#### THE CITY OF TORONTO

#### **Clerk's Department**

### **Minutes of the Toronto East York Community Council**

#### Meeting No. 8

Tuesday, September 17, 2002

The Toronto East York Community Council met on Tuesday, September 17, 2002, in Committee Room No. 1, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:30 a.m.

No interests were declared pursuant to the Municipal Conflict of Interest Act.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council confirmed the Minutes of its Meetings held on May 7, 2002, and June 4, 2002.

8.1 Draft By-Law – Narrowing of the Roadway and Realignment of Curbs – Adelaide Street West between Tecumseth Street to Portugal Square (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a draft by-laws from the City Solicitor respecting Narrowing of the Roadway and Realignment of Curbs – Adelaide Street West between Tecumseth Street to Portugal Square.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it Clause 37 of the Toronto East York Community Council Report No. 7, titled "Proposed Intersection Modifications – Adelaide Street West and Portugal Square (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)", which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on May 21, 22 and 23, 2002.

Pursuant to the <u>Municipal Act</u>, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 26, September 2, 9 and 16, 2002 and no one addressed the Toronto East York Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report 10, Clause 1)

8.2 Draft By-Laws – Modifications by Landscaping, Eliminating an Intersection and Closing to Vehicular Traffic – Southerly Branch of Gerrard Street East, between Boston Avenue and Gerrard Street East (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it draft by-laws from the City Solicitor respecting Modifications by Landscaping, Eliminating an Intersection and Closing to Vehicular Traffic – Southerly Branch of Gerrard Street East, between Boston Avenue and Gerrard Street East.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it Clause 37 of the Toronto East York Community Council Report No. 8, titled "Proposed Alteration to the Southerly Branch of Gerrard Street East, between Boston Avenue and Gerrard Street East (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)", to be considered by City Council at its meeting to be held on June 18, 2002.

Pursuant to the <u>Municipal Act</u>, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 26, September 2, 9 and 16, 2002 and no one addressed the Toronto East York Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that by-laws in the form of the draft by-laws be enacted.

#### (Report 10, Clause 2)

8.3 Draft By-Law – Narrowing the Pavement on the South Side and Constructing a Sidewalk – Liberty Street, between Atlantic Avenue to Hanna Avenue (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a draft by-law from the City Solicitor respecting Narrowing the Pavement on the South Side and Constructing a Sidewalk – Liberty Street, between Atlantic Avenue to Hanna Avenue.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it Clause 49 of the Toronto East York Community Council Report No. 7, titled "Construction of Sidewalk and Narrowing of Roadway – Liberty Street, between Atlantic Avenue and Hanna Avenue (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)", which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on May 21, 22 and 23, 2002.

Pursuant to the <u>Municipal Act</u>, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 26, September 2, 9 and 16, 2002 and no one addressed the Toronto East York Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report 10, Clause 3)

# 8.4 Draft By-Law – Narrowing the Northwest Corner and Realigning the Curb – Ossington Avenue at Dupont Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a draft by-law from the City Solicitor respecting Narrowing the Northwest Corner and Realigning the Curb – Ossington Avenue at Dupont Street.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it Clause 36 of the Toronto East York Community Council Report No. 7, titled "Proposed Intersection Modifications – Ossington Avenue and Dupont Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)", which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on May 21, 22 and 23, 2002.

Pursuant to the <u>Municipal Act</u>, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 26, September 2, 9 and 16, 2002 and no one addressed the Toronto East York Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

### (Report 10, Clause 4)

# 8.5 Draft By-Law – Renaming Portions of Lower Portland Street, between Front Street West and Queens Quay West as Dan Leckie Way (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a draft by-law from the City Solicitor respecting Renaming Portions of Lower Portland Street, between Front Street West and Queens Quay West as Dan Leckie Way.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it Clause 52 of Toronto East York Community Council Report No. 7, titled "Renaming of Lower Portland Street to Dan Leckie Way (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)", which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on May 21, 22 and 23, 2002.

Pursuant to the <u>Municipal Act</u>, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 26, September 2, 9 and 16, 2002 and no one addressed the Toronto East York Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report 10, Clause 5)

# 8.6 Draft By-Law – Installation of Speed Humps – Balsam Avenue, Beech Avenue to Pine Avenue (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a draft by-law from the City Solicitor respecting Installation of Speed Humps – Balsam Avenue, Beech Avenue to Pine Avenue.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following clause/report:

- Clause 56 of the Toronto East York Community Council Report No. 1, titled "Installation of Speed Humps Balsam Avenue, between Beech Avenue and Pine Avenue (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)", which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on February 13, 14 and 15, 2002; and
- (June 5, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting Speed Hump Poll Results.

Pursuant to the <u>Municipal Act</u>, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 26, September 2, 9 and 16, 2002 and Alan Burke, East Beach Community Association, appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

#### (Report 10, Clause 6)

# 8.7 Draft By-Law – Installation of Speed Humps – Willow Avenue, between Balsam Avenue and Pine Avenue (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a draft by-law from the City Solicitor respecting Installation of Speed Humps – Willow Avenue, between Balsam Avenue and Pine Avenue.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following clause/report:

Clause 57 of the Toronto East York Community Council Report No. 1, titled "Installation of Speed Humps – Willow Avenue, between Balsam Avenue and Pine Avenue (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)", which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on February 13, 14 and 15, 2002; and

- (June 5, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1 submitting Speed Hump Poll Results and requesting Modification to Speed Hump Plan for Willow Avenue.

Pursuant to the <u>Municipal Act</u>, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 26, September 2, 9 and 16, 2002 and Alan Burke, East Beach Community Association, appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the proposed speed hump plan for Willow Avenue be modified through the inclusion of one additional speed hump fronting Premises Nos. 232 and 234 Willow Avenue; and
- (2) a by-law in the form of the draft by-law, as amended by Recommendation No. (1), be enacted.

#### (Report 10, Clause 7)

# 8.8 Draft By-Law – Installation of Speed Humps – Kenilworth Avenue, between Queen Street East and Kewbeach Avenue (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a draft by-law from the City Solicitor respecting Installation of Speed Humps – Kenilworth Avenue, between Queen Street East and Kewbeach Avenue.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it Clause 70 of the Toronto East York Community Council Report No. 4, titled "Installation of Speed Humps – Kenilworth Avenue, between Queen Street East and Kewbeach Avenue (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)", which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on April 16, 17 and 18, 2002.

Pursuant to the <u>Municipal Act</u>, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 26, September 2, 9 and 16, 2002 and Alan Burke, East Beach Community Association, appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report 10, Clause 8)

# 8.9 Draft By-Law – Installation of Speed Humps – Kippendavie Avenue, between Queen Street East and Kewbeach Avenue (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a draft by-law from the City Solicitor respecting Installation of Speed Humps – Kippendavie Avenue, between Queen Street East and Kewbeach Avenue.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following clause/report:

- Clause 51 of the Toronto East York Community Council Report No. 4, titled "Installation of Speed Humps Kippendavie Avenue, between Queen Street East and Kewbeach Avenue (Beaches-East York, Ward 32), which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on April 16, 17 and 18, 2002; and
- (August 12, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, submitting speed hump poll results.

Pursuant to the <u>Municipal Act</u>, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 26, September 2, 9 and 16, 2002 and Alan Burke, East Beach Community Association, appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

#### (Report 10, Clause 9)

# 8.10 Draft By-Law - Stop Up and Close Portions of Scrivener Square, extending Easterly from Yonge Street, north of Price Street, to a depth of not more than 0.5 metres below Finished Grade (Toronto Centre – Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a draft by-law from the City Solicitor respecting Stop Up and Close Portions of Scrivener Square, extending Easterly from Yonge Street, north of Price Street, to a depth of not more than 0.5 metres below Finished Grade.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it Clause 31 of the Toronto East York Community Council Report No. 9, titled "Surplus Land Declaration and Proposed Closing and Conveyancing of Portions of Scrivener Square – extending easterly from Yonge Street, north of Price Street, and Conveyance of certain lands to the City for Public Highway Purposes (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)", which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on December 4, 5 and 6, 2001.

