

CITY OF TORONTO

Clerk's Division

Meeting No. 4

March 23, 2000

The York Community Council held a meeting on Thursday, March 23, 2000 in the Council Chamber, York Civic Centre, 2700 Eglinton Avenue West at 9:30 a.m.

Members present: Councillor R. Davis, Chair
Councillor J. Mihevc
Councillor F. Nunziata
Councillor B. Saundercook

Confirmation of Minutes.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council confirmed the Minutes of the meeting held on February 15, 2000.

4.1 Proposed No Stopping Regulation on Edmund Avenue Ward 27, York Humber.

The York Community Council had before it the following communications:

- (i) (February 24, 2000) from the Rev. Ross Gilroy, President and Mr. Stewart Hill, Humber Community Seniors, regarding a request from the York Community Council for the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, to report on changing the current No Parking regulation to No Stopping, for approximately 200 feet on both sides of Edmund Avenue, west of Weston Road, and advising of the following concerns on the operation of their seniors services:
 - (a) their meals on wheels volunteer drivers, many of whom are seniors, must park close to Edmund Avenue and Weston Road to pick up meals and supplies for delivery to senior clients;
 - (b) on a daily basis, volunteer transportation drivers and food delivery persons use their reception area which opens on Edmund Avenue;
 - (c) from their office, 181 volunteers deliver in excess of 30,000 meals annually; agency vehicles and volunteers provide 10,000 trips to medical appointments and shopping;
 - (d) additional agency services, such as home maintenance and home help, are provided to community seniors in need; and

that the proposed No Stopping regulation on Edmund Avenue would reduce the number of volunteers and negatively impact on the independent living of their elderly clients; and

- (ii) (March 22, 2000) from Mr. Dave Bennett, 14 Edmund Avenue, expressing concern regarding a number of issues in the neighbours, i.e. parking over sidewalks, parking in front of fire hydrants, parking on boulevards, no parking on the south side of Edmund, the one and three hour parking limit on Edmund Avenue and Weston Road, the Works Department curbs being removed onto the sidewalk, loud music and car alarms, drinking of alcohol at the businesses, racing cars down Edmund Avenue and employees living in the commercial buildings.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council deferred the foregoing communications for consideration together with the report from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, when it is available:

(Clause No. 16(a), Report No. 4)

4.2 Scott Road at Cameron Avenue Intersection Narrowing Survey Results Ward 27, York Humber.

The York Community Council had before it the following communications:

- (i) (February 10, 2000) Director, Transportation Services, District 1, reporting on survey results on the construction of a concrete curb throat narrowing on the south/west corner of the Scott Road and Cameron Avenue intersection; advising that the funds associated with constructing a concrete curb throat narrowing, estimated at \$6,000.00, are contained in the Works and Emergency Services Department 2000 Capital Budget; and recommending that:
 - (1) the City Solicitor be authorized to submit a road alteration by-law to narrow the roadway on Scott Road at its intersection with Cameron Avenue for the purpose of reinforcing the one-way northbound operation, described as follows:

“The narrowing of the roadway from a width of 8.5m to a width varying from 4m at its narrowest opening on the west side of Scott Road, from Cameron Avenue to a point 15m south, generally as shown on the attached Drawing No.421F-5634”; and
- (ii) (March 23, 2000) from Mr. Fred Lindsay, Vice President, Silverthorn Ratepayers Association, responding to the above report from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

Mr. Fred Lindsay, Vice President, Silverthorn Ratepayers Association, appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 1, Report No. 4)

**4.3 Silverthorn Avenue from Rowntree Avenue to Rogers Road
Parking regulation changes - Ward 27, York Humber.**

The York Community Council had before it a report (March 6, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, reporting on a proposal to discourage long term and commuter parking by non-permit holders; advising that to cover the cost of the necessary sign adjustments in the amount of \$800.00 are contained in the Transportation Services Division 2000 Operating Budget interim appropriations; and recommending that:

- (1) the alternate side parking regulations on Silverthorn Avenue, between Rowntree Avenue and Rogers Road be rescinded;
- (2) parking be prohibited at anytime on the east side of Silverthorn Avenue, between Rowntree Avenue and Rogers Road;
- (3) parking on the west side of Silverthorn Avenue, between a point 40 metres north of Rowntree Avenue and Rogers Road be restricted to a maximum period of one hour anytime;
- (4) the permit parking regulation be adjusted to be in effect on the west side of Silverthorn Avenue only, between a point 40 metres north of Rowntree Avenue and Rogers Road; and
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 2, Report No. 4)

**4.4 Little Avenue – Traffic Calming Survey Results
Ward 27, York Humber.**

The York Community Council had before it the following communications:

- (i) (February 15, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, reporting on the introduction of traffic calming measures on Little Avenue between Weston Road and Lawrence Avenue West; advising that the funds associated with constructing the proposed three (3) asphalt speed humps,

Minutes of the York Community Council
Meeting held on March 23, 2000

estimated at \$6,000.00, are contained in the Works and Emergency Services Department 2000 Capital Budget; and recommending that:

- (1) the City Solicitor be authorized to submit road alteration by-laws on Little Avenue from Lawrence Avenue West and Weston Road for traffic calming purposes, described as follows:

“The installation of speed humps on Little Avenue, from Lawrence Avenue West to Weston Road” generally as shown in the attached Drawing No. 421F-5636.”
 - (2) the City Clerk provide the necessary public notice to introduce the above-noted traffic calming measures; and
 - (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.
- (ii) (March 20, 2000) from Ms. Carley R. Sala, Corresponding Secretary, Weston Ratepayers and Residents Association, advising that the Association supports the neighbours who responded in favour of the traffic calming on Little Avenue;
 - (iii) (March 23, 2000) from Ms. Marlene Madore, Toronto, requesting that the speed humps not be installed.
 - (iv) Memorandum dated December 9, 1998 from Councillor Nunziata to York Traffic Division; and
 - (v) Traffic Calming Survey sent to residents (January 4, 2000).

Ms. Marlene Madore, Toronto, appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter and submitted a brief in regard thereto.

