

THE CITY OF TORONTO

Clerk's Division

Minutes of the Planning and Transportation Committee

Meeting No. 7

Monday, June 24, 2002

The Planning and Transportation Committee met on June 24, 2002, in Committee Room No. 2, 2nd Floor, City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:30 a.m.

Councillor	9:30 a.m.	2:00 p.m.
Councillor Gerry Altobello, Chair	X	
Councillor Pam McConnell, Vice-Chair	X	
Councillor Lorenzo Berardinetti	X	
Councillor Betty Disero	X	
Councillor Joanne Flint	X	
Councillor Peter Milczyn	X	
Councillor Howard Moscoe	X	
Councillor Kyle Rae	X	

Declarations of Interest Pursuant to the Municipal Conflict of Interest Act

None declared.

Confirmation of Minutes

On motion by Councillor Flint, the minutes of the meeting of the Planning and Transportation Committee held on May 27, 2002 were confirmed.

7.1 Toronto Transit Commission Request Concerning Section 37 of the Planning Act

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a communication (April 26, 2002) from the City Clerk advising that City Council, at its meeting held on April 16, 17 and 18, 2002, struck out and referred the following Item (e), entitled "Toronto Transit Commission Request Concerning Section 37 of the Planning Act",

Planning and Transportation Committee
Monday, June 24, 2002

embodied in Clause No. 13 of Report No. 4 of the Planning and Transportation Committee, headed "Other Items Considered by the Committee", back to the Planning and Transportation Committee for further consideration and in order to permit the Toronto Transit Commission to make a presentation to the Committee in this regard:

- (e) Toronto Transit Commission Request Concerning Section 37 of the Planning Act

The Planning and Transportation Committee reports having:

- (1) received the report (January 8, 2002) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services for information; and
- (2) forwarded this report to the Toronto Transit Commission for information.

The Committee also had before it a communication (June 21, 2002) from the Chief General Manager, Toronto Transit Commission advising that as a result of a co-operative effort between TTC staff and Toronto Planning staff regarding this matter, the TTC is satisfied that its needs have now been met with respect to Section 37 of the Planning Act.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Planning and Transportation Committee received the communication (April 26, 2002) from the City Clerk.

The following motion placed by Councillor Moscoe was withdrawn:

"That a staff working group be convened to review possible amendments to the Planning Act that would give public transit higher priority in the planning process, and that this group make recommendations to the Planning and Transportation Committee with respect to enhancement of public transit in the planning process."

(Mr. Vincent Rodo, General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission - July 15, 2002)

(Clause No. 8(a), Report No. 9)

7.2 Appointment of Members of Council to the Planning and Transportation Committee

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a communication (May 27, 2002) from the City Clerk advising that City Council, at its meeting held on May 21, 22 and 23, 2002, in adopting, as amended, Clause No. 1 of Report No. 3 of the Striking Committee, entitled "Appointment of Members of Council to Standing and Other Committees of Council, Various Boards, Special Purpose Bodies and Special Positions", appointed the following Members of Council to the Planning and Transportation Committee, for a term of office commencing June 21, 2002 and expiring November 30, 2003, and until their successors are appointed:

(The Mayor is a Member ex-officio)

Councillor Gerry Altobello
Councillor Lorenzo Berardinetti
Councillor Betty Disero
Councillor Joanne Flint
Councillor Pam McConnell
Councillor Peter Milczyn
Councillor Howard Moscoe
Councillor Kyle Rae

Councillor Gerry Altobello was subsequently elected Chair, and Councillor Pam McConnell as Vice-Chair.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Planning and Transportation Committee received the communication (May 27, 2002) from the City Clerk.

(Clause No. 8(b), Report No. 9)

7.3 New Official Plan - Consultation Process for Councillor Ward Meetings

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a revised report (June 5, 2002) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services outlining a community consultation approach and a tool kit to allow Councillors to further consult on the new Official Plan in their wards, proposing that there be Councillor organized meetings, to be convened either on a ward wide basis or jointly with other Councillors, advising an Official Plan Tool Kit will be prepared by staff and distributed to members of Council at the end of June for use at these meetings, staff will also provide assistance for these

meetings as requested and where resources permit, and recommending that Planning and Transportation Committee receive this report for information.

On motion by Councillor Flint, the Planning and Transportation Committee received the revised report (June 5, 2002) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to include in the tool kit being prepared for Members of Council, a 'before and after' list of Secondary Plans, ie: a list of secondary plan areas from the former municipalities' Official Plans which are not included in the draft new Official Plan, and the reasons why they are not included.

