

THE CITY OF TORONTO

City Clerk's Division

Minutes of the Works Committee

Meeting No. 7

Wednesday, June 6, 2001

The Works Committee met on Wednesday, June 6, 2001, in Committee Room 1, 2nd Floor, City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:40 a.m.

Members Present:

	<u>9:40 a.m.-12:30 p.m.</u>	<u>2:10 p.m.-6:45 p.m.</u>
		(In Camera: 6:15 p.m.-6:40 p.m.)
Councillor Betty Disero, Chair	x	x
Councillor Jane Pitfield, Vice-Chair	x	x
Councillor Bas Balkissoon	x	x
Councillor Frank Di Giorgio	x	x
Councillor Irene Jones	x	x
Councillor Jack Layton	x	x
Councillor Gloria Lindsay Luby	x	x
Councillor Peter Li Preti	x	x

Also Present:

Councillor Sandra Bussin
Councillor Joanne Flint
Councillor Douglas Holyday
Councillor Norman Kelly
Councillor Michael Walker

Members were present for some or all of the time period indicated.

Confirmation of Minutes.

On motion by Councillor Jones, the Minutes of the meeting of the Works Committee held on May 9, 2001, were confirmed.

7.1 Asphalt Resurfacing on Brimley Road and Finch Avenue (District 4) – Contract No. 01D4-005RD, Tender Call No. 64-2001. (Scarborough Centre, Scarborough-Agincourt, Scarborough-Rouge River)

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (May 22, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that Contract No. 01D4-005RD, Tender 64-2001, issued for asphalt resurfacing on Brimley Road and Finch Avenue (District 4), for 2001, be awarded to Furfari Paving Co. Ltd. in the total amount of \$2,476,151.20 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received.

The Committee also had before it a submission (June 6, 2001) from Mr. Joey Furfari, Furfari Paving Co. Ltd., regarding mathematical corrections on another tender before the Committee.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Frank M. D'Andrea, and Mr. Cosimo Crupi, President, D. Crupi & Sons Limited; and
- Mr. Joey Furfari, Furfari Paving Co. Ltd.

On motion by Councillor Di Giorgio, the Committee met privately to discuss the foregoing matter having regard that the subject matter being considered relates to the security of the property of the municipality or local board, in accordance with the Municipal Act.

The Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report; and **(Motion by Councillor Li Preti)**
- (2) requested the Chief Administrative Officer, in conjunction with the City Auditor, to review the City's purchasing and bid process, including the Bid Committee reporting process and the role of the Purchasing and Materials Management Division, and report thereon to the Administration Committee; such review to consider the complaint sent by D. Crupi & Sons Limited and advise on what controls are in place or being recommended to assure the public that the process is fair and open. **(Motion by Councillor Balkissoon)**

(Chief Administrative Officer; City Auditor; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; c: City Solicitor; Executive Director, Technical Services; Director, Purchasing and Materials Management; Mr. Cosimo Crupi; Mr. Joey Furfari; Administrator, Administration Committee – June 6, 2001)

(Clause No. 3, Report No. 9)

**7.2 Drain Grant Appeal for 204 Swanwick Avenue.
(Ward 32 - Beaches-East York)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (May 28, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services advising that an appeal to a denied Drain Grant Claim has been made by the property owner of 204 Swanwick Avenue, and that the applicant is requesting to be reimbursed \$1,498.00 for damages to a private drain due to roots from a tree located on City property across the street from the residence; and recommending that this appeal be denied since no roots from a City tree were involved.

The Committee also had before the following communications:

- (i) (June 4, 2001) from Ms. Margaret Harper, owner of 204 Swanwick Avenue, advising of her basement flooding twice as a result of trees directly across the street from her house; and
- (ii) (June 6, 2001) from Councillor Sandra Bussin, Ward 32 – Beaches-East York, requesting that the City grant the drain claim at 204 Swanwick Avenue.

On motion by Councillor Jones, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 10, Report No. 9)

**7.3 Drain Grant Appeal for 553 Hillsdale Avenue East.
(Ward 22 - St. Paul's)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (May 28, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services advising that an appeal to enhance an already approved Drain Grant rebate of \$1,500 has been made by the property owner of 553 Hillsdale Avenue East, and that Councillor Walker has requested the owner be reimbursed her full cost of \$3,678.45 including snaking and camera investigation; and recommending that this appeal be denied as exceeding the \$1,500 maximum reimbursement allowable.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Christine Seto, 553 Hillsdale Avenue East; and
- Councillor Michael Walker, Ward 22 – St. Paul's.

On motion by Councillor Jones, the Committee recommended to Council that the owner of 553 Hillsdale Avenue East be reimbursed in the amount of an additional \$361.45, to be deemed a partial repair under the Drain Grant Policy.

(Clause No. 11, Report No. 9)

**7.4 Drain Grant Appeal for 8 Edgewood Grove.
(Ward 32 – Beaches-East York)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (May 28, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services advising that an appeal to a denied Drain Grant application has been made by the property owner of 8 Edgewood Grove, and that the owner is asking for a rebate of \$650.00 to cover the cost to repair drains in the interior of his house; and recommending that this appeal be denied as ineligible since it relates to interior work.

The Committee also had before it a communication (June 6, 2001) from Councillor Sandra Bussin, Ward 32 – Beaches-East York, advising that the tree roots from the City portion may have entered the portion of drain work completed by the homeowner.

Mr. Ron Bissett, 8 Edgewood Grove, appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, June 6, 2001

The Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council that the owner of 8 Edgewood Grove be reimbursed in the amount of an additional \$299.00 to bring the drain grant to the maximum of \$1,500.00 allowed under the Drain Grant Policy for a complete drain replacement; and **(Motion by Councillor Di Giorgio)**
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to submit a report to the Committee for its meeting scheduled to be held on September 10, 2001, on the implications of revising the Drain Grant Policy to consider grants being applied for the entire length of the sewer pipe (including the portion beyond the front wall of the property) without a revision of the grant cap and time limitations. **(Motion by Councillor Balkissoon)**

The following motion by Councillor Jones was **redundant**, and not put to the vote:

“That the Committee recommend to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.”

