



**PLANNING AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE
AND
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PARKS COMMITTEE
AGENDA
JOINT MEETING No. 1**

Date of Meeting:	November 15, 2001	Enquiry:	Christine Archibald
Time:	9:30 a.m.		Administrator
Location:	Committee Room 2		(416) 392-7039
	City Hall		carchiba@city.toronto.on.ca
	100 Queen Street West		

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST PURSUANT TO THE MUNICIPAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST ACT.

1. 9:30 A.M. FLOAT HOMES AND YEAR-ROUND LIVEBOARDS ON PARKS AND RECREATION PROPERTY

1(a). City Clerk, Etobicoke Community Council
(October 25, 2001)

Advising that Etobicoke Community Council at its meeting on October 24, 2001 **recommended** the adoption of:

- (1) the following Options contained in Recommendation No. (2) of the report dated October 2, 2001, from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism:
 - (a) Option (b), amended, as follows:
 - “(b) all float homes be prohibited on Parks and Recreation property, and all existing float homes be given up to two years to re-locate.”;
 - (b) Option (e), subject to deleting all references to “float Homes”; and

- (2) Recommendations Nos. (3), (4) and (5).

1(b).

City Clerk, Scarborough Community Council
(October 25, 2001)

Advising that the Scarborough Community Council, at its meeting on October 25, 2001:

- (1) forwarded a confidential communication to the City Solicitor, requesting that he report, in camera, to the Joint Meeting of the Planning and Transportation Committee and the Economic Development and Parks Committee on November 15, 2001, the content of such communication to remain confidential having regard that the subject matter may involve litigation or potential litigation; and
- (2) requested the Acting Chief Financial Officer, in consultation with the City Solicitor, to report to the Joint Committee Meeting on the viability and proposed rates for license fees for float homes and liveaboards as a substitution for property taxes.

1(c).

City Clerk, Toronto East York Community Council
(October 25, 2001)

Advising that Toronto East York Community Council at its meeting on October 23, 2001 **recommended** that:

- (1) additional float homes at marinas and boat clubs leased from the City of Toronto be prohibited, and existing Float Homes at these locations be phased out as soon as possible;
- (2) year-round liveaboards on recreational vessels at marinas and boat clubs on Parks and Recreation sites be permitted, subject to the consent of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism pursuant to the licensing scheme referred to in Recommendation No. (3);
- (3) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism consult with the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, the Chief of Police, and the Chief Administrative Officer of the TRCA to determine minimum infrastructure, emergency service, and landscaping requirements for year-round liveaboards on Parks and Recreation property, and to develop a licensing system for

liveaboards at all locations within the jurisdiction of the City of Toronto;

- (4) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism develop criteria to be used to determine the location of liveaboards;
- (5) the Government of Canada be requested to include float homes as part of the prescribed class of floating objects deemed not to be a vessel under Bill C-14 (the *Canada Shipping Act, 2001*), if the Bill is passed by the Senate and given Royal Assent;
- (6) the Government of Ontario be requested to address year-round residence on moored boats as part of the Property Tax Classification Review and any other applicable legislative or regulatory review, and consider developing standards for Ontario float homes;
- (7) the report (October 2, 2001) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be forwarded to the TRCA, requesting that the Authority provide any comments thereon to the Economic Development and Parks Committee for its meeting on November 19, 2001; and
- (8) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

1(d).

Acting Chief Financial Officer
Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism
 (October 31, 2001)

Responding to Scarborough Community Council's request of October 23, 2001 for information on liveaboard licence fees, and **recommending** that:

- (1) if Council adopts the policy of allowing year-round residence on float homes and/or liveaboards on Parks and Recreation property, a system of liveaboard licence fees be instituted based on the following principles:
 - a) fees will be comparable to property taxes paid by residential condominium units in the area;
 - b) fees will vary based on the length of boats at water line;

- c) fees will be levied on any boat or floating object which is used as a residence by one or more persons for a minimum of 60 consecutive or non-consecutive days during a calendar year;
 - d) fees will be reviewed annually, with adjustments reflecting changes to residential property taxes;
 - e) fees will be collected from the City's tenants (i.e., marina operators and boat clubs);
 - f) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism will administer fees for Parks and Recreation property, with revenues applied to the Parks and Recreation Division's operating budget;
- (2) with the adoption of recommendation (1), the Acting Chief Financial Officer, the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, and the City Solicitor be requested to report further on implementation issues, including calculation of rates, collection mechanisms, a recommended fee schedule, and the legality of applying liveaboard licence fees to non-Parks and Recreation property; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

1(e).

