

THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF TORONTO

Clerk's Department

Minutes of the East Community Council

Meeting No. 3

Tuesday, February 13, 2001

The East Community Council met on Tuesday, February 13, 2001, in the Meeting Hall, Scarborough Civic Centre, commencing at 9:30 a.m.

Members present:

	<u>9:30 a.m. - 12:30 a.m.</u>	<u>2:00 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.</u>
Councillor David Soknacki, Chair	x	x
Councillor Gerry Altobello	x	x
Councillor Brian Ashton	x	x
Councillor Bas Balkissoon	x	x
Councillor Lorenzo Berardinetti	x	x
Councillor Raymond Cho	x	x
Councillor Brad Duguid	x	x
Councillor Norm Kelly	x	x
Councillor Ron Moeser	x	x
Councillor Sherene Shaw	x	x

Members were present for some or all of the time period indicated.

Declarations of Interest

Councillor Shaw declared an interest in the following:

- Minute No. 3.15 regarding Zoning By-law Amendment Application, The Governing Council of the University of Toronto, in that she teaches part-time at Centennial College of Applied Arts and Technology; and
- Minute No. 3.24 regarding Site Plan Control Application, The Norfinch Group Inc., 2500 Eglinton Avenue East, in that her family owns property nearby;

and, therefore, took no part in the discussion or vote thereon.

Confirmation of Minutes

On a motion by Councillor Berardinetti, the Minutes of the meeting of the East Community Council held on January 16, 2001, were confirmed.

3.1 Noise By-Law Exemption Application St. Nicholas Greek-Canadian Community of Toronto Festivals – St. Nicholas Greek Orthodox Church 3840 Finch Avenue East – Ward 39

The Community Council had before it a report (January 23, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, recommending that City Council:

- (1) grant an exemption from By-law 24389, The Noise By-law, to The St. Nicholas Greek Orthodox Church and the St. Nicholas Greek-Canadian Community of Toronto for their annual summer Festivals for the periods June 22 to June 24, 2001, inclusive, and June 29 to July 2, 2001, inclusive, and for the subsequent years 2002 and 2003, subject to the following conditions:
 - (a) that St. Nicholas Greek Orthodox Church and The St. Nicholas Greek-Canadian Community of Toronto implement those actions recommended by Universal Light and Sound in their correspondence dated March 20, 1997, to mitigate the effects of the noise to the adjoining neighbourhoods, and in particular:
 - (i) limit the number of speakers to 4;
 - (ii) cease the noise at 12:00 midnight, and
 - (iii) work with representatives of 42 Division, Toronto Police Services, to address issues of traffic control and parking; and
- (2) declare the 24th Annual Festival of The St. Nicholas Greek Orthodox Church and The St. Nicholas Greek-Canadian Community of Toronto, for the period of June 22 to June 24, 2001, inclusive, and June 29 to July 2, 2001, inclusive, and for the subsequent years 2002 and 2003 to be of “Municipal Significance” and request the City Clerk to issue the appropriate letter to the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario.

On a motion by Councillor Shaw, the East Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

The East Community Council held a public meeting on February 13, 2001, and the following persons appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. John Tsangaris, Secretary, St. Nicholas Greek-Canadian Community of Toronto;
- Ms. Tina Mantas, Principal, Sunday School, St. Nicholas Greek Orthodox Church;
- Margaret Love, Area Resident, in opposition to the application; and
- William Hendrie, Area Resident, in opposition to the application.

(Clause No. 1, Report No. 2)

3.2 Permanent Names for the Community Councils

The Community Council had before it a report (January 30, 2001) from the City Clerk, recommending that the East Community Council consider any public submissions before it and make recommendation on a permanent name for the Community Council, consistent with the Council-adopted naming criteria, and forward its recommendation to the Administration Committee for its overall consideration and recommendation to City Council.

