

THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF TORONTO

Clerk's Department

Minutes of the Scarborough Community Council

Meeting No. 8

Wednesday, September 12, 2001

The Scarborough Community Council met on Wednesday, September 12, 2001, in the Meeting Hall, Scarborough Civic Centre, commencing at 9:30 a.m.

Members present:

	9:30 a.m. - <u>11:57 a.m.</u>	2:06 p.m. - <u>4:10 p.m.</u>
Councillor David Soknacki, Chair	x	x
Councillor Gerry Altobello	x	x
Councillor Brian Ashton	x	x
Councillor Bas Balkissoon	--	--
Councillor Lorenzo Berardinetti	x	x
Councillor Raymond Cho	x	x
Councillor Brad Duguid	x	x
Councillor Norm Kelly	x	x
Councillor Ron Moeser	x	x
Councillor Sherene Shaw	x	x

Members were present for some or all of the time period indicated.

The Chair advised that Councillor Balkissoon's absence today is due to his being stranded in Vancouver, British Columbia, until the Canadian and American governments direct that normal flights can be resumed.

8.1. New York and Washington Disasters

The Scarborough Community Council observed a minute's silence in observance of the tragic events of September 11, 2001, and requested that the Chair and Mayor Lastman communicate to the Mayor and citizens of the City of Indianapolis, sister city to the former City of Scarborough, the condolences of the people of Scarborough.

(Clause No. 29(a), Report No. 9)

Declarations of Interest

Councillor Altobello declared an interest re. Minute No. 8.34 (City-Initiated Technical Amendments to Various Zoning By-Laws in Various (Scarborough) Wards) in that he owns property in the Centennial Community, and took no part in the discussion or vote thereon.

Councillor Altobello declared an interest re. Minute No. 8.27 (Disposition of Surplus Vacant Land, located between 197 and 205 Raleigh Avenue) in that his parents own property on Raleigh Avenue, and took no part in the discussion or vote thereon.

Councillor Shaw declared an interest re. Minute No. 8.35 (The Governing Council of the University of Toronto, Scarborough Campus) in that she teaches part-time at the Centennial College of Applied Arts and Technology, and took no part in the discussion or vote thereon.

Confirmation of Minutes

On a motion by Councillor Altobello, the Minutes of the meeting of the Scarborough Community Council held on July 10, 2001, were confirmed.

8.2 Renaming of Sweepster Court to “Acara Court” (Ward 40 – Scarborough Agincourt)

The Scarborough Community Council had before it a report (August 21, 2001) from the City Surveyor, recommending that:

- (1) subject to the statutory requirements under the Municipal Act for changing the name of a street, Sweepster Court be renamed “Acara Court”; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On a motion by Councillor Kelly, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 1, Report No. 9)

**8.3 Designation of Clark-Reesor House
6741 Steeles Avenue East
(Ward 42 – Scarborough Rouge River)**

The Community Council had before it a communication (July 31, 2001) from the Acting City Clerk, recommending that:

- (1) Council authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary Bill in Council to designate 6741 Steeles Avenue East for architectural and historical reasons under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be directed to take whatever action may be necessary to comply with the provisions of the said Act in respect of such designation.

On a motion by Councillor Cho, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 2, Report No. 9)

**8.4 Request for Asphalt Paving of Boulevard at 1 Century Drive
(Ward 35 – Scarborough Southwest)**

The Community Council had before it a report (August 15, 2001) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 4, recommending that no action be taken at the present time to replace the boulevard with asphalt adjacent to 1 Century Drive.

On a motion by Councillor Altobello, the Scarborough Community Council deferred consideration of the aforementioned report to its meeting scheduled to be held on October 23, 2001, to permit consultation with the affected resident.

(Clause No. 29(b), Report No. 9)

**8.5 Speed Limit Reduction on Denton Avenue
(Ward 35 – Scarborough Southwest)**

The Community Council had before it a report (August 15, 2001) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 4, recommending that:

- (1) the 40 kilometre per hour speed limit on Denton Avenue, as identified in Appendix 1 of this report be rescinded;
- (2) the 40 kilometre per hour speed limit on Denton Avenue, as identified in Appendix 2 of this report be adopted; and

- (3) the appropriate by-law be amended accordingly.

