#### THE CITY OF TORONTO

#### City Clerk's Division

#### **Minutes of the Works Committee**

#### Meeting No. 14

#### Wednesday, September 13, 2000

The Works Committee met on Wednesday, September 13, 2000, in Committee Room 1, 2nd Floor, City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:40 a.m.

#### Members Present:

	9:40 a.m1:15 p.m.	1:30 p.m8:25 p.m. (In Camera 8:05 p.m8:25 p.m.)
Councillor Bill Saundercook, Chair	X	X
Councillor Ila Bossons	X	X
Councillor Rob Davis	X	X
Councillor Betty Disero	X	X
Councillor Joan King	X	X
Councillor Jack Layton	X	X
Councillor Cesar Palacio	X	X
Councillor David Shiner	X	X
Councillor Bruce Sinclair	X	X
Councillor Paul Valenti	X	X

#### Also Present:

Councillor Norman Kelly Councillor Frances Nunziata Councillor Jane Pitfield Councillor Michael Prue Councillor David Miller

Members were present for some or all of the time period indicated.

#### **Confirmation of Minutes.**

On motion by Councillor Sinclair, the Minutes of the meeting of the Works Committee held on July 12, 2000 and the joint meeting with the Policy and Finance Committee on July 21, 2001, were confirmed.

# 14.1 Drain Grant Appeal – 148 Humbercrest Boulevard.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (January 20, 2000) from Councillor Bill Saundercook, Chair, Works Committee, recommending that Mr. Sean Griffin, owner of 148 Humbercrest Boulevard, be reimbursed for expenses in the amount of \$1,500.00 incurred in repairing damages caused by roots from a tree at 144 Humbercrest Boulevard, as the tree was not removed at the City's request.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. and Mrs. Sean Griffin, 148 Humbercrest Boulevard, Toronto; and
- Mr. Les Truxa, 146 Humbercrest Boulevard, Toronto.

Councillor Shiner moved that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to inspect the sewers in all three or four of the affected homes to determine whether they have been infiltrated by roots of a Cityowned tree, and if so, to pay the claim, and if not to report back to the Committee. (**Lost**)

Councillor Saundercook appointed Councillor Bossons Acting Chair, and vacated the Chair.

Councillor Saundercook moved that the appeal for a drain grant in the amount of \$1,500.00 for 148 Humbercrest Boulevard be allowed, funds to be provided from the Water and Wastewater Services Division's 2000 Operating Budget. (Carried)

Councillor King moved that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to review whether additional work is required on neighbouring properties. (Carried)

(Clause No. 19, Report No. 17)

Councillor Saundercook resumed the Chair.

# 14.2 Drain Grant Appeal – 101 Fermanagh Avenue.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (July 21, 2000) from Mr. Doug Bennet and Ms. Nancy Clark, 101 Fermanagh Avenue, Toronto, appealing the decision by the City in denying a grant under the Drain Grant Program with respect to the property, 101 Fermanagh Avenue; and requesting reimbursement of costs in the amount of \$1,000.00 to help defray the costs of repairs caused by a City-owned tree.

Mr. Doug Bennett, 101 Fermanagh Avenue, appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Shiner, the Committee recommended to Council that the appeal for a drain grant for the balance of \$1,000.00 for 101 Fermanagh Avenue be allowed, conditional on the grant being on a one-time basis as a drain replacement rather than repair, funds to be provided from the Water and Wastewater Services Division's 2000 Operating Budget.

(Clause No. 20, Report No. 17)

# 14.3 Drain Grant Appeal –21 Kirk Bradden Road East.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (June 29, 2000) from Ms. Deborah Wright, 21 Kirk Bradden Road East, Etobicoke, appealing the decision by the City in denying a grant under the Drain Grant Program with respect to the property 21 Kirk Bradden Road East, Etobicoke.

Ms. Deborah Wright, 21 Kirk Bradden Road East, appeared before the Committee in connection with foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Shiner, the Committee recommended to Council that the appeal for a drain grant in the amount of \$1,500.00 for 21 Kirk Bradden Road East, Etobicoke, be allowed, funds to be provided from the Water and Wastewater Services Division's 2000 Operating Budget.

(Clause No. 21, Report No. 17)

# 14.4 Drain Grant Appeal – 26 Black Creek Boulevard.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (July 10, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the York Community Council, on June 20, 2000, recommended to the Works Committee that the claim in the amount of \$1,316.10 for blocked drain repairs be allowed to the owner of 26 Black Creek Boulevard, having regard that the roots from a privately-owned tree at 28 Black Creek Boulevard caused the sewer blockage on City property which resulted in the blocked drain at 26 Black Creek Boulevard.

Councillor Frances Nunziata, York Humber, appeared before the Committee in connection with foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Valenti, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the recommendation of the York Community Council embodied in the aforementioned communication, subject to deleting the amount of \$1,316.10 and inserting in lieu thereof the amount of \$500.00, being the full amount for a repair under the Drain Grant Appeal Policy adopted by Council.

(Clause No. 22, Report No. 17)

# 14.5 Drain Grant Appeal – 226 Geoffrey Street.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (July 28, 2000) from Ms. Ines Colabrese, 226 Geoffrey Street, Toronto, appealing the decision by the City to pay only half the total amount of repairs to her home sewage connection as a result of a City-owned tree; and requesting reimbursement of the full amount of the repairs.

Ms. Ines Colabrese, 226 Geoffrey Street, appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor King, the Committee received the aforementioned communication.

(Ms. Ines Colabrese; c: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services – September 13, 2000)

(Clause No. 52(a), Report No. 17)

# 14.6 Drain Grant Appeal – 56 Rivercrest Road.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (June 7, 2000) from Mr. Nik Mizdrak, 56 Rivercrest Road, Toronto, appealing the decision by the City in denying reimbursement for the replacement of a sewer pipe under the Drain Grant Program; and advising that a Cityowned tree on his neighbours' property caused the blockage.

Mr. Nik Mizdrak, 56 Rivercrest Road, appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

Councillor Saundercook appointed Councillor Bossons Acting Chair, and vacated the Chair.

Councillor Saundercook moved that the appeal for a drain grant in the amount of \$1,500.00 for 56 Rivercrest Road be allowed, funds to be provided from the Water and Wastewater Services Division's 2000 Operating Budget. (**Redundant**)

Councillor Shiner moved that the appeal for a drain grant for 56 Rivercrest Road be allowed, subject to limiting the amount of the reimbursement to 50 percent of the contractor's costs of \$1,578.00, funds to be provided from the Water and Wastewater Services Division's 2000 Operating Budget. (Carried)

(Clause No. 23, Report No. 17)

Councillor Saundercook resumed the Chair.

#### 14.7 Replacement of Watermain/ Sewer Connection – 532 Main Street.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (August 31, 2000) from Councillor Michael Prue, East York, forwarding correspondence from Demetrios and Angela Litsas, whose home at 532 Main Street, Toronto, was destroyed as a result of a fire, requiring a complete demolition and rebuilding of the structure, wherein Mr. and Mrs. Litsas request that, in view of the unique circumstances, City Council consider waiving or reducing the cost connected with replacement of the watermain/sewer pipes.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Demetrios Litsas, formerly of 532 Main Street, Toronto; and
- Councillor Michael Prue, East York.

On motion by Councillor Shiner, the Committee recommended to Council that the City, on compassionate grounds, waive the fees in the amount of \$8,000.00 for the services to be provided on City-owned property to 532 Main Street, funds to be provided from the Water and Wastewater Services Division's 2000 Operating Budget.

(Clause No. 18, Report No. 17)

# 14.8 Redway Road/Brentcliffe Road Extensions – Feasibility Study Results. (East York)

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 23, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services submitting the results of the study conducted to identify the need and feasibility of extending Redway Road and/or Brentcliffe Road in the East York Community Council area; and recommending that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to prepare a Class Environmental Assessment for an extension of Redway Road between Millwood Road and Bayview Avenue;
- (2) the City not protect lands within the former Alcatel Wire site for an extension of Brentcliffe Road, south of Wicksteed Avenue for a future connection with Redway Road;
- (3) the extension of Redway Road north-easterly to Eglinton Avenue East be maintained as a future network opportunity for implementation; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Committee also had before it the following communications:

(i) (September 11, 2000) from Ms. Agnes Vermes, President, Leaside Property Owners Association, advising that the Association is in support of diverting through traffic away from the residential streets of Leaside, and in support of the Redway Road Feasibility Study proceeding on to the Environmental Assessment stage;

- (ii) (September 12, 2000) from Norm and Joan Sparrey, Leaside residents, providing comments on the proposed Environmental Assessment for an extension of Redway Road;
- (iii) (September 12, 2000) from Jean and Hans Hitzler, Southvale Drive residents, advising of their strong support for the Redway Road extension;
- (iv) (September 12, 2000) from W. Fripp, Leaside resident, in support of an environmental assessment on the issue of extending Redway Road;
- (v) (September 12, 2000) from Ms. Aileen Clarke, Professor Emerita, Department of Public Health Sciences, University of Toronto, in support of an environmental assessment on the issue of extending Redway Road;
- (vi) (September 13, 2000) from Councillor Jane Pitfield, Chair, East York Community Council, requesting on behalf of the residents of the Leaside and Bennington Heights communities in Ward 1, East York, that the Committee support the recommendation to forward the Redway Road Feasibility Study for an Environmental Assessment;
- (vii) (September 13, 2000) from Ms. Tanny Wells, Chair, and Mr. John Wilson, Vice-Chair, Task Force to Bring Back the Don, recommending in the strongest terms that the Committee not proceed with an Environmental Assessment for an extension of Redway Road for the reasons outlined therein; and
- (viii) (September 13, 2000) from Ms. Nancy Sutherland, resident of Parkhurst Boulevard, expressing support for the extension of Redway Road.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. John Wellner, Pollution Probe;
- Mr. Gregor Beck, Director, Conservation and Science, Federation of Ontario Naturalists;
- Ms. Tanny Wells, Chair, Task Force to Bring Back the Don;
- Mr. Bill Dunphy, Leaside resident;
- Reverend Andrew Stirling, Timothy Eaton Memorial Church, and Leaside resident;
- Mr. Michael Leithead, Leaside resident;

- Mr. Steven Haskett, Leaside resident;
- Mr. Robert Lyng, Leaside resident;
- Mr. John McKendrick, Leaside resident;
- Mr. Don McLean, Executive Director, Friends of the Don East;
- Mr. William Phillips, Secretary, South Rosedale Ratepayers Association;
- Mr. Dalton Shipway;
- Mr. James Murray, Leaside resident;
- Councillor Jane Pitfield, Chair, East York Community Council; and
- Councillor Michael Prue, East York.