Councillor Saundercook moved, that this matter be deferred to the next meeting for staff to determine whether Little Avenue was designated as a ring road.

Yes: Councillors Davis and Saundercook (2)
No: Councillors Mihevc and Nunziata (2)

Motion lost on a tie vote.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

**4.5 Request for Alternate Side Parking on Handel Street
between Foxwell Avenue and Ellins Avenue
Ward 27, York Humber.**

The York Community Council had before it a report (February 16, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, responding to a request from York Community Council for a report on the feasibility of establishing alternate side parking on Handel Street between Foxwell Avenue and Ellins Avenue; advising that the funds associated with the implementation of alternate side parking on Handel Street for relevant signage, estimated at \$500.00, are available in the Transportation Services Division, 2000 Operating Budget interim appropriations; and recommending that:

- (1) the City Clerk undertake a poll of the residents on Handel Street between Foxwell Avenue and Ellins Avenue, to determine majority support in establishing alternate side parking on Handel Street;
- (2) subject to the residents poll revealing the majority of residents are in favour, the Uniform Traffic By-law Nos. 196-84 and 2958-94 be amended to:
 - (a) rescind the current “No Parking Anytime” prohibition on the west side of Handel Street between Foxwell Avenue and Ellins Avenue
 - (b) prohibit parking on the west side of Handel Street between Foxwell Avenue and Ellins Avenue from 7:00 p.m. on the 1st day of each month to 7:00 p.m. on the 16th day of each month for the period of April 1st to December 1st, and at anytime from 7:00 p.m. on December 1st to 7:00 p.m. on April 1st; and
 - (c) prohibit parking on the east side of Handel Street between Foxwell Avenue and Ellins Avenue from 7:00 p.m. on the 16th day of each month to 7:00 p.m. on the 1st day of the next month for the period of April 1st to December 1st; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the York Community Council requested the City Clerk to undertake a poll of the residents on Handel Street between Foxwell Avenue and Ellins Avenue, to determine interest in establishing alternate side parking on Handel Avenue, as noted in recommendation (1) in the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 16(b), Report No. 4)

4.6 Request for Alternate Side and On-Street Permit Parking on Folkes Street between Rivercrest Road and Jane Street – Ward 27, York Humber.

The York Community Council had before it a report (February 16, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, Responding to a request from York Community Council for a report on the feasibility of establishing alternate parking on Folkes Street; advising that the funds associated with the establishment of alternate side and on-street permit parking for the relevant signage, estimated at \$500.00, are available in the Transportation Services Division 2000 Operating Budget interim appropriations; and recommending that:

- (1) the City Clerk undertake a poll of the residents on Folkes Street between Rivercrest Road and Jane Street, to determine majority support in establishing alternate side and on-street permit parking on Folkes Street;
- (2) subject to the residents poll revealing the majority of residents are in favour, the Uniform Traffic By-law Nos.196-84 and 2958-94 be amended to;
 - (a) rescind the current "No Parking Anytime" prohibition on the south side of Folkes Street between Rivercrest Road and Jane Street;
 - (b) prohibit parking on the south side of Folkes Street between Rivercrest Road and Jane Street, from 7:00 p.m. on the 1st day of each month to 7:00 p.m. on the 16th day of each month for the period of April 1st to December 1st, and at anytime from 7:00 p.m. on December 1st to 7:00 p.m. on April 1st; and
 - (c) prohibit parking on the north side of Folkes Street between Rivercrest Road and Jane Street, from 7:00 p.m. on the 16th day of each month to 7:00 p.m. on the 1st day of the next month for the period of April 1st to December 1st; and
- (3) the On-Street Parking By-Law No. 3491-80 be amended to authorize parking by permit only on:
 - (a) the north side of Folkes Street, between Rivercrest Road and Jane Street, from 12:00 midnight to 6:00 a.m. from the 2nd day to the 16th day of each month from April 2nd to December 1st and each day during the period from December 2nd to April 1st inclusive; and
 - (b) the south side of Folkes Street between Rivercrest Road and Jane Street, from 12:00 midnight to 6:00 a.m. from the 17th day to the first day of the next following month for the period of April 2nd to December 1st.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the York Community Council requested the City Clerk to undertake a poll of the residents on Folkes Street between Rivercrest Road and Jane Street, to determine interest in establishing alternate side and on-street permit parking on Folkes Street, as noted in recommendation (1) in the foregoing report.

(Clause No, 16(c), Report No. 4)

4.7 Request to Change the Night-Time Parking Restriction on Romanway Crescent, to Apply only During the Winter Months Ward 27, York Humber.

The York Community Council had before it a report (February 25, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, responding to a request from the York Community Council to adjust the night-time parking restriction on Romanway Crescent to apply only during the winter months; advising that the funds to undertake the necessary sign adjustments associated with changing the parking regulation on the north/west sides of Romanway Crescent, estimated at \$300.00, are available in the Transportation Services Division 2000 Operating Budget interim appropriations; and recommending that:

- (1) the City Clerk undertake a poll of the residents on Romanway Crescent between Plainfield Road and Wright Avenue to determine majority support for changing the parking regulation "No Parking", 11:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m., Monday to Thursday, to apply only during the period December 1st through to March 31st, on the north/west sides of Romanway Crescent;
- (2) subject to the residents poll revealing the majority of residents are in favour, the Uniform Traffic By-law Nos. 196-84 and 2958-94 be amended to prohibit parking from 11:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m., Monday to Thursday, December 1st through to March 31st; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council requested the City Clerk to undertake a poll of the residents on Romanway Crescent between Plainfield Road and Wright Avenue, to determine interest in changing the parking regulations as noted in recommendation (1) in the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 16(d), Report No. 4)

**4.8 Request for a Parking Prohibition on Rosemount Avenue –
Ward 27, York Humber.**

The York Community Council had before it a report (February 25, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, reporting on the introduction of a parking prohibition on Rosemount Avenue to address a visibility hazard; and advising that the funds associated with the prohibition parking on the east side of Rosemount Avenue to install the necessary signage, estimated at \$300.00, are available in the Transportation Services Division 2000 Operating Budget interim appropriations; and recommending that:

- (1) the Uniform Traffic By-law Nos. 196-84 and 2958-94 be amended to prohibit parking on the east side of Rosemount Avenue from a point 141 metres north of Church Street to a point 50 metres further north thereof; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 4, Report No. 4)

**4.9 Morland Road, South Side, Fronting Premises No. 33
Establishment of a Disabled Person's Parking Space
Ward 27, York Humber.**

The York Community Council had before it a report (March 1, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, reporting on the introduction of a designated disabled person's parking space at the premises No. 33 Morland Road; advising that the funds associated with the implementation of a designated disabled parking space, estimated at \$200.00 are contained in the Transportation Services Division 2000 Operating Budget interim appropriations; and recommending that:

- (1) the Uniform Traffic By-law Nos. 196-84 and 2958-94 be amended to prohibit parking any time on the south side of Morland Road for one vehicle length, directly in front of Premises No. 33 Morland Road; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 5, Report No. 4)

**4.10 Ava Road and Hill Place, Review of Need for All-Way Stop Control
Ward 28, York Eglinton.**

The York Community Council had before it the following communications:

- (i) (February 25, 2000) responding to a request from York Community Council to review the need to retain the existing all-way stop at the intersection of Ava Road and Hill Place; including the height of the hedge located at 112 Ava Road; advising that the all-way stop control at this location was introduced as part of Phase I of the Cedarvale Community Traffic Plan late in 1997; that the Plan consisted of numerous traffic calming measures aimed at reducing through traffic, reducing vehicular travel speeds and improving pedestrian environments; that in an effort to achieve these objectives it was decided that stop signs should be placed on all approaches of every intersection; that the height of the hedges located on the west and easterly lot lines at No. 112 Ava Road are currently in compliance with the regulations as set out in the Streets By-law No. 3343-79; that the retention of these stop signs achieves one of the key principles established in developing the Cedarvale Community Traffic Plan; that there is no concern with sight lines as a result of hedges within the intersection; and recommending that this report be received for information; and
- (ii) (March 22, 2000) from Mr. Frank Williams, 109 Ava Road, expressing support for the removal of the stop sign on Ava Road at Hill Place, in accordance with the residents' poll which was conducted in November 1998.

Mr. Frank Williams, 109 Ava Road, appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter and submitted a communication in regard thereto.

On motion by Councillor Mihevc, the Community Council recommended to City Council that:

- (1) the east/west stop signs located on Ava Road at Hill Place be removed;**
- (2) the former City of York Uniform Traffic By-law Nos. 196-84 and 2958-94 be amended accordingly; and**
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.**

(Clause No. 11, Report No. 4)

**4.11 Gilbert Avenue between Rogers Road and Summit Avenue
Traffic Calming Survey Results – Ward 28, York Eglinton.**

The York Community Council had before it a report (February 14, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, Reporting on survey results on the feasibility of installing traffic calming on Gilbert Avenue between Rogers Road and Summit Avenue resulting from a petition by area residents; advising volume and speed studies were undertaken on Gilbert Avenue over a seven-day period recorded an average daily total of 877 vehicles travelling at an average speed of 38.8 km per hour, of those 877 vehicles, 46 percent were travelling at speeds over 40 km per hour, a combined daily operating speed of 51.5 km per hour was recorded over the period of the study; that based on the above-mentioned study results a survey of affected residents was undertaken; that the survey results reveal that the total number of responses received was less than 50 percent of the total number of properties surveyed; however, of the 17 (21%) replies received, 15 or (88%) support the installation of traffic calming; that should the York Community Council recommend approval of the proposed traffic calming plan a road alteration by-law and public notice would be required; that the cost of constructing six (6) asphalt speed humps is estimated at approximately \$12,000.00 funds for which could be accommodated in the Transportation Services 2000 Capital Budget; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Mihevc, the Community Council:

- (1) deferred this matter for one month;**
- (2) requested the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, to undertake another survey of the affected area residents, using the languages of Portuguese and Italian, in addition to English; and**
- (3) received the foregoing report.**

(Clause No. 16(e), Report No. 4)

**4.12 Sale of Surplus Spadina Property at 25 Gloucester Grove
Ward 28, York Eglinton.**

The York Community Council had before it a report (March 7, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, requesting authorization to dispose of property municipally known as 25 Gloucester Grove; advising that the revenue is \$377,000.00, less closing costs and the usual adjustments, subject to the revenue sharing agreement with the Province pursuant to the former Metropolitan Corporate Administration Committee Report No. 25, Clause 1, approved on December 4, 1996; and recommending that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Corporate Services or the Executive Director of Facilities and Real Estate be authorized to accept the highest offer in the amount of \$377,000.00 as detailed herein;**
- (2) Council, pursuant to Clause No. 14, Report No. 36 of the former Metropolitan Management Committee adopted on September 28, 1994, waive the minimum required deposit of 10 percent of the purchase price;**

- (3) authority be granted to direct a portion of the sale proceeds on closing to fund the outstanding balance of Costing Unit No. CA700CA2517;
- (4) the City Solicitor be authorized and directed to take the appropriate action, in conjunction with the Province of Ontario Officials and/or agents, to complete this transaction on behalf of the City of Toronto and be further authorized to amend the closing date to such earlier or later date as considered reasonable; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Mihevc, the Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 6, Report No. 4)