Pursuant to the <u>Municipal Act</u>, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on August 26, September 2, 9 and 16, 2002 and no one addressed the Toronto East York Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

#### (Report 10, Clause 10)

# 8.11 Request for Approval of a Minor Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 442 Bloor Street West (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (June 10, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Request for Approval of a Minor Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 442 Bloor Street West (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20), and recommending that the request for minor variances be refused for the reasons outlined in this report.

Cara Levy, Baram Original Canada, appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on October 15, 2002 and requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to consult with the applicant and report at that time on the following proposal:

- "(1) That each year, the sign location will be made available for three months for public art display (free of charge) or made available for non-profit organizations for public announcement purposes;
- (2) That the applicant will pay for the cost of selection of artists, installation and production of the work; and
- (3) That the selection of the artists should be done with community consultation and the City's cultural affairs department".

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Director, Community Planning, South District; Norm Girdhar, Planner, West Section – September 25, 2002)

(**Report 10, Clause 75(a)**)

# 8.12 Request for Approval of a Variance from the Former Borough of East York Sign By-Law No. 64-87, As Amended – 901 O'Connor Drive (Beaches-East York, Ward 31)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (June 13, 2002) from the Director of Building and Deputy Chief Building Official, East District, respecting a Request for Approval of a Variance from the Former Borough of East York Sign By-Law No. 64-87, As Amended – 901 O'Connor Drive, and recommending that the request for variance be refused for the reasons outlined in this report.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (August 27, 2002) from M. and P. Menicucci, applicants.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Steve Wolowich, Skye Media; and
- Frances Menicucci.

On motion by Councillor Tziretas, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that the request for approval of a variance from the former Borough of East York Sign By-law No. 64-87, as amended, for a Billboard sign at 901 O'Connor Drive be approved.

#### (Report 10, Clause 12)

# 8.13 Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 280 Spadina Avenue (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 19, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting a Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 280 Spadina Avenue, and recommending that the request for minor variances be refused for the reasons outlined in this report.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (September 14, 2002) from Walker Kwok Wai Kwong, Canada Textiles Wholesale;
- (September 16, 2002) from Kevin Lee, Executive Director, Scadding Court Community Centre;

- (September 16, 2002) from William Wong, member, Toronto's Chinatown Business Community;
- (September 11, 2002) from Stephen Wong, Chairman, The Mandarin Club of Toronto;
- (September 16, 2002) from Bellie Leung, Branch Manager, Bank of Montreal;
- (September 13, 2002) from the President, MTCC 801;
- (September 13, 2002) from Shiaki Ngai, Kum Yen Import Co.;
- (September 12, 2002) from the proprietor of Zees Hair Design; and
- (September 11, 2002) from Tonny Louie.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Tonny Louie, Spadina/Kensington Property-Owner Association;
- Barbara Kwan; and
- Peter Lau, Hyde Park Management Consultant Ltd.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council submitted this matter to Council without recommendation.

On further motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council also requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to consult with the applicant and report directly to Council on the following options:

- (1) That the sign application be approved, subject to the following conditions:
  - (a) That each year, the sign location will be made available for three months for public art display (free of charge) or made available for non-profit organizations for public announcement purposes;
  - (b) That the applicant will pay for the cost of selection of artists, installation and production of the work; and
  - (c) That the selection of the artists should be done with community consultation and the City's cultural affairs department; or
- (2) That the proposed sign be limited to the upper portion of the entranceway in line with the overhang of the building.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; c: Director, Community Planning, South District; Norm Girdhar, Assistant Planner, West Section; Allen Chan, Dragon City Developments, 131 Baldwin Street, Toronto M5T 1L7 – September 20, 2002)

#### (Report 10, Clause 13)

# 8.14 Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 60 York Street (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (July 25, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting a Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 60 York Street, and recommending that the request for minor variances be refused for the reasons outlined in this report.

Ross Dann, Prime Outdoor, appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that the request for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs of the Former City of Toronto to permit, for third party advertising purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign on the south elevation of the building at 60 York Street be refused.

#### (Report 10, Clause 14)

# 8.15 Public Meeting Pursuant to the <u>Planning Act</u> respecting Amendment to the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law – 111 Queen's Park (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (June 5, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Amendment to the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law – 111 Queen's Park, and recommending that City Council:

- (1) amend the Official Plan for the former City of Toronto substantially in accordance with the draft Official Plan Amendment attached as Attachment No. 5;
- (2) amend the Zoning By-law 438-86 for the former City of Toronto substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment No. 6.

- (3) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Official Plan Amendment and draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required; and
- (4) prior to the issuance of a building permit, require the applicant to enter into a Site Plan Agreement under Section 41 of the <u>Planning Act</u>.

The Toronto East York Community Council held a statutory public meeting on September 17, 2002 and notice was given in accordance with the <u>Planning Act</u>.

Michael Bowman, Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt, LLP, appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in support of the application.

On motion by Councillor Rae, with Councillor Bussin in the Chair, the Toronto East York Community Council unanimously recommended the adoption of the report (June 5, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District.

#### (Report 10, Clause 15)

8.16 Public Meeting Pursuant to the <u>Planning Act</u> respecting Amendment to the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law – 637 Lake Shore Boulevard West (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (June 17, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Amendment to the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law – 637 Lake Shore Boulevard West, and reviewing and recommending approval of an application to amend the Zoning By-law for the (former) City of Toronto for the conversion of the existing Tip Top Tailor building, and a six storey rooftop addition for a total of 243 residential units on the north half of 637 Lake Shore Boulevard West.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following report/communications:

- (June 5, 2002) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, recommending that the property at 637 Lakeshore Boulevard West Tip Top Tailors, be designated under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act and requesting City Council authority to enter into an Heritage Easement Agreement (HEA) with the owner;
- (June 19, 2002) from Brenda Roman, in opposition to the proposed development; and

- (June 24, 2002) from Gerry Quigley, Housing Co-operative Inc., in opposition and expressing concerns respecting increased traffic and reduced parking.

The Toronto East York Community Council held a statutory public meeting on September 17, 2002 and notice was given in accordance with the <u>Planning Act</u>.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Howard Cohen, Context Development Inc. in support of the proposed development; and
- Sylvia Pellman, Bathurst Quay Neighbourhood Association, in opposition to the proposed development and expressing concern on density, parking and traffic issues.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the foregoing reports (June 17, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District and (June 5, 2002) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be adopted; and
- (2) City Council confirm that the approval of Phase I will not prejudice the City's review of Phase II in a comprehensive context, or guarantee a particular level of density permissions on Phase II.

