centre/Canada Trust Bank Company picnic to be held on August 16, 2000 from 12:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Toronto Centre Island (Area 1 11);
- (4) advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission that it has no objection to the temporary extension of the following liquor licences:
  - (a) Athens Restaurant, in conjunction with the Taste of the Danforth Festival;

- (b) Future Bakery and Café to accommodate a Beer and Food Tent on Kennedy Avenue, south of Bloor Street on August 18, 2000 from 7:00 p.m. – midnight, in conjunction with the Bloor West Village Ukranian Community Festival;
- (c) The House on Parliament Street Pub, 465 Parliament Street; (i)
  - (ii) The Town Grill, 243 Carlton Street;
  - (iii) The Winchester Pub, 573 Parliament Street;
  - Peartree Restaurant, 507 Parliament Street; (iv)
  - Johnny G's, 478 Parliament Street; (v)
  - (vi) The Winny Bar and Grill, 488 and 490 Parliament Street
  - Pope Joan, 547 Parliament Street; (vii)
  - Luciano's, 554 Parliament Street; (viii)
  - (ix) Chez Roger's Restaurant, 601 Parliament Street;
  - Tapas Restaurant, 226 Carlton Street; and (x)
  - The Ben Wicks, 422-426 Parliament Street (xi)

to permit the operation of an outdoor patio in front of these establishments on in conjunction with the Cabbagetown Festival;

- (5) endorse the actions of the Toronto Community Council, since the following events take place prior to Council's meeting, in advising the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it is aware of the following events and has no objection to their taking place:
  - Save Our Boathouse Campaign to be held in the former Kew Beach (a) Boathouse currently known as the Kew Beach Pavilion, at the foot of Leuty Avenue in Kew Beach Park, on Saturday July 29 and Sunday July 30, 2000 from 12:00 noon to 7:00 p.m.
  - Brunico Communications Inc. Company's summer party to be held on (b) Toronto Centre Island, Lot No. 30, on Thursday, July 27, 2000, from Noon to 5:00 p.m.
  - (c) Concert to be held by Syrous Worldwide at the Turbo Niteclub at 360 Adelaide Street West in the Basement North Section, on Friday, July 28, 2000 from 9:00 p.m. to 2:00 a.m.
  - (d) Concert to be held by Syrous Worldwide at the Turbo Niteclub at 360 Adelaide Street West in the Basement North Section, on Friday, July 21, 2000 from 9:00 p.m. to 2:00 a.m.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 58)

Hounslow Heath Road, Spring Grove Avenue And Laughton Avenue - Traffic 7.93 **Management Measures** (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 27, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting traffic management measures for Hounslow Heath Road, Spring Grove Avenue and Laughton Avenue and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council:

- (1) deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on September 7, 2000; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to hold a public meeting to consider a possible introduction of a No Entry or No Turn prohibition during peak hours within the block bounded by Hounslow Heath Road, Spring Grove Avenue and Laughton Avenue.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Jacqueline White, Manager, Traffic Operations, District 1 – July 25, 2000)

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 89(i))

## 7.94 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 400 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code To Permit Front Yard Parking At 373 Sunnyside Avenue (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (July 12, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for front yard parking at 373 Sunnyside Avenue; OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 373 Sunnyside Avenue, subject to:
  - (a) the maximum area to be paved for parking not exceeding 2.6 m by 5.6 m;
  - (b) The applicant removing the existing paved surface of the parking area and replacing it with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
  - (c) The applicant removing the excess paving, and restoring the area to soft landscaping, i.e., planting area or sod; and

### Tuesday, July 18, 2000

- (d) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code. OR
- (3) City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 373 Sunnyside Avenue, notwithstanding that the existing paving does not meet the City specifications for permeable paving, subject to:
  - (a) the applicant maintaining the existing interlocking brick parking area to a maximum area of 2.6 m by 5.6 m;
  - (b) the applicant removing the excessive paving, and restoring the area to soft landscaping, i.e., planting area or sod; and
  - (c) the owner paying all applicable fees, and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (July 13, 2000) from Councillor Miller.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 373 Sunnyside Avenue, notwithstanding that the existing paving does not meet the City specifications for permeable paving, subject to:

- (a) the applicant maintaining the existing interlocking brick parking area to a maximum area of 2.6 m by 5.6 m;
- (b) the applicant removing the excessive paving, and restoring the area to soft landscaping, i.e., planting area or sod; and
- (c) the owner paying all applicable fees, and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 56)

### 7.95 St. Clair Avenue West And Caledonia Road – Request To Consider Removal Of The Exclusive Westbound Left-Turn Lane Designation (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 27, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the request for the removal of the exclusive westbound left-turn lane designation at St. Clair Avenue West and Caledonia Road and recommending that:

- (1) parking be prohibited on the north side of St. Clair Avenue West, from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, at the following locations:
  - (i) from a point 15 metres west of McRoberts Avenue to a point 30 metres further west thereof;
  - (ii) from a point 15 metres east of McRoberts Avenue to a point 11 metres further east thereof;
- (2) in conjunction with Recommendation No. 1 above, the one hour parking limit at the noted locations be adjusted to apply from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday;
- in order to provide two westbound vehicular traffic lanes on St. Clair Avenue West at its intersection with Caledonia Road, the pavement markings be adjusted generally as illustrated in Drawing No. 421F-5756, July 2000, attached; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 55)

## 7.96 Proposed Closing And Conveyance Of A Portion Of Van De Water Crescent, Extending South Of Bremner Boulevard, Then Easterly (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (July 10, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, requesting City Council authority for the stopping-up, closing and conveyancing of a portion of Van de Water Crescent, extending south of Bremner Boulevard, then easterly.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report sine die.

(Letter sent to: Mr. Norm Jarus, Canada Lands Company Limited, 200 King Street West, Ste. 1500, Toronto M5H 3T4; c.: Director, Transportation Services, District 1; Ms. Laurie Robertson, Project Technician, Street and Lane Closings – July 25, 2000)

(Report No. 13, Clause 89(j))

### 7.97 Sale Of 82 Lombard Street, Unit 2, Level 1 - Metropolitan Toronto Condominium Plan 784 (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (July 5, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services recommending that:

- (1) the Offer to Purchase from Michael Gulycz, In Trust, to purchase the City-owned property known municipally 82 Lombard Street, Unit 2, Level 1, in the amount of \$40,000.00, be accepted on the terms outlined in the body of this report, and that either one of the Commissioner of Corporate Services or the Director of Real Estate Services be authorized to accept the Offer on behalf of the City;
- (2) the City Solicitor be authorized to complete the transaction on behalf of the City including payment of necessary expenses and amending the closing date to such earlier or later date as he considers reasonable; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 54)

#### 7.98 Carlaw/Dundas Neighbourhood Improvement Plan (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (July 6, 2000) from Councillor Layton respecting the Carlaw/Dundas Neighbourhood Improvement Plan.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services in consultation with the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to Toronto Community Council on the opportunity for implementation of the Carlaw/Dundas Neighbourhood Improvement Plan, including funding for implementation in the 2001 Capital Budget and the opportunity for further implementation within the capital budget planning for the next three years.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services – July 25, 2000)

(Report No. 13, Clause 89(k))

### 7.99 Committee Of Adjustment Decision - Appeal To The Ontario Municipal Board - 382 And 386 Dundas Street East (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (July 10, 2000) from Councillor McConnell respecting the properties at 382 and 386 Dundas Street East.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the City Solicitor be directed to appear before the Ontario Municipal Board to defend the City of Toronto Committee of Adjustment decision of February 15, 2000, regarding the properties at 382 and 386 Dundas Street East.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 57)

### 7.100 Appointments To Committee Of Management – Eastview Neighbourhood Community Centre

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (undated) from the Executive Director, Eastview Neighbourhood Community Centre, submitting nominations for appointment to its Committee of Management.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council recommended that Ms. Rosa Wong, Ms. Neil Brown, Ms. Moneca Delain, Mr. Hilowle Abukar Mohamed and Mrs. Marylin Hall be appointed to the Committee of Management of the Eastview Neighbourhood Community Centre, to replace Michelle Smith, Michele Sanborn, Eric Mezin, Allen Flaming and Andrea Addario, until November 30, 2000, on an interim basis, at the pleasure of Council, and until their successors are appointed.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 52)

#### **7.101 Isabella Street Traffic Concerns** (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (July 12, 2000) from Councillor Rae requesting that:

- (1) City Council recognize the traffic concerns of the neighbourhood bounded by Bloor Street East, Wellesley Street East, Jarvis Street and Sherbourne Street, specifically Isabella Street and;
- (2) City staff from the Works and Emergency Services Department be directed to allocate resources to assist the Upper Jarvis Residents' Association in resolving traffic concerns.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) City Council recognize the traffic concerns of the neighbourhood bounded by Bloor Street East, Wellesley Street East, Jarvis Street and Sherbourne Street, specifically Isabella Street and;
- (2) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be directed to allocate resources to assist the Upper Jarvis Residents' Association in resolving traffic concerns.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 88)

#### **7.102** Leash Free Pilot Project In Allan Gardens (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (July 12, 2000) from Councillor Rae respecting a leash free pilot project in Allan Gardens.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (July 17, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism recommending that:

- (1) Toronto Community Council approve a one-year pilot project creating a leash-free area in a designated area on the west side of Allan Gardens.
- (2) the Parks and Recreation Division in conjunction with Animal Services, analyze the pilot project at the end of one year to determine the feasibility of making this a permanent fixture in Allan Gardens, including consultation with the community and the Ward Councillor and report back to Council; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (July 17, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 50)

### 7.103 271 Front Street East – Appeal To The Ontario Municipal Board Of Committee Of Adjustment Refusal (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (July 10, 2000) from Councillor McConnell respecting the property at 271 Front Street East

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the City Solicitor be directed to appear before the Ontario Municipal Board to defend the

Committee of Adjustment Decision of May 16, 2000 regarding the property at 271 Front Street East.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 49)

### 7.104 800 Bay Street: Request For Approval Of Minor Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (July 13, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting the application for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, for the building at 800 Bay Street.