**4.13 Sale of Surplus Spadina Property at 34 Gloucester Grove
Ward 28, York Eglinton.**

The York Community Council had before it a report (March 6, 2000) Commissioner of Corporate Services, requesting authorization to dispose of property municipally known as 34 Gloucester Grove; advising that the revenue is \$179,000.00, less closing costs and the usual adjustments, subject to the revenue sharing agreement with the Province pursuant to the former Metropolitan Corporate Administration Committee Report No. 25, Clause 1, approved on December 4, 1996; and recommending that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Corporate Services or the Executive Director of Facilities and Real Estate be authorized to accept the offer in the amount of \$179,000.00 as detailed herein;
- (2) Council, pursuant to Clause No. 14, Report No. 36 of the former Metropolitan Management Committee adopted on September 28, 1994, waive the minimum required deposit of 10 percent of the purchase price;
- (3) authority be granted to direct a portion of the sale proceeds on closing to fund the outstanding balance of Costing Unit No. CA700CA2520;
- (4) the City Solicitor be authorized and directed to take the appropriate action, in conjunction with the Province of Ontario officials and/or agents, to complete this transaction on behalf of the City of Toronto and be further authorized to amend the closing date to such earlier or later date as considered reasonable; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Mihevc, the Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 7, Report No. 4)

**4.14 Drain Grant Appeal for 100 Rivercrest Road
Ward 27, York Humber.**

The York Community Council had before it a report (March 8, 2000) from the Director, Districts 1 and 2, Water and Wastewater Operations, Water and Wastewater Services Division, reporting that an appeal to a denied drain grant made by Mr. Bruce Proctor, owner of 100 Rivercrest Road; advising that on September 27, 1999, City staff responded to a call from Mr. Proctor concerning a bad odour coming from a manhole in front of 98 Rivercrest Road, inspected the main sewers, found no smell at the time of inspection, but found the sanitary sewer running slow and scheduled for sewer cleaning; staff received no further calls; that subsequently drain expenses were incurred by Mr. Proctor by reason of repairs performed to the drain system on private property; that the drain repair invoices for the removal of the trap and breather and the installation of a clean out totalled \$1,284.00; that staff of the Buildings Division inspected this location on September 28, 1999 and noted that this was a partial repair caused by roots; that on November 12, 1999 Mr. Proctor applied to the City for a drain grant; that Forestry staff determined that there is no City tree at Nos. 98 and 100 Rivercrest Road and that a small City tree located at 102 Rivercrest Road approximately 15 metres away from the location is too small for the roots to travel the distance and cause root infiltration; that a City oak tree (approx. 600 mm dia.) is located across the street at No. 97 Rivercrest; that staff concluded that the closest vegetation that could cause root infiltration would be the two (private) Junipers next to the cleanout; that Mr. Proctor's application under the Drain Grant Program was denied and that Mr. Proctor requested that his application be reviewed by the York Community Council; that recommendations for seven drain grant appeal reports from Toronto Community Council were before City Council's meeting of February 29, March 1 and 2, 2000 and that Council referred these reports to the Works Committee to resolve and review the policy; that there are currently sufficient funds in the Water and Wastewater Services Division's 2000 Preliminary Operating Budget to cover all or any portion of this appeal; and recommending that:

- (1) the appeal be denied; and
- (2) this report and York Community Council's recommendation be forwarded to City Council through the Works Committee.

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Community Council:

- (1) **approved recommendation (1) in the foregoing report; and**
- (2) **advised the Works Committee of the action taken.**

(Clause No. 16(f), Report No. 4)

**4.15 Preliminary Report – Zoning By-law Amendment, 288 Boon Avenue
Owners: Guerino & Letterina Vescio; Applicant: G.S. Designs
(Mr. F. Sestito); File No. R00-001 – Ward 28, York Eglinton.**

The York Community Council had before it a report (February 21, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, West District, providing preliminary information regarding an application to amend the former City of York Zoning By-law No. 1-83 to permit commercial uses including a retail store, hair salon and office uses on the main level and two residential units in a dwelling house located in an R2 Residential Zone District; advising that there are no City funding requirements and that there are no impacts regarding the capital or operating budgets; and recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a Community Meeting in consultation with the Ward Councillors; and
- (2) staff prepare a final recommendation report and arrange a Public Meeting under the Planning Act to consider this application, targeted for the third quarter of this year.

Councillor Mihevc moved:

That staff prepare a final recommendation report and arrange for a Public Meeting under the Planning Act;

Yes: Councillor Mihevc (1)

No: Councillors Davis, Nunziata and Saundercook (3)

Motion lost.

Councillor Davis appointed Councillor Saundercook, Acting Chair, and vacated the Chair.

Councillor Davis moved:

That staff be directed to schedule a Community Meeting in consultation with the Ward Councillors.

Motion carried, with Councillor Mihevc voting in the Negative.

Councillor Davis returned to the Chair.

**4.16 Application for Demolition Approval, 2574 St. Clair Avenue West
Owner: 1361613 Ontario Inc; Applicant: Brent Mann, President;
File 10/14/1-1; Ward 27, York Humber.**

The York Community Council had before it a report (February 28, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, West District, reporting on whether or not beautification measures are to be secured as a condition of the approval of a demolition application, under special demolition control legislation applicable to the former City of York; and advising that there are no City funding requirements and that there are no impacts regarding the capital or operating budgets; and recommending that:

- (1) approval to demolish the building shown on the site plan attached as Attachment 2 to this report be granted pursuant to By-law No. 3102-95 with no conditions of approval related to beautification; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 12, Report No. 4)

**4.17 Zoning By-law Amendment Application Change to the
Proposed By-law after the Public Meeting; 963 and 1001 Roselawn Avenue;
Owners: Westside Developments Limited; Applicant: Mr. M. Goldman;
Ward 28, York Eglinton.**

The York Community Council had before it a report (March 6, 2000) Director of Community Planning, West District, reporting on revisions to the proposed draft zoning By-law following the Planning Act Public Meeting; advising that there are no financial implications associated with the receipt of this report; and recommending that:

- (1) Zoning By-law No. 1-83 be amended generally in accordance with the revised Draft Zoning By-law attached as Attachment No. 1 to this report and worded to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor;
- (2) no further notice of a Public Meeting be given in respect of the revised Draft Zoning By-law; and
- (3) Council authorize staff to take the necessary action to introduce the revised Draft By-law to City Council for enactment at the appropriate time.