(Clause No. 12, Report No. 9)

**7.5 Drain Grant Appeal for 250 Glebeholme Boulevard.
(Ward 32 – Beaches-East York)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (May 28, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services advising that an appeal to a denied Drain Grant Claim has been made by the property owner of 250 Glebeholme Boulevard, and that the applicant is requesting to be reimbursed \$149.80 for snaking his private drain due to roots from a City tree; and recommending that this appeal be denied as applying to ineligible expenses under the policy.

The Committee also had before it a communication (June 6, 2001) from Councillor Sandra Bussin, Ward 32 - Beaches-East York (South), in support of awarding a full grant in the amount of \$1,500.00 to the owner of the property at 250 Glebeholme Boulevard based on extenuating circumstances surrounding this matter.

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, June 6, 2001

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Roland Jen, 250 Glebeholme Boulevard, and filed a submission with respect thereto; and
- Councillor Sandra Bussin, Ward 32 - Beaches-East York.

On motion by Councillor Balkissoon, the Committee:

- (1) deferred consideration of the aforementioned report pending submission of the staff report on amending the Drain Grant Policy to include interior work; and
- (2) requested the City Solicitor to submit a report to the Committee at the same time on the issue of retroactivity with respect to the Drain Grant Policy, taking into consideration the comments raised in the communication from Councillor Bussin.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; City Solicitor; c: Councillor Sandra Bussin; Mr. Roland Jen; General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services – June 6, 2001)

(Clause No. 20(a), Report No. 9)

**7.6 Drain Grant Appeal for 104 Lascelles Boulevard.
(Ward 22 – St. Paul’s)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (May 28, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services advising that an appeal to enhance a partial Drain Grant rebate has been made by the property owner of 104 Lascelles Boulevard, and that the owner is requesting a full grant of \$1,500.00 for a partial repair to the exterior portion of his private drain along with drain work completed on the interior of his home; and recommending that this appeal be denied due to the maximum limit of \$500.00 for drain repairs.

The following person appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Arthur Payne, 104 Lascelles Boulevard; and
- Councillor Michael Walker, Ward 22 – St. Paul’s.

On motion by Councillor Di Giorgio, on behalf of Councillor Walker, the Committee recommended to Council that the owner of 104 Lascelles Boulevard be reimbursed in the amount of \$1,497.00, to be deemed a complete drain replacement under the Drain Grant Policy.

(Clause No. 13, Report No. 9)

7.7 Traffic Calming Policy.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (March 28, 2001) from the City Clerk advising of the action taken by the Works Committee at its meeting on March 28, 2001, in considering a report (March 8, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting a harmonized traffic calming policy for the City of Toronto, which recommended that:

- (1) this report be forwarded to all Community Councils for consideration, and that their comments on the proposed traffic calming policy be submitted to the Works Committee for consideration at its June 6, 2001 meeting; and
- (2) this report be distributed to any interested residents and parties, including neighbourhood and business improvement associations in Toronto, as well as citizen advisory committees and advocate groups for transportation modes, such as the City's cycling and pedestrian committees, for comment. Neighbourhood associations and business improvement associations are encouraged to provide comments to their respective Community Councils, while broad interest groups are encouraged to submit comments directly to the Works Committee.

The Committee also had before it a report (May 28, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services summarizing and discussing Traffic Calming Policy issues arising through the consultation process; and recommending that:

- (1) physical traffic calming be endorsed as an effective way of improving traffic conditions on local and collector streets in the City of Toronto;
- (2) physical traffic calming be considered principally:
 - (i) for local and collector streets;
 - (ii) where local support exists;
 - (iii) where existing traffic impacts are significant; and
 - (iv) where the impacts of traffic calming on emergency and transit services and on adjacent uncalmed streets are relatively minor;

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, June 6, 2001

- (3) the implementation of physical traffic calming measures be undertaken in conjunction with annual road reconstruction and maintenance programs, to the extent possible; and
- (4) the process for conducting traffic engineering studies, evaluating options, and undertaking public consultation for physical traffic calming measures, as well as proposals for the qualifying criteria for installing physical traffic calming measures, be presented to the Works Committee at its meeting of September 10, 2001.

The Committee also had before the following communications:

- (i) (May 23, 2001) from the City Clerk advising that the Downtown Community Council, at its meeting on May 15, 2001, recommended that:
 - (1) the traffic calming process as set out in the report (March 8, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be adopted, subject to:
 - (a) Section 2.2 of Table 1 titled "Traffic Calming Warrant Criteria" of the report (March 8, 2001) being amended to read, "Traffic calming measures may be considered at or near locations where the road grade is between 5% and 8%";
 - (b) provision being made in the warrant approval process which would address the impact of schools or high pedestrian traffic in the area under consideration for traffic calming; and
 - (c) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services reporting to the relevant Community Council, rather than the Works Committee, with his negative recommendations whenever an application fails to meet the warrants; and
 - (2) the Province of Ontario be requested to amend the new Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act, to designate traffic calming as a Schedule A activity;
- (ii) (May 16, 2001) from the City Clerk advising that the East Community Council:
 - (1) received a staff presentation on the proposed Traffic Calming Policy;
 - (2) directed that the Works Committee be advised that the East Community Council does not concur, at this time, in the recommendations embodied in the report, dated March 8, 2001, from the Commissioner of Works and

Emergency Services, having regard for Recommendation No. (3)(a)(ii) hereunder; and