Councillor Moeser moved that the East Community Council recommend to the Administration Committee that the East Community Council be named the Scarborough Community Council in accordance with a motion proposed by Mr. Schofield, Heritage Consultant and Scarborough Archivist, Scarborough Archives and Historical Collection, in his communication, dated February 1, 2001, viz:

“WHEREAS the name ‘SCARBOROUGH’ clearly reflects the historical context associated with the community council jurisdiction, having been in continuous use within the community for over 200 years;

AND WHEREAS the name ‘SCARBOROUGH’ clearly reflects the communities and neighbourhoods which make up the community council jurisdiction, since all five federal/provincial ridings continue to retain the Scarborough name;

AND WHEREAS the name ‘SCARBOROUGH’ clearly reflects the geographic nature of the community council jurisdiction, its geographic boundaries having remained intact for over 200 years (with only a minor addition of the West Rouge in 1974);

AND WHEREAS the name ‘SCARBOROUGH’ is clearly familiar, logical and understandable to the public;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the historical name ‘SCARBOROUGH’ be adopted as the official name for the community council bearing the interim name ‘East’.”

East Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, February 13, 2001

On the adoption of the foregoing Motion by Councillor Moeser, a recorded vote was taken as follows:

Yeas: Councillors Altobello, Ashton, Berardinetti, Cho, Duguid, Kelly, Moeser, Soknacki, Shaw –9

Nays: Nil

Decided unanimously in the affirmative by the Members of Community Council present and voting.

The Community Council received the following communications:

- (i) (January 31, 2001) from Clancy Delbarre, President, Highland Creek Community Association, recommending that the East Community Council be named the “Scarborough Community Council” for the reasons outlined in his communication;
- (ii) (February 4, 2001) from B. Worsley, recommending that the East Community Council be named “Victoria Park”;
- (iii) (February 1, 2001) from Richard Schofield, Heritage Consultant and Scarborough Archivist, recommending that the East Community Council be named “Scarborough Community Council” and submitting a motion to that effect for Community Council’s consideration;
- (iv) (February 5, 2001) from Rick McMulkin, resident of Scarborough, recommending that the East Community Council be named “Scarborough Community Council”;
- (v) (February 5, 2001) from Will Burrige, Kennedy Road Business Association, recommending that the East Community Council be named “Scarborough Community Council”; and
- (vi) (February 12, 2001) from Brian Collier, recommending that all Community Councils be named “Toronto”.

The East Community Council was advised by the Administrator that seven persons telephoned the Office of the City Clerk, Scarborough, to recommend the name “Scarborough” for the East Community Council.

The following persons appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Donald Smith; and
- Mr. Richard Schofield.

(Clause No. 22(a), Report No. 2)

3.3 Renaming Loblaws Lane to “No Frills Lane” – Ward 38

The East Community Council, pursuant to Clause No. 11 of Report No. 10 of the Scarborough Community Council, as adopted by Council at its meeting held on October 3, 4 and 5, 2000 and its Special Meetings held on October 6, 10, 11 and 12, 2000, gave notice of the public hearing held by the Community Council on February 13, 2001, with respect to the proposed by-law to rename Loblaws lane as “No Frills Lane” in the Toronto Star newspaper on January 23 and 29, and on February 5 and 12, 2001, and no one appeared at the public hearing to address the Community Council.

Councillor Soknacki vacated the chair and appointed Councillor Moeser as Acting Chair.

Councillor Soknacki moved that the East Community Council:

- (1) recommend to City Council that, as the requirements of the Municipal Act were fulfilled and no evidence was presented to the Community Council to persuade it that the proposed by-law should not be enacted, the By-law to rename Loblaws Lane as “No Frills Lane”, in the form of the attached draft by-law, be enacted by Council; and
- (2) request the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to submit a report to Works Committee to establish a fee schedule to recover the City’s costs where the City has received a request to rename a street after a corporate or business name.

