

THE CITY OF TORONTO

Clerk's Division

Minutes of the Planning and Transportation Committee

Meeting No. 9

Monday, October 15, 2001

The Planning and Transportation Committee met on October 15, 2001, in Committee Room No. 1, 2nd Floor, City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:30 a.m.

Councillor	9:30 a.m.	2:00 p.m.
Councillor Joe Pantalone, Chair	X	-
Councillor Mario Silva, Vice-Chair	X	X
Councillor Gerry Altobello	X	X
Councillor Brian Ashton	X	X
Councillor Joanne Flint	X	X
Councillor Pam McConnell	X	X
Councillor Peter Milczyn	X	X
Councillor Howard Moscoe	X	X

Note: Councillor Silva chaired the afternoon session of the meeting.

Declarations of Interest Pursuant to the Municipal Conflict of Interest Act

None declared.

Confirmation of Minutes

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the minutes of the meeting of the Planning and Transportation Committee held on September 11, 2001, were confirmed.

9.1 Intergovernmental Co-operation on Property Maintenance and Fencing of Railway and Hydro Lands

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a report (August 20, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services reporting further on Intergovernmental Co-operation on Property Maintenance and Fencing of Railway and Hydro Lands, and recommending that:

- (1) the Federal Minister of Transport be requested to amend the draft Railway Right-of-Way Access Control Regulation and the Railway Safety Act as necessary, and to co-ordinate the enactment of related provincial legislation with the provincial Ministers responsible for transportation and municipal affairs, to provide the following:

that any costs incurred by a municipality in complying with a requirement under the regulation relating to private property automatically become a lien on the land and deemed to be municipal real property taxes that may be added to the rolls and collected in the same manner and with the same priorities as municipal real property taxes;

- (2) a copy of the letter to the Minister be sent to the Ontario Minister of Transportation, the Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO) and the Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM) seeking their support for Recommendation No. 1., and
- (3) that the balance of this report be received.

The following persons appeared before the Planning and Transportation Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Sgt. William J. Law, Canadian Pacific Railway Police Service; and
- Gerald W. McKechnie, C.P. Rail.

The Planning and Transportation Committee:

- (1) on motion by Councillor Moscoe, referred the report (August 20, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to the Commissioner and requested that:
 - (a) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services report further to the Planning and Transportation Committee:

Planning and Transportation Committee
Monday, October 15, 2001

- (i) with a clarification of the contents of the report with respect to the statement regarding a lien on the land as contained in Recommendation (1);
 - (ii) who the municipal and provincial representatives were on the two railway safety consultation committees and how they were appointed;
 - (iii) on motion by Councillor Ashton, with a review and recommendations for a tripartite agreement approach to examine issues of railway land and private/public property;
 - (iv) on motion by Councillor McConnell, a mechanism for locating pedestrian crossings across railway tracks; and
 - (v) on motion by Councillor Flint, a proposal that CN and CPR be requested to create a special service area for the City of Toronto;
- (b) on motion by Councillor Moscoe, requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with the City Solicitor, to review Paragraph 5 of Page 4 of the report respecting fencing on private property and report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on the establishment of a general standard for fencing and landscaping along railway rights of way which can be applied, in the interim, to Committee of Adjustment, Site Plan and Zoning By-law applications until such time that the new Official Plan has been implemented; and
- (c) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and the Acting Commissioner of Finance, to report jointly to the Planning and Transportation Committee on details of the Assessment Act and other legislation which govern the assessment of railway lands in Toronto, particularly with respect to a requirement to annually establish the status of railway lands as vacant land; and that this report be prepared as soon as possible and prior to Council's consideration of the Assessment By-law; and

Planning and Transportation Committee
Monday, October 15, 2001

- (2) requested that a copy of the report (August 20, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and the appended letters from the Minister of Transport be forwarded to Judy Sgro, MP for York West, Chair, Prime Minister's Task Force Caucus on Urban Issues.

(Ms. Judy Sgro, MP for York West, Chair, Prime Minister's Task Force Caucus on Urban Issues; Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Acting Commissioner of Finance and City Solicitor; cc: Interested Persons - October 18, 2001)

(Clause No. 8(a), Report No. 12)

9.2 Abbeyfield Houses Society of Toronto

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a report (September 25, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services reporting on Councillor Walker's proposal regarding Abbeyfield Houses Society of Toronto and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Committee also had before it the following communications:

- (September 13, 2001) from Hugh Wilkinson, Abbeyfield Houses Society of Toronto, providing a history of Abbeyfield Houses, how they operate and why an easement in zoning by-laws is necessary to allow them to contribute to the recognized shortage of adequate housing for the frail and elderly; and
- (October 15, 2001) from Hugh Wilkinson, Abbeyfield Houses Society of Toronto, submitting a petition from five residents in support.