(Commissioner, Urban Development Services; cc: All Interested Persons - June 25, 2002)

(Clause No. 8(c), Report No. 9)

7.4 Application to Amend the City of Vaughan Official Plan and Zoning By-law - Minglehaze Investors Inc. - North Side of Steeles Avenue West, between Martin Grove Road and Kipling Avenue

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a report (May 27, 2002) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services advising Toronto Council of the potential impacts of a new medium density residential community located in the City of Vaughan immediately adjacent to the City of Toronto, seeking direction from Toronto Council, prior to forwarding comments to the City of Vaughan, and recommending that the City of Vaughan be notified that the City of Toronto has no objection to the approval of a proposed Long-Term Care facility on the north side of Steeles Avenue West, between Kipling Avenue and Martin Grove Road, provided that:

- (1) it is implemented through a site specific amendment to add the long-term care use to the permitted uses in the current Employment Area designation (not through a re-designation from industrial to residential); and
- (2) the future consideration of the remainder of the application for a new medium density residential community is held in abeyance until the City of Toronto has had the opportunity to review its full implications on the City of Toronto.

The Committee also had before it the following material:

- report (June 19, 2002) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services providing a status update on recent actions taken by the City of Vaughan with

Planning and Transportation Committee
Monday, June 24, 2002

regard to this application, outlining an appropriate course of action to be taken by Toronto Council, and recommending that the Toronto City Solicitor be directed to send a letter to the City of Vaughan Council outlining the City of Toronto's concerns over the possible precedent setting name of the proposed redesignation from "Prestige Area" to "High Density Residential" and its potential impact on the City of Toronto's position regarding the future disposition of the applicant's remaining landholdings for residential uses; and

- communication (June 21, 2002) from Councillor Hall forwarding comments respecting the proposed residential land uses.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Planning and Transportation Committee recommended to City Council for its meeting on July 16, 2002, that:

- (1) the reports (May 27, 2002 and June 19, 2002) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be adopted;
- (2) Councillor Hall, the Ward Councillor, and staff from the Planning Division of Urban Development Services, be requested to meet with the Mayor of Vaughan to discuss this matter; and
- (3) appropriate City staff be authorized to appear before subsequent meetings of Vaughan Council to advance the City of Toronto's position in this regard.

On further motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Planning and Transportation Committee:

- (1) referred the following motion to the City Solicitor with a request that she report directly to City Council for its meeting on July 16, 2002:

“In view of the fact that City of Toronto has planning jurisdiction within 300 metres of Steeles Avenue, it is recommended that the City of Toronto advise the City of Vaughan that this development must be approved by the City of Toronto”; and

- (2) deferred consideration of the following motion to its next meeting on September 9, 2002:

“That appropriate City Staff be directed, in the event that Vaughan Council approves its staff’s recommendations, to appeal this matter to the Ontario Municipal Board.”

(City Solicitor; cc: Commissioner, Urban Development Services - June 25, 2002)

(Clause No. 1, Report No. 9)

7.5 Interim Control By-law Hydro Corridor (City Wide)

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a report (May 29, 2002) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services responding to City Council's request regarding the appropriateness of an Interim Control By-law on the Hydro Corridor lands, and recommending that this report be received for information and forwarded to Policy and Finance Committee for information.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Planning and Transportation Committee deferred the report (May 29, 2002) sine die in order to await further developments in this regard.

(Commissioner, Urban Development Services - June 25, 2002)

(Clause No. 8(d), Report No. 9)

7.6 SCARBOROUGH CENTRE SECONDARY PLAN REVIEW, DIRECTIONS REPORT, SCARBOROUGH CENTRE, Wards 37 and 38

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a report (June 7, 2002) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services presenting the Directions Report for the Scarborough Centre Secondary Plan review, outlining the public consultation strategy, and recommending that the:

- (1) Committee endorse the distribution of the document, entitled "Scarborough Centre - Towards a New Direction", as outlined in this report;
- (2) Committee endorse the public consultation process outlined in this report; and
- (3) City staff be directed to bring forward a Secondary Plan and Zoning By-law for the statutory public meeting in early 2003.

The Planning and Transportation Committee recommended to City Council for its meeting on July 16, 2002, that:

- (1) (on motion by Councillor Berardinetti) the distribution of the document, entitled "Scarborough Centre - Towards a New Direction", as outlined in the report (June 7, 2002) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be endorsed;
- (2) (on motion by Councillor Flint) the public consultation process outlined in the report (June 7, 2002) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be endorsed subject to including therein authority for the Scarborough Community Council to conduct a public meeting on this matter, should it be felt necessary; and
- (3) (on motion by Councillor Berardinetti) City staff be directed to bring forward a Secondary Plan and Zoning By-law for the statutory public meeting in early 2003 with a direction that the boundaries for the Scarborough City Centre Secondary Plan not be set at this time.

