THE CITY OF TORONTO

Clerk's Department

Minutes of the Toronto Community Council

Meeting No. 9

Tuesday, September 19, 2000

9.1 Maintenance Of A Deck With Railings And Steps - 49 Broadview Avenue (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 1, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City Council approve the maintenance of the wooden deck with railings and concrete precast steps within the public right of way fronting 49 Broadview Avenue, subject to the property owner entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to:

- (a) indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted;
- (b) indemnify the City and utility companies of any damage sustained to the deck in the event of a need to access the area covered by the deck and stairs; and
- (c) removing the wooden deck, railings and concrete precast steps upon receiving 90 days from the City to do so; and
- (d) accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City.

Ms. Mary Ellen Martin, Toronto, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council:

- (1) deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held in January, 2001; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Toronto Community Council on the status of encroachment agreements in the area.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1; Mr. Ken McGuire, Supervisor, Construction Services - enc. - September 25, 2000)

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 82(a))

9.2 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 400 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code To Permit Front Yard Parking At 292 Sorauren Avenue (High Park).

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 1, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for front yard parking at 292 Sorauren Avenue; OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 292 Sorauren Avenue, subject to:
 - (a) the parking area not exceeding 2.6 m by 5.0 m in dimension;
 - (b) the existing brick pavers being removed and the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
 - (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; OR
- (3) City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 292 Sorauren Avenue, notwithstanding that the existing paving does not meet the City's paving specifications, subject to:
 - (a) the parking area not exceeding 2.6 m by 5.0 m in dimension; and
 - (b) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 292 Sorauren Avenue, subject to:

(a) the parking area not exceeding 2.6 m by 5.0 m in dimension;

- (b) the existing brick pavers being removed and the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
- (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 2)

9.3 Official Plan Amendment And Rezoning Application No. 100006 For 233, 235, 237, 239, 241, 243, 245 And 247 Davisville Avenue And 450 Mount Pleasant Road (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 5, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services providing final recommendations respecting an application for Official Plan and Zoning By-law amendments, and Site Plan Approval to permit the construction a nine-storey apartment building containing 149 dwelling units at 233-247 Davisville and 450 Mount Pleasant Road.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (September 14, 2000) from Louise Abbott and Nicholas Gallimore.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the <u>Planning Act</u>. The public meeting was held on September 19, 2000, and the following addressed the Toronto Community Council:

- Ms. Jennifer Higgin, Toronto;
- Mr. J. B. Putt, Vice President, SERRA; and
- Ms. Daphney E. M. Wagner, Barrister & Solicitor.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended that the foregoing report (September 5, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be adopted, subject to the provision of at least 7 units for persons with disabilities (fully accessible) as part of the project.

The Toronto Community Council also requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and the City Solicitor to report directly to Council on:

On motion by Councillor Johnston:

(1) the possibility of using Section 37 of the <u>Planning Act</u> to provide units for persons with disabilities (fully accessible) both in this development, and generally for mixed use developments in the City;

On motion by Councillor Walker:

- (2) the concerns of the deputants respecting the impact of the provision of 83 off-site occupant parking spaces at 225 Davisville Avenue by the proposed development;
- (3) enshrining in a binding agreement, such as a collateral agreement, the provision of 83 off-site occupant parking spaces at 225 Davisville Avenue for the proposed development; and
- (4) the Committee of Adjustment application respecting 225 Davisville Avenue, and whether excavation, demolition and building permits can be approved while the Committee of Adjustment process is incomplete.

A further motion by Councillor Walker, to submit this matter to Council without recommendation, was voted on and lost.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; City Solicitor; c: Ms. Beate Bowron, Director, Community Planning, South District; Mr. Ted Cymbaly, Planner, North Section – enc. – September 25, 2000)

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 3)

9.4 Official Plan Amendment And Rezoning Application No. 199021 For 66 Roncesvalles Avenue And 163 To 173 Marion Street (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 5, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending an Official Plan Amendment and Site Specific Zoning By-law to permit the demolition of six houses at 163 to 173 Marion Street, to provide room for the expansion of Corpernicus Lodge, a senior citizens and nursing home at 66 Roncesvalles Avenue.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (September 11, 2000) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, recommending that:

- (1) Toronto Community Council deny the request for the removal of two City-owned trees, and four privately owned trees; OR
- (2) Toronto Community Council approve the request for tree removal with conditions as outlined in the conclusion of this report.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (September 8, 2000) from Ms. Yvonne Bogorya-Buczkowski, Polish-Canadian Women's Federation;
- (September 8, 2000) from Ms. Barbara Chodorek, Marie Curie Sklodowska Association;

- (September 14, 2000) from Bruce Voogd and Peter Elson, Roncesvalles-Macdonell Residents' Association;
- (September 19, 2000) from Gerard Evertman submitting 29 signatures in opposition; and
- petition signed by 1036 persons in support.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the <u>Planning Act</u>. The public meeting was held on September 19, 2000, and the following addressed the Toronto Community Council:

- Mr. Marian Hull, Chairman, Copernicus Lodge;
- Mr. Ed Zielinski:
- Mr. Stan Krol, President and CEO, St. Stanislaus St. Casimir's Polish Parishes Credit Union Ltd.:
- Mr. Gerard Evertman;
- Mr. Ben Grys; and
- Gerard Kennedy, MPP.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that:

- (1) the foregoing report (September 5, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be adopted; and
- (2) the request for tree removal at the subject site be approved, subject to the conditions set out in the report (September 11, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 4)

9.5 Official Plan Amendment And Rezoning Application No. 199033 For 65 Navy Wharf Court (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 31, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, recommending approval of applications for an Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendment which propose to add residential permissions on Block 19 in the Railway Lands Central (south-east corner of Blue Jays Way and Navy Wharf Court).

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the <u>Planning Act</u>. The public meeting was held on September 19, 2000, and Ms. Kim Kovar, Aird & Berlis, addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (August 31, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 5)

9.6 Rezoning Application No. 100022 For 620 Fleet Street (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 7, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending amendments to existing site-specific Zoning By-laws and legal agreements permitting existing Molson Brewery Building to be renovated and reused, and that the City Solicitor be authorized to draft and have executed amended agreements under Section 37 of the Planning Act consistent with those terms contained in the report.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the <u>Planning Act</u>. The public meeting was held on September 19, 2000, and the following addressed the Toronto Community Council:

- Mr. Ian Keith, on behalf of the Friends of Fort York; and
- Mr. Patrick Devine, Goodman and Carr, Barristers & Solicitors.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that the foregoing report (September 7, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be adopted, and that the following clarification be added to Appendix 1 of the report:

- (a) building permits relating to the commercial use of the Molson Building will not trigger the requirements related to the construction of, or reimbursement for, local streets or the decommissioning and construction of the final phase of the Link Park; and
- (b) the posting of letters of credit or payment for the Fort York Boulevard cost contribution by residential developers be required prior to the issuance of building permits for residential development for more that one-third of the land area of each block.

On further motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council also requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report to the Toronto Community Council, at its meeting to be held in January 2001, on a critical path for issue resolution that would permit the proposed street "E" to be built in its entirety from Fleet Street to Fort York Boulevard.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; c: Ms. Beate Bowron, Director, Community Planning, South District; Mr. Ian Cooper, Planner, Waterfront Section – enc. – September 25, 2000)

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 6)

9.7 Amendments To The Community Improvement Plans For Dundas Street West Junction/Malta Village, Parkdale Village And Old Cabbagetown (High Park And Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 31, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services providing recommendations for the adoption of amendments to the Dundas Street West Junction/Malta Village, Parkdale Village and Old Cabbagetown Community Improvement Plans in order to facilitate façade grant cash rebates instead of tax bill credits under the program.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the <u>Planning Act</u>. The public meeting was held on September 19, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report (August 31, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 7)

9.8 Proposed Amendment To A Community Improvement Plan Affecting The Weston And Eglinton Avenue West Community Improvement Project Areas (North Toronto And York Humber)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 23, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, West District, reporting on a proposed amendment to the Community Improvement Plan for the former City of York to expand the boundaries of the Weston Community Improvement Project Area an the Eglinton Avenue West Community Improvement Project in order to broaden the availability of the Commercial Façade Improvement Grant Program.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the <u>Planning Act</u>. The public meeting was held on September 19, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of Recommendations Nos. (1) and (3) of the foregoing report (August 23, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 8)

9.9 Maintenance Of A Fence And Timber Walls - 391 Ontario Street (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 1, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City Council approve the maintenance of a wooden fence surmounted with lattice ranging in height from 2.2 m to 2.4 m, and timber walls within the public right of way fronting 391 Ontario Street, subject to the owner:

- (a) entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, as prescribed under Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; and
- (b) altering the fence at the corner to a 45-degree angle splay from a point 1.8 m parallel and perpendicular to the right of way servicing 239, 241 and 243 Gerrard Street East.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 9)

9.10 Request For An Exemption From Chapter 248 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code To Permit Driveway Widening At 234 Willow Avenue (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 1, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City Council approve the request for driveway widening at 234 Willow Avenue, notwithstanding that the existing paving does not meet the City's paving specifications, subject to:

- (a) the parking area not exceeding 5.0 m in length;
- (b) the existing curb adjacent to the tree located at 234 Willow Avenue be removed; and
- (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (September 14, 2000) from Christine and Loren Drotos.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report (September 1, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 10)

9.11 Appeal Of Denial Of Application For A Boulevard Café – 390 Spadina Road, Montclair Avenue Flank (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 5, 2000) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for a boulevard café on Montclair Avenue Flank of 390 Spadina Road; and the applicant be required to remove the existing fence; OR
- City Council approve the application for a boulevard café on Montclair Avenue Flank of 390 Spadina Road, notwithstanding the negative result of the public poll, and that such approval be subject to the applicant complying with the criteria set out in § 313-36 of Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (September 13, 2000) from Ms. Sharon Cohen;
- (September 13, 2000) from Mr. David H. Burns;
- (September 14, 2000) from Diane and Kent Jensen;
- (September 18, 2000) from Andrew Woolley;
- (September 15, 2000) from Rhonda Becker;
- (September 18, 2000) from Beverley Borins;
- (September 17, 2000) from Larry Stout;
- (September 14, 2000) from Brad Brown;
- (September 14, 2000) from Donna Zemlickis;
- (September 15, 2000) from Philip Charles, President, Forest Hill Residents' Association submitting 21 signatures in opposition;
- (September 16, 2000) from V. Abma; and
- (August 31, 2000) from Councillor Adams.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Victoria Williams;
- Mr. Fred Dominelli; and

- Mr. Philip Charles, on behalf of the Forest Hill Residents' Association.