The following persons appeared before the Planning and Transportation Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Hugh Wilkinson, Abbeyfield Houses Society of Toronto; and
- Helen Riley.

The Planning and Transportation Committee:

- (1) on motion by Councillor Pantalone, received the report (September 25, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services; and

Planning and Transportation Committee
Monday, October 15, 2001

- (2) on motion by Councillor Pantalone, requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with the City Solicitor, to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee for its next meeting on November 12, 2001, with a draft City-wide Zoning By-law Amendment which would allow, as of right, the development of not-for-profit housing for seniors over the age of 65, with up to 10 units, and that the Commissioner include in her report the following:
 - (a) on motion by Councillor Milczyn, the applicable development standards required as part of this by-law, including the issue of parking;
 - (b) on motion by Councillor McConnell, the benefits of setting a lower age limit for 60 years and over for residents of these units; and
 - (c) on motion by Councillor McConnell, a review of the regulatory protections that may be in place in situations where the by-law may apply.

(Commissioner of Urban Development Services and City Solicitor; cc: Interested Persons - October 18, 2001)

(Clause No. 8(b), Report No. 12)

9.3 Development of a Formula to Determine the Number of Ambassador Taxi Licences to be Issued

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a transmittal letter (June 18, 2001) from the Acting City Clerk, Licensing Sub-Committee advising that the Licensing Sub-Committee, at its meeting on June 15, 2001, recommended the adoption of the report (May 23, 2001) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services and, in so doing, recommended that:

- (1) Council endorse the workplan as set out in the report;
- (2) Council authorize the retention of a consultant to assist with the development and validation of a model for the issuance of Ambassador taxi licences; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Planning and Transportation Committee
Monday, October 15, 2001

The Committee also gave consideration to the following communications:

- (June 15, 2001) from Abu Turab requesting a formula for the issuance of more Ambassador taxi plates;
- (October 15, 2001) from Ikram Freed supporting the initiatives being taken for the development of a formula to determine the number of Ambassador plates to be issued and requesting that the consultant hired to assist with the development of such formula be required to consult the industry; and
- (undated) from Kevin Richardson opposing a formula for establishing how many Ambassador plates should or should not be issued in future years.

The following persons appeared before the Planning and Transportation Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Kevin Richardson, Toronto Taxi Union;
- Abu Turab;
- Andy Reti, Toronto Taxicab Owners' & Operators' Association
- Ikram Freed;
- Eugene W. Meikle, Toronto Taxi Drivers' Association;
- Hakimzadah Mohamed; and
- Abdul Ahmed Khandakar.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Planning and Transportation Committee recommended to City Council, for its meeting on November 6, 2001, the adoption of the recommendations of the Licensing Sub-Committee as contained in the communication (June 18, 2001) from the Acting City Clerk, Licensing Sub-Committee.

On motion by Councillor Ashton, the Planning and Transportation Committee requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report to City Council for its meeting on November 6, 2001 advising whether the workplan appended to the report (May 23, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services is on schedule, and to provide suggested changes if it requires modification.

(Commissioner of Urban Development Services; cc: Municipal Licensing and Standards, Urban Development Services - October 18, 2001)

(Clause No. 1, Report No. 12)

9.4 Closure of Specific Streets in Toronto

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a communication (August 15, 2001) from Lela Gary, Air Pollution Coalition of Ontario, forwarding comments regarding the closure of specific streets in Kensington Market area, Yorkville area, and Chinatown to motor traffic as the congestion in these areas poses danger to both pedestrians and cyclists.

The Committee also had before it the following material:

- report (October 9, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services responding to the request of a deputant for road closures in a number of downtown districts and presents a general discussion of the factors to be considered when evaluating such proposals, and recommending that this report be received for information;
- (October 10, 2001) from Helen and Robert Hansen supporting any proposal to permanently restrict motor traffic in the now congested areas of Kensington, Chinatown and Yorkville, among others;
- (October 11, 2001) from Ron Hart, North York Cycling and Pedestrian Committee supporting opening more public streets to cyclists and pedestrians, free from motor traffic;
- (October 12, 2001) from Anne Hansen supporting the closure of selected streets to motor traffic in downtown Toronto; and
- (undated) from Lela Gary, Air Pollution Coalition of Ontario submitting three maps respecting the Chinatown, Yorkville and Kensington Market areas.