(Carried)

(Clause No. 2, Report No. 2)

Councillor Soknacki resumed the Chair.

3.4 Long Duration Parking on Courcelette Road – Ward 36

The Community Council had before it a report (August 1, 2000) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 4, recommending that:

- (1) the parking regulation identified in Appendix 1 of this report be adopted; and
- (2) the appropriate by-law be amended accordingly.

On a motion by Councillor Ashton, the East Community Council referred the aforementioned report back to the Director of Transportation Services, District 4, for reconsideration and re-petitioning.

The Community Council received the following communications:

- (i) (January 25, 2001) from Lynn Berryman, responding to a communication from Councillor Ashton respecting the aforementioned report, and advising Community Council of her concerns respecting parking issues on Courcelette Road;
- (ii) (January 31, 2001) from Chris Elias, suggesting permit parking to alleviate problems;
- (iii) (January 30, 2001) from Kim Clark, suggesting permit parking and alternating parking from west to east side of the street;
- (iv) (January 29, 2001) from Vera Smith, expressing opposition to 12-hour parking on Courcelette;
- (v) (January 25, 2001) from Larry Berberich, expressing support for 12-hour parking; and
- (vi) (February 13, 2001) from Janice Findlay, expressing opposition to 12-hour parking on Courcelette.

A copy of the foregoing communications was provided to all Members of Community Council and a copy thereof is on file in the Office of the City Clerk, Scarborough Civic Centre.

The following persons appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Velma Varey; and
- Mr. Terry Findlay.

(Clause No. 22(b), Report No. 2)

3.5 Parking on McCowan Road – Ward 36

The Community Council had before it a report (January 26, 2001) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 4, recommending that:

- (1) the No Parking Anytime regulation on the east side of McCowan Road, south of Halbert Place, as identified in Appendix 1 of this report be adopted; and
- (2) the appropriate by-law be amended accordingly.

On a motion by Councillor Balkissoon, the East Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 3, Report No. 2)

3.6 Minor Street Stop Signs at Numerous Locations Wards 37 and 44

The Community Council had before it a report (January 25, 2001) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 4, recommending that:

- (1) the proposed stop signs on Great West Drive, Graybark Crescent and Ponymeadow Terrace, as identified in Appendix 1 of this report, be adopted; and
- (2) the appropriate by-law be amended accordingly.

On a motion by Councillor Berardinetti, the East Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 4, Report No. 2)

3.7 Speed Limit Reduction on Trudelle Street – Ward 38

The Community Council had before it a report (January 31, 2001) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 4, recommending that:

- (1) the 40 kilometre per hour speed limit on Trudelle Street, as identified in Appendix 1 of this report, be rescinded;
- (2) the 40 kilometre per hour speed limit on Trudelle Street, as identified in Appendix 2 of this report, be adopted; and
- (3) the appropriate by-law be amended accordingly.

On a motion by Councillor Duguid, the East Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 5, Report No. 2)

**3.8 Traffic and Parking Concerns on Antrim Crescent
at Glamorgan Junior Public School - Ward 40**

The Community Council had before it a report (January 25, 2001) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 4, recommending that:

- (1) the No Parking Anytime regulations installed on the south and west side of Antrim Crescent to the east of the Glamorgan Junior Public School, as identified in Appendix 1 of this report, be rescinded;
- (2) a 30 minute parking regulation, to be in effect between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., combined with No Parking, 4:00 p.m. to 8:00 a.m. regulation be adopted on the south and west side of Antrim Crescent to the east of Glamorgan Junior Public School, as identified in Appendix 2 of this report; and
- (3) the appropriate by-laws be amended accordingly.