Councillor Disero moved the adoption of the aforementioned report. (Carried, as amended, on the following recorded vote:

FOR: Disero, King, Palacio, Saundercook, Shiner, Sinclair, Valenti

**AGAINST:** Bossons, Layton)

Councillor Layton moved that:

(1) the foregoing motion by Councillor Disero be amended by deleting the word "Class" in Recommendation No. (1) and inserting in lieu thereof the word "Full"; and (Lost on the following recorded vote:

FOR: Bossons, Layton

AGAINST: Disero, King, Palacio, Saundercook, Shiner, Sinclair, Valenti)

(2) the report also be forwarded to the Toronto Community Council for consideration at its meeting scheduled to be held on September 27, 2000, and comment directly to Council for its meeting on October 3, 2000. (Carried)

#### Councillor Bossons moved that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the Committee on:
  - (i) traffic management measures in the area defined by Eglinton Avenue, Laird Avenue, Bayview Avenue, Rosedale Valley Road, Bloor Street and Yonge Street, which would reduce infiltration of South Leaside Streets: and
  - (ii) the traffic impact on Rosedale Valley Road and Midtown; and

(Lost)

(2) the foregoing motion by Councillor Disero be amended to provide that the Environmental Assessment process be expanded to include the Rosedale Valley Road and Park Road/Aylmer Avenue intersection in the study area. (Carried)

The following is the action therefore taken by the Committee:

#### The Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report;
- (2) further recommended that the Environmental Assessment process be expanded to include the Rosedale Valley Road and Park Road/Aylmer Avenue intersection in the study area; and
- (3) also directed that such report be forwarded to the Toronto Community Council for consideration at its meeting scheduled to be held on September 27, 2000, and comment directly to Council for its meeting on October 3, 2000;

(Toronto Community Council; c: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; General Manager, Transportation Services – September 13, 2000)

(Clause No. 4, Report No. 17)

# 14.9 3Rs Implementation Plan for the City of Toronto.

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that in order to achieve the City's waste diversion target of 50 percent by 2006 and to reduce reliance on landfill, City Council authorize the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to undertake the following integrated activities:

- (1) intensify the use of existing recycling and composting programs to divert an estimated 50,000 additional tonnes of materials per year by:
  - (i) adding polycoat containers (e.g., milk cartons and aseptic drink boxes), empty paint cans, and empty aerosol cans to the Blue Box Program effective March 1, 2001, retrofitting the Commissioners Street Material Recovery Facility to allow for the recovery, storage and baling of polycoat containers at a capital cost of \$100,000.00, and creating a public communications campaign to launch the new materials, subject to approval of \$100,000.00 in the 2001 Operating Budget;
  - (ii) developing a detailed plan for the potential implementation of year-round once per week curbside collection of recyclable materials and weekly summer time collection of yard waste, including projected increases in the volumes of recovered materials, and report to the Works Committee as part of the 2001 Operating Budget request on the cost and required phase-in cashflow to support such enhanced programs;
  - (iii) retrofitting two rental apartments in the downtown core with automated chute systems for garbage, fibre and containers to determine the impact on recycling levels at a cost of \$40,000.00, subject to matching funding from the Waste Diversion Organization and subject to approval of funding in the 2001 Operating Budget;
  - (iv) hiring eight summer students in 2001 to visit targeted apartment buildings receiving municipal recycling collection to review performance and to make recommendations for improved performance of existing systems at an estimated cost of the study of \$50,000.00, subject to approval in the 2001 Operating Budget;
  - (v) continuing to seek opportunities to ensure that the City through its own facilities and those of its agencies is optimizing its waste diversion activity, and co-ordinating a corporate report as part of the 2001 Operating Budget

- process on the benefits of achieving the 50 percent diversion target, and on any financial implications; and
- (vi) continuing to explore any and all opportunities through the Association of Municipalities of Ontario, the Federation of Canadian Municipalities, the Waste Diversion Organization and the Provincial Government to enhance product stewardship, including the pursuit of deposit/return systems, mandatory use of recyclable product packaging, and any other measures which will reduce the waste stream;
- enhance the level of knowledge on public opinion, system cost impacts and market capacity related to options for increased waste diversion by:
  - (i) conducting a series of focus groups, a broad-based public attitude survey, consultation with environmental groups, presentation to the Sustainability Roundtable, public deputations at the 3Rs Committee, and community forums to determine what changes Toronto residents and business would be prepared to make to increase waste diversion including the various methodologies for source separation and the potential for financial incentives to impact on behaviour, at an estimated cost of \$60,000.00 and report to the February Works Committee with findings;
  - (ii) based on the findings from the foregoing Recommendation No. (2)(i), developing a consultation and communications strategy to encourage an increased rate of residential recycling activity and an implementation strategy for kitchen waste diversion for consideration by the Works Committee at its February meeting and as part of the 2001 Operating Budget Request;
  - (iii) conducting an eight-month project in 2001 to test the co-collection of organic kitchen waste and garbage in two compartment automated collection carts from 2,400 single family households in the York Community Council area, using a dual compartment fully automated collection truck to be supplied and operated by Miller Waste Systems at a net cost of \$254,000.00 after funding by the Waste Diversion Organization, subject to approval of funding in the 2001 Operating Budget;
  - (iv) engaging consultants to prepare a comprehensive market assessment study for restricted and unrestricted compost at a cost of \$15,000.00, subject to matching funding from the Waste Diversion Organization; and
  - (v) reporting back to the Works Committee on the experience with the recently approved pilot project for separate collection or organic waste from

commercial establishments with recommendations on the continuance/expansion of the program as part of the 2001 Operating Budget process; and

- expand the City's waste diversion capacity by up to an estimated 350,000 tonnes per year of input in order to achieve up to 250,000 tonnes of diversion per year by:
  - (i) completing the first phase of the Dufferin Mixed Waste Recycling and Organics Processing Facility for up to 25,000 tonnes of annual input capacity as soon as possible under the capital funding already approved, assessing its operation, developing recommendations of expansion of the facility up to 165,000 tonnes of input capacity and reporting to the Works Committee as part of the 2002 Capital Budget process thereon;
  - (ii) conducting a study, in co-operation with Enwave District Energy Ltd., on the feasibility of siting an anaerobic digestion facility on City-owned property which would process municipal waste to generate biogas for the district energy needs of the downtown core, and report back to the Works Committee in July 2001 on the findings of the feasibility study and with recommendations on how to proceed further, subject to approval of funding for the study by the Federation of Canadian Municipalities' "Green Municipal Enabling Fund"; and
  - (iii) entering into negotiations with Miller Waste Systems and the consortium of Groupe Conporec and Services Matrec for the design, construction and operation of aerobic composting facilities for mixed waste and/or sourceseparated organic material, and reporting back to the Works Committee in January with the results of the negotiations and for consideration of the financial implications as part of the 2001 Capital and Operating Budget processes.

The Committee also had before it a communication (September 13, 2000) from Councillor Jack Layton, Don River, submitting proposed amendments to the 3Rs Implementation Plan, and the following additional recommendations:

- "(A) That the City adopt a diversion target of 70 percent by 2006 or sooner, a target that Edmonton and Halifax will meet by 2002.
- (B) That the City's 3Rs Implementation Plan be amended to include a strategy to remove and process all organics so that no unstabilized organics will go to landfill by 2006 or sooner and that a report on this strategy be submitted to the Works Committee by January 2001 (a similar law was adopted in Nova Scotia three years ago).
- (C) That Enwave District Energy Limited, Toronto Hydro and/or the Works Department

report directly to the October 3, 4 and 5, 2000 Council meeting on any early findings they may have regarding an anaerobic digestor facility which would process municipal waste to generate biogas for energy needs.

- (D) That Works staff report directly to the October 3, 4 and 5, 2000 Council meeting on any changes to the cost estimates for waste management systems for achieving 50 percent diversion (as outlined in Attachment 2, "Cost Estimates for Waste Management Systems Achieving 50 percent Diversion", of the September 1, 2000 Works staff report, entitled "A 3Rs Implementation Plan for the City of Toronto") in light of new cost information in any report from Enwave District Energy Limited, Toronto Hydro and/or the Works Department regarding an anaerobic digestor facility.
- (E) That recycling containers be placed in all City parks in time for the 2001 summer season."

The Committee also had before it the following communications:

- (i) (September 10, 2000) from Mr. Paul Butler, Toronto, Ontario, expressing support for the expansion of recycling programs to include Tetrapaks and plastics;
- (ii) (September 10, 2000) from Ms. Anne Hansen, Toronto, Ontario, providing comments on the 3Rs of "Reduce, Reuse and Recycle", waste diversion initiatives and the waste disposal contract;
- (iii) (September 13, 2000) from Mr. Brian Cochrane, President, Toronto Civic Employees' Union, CUPE Local 416, expressing concerns about the 3Rs Implementation Plan for the City of Toronto; and
- (iv) (September 13, 2000) from Ms. Karen Buck, Board Member, Citizens for a Safe Environment, commenting on the 3Rs Implementation Plan for the City of Toronto.

Mr. Andrew Pollock, Director, Policy and Planning, Solid Waste Management Services, gave a presentation to the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter, and submitted a copy of his presentation.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Tooker Gomberg;
- Ms. Rhona Swarbrick, Co-Chair, Toronto Pedestrian Committee;

- Ms. Kate Chung, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Bill Guthrie, Vice President, Toronto Civic Employees' Union, CUPE Local 416;
- Mr. Gord Perks, Toronto Environmental Alliance;
- Mr. Gregory M. Vogt, President, SUBBOR; and
- Ms. Karen Buck, Board Member, Citizens for a Safe Environment.

#### I. Councillor Davis moved:

- (1) the adoption of the aforementioned report, subject to amending Recommendation No. (2)(iii) to provide that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services consult with the Toronto Environmental Alliance in implementing the organics collection pilot project, including public education, participants surveys and developing public focus groups and public attitude surveys on waste diversion behavioural change;
- (2) that the report be referred to the Budget Advisory Committee for consideration during the 2001 Operating Budget process, and that Recommendation No. (1)(ii) be given high priority consideration; and
- (3) that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the Works Committee on:
  - (a) the feasibility of another pilot project similar to that recommended in Recommendation No. (2)(iii), to be conducted by municipal forces;
  - (b) the implementation of a grant program for sourceseparation devices for apartment buildings, for the 2001 budget, in the context of the pilot project;
  - (c) diversion opportunities at all City-owned office buildings; and

(d) the request by Ms. Chung in her deputation with respect to taking buildings off the grid.

#### (Carried, as amended, on the following recorded vote:

FOR: Bossons, Davis, King, Palacio, Saundercook,

Shiner, Sinclair, Valenti

**AGAINST:** Layton)

#### II. Councillor Layton moved that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to provide a full report on the "reduction" and "reuse" components of a 3Rs strategy to the Works Committee by June 2001;
- (2) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the Works Committee on an electronic equipment waste reduction, reuse and recycling strategy, for submission to the Committee in the winter of 2001; and
- (3) a worm composting system similar to that implemented in Metro Hall be considered for City Hall, after an appropriate Waste Audit.

(Carried)

#### III. Councillor King moved that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report directly to Council for its meeting on October 3, 2000, on a process for the provision of City of Toronto residential waste to proponents of diversion and new and emerging technologies for testing, including appropriate conditions on sharing testing results and protecting the interests of the City; and (Carried)
- (2) Recommendations (A), (B), (C), (D) and (E) embodied in the aforementioned communication (September 13, 2000) from

Councillor Layton be referred to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services for a report thereon to the Committee as soon as possible.

(Respecting the referral of Recommendation (C), carried on the following recorded vote:

FOR: Bossons, King, Saundercook, Shiner,

Sinclair, Valenti

**AGAINST:** Davis, Layton Palacio

**Balance of motion redundant)** 

#### IV. Councillor Sinclair moved that:

(1) Recommendations (A), (B) and (E) embodied in the aforementioned communication (September 13, 2000) from Councillor Layton be referred to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services for a report thereon to the Committee as soon as possible, such report to include consideration of costs for diversion targets of 60 percent and 70 percent for the City; and

(Respecting the referral of Recommendation (A), carried on the following recorded vote:

FOR: Bossons, King, Saundercook, Shiner,

Sinclair, Valenti

**AGAINST:** Davis, Disero, Layton Palacio)

Respecting the referral of Recommendation (B), carried on the following recorded vote:

FOR: Bossons, King, Saundercook, Shiner,

Sinclair, Valenti

AGAINST: Davis, Layton Palacio

Respecting the referral of Recommendation (E), carried on the following recorded vote:

FOR: Bossons, Davis, King, Saundercook, Shiner,

Sinclair, Valenti

**AGAINST:** Davis, Layton Palacio)

- (2) Recommendations (C) and (D) from Councillor Layton be adopted. (**Redundant**)
- V. Councillor Bossons moved that the foregoing motion I. by Councillor Davis be amended by:
  - (1) amending Recommendation No. (1)(iii) to provide that socioeconomically different groups be included;
  - (2) amending Recommendation No. (2)(iii) to provide that:
    - (a) if two pilot projects are undertaken, the Toronto Community Council area be included for the second pilot project;
    - (b) socio-economically different groups be included in the testing; and
    - (c) different organic waste containers be tested; and
  - (3) further requesting the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Works Committee on the following:
    - (a) the City of Ottawa voluntary take-back program; and
    - (b) a full communications program on the initiatives outlined in Recommendation No. (1)(i) and the additional funding that would be required.