The following persons appeared before the Planning and Transportation Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Lela Gary, Air Pollution Coalition of Ontario;
- Helen Riley;
- Lois James; and
- Faye Lyons, Municipal Affairs Specialist, Public and Government Affairs Department, CAA Central Ontario.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Planning and Transportation Committee:

- (1) received the report (October 9, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services; and

- (2) requested the deputants who addressed the Planning and Transportation Committee meeting to consult with the Ward Councillors, business associations and the City of Toronto's Pedestrian Committee, and when they have received some level of support from these bodies with respect to their proposal to forward this matter to the appropriate Community Council(s) for their consideration.

The following motion placed by Councillor Milczyn, was voted on and lost:

“That the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to review the potential for identifying tourist areas of the City, e.g. Kensington, Yorkville, Chinatown and the central portion of Yonge Street as pedestrian only zones including seasonal or temporary road closures and report thereon to the Planning and Transportation Committee.”

The following motion placed by Councillor McConnell was withdrawn:

“That Councillor Milczyn's motion be amended by requesting that the report be submitted to the Pedestrian Committee.”

(Interested Persons; cc: Commissioner, Urban Development Services; Administrator, Toronto Community Council and Secretary, Pedestrian Committee - October 18, 2001)

(Clause No. 8(c), Report No. 12)

9.5 Report on the Toronto Port Authority's Proposed Land Use Plan (Humber York, Ward 14 and Toronto East York, Wards 19, 20, 28, 30, and 32)

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a report (September 28, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services informing Council of the Toronto Port Authority's proposed land use plan and recommending that the Board of Directors of the Toronto Port Authority not make a final decision on its land use plan until Council has had the opportunity to discuss the recommendations of the Planning and Transportation Committee at its meeting of November 6, 7 and 8, 2001.

The Committee also had before it the following material:

Planning and Transportation Committee
Monday, October 15, 2001

- communication (August 20, 2001) from J. Morand, President & CEO, Toronto Port Authority, advising Members of Council of the development and public consultation schedule of a Land Use Plan for the Toronto Port Authority;
- communication (October 10, 2001) from John D. Morand, President and CEO, Toronto Port Authority, advising that he is unable to make a presentation at the Planning and Transportation Committee meeting and inviting City Planning staff to appear before the next Environmental and Land Use Committee meeting of the Toronto Port Authority;
- report (October 9, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services providing additional information on issues relating to the Toronto Port Authority (TPA) proposed land use plan and its fit with the proposed Central Waterfront Part II Plan, and recommending that the recommendation in the Commissioner of Urban Development's report (September 28, 2001) be replaced with the following:
 - "It is recommended that the Board of Directors of the Toronto Port Authority be requested to defer a final decision on its proposed land use plan until:
 - (a) the background reports commissioned by the TPA on the future size, role and function of Toronto's Port and City Centre Airport have been made available for public discussion; and
 - (b) City Council has had an opportunity to comment on these reports; and
- communication (September 26, 2001) from Allan Sparrow, Community AIR, submitting comments respecting the Toronto Port Authority Land Use Plan.

The following persons appeared before the Planning and Transportation Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Allan Sparrow, Community AIR; and
- Michael Rosenberg.

The Planning and Transportation Committee recommended to City Council, for its meeting on November 6, 2001, that:

- (1) on motion by Councillor McConnell, the report (October 9, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be adopted;

