

THE CITY OF TORONTO

Clerk's Department

Minutes of the Toronto East York Community Council

Meeting No. 1

Tuesday, January 29, 2002

The Toronto East York Community Council met on Tuesday, January 29, 2002, in Committee Room No. 1, 2nd Floor, City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:30 a.m.

No interests were declared pursuant to the Municipal Conflict of Interest Act.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council confirmed the Minutes of its Meeting held on October 23, 2001.

1.1 Victoria Memorial Square (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a communication (January 10, 2002) from Councillor Chow.

The Toronto East York Community Council heard a presentation by the Wellington Place Neighbourhood Association to discuss their plans to improve Victoria Memorial Square.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council:

- (1) received a presentation from the Wellington Place Neighbourhood Association and endorsed its efforts in the improvement of Victoria Memorial Square;
- (2) requested the Commissioners of Urban Development Services and Economic Development, Culture and Tourism to work with the Wellington Place Neighbourhood Association to develop a plan which will restore Victoria Memorial Square, including the possibility of a Community Improvement Plan; and
- (3) requested the Film Liaison Committee to work with the Wellington Place Neighbourhood Association to examine possible sources of funds.

(Letter sent to: R. Scott James, Wellington Place Neighbourhood Association, 20 Niagara Street, Suite #402, Toronto M5V 3L8; c: Commissioner of urban Development

Services; Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; Film Liaison Committee – February 6, 2002)

(Report No. 1, Clause 64(a))

1.2 Draft By-Law - Stop Up and Close a Portion of the Public Lane North of King Street West Extending Easterly from Widmer Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a draft by-law from the City Solicitor respecting Stop Up and Close a Portion of the Public Lane North of King Street West Extending Easterly from Widmer Street.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it Clause 1 of the Toronto East York Community Council Report No.16, titled “Zoning By-law Amendment – 326 to 358 King Street West (Downtown)”, which was adopted by City Council its meetings held on October 3, 4, 5, 10, 11, and 12, 2000.

Pursuant to the Municipal Act, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on January 2, 9, 16 and 23, 2002, and Lucy Cameron, McCarthy Tetrault LLP addressed the Toronto East York Community Council in favour of the enactment of the proposed by-law.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report No. 1, Clause 2)

1.3 Draft By-Law – Stop Up and Close Two 0.5 Metre Wide Portions of the Public Lane System in the Block Bounded by Gerrard Street East, Pembroke Street, Dundas Street East and George Street (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a draft by-law from the City Solicitor respecting Stop Up and Close Two 0.5 Metre Wide Portions of the Public Lane System in the Block Bounded by Gerrard Street East, Pembroke Street, Dundas Street East and George Street

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it Clause 21 of the Toronto East York Community Council Report No.6, titled “Proposed Closing to Vehicular and Pedestrian Traffic – Gerrard Street East, Pembroke Street, Dundas Street East and George Street (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27); which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on July 24, 25 and 26, 2001.

Pursuant to the Municipal Act, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on January 2, 9, 16 and 23, 2002, and Lorie Milone, Garden District Residents Association addressed the Toronto East York Community Council in favour of the enactment of the proposed by-law.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report No. 1, Clause 3)

1.4 Draft By-Law - Stop Up and Close a Portion of the Public Lane Extending Easterly from Brant Street, South of Adelaide Street West, Abutting Premises Nos. 19 and 23 Brant Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a draft by-law from the City Solicitor respecting Stop Up and Close a Portion of the Public Lane Extending Easterly from Brant Street, South of Adelaide Street West, Abutting Premises Nos. 19 and 23 Brant Street.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it Clause 46 of the Toronto East York Community Council Report No.8, titled "Surplus Land Declaration and Proposed Closing and Conveyancing of Portion of Public Lane – extending easterly from Brant Street, south of Adelaide Street West, abutting Premises Nos. 19 and 23 Brant Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20), which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on November 6, 7 and 8, 2001.

Pursuant to the Municipal Act, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on January 2, 9, 16 and 23, 2002, and Kim Kovar, Aird and Berlis addressed the Toronto East York Community Council in favour of the enactment of the proposed by-law.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report No. 1, Clause 4)

1.5 Public Meeting Pursuant to the Planning Act respecting Request to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law of the Former City of Toronto – 96 St. Patrick Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a final report (January 11, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, reviewing and

recommending approval of an application to amend the Official Plan and the Zoning By-law for a 17-storey condominium building at 96 St. Patrick Street.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (January 15, 2002) from Terence J. Tait, Barrister & Solicitor on behalf of Teresa Tait, objecting to the proposal;
- (January 8, 2002) from Margaret Stecker, objecting to the proposal;
- (January 7, 2002) from Elaine Morrison, objecting to the proposal; and
- (January 29, 2002) from Ceta Ramkhalawansingh, objecting to the proposal.

The Toronto East York Community Council held a statutory public meeting on January 29, 2002 and notice was given in accordance with the Planning Act.

Max Allen appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in general support of the proposed by-law, but expressed concern respecting the mandatory requirements of the live-work units.

The Toronto East York Community Council:

On motion by Councillor Chow:

- (1) recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (January 11, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District; and

On motion by Councillor Layton:

- (2) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with the City Solicitor, to report directly to Council, on amending the proposed by-law to permit also purely commercial uses for the four proposed live-work units.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; c: Director, Community Planning, South District; Helen Coombs, Planner, West Section; City Solicitor – February 4, 2002)

(Report No. 1, Clause 5)

1.6 Request to Convert 20 Carlton Street and 25 Wood Street to Condominium
(Toronto Centre – Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 14, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting a request to convert 20 Carlton Street and 25 Wood Street to Condominium.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (January 17, 2002) from Ryan Margau and Madlen Ginzburg;
- (January 27, 2002) from Davilon Wallace;
- (January 8, 2002) from Jason Fleming; and
- (January 29, 2002) from Brad Butt, Executive Director, Greater Toronto Apartment Association

The following persons appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Brad Butt, Executive Director, Greater Toronto Apartment Association; and
- Kim Kovar, Aird & Berlis, representing the applicant.

On motion by Councillor Rae, with Councillor Ootes in the Chair, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that City Council:

- (1) refuse Draft Plan of Condominium Application No. 401039 (20 Carlton Street and 25 Wood Street);
- (2) direct the appropriate City staff to appear before the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB) to oppose any appeals that may be filed in respect of the above-noted application;
- (3) authorize the City Solicitor, in consultation with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, to retain any necessary consultants to defend Council's position before the OMB in respect of any appeals related to the above-noted application; and
- (4) authorize and direct the appropriate City officials to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The above recommendations carried unanimously as follows:

Yeas – Councillors Rae, Bussin, Layton, McConnell, Ootes, Pantalone and Tziretas - 7

(Report No. 1, Clause 6)

1.7 CANCELLED

1.8 Public Meeting Pursuant to the Planning Act respecting Request to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law – 73 Richmond Street West and 66 Temperance Street (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a final report (January 11, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, reviewing and recommending approval of an application to amend the Official Plan and the Zoning By-law for the preservation of the historic Graphic Arts Building at 73 Richmond Street West and construction of a 55-storey residential building at 66 Temperance Street.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following supplementary report/communications:

- (December 12, 2001) from Mr. John Fischer, in support of the application;
- supplementary report (January 21, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, proposing amendments to the parking requirements;
- (January 25, 2002) from Rick F. Coburn, Borden Ladner Gervais, representing Bell Canada, expressing concerns respecting parking, traffic impact, height and density and loading/unloading; and
- (January 28, 2002) from Nicholas Holman, in support of the application

The Toronto East York Community Council held a statutory public meeting on January 29, 2002 and notice was given in accordance with the Planning Act.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in support of the foregoing matter:

- Marilyn Bergman, Solicitor, on behalf of the applicant; and
- Nicholas Holman, on behalf of the applicant.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council:

Toronto East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, January 29, 2002

- (1) recommended the adoption of the report (January 11, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, as amended by her supplementary report dated January 21, 2002; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, to report to the Toronto East York Community Council on the preferred resolution of any potential long term traffic conflicts on Temperance Street between the new building at 66 Temperance Street and Bell Canada's facility on Adelaide Street and the best method of accommodating the construction staging needs of the development.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Rollin Stanley, Planner, West Section; Director, Community Planning, South District – February 4, 2002)

(Report No. 1, Clause 8)

1.9 Public Meeting Pursuant to the Planning Act respecting Request to Amend the Official Plan and for Draft Plan of Condominium – 30 Gloucester Street (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a final report (January 9, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, reviewing and recommending approval of an application for an Official Plan Amendment to permit conversion of the apartment building at 30 Gloucester Street to condominium, and recommends approval of a Draft Plan of Condominium for the property, subject to a number of conditions.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (January 17, 2002) from Marilyn Healy, Victor Klaas and Richard Mallette, in support of the application.

The Toronto East York Community Council held a statutory public meeting on January 29, 2002 and notice was given in accordance with the Planning Act.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- John S. Wood; who expressed concern on the proposal on his tenants rights;
- Nathan Chang; in support of the proposal; and
- Carol Lamb, in support of the proposal.

On motion by Councillor Rae, with Councillor Ootes in the Chair, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the report (January 9, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District.

(Report No. 1, Clause 9)

1.10 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Angled Commercial Boulevard Parking on the Manning Avenue Flank of 566 College Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 1, 2001) from the Manager, Right Of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Angled Commercial Boulevard Parking on the Manning Avenue Flank of 566 College Street.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that City Council approve the request for angled commercial boulevard parking on the Manning Avenue flank of 566 College Street, as shown on revised Appendix 'A', of the report (November 1, 2001) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, notwithstanding that the 0.91 m setback cannot be provided, and subject to:

- (a) the City sidewalk not being encumbered at any time and the pedestrian traffic on the sidewalk being maintained at all times;
- (b) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; and
- (c) Forestry staff expeditiously planting a tree in the tree planter area set out in revised Appendix 'A' of the report (November 1, 2001) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1.