(Carried)

- VI. Councillor Valenti moved that the foregoing motion I. by Councillor Davis be amended by adding to the beginning of Recommendation No. (1)(iv) the words "developing options for use of in-house staff or" so that such recommendation reads as follows:
  - "(iv) developing options for use of in-house staff or hiring eight summer students in 2001 to visit targeted apartment buildings receiving municipal recycling collection to review performance and to make recommendations for improved performance of existing systems at an estimated cost of the study of \$50,000.00, subject to approval in the 2001 Operating Budget;".

#### (Carried)

The following is the action therefore taken by the Committee:

#### The Committee:

- (A) recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report, subject to:
  - (a) amending Recommendation No. (1)(iii) to provide that socioeconomically different groups be included;
  - (b) adding to the beginning of Recommendation No. (1)(iv) the words "developing options for use of in-house staff or" so that such recommendation reads as follows:
    - "(iv) developing options for use of in-house staff or hiring eight summer students in 2001 to visit targeted apartment buildings receiving municipal recycling collection to review performance and to make recommendations for improved performance of existing systems at an estimated cost of the study of \$50,000.00, subject to approval in the 2001 Operating Budget;"

- (c) amending Recommendation No. (2)(iii) to provide that:
  - (i) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services consult with the Toronto Environmental Alliance in implementing the organics collection pilot project, including public education, participants surveys and developing public focus groups and public attitude surveys on waste diversion behavioural change;
  - (ii) if two pilot projects are undertaken, the Toronto Community Council area be included for the second pilot project;
  - (iii) socio-economically different groups be included in the testing; and
  - (iv) different organic waste containers be tested;
- (B) further recommended that the report be referred to the Budget Advisory Committee for consideration during the 2001 Operating Budget process, and that Recommendation No. (1)(ii) be given high priority consideration;
- (C) also recommended that a worm composting system similar to that implemented in Metro Hall be considered for City Hall, after an appropriate Waste Audit;
- (D) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report directly to Council for its meeting on October 3, 2000, on a process for the provision of City of Toronto residential waste to proponents of diversion and new and emerging technologies for testing, including appropriate conditions on sharing testing results and protecting the interests of the City;
- (E) referred the recommendations embodied in the communication (September 13, 2000) from Councillor Layton, as amended, with the exception of Recommendation "D", which was declared redundant, to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services for a report thereon to the Committee as soon as possible, such report to include consideration of costs for diversion targets of 60 percent and 70 percent for the City;

- (F) further requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Works Committee on the following:
  - (a) the feasibility of another pilot project similar to that recommended in Recommendation No. (2)(iii), to be conducted by municipal forces;
  - (b) a full communications program on the initiatives outlined in Recommendation No. (1)(i) and the additional funding that would be required;
  - (c) the implementation of a grant program for source-separation devices for apartment buildings, for the 2001 budget, in the context of the pilot project;
  - (d) diversion opportunities at all City-owned office buildings;
  - (e) an electronic equipment waste reduction, reuse and recycling strategy, for submission to the Committee in the winter of 2001;
  - (f) the request by Ms. Chung in her deputation with respect to taking buildings off the grid; and
  - (g) the City of Ottawa voluntary take-back program; and
- (G) also requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to provide a full report on the "reduction" and "reuse" components of a 3Rs strategy to the Works Committee by June 2001.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Councillor Jack Layton General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services – September 13, 2000)

(Clause No. 1, Report No. 17)

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Committee met privately to consider the following matter having regard that the subject matter being considered relates to the security of the property of the municipality or local board, in accordance with the Municipal Act.

# 14.10 Toronto Integrated Solid Waste Resource Management ("TIRM") Process – Category 1, Proven Diversion Capacity – Initiation of Negotiations.

The Works Committee had before it a confidential report (August 31, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting initiation of negotiations for Category 1 - Proven Diversion Capacity of the Toronto Integrated Solid Waste Resource Management ("TIRM") Process, such report to be considered in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to the security of the property of the municipality.

The Committee also had before it a communication (September 13, 2000) from Ms. Karen Buck, Board Member, Citizens for a Safe Environment, commenting on the importance of implementing the diversion program as soon as possible, and planning for a goal of zero waste.

On motion by Councillor King, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned confidential report.

(Clause No. 3, Report No. 17)

# 14.11 Toronto Integrated Solid Waste Resource Management ("TIRM") Process – Category 2, Proven Disposal Capacity.

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 12, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services providing an update on the progress of contract negotiations with Rail Cycle North Ltd. and Republic Services of Canada Ltd. for solid waste disposal capacity, including an update regarding the contracting process between RCN and the Greater Toronto Area Regional Municipalities of Peel, York and Durham; advising that it is anticipated that copies of the contracts with RCN and Republic will be available for the review of Councillors on September 25, 2000, with a briefing session during that week, and that an accompanying staff report will carry recommendations on execution of the contracts to City Council at its meeting of October 3, 4 and 5, 2000; further advising that the Region of York's Solid Waste Strategy Committee adopted a recommendation to proceed to contract

with RCN which will be considered by Regional Council on September 14, 2000; that the Region of Durham's Works and Finance Committees are scheduled to consider proceeding with the contract at a special meeting on September 13, 2000; and that Peel Region's General Committee sought confirmation regarding environmental safety measures associated with the Adams Mine landfill and assurance that the Certificate of Approval will be complied with, noting that Peel Regional Council is scheduled to consider this matter on October 19, 2000; and responding to additional information requests from the Policy and Finance and Works Committees and City Council.

The Committee also had before it the following communications:

- (i) (July 21, 2000) from Mr. Wilfrid Walker, Transport 2000 Ontario, reiterating support for using rail for the entire movement of City waste to the disposal destination;
- (ii) (July 21, 2000) from Mr. Todd Morgan, President, Kirkland Lake and District, Chamber of Commerce, advising that the Kirkland Lake and District Chamber of Commerce has supported the Adams Mine/Rail Cycle North project from day one, as long as it was proven safe and passed all regulatory approvals;
- (iii) communications from the following in support of the Adams Mine proposal:
  - (July 29, 2000) from Mr. Mike Guimond, Mike Guimond Realty Ltd., Kirkland Lake, Ontario;
  - (August 30, 2000) from Dr. J.R. Brookfield, Kirkland Lake, Ontario;
  - (September 4, 2000) from Dr. E.M. Gardiner, Renfrew, Ontario;
  - (undated) from Mr. Al Manseau, Kirkland Lake, Ontario; and
  - (September 6, 2000) from Des and Doreen Sasseville, Kirkland Lake, Ontario;
- (iv) (August 17, 2000) from Chief Carol McBride, Timiskaming First Nation, advising of concerns with respect to health and safety related to the Rail Cycle North proposal for the Adams Mine site, and indicating their legal interest in the site; and requesting that Councillors rescind their support for the proposal in favour of other available options;
- (v) (August 23, 2000) from The Honourable Dan Newman, Minister of the Environment, Province of Ontario, advising that he was pleased to learn that the City has made a decision with respect to the long-term disposal of waste; and expressing continued support for the City's ongoing waste diversion initiatives;

- (vi) communications from the following in opposition to the Adams Mine landfill proposal:
  - (July 18, 2000) from Mr. Gordon J. Ham, Englehart, Ontario;
  - (July 24, 2000) from Ms. Emma May Lowrey, Englehart, Ontario;
  - (July 25, 2000) from Mr. Gordon J. Ham, Englehart, Ontario;
  - (July 27, 2000) from Mr. Gordon J. Ham, Englehart, Ontario;
  - (July 28, 2000) from Mrs. Helen Hansen and Mr. Robert Hansen, Toronto, Ontario;
  - (July 28, 2000) from Mrs. Anne Hansen, Toronto, Ontario;
  - (July 29, 2000) from Helena Ho;
  - (July 30, 2000) from Mr. Gordon J. Ham, Engelhart, Ontario;
  - (July 31, 2000) from Ms. Christine Beevis, Toronto, Ontario;
  - (July 31, 2000) from Mr. Frank Corbiere, United Chiefs and Councils of Manitoulin Forestry Manager;
  - (August 2, 2000) from Dennis and Kathy Hakola, Cobalt, Ontario;
  - (August 4, 2000) from Mr. Gordon J. Ham, Englehart, Ontario;
  - (August 5, 2000) from Jean D. Smith and John P. Valleau, Toronto, Ontario;
  - (August 8, 2000) from Mr. Gordon J. Ham, Englehart, Ontario;
  - (August 9, 2000) from Mr. H. Bruce Crofts, Toronto, Ontario;
  - (August 14, 2000) from Mr. Gordon J. Ham, Englehart, Ontario;
  - (August 18, 2000) from M. McSherry, Kirkland Lake, Ontario;
  - (August 18, 2000) from Mr. Gordon J. Ham, Englehart, Ontario;
  - (August 20, 2000) from Mr. Jim McCann, Classic Corners, Ontario;
  - (August 20, 2000) from Ms. Myrna Albert, Englehart, Ontario;
  - (August 21, 2000) from Ms. Lois Veitch, King Kirkland, Ontario;
  - (undated) from L. Lang, Powassan, Ontario;
  - (undated) from C. Crabtree, Brampton, Ontario;
  - (undated) from Erin McLaren, Notre Dame du Nord, Quebec;
  - (undated) from Mr. Elmer Field, Englehart, Ontario;
  - (undated) from Ms. Eva Field, Englehart, Ontario;
  - (undated) from Mr. William Dennis, Englehart, Ontario; and
  - (September 13, 2000) from Ms. Karen Buck, Board Member, Citizens for a Safe Environment:
- (vii) communications received by electronic mail from the following in opposition to the Adams Mine landfill proposal:
  - (July 31, 2000) from Mr. Gregory Baker;
  - (August 1, 2000) from Ms. Sue Gamble, Kirkland Lake, Ontario;
  - (August 1, 2000) from Dr. J. Gold, Haileybury, Ontario;
  - (August 1, 2000) from Carman Kidd, Dymond Township, Ontario;