Planning and Transportation Committee
Monday, October 15, 2001

- (2) on motion by Councillor Ashton, City Council take the position that it does not support any future level of activity at the Toronto Island Airport over and above the current general level of aviation activity and that the Toronto Port Authority be advised of Council's action in this regard;
- (3) on motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto Port Authority be advised that it is crucial that its Land Use Plan not contradict the City of Toronto's plans for the development of the Waterfront;
- (4) on motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Hon. David Michael Collenette, Minister of Transport be advised of City Council's action in this regard and be requested to use his influence to ensure that:
 - (a) the Toronto Port Authority not be permitted to use paramouncy until the City has finalized its plans for the development of the Waterfront; and
 - (b) the Toronto Port Authority honours the Tripartite Agreement;
- (5) on motion by Councillor Moscoe, that the PowerPoint presentation given to the Planning and Transportation Committee by Community AIR be made available to City Council for its meeting on November 6, 2001;
- (6) on motion by Councillor Moscoe, requested that the Hon. David Michael Collenette, Minister of Transport be immediately advised of the Committee's action in this regard;
- (7) on motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Chair of the Planning and Transportation Committee and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, or her designate, to meet with Murray Chusid, the City of Toronto's representative on the Toronto Port Authority, to clearly outline the City's position in this regard and invite him to attend both the November 6, 2001 meeting of City Council and future meetings of the Planning and Transportation Committee when the matter of the Toronto Island Airport and the Toronto Port Authority is discussed; and
- (8) on motion by Councillor McConnell, requested the City Solicitor to report to City Council for its meeting on November 6, 2001 on:

- (a) the Tripartite Agreement and advise whether this Agreement is still in effect; and
 - (b) the issues relating to making payments under the Subsidy Agreement prior to approval of the Toronto Port Authority's Budget by City Council; and
- (9) on motion by Councillor McConnell, the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report further on the safety concerns raised by Community Air, including concerns regarding aircraft flying over large sports venues and large residential neighbourhoods.

(Councillor Pantalone, Chair, Planning and Transportation Committee; The Hon. David Michael Collenette, Minister of Transport; Commissioner of Urban Development Services and City Solicitor; cc: Wendy Wallberg, Solicitor, Legal Division, Corporate Services - October 16, 17 and 18, 2001 respectively)

(Clause No. 2, Report No. 12)

9.6 Provincial Policy Statement Five Year Review

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a report (September 24, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services providing Council with information and comments on the Provincial Policy Statement Five Year Review, making recommendations with respect to the preparation of a new Provincial Policy Statement, and recommending that:

- (1) this report be forwarded to the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing as the City of Toronto's initial comments on the Provincial Policy Statement Five Year Review; and
- (2) the Province be requested to provide all municipalities with an opportunity to review and comment on changes to the Provincial Policy Statement, prior to its finalization and legislative approval.

The Committee also had before it a communication (October 12, 2001) from Jim Robb, Friends of the Rouge Watershed forwarding a submission recommending a full review of the Provincial Policy Statement and update of the *Planning Act* to avoid continuing long-term damage to the health of our water, air, land and communities.

Jarah West, Friends of the Rouge Watershed, appeared before the Planning and Transportation Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Ashton, the Planning and Transportation Committee recommended to City Council, for its meeting on November 6, 2001, that:

- (1) the report (September 24, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be adopted; and
- (2) the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing be advised that the Provincial Policy Statement should explore a central theme of making social infrastructure for land use planning and reinforce the need for the provision of financial tools to assist local municipalities.

(Clause No. 3. Report No. 12)

9.7 Responding to the Province of Ontario's Smart Growth Initiative

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a report (September 28, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services making recommendations with respect to a City position on how the Province of Ontario can make its Smart Growth Initiative work for Toronto and all municipalities of Ontario, and recommending that Council:

- (1) endorse this report as the City of Toronto's response to Smart Growth in Ontario and that copies of this report be forwarded to the Province of Ontario's Smart Growth Secretariat, the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing, the Prime Minister's Task Force on Urban Affairs and to the Association of Municipalities of Ontario, Federation of Canadian Municipalities, Ontario Professional Planners Institute, and the Regional Planning Commissioners of Ontario;
- (2) direct the Commissioner of Urban Development Services in consultation with appropriate staff, to monitor the development of Ontario's Smart Growth Initiative and report when appropriate to Council on:
 - the position City Council could take with respect to the Province of Ontario's continuing development of the Smart Growth Initiative; and

- the potential implications of any actions taken by the Province of Ontario with respect to this initiative on the City's policy, programmes, and operating procedures; and
- (3) direct the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report on the position Council could take respecting the development of a regional smart growth management strategy for the Golden Horseshoe/Central Ontario region following the completion of the City led study entitled, "*City and Regional Strategies for Growth that Protect Countryside and Air Quality*".