#### (Report 10, Clause 16)

8.17 Public Meeting Pursuant to the <u>Planning Act</u> respecting Zoning By-Law Amendment – 85 Harbour Street, 10 and 20 Bay Street (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 27, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Zoning By-Law Amendment — 85 Harbour Street, 10 and 20 Bay Street, and reviewing and recommending approval of an application to amend the Zoning By-law to add non-residential uses to the west half of the Waterpark Place site to permit a residential or commercial building at 85 Harbour Street.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (August 27, 2002) from Dipl Ing. Laszlo J. Jarmai, objecting to the proposed development:

- (September 3, 2002) from Malcolm King, objecting to the proposal and expressing concerns respecting traffic impact;
- (September 10, 2002) from Judy Stewart, Harbourside Condominium Owners' and Residents' Association, objecting to the proposed development;
- (September 10, 2002) from Judy Stewart, Harbourside Condominium Owners' and Residents' Association, submitting 362 signatures in opposition to the proposed development;
- (September 3, 2002) from Keith Spence, The Harbour Square Residents Working Group, submitting 184 signatures in opposition to the proposed development respecting shade and sight impact;
- (September 16, 2002) from Gary & Ivy Lim, in opposition to the proposed development;
- (September 12, 2002) from Robert Ruggles, in opposition to the proposed development;
- (undated) submission from Faruk Fattah, in opposition to the proposed development;
- (September 13, 2002) from Judy Stewart, Harbourside Condominium Owners' and Residents' Association, in opposition to the proposed development;
- (undated) submission from Lloyd B. Graham, in opposition to the proposed development;
- (undated) submission from Nick Elson, in opposition to the proposed development; and
- (September 9, 2002) from Michael Colgrass, Harbour Square Working Group, in opposition to the proposed development.

The Toronto East York Community Council held a statutory public meeting on September 17, 2002 and notice was given in accordance with the <u>Planning Act</u>.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

Judy Stewart, Harbourside Condominium Owners' and Residents' Association; in opposition and expressing concern on: inappropriate development; inferior

standard parking design; negative impact on sunlight; and the unacceptable traffic volumes;

- Faruk Fatta, York Condominium Corporation No. 510; in opposition and expressing concern on parking and traffic volumes;
- Michael Colgrass, Harbour Square Working Group; in opposition and expressing concern on parking and pollution issues;
- Malcolm King, York Condominium Corporation No. 510; in opposition and expressing concern on traffic issues;
- Sharon Mu, Harbourside Condominium Owners' and Residents' Association; in opposition and expressing concern on parking issues;
- Doreen Pooley, Harbourside Condominium Owners' and Residents' Association; in opposition and expressing concern on parking issues;
- Lloyd B. Graham, Harbourside Condominium Owners' and Residents' Association; in opposition and expressing concern on the retro grade;
- Catherine Connally, MTCC #1396 & Harbourfront Community Association; in opposition and expressing concern on traffic issues;
- Andrea A. Gabor, Urban Strategies Inc., Applicant;
- Patrick J. Devine, Goodman and Carr; on behalf of the applicant in support of the application;
- Keith Spence, Harbour Front Residents' Working Group; in opposition and expressing concern on the setback of the building and negative impact on sunlight;
- Bill James, 65 Harbour Square; in opposition and expressing concern on traffic issues; and
- Kenneth Soehner, in opposition and expressing concern on traffic issues.

The Toronto East York Community Council:

(1) adjourned its public meeting pursuant to the <u>Planning Act</u> until October 15, 2002, together with the following motions:

"By Councillor McConnell:

- (a) That City Council confirm that the new building envelope for 85 Harbour Street is only applicable for a commercial building and that any future residential building on this site must conform with the existing building envelope permissions to protect the view corridor to the downtown for residents of Harbour Square;
- (b) That City Council confirm that the roof top parking garage include trellises and other structures to hide the view of parked cars for the neighbouring residents at Harbour Square;
- (c) That City Council confirm that live/work units be permitted on the Queens Quay West frontage of 85 Harbour Street so that a safe, lively street is encouraged and vacant retail does not become a blight on Queens Quay West;
- (d) That City Council confirm that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, at the expense of the applicant, conduct a study six months after construction, in consultation with Ward Councillor, to assess the impact of the propsed new parking structure on traffic on streets adjacent to the new commercial building, particularly at the mid-block intersection between York and Bay Streets. Furthermore, that the applicant be requested to fund any changes recommended by the report to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services so that the residents of Harbour Square are not unduly impeded from entering or exiting their residences;
- (e) That City Council direct the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to undertake necessary work to improve traffic flow through the intersection of Bay Street and Queens Quay West by creating three southbound and two northbound lanes and constructing a vendor lay-by on the east side of Bay Street. Furthermore, that the applicant contribute financially to these improvements around their site by assisting with necessary work at the intersection of Queens Quay West and Bay Street;

#### By Councillor Chow:

That City Council request the Minister of Transportation to increase bus parking fines according to City Council's previous recommendations to the Minister in this regard";

- (2) requested the following officials to report to the Toronto East Community Council on October 15, 2002:
  - (a) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with appropriate officials, on the design of the building which would allow for

- a future parking exit/entrance on Harbour Street (at the City's sole discretion) to reduce the traffic on Queens Quay West;
- (b) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, on the merits of prohibiting left turns in or out of the midblock exit from the parking structure at 85 Harbour Street to Queens Quay West;
- (3) requested the applicant and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, in consultation with appropriate officials, to review the east-west and north-south "green" and pedestrian connections, including the public right-of-way and submit to the Toronto East York Community Council, on October 15, 2002, suggested improvements or principles that can be incorporated in the landscape competition or in the Site Plan;
- (4) requested the applicant and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with appropriate officials, to examine the Fort York Boulevard/Gardiner Expressway example to determine how it might provide a solution for the Harbour Street/Gardiner Expressway exit, and to report to the Toronto East York Community Council on October 15, 2002; and
- (5) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with appropriate officials, to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee that all future studies required for all Official Plan Amendments, Rezonings and Site Plan Applications be commissioned by the City, with the costs of such studies being borne, in total, by the applicant.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; Planning and Transportation Committee; Carlo Bonanni, Senior Planner, Waterfront Section – September 25, 2002)

#### (**Report 10, Clause 75(b)**)

8.18 Settlement Report - Application to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law and Application for Site Plan Approval - 466, 466R & 468 Brunswick Avenue (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 30, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Application to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law and Application for Site Plan Approval - 466, 466R & 468 Brunswick Avenue, and providing final recommendations respecting the terms of a settlement of the owners' appeal to the Ontario Municipal Board of applications for amendments to the Official Plan and Zoning By-law and Site Plan Approval for an infill redevelopment and renovation project that includes the construction of six townhouses at

466 (Rear) Brunswick Avenue and the conversion of a four unit rental property at 466 Brunswick Avenue to a four unit condominium. An existing legal rooming house containing eight units would be retained.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (September 10, 2002) from Barry Glaspell, addressed to the Director, Community Planning, South District; and
- (September 16, 2002) from Don Logie and Martyn Burke, Savetheannex.com.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- George Popper;
- Martyn Burke, Savetheannex.com;
- Don Logie, Savetheannex.com;
- Laura Morten;
- Steve Bellantoni; and
- Barry Glaspell.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that the applications for amendments to the Official Plan and Zoning Bylaw and Site Plan Approval for 466, 466R and 468 Brunswick Avenue be refused.

#### (Report 10, Clause 18)

8.19 Request for Direction – Applications to Amend the East York Official Plan and Zoning By-Law 6752 and Site Plan Control Approval – 900 Coxwell Avenue (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 22, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, East District, respecting Applications to Amend the East York Official Plan and Zoning By-Law 6752 and Site Plan Control Approval – 900 Coxwell Avenue, and requesting Council's direction on applications, which have been appealed to the Ontario Municipal Board, (OMB) to amend the Official Plan for the Former Borough of East York and Zoning By-law 6752 and seeking Site Plan approval for eight townhouse residences.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report 10, Clause 74)

8.20 Refusal Report - Application for Amendments to the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law 438-86 and Site Plan Approval - 76 & 100 Davenport Road, Part of 3 McAlpine Street, and City-Owned Lands Used for Public Lane Purposes (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 23, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Application for Amendments to the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law 438-86 and Site Plan Approval - 76 & 100 Davenport Road, Part of 3 McAlpine Street, and City-Owned Lands Used for Public Lane Purposes, and recommending that City Council:

- (1) Refuse Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendment Application and Site Plan Approval Application No. 201004.
- (2) Request the City Solicitor, the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, and any other appropriate staff to oppose the Ontario Municipal Board appeal and referral made by the applicant on Application No. 201004 and authorize staff to oppose any future appeal of the Site Plan.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (September 16, 2002) from Gee Chung, Greater Yorkville Residents Association;
- (September 16, 2002) from Maria Elias, Belmont House;
- (September 10, 2002) from Robert Saunderson, Bloor-Yorkville Business Improvement Area; and
- (September 13, 2002) from Jurgen H. Racherbaumer, MTCC 562.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Briar de Lange, Bloor-Yorkville BIA;
- Bruce Young, Asquith Collier Association; and
- Ajon Moriyama, Moriyama Properties to the East.