The York Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 8, Report No. 4)

**4.18 Application for Demolition Approval, 1001 Roselawn Avenue;
Owner and Applicant: Westside Developments Ltd;
File No. 10/14/1-1 – Ward 28, York Eglinton.**

The York Community Council had before it a report (February 25, 2000) from the Director, Community Planning, West District, reporting on whether or not beautification measures are to be secured as a condition of the approval of a demolition application, under special demolition control legislation application to the former City of York; advising that the owner of the property wishes to proceed with the demolition of several buildings and structures in preparation for the conversion of the main manufacturing building for 180 condominium apartment units and the construction of 67 freehold townhouses; that no beautification measures are required as a condition of the demolition approval; and recommending that the application to demolish the buildings shown on the location map as Attachment 1 to this report be approved, pursuant to By-law No. 3102-95, with conditions of approval related to beautification.

Councillor Davis appointed Councillor Saundercook, Acting Chair, and vacated the Chair.

On motion by Councillor Davis, the Community Council recommended to City Council that:

- (1) the application to demolish the buildings shown on the location map attached as Attachment 1 to this report be approved pursuant to By-law No. 3102-95, subject to a beautification agreement containing a beautification plan be entered into with the City and registered on title to the lands, prior to the issuance of a demolition permit;**
- (2) staff be authorized to prepare the agreement in consultation with the local area Councillors, the owner and City staff and to forward the agreement upon satisfactory conclusion to City Council;**
- (3) failing the conclusion of an agreement satisfactory to the parties in recommendation (2), the matter be reported back to the Community Council; and**
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.**

Councillor Davis returned to the Chair.

(Clause No. 9, Report No. 4)

4.19 New Development Applications for York District.

The York Community Council had before it a report (March 6, 2000) from the Director of Community Planning, West District, reporting on new development applications received within Ward 27 and 28 of the West Community Planning District formerly City of York; advising that since the beginning of January 1, 2000 the number of applications received is as follows:

Official Plan Amendment	0	Plan of Condominium	0
Zoning By-law Amendment	1	Part-lot Control Exemption	0
Site Plan Approval	2		

and that the following new application has been received:

Application for Zoning By-law Amendment	
File No. R00-001	Date received: Feb.17, 2000; Planner Philip Carvalhino
Applicant:	G.S. Designers Ltd.
Owner:	Guerino and Letterina Vescio
Property Address:	288 Boon Avenue, Ward 28
Proposal:	Permission to permit Mixed Use Commercial/Residential building with a retail store, hair salon, or office uses on the main level and two residential units
Approval process:	City Council

that there are no financial implications associated with the receipt of this report; and recommending that this report on new development applications be received for information.

The Community Council received the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 16(h), Report No. 4)

4.20 Naming of Proposed Private Lane at 1400 Weston Road, Rivers Edge Drive – Ward 27, York Humber.

The York Community Council had before it a report (February 25, 2000) from the City Surveyor, Works and Emergency Services, Responding to a request from Alex Talwood, Architect, on behalf of B.G. Schickendanz Homes Incorporated, to name a private lane at the development at 1400 Weston Road, west of Charlton Settlement Avenue and south of Sidney Belsey Crescent, Rivers Edge Drive; advising that there are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report; that the estimated costs of \$300.00 for the street name signs are to be paid by the developer; and recommending that:

- (1) the proposed private lane at 1400 Weston Road (Block 3, Plan 66M-2265), located west of Charlton Settlement Avenue and south of Sidney Belsey Crescent and illustrated on “Attachment No. 1”, be named “Rivers Edge Drive”;

- (2) B. G. Schickendanz Homes Incorporated, be required to pay the costs estimated to be in the amount of \$300.00 for the fabrication and installation of street name signs; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 10, Report No. 4)

4.21 Proposed Street Naming Policy.

The York Community Council had before it a report (February 7, 2000) from the City Clerk, advising that the Works Committee, at its meeting on February 7, 2000, had before it a report (January 21, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting the proposed Street Naming Policy and recommending that:

- (1) the Street Naming Policy, outlined in Attachment No. 1, be circulated to the Community Councils for comment;
- (2) the Community Councils be requested to forward their comments to the Works Committee meeting of April 19, 2000, for processing through to City Council; and
- (3) if the Street Naming Policy contained in this report is adopted, the City Solicitor be authorized to apply for special legislation to extend the provisions of the City of Toronto Act, 1991, which allows for the naming and numbering of private streets, to other areas of the new City of Toronto;

and further advising that the Works Committee concurred with the recommendations embodied in the report (January 21, 2000) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, and in so doing:

- (1) forwards the Street Naming Policy, outlined in Attachment No. 1 to the aforementioned report, to the Community Councils for comment; and
- (2) requests the Community Councils to forward their comments to the Works Committee meeting of April 19, 2000, for processing through to City Council.

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Community Council:

- (1) **concurred with the Street Naming Policy as outlined in “Attachment No. 1” to the report dated January 21, 2000 from the Commissioner, Works and Emergency Services, to the Works Committee; and**
- (2) **advised the Works Committee of the action taken.**

(Clause No. 16(I), Report No. 4)

4.22 Implementation of Overnight Permit Parking on the South Side of Weatherell Street between Jane Street and Rivercrest Road Ward 27, York Humber.

The York Community Council had before it a report (March 2, 2000) from the City Clerk reporting on results following polling of residents to determine interest with respect to implementation of overnight permit parking on Weatherell Street, between Jane Street and Rivercrest Road; advising that 6 residents were polled, 2 (33%) replies were received and both were in favour of the proposal; and recommending that the York Community Council provide direction on this matter.

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Community Council recommended to City Council that:

- (1) **overnight permit parking be implemented on the south side of Weatherell Street between Jane Street and Rivercrest Road;**
- (2) **the necessary Bill be introduced in Council to amend the former City of York By-law No. 3491-80; and**
- (3) **the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.**

(Clause No. 13, Report No. 4)

4.23 3466 Dundas Street West, Unit 6, Select Café & Donuts Ward 27, York Humber.