On motion by Councillor Ashton, the Planning and Transportation Committee recommended to City Council, for its meeting on November 6, 2001, that:

- (1) the report (September 28, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be adopted;
- (2) the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing be requested to:
 - (a) rename the proposed Smart Growth Management Councils, by not referring to them as "Councils"; and
 - (b) on motion by Councillor Moscoe, ensure that these bodies be comprised entirely of elected representatives and that the City of Toronto has an appropriate level of representation thereon; and
- (3) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on an effective communication mechanism when the Smart Growth Management bodies are established to ensure that the City's interests are protected.

(Commissioner of Urban Development Services - October 18, 2001)

(Clause No. 4, Report No. 12)

9.8 Review of Parking Requirements for Rental Apartment Buildings

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a report (September 21, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services following up on the recommendation for a review of parking requirements in rental apartment buildings

Planning and Transportation Committee
Monday, October 15, 2001

contained in the Rental Action Plan entitled: "Unlocking the Opportunity for New Rental Housing: A Call to Action" which was endorsed by City Council in July 2001, identifying a number of steps that can be taken to help the City address on-going concerns over the provision of parking in new rental apartment buildings while awaiting the completion of the wider Zoning By-law review process, and recommending that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services:
 - (a) approach the private rental industry, through the Urban Development Roundtable – Rental Working Group, to provide information related to parking demand in private rental buildings;
 - (b) approach provincial officials to assist in the review of parking demand in rental buildings by providing information on car ownership levels; and
 - (c) approach the Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation to assist in the review of parking demand in rental buildings by providing technical assistance and making available any relevant data sources;
- (2) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services update the Planning and Transportation Committee on the findings of this work by providing a summary, status report in March 2002; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Planning and Transportation Committee recommended to City Council, for its meeting on November 6, 2001, that:

- (1) the report (September 21, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be adopted; and
- (2) the Federation of Metro Tenants Associations, and other tenant associations, be kept informed of this matter.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Planning and Transportation Committee requested that the report (September 21, 2001) be forwarded to the Tenant Defence Sub-Committee.

The following motion was placed by Councillor Moscoe and withdrawn:

"That any study undertaken to review parking in rental buildings be an independent study undertaken or commissioned by the City of Toronto."

(Tenant Defence Sub-Committee - October 24, 2001)

(Clause No. 5, Report No. 12)

9.9 New Zoning By-law Project

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a report (October 2, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services noting the New Zoning By-law Project received initial endorsement during the 2000 Capital Budget process where it was presented as a multi-year-phased project with an estimated budget forecast of \$6.272 million. The Zoning By-law is part of the core business of the organization. The objective of the New Zoning By-law Project is to produce a single, simplified, comprehensive Zoning By-law that applies to the entire City. It will be a blend of the standards and regulations of existing by-laws with new regulations that implement the new Official Plan policies but would not take a "one size fits all" approach. Funding for Phase One, in the amount of \$466,000 for work associated with project scoping, was approved last year with a request that the task involving the development of the Project Work Plan, once completed, be brought forward. Attached to this report is the detailed Work Plan and Work Schedule for the entire Project.

With the other two tasks associated with Phase One scheduled for completion in the first quarter of 2002, it is important to secure Phase Two funding in 2002 in order avoid delaying the project by almost a year, that is, until the 2003 budget process. This report also recommends approval of funding for Phase Two, which will commence in 2002 and be completed in 2003, and recommends that:

- (1) the Work Plan and Work Schedule attached to this report be adopted;
- (2) the proposed funding for Phase 2 be forwarded to the Budget Advisory Committee for its review during consideration of the 2002 Capital Budget allocation for the New Zoning By-law Project;
- (3) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services report on the status of the New Zoning By-law Project to the Planning and Transportation Committee at the conclusion of each subsequent phase indicating any changes in the scope or timelines of the project and the general findings to date; and

- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Planning and Transportation Committee recommended the adoption of the report (October 2, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and forwarded this report, and the Committee's action in this respect, to the Budget Advisory Committee for its review during consideration of the 2002 Capital Budget allocation for the new Zoning By-law Project and the proposed funding for Phase 2.

(Budget Advisory Committee; cc: Commissioner of Urban Development Services and Acting Commissioner of Finance, Attn: John Di Lallo, Manager, Urban Development Services - October 17, 2001)

(Clause No. 8(d), Report No. 12)

9.10 Update on City of Toronto Architecture and Urban Design Awards Program

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a report (September 25, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services responding to Councillor Flint's request for an update on the City of Toronto Architecture and Urban Design Awards Program, and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Planning and Transportation Committee recommended that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to establish a working group consisting of interested members of the Planning and Transportation Committee and the design and development community with a mandate to identify additional opportunities to create incentives for excellence in design and architecture, and to review approaches such as convening a symposium on design in Toronto and providing an annual award in the form of a tax benefit.