(Report No. 1, Clause 10)

1.11 34 Hazelton Avenue (St. Basil's School) – Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (December 14, 2001) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting 34

Hazelton Avenue (St. Basil's School) – Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council include the property at 34 Hazelton Avenue (St. Basil's School) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect hereto.

On motion by Councillor Rae, with Councillor Ootes in the Chair, the Toronto East York Community Council recommends the adoption of the report (December 14, 2001) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

(Report No. 1, Clause 11)

1.12 Application for a Boulevard Café – Claremont Street Flank - 732 Queen Street West (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (October 1, 2001) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, respecting an application for a boulevard café – Claremont Street Flank – 732 Queen Street West.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that City Council approve the application for a boulevard café on the Claremont Street flankage of 732 Queen Street West, in accordance with the positive results of the public poll, subject to:

- (1) the applicant complying with the criteria set out in § 313-36 of Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; and
- (2) the landscaping plan, which includes Silver and Japanese Maples, being fully implemented at the applicant's expense, prior to the operation of the boulevard café.

On further motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council also directed that Forestry Staff recommendations be included in any report submitted to Community Council on boulevard café applications, when tree planting and/or landscaping can be accommodated, at the applicant's expense.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; c: District Manager, South District, Municipal Licensing and Standards – February 4, 2002)

(Report No. 1, Clause 12)

1.13 141 Rosedale Heights Drive – Removal of Two Private Trees (Toronto Centre – Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (December 17, 2001) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting 141 Rosedale Heights Drive – Removal of Two Private Trees, and recommending that:

- (1) Toronto East York Community Council deny the request for the removal of two privately owned trees at 141 Rosedale Heights Drive; or
- (2) Toronto East York Community Council approve the request for the removal of two privately owned trees at 141 Rosedale Heights Drive conditional on the applicant agreeing to implement the landscape plan, on file with Urban Forestry Services.

Sabine Koy-Smith, applicant, appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (January 14, 2002) from Gary Miller, Equinox Development Inc.;
- (January 27, 2002) from Anne Smith; and
- (January 28, 2002) from Brian C. Pel.

On motion by Councillor Rae, with Councillor Ootes in the Chair, the Toronto East York Community Council deferred consideration of the report (December 17, 2001) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, until its meeting to be held on February 25, 2002.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c: Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; Philip Rogic, Urban Forestry Planner – February 6, 2002)

(Report No. 1, Clause 64(b))

1.14 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 248 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Driveway Widening at 91 Strathmore Boulevard (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 1, 2001) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, District 1, respecting Request for Exemption from Chapter 248 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit driveway widening at 91 Strathmore Boulevard, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for driveway widening at 91 Strathmore Boulevard; or
- (2) City Council approve the application for driveway widening at 91 Strathmore Boulevard, notwithstanding that there is an existing single car garage and lane access to the rear of the property, subject to:
 - (a) the parking area not exceeding 2.6 m by 5.9 m in dimension;
 - (b) the parking area being paved using semi-permeable materials such as ecostone or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
 - (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

Giovanni Sinopoli, applicant, appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Toronto East York Community Council deferred consideration of the report (November 1, 2001) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, District 1, sine die.

(Letter sent to: Mr. Giovanni Sinopoli, 91 Strathmore Boulevard, Toronto M4J 1P3; c: Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1; Marilyn Sarrazin, Licensing and Processing Clerk, Off Street Parking – February 6, 2002)

(Report No. 1, Clause 64(c))

1.15 Application for Sidewalk/Boulevard Vending Permit – John Street, East Side, 9.0 Metres North of Richmond Street West (Trinity Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 10, 2002) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, respecting Application for Sidewalk/Boulevard Vending Permit – John Street, East Side, 9.0 Metres North of Richmond Street West.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Joanna Malinowska; and
- Abbas Eskandari.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that City Council deny the application for sidewalk/boulevard vending on John Street, east side, 9.0 metres north of Richmond Street West.

(Report No. 1, Clause 15)

1.16 Application for Sidewalk/Boulevard Vending Permit – Spadina Avenue, West Side, 11.7 Metres North of Dundas Street West (Trinity Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 9, 2002) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, respecting Application for Sidewalk/Boulevard Vending Permit – Spadina Avenue, West Side, 11.7 Metres North of Dundas Street West, and recommending that City Council deny the application for sidewalk/boulevard vending permit on Spadina Avenue, west side, 11.7 metres north of Dundas Street West.

Russell Wood appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council submitted this matter to Council without recommendation.

(Report No. 1, Clause 16)

1.17 Application for Sidewalk/Boulevard Vending Permit – Richmond Street West, North Side, East of Peter Street (Trinity Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 14, 2002) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, respecting Application for Sidewalk/Boulevard Vending Permit – Richmond Street West, North Side, East of Peter Street, and recommending that City Council deny the application for sidewalk/boulevard vending on Richmond Street West, north side, east of Peter Street.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (January 28, 2002) from Ian Harris, President, Nucomm Communications Inc. and 935059 Ontario Limited.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mark Fox, G and F Management;
- Jonathon Millman, on behalf of U8 TV;
- Mary Barroll, on behalf of U8 TV;
- Joanna Malinowska;
- Marin Trendafilov; and
- Abbas Eskandari.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for a sidewalk/boulevard vending permit on Richmond Street West, north side, east of Peter Street; and
- (2) if there is a duplication of applications for sidewalk/boulevard vending permit for the subject site, the application fees be refunded to both applicants.

(Report No. 1, Clause 17)

1.18 Application for Sidewalk/Boulevard Vending Permit – University Avenue West Side, 105 Metres North of Gerrard Street West (Trinity Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (December 18, 2001) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, respecting Application for Sidewalk/Boulevard Vending Permit – University Avenue West Side, 105 Metres North of Gerrard Street West, and recommending that City Council approve the application for sidewalk/boulevard vending on University Avenue, west side, 105 metres north of Gerrard Street West.

Kobra Nazari, applicant, appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that City Council deny the application for sidewalk/boulevard vending permit on University Avenue, west side, 105 metres north of Gerrard Street West.

(Report No. 1, Clause 18)

1.19 Black History Month 2002

The Toronto East York Community Council heard a presentation from Richard Gosling, Community Partnerships and Morley S. Wolfe, Chair (TRIP) respecting Black History Month

On motion by Councillor Rae, with Councillor Ootes in the Chair, the Toronto East York Community Council received a presentation from Teresa Valladares and Morley S. Wolf, Toronto Residents in Partnership and accepted a poster submitted in honour of Black History Month 2002:

(Report No. 1, Clause 64(d))

1.20 Revocation of Sidewalk/Boulevard Vending Permits – Bay Street, Wellington Street West and Front Street West, around the Royal Bank Building at 200 Bay Street (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (December 18, 2001) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, respecting Revocation of Sidewalk/Boulevard Vending Permits – Bay Street, Wellington Street West and Front Street West, around the Royal Bank Building at 200 Bay Street, and recommending that City Council not revoke the sidewalk/boulevard vending permits on Bay Street, Wellington Street West and Front Street West, around the Royal Bank Building at 200 Bay Street.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council:

- (1) deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on February 25, 2002;
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report to the Toronto East York Community Council, in consultation with the City Solicitor, on the feasibility of a motion to provide that no new applications shall be accepted, nor vending permits issued, for vending spaces in Wards 27 and 28, until there is a new harmonized vending policy in place for the City of Toronto;
- (3) directed the City Clerk to give notice to Ms. Valentina Zigkos that, at its meeting to be held on February 25, 2002, the Toronto East York Community Council will consider the revocation of her vending permit for the location on Bay Street, west side, 70 metres north of Front Street on the grounds that:
 - (a) there is an over-density of vendors within the immediate area;

- (b) pedestrian traffic, especially during rush hours, is impeded by the presence of the current vendors on Bay Street; and
- (c) Ms. Zigkos is the most recently licensed of these vendors;

and advised Ms. Zigkos that she will have an opportunity to address the Toronto East York Community Council at that time.

(Letters sent to: Ms. Valentina Zigkos, 105 Mackay Avenue, Toronto M6H 2N7; Interested Persons; c: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards – February 4, 2002)

(Report No. 1, Clause 64(e))

1.21 Residential Demolition Application – 123 Dupont Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 14, 2002) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, respecting Residential Demolition Application – 123 Dupont Street.

Mike DiBratto appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that the application to demolish the subject residential building at 123 Dupont Street be granted by City Council, provided:

- (a) that a replacement building is erected on the site not later than two (2) years from the day demolition of the existing building is commenced, and
- (b) that, on failure to complete the new building within the time specified, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector's roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand (\$20,000) for each dwelling unit contained in the building in respect of which the demolition permit is issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the land in respect of which the permit to demolish the residential property is issued.