Councillor Miller declared an interest in the foregoing matter in that his wife owns property in the vicinity of the subject site.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) City Council approve the application for a boulevard café on Montclair Avenue Flank of 390 Spadina Road for one year, notwithstanding the negative result of the public poll, subject to:
 - (a) the applicant complying with the criteria set out in § 313-36 of Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code;
 - (b) the patio being closed by 9:30 p.m.;
 - (c) there being no outdoor music.
- (2) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services report to the appropriate Community Council in January, 2001, after consultation with the Chief of Police, the Superintendent of the local police and the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario, on any complaints or unresolved complaints.

On further motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report directly to Council about the implications and desirability of amending Section 36(B)(7) of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 36. Streets and Sidewalks, to provide as follows:

"(7) Upon receipt of the polling or public notice results regarding a boulevard café, the Commissioner shall inform the Ward Councillor and shall, at the request of the applicant, bring the application before the next regular meeting of the appropriate community council for consideration."

A motion by Councillor Bossons, to adopt Recommendation No. (1) of the report (September 5, 2000) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, was placed but not voted on.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Chief Julian Fantino, chief of Police; Superintendent of Local Police; Mr. Barry Tucker, Director, Licensing and Registration, Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario; Mr. Curtis Sealock, District Manager, South District, Municipal Licensing and Standards – enc. – September 25, 2000)

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 11)

9.12 Residential Demolition Application – 294, 296, And 298 Sherbourne Street (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (November 16, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner, Urban Planning and Development Services, recommending that City Council refuse the demolition permit at this time for Nos. 294, 296 and 298 Sherbourne Street.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communication/report:

- (August 14, 2000) from Ms. Kim M. Kovar, Aird & Berlis, addressed to Councillor Rae; and
- (September 13, 2000) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

Ms. Kim M. Kovar, Aird & Berlis, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council submitted this matter to City Council without recommendation.

On further motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism (Heritage Preservation Services) to continue to work with the developer to ensure that a demolition permit can be issued and a Committee of Adjustment application for the site can be approved.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; c: Ms. Pan Coburn, Director, South District, and Deputy Chief Building Official; Mr. Prabhakar Mahant, Manager, Plan Review; Ms. Rita Davies, Managing director of Culture; Ms. Denise Gendron, Manager, Heritage Preservation Services – enc. – September 25, 2000)

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 12)

9.13 500 And 520 King Street West (Gurney Stove Factory) – Inclusion On The City Of Toronto Inventory Of Heritage Properties (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (September 1, 2000) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism recommending that:

- (1) the properties at 500 and 520 King Street West (Gurney Stove Factory) be included on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 13)

9.14 Appeal Of Denial Of Application For A Curb Lane Vending Permit - Bay Street, East Side, 20 M North Of Queens Quay West And Extending A Further 5.5 M North (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (May 9, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for curb lane vending on Bay Street, east side, 20 m north of Queens Quay West and extending a further 5.5 m north; OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for curb lane vending on Bay Street, east side, 20m north of Queens Quay West and extending a further 5.5m north, notwithstanding that the location does not comply with the criteria set out in the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 315, Street Vending.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (May 19, 2000) from B.W. Brucker, Fellowes, McNeil;
- (May 15, 2000) from Chris Blue, Chris Blue Food Services & Catering;
- (May 29, 2000) from City Clerk, Toronto Community Council, forwarding the Community Council's action of May 23, 2000;
- (June 13, 2000) from Rod Seiling, The Greater Toronto Hotel Association;
- (July 12, 2000) from District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, recommending that the report be received for information;
- (July 17, 2000) from B.W. Brucker, Fellowes, McNeil Barristers-at-Law;
- (July 17, 2000) from Mr. Richard McNaughton, United Food and Commercial Workers International Union, Local 333

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Steve Blue, Toronto;
- Mr. Chris Blue, Toronto;
- Mr. B. W. Brucker, Toronto;

- Mr. Anup Israni, Westin Hotels & Resorts;
- Mr. Beryard Agache, Westins' Canada;
- Mr. Pradeep Puri, The Westin Harbour Castle Hotel;
- Mr. Chris Karadimas, Toronto; and
- Mr. Dan Frechette, Toronto.

The Toronto Community Council recommended that:

On motion by Councillor Chow:

(1) City Council approve the application for curb lane vending on Bay Street, east side, 20m north of Queens Quay West and extending a further 5.5m north, notwithstanding that the location does not comply with the criteria set out in the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 315, Street Vending; and

On motion by Councillor Rae:

- (2) the licences at the following vending locations be revoked:
 - (a) Permit No. 2102T, located on Queens Quay West, south side, 7.25 m west of Bay Street;
 - (b) Permit No. 2659T, located on Queens Quay West, south side, 62.0 m east of Bay Street; and
 - (c) Permit No. 2644T, located on Queens Quay West, south side, 107.7 m east of Bay Street.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 14)

9.15 Lonsdale Road, South Side, From Oriole Parkway To Baker Avenue – Change In Parking Regulations (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (August 24, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the parking prohibition from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., and from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the south side of Lonsdale Road, from Oriole Parkway to Lawton Boulevard, be amended to operate from the east limit of Baker Avenue to Lawton Boulevard:
- (2) parking be prohibited from 12:00 midnight to 10:00 a.m., Monday to Friday, and from 2:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., Saturdays and Sundays, on the south side of Lonsdale Road, from Oriole Parkway to the east limit of Baker Avenue;
- parking be permitted for a maximum period of one hour from 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., daily from Avenue Road to the east limit of Baker Avenue; and

(4) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (August 27, 2000) from Ms. Patricia Mathewson and Mr. Frank Mathewson;
- (August 28, 2000) from M.J. Forsyth and Margot K. Forsyth;
- (August 29, 2000) from Mr. Peter Volny;
- (August 29, 2000) from Mr. and Mrs. Louis A. Turpen;
- (August 30, 2000) from Ms. Janet Amand;
- (August 28, 2000) from Ms. Joanne Nelson;
- (undated) from Mr. and Mrs. John Gable;
- (September 4, 2000) from Ms. Vanessa Hart and Mr. Jim Taylor;
- (September 4, 2000) from Vesna Nowell-Jurist;
- (September 5, 2000) from Ms. Marlene Miller;
- (September 7, 2000) from A.R. Banack;
- (September 6, 2000) from Mr. Bruce Green;
- (September 7, 2000) from Mr. Brian Danter;
- (September 11, 2000) from Mr. Elliot and Ms. Nicole Rivkin;
- (September 12, 2000) from Ms. Dianne Cross;
- (September 18, 2000) from Mr. Ross M. Anderson;
- petition signed 29 persons in opposition;
- (September 16, 2000) from Ms. Nancy T. Robinson and Ms. Jeanette Foster;
- (September 12, 2000) from Ms. Dorothy J. Johnson;
- (September 18, 2000) from Mr. Fred and Ms. Judy Levy;
- (August 26, 2000) from Vesna Jurist and Clive Rayman; and

- (September 18, 2000) from Ms. Nancy T. Robinson submitting 34 signatures in opposition.

Ms. Dorothy Johnson appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council receive this matter.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 15)

9.16 Boulevard Café Application – 484 Danforth Avenue (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (August 9, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that City Council in its adoption of a motion respecting the Boulevard Café at 484 Danforth Avenue, revoked the permit for the patio at that location and requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to submit a report on the proposal to the September 19 and 20, 2000 meeting of the Toronto Community Council.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (September 8, 2000) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, recommending that:

- (1) City Council rescind the license for the boulevard café extension located on the Logan Avenue flank of 484 Danforth Avenue subject to:
 - (a) the licence holder be notified and be given the opportunity to be heard by the Toronto Community Council;
 - (b) a 30 day written notice of cancellation be provided to the licence holder; and
 - (c) the licence holder be refunded the unexpired portion of the annual boulevard café fee for the extension:

OR

(2) City Council allow the licensed boulevard café extension to continue on the Logan Avenue flank of 484 Danforth Avenue and that such approval be subject to the applicant complying with the criteria set out in § 313-36 of Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

Mr. Angelo Velonis appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended that, given that the Greektown on the Danforth B.I.A support the extension, City Council allow the licensed boulevard café extension to continue on the Logan Avenue flank of 484 Danforth Avenue, subject to the applicant complying with the criteria set out in § 313-36 of Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 16)

9.17 Patio Licence – Bert 'N Ernies Fun Food Eatery – 2918 Dundas Street West (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (August 18, 2000) from the City Clerk forwarding Clause No. 42 contained in Report No. 13 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Patio Licence – Bert 'N Ernies Fun Food Eatery – 2918 Dundas Street West (High Park)", which City Council at its meeting held on August 1, 2, 3 and 4, 2000, referred back to the Toronto Community Council for further consideration, and requested staff from the City's fence mediation office to meet with the applicant and the nearby landowners who object to the liquor licence in order to determine if the concerns raised by these landowners can be resolved with the assistance of the fence mediator.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (September 18, 2000) from Jay Scott.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Henry Calderon, West Toronto Junction Team;
- Mr. Rob Ashley, Toronto; and
- Ms. Jay Scott, Toronto.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Toronto Community Council:

- (1) submitted this matter to Council without recommendation; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report directly to Council on the outcome of the proposed meeting of interested parties with staff from the Noise Control Section.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; c: Mr. Chris Andrew, Noise Control Officer, Urban Development Services – enc. – September 25, 2000)

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 17)

9.18 Draft By-Law To Stop Up And Close For Vehicular Traffic But Not For Pedestrian Traffic A 0.3 Metres Wide Section Of The Public Lane Extending Northerly From Page Street, Abutting The Rear Of Premises No. 322 Clinton Street And To Authorize The Erection Of Posts To Enforce The Due Observance Thereof. (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a Draft By-law to stop and close for vehicular traffic but not for pedestrian traffic a .3 metre wide section of the public lane extending northerly from Page Street, abutting the rear of premises No. 322 Clinton Street and to authorize the erection of posts to enforce the due observance thereof (Trinity-Niagara), and Clause 10 of its Report No. 5, headed "Elimination of Vehicular Access at 322 Clinton Street to the Abutting Public Lane (Trinity-Niagara)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on April 11, 12 and 13, 2000.