On motion by Councillor Ashton, the Planning and Transportation Committee received the report (October 2, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

(Clause No. 6, Report No. 12)

9.11 Oak Ridges Moraine - Response to Province of Ontario's Draft Strategy

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a report (October 2, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services responding to and making recommendations with respect to the Province's proposals for the long-term protection of the Oak Ridges Moraine contained in the booklet "Share Your Vision on the Oak Ridges Moraine" issued by the Ministry of Municipal Affairs on August 14, 2001, and recommending that:

- (1) the City of Toronto supports special legislation being passed that provides for:
 - (i) an 'ecologically-based' Plan for the Oak Ridges Moraine
 - (ii) public consultation on the proposed Plan and legislation with surrounding municipalities and the City of Toronto;
 - (iii) the conformity of local and regional Official Plans with such Plan;
 - (iv) implementation of the Plan; and
 - (v) ongoing management of the Oak Ridges Moraine.
- (2) a Regional Growth Management Strategy for the Golden Horseshoe / Central Ontario be jointly developed by the affected municipalities and the City of Toronto. This Strategy should be referenced in an amended PPS to also include strong statements related to the protection of Natural Core, Natural Linkages and Countryside areas of the Moraine in a consistent manner;
- (3) the City of Toronto, along with other affected municipalities, be consulted in the development and drafting of any special legislation and the Plan through a full Committee Hearing with adequate time for public consultation;
- (4) the Provincial government extend the effective time period of the Act to Protect the Oak Ridges Moraine until such time as the proposed Plan is finalized;
- (5) the City of Toronto supports an adequately funded Oak Ridges Moraine Legacy Trust compatible with existing stewardship bodies; and
- (6) the City Clerk forward this report to the Province of Ontario as input to the Provincial proposal on the Oak Ridges Moraine.

The following persons appeared before the Planning and Transportation Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Lela Gary, Air Pollution Coalition of Ontario; and
- Jarah West, Friends of the Rouge Watershed.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Planning and Transportation Committee recommended to City Council, for its meeting on November 6, 2001, that:

- (1) the report (October 2, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be adopted;
- (2) the Provincial Government be advised of Council's concern that the Province chose not to hold a public open house in the City of Toronto to hear Toronto residents' views on the draft proposals for the long-term protection of the Oak Ridges Moraine; and
- (3) the report (October 2, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be forwarded to the appropriate Opposition Party Critics.

(Clause No. 7, Report No. 12)

9.12 Greater Toronto Airports Authority, Lester B. Pearson International Airport - Appeal to Ontario Municipal Board of Official Plan Amendments - OMB Case Number: PL000959

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a report (September 21, 2001) from the City Solicitor advising of the outcome of the Ontario Municipal Board appeal by the Greater Toronto Airports Authority of its applications to amend the official plans of the (former) Cities of Etobicoke and North York and the Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Planning and Transportation Committee reports having received the report (September 21, 2001) from the City Solicitor.

(Clause No. 8(e), Report No. 12)

9.13 Outdoor Fireplaces

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a communication (undated) from Councillor Flint, forwarding the following Motion:

Planning and Transportation Committee
Monday, October 15, 2001

“WHEREAS there are an increasing number of Chimineas Outdoor Fireplaces being installed in residential rear yards, balconies and decks; and

WHEREAS there is no classification for Chimineas Outdoor Fireplaces in the current by-law; and

WHEREAS concern has been expressed by the Fire Department over the placement, type and amount of material being burnt; and

WHEREAS abutting neighbours can be adversely affected by the air quality as a result of the smell and/or smoke from Chimineas Outdoor Fireplaces;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Fire Chief investigate the possibility of classifying such outdoor fireplaces as open air burning and treated as such under Article 2.6.3.4 of the Fire Code and report thereon to the Planning and Transportation Committee; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with the City Solicitor, report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on whether the Building Code, or any other City of Toronto By-law and/or Provincial Legislation could be amended to address the safety concerns of these outdoor fireplaces.”