On motion by Councillor Rae, with Councillor Bussin in the Chair, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that City Council:

(1) refuse Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendment Application and Site Plan Approval Application No. 201004;

- (2) request the City Solicitor, the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, and any other appropriate staff to oppose the Ontario Municipal Board appeal and referral made by the applicant on Application No. 201004 and authorize staff to oppose any future appeal of the Site Plan; and
- (3) not approve the closing and conveyancing of the public street (Blackmore Street) and lanes required for this development unless substantial changes are made to the proposal which address the issues of scale, massing, siting of buildings such that the City's stated policies for height, building envelope, and light, view and privacy are met.

#### (Report 10, Clause 20)

8.21 Final Report – Application for Amendment to the Zoning By-Law for a Phased Office Development at 381-411 Richmond Street East, 366 Richmond Street East, 424-460 Adelaide Street East and 69-75 Sherbourne Street (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (June 21, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Application for Amendment to the Zoning By-Law for a Phased Office Development at 381-411 Richmond Street East, 366 Richmond Street East, 424-460 Adelaide Street East and 69-75 Sherbourne Street, and recommending approval in principle of an amendment to the Zoning By-law for a phased office development at 381-411 Richmond Street East, 366 Richmond Street East and 424-460 Adelaide Street East.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council:

- (1) adopted in principle the foregoing report and requested that the draft zoning bylaw amendment be submitted to the Toronto East York Community Council at its meeting to be held on October 15, 2002; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to meet with residents and businesses surrounding the proposed streetscape improvements on Parliament Street between Queen and King Streets to discuss community priorities and preferences.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; City Solicitor; c: Lance Alexander, Senior Planner, East Section – September 25, 2002)

(**Report 10, Clause 75(c)**)

# 8.22 Preliminary Report - Applications to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law - Canada Life Assurance Company - 180 Queen Street West (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (June 5, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Applications to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law – Canada Life Assurance Company – 180 Queen Street West, and recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
- (2) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) notice for the Public Meeting under the <u>Planning Act</u> be given according to the regulations under the <u>Planning Act</u>.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following report/communications:

- (August 28, 2002) from Director, Community Planning, South District;
- (July 17, 2002) from Ceta Ramkhalawansingh;
- (August 29, 2002) from Ceta Ramkhalawansingh;
- (September 13, 2002) from Gary Moriarty;
- (September 16, 2002) from John Fischer; and
- (September 12, 2002) from Suzanne M. Bruce.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Suzanne M. Bruce;
- Ceta Ramkhalawansingh;
- Laura Wingeat;
- Alexandra Chyczij, Executive Director, the Advocates' Society; and
- Steve Diamond, McCarthy Tetrault.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council:

(1) adopted the foregoing preliminary report;

- (2) directed that the notice area for the community meeting be expanded to 300m, the cost to be borne by the applicant;
- requested that the community meeting be based on the new plans which have been submitted;
- (4) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report to the Toronto East York Community Council on:
  - (a) the timing of the Federal Government's RFP and approval process for the relocation of the federal courts;
  - (b) the impact of the proposed development on Pullan Place, immediately north of the proposed development; and
- (5) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and the applicant to give consideration to the issues raised by the deputants.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services (no encl.); Executive Director and Chief Planner (no encl.); Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto East York Community Council Solicitor, Attn: John Paton; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director of Real Estate Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police, Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons (no encl.); c: Director, Community Planning, South District; Helen Coombs, Senior Planner, West Section – September 26, 2002)

### (**Report 10, Clause 75(d)**)

8.23 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 400 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking for Two Vehicles at 56 Concord Avenue (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 29, 2002) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting a Request for an Exemption from Chapter 400 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking for Two Vehicles at 56 Concord Avenue.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (September 16, 2002) from Judie Hart.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that City Council:

- (1) deny the application for front yard parking for two vehicles at 56 Concord Avenue; and
- (2) approve the application for front yard parking for one vehicle at 56 Concord Avenue, as shown on Appendix 'A', of the report (August 29, 2002) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Tranportation Services, District 1, subject to:
  - (a) the excess paving being removed so as to provide the required soft landscape on private property and the City boulevard;
  - (b) the parking area not to exceed 2.6 m by 5.0 m in dimension; and
  - (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

### (Report 10, Clause 23)

# 8.24 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Additional Commercial Boulevard Parking fronting 267 Niagara Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 29, 2002) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting a Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Additional Commercial Boulevard Parking fronting 267 Niagara Street, and recommending that City Council deny the application to reconfigure the existing licensed parallel parking to facilitate four additional commercial boulevard parking spaces positioned angled to the travelled roadway fronting 267 Niagara Street.

Jeffrey Engel, Wm. Engel Realty Ltd., appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on October 15, 2002 to permit the Ward Councillor to consult with the applicant.

(Letter sent to: Mr. Jeffery Engel, Wm. Engel Co. Ltd., 267 Niagara Street, Toronto M6J 2L7; c: Councillor Pantalone; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;

Alison E. Smith, Licensing and Processing Clerk, Off Street Parking – September 30, 2002)

#### (**Report 10, Clause 75(e)**)

# 8.25 Installation of All-Way "Stop" Sign Control – Intersection of Selwyn Avenue and Gardens Crescent (Beaches-East York, Ward 31)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (June 13, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Installation of All-Way "Stop" Sign Control – Intersection of Selwyn Avenue and Gardens Crescent, and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (June 27, 2002) from Joan Middleton;
- (June 25, 2002) from Margo Ross; and
- petition from Selwyn Public School with 61 signatures in support of the installation of the sign.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Patti Andrews, Selwyn School;
- Lynn Francis, Selwyn School Council; and
- Allen Gaw, Topham Park Homeowners Association.

On motion by Councillor Tziretas, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that a "stop" sign control be installed at the intersection of Selwyn Avenue and Gardens Crescent, funds being available from Transportation Services 2002 Operating Budget Account No. TP0126.

### (Report 10, Clause 25)

# 8.26 7 Stewart Street (St. John The Evangelist Parish Hall and Sunday School) – Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 20, 2002) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting 7

Stewart Street (St. John The Evangelist Parish Hall and Sunday School) – Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties, and recommending that the property at 7 Stewart Street (St. John the Evangelist Sunday School and Parish Hall) is not recommended for inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (September 13, 2002) from Manager, Heritage Preservation Services.

The Toronto Community Council noted that the building had been demolished and the matter was withdrawn.

**8.27 Residential Demolition Application – 442 Summerhill Avenue** (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 19, 2002) from the Deputy Chief Building Official and Director of Building, respecting Residential Demolition Application – 442 Summerhill Avenue.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (September 3, 2002) from Robert McMullen and Bradley McMullen, Summerhill Market.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that City Council approve the application to demolish the subject residential building at 442 Summerhill Avenue with the following conditions:

- (a) that a fence be erected in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Code, Chapter 363, Article III, if deemed appropriate by the Chief Building Official;
- (b) that all debris and rubble be removed immediately after demolition;
- (c) that the site be maintained free of garbage and weeds, in accordance with the Municipal Code Chapter 623-5 and 629-10, Paragraph B; and
- (d) that any holes on the property be backfilled with clean fill.