On a motion by Councillor Kelly, the East Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 6, Report No. 2)

**3.9 Traffic and Parking Concerns on Dunmurray Boulevard
at Pauline Johnson Junior Public School - Ward 40**

The Community Council had before it a report (January 25, 2001) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 4, recommending that:

- (1) the 30 minute parking regulation and the No Stopping regulation, which both apply between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday on Dunmurray Boulevard in front of Pauline Johnson Junior Public School, as identified in Appendix 1 of this report, be rescinded;

- (2) the same 30 minute parking regulation and the No Stopping regulation, be applied between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday on Dunmurray Boulevard in front of Pauline Johnson Junior Public School, by the adoption of Appendix 2 of this report; and
- (3) the appropriate by-laws be amended accordingly.

On a motion by Councillor Kelly, the East Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 7, Report No. 2)

**3.10 Proposed Installation of Traffic Control Signals
Midland Avenue and Baylawn Drive/Boarhill Drive – Ward 41**

The Community Council had before it a report (January 25, 2001) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 4, recommending that:

- (1) traffic control signals be installed at the intersection of Midland Avenue and Baylawn Drive/Boarhill Drive;
- (2) coincident with the installation of traffic control signals on Midland Avenue at Baylawn Drive/Boarhill Drive, the pedestrian crossover on Midland Avenue on the north side of the intersection be removed; and
- (3) the appropriate by-law(s) be amended accordingly.

On a motion by Councillor Balkissoon, the East Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 8, Report No. 2)

**3.11 Proposed Installation of Traffic Control Signals
Tapscott Road and Crow Trail – Ward 42**

The Community Council had before it a report (January 25, 2001) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 4, recommending that traffic control signals be approved at the intersection of Tapscott Road and Crow Trail.

On a motion by Councillor Cho, the East Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 9, Report No. 2)

**3.12 Proposed Addition of Gates at CN Railway Crossing
on Sewells Road – Mile 8.39 York Subdivision
Cost Sharing Agreement – Ward 42**

The Community Council had before it a report (January 25, 2001) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 4, recommending that:

- (1) the City of Toronto enter a cost sharing agreement with Canadian National Railway Company, substantially in the form attached hereto, including the payment of 12.5 percent of the cost of the installation of safety warning gates (approximately \$26,512.50) and approximately 50 percent of the ongoing maintenance costs at the Canadian National Railway Crossing on Sewells Road just south of Steeles Avenue; and
- (2) the appropriate City official(s) be authorized to take all necessary action, including the execution of the cost sharing agreement.

On a motion by Councillor Cho, the East Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

A copy of the attachments referred to in the foregoing report was included in the Agenda for the Community Council meeting held on February 13, 2001, and a copy thereof is on file in the Office of the City Clerk, Scarborough Civic centre.

(Clause No. 10, Report No. 2)

**3.13 Preliminary Report
Zoning By-Law Amendment Application TF ZBL 2000 0001
Murray Visser
Silver Star Boulevard (Kilcullen Castle Gate)
Milliken Employment District – Ward 41**

The Community Council had before it a report (January 24, 2001) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that:

- (1) notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act;
- (2) Planning staff be directed to initiate an Official Plan Amendment, to be processed concurrently with the subject amendment, to limit the existing permission for places of worship on the east side of Silver Star Boulevard; and

- (3) staff be directed to prepare an amendment to the Site Plan Control By-law to designate the property at the south-east corner of Kilcullen Castle Gate and Silver Star Boulevard as a Site Plan Control area, said by-law to be brought forward for enactment if Council approves a Zoning By-law amendment to permit places of worship on the subject property.

On a motion by Councillor Balkissoon, the East Community Council recommended the adoption of the aforementioned report, subject to the Rosewood Community being notified of this application.

(Clause No. 22(d), Report No. 2)

**3.14 Preliminary Report
Zoning By-Law Amendment Application TF ZBL 2000 0002
Agincourt Church of God, 95 Nugget Avenue
Marshalling Yard Employment District – Ward 41**

The Community Council had before it a report (January 24, 2001) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that the application to permit a place of worship at 95 Nugget Avenue be refused, having regard that the proposed use is not permitted at this location.

