

THE CITY OF TORONTO

City Clerk's Division

Minutes of the Works Committee

Meeting No. 13

Wednesday, July 12, 2000

The Works Committee met on Wednesday, July 12, 2000, in Committee Room 1, 2nd Floor, City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:40 a.m.

Members Present:

	<u>9:40 a.m.-12:30 p.m</u>	<u>2:15 p.m.-7:40 p.m.</u> (Recess: 3:40 p.m.-3:55 p.m.) (In Camera: 6:15 p.m.-6:40 p.m.)
Councillor Bill Saundercook, Chair	x	x
Councillor Ila Bossons	x	-
Councillor Rob Davis	x	x
Councillor Betty Disero	x	x
Councillor Joan King	x	x
Councillor Jack Layton	x	x
Councillor Cesar Palacio	-	x
Councillor David Shiner	x	x
Councillor Bruce Sinclair	x	x
Councillor Paul Valenti	x	x

Members were present for some or all of the time period indicated.

Also Present:

Councillor Bas Balkissoon
Councillor Sandra Bussin
Councillor Irene Jones
Councillor Norm Kelly
Councillor Gloria Lindsay Luby
Councillor Joe Mihevc
Councillor Frances Nunziata
Councillor Sherene Shaw

Confirmation of Minutes.

On motion by Councillor Sinclair, the Minutes of the meeting of the Works Committee held on June 14, 2000, and the joint meeting with the Policy and Finance Committee on June 22 and 23, 2000, were confirmed.

**13.1 Draft By-law to Assume Humber Boulevard
Between Bloor Street West and Old Mill Road and to
Rename that Assumed Highway “Old Mill Trail”.**

The Works Committee had before it a Draft By-law from the City Solicitor to assume Humber Boulevard between Bloor Street West and Old Mill Road for public highway purposes and to rename that portion of assumed highway “Old Mill Trail”.

The Committee also had before it a copy of Clause No. 3 of Report No. 1 of The Works Committee, entitled “Procedure for Eliminating Duplicate Street Names (All Wards)”, as amended by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on February 1, 2 and 3, 2000.

The Committee also had before it the following communications:

- (i) (July 10, 2000) from Mr. David A. George, Senior Vice-President, Legal and Corporate, Monarch Construction Limited, advising that Monarch Construction Limited, which owns land fronting on Humber Boulevard and is the developer of Phases 1 and 2 of the Kensington at the Old Mill condominiums, is fully supportive of the proposed change of name of Humber Boulevard to Old Mill Trail; and
- (ii) (July 11, 2000) from the Fire Chief advising that Toronto Fire Services concurs with Council’s recommendation to rename Humber Boulevard “Old Mill Trail”, provided there is no other street in the amalgamated City of Toronto with the name “Old Mill Trail”.

Councillor Gloria Lindsay Luby, Kingsway Humber, appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Shiner, the Committee recommended to Council that a by-law in the form of the aforementioned draft by-law be enacted.

(Clause No. 10, Report No. 15)

13.2 Proposed Street Naming Policy.

The Works Committee again had before it a communication (February 7, 2000) from the City

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, July 12, 2000

Clerk advising that the Works Committee, at its meeting on February 7, 2000, had before it a report (January 21, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting the proposed Street Naming Policy; and recommending that:

- (1) the Street Naming Policy, outlined in Attachment No. 1, be circulated to the Community Councils for comment;
- (2) the Community Councils be requested to forward their comments to the Works Committee meeting of April 19, 2000, for processing through to City Council; and
- (3) if the Street Naming Policy contained in this report is adopted, the City Solicitor be authorized to apply for special legislation to extend the provisions of the City of Toronto Act, 1991, which allows for the naming and numbering of private streets, to other areas of the new City of Toronto;

and further advising that the Works Committee concurred in the recommendations embodied in the aforementioned report.

The Committee also had before it the following communications:

- (i) (March 27, 2000) from the City Clerk (East York Community Council) advising that the East York Community Council on March 23, 2000, recommended that the Criteria for Name Selection embodied in the proposed Street Naming Policy be amended by:
 - (1) striking out No. (3) and inserting in lieu thereof: "Names of living persons may be used."; and
 - (2) striking out No. (4) and inserting in lieu thereof: "A person's full name should be used as a street name to prevent duplication with an existing street name in Toronto and surrounding municipalities.";
- (ii) (March 29, 2000) from the City Clerk (Scarborough Community Council) advising that the Scarborough Community Council on March 23, 2000, directed that the Works Committee be advised that it endorses the staff recommendations contained in the report (January 21, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Street Naming Policy embodied therein;
- (iii) (March 29, 2000) from the City Clerk (Toronto Community Council) advising that the Toronto Community Council on March 23, 2000, recommended that the Street Naming

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, July 12, 2000

Policy outlined in Attachment No. (1) of the report (January 21, 2000) be adopted, subject to a statement being inserted to read: "That, as often as possible, names of streets shall not be changed, due to the profound effect on people's lives.";

- (iv) (March 30, 2000) from the City Clerk (North York Community Council) advising that the North York Community Council on March 23, 2000, recommended to the Works Committee that:
- (1) the section entitled "Naming and Renaming of Street and Lanes" be the first section to appear in the City of Toronto Street Naming Policy, after the word, "Guidelines";
 - (2) item (2) of the section entitled "Application", be amended to read as follows:
 - "2. In the case of a name change, the name change should also include a petition stating the reason for the change and include the names, addresses and signatures of the persons who reside on or own property that fronts on or is adjacent to the subject street."
- and further reporting, for the information of the Works Committee and Council, having requested:
- (i) the Commissioner, Urban Development Services, to bring forward a report, when the proposed Street Naming Policy is being considered by City Council, on the feasibility of securing the names of private roads on condominium properties through the condominium registration process; and
 - (ii) the City Clerk to bring forward a report, when the proposed Street Naming Policy is being considered by City Council, regarding the effects of changing the names of streets with regard to the voters list; and to set forth recommendations as to when street name changes should be implemented;
- (v) (April 1, 2000) from the City Clerk (Etobicoke Community Centre) advising that the Etobicoke Community Council on March 23, 2000, had before it a communication (February 7, 2000) from the City Clerk forwarding the Street Naming Policy, outlined in Attachment No. (1) to the report (January 21, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and that the Etobicoke Community Council received the

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, July 12, 2000

aforementioned communication;

- (vi) (April 13, 2000) from the City Clerk (York Community Council) advising that the York Community Council on March 23, 2000, endorsed the proposed Street Naming Policy as outlined in Attachment No. 1 to the report (January 21, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
- (vii) (April 18, 2000) from Mr. Srdjan Besir, Toronto, suggesting that the proposed City of Toronto Street Naming Policy be amended by adding the criterion that in recognition of the increasing multicultural composition of the City and the contributions of its many ethnocultural groups, consideration should be given to names which recognize the heritage of the respective ethnocultural groups which comprise the City;
- (viii) (May 16, 2000) from Mr. Srdjan Besir, Toronto, suggesting that ethnic content be officially considered as criteria of the Street Naming Policy, and that more opportunity be given to all individual ethnic groups to name streets;
- (ix) (July 12, 2000) from Ms. Mary Alberti, Family Service Association of Metropolitan Toronto, and on behalf of the FSA Community Action, proposing additional criteria under the City of Toronto Street Naming Policy, as follows:

“To recognize communities who contribute to the ethnoracial diversity of Toronto.”; and
- (x) (July 12, 2000) from Mr. Srdjan Besir, Toronto, Ontario, requesting that ethnic content be officially considered as criteria for the City’s new Street Naming Policy.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Srdjan Besir, Toronto, Ontario; and
- Mr. Rajko Seat, Family Services Association.

On motion by Councillor King, the Committee recommended to Council:

- (1) the adoption of the Street Naming Policy outlined in Attachment No. 1 to the aforementioned report dated January 21, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, subject to amending the section entitled “Criteria for Name Selection” to include recognition of

communities which contribute to the ethnoracial diversity of Toronto; and

- (2) that the City Solicitor be authorized to apply for special legislation to extend the provisions of the City of Toronto Act, 1991, which allows for the naming and numbering of private streets, to other areas of the new City of Toronto.

(Clause No. 9, Report No. 15)

**13.3 Wind Turbine Project:
Terms of Reference for Environmental Assessment Study;
ICMC Review; and Siting of Utility Wind Turbine
at the Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (June 26, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, entitled "Terms of Reference for Environmental Assessment Study for Wind Turbine (All Wards)", recommending that the document entitled "Wind Turbine Assessment Scoping/Terms of Reference Document (Draft) November 1999" prepared by Toronto Renewable Energy Co-Operative and Toronto Hydro be accepted as the basis for the environmental assessment study for the wind turbine project.