- (August 1, 2000) from Mari-Lee Runnals, New Liskeard, Ontario;
- (August 1, 2000) from Mr. Pierre Bizier, Thorne, Ontario;
- (August 2, 2000) from Mr. Tooker Gomberg;
- (August 2, 2000) from Ms. Andrea Schaap, Englehart, Ontario;
- (August 2, 2000) from Mr. John Schaap, Englehart, Ontario;
- (August 7, 2000) from Mr. Erwin Hirschbeck, Thornloe, Ontario;
- (August 11, 2000) from Ms. Shirley Harwood, Kirkland Lake, Ontario;
- (August 12, 2000) from B., L. and D. Tanguay, Earlton, Ontario;
- (August 13, 2000) from Ms. Dorothy Coffman;
- (August 14, 2000) from Mr. Hugo Rivet, Haileybury, Ontario;
- (August 15, 2000) from Landys Hillman, Haileybury, Ontario;
- (August 16, 2000) from Mr. Bob O'Brien, Secretary, Kirkland and District Labour Council;
- (August 18, 2000) from Mr. Jack Wilkinson, President, Ontario Federation of Agriculture;
- (August 19, 2000) from Ms. Carla Jensen, Toronto, Ontario;
- (August 20, 2000) from Ms. Barbara Bukowski, Kirkland Lake, Ontario;
- (August 21, 2000) from Mr. Thomas K. Knox, Marter Township;
- (August 22, 2000) from Chris Anderson;
- (August 24, 2000) from Ms. Wanda Hunton MacFarlane;
- (August 25, 2000) from Ms. Linda Males;
- (August 26, 2000) from Mr. James Smith, North Bay, Ontario;
- (August 27, 2000) from Andreas Hirschbeck;
- (August 28, 2000) from Reverend David W. Opheim, Six Mile Lake, Ontario;
- (August 29, 2000) from Mr. Ambrose Raftis forwarding a communication from Dr. Larry Lensen;
- (September 1, 2000) from Mr. Derek Schmidt;
- (September 4, 2000) from Ms. Mary Munnoch;
- (September 4, 2000) from Mr. Peter Scott Cameron, Valatie, New York;
- (September 10, 2000) from Mr. Dan Louie, Kirkland Lake, Ontario;
- (September 11, 2000) from B. Brookfield, Lake Temiskaming, Ontario;
- (September 11, 2000) from Mr. Stu Gordon;
- (September 11, 2000) from Ms. Lorraine Irvine, Kirkland Lake, Ontario;
- (September 11, 2000) from Mr. Stephen Chandler; and
- (September 11, 2000) from Ms. Brenda Kotras, Kirkland Lake, Ontario;
- (x) (August 31, 2000) from Mr. Todd Morgan, President, Kirkland Lake and District, Chamber of Commerce, forwarding speaking notes for a press conference by the Kirkland Lake and District Chamber of Commerce to introduce their Environmental Solutions Awareness Campaign; and advising that the Chamber of Commerce believes that the environmental solutions industry and the Rail Cycle North/Adams Mine project in particular are vital to their future;

- (xi) (undated) from Mr. Victor Larocque, Kirkland Lake, Ontario, advising that he welcomes the opportunities the Adams Mine landfill proposal brings, and submitting names of friends also in support of the proposal.
- (xii) (September 10, 2000) from Ms. Anne Hansen, Toronto Ontario, providing comments on the 3Rs of "Reduce, Reuse and Recycle", waste diversion initiatives and the waste disposal contract;
- (xiii) (September 12, 2000) from Mrs. Helen Hansen and Mr. Robert Hansen, expressing concern about the environmental and social aspects of shipping the City's waste 600 kilometres north to the Adams Mine; and
- (xiv) (September 13, 2000) from Mr. Brian Cochrane, President, Toronto Civic Employees' Union, CUPE and Local 416, expressing serious concerns about the City's decision to conduct final contract negotiations with Rail Cycle North Ltd.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Chief Carol McBride, Timiskaming First Nation, and submitted a copy of her presentation;
- Ms. Lillian Howard, Advisor on Environment to the Assembly of First Nations National Chief;
- Mr. Tooker Gomberg;
- Ms. Brennain Lloyd, Northwatch;
- Mr. Pierre Belanger, Earlton resident and spokesperson for the Anti Adams Mine Campaign;
- Ms. Rhona Swarbrick, Co-Chair, Toronto Pedestrian Committee;
- Ms. Marjorie Nicholl, Toronto, Ontario;
- Ms. Kate Chung, Toronto, Ontario; and
- Mr. Dennis Hakola, Cobalt, Ontario, and member of the Anti Adams Mine Campaign.

Councillor King moved that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to report directly to Council for its meeting on October 3, 2000, with recommendations on the execution of the final negotiated contracts with Rail Cycle North Ltd. and Republic Services of Canada Inc. (Carried)

#### Councillor Layton moved that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to provide to Members of Council the details of the consultant's analysis for achieving 75 percent diversion, referred to in the aforementioned report; (Carried)
- (2) the Committee request the two proponents to permit the contracts that are being recommended to Council to be made available to the public for their examination and to Members of Council on a public basis, and if the proponents are in agreement, that the Clerk make the documents public prior to Council; and

#### (Lost on the following recorded vote:

FOR: Bossons, Layton

AGAINST: Disero, King, Palacio, Saundercook, Shiner, Valenti)

- (3)(i) that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to make available the contract documents, or if they are not permitted to be released, a summary report of the public aspects of those documents, prior to the Council meeting; and (Carried)
- (ii) the Committee hold a special meeting on that public document prior to Council for deputations.

#### (Lost on the following recorded vote:

FOR: Bossons, Layton

AGAINST: Disero, King, Palacio, Saundercook, Shiner, Valenti)

The following is the action therefore taken by the Committee:

#### The Committee:

- (1) authorized the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report directly to Council for its meeting on October 3, 2000, with recommendations on the execution of the final negotiated contracts with Rail Cycle North Ltd. and Republic Services of Canada Inc.;
- (3) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to make available the contract documents, or if they are not permitted to be released, a summary report of the public aspects of those documents, prior to the Council meeting; and
- (2) further requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to provide to Members of Council the details of the consultant's analysis for achieving 75 percent diversion, referred to in the aforementioned report.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services – September 13, 2000)

(Clause No. 2, Report No. 17)

# 14.12 Three Container or Bag Limit for Residential Solid Waste Collection.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (August 24, 2000) from Councillor Bill Saundercook, Chair, Works Committee, recommending that:

- (1) the Works Committee amend the proposed residential solid waste by-law such that "no more than three garbage containers or bags will be picked up on each collection day per residential dwelling unit";
- (2) staff provide for the following exemptions for residents:
  - (i) bulky item collection will continue under current standards;
  - (ii) the one tonne exemption per household at the transfer station continue, preventing residents that move or renovate from being unduly burdened by this by-law; and

- (iii) each resident be allowed two further collection day exemptions per year, one during the winter holiday season and a second during April; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Committee also had before it a communication (August 31, 2000) from Mr. Stephen Pyper, Toronto, Ontario, expressing support for a three-bag limit for the number of garbage bags collected; and stating that levels of government should make it mandatory for containers such as plastic tubs and styrofoam trays to be made up of a certain percentage of recycled material to create markets for recycling such products.

Councillor David Miller, High Park, appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

Councillor Saundercook appointed Councillor Bossons Acting Chair, and vacated the Chair.

Councillor Saundercook moved that his aforementioned communication be aproved in principle and referred to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services for a report thereon to the Committee in January 2001. (**Redundant**)

Councillor Davis moved that the foregoing motion by Councillor Saundercook be amended to provide that the Commissioner comment in the report on the impact of the three-bag limit on CUPE 416 employees. (**Lost on the following recorded vote:** 

FOR: King, Palacio, Saundercook

AGAINST: Bossons, Disero, Shiner, Valenti)

Councillor Disero moved receipt of the aforementioned communications. (Carried on the following recorded vote:

FOR: Bossons, Disero, Shiner, Valenti

**AGAINST:** King, Palacio, Saundercook)

(Councillor Bill Saundercook; Councillor David Miller; c: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services; Mr. Stephen Pyper – September 13, 2000)

(Clause No. 52(b), Report No. 17)

Councillor Saundercook resumed the Chair.

# 14.13 Recycling at the TTC and Commercial Establishments.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (August 11, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that City Council, at its meeting on August 1, 2, 3 and 4, 2000, had before it Clause No. 6 contained in Report No. 15 of The Works Committee, headed "Recycling at the TTC and Commercial Establishments", wherein the Works Committee recommended the adoption of the report dated June 30, 2000, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services which recommended that OMG three-compartment litter bins be placed in the vicinity of all Toronto Transit Commission subway station entrances, in order to allow Transit commuters the opportunity to recycle away from home; and further advising that Council directed that the aforementioned Clause be struck out and referred back to the Works Committee for further consideration; and that the General Secretary of the Toronto Transit Commission was requested to submit the comments of the Commission to the Works Committee with respect thereto.

The Committee also had before it a communication (September 12, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that the Planning and Transportation Committee at its meeting on September 11, 2000, had before it a communication (September 5, 2000) from the General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission, with respect to the distribution of free newspapers and the negative impact on the cleanliness of the transit system and surrounding City property; and setting out the recommendations of the Planning and Transportation Committee with respect thereto.

The Committee received the aforementioned communications.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission; General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services; Administrator, Planning and Transportation Committee – September 13, 2000)

(Clause No. 52(c), Report No. 17)

#### 14.14 Additional Litter Bins.

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 25, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that authority be granted to use the OMG three-compartment litter bins with advertising when placing additional litter bins throughout the City.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 7, Report No. 17)

# 14.15 Automated "Flower Pot" Waste Collection System.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (July 25, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that North York Community Council, on July 18, 2000, recommended to the Works Committee the adoption of the report (July 12, 2000) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, which recommended that:

- (1) a pilot study be conducted in the Wilson Street and Dufferin Avenue area to test the effectiveness of removing the large 300-gallon automated "flower pot" waste containers from the City boulevard and replacing them with 95-gallon roll-out carts;
- (2) property owners in the pilot area be advised that they will be responsible for keeping their carts clean, for rolling them out on collection day and then rolling them back out of public view and away from the curb soon after collection, and to place all of their waste materials into the carts and not on the ground; and
- (3) property owners in the pilot area be advised that failure to comply with the above requirements may result in the City terminating their municipal waste collection.

The Committee also had before it a communication (September 13, 2000) from Mr. Brian Cochrane, President, Toronto Civic Employees' Union, CUPE Local 416, expressing support for the idea of conducting a pilot project as recommended in the staff report, providing that the collection work is done by Local 416 members.

On motion by Councillor King, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the report dated July 12, 2000, from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, embodied in the aforementioned communication, subject to amending Recommendation No. (2) by adding thereto the following:

"and further that a property standards by-law be prepared which would require the commercial property owners to be responsible for maintaining the City property which abuts their property, including the area around the waste collection containers."

(Clause No. 8, Report No. 17)

14.16 Operation of Material Recovery Facility at 400 Commissioners Street – Contract No. 00FS-30SW, Tender Call No. 175-2000. (Don River)

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (August 29, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that:

- (1) authority be granted to enter into an agreement with Turtle Island Recycling Company Inc., being the lowest bid price in the expected tonnage range and lowest overall total system cost, based on their tender for Contract No. 00FS-30SW, Tender Call No. 175-2000, for the operation of the Material Recovery Facility at 400 Commissioners Street for a period of three years commencing on January 1, 2001, and terminating on December 31, 2003, with an option to extend the contract for a further period of up to 24 months on a month-by-month basis at the sole discretion of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services at the tendered unit price, including GST, of \$64.75 per tonne of material marketed, subject to the adjustments allowed for in the contract; and
- (2) the appropriate officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned joint report.

(Clause No. 6, Report No. 17)

14.17 Collection of Containerized Waste, Bulky Items and Recyclables from Apartment Buildings,
Townhouse Locations and Municipal, Institutional and Commercial Establishments in Districts 1 and 2 – Contract No. 95-2000.

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (August 29, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that:

- authority be granted to enter into a contract for the collection of containerized waste, bulky items and recyclables for Toronto Area A, commencing June 18, 2001, and terminating June 30, 2007, which Canadian Waste Services Inc., being the lowest tender received for these operations, at a total annual estimated amount of \$2,038,839.00;
- authority be granted to enter into a contract for the collection of containerized waste, bulky items and recyclables in Etobicoke Area D, commencing July 10, 2002, and terminating June 30, 2007, and for the collection of bulky items and recyclables in York Area B, commencing June 18, 2001, and terminating June 30, 2007, with Recycle Plus Ltd., being the lowest tender received for these operations, at a total annual estimated amount of \$1,143,152.00;
- (3) authority be granted to enter into a contract for the collection of front end load containerized waste for York Area B, commencing June 18, 2001, and terminating June 30, 2007, and for the collection of front end load containerized waste and bulky items in East York Area C, commencing November 1, 2001, and terminating June 30, 2007, with J&F Waste Systems Inc., being the lowest tender received for these operations, at a total annual estimated cost of \$474,245.00; and
- (4) the appropriate officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto.