(Report No. 1, Clause 21)

1.22 Cabbagetown - Metcalfe Area Heritage Conservation District - Designation Under Part V of the Ontario Heritage Act (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

Toronto East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, January 29, 2002

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (December 14, 2001) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting Cabbagetown - Metcalfe Area Heritage Conservation District - Designation Under Part V of the Ontario Heritage Act, and recommending that:

- (1) Council designate the area shown on Attachment No. 1 under Part V of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, save and except those properties which have been designated under Part IV of the Act, as the Cabbagetown-Metcalfe Street Area Heritage Conservation District;
- (2) Council adopt the Cabbagetown – Metcalfe Street Area Heritage Conservation District Heritage Character Statement and District Plan (Attachment No.2) as a guide for property owners, City staff, advisory committees and City Council when making decisions on applications made under Sections 42 and 43 of the Ontario Heritage Act;
- (3) Council request that the Cabbagetown Preservation Association appoint a Committee of not less than 5 and not more than 10 property owners in the Cabbagetown – Metcalfe street area heritage conservation district to advise city staff and council on applications in the district made under sections 42 and 43 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*; and
- (4) that the appropriate officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (January 29, 2002) from Saulius Jaskus; and
- (undated) from Peggy Kurtin, Chair, Heritage Conservation District Committee.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- George Rust-D'Eye;
- Peggy Kurtin, Chair, Cabbagetown Preservation Association; and
- Saulius Jaskus.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (December 14, 2001) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

(Report No. 1, Clause 22)

1.23 550 Bayview Avenue (Don Valley Brick Works) – Implications of Designating the Industrial Works and Machinery Under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 8, 2002) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting 550 Bayview Avenue (Don Valley Brick Works) – Implications of Designating the Industrial Works and Machinery Under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following report/communications:

- Clause 9 of Toronto East York Community Council Report No. 9, titled, “Intention to Designate – 550 Bayview Avenue (Don Valley Brick Works) (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29), which was amended and adopted by City Council at its meeting held on December 4, 5 and 6, 2001;
- (January 14, 2002) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting Don Valley Brick Works Asbestos Update, and recommending that this report be received for information;
- (January 29, 2002) from E.B. Freeman;
- (January 28, 2002) from Edna Hudson, President, Toronto Region Architectural Conservancy;
- (January 28, 2002) from Nicholas Holman; and
- (January 28, 2002) from Marcia Cuthbert, member, Toronto East York Preservation Panel.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Edward Freeman; and
- Nicholas Holman.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended to the Budget Advisory Committee that, in order that the designation of the industrial works and machinery of 550 Bayview Avenue (the Don Valley Brick Works) under Part IV of *the Ontario Heritage Act* can proceed:

- (1) a one-time expenditure of \$10,000 be added to the 2002 Culture Division Operating Budget to engage consultants knowledgeable in the area of industrial works and machinery to:
 - (a) determine if any industrial works and machinery meet the tests of real property;
 - (b) prepare descriptions of all eligible industrial works and machinery on the site at 550 Bayview Avenue (Don Valley Brick Works) for designation under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;
 - (c) prepare estimates for the conservation and maintenance of industrial works and machinery to be designated; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

(Letter sent to: Budget Advisory Committee; c: Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; Rita Davies, Managing Director of Culture; Glenda Williams, Corporate Records and Archives, 255 Spadina Road - February 4, 2002)

(Report No. 1, Clause 64(f))

1.24 550 Gerrard Street East – The Don Jail – Authority to Enter Into a Heritage Easement Agreement (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 14, 2002) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting 550 Gerrard Street East – The Don Jail – Authority to Enter Into a Heritage Easement Agreement, and recommending that:

- (1) Council endorse the Don Jail Heritage Conservation Principles (Attachment No. 3) and the Schematic Drawings (Attachment No. 4), which shall guide the City and the Owner in making decisions regarding the maintenance and alteration of the heritage character of the Don Jail at 550 Gerrard Street East;
- (2) authority be granted for the execution of a heritage easement agreement under section 37 of the *Ontario Heritage Act* with the Owner of 550 Gerrard Street East, using substantially the form of easement agreement prepared in February 1987 by the City Solicitor and on file with the City Clerk, subject to such amendments as may be deemed necessary by the City Solicitor in consultation with the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services and subject to the following specific amendments:

Toronto East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, January 29, 2002

- (a) Council approval will not be required for the Permitted Alterations set out in Attachment No. 5 or for work to restore the building in the event of damage or destruction, provided that the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism is of the opinion that the alterations or restorative work are consistent with the Heritage Conservation Principles and that the Owner has submitted plans, specifications, studies, detailed drawings and any other materials reasonably required by the Commissioner;
 - (b) the City and the Owner are to act reasonably with respect to all rights and powers granted to them under the Heritage Easement Agreement and in particular, with respect to the application and interpretation of the Heritage Conservation Principles; and
 - (c) the City, including its employees, shall, in making or exercising any power, right, approval or decision under the agreement, take into account, among other considerations, the historic and architectural significance of the Property, the operational needs of the Owner, the financial resources available to the Owner and the Heritage Conservation Principles; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- Clause 17 of the Toronto Community Council Report No.6, titled "Intention to Designate Under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act - 550 Gerrard Street East (Don Jail) (Don River)", which was adopted by City Council at its regular meeting held on April 11, 12 and 13, 2000;
- Clause 18 of the Toronto Community Council Report No.6, titled "Intention to Designate Under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act - 558 Gerrard Street East (Governor's House, Don Jail) (Don River)", which was adopted by City Council at its regular meeting held on April 11, 12 and 13, 2000; and
- Clause 54 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 11, titled, "Designation of 550 Gerrard Street East (Don Jail) (Don River)", which was adopted by the City Council at its regular meeting held on July 4, 5 and 6, 2000.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- George Rust-D'Eye, Weir Foulds LLP, on behalf of the Riverdale Hospital; and

- Susan Black, Perkins Eastman Black Architects Inc.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (January 14, 2002) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

(Report No. 1, Clause 24)

1.25 549 College Street – Liquor Licence (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a communication (January 8, 2002) from Councillor Pantalone respecting the transfer of the Liquor Licence at premises municipally known as 549 College Street

Patricia Levy, Palmerston Area Residents Association, appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that the Alcohol and Gaming Commission be advised of City Council's objection to the transfer of the Liquor Licence at premises municipally known as 549 College Street.

(Report No. 1, Clause 25)

1.26 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Angled Commercial Boulevard Parking on the Empire Avenue and Booth Avenue Flanks of 825 Queen Street East (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it Clause 21 of Toronto East York Community Council Report No. 9, titled, "Commercial Boulevard Parking – Empire Avenue Flank of 825 Queen Street East (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)", which was referred back by City Council at its meeting held on December 4, 5 and 6, 2001.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a petition (January 29, 2002) submitted by Philomena Comerford, Baird MacGregor Insurance Brokers Inc.

Philomena Comerford, Baird MacGregor Insurance Brokers Inc., appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that City Council approve the application for angled commercial

boulevard parking and the increase of the number of parking spaces on the Empire Avenue flank of 825 Queen Street East, as shown on Appendix 'A', of the report (November 6, 2001) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, notwithstanding that the 0.91 m setback cannot be provided and subject to:

- (a) a formal poll being conducted and that such poll have a favourable result;
- (b) the City sidewalk not being encumbered at any time and the pedestrian traffic on the sidewalk being maintained at all times;
- (c) the applicant installing and maintaining planting areas, and if feasible City Forestry planting trees within the City boulevard as per Appendix 'A'; and
- (d) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On further motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto East York Community Council also requested:

- (1) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to review the poll results with the Ward Councillor prior to final consideration of the poll results;
- (2) the applicant to work with the Ward Councillor to convene a community meeting to review the design of the proposal; and
- (3) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism to report to the Toronto East York Community Council in 6-9 months on the status of the planting areas and the tree planting.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; c: Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1 – February 4, 2002)

(Report No. 1, Clause 26)

1.27 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Angled Commercial Boulevard Parking on the Empire Avenue and Booth Avenue Flanks of 835 Queen Street East (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)

Toronto East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, January 29, 2002

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it Clause 20 of Toronto East York Community Council Report No. 9, titled, "Commercial Boulevard Parking – Empire Avenue and Booth Avenue Flanks of 835 Queen Street East (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)", which was referred back by City Council at its meeting held on December 4, 5 and 6, 2001

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a petition (January 29, 2002) submitted by Philomena Comerford, Baird MacGregor Insurance Brokers Inc.

Philomena Comerford, Baird MacGregor Insurance Brokers Inc., appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that City Council approve the application for angled commercial boulevard parking and to increase the number of parking spaces on the Empire Avenue and Booth Avenue flanks of 835 Queen Street East, as shown on Appendices 'A' and 'B' of the report (November 6, 2001) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, notwithstanding that the 0.91 m setback cannot be provided and subject to:

- (a) separate formal polls being conducted on Empire Avenue and Booth Avenue and that such polls have a favourable result respectively;
- (b) the City sidewalk not being encumbered at any time and the pedestrian traffic on the sidewalk being maintained at all times;
- (c) the applicant installing and maintaining planting areas, and if feasible City Forestry planting trees within the City boulevard as per Appendices 'A' and 'B'; and
- (d) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On further motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto East York Community Council also requested:

- (1) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to review the poll results with the Ward Councillor prior to final consideration of the poll results;
- (2) the applicant to work with the Ward Councillor to convene a community meeting to review the design of the proposal; and

- (3) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism to report to the Toronto East York Community Council in 6-9 months on the status of the planting areas and the tree planting.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; c: Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1 – February 4, 2002)

(Report No. 1, Clause 27)

1.28 Cancellation of Boulevard Café - The Hair of the Dog – On the Wood Street Flank of 425 Church Street (Toronto East York, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 10, 2002) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, respecting cancellation of boulevard café – The Hair of the Dog – On the Wood Street Flank of 425 Church Street, and recommending that City Council rescind the licence for the boulevard café located on the Wood Street flankage of 425 Church Street subject to:

- (a) the licence holder being notified and be given the opportunity to be heard by Toronto East York Community Council,
- (b) a 30 day written notice of cancellation being provided to the licence holder, and
- (c) the licence holder being refunded the unexpired portion of the annual boulevard café fee for the café.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it Clause 11 of Toronto East York Community Council Report No. 2, titled, “Appeal – Boulevard Café – Wood Street Flank of 425 Church Street (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27), which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on March 6, 7 and 9, 2001

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that City Council approve a temporary licence for the boulevard café on the Wood Street flank of 425 Church Street, subject to the following conditions:

- (a) the applicant complying with the criteria set out in § 313-36 of Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code;
- (b) sale and service of liquor on the patio shall cease at midnight;
- (c) there shall be no live or pre-recorded music on the patio;

- (d) fencing shall be installed to provide a complete visual block from any sightline in the schoolyard or school building, including playground equipment, to patrons being served or walking from one area of the licensed premises to another. This would include the area between buildings on the north side, and the east side of the property. The fencing must be of a height subject to by-law limitations;
- (e) a dividing barrier fence in compliance with municipal standards shall be placed that divides the patio area from the sidewalk;
- (f) the operator shall not permit line-ups on the patio;
- (g) the large window in the front shall not be open at any time during hours of operation, or removable. Any other window that opens should not be from service areas so as to prevent noise from filtering on to the street
- (h) the licensee shall install lighting that comes on at or around sundown and stays on until one hour after closing which illuminates the area on the north and east side of the building; and
- (i) the licensee will conduct perimeter checks hourly throughout the evening and upon closing.