On a motion by Councillor Altobello, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 3, Report No. 9)

**8.6 Proposed Speed Limit Reduction on Gradwell Drive
(Ward 36 – Scarborough Southwest)**

The Scarborough Community Council had before it a report (August 14, 2001) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 4, recommending that:

- (1) the 40 kilometre per hour speed limit on Gradwell Drive, as identified in Appendix 1 of this report be adopted; and
- (2) the appropriate by-law be amended accordingly.

On a motion by Councillor Ashton, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 4, Report No. 9)

**8.7 Proposed Speed Limit Reduction on Wynnview Court
(Ward 36 – Scarborough Southwest)**

The Scarborough Community Council had before it a report (August 16, 2001) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 4, recommending that:

- (1) the 40 kilometre per hour speed limit identified in Appendix 1 of this report be adopted; and
- (2) the appropriate by-law be amended accordingly.

On a motion by Councillor Ashton, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 5, Report No. 9)

**8.8 Minor Street Stop Sign on Bainhart Crescent at
Brantwood Drive
(Ward 38 – Scarborough Centre)**

The Scarborough Community Council had before it a report (August 14, 2001) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 4, recommending that:

- (1) the stop sign on Bainhart Crescent, as identified in Appendix 1 of this report be adopted; and
- (2) the appropriate by-law be amended accordingly.

On a motion by Councillor Shaw, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 6, Report No. 9)

**8.9 Minor Street Stop Signs on Dunmurray Boulevard
(Ward 40 – Scarborough Agincourt)**

The Community Council had before it a report (August 16, 2001) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 4, recommending that:

- (1) the stop sign regulation on Eaglestone Road at Dunmurray Boulevard, as identified in Appendix 1 of this report, be rescinded;
- (2) the through street regulation on Dunmurray Boulevard, as identified in Appendix 2 of this report, be adopted for the installation of stop signs on all roads intersecting Dunmurray Boulevard between Huntingwood Drive and Davisbrook Boulevard; and
- (3) the appropriate by-laws be amended accordingly.

On a motion by Councillor Kelly, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 7, Report No. 9)

**8.10 All-Way Stop Control – Glen Watford Drive at
Rural Avenue/Agincourt Recreation Centre and
Leisure Pool Driveway
(Ward 41 – Scarborough Rouge River)**

The Community Council had before it a report (August 16, 2001) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 4, recommending that:

- (1) the proposed stop signs on Glen Watford Drive at Rural Avenue/Agincourt Recreation Centre & Leisure Pool Driveway, as identified in Appendix 1 of this report be adopted; and
- (2) the appropriate by-law be amended accordingly.

On a motion by Councillor Cho, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 8, Report No. 9)

**8.11 Proposed Parking Prohibition on Alton Towers Circle
(Ward 41 – Scarborough Rouge River)**

The Community Council had before it a report (August 15, 2001) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 4, recommending that:

- (1) the parking regulation identified in Appendix 1 of this report be adopted; and
- (2) the appropriate by-law be amended accordingly.

On a motion by Councillor Ashton, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 9, Report No. 9)

**8.12 Parking Concerns on McNicoll Avenue
East and West of Brimley Road
(Ward 41 – Scarborough Rouge River)**

The Community Council had before it a report (August 24, 2001) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 4, recommending that:

- (1) the parking regulations on McNicoll Avenue in this report be adopted; and
- (2) the appropriate by-laws be amended accordingly.

On a motion by Councillor Ashton, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 10, Report No. 9)

**8.13 Proposed Installation of Traffic Control Signals
Re-Aligned Finch Avenue and Staines Road
(Ward 42 – Scarborough Rouge River)**

The Community Council had before it a report (August 29, 2001) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 4, recommending that:

- (1) traffic control signals be approved at the proposed re-aligned intersection of Finch Avenue and Staines Road;
- (2) all costs associated with the installation of traffic control signals, including a one-time maintenance fee, be borne by Morningside Heights Landowners Group Limited, at an estimated cost of \$100,000.00; and
- (3) the appropriate by-laws be amended accordingly.