Pursuant to the *Municipal Act*, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 28, September 4, 11 and 18, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 18)

9.19 Draft By-Laws To Authorize The Alteration Of Ashdale Avenue, Cairns Avenue, Craven Road, Eastwood Road, Gainsborough Road, Hiawatha Road, Highcroft Road, Kent Road, Rhodes Avenue, Woodfield Road By The Installation Of Speed Humps. (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it the following:

- Draft by-laws to authorize the alteration of Ashdale Avenue, Cairns Avenue, Craven Road, Eastwood Road, Gainsborough Road, Hiawatha Road, Highcroft Road, Kent Road, Rhodes Avenue, and Woodfield Road by the installation of speed humps (East Toronto);
- Draft By-Law to authorize the alteration of Ashdale Avenue from Queen Street East to Gerrard Street East by the Installation of Speed Humps with a possible reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (East Toronto);
- Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Cairns Avenue from Gainsborough Road to Highcroft Road by the installation of speed humps with a possible reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (East Toronto);
- Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Craven Road from Queen Street East to Gerrard Street East by the installation of speed humps with a possible reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (East Toronto);

- Draft By-Law to authorize the alteration of Eastwood Road from Coxwell Avenue to Bowmore Road by the Installation of Speed Humps with a possible reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (East Toronto);
- Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Gainsborough Road from Eastwood Road to Cairns Avenue by the installation of speed humps with a possible reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (East Toronto);
- Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Hiawatha Road from Dundas Street East to Gerrard Street East by the installation of speed humps with a possible reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (East Toronto);
- Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Highcroft Road from Cairns Avenue to Eastwood Road by the installation of speed humps with a possible reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (East Toronto);
- Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Kent Road from Queen Street East to Dundas Street East by the installation of speed humps with a possible reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (East Toronto);
- Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Rhodes Avenue from Queen Street East to Dundas Street East by the installation of speed humps with a possible reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (East Toronto);
- Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Woodfield Road from Queen Street East to Gerrard Street East by the installation of speed humps with a possible reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (East Toronto);
- Clause 7 of Report No. 8 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Installation of Speed Humps Various Streets in Ward 26 (East Toronto)" as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on May 9, 10 and 11, 2000; and
- (August 17, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting speed hump poll results and recommending that this report be received for information.

Pursuant to the *Municipal Act*, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-laws was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 31, September 4, 11 and 18, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that by-laws in the form of the draft by-laws be enacted.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 19)

9.20 Draft By-Laws To Authorize The Alteration Of Elmer Avenue And Kenilworth Avenue By The Installation Of Speed Humps. (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it the following:

- Draft by-laws to authorize the alteration of Elmer Avenue and Kenilworth Avenue by the installation of speed humps (East Toronto);
- City Solicitor submitting Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Elmer Avenue from Queen Street East to Norway Avenue (west branch) by the installation of speed humps with a possible reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (East Toronto);
- City Solicitor submitting Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Kenilworth Avenue from Queen Street East to Norway Avenue by the installation of speed humps with a possible reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (East Toronto);
- Clause 8 of Report No. 5 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Installation of Speed Humps Elmer Avenue, Kenilworth Avenue, Waverley Road, Bellefair Avenue and Wheeler Avenue between Queen Street East and Norway Avenue (East Toronto)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on April 11, 12 and 13, 2000; and
- (August 17, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting speed hump poll results and recommending that this report be received for information.

Pursuant to the *Municipal Act*, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-laws was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 31, September 4, 11 and 18, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council adjourned the public hearings held pursuant to the *Municipal Act* until its meeting to be held in January, 2001.

(Letter sent to: City Solicitor; c: Director, Transportation Services, District 1; Mr. Ron Hamilton, Supervisor of Traffic Engineering, District 1 (East) - enc. - September 25, 2000)

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 82(b))

9.21 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Beaty Avenue From King Street West To Queen Street West By The Installation Of Speed Humps With A Possible Reduction In Speed From 40 Km/Hr To 30 Km/Hr (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Beaty Avenue from King Street West to Queen Street West by the installation of speed humps with a possible reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (High Park), and Clause 18 of Report No. 9 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Installation of

Speed Humps – Beaty Avenue from King Street West to Queen Street West (High Park)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on June 7, 8 and 9, 2000.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (August 18, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting speed hump poll results for Beaty Avenue and recommending that this report be received for information.

Pursuant to the *Municipal Act*, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 31, September 4, 11 and 18, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 21)

9.22 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Blackthorn Avenue From Rockwell Avenue To Rowntree Avenue By The Installation Of Speed Humps With A Possible Reduction In Speed From 40 Km/Hr To 30 Km/Hr (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Blackthorn Avenue from Rockwell Avenue to Rowntree Avenue by the installation of speed humps (Davenport), and Clause 60 of Report No. 11 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Installation of Speed Humps – Blackthorn Avenue from Rockwell Avenue to Rowntree Avenue (Davenport)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (August 18, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting speed hump poll results for Blackthorn Avenue and recommending that this report be received for information.

Pursuant to the *Municipal Act*, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 31, September 4, 11 and 18, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 22)

9.23 Draft By-Laws To Authorize The Alteration Of Bristol Avenue, Salem Avenue And Westmoreland Avenue By The Installation Of Speed Humps (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it the following:

- Draft By-laws to authorize the alteration of Elmer Avenue and Kenilworth Avenue by the installation of speed humps (Davenport);

- Draft by-law to authorize the alteration of Bristol Avenue from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue by the installation of speed humps with a possible reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr.;
- Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Salem Avenue North from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue by the installation of speed humps with a possible reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr.;
- Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Westmoreland Avenue North from Davenport Road to Geary Avenue by the installation of speed humps with a possible reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr.;
- Clause 36 of Report No. 8 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Installation of Speed Humps Bristol Avenue, Salem Avenue and Westmoreland Avenue North Between Geary Avenue and Davenport Road (Davenport)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on May 9, 10 and 11, 2000;
- (August 18, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting speed hump poll results for Bristol Avenue and recommending that this report be received for information;
- (August 18, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting speed hump poll results for Salem Avenue North and recommending that this report be received for information; and
- (August 18, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting speed hump poll results for Westmoreland Avenue and recommending that this report be received for information.

Pursuant to the *Municipal Act*, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-laws was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 31, September 4, 11 and 18, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that by-laws in the form of the draft by-laws be enacted.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 23)

9.24 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Euclid Avenue From Robinson Street To Dundas Street West By The Installation Of Speed Humps With A Possible Reduction In Speed From 40 Km/Hr To 30 Km/Hr (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Euclid Avenue from Robinson Street to Dundas Street West by the installation of seed humps with a possible reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (Trinity-Niagara),

and Clause 38 of Report No. 8 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Installation of Speed Humps – Euclid Avenue, Between Robinson Street and Dundas Street West (Trinity-Niagara)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on May 9, 10 and 11, 2000.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (August 24, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting speed hump poll results and recommending that this report be received for information.

Pursuant to the *Municipal Act*, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 31, September 4, 11 and 18, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 24)

9.25 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Lappin Avenue From Dufferin Street To Emerson Avenue By The Installation Of Speed Humps With A Possible Reduction In Speed From 40 Km/Hr To 30 Km/Hr (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Lappin Avenue from Dufferin Street to Emerson Avenue by the installation of speed humps with a possible reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (Davenport), and Clause 17 of Report No. 9 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Installation of Speed Humps – Lappin Avenue from Dufferin Street to Emerson Avenue (Davenport)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on June 7, 8 and 9, 2000.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (August 18, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting speed hump poll results and recommending that this report be received for information.

Pursuant to the *Municipal Act*, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 31, September 4, 11 and 18, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 25)

9.26 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of Poplar Plains Road From Boulton Drive (North Intersection) To St. Clair Avenue West By The Installation Of Speed

Humps With A Possible Reduction In Speed From 40 Km/Hr To 30 Km/Hr (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Poplar Plains Road from Boulton Drive (north intersection) to St. Clair Avenue West by the installation of speed humps with a possible reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (Midtown), and Clause 65 of Report No. 11 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Installation of Speed Humps – Poplar Plains Road, from Boulton Drive (North Intersection) to St. Clair Avenue West (Midtown)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (August 22, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting speed hump poll results and recommending that this report be received for information.

Pursuant to the *Municipal Act*, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 31, September 4, 11 and 18, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 26)

9.27 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of St. Clements Avenue From Avenue Road To Birdsall Avenue By The Installation Of Speed Humps With A Possible Reduction In Speed From 40 Km/Hr To 30 Km/Hr (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of St. Clements Avenue from Avenue Road to Birdsall Avenue by the installation of speed humps with a possible reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (North Toronto), and Clause 7 of Report No. 11 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Installation of Speed Humps on St. Clements Avenue, from Avenue Road to Birdsall Avenue (North Toronto)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (August 28, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting speed hump poll results and recommending that this report be received for information.

Pursuant to the *Municipal Act*, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 31, September 4, 11 and 18, 2000, and no one addressed the Toronto Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 27)

9.28 Draft By-Law To Authorize The Alteration Of St. Germain Avenue From 112.4 Metres West Of Elm Road To Yonge Street By The Installation Of Speed Humps With A Possible Reduction In Speed From 40 Km/Hr To 30 Km/Hr (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of St. Germain Avenue from 112.4 metres west of Elm Road to Yonge Street by the installation of speed humps with a possible reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (North Toronto), and Clause 59 of Report No. 13 of the Toronto Community Council, headed "Installation of Speed Humps – St. Germain Avenue, from Avenue Road to Yonge Street (North Toronto)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on August 1, 2, 3 and 4, 2000.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (September 18, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

Pursuant to the *Municipal Act*, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 31, September 4, 11 and 18, 2000, and the following addressed the Toronto Community Council:

- Ms. Susan Forster, Toronto; and
- Ms. Dot O'Neil Wilson, St. Germain Avenue Traffic Safety Committee.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council submitted this matter to Council without recommendation.

On further motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council requested:

- (1) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to submit directly to Council the results of the poll on a block by block basis; and
- (2) the City Solicitor to submit the Bills to Council for the highway alteration on St. Germain Avenue on a block by block basis.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; City Solicitor; c: Director, Transportation Services, District 1; Mr. Bob Bonner, Traffic Investigator, District 1 – Central; Ms. Lorraine Searles-Kelly, Legal Services – enc. – September 25, 2000)

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 28)

9.29 Maintenance Of A Wooden Fence, Steps And Planter On The Millwood Road Flank Of 39 Acacia Road (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 30, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that City Council approve the continued maintenance of the wooden fence at its existing height within the public right of way on the Millwood Road flank of 39 Acacia Road, together with the steps and planter, subject to the owner:

- (1) Providing a letter of credit for the estimated value of the City owned tree on the Millwood Road flank of 39 Acacia Road, as determined by the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism to cover the costs of any tree work that arises as a result of the fence installation and work done on the public right of way that is non-compliant with the City of Toronto's specifications for construction near trees; and
- (2) Entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, as prescribed under Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it communications (September 18, and September 19, 2000) from Mr. Gregory Fabro.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Gregory Fabro, Toronto; and
- Mr. Bob Coles.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council:

- (1) recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report (June 30, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1: and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report directly to Council on the issues raised in the communication (September 19, 2000) from Mr. Gregory Fabro.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1; Mr. Ken McGuire, Supervisor, Construction Activities – enc. – September 25, 2000)

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 29)

9.30 490 College Street, 307 And 311 Palmerston Blvd – Removal Of Private Tree (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 31, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting the removal

of a private tree at 490 College Street, 307 and 311 Palmerston Boulevard, and recommending that:

- (1) a permit for tree removal be issued conditional on i) the tree in question not being removed until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under Zoning By-law Amendment and Site Plan Approval Application No. 299002 commence which warrant the destruction of the tree; and ii) the applicant planting replacement trees to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; or
- (2) a permit for tree removal be refused.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that a permit for tree removal at 490 College Street, 307 and 311 Palmerston Boulevard be issued conditional on:

- (a) the tree in question not being removed until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under Zoning By-law Amendment and Site Plan Approval Application No. 299002 commence which warrant the destruction of the tree; and
- (b) the applicant planting replacement trees to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 30)

9.31 271 Forest Hill Road – Removal Of Private Tree (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 30, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the removal of a private tree at 271 Forest Hill Road, and recommending that:

- (1) a permit for tree removal be refused; or
- (2) a permit for tree removal be issued conditional on implementation of the landscape plan on file with forestry services.