- A. Councillor Cho moved that the aforementioned report be deferred to the next meeting scheduled to be held on April 3, 2001, to permit the applicant an opportunity to undertake community consultation.

(Lost)

- B. Councillor Soknacki moved that the East Community Council recommend to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report to refuse the application.

(Carried)

Mr. Jassie Khurana, Planning and Development Consultant, appeared before the Community Council on behalf of the applicant and in opposition to the staff recommendation.

(Clause No. 11, Report No. 2)

3.15 Preliminary Report
Zoning By-Law Amendment Application TF ZBL 2001 0002
The Governing Council of the University of Toronto
North-East Corner of Ellesmere Road & Morningside Avenue
Highland Creek Community – Ward 44

The Community Council had before it a report (January 24, 2001) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to process the application in an expeditious manner, subject to the applicant filing the required Site Plan Control application and all supporting technical material;
- (2) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the affected Ward Councillors;
- (3) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site and the Curran Hall, Highland Creek and Seven Oaks Community Associations; and
- (4) notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act, to the three Community Associations and all attendees at the community consultation meeting.

On a motion by Councillor Moeser, the East Community Council approved the aforementioned report, subject to expanding the notification area for the community consultation meeting to include the property owners within the area bounded by Highway 401 to the north, Morrish Road to the east, Highway No. 2 and the Highland Creek to the south and Morningside Avenue to the west; the cost for such expanded notification to be borne by the owner.

(Clause No. 22(e), Report No. 2)

3.16 Designation of a Site Plan Control Area – SC-S20000057
1421644 Ontario Ltd., 6353-61 Kingston Road
Highland Creek Community – Ward 44

The Community Council had before it a report (January 26, 2001) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that City Council:

- (1) designate the lands at the south-east corner of Meadowvale Road and Kingston Road as a Site Plan Control Area, substantially in accordance with the draft by-law attached as Attachment 1; and

- (2) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Site Plan Control Area By-law as may be required to give effect to this resolution.

On a motion by Councillor Moeser, the East Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 12, Report No. 2)

3.17 Request for Direction

**Appeal of Minor Variance Application No. A19500SC
Kien Huy Vuong, 550 Pharmacy Avenue
Clairlea Community – Ward 35**

The Community Council had before it a report (January 30, 2001) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that legal and Urban Design staff representation not be provided for the appeal of the Variance Application regarding 550 Pharmacy Avenue.

On a motion by Councillor Altobello, the East Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 13, Report No. 2)

3.18 Final Report – Application for Part Lot Control Exemption

**Various Owners (Brookfield Homes) – Bar Harbour Square, Bridgeport Drive,
Long Island Crescent, Portsmouth Drive, Rockport Drive and Waterbridge Way
Port Union Village Community - Ward 44**

The Community Council had before it a report (January 25, 2001) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that City Council:

- (1) enact a Part Lot Control Exemption for Part of Lots 47, 48, 160, 161, 178, 179, 201, 202, 204, 205, 212, 213, 222, 223, 229, 230, 231, 250, 251, 285, 286, 304, 305, 326, 342, 343, 362, 363, 373, 374, 376, 377, 378, 379 and 381 on Registered Plan 66M-2292, south of Lawrence Avenue and west of Port Union Road, with such by-law to expire one (1) year from the date of its enactment; and
- (2) authorize the City Solicitor to introduce the necessary By-law(s) in Council to give effect to Recommendation (1), provided that prior to such introduction the applicant has submitted deposited reference plans describing the encroachment and maintenance easements to be registered to the satisfaction of Urban Development Services, City Planning, East District staff.