(Clause No. 2, Report No. 9)

7.7 Garrison Creek Linkage Project Status Report - The Waterfront to North of St. Clair Avenue West, between Lansdowne Avenue and Spadina Avenue - Wards 17, 18, 19, 20 and 21

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a report (June 5, 2002) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services presenting for Council's information an overview of the Garrison Creek Linkage Project and accomplishments to date, outlining possible future activities, and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Planning and Transportation Committee received the report (June 5, 2002) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

(Clause No. 8(e), Report No. 9)

7.8. Harmonization of the Street Vending By-law

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a report (June 4, 2002) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services identifying key principles which will form the major features of the harmonized Street Vending By-law and a public consultation process to seek feedback on those principles, and recommending that:

- (1) a public consultation meeting be held to consider the following principles, to be embedded in a new Street Vending By-law:
 - (a) street vending only be permitted within the public highway where a qualified applicant has obtained a permit from the City of Toronto; such a permit would allocate a designated vending location to that individual for a defined period of time, subject to the applicant meeting the criteria established in the by-law;
 - (b) the Street Vending By-law establish specific physical criteria for the designation of vending spaces to be allocated, including:
 - (i) sufficient sidewalk/boulevard widths to permit the operation of the vendor without interfering with the public's use of the sidewalk/roadway;
 - (ii) the vendor would only be permitted to sell goods or foods that do not attempt to compete with existing adjacent businesses; businesses arriving after the vending permits had been issued would be entitled to object to the operation; such matters being brought before the local Community Council for disposition;
 - (iii) the vendor location be established on a paved area; should a permit be sought for an area which must be paved or improved in order to accommodate the vendor, the applicant must pay the cost of such improvements before a vending permit will be issued;
 - (iv) should a permit be sought to vend from a vehicle within the public street, and such vehicle be located within a metered or a pay and display parking space, that the applicant would be required to pay the full cost of the metered parking usage on an annual basis, in addition to their annual permit fees;
 - (c) the number of street vending permits issued in relation to designated vending locations in the downtown core (bounded by Bloor Street, Bathurst Street, the lakeshore and Jarvis Street) be limited to existing levels at the existing permitted locations;

Planning and Transportation Committee
Monday, June 24, 2002

- (d) street vending will be authorized by way of a single permit eliminating the current system requiring both a business licence and a separate permit to occupy an area of public highway;
 - (e) individuals must be at least 18 years of age to obtain a street vending permit;
 - (f) only one (1) designated street vending permit or non-specific (location) street vending permit will be issued per individual (with the potential for some exemptions within the period of transition from the current system of permit allocation to the harmonized system);
 - (g) a non-specific (location) street vending permit for mobile vendors that do not require a designated location permit, such as motorized and non-motorized ice-cream vendors;
 - (h) the local Councillor be advised of any new designated street vending permit application in their ward and given an opportunity to comment;
 - (i) all appeals relating to street vending applications, permit revocations or objections to applications which would otherwise meet the criteria of the by-law, be considered by the local Community Council and reported to Council;
 - (j) a refusal of an application based on failure to comply with the aspects of the by-law respecting public safety and physical criteria for compliance (i.e., sidewalk clearance, etc.) not be appealable;
 - (k) the by-law will set fees, including but not limited to, fees for applications, appeals, annual permit fees, and costs related to seizure in connection with illegal vending; and
 - (l) annual permit fees shall approximate fair market value;
- (2) that a method of transitioning from the existing system of permits to the new system be developed with input from the public;
 - (3) that the consultation meeting be advertised in one of the major newspapers and that all existing street vendors holding a permit to vend within the public street allowance be invited to attend; and
 - (4) that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services report back to the Planning and Transportation Committee with the results of the public consultation.