(Report 10, Clause 27)

8.28 Revocation of Sidewalk/Boulevard Vending Licence – North Side of Bloor Street West, 18.06 Metres West of Yonge Street at 2 Bloor Street West (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 22, 2002) from the Manager, Municipal Licensing And Standards, respecting Revocation of Sidewalk/Boulevard Vending Licence – North Side of Bloor Street West, 18.06 Metres West of Yonge Street at 2 Bloor Street West, and recommending that City Council not revoke the sidewalk/boulevard license on Bloor Street West at 2 Bloor Street West.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (September 13, 2002) from Briar de Lange, Bloor-Yorkville Business Improvement Area.

On motion by Councillor Rae, with Councillor Bussin in the Chair, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that City Council, based on the concerns raised in the report (August 22, 2002) from the Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards and on the concerns raised by Briar de Lange, Bloor-Yorkville Business Improvement Area, revoke the sidewalk/boulevard licence on Bloor Street West at 2 Bloor Street West.

#### (Report 10, Clause 28)

# 8.29 Suspension of Boulevard Marketing Licences of Businesses Located at 247, 253, 293 and 300 Spadina Avenue (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (June 11, 2002) from the Acting Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, respecting Suspension of Boulevard Marketing Licences of Businesses Located at 247, 253, 293 and 300 Spadina Avenue, and recommending that City Council suspend the boulevard marketing licences of businesses that have been in contravention of their licensing agreements three times or more, and further, City Council authorize Urban Development Services to cancel the boulevard marketing licences of businesses who contravene their boulevard marketing agreements three times or more.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (September 10, 2002) from Richard P. Quance, Himmelfarb Proszanski, on behalf of T&C Produce, requesting deferral.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on January 21, 2003, and requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, to report at that time on improvements respecting vending and garbage (including illegal vendors).

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Acting Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards – September 26, 2002)

(**Report 10, Clause 75(f)**)

#### **8.30 Enactment of Municipal Shelter By-Law** (All Wards)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it Clause 1 contained in Joint Report No. 2 of The Planning and Transportation Committee and The Community Services Committee, headed "Enactment of a Municipal Shelter By-law", which was referred by City Council at its meeting held on April 16, 17 and 18, 2002.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (April 20, 2002) from Lester Brown, Gooderham and Worts Neighbourhood Association;
- (June 28, 2002) from Pierre Klein, President, Cabbagetown South;
- (June 27, 2002) from Julia McWally;
- (August 14, 2002) from Fran Hill, Queen East Business and Residents Association;
- (September 9, 2002) from Chris Hutcheson, Corktown Residents & Business Association;
- (September 12, 2002) from Ann Bosley, Toronto Real Estate Board;
- (September 16, 2002) from Jayne Caldwell, West End Drop-In Network;
- (September 16, 2002) from Ann Fitzpatrick, Children's Aid Society of Toronto;
- (September 17, 2002) from Katherine Laird;
- 58 letters in support of the proposed by-law;
- petition with 134 signatures in support of the proposed by-law;
- (September 17, 2002) from Kathleen Chung;
- submission from Deborah Blyth;
- (September 17, 2002) from Kathleen Kelly;
- submission by Eva Curlanis-Bart, Garden District Residents Association; and
- (September 16, 2002) from Maureen Gilroy.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Kathy Laird, Advocacy Centre for Tenants Ontario;
- Nora McCabe;
- Chris Hutcheson, President, Corktown Residents and Business Association;
- Maureen Gilroy, Confederation of Residents and Ratepayers;
- Francesca Scalzo;
- Joice Guspie, North Riverdale Ratepayers' Association;
- -. Paul Dineen, Chair, Old Cabbagetown BIA;
- Jayne Caldwell, West End Drop-In Network;
- John Andras, Toronto Disaster Relief Committee;
- Kate Chung;
- Elizabeth Block;
- Catherine Beaton;
- Debby Blyth, Riverdale Residents' Association;
- Eva Curlanis-Bart, Garden District Residents Association;
- Phil Nazar, Toronto Christian Resource Centre;
- Alan Burke, President, East Beach Community Association (EBCA);
- Kathleen Kelly, Chair, Cabbagetown South Association; and
- Peter D. Smith, President, Lakeside Area Neighbourhoods Association.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that:

- (1) the proposed Municipal Shelter By-law be adopted;
- (2) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services set up a Community Liaison Committee for each current and future shelter, where appropriate, and on the basis of a request from local residents and/or the Ward Councillor;
- (3) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services develop a complaint procedure that includes response and resolution both from the local liaison committee and from the Commissioner;
- (4) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services undertake an operational review of existing shelters to ensure that they comply with the new shelter standards, that they provide efficient, effective and healthy services, that they provide appropriate supports, including 24 hour service, and that they have good neighbourhood policies in place;
- (5) approval by City Council be required of any proposed municipal shelter or municipally funded shelter;

- (6) a minimum separation distance of 250 metres be maintained between shelters, and those which presently do not comply with this restriction be designated as a legal non-conforming use;
- (7) municipal shelters be added to the list of proposed developments which are subject to site plan control; and
- (8) a moratorium be placed on additional shelters in Wards which have five hundred beds or more.

On further motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council also:

- (1) referred all submissions received to the Mayor and the Chief Administrative Officer for information;
- (2) forwarded the above recommendations to the Mayor and the Chief Administrative Officer for their consideration in the preparation of a Municipal Shelter By-law for the City of Toronto; and
- (3) requested the Mayor and the Chief Administrative Officer to include in their report, criteria and standards for new shelters (in compliance with the standards approved by City Council in July, 2002) and a local consultation and approval process.

(Letters sent to: Mayor Lastman; Chief Administrative Officer; and Planning and Transportation Committee; c: Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services; Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Rod Hines, Principal Planner, Community Planning, East District, City Planning; Anne Longair, Manager, Community Housing Initiatives, Shelter, Housing and Support Division, Community and Neighbourhood Services; Peter Langdon, Urban Development Services – September 20, 2002)

#### (Report 10, Clause 75(g))

#### 8.31 Camden Street Streetscape Plan

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 26, 2002) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting the Camden Street Streetscape Plan, and recommending that the Toronto East York Community Council receive this report for information.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto East York Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on October 15, 2002,

and requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to explore with the community, streetscaping opportunities, with costs being possibly borne by the Parking Authority of Toronto and neighbourhood businesses.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Robert J. Freedman, Director, Urban Design; Alka Lukatela, Program Manager, Civic Improvements, Urban Design – September 26, 2002)

#### (**Report 10, Clause 75(h)**)

8.32 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit an Additional Commercial Boulevard Parking Space Fronting 16 Camden Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (June 17, 2002) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting a Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit an Additional Commercial Boulevard Parking Space Fronting 16 Camden Street.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (June 24, 2002) from Mark Lockington;
- (June 21, 2002) from Elizabeth Billyard;
- (June 21, 2002) from George Tesseris;
- (June 21, 2002) from Peter and Deanna Austerberry;
- (June 24, 2002) from Mirene Wild;
- (undated) from 27 Residents of 29 Camden Street, addressed to Councillor Chow;
- (June 28, 2002) from Rosa Malta and Paul DiStefano;
- (June 28, 2002) from Endrick and Heidi Kreuter;
- (September 17, 2002) from Leslie M. Berall; and
- (September 17, 2002) from Ivan Codrington.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto East York Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on October 15, 2002.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1; Alison E. Smith, Licensing and Processing Clerk, Off Street Parking – September 26, 2002)