The Committee also had before it the a report (June 28, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, entitled "Implementation Compliance and Monitoring Committee (ICMC) Review of the Main (Ashbridges Bay) Treatment Plant (ABTP) Mediator's Report dated April 1999 and Toronto Renewable Energy Co-operative (TREC) and Toronto Hydro's report entitled 'Waterfront Turbines at the Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant' dated June 2000", respecting the findings of the Implementation Compliance and Monitoring Committee regarding the City's compliance with the Mediation Agreement for the Main (Ashbridges Bay) Treatment Plant in respect to Toronto Renewable Energy Co-Operative and Toronto Hydro potentially locating a wind turbine at the Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant site; and recommending that:

- (1) the attached Implementation Compliance and Monitoring Committee compliance review be received for information; and
- (2) this report be forwarded to the Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Environment Canada;

The Committee also had before it a report (June 28, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, entitled "Utility Wind Turbines on City of Toronto Owned or Operated as Operated by Toronto Renewable Energy Co-operative (TREC) and Toronto Hydro",

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, July 12, 2000

recommending that subject to the proponent's satisfactory completion of required environmental assessments, and the satisfactory completion of all other approval processes required to locate windmills on the Toronto waterfront:

- (1) the City of Toronto consent to, approve and facilitate the construction of a utility wind turbine in the abandoned southern ash lagoon at Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant by TREC and Toronto Hydro;
- (2) the City of Toronto facilitate the preparation between the proponents, the Toronto Port Authority, and other relevant parties, the legal arrangements necessary to permit the installation and operation of such a wind turbine, and give effect to the required City approval of such a legal arrangement, for the purpose of locating a wind turbine, as described in the above, including provisions to ensure that the wind turbine does not interfere with the construction and/or operation of existing and future sewage treatment facilities; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to the foregoing.

The Committee also had before it the following communications:

- (a) (July 11, 2000) from Mr. Dan Roumbanis, Acting Chairperson, Ontario Region Green Power Committee, Environment Canada, advising that Environment Canada has made a tentative Environmental Assessment Screening Determination on the Wind Power Project proposed by the Toronto Renewable Energy Co-operative (TREC) on the Toronto waterfront, namely, that taking into account appropriate mitigation measures, this project is not likely to cause adverse environmental impacts;
- (b) communications from the following in support of the siting of a utility wind turbine in the southern ash lagoon at the Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant by Toronto Renewable Energy Co-operative and Toronto Hydro:
 - (i) (July 2, 2000) from Mr. Barrie Gray, Toronto, Ontario;
 - (ii) (July 5, 2000) from Ms. Susan Crofts, Toronto, Ontario;
 - (iii) (July 5, 2000) from Mr. Bruce Hanson, Toronto, Ontario;
 - (iv) (July 5, 2000) from Mr. Mike Yorke, Recording Secretary, Carpenters and Allied Workers, Local 27;
 - (v) (July 6, 2000) from Mr. Mike Yorke, Toronto, Ontario;
 - (vi) (undated) from Mr. David Juliusson, Toronto, Ontario;

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, July 12, 2000

- (vii) (July 6, 2000) from Mr. Earl Aslin, Toronto, Ontario;
 - (viii) (July 6, 2000) from Ms. Barbara Brett, Toronto, Ontario;
 - (ix) (July 6, 2000) from Ms. Joyce Proctor;
 - (x) (July 6, 2000) from Mr. Karl Schlicht;
 - (xi) (July 9, 2000) from Mr. Boyd Reimer and Ms. Rena Ginsberg;
 - (xii) (July 10, 2000) from Ms. Leah Darke, Etobicoke, Ontario;
 - (xiii) (July 10, 2000) from Mr. Philipp Andres, Sales Manager, Vestas American Wind Technology, Inc.;
 - (xiv) (July 10, 2000) from Mr. Cameron Miller, Toronto, Ontario; and
 - (xv) (July 12, 2000) from Mr. Stephen Probyn, President, Probyn & Company;
- (c) (July 12, 2000) from Ms. Elizabeth Borek, Member, Implementation Compliance and Monitoring Committee, in opposition to the proposed siting of the wind turbine at the Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant; and
- (d) (undated) from Dr. Ross James, former Curator of Ornithology, Royal Ontario Museum, regarding the response of birds approaching wind turbines; and advising that birds are not at serious risk from wind turbines at the proposed locations.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter (with the exception of Mr. Paul Gipe, who spoke via a conference call):

- Ms. Joyce McLean, Manager, Green Energy, Toronto Hydro Energy Services;
- Mr. Bryan Young, General Manager, Toronto Renewable Energy Co-operative (TREC);
- Mr. Paul Gipe, Independent Wind Energy Consultant, who addressed the Committee via a conference call;
- Ms. Liz White, Director, Animal Alliance of Canada;
- Ms. Karey Shinn, Chair, Safe Sewage Committee, and submitted a communication with respect thereto;
- Ms. Lois Corbett, Toronto Environmental Alliance;
- Mr. John Robert Carley, Co-Chair, Friends of the Spit, and submitted a communication

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, July 12, 2000

with respect thereto;

- Mr. Ken Yurchuk, member of the Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant Neighbourhood Liaison Committee;
- Dr. Jim Salmon, Principal, Zephyr North;
- Mr. Boris Mather, Past President/Director, Citizens for Lakeshore Greenway;
- Mr. Robert Bernecky, Wards Island resident;
- Ms. Amy Thompson, Toronto Voice and member of the Neighbourhood Liaison Committee;
- Ms. Karen Buck, Citizens for a Safe Environment;
- Ms. Karey Shinn, on behalf of Ms. Elizabeth Borek, and submitted a communication with respect thereto; and
- Councillor Sandra Bussin, East Toronto.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Committee recommended to Council:

- (1) the adoption of the aforementioned reports:
 - (i) (June 26, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services entitled “Terms of Reference for Environmental Assessment Study for Wind Turbine (All Wards)”;
 - (ii) (June 28, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services entitled “Implementation Compliance and Monitoring Committee (ICMC) Review of the Main (Ashbridges Bay) Treatment Plant (ABTP) Mediator’s Report dated April 1999 and Toronto Renewable Energy Co-operative (TREC) and Toronto Hydro’s report entitled ‘Waterfront Turbines at the Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant’ dated June 2000”; and
 - (iii) (June 28, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services entitled “Utility Wind Turbines on City of Toronto Owned or Operated as Proposed by Toronto Renewable Energy

Co-operative (TREC) and Toronto Hydro”; and

- (2) that the emission credits accruing to the wind turbine project be retired and that this be confirmed in the agreement between the City of Toronto, Toronto Renewable Energy Co-operative and Toronto Hydro.

(Clause No. 1, Report No. 15)

**13.4 Biosolids Beneficial Use Program –
Acquisition of Terratec Environmental Ltd.
by Azurix North America.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (May 16, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services providing information requested by the Committee with regard to the acquisition of Terratec Environmental Ltd., one of the City’s biosolids program contractors, by Azurix North America; advising that the acquisition of Terratec by Azurix is an internal business matter between these firms and should not affect any requirements of the City, and that Terratec will continue to provide services to the City under the Terratec name; further advising that the City is obligated to negotiate in “good faith” with Terratec in accordance with Council authority, and that if these negotiations are successfully concluded, the City will enter into an agreement with Terratec for the land application of the City’s biosolids; noting that staff will also ensure that senior Terratec staff and Azurix North America management clearly understand the City’s requirements and expectations for its biosolids beneficial use program contractors; and recommending that the report be received for information.

The Committee also again had before it a communication (February 22, 2000) from Councillor Sandra Bussin, East Toronto, forwarding a communication (February 11, 2000) from Ms. Karen Buck, Member, Board of Directors, Citizens for a Safe Environment, and Ms. Karey Shinn, Chair, Safe Sewage Committee, expressing concerns regarding the change of ownership of Terratec Environmental Ltd. to Azurix, and the impact on the City’s biosolids land application program; and requesting that the Committee consider and respond to the issues raised therein.

The Committee also had before it the following communications:

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, July 12, 2000

- (1) (March 22, 2000) Mr. Brian Cochrane, President, Toronto Civic Employees' Union, CUPE Local 416, respecting the change of ownership of Terratec Environmental Ltd. to Azurix, North America; and raising questions as to the impact on the City of Toronto's biosolids program;
- (2) (March 22, 2000) from Ms. Karey Shinn, Chair, Safe Sewage Committee, expressing concern respecting the change of ownership of Terratec Environmental Ltd. to Azurix, North America, and the future evaluation and monitoring process for the new owner with respect to the City's biosolids management master plan; and
- (3) (undated) Ms. Karen Buck, Citizens for a Safe Environment, respecting the response dated February 21, 2000, from the General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services, with respect to the sale of Terratec Environmental Ltd.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Gina Gignac, Toronto Civic Employees' Union, CUPE Local 416, and submitted a communication with respect thereto;
- Ms. Karey Shinn, Chair, Safe Sewage Committee; and
- Ms. Karen Buck, Citizens for a Safe Environment.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Committee recommended to Council that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to review and strengthen, if necessary, the system of on-going monitoring of the biosolids program in light of the new corporate ownership with which the City may not be as familiar; and
- (2) the Independent Review Committee established by Council by the adoption of Clause No. 2 of Report No. 6 of The Works and Utilities Committee on July 8, 9, and 10, 1998, as amended, with respect to the biosolids program at the Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant (formerly the Main Treatment Plant), be reconstituted to meet at least once to review and report to the Works Committee on the monitoring system which is in place and on the various concerns raised during the meeting, in order that Council can be assured of the effective and safe operation of the biosolids program, such process to involve the Toronto Civic Employees' Union, CUPE Local 416.