- (3) recommended to the Works Committee that:
- (a) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the Works Committee:
 - (i) in consultation with the City Clerk, on a consistent policy for petitions and the polling of residents applicable to the traffic calming and street permit parking policies, currently under consideration;
 - (ii) on the equitable distribution of funds to be budgeted annually for these issues, by Community Council area, prior to the consideration of these policies;
 - (iii) in consultation with the Fire Chief, the General Manager, Emergency Medical Services and the Chief of Police, on routes that may not be suitable for traffic calming measures; and
 - (iv) on a protocol to manage area-wide traffic management plans that overlap Community Council boundaries;
 - (b) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to:
 - (i) complete the effectiveness study on Community Safety Zones no later than the end of the year 2001; the results of such study to be reported to City Council through the Community Councils and the Works Committee; and
 - (ii) create a mechanism to ensure, considering the limited capital budget for the installation of traffic calming measures, that such installations be equally and fairly distributed throughout the City so that no one Community Council area shall receive preferential treatment;
 - (c) the Traffic Calming Process Flow Chart (Appendix 6) be prefaced with a public consultation meeting to be held at the discretion of the Ward Councillor;

- (d) the initial petition be warranted at 60 percent of all adult residents on affected streets;
 - (e) the resultant poll reflect 60 percent of all adult residents in a polled neighbourhood;
 - (f) the Medical Officer of Health be requested to comment to the Works Committee on potential pollution issues; and
 - (g) a ranking criteria be established for the expenditure of funds on traffic calming measures;
- (iii) (May 16, 2001) from the City Clerk advising that the Midtown Community Council, at its meeting on May 15, 2001:
- (A) recommended that the proposed Process for Installing Traffic Calming Measures (Appendix 5 contained in the report (March 8, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, proposing a harmonized traffic calming policy) be amended to read as follows:
 - “(1) When submitting a request for traffic calming to the Councillor, proponents must include a petition of support for the project from at least 25 percent of the affected households on the street. In the case of rental units, it shall be 10 percent.
 - (2) Staff will investigate to confirm whether or not there is a problem as identified by the petitioners.
 - (3) If it has been determined that there is a problem, the Traffic Operations staff will review the request and determine if the proposed traffic calming, or any alternative calming that staff recommends, will have significant traffic impacts on adjacent local streets. If the proposal is anticipated to have significant impacts, the staff will expand the study to include adjacent streets. Councillors will be consulted in the establishment of the boundaries of the study area.
 - (4) The proposal(s) will be reviewed by staff to determine if it satisfies the criteria outlined in (3). These criteria shall include but not be limited to the provision of sidewalks, determination of the road grade and potential impact on emergency services.

- (5) The proposal is circulated to the Emergency Services (Ambulance, Fire and Police) and the TTC for their comment on the proposal and any modifications that they may suggest in order that their services are not significantly impacted.
- (6) If all safety requirements are met, staff will evaluate speeds, the traffic volumes, block lengths and impacts on transit service. Once all the data has been collected, an analysis and evaluation of all the alternatives will be carried out and the preferred option(s) will be chosen.
- (7) There shall be no speed humps constructed on TTC routes.
- (8) Staff will develop a detailed design that will illustrate the technically preferred traffic calming measures to address the traffic and street conditions. This plan will also take into account driveway locations, recommended spacing, lighting, pole locations, signage, etc.
- (9) Once the detailed design is completed, the Ward Councillor will either undertake, or direct staff, to conduct a survey of household on the affected street (or portion of a street) or area, to determine the degree of public acceptance of the proposal. Wording contained in the letter regarding the poll shall be "advisory" not "determinative" in its nature. Councillors may also wish to hold a community meeting.
- (10) A "successful" poll shall be defined by a response rate of 25 percent coupled with at least a 60 percent positive response rate. There shall be one response allowed per household.
- (11) If the poll is successful, the City shall proceed with the four weeks of advertising as required by the Environmental Assessment Act.
- (12) Upon tabulation of the poll and completion of the four weeks of advertising, a public deputation hearing is scheduled before the appropriate Community Council. If the project is not approved by Community Council, staff will respond to the proponents with a letter indicating the reasons why the project will not be implemented.
- (13) If Community Council approves the project, then it is forwarded to City Council for final approval. The request to City Council should also include a recommendation to issue a Notice of Completion, in accordance with the statutory requirements of the Environmental

Assessment Act. This Notice of Completion would be sent to all parties receiving the initial notice of consultation.

- (14) If final approval is secured from City Council, the Notice of Completion is sent to all relevant parties with a 30-day time period for review and opportunity to request a Part II Order.
 - (15) If there is no Part II Order request, the project is submitted for budget approval and tendering and construction as soon as possible.
 - (16) If there is a Part II Order request for the project, then the project is reviewed by the Ministry of the Environment and one of the following may occur. The Minister may:
 - (i) deny the request;
 - (ii) deny the request with conditions (such as requiring that a Schedule C process be completed or that monitoring and reporting processes be implemented);
 - (iii) refer the matter to mediation; or
 - (iv) require the proponent to comply with Part II of the EA Act (including a government review and public hearings).
 - (17) Traffic calming shall be considered at the time a road is resurfaced or reconstructed.”; and
- (B) further recommended that the Province be requested to review the Environmental Assessment Act with a view to deleting all but: (1) directional closures; (2) diversions; and (3) full closures, as described in Table 3.1: Traffic Calming Measures;
- (iv) (May 21, 2001) from the City Clerk advising that the North Community Council, at its meeting on May 16, 2001:
 - (1) recommended to the Works Committee that the report (March 8, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, respecting a harmonized traffic calming policy for the City of Toronto, be endorsed; and
 - (2) requested the Works Committee to consider:

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, June 6, 2001

- (a) how 25 percent of the households in support of the proposed traffic calming within a particular study area can be determined;
 - (b) how the validity of a petition can be confirmed;
 - (c) the allocation of capital funding on a Community Council basis at the beginning of the year, with a further review after six months, to assess any re-allocation of unused funding; and
 - (d) exploring the feasibility of expanding the “Watch Your Speed” Program involving the use of photo radar as a means of enforcing vehicle speeds by issuing speed violations electronically;
- (v) (May 22, 2001) from the City Clerk advising that the Southwest Community Council, at its meeting on May 15, 2001, recommended to the Works Committee:
- (1) with respect to Appendix 5, headed “The Proposed Process for Installing Traffic Calming Measures”, that:
 - (a) Recommendation No. (1) be deleted, and the following substituted in lieu thereof:

‘(1) When submitting a request for traffic calming, that the request be considered based on the results of a survey to be conducted by the local Councillor, in lieu of proponents submitting a petition of support.’;
 - (b) wherever mentioned, the word “Warrant” be deleted and the word “Criteria” be substituted in lieu thereof; and
 - (c) the words “Works Committee” be deleted from the second to last paragraph on page 3 of the Proposed Process, and the words “appropriate Standing Committee” be substituted in lieu thereof, to read as follows:

‘In the event that a traffic calming request does not meet the requirements of Criteria 1, 2 or 3, at steps 1, 5 or 9 respectively, and the Ward Councillor requests that the project and staff study continue anyway, staff will report on the status of the project to that point to the appropriate Standing Committee, requesting direction on whether to proceed further.’;

- (2) that the Proposed Process for Installing Traffic Calming Measures be compressed to allow for decisions to be made more expeditiously;
 - (3) that the Southwest Community Council is opposed to the limiting of traffic calming measures based on budget allocations;
 - (4) should the process of limitations be adopted, that funds be allocated evenly on a per kilometre, per ward basis, only in those areas that permit traffic calming, and that any unused portion of funds be allowed to be traded for future credits in November of each year;
 - (5) that all references to provincial regulations as they pertain to the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act on traffic calming, be deleted from the City of Toronto's by-laws;
 - (6) that City Council be requested to advise the Ministry of the Environment that it is Council's view that the Minister's approval of speed humps and other traffic calming measures is an unnecessary intrusion on the City of Toronto's jurisdiction and that the appropriate Acts or Regulations be amended accordingly; and
 - (7) that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report on the potential for reviewing the current criteria to allow for the narrowing of streets as a traffic calming option;
- (vi) (May 17, 2001) from the City Clerk advising that the West Community Council, at its meeting on May 16, 2001, recommended to the Works Committee that:
- (1) the harmonized traffic calming policy embodied in the report dated March 8, 2001, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be adopted, subject to amending the Warrant 2 criterion regarding sidewalks to provide that sidewalks first be considered as a high priority before traffic calming measures are examined;
 - (2) by the end of 2001, additional staff resources be allocated to the West District to ensure that traffic calming requests are dealt with in a timely manner and do not draw on current staff resources;
 - (3) for the balance of 2001, funding priority be given to traffic calming projects in those parts of the City that did not previously allow for traffic calming measures; and

- (4) for the 2002 budget, the Budget Advisory Committee consider increasing the line item for traffic calming measures to ensure an equitable distribution of traffic calming measures throughout the entire City;
- (vii) (May 29, 2001) from the City Clerk outlining concerns raised by the Toronto Pedestrian Committee respecting the following issues related to the Traffic Calming Policy:
 - (1) absence of an approved definition and City policy framework;
 - (2) re Warrant 1 (Petition): process for assessing where traffic calming is most needed;
 - (3) no requirement to satisfy Warrant 2.1 (Pedestrian Safety);
 - (4) increase of toxic emissions — a major health issue;
 - (5) prioritizing staff time and financial resources; and
 - (6) Environmental Assessment Process;
- (viii) (May 29, 2001) from Dr. Sheila Basrur, Medical Officer of Health, advising that Toronto Public Health has not reviewed the impact of traffic calming measures on air pollution, and therefore, she is not in a position to provide detailed comments on this matter at this time, as requested by the East Community Council;
- (ix) (April 18, 2001) from Mr. Emile-J. Therien, President, Canada Safety Council, providing comments with respect to a harmonized traffic calming policy for the City of Toronto; and advising that traffic calming threatens both public safety and traffic safety;
- (x) (May 16, 2001) from Ms. Lois James, Scarborough, Ontario, requesting that Council respond to requests for traffic calming with a harmonized policy that will be fair to all Toronto districts;
- (xi) (June 1, 2001) from Mr. Andrew Mahoney, Bloor West Traffic Association, stating that the proposed Traffic Calming Policy is inequitable to almost all Toronto residents;
- (xii) (June 3, 2001) from Mr. Eugene Kulinec commenting on the proposed Traffic Calming Policy;

- (xiii) (June 5, 2001) from Mr. Thomas J. Timmins, Advocacy for Respect for Cyclists, providing comments on the City's proposed Traffic Calming Policy;
- (xiv) (June 5, 2001) from Ms. Merle Hudson, Runnymede Road Traffic Safety Committee, commenting on the City's proposed Traffic Calming Policy;
- (xv) (June 6, 2001) from the Roncesvalles-Macdonell Residents' Association, providing comments on the City's proposed Traffic Calming Policy; and
- (xvi) (June 6, 2001) from the Lytton Park Residents' Organization Inc. regarding the City's proposed Traffic Calming Policy.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Alan Burke, President, East Beach Community Association;
- Ms. Faye Lyons, Municipal Affairs Specialist, CAA Central Ontario;
- Mr. Alan Whiteley, President, Lytton Park Residents' Organization Inc., and filed a submission with respect thereto;
- Mr. Andrew Mahoney, Bloor West Traffic Association, and filed a submission with respect thereto;
- Mrs. Lois James, Scarborough, Ontario;
- Ms. Rhona Swarbrick, Chair, Toronto Pedestrian Committee;
- Ms. Merle Hudson, Runnymede Road Traffic Safety Committee, and filed a submission with respect thereto;
- Mr. Dalton Shipway, Toronto, Ontario;
- Mr. Thomas J. Timmins, Advocacy for Respect for Cyclists, and filed a submission with respect thereto; and
- Sergeant Brian Keown, Toronto Police Service, Parking Enforcement – Disabled Liaison Unit.

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, June 6, 2001

The following Councillors appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Councillor Joanne Flint, Ward 25 – Don Valley West;
- Councillor Douglas Holyday, Ward 3 – Etobicoke Centre; and
- Councillor Norman Kelly, Ward 40 – Scarborough-Agincourt.

The Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council:
 - (i) the adoption of the aforementioned report dated May 28, 2001, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; **(Motion by Councillor Lindsay Luby)**
 - (ii) that all communications and submissions be referred to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services for consideration in the report to be submitted to the Works Committee at its meeting of September 10, 2001; **(Motion by Councillor Lindsay Luby)**
 - (iii) that the Province of Ontario be requested to grant such legislation as is necessary to enable the City to set speed limits at whatever limits it wishes; **(Motion by Councillor Balkissoon)**
 - (iv) that the Toronto Police Services Board be requested to accelerate the program to adopt the use of unmarked vehicles; **(Motion by Councillor Layton)**
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report back to the Committee on the following:
 - (i) an inventory of the number of speed humps in each of the 44 wards, including those currently being considered; and **(Motion by Councillor Pitfield)**

(ii) the minimum number of, and maximum distance between, speed humps, traffic islands and traffic circles that could be installed to be deemed a traffic calming measure; and
(Motion by Councillor Di Giorgio)

(3) further requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to evaluate and report back to the Committee on traffic calming measures currently in place on Humbercrest Boulevard.
(Motion by Councillor Lindsay Luby)

Part (1) of the following motion by Councillor Balkissoon was **lost**, and Part (2) was **ruled out of order**:

“(1) That the foregoing motion (1) by Councillor Lindsay Luby be amended by amending Recommendation No. (1) of the report to provide that the matter of speed humps and bumps be deferred; and

(2) that there be a moratorium on the installation of any further speed humps and bumps in process, pending the report from the Medical Officer of Health requested by the East Community Council.”

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: General Manager, Transportation Services – June 6, 2001)

(Clause No. 2, Report No. 9)

7.8 Work Best Practices Program – Status Report No. Three.

The Works Committee had before it a report (May 25, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services forwarding the third status report on the Works Best Practices Program, which provides an overview of the program and its progress to date, including benefits achieved and costs incurred from program inception since 1996, and which highlights the major tasks planned for 2001; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Committee also had before it the following communications:

- (i) (June 6, 2001) from Mr. Bill Guthrie, Vice President, Toronto Civic Employees' Union, CUPE Local 416, highlighting difficulties experienced as a result of displacements in the Water and Wastewater Services Division; and requesting that City Council direct management to enter into a joint redeployment program with Local 416 as soon as possible to facilitate the placement of workers displaced by the Works Best Practices Program; and
- (ii) (June 6, 2001) from Ms. Ann Dembinski, President, CUPE Local 79, advising that CUPE Local 79 has serious reservations about the Works Best Practices Program in light of the status report No. 3, and urging the Committee to refer the report back to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services for a comprehensive review of quality services.

Mr. David Kidd, 2nd Vice-President, Toronto Civic Employees' Union – CUPE Local 79, appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Committee deferred consideration of the aforementioned report until its next regular meeting, scheduled to be held on July 4, 2001, with a request that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services provide to the Committee at that time an update with respect to the communications from CUPE Locals 416 and 79.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: President, CUPE Local 416; President, CUPE Local 79; General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services – June 6, 2001)

(Clause No. 20(b), Report No. 9)

DEFERRED

**7.9 General Maintenance of Local Roads –
East, West and Central Areas, District 3,
Contract No. 01D3-107RD, Tender Call No. 117-2001.
(Various Wards)**

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (May 22, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that Contract No. 01D3-107RD, Tender Call No. 117-2001 for the general maintenance of local roads (East, West and Central Areas) in District 3, be awarded to D. Crupi & Sons Limited, in the amount of \$2,588,298.97 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received.

The Committee awarded the contract as recommended in the aforementioned joint report, in accordance with Chapter 195, Purchasing, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; City Solicitor; c: General Manager, Transportation Services; Director, Purchasing and Materials Management - June 6, 2001)

(Clause No. 20(c), Report No. 9)

**7.10 Asphalt Resurfacing on Various Local Roads – District 2,
Contract No. 01D2-107TR, Tender Call No. 98-2001.
(Wards 3, 5 and 6 – Etobicoke-Centre, Etobicoke-Lakeshore)**

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (May 22, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that Contract No. 01D2-107TR, Tender Call No. 98-2001, for asphalt resurfacing on various City of Toronto local roads (Wards 3, 5 and 6) within District 2, be awarded to Gazzola Paving Limited in the amount of \$2,666,967.83 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received.

The Committee awarded the contract as recommended in the aforementioned joint report, in accordance with Chapter 195, Purchasing, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; City Solicitor; c: General Manager, Transportation Services; Director, Purchasing and Materials Management – June 6, 2001)

(Clause No. 20(d), Report No. 9)

**7.11 Local Road Resurfacing on Various
Streets in Area 1 (East) of District 1 –
Contract No. 01D1-100TR, Tender Call No. 129-2001.
(St. Paul's, Don Valley West, Toronto Centre-Rosedale,
Broadview-Greenwood and Beaches-East York)**

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (May 24, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that Contract No. 01D1-100TR, Tender Call No. 129-2001, for local road

resurfacing on various City of Toronto streets in Area 1 (East) of District 1, be awarded to Furfari Paving Co. Ltd., in the amount of \$2,801,293.17 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received.

The Committee awarded the contract as recommended in the aforementioned joint report, in accordance with Chapter 195, Purchasing, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; City Solicitor; c: General Manager, Transportation Services; Director, Purchasing and Materials Management – June 6, 2001)

(Clause No. 20(e), Report No. 9)

7.12 Local Road Resurfacing on Various Streets in Area 2 (West) of District 1 – Contract No. 01D1-101TR, Tender Call No. 130-2001. (York South-Weston, Parkdale-High Park, Eglinton-Lawrence, Davenport, Trinity-Spadina, St. Paul's and Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (May 24, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending recommending that Contract No. 01D1-101TR, Tender Call No. 130-2001 for local road resurfacing on various City of Toronto streets in Area 2 (West) of District 1, be awarded to Il Duca Construction Inc., in the amount of \$2,681,928.25 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received.