The Committee also had before it a communication (undated) from Phillip Georgalis forwarding comments regarding regulatory practices involving ice cream/popsicle trucks in the City of Toronto.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Planning and Transportation Committee recommended to City Council for its meeting on July 16, 2002 the adoption of the report (June 4, 2002) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, subject to:

- (1) amending Recommendation (1) by adding the following additional principles to be embedded in a new Street Vending By-law:
 - “(m) that the vending system be viewed as seasonal;
 - (n) that vending be regarded as an entry level retail endeavour;
 - (o) that future permits be issued on the basis that no individual shall hold more than one permit;
 - (p) sub-leasing of vending licenses be prohibited and the helper provisions of the by-law reflect this principle;
 - (q) it be an offence under the new by-law to advertise the sale or lease of a vending location; and
 - (r) in designing the system, staff build in a mechanism to allow for easy enforcement”;
- (2) (on motion by Councillor Rae), amending Recommendation 1(b)(ii) to include Business Improvement Areas in the objection process;
- (3) (on motion by Councillor McConnell) adding the following additional Recommendation (5):
 - “(5) that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, when developing the draft by-law, address the following issues and report thereon to the Planning and Transportation Committee:
 - (a) a renewal policy for permits;

- (b) whether Council should limit the number of non-specific (location) street vending permits at the outset;
- (c) how will vending permits be revoked?
- (d) how will residents complaints be dealt with? Presently adjacent business complaints are included, but not residents complaints;
- (e) how will permits be distributed? By auction; lottery?;
- (f) inclusion of property owners and property managers in the downtown core in the consultation process; and
- (g) (on motion by Councillor Milczyn) time limits for site specific permits”;

so that these recommendations now read:

- “(1) a public consultation meeting be held to consider the following principles, to be embedded in a new Street Vending By-law:
 - (a) street vending only be permitted within the public highway where a qualified applicant has obtained a permit from the City of Toronto; such a permit would allocate a designated vending location to that individual for a defined period of time, subject to the applicant meeting the criteria established in the by-law;
 - (b) the Street Vending By-law establish specific physical criteria for the designation of vending spaces to be allocated, including:
 - (i) sufficient sidewalk/boulevard widths to permit the operation of the vendor without interfering with the public’s use of the sidewalk/roadway;

- (ii) the vendor would only be permitted to sell goods or foods that do not attempt to compete with existing adjacent businesses; businesses arriving after the vending permits had been issued and Business Improvement Areas would be entitled to object to the operation; such matters being brought before the local Community Council for disposition;
- (iii) the vendor location be established on a paved area; should a permit be sought for an area which must be paved or improved in order to accommodate the vendor, the applicant must pay the cost of such improvements before a vending permit will be issued;
- (iv) should a permit be sought to vend from a vehicle within the public street, and such vehicle be located within a metered or a pay and display parking space, that the applicant would be required to pay the full cost of the metered parking usage on an annual basis, in addition to their annual permit fees;
- (c) the number of street vending permits issued in relation to designated vending locations in the downtown core (bounded by Bloor Street, Bathurst Street, the lakeshore and Jarvis Street) be limited to existing levels at the existing permitted locations;
- (d) street vending will be authorized by way of a single permit eliminating the current system requiring both a business licence and a separate permit to occupy an area of public highway;
- (e) individuals must be at least 18 years of age to obtain a street vending permit;

- (f) only one (1) designated street vending permit or non-specific (location) street vending permit will be issued per individual (with the potential for some exemptions within the period of transition from the current system of permit allocation to the harmonized system);
- (g) a non-specific (location) street vending permit for mobile vendors that do not require a designated location permit, such as motorized and non-motorized ice-cream vendors;
- (h) the local Councillor be advised of any new designated street vending permit application in their ward and given an opportunity to comment;
- (i) all appeals relating to street vending applications, permit revocations or objections to applications which would otherwise meet the criteria of the by-law, be considered by the local Community Council and reported to Council;
- (j) a refusal of an application based on failure to comply with the aspects of the by-law respecting public safety and physical criteria for compliance (i.e., sidewalk clearance, etc.) not be appealable;
- (k) the by-law will set fees, including but not limited to, fees for applications, appeals, annual permit fees, and costs related to seizure in connection with illegal vending; and
- (l) annual permit fees shall approximate fair market value;
- (m) that the vending system be viewed as seasonal;
- (n) that vending be regarded as an entry level retail endeavour;

- (o) that future permits be issued on the basis that no individual shall hold more than one permit;
 - (p) sub-leasing of vending licenses be prohibited and the helper provisions of the by-law reflect this principle;
 - (q) it be an offence under the new by-law to advertise the sale or lease of a vending location; and
 - (r) in designing the system, staff build in a mechanism to allow for easy enforcement;
- (2) that a method of transitioning from the existing system of permits to the new system be developed with input from the public;
 - (3) that the consultation meeting be advertised in one of the major newspapers and that all existing street vendors holding a permit to vend within the public street allowance be invited to attend;
 - (4) that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services report back to the Planning and Transportation Committee with the results of the public consultation; and
 - (5) that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, when developing the draft by-law, address the following issues, and report thereon to the Planning and Transportation Committee:
 - (a) a renewal policy for permits;
 - (b) whether Council should limit the number of non-specific (location) street vending permits at the outset;
 - (c) how will vending permits be revoked?