Mr. Ernie Pietrobon, President, Recycle Plus Ltd., appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

Councillor Valenti declared his interest in the foregoing matter, in that he has acted in the past on behalf of the father of the proponent, Mr. Ernie Pietrobon, President of Recycle Plus Ltd.

#### On motion by Councillor Shiner, the Committee:

(A) recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned joint report, subject to amending Recommendations Nos. (2) and (3) by deleting the award of front end load containerized waste collection for York - Area B to J&F Waste Systems Inc., referred to in Recommendation No. (3), and including such collection in the award to Recycle Plus Ltd. referred to in Recommendation No. (2), so that all of York - Area B collection is awarded to Recycle Plus Ltd. being the low bidder for York - Area B collection, and so that the Recommendations read as follows:

#### "It is recommended that:

- (1) authority be granted to enter into a contract for the collection of containerized waste, bulky items and recyclables for Toronto Area A, commencing June 18, 2001, and terminating June 30, 2007, with Canadian Waste Services Inc., being the lowest tender received for these operations, at a total annual estimated amount of \$2,038,839.00;
- (2) authority be granted to enter into a contract for the collection of containerized waste, bulky items and recyclables in Etobicoke Area D, commencing July 10, 2002, and terminating June 30, 2007, and for the collection of front end load containerized waste, bulky items and recyclables in York Area B, commencing June 18, 2001 and terminating June 30, 2007, with Recycle Plus Ltd., being the lowest tender received for these operations, at a total annual estimated amount of \$1,419,545.00;
- (3) authority be granted to enter into a contract for the collection of front end load containerized waste and bulky items in East York Area C, commencing November 1, 2001, and terminating June 30, 2007, with J&F Waste Systems Inc., being the lowest tender received for these operations, at a total annual estimated cost of \$232,853.00; and
- (4) the appropriate officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto"; and

(B) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to advise J&F Waste Systems Inc. of the Committee's recommendation, and to submit a report directly to Council for its meeting on October 3, 2000, on their response.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; c: General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services – September 13, 2000)

(Clause No. 5, Report No. 17)

### 14.18 Options for Providing Door-To-Door Collection of Solid Waste Management Services to All Townhouse Locations.

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 29, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services providing options and financial implications of providing door-to-door garbage and recycling collection services to all townhouse locations currently receiving alternate forms of collection, as requested by North York Community Council at its meeting on May 23, 2000; concluding that based on the analysis presented in this report, the provision of door-to-door garbage collection to townhouse locations with vehicular access will increase service levels for the customers at these locations, but will increase overall operating costs and require substantial capital expenditures; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Committee also had before it the following communications:

- (i) (September 13, 2000) from Mr. Brian Cochrane, President, Toronto Civic Employees' Union, CUPE Local 416, raising concerns with respect to safety and work load regarding door-to-door collection of solid waste management services to all townhouse locations; and
- (ii) (September 13, 2000) from Councillor Norm Kelly, Scarborough Wexford, supporting a request to review townhouse communities in Scarborough with the intent of choosing one (or several) as a pilot project to study delivery of waste pickup services that houses presently enjoy.

On motion by Councillor Shiner, the Committee requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to design a one-year pilot project to investigate methods for the provision of door-to-door garbage and recycling collection services to townhouse locations, using one vehicle for garbage collection and one vehicle for recycling collection, and including a townhouse community in Districts 3 or 4 as a possible location, and to include such project in the 2001 Operating Budget request for consideration.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Councillor Norm Kelly; General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services; Mr. Brian Cochrane – September 13, 2000)

(Clause No. 52(d), Report No. 17)

# **14.19 Waste Diversion Organization Update.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 25, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services providing the Committee with an update on the progress of the Waste Diversion Organization, as requested by the Committee; advising that the recommendations of the WDO, if approved, will bring financial stability to the Blue Box Program and provide incentives to increase recovery of recyclable material, HHW and organic waste; noting that it will be important for Toronto to indicate to the Minister its strong support for the WDO recommendations in order to ensure that Toronto's portion of the industry funding is received as soon as possible; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Shiner, the Committee:

- (1) recommended that Council request the Waste Diversion Organization to support the City's policy on a deposit/return system for beverage containers; and
- (2) received the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 9, Report No. 17)

### 14.20 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Trading.

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 30, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) in the absence of federal and/or provincial regulations on greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions trading, the City of Toronto adopt the existing methodologies of the Pilot Emissions Reduction Trading (PERT) project as a guide to documenting and registering Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs);
- (2) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services in consultation with other affected departments, register and bank ERCs on an ongoing basis in a manner that will strategically position the City's ERCs in the future;
- (3) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services in consultation with other affected departments be authorized to execute a demonstration trade not to exceed 5,000 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> ERCs for its learning value and not to compromise the City's goal of improving the environment, and that staff be authorized to proceed with such a trade;
- (4) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services in consultation with other affected departments develop a Corporate Emissions Management and Trading Policy and report back to the Works Committee; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials are granted the authority to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor King, the Committee approved the aforementioned report, in principle, and requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to meet with interested stakeholders to consider the methodology to be used, and report back thereon to the Committee.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Executive Director, Technical Services – September 13, 2000)

(Clause No. 52(e), Report No. 17)

## 14.21 Construction of Cogeneration Facility – Humber Treatment Plant – Contract No. WPC-11-97.

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 30, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that authority be granted to:

- (1) terminate Contract No. WPC-11-97, Humber Treatment Plant, construction of the Cogeneration Facility, with Comstock Canada Ltd., as of July 31, 2000, with work to this date having a value of \$16,950,490.30 including GST; and
- subject to approval of Recommendation No. (1), issue a Purchase Order to Comstock Canada Ltd. in the amount of \$959,287.64 including GST for the remaining work, which includes a contingency of \$100,000.00 to settle potential claims under the terms and conditions of the original contract.

On motion by Councillor Shiner, the Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to submit a report to the Committee early in 2001 on major Water and Wastewater and Solid Waste Management contracts that have been awarded since 1994 exceeding \$2 million where there have been cost overruns above the approved amount plus contingency, with reasons for the overruns.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Executive Director, Technical Services – September 13, 2000)

(Clause No. 12, Report No. 17)

## 14.22 Request for Proposals for Supply of Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS) – Contract No. MWRFP0699.

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (August 16, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that:

- (1) Contract No. MWRFP0699 for the supply and delivery of Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS) software be awarded to the overall highest scoring proponent, LabWare Inc. of Wilmington, Delaware, with a maximum contract value of \$1,304,847.50 Cdn. including PST and GST, and funded from the Water and Wastewater Capital Budget under Accounts Nos. PW010-11, WW001-9, PW514 and WW912; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned joint report.

(Clause No. 10, Report No. 17)

#### 14.23 Noise Monitoring/Measurements.

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 21, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting the resources and scope of work undertaken by staff to monitor noise levels from existing industries, including reference to by-laws that are in place, as requested by the Committee at its meeting on May 17, 2000; noting that in general, guidelines for noise levels are determined at the provincial level and enforced by the municipality, and advising that sound levels are measured on a systematic basis at various locations throughout the City; noting that in order to continue by-law enforcement, grid-point monitoring program at the level of information collection and enforcement provided in the former City of Toronto, and special studies across the new City, additional resources will be required; further advising that a more detailed report on the issue will be provided following 12 months' experience with the demand for services resulting in the enactment of the harmonized noise by-law; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Shiner, the Committee received the aforementioned report, and requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to continue with the pilot project, at no additional cost, and report back to the Committee on the success of the program.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Executive Director, Technical Services – September 13, 2000)

(Clause No. 52(f), Report No. 17)

#### 14.24 Wet Weather Flow Management Master Plan – Step 2 – Consultant Name Change.

The Works Committee had before it a revised report (September 12, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) authority be granted to change the name of the joint venture consultant team currently engaged for the development of wet weather flow management strategies within Study Area 1 (Combined Sewer Area) for the Wet Weather Flow Management Master Plan Step 2, from "CH2M Gore & Storrie Limited" to "CH2M Gore & Storrie Limited and MacViro Consultants Inc. as Joint Venturers" and amend the engineering consulting agreement accordingly; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 13, Report No. 17)

### 14.25 Impact of Storm Event on May 12 and 13, 2000; Insurance and Risk Management Involvement.

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 30, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer respecting the issue of risk management and the best time for insurance adjusters to be brought forward with respect to impacts on the residents and infrastructure of the City of Toronto as a result of major storm events, as requested by the Committee at its meeting on June 14, 2000; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Committee received the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 52(g), Report No. 17)

14.26 Winter Maintenance of City Sidewalks Contracts Nos. T-79-99, T-80-99 and T-81-99 – Expanded Scope.
(East York, High Park, Trinity Niagara, Davenport,
North Toronto, Midtown, Downtown, Don River,
East Toronto, York Humber and York Eglinton)

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (August 24, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that:

(1) approval be given to expand the scope of existing sidewalk snow clearing contracts in order to extend this service to collector roads in District 1 for the period December 1, 2000, to March 31, 2003, under the current terms and conditions and unit rates as follows:

<b>Contract</b>	<u>Tender</u>	<u>Contractor</u>	<u>Additional</u>
<u>No.</u>	Call No.		<u>Amount</u>
			Per Annum
T-79-99	210-99	K. J. Beamish Construction Co. Ltd.	\$62,730.00
T-80-99	211-99	Pave-Tar Construction Ltd.	\$698,009.00
T-81-99	212-99	Sideon Contracting Ltd.	\$253,845.11

(2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned joint report.

(Clause No. 24, Report No. 17)

### 14.27 Purchase of Bulk Common Coarse Rock Salt (Road Salt).

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (July 13, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that:

- (i) the tenders noted below for the supply and delivery of approximately 155 520 tonnes of bulk common coarse rock salt, used for de-icing road surfaces for the 2000-2001 winter season, in accordance with specifications, be accepted being the lowest tenders received:
  - the Canadian Salt Company Limited for approximately 94 770 tonnes for District 1 former Toronto (stockpiling and additional shipments), District 3 former North York (stockpiling and additional shipments), and District 4 former Scarborough (stockpiling shipments) in the amount of \$5,046,317.06 including Goods and Services Tax, Ontario Retail Sales Tax and all other charges; and
  - (b) Sifto Canada Incorporated for approximately 60 750 tonnes for District 2 former Etobicoke (stockpiling and additional shipments) and District 4 former Scarborough (additional shipments) in the amount of \$3,136,970.00, including Goods and Services Tax, Ontario Retail Sales Tax and all other charges; and
- (2) the appropriate officials be authorized to complete the necessary contract documents.

Councillor Layton moved:

- (1) the adoption of the aforementioned joint report; and
- (2) that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report directly to Council for its meeting on October 3, 2000, on the impact of the Federal Government's notification that rock salt is to be considered a priority substance under the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act.