Ms. Karine Krieger, applicant, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held in January, 2001, and directed that any approval for the removal of the tree be subject to:

(a) an approval of a building permit for the proposed extension; and

(b) the submission of a landscape plan satisfactory to the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

A motion by Councillor Bossons, that a permit for tree removal be refused, was placed but not voted on.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c: Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; Mr. Philip Rogic, Urban Forestry Planner – enc. – September 25, 2000)

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 82(c))

9.32 Not Used

9.33 14 Lonsdale Road – Removal Of Private Trees (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 30, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the removal of private trees at 14 Lonsdale Road, and recommending that:

- (1) a permit for removal of two privately owned trees be refused; or
- (3) a permit for removal of two privately owned trees be issued conditional on implementation of the landscape plan on file with forestry services.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (September 18, 2000) from Michael B. Vaughan; and
- (September 16, 2000) from Laurette J. Rees, Principal, Domanina Properties Inc.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Ernie Gutstein, applicant;
- Ms. Linda Miller, Deer Park Ratepayers' Group Inc.; and
- Mr. Michael Vaughan, lawyer, on behalf of the applicant.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that a permit for removal of two privately owned trees at 14 Lonsdale Road be issued conditional on:

- (a) issuance of a building permit;
- (b) implementation of the landscape plan on file with forestry services; and

(c) compliance with the tree protection plan set out in the report (July 4, 2000) from Patricia Thomson, Consulting Arborist.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 33)

9.34 500 Lake Shore Boulevard West (Loblaw Groceteria Company Building) – Designation Under Part IV Of The Ontario Heritage Act (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, recommending that:

- (1) Council state its intention to designate the property at 500 Lake Shore Boulevard West (Loblaw Groceteria Company Building) under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*.
- (2) the Long and Short Statements of Reasons for Designation be included in the designating by-law.
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 34)

9.35 56 Spruce Street (David Cornell House) – Designation Under Part IV Of The *Ontario Heritage Act* (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism recommending that:

- (1) Council state its intention to designate the property at 56 Spruce Street (David Cornell House) under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*.
- (2) the Long and Short Statements of Reasons for Designation be included in the designating by-law.
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 35)

9.36 Refusal Report: Official Plan And Zoning By-Law Amendments, Application No. 100008 For 330 Spadina Road (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 5, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting Official Plan and Zoning Bylaw Amendments for No. 330 Spadina Road, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council refuse Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendment Application No. 100008 in its current form;
- (2) if the applicant appeals Council's refusal of the application to the Ontario Municipal Board that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to hold an information meeting in the community to discuss the application and to notify owners and tenants within 120 metres of the site and the Ward Councillors;
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto.

Mr. Joseph G. Green, Toronto, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that:

- (1) Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendment Application No. 100008 for 330 Spadina Road be refused in its current form;
- (2) if the applicant appeals Council's refusal of the application to the Ontario Municipal Board, the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to hold an information meeting in the community to discuss the application and to notify owners and tenants within 120 metres of the site and the Ward Councillors; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 36)

9.37 Status Report – Wychwood Carhouse Redevelopment Study – 76 Wychwood Avenue (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 31, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services forwarding a status report on the Wychwood Carhouse Redevelopment Study - 76 Wychwood Avenue, and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (September 18, 2000) from Mr. Ian MacDonald;
- (September 18, 2000) from Mr. Alan and Ms. Catherine Seymour;
- (September 18, 2000) from Ms. Audrey Fox, Chair, Bracondale Hill Residents Association;
- (September 17, 2000) from Ms. Marny Gibson;
- (September 17, 2000) from Ms. Carol J. Ritchie;
- (undated) from Ms. Paolina Fasula;
- (September 18, 2000) from Councillor Davis;
- (September 18, 2000) from Ms. Sibylle Preuschat;
- (undated) from Mr. Bernard H. Watt; and
- (undated) from Ms. Susan Baxter.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Peter MacKendrick, Taddlewood Heritage Association;
- Mr. Bernard H. Watt, Toronto;
- Mr. Alan Seymour, Toronto;
- Ms. Susan Baxter, Toronto;
- Ms. Carol McLaughlin, member, Taddlecreek Watershed Initiative, and Past President, Wychwood Park Ratepayers' Association;
- Ms. Paolina Fasula, Taddlewood Heritage Association;
- Councillor Rob Davis, York Eglinton; and
- Councillor Joe Mihevc, York Eglinton.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) City Council support Option 4 set out in the foregoing report (August 31, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services; and
- (2) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services report to the appropriate Community Council on its implementation.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council requested appropriate City officials to:

- (1) conduct an environmental and soil study over the fall and winter months;
- (2) analyze parks deficiency on the basis of the new Ward 21; and
- (3) review the condition of the 1913 Barn with a view to identifying restoration options.

The above recommendations carried on the following division of votes:

Yeas: Councillors Adams, Disero, Johnston, Korwin-Kuczynski, Miller, Palacio and Walker – 7

Nays: Councillors McConnell, Bossons, Bussin, Chow and Rae – 5

A motion by Councillor McConnell, to receive the report (August 31, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, lost on the following division of votes:

Yeas: Councillors McConnell, Bossons, Bussin, Chow and Rae – 5

Nays: Councillors Adams, Disero, Johnston, Korwin-Kuczynski, Miller, Palacio and Walker – 7

A motion by Councillor Bossons, that all options for development of the site ensure parking on Wychwood Avenue and Benson Avenue, was voted on and lost.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Medical Officer of Health; c: Ms. Leontine Major, Community Planning, South District – enc. – September 25, 2000)

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 37)

9.38 Further Report On Official Plan And Zoning By-Law Amendments, Application No. 199020 And Site Plan Approval Application No. 300001 Respecting 262-276 St. Clair Avenue West, 288-290 Russell Hill Road And 9 Parkwood Avenue (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 5, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services forwarding a further report on Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments Application No. 199020 and Site Plan Approval Application No. 300001 respecting Nos. 262-276 St. Clair Avenue West, 288-290 Russell Hill Road and 9 Parkwood Avenue and recommending that:

- (1) City Council advise the applicant that the revised application and the alternative proposals contained in their May 23, 2000 submission, do not satisfactorily address the issues identified in the March 9, 2000 Refusal Report of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services; and
- (2) City Council request the City Solicitor, the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and

any other appropriate staff to continue to oppose the Ontario Municipal Board appeal and referral made by the applicant on Application Nos. 199020 and 300001, including the alternative development proposals put forward, together with any associated appeals.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (August 30, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, recommending that:

- (1) Council refuse the request to demolish the buildings located on the property at 262 and 264 St. Clair Avenue West;
- (2) the Ontario Municipal Board be advised of Council's decision; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following reports and communications:

- Clause 22 contained in Toronto Community Council Report No. 6, headed "Designation under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act/Demolition Application 262 and 264 St. Clair Avenue West and Official Plan, Rezoning and Site Plan Application 262-276 St. Clair Avenue West, 288-290 Russell Hill Road and 9 Parkwood Avenue (Midtown)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on April 11, 12 and 13, 2000;
- Clause 58 contained in Toronto Community Council Report No. 11, headed "Designation of 262 St. Clair Avenue West (Alexander Davidson House) and Designation of 264 St. Clair Avenue West (Alexander Davidson Coach House) (Midtown)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000;
- (September 11, 2000) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism;
- (September 18, 2000) from Ms. Rose Baum;
- (September 13, 2000) from J.C. Newell;
- (September 19, 2000) from Mr. David Love;
- (September 19, 2000) from Ms. Elizabeth Cobban; and
- (September 19, 2000) from Ms. Rosemary Helmer.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. John Newell, Toronto; and
- Mr. Stan Stein, Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt, Barristers & Solicitors.

On motion by Councillor Adams, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) City Council advise the applicant that the revised application and the alternative proposals contained in their May 23, 2000 submission respecting 262-276 St. Clair Avenue West, 288-290 Russell Hill Road and 9 Parkwood Avenue, do not satisfactorily address the issues identified in the March 9, 2000 Refusal Report of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services;
- (2) City Council request the City Solicitor, the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and any other appropriate staff to continue to oppose the Ontario Municipal Board appeal and referral made by the applicant on Application Nos. 199020 and 300001, including the alternative development proposals put forward, together with any associated appeals;
- (3) Council refuse the request to demolish the buildings located on the property at 262 and 264 St. Clair Avenue West:
- (4) the Ontario Municipal Board be advised of Council's decision; and,
- (5) the request for the removal of two City-owned trees, and nine privately owned trees at the subject site be denied; and
- (6) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The above recommendations carried unanimously as follows:

Yeas: Councillors McConnell, Adams, Bossons, Bussin, Johnston, Korwin-Kuczynski, Miller, Rae and Walker.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 38)

9.39 Refusal Report: 164 Cheritan Avenue - Application No. 199012 For Official Plan And Zoning By-Law Amendments And Application No. 300051 For Site Plan Approval, To Permit A Five Building, 436 Dwelling Unit Development (North Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 25, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting Official Plan and Zoning Bylaw Amendment Application No. 199012 and Application No. 300051 for Site Plan Approval for 164 Cheritan Avenue.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (August 18, 2000) from the City Clerk forwarding a motion which was referred to the Toronto Community Council by City Council at its meeting held on August 1, 2, 3 and 4, 2000 requesting City Council ensure that the Rosewell Court Tenants' Association has legal representation at the upcoming Ontario Municipal Board hearing, by approving a grant to the Rosewell Court Tenants' Association for the retention of legal representation at the Ontario Municipal Board hearing, such grant to be in the amount of \$15,000.00, and the funds therefor be allocated from Corporate Contingency;
- (September 5, 2000) from Mr. Elliott Cowan;
- (September 17, 2000) from H. and E. Simmons;
- (September 18, 2000) from Pat Brodie; and
- (September 16, 2000) from Guyton H. Martyr.