The Committee awarded the contract as recommended in the aforementioned joint report, in accordance with Chapter 195, Purchasing, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; City Solicitor; c: General Manager, Transportation Services; Director, Purchasing and Materials Management – June 6, 2001)

(Clause No. 20(f), Report No. 9)

**7.13 Increase in Purchase Order Amount for
Contract No. T-95-99 – Installation and Maintenance of
Traffic Signs on Arterial Roads and Expressways.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (May 15, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) the purchase order issued to Mark-All Services Inc. for Contract No. T-95-99, for the installation and maintenance of traffic signs on arterial roads and expressways for the period of April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2002, be increased from \$1,201,914.95 to \$2,001,914.95; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary actions to give effect thereof.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 5, Report No. 9)

**7.14 Highway 401/Morningside Avenue – New Road and
Modification to Highway 401 Interchange –
Proposed Environmental Assessment Study.
(Ward 42 – Scarborough-Rouge River)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (May 15, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that the General Manager of Transportation Services, in partnership with First Professional Management Inc., be authorized to conduct an Environmental Assessment Study for a proposed new road between Morningside Avenue and Milner Avenue, and associated modifications to the existing Highway 401 interchange at Morningside Avenue, at no cost to the City of Toronto.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 6, Report No. 9)

**7.15 Traffic Operations – Eglinton Avenue East
Between Yonge Street and Brentcliffe Road – Status Report.
(Ward 22 – St. Paul’s, Wards 25 and 26 – Don Valley West)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (May 24, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting potential improvements to traffic operations on Eglinton Avenue East between Yonge Street and Brentcliffe Road, to facilitate smooth and speedier traffic flows, in response to a Motion from Councillor Joanne Flint at the meeting of the Committee on March 28, 2001; outlining future steps involving representatives of the community, and noting that staff will report to the Committee on any recommendations supported by the community which address traffic operations on Eglinton Avenue East and the Leaside communities; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Committee received the aforementioned report for information.

(Clause No. 20(g), Report No. 9)

**7.16 Rehabilitation of North Substation at
Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant –
Contract No. 01FS-31WP, Tender Call No. 17-2001.
(Ward 32 – Beaches-East York)**

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (May 23, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that:

- (1) Contract No. 01FS-31WP, Tender Call No. 17-2001, for the rehabilitation of the North Substation at the Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant, be awarded to the lowest tenderer, Industrial Electrical Contractors Limited, for the total lump sum price of \$8,846,950.00 including all taxes and charges;
- (2) authority be granted to amend the existing engineering services agreement with the consulting firm of MacViro Consultants Inc. for general administration and site services during construction by an additional amount of \$670,261.50 including GST, for a revised not to exceed total of \$1,284,001.50; this amount includes a contingency allowance of \$301,300.00 to cover extended services during construction at a rate not to exceed \$6,550.00 per week including GST for extension of services, if necessary and as authorized by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, all in accordance with the terms of the existing engineering agreement; and

- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 16, Report No. 9)

**7.17 Watermain Replacement in Agincourt
Community South (District 4),
Contract No. 01D4-010WS, Tender Call No. 85-2001.
(Ward 41 – Scarborough-Rouge River)**

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (May 15, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that Contract No. 01D4-010WS, Tender Call No. 85-2001, issued for watermain replacement in Agincourt Community South (District 4), for 2001, be awarded to BFC Utilities in the total amount of \$2,985,975.38 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received.

The Committee awarded the contract as recommended in the aforementioned joint report, in accordance with Chapter 195, Purchasing, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; City Solicitor; c: Executive Director, Technical Services; Director, Purchasing and Materials Management – June 6, 2001)

(Clause No. 20(h), Report No. 9)

**7.18 Rear Yard Drainage – Adair Road
and Furnival Road
(Ward 31 – Beaches-East York)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (May 23, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to construct a gravity drainage system to resolve the flooding at 74 Adair Road and adjacent properties at an estimated cost to the City of \$45,000; and
- (2) authority be granted to enter into the necessary easement and financial agreements subject to terms and conditions satisfactory to the City Solicitor and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services.

On motion by Councillor Li Preti, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 17, Report No. 9)

**7.19 Streetscape Improvements on
Lawrence Avenue East, West of Leslie Street.
(Ward 25 – Don Valley West)**

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (May 22, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting the feasibility of implementing streetscape improvements on Lawrence Avenue East, west of Leslie Street, in conjunction with the reconstruction of the Lawrence Avenue culvert that was damaged during the May 12, 2000 storm, in response to a Motion from Councillor Joanne Flint; advising that due to the fact that the prices were very high and the areas of feasible streetscape improvements were mostly outside the culvert reconstruction contract limits, undertaking this work under the culvert reconstruction contract cannot be justified; further advising that reconstruction of Lawrence Avenue East in the vicinity of the culvert is tentatively planned to be included in the 2002 Capital Works budget submission, and that streetscape improvements will therefore be considered at that time; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Committee received the aforementioned report for information.

(Clause No. 20(i), Report No. 9)

**7.20 Monthly Status Report on District 1
Development Applications.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (May 22, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services providing a monthly status report on the Department's progress relative to the development application backlog, and the volume of development applications received and processed in District 1, as requested by the Committee at its meeting on March 28, 2001; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Committee received the aforementioned report for information.

(Clause No. 20(j), Report No. 9)

**7.21 Old Landfills Program: Harmonized
Policy, Guidelines and Procedures.**

The Works Committee had a report (May 17, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) the Policy, Guidelines and Procedures for addressing risk management of old landfill sites in the City of Toronto, as described in the body of this report, be approved; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 7, Report No. 9)

**7.22 Old Landfills Program:
Site Investigation and Risk Assessment.**

The Works Committee had before it a confidential report (May 18, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting the Old Landfills Program: Site Investigation and Risk Assessment.