The York Community Council had before it the following communications:

- (i) (March 9, 2000) from the City Clerk, advising that the Municipal Information Form and Licence Clearance Form were circulated to the relevant departments for comment; that the original application indicated that the liquor licence was for indoors and a proposed outdoor area; that the owner of the subject establishment has subsequently revised his application to exclude the outdoor area; that clearances have been provided by all the departments; and recommending that the

City Clerk be authorized to sign and forward the Municipal Information Form to the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario, together with the departmental clearances; and

- (ii) (March 22, 2000) from Ms. Sandra Melville, President, Warren Park Neighbourhood Association, advising that the Association opposes the granting of a liquor licence to Select Café and Donuts; and that there are enough such establishments in the area and often too many problems associated with them.

Councillor Nunziata moved:

That a community meeting be held with the residents of the area.

Yes: Councillor Nunziata (1)

No: Councillors Davis, Mihevc and Saundercook (3)

Motion lost.

Councillor Saundercook moved:

That in accordance with procedure, and as advised by the Solicitor, that the City Clerk be authorized to sign and forward the Municipal Information Form to the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario, together with the foregoing report which includes the departmental comments; and

that a copy of the above communication from the Warren Park Neighbourhood Association, expressing objection to the issuance of a liquor licence to the subject establishment, be also forwarded to the Alcohol and Gaming Commission.

Councillor Mihevc, in amendment moved:

That the local Councillors hold community meetings, as appropriate.

Carried, with Councillor Nunziata voting in the Negative.

Upon the question that the motion by Councillor Saundercook, as amended by Councillor Mihevc be adopted, it was carried, with Councillor Nunziata voting in the Negative.

**4.24 337 Oakwood Avenue, Goldstar Bakery Inc.
Application for a Liquor Licence
Ward 28, York Eglinton.**

The York Community Council had before it a report (February 16, 2000) from the City Clerk, advising that the Municipal Information Form and Licence Clearance Form were

circulated to the relevant departments for comment; that clearances have now been provided by all the departments; and recommending that the City Clerk be authorized to sign and forward the Municipal Information Form to the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario, together with the departmental clearances.

Councillor Davis appointed Councillor Saundercook Acting Chair and vacated the Chair.

On motion by Councillor Davis, the Community Council:

- (1) **authorized the City Clerk to sign and forward the Municipal Information Form to the Alcohol and Gaming Commission, together with the following report which includes the departmental comments; and**
- (2) **advised the Alcohol and Gaming Commission, that the departmental clearances apply only to the inside area of the subject premises.**

Councillor Davis returned to the Chair.

(Clause No. 16(k), Report No. 4)

4.25 Proposed Residential Solid Waste Collection By-law.

The York Community Council had before it a report (March 9, 2000) from the General Manager of Solid Waste Management Services, providing an opportunity for York Community Council to review and comment on the proposed residential solid waste collection by-law; advising that there are no financial implications as a result of this report; and recommending that:

- (1) this report be received for information;
- (2) consultation with the public be held at the next meeting of Community Council or, alternatively, at a separate open house;l
- (3) Community Council notify the General Manager of Solid Waste Management Services of their preference with regards to Recommendation No. 2 to allow for appropriate planning; and
- (4) any comments be forwarded to the contact noted at the end of this report by May 12, 2000.

The Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Clause No. 16(l), Report No. 4)

4.26 Proposed Solid Waste Management Service Requirements for Developments and Redevelopments.

The York Community Council had before it a report (March 9, 2000) from the General Manager of Solid Waste Management Services, providing an opportunity for York Community Council to review and comment on the proposed solid waste management services requirements for development and redevelopments; advising that there are no direct financial implications as a result of this report; and recommending that:

- (1) this report be received for information;
 - (2) consultation with the public be held at the next meeting of Community Council or, alternatively, at a separate open house;
 - (3) Community Council notify the General Manager of Solid Waste Management Services of their preference with regards to Recommendation No. 2 to allow for appropriate planning; and
- (5) any comments be forwarded to the contact noted at the end of this report by May 12, 2000.

The Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Clause No. 16(m), Report No. 4)

4.27 Request for Traffic Studies on Whitmore Avenue Ward 28, York Eglinton.

The York Community Council had before it a communication (February 17, 2000) from Councillor J. Mihevc, forwarding a letter from a resident at 434 Whitmore Avenue expressing concern regarding vehicles speeding on Whitmore Avenue; and requesting that staff undertake the appropriate speed and volume studies and report back to York Community Council on this matter.

On motion by Councillor Mihevc, the Community Council:

- (1) **requested the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, to undertake a traffic study on Whitmore Avenue and to bring forward previous reports on studies done on this street; and**
- (2) **received the foregoing communication.**

(Clause No. 16(n), Report No. 4)

- 4.28 (i) Issues Related to Traffic Calming
Ward 27, York Humber and Ward 28, York Eglinton; and
(ii) Signage Identifying Location of Speed Humps
Ward 27, York Humber and Ward 28, York Eglinton.**

The York Community Council had before it the following communications:

- (i) (February 4, 2000) from Councillor J. Mihevc, advising that the following two issues require discussion at York Community Council:

- (1) the need for appropriate signage - unlike in the former City of Toronto, there is no signage in the former City of York indicating a “traffic calming device” is before the motorist; and
- (2) parking on pinch points - residents have complained about motorists parking too close or even on top of the pinch points; and

requesting staff to investigate and report on whether it would be safer to implement “No Parking” restrictions on and by pinch points.

- (ii) (February 24, 2000) from Councillor R. Davis, advising that York Community Council has discussed and reviewed a number of requests for traffic calming measures and has considered amending the policy as it relates to polling the community specifically in school areas; that a number of residents have commented that there is a lack of signage warning of the location of speed humps in the former City of York; advising that there is danger with not having proper signs posted during winter months when the streets are snow covered; that the former City of Toronto clearly designated the location of speed humps with appropriate signage; and requesting that York Community Council direct Traffic Operations to immediately post signs designating the location of speed humps on streets in the former City of York.

Councillor Davis appointed Councillor Saundercook Acting Chair, and vacated the Chair.