Due to lack of quorum, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing refusal report until its meeting to be held on September 27, 2000.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 82(d))

9.40 Preliminary Report: Application No. 100019 To Amend The Official Plan And Zoning By-Law To Permit The Construction Of A 59 Storey Hotel And Residential Condominium At 311 Bay Street (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a preliminary report (August 31, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Official Plan and Zoning By-law Application No. 100019 to permit the construction of a 59 storey hotel and residential condominium at 311 Bay Street and recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillors;
- (2) Notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) Notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Executive Director and Chief Planner; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attn: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic

Development, Culture and Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director of Real Estate Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons; c: Mr. Lance Alexander, Urban Development Services – enc. – September 28, 2000)

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 82(e))

9.41 Preliminary Report On Application No. 100020 To Amend The Official Plan And The Zoning By-Law To Permit 29 Stacked Townhouse Units, A 10 Storey Apartment Building And A 2 Storey Building With Retail And Community Centre Uses At 1912 St. Clair Avenue West, 761 Keele Street, 35, 65, 117 And 135 Weston Road (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a preliminary report (August 31, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Official Plan and Zoning By-law Application No. 100020 to permit the development at Nos. 1912 St. Clair Avenue West, 761 Keele Street, 35, 65, 117 and 135 Weston Road, and recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillors;
- (2) Notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) Notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

The Toronto Community Council:

On motion by Councillor Palacio:

(1) amended the following preliminary report by adding the following words to Recommendation No. 2, "and also landowners and residents of Ryding Avenue, Cobalt Avenue, Mulock Avenue, Old Weston Road between Rogers and Junction Roads and Osler Street between the C.P.R. north of Dupont and St. Clair Avenue West", and adopted the report, as amended; and

On motion by Councillor Disero:

(2) requested that Councillor Nunziata be invited to the community consultation meeting.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Executive Director and Chief Planner; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attn: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official;

Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director of Real Estate Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons; c: Councillor Nunziata; SoMei Quan, Urban Development Services – enc. – September 28, 2000)

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 82(f))

9.42 Preliminary Report: Application No. 100018 To Amend The Official Plan And Zoning By-Law To Permit The Conversion Of A Rear Yard Garage At 393 Roxton Road To A Residential Unit (Trinity-Niagara).

The Toronto Community Council had before it a preliminary report (September 5, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Official Plan and Zoning By-law Application No. 1000189 to permit the conversion of a rear yard garage at 393 Roxton Road to a residential unit and recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor(s);
- (2) Notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) Notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Executive Director and Chief Planner; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attn: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director of Real Estate Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons; c: Ms. Catherine Cieply, Urban Development Services – enc. – September 28, 2000)

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 82(g))

9.43 Preliminary Report: Application No. 100024 To Amend The Official Plan And Zoning By-Law With Respect To The Ontario College Of Art And Design At 74, 76, 80 And 100 Mccaul Street To Approve An Addition To The Existing Building (Downtown).

The Toronto Community Council had before it a preliminary report (August 30, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Official Plan and Zoning By-law Application No. 100024 for Nos. 74, 76, 78, 80 and 100 McCaul Street to approve an addition to the existing building and recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
- (2) Notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) Notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Executive Director and Chief Planner; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attn: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director of Real Estate Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons; c: Ms. Helen Coombs, Urban Development Services – enc. – September 28, 2000)

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 82(h))

9.44 Preliminary Report On Application No. 100014 To Amend The Official Plan And The Zoning By-Law To Permit 68 Semi-Detached Dwellings At 80 And Part Of 100 Turnberry Avenue (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a preliminary report (August 28, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, South District respecting Official Plan and Zoning By-law Application No. 100014 to permit semi-detached dwellings at 80 and part of 100 Turnberry Avenue and recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillors;
- (2) Notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (4) Notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Executive Director and Chief Planner; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attn: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director of Real Estate Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons; c: SoMei Quan, Urban Development Services – enc. – September 28, 2000)

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 82(i))

9.45 Preliminary Report On Application No. 100021 To Amend Zoning By-Law 168-93, Canadian Pacific Properties Inc., c/o Marathon Developments Inc., 18 York Street (Blocks 7a And 7b) Of The Railway Lands East (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a preliminary report (August 31, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Application No. 100021 to amend Zoning By-Law 168-93, for Canadian Pacific Properties Inc., c/o Marathon Developments Inc., 18 York Street (Blocks 7A and 7B) of the Railway Lands East (Downtown), and recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor(s);
- (2) Notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) Notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council amended the foregoing preliminary report by adding a new recommendation to read, "Prior to the scheduling of the community consultation meeting, Enwave and the applicant report to the City on the progress of the negotiation with Enwave on the provision of district heating and cooling", and adopted the report, as amended.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Executive Director and Chief Planner; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attn: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director of Real Estate Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto;

Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons; c: Mr. Angus Cranston, Urban Development Services – enc. – September 28, 2000)

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 82(j))

9.46 Application No. 000029 To Exempt 2078, 2300 St. Clair Avenue West And 66 Symes Road (Maple Clair Village Subdivision) From Part Lot Control (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 30, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting Application No. 000029 to exempt Nos. 2078, 2300 St. Clair Avenue West and 66 Symes Road (Maple Clair Village Subdivision) from part lot control and recommending that:

- (1) the City Solicitor be authorized to introduce a Bill in Council exempting the lots identified in Schedule 'A' from Part Lot Control for a period of four years following enactment of the by-law;
- (2) the Bills not be introduced until the Plan of Subdivision has been registered; and
- (3) the City Solicitor be authorized to register the by-law on title.

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 46)

9.47 Application No. 099039 To Exempt 910 Logan Avenue From Part Lot Control (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 23, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting Application No. 099039 to exempt No. 910 Logan Avenue from part lot control and recommending that:

- (1) the City Solicitor be authorized to introduce a Bill in Council exempting the lots identified in Schedule 'A' from Part Lot Control for a period of two years following the enactment of the by-law; and
- (2) the City Solicitor be authorized to register the by-law on title.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 47)

9.48 2681 Danforth Avenue, Application No. 900052: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 30, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900052 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit a non-illuminated, modified fascia sign at 2681 Danforth Avenue; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900052, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 48)

9.49 346 Dupont Street, Application No. 900046: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 1, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900046 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to maintain, for third party advertising purposes, an illuminated fascia sign on the east wall of a 2 1/2-storey brick building at 346 Dupont Street; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900046 of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 48)

9.50 330A Parliament Street, Application No. 900026: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (June 23, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900026 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit an illuminated mural sign, for third party advertising purposes, on the north wall of a two-storey building at 330A Parliament Street on condition that illumination for the sign is turned off between 11:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. by means of an automated timing device; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900026, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held in January, 2001, for deputations.

(Letter sent to: Mr. Mike McTague, 66 Industry Street, Toronto M6M 4L7; c: Mr. Tebbyan Mahnaz, 40 Orpington Crescent, Toronto M9V 3E2; Mr. Norm Girdhar, West Section, Community Planning – October 2, 2000)

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 82(k))

9.51 170 University Avenue, Application No. 900061: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 30, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900061 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit the replacement of an illuminated fascia sign at 170 University Avenue; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900061, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 48)

9.52 243 Yonge Street, Application No. 900060: Request For Approval Of Minor Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 30, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900060 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, 2 illuminated fascia signs and 2 illuminated projecting signs at 243 Yonge Street; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900060, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 48)

9.53 200 St. Clair Avenue West, Application No. 900062: Request For Approval Of Minor Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 30, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900062 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, two illuminated fascia signs on the south and west elevations of the building at 200 St. Clair Avenue West, on condition that the signs be illuminated only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 10:30 p.m. and that this be achieved by means of an automated timing device.
- (2) The applicant is advised, upon approval of Application No. 900062, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

(1) City Council approve Application No. 900062 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, two illuminated fascia signs on the south and west elevations of the building at 200 St. Clair Avenue West, on condition that the signs be illuminated only during the business operating hours of the pharmacy, and that this be achieved by means of an automated timing device.

(2) the applicant is advised, upon approval of Application No. 900062, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 81)

9.54 Amendment To Ravine Consent Agreement Respecting 10 And 16 Avondale Road, Application No. 099007 For Consent Under Chapter 276, Article 1 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code To Reflect Changes To The Plans That Have Resulted From The Removal Of A Hazardous Tree (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 5, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending amendments to the previously authorised Ravine Consent Agreement to reflect changes to the plans, which have resulted from the removal of a hazardous tree from 10 and 16 Avondale Road.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 54)

9.55 Kingsmount Park Road, From Fairmount Crescent To Duvernet Avenue - Transferring Parking From The East Side To The West Side Of The Street (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 30, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting the transfer of parking from the east side to the west side of Kingsmount Park Road from Fairmont Crescent to Duvernet Avenue and recommending that:

- (1) the "No Parking Anytime" regulation be rescinded on the west side of Kingsmount Park Road, from Eastwood Road to Gerrard Street East;
- (2) the "No Parking Except By Permit, 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m." regulation be rescinded on the east side of Kingsmount Park Road from Duvernet Avenue to Wildwood Crescent;
- (3) parking be prohibited at anytime on:
 - a) the west side of Kingsmount Park Road, from Eastwood Road to Duvernet Avenue;
 - b) the west side of Kingsmount Park Road, from Fairmount Crescent to Gerrard Street East;

- c) the east side of Kingsmount Park Road, from Duvernet Avenue to Fairmount Crescent;
- (4) parking be prohibited except by permit from 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., daily:
 - a) on the west side of Kingsmount Park Road from Duvernet Avenue to Fairmount Crescent;
 - b) on the east side of Kingsmount Park Road from Fairmount Crescent to Wildwood Crescent; and
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report (August 30, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, subject to a poll of affected residents being conducted.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 55)

9.56 Various Streets In The Area Bound By Wellesley Street East, Carlton Street, Parliament Street And Ontario Street – Installation Of Speed Humps (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 1, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting the installation of speed humps on various streets in the area bounded by Wellesley Street East, Carlton Street, Parliament Street and Ontario Street and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that:

- (1) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Winchester Street, Aberdeen Avenue and Prospect Street, between Ontario Street and Parliament Street, and on Rose Avenue, between Winchester Street and Wellesley Street East, for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to the favourable results of polling of the affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:
 - (a) "The construction of speed humps on WINCHESTER STREET from Ontario Street to Parliament Street, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5798 dated August 2000";
 - (b) "The construction of speed humps on PROSPECT STREET from Ontario Street to Parliament Street, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5796 dated August 2000";

- (c) "The construction of speed humps on ABERDEEN AVENUE from Ontario Street to Parliament Street, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5814 dated September 2000"; and
- (d) "The construction of speed humps on ROSE AVENUE from Winchester Street to Wellesley Street East, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5797 dated August 2000".
- a speed limit of thirty kilometres per hour be introduced on Winchester Street, from Ontario Street to Parliament Street, Prospect Street, from Ontario Street to Parliament Street, Aberdeen Avenue, from Ontario Street to Parliament Street and Rose Avenue, from Winchester Street to Wellesley Street East coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required, subject to review in the 2001 budget process.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 56)

9.57 Proposed Installation Of Speed Bumps In Public Lane Systems Bounded By Wellesley Street East, Parliament Street, Winchester Street And Rose Avenue (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 5, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the installation of speed bumps in the public lane system bounded by Winchester Street, Rose Avenue, Prospect Street and Parliament Street, of the type and design noted and at the locations shown on Drawing No. 421F-5803 dated August 2000, be approved;
- (2) the installation of speed bumps in the public lane system bounded by Wellesley Street East, Rose Avenue, Prospect Street and Parliament Street, of the type and design noted and at the locations shown on Drawing No. 421F-5804 dated August 2000, be approved; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized to take any action necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 57)