(Clause No. 13, Report No. 15)

**13.5 Enactment of By-law to Prohibit the
Burning of Used Motor Oil.**

The Works Committee had before it a communication (June 16, 2000) from the City Clerk forwarding for information and any attention deemed necessary, Clause No. 9 contained in Report No. 12 of The Works Committee, headed "Enactment of By-law to Prohibit the Burning of Used Motor Oil", which was adopted, as amended, by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on June 7, 8, and 9, 2000; and advising that in so doing, Council adopted a recommendation to proceed with the enactment of the draft by-law to prohibit the burning of used motor oil, and that prior notice be given to affected parties for the purpose of deputations.

The Committee also had before it the following communications:

- (i) (July 10, 2000) from Mr. Douglas Gluck, President, Yorkwoods Motors Ltd., requesting compensation for the cost of the heating unit because the City approved the use of it; and
- (ii) (July 11, 2000) from Mr. John Cochrane, President, Cochrane Automotive, raising the question of compensation for those who are duly licensed by the Ministry of the Environment to use a waste oil furnace, in the event that the City enacts the proposed by-law to ban such furnaces; listing his expenditures with respect to the purchase of a Renzor Waste Oil Furnace, and indicating that such unit causes little or no air pollution; and forwarding correspondence with respect thereto and a copy of his valid license.

Ms. Karen Buck, Citizens for a Safe Environment, appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Sinclair, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the By-law to Prohibit the Burning of Used Motor Oil embodied in the aforementioned communication (June 16, 2000) from the City Clerk.

(Clause No. 8, Report No. 15)

13.6 Proposed Residential Solid Waste Collection By-law.

The Works Committee had before it a report (June 28, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) the Residential Solid Waste Collection By-law, consolidating and harmonizing the residential components of the former Area Municipalities' solid waste collection by-laws, be enacted;
- (2) the following by-laws continue to be in effect, provided that in the event of a conflict between the Residential Solid Waste Collection By-law and a by-law referred to below, the Residential Solid Waste Collection By-law will prevail:
 - Chapter 309, City of Toronto Municipal Code, as amended;
 - Chapter 149, Etobicoke Municipal Code, as amended;
 - By-law 1-86, as amended;
 - By-law 2890-78, as amended;
 - By-law 24478, as amended; and
 - By-law 21732, as amended;
- (3) authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary Bill to give effect thereto;
- (4) staff be authorized to take all steps necessary to enforce the Residential Solid Waste Collection By-law;
- (5) residents of the City of Toronto be advised accordingly;
- (6) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be given full authority to discontinue solid waste collection services at any residential location due to non-compliance with the by-law, and only in the event that the Ward Councillor is of the opinion that the location should remain eligible for solid waste collection services while in contravention of the by-law, will the issue be sent to the Works Committee and Council for resolution; and
- (7) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be directed to initiate site investigation at multiple household residential locations and authorize the adjustment of garbage and/or recycling collection service based on the protocol identified in this report.

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, July 12, 2000

The Committee also had before it the following communications:

(i) (May 29, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Toronto Community Council, on May 23, 2000, recommended that:

(1) Section 2.5 of the proposed by-law attached to the report (March 9, 2000) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, be amended to read:

“2.5 The Commissioner may determine that Owners of multiple household residences who do not participate fully in the City’s collection of Recyclable Materials or who sell or otherwise transfer Recyclable Materials to persons other than the City are not eligible to receive any Services.”

(2) a Schedule of set fines be submitted to Council prior to the adoption of the by-law;

and further advising that the Toronto Community Council requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Works Committee, at its meeting at which this matter will be considered:

(a) in consultation with the City’s recycling firms, on the requirement that newspapers and magazines be bagged, given the concern of the Toronto Community Council that this requirement may reduce participation in recycling and make residents guilty of an offence for undertaking an activity which, in the past, was legal; and

(b) on adding a new section to the proposed by-law which would ensure the proper setting out of garbage and proper recycling of materials for buildings with two or more dwelling units with an absentee landlord; and

(ii) (May 30, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the North York Community Council, on May 23, 2000:

(1) received the report (March 9, 2000) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, Works and Emergency Services; and

(2) referred the following recommendations respecting the Proposed Residential Solid Waste Collection By-law to the Works Committee for its consideration:

- (a) that as single family homes, townhouses, semi-detached homes and multi-residential developments, other than apartment buildings, are all taxed at the same rate, they should all receive the same level of service for waste collection and curbside collection, and that central point collection be eliminated at all properties except those that may wish to retain central point collection;
 - (b) that Section 15.1(c) be amended to provide that only grey and green recycling boxes be used for the collection of the newspapers, telephone directories, magazines and catalogues, and that all references to the use of bags for the collection of paper be deleted; and
 - (c) the wording of the proposed Residential Solid Waste Collection By-law be amended to provide that the authority for the ceasing of solid waste collection be only at the discretion of City Council; and
- (3) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to:
- (i) investigate and report on new vehicles and alternative methods that could be used in order to facilitate curbside collection in all townhouse developments and single family homes, including those on laneways and non-standard roadways; and
 - (ii) bring a clean-up crew from downtown to the former City of North York area to undertake a one-time clean-up of litter, in areas where the flower pot bins are located.

Councillor Sherene Shaw, Scarborough Agincourt, appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter, and requested that she be recorded as being opposed to the aforementioned Residential Solid Waste Collection By-law.

Councillor Disero moved the adoption of the aforementioned report. **(Carried)**

Councillor Shiner moved that the following be deleted from the proposed by-law:

- (1) the requirement for the use of plastic bags for the collection of paper; and

- (2) the reference to bi-weekly recycling collection for Multiple Household Residences.

(Referred)

Councillor Disero further moved that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report directly to Council for its meeting on August 1, 2000, on the foregoing motion by Councillor Shiner, such report to include consideration of issues related to weight and storage space. **(Carried)**

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services – July 12, 2000)

(Clause No. 3, Report No. 15)

13.7 Proposed Solid Waste Management Services Requirements for New Developments and Redevelopments.

The Works Committee had before it a report (June 28, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) based on comments received during the stakeholder consultation process, the attached document, entitled “City of Toronto Requirements for Garbage and Recycling Collection from New Developments and Redevelopments”, be approved;
- (2) staff be authorized to make this document available to all parties involved in new developments and redevelopments and impose the requirements contained within; and
- (3) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to make amendments to the access contained in “City of Toronto Requirements for Garbage and Recycling Collection from New Developments and Redevelopments” from time to time as a result of changes to collection operations.

The Committee also had before it the following communications:

- (i) (May 29, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Toronto Community Council, on May

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, July 12, 2000

23, 2000:

- (1) recommended that the requirements be amended so that public laneway collection would be permitted and encouraged if these new developments are on main commercial streets; and
 - (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Works Committee, at its meeting at which this matter will be considered:
 - (a) on the possibility of mandatory refrigerated wet waste storage areas; and
 - (b) on a possible requirement for composting, organics separation and fibres separation for new buildings or redevelopment of old buildings;
- (ii) (May 30, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the North York Community Council, on May 23, 2000:
- (1) received the report (March 9, 2000) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, Works and Emergency Services; and
 - (2) referred the following recommendations respecting the proposed Solid Waste Management Services Requirements for Developments and Redevelopments, to the Works Committee for its consideration:
 - (a) that all new single family homes, townhouses, semi-detached homes and multi-residential developments, other than apartment buildings, that are on public or private roads and laneways be designed to facilitate curbside collection in order to eliminate central point collection; and
 - (b) all references to the use of bags to collect paper as referred to in the document headed "Requirements for City of Toronto Garbage and Recycling Collection at New Developments and Redevelopments", be deleted and that grey green recycling boxes continue to be used for the collection of newspapers, telephone directories, magazines and catalogues;

and further advising that the North York Community Council requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to investigate and report on new vehicles and alternative methods that could be used in order to facilitate curbside collection in all new

developments; and

- (iii) (June 28, 2000) from Councillor Norm Kelly, Scarborough Wexford, respecting curbside waste collection at condominium units; and requesting the Works Committee to recommend a pilot project at Stonehill Court, Scarborough, for a six-month period for curbside waste collection.

On motion by Councillor King, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report, subject to:

- (1) adding to the end of Recommendation No. (2) the words “including Urban Development Services staff in each of the Community Council areas” so that such Recommendation reads as follows:
 - “(2) staff be authorized to make this document available to all parties involved in new developments and redevelopments and impose the requirements contained within, including Urban Development Services staff in each of the Community Council areas”; and
- (2) adding thereto the following recommendation:
 - “(4) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to provide an information session for Urban Development Services staff involved in the processing of applications for new developments and redevelopments to familiarize them with the new requirements.”