On a motion by Councillor Cho, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 11, Report No. 9)

**8.14 Review of Parking Regulations related to Driveways and
the City's Boulevards
(All Wards)**

The Community Council had before it a report (August 24, 2001) from the Director of Transportation Services, District 4, responding to Community Council's request for a review of parking regulations related to driveways and City boulevards; advising that further work is required to clarify and harmonize the by-laws pertaining to this issue; that the anticipated date for completion of this effort is the end of 2002, and recommending that this report be received for information.

Councillor Ashton moved that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, be requested, in his review of the harmonized by-law, to take into account the unique and specific characteristics of local neighbourhoods and communities.

(Carried)

Councillor Shaw moved that the report of the Director of Transportation Services, District 4, dated August 24, 2001, be received and the Director be requested to submit a status report on this issue to the Scarborough Community Council in the first quarter of 2002.

(Carried)

(Clause No. 29(c), Report No. 9)

**8.15 Application for Variance to Scarborough Sign By-law 22980
Imperial Signs - Additional Restaurant Logos
Plaza at 2 Greystone Walk
(Ward 35 – Scarborough Southwest)**

The Community Council had before it a report (August 15, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, recommending that City Council not grant the variance to the Scarborough Sign By-law, as requested by the applicant.

On a motion by Councillor Altobello, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

The following persons appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Shahin Faraji, representing Imperial Signs; and
- John Dawson, Landlord, 2 Greystone Walk.

(Clause No. 12, Report No. 9)

**8.16 Application for Variance to Scarborough Sign By-law 22980
Provincial Sign Systems - Pylon Sign - 1611 Warden Avenue
(Ward 37 – Scarborough Centre)**

The Community Council had before it a report (August 15, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, recommending that City Council grant the variance to the Scarborough Sign By-law, as requested by the applicant.

On a motion by Councillor Berardinetti, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 13, Report No. 9)

**8.17 Application for Variance to Scarborough Sign By-law 22980
Stanley Shenkman - Addition to Existing Pylon
1399 Kennedy Road
(Ward 37 – Scarborough Centre)**

The Community Council had before it a report (August 15, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, recommending that City Council grant the variance to the Scarborough Sign By-law, as requested by the applicant.

On a motion by Councillor Berardinetti, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 14, Report No. 9)

**8.18 Application for Variance to Scarborough Sign By-law 22980
Svetlana Levant, Pride Signs Limited
3429 Kennedy Road, Operating as Access Self Storage
(Ward 39 – Scarborough Agincourt)**

The Community Council had before it a report (August 28, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, recommending that City Council not grant the variance to the Scarborough Sign By-law, as requested by the applicant.

On a motion by Councillor Shaw, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

Mr. Phil Dewsbury, representing Pride Signs Ltd., appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

(Clause No. 15, Report No. 9)

**8.19 Preliminary Report
Zoning By-law Amendment Application TF ZBA 2001 0014
Site Plan Control Application TF SPC 2001 0041
Peach Sun Power Corp., South Side of Steeles Avenue East,
Between Midland Avenue and Silver Star Boulevard
Milliken Employment District
(Ward 41 – Scarborough Rouge River)**

The Community Council had before it a report (July 13, 2001) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that:

- (1) notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act; and
- (2) staff be directed to bring a by-law amendment forward prior to the end of the year.

On a motion by Councillor Shaw, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 29(d), Report No. 9)

8.20 Final Report

**Application for Part Lot Control Exemption TF PLC 2001 0003
Bridle Homes Limited
Bridleglen Court North of Pinemeadow Blvd., R.P. 66M2358
(Ward 40 – Scarborough Agincourt)**

The Community Council had before it a report (August 22, 2001) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that City Council:

- (1) enact a Part Lot Control Exemption By-law for Lots 3 to 32 inclusive on Registered Plan 66M-2358, on the former Hydro corridor lands north of Pinemeadow Boulevard, with such By-law to expire one (1) year from the date of passing of the By-law; and
- (2) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Part Lot Control Exemption By-law as may be required.