(Report No. 1, Clause 28)

1.29 Application for Curblane Vending Permit – 221-225 Richmond Street West, on the South Side, 26.0 Meters West of Duncan Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (April 23, 2001) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, respecting Application for Curblane Vending Permit – 221-225 Richmond Street West, on the South Side, 26.0 Meters West of Duncan Street, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for curblane vending on the south side of Richmond Street West, 26.0 meters west of Duncan Street; OR
- (2) That City Council approve the application for curblane vending on the south side of Richmond Street West, 26.0 meters west of Duncan Street notwithstanding the objection received by the adjoining property owner.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

Toronto East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, January 29, 2002

- (June 18, 2001) from the City Clerk, Toronto East York Community Council, forwarding the Community Council's action of October 23, 2001;
- (May 11, 2001) from Mr. Bruce Stratton, G. Bruce Stratton Architects;
- (May 14, 2001) from Mr. William J. Pong, Property Manager, City Core Management Inc.;
- (September 24, 2001) from Hugh Mansfield, Mansfield Communications Inc.;
- (September 24, 2001) from Seyd Ghods, Controller, The Kirkland Partnership Inc.;
- (May 10, 2001) from Rob Botman, CFO, Blanketware Corporation; and
- (September 8, 2001) from Robert Goyeche, Rounthwaite, Dick & Hadley Architects.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council:

- (1) recommended that City Council deny the application for curblane vending on the south side of Richmond Street West, 26.0 meters west of Duncan Street; and
- (2) referred the following motion by Councillor Chow to its sub-committee on vending for consideration:

“That no application for curblane vending be permitted for one year after an application has been rejected for the same site.”

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; c: Curtis Sealock, District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards – February 4, 2002)

(Report No. 1, Clause 29)

1.30 Request for an Exemption from By-Law No. 122-93 of the Former Borough of East York to Approve a Front Yard Parking Application at 82 Barker Avenue (Beaches-East York, Ward 31)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 15, 2002) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Request for an Exemption from By-Law No. 122-93 of the Former Borough of East York to Approve a Front Yard Parking Application at 82 Barker Avenue, and recommending that:

Toronto East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, January 29, 2002

- (1) City Council deny the application for front yard parking for a second vehicle at 82 Barker Avenue; OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for front yard parking for a second vehicle at 82 Barker Avenue, as shown on Appendix 'A', subject to the applicant:
 - (a) paying for the additional ramp to provide access to the second space;
 - (b) installing three planters on the westerly limit of the property within the public right of way; and
 - (c) paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in By-law No. 122-93 of the former Borough of East York.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Colleen Morrison, applicant; and
- Mark Campbell, applicant.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that City Council deny the application for front yard parking for a second vehicle at 82 Barker Avenue.

The following motion by Councillor Tziretas, as amended by Councillor Pantalone, was voted on and lost:

“That City Council approve the application for front yard parking for a second vehicle at 82 Barker Avenue, as shown on Appendix 'A', subject to the applicant:

- (a) paying for the additional ramp to provide access to the second space;
- (b) installing three planters on the westerly limit of the property within the public right of way;
- (c) paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in By-law No. 122-93 of the former Borough of East York; and
- (d) paying the cost of the planting of a tree on the front yard of the property, to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.”

(Report No. 1, Clause 30)

1.31 Review of Interim Warrants for All-Way Stop Sign Control and 40 KM/H Speed Limits

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 15, 2002) from the General Manager, Transportation Services Division, respecting Review of Interim Warrants for All-Way Stop Sign Control and 40 km/h Speed Limits, and recommending that:

- (1) the interim criteria for installing All-Way Stop Sign Control as presented in Appendix 1, be adopted for long-term use within the City of Toronto;
- (2) the interim criteria for establishing a 40 km/h speed limit be amended for long-term use in the City of Toronto by revising the warrant structure, to remove confusion and potential misinterpretation about road widths and operating speeds, as presented in Appendix 2; and
- (3) these recommendations be forwarded to the Works Committee.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended to the Works Committee that the report (January 15, 2002) from the General Manager, Transportation Services Division be adopted.

(Letter sent to: Works Committee; c: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; David C. Kaufman, General Manager, Transportation Services; Peter K. Hillier, Manager, Operational Planning & Policy, Transportation Services – February 6, 2002)

(Report No. 1, Clause 64(g))

1.32 Proposed Bicycle Lanes on River Street from King Street East to 50 Metres North of Gerrard Street East; Shuter Street from Yonge Street to River Street; and Gerrard Street East from River Street to 30.5 Metres East (Toronto Centre - Rosedale, Wards 27 and 28)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 15, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Infrastructure Management; and the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Proposed Bicycle Lanes on River Street from King Street East to 50 Metres North of Gerrard Street East; Shuter Street from Yonge Street to River Street; and Gerrard Street East from River Street to 30.5 Metres East, and recommending that, subject to the approval of the necessary funds by City Council:

- (1) bicycle lanes be approved on both sides of River Street, between King Street East and a point 50 metres north of Gerrard Street East, as detailed in Appendix A;

- (2) bicycle lanes be approved on both sides of Shuter Street, between Yonge Street and River Street, as detailed in Appendix A;
- (3) bicycle lanes be approved on both sides of Gerrard Street East, between River Street and 30.5 metres east thereof, as detailed in Appendix A;
- (4) in conjunction with the approval of the bicycle lanes and roadway alterations identified in Recommendations 1, 2 and 3, the traffic and parking regulations detailed in Appendix B be approved; and
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the joint report (January 15, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Infrastructure Management and the Director, Transportation Services District 1.

(Report No. 1, Clause 32)

1.33 Preliminary Report – Application No. 201007 to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law of the (Former) City of Toronto – 110 Edward Street (The Rotary Laughlen Centre) (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 7, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Application No. 201007 to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law of the (Former) City of Toronto – 110 Edward Street (The Rotary Laughlen Centre) (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27), and recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
- (2) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site;
- (3) notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

Councillors Chow and Layton advised that a family member presently resides in the subject property, but that no financial connection exists between his residence and the proposed development of the site.

On motion by Councillor Rae, with Councillor Ootes in the Chair, the Toronto East York Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services (no encl.); Executive Director and Chief Planner (no encl.); Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto East York Community Council Solicitor, Attn: John Paton; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director of Real Estate Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police, Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons (no encl.); c: Director, Community Planning, South District; Helen Coombs, West Section – February 7, 2002)

(Report No.1, Clause 64(h))

1.34 1015 Broadview Avenue – Request for Approval of Variance from the Former Borough of East York Sign By-Law No. 64-87 (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 7, 2002) from the Director of Building and Deputy Chief Building Official, East District, respecting 1015 Broadview Avenue – Request for Approval of Variance from the Former Borough of East York Sign By-Law No. 64-87 (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29), and recommending that:

- (1) the request for variance be approved; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of variance, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permit.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (January 28, 2002) from Dave Meslin.

Dave Meslin appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

The Toronto East York Community Council:

On motion by Councillor Ootes:

- (1) deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on February 25, 2002, for deputations;

On motion by Councillor Pantalone:

- (2) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report to the appropriate Committee on policy whereby Residents Associations would be notified automatically of a sign application before Community Councils when the proposed sign is in or immediately abutting a residential area; and

On further motion by Councillor Ootes:

- (3) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to advise the Ward Councillor as to whether the fees have been paid for this application.

(Letter sent to: Kris Aube, Jones Neon Displays Ltd., 1140 Blair Road, Burlington L7M 1K9; Dave Meslin, 305 Clinton Street, Toronto M6G 2Y7; c: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Director of Building and Deputy Chief Official, East District; Senior Field Officer – February 7, 2002)

(Report No. 1, Clause 34(i))

1.35 600 University Avenue – Application No. 901088: Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (December 20, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 600 University Avenue – Application No. 901088: Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 901088 for minor variances from the University Avenue By-law (13409) and Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, two canopy signs, and three projecting banner signs on the building known as 600 University Avenue.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 901088, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (January 28, 2002) from Dave Meslin.

Dave Meslin appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 1, Clause 35)

1.36 794 Bathurst Street – Application No. 901084: Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 8, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 794 Bathurst Street – Application No. 901084: Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 901084 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, two illuminated fascia signs on the east and south elevations of the building at 794 Bathurst Street.
- (2) The applicant is advised, upon approval of Application No. 901084, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (January 28, 2002) from Dave Meslin

Dave Meslin appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on April 2, 2002, for deputations.

(Letter sent to: Gerardo Castillo, Pizza Pizza, 580 Jarvis Street, Toronto M4Y 2H9; 784899 Ontario Inc., 173 Northcliffe Blvd., Toronto M6E 3K5; c: Director, Community Planning, South District; Norm Girdhar, Planner, West Section – February 6, 2002)

(Report No. 1, Clause 64(j))

1.37 156 Front Street West – Application No. 901083: Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 8, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 156 Front Street West – Application No. 901083: Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 901083 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, two illuminated projecting signs on the front elevation of the building at 156 Front Street West.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 901083, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (January 28, 2002) from Dave Meslin.

Dave Meslin appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 1, Clause 37)

1.38 82 (80-82) Spadina Avenue – Application No. 901086: Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 8, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 82 (80-82) Spadina Avenue – Application No. 901086: Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 901086 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, a non- illuminated projecting banner sign on the front elevation of the building at 82 Spadina Avenue.

- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 901086, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (January 28, 2002) from Dave Meslin.

Dave Meslin appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 1, Clause 38)

1.39 525 University Avenue – Application No. 901085: Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (December 18, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 525 University Avenue – Application No. 901085: Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 901085 for minor variances from the University Avenue By-law (13409) Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, a non-illuminated projecting "P" parking sign on the building column located at the north-east corner of the building at 525 University Avenue, adjacent to the entry/exit to the underground parking garage.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 901085, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (January 28, 2002) from Dave Meslin.

Dave Meslin appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Rae, with Councillor Ootes in the Chair, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 1, Clause 39)

1.40 160 Bloor Street East – Application No. 901082: Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 9, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 160 Bloor Street East – Application No. 901082: Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 901082 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to replace, for identification purposes, an existing “JWT” illuminated fascia sign with a proposed illuminated fascia sign in the form of the corporate name “Unilever” and a logo at the top floor level on the west elevation of the building at 160 Bloor Street East.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 901082, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (January 28, 2002) from Dave Meslin.

Dave Meslin appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Rae, with Councillor Ootes in the Chair, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 1, Clause 40)

1.41 36 Adelaide Street East – Application No. 901087: Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 8, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 36 Adelaide Street East – Application No. 901087: Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No.901087, for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, three illuminated roof signs in the form of corporate names and logos on the south and west elevations of the building. Two signs comprised of individual letters and logos would be erected on the south elevation and the third roof sign comprised of individual letters and a logo would be erected on the west elevation of a multi-storey office building at 36 Adelaide Street East.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 901087, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (January 28, 2002) from Dave Meslin.

Dave Meslin appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 1, Clause 41)

1.42 629 Eastern Avenue – Application No. 901081: Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 11, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 629 Eastern Avenue – Application No. 901081: Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 901081 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated roof sign in the form of individual letters which identifies “TORONTO FILM STUDIOS” on condition that it is illuminated only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m. and that this be achieved by an automated timing device.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 901081, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (January 28, 2002) from Dave Meslin.

Dave Meslin appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto East York Community Council:

- (1) deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on April 2, 2002; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report to the appropriate Committee on the general desirability of controlling the light levels of signage in the City, particularly late at night.

(Letter sent to: Mr. Peter DiFazio, Toronto film Studios, OBF 1265656 Ontario Ltd., 629 Eastern Avenue, Toronto M4M 1E4; c: Director, community Planning, South District; Norm girdhar, Planner, West Section – February 6, 2002)

(Report No. 1, Clause 64(k))

1.43 Realignment and Extension of Permit Parking Area Boundaries – Areas 3K and 4I
(Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 15, 2002) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Realignment and Extension of Permit Parking Area Boundaries – Areas 3K and 4I, and recommending that:

- (1) the boundary of permit parking areas 3K and 4I be amended as follows:
 - (a) Area 3K to be bounded on the west side by the centreline of Dufferin Street, on the north by the centreline of Dundas Street West, on the east by the centreline of Ossington Avenue, between Dundas Street West and Queen Street West and the west side of Shaw Street, between Queen Street West and King Street West and on the south by the north side of King Street West, between Shaw Street and the CNR Corridor, and the CNR Corridor between King Street West and Dufferin Street; and
 - (b) Area 4I to be bounded on the west side by the west side of Shaw Street, between Queen Street West and King Street West and the north side of King Street West between Shaw Street and the CNR Corridor, on the

north by the centreline of Queen Street West, between Shaw Street and Walnut Avenue and the south side of Queen Street West between Walnut Avenue and Bathurst Street, on the east by the centreline of Bathurst Street and on the south by the CNR Corridor;

- (2) Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto, be amended to incorporate the attached revised “District Maps”; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 1, Clause 43)

1.44 Creation of School Pick-Up/Drop-Off Area and School Bus Loading Zone - Plains Road, between Northridge Avenue and Durant Avenue (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 30, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Creation of School Pick-Up/Drop-Off Area and School Bus Loading Zone - Plains Road, between Northridge Avenue and Durant Avenue, and recommending that:

- (1) the existing “No Parking 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday to Friday, except public holidays” regulation on the south side of Plains Road between a point 34.6 metres east of Northridge Avenue and Durant Avenue, be rescinded;
- (2) the existing “School Bus Loading Zone” on the west side of Durant Avenue between a point 46 metres south of Plains Road and Plains Road, be rescinded;
- (3) a “School Bus Loading Zone” be created on the south side of Plains Road, from a point 124 metres east of Northridge Avenue to a point 44 metres further east thereof;
- (4) a “Ten-Minute Maximum, 7:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday to Friday” parking regulation be enacted on the south side of Plains Road between a point 53 metres east of Northridge Avenue to a point 56 metres further east thereof; and
- (5) The appropriate City officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 1, Clause 44)

1.45 Corley Avenue, North Side, from a point 152 Metres East of Woodbine Avenue to Golfview Avenue – Relaxation of the “No Parking Anytime” Regulation (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (December 7, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Corley Avenue, North Side, from a point 152 Metres East of Woodbine Avenue to Golfview Avenue – Relaxation of the “No Parking Anytime” Regulation, and recommending that:

- (1) the “No Parking Anytime” regulation on the north side of Corley Avenue, from a point 152 metres east of Woodbine Avenue to Golfview Avenue, be rescinded;
- (2) the parking prohibition from the 16th day to the last day of each month, April 1 to November 30, inclusive, be rescinded on the north side of Corley Avenue from:
 - (a) Woodbine Avenue to a point 152 metres east thereof;
 - (b) Golfview Avenue to Glenmount Park Road;
- (3) parking be prohibited the from the 16th day to the last day of each month, April 1 to November 30, inclusive, on the north side of Corley Avenue, from Woodbine Avenue to Glenmount Park Road;
- (4) parking be prohibited from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, from the 1st day to the 15th day of each month, April 1 to November 30, inclusive and from December 1 to March 31, inclusive on the north side of Corley Avenue, from a point 152 metres east of Woodbine Avenue to Golfview Avenue; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 1, Clause 45)

1.46 King Edward Avenue – Request to Install a Disabled Persons Parking Space in front of Premises No. 159 (Beaches-East York, Ward 31)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (December 12, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting King Edward Avenue – Request to Install a Disabled Persons Parking Space in front of Premises No. 159, and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Tziretas, the Toronto East York Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Letter sent to: Ms. Joanne Cantin, 159 King Edward Avenue, East York M4C 5J7; c: Director, Transportation Services, District 1; Ron Hamilton, Supervisor of Traffic Engineering – February 6, 2002)

(Report No. 1, Clause 64(l))

1.47 The Esplanade, North Side, between Church Street and Scott Street – Provision of a “Commercial Loading Zone”, fronting Premises No. 56 (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (December 12, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting The Esplanade, North Side, between Church Street and Scott Street – Provision of a “Commercial Loading Zone”, fronting Premises No. 56, and recommending that:

- (1) the installation of a “Commercial Loading Zone” be approved, on the north side of The Esplanade, from a point 52.8 metres west of Church Street to a point 23.0 metres further west (fronting the main entrance/exit doors to Premises No. 56); and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 1, Clause 47)

1.48 Dentonia Park Avenue – Relocation of a “No Parking Anytime” Regulation (Beaches-East York, Ward 31)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (December 12, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Dentonia Park Avenue – Relocation of a “No Parking Anytime” Regulation, and recommending that:

- (1) the existing “No Parking Anytime” regulation on the south side of Dentonia Park Avenue, from a point 84 metres west of Dawes Road to a point 104 metres west of Dawes Road, be rescinded;
- (2) parking be prohibited at anytime on the south side of Dentonia Park Avenue, from a point 100 metres west of Dawes Road to a point 120 metres west of Dawes Road; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required, to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Tziretas, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 1, Clause 48)

1.49 Balsam Avenue, from Balsam Road to Pine Avenue – Introduction of One-Way Traffic Operation (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (December 14, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Balsam Avenue, from Balsam Road to Pine Avenue – Introduction of One-Way Traffic Operation, and recommending that:

- (1) one-way traffic operation be implemented on Balsam Avenue, from Balsam Road to Pine Avenue;
- (2) the appropriate City staff be authorized to conduct a poll of residents on Balsam Avenue, between Beech Avenue and Pine Avenue, Beech Avenue, between Balsam Avenue and Pine Avenue and Balsam Road, between Balsam Avenue and the westerly end of the street to determine the favoured direction of one-way traffic operation; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a communication (January 23, 2002) from Blair Martin.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council:

- (1) recommended the adoption of the foregoing report; and
- (2) requested that the results of the poll be provided to the Ward Councillor, in order for her to have a community meeting prior to the Community Council's consideration of this matter.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Director, Transportation Services, District 1; Ron Hamilton, Supervisor of Traffic Engineering – February 4, 2002)

(Report No. 1, Clause 49)

1.50 Introduction of “Stop” Control at the Intersection of the Northbound Channelized Right-Turn Facility from Don Roadway to Lake Shore Boulevard East (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (December 18, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Introduction of “Stop” Control at the Intersection of the Northbound Channelized Right-Turn Facility from Don Roadway to Lake Shore Boulevard East, and recommending that:

- (1) “stop” control be installed at the intersection of the northbound channelized right-turn facility from Don Roadway to Lake Shore Boulevard East;
- (2) northbound right turns be prohibited from Don Roadway to Lake Shore Boulevard East; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 1, Clause 50)

1.51 Heath Street East between Inglewood Drive and Rose Park Crescent – Feasibility of a “No Parking Anytime” Prohibition (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 8, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Heath Street East between Inglewood Drive and Rose Park Crescent – Feasibility of a “No Parking Anytime” Prohibition, and recommending that:

- (1) a “No Parking Anytime” prohibition be implemented on the south side of Heath Street East, from Inglewood Drive to Rose Park Crescent; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 1, Clause 51)