On motion by Councillor Flint, the Planning and Transportation Committee requested:

- (1) the Fire Chief and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to investigate the possibility of classifying outdoor fireplaces as open air burning and treated as such under Article 2.6.3.4 of the Fire Code and report jointly thereon to the Planning and Transportation Committee; and
- (2) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with the City Solicitor, to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on whether the Building Code, or any other City of Toronto By-law and/or Provincial Legislation could be amended to address the safety concerns of these outdoor fireplaces.

(Commissioner, Urban Development Services; Fire Chief and City Solicitor; cc: Councillor Flint - October 17, 2001)

(Clause No. 8(f), Report No. 12)

9.14 Program Enhancements and Consolidation of By-laws Affecting Parking Enforcement on Private Property

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a communication (August 31, 2001) from the Chairman, Toronto Police Services Board providing a response to recommendations adopted by City Council at its meeting on May 30, 31 and June 1, 2001 regarding Program Enhancements and Consolidation of By-laws Affecting Parking Enforcement on Private Property (Clause No. 3 of Report No. 3 of the Planning and Transportation Committee), and recommending that the Planning and Transportation Committee receive this report.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Planning and Transportation Committee reports having received the report (August 31, 2001) from the Chairman, Toronto Police Services Board.

(Clause No. 8(g), Report No. 12)

9.15 Russell Hill Subway Train Accident of August 11, 1995 Due Diligence Checklist Update

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a communication (August 30, 2001) from the General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission advising that the Toronto Transit Commission, at its meeting on August 29, 2001, adopted the following recommendations contained in the attached report (August 29, 2001), entitled "Russell Hill Subway Train Accident of August 11, 1995 Due Diligence Checklist Update", and forwarded this matter to City Council through the Planning and Transportation Committee for information.

"That the Commission:

- (i) receive for information the updated Due Diligence Checklist relating to the Russell Hill Subway Train Accident of August 11, 1995, which provides a status report on the progress toward closing the Coroner's Jury Recommendations and the TTC's Internal Team Recommendations; and
- (ii) forward this report to the Chief Coroner of Ontario, the City of Toronto, and the Province of Ontario for information."

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Planning and Transportation Committee received the report (August 30, 2001) from the General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission.

(Clause No. 8(h), Report No. 12)

9.16 Restoring a Convenient TTC/Mississauga Transit Connection at Islington Station

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a communication (September 27, 2001) from the General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission advising that the Toronto Transit Commission, at its meeting on September 25, 2001, adopted the following recommendations contained in the attached report (September 25, 2001), entitled "Restoring a Convenient TTC/Mississauga Transit Connection at Islington Station", and forwarded this matter to City Council for information.

"That the Commission:

- (1) restore the convenient, weather-protected transfer arrangements within Islington Station for customers using both TTC and Mississauga Transit (MT) buses on Burnhamthorpe Road, by allowing such MT buses back into the Islington bus terminal;
- (2) acknowledge the importance of protecting such convenient inter-regional connections, noting the provincial government's recent policy statements supporting these types of transit connections;
- (3) authorize TTC staff to enter into a long-term license agreement with MT for their use of the Islington Station bus bays, in a form satisfactory to the General Counsel, in recognition of the continuing need for a convenient transfer between TTC and MT services at Islington Station; and
- (4) forward this report to the Cities of Toronto and Mississauga, the Greater Toronto Services Board (GTSB), and the Burnhamthorpe Residents for Traffic Safety (BRTS)."

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Planning and Transportation Committee received the report (September 27, 2001) from the General Secretary.

(Clause No. 8(i), Report No. 12)

9.17 Status Report - Development Process Review Project

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a report (October 4, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services providing City Council with an update on streamlining activities, and recommending that this report be received for information.

Planning and Transportation Committee
Monday, October 15, 2001

The Committee also had before it a communication (October 2001) from Lois James forwarding a submission respecting the western part of the Rouge Park.

The following persons appeared before the Planning and Transportation Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Lois James; and
- Michael Rosenberg.

The Planning and Transportation Committee:

- (1) on motion by Councillor Moscoe, re-opened this matter to reconsider the Committee's earlier action that the report be received;
- (2) on motion by Councillor Flint, deferred further consideration of the report (October 4, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services until such time that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services submits her report to the Committee on speeding up the development application process; and
- (3) on motion by Councillor Moscoe, requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report to the Committee at that time with an executive summary.

(Commissioner, Urban Development Services; cc: Interested Persons - October 18, 2001)

(Clause No. 8(j), Report No. 12)

The meeting adjourned at 5:30 p.m.

Chair