On further motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) City Council approve in part Application No. 900048 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, eleven illuminated signs on the east, west and south elevations of the building at 800 Bay Street;
- (2) City Council approve in part Application No. 900048 for variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, two proposed electronic message display signs, containing animated copy to be located at the second floor level, on the south and east elevations of the building at 800 Bay Street, due to the nature of the commercial activities, and that this approval in no way sets a precedent with City-wide implications; and
- (3) the applicant be advised, upon approval in part of Application No. 900048, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 48)

## 7.105 95 Walton Street: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (July 14, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

(1) City Council approve Application No. 900059 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, two illuminated fascia signs in the form of corporate name and logo. The

signs would be erected on the east and west elevations of the building at 95 Walton Street.

(2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900059, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On further motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (July 14, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 47)

### 7.106 120 Pearl Street: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (July 14, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900058 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, three illuminated fascia signs in the form of corporate name and logo. The signs would be erected on the north, south and west elevations of the building at 120 Pearl Street.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900058, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On further motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (July 14, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 47)

#### 7.107 Leash Free Pilot Project In Moss Park (Downtown)

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a communication (July 14, 2000) from Councillor Rae recommending that:

(1) Toronto Community Council approve a one-year pilot project creating a leash free area in a designated area on the west side of Moss Park;

- (2) staff from Parks and Recreation, in conjunction with Animal Services, analyze the pilot project at the end of one year to determine the feasibility of making this a permanent fixture in Moss Park, including consultation with the community, the Toronto East Downtown Neighbourhood Association and the Ward Council and report back to Council; and
- (3) the necessary staff be authorized to take the appropriate steps to expedite this request.

On further motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the recommendations contained in the following communication from Councillor Rae.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 46)

# 7.108 Annual Caribana And Canadian National Exhibition – Temporary Adjustments To Existing Traffic/Parking Regulations On Streets In The Vicinity Of Exhibition Place During These Events (Trinity-Niagara and High Park)

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (July 13, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) in order to implement the temporary traffic/parking regulations on streets in the vicinity of Exhibition Place which are impacted during the annual Caribana and Canadian National Exhibition, the recommendations noted in appendix "A" and "B", of this report be approved; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On further motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (July 13, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 45)

### 7.109 Mackay Avenue From Greenlaw Avenue To Dufferin Street – Installation Of Speed Humps (Davenport)

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (July 12, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the installation of speed humps on Mackay Avenue from Greenlaw Avenue to Dufferin Street and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on September 7, 2000, for deputations.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Joe Gallippi, Senior Traffic Investigator – July 25, 2000)

(Report No. 13, Clause 89(l))

### 7.110 Northcliffe Avenue From Regal Road To St. Clair Avenue West – Installation Of Speed Humps (Davenport)

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (July 12, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the installation of speed humps on Northcliffe Avenue from Regal Road to St. Clair Avenue West and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until January, 2001.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Joe Gallippi, Senior Traffic Investigator – July 25, 2000)

(Report No. 13, Clause 89(m))

### 7.111 Somerset Avenue From Geary Avenue To Davenport Avenue – Installation Of Speed Humps (Davenport)

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (July 12, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the installation of speed humps on Somerset Avenue from Geary Avenue to Davenport Road and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on September 7, 2000, for deputations.

(**Report No. 13, Clause 89(n)**)

# 7.112 Greenwood Subdivision – Regulation And Enforcement Of Traffic And Parking On Unassumed Roads And Removal Of Snow From Lanes Within The Subdivision (East Toronto)

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (July 17, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting the Greenwood Subdivision and recommending that should Council wish to regulate traffic and parking on Boardwalk Drive and Joseph Duggan Road, as well as continue winter service:

- (a) a by-law substantially in the form of the draft by-law attached to this report be enacted to permit the regulation of traffic and parking on Boardwalk Drive and Joseph Duggan Road; and
- (b) Works and Emergency Services staff continue to provide winter salting and ploughing on the unassumed roads and lanes, strictly as a courtesy, until these highways are assumed by the City.