1.52 Jackman Avenue, West Side, from Danforth Avenue to Hurndale Avenue – Extension of the Hours of Parking Operation in the “Student Pick-Up/Drop-Off Area” (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 7, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Jackman Avenue, West Side, from Danforth Avenue to Hurndale Avenue – Extension of the Hours of Parking Operation in the “Student Pick-Up/Drop-Off Area”, and recommending that:

- (1) the 15 minute maximum parking restriction from 8:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m., 11:00 a.m. to 1:30 p.m. and 3:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m., except Saturday, Sunday and Public Holidays on the west side of Jackman Avenue, from Danforth Avenue to a point 36.6 metres north and from a point 45.7 metres north of Danforth Avenue to Hurndale Avenue be rescinded;
- (2) the parking prohibition from 9:30 a.m. to 11:00 a.m., 1:30 p.m. to 3:00 p.m. and 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. except Saturday, Sunday and Public Holidays, on the west side of Jackman Avenue, from Danforth Avenue to a point 36.6 metres north and from a point 45.7 metres north of Danforth Avenue to Hurndale Avenue be rescinded;
- (3) parking be permitted for a maximum period of 15 minutes from 8:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m., 11:00 a.m. to 1:30 p.m. and 3:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. except Saturday, Sunday

and Public Holidays on the west side of Jackman Avenue, from Danforth Avenue to a point 36.6 metres north and from a point 45.7 metres north of Danforth Avenue to Hurndale Avenue;

- (4) parking be prohibited from 9:30 a.m. to 11:00 a.m. and from 1:30 p.m. to 3:00 p.m., except Saturday, Sunday and Public Holidays on the west side of Jackman Avenue, from Danforth Avenue to a point 36.6 metres north and from a point 45.7 metres north of Danforth Avenue to Hurndale Avenue; and
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 1, Clause 52)

1.53 Implementation of Overnight On-Street Permit Parking on Somers Avenue between Donlands Avenue and Dewhurst Boulevard – Poll Results (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 9, 2002) from the City Clerk, respecting Implementation of Overnight On-Street Permit Parking on Somers Avenue between Donlands Avenue and Dewhurst Boulevard – Poll Results, and recommending that:

- (1) overnight on-street permit parking be implemented on Somers Avenue between Donlands Avenue and Dewhurst Boulevard, on a street name basis, to operate during the hours of 11:00 p.m. and 5:00 a.m., 7 days a week; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to the foregoing; OR
- (3) this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Toronto East York Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report in order that the Ward Councillor conduct a poll.

(Letter sent to: Councillor Ootes – February 7, 2002)

(Report No. 1, Clause 64(m))

1.54 Conversion of Taylor Drive from Trimontium Crescent to O'Connor Drive to One-Way Southbound Operation – Poll Results (Beaches-East York, Ward 31)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 9, 2002) from the City Clerk, respecting Conversion of Taylor Drive from Trimontium Crescent to O'Connor Drive to One-Way Southbound Operation – Poll Results, and recommending that:

- (1) Taylor Drive from Trimontium Crescent to O'Connor Drive be converted to one-way southbound operation; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to the foregoing; OR
- (3) this report be received for information.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- petition (undated) submitted by Livia M. Dora, representing the residents of Taylor Drive;
- (January 22, 2002) from George Simons;
- (January 27, 2002) from Andrew Lau, John Lau and Elsa Lau; and
- (January 28, 2002) from Christine Zaczynski.

Livia M. Dora, representing the residents of Taylor Drive, appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Tziretas, the Toronto East York Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons – February 7, 2002)

(Report No. 1, Clause 64(n))

1.55 Implementation of Alternate Side Parking on Burley Avenue between Westwood Avenue and Mortimer Avenue – Poll Results (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 9, 2002) from the City Clerk, respecting Implementation of Alternate Side Parking on Burley Avenue between Westwood Avenue and Mortimer Avenue – Poll Results, and recommending that:

- (1) alternate side parking be implemented on Burley Avenue between Westwood Avenue and Mortimer Avenue; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to the foregoing; OR
- (3) this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that:

- (1) alternate side parking be implemented on Burley Avenue between Westwood Avenue and Mortimer Avenue; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to the foregoing.

(Report No. 1, Clause 55)

1.56 Balsam Avenue between Beech Avenue and Pine Avenue – Installation of Speed Humps (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 3, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Balsam Avenue between Beech Avenue and Pine Avenue – Installation of Speed Humps, and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that:

- (1) appropriate staff be authorized to conduct a poll of eligible residents on Balsam Avenue between Beech Avenue and Pine Avenue, to determine resident support for a proposed speed hump plan, in accordance with the former City of Toronto policy;
- (2) a by-law be prepared and public notice given pursuant to the Municipal Act and Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act for the alteration of sections of

the roadway on Balsam Avenue between Beech Avenue and Pine Avenue, for traffic calming purposes, described as follows:

“The construction of speed humps on Balsam Avenue between Beech Avenue and Pine Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 42IF-6309 dated January 2002”;

- (3) pursuant to the requirements of Schedule B of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act which has recently been enacted as Provincial legislation, notice of study commencement be given to the Ministry of Environment, Fire Services, Emergency Medical Services and Toronto Police Services, and upon final approval of a by-law by Council, Notice of Completion be issued;
- (4) the speed limit be reduced from 40 km/h to 30 km/h on Balsam Avenue between Beech Avenue and Pine Avenue, coincident with the implementation of speed humps; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Report No. 1, Clause 56)

1.57 Willow Avenue between Balsam Avenue and Pine Avenue – Installation of Speed Humps (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 3, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Willow Avenue between Balsam Avenue and Pine Avenue – Installation of Speed Humps, and recommending that that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that:

- (1) appropriate staff be authorized to conduct a poll of eligible residents on Willow Avenue between Balsam Avenue and Pine Avenue, to determine resident support for a proposed speed hump plan, in accordance with the former City of Toronto policy;
- (2) a by-law be prepared and public notice given pursuant to the Municipal Act and Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act for the alteration of sections of the roadway on Willow Avenue between Balsam Avenue and Pine Avenue, for traffic calming purposes, described as follows:

“The construction of speed humps on Willow Avenue between Balsam Avenue and Pine Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 42IF-6308 dated January 2002”;

- (3) pursuant to the requirements of Schedule B of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act which has recently been enacted as Provincial legislation, notice of study commencement be given to the Ministry of Environment, Fire Services, Emergency Medical Services and Toronto Police Services, and upon final approval of a by-law by Council, Notice of Completion be issued;
- (4) the speed limit be reduced from 40 km/h to 30 km/h on Willow Avenue between Balsam Avenue and Pine Avenue, coincident with the implementation of speed humps; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Report No. 1, Clause 57)

1.58 Hoarding Permit – 633 Eastern Avenue (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 15, 2002) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Hoarding Permit – 633 Eastern Avenue, and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto East York Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1; Ken McGuire, Supervisor, Construction Activities – February 7, 2002)

(Report No. 1, Clause 64(o))

1.59 Bloor Street West and Montrose Avenue – Installation of Traffic Control Signals (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 15, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Bloor Street West and Montrose Avenue – Installation of Traffic Control Signals, and recommending that

- (1) traffic control signals be installed on Bloor Street West at Montrose Avenue;
- (2) parking be prohibited at all times on the west side of Montrose Avenue between Bloor Street West and a point 15 metres south thereof; and
- (3) appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that:

- (1) traffic control signals be installed at the intersection of Bloor Street West and Montrose Avenue;
- (2) coincident with the installation of the traffic control signals set out in Recommendation No. 1 above:
 - (a) parking be prohibited on the west side of Montrose Avenue from Bloor Street West to a point 10 metres south thereof;
 - (b) the statutory 'No Parking within 30.5 metres of a Traffic Control Signal' be replaced with a 'No Stopping' prohibition on both sides of Bloor Street West from a point 15 metres west of Montrose Avenue to a point 15 metres east of Montrose Avenue; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

(Report No. 1, Clause 59)

1.60 Installation/Removal of On-Street Parking Spaces for Persons with Disabilities
(Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19 and 20; Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29 and Beaches-East York, Ward 32)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 14, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Installation/Removal of On-Street Parking Spaces for Persons with Disabilities, and recommending that:

- (1) the installation/removal of disabled on-street parking spaces as noted in Table “A” of this report be approved; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be requested to take any action necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 1, Clause 60)

1.61 Maintenance of a Decorative Wall fronting 2 Treford Place and on the Claremont Street Flank (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 14, 2002) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Maintenance of a Decorative Wall fronting 2 Treford Place and on the Claremont Street Flank, and recommending that City Council approve the maintenance of a decorative wall fronting 2 Treford Place and on the Claremont Street flank, subject to the owner:

- (a) entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claim or demands and from all loss, costs damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted; and
- (b) accepting such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services may deem necessary in the interest of the Corporation.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 1, Clause 61)

1.62 Roxton Road, rear of Premises No. 319 Ossington Avenue (St. Luke’s Catholic School) – Request for a Disabled Persons Loading Zone (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 15, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Roxton Road, rear of

Premises No. 319 Ossington Avenue (St. Luke's Catholic School) – Request for a Disabled Persons Loading Zone, and recommending that:

- (1) the “No Parking Anytime” prohibition on the west side of Roxton Avenue, from Harrison Street to a point 76.2 metres north thereof, be rescinded;
- (2) the “No Parking from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” prohibition on the west side of Roxton Avenue, from a point 76.2 metres north of Harrison Street to a point 91.4 metres north thereof, be rescinded;
- (3) a “Disabled Persons Loading Zone” be installed on the west side of Roxton Avenue, from a point 53.1 metres north of Harrison Street to a point 11.0 metres thereof;
- (4) a “No Parking Anytime” prohibition on the west side of Roxton Avenue, from Harrison Street to a point 53.1 metres north thereof, be implemented;
- (5) a “No Parking Anytime” prohibition on the west side of Roxton Avenue, from a point 64.1 metres north of Harrison Street to a point 89.1 metres north thereof, be implemented;
- (6) a “No Parking from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” prohibition on the west side of Roxton Avenue, from a point 89.1 metres north of Harrison Street to a point 92.0 metres north thereof, be implemented; and
- (7) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 1, Clause 62)