(Clause No. 4, Report No. 15)

13.8 Harmonization of Transit Shelter Agreements – Contract with Mediacom Inc.

The Works Committee had before it a report (July 5, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) approval be given to enter into an agreement with Mediacom Inc. for the provision, repair, cleaning, operation and maintenance of transit shelters with and without advertising caissons, subject to the terms and conditions generally as described in this report and such other terms and conditions as may be satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, July 12, 2000

Emergency Services and the City Solicitor, with such agreement to replace all existing transit shelter agreements;

- (2) in the event that Recommendation No. (1) above is not adopted (i.e., the Mediacom offer is rejected), the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be directed to issue a Request for Proposals for the Metro and North York transit shelter agreements which expire in 2000 and 2001 respectively, for a term ending in 2005, as described in this report; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary steps to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

Mr. Andrew Koropeski, Director, Transportation Services, gave a presentation to the Committee with respect to the foregoing matter.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. David P. Smith, Q.C., Solicitor and Chairman of the Board, Fraser Milner, representing Pattison Outdoor;
- Mr. Ronald G. Barr, Executive Director of Community/Government Relations, Pattison Outdoor, and submitted a communication with respect thereto;
- Mr. John Jory, President, Eller Canada, and submitted a communication with respect thereto;
- Ms. Gayle Christie, Gayle Christie Associates;
- Mr. Blair Murdoch, Vice-President, Real Estate, Mediacom Inc., and Mr. Jeffery Lyons, Morrison Brown Sosnovitch, Barristers and Solicitors; and
- Councillor Frances Nunziata, York Humber.

Councillor Layton declared his interest in the foregoing matter in that his daughter has recently become employed with a company called "Availablemedia.com", which markets advertising services to the outdoor advertising sector.

On motion by Councillor Shiner, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of Recommendations Nos. (1) and (3) embodied in the aforementioned

report, subject to:

- (1) amending the agreement to add an additional 20 shelters per year for years 3 to 7, to be installed in new locations, bringing the total number of new shelters to 975; and
- (2) including in the agreement the following conditions:
 - (i) any shelters removed that are in satisfactory condition will be reinstalled at new locations at no cost to the City, as requested by the City, Mediacom to be responsible for the maintenance of these shelters;
 - (ii) all shelters will be cleaned on a weekly basis, and in some areas on a more tailored approach; and
 - (iii) a notice will be posted inside every shelter indicating a number to call in the event that the shelter requires cleaning and/or maintenance.

(Clause No. 2, Report No. 15)

13.9 No-Fault Flood Grant Program – Basement Flooding Damages and Clean-Up Costs.

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (June 28, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that:

- (1) a City-wide Basement Flood Grant program not be established for flooded basements, as it would not provide any beneficial effects for the City, the cost of the program is unpredictable and potentially excessive, and the program is not required under the Municipal Act;
- (2) Resolution No. 91-21 of the former North York City Council be repealed, being a public No-Fault Grant program which provides financial assistance to former City of North York residents for damages caused by sewer back-ups and watermain breaks;
- (3) as a supplement to the City's Claims Administration Procedures and to assist residents with

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, July 12, 2000

the City's claims process, staff be authorized to provide the homeowner with a claims procedure cards as shown in Appendix "A";

- (4) should Committee wish to implement a City-wide Basement Flood Grant program, such program shall be in the amount of \$500.00 or \$1,000.00 or \$1,500.00 per flooding incident;
- (5) should Committee adopt Recommendation No. (4) of this report, then the administrative guidelines as set out in Appendix "B" of this report will apply;
- (6) should Committee adopt Recommendation No. (4) of this report, the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer report back on the creation of the Basement Flood Grant Reserve Fund By-law and funding plan for the program as part of the rate-setting report for the 2001 water rate;
- (7) should Committee adopt Recommendation No. (4) of this report, two additional clerical positions be created to administer the Basement Flood Grant program;
- (8) should Committee adopt Recommendation No. (4) of this report, the existing North York No-Fault Flood Grant program remain in place until such time as a City-wide Basement Flood Grant program is implemented as part of the 2001 budget process; and
- (9) staff be directed to give effect to the foregoing.
On motion by Councillor Shiner, the Committee deferred consideration of the aforementioned report for consideration during the 2001 Water and Wastewater budget process, with a request that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer submit a joint report to the Works Committee and the Budget Advisory Committee at that time on:
 - (1) the various costs and financing options;
 - (2) procedures for approval to avoid duplicate payments to the homeowners by their insurance company and the City; and
 - (3) the policy being applicable for claims not covered by the homeowner's insurance company.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; c: General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services – July 12, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(a), Report No. 15)

DEFERRED

13.10 Water Supply from Durham Region for Properties on Finch Avenue East at Pickering Town Line in Toronto. (Scarborough Malvern)

The Works Committee had before it a report (June 28, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) the agreement between the Region of Durham and the City of Toronto for the supply of potable water to properties on Finch Avenue East be executed in order that staff can proceed with the tendering of Contract No. 00D4-318WS for the construction of the water main; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Sinclair, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 11, Report No. 15)

13.11 Universal Metering – Public Notification.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (April 19, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that City Council, at its meeting on April 11, 12 and 13, 2000, adopted, as amended, Clause No. 9 contained in Report No. 6 of The Works Committee, headed “Universal Metering – Public Notification”; and further advising that in doing so, Council amended the Clause by:

- (1) striking out and referring Recommendation No. (3) of the Works Committee back to the Works Committee for further consideration, viz.:
 - “(3) each Ward Councillor be notified of the names of any customers with increases in flat rate bills as a result of refusing a meter, prior to such increases;”;

and further recommended that:

- (a) the City Clerk and the City Solicitor be requested to submit a joint report to the Works Committee on ways the City of Toronto can ensure that homeowners and residents have been notified fully of the issue and on whether or not they want a meter; and
- (b) the City Solicitor be directed to seek a legal opinion from outside legal counsel, Mr. George Rust-D'Eye, in this regard.

The Committee also had before it a joint report (July 7, 2000) from the City Clerk and City Solicitor respecting the issue of notifying homeowners and residents of a water rate increase as a result of refusing a water meter under the City's Universal Metering Program, as requested by Council, and forwarding an opinion dated June 23, 2000, from Mr. George Rust-D'Eye of Weir and Foulds with respect thereto; advising that disclosure of the name of an individual together with personal information relating to their water bill constitutes the personal information of the individual, disclosure of which can only be made in accordance with the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act; setting out alternatives to disclosing personal information to City Councillors in an attempt to assist homeowners and residents and to ensure that they aware of the increase in their water bill as a result of refusing a meter; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Davis, the Committee received the aforementioned communication and report.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; City Solicitor; c: General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services – July 12, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(b), Report No. 15)

13.12 Revised 2000 Capital Works Plan – Water and Wastewater Programs.

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (July 6, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer respecting changes to the 2000-2004 Capital Program for Water and Wastewater in accordance with Council's approval of a cash flow commitment of \$240.0 million for the year 2000, as well as the future year cash commitments associated with the revised work plan; and recommending that:

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, July 12, 2000

- (1) project listed in Appendix A which have cash flow requirements of \$240,765 million in 2000, be approved; and
- (2) future year commitments of \$153.126 million in 2001; \$124.549 million in 2002; \$74.773 million in 2003; and \$49.639 million in 2004 as listed in Appendix A be approved.

The Committee also had before it a report (June 28, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting the provision of making appropriate Capital budget provisions to allow for the replacement of lead or galvanized water services within one year of a resident's request, and providing residents an opportunity for water service upgrade prior to road work where streets are being reconstructed or resurfaced, as requested by the Budget Advisory Committee; advising that it appears that additional funding of approximately \$3.8 million would be required to make appropriate provision in 2001 to have "on demand" service replacement requests undertaken within one year; further advising that while there are potential cost savings when water service replacements occur just prior to resurfacing, there are significantly insufficient funds to replace all substandard pipe in this situation, let alone provide appropriate funding for "on demand" service replacements; and recommending that this report be received.

Councillor Joe Mihevc, York Eglinton, appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

Councillor Layton, on behalf of Councillor Mihevc, moved that the Committee:

- (1) recommend to the Policy and Finance Committee:
 - (i) the adoption of the aforementioned joint report; and
 - (ii) that a program be developed to accelerate the replacement of lead and galvanized pipes; and
- (2) request the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, in consultation with the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee for its next meeting on July 20, 2000, on funding options with respect to Recommendation No. (2).

Councillor Davis moved that the foregoing motion by Councillor Layton, on behalf of Councillor Mihevc, be amended to provide that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer include in such report to the Policy and Finance Committee whether there should be a deadline for requests for replacement of lead and galvanized

pipes. **(Carried)**

Councillor Shiner moved that the aforementioned joint report dated July 6, 2000, be received. **(Redundant)**

(Policy and Finance Committee; c: Councillor Joe Mihevc; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services – July 12, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(c), Report No. 15)

**13.13 Additional Expenditures for Work
on the Biosolids Pelletizing Facility
at the Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (June 23, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) additional expenditures in the amount of \$750,000.00 including Goods and Services Tax, be authorized for the performance of necessary additional work on the design/build contract with USF Canada Inc. for the construction of the Biosolids Pelletizing Facility at the Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant, resulting in an overall increase in the contract price from \$22,235,959.00 to \$22,985,959.00; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Shiner, the Committee recommended to Council:

- (1) the adoption of the aforementioned report; and
- (2) that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to do everything possible to effect additional savings to bring the overall cost back in line with the original contract price.