Councillor Davis moved:

That the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, be requested to report next day on a plan for the installation of signs identifying only speed humps on a six-month trial basis; including a survey of the community at the end of the trial period; and that the foregoing communications be received;

Councillor Mihevc in amendment moved:

That the installation of identifying signs for road narrowings together with the criteria used for determining when such signs are warranted, be also included in the foregoing report.

Yes: Councillors Mihevc and Nunziata (2)

No: Councillors Davis and Saundercook (2)

Motion lost on a tie vote.

Upon the question that the motion by Councillor Davis be adopted, it was carried unanimously.

(Clause No. 16(o), Report No. 4)

**4.29 Possible Implementation of Permit Parking on Ridelle Avenue
Ward 28, York Eglinton.**

The York Community Council had before it a communication (February 4, 2000) from Councillor J. Mihevc, advising that a number of residents have complained about parking on Ridelle Avenue; that it has become increasingly difficult to find parking on Ridelle Avenue due to the number of residents from nearby buildings using this street for overnight parking; and requesting that staff report on the possibility of implementing permit parking on Ridelle Avenue.

On motion by Councillor Mihevc, the Community Council:

- (1) requested the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, to report on the possibility of introducing overnight permit parking on Ridelle Avenue; and**
- (2) received the foregoing communication.**

(Clause No. 16(p), Report No. 4)

4.30 Signage Designating School Zones.

The York Community Council had before it a communication (February 24, 2000) from Councillor R. Davis, advising that there have been numerous requests for traffic calming from residents of York-Eglinton; that the last series of requests for traffic calming have been from residents from streets, such as Atlas Avenue, Cherrywood Avenue, Northcliffe Avenue and Westwood Avenue which, at some point bound a school site; that at the last York Community Council meeting an amendment to the polling policy for traffic calming measures was proposed, as it relates to streets which bound school sites; that the proposed amendment is under consideration but that in the meantime residents, Parent Councils and educators have commented that there is a lack of signage indicating School Zones in the former of City of York; and requesting staff to report to the March 23, 2000

meeting of the York Community Council on City-wide standards, If any, for signage designating School Zones, identifying all school sites in the former City of York that may be lacking signage denoting a school area in their immediate vicinity, and submit recommendations that would alleviate the concerns of parents and teachers regarding children's safety to and from school.

Councillor Davis appointed Councillor Saundercook, Acting Chair, and vacated the Chair.

On motion by Councillor Davis, the Community Council:

- (1) **requested the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, to report on the identification of all school sites in Wards 27 and 28 without signs indicating a school zone in their vicinity, including City-wide standards for such signage, and recommendations with respect to safety in the areas surrounding schools; and**
- (2) **received the foregoing communication.**

Councillor Davis returned to the Chair.

(Clause No. 16(q), Report No. 4)

4.31 Request for Designation of Former Toronto Transit Commission Car Barn Site as 100% Parkland – Ward 28, York Eglinton.

The York Community Council had before it a communication (February 21, 2000) from Councillor R. Davis, advising that the Toronto Transit Commission has declared the Wychwood site as surplus to their needs and has transferred the ownership of the land to the City of Toronto for development proposals; that the issue of a purchase price has been tabled pending the approval of a future land use designation; requesting that the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism report on the impact of designating the Wychwood Toronto Transit Commission site as 100% parkland; that Parks and Recreation staff report on the impact this action would have on the deficiency of parkland in York Eglinton, specifically as it impacts on the delivery of Parks and Recreation services in the south-eastern most section of the York Eglinton; and requesting that the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism report back to the York Community Council as expeditiously as possible in order that this report can be presented separately, yet concurrently, with the current planning study.

Councillor Davis appointed Councillor Saundercook Acting Chair, and vacated the Chair.

On motion by Councillor Davis, the Community Council:

- (1) **requested the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism to report on the following for consideration separately and concurrently with the current needs assessment study:**

- (a) **the feasibility of designating the TTC Wychwood site as 100% parkland;**
 - (b) **the impact of this proposal on the deficiency of parkland in Ward 28, York-Eglinton;**
 - (c) **in particular, the impact on the delivery of parks and recreation services in the most southeasterly section of Ward 28, including Wards 21 and 23; and**
- (2) **received the foregoing communication.**

Councillor Davis returned to the Chair.

(Clause No. 16®, Report No. 4)

4.32 Request for a ‘No Parking’ Prohibition at the Supportive Housing Coalition of Metropolitan Toronto, 2490 St. Clair Avenue West – Ward 27, York Humber.

The York Community Council had before it a communication (February 11, 2000) from Councillor F. Nunziata, advising that the Supportive Housing Coalition of Metropolitan Toronto, 2490 St. Clair Avenue West, is unable to have their garbage bins picked up as motorists park their vehicles in front of the driveway blocking access; and is requesting that a “No Parking” prohibition be implemented directly in front of their garbage pick-up area.

Mr. Ray Fortune of the Supportive Housing Coalition appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council:

- (1) **requested the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, to report on the implementation of a ‘No Parking’ prohibition at 2490 St. Clair Avenue West, directly in front of the garbage collection area; and**
- (2) **received the foregoing communication.**

(Clause No. 16(s), Report No. 4)

4.33 Traffic Concerns on Bartonville Avenue and in the Area of Bala Avenue Public School - Ward 27, York Humber.

The York Community Council had before it a communication (March 3, 2000) from Councillor F. Nunziata, forwarding a letter from a resident on Bartonville Avenue

expressing concern regarding speed, signage, and traffic congestion on the streets surrounding Bala Avenue Public School; and requesting that staff undertake a study of these streets and report on traffic calming measures.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council:

- (1) requested the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, to undertake traffic studies of the area surrounding Bala Avenue Public School and to report on possible traffic calming measures; and**
- (2) received the foregoing communication.**

(Clause No. 16(t), Report No. 4)