- (d) how will residents complaints be dealt with? Presently adjacent business complaints are included, but not residents complaints;
- (e) how will permits be distributed? By auction; lottery?;
- (f) inclusion of property owners and property managers in the downtown core in the consultation process; and
- (g) time limits for site specific permits.

(Clause No. 3, Report No. 9)

7.9 Consultation Report - Human Rights and Public Transit Services in Ontario

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a communication (May 22, 2002) from the City Clerk, Disability Issues Committee advising that the Disability Issues Committee, at its meeting on May 14, 2002, recommended the adoption of the following motion by Councillor Mihevc:

“Whereas the Council for the City of Toronto is committed to make Toronto a truly barrier-free City by 2008; and

Whereas for persons with disabilities, older persons and families with young children, difficulties in accessing public transit are a daily reality; and

Whereas equal access by persons with disabilities, older persons, and families with young children to adequate, dignified public transit services is a legislated right protected under the Ontario Human Rights Code; and

Whereas the TTC has made significant improvements in recent years to improve accessibility, progress in some areas to address this basic human right remains slow; and

Whereas the Ontario Human Rights Commission has produced a “Discussion Paper on Accessible Transit Services in Ontario” and has recently published a “Consultation Report on Human Rights and Public Transit Services in Ontario;

Now Therefore Be It Resolved That given these new legislative requirements, these reports be referred to TTC staff for review and report back at the next

meeting of ACAT and the Toronto Transit Commission with a strategy, including costs and timelines, that will ensure full integration and accessibility of the TTC by the year 2008; and

And Be It Further Resolved That the Ontario Human Rights Commission be commended for its work; and that Toronto City Council urge the Ontario Ministry of Transportation to provide new funding for accessible transit services.”

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Planning and Transportation Committee referred the motion contained in the communication (May 22, 2002) from the City Clerk, Disability Issues Committee and the appended reports to TTC staff for review and report back to the next meeting of TTC's Advisory Committee on Accessible Transportation and the Toronto Transit Commission with a strategy, including costs and timelines, that will ensure full integration and accessibility of the TTC by the year 2008.

(Mr. Richard Ducharme, Chief General Manager, Toronto Transit Commission; cc: City Clerk, Disability Issues Committee, All Interested Persons - June 25, 2002)

(Clause No. 8(f), Report No. 9)

7.10 Consolidation of Regulations concerning Off-Street Municipal Parking Facilities and Amendment to By-law respecting Parking on Private Property"

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a communication (May 30, 2002) from the City Clerk advising that City Council, at its meeting held on May 21, 22 and 23, 2002, in adopting, as amended, Clause No. 3 of Report No. 5 of the Administration Committee, headed "Consolidation of Regulations Concerning Off-Street Municipal Parking Facilities and Amendment to By-law Respecting Parking on Private Property", directed that the report dated May 7, 2002 from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer contained in the Clause, be referred to the Planning and Transportation Committee for a review of all set fines for parking in order to adjust them to offset any revenue losses anticipated from the amendments to the Parking on Private Property By-laws.

The Committee also had before it a report (June 19, 2002) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer responding to Council's directive for a review of all set fines for parking in order to adjust them to offset any revenue losses anticipated from Council's recent decision to reduce parking fines for private property and municipal property parking offences from \$60 Set Fine Amount / \$40 Voluntary Payment to \$30 Set Fine Amount / \$20 Voluntary Payment, and recommending that:

- (1) the fine structure for on-street "Meter" and "Pay and Display" type parking offences, be increased from \$15/420 (Voluntary Payment/Set Fine Amount) to \$20/\$30 (Voluntary Payment/Set Fine Amount);
- (2) the City Solicitor be directed to apply to the Senior Regional Justice of the Ontario Court of Justice for a Set Fine of \$30.00 with a Voluntary Payment of \$20.00 for on-street "Meter" and "Pay and Display" type parking offences; and
- (3) given the financial implications associated with the alteration of parking fines, this matter be referred to the Policy and Finance Committee for its review and consideration.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Planning and Transportation Committee recommended the adoption of the report (June 19, 2002) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, and in accordance with Recommendation (3) therein, forwarded this report to the Policy and Finance Committee for its review and consideration.