On further motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (July 17, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 44)

#### **7.113 In Camera Matter, 40 Maple Avenue** (Midtown)

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a confidential joint report (July 17, 2000) from the Chief Building Official and City Solicitor respecting No. 40 Maple Avenue.

On further motion by Councillor Pantalone, in accordance with the <u>Municipal Act</u>, the Toronto Community Council met privately to hold discussions pertaining to the joint report (July 17, 2000) from the Chief Building Official and the City Solicitor, having regard that the subject matter deals with litigation or potential litigation, including matters before administrative tribunals, affect the municipality or local board.

On further motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the confidential joint report (July 17, 2000) from the Chief Building Official and the City Solicitor and further that, in accordance with the <u>Municipal Act</u>, discussions pertaining to this report be held in camera, having regard that the subject matter deals with litigation or potential litigation, including matters before administrative tribunals, affect the municipality or local board.

#### (Report No. 13, Clause 1)

7.114 Moberly Avenue, Between Merrill Avenue East And Danforth Avenue Feasibility Study For The Installation Of Speed Humps (East Toronto)

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (July 14, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the feasibility study for the installation of speed humps on Moberly Avenue between Merrill Avenue East and Danforth Avenue and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended:

- (1) That approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Moberly Avenue between Merrill Avenue East and Danforth Avenue, for traffic calming purposes as described below with implementation subject to the favourable results of polling of the affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:
  - "The construction of speed humps on MOBERLY AVENUE between Merrill Avenue East and Danforth Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 42IF-5758, dated July 2000;
- (2) That a speed limit of 30 km/h be introduced on Moberly Avenue between Merrill Avenue East and Danforth Avenue, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits; and
- (3) That the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any bills that might be required, subject to review in the 2001 budget process.

On further motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Budget Advisory Committee at its quarterly capital variance meeting, on any additional funds available to address traffic safety measures being undertaken by any and all Community Councils.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Andrew Koropeski, Director, Transportation Services, District 1; Administrator, Budget Advisory Committee – July 25, 2000)

(Report No. 13, Clause 43)

#### 7.115 Issuance Of A Liquor Licence With Respect To Bert 'N Ernies Fun Food Eatery

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a motion from Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, seconded by Councillor Rae respecting the issuance of a liquor licence for Bert 'N Ernies Fun Food Eatery, 2918 Dundas Street West.

On further motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the following motion:

"WHEREAS the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario held a telephone conference call on Wednesday July 12<sup>th</sup>, regarding a liquor licence for Bert 'N Ernies Fun Food Eatery, 2918 Dundas Street West, and

WHEREAS local residents, and Ward Councillor have concerns with respect to a liquor licence for an indoor area and an outdoor area; and the community had requested to the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario, for a public hearing on this matter; and

WHEREAS the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario has directed that a public hearing be held; and

WHEREAS section 6(2)(h) of the Liquor Licence Act provides that an applicant is entitled to be issued a licence to sell liquor except if the licence is not in the public interest having regard to the needs and wishes of the residents of the municipality in which the premises are located;

#### THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

- (2) City Council advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that the issuance of a liquor licence with respect to the patio licence at Bert 'N Ernies Fun Food Eatery, 2918 Dundas Street West, is not in the public interest having regard to the needs and wishes of the residents of the municipality; and
- (2) the City Solicitor be authorized to attend the hearing, yet to be determined by the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario, in opposition to the application, as it relates to the patio licence only."

(Report No. 13, Clause 52)

#### 7.116 Public Art In The Waterfront Area

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a communication (July 17, 2000) from Councillor Chow recommending that city staff report to the September Community Council on the opportunities in the waterfront area to utilize the SkyDome public art reserve fund.

On further motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report to the Toronto Community Council, at its meeting to be held on September 7, 2000 on opportunities in the waterfront area to utilize the Skydome public art reserve fund.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services – July 25, 2000)

(Report No. 13, Clause 89(o))

### Adjournment

A motion by Councillor Walker, to adjourn the meeting at 6:15 p.m., was carried unanimously as follows:

Yeas: Councillors Rae, Adams, Bossons, Bussin, Chow, Korwin-Kuczynski, Layton, Palacio, Pantalone and Walker - 10.

The Committee adjourned its meeting at 6:15 p.m.

\_\_\_\_\_Chair.

#### Attendance:

July 18, 2000	9:30 a.m. –	2:00 p.m
	12:30 a.m.	6:15 p.m.
McConnell	-	-
Adams	-	X
Bossons	X	X
Bussin	X	X
Chow	X	X
Disero	X	X
Jakobek	X	-
Johnston	X	X
Korwin-Kuczynski	X	X
Layton	X	X
Miller	-	-
Palacio	X	X
Pantalone	X	X
Rae (Chair)	X	X
Silva	X	X
Walker	X	X
Mayor Lastman	-	-

<sup>\*</sup> Members were present for some or all of the time indicated.