On a motion by Councillor Kelly, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 16, Report No. 9)

8.21 Final Report

**Application for Part Lot Control Exemption TF PLC 2001 0004
Laredo Construction Limited
Highhill Drive and Lowcrest Boulevard, R.P. 66M2363
(Ward 40 – Scarborough Agincourt)**

The Community Council had before it a report (August 14, 2001) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that City Council:

- (1) enact a Part Lot Control Exemption By-law with respect to Lots 1 to 6 inclusive and Lots 9, 13, 48 and 97, on Registered Plan 66M-2363;
- (2) deem that the Part Lot Control Exemption By-law shall expire one (1) year from the date of the passing of the By-law; and
- (3) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Part Lot Control Exemption By-law as may required.

On a motion by Councillor Kelly, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 17, Report No. 9)

8.22 Request for Direction
Application for Minor Variance TF VAR 2001 0157
Birchmount Boarding Kennels, 1563 Birchmount Road
(Ward 37 – Scarborough Centre)

The Community Council had before it a report (August 21, 2001) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that City Council:

- (1) authorize the filing of a variance application by Birchmount Boarding Kennels Limited to permit a maximum 33 square metre (350 square foot) covered dog run and sound wall encroachment on the City's abutting lands until July 31, 2005, subject to payment of the usual application fee by the applicant;
- (2) enact a by-law, substantially in accordance with the draft by-law attached as Attachment 3, to designate 1563 Birchmount Road, together with the abutting southerly lands on the former Ontario Hydro corridor now owned by Birchmount Boarding Kennels Limited, as an area subject to Site Plan Control; and
- (3) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Site Plan Control By-law as may be required.

On a motion by Councillor Berardinetti, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report, subject to amending Recommendation No. (1) by deleting the words "until July 31, 2005" and inserting in lieu thereof the words "to run concurrently with the encroachment agreement".

(Clause No. 18, Report No. 9)

8.23 Status Report
Phase 4 Scarborough Transportation Corridor Land Use Study –
SC-W19990005 – Scarborough Village and Guildwood
(Ward 36 – Scarborough Southwest and Ward 43 – Scarborough East)

The Community Council had before it a report (August 23, 2001) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that Community Council:

- (1) direct staff to circulate the Scarborough Village Community Discussion Paper for comment to City Departments, technical agencies, the Working Group, the Scarborough Village South Community Association and other individuals requesting copies of the Discussion Paper;
- (2) direct staff to convene a Community Information Meeting for the Scarborough Village Community, in consultation with the Ward Councillor; and

Scarborough Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, September 12, 2001

- (3) convene a Public Meeting of the Scarborough Community Council, targeted for the first quarter of 2002, to consider recommendations for appropriate land uses for the Phase 4 STC lands in the Scarborough Village Community, with notice of the Public Meeting to be provided by newspaper advertisement and to all persons who requested notice through the study process.
- A. Councillor Ashton moved that the report of the Director of Community Planning, East District, dated August 23, 2001, be deferred for consideration at the meeting scheduled to be held on November 20, 2001.

(Carried)

- B. Councillor Kelly moved that the report of the Director of Community Planning, East District, dated August 23, 2001, be approved, which was not voted upon as the motion by Councillor Ashton carried.

Councillor Soknacki vacated the chair and appointed Councillor Moeser as Acting Chair.

- C. Councillor Soknacki moved that the motion of Councillor Ashton be amended by adding the following:

“That the Director of Community Planning, East District, be directed to bring forward the recommendation report for a public meeting at Scarborough Community Council on October 23, 2001 for the Guildwood portion of the study area.”

(Carried)

The following persons appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Margaret Hefferon, representing The Caring Alliance;
- Peter Thachuk, representing Scarborough Village South Community Association;
- and
- Mike Labbé, President, Options for Homes.

(Clause No. 29(f), Report No. 9)

**8.24 Ontario Municipal Board Decision
Appeals by Village Securities Ltd., 311 Staines Road
Morningside Heights Community
(Ward 42 – Scarborough Rouge River)**

The Community Council had before it a report (August 20, 2001) from the City Solicitor, advising of the Ontario Municipal Board Decision respecting the appeals of Village Securities Limited and recommending that this report be received for information.