The Committee also had before it a confidential communication (June 6, 2001) from Councillor David Soknacki, Ward 43 – Scarborough East, requesting that the Committee adopt the motion to amend the aforementioned report by adding thereto the following recommendation:

“Staff will advise the Ward Councillor of the results of the investigation, remedial recommendations, and public issues at sites in the respective Ward. Notification to the Councillor will take place well in advance of any proposed construction activities.”

Councillor Disero appointed Councillor Pitfield Acting Chair, and vacated the Chair.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned confidential report, subject to adding thereto the recommendation contained in the communication dated June 6, 2001, from Councillor David Soknacki, amended by deleting the word “proposed” and inserting in lieu thereof the word “scheduled” to read as follows:

“Staff will advise the Ward Councillor of the results of the investigation, remedial recommendations, and public issues at sites in the respective Ward. Notification to the Councillor will take place well in advance of any scheduled construction activities.”

(City Council – June 6, 2001)

(Clause No. 8, Report No. 9)

**7.23 Drain Grant Appeal for 24 Concord Avenue.
(Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (May 31, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services advising that an appeal to a denied Drain Grant Claim has been made by the property owner of 24 Concord Avenue, and that the applicant is requesting to be reimbursed \$1,819 for damage and subsequent repair to the private portion of a drain, due to blockage by roots from a tree on City of Toronto property after having received a \$1,000 grant two years previously; and recommending that the maximum grant of \$500 for a partial repair be awarded.

Mr. Denis Jestadt, 24 Concord Avenue, appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Li Preti, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 14, Report No. 9)

**7.24 Drain Grant Appeal for 92 Gillard Avenue.
(Ward 30 – Toronto-Danforth)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (May 31, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services advising that an appeal to a partial drain grant rebate has been made by the property owner of 92 Gillard Avenue, and that the applicant is requesting the maximum of \$1,500 to cover his costs of \$1,979.50 for partial repairs to the exterior portion of his private drain, as well as costs of \$360 for subsequent drain blockage work; and recommending that this appeal be denied as it is ineligible since it relates to interior work and camera investigations.

Mr. Bruce Swaby, 92, Gillard Avenue, appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Li Preti, the Committee deferred consideration of the aforementioned report until its next regular meeting, scheduled to be held on July 4, 2001, with a request that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services meet with the owner of 92 Gillard Avenue to attempt to determine whether there was a complete drain replacement.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Mr. Bruce Swaby;
General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services – June 6, 2001)

(Clause No. 20(k), Report No. 9)

DEFERRED

**7.25 Drain Grant Appeal for 761 Millwood Avenue.
(Ward 26 – Don Valley West)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (May 31, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services advising that an appeal to a denied drain grant application has been made by the property owner of 761 Millwood Avenue, and that the applicant is asking for a rebate to cover her costs to repair drains in the interior of her house; and recommending that this appeal be denied as it is ineligible since it relates to interior work and camera investigations.

Ms. Janis DeGernier, 761 Millwood Avenue, appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Jones, the Committee deferred consideration of the aforementioned report pending submission of the staff report on amending the Drain Grant Policy to include interior work.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Ms. Janis DeGenier;
General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services – June 6, 2001)

(Clause No. 20(1), Report No. 9)

**7.26 City-Wide Service Levels of Sidewalk Snow Clearing,
Leaf Collection and City Cleanliness.
(All Wards)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (May 29, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) the City snow clearing policy related to sidewalks be amended to include the following:
 - (i) sidewalks on arterial roads, collectors and streetcar routes;
 - (ii) seniors and disabled programs;
 - (iii) sidewalks adjacent to City-owned property; and
 - (iv) sidewalks in reverse frontage areas;
- (2) to implement this policy, the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be either:
 - (a) authorized to re-allocate excess existing contracted services to avoid the necessity of tendering additional contracts for seniors/disabled programs and sidewalk snow clearing. This implements the service standard City-wide in the fall of 2001;
 - or
 - (b) authorized to immediately call a two-year contract for the clearing of sidewalks and seniors/disabled programs for District 2. This retains the existing service standards approved by Council at its meeting of April 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 30 and May 1 and 2, 2001, until April 2003; and
- (3) the special mechanical leaf collection provided to selected areas within the City be discontinued and all residents be required to bag leaves from private property and adjacent boulevards.

Works Committee Minutes
Wednesday, June 6, 2001

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Carl Manning, Toronto, Ontario.
- Sgt. Brian Keown, Toronto Police Service, Parking Enforcement – Disabled Liaison Unit.

The Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of Recommendations Nos. (1) and (2)(a) contained in the report dated May 29, 2001, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, respecting the City snow clearing policy; **(Motion by Councillor Pitfield)**
- (2) deferred consideration of Recommendation No. (3) respecting mechanical leaf collection until the next meeting of the Works Committee, scheduled to be held on July 4, 2001; and **(Motion by Councillor Pitfield)**
- (3) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report directly to the Community Councils on the cost to contract out mechanical leaf collection; and **(Motion by Councillor Lindsay Luby)**
- (4) requests the Community Councils to submit their recommendations and comments with respect to Recommendation No. (3) to the Works Committee for its next meeting. **(Motion by Councillor Pitfield)**

The following motion by Councillor Li Preti was **redundant**, and not put to the vote:

“That the Committee defer making a decision on the snow removal until such time as the Community Councils have had the opportunity to consider the matter, and that the report be submitted to Council without recommendation.”

(Community Councils; c: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; General Manager, Transportation Services; Mr. Carl Manning; Sgt. Brian Keown – June 6, 2001)

(Clause No. 1, Report No. 9)

7.27 Construction of Bus Loop at Avenue Road and Bombay Avenue.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (June 1, 2001) from the City Clerk advising that City Council at its meeting held on May 30, 31 and June 1, 2001, directed that Item (e) contained in Clause No. 18 of Report No. 7 of The Works Committee, headed "Construction of Bus Loop at Avenue Road and Bombay Avenue", be referred back to the Works Committee for further consideration and the hearing of depositions at its meeting scheduled to be held on June 6, 2001.