9.58 Not Used

9.59 Hampton Avenue, East Side, From Danforth Avenue To A Point 22.9 Metres South Thereof – Extension Of The Maximum Parking Time Limitation (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 1, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the ten-minute maximum parking restriction from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., 11:30 a.m. to 1:30 p.m. and 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the east side of Hampton Avenue, from Danforth Avenue to a point 22.9 metres south thereof, be adjusted to apply for a maximum period of fifteen minutes; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 59)

9.60 Installation/Removal Of On-Street Parking Spaces For Persons With Disabilities (Davenport, Don River, East Toronto, Trinity-Niagara And Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 5, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the installation/removal of disabled on-street parking spaces as noted in Table "A" of this report be approved; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 60)

9.61 817 Yonge Street And 20 Collier Avenue – Site Plan Approval Application No. 397158 Respecting The Construction Of A 12 Storey Residential Condominium Building (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 5, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting Site Plan Approval Application No. 397158 for Nos. 817 Yonge Street and 20 Collier Avenue and forwarding the application to City Council, as requested by Councillor Bossons, in accordance with By-law 483-2000.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a communication (August 15, 2000) from Bruce Young.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 61)

9.62 Report On An Application To Temporarily Close The Skywalk Under The Precinct 7 Precinct Agreement, Application Number 300066 (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 29, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting the application to temporarily close the Skywalk under the Precinct 7 Agreement and recommending that:

- (1) Council permit the temporary closure of the SkyWalk for a maximum period of fourteen months, subject to the imposition of appropriate conditions for public notification, monitoring and regulation of pedestrian flow, which may be imposed at the expense of the applicant through a Section 41 agreement;
- (2) Council authorize the City Solicitor to ensure that such conditions, as appropriate in order to secure public notification, monitoring and regulation of pedestrian flow are imposed at the expense of the applicant through the Section 41 agreement.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 62)

9.63 Kewbeach Avenue, North Side, From Kippendavie Avenue To Kenilworth Avenue – Rescindment Of "No Parking Anytime" Prohibition (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 25, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

(1) the parking prohibition at anytime on the north side of Kewbeach Avenue, from Kippendavie Avenue to Kenilworth Avenue, be rescinded;

- (2) permit parking be introduced on the north side of Kewbeach Avenue, from Kippendavie Avenue to Kenilworth Avenue, on an area basis within Area 9C, to operate during the hours of 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., daily; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 63)

9.64 Extension Of Permit Parking Hours On Trinity Street, Between Eastern Avenue And King Street East (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 16, 2000) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the permit parking hours of operation on Trinity Street, between Eastern Avenue and King Street East, be extended from 10:00 p.m. to 10:00 a.m., 7 days a week, to 3:30 p.m. to 10:00 a.m., 7 days a week;
- (2) Part T of Schedule XXVI (Permit Parking), of Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, be amended to incorporate Trinity Street, between Eastern Avenue and King Street East;
- parking on the west side of Trinity Street be restricted to a maximum length of one hour between the hours of 10:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m., daily; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 64)

9.65 Naming Of Public Lane Located East Of Augusta Avenue, Extending Northerly From Baldwin Street - Littlehayes Lane (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 25, 2000) from the City Surveyor, Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) the public lane located east of Augusta Avenue, extending northerly from Baldwin Street, illustrated on Attachment No. 1, be named "Littlehayes Lane"; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 65)

9.66 Naming Of Roads – Humber Bay Shores And Budapest Park Lakeshore (Queensway And High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 1, 2000) from the City Surveyor, Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) the park road extending southerly then easterly from the intersection of Park Lawn Road and Lakeshore Boulevard West, and the proposed extension northerly to Lakeshore Boulevard West, illustrated on Attachment No. 1, be named "Marine Parade Drive";
- (2) the park road extending westerly from Palace Pier Court to Marine Parade Drive, illustrated on Attachment No. 1, be named "Waterfront Drive",
- (3) the City Solicitor be authorized to amend former City of Toronto By-law 136-67 by replacing references therein to "Marine Drive" with "Budapest Lane", and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of the necessary bills that my be required.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of Recommendation Nos. (3) and (4) contained in the foregoing report (September 1, 2000) from the City Surveyor, Works and Emergency Services.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 66)

9.67 30 Scollard St. – Removal Of One (1) City Owned Tree (Midtown).

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 30, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, recommending that the request to remove the City-owned tree at 30 Scollard Street be denied.

Mr. Michael Waters, applicant, appeared before the Toronto Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that the request for removal of a City-owned tree at 30 Scollard Street be approved, subject to the applicant planting a replacement tree in the immediate vicinity of the subject site, to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 67)

9.68 Harbourfront Entry - A Public Art Proposal (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 28, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting the public art proposal for the Harbourfront Entry and recommending that:

- (1) the Toronto Community Council approve this request to access the public art funds of \$442,378.00 for the proposed public art project as described in this report;
- (2) that the appropriate City Officials be authorized to implement the proposed public art project; and
- (3) that staff be requested to report back to Toronto Community Council with a detailed description of the proposal when finalized.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 68)

9.69 Garden Of Hope Project (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, recommending that:

- (1) High Park be approved as the site for the Garden of Hope project of the Canadian Breast Cancer Foundation; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczysnki, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 69)

9.70 Authority To Enter Into Agreements To Secure Matters Imposed By The Committee Of Adjustment As Conditions Of Approval For Minor Variances For 1 And 5 King Street West (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 5, 2000) from the City Solicitor recommending that:

- (1) the City enter into a Heritage Easement Agreement and an agreement made pursuant to subsection 45(9) of the Planning Act with the owners of 1 and 5 King Street West and that the appropriate City officials be authorized to execute these agreements, enabling the City to secure the matters set forth as conditions of approval for minor variances by the Committee of Adjustment in its decision dated July 20, 2000; and
- (2) the City Solicitor, in consultation with the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, be directed to prepare and register the Heritage Easement Agreement and the agreement made pursuant to subsection 45(9) of the Planning Act.

The Toronto Community Council also had before it a report (September 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism recommending that:

- (1) authority be granted by Toronto City Council for the execution of a Heritage Easement Agreement under Section 37 of the Ontario Heritage Act with the owner of 1 and 5 King Street West, using substantially the form of easement agreement prepared in February 1987 by the City Solicitor and on file with the City Clerk, subject to such amendments as may be deemed necessary by the City Solicitor in consultation with the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services;
- (2) authority be granted for the introduction of any necessary Bills in Council to give effect thereto;
- (3) the owner be requested to provide Heritage Preservation Services with two (2) copies of the required photographs of 1 and 5 King Street West for inclusion in the Easement Agreement; and
- that the applicant be invited to attend the October, 2000 meeting of the Toronto Preservation Board to present a more detailed description of the project.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the report (September 5, 2000) from the City Solicitor, and the report (September 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 70)

9.71 NRI Industries – Parking Issues Affecting The Symington Avenue Factory (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 5, 2000) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting parking issues affecting the Symington Avenue Factory of NRI Industries and recommending that:

- (1) the Commissioners of Public Works and Emergency Services and Corporate Services (Real Estate Division), in consultation with the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, report to Toronto Community Council on the feasibility of closing and leasing portions of Perth Avenue, South of Kingsley Avenue, to NRI Industries for parking and loading purposes; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Commissioner of Corporate Services; Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; c: Mr. Brenda Librecz, Managing Director, Economic Development; Mr. Greg Bavington, Vice President, Operations, NRI Industries; Mr. Kyle Benham, Economic Development – enc. – September 29, 2000)

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 82(l))

9.72 Confirmation Of Police Crackdown On King Street Traffic Violators

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 6, 2000) from the General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission, forwarding for information the Commission's actions of September 5, 2000 respecting a report titled, "Confirmation of Policy Crackdown on King Street Traffic Violators".

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Letter sent to: Mr. Vincent Rodo, General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission – September 28, 2000)

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 82(m))

9.73 Request For Endorsement Of Events For Liquor Licensing Purposes

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 30, 2000) from the Administrator, Community & Neighbourhood Services, regarding the request from the Lakeshore Lodge for endorsement of their 10th Anniversary Celebration to be held on September 23, 2000, for liquor licensing purposes.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended that City Council endorse is action, since the event takes place prior to the Council meeting, in having advised the Alcohol and Gaming Commission that it is aware of the Lakeshore Lodge 10th Anniversary Celebration held on September 23, 2000, and has no objection to it taking place.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 73)

9.74 Safety Of Cornice Extension Of Spadina Avenue And Harbord Street (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a confidential report (September 5, 2000) from the City Solicitor having regard that the subject deals with a matter the subject of which deals with the receiving of advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council agreed to meet in Camera to consider a matter the subject of which deals with the receiving of advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose.

On further motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the confidential report (September 5, 2000) from the City Solicitor, entitled, "Safety of Cornice Extension of Spadina Avenue and Harbord Street", which was forwarded to Members of Council under separate cover, and further that, in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining to this matter be held in camera, having regard that the subject deals with a matter the subject of which deals with the receiving of advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 74)

9.75 Sale Of 208 Greenwood Avenue (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 31, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services respecting the sale of 208 Greenwood Avenue and recommending that:

(1) the Offer to Purchase from Yasmine Baig to purchase the City-owned property known municipally as 208 Greenwood Avenue, in the amount of \$146,200.00, be accepted on the terms outlined in the body of this report, and that either one of the

Commissioner of Corporate Services or the Director of Real Estate Services be authorized to accept the Offer on behalf of the City;

- (2) authority be granted to direct a portion of the sale proceeds on closing to find the outstanding balance of Costing Unit No. CA3354;
- (3) the City Solicitor be authorized to complete the transaction on behalf of the City including payment of necessary expenses and amending the closing date to such earlier or later date as he considers reasonable; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 75)

9.76 Heritage Conservation Districts – Status Report (All Wards Former City of Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 11, 2000) from the Commissioner, Economic Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism reporting the status of existing requests for Heritage Conservation Districts within the former City of Toronto and the need for a process to determine the priority of future Heritage Conservation District studies.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report (September 11, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

On further motion by Councillor Johnston, the Toronto Community Council referred Recommendation No. (9) of the report (September 11, 2000) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, to the Policy and Finance Committee, with the request that authority be granted to open a S.A.P account to receive monies set out in Recommendation No. (1) of the report.