#### (Report 10, Clause 75(i))

# 8.33 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Additional Commercial Boulevard Parking Fronting 42-44 Camden Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (June 17, 2002) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting a Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Additional Commercial Boulevard Parking Fronting 42-44 Camden Street.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (June 24, 2002) from Mark Lockington;
- (June 21, 2002) from Elizabeth Billyard;
- (June 21, 2002) from George Tesseris;
- (June 21, 2002) from Peter and Deanna Austerberry;
- (June 24, 2002) from Mirene Wild;
- (undated) from 27 Residents of 29 Camden Street, addressed to Councillor Chow;
- (June 28, 2002) from Rosa Malta and Paul DiStefano;
- (June 28, 2002) from Endrick and Heidi Kreuter;
- (September 17, 2002) from Leslie M. Berall; and
- (September 17, 2002) from Ivan Codrington.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto East York Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on October 15, 2002.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1; Alison E. Smith, Licensing and Processing Clerk, Off Street Parking – September 26, 2002)

#### (Report 10, Clause 75(i))

8.34 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Commercial Boulevard Parking Fronting 12 Camden Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (June 14, 2002) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting a Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Commercial Boulevard Parking Fronting 12 Camden Street.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (June 24, 2002) from Mark Lockington;
- (June 21, 2002) from Elizabeth Billyard;
- (June 21, 2002) from George Tesseris;
- (June 21, 2002) from Peter and Deanna Austerberry;
- (June 24, 2002) from Mirene Wild;
- (undated) from 27 Residents of 29 Camden Street, addressed to Councillor Chow;
- (June 28, 2002) from Rosa Malta and Paul DiStefano;
- (June 28, 2002) from Endrick and Heidi Kreuter;
- (September 17, 2002) from Leslie M. Berall; and
- (September 17, 2002) from Ivan Codrington.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto East York Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on October 15, 2002.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1; Alison E. Smith, Licensing and Processing Clerk, Off Street Parking – September 26, 2002)

#### (**Report 10, Clause 75(k)**)

# 8.35 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Additional Commercial Boulevard Parking Fronting 20 Camden Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 29, 2002) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting a Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Additional Commercial Boulevard Parking Fronting 20 Camden Street, and recommending that City Council deny the application for two additional commercial boulevard parking spaces fronting 20 Camden Street.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (June 24, 2002) from Mark Lockington;
- (June 21, 2002) from Elizabeth Billyard;
- (June 21, 2002) from George Tesseris;
- (June 21, 2002) from Peter and Deanna Austerberry;
- (June 24, 2002) from Mirene Wild;
- (undated) from 27 Residents of 29 Camden Street, addressed to Councillor Chow;
- (June 28, 2002) from Rosa Malta and Paul DiStefano;
- (June 28, 2002) from Endrick and Heidi Kreuter;
- (September 17, 2002) from Leslie M. Berall; and
- (September 17, 2002) from Ivan Codrington.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto East York Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on October 15, 2002.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1; Alison E. Smith, Licensing and Processing Clerk, Off Street Parking – September 26, 2002)

#### (**Report 10, Clause 75(l)**)

# **8.36** Feasibility of Tree Planting and Status of Planting Areas – 825 Queen Street East (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 20, 2002) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting Feasibility of Tree Planting and Status of Planting Areas – 825 Queen Street East, and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it Clause 26 of the Toronto East York Community Council Report No. 1, titled "Angled Commercial Boulevard Parking - Empire Avenue and Booth Avenue Flanks of 825 Queen Street East (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)", which was adopted by City Council of at its meeting held on February 13, 14 and 15, 2002.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that three trees be planted in above-ground pre-cast containers on the west side of the Empire Avenue flank of 825 Queen Street East, as indicated in the plan attached to the report (August 20, 2002) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, the cost and watering of the plants to be borne by the applicant for angled parking at this address.

### (Report 10, Clause 36)

# **8.37** Feasibility of Tree Planting and Status of Planting Areas – 835 Queen Street East (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 20, 2002) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting Feasibility of Tree Planting and Status of Planting Areas – 835 Queen Street East, and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it Clause 27 of the Toronto East York Community Council Report No. 1, titled "Angled Commercial Boulevard Parking - Empire Avenue and Booth Avenue Flanks of 835 Queen Street East (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)", which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on February 13, 14 and 15, 2002.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto East York Community Council recommends that three trees be planted in above-ground pre-cast containers on the east side of the Empire Avenue flank of 835 Queen Street East, as outlined in the plan attached to the report (August 20, 2002) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, subject to the small planter being moved north in consultation with representatives of Woodgreen Community Centre, and the cost and watering of the plants being borne by Woodgreen Community Centre.

#### (Report 10, Clause 37)

# 8.38 Excessive Idling and Installation of "No Idling" Signage - Carlaw Avenue, between Lake Shore Boulevard East and Eastern Avenue, in the Vicinity of Premises No. 66 Carlaw Avenue (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (June 13, 2002) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Excessive Idling and Installation of "No Idling" Signage - Carlaw Avenue, between Lake Shore Boulevard East and Eastern Avenue, in the Vicinity of Premises No. 66 Carlaw Avenue, and recommending that this report be received for information.

Greg Bonser appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that:

- (1) "no idling" signage be placed in the vicinity of 66 Carlaw Avenue, and that such signage installation be considered a pilot project; and
- (2) the effect of the signage be monitored, and the results be submitted to the Works Committee after six months, with a view to investigating whether the project should be expanded.

#### (Report 10, Clause 38)

### **8.39** Removal of Privately Owned Trees – 100 McCaul Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 20, 2002) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting Removal of Privately Owned Trees – 100 McCaul Street, and recommending that:

- (1) The request for a permit for tree removal at 100 McCaul Street be denied; or
- (2) The request for a permit for tree removal at 100 McCaul Street be approved subject to:
  - (a) the trees in question not being removed until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under Site Plan Approval Application No. 302045 commence which warrant the destruction of the trees
  - (b) the applicant agreeing to plant replacement trees acceptable to the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (September 11, 2002) from Lyne Montpetit.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mark Sterling, Sterling Finlayson Architects;
- Gregory Woods, Robbie, Young and Wright Architects; and
- Yuk Woo Lee, Y.W. Lee Associates Lands Architects.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that the request for a permit for tree removal at 100 McCaul Street be approved subject to:

- (a) the trees in question not being removed until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under Site Plan Approval Application No. 302045 commence which warrant the destruction of the trees;
- (b) the applicant agreeing to plant replacement trees acceptable to the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; and
- (c) the landscape plan submitted by the applicant being amended to provide that the five cherry trees be replaced with large growing shade trees.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto East York Community Council also requested the Ontario College of Art and Design, on the advice of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, to respond to the individuals who wrote to the City Clerk, respecting this matter, in order to advise those concerned of the updated landscape plan for this site.

(Report 10, Clause 39)

#### **8.40** Removal of One Private Tree – 50 Portland Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 20, 2002) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting Removal of One Private Tree – 50 Portland Street, and recommending that:

- (1) The request for a permit for tree removal at 50 Portland Street be approved subject to:
  - (a) the tree in question not being removed until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities in accordance with plans approved under Site Plan Approval Application No. 302066 commence which warrant the destruction of the tree.
  - (b) the applicant agreeing to plant replacement trees acceptable to the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; or
- (2) The request for a permit for tree removal at 50 Portland Street be denied.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (September 17, 2002) from Henry Gotfryd, Gotfryd Group.