On a motion by Councillor Cho, the Scarborough Community Council received the aforementioned report and requested the Director of Community Planning, East District, to provide to the members of the Scarborough Community Council, for their information, a summary of the archaeological assessment when it has been completed.

(Clause No. 29(g), Report No. 9)

**8.25 Status Report
Year-Round Residence on Boats Moored
on Parks and Recreation Property
(Various Wards)**

The Community Council had before it a report (August 24, 2001) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, responding to City Council's direction to report on action to be taken with the year-round residence on boats moored on Parks and Recreation property; advising that this is an extremely complex issue requiring input from various City Departments; that a detailed report will be submitted to the October meeting Agenda, and recommending that this status report be received for information.

On a motion by Councillor Ashton, the Scarborough Community Council received the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 29(h), Report No. 9)

**8.26 Morningside Heights Community
Transportation Network – McNicoll Avenue Extension
(Ward 42 – Scarborough Rouge River)**

The Community Council had before it a communication (September 2001) from the City Clerk, advising that the Administrative Committee, at its meeting held on September 6, 2001, recommended to the Scarborough Community Council, the adoption of Recommendations Nos. (1) to (3) contained in the joint report (August 28, 2001) from the City Solicitor, the Director, Transportation Services, District 4, and the Director of Community Planning, East District, and concurred in Recommendation No. (4), viz:

Scarborough Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, September 12, 2001

- (1) that authority be granted to initiate the expropriation process for the property interests detailed herein;
 - (2) that authority be granted to serve and publish Notices of Applications for Approval to expropriate said property interests, to forward to the Chief Inquiry Officer any requests for hearings that are received and to report the Inquiry Officer's recommendations to Council for its consideration;
 - (3) that City Council authorize staff to undertake all steps required under the expropriation process to acquire land interests to extend McNicoll Avenue easterly, as illustrated on Attachment 1, on receipt of the financial guarantee from MHLG; and
 - (4) that the above recommendations be referred to the Scarborough Community Council at its meeting scheduled for September 12, 2001.
- A. Councillor Cho moved that the City Council give consideration to advancing the construction, within two years, of a new grade separation over the Finch Avenue and Morningside Avenue intersection to accommodate the existing traffic and traffic generated from the Morningside Heights development.

The Chair ruled the foregoing Motion A. by Councillor Cho out of order on the grounds that the motion was not an amendment to the recommendations embodied in the joint report (August 28, 2001) from the City Solicitor, the Director of Transportation Services, District 4, and the Director of Community Planning, East District.

Councillor Cho challenged the ruling of the Chair. A recorded vote was taken on the question shall the ruling of the Chair be upheld:

Yeas: Councillor Altobello, Ashton, Berardinetti, Duguid, Kelly, Shaw, Soknacki - 7

Nays: Councillor Cho - 1

The ruling of the Chair was upheld.

B. Councillor Cho moved the following Notice of Motion:

“WHEREAS the City Forester has an application from Ecoplans Ltd. on behalf of the Morningside Heights Landowners Group for the removal of 341 trees meeting the criteria of By-law No. 25150, being a by-law to protect and conserve trees on private property, and

WHEREAS a permit has been granted for the removal of 92 of the 341 trees in order to permit Morningside Heights Landowners Group to proceed with core

Scarborough Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, September 12, 2001

servicing in keeping with the order and conditions set by the Ministry of Natural Resources; and

WHEREAS the City Forester has yet to receive an acceptable tree replacement plan and undertaking to plant trees to replace the 341 trees being lost; and

WHEREAS the City Forester is being requested to issue further permission to remove an unspecified number (approximately 39) of trees this week;

THERE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Scarborough Community Council direct the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism to issue no further permission to remove trees until the Morningside Heights Landowners Group commits to satisfactory tree replacement of three trees for every one removed, each replacement tree to have a caliper of 50 to 60 mm, and be native species, and the undertaking to plant these trees being satisfactory to the City Solicitor and final planting plans being approved by the City Forester; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Scarborough Community Council request the developers to save as many willow trees as possible in their development project.”