#### (Carried, as amended)

Councillor Bossons moved that the foregoing motion by Councillor Layton be amended by including in the report requested from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services the cost of using substances. (Carried)

Councillor Valenti moved that the foregoing motion by Councillor Layton be amended by including in the report requested from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services the prices paid by other municipalities. (Carried)

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; c: General Manager, Transportation Services - September 13, 2000)

(Clause No. 27, Report No. 17)

14.28 Sheppard Subway – Don Mills Station:
Road Modifications and Traffic Regulation for
Don Mills Road and Sheppard Avenue East Area.
(Don Parkway and Seneca Heights)

The Works Committee had before it a report (July 28, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) approval be given to proceed with the road works in the vicinity of Sheppard Avenue East and Don Mills Road, as described in this report; and
- (2) coincident with the completion of the above-noted road works:
  - (a) the easterly southbound through lane on Don Mills Road be reserved for public transit vehicles only between the north curb line of Leith Hill Road and a point 50 metres north thereof;
  - (b) the easterly southbound lane on Don Mills Road be reserved for public transit vehicles only between the south curb line of Leith Hill Road and a point 60 metres south thereof;
  - (c) pedestrian crossings of Don Mills Road be prohibited between a point 30.5 metres south of the south curb line of Don Mills Station bus driveway and a point 30.5 metres north of the north curb line of Don Mills Station bus driveway;
  - (d) the westerly northbound lane on Parkway Forest Drive at Sheppard Avenue East be designated for left-turning vehicles only between Sheppard Avenue East and a point 30.5 metres south thereof;

- (e) the easterly northbound lane on Parkway Forest Drive at Sheppard Avenue East be designated for right-turning vehicles only between Sheppard Avenue East and a point 30.5 metres south thereof;
- (f) the two easterly southbound lanes on the Fairview Mall Driveway at Sheppard Avenue East be designated for left-turning vehicles only between Sheppard Avenue East and a point 30.5 metres north thereof;
- (g) the westerly southbound lane on the Fairview Mall Driveway be designated for public transit vehicles only between the north curb line of Sheppard Avenue East and a point 30.5 metres north thereof; and
- (h) pedestrian crossings of Sheppard Avenue East be prohibited between the west curb line of Parkway Forest Drive and a point 30.5 metres east of the east curb line of Parkway Forest Drive.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 29, Report No. 17)

# 14.29 Sheppard Avenue East Widening – Kingston Road to Morningside Avenue: Addendum to the Environmental Study Report (1993). (Scarborough Malvern)

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 28, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to file the Notice of Filing of Addendum for the Sheppard Avenue East Widening Environmental Study Report (ESR) – Kingston Road to Morningside Avenue with the City Clerk, and to give public notification of such filing in accordance with the requirements of the Class Environmental Assessment (EA) for Municipal Road Projects.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 28, Report No. 17)

#### 14.30 Installation of Traffic Control Signals – Lawrence Avenue West at Blossomfield Drive. (North York Spadina)

The Works Committee had before it a report (June 22, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) traffic control signals be installed at the intersection of Lawrence Avenue West and Blossomfield Drive;
- (2) coincidental with the activation of the signals referenced in Recommendation No. (1):
  - (i) Blossomfield Drive be widened and the easterly southbound lanes be designed for southbound left turns and the curb lane be designated for southbound left or right turns;
  - (ii) north-south pedestrian crossing on the east side of Blossomfield Drive be prohibited;
  - (iii) westbound no right turn prohibitions for the access from the Fortino's store to Blossomfield Drive be implemented to eliminate site generated traffic from entering the surrounding neighbourhood;
  - (iv) S.C.O.O.T. traffic control system be implemented at the proposed new signal and the signal at Bolingbroke Road/CIBC access;
  - (v) the road improvements described therein be co-ordinated with the installation of traffic control signals on Lawrence Avenue West at Blossomfield Drive; and
  - (vi) the appropriate By-law(s) be amended accordingly; and
- (3) all roadway improvements required as part of the development will be completed to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, at no expense to the City of Toronto.

The Committee also had before it a report (August 28, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that, subject to receipt of a funding commitment from the developer:

(1) traffic control signals be installed at the intersection of Lawrence Avenue West and Blossomfield Drive;

- (2) coincidental with the activation of the signals referenced in Recommendation No. (1):
  - (i) Blossomfield Drive be widened and the easterly southbound lane be designed for southbound left turns and the southbound curb lane be designated for left or right turns;
  - (ii) north-south pedestrian crossing on the east side of Blossomfield Drive be prohibited;
  - (iii) westbound right-turn prohibitions for the access from the Fortino's store to Blossomfield Drive be implemented to eliminate site generated traffic from entering the surrounding neighbourhood;
  - (iv) SCOOT traffic control system be implemented at the proposed new signal and the signal at Bolingbroke Road/Canada Imperial Bank of Commerce (CIBC) access; and
  - (v) the appropriate By-law(s) be amended accordingly;
- (3) all roadway improvements required as part of the development will be completed prior to the installation of traffic control signals on Lawrence Avenue West and Bolingbroke Road, and will be built to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, at no expense to the City of Toronto;
- (4) the following turn prohibitions at Lawrence Avenue West at Bolingbroke Road be retained:
  - (i) westbound left-turn prohibition, 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday;
  - (ii) eastbound right-turn prohibition, 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday;
  - (iii) northbound through movement prohibition, at all times; and
  - (iv) southbound through movement prohibition, at all times; and
- (5) the following turn prohibitions at Lawrence Avenue West at Corona Street be retained:
  - (i) westbound left-turn prohibition, 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday; and

(ii) eastbound right-turn prohibition, 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday.

On motion by Councillor Shiner, the Committee recommended to Council:

- (1) the adoption of the aforementioned report dated August 28, 2000; and
- (2) that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to monitor the impact of the changes to the intersection, the traffic pattern and volume of vehicles, including the effects on Bolingbroke Road, and report thereon to the appropriate Community Councils six months after the installation of the signals to determine whether the residents' concerns have been addressed.

(Clause No. 31, Report No. 17)

#### 14.31 Installation of Traffic Control Signals: Pharmacy Avenue at Dewey Drive. (Scarborough Wexford)

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) traffic control signals be installed at the intersection of Pharmacy Avenue and Dewey Drive;
- (2) coincident with the installation of traffic control signals on Pharmacy Avenue at Dewey Drive, the pedestrian crossover on Pharmacy Avenue, 85 metres south of Dewey Drive, be removed; and
- (3) the appropriate by-law(s) be amended accordingly.

The Committee also had before it a communication (September 13, 2000) from Councillor Norm Kelly, Scarborough Wexford, urging the Committee to to support the staff recommendation of the installation of traffic signals at Pharmacy Avenue at Dewey Drive.

The following Councillors appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Councillor Norm Kelly, Scarborough Wexford; and
- Councillor Mike Tzekas, Scarborough Wexford.

Councillor Saundercook appointed Councillor Disero Acting Chair, and vacated the Chair.

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, on behalf of Councillor Tzekas, the Committee recommended to Council:

- (1) the adoption of the aforementioned report; and
- (2) that the proposed installation be given high priority in order that the work can be completed as quickly as possible.

(Clause No. 35, Report No. 17)

Councillor Saundercook resumed the Chair.

#### 14.32 Installation of Traffic Control Signals: Eglinton Avenue East at Prudham Gate. (Scarborough Bluffs, Scarborough Wexford)

The Works Committee had before it a report (July 20, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) traffic control signals be installed on Eglinton Avenue East at Prudham Gate;
- (2) the City Solicitor be authorized to submit a road alteration by-law for Eglinton Avenue East, from Prudham Gate to 50 metres west thereof, for renovations of the median island to provide a left-turn lane; and
- (3) the appropriate by-laws be amended accordingly.

On motion by Councillor Sinclair, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 36, Report No. 17)

#### 14.33 Installation of Traffic Control Signals: Bayview Avenue at Teagarden Court. (Seneca Heights)

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 18, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) traffic control signals be installed at the intersection of Bayview Avenue and Teagarden Court/Sheppard Subway Bayview Station bus loop;
- (2) coincidental with the installation of the traffic control signals, pedestrian crossings be prohibited on the south side of this intersection; and
- (3) the appropriate by-law(s) be enacted.

On motion by Councillor Sinclair, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 33, Report No. 17)

#### 14.34 Installation of Traffic Control Signals: Martin Grove Road and Porterfield Road.

(Rexdale-Thistletown)

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 14, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) traffic control signals be installed at the intersection of Martin Grove Road and Porterfield Road;
- (2) coincident with the installation of traffic control signals, the existing pedestrian crossover be removed; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any bills that may be required.

The Committee also had before it a communication (September 6, 2000) from Councillor Elizabeth Brown, Rexdale – Thistletown, expressing support for the installation of traffic control signals at Martin Grove Road and Porterfield Road, as the existing pedestrian crossover is no longer operating in a satisfactory manner.

On motion by Councillor Sinclair, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 41, Report No. 17)

#### 14.35 Installation of Traffic Control Signals:

Kipling Avenue and Mattice Avenue/Road. (Markland-Centennial, Kingsway-Humber)

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) traffic control signals be installed at the intersection of Kipling Avenue and Mattice Avenue/Road:
- (2) coincident with the installation of traffic control signals, the existing pedestrian crossover be removed; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any bills that may be required.

The Committee also had before it a communication (September 7, 2000) from Councillor Gloria Lindsay Luby, Kingsway Humber, expressing support for the staff recommendation to install traffic control signals at Kipling Avenue and Mattice Avenue/Road.

On motion by Councillor Sinclair, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 37, Report No. 17)

#### **14.36** Installation of Traffic Control Signals:

Martin Grove Road and Richgrove Drive. (Markland-Centennial)

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 14, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

(1) traffic control signals be installed at the intersection of Martin Grove Road and Richgrove Drive;

- (2) coincident with the installation of traffic control signals, the existing pedestrian crossover be removed; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Sinclair, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 38, Report No. 17)

#### 14.37 Installation of Traffic Control Signals: Scarlett Road and La Rose Avenue. (Kingsway-Humber)

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 14, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) traffic control signals be installed at the intersection of Scarlett Road and La Rose Avenue; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any bills that may be required.

The Committee also had before it a communication (September 7, 2000) from Councillor Gloria Lindsay Luby Kingsway Humber, urging the Committee to support the staff recommendation to install traffic control signals at Scarlett Road and La Rose Avenue.

On motion by Councillor Sinclair, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report, subject to amending Recommendation No. (1) to provide that the traffic control signals on La Rose Avenue be on demand only.

(Clause No. 39, Report No. 17)

#### 14.38 Installation of Traffic Control Signals: Dundas Street West at Manning Avenue. (Trinity-Niagara)

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 21, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) traffic control signals be installed on Dundas Street West at Manning Avenue, coincident with the removal of the existing pedestrian crossover; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

The Committee also had before it a communication (September 12, 2000) from Councillor Joe Pantalone, Trinity Niagara, urging the Committee to support the staff recommendation to install traffic signals on Dundas Street West at Manning Avenue; and further recommending that parking be prohibited within 15 metres of the intersection on Manning Avenue.

On motion by Councillor Valenti, on behalf of Councillor Pantalone, the Committee recommended to Council:

- (1) the adoption of the aforementioned report; and
- (2) that parking be prohibited within 15 metres of the intersection on Manning Avenue.

(Clause No. 34, Report No. 17)

#### 14.39 Development-Related Traffic Controls: Islington-Titan Centre (1020 Islington Avenue). (Lakeshore-Queensway)

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 29, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) traffic control signals be installed on Islington Avenue at Titan Road;
- (2) northbound and eastbound left turns be prohibited at all times at the southerly access driveway on Islington Avenue to the Islington-Titan Centre; and

(3) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Sinclair, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 40, Report No. 17)

14.40 Installation of Mid-Block Pedestrian Traffic Control Signals:
Kipling Avenue in Front of St. Andrews
Catholic School and Thistletown Baptist Church.
(Rexdale-Thistletown)

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) mid-block pedestrian traffic control signals be installed on Kipling Avenue in front of the St. Andrews Catholic Church and Thistletown Baptist Church;
- (2) coincident with the installation of traffic control signals, the existing split pedestrian crossover be removed; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Sinclair, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 42, Report No. 17)

#### **14.41** Installation of Traffic Control Signals:

Don Mills Road, North of Sheppard Avenue East, at the Don Mills Station Bus Access Driveway. (Seneca Heights)

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 28, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) traffic control signals be installed at the intersection of Don Mills Road and the Don Mills Station bus access driveway;
- (2) coincidental with the installation of the traffic control signals, east/west pedestrian crossings be prohibited at this intersection; and
- (3) the appropriate by-law(s) be enacted.