(Clause No. 12, Report No. 15)

**13.14 Ultraviolet Design Optimization Study
at the Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (June 15, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting the status and details of the Ultraviolet Design Optimization Study at the Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant, as requested by the Committee at its meeting on March 22, 2000; advising that the majority of the field work has already been completed, and that work will continue over the summer of 2000 with a series of vendor evaluations to allow for selection of a UV equipment supplier; noting that further work on the UV system and outfall, including the retention of a consultant for the design and construction management of the project will proceed once the Environmental Assessment is received from the Ministry of the Environment; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Shiner, the Committee received the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 23(d), Report No. 15)

**13.15 Transportation and Climate Change:
Options for Action.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (June 28, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) the National Climate Change Secretariat be requested to extend its consultations and ongoing dialogue concerning greenhouse gas emissions and climate change to the three largest urban areas in Canada: Toronto, Vancouver and Montreal;
- (2) this report be forwarded to the Greater Toronto Services Board and Greater Toronto Area Regions for their information; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorised and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 7, Report No. 15)

13.16 Air Quality.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (May 29, 2000) from the Secretary, Board of Health, advising that the Board of Health, at its meeting on May 29, 2000, adopted a report (May 7, 2000) from the Medical Officer of Health advising that the Ministry of the Environment has committed to shorten the time frame between the collection and reporting of the air quality monitoring results across Ontario; that Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with Public Health, has agreed to prepare annual air quality reports specific to the City of Toronto; and recommending that the Board of Health forward this report to the Works Committee and request that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with the Medical Officer of Health, be directed to report annually to the Works Committee and the Board of Health on the status of air quality in Toronto.

The Committee also had before it a communication (June 12, 2000) from the City Clerk forwarding for information and any attention deemed necessary Clause No. 1 of Report No. 4 of The Board of Health, headed "Air Pollution Burden of Illness in Toronto – Summary Report", which was adopted, as amended by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting on June 7, 8 and 9,

2000.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Committee requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with the Medical Officer of Health, to report annually to the Works Committee and the Board of Health on the status of air quality in Toronto, as recommended in the aforementioned report from the Medical Officer of Health.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Medical Officer of Health; c: Secretary, Board of Health – July 12, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(e), Report No. 15)

**13.17 Warrants for the Justification of
Traffic Control Signals.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (May 26, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services reviewing the City of Toronto's current practice used to assess the technical justification for the installation of a new traffic control signal, and to present the rationale that is used to select the order in which new traffic control signals are installed; noting that while no changes are recommended at this time, the Transportation Services Division monitors trends and technological advances in transportation engineering, and is committed to improving safety for pedestrians, cyclists and drivers; and recommending that the report be received for information.

The Committee also had before it a communication (June 30, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Toronto Pedestrian Committee, on June 28, 2000, recommended the adoption of the report dated June 28, 2000, from the Toronto Pedestrian Committee, which recommended that the report be referred to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services with a request for a report, through the Pedestrian Committee, on the merits of implementing the following proposals:

- (1) that, to properly assess requests to improve the pedestrian crossing environment, the following information be collected in the study conducted by Transportation Services for each of the eight hours selected for the study:
 - (a) number and adequacy of interruptions of continuous vehicular traffic (also referred to as "gaps in traffic"), in both directions, that allow safe pedestrian street crossings without interference from vehicular traffic (excessive delays in adequate gaps may cause pedestrians to endanger themselves by attempting to cross the street during an inadequate gap);

- (b) motor vehicle operating speeds in both directions, in both the curb and passing lanes;
 - (c) counts of heavy trucks and other large vehicles that obstruct a driver's view of a pedestrian(s) occupying a travel lane;
 - (d) counts of motor vehicle turning movements within the study area that affect pedestrian crossings; (e.g., Brimley Road south of Huntingwood Drive);
 - (e) street lighting conditions and illumination of pedestrians;
 - (f) location of bus stops and service schedule (can affect the level of risk-taking); and
 - (g) type of development and land use along the approach to, and at, the proposed installation site;
- (2) that Transportation Services' reports include a comprehensive description of traffic and other physical conditions that constitute a hazard for pedestrians crossing the street within the defined study area;
- (3) that the minimum pedestrian volume requirement to justify the installation of traffic control signals be reduced in those parts of the City (mostly suburban) that were built to accommodate travel by the automobile for both long and short trips; and
- (4) that studies be conducted to determine the extent to which improvements to pedestrian crossing environments encourage people to walk (not drive), or walk further, especially for short trips.

Ms. Rhona Swarbrick, Co-Chair, Toronto Pedestrian Committee, appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Committee:

- (1) received the aforementioned report; and
- (2) referred the aforementioned report from the Toronto Pedestrian Committee to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services for a report thereon to the Works Committee, through the Toronto Pedestrian

Committee, as soon as possible, such report to include comment on the criteria currently being used to determine whether a pedestrian crossing or traffic control signal is warranted.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Administrator, Toronto Pedestrian Committee; Ms. Rhona Swarbrick; General Manager, Transportation Services – July 12, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(f), Report No. 15)

13.18 Review of Level Crossings and the Construction of Grade Separations in the City of Toronto.

The Works Committee had before it a report (May 31, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services providing an overview of the status of level rail crossings and grade separations in the City of Toronto, in response to a request from the former Urban Environment and Development Committee; and recommending that a program to construct high priority grade separations in the City of Toronto be considered as part of the City of Toronto's response to the recently announced federal infrastructure program.

Councillor King moved:

- (1) the adoption of the aforementioned report; and
- (2) that the level crossing of the CN Bala Subdivision south of Sheppard Avenue East between Provost Drive and Old Leslie Street be identified within the inventory of existing rail level crossings in the City of Toronto.

(Carried)

Councillor Shiner moved that priority be given to the construction of grade separations on rail lines which are serving all-day GO Transit rail service or are scheduled for upgrades to all-day GO service, and that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to investigate the potential to share the costs with GO Transit for these upgrades. **(Carried)**

Councillor Bossons moved that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report to the Committee on:

- (1) the possibility of any financial contribution from the various railway companies; and
- (2) the frequency of trains and their impact on traffic.

(Carried)

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: General Manager, Transportation Services – July 12, 2000)

(Clause No. 14, Report No. 15)

**13.19 Evaluation of the Effectiveness of
Community Safety Zones.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (June 23, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) the existing 12 Community Safety Zones (CSZ's) be maintained;
- (2) additional CSZ's not be installed at this time;
- (3) the Toronto Police Service be informed and be requested to maintain a sustained enforcement program for all existing CSZ's;
- (4) the Province be requested to review the existing regulatory sign design associated with CSZ's, with a view to improving their visibility amongst other regulatory signs;
- (5) the Province be requested to expedite the Ministry of the Solicitor General and Correctional Services Provincial CSZ promotion initiatives; and
- (6) Transportation Services staff maintain a program to monitor traffic operations at the three subject study locations, and to conduct a follow-up study of the effectiveness of the subject CSZ's two months after a public education program has been initiated by the Province at the following study locations:

- (i) Dundas Street West between Montgomery Road and 100 metres east of Old Oak Road;
- (ii) East Avenue between Lawrence Avenue East and Island Road; and
- (iii) Parkside Drive between Bloor Street West and Lake Shore Boulevard West.

Councillor Valenti moved the adoption of the aforementioned report, subject to Weston Road between Sheppard Avenue and Finch Avenue being exempt from the policy and designated as a Community Safety Zone. **(Carried, as amended)**

Councillor Davis moved that the foregoing motion by Councillor Valenti be amended to read as follows:

“That the Committee recommend to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report, save and except for extension of the existing Community Safety Zone on Weston Road at Sheppard Avenue to include the section north to Finch Avenue”.