The Chair ruled the foregoing Motion B. by Councillor Cho out of order on the grounds that Notices of Motions are not permissible at Community Council, and advised Councillor Cho to submit his motion to the City Clerk for inclusion on the City Council Agenda.

Councillor Cho challenged the ruling of the Chair. A recorded vote was taken on the question shall the ruling of the Chair be upheld:

Yeas: Councillor Altobello, Ashton, Berardinetti, Duguid, Kelly, Soknacki - 6

Nays: Councillor Cho, Shaw – 2

The ruling of the Chair was upheld.

C. Councillor Ashton moved that Scarborough Community Council recommend to City Council the adoption of the recommendations in the aforementioned joint report.

(Carried)

(Clause No. 25, Report No. 9)

**8.27 Disposition of Surplus Vacant Land
Located between 197 and 205 Raleigh Avenue
(Ward 35 – Scarborough Southwest)**

The Community Council had before it a report (September 5, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:

- (1) the Offer to Purchase from Julius De Ruyter to purchase a portion of the City-owned property located between 197 and 205 Raleigh Avenue, identified as Lots 231 and 232, Registered Plan 1882, in the amount of \$95,000.00 be accepted on the terms outlined in the body of this report, and that either one of the Commissioner of Corporate Services or the Director of Real Estate Services be authorized to accept the Offer on behalf of the City;
- (2) authority be granted to direct a portion of the proceeds on closing to fund the outstanding balance to Account No. NP2763;
- (3) the City Solicitor be authorized to complete the transaction on behalf of the City including payment of any necessary expenses and amending the closing date to such earlier or later date as he considers reasonable;
- (4) authority be granted to offer that portion of the City-owned property identified as Lot 230, Registered Plan 1882 to the adjacent owner at 197 Raleigh Avenue for a period of 20 days at a purchase price of \$47,500.00, failing which the parcel be offered for sale on the open market; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On a motion by Councillor Berardinetti, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 26, Report No. 9)

**8.28 Preliminary Report
Zoning By-Law Amendment Application and
Official Plan Amendment Application TF COM 2001 0008
Kreadar Enterprises – Reno Depot Inc.
North-West Corner of Midland Avenue and McNicoll Avenue
Milliken Employment District
(Ward 41 – Scarborough Rouge River)**

The Community Council had before it a report (August 27, 2001) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a second community consultation meeting, in consultation with the Ward Councillor, if it is determined to be necessary;
- (2) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site, and to others who attend the September 2001 meeting to be held by the Ward Councillor and who request to be advised of future meetings; and
- (3) notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

On a motion by Councillor Shaw, the Scarborough Community Council approved the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 29(e), Report No. 9)

8.29 Final Report

**Zoning By-Law Amendment Application SC-Z20000007
Leona Finkler In Trust, 2 Antrim Crescent
Dorset Park Community
(Ward 40 - Scarborough Agincourt)**

The Community Council conducted a statutory public meeting, in accordance with Section 17 and Section 34 of The Planning Act; appropriate notice of this meeting having been given in accordance with The Planning Act and the regulations thereunder; and had before it a report (May 29, 2001) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that City Council:

- (1) amend the Dorset Park Community Zoning By-law substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment No. 3; and
- (2) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required.

The Community Council also had before it a report (August 20, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services advising the result of investigations undertaken by Municipal Licensing and Standards respecting No. 2 Antrim Crescent, and recommending that this report be received for information.

Councillor Kelly moved that the aforementioned report (May 29, 2001) be amended by:

- (1) striking out the staff recommendation; and
- (2) recommending to City Council that the application be refused.

(Carried)

Mr. Antonio Doria, the applicant, appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

(Clause No. 19, Report No. 9)

**8.30 Zoning By-law Amendment Application TF ZBL 2001 0008
Official Plan Amendment Application TF OPA 2001 0002
Dix-Land Apartments Ltd.
South Side of Lawrence Avenue East, East of Crockford Boulevard
Wexford Employment District
(Ward 37 – Scarborough Centre)**

The Community Council conducted a statutory public meeting, in accordance with Section 17 and Section 34 of The Planning Act; appropriate notice of this meeting having been given in accordance with The Planning Act and the regulations thereunder; and had before it a report (August 8, 2001) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that City Council:

- (1) amend the Official Plan for the former City of Scarborough substantially in accordance with the draft Official Plan Amendment attached as Attachment 4;
- (2) amend the Employment Districts Zoning By-law for the former City of Scarborough substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment 5; and
- (3) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Official Plan Amendment and draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required.