(Letter sent to: Policy and Finance Committee; c: Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; Ms. Rita Davies, Managing Director of Culture – enc. – September 20, 2000)

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 1)

9.77 Cedarvale Avenue, East Side, From Ethelwin Avenue To Keystone Avenue – Implementation Of One-Hour Maximum Parking Regulation And The Introduction Of Permit Parking (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 6, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the implementation of one-hour maximum parking regulation and the introduction of permit parking on the east side of Cedarvale Avenue, from Ethelwin Avenue to Keystone Avenue and recommending that, should Council wish to reinstate parking on the east side of Cedarvale Avenue:

- (1) the standing prohibition on the east side of Cedarvale Avenue between Ethelwin Avenue and Keystone Avenue, be rescinded;
- parking on the east side of Cedarvale Avenue between Ethelwin Avenue and Keystone Avenue be restricted to a maximum length of one hour between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. daily;
- (3) permit parking be introduced on both sides of Cedarvale Avenue between Ethelwin Avenue and Keystone Avenue, within permit area 9A, to operate during the hours of 12:01 a.m. and 7:00 a.m., 7 days a week;
- (4) Part A of Schedule XXVI (Permit Parking), of Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, be amended to incorporate two-sided permit parking on Cedarvale Avenue, between Ethelwin Avenue and Keystone Avenue; and
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that:

- (1) the standing prohibition on the east side of Cedarvale Avenue between Ethelwin Avenue and Keystone Avenue, be rescinded;
- (2) parking on the east side of Cedarvale Avenue between Ethelwin Avenue and Keystone Avenue be restricted to a maximum length of one hour between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. daily;
- (3) permit parking be introduced on both sides of Cedarvale Avenue between Ethelwin Avenue and Keystone Avenue, within permit area 9A, to operate during the hours of 12:01 a.m. and 7:00 a.m., 7 days a week;
- (4) Part A of Schedule XXVI (Permit Parking), of Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, be amended to incorporate two-sided permit parking on Cedarvale Avenue, between Ethelwin Avenue and Keystone Avenue; and
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 77)

9.78 Wildwood Crescent – Installation Of Speed Humps (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 6, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the installation of speed humps on Wildwood Crescent and recommending that:

- (1) the maximum speed limit on Wildwood Crescent be reduced from 50 kilometres per hour to a maximum of 40 kilometres per hour; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

On motion by Councillor Jakobek, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 78)

9.79 Grace Street, between Mansfield Avenue and Dundas Street West – Request for Speed Humps (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 11, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the request for speed humps on Grace Street between Mansfield Avenue and Dundas Street West and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that:

- (1) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Grace Street, from Mansfield Avenue to Dundas Street West, for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to the favourable results of polling of the affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:
 - "The construction of speed humps on GRACE STREET, from Mansfield Avenue to Dundas Street West, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 42IF-5712, dated May 2000.";
- (2) that a speed limit of thirty kilometres per hour be introduced on Grace Street, from Mansfield Avenue to Dundas Street West, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits; and

(3) that the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required, subject to review in the 2001 budget process.

On further motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council also requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the appropriate community council, in January 2001, on the removal of the school day hours parking prohibition in from of 68 - 92 Grace Street, given that the school grounds are not accessible due to the existence of a high fence at this location.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 79)

9.80 Quebec Avenue from a Point 23 Metres North of Humberside Avenue to a Point 30 Metres Further North, in the Vicinity of Early Enrichment Day Care Centre – Establishment of a Student Pick-Up/Drop-Off Area (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 8, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the establishment of a student pick-up/drop-off area on Quebec Avenue, north of Humberside Avenue in the vicinity of Early Enrichment Day Care Centre and recommending that:

- (1) the "No Parking Any Time" regulation on the east side of Quebec Avenue, from a point 23 metres north of Humberside Avenue to a point 30 metres further north, be rescinded:
- parking be permitted for a maximum period of ten minutes on the east side of Quebec Avenue, from a point 23 metres north of Humberside Avenue to a point 30 metres further north, from 7:00 a.m. to 9:30 a.m. and from 3:30 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday;
- (3) parking be prohibited on the east side of Quebec Avenue, from a point 23 metres north of Humberside Avenue to a point 30 metres further north, from 6:00 p.m. of one day to 7:00 a.m. of the next following day, from 9:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m., Monday to Friday, and at all times on Saturday and Sunday; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 80)

9.81 Exemption From Part Lot Control - Application No. 000035 For The Lands Known Municipally As 37, 39, 42, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 53, 55, 60, 61 And 63 Mathersfield Drive (South Rosedale Subdivision) (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 7, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting Application No. 000035 for exemption from Part Lot Control for lands known municipally as Nos. 37, 39, 42, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 53, 55, 60, 61 and 63 Mathersfield Drive and recommending that:

- (1) a Part Lot Control Exemption By-law, pursuant to Section 50(7) of the Planning Act, be enacted for Lots 2, 3, 4, 5, 11, 33, 34, 37, 38, 41, 42, 45 and 46 on Registered Plan 66M-2314 with an expiry date of two years from the date of adoption by Council;
- (2) the City Solicitor be authorized to introduce the necessary Bills in Council to give effect to Recommendation 1; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 76)

9.82 33 Gerrard Street West, Application No. 900056: Request For Approval Of Minor Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 8, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting the request for approval of minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, for No. 33 Gerrard Street West and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900056 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900056, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 48)

9.83 Installation Of New Metered Parking And/Or Pay And Display Parking Spaces And Associated Parking Regulation Amendments (East Toronto, Don River, Downtown, Trinity Niagara, Davenport, Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 1, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the installation of new metered parking and/or pay and display parking spaces and associated parking regulation amendments for various locations (East Toronto, Don River, Downtown, Trinity Niagara, Davenport, Midtown), and recommending that:

- (1) new metered parking and/or pay and display parking spaces as proposed by the Board of the Toronto Parking Authority be installed at locations identified in Appendix 1 to this report;
- (2) the appropriate parking by-laws be amended to give effect thereto, and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required, and that Appendix 1 to this report and such Bills be amended as necessary in the event that Council amends any of the recommendations in a report (August 29, 2000) of the President, Toronto Parking Authority, pertaining to hours of meter operation.

Due to lack of quorum, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on September 27, 2000.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 82(n))

9.84 Silver Birch Avenue From Kingston Road To Pine Avenue; Scarborough Road From Kingston Road To Bracken Avenue And Kingswood Road From Kingston Road To Bracken Avenue – Installation Of Speed Humps (East Toronto)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (August 31, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the installation of speed humps on Silver Birch Avenue from Kingston Road to Pine Avenue, Scarborough Road from Kingston Road to Bracken Avenue and Kingswood Road from Kingston Road to Bracken Avenue, and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that:

(1) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Silver Birch Avenue from Kingston Road to Pine Avenue; Scarborough Road from Kingston Road to Bracken Avenue and Kingswood Road from Kingston Road to Bracken Avenue for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of affected residents pursuant to the policy

related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:

- (a) the construction of speed humps on Silver Birch Avenue from Kingston Road to Pine Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5739, dated June 2000;
- (b) the construction of speed humps on Scarborough Road from Kingston Road to Bracken Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5740, dated June 2000;
- (c) the construction of speed humps on Kingswood Road from Kingston Road to Bracken Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5741, dated June 2000;
- (2) the speed limit be reduced from 40 km/h to 30 km/h coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits on:
 - (a) Silver Birch Avenue, from Kingston Road to Pine Avenue;
 - (b) Scarborough Road, from Kingston Road to Bracken Avenue;
 - (c) Kingswood Road, from Kingston Road to Bracken Avenue; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required, subject to review in the 2001 budget process.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 72)

9.85 Cambridge Avenue From Danforth Avenue To Pretoria Avenue – Installation Of Speed Humps (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 8, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 respecting the installation of speed humps on Cambridge Avenue from Danforth Avenue to Pretoria Avenue and recommending that:

- (1) approval be given to alter sections of the roadway on Cambridge Avenue from Danforth Avenue to Pretoria Avenue for traffic calming purposes as described below, with implementation subject to favourable results of the polling of affected residents pursuant to the policy related to speed hump installation as adopted by the former City of Toronto Council:
 - (a) the construction of speed humps on Cambridge Avenue from Danforth Avenue to Pretoria Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-5819, labelled Proposed Speed Hump Locations Alternative 2 and dated September 2000;

- (2) the speed limit be reduced from 40 km/h to 30 km/h on Cambridge Avenue from Danforth Avenue to Pretoria Avenue, coincident with the implementation of speed humps and as legislation permits; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required, subject to review in the 2001 budget process.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 71)

9.86 Preliminary Report On Application No. 100004 To Amend The Zoning By-Law To Construct A Second Storey Addition And Make Interior Renovations To A Building At 885 Logan Avenue (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a preliminary report (September 8, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Application No. 100004 to amend the Zoning By-law to construct a second storey addition and make interior renovations to the building at 885 Logan Avenue and recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillors:
- (2) Notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) Notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Executive Director and Chief Planner; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attn: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director of Real Estate Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons; c: Ms. Lori Martin, Urban Development Services – enc. – September 28, 2000)

•

9.87 Status Report: Application Nos. 299007 And 298002 To Amend The Official Plan And Zoning By-Law To Permit A 7-Storey Live/Work Building With Retail At Grade At 401 Logan Avenue And 1142 Dundas Street East (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a status report (September 8, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Application Nos. 299007 and 298002 to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law to permit a 7-storey live/work building with retail at grade at 401 Logan Avenue and 1142 Dundas Street East and recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a further community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillors:
- (2) Notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) Notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council adopted the foregoing status report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Executive Director and Chief Planner; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Community Council Solicitor, Attn: Sylvia Watson; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director of Real Estate Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons; c: Ms. Denise Graham, Urban Development Services – enc. – September 28, 2000)

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 82(p))

9.88 South Side Of Ryding Avenue, Between Runnymede Road And Cobalt Avenue – Feasibility Of Allowing Permit Parking (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a report (September 13, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the feasibility of allowing permit parking on the south side of Ryding Avenue between Runnymede Road and Cobalt Avenue and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that:

(a) a newly created Schedule AE, of Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, be introduced to

authorize parking by permit only between the hours of 6:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. and incorporate the south side of Ryding Avenue between Runnymede Road and Cobalt Avenue within such schedule:

- (b) the current "No Standing Anytime" prohibition be amended to exclude the permit parking hours of operation on the south side of Ryding Avenue, from Runnymede Road and Cobalt Avenue; and
- (c) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 58)

9.89 Request To Waive Fee For Tree Planting At 105 Northcliffe Boulevard (Davenport).

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (September 6, 2000) from Councillor Disero respecting a City-owned tree at No. 105 Northcliffe Boulevard.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that the fee for planting a City-owned tree in connection with the application for front yard parking at 105 Northcliffe Boulevard be waived.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 53)

9.90 Request To Waive Fee For Planting Of City-Owned Tree Fronting 71 Ascot Avenue (Davenport)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (September 6, 2000) from Councillor Disero respecting a City-owned tree at this time No. 71 Ascot Avenue.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council:

- (1) deferred consideration of the foregoing communication until its meeting to be held in January, 2001; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report at that time.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Mr. Geraldo DiMarco – enc. – September 28, 2000)

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 82(q))

9.91 Boulevard Café Privileges At 167 Nagara Street (Old York Restaurant) (Trinity-Niagara)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (September 12, 2000) from Councillor Pantalone respecting boulevard café privileges at 167 Niagara Street (Old York Restaurant) (Trinity-Niagara).