(Policy and Finance Committee; cc: Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer - June 25, 2002)

(Clause No. 8(g), Report No. 9)

7.11 Review of Sub-Committees, Advisory Committees, Special Committees and Task Forces established by Standing Committees and Council since December, 2000

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a communication (May 31, 2002) from the City Clerk providing a list to each Standing Committee, for its review and recommendations, of sub-committees, advisory committees and task forces established by City Council since December 2000, and recommending that:

- (1) the Planning and Transportation Committee review the attached Appendices I and II, and:
 - (a) recommend to City Council which advisory committees, special committees and task forces reporting to Planning and Transportation Committee, which are not sub-committees, be continued and which disbanded;

Planning and Transportation Committee
Monday, June 24, 2002

- (b) recommend to City Council any necessary completions and amendments to the information required under Council Procedures, Section 108, for those advisory bodies recommended to be continued;
 - (c) recommend to City Council that the City Clerk be requested to canvas all Members of Council for their interest in being appointed to those advisory bodies re-established, and submit the list of interested Members to the Striking Committee to recommend the appointments to City Council, in accordance with the provisions of Council Procedures;
 - (d) decide which Sub-Committees of the Planning and Transportation Committee are to be continued and which disbanded;
 - (e) for those Sub-Committees continued, complete and amend if necessary any information required under Council Procedures, Section 108;
 - (f) appoint the membership of those Sub-Committees to be continued; and
- (2) the Planning and Transportation Committee recommend to Council the appointment of a Member of the Planning and Transportation Committee to the Sustainability Roundtable for a term of office expiring November 30, 2003, and until his or her successor is appointed, subject to this body being continued by Council.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Planning and Transportation Committee recommended to City Council for its meeting on July 16, 2002, that:

- (1) the following advisory committees, special committee and task forces reporting to the Planning and Transportation be continued:

Parc Downsview Park Operating Protocol Committee
Task Force on the Gardiner/Lakeshore Corridor
Taxicab Advisory Committee
Toronto Cycling Committee

and that the City Clerk be requested to canvass all Members of Council for their interest in being appointed to those advisory bodies, with the exception of the Parc Downsview Park Operating Protocol Committee whose membership was appointed by City Council at its meeting on June 18, 19 and 20, 2002 by the adoption of Notice of Motion F(1) and submit the list of interested Members to

Planning and Transportation Committee
Monday, June 24, 2002

the Striking Committee to recommend the appointments to City Council, in accordance with the provisions of the Council Procedures;

- (2) the following committees be disbanded:

Front Street Advisory Committee
Oak Ridges Moraine Steering Committee
Safe Dance Advisory Sub-Committee

- (3) that Councillor Altobello be appointed as the representative of the Planning and Transportation Committee to the Sustainability Roundtable for a term of office expiring November 30, 2003 and until his successor is appointed, subject to this body being continued by Council.

The Planning and Transportation Committee also:

- (1) disbanded the Waterfront Issues Sub-Committee.
- (2) (on motion by Councillor Moscoe) continued the Licensing Sub-Committee and re-appointed the current membership consisting of Councillors Moscoe, Balkissoon, McConnell, Milczyn, and Minnan-Wong, for a term of office to expire November 30, 2003 with the exception of Councillor Disero who requested to be replaced and, in this regard, requested the Committee Administrator to poll members of the Planning and Transportation Committee to determine a replacement for Councillor Disero and report back to the Committee for its next meeting on the outcome of this poll;
- (3) (on motion by Councillor McConnell) referred the matter of duplication of speakers at both the Licensing Sub-Committee and the Planning and Transportation Committee, to the City Clerk with a request that she report back to the Planning and Transportation Committee with comments on how this duplication can be avoided;
- (4) with Councillor McConnell in the Chair, (on motion by Councillor Altobello) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to review the current Terms of Reference of the Licensing Sub-Committee and the Taxicab Advisory Committee and report thereon to the Planning and Transportation Committee; and

- (5) (on motion by Councillor Disero) deferred consideration of the continuation of the Steeles Avenue Sub-Committee to its next meeting on September 9, 2002, and requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to that meeting on outstanding issues with regard to this sub-committee, and advise whether the sub-committee should be continued and if so whether the Planning and Transportation is the appropriate Committee to which it reports;

(City Clerk; Commissioner, Urban Development Services; Commissioner, Works and Emergency Services; cc: Licensing Sub-Committee, Taxicab Advisory Committee - June 25, 2002)

(Clause No. 4, Report No. 9)

7.12 Membership of the Working Group on Intergovernmental Co-Operation of Property Maintenance and Fencing of Railway and Hydro Lands