On a motion by Councillor Berardinetti, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

Mr. Harold Ulrich appeared before the Community Council on behalf of Dix-Land Apartments Ltd., and in support of the staff recommendations.

(Clause No. 20, Report No. 9)

8.31 Final Report
Zoning By-Law Amendment Application TF ZBL 2001 0010
Dorsam Investments Limited, 2245 Kennedy Road
Tam O'Shanter Community
(Ward 40 - Scarborough Agincourt)

The Community Council conducted a statutory public meeting, in accordance with Section 17 and Section 34 of The Planning Act; appropriate notice of this meeting having been given in accordance with The Planning Act and the regulations thereunder; and had before it a report (August 20, 2001) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that City Council:

- (1) amend the Zoning By-law for the Tam O'Shanter Community substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment No. 2; and
- (2) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required.

On a motion by Councillor Kelly, the Scarborough Community Council deferred the Public Meeting under the Planning Act on the aforementioned report to its meeting scheduled to be held at 2:00 p.m. on October 23, 2001, to permit further community consultation.

The following persons appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

- Ishrat Pirzada, representing the applicant;
- Sangho Kim, area resident, in opposition;
- Charles Adey, area resident, in opposition; and
- Lee McKinlay, area resident, in opposition.

(Clause No. 29(i), Report No. 9)

8.32 Final Report
Zoning By-law Amendment Application SC-Z20000026
West Hill Development Co. Ltd., 2270 Markham Road
Marshalling Yard Employment District
(Ward 41 - Scarborough Rouge River)

The Community Council conducted a statutory public meeting, in accordance with Section 17 and Section 34 of The Planning Act; appropriate notice of this meeting having been given in accordance with The Planning Act and the regulations thereunder; and had

before it a report (July 9, 2001) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that City Council:

- (1) amend the Employment District Zoning By-law 24982 (Marshalling Yard) for the subject site substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment No. 6; and
- (2) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required.

On a motion by Councillor Shaw, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

Mr. Lloyd Cherniak, Vice President, West Hill Development Co. Ltd., appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter, and in support of the staff recommendation.

(Clause No. 21, Report No. 9)

**8.33 Zoning By-law Amendment Application SC-WPS 2000 0010
City-Initiated Zoning Amendment to Repeal
Township of Scarborough Zoning By-law No. 5005
(Ward 44 - Scarborough East)**

The Community Council conducted a statutory public meeting, in accordance with Section 17 and Section 34 of The Planning Act; appropriate notice of this meeting having been given in accordance with The Planning Act and the regulations thereunder. No persons appeared before the Community Council in connection therewith.

The Community Council had before it a report (July 26, 2001) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that City Council:

- (1) repeal Township of Scarborough Zoning By-law No. 5005 substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment No. 1; and
- (2) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required.

On a motion by Councillor Moeser, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 22, Report No. 9)

8.34 City-Initiated Technical Amendments to Various Zoning By-laws in Various (Scarborough) Wards – SC-WPS2000 0009

The Community Council conducted a statutory public meeting, in accordance with Section 17 and Section 34 of The Planning Act; appropriate notice of this meeting having been given in accordance with The Planning Act and the regulations thereunder. No persons appeared before the Community Council in connection therewith.