(Carried)

(Clause No. 15, Report No. 15)

**13.20 Turn Prohibitions at the Intersection of
Lawrence Avenue East and Ellington Drive.
(Scarborough Wexford)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (June 20, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) southbound and eastbound left-turn movements be prohibited at all times at the intersection of Lawrence Avenue East and Ellington Drive; and
- (2) the appropriate by-law be amended accordingly.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 17, Report No. 15)

13.21 Proposed Installation of Traffic Control Signals on Wilson Avenue at a Point 210 Metres West of Transit Road and on Dufferin Street at Transit Road, and Modifications to Traffic Control Signals at Wilson Avenue and Transit Road. (North York Spadina)

The Works Committee had before it a report (June 21, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) traffic control signal installation be approved on Wilson Avenue at a point 210 metres west of Transit Road;
- (2) traffic control signals be installed at the intersection of Dufferin Street and Transit Road;
- (3) coincidental with the activation of the signals referenced in Recommendation No. (1):
 - (i) the northbound curb lane be designated a compulsory right-turn lane;
 - (ii) the most westerly northbound lane be designated a compulsory left-turn lane; and
 - (iii) the road widening and improvements described therein be co-ordinated with the installation of traffic control signals on Wilson Avenue;
- (4) coincidental with the activation of the signals referenced in Recommendation No. (2):
 - (i) the two most southerly westbound lanes be designated as a double westbound left turn and the curb lane be designated for westbound right turns;
 - (ii) east-west pedestrian crossing on the south side of the intersection be prohibited in order to eliminate vehicle/pedestrian conflicts associated with double turning movements;
 - (iii) the northbound curb lane of Dufferin Street be designated a compulsory right-turn lane; and

- (iv) southbound left turns be prohibited at all times at the intersection of Dufferin Street and Transit Road;
- (5) traffic control signals at the intersection of Wilson Avenue and Transit Road be modified to incorporate the extension of Transit Road to the south and subsequently to the west to intersect with Dufferin Street;
- (6) coincidental with the modification of the signals referenced in Recommendation No. (5):
 - (i) the northbound curb lane be designated a compulsory northbound right-turn lane;
 - (ii) rescind the existing compulsory right-turn designation for the southbound curb lane; and
 - (iii) the construction of the Transit Road extension to the south and west, described therein, be co-ordinated with the modification of the intersection of Wilson Avenue and Transit Road;
- (7) the appropriate By-law(s) be amended accordingly; and
- (8) all funding is to be secured by way of the Section 37 agreement for the development. The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 18, Report No. 15)

**13.22 Proposed Installation of Traffic Control Signals –
Lawrence Avenue West at Blossomfield Drive.
(North York Spadina)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (June 22, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) traffic control signals be installed at the intersection of Lawrence Avenue West and Blossomfield Drive;
- (2) coincidental with the activation of the signals referenced in Recommendation No. (1):

- (i) Blossomfield Drive be widened and the easterly southbound lanes be designed for southbound left turns and the curb lane be designated for southbound left or right turns;
 - (ii) north-south pedestrian crossing on the east side of Blossomfield Drive be prohibited;
 - (iii) westbound no right turn prohibitions for the access from the Fortino's store to Blossomfield Drive be implemented to eliminate site generated traffic from entering the surrounding neighbourhood;
 - (iv) SCOOT traffic control system be implemented at the proposed new signal and the signal at Bolingbroke Road/CIBC access;
 - (v) the road improvements described therein be co-ordinated with the installation of traffic control signals on Lawrence Avenue West at Blossomfield Drive; and
 - (vi) the appropriate By-law(s) be amended accordingly; and
- (3) all roadway improvements required as part of the development will be completed to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, at no expense to the City of Toronto.

On motion by Councillor Shiner, the Committee deferred consideration of the aforementioned report until its next regular meeting, scheduled to be held on September 13, 2000, with a request that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services submit a further report to such meeting on ways to prohibit the infiltration of transient traffic from Blossomfield Drive to Bolingbroke Road.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: General Manager, Transportation Services – July 12, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(g), Report No. 15)

DEFERRED

13.23 Proposed Modification of Traffic Control Signals at Sheppard Avenue West/Tuscan Gate Intersection, Construction of Fourth Leg of Intersection and Roadway Improvements on Sheppard Avenue West at Tuscan Gate. (North York Spadina)

The Works Committee had before it a report (June 21, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) traffic control signals be modified at the intersection of Sheppard Avenue West at Tuscan Gate to accommodate the fourth leg of the intersection;
- (2) coincidental with the modification referenced in Recommendation No. (1):
 - (i) Sheppard Avenue West be widened to incorporate a westbound left-turn lane at the intersection of Sheppard Avenue West at Tuscan Gate;
 - (ii) a new access/egress be constructed on the south side of Sheppard Avenue West to form the fourth leg of the intersection;
 - (iii) a northbound no right turn on red prohibition be implemented to address concerns for restricted sight lines; and
 - (iv) north/south pedestrian crossings on the east side of the intersection be prohibited; and
- (3) the appropriate By-law(s) be amended accordingly.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 19, Report No. 15)

**13.24 Alterations to Traffic Calming
Measures on Winona Drive.
(Ward 28, York Eglinton)**

The Works Committee had before it a communication (May 29, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that York Community Council on May 23, 2000, recommended that the Works Committee request the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report on the estimated cost of the removal of the raised pedestrian crossings at Winona Drive and Ava Road and Winona Drive and Belvidere Avenue and replacement with raised intersections, including various construction design options with textured concrete, height reduction and appropriate alternatives, having regard to the Works Committee's request for staff to report on a moratorium on traffic calming and in consideration of proposed City-wide standards for the implementation of traffic calming measures.

The Committee received the aforementioned communication, having regard that Council at its meeting on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000, gave consideration to this matter.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Administrator, York Community Council; General Manager, Transportation Services – July 12, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(h), Report No. 15)

**13.25 Transportation Capital Works Program –
Response to Issues Raised During Consideration
of the 2000 Capital Budget.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (June 28, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services responding to requests tabled during the final review of the Transportation Services 2000 Capital Works Program at the City Council meeting on January 27, 2000, with respect to the following:

- (1) Executive Court Extension;
- (2) Port Union Road;
- (3) Scarlett Road/St. Clair Avenue West/Dundas Street West Underpass;
- (4) Railway Lands;
- (5) Dufferin Street/Eglinton Avenue West Intersection Improvements; and

- (6) Rane Avenue/Bentworth Avenue/Dufferin Street Intersection Improvements;
and recommending that this report be received for information.

Councillor Layton moved that the President of Enwave District Energy Limited, in conjunction with the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, be requested to report to the Works Committee on the feasibility of introducing central heating and cooling ducts into the road elements of the proposed Railway Lands development, in light of the provisions of the Official Plan. **(Carried)**

Councillor Shiner moved that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the Works Committee on the status of other under-pavement services planned for the proposed Railway Lands development. **(Carried)**

Councillor Davis moved that the Budget Advisory Committee be requested to give priority in the 2001 Operating Budget process to improvements to the southeast corner of the intersection at Dufferin Street and Eglinton Avenue with respect to the Pedestrian Refuge Island; and that the General Manager, Transportation Services be requested to discuss with Councillor Davis options with respect thereto. **(Carried)**

(Clause No. 16, Report No. 15)

13.26 Feasibility of Connecting Woodfield Road or Knox Avenue to Lake Shore Boulevard East, and Other Issues Related to F.G. Gardiner Expressway Dismantling Project. (Don River, East Toronto)

The Works Committee had before it a report (June 26, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting the results of a feasibility study that examined connecting Woodfield Road or Knox Avenue to Lake Shore Boulevard East, and other issues relating to the F.G. Gardiner Expressway Dismantling Project; advising that the review of alternative methods of relieving the eastbound left-turn congestion at Lake Shore Boulevard and Coxwell Avenue concluded that Woodfield Road and Knox Avenue should not be extended to Lake Shore Boulevard East, and that constructing double left-turn lanes at the intersection would be the most desirable and cost-effective approach to the identified problem; noting that no action should be

taken until after the F.G Gardiner Expressway Dismantling Project is complete and traffic patterns have been re-established; commenting on discussions with the Toronto Port Authority and the Toronto Economic Development Corporation with respect to rail relocation; further commenting on temporary traffic management measures on Logan Avenue south of Eastern Avenue, and improvements to current lighting levels; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Committee received the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 23(i), Report No. 15)

**13.27 Feasibility of Installing a Sidewalk on
Don Mills Road from O'Connor Drive to
the Don Valley Parkway Exit Ramp.
(East York)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (May 30, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services advising that staff have investigated the feasibility of installing a sidewalk on the south side of Don Mills Road from O'Connor Drive to the Don Valley Parkway Exit Ramp, as requested by East York Community Council at its meeting on May 26 and 27, 1999, and have determined that it is not feasible at this time; and recommending that the report be received for information.

The Committee received the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 23(j), Report No. 15)

**13.28 Front Yard and On-Street Permit Parking Fees (All Wards);
Late Invoicing of Residents in York.
(York Humber and York Eglinton)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (June 30, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services responding to communications from Councillors Michael Walker, Rob Davis and Joe Mihevc that were considered by the Committee at its meeting of March 22, 2000, with respect to front yard parking and on-street parking fee revisions and the billing procedures in the York community; and recommending that:

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, July 12, 2000

(1) this report be received for information;

or

- (2) the Works Committee, in consideration of concerns raised with the post-billing of 1999 front-yard parking fees in the York community, decide whether redress is appropriate, and if so recommend to City Council one of Options 2 or 3 set out in this report; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be requested to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

The Committee also had before a communication (July 10, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Planning and Transportation Committee at its meeting on July 10, 2000, gave consideration to a communication (June 8, 2000) from Councillor Nunziata respecting the increase in fees for on-street, front yard and commercial boulevard parking and its impact on residents of the former City of York; that the Planning and Transportation Committee also had before it the aforementioned report dated June 30, 2000, addressed to the Works Committee from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and that the Planning and Transportation Committee:

- (1) recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that the following Option 3 contained in the report (June 30, 2000) from the Commissioner, Works and Emergency Services respecting the post-billing of 1999 front yard parking fees in the York community be adopted, and forwarded this matter to the Policy and Finance Committee for consideration of the financial implications inherent therein:

“Option 3: Forgive a portion of the 1999 fee for York licence holders (i.e. 50% or \$24.00 refund) – Revenue Loss \$79,608”; and

- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with appropriate City staff, to report further on the feasibility of having the collection of all fees related to front yard parking, boulevard parking and driveway widening included in municipal taxes.