On a motion by Councillor Moeser, the East Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 14, Report No. 2)

**3.19 Naming of Proposed Public Street, East of Port Union Road
Extending Southerly from Provincetown Road – Ward 44**

The Community Council had before it a report (January 30, 2001) from the City Surveyor, recommending that:

- (1) the proposed public street east of Port Union Road, extending southerly from Provincetown Road to Cherry Street, as illustrated on Attachment No. 1, be named “Schooner Lane”; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On a motion by Councillor Moeser, the East Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 16, Report No. 2)

**3.20 Provision of Municipal Servicing
Site Plan Application TF SPC 2001 0002
3545-3555 Danforth Avenue
South-West Corner Warden & Danforth Avenues – Ward 35**

The Community Council had before it a report (January 26, 2001) from the Director of Engineering Services, Districts 3 and 4, recommending that:

- (1) City Council authorize staff to negotiate and enter into a standard financially secured Servicing Agreement with the owner to provide municipal servicing to the property at 3545-3555 Danforth Avenue;
- (2) the terms of the agreement between the City and the applicant be to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On a motion by Councillor Altobello, the East Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 17, Report No. 2)

3.21 East Community L.A.C.A.C. Panel Annual Report

The Community Council had before it a communication (undated) from Richard Schofield, Chair, submitting, for the information of the Community Council, the Year 2000 Report of the East Community Local Architectural Conservation Advisory Committee Panel.

On a motion by Councillor Moeser, the East Community Council received the aforementioned report; and directed that a copy thereof be forwarded to the other L.A.C.A.C. Panels in the City.

(Clause No. 22(f), Report No. 2)

3.22 Billboard Sign Allocation Process for Third Party Signs

The Community Council had before it a joint report (February 9, 2001) from the Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards and the City Solicitor, providing an update on the proposed process for allocating new billboard sign permits in the East District and recommending that this report be received for information.

Councillor Balkissoon moved that:

- (1) the aforementioned joint report dated February 9, 2001, be forwarded to City Council for its information;
- (2) the City Solicitor be requested to provide a copy of the Decision of the Court Appeal to all Members of Council prior to the Council meeting to be held on March 6, 7 and 8, 2001;
- (3) the City Solicitor be requested to report directly to City Council on March 6, 7 and 8, 2001, on:
 - (i) the total cost of all legal fees, internal and external, throughout this entire legal challenge such that Council can seek application before the Court for its costs;
 - (ii) the exact excerpts from the Court Decision that prevent the City from issuing sign permits in the East District for all the permissions that are presently available; and

- (iii) the question of whether Mediacom, Urban and Omni can be excluded from all lotteries issued by the Municipal Licensing and Standards Division until such time as the City has been fully compensated; and
- (4) the Executive Director of Municipal Licensing and Standards be requested to report directly to City Council on March 6, 7 and 8, 2001, on what prevents the issuance of the twenty 1998 permissions by the former City of Scarborough on a first-come, first-served basis, similar to all other Community Council areas in the City of Toronto.

Mr. Ron Barr, Pattison Outdoor Advertising, appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

A copy of comments concerning this matter from Ms. Shelley Muzylko, on behalf of Canadian Pacific Railway, was provided to all Members of Community Council and a copy thereof is on file in the Office of the City Clerk, Scarborough Civic Centre.

(Clause No. 19, Report No. 2)

**3.23 Sign By-Law Variance Application
Neon Products Ltd., 1125 Markham Road – Ward 38**

The Community Council had before it a report (January 29, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, recommending that City Council not grant a variance to the Scarborough Sign By-law No. 22980 to permit the aforementioned variance.

On a motion by Councillor Duguid, the East Community Council recommended to City Council that:

- (1) the recommendation embodied in the aforementioned report be struck out; and
- (2) the sign by-law variance application be permitted.

Mr. Dominic Rotundo, Neon Products Limited, appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter and in opposition to the staff recommendation.

(Clause No. 15, Report No. 2)

**3.24 Final Report - Site Plan Control Application SC-S20000077
The Norfinch Group Inc., 2500 Eglinton Avenue East – Ward 37**

The Community Council had before it a report (February 12, 2001) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that City Council refuse Site Plan Application SC-S20000077, which would establish a restaurant with a drive-thru within an existing commercial plaza.