In-Camera

City Solicitor

(November 1, 2001)

Confidential report as requested by the Scarborough Community Council at its meeting on October 23, 2001, such report to be considered in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to the receiving of advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose.

1(f). Albert Roffey
(October 25, 2001)

Suggesting that the Bluffers Park Marina's lease not be renewed and that the land be converted to parkland

1(g). Brian Monrad
(October 2, 2001)

Suggesting that Float Homes are primarily residences and should be banned from Parks, while Liveboards anywhere in the City should be regulated under a licensing scheme, and providing recommendations in this regard.

2. 11:00 A.M. NEW TORONTO SECONDARY PLAN STUDY

Commissioner of Urban Development Services
Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism
(November 5, 2001)

Updating Council on the progress of the New Toronto Secondary Plan Study; providing a summary of available information in regard to market conditions for employment uses in South Etobicoke; and seeking approval to proceed with appropriate studies to assess the viability of implementing either an Employment Focus or a Residential/Employment Mix land use option as the basis for preparing the New Toronto Secondary Plan; and **recommending** that:

- (1) Council endorse the recommendations of the South Etobicoke Employer Cluster Capacity Study, which identifies South Etobicoke as a viable employment area and includes recommended actions which support employment intensification through a concrete Action Plan aimed at building capacity in the food, automotive and media cluster groups;
- (2) Council direct that the findings of the South Etobicoke Employer Cluster Capacity Study be considered by staff when reviewing the land use options for New Toronto;
- (3) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to monitor and report on the implementation of the South Etobicoke Employer Cluster Capacity Action Plan;

- (4) Council not endorse a land use option for the New Toronto Secondary Plan study area at this time;
- (5) Council direct staff from Urban Development Services and Economic Development, Culture and Tourism to prepare the terms of reference for the City's consulting team to undertake the necessary environmental studies as outlined in this report;
- (6) Council direct staff from Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and Urban Development Services to prepare terms of reference for an economic study to assess the overall market viability of employment lands in the New Toronto Secondary Plan area. This study shall include, among other things:
 - (i) a review of market information, and;
 - (ii) an analysis of the economic/fiscal impacts associated with the redesignation of industrial lands in the New Toronto Study area to residential uses and the impact this might have on existing businesses, and the ability to attract new business to this employment area;
- (7) Council direct Urban Development Services staff to seek funding from the private landowners in the New Toronto area in order to defray the costs of these studies; and
- (8) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto.

2(a).

Acting City Clerk
(August 1, 2001)

Forwarding Clause No.1 of Report No. 7 titled "South Etobicoke Employer Cluster Capacity Study (Ward 6 Etobicoke-Lakeshore)" which City Council, at its meeting on July 24, 25 and 26, 2001 referred to the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism for a joint report thereon, and on the New Toronto Secondary plan; and requested the Chairs of the Planning and Transportation Committee and the Economic Development and Parks Committee and the Ward Councillor to consult on a joint meeting of the two Standing Committees to consider the further joint report.

2(b). City Clerk, Planning and Transportation Committee
(October 27, 2001)

Forwarding a report (May 9, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and related material respecting the New Toronto Secondary Plan which was deferred by the Planning and Transportation Committee at its meeting on June 4, 2001 and because of Council's subsequent action on July 24, 25 and 26, 2001 submits this report and related material to this joint meeting.

2(c). A. Milliken Heisey, Papazian Heisey Myers, Barristers & Solicitors
(October 1, 2001)

Advising of GO Transit's preference that no decisions be made arising out of the New Toronto Secondary Plan that would have the effect of restricting the capacity of the MIMICO Yard to accommodate existing and/or additional rail yard activity.