The following Councillors appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Councillor Joe Mihevc, York Eglinton; and
- Councillor Frances Nunziata, York Humber.

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, July 12, 2000

Councillor Davis moved that:

- (1) the Committee recommend to the Policy and Finance Committee:
 - (i) the adoption of Option 3 contained in the aforementioned report dated June 30, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, subject to deleting the amount of 50 percent or \$24.00 refund (City revenue loss: \$79,608.00) and inserting in lieu thereof the amount of 75 percent or \$36.00 (City revenue loss: \$119,412.00) so that such Option reads as follows:

“Option 3: Forgive a portion of the 1999 fee for York licence holders (i.e., 75 percent or \$36.00 refund) - City revenue loss: \$119,412.00”;
 - and
 - (ii) that the appropriate City officials be requested to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills; and

(Carried, on the following recorded vote:

FOR: Davis, Disero, Layton, Palacio, Saundercook, Sinclair

AGAINST: Shiner, Valenti)

- (2) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report to the Works Committee on:
 - (i) a means of providing an incentive for residents to use on-street parking and discourage the use of front yard parking; and
 - (ii) the results of the implementation of the increase in fees City-wide, including enforcement issues and actual revenues compared to those anticipated.

(Carried)

Councillor Bossons moved that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the Works Committee on the harmonization of technical requirements for front yard parking pads, including size, type of paving, ground space required and proximity to trees. **(Carried)**

Councillor Shiner moved the adoption of Option 1 contained in the aforementioned report dated June 30, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services. **(Redundant)**

(Policy and Finance Committee; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Councillor Joe Mihevc; Councillor Frances Nunziata; Councillor Rob Davis; General Manager, Transportation Services; Administrator, Planning and Transportation Committee – July 12, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(k), Report No. 15)

13.29 Proposed Establishment of a Parking Committee.

The Works Committee had before it a report (May 30, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting the desirability of establishing a Parking Committee, as recommended by Councillor Bossons in a communication dated September 8, 1998, to the Urban Environment and Development Committee; advising that overall, the current system of parking functions is handled by the City and the Toronto Parking Authority which co-ordinate their activities at the staff level and through City Council where regulation is required; noting that this approach is currently delivering a good service level and would be the approach recommended to deal with the issues raised by Councillor Bossons; further advising that staff do not recommend that City Council establish a separate Parking Committee at this time; and recommending that the report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Committee received the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 23(l), Report No. 15)

13.30 Parking Enforcement Officers – Authority to Tow Unplated Vehicles, and Vehicles with Expired Permits, on City Streets.

The Works Committee had before it a report (June 22, 2000) from the Chairman, Toronto Police Services Board, forwarding a report from the Chief of Police responding to issues raised by the Committee regarding the City's authority to tow unplated vehicles parked on City streets; and recommending that:

- (1) the Works Committee receive this report; and
- (2) following review of this report, the Works Committee forward this report to Toronto City Council for information.

The Committee received the aforementioned report.

(Councillor Norman Gardner, Chairman, Toronto Police Services Board;
c: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; General Manager,
Transportation Services – July 12, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(m), Report No. 15)

**13.31 Algae Collection (Former City of Etobicoke).
(Lakeshore – Queensway)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (June 20, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services responding to a communication from Councillor Irene Jones, Lakeshore-Queensway, that was before the Committee at its meeting on September 8, 1999, with respect to the collection of algae along the lakefront area in south Etobicoke; advising that the equipment used for cleaning the algae from the shore and lake, which experienced a lengthy breakdown in 1999 resulting in a significant number of complaints, has been serviced and is in satisfactory condition; further advising that replacement is not recommended at this time, and arrangements have been made to provide back-up equipment should there be a significant breakdown; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. William Thuma, resident; and
- Councillor Irene Jones, Lakeshore-Queensway.

On motion by Councillor Sinclair, the Committee:

- (1) received the aforementioned report; and
- (2) requested that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services arrange a meeting with Councillor Irene Jones and Mr. William Thuma to discuss the issues raised at the meeting.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Councillor Irene Jones; Mr. William Thuma; General Manager, Transportation Services – July 12, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(n), Report No. 15)

**13.32 Agreements with Olifas Marketing Group Inc. (OMG)
for Litter Bins in Etobicoke.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (June 27, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) authority be granted to extend the term of the existing litter bin agreement with Olifas Marketing Group Inc. for the Etobicoke Community Council area so that it will terminate on October 14, 2009, to coincide with the expiry date of the litter bin agreements relating to the other participating Community Council areas; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 5, Report No. 15)

13.33 Recycling at the TTC and Commercial Establishments.

The Works Committee had before it a report (June 30, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services responding to a request from the Budget Advisory Committee for a report on incentives for the Toronto Transit Commission and commercial establishments to pick up recyclables; and recommending that OMG three-compartment litter bins be placed in the vicinity of all Toronto Transit Commission subway station entrances in order to allow Transit commuters the opportunity to recycle away from home.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report; and
- (2) requested that the Chair of the Works Committee and other interested Members of the Committee seek a meeting with the Chair and senior staff of the Toronto Transit Commission to discuss initiatives that could enhance recycling on TTC property, including the issue of free newspapers as one of immediate concern.

(Councillor Bill Saundercook, Chair, Works Committee; Members of the Works Committee; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services – July 12, 2000)

(Clause No. 6, Report No. 15)

13.34 Household Hazardous Waste.

The Works Committee had before it a report (June 27, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services responding to a request from Council at its meeting of February 1, 2 and 3, 2000, for a report on a more aggressive program to collect household hazardous waste (HHW), possibly at gas stations; outlining an HHW return-to-retailer program whereby retailers accept from residents categories of HHW related to selected products sold in their stores, similar to a program recently implemented in the Region of Ottawa-Carleton; noting that setting up such a system in Toronto would have budget implications, but that this may be offset by a reduction in HHW operating costs if quantities received through the existing HHW program declined; advising that staff will monitor the Ottawa-Carleton program and report further recommending a retailer-based HHW

program in the City if it appears that it could be operated cost-effectively; and recommending that this report be received for information.

Councillor Shiner moved that the aforementioned report be received; and that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report to the 3Rs Sub-Committee in September on best practices of other municipalities with respect to their handling of household hazardous waste.

(Carried, as amended)

Councillor Bossons moved that the foregoing motion by Councillor Shiner be amended to provide that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to also report to the 3Rs Sub-Committee on any action being taken to raise with the Province of Ontario the stringent provincial regulations with respect to the establishment of temporary HHW collection sites and any means of facilitating such HHW collection. **(Carried)**

Councillor Davis moved that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to also investigate including manufacturers and distributors in addition to retailers in a household hazardous waste program, specifically with respect to the paint industry, and including the British Columbia model, and report back thereon to the Committee. **(Carried)**

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services; Committee Secretary, 3Rs Sub-Committee – July 12, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(o), Report No. 15)

**13.35 Commercial Garbage and Recycling
Service Harmonization Status Report.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (June 28, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting the status of plans to harmonize commercial garbage and recycling service and fees across the City, and options for service eligibility criteria, service levels and service fee structures; presenting a definition of a core area of the City requiring more frequent commercial garbage collection, preliminary eligibility criteria and collection frequency for small commercial locations both within and outside of the core area, as well as a preliminary graduated fee structure; advising that staff are proposing to report back in early 2001 with the results of further systems analysis and consultation with stakeholders, with the new service structure to be implemented in January 2002; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Committee received the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 23(p), Report No. 15)

**13.36 Toronto Public Health Authority Under
the Health Protection and Promotion Act
as it Pertains to Garbage Handling and Storage.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (June 2, 2000) from the Medical Officer of Health outlining Toronto Public Health's legislative authority in dealing with issues relating to small business garbage handling and storage, and more specifically the role of this Division in dealing with the problem of garbage accumulations in laneways originating from food service premises, as requested by City Council on April 11, 12 and 13, 2000; advising that Public Health and Works and Emergency Services are currently working together to identify those food premises which back or front onto problematic laneways or municipal rights-of-way, and are also developing an information package for distribution to the small business community outlining the relevant legislative requirements and information pertinent to garbage storage and collection; noting that this joint venture is expected to have an immediate positive impact on the cleanliness of such laneways within the City over the summer; and recommending that the report be received for information.

The Committee received the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 23(q), Report No. 15)

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Committee met privately to discuss the following matter having regard that the subject matter being considered relates to the security of the property of the municipality or local board, in accordance with the Municipal Act.

