

THE CITY OF TORONTO

City Clerk's Division

Minutes of the Works Committee

Meeting No. 5

Thursday, February 24, 2000

A special meeting of the Works Committee was held on Thursday, February 24, 2000, in Committee Room 2, 2nd Floor, City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 2:10 p.m.

Members Present:

	<u>2:10 p.m.-3:45 p.m.</u>
Councillor Bill Saundercook, Chair	x
Councillor Ila Bossons	-
Councillor Rob Davis	x
Councillor Betty Disero	-
Councillor Joan King	x
Councillor Jack Layton	x
Councillor David Shiner	-
Councillor Bruce Sinclair	x
Councillor Paul Valenti	x

Members were present for some or all of the time period indicated.

5.1 Toronto Integrated Solid Waste Resource Management (“TIRM”) Process – Identification of Top-Qualified Proposals for Proven Disposal Capacity.

The Works Committee had before it a report (February 22, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) the proposals from the following five qualified Respondents to the TIRM Request for Proposals for Proven Disposal Capacity be identified as the final group of top-qualified proposals and, as such, be advanced to Stage 4 (due diligence and contract negotiations) of the TIRM Process:
 - BFI Waste Systems of North America Inc.;
 - Essex-Windsor Solid Waste Authority;
 - Green Lane Landfill;
 - Rail Cycle North; and
 - Republic Services of Canada Inc.;

and, subject to the approval of Recommendation No. (1), further recommending that:

- (2) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to proceed to conduct the necessary due diligence reviews of the disposal sites identified in the proposals of the top-qualified Respondents and to conduct contract negotiations with the top-qualified Respondents, as contained in Recommendation No. (1), and to report on the outcome of the negotiations and provide recommendations in the form of a proposed award of contract(s) with one or more of the top-qualified proposals;
- (3) the recommendations contained in this report proceed to the City Council meeting scheduled for February 29, March 1 and 2, 2000; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Committee also had before it the following:

- (a) confidential Attachments C and D to the foregoing report dated February 22, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, entitled “Description of Quantity Options” and “Summary of Envelope 3 Exceptions and Partnership Proposals” respectively;

Works Committee Minutes
Thursday, February 24, 2000

- (b) confidential submission by Earth Tech (Canada) Limited on the TIRM Waste Disposal Stage 3: Evaluation of Proposals;
- (c) communications from the following in opposition to the Adams Mine landfill proposal:
 - (i) (January 29, 2000) from Mr. Gordon J. Ham, Englehart, Ontario;
 - (ii) (February 2, 2000) from Jaimie Board, Chief Financial Officer, North Bay Green Party Constituency Association;
 - (iii) (February 3, 2000) from Murray and Bernice Doner, Charlton, Ontario;
 - (iv) (February 4, 2000) from Victor and Nancy Charlton, Englehart, Ontario;
 - (v) (February 5, 2000) from Mr. Gordon J. Ham, Englehart, Ontario;
 - (vi) (February 5, 2000) from Ms. Coleen Martin, Englehart, Ontario;
 - (vii) (February 7, 2000) from Ms. Sandra Willard, Thornloe, Ontario;
 - (viii) (February 11, 2000) from Ms. Louise Williams, Clerk-Treasurer, Township of Dack, District of Temiskaming, Englehart, Ontario;
 - (ix) (February 13, 2000) from Mr. Koert Dragt, Englehart, Ontario;
 - (x) (February 14, 2000) from Rick F. Fortier and Wendy Fortier, Englehart, Ontario;
 - (xi) (undated) from Ms. Vida Digulla, Englehart, Ontario;
 - (xii) (February 16, 2000) from Mr. Gordon J. Ham, Englehart, Ontario;

 - (xiii) (February 16, 2000) from Mr. Tom Goddard, Belle Vallee, Ontario;
 - (xiv) (February 16, 2000) from Ms. Jean Reed, Larder Lake, Ontario;
 - (xv) (February 17, 2000) from Bruno and Wanda Gareau, Larder Lake, Ontario;
 - (xvi) (undated) from Mr. William Dennis, Englehart, Ontario;
 - (xvii) (February 17, 2000) from Mr. Milton R. MacPherson, E & M Farms, Englehart, Ontario;
 - (xviii) (February 17, 2000) from Don and Jo-Anne Anderson, West Wind Farm, Englehart, Ontario;
 - (xix) (February 18, 2000) from Mr. Andre Brazeau, Thornloe, Ontario;
 - (xx) (February 18, 2000) from Mr. Larry Duke, Thornloe, Ontario;
 - (xxi) (February 18, 2000) from M. McNaueal, Kirkland Lake, Ontario;
 - (xxii) (February 19, 2000) from Marilyn and Terry Draper, Englehart, Ontario;
 - (xxiii) (February 21, 2000) from Mr. Jim Semple, New Liskeard, Ontario;
 - (xxiv) (February 22, 2000) from Mr. Louis Ethier, Thornloe, Ontario; and
 - (xxv) (February 22, 2000) from Mr. Carman Kidd, Kidd Crest Farms, New Liskeard, Ontario;
- (d) communications from the following in support of the Adams Mine landfill proposal:

Works Committee Minutes
Thursday, February 24, 2000

- (i) (February 16, 2000) from Mr. James D. Stevens, Larder Lake, Ontario; and
 - (ii) (February 16, 2000) from Ms. Charlene Stevens, Larder Lake, Ontario;
- (e) form letters from approximately 169 persons in opposition to the Adams Mine landfill proposal, and urging the City to choose an alternative solution;
- (f) communication (February 10, 2000) from Mr. Marcel Beaubien, MPP, Lambton-Kent-Middlesex, expressing concern with respect to locating landfill sites in rural or Northern Ontario; and advising that the residents of the riding of Lambton-Kent-Middlesex are opposed to expanding the Canadian Waste Services Lambton site;
- (g) communication (February 15, 2000) from Mayor Mac Parker, Township of Warwick, Ontario, advising that the Township of Warwick is opposed to the receipt of Toronto waste at the Canadian Waste Services landfill in the township; and that the use of the site as a back-up site to the Adams Mine landfill proposal does not meet with their approval;
- (h) communication (February 24, 2000) from Ms. Greta Thompson, Blenheim, Ontario, expressing concerns with respect to the use of the Ridge Landfill Site as a contingency site by BFI; and
- (i) material submitted by Ms. Rhonda Hustler, representing the Warwick Watford Landfill Committee and the Richmond Public Liaison Committee, with respect to the Warwick Landfill Site including copies of correspondence from Canadian Waste Services Inc., from the Township of Warwick, and from 200 persons addressed to the Minister of the Environment in opposition to the proposed expansion of the site; and including a copy of comments to the Works Committee dated January 25, 2000.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Brennain Lloyd, Northwatch;
- Ms. Rhonda Hustler, representing the Warwick Watford Landfill Committee and the Richmond Public Liaison Committee, and submitted material with respect thereto;
- Mr. Bryan Herdman, representing Nipissing Environmental Watch;
- Ms. Karen Pilch, Chamberlain Township;

Works Committee Minutes
Thursday, February 24, 2000

- Mr. Bill Guthrie, Vice President, Toronto Civic Employees' Union, CUPE Local 416; and
- Mr. Gord Perks, Toronto Environmental Alliance.

Councillor Layton moved that:

- (A) as part of the due diligence process, the Chair of the Works Committee be requested to write to each of the municipalities named in the documents for principal or contingency sites, informing them that the City is investigating the use of their sites and will be contacting them in due course to solicit their comments; and
- (B) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to:
 - (1) report directly to Council for its meeting on February 29, 2000, on:
 - (i) as much information as can be made public at this time with respect to the evaluation criteria used to calculate the ranking of the proposals;
 - (ii) all the locations of the contingency sites; and
 - (iii) how the willingness of the host community has been, would be or could be incorporated into the due diligence process as it proceeds; and
 - (2) submit brief bi-monthly reports to the Committee on the progress of the City's diversion and recycling projects in achieving the 50 percent diversion target.

(Carried)

Councillor King moved the adoption of the aforementioned report. **(Carried)**

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services – February 24, 2000)

(Clause No. 1, Report No. 5)

5.2 Toronto Integrated Solid Waste Resource Management (TIRM) Process – Amendment to Consultant Agreement.

The Works Committee had before it a report (February 22, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that authority be granted to amend the existing consulting services agreement with the engineering firm of Proctor & Redfern Limited (now Earth Tech (Canada)) by an additional amount of \$193,000.00, inclusive of GST, to undertake the necessary due diligence workplan associated with Toronto's Integrated Solid Waste Resource Management Process Request for Proposals for Proven Disposal Capacity and to provide contract negotiations support.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 2, Report No. 5)

5.3 Comparative Analysis of the CUPE Waste Management Plan.

The Works Committee had before it a report (February 22, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) authority be granted to amend the Toronto Integrated Resource Management ("TIRM") Diversion consulting contract with MacViro Consultants Inc. to conduct a two-phase analysis of the CUPE Waste Management Plan at a cost of \$32,500.00 after municipal GST rebate; and
- (2) staff report back to the Works Committee in April with the results of the phase 1 analysis of the CUPE Waste Management Plan and with options for proceeding with the phase 2 comparative evaluation.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Bill Guthrie, Vice President, Toronto Civic Employees' Union, CUPE Local 416; and

Works Committee Minutes
Thursday, February 24, 2000

- Mr. Gord Perks, Toronto Environmental Alliance.

On motion by Councillor Davis, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 3, Report No. 5)

The Committee adjourned its meeting at 3:45 p.m.

Chair.