1.63 Introduction of a Southbound Right-Turn Prohibition from Booth Avenue to the On-Ramp for Westbound F.G. Gardiner Expressway (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 7, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Introduction of a Southbound Right-Turn Prohibition from Booth Avenue to the On-Ramp for Westbound F.G. Gardiner Expressway, and recommending that:

- (1) southbound right turns be prohibited from Booth Avenue to the on-ramp for westbound F. G. Gardiner Expressway; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that:

- (1) southbound right turns be prohibited from Booth Avenue to the on-ramp for westbound F. G. Gardiner Expressway on a trial basis for twelve months;
- (2) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services review and report to the Toronto East York Community Council on the results of monitoring of the southbound right-turn prohibition from Booth Avenue to the on-ramp for westbound F.G. Gardiner Expressway;
- (3) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services evaluate measures to physically prohibit southbound right turns from Booth Avenue to the on-ramp for westbound F.G. Gardiner Expressway; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Report No. 1, Clause 63)

1.64 Intersection of Main Street and Benlamond Avenue – Realignment of the Intersection (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (December 14, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Intersection of Main Street and Benlamond Avenue – Realignment of the Intersection, and recommending that:

- (1) approval be given to realign the pavement on Benlamond Avenue at Main Street essentially as shown on the attached Drawing No. 421F-6255 dated October 2001; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council:

- (1) recommended the adoption of the foregoing report; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Ward Councillor on the timing of the realignment.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Director, Transportation Services, District 1; Dave Dignard, Acting Senior Traffic Investigator – February 4, 2002)

(Report No. 1, Clause 58)

1.65 The Victory Café – Operation of the Boulevard Café during the 1999 Café Season – Fronting 581-583 Markham Street and Lennox Street Flank (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 10, 2002) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, respecting The Victory Café – Operation of the Boulevard Café during the 1999 Café Season – Fronting 581-583 Markham Street and Lennox Street Flank, and recommending that City Council approve the applicant a temporary licence for the boulevard café with the conditions that the patio be closed and cleared of patrons by 2:00 a.m., on the front of 581-583 Markham Street and 10:00 p.m., on the Lennox Street flank, seven days a week.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (January 28, 2002) from A. Larek & R. Coram;
- (January 27, 2002) from Donna McFarlane;
- (January 27, 2002) from Ken Balderson; and
- (January 27, 2002) from Clayton C. Scott and Ian D. Scott.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that:

- (1) City Council approve a temporary licence for the boulevard café subject to:

- (a) the patio being closed and cleared of patrons by 2:00 a.m., Friday and Saturday, on the 581-583 Markham Street frontage;
 - (b) the patio being closed and cleared of patrons by midnight, Sunday to Thursday, on the 581-583 Markham Street frontage;
 - (c) the patio being closed and cleared of patrons by 10:00 p.m., on the Lennox Street flank, seven days a week; and
- (2) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services report back on the operation of the patio in two years.

(Report No. 1, Clause 7)

1.66 Density Transfer Policy for Heritage Buildings with Significant Open Space – Proposed Review (Trinity Spadina, Ward 20; Toronto Centre-Rosedale; Wards 27 and 28)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 9, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Density Transfer Policy for Heritage Buildings with Significant Open Space – Proposed Review, and recommending that:

- (1) Staff be directed to schedule one or more community consultation meetings, together with the Ward Councillor, on this matter.
- (2) Staff be directed to consult with relevant civic officials on this matter.
- (3) Staff report back to Toronto East York Community Council on the results of the interdepartmental and community consultation and a recommended strategy to address the potential for development on the lands subject to Section 5.15 of the former City of Toronto's Official Plan.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (January 28, 2002) from Sue Scottinwood, Chair, Property for Programs Committee and Trustee, Metropolitan United Church.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council adopted the foregoing report.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c: Director, Community Planning, South District; Elyse Parker, Senior Planner, East Section – February 4, 2002)

(Report No. 1, Clause 64(p))

1.67 Appointments to the Board of Todmorden Mills Heritage Museum and Arts Centre
(Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (December 14, 2001) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting Appointments to the Board of Todmorden Mills Heritage Museum and Arts Centre.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that:

- (1) Mr. Antony Marcil and Ms. Jenny Matrosovs be appointed to the Board of the Todmorden Mills Heritage Museum and Arts Centre for a term expiring on November 30, 2003, or until successors are appointed; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

(Report No. 1, Clause 54)

1.68 Appointment to Balmy Beach Park Board of Management

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a communication (December 17, 2001) from Mayor Lastman, respecting Appointment to Balmy Beach Park Board of Management.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that Oscar Terenzio be appointed to the Balmy Beach Park Board of Management until June 30, 2003 and/or until his successor is appointed, to replace Chris Commins.

(Report No. 1, Clause 53)

1.69 Appointments to the Committee of Management of the Ted Reeve Community Arena

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a communication (November 20, 2001) from the President, Toronto East Arena Gardens Incorporated, respecting Appointments to the Committee of Management of the Ted Reeve Community Arena

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that Donald Ottaway, Jack Lee, Edythe Gerrard, Dr. W.T. Jones, Tom Jakobek, and Frank Devine be appointed to the Committee of Management of the Ted Reeve Community Arena, until November 30, 2003, on an interim basis, at the pleasure of Council, and until their successors are appointed.

(Report No. 1, Clause 46)

1.70 Banners to the East Elevation Wall of 543 Queen Street East – Waive of Application or Permit Fees (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a communication (November 27, 2001) from Councillor McConnell, respecting Banners to the East Elevation Wall of 543 Queen Street East – Waive of Application or Permit Fees

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that any application fees or permit fees regarding the current application, or any future related applications, by the Task Force to Bring Back the Don respecting the erection of banners on the building at 543 Queen Street East be waived.

(Report No. 1, Clause 42)

1.71 Unallocated Funding for Community Councils

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a communication (November 14, 2001) from Councillor Sutherland, respecting Unallocated Funding for Community Councils, and requesting that Community Council request that the Chief Administrative Officer report directly to Council at its next meeting on the issue of unallocated funding for community councils, and how community councils can be able to finance, provide or veto enhanced services while maintaining a standard level of core services citywide.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a report (November 19, 2001) from the City Clerk.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council received the foregoing communication (November 14, 2001) from Councillor Sutherland.

(Letter sent to: Councillor Sutherland; c: Chief Administrative Officer – February 8, 2002)

(Report No. 1, Clause 64(q))

1.72 Naming of Proposed Private Lane at 8 Spadina Road (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 1, 2001) from the City Surveyor, Works and Emergency Services, respecting Naming of Proposed Private Lane at 8 Spadina Road, and recommending that:

- (1) the proposed private lane at the residential development at 8 Spadina Road, illustrated on Attachment No.1, be named "Annex Lane";
- (2) Axis Planning and Development Consultants Inc., be required to pay the costs estimated to be in the amount of \$300, for the fabrication and installation of the street name sign; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 1, Clause 36)

1.73 Sale of Parcel of Land Adjoining the Rear of 81 Niagara Street (Trinity Spadina, Ward 19)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 10, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, respecting Sale of Parcel of Land Adjoining the Rear of 81 Niagara Street, and recommending that:

- (1) the Offer to Purchase submitted by the purchaser of 81 Niagara Street, Tracy Jobe, to purchase the portion of the City-owned property that adjoins the rear limit of 81 Niagara Street be accepted on the terms and conditions outlined in the body of this report, and that either one of the Commissioner of Corporate Services or the Director of Real Estate Services be authorized to accept the Offer on behalf of the City;
- (2) the City Solicitor be authorized to complete the transaction on behalf of the City, including payment of any necessary expenses and amending the closing date to such earlier or later date as he considers reasonable; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 1, Clause 34)

1.74 Request for Endorsement of Events for Liquor Licensing Purposes

The Toronto Community Council had before it the following communications requesting endorsement of events for liquor licensing purposes:

- (January 7, 2002) from Pat Carpignano, Operations Director, Beaches Labour Day Festival respecting the Beaches Canada Day Festival taking place July 1, 2002 in Kew Gardens Park from 11:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.;
- (January 15, 2002) from from Pat Carpignano, Operations Director, Beaches Labour Day Festival respecting the Beaches International Jazz Festival taking place July 18-21, 2002 in Kew Gardens Park from 11:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.;
- (January 7, 2002) from Pat Carpignano, Operations Director, Beaches Labour Day Festival respecting the Beaches Labour Day Festival taking place September 2, 2002 in Kew Gardens Park, from 11:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.;
- (December 19, 2001) from Helen S. Smith, Director, Churchill Society for the Advancement of Parliamentary Democracy respecting a garden parking on June 1, 2002 from 3:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. To be held in the garden adjoining Trinity College and the Munk Centre on Devonshire Place, University of Toronto;
- (November 16, 2001) from Linda Franklin, Wine Council of Ontario, Sante': The Bloor-Yorkville Wine Festival respecting the The Bloor-Yorkville Wine Festival on May 1, 2002 to May 4, 2002 having Sante' declared a Significant Municipal Event;
- (January 14, 2002) from Rev. Liborio Tavares (Paster), St. Mary's Church respecting its festival "Senhor Santo Cristo" on May 4 and 5, 2002 – 2:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m.;
- (January 18, 2002) from Shakura S'Aida Schmed, Special Events Entertainment Liaison, Variety-The Children's Charity will be hosting "Rockin' 'Round the Roundhouse. The Ultimate '50's Experience" to be held at the Steam Whistle Brewing;
- (January 23, 2002) from Patti Marshall, Director of Operations, respecting Toronto Downtown Jazz Festival 2002 requesting declaration of the 16th annual

Toronto East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, January 29, 2002

Downtown Jazz festival as a special event of national significance. To be held June 21 through to June 30, 2002; and

- (February, 2002) from Lido Chilelli and Fernando Valladares, Producers, Toronto Fiesta Inc. requesting the festival "Taste of Little Italy" be declared an event of municipal significance. To be held June 14-15, 2002 from 12 noon – 11 p.m. daily on College Street between Shaw and Euclid.