**13.37 Resurfacing of Toronto Roads in District 4, for 2000 –
Contract No. 00D4-101RD, Tender Call No. 10-2000.
(Scarborough Highland Creek, Scarborough Malvern
and Scarborough Agincourt)**

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (June 28, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending:

- (1) subject to a favourable report by the Manager, Fair Wage and Labour Trades Office, that Contract No. 00D4-101RD, Tender Call No. 10-2000, for the resurfacing of Toronto roads in District 4, for 2000, be awarded to Furfari Paving Co. Ltd. in the total amount of \$2,696,258.23 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received; and
- (2) in the event that the lowest bidder, Furfari Paving Co. Ltd., does not receive a favourable report by the Manager, Fair Wage and Labour Trades Office, that Contract No. 00D4-101RD, Tender Call No. 10-2000, for the resurfacing of Toronto roads in District 4, for 2000, be awarded to D. Crupi & Sons Ltd. in the total amount of \$3,077,768.14 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received meeting specifications.

The Committee also had before it a report (July 12, 2000) from the Manager, Fair Wage and Labour Office, providing the Committee with further information on whether the recommended bidder complies with the City's Fair Wage Policy; and recommending that Contract No. 00D4-101RD, Tender Call No. 10-2000, for the resurfacing of Toronto roads in District 4, be awarded to Furfari Paving Co. Ltd. in the total amount of \$2,696,258.23 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received.

The following Councillors appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Councillor Bas Balkissoon, Scarborough Malvern; and
- Councillor Norm Kelly, Scarborough Wexford.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Committee:

- (1) awarded the contract as recommended in Recommendation No. (1) of the aforementioned joint report dated June 28, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, having regard for the recommendation embodied in the supplementary report dated July 12, 2000, from the Manager, Fair Wage and Labour Trades Office; and
- (2) requested the City Solicitor, in consultation with the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, the City Auditor and the Manager, Fair Wage and Labour Trades Office, to submit a report directly to Council, in camera, for its meeting on August 1, 2000, on the determination of fair wage rate adherence of contractors and their sub-contractors, as well as the implications of applying prior performance and quality control adherence in contract awards.

(Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; City Solicitor; c. Councillor Bas Balkissoon; Councillor Norman Kelly; City Auditor; Manager, Fair Wage and Labour Trades Office; General Manager, Transportation Services; Director, Purchasing and Materials Management – July 12, 2000)

(Clause No. 21, Report No. 15)

**13.38 Kingston Road Resurfacing,
Chine Drive to Danforth Avenue (District 4),
Contract No. 00D4-004RD, Tender Call No. 114-2000.**

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (June 15, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that Contract No. 00D4-004RD, Tender Call No. 114-2000, for Kingston Road resurfacing (Chine Drive to Danforth Avenue) (District 4), for 2000, be awarded to D. Crupi & Sons Limited in the total amount of \$1,142,142.00 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received.

The Committee awarded the contract as recommended in the aforementioned joint report, in accordance with By-law No. 151-2000, the Interim Purchasing By-law.

(Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Executive Director, Technical Services; Director, Purchasing and Materials Management; City Solicitor – July 12, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(r), Report No. 15)

**13.39 Cleaning and Cement Mortar Lining of
Existing Watermain on Various Streets
Contract No. 00D1-531WS, Tender Call No. 120-2000.
(York Humber and York Eglinton)**

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (June 16, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that Contract No. 00D1-531WS, Tender Call No. 120-2000, for cleaning and cement mortar lining of existing watermain on various streets in York Humber and York Eglinton, be awarded to Spiniello Companies in the total amount of \$1,310,814.20 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received.

The Committee awarded the contract as recommended in the aforementioned joint report, in accordance with By-law No. 151-2000, the Interim Purchasing By-law.

(Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services; Director, Purchasing and Materials Management; City Solicitor – July 12, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(s), Report No. 15)

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Committee met privately to discuss the following matter having regard that the subject matter being considered relates to the security of the property of the municipality or local board, in accordance with the Municipal Act.

**13.40 Resurfacing of Toronto Roads in District 4,
Contract No. 00D4-100RD, Tender Call No. 9-2000.
(Scarborough Bluffs, Scarborough Wexford and
Scarborough Civic Centre)**

The Works Committee had before it a communication (July 7, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that City Council, at its meeting held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000, had before it the attached Clause No. 9 contained in Report No. 13 of The Works Committee, headed "Resurfacing of Toronto Roads in District 4, Contract No. 00D4-100RD, Tender Call No. 9-2000 (Scarborough Bluffs, Scarborough Wexford and Scarborough Civic Centre)", wherein the Works Committee recommended the adoption of the joint report dated June 8, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer which recommended that:

"Contract No. 00D4-100RD, Tender Call No. 9-2000, for the resurfacing of Toronto roads in District 4, be awarded to Furfari Paving Co. Ltd., in the total amount of \$2,684,148.93 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received";

and further advising that City Council directed that the aforementioned Clause be struck out and referred back to the Works Committee for further consideration at its next meeting scheduled to be held on July 12, 2000; and that the Manager, Fair Wage and Labour Trades Office, was requested, in consultation with the City Auditor, to review the contract and determine whether the contractor is in violation of the Fair Wage Policy of the City of Toronto and submit a report thereon to the Works Committee.

The Committee also had before it a report (July 11, 2000) from the Manager, Fair Wage and Labour Trades Office providing information with respect to whether the recommended contractor is in compliance with the City's Fair Wage Policy; and recommending that Contract No. 00D4-100RD, Tender No. 9-2000, for the resurfacing of Toronto roads in District 4, be awarded to Furfari Paving Co. Ltd., in the total amount of \$2,684,148.93 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received.

The following Councillors appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Councillor Bas Balkissoon, Scarborough Malvern; and
- Councillor Norm Kelly, Scarborough Wexford.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Committee:

- (1) awarded the contract as recommended in the aforementioned joint report dated June 8, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, having regard for the recommendation embodied in the supplementary report dated July 11, 2000, from the Manager, Fair Wage and Labour Trades Office; and
- (2) requested the City Solicitor, in consultation with the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, the City Auditor and the Manager, Fair Wage and Labour Trades Office, to submit a report directly to Council, in camera, for its meeting on August 1, 2000, on the determination of fair wage rate adherence of contractors and their sub-contractors, as well as the implications of applying prior performance and quality control adherence in contract awards.

(Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; City Solicitor; c: Councillor Bas Balkissoon; Councillor Norman Kelly; City Auditor; Manager, Fair Wage and Labour Trades Office; General Manager, Transportation Services; Director, Purchasing and Materials Management – July 12, 2000)

(Clause No. 22, Report No. 15)

13.41 Road Resurfacing and Reconstruction and Intersection Improvements at Various Locations within District 3 – Contract No. 00D3-15RD, Tender Call No. 140-2000.

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (July 6, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that Contract No. 00D3-15RD, Tender Call No. 140-2000, for road resurfacing and reconstruction and intersection improvements at various locations within District 3 be awarded to D. Crupi & Sons Ltd., in the amount of \$1,036,036.00 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received.

The Committee awarded the contract as recommended in the aforementioned joint report, in accordance with By-law No. 151-2000, the Interim Purchasing By-law.

(Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Executive Director, Technical Services; Director, Purchasing and Materials Management; City Solicitor – July 12, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(t), Report No. 15)

**13.42 Update on the Impacts of the Storm Event
of May 12 and 13, 2000.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (July 10, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services providing an update on the impacts of the storm event of May 12 and 13, 2000, and identifying action that has been and will be taken; and recommending that:

- (1) this report be received for information;
- (2) staff be requested to report further on any expenditures not identified in this report and on the potential reallocation of funds within the affected programs' respective 2000-01 Capital Budgets to cover the cost of making the necessary repairs;
- (3) staff be requested to report further on the results of the investigations into the basement flooding; and
- (4) staff from Transportation, Parks and Recreation, in conjunction with staff from Water and Wastewater, identify the impact of the costs of minor repair work on the Operating Budgets through the variance reporting process.

The Committee concurred in the recommendations embodied in the aforementioned report.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; c: General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services; General Manager, Transportation Services – July 12, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(u), Report No. 15)

**13.43 Source-Separated Organics Pilot Project
for Commercial Waste.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (July 10, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) a source-separated organics collection pilot for small commercial businesses in the Broadview, Gerrard and Danforth area be implemented for a period of three months starting in September 2000 at an estimated cost of \$73,656.00;
- (2) the garbage and recycling service fees for businesses participating in the pilot project be waived for the duration of the pilot project to provide a financial incentive to businesses to participate; and
- (3) the General Manager of Solid Waste Management Services monitor the financial impact of undertaking the pilot project and report on the Program's ability to accommodate the expenditures through the quarterly variance reporting process and the result of the pilot project upon completion.

The Committee recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Policy and Finance Committee; c: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services – July 12, 2000)

(Clause No. 23(v), Report No. 15)

**13.44 By-law to Authorize the Destruction of Motor Vehicle
Licence Plate Registrant Information.
(All Wards)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (July 10, 2000) from the City Solicitor recommending that Council enact a by-law, with the approval of the City Auditor, to authorize the destruction of Motor Vehicle Licence Plate Registrant Information obtained from the Ministry of Transportation for Ontario within 30 days after completion of the authorized use of the information by the City.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 20, Report No. 15)

The Works Committee adjourned its meeting at 7:40 p.m.

Chair.