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a communication (June 10, 2002) from the City Clerk, Planning and Transportation Committee advising that City Council, at its meeting held on April 16, 17 and 18, 2002, in adopting, as amended, Clause No. 9 of Report No. 4 of the Planning and Transportation Committee endorsed the establishment of a Working Group on Intergovernmental Co-operation of Property Maintenance and Fencing of Railway and Hydro Lands to include at least one member of the Planning and Transportation Committee, and recommending that the Planning and Transportation Committee note its representation on the Working Group on Intergovernmental Co-operation of Property Maintenance and Fencing of Railway and Hydro Lands and receive this communication for information.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Planning and Transportation Committee received the communication (June 10, 2002) from the City Clerk, Planning and Transportation Committee for information subject to deleting Councillor Moscoe's name from the list of representatives.

(City Clerk; Commissioner, Urban Development Services; cc: Commissioner, Works and Emergency Services; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; Nominations and Appointments Administrator - July 15, 2002)

(Clause No. 8(h), Report No. 9)

7.13 Development of New City-wide Sign By-law - A-Frame Signs and Mobile Signs

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a report (June 3, 2002) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services providing information on the current regulations applicable to A-frame signs and mobile signs for the area of Yonge Street and intersecting streets, proposing a workplan for the development of a harmonized sign by-law with respect to A-frame signs and mobile signs, and recommending that Council endorse the workplan for development of a harmonized sign by-law with respect to A-frame signs and mobile signs.

The Committee also had before it a communication (undated) from Randy Firth, Manager, Communication/Community Development, CNIB, forwarding comments respecting the development of new City-wide sign by-law - A-frame signs and mobile signs.

Randy Firth, Manager, Communication and Community Development, CNIB appeared before the Planning and Transportation Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

The Planning and Transportation Committee recommended to City Council for its meeting on July 16, 2002, that:

- (1) (on motion by Councillor Moscoe) the report (June 3, 2002) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that Council endorse the workplan for the development of a harmonized sign by-law with respect to A-frame signs and mobile signs, be adopted;
- (2) in the interim, the by-laws within the former municipalities of Metropolitan Toronto and North York with respect to A-frame signs and mobile signs, be enforced;
- (3) (on motion by Councillor McConnell) the presentation material submitted by the Canadian National Institute for the Blind be forwarded to the:
 - (a) Commissioner of Urban Development Services for consideration during the preparation of the harmonized by-law; and
 - (b) Disability Issues Committee for its information and comment to the Commissioner of Urban Development Services together with the report

(June 3, 2002) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

The following motion placed by Councillor Moscoe was withdrawn:

“In the interim, the by-law be amended by:

- (1) not permitting mobile signs in residential areas;
- (2) not permitting signs to be located within 100 metres from another sign;
- (3) directing that applications for signs be allocated on a first come first serve basis; and
- (4) directing that a higher permit fee be established for mobile signs.”

(Clause No. 5, Report No. 9)

7.14 Potential Sale of Hydro One - Legal Considerations and Bill 58 - The Reliable Energy Consumer Protection Act 2002 - Implications for Hydro Corridors

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a communication (June 6, 2002) from the City Clerk, Policy and Finance Committee advising that the Policy and Finance Committee, at its meeting held on June 6, 2002, gave consideration to the following two reports identified as (i) and (ii), and received these reports and forwarded a copy to the Planning and Transportation Committee for information:

- (May 22, 2002) from the Chief Administrative Officer and City Solicitor respecting the Potential Sale of Hydro One - Legal Considerations which responds to City Council's requests regarding protection of hydro corridor lands for public uses, and recommends that this report be received for information and forwarded to the next meeting of the Planning and Transportation Committee; and
- (June 4, 2002) from the Chief Administrative Officer respecting Bill 58 - The Reliable Energy and Consumer Protection Act 2002 - Implications for Hydro Corridors which accompanies the previous report (May 22, 2002) from the Chief Administrative Officer and City Solicitor and provides Council with information regarding legislation introduced on May 29, 2002 that addresses future ownership of hydro corridors, and recommends that this report be received for information

and forwarded to the next meeting of the Planning and Transportation Committee for information.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Planning and Transportation Committee received the communication (June 6, 2002) from the City Clerk, Policy and Finance Committee for information.