On motion by Councillor Rae, with Councillor Ootes in the Chair, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that City Council, for liquor licensing purposes:

- (1) declare the following to be events of municipal and/or community significance and advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it has no objection to their taking place:
 - (a) Beaches Canada Day Festival to be held on July 1, 2002 at Woodbine Park;
 - (b) Beaches International Jazz Festival to be held from July 18, 2002 to July 21, 2002 inclusive at Kew Gardens;
 - (c) Beaches Labour Day Festival to be held on September 2, 2002 at Woodbine Park;
 - (d) Sante': The Bloor-Yorkville Wine Festival to be held from May 1, 2002 to May 4, 2002 inclusive;
 - (e) Senhor Santo Cristo Festival to be held on May 4 and 5, 2002;
 - (f) Taste of Little Italy Event to be held on June 14 and 15, 2002 from noon until 11:00 p.m. on College Street between Shaw Street and Euclid Avenue;
- (2) declare the du Maurier Downtown Jazz Festival 2002 taking place at various locations set out in the communication (January 23, 2002) from Ms. Patti Marshall from Friday, June 21 to Sunday, June 30, 2002 inclusive, to be an event of national and municipal significance and indicate that it has no objection to it taking place; nor to an extension of the serving hours until 4:00 a.m. at the Toronto Colony Hotel (the festival's official hotel) for the duration of the festival;
- (3) advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it is aware of the following events and has no objection to their taking place:

- (a) Garden Party to be held by the Churchill Society for the Advancement of Parliamentary Democracy on June 1, 2002 from 3:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. in the garden adjoining Trinity College and the Munk Centre on Devonshire Place, University of Toronto;
- (b) Variety “Rockin’ ‘Round the Roundhouse. The Ultimate ‘50’s Experience” event to be held at the Steam Whistle Brewing Company on February 2, 2002.

(Report No. 1, Clause 33)

1.75 Application to Amend the Precinct Agreement for the Railway Lands East, located between Rees and Yonge Streets, North of Lakeshore Boulevard West and South of the Rail Corridor (Trinity Spadina, Ward 20 and Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 21, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Application to Amend the Precinct Agreement for the Railway Lands East, located between Rees and Yonge Streets, North of Lakeshore Boulevard West and South of the Rail Corridor, and recommending that City Council authorize the City Solicitor, in consultation with appropriate city staff, to negotiate changes to the Precinct Agreement with the owner as outlined in this report and to report back to Council at the completion of these negotiations.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 1, Clause 31)

1.76 Community Improvement Plan for Kensington Market

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 22, 2002) from Councillor Chow, respecting Community Improvement Plan for Kensington Market.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to prepare a Community Improvement Plan for Kensington Market.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services – February 7, 2002)

(Report No. 1, Clause 64(r))

1.77 In-Camera Matter - Proposed or Pending Acquisition of Land for Municipal or Local Board Purposes

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a confidential communication (January 18, 2002) from Councillor Pantalone, having regard that the subject matter deals with proposed or pending acquisition of land for municipal or local board purposes.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council:

- (1) adjourned its public session to meeting in camera to consider the confidential communication (January 18, 2002) from the Councillor Pantalone, since the subject matter of the communication deals with proposed or pending acquisition of land for municipal or local board purposes; and
- (2) issued confidential requests to the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, with the request that they report, in camera if necessary, to the Toronto East York Community Council at its meeting to be held on February 25, 2002.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Corporate Services; Commissioner of Urban Development Services; City Solicitor – February 7, 2002)

(Report No. 1, Clause 64(s))

1.78 In Camera Matter - OMB Hearing regarding the Skydome Charter-Bus Parking Requirements and a Related Court Application to Close and Convey a Portion of Van De Water Crescent

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (January 21, 2002) from the City Solicitor, respecting OMB Hearing regarding the Skydome Charter-Bus Parking Requirements and a Related Court Application to Close and Convey a Portion of Van De Water Crescent.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council adjourned its public session to meet in Camera to discuss the confidential report (January 21, 2002) from the City Solicitor, having regard that the subject matter dealt with the receiving of advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council:

- (1) submitted this matter to Council without recommendation; and
- (2) recommended that, in accordance with the Municipal Act discussions pertaining to this matter be held in camera, since the matter is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose.

On a further motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council requested the City Solicitor to report directly to Council, in camera, if necessary, on this matter.

(Letter sent to: City Solicitor; c: Stephen Bradley, Solicitor – February 4, 2002)

(Report No. 1, Clause 23)

1.79 Heritage Conservation District Study – South Riverdale (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (January 24, 2002) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting Heritage Conservation District Study – South Riverdale, and recommending that:

- (1) Council authorize the acceptance of a cash donation in the amount of \$40,000 to be directed towards funding a Heritage Conservation District study for the South Rosedale District;
- (2) Subject to the terms and conditions of the Heritage Conservation District study being established to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism;
 - (a) Council authorize a one-time contribution in the amount of \$40,000 to the South Rosedale Ratepayers' Association to undertake the Heritage Conservation District Study for the South Rosedale District;
 - (b) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be authorized to issue an income tax receipt to the donor for the funds donated;
- (3) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be directed to report to a future meeting of City Council on the results of a completed Heritage Conservation District study for the South Rosedale District; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On further motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 1, Clause 20)

1.80 Installation of a Pedestrian Actuated Traffic Control Signal – Kingston Road Approximately 30.0 Metres East of Glen Manor Drive (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (January 22, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting Installation of a Pedestrian Actuated Traffic Control Signal – Kingston Road Approximately 30.0 Metres East of Glen Manor Drive, and recommending that:

- (1) a pedestrian actuated traffic control signal be installed on Kingston Road at a point 30.0 metres east of the east curb line of Glen Manor Drive coincident with the removal of the existing pedestrian crossover at the intersection of Kingston Road and Glen Manor Drive;
- (2) should Council approve the installation of the pedestrian actuated traffic control signal the recommendations contained in Appendix “A” related to the associated adjustment of traffic and parking regulations be adopted; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 1, Clause 19)

1.81 444 College Street: Recommendation for Approval of Variance from Chapter 297 Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (January 24, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 444 College Street: Recommendation for Approval of Variance from Chapter 297 Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and recommending that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services report directly to

Toronto City Council at its meeting of February 13, 14 and 15, 2002 on details of an exterior signage proposal and the required variances for the heritage property at 444 College Street, known as College Park.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council submitted this matter to Council without recommendation.

On a further motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report directly to Council on details of an exterior signage proposal and the required variances for the heritage property at 444 College Street, known as College Park.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Director, Community Planning, South District; Elyse Parker, Senior Planner, East Section – February 4, 2002)

(Report No. 1, Clause 14)

1.82 Rooming House Hearings – 207 Berkeley Street (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council allowed the introduction of a communication (January 25, 2002) from Councillor McConnell, respecting Rooming House Hearings – 207 Berkeley Street.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that the City Solicitor attend any and all rooming house hearings pertaining to 207 Berkeley Street in the coming months, to support the community in its efforts to address the problems with this site.

(Report No. 1, Clause 13)

1.83 Ontario Municipal Board Appeal – 356 Eastern Avenue (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council allowed the introduction of a communication (undated) from Councillor Layton, respecting Ontario Municipal Board Appeal – 356 Eastern Avenue.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that the City Solicitor be instructed to attend the Ontario Municipal Board Hearing in support of the Committee of Adjustment Decision respecting 356 Eastern Avenue.

(Report No. 1, Clause 1)

1.84 Expansion of the Toronto City Centre Airport (Island Airport)

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council allowed the introduction of a communication (January 29, 2002) from Councillors Olivia Chow and Pam McConnell, respecting Expansion of the Toronto City Centre Airport (Island Airport).

On a further motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council requested:

- (1) the Chief Planner to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee, at its meeting to be held on March 25, 2002, regarding the compatibility of the current airport operation and the proposed expanded island airport with the Waterfront Revitalization Plan, and having directed him, in the preparation of the report, to consult with the Medical Officer of Health regarding the health and environmental issues that are relevant to this matter, in particular, the Toronto Cancer Prevention Coalition's concerns; and
- (2) the City Solicitor to submit an opinion at that time on the potential liability to the City resulting from any amendment to the Tripartite Agreement suggested by the recent British Columbia lawsuit regarding the nuisance caused by the expansion of the Vancouver Airport; and
- (3) the City Clerk to forward to the Waterfront Reference Group, for its meeting to be held on February 20, 2002, the action of the Toronto East York Community Council.

(Letters sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services, Attn: Mr. Paul Bedford, Chief Planner and Executive Director; City Solicitor; c: Waterfront Reference Group; Administrator, Planning and Transportation Committee; and Waterfront Reference Group; c: Commissioner of Urban Development Services, Attn: Mr. Paul Bedford, Chief Planner and Executive Director; City Solicitor; – February 8, 2002)

(Report No. 1, Clause 64(t))

The Committee adjourned its meeting at 3:40 p.m.

Vice-Chair

Attendance

| January 29, 2002 | 9:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m. | 2:00 p.m. to 3.40 p.m. |
|------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Rae (Vice-Chair) | X | X |
| Bussin | X | X |
| Chow | X | X |
| Layton | X | X |
| McConnell | X | X |
| Ootes | X | X |
| Pantalone | X | X |
| Tziretas | X | X |
| Mayor Lastman | | |

* Members were present for some or all of the time indicated.