The Committee also had before it the following:

- (i) communication (June 5, 2001) from Mr. Lawrence H. Zucker, Kagan, Zucker, Feldbloom, Shastri, Barristers and Solicitors, requesting that the proposed construction of a bus loop at Avenue Road and Bombay Avenue be deferred to a future meeting; and
- (ii) approximately 75 petition forms signed by area residents, submitted by Mr. and Mrs. Geoff and Colleen Boyce, requesting an immediate halt to the proposed project until all the residents of the neighbourhood have been consulted, and their safety concerns have been met.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Geoff Boyce, resident;
- Mr. Adrian Walker, resident;
- Mr. Kenneth Kraft, resident; and
- Mr. Brian Walker, resident.

On motion by Councillor Balkissoon, on behalf of Councillor Feldman, the Committee recommended to Council that in order to resolve the long-standing operational problem of safely turning TTC buses around at the north end of Avenue Road at Bombay Avenue, Council approve the construction of a bus-turning loop, as described in the Toronto Transit Commission report dated April 11, 2001, conditional on TTC staff consulting with City of Toronto Transportation Services staff and those residents immediately adjacent to the proposed loop, to address, to the greatest extent possible, the alignment of the loop, landscaping and screening issues.

(Clause No. 4, Report No. 9)

**7.28 Work Management System Harmonization
for Water and Wastewater Division.
(All Wards)**

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (May 29, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that:

- (1) the computerized Work Management System currently in use in Etobicoke for Water and Wastewater, plus Solid Waste Management, be the single harmonized system for Water and Wastewater across the City for the non-plant activities;
- (2) funding be reallocated from the WW416 Sewer Replacement Project to the WW912 District Services Improvement Project in the amount of \$433,000 to provide for full cash flow requirements in 2001; and that funding in the amount of \$875,500 be included in the 2002 Water and Wastewater Capital Budget submission;
- (3) the existing contract with Hansen Information Technologies Canada, Inc. be extended, or, if appropriate under the circumstances, a new contract be entered into with Hansen Information Technologies Canada, Inc. for the purposes contemplated in this report, by means of a sole source purchase requisition to provide additional software licensing and multi-year implementation services for the entire Water and Wastewater Division's District Operations at an upset limit of \$1,802,500, net after GST rebate, cash flowed as \$927,000 in 2001 and \$875,500 in 2002 with ongoing approximate net annual external support costs of \$140,000;
- (4) the contract for the provision of additional software licensing and multi-year implementation services by Hansen Information Technologies Canada, Inc. be defined by staff from Works and Emergency Services in consultation with Corporate Information and Technology, Purchasing and Legal Services; and
- (5) the appropriate staff be authorized to implement the above recommendations to give effect thereto.

The Committee recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee the adoption of the aforementioned joint report.

(Policy and Finance Committee; c: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Commissioner of Corporate Services; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services – June 6, 2001)

(Clause No. 20(m), Report No. 9)

**7.29 Assumption of Private Sewer –
Nos. 109-115 Westlake Avenue.
(Ward 26 – East Toronto)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (May 31, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) approval be given to assume the ownership of a 155mm and 225mm private header drain servicing Nos. 109-115 Westlake Avenue, subject to the owners of these properties granting the necessary easements to the City, in accordance with terms and conditions satisfactory to the City Solicitor and Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 18, Report No. 9)

**7.30 Membership of Task Force
to Bring Back the Don.**

The Works Committee had before it a communication (May 30, 2001) from the City Clerk recommending that City Council approve the current membership of the Task Force to Bring Back the Don as listed therein.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the recommendation of the Task Force to Bring Back the Don contained in the aforementioned communication.

(Clause No. 9, Report No. 9)

7.31 Contract for Supply of Sulphur Dioxide.

The Works Committee had before it a report (June 1, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) the contract awarded to Netchem Incorporated for the supply and delivery of Sulphur Dioxide for use in City's water filtration plants be amended to cover a period of twelve months from the date of the amendment; and
- (2) the appropriate officials be authorized to amend the necessary contract documents.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 3, Report No. 9)

7.32 Questionable Automobile Advertising Initiatives.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (May 29, 2001) from the City Clerk advising that the Toronto Pedestrian Committee had before it a report from Mr. Wayne Scott, Toronto Hoof & Cycle Courier Coalition, and a member of the Toronto Pedestrian Committee, regarding questionable automobile advertising initiatives; and recommending that the Works Committee and Council endorse the Toronto Pedestrian Committee's plan to launch an initiative aimed at identifying and eliminating all false, misleading or unsafe images and statements contained in Canadian advertisements promoting the sale and/or use of cars and light trucks, by utilizing maximum public involvement to identify, analyze and report any and all infractions to the appropriately mandated authorities.

Mr. Wayne Scott, Member, Toronto Pedestrian Committee, appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of the recommendation of the Toronto Pedestrian Committee contained in the aforementioned communication; and
- (2) encouraged the Toronto Pedestrian Committee to keep the Works Committee informed of its progress.

(Clause No. 19, Report No. 9)

**7.33 Status Report on Deep Lake
Water Cooling Agreement.**

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Committee requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to submit a status report on the Deep Lake Water Cooling Agreement with Enwave District Energy Ltd. to the next meeting of the Committee, scheduled to be held on July 4, 2001.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services – June 6, 2001)

(Clause No. 20(n), Report No. 9)

7.34 Retirement of Mr. Tom Denes.

Councillor Disero appointed Councillor Pitfield Acting Chair, and vacated the Chair.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Committee unanimously extended its best wishes to Mr. Tom Denes, Executive Director, Technical Services, on the occasion of his retirement from the City of Toronto, and expressed its sincere appreciation for his 29 years of service both with the City of Toronto and as the Commissioner of Works for the former City of Etobicoke.

(Mr. Tom Denes – June 6, 2001)

(Clause No. 20(o), Report No. 9)

Councillor Disero resumed the Chair.

The Works Committee adjourned its meeting at 6:45 p.m.

Chair.