Henry Gotfryd appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Layton:

- (1) the Toronto East York Community Council submitted this matter to Council without recommendation; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism to consult further with the Ward Councillor on this matter.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; c: Councillor Chow; Claire Tucker-Reid, General Manager, Parks and Recreation Division; Andrew Pickett, Urban Forestry Planner, Parks and Recreation Division – September 23, 2002)

#### (Report 10, Clause 40)

#### **8.41** Removal of One Private Tree – 43-45 Lowther Avenue (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (June 6, 2002) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting Removal of One Private Tree – 43-45 Lowther Avenue, and recommending that:

- (1) Toronto East York Community Council deny the request for the removal of one privately owned tree at 43-45 Lowther Avenue; or
- (2) Toronto East York Community Council approve the request for the removal of one privately owned tree at 43-45 Lowther Avenue conditional on the applicant agreeing to implement the landscape plan, on file with Urban Forestry Services.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (June 25, 2002) from Adam J. Brown, Brown, Dryer, Karol obo the owners;
- (July 23, 2002) from Adam J. Brown, Brown, Dryer, Karol on behalf of the owners, attached to this was a communication (July 1, 2002) addressed to Councillor Chow, from James Molloy and Bernadette Morra-Molloy of 49 Lowther Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, M5R 1C5 which was pulled from the agenda due to slander. Also attached to Adam J. Brown communication of (July 23, 2002) was Attachment No. 4, communication (May 13, 2002) addressed to Mr. Philip Rogic, Parks & Recreation, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor, East Tower from James Molloy and Bernadette Morra-Molloy of 49 Lowther Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, M5R 1C5, was pulled from the agenda due to slander; and
- area map submitted by Adam J. Brown, Brown, Dryer, Karol

The following persons appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Adam Brown, Brown, Dryer, Karol, Barristers & Solicitors;
- Susan Mentis;
- Gordon Ridgely; and
- Michael Eustace.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council:

- (1) submitted this matter to Council without recommendation; and
- (2) requested the applicant to consult with the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and the Ward Councillor to develop a full shade canopy plan for this site.

A motion by Councillor Layton, that the request for the removal of one privately owned tree at 43-45 Lowther Avenue be denied, was voted on and lost.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; Claire Tucker-Reid, General Manager, Parks and Recreation; Councillor Chow; c: Mr. Adam Brown, Brown Dryer Karol, Barristers and Solicitors, 5075 Yonge Street, Ste. 900, Toronto M2N 6C6 - September 23, 2002)

#### (Report 10, Clause 41)

# 8.42 Appeal of Denial of Application for a Boulevard Café – 560 Danforth Avenue, Carlaw Avenue Flankage (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (May 17, 2002) from the Acting Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, respecting Appeal of Denial of Application for a Boulevard Café – 560 Danforth Avenue, Carlaw Avenue Flankage, and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it Toronto Community Council Report 8, Clause 5, titled "Appeal of Denial of Boulevard Café – 785 Carlaw Avenue (Convenience Address for 560 Danforth Avenue) (Don River)" which was amended and adopted by City Council at its meeting of June 9, 10 and 11, 1999.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that the application for a boulevard café for 560 Danforth Avenue, Carlaw Avenue Flankage, be approved.

#### (Report 8, Clause 42)

# **8.43** Boulevard Enclosure – 388-398 Danforth Avenue, Chester Avenue Flank (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (April 22, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Boulevard Enclosure – 388-398 Danforth Avenue, Chester Avenue Flank, and recommending that City Council deny the request from Mr. George Sciouris, co-owner of Astoria Restaurant, 388-398 Danforth Avenue, to construct a permanent glass canopy to facilitate a year round boulevard café area.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following reports/communication:

- (May 9, 2002) from the City Clerk, Toronto East York Community Council, forwarding the Community Council's action of May 9, 2002-
- (September 16, 2002) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards; and
- (September 16, 2002) from Frank McIntyre.

Lorne Rose, Lorne Rose Architect, appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that City Council:

- (1) approve the request from Mr. George Sciouris, co-owner of Astoria Restaurant, 388-398 Danforth Avenue, to construct a permanent glass canopy to facilitate a year round boulevard café area, on condition that the applicant:
  - (a) indemnify the City of Toronto from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages, charges and expenses that may result from such permission granted;
  - (b) maintain the canopy enclosure in good and proper repair and in a condition satisfactory to the Commissioners of Works and Emergency Services and Urban Development Services;
  - (c) pay an annual rental fee for the canopy as determined by the Commissioner of Corporate Services;
  - (d) receive approval from the public utilities on the relocation of their services; and upon receiving approval, relocate, at his/her sole expense, any utilities within the public right of way to be enclosed by the proposed canopy;
  - (e) shall not assign or sublet the Lease without consent from the City;
  - (f) remove the canopy upon receiving 90 days notice from the City to do so;
  - (g) shall, at his/her sole expense, restore the Lands affected as nearly as reasonably possible to its original condition at the expiry of the term, satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
  - (h) accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City; and

(2) direct the applicant to make an application for a building permit, in order to address the issues raised in the report (September 16, 2002) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards.

#### (Report 10, Clause 43)

# **8.44** Amendment of Front Yard Parking Regulations in Ward 29 (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (July 16, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Amendment of Front Yard Parking Regulations in Ward 29, and recommending that:

- (1) the changes to the front yard parking regulations in Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29, as contained in Table 1 of this report be approved, so as to standardize the regulations in this Ward; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take all the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of all necessary Bills.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (September 16, 2002) from Paul McLachlan;
- (September 16, 2002) from Ron McKay;
- (September 16, 2002) from Kelly McCraw and family;
- (September 2002) from Karen Blair and Stephen Leckie;
- (September 17, 2002) from Katherine Jaconello; and
- (undated) from Tehnaz Mistry-Pintel.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Peter Legein;
- Tehnaz Mistry-Pintel; and
- Coleen Egerton.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (July 16, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, subject to the deletion of the regulation regarding Permit Parking.

#### (Report 10, Clause 44)

### 8.45 Community Council Consultation - Draft Official Plan

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (June 5, 2002) from the City Clerk, Planning and Transportation Committee, respecting Community Council Consultation - Draft Official Plan, and referring the draft Official Plan to Community Councils for information and community consultation in September 2002, preferably at an evening meeting, and requesting that the Community Councils report on the outcome of their meetings to the Planning and Transportation Committee at its statutory public meeting scheduled for September 24, 2002.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (August 30, 2002) from George S. Belza, Analogica;
- (September 10, 2002) from Gee Chung, Greater Yorkville Residents Association, addressed to Councillor Rae;
- (September 10, 2002) from Janice Merson, Summerhill Residents Association;
- (September 11, 2002) from Wendy Hallam Martin;
- (September 11, 2002) from Gary Martin;
- (September 17, 2002) from Katherine Laird, Advocacy Center for Tenants Ontario;
- (undated) submission from Jim Neff;
- (September 17, 2002) from Meyer Brownstone;
- (undated) submission from Alex Murray, South Rosedale Ratepayers' Association;
- (July 4, 2002) from Dalton C. Shipway;
- (September 17, 2002) from R. Bobak, Co-Chair, Canada Camp Commission;

- (undated) from Andrea Gabor, Toronto Board of Trade;
- (September 17, 2002) from Michael Rosenberg;
- (undated) from Julie Beddoes, Secretary, Gooderham & Worts Neighbourhood Association;
- (September 17, 2002) from Peggy Kurtin, Secretary, Toronto Historical Association; Chair, Cabbagetown Metcalfe Heritage Conservation District; Chair, Buildings and Grounds, Riverdale Farm;
- (September 17, 2002) from David Raymont, Heritage Toronto;
- (September 17, 2002) from Hamish Wilson; and
- (undated) from Ellen Greenwood, Moore Park Residents' Association.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Michael Rosenberg, Environment and Economy Coalition;
- Greg Bonser;
- Matthias Schlaepfer;
- Julie Beddoes, Gooderham, Worts Neighbourhood Association;
- Janice Merson, Summerhill Residents' Association;
- Peggy Kurtin, Cabbagetown Residents' Association and Toronto Historical Association;
- Dave Raymont, Heritage Toronto;
- Michael Kainer, Palmerston Area Residents' Association;
- John Wilson, Chair, Task Force to Bring Back the Don;
- Joe Gill, Chair, Friends of Fort York;
- Catherine Nasmith;
- Hamish Wilson;
- David Powell, Huron-Sussex Residents' Association; and
- Ross Bobak, Canada Camp Commission.