On motion by Councillor Sinclair, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 32, Report No. 17)

## 14.42 Traffic Management Plan in Conjunction with F.G. Gardiner East Dismantling Project (Stage 3). (Don River, East Toronto)

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 23, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that in order to facilitate traffic operations during Stage 3 of the F.G. Gardiner Expressway East Dismantling Project:

- (1) right-turn and left-turn lanes be designated during Stage 3 of the dismantling project as identified in Appendix 1 to this report;
- (2) the regulation which prohibits pedestrians from crossing Leslie Street on the south side of Lake Shore Boulevard East be rescinded;
- (3) pedestrian crossings be prohibited during Stage 3 as identified in Appendix 2 to this report;
- (4) "No Stopping" prohibitions be enacted during Stage 3 as identified in Appendix 3 to this report;

- (5) turn prohibitions be enacted during Stage 3 as listed in Appendix 4 to this report;
- (6) "U"-turns be prohibited on Commissioners Street, between Don Roadway and Leslie Street, during Stage 3;
- (7) "U"-turns be prohibited on Don Roadway, between Commissioners Street and Lake Shore Boulevard East, during Stage 3;
- (8) "U"-turns be prohibited on Leslie Street, between Commissioners Street and Lake Shore Boulevard East, during Stage 3;
- (9) commencing the first day of construction of Stage 3 (expected to be as early as December 15, 2000) and terminating on the last day of construction of Stage 3 (anticipated to be on November 30, 2001, based on the Consultant's schedule), the speed limit on the F. G. Gardiner Expressway eastbound between a point 200 metres west of Don Roadway and Lake Shore Boulevard East be lowered to 60 km/h from its present posted speed of 90 km/h;
- (10) this report be forwarded to the Toronto Police Service for information; and
- (11) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Valenti, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 30, Report No. 17)

#### 14.43 Introduction of a Centre Two-Way Left-Turn Lane Designation: Islington Avenue Between Jutland Road and Bering Avenue. (Lakeshore-Queensway)

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 9, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

(1) the centre lane be designated as a two-way left-turn lane on Islington Avenue between Jutland Road and Bering Avenue; and

(2) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any bills that may be required and including the amendment of the appropriate by-laws.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 44, Report No. 17)

14.44 Introduction of Through Prohibition for Northbound and Southbound Traffic on Westmore Drive at Finch Avenue West. (Rexdale-Thistletown)

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 11, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) a through traffic prohibition be implemented for northbound and southbound traffic on Westmore Drive at Finch Avenue West; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any bills that may be required.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 43, Report No. 17)

14.45 Rescission of Northbound and Eastbound Left-Turn Prohibitions at Islington Avenue and Saybrook Avenue/Driveway to 1088 Islington Avenue. (Lakeshore-Queensway)

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 11, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

(1) the northbound and eastbound left-turn prohibitions at the intersection of Islington Avenue and Saybrook Avenue/Driveway to 1088 Islington Avenue be rescinded; and

(2) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any bills that may be required.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 45, Report No. 17)

#### 14.46 Southbound Through Prohibition:

Emerald Lane/Village Gate at Steeles Avenue West. (North York Centre)

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 28, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) southbound through traffic from Emerald Lane to Village Gate be prohibited at Steeles Avenue West, from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., Monday to Friday;
- eastbound right-turn traffic from Steeles Avenue West to Village Gate be prohibited, from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., Monday to Friday;
- (3) westbound left-turn traffic from Steeles Avenue West to Village Gate be prohibited, from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., Monday to Friday; and
- (4) the appropriate by-law(s) be amended accordingly.

The Committee also had before it a communication (September 13, 2000) from Councillor Norman Gardner, North York Centre, in support of the staff recommendations for a southbound through prohibition, Emerald Lane/Village Gate at Steeles Avenue West.

The following Councillors appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Councillor Norman Gardner, North York Centre; and
- Councillor John Filion, North York Centre.

On motion by Councillor Sinclair, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 46, Report No. 17)

### 14.47 Adjustments to On-Street Parking Meter Hours of Operation.

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 29, 2000) from the President, Toronto Parking Authority, recommending that the current hours of operation for parking meters located in Rate Zone C areas be reduced to operate for a maximum period of two hours between the hours of 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday (except where peak hour parking restrictions apply), with the exception of those areas which shall be the subject of further study as set out in this report.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 47, Report No. 17)

#### 14.48 Old Weston Road Bridge Area Transportation Study. (High Park, Davenport)

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 24, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services presenting the results of a study that reviewed existing and anticipated future traffic conditions in the Old Weston Road area and that identified and evaluated solutions to address identified problems, with a focus on the north-south corridor over the CP Rail Galt Subdivision; advising that an analysis of the existing conditions at intersections within the study area found that operating plans are generally limited to specific movements at isolated intersections, and would be addressed by minor operational and physical improvements to be evaluated for inclusion in future Transportation Services programs, subject to competing priorities; further advising that in order to address longer-term operational deficiencies, larger scale improvements would be required, but that the reinstatement of the Old Weston Road bridge is not a cost-effective solution; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Committee also had before it a communication (September 7, 2000) from Councillor Chris Korwin-Kuczynski, High Park-Parkdale, advising that the report from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services with respect to the Old Weston Road

Bridge Area Transportation Study does not go far enough to deal with the heavy traffic within this community; and requesting that the issue be once again reviewed in order to find appropriate improvements to traffic flow in the immediate area.

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Committee received the aforementioned report, and requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to submit a report to the Committee on the timing of the implementation of the transportation modifications identified in Alternative No. 2 as part of the Safety and Operation Improvement Program of the Transportation Services Division's Capital Works Program.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Councillor Chris Korwin-Kuczynski; General Manager, Transportation Services – September 13, 2000)

(Clause No. 52(h), Report No. 17)

## 14.49 Installation of Fencing Along CN Rail Tracks Between Coxwell Avenue and Gainsborough Road. (Ward 9, New Ward 15)

The Works Committee had before it a report (August 25, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting the installation of a noise barrier along CN rail tracks between Coxwell Avenue and Gainsborough Road; advising that a sound barrier as outlined therein at a cost of \$750,000.00 could provide some attenuation to adjacent residential properties, but could result in requests from other neighbourhoods for similar sound barriers, potential resulting in significant additional capital and operating costs to the City; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Committee received the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 52(i), Report No. 17)

### 14.50 Reconstruction of Vauhgan Road and Arlington Avenue Intersection.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (July 12, 2000) from Councillor Rob Davis, York Eglinton, respecting the reconstruction of the intersection of Vaughan Road and Arlington Avenue currently being undertaken by the Works and Emergency Services

Department; noting that the Vaughan/Oakwood Improvement Committee will have to spend approximately \$60,000.00 to beautify the above-noted intersection if it does the work independently after the Works operation is completed, compared to a cost of \$20,000.00 if done simultaneously; and recommending that the Works and Emergency Services Department absorb the cost of this project.

The Committee recommended that the Works and Emergency Services Department absorb the cost of completing the improvements at the intersection of Vaughan Road and Arlington Avenue as recommended in aforementioned communication.

(Clause No. 48, Report No. 17)

#### 14.51 Illegal Posters and Signs.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (August 11, 2000) from the City Clerk advising that Council, at its meeting on August 1, 2, 3, and 4, 2000, referred to the Works Committee a Motion by Councillor Kelly, seconded by Councillor Duguid, wherein it is resolved that:

- (1) all By-law Enforcement Officers, regardless of Department, be immediately directed to remove posters and signs;
- (2) all By-law Enforcement Officers ensure that illegally posted signs that go up in the future are also removed; and
- (3) the Works and Emergency Services Department co-operate with the Municipal Licensing and Standards Division and provide the equipment that is necessary to take down illegal posters and signs.

On motion by Councillor Shiner, the Committee referred the aforementioned communication to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, for a report thereon to the Committee.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Councillor Norm Kelly; Commissioner of Urban Development Services – September 13, 2000)

(Clause No. 52(j), Report No. 17)

#### 14.52 Council of Toronto Watersheds.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (August 11, 2000) from Councillor Joan King, Chair, Environmental Task Force Sub-Committee, recommending that:

- (1) the proposal from Mr. Dalton Shipway, regarding the creation of a Council of Toronto Watersheds, not be approved; and
- (2) the Works Committee request the Sustainability Roundtable, in consultation with the Grants Advisory Committee, to prepare a report, for presentation to the Policy and Finance Committee, detailing the criteria and protocol to be utilized by the City to provide grants and in-kind support to local environmental community-based groups, as has been detailed in Recommendation No. (44) from the Environmental Plan, entitled "Clean, Green and Healthy A Plan for an Environmentally Sustainable Toronto."

The Committee also had before it a communication (September 13, 2000) from Mr. Dalton C. Shipway requesting that the Committee adopt the Environmental Task Force Sub-Committee report, or approve it in principle and forward to the appropriate staff for a report.

Councillor Saundercook appointed Councillor Bossons Acting Chair, and vacated the Chair.

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Committee received the aforementioned communications.

(Councillor Joan King; Mr. Dalton Shipway – September 13, 2000)

(Clause No. 52(k), Report No. 17)

Councillor Saundercook resumed the Chair.

### 14.53 Basement Flooding Project – Status Report.

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 7, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

(1) an additional \$1 million be reallocated from the Sewer Capital Works Account CWW-403-2 to the Downspout Disconnection Capital Account CWW-404-4 to accommodate the additional expenditures required to accelerate the Downspout

Disconnection Program and other immediate short-term measures throughout the areas that have experienced basement flooding problems due to extreme rainstorm events;

- (2) City staff be authorized to expand the existing downspout disconnection program in order to give priority to those properties that experienced flooding following the May 12, 2000 or July 14, 2000 storms and at the same time continuing to service those who have been advised that they will receive downspout disconnection under the existing Contract No. 101-WP;
- (3) the existing Contract No. 101-WP with The Gutter Shop in the amount of \$555,113.00 be extended, in the amount of \$100,000.00, to accommodate as a priority, those homeowners who have experienced flooded basements in the storms of May 12 or July 14, 2000, and who would benefit from downspout disconnection;
- (4) authorization be given to call and award tenders for additional downspout disconnection to reduce the risk of basement flooding for those homes that experienced basement flooding in the storms of May 12 or July 14, 2000, at an estimated cost of \$400,000.00;
- (5) the Basement Flooding Study investigate as a priority, other appropriate cost-effective interventions on the city sewer system and private property that could alleviate the risk of recurrence of basement flooding at each of those properties that have experienced flooded basements due to this year's storm events;
- (6) authorization be given to call and award tenders to carry out the work identified in Recommendation No. 5 above, at no cost to affected homeowners, at an estimated cost of \$500,000.00;
- (7) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report back to the Works Committee early in 2001, on the short term measures carried out and further work required to reduce the risk of a recurrence of the basement flooding problems experienced this year; and
- (8) the appropriate City officials are granted the authority necessary to give effect thereto.

The Committee also had before it a communication (August 9, 2000) from Councillor Jane Pitfield, Chair, East York Community Council, forwarding a communication from residents of Southvale Drive/Astor Avenue in South Leaside who experienced basement flooding during the heavy rainstorm of July 14, 2000, and who are requesting that the City give immediate priority to upgrading the current combined sewer system to deal with such heavy rainstorms, and that the City require that all homeowners have disconnected downspouts.