The Community Council had before it a report (August 1, 2001) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that City Council:

- (1) amend the following Scarborough Zoning By-laws in order to implement the changes outlined in the proposed by-law substantially in accordance with the draft by-law attached as Attachment 1:

By-law Number 10217, the Agricultural Holding By-law;
By-law Number 24982, the Employment Districts Zoning By-law;
By-law Number 25195, the L'Amoreaux Community Zoning By-law;
By-law Number 12077, the Centennial Community Zoning By-law;
By-law Number 14402, the Malvern Community Zoning By-law;
By-law Number 15907, the Rouge Community Zoning By-law;
By-law Number 12360, the Tam O'Shanter Community Zoning By-law;
By-law Number 261-2000, amending by-law to the Rouge Community Zoning By-law; and
By-law Number 158-1999, amending by-law to the Centennial Community Zoning By-law;

- (2) amend the Agricultural Holding by-law to remove lands not previously removed by zoning by-law amendments;
- (3) amend the Employment Districts Zoning By-law to correct sideyard setback requirements for existing residential uses;
- (4) amend the L'Amoreaux and Tam O'Shanter Community By-laws to correct Exception Numbers;
- (5) amend the Malvern Community Zoning By-law to correct mapping of performance standards;
- (6) amend the Centennial and Rouge Community Zoning By-law to add performance standards incorrectly applied in previous amending by-laws; and
- (7) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Zoning By-law amendments as may required.

On a motion by Councillor Berardinetti, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 23, Report No. 9)

**8.35 Final Report
Zoning By-Law Amendment Application TF ZBL 2001 0002
The Governing Council of the University of Toronto,
Scarborough Campus
North-East Corner of Ellesmere Road and Morningside Avenue
Highland Creek Community
(Ward 44 – Scarborough East)**

The Community Council conducted a statutory public meeting, in accordance with Section 17 and Section 34 of The Planning Act; appropriate notice of this meeting having been given in accordance with The Planning Act and the regulations thereunder; and had before it a report (August 29, 2001) from the Director of Community Planning, East District, recommending that City Council:

- (1) amend the Highland Creek Community Zoning By-law substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment provided as Attachment No. 8; and
- (2) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required.

The Community Council also had before it a communication (August 28, 2001) from Mr. Rick Schofield, area resident, expressing concerns respecting traffic access and adequacy of parking spaces for the proposed campus.

On a motion by Councillor Moeser, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

The following persons appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Stanley Makuch, Solicitor, representing the applicant, in support of the staff recommendations;

- Muriel Schmidt, area resident, in support of the long term plans of the University, but expressing concerns respecting increased traffic, possible overflow parking onto neighbourhood streets, poor location of the proposed parking lot which will necessitate the destruction of mature trees, potential reduction in air quality, and suggesting that rather than trying to accommodate students' vehicles, the applicant should be promoting increased use of public transit; and
- Lolita Trost, area resident, concurring in the remarks made by Ms. Schmidt.

(Clause No. 24, Report No. 9)

**8.36 Agreement between the City of Toronto and
Clifton Park Investments Limited regarding
Space for a Public Library at Centenary Plaza
(Ward 43 – Scarborough East)**

Upon the question that the Community Council meet privately to discuss the subject matter, having regard that the matter may involve litigation or potential litigation, it was carried.

The Community Council resolved itself to meet in camera to discuss the confidential report (August 29, 2001) from the City Solicitor regarding space for a public library at Centenary Plaza.

The Committee of the Whole rose and reported progress.

Councillor Soknacki moved that Scarborough Community Council recommend to City Council the adoption of the recommendations of the Community Council as Committee of the Whole, such recommendations to remain confidential having regard that the matter may involve litigation or potential litigation.

(Carried)

(Clause No. 27, Report No. 9)

**8.37 Ontario Municipal Board Appeal
Official Plan And Zoning By-law Amendments and
Site Plan Application
Brimley Progress Development Inc.
South-West Corner of Brimley Road and Progress Avenue
(Ward 37 – Scarborough Centre)**

Upon the question that the Community Council meet privately to discuss the subject matter, having regard that the matter may involve litigation or potential litigation, it was carried.

Scarborough Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, September 12, 2001

The Community Council resolved itself to meet in camera to discuss the confidential report (August 30, 2001) from the City Solicitor and the Director of Community Planning, East District, regarding Brimley Progress Development Inc.

The Committee of the Whole rose and reported progress.

On a motion by Councillor Berardinetti, the Scarborough Community Council recommended to City Council the adoption of the aforementioned confidential report.

(Clause No. 28, Report No. 9)

The Community Council adjourned its meeting at 4:10 p.m.

Chair.