(Clause No. 8(i), Report No. 9)

7.15 Clothing Drop Boxes

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to the following Motion (undated) from Councillor Moscoe:

"Whereas charitable organizations invite people to donate used clothing to be sold to raise funds for charitable purposes; and

Whereas clothing drop boxes are commonly located on shopping plaza lots with permission of the owner; and

Whereas drop boxes, masquerading as charitable, but which are entirely private business ventures, have been located on plaza lots or city property throughout the city without authorization; and

Whereas these boxes take advantage of the good nature of people by displaying messages that imply or indicate that the clothing will be used for charitable purposes when, in fact, they are fraudulent; and

Whereas these boxes rip off not only contributors but also the legitimate charities which use drop boxes by drawing away used clothing that would otherwise go to them; and

Whereas most of these boxes are located on or near the property line so that the plaza owner or tenant businesses assume that they are on city property; and

Whereas most are located without authorization;

Therefore Be It Resolved that the City license clothing drop boxes; and

Be It Further Resolved that licenses be for a nominal sum; and

Be It Further Resolved that in order to receive a license sticker the applicant must:

Planning and Transportation Committee
Monday, June 24, 2002

- (1) provide proof of charitable status;
- (2) prove that they have permission from the land owner to locate the box on the property;
- (3) agree to keep the area around the box free of litter;
- (4) agree to remove graffiti from the box; and
- (5) agree to keep the box in good repair; and

Be It Further Resolved that the matter be referred to staff for a report to the Licensing Sub-Committee of the Planning and Transportation Committee."

The Planning and Transportation Committee recommended to City Council for its meeting on July 16, 2002, that:

- (1) (on motion by Councillor Flint) the motion (undated) from Councillor Moscoe be adopted subject to indicating that the licensing of these boxes be the preferred option, and that the motion, as amended, be forwarded to the Commissioner of Urban Development Services with a request that she report thereon to the Licensing Sub-Committee;
- (2) (on motion by Councillor Disero) in the meantime, the Toronto Police be requested to work together with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to enforce regulations respecting any and all non-charitable drop-off boxes;
- (3) (on motion by Councillor Disero) that the City Solicitor, in consultation with the Toronto Police, the Attorney General's Office and charitable organizations, report to the Licensing Sub-Committee on the feasibility of identifying or licensing charitable drop-off boxes.
- (4) (on motion by Councillor Milczyn) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on:
 - (a) a City-wide zoning by-law that would regulate the size, height, location and size of signage on clothing drop-off boxes; and

- (b) a policy for the removal of clothing drop-off boxes on public property.

(Clause No. 6, Report No. 9)

7.16 Front Street Extension Project - Authority to Execute the Front Street Extension and Interchange Delivery Agreement - Trinity-Spadina, Parkdale-High Park

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a joint report (June 18, 2002) from the Commissioner, Works and Emergency Services and Commissioner, Urban Development Services requesting authority to report directly to Council on the Front Street Extension and Interchange Delivery Agreement with the Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Corporation, and recommending that authority be given to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report directly to the July 16, 2002 Council meeting on the Front Street Extension and Interchange Delivery Agreement.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Planning and Transportation Committee recommended to City Council for its meeting to be held on July 16, 2002, the adoption of the joint report (June 18, 2002) from the Commissioners of Works and Emergency Services and of Urban Development Services to grant authority to the Commissioners of Works and Emergency Services and Urban Development Services to report directly to the July 16, 2002 meeting of City Council on the Front Street Extension and Interchange Delivery Agreement.

(Commissioner, Urban Development Services; Commissioner, Works and Emergency Services - June 25, 2002)

(Clause No. 7, Report No. 9)

7.17 Leslie Sheppard Gateway Project

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a report (June 19, 2002) from the City Solicitor addressing a request made by the Planning and Transportation Committee and recommending that the Planning and Transportation Committee direct the City Solicitor to submit her final report on this matter directly to City Council for its meeting of July 16, 2002.

Planning and Transportation Committee
Monday, June 24, 2002

The Committee also had before it a communication (June 20, 2002) from the City Clerk advising that City Council, at its meeting held on June 18, 19 and 20, 2002, struck out and referred Clause No. 2 contained in Report No. 8 of The Planning and Transportation Committee, headed "Leslie/Sheppard Gateway Project, West Corner of Sheppard Avenue East and Leslie Street", back to the Planning and Transportation Committee for further consideration.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Planning and Transportation Committee deferred consideration of the report (June 19, 2002) from the City Solicitor and Clause No. 2 of Report No. 8 of the Planning and Transportation Committee which was referred by Council to the Planning and Transportation Committee for further consideration, to its next meeting on September 9, 2002.

(City Solicitor - June 25, 2002)

(Clause No. 8(j), Report No. 9)

The meeting adjourned at 12:00 p.m.

Chair