The Committee also had before it a copy of a communication (July 26, 2000) from Councillor Michael Prue, East York, addressed to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, forwarding a petition submitted by residents of the Topham neighbourhood of East York related to past incidents of flooding, together with additional names which were submitted independently; and requesting that the City immediately embark on an aggressive campaign in the area to remove downspouts, and further, in the long term, that this area be earmarked for a major upgrade of existing sewers in line with the anticipated engineering studies currently being sought.

On motion by Councillor Sinclair, the Committee recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Policy and Finance Committee; c: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services – September 13, 2000)

(Clause No. 52(l), Report No. 17)

#### 14.54 Request for Proposals for District Service Improvements (DSI) for Water and Wastewater Services and Award of Contract – RFP No. 9155-00-7241.

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (September 7, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that:

- (1) authority be granted for pre-budget approval in the amount of \$2,025,000.00 for the District Service Improvement Project prior to the approval of the 2001-2005 Capital Works Program;
- subject to Recommendation No. (1) above, the contract for the supply of professional services for the District Service Improvement (DSI) Project, be awarded to Earth Tech Canada Inc., at a cost of \$2,500,000.00, inclusive of GST and which includes a base fee of \$2,274,342.00 for a defined scope of work plus a contingency allowance of \$225,658.00 for potential additional work where warranted and if authorized by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Committee also had before it a communication (September 13, 2000) from Mr. Brian Cochrane, President, Toronto Civic Employees' Union, CUPE Local 416, advising that the proposed program will have major repercussions on the work done by Local 416 members; and requesting that the decision on the item be deferred and that the Works and Emergency Services Department be directed to meet with the Union and report back to the Committee.

The Committee recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee the adoption of the aforementioned joint report.

(Policy and Finance Committee; c: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services – September 13, 2000)

(Clause No. 52(m), Report No. 17)

## 14.55 Engineering Services to Complete Design for Plant Water Supply and Treatment System at the Humber Treatment Plant.

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that authority be granted to amend the existing engineering services Agreement with the engineering firm of MacViro Consultants Inc. for additional expenditures incurred during the pre-design and detailed design services related to the Plant Water Supply and Treatment System at the Humber Treatment Plant, by an additional amount of \$43,890.65, including all applicable taxes and disbursements.

On motion by Councillor Sinclair, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 11, Report No. 17)

### 14.56 Quotation for Iron Salts (Ferrous Chloride).

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (August 17, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that:

(1) the quotation for the supply and delivery of approximately 20,075,000 kilograms of iron salts (Ferrous Chloride) used for chemical precipitation and removal of

phosphorus from sewage by the Water Pollution Control Division at the Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant, Humber Treatment Plant, Highland Creek Treatment Plant, and North Toronto Treatment Plant for the five-year period commencing January 1, 2001, in accordance with specifications as required by the Works and Emergency Services Department be accepted from Eaglebrook Incorporated of Canada for a total estimated expenditure of \$10,890,486.75 including all applicable taxes and charges, being the lowest quotation received; and

(2) the appropriate officials be authorized to complete the necessary contract documents.

On motion by Councillor Valenti, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned joint report.

(Clause No. 14, Report No. 17)

#### 14.57 Taste and Odour Research Consortium.

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) authority be granted to provide the City of Toronto's contribution to the "Research Consortium: Taste and Odour 2000" Program in the amount of \$60,000.00; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 17, Report No. 17)

#### 14.58 American Water Works Association Research Foundation – Annual Subscription.

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 1, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

(1) authority be granted to provide the City of Toronto's annual subscription to the American Water Works Association Research Foundation in the amount of \$64,000.00 for 2000; and

(2) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 16, Report No. 17)

14.59 Winter Maintenance on Toronto Roads, Salting, Ploughing and Driveway Window Clearing, District 4 Nashdene Camp – Contract No. 00D4-110-RD.

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (September 5, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that Contract No. 00D4-110 RD, Tender Call No. 133-2000 for the winter maintenance on Toronto roads, salting, ploughing and driveway windrow clearing, District 4 Nashdene Camp, during the 2000/2001 to 2002/2003 winter seasons, be awarded to D. Crupi & Sons Limited in the total amount of \$5,968,978.95 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned joint report.

(Clause No. 25, Report No. 17)

14.60 Winter Maintenance on Toronto Roads and Sidewalks –
Contracts Nos. 00D4-116 RD and 00D4-119 RD.
(Scarborough-Wexford, Scarborough Civic Centre,
Scarborough Bluffs, Scarborough Highland Creek)

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (September 5, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that the following contracts for winter maintenance on Toronto roads, sidewalks, bus stop landing pads, pedestrian crossovers and seniors' sidewalk de-icing and ploughing, including spring clean-up, during the 2000/2001 to 2002/2003 winter seasons, be awarded to the following Tenderers:

Contract/Tender Call No.	<u>Tenderer</u>	Tender Price
00D4-116 RD, 158-2000	Metropolitan Paving & Concrete Inc.	\$3,250,253.40
00D4-119 RD, 161-2000	Jim Kerr Excavating Inc.	\$2,078.547.76

including all charges and taxes, being the lowest Tenders received.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned joint report.

(Clause No. 26, Report No. 17)

On motion by Councillor Bossons, the Committee met privately to discuss the following matter having regard that the subject matter being considered relates to the security of the property of the municipality or local board, in accordance with the Municipal Act.

#### 14.61 Legal Claim – Contract No. SWM-1-93, Conversion of Commissioners Street Incinerator to a Transfer Station.

The Works Committee had before it a joint confidential report (August 31, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor respecting a legal claim against the City of Toronto with respect to Contract No. SWM-1-93, conversion of the Commissioners Street Incinerator to a Transfer Station.

#### The Committee:

- (1) recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee the adoption of the aforementioned confidential joint report; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to submit a report to the Works Committee on opportunities for increasing the efficiency of the Commissioners Street Transfer Station operation through the scheduling of waste removal on a 24-hour basis.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: City Solicitor; General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services – September 13, 2000)

(Clause No. 52(n), Report No. 17)

### 14.62 Biosolids Utilization – Research and Development.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (September 5, 2000) from Councillor Bruce Sinclair, Rexdale-Thistletown, submitting a motion with respect to the application of biosolids on agricultural land, wherein it is resolved that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the Works Committee in early 2001 with a program for the implementation of a research and development component of the City's biosolids utilization program, which includes the following features:

- (1) purchase of farmland to create the "Centre for Biosolids Research and Development"; and
- engagement of the University of Guelph, the Ontario Federation of Agriculture, the Ministry of Agriculture and Food, the Ministry of the Environment, Environment Canada, and business, environmental and labour representatives, towards participation on the Centre's Board of Directors and development of research programs.

On motion by Councillor Sinclair, the Committee referred the aforementioned communication to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services for a report to the Committee as requested therein.

(Councillor Bruce Sinclair; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services – September 13, 200)

(Clause No. 52(o), Report No. 17)

#### 14.63 Erosion Problems – Meadowcliffe Drive.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (September 3, 2000) from property owners on Lower Meadowcliffe Drive, advising of problems experienced by the owners of properties on lower Meadowcliffe Drive with respect to flooding by rainwater during the heavy rains this year, and severe erosion of the Scarborough Bluffs caused by wave action as a result of the high levels of Lake Ontario.

On motion by Councillor Sinclair, the Committee referred the aforementioned communication to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services for a report thereon to the Committee.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Interested Parties; c: General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services – September 13, 2000)

(Clause No. 52(p), Report No. 17)

### 14.64 Noise Abatement Fence on Lake Shore Boulevard East – Demolition of the Gardiner Expressway/East Sector.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (September 5, 2000) from Councillor Mike Tzekas, Scarborough Wexford, forwarding a communication (August 28, 2000) from Mr. Peter Lukas, President, Showline Limited, respecting noise levels caused by the demolition of the Gardiner Expressway, for consideration by the Committee.

The Committee also had before it the following Motion by Councillor Jack Layton, Toronto – Don River:

"WHEREAS Council on June 9, 10 and 11, 1999, directed that a sound barrier wall be constructed adjacent to the Showline Limited property; and

WHEREAS Showline Limited does not wish to have a sound barrier wall in perpetuity, but instead are prepared to build an iron fence and attach temporary acoustic panels during construction of the Gardiner Dismantling Project;

BE IT RESOLVED THEREFORE that the City and Showline erect an iron fence, to which temporary acoustic panels will be attached, subject to the following conditions:

- (1) the fence is to be constructed entirely on Showline Limited property;
- (2) the design of the fence and acoustic attachment will be acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and Showline Limited;
- (3) the remaining iron fence on private property, following completion of the Gardiner Dismantling Project to be the sole responsibility of the property owner for maintenance and repair; and
- (4) the City will not be responsible for costs in excess of what the original sound barrier wall would have cost.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Peter Lukas, President, Showline Limited; and
- Ms. Linda Lynch, Environmental Watch.

Councillor King moved that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to discuss the foregoing Motion by Councillor Layton with Mr. Lukas, and submit a report thereon directly to Council for its meeting on October 3, 2000. (**Redundant**)

Councillor Shiner moved that the foregoing Motion by Councillor Layton be amended by adding thereto the following additional Recommendation:

"(5) Showline Limited agrees that the City's contribution of up to \$300,000.00 towards the construction of the acoustical fence represents the City's total responsibility towards noise attenuation measures at the Showline Limited property located at 915 Lake Shore Boulevard East." (Carried)

Councillor Disero moved the adoption of the foregoing Motion by Councillor Layton, as amended by Councillor Shiner. (Carried)

(Clause No. 49, Report No. 17)

## 14.65 Cost Overrun on Purchase Order No. 6001528 – Water Service Repair Program in York Humber and York Eglinton (Wards 27 and 28).

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 12, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services providing reasons for the cost overrun with respect to Purchase Order No. 6001528, Water Service Repair Programs in York Humber and York Eglinton; and recommending that the amount of \$194,350.20 in Purchase Order No. 6001528 be increased to \$270,000.00, plus applicable taxes.

On motion by Councillor Davis, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 15, Report No. 17)

#### 14.66 Cycle Pathway on Kipling Avenue Between Panorama Court and Steeles Avenue.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (September 11, 2000) from Councillor Bruce Sinclair, Rexdale Thistletown, outlining concerns with respect to the overcrowded sidewalk on the east side of Kipling Avenue between Panorama Court and Steeles Avenue; suggesting that a standard 6 or 7 foot asphalt pathway for the use of cyclists and roller bladers would solve the problem; and recommending that this be referred to to staff for immediate investigation and and early report.

On motion by Councillor Sinclair, the Committee recommended to Council that a separate six or seven-foot asphalt pathway be constructed on the boulevard between the curb and the sidewalk on the east side of Kipling Avenue, between Panorama Court and Steeles Avenue, for the use of cyclists and roller bladers, subject to a favourable report thereon from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services directly to Council for its meeting on October 3, 2000.

(Clause No. 50, Report No. 17)

### 14.67 Delays in Execution of Construction Contracts.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (August 9, 2000) from Mr. Salvatore Morra, Executive Director, Greater Toronto Sewer and Watermain Contractors Association, raising questions with respect to the length of time taken by the City's Legal Division in executing construction contracts; and recommending that authority for the construction contracts be delegated to Works staff in all districts, as is currently the practice in District 1.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Committee referred the aforementioned communication to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services for a report thereon, in consultation with the City Solicitor, directly to Council for its meeting on October 3, 2000.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: City Solicitor; Executive Director, Technical Services; Mr. Salvatore Morra – September 13, 2000)

(Clause No. 51, Report No. 17)

The Works Committee adjourned its meeting a	at 8:25 p.m.
	Chair.