On a motion by Councillor Altobello, the East Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report to refuse the application.

Mr. Henry Chiu, Architect, representing The Norfinch Group Inc., appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter and in opposition to the staff recommendation.

(Clause No. 18, Report No. 2)

**3.25 Preliminary Report
Zoning By-Law Amendment Application SC-Z20000007
Leona Finkler In Trust, 2 Antrim Crescent
Dorset Park Community - Ward 40**

The Community Council had before it a report (December 20, 2000) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor after sufficient clarification is provided to enable the business to be described for Zoning By-law purposes;
- (2) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) staff be authorized to schedule a Public Meeting under the Planning Act to consider this application and notice be given according to the Regulations.

On a motion by Councillor Kelly, the East Community Council deferred consideration of the aforementioned report to its next regular meeting to be held on April 3, 2001, with the request that the Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, East District, undertake an investigation of this location with respect to Municipal Licensing and Standards issues, and the use proposed by this application, and report thereon to Community Council on April 3, 2001.

(Clause No. 22(c), Report No. 2)

3.26 Special Events – East District

On a motion by Councillor Shaw, the East Community Council requested the Director of Parks and Recreation, East District, further to his memorandum of January 4, 2001, listing forthcoming events in the East District, to provide additional details of the plans for these events and submit such report to the next meeting of the East Community Council to be held on April 3, 2001.

(Clause No. 22(g), Report No. 2)

**3.27 Ontario Municipal Board Hearing
Draft Plan of Subdivision Application SC-T19990003
Zoning By-Law Amendment Application SC-Z19990019
Village Securities Limited
Morningside Heights Secondary Plan Area – Ward 42**

Upon the question that the Community Council meet privately to discuss the subject matter, having regard that the matter may involve litigation or potential litigation, it was carried.

The Community Council resolved itself to meet in camera to discuss the joint confidential report (February 8, 2001) from the City Solicitor and the Director of Community Planning, East District, regarding Village Securities Limited.

The Committee of the Whole rose and reported progress.

Councillor Ashton moved that the East Community Council recommend to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned joint confidential report.

On the question of the adoption of the foregoing motion, a vote was taken, as follows:

Yeas: Councillors Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Berardinetti, Duguid, Kelly, Moeser, Shaw, Soknacki –9

Nays: Cho -1

Decided in the affirmative by a majority of 8.

The following persons addressed Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Jim Robb, Friends of the Rouge Watershed, who tabled a communication outlining his Group's position respecting the aforementioned matter, a copy of which was provided to all Members of the Community Council and a copy thereof is on file in the Office of the City Clerk, Scarborough Civic Centre; and
- Mr. Lorne Ross, Lorne Ross Planning Services Inc., representing his client, Village Securities Ltd.

(Clause No. 20, Report No. 2)

**3.28 Ontario Municipal Board Appeal
Official Plan Amendment Application SC-P19990018
Zoning By-Law Amendment Application SC-Z19990036
Settlement of Details of Site Plan SC-19990082
Shell Canada Products Ltd., 3101 Victoria Park Avenue
Redevelopment of Existing Service Station – Ward 40**

Upon the question that the Community Council meet privately to discuss the subject matter, having regard that the matter may involve litigation or potential litigation, it was carried.

The Community Council resolved itself to meet in camera to discuss the confidential report (February 12, 2001) from the City Solicitor, regarding Shell Canada Products Ltd., 3101 Victoria Park Avenue.

The Committee of the Whole rose and reported progress.

On a motion by Councillor Kelly, the East Community Council forwarded the aforementioned confidential report to City Council without recommendation to permit the Ward Councillor to consult with the Community.

(Clause No. 21, Report No. 2)

The Community Council adjourned its meeting at 4:30 p.m.