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council:

- (1) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to review the terms and conditions of the boulevard café licence of the Old York Restaurant at 167 Niagara Street with a view to introducing closing time restrictions; and
- (2) agreed that a hearing into terms and conditions to be attached to the licence be held by Toronto Community Council at its January, 2001 meeting with proper notice to the community and the owner so that they will have an opportunity to make deputations to Community Council.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services – enc. – October 2, 2000)

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 82(r))

9.92 126 John Street, Application No. 900047: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a status report (September 8, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900047 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit an illuminated ground sign at 126 John Street, also known as Festival Hall.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of application No. 900047, of
- (3) the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900047 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit an illuminated ground sign at 126 John Street, also known as Festival Hall, subject to the owners:
 - (a) removing the garbage loading from the street, and ensuring all garbage pickups occur in the building;

- (b) ensuring that trucks enter and exit in a forward motion to minimize the noise from the backup buzzers and engine noise caused by forward and reverse operations;
- (c) minimizing any queuing on the street by leaving the loading doors open during loading hours, as set out in the traffic studies referred to at the Ontario Municipal Board hearing;
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of application No. 900047, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services; and
- (3) the fee for sign by-law variance applications be increased by 10% and the resulting extra revenues be allocated to the public art reserve fund.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 52)

9.93 99 Yorkville Avenue, Application No. 900073: Request For Approval Of A Minor Variance From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Midtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a status report (September 12, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting the request for approval of a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, for No. 99 Yorkville Avenue and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900073 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code for an illuminated pedestal sign on condition that the sign be illuminated only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 11:00 p.m. and this be achieved by an automated timing device.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900073, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 48)

9.94 Amendment To Section 37 Agreement For Lands Known As 200 Queens Quay West And 8 York Street, Parcel YQ-4, Harbourfront (Downtown)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a status report (September 11, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that the City Solicitor

be authorized to amend the Section 37 agreement as it relates to the access provisions for lands known as 200 Queens Quay West and 8 York Street.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 51)

9.95 Traffic Signal – Parliament South Of Front Street (Don River)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a communication (September 5, 2000) from Councillor McConnell respecting the installation of a traffic signal at Parliament Street, south of Front Street.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that a traffic signal be placed on Parliament Street at Mill Street.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 50)

9.96 Potential Acquisition Of No. 1947 – 1997 Bloor Street West (High Park)

The Toronto Community Council had before it a confidential joint report (September 11, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the potential acquisition of No. 1947 – 1997 Bloor Street West.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Toronto Community Council agreed to meet in Camera to consider a matter the subject of which deals with a proposed or pending acquisition of land for municipal or local board purposes.

Due to lack of quorum, the Toronto Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing until its meeting to be held on September 27, 2000.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 82(s))

9.97 1 Adelaide Street East: Request For Approval Of Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former City Of Toronto (Downtown)

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (September 12, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting the request for approval of a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, for No. 1 Adelaide Street East.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report (September 12, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 48)

9.98 Designation Of 171 Old Forest Hill Road (William Moore House) (North Toronto)

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a communication (September 18, 2000) from the City Clerk respecting the designation of No. 171 Old Forest Hill Road (William Moore House).

On further motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing communication.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 49)

9.99 St. Clair Avenue West, Between Russell Hill Road And Spadina Road, Fronting Premises No. 260 Russell Hill Road – Proposed Amendments To Parking (Midtown)

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (September 15, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting proposed amendments to parking on St. Clair Avenue West, between Russell Hill Road and Spadina Road, fronting premises No. 260 Russell Hill Road.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 20)

9.100 Installation Of On-Street Parking Spaces For Persons With Disabilities (Davenport, High Park)

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (September 15, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting the installation of on-street parking spaces for persons with disabilities.

On motion by Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report..

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 45)

9.101 Partial Occupancy At 109 Front Street East (Downtown)

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (September 18, 2000) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting the partial occupancy at No. 109 Front Street East.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 44)

9.102 7 Gange Avenue – Consent Agreement With Regal Lands Limited: Requirement Of Ontario Municipal Board (Midtown)

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (September 18, 2000) from the City Solicitor respecting the requirement of the Ontario Municipal Board for a Consent Agreement with Regal Lands Limited - 7 Grange Avenue.

Councillor Adams declared an interest in the foregoing matter in that he and his wife own property in the vicinity of the subject site.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

Councillor Bossons was recorded as having voted in the negative.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 43)

9.103 Sale Of A Strip Of Land Along The Northerly Limit Of 28 Bathurst Street Adjoining The Rear Of Premises Nos. 51 To 87 Niagara Street (Trinity-Niagara)

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (September 18, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services respecting the sale of a strip of land along the northerly limit of 28 Bathurst Street adjoining the rear of premises Nos. 51 to 87 Niagara Street.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that:

- (1) the foregoing report (September 18, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services be adopted; and
- (2) the entrance at the laneway be through the vacant City property between 73 and 79 Niagara Street.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 42)

9.104 City Solicitor's Attendance At Ontario Municipal Board Respecting 209 Scarborough Road (East Toronto)

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a communication (September 14, 2000) from Councillor Bussin requesting the City Solicitor's attendance at the Ontario Municipal Board hearing for 209 Scarborough Road.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that the City Solicitor be instructed to attend the hearing of the Ontario Municipal Board in support of the Committee of Adjustment's decision respecting the property at 209 Scarborough Road.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 41)

9.105 Major Street Traffic Management Plan (Downtown)

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a communication (September 18, 2000) from Councillor Chow respecting the Traffic Management Plan for Major Street.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council requested:

- (1) that a poll be conducted on Major Street from Bloor Street West to Harbord Street respecting the establishment of speed humps;
- (2) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to investigate the possibility of establishing a pinch point at the corner of Sussex and Major Streets;
- (3) that a poll be conducted on Major Street from Bloor Street West to Harbord Street respecting the installation of Pay and Display Parking meters; and
- (3) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services investigate ways to improve signage to alert drivers of the one-way street system on Major Street.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services – enc. – September 28, 2000)

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 82(t))

9.106 Lord Lansdowne School Pick-Up And Drop Off (Downtown)

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a communication (September 18, 2000) from Councillor Chow respecting the establishment of a student pick-up and drop-off at Lord Lansdowne Public School.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with appropriate officials, to work with the parents' council and principal of Lord Lansdowne Public School, the Harbord Village Residents Association, the Parking Enforcement Unit and the local councillor to find a way to provide parents with a method of safely dropping off and picking up their children.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services – enc. – September 28, 2000)

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 82(u))

9.107 Request For "No Parking – Monday To Saturday" In Front Of 1118 St. Clair Avenue West

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a communication (September 15, 2000) from Councillor Disero respecting the request for "No Parking – Monday to Saturday" in front of No. 1118 St. Clair Avenue West.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council that a "No Parking" regulation be instituted fronting St. Clare Church - Premises No. 1118 St. Clair Avenue West, on Monday – Saturday, 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 40)

9.108 Park Road, From Bloor Street East To Asquith Avenue – Operational Adjustments To The Existing Construction Staging Area (Midtown)

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (September 18, 2000) from the Commissioner, Works and Emergency Services, District 1, recommending that:

- (1) the existing one-way northbound operation of Park Road, from Asquith Avenue to Bloor Street East, be changed to operate one-way southbound; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 39)

9.109 2300 Yonge Street, Application No. 900043: Request For Approval Of Minor Variances From Chapter 297, Signs, Of The Former Of Toronto Municipal Code (North Toronto)

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (September 12, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 900043 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, four non-illuminated projecting banner signs over a glass rotunda entrance lobby, located at the north-east corner of the building at 2300 Yonge Street; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900043, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 48)

9.110 Notice Of Motion - 271 Front Street East - Minutes Of Settlement From Ontario Municipal Board

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a communication (September 19, 2000) from Councillor McConnell forwarding a motion respecting the minutes of settlement from the Ontario Municipal Board respecting No. 271 Front Street East.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the following motion from Councillor McConnell:

"WHEREAS 271 Front Street is a designated heritage property under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act and is the site of the First Parliament Buildings in Upper Canada; and

WHEREAS the Council of the City of Toronto has passed a number of resolutions declaring the Site as of importance to the City's heritage; and

WHEREAS the Committee of Adjustment ("the Committee"), at its meeting of May 16th 2000, refused an application on the part of the Site's owners for relief from two variances in the (former) City of Toronto's general zoning by-law to reconstruct an existing building on the Site as a truck wash; and

WHEREAS the Ontario Municipal Board ("the OMB") will be hearing an appeal from the Committee's decision; and

WHEREAS City Council at its meeting of August 1, 2 and 3 2000 passed a resolution instructing the City Solicitor to attend at the OMB in support of the Committee's decision; and

WHEREAS the parties to the appeal, including the City, have drafted Minutes of Settlement ("the Minutes") regarding the subject appeal, which are, in the opinion of City staff, acceptable; and

WHEREAS community concerns regarding the subject proposal have been satisfied by the Minutes;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that Toronto Community Council recommend that the Minutes of Settlement set out in Appendix A be approved by Council, provided that the Minutes of Settlement form part of the OMB's approval of the subject proposal."

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 32)

9.111 Adjustment To Speed Hump Locations On Euclid Avenue, Between College Street And Ulster Street (Trinity-Niagara)

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council allowed the introduction of a communication (September 19, 2000) from Councillor Pantalone respecting an adjustment to the speed hump locations on Euclid Avenue, between College Street and Ulster Street, and recommending that:

- (1) the location of speed humps on Euclid Avenue, between College Street and Ulster Street, be amended as per the attached Drawing No. 42IF-5657; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On further motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the recommendations contained in the foregoing communication.

(Report No. 17, Clause No. 31)

At 6:35 p.m., the Clerk called the names of those present:

Councillors McConnell, Adams, Bossons, Bussin, Johnston, Rae and Walker - 7.

There being only 7 members present, the me	eeting failed through lack of quorum.
The Committee adjourned its meeting at 6:3.	5 p.m.
	Chair

Attendance:

September 19, 2000	9:30 a.m 12:35 p.m.	2:00 p.m 6:35 p.m.	6:35 p.m. Quorum Call
McConnell (Chair)	X	X	X
Adams	X	X	X
Bossons	X	X	X
Bussin	X	X	X
Chow	X	X	-
Disero	X	X	-
Jakobek	X	X	-
Johnston	X	X	X
Korwin-Kuczynski	X	X	-
Layton	-	-	-
Miller	X	X	-
Palacio	X	X	-
Pantalone	X	X	-
Rae	X	X	X
Silva	X	-	-
Walker	X	X	X
Mayor Lastman	_	_	-

^{*} Members were present for some or all of the time indicated.