4.34 Construction of Bus Bays at St. Matthew Catholic School on Lavender Road - Ward 27, York Humber.

The York Community Council had before it communications (March 3, 2000 and March 7, 2000) from Councillor F. Nunziata and Councillor B. Saundercook, forwarding a letter (February 23, 2000) from Mr. Carlos Macedo, Chairperson, St. Matthew Catholic School Advisory Council, advising that the Toronto Catholic District School Board has set aside money to redevelop the school site in the spring of 2000; that the parking will be moved and the front of the school will be greened; that currently, St. Mathew School is service by three large school buses and three smaller buses which load and unload the children at the sidewalk; that this routine adds to traffic congestion and causes drivers to become impatient as they try to manouever their way through students, parents and other vehicles; that the parent community firmly believes that this ongoing traffic congestion on Lavender Road seriously affects the safety of the children at St. Mathew School and negatively affects the traffic flow on Lavender Road; and requesting financial assistance from the City of Toronto in order to install bus bays on Lavender Road.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council:

- (1) requested the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, to report on the request from the St. Matthew Catholic School for the City to share the costs with respect to the construction of bus bays on Lavender Road; and**
- (2) received the foregoing communication.**

On motion by Councillor Mihevc, the Community Council also requested the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, to report on the development of a policy framework in due course, to deal with requests from School Boards and private schools, for financial assistance regarding the construction of bus bays.

(Clause No. 16(u), Report No. 4)

4.35 Traffic on St. Mark's Road – Ward 27, York Humber.

The York Community Council had before it a communication (February 23, 2000) from Councillor B. Saundercook, advising that there has recently been an on-site meeting between the City of Toronto, the Police and the parents of the Humbercrest Elementary School with respect to concerns related to parking near the school; and requesting that staff report on amendments to the appropriate by-laws for:

- (i) designating a bus loading zone with appropriate yellow markings on the pavement on the north side of St. Mark's Road between Watson Avenue and Brookside Avenue;
- (ii) designating the south side of St. Mark's Road between Watson Avenue and Brookside Avenue a "No Stopping" zone from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council:

- (1) **requested the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, to report on:**
 - (a) **designating a bus loading zone with appropriate yellow markings on the pavement on the north side of St. Mark's Road between Watson Avenue and Brookside Avenue;**
 - (b) **designating the south side of St. Mark's Road between Watson Avenue and Brookside Avenue a "No Stopping" zone from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.; and**
- (2) **received the foregoing communication.**

(Clause No. 16(v), Report No. 4)

The Community Council recessed at 12:30 p.m.
The Community Council reconvened at 7:00 p.m.

Members present: Councillor F. Nunziata
Councillor B. Saundercook

In the absence of Councillor Davis, Councillor Nunziata assumed the Chair.

4.36 Draft By-law To Authorize the Alteration of McRoberts Avenue from Rogers Road to Corby Avenue by the Installation of Speed Humps – Ward 28, York Eglinton.

The York Community Council:

- (1) had before it Clause No. 1 of Report No. 1 of the York Community Council, titled “Speed and Volume Studies and Resident Survey Results regarding Traffic Calming on Various Streets, Ward 27 York Humber and Ward 28 York Eglinton”, which was adopted without amendment by City Council at its meeting held on February 1, 2 and 3, 2000;
- (2) held a public meeting on March 23, 2000 regarding a Draft By-law, pursuant to the foregoing Clause No. 1 of Report No. 1 of the York Community Council, and a notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the Draft By-law was advertised in the Toronto Star on March 3, 8, 15 and 22, 2000; and
- (3) had before it a Draft By-law from the City Solicitor “To Authorize the Alteration of McRoberts Avenue from Rogers Road to Corby Avenue by the Installation of Speed Humps”.

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Community Council recommended to City Council that a by-law in the form of the Draft By-law be enacted and that the necessary Bill be introduced in Council to give effect thereto.

(Clause No. 14, Report No. 4)

4.37 Draft By-law To Authorize the Alteration of Old Mill Drive from Bloor Street West to Riverside Drive by the Installation of Speed Humps and the Raising of an Intersection Ward 27, York Humber.

The York Community Council:

- (1) had before it Clause No. 3 of Report No. 3 of the York Community Council, titled “Traffic Calming Survey Results – Old Mill Drive, Ward 27 York Humber”, which was adopted without amendment by City Council at its meeting held on February 29, March 1 and 2, 2000;
- (2) held a public meeting on March 23, 2000 regarding a Draft By-law, pursuant to the foregoing Clause No. 3 of Report No. 3 of the York Community Council, and a notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the Draft By-law was advertised in the Toronto Star on March 3, 8, 15 and 22, 2000; and

- (3) had before it a Draft By-law from the City Solicitor “To Authorize the Alteration of Old Mill Drive from Bloor Street West to Riverside Drive by the Installation of Speed Humps and the Raising of an Intersection”.

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Community Council recommended to City Council that a by-law in the form of the Draft By-law be enacted and that the necessary Bill be introduced in Council to give effect thereto.

(Clause No. 15, Report No. 4)

4.38 Environmental Plan, “Clean, Green and Healthy – A Plan for an Environmentally Sustainable Toronto”

The York Community Council had before it a communication (March 8, 2000) from the City Clerk, advising that the Environmental Task Force at its final meeting on February 21, 2000 considered the Environmental Plan, “Clean, Green and Healthy: A Plan for an Environmentally Sustainable Toronto (the Environmental Plan)”; that the Plan contains a Sustainability Goal, a Vision for an environmentally sustainable future, a set of Environmental Principles to guide decision-making, and a series of recommendations aimed at improving the health of the natural environment; that the Plan also contains a list of interim indicators for monitoring environmental performance, and recommendations on governance structures and processes that will help build environmental considerations into decision-making processes; and recommending that the document be received for information.

Councillor Saundercook presented the Environmental Plan, highlighting the recommendations contained in the foregoing document.

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Community Council:

- (1) **endorsed the consolidated recommendations contained in the Environmental Plan; and**
- (2) **congratulated the members of the Environmental Task Force and other participants for their extensive work, dedication and efforts in the preparation of this comprehensive and important document.**

(Clause No. 16(w), Report No. 4)

The meeting adjourned at 7:30 p.m.

Councillor R. Davis
Chair