The Toronto East York Community Council unanimously recommended that:

On motion by Councillor Pantalone:

(1) the Draft Official Plan be adopted, subject to the inclusion of a statement in the Official Plan that parkland will not be sold;

On motion by Councillor McConnell:

(2) a designated day be set aside by City Council to consider the Draft Official Plan.

The Toronto East York Community Council also:

On motion by Councillor McConnell:

- (1) endorsed the submission dated September 17, 2002 by Meyer Brownstone, on behalf of the Toronto Food Policy Council; and
- (2) requested the City Clerk to forward all communications received to the Commissioner of Urban Development Services; and
- (3) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to clarify and report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on the issue of the First Parliament site being mentioned in the Official Plan and/or the King-Parliament Secondary Plan.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone:

- (4) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee, when the Draft Official Plan is under consideration, on designating the following for priority Avenue Study:
  - (a) College Street;
  - (b) a subway related street (e.g. Danforth Avenue)
  - (c) an arterial road (e.g. Finch Avenue);

(Letter sent to: Planning and Transportation Committee; c: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Director of Policy and Research, City Planning Division; Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division; Director, Community Planning, South District – September 19, 2002)

(Report 10, Clause 75(m))

**8.46** Preliminary Report - Application to Amend the Zoning By-Law - 75 Logan Avenue (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a preliminary report (August 21, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Application to Amend the Zoning By-Law - 75 Logan Avenue, and recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
- (2) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) notice for the Public Meeting under the <u>Planning Act</u> be given according to the regulations under the <u>Planning Act</u>.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services (no encl.); Executive Director and Chief Planner (no encl.); Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto East York Community Council Solicitor, Attn: John Paton; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director of Real Estate Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police, Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons (no encl.); c: Director, Community Planning, South District; Denise Graham, Senior Planner – September 27, 2002)

#### (**Report 10, Clause 75(n)**)

# 8.47 Preliminary Report - Application to Amend the Zoning By-Law and Site Plan Approval - 78 Logan Avenue (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a preliminary report (August 21, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Application to Amend the Zoning By-Law and Site Plan Approval - 78 Logan Avenue, and recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor:
- (2) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) notice for the Public Meeting under the <u>Planning Act</u> be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services (no encl.); Executive Director and Chief Planner (no encl.); Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto East York Community Council Solicitor, Attn: John Paton; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director of Real Estate Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police, Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons (no encl.); c: Director, Community Planning, South District; Denise Graham, Senior Planner – September 27, 2002)

#### (**Report 10, Clause 75(o)**)

# **8.48** Preliminary Report - Application to Amend Zoning By-Law - 301 Cedarvale Avenue (Beaches-East York, Ward 31)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a preliminary report (August 26, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Application to Amend Zoning By-Law - 301 Cedarvale Avenue, and recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
- (2) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) notice for the Public Meeting under the <u>Planning Act</u> be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

On motion by Councillor Tziretas, the Toronto East York Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services (no encl.); Executive Director and Chief Planner (no encl.); Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto East York Community Council Solicitor, Attn: John Paton; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director of Real Estate Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police, Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons (no encl.); c: Director, Community Planning, South District; Jean Besz, Senior Planner – September 27, 2002)

(**Report 10, Clause 75(p)**)

# 8.49 Preliminary Report - Application to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law - 22 and 24 Wellesley Street East (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a preliminary report (August 27, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Application to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law - 22 and 24 Wellesley Street East, and recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
- (2) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) notice for the Public Meeting under the <u>Planning Act</u> be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services (no encl.); Executive Director and Chief Planner (no encl.); Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto East York Community Council Solicitor, Attn: John Paton; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director of Real Estate Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police, Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons (no encl.); c: Director, Community Planning, South District; Elyse Parker, Senior Planner, East Section – September 27, 2002)

#### (Report 10, Clause 75(q))

# 8.50 Preliminary Report - Application to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law - 548 and 550 Gerrard Street East and 14 St. Matthew's Road (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a preliminary report (August 27, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Application to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law - 548 and 550 Gerrard Street East and 14 St. Matthew's Road, and recommending that:

(1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;

- (2) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) notice for the Public Meeting under the <u>Planning Act</u> be given according to the regulations under the <u>Planning Act</u>.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services (no encl.); Executive Director and Chief Planner (no encl.); Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto East York Community Council Solicitor, Attn: John Paton; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director of Real Estate Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police, Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons (no encl.); c: Director, Community Planning, South District; Denise Graham, Senior Planner, East Section – September 27, 2002)

### (**Report 10, Clause 75(r)**)

8.51 Preliminary Report - Application to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law – St. James Cathedral Site - 33-45 Lombard Street, 98-110 Church Street and 106 King Street East (Toronto-Centre Rosedale, Ward 28)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a preliminary report (August 27, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Application to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law – St. James Cathedral Site - 33-45 Lombard Street, 98-110 Church Street and 106 King Street East, and recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
- (2) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) notice for the Public Meeting under the <u>Planning Act</u> be given according to the regulations under the <u>Planning Act</u>.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (September 17, 2002) from Suzanne M. Bruce; and
- (September 15, 2002) from Stig Harvor.

The following persons appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Peter Curry;
- Lynda Cooper;
- Robert Leverty, The Ontario Historical Society; and
- Stig Harvor.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services (no encl.); Executive Director and Chief Planner (no encl.); Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto East York Community Council Solicitor, Attn: John Paton; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director of Real Estate Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police, Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons (no encl.); c: Director, Community Planning, South District; Lance Alexander, Senior Planner, East Section – September 27, 2002)

#### (**Report 10, Clause 75(s)**)

# 8.52 Preliminary Report - Application to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law and Site Plan Approval - 64 Colgate Avenue (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a preliminary report (August 27, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Application to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law and Site Plan Approval - 64 Colgate Avenue, and recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
- (2) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) notice for the Public Meeting under the <u>Planning Act</u> be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services (no encl.); Executive Director and Chief Planner (no encl.); Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto East York Community Council Solicitor, Attn: John Paton; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director of Real Estate Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police, Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons (no encl.); c: Director, Community Planning, South District; Denise Graham, Senior Planner, East Section – September 27, 2002)

#### (**Report 10, Clause 75(t)**)

# 8.53 Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal - 200 University Avenue (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (July 19, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting a Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal - 200 University Avenue, and recommending that:

- (1) the request for minor variances be approved for the reasons outlined in this report; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 902044, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until the recommendations regarding the University Avenue By-law are submitted to the Toronto East York Community Council and requested that the Ward Councillors be consulted in the formulation of these recommendations.

(Letter sent to: Mr. Patrick Devine, Goodman and Carr LLP, 200 King Street West, Suite 2300, Toronto M5H 3W5; c: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Director, Community Planning, South District; Norm Girdhar, Planner, West Section – September 27, 2002)

(Report 10, Clause 75(u))

8.54 Request for Approval of a Minor Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 619 Woodbine Avenue (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (July 16, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting a Request for Approval of a Minor Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 619 Woodbine Avenue, and recommending that:

- (1) the request for a minor variance be approved for the reasons outlined in this report; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 902037, 02-131808, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 54)

**8.55** Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 50 Bloor Street West (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (July 29, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting a Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 50 Bloor Street West, and recommending that:

- (1) the request for minor variances be approved for the reasons outlined in this report; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 902058, 02 151834 ZPR 00 ZR, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report 10, Clause 55)

# 8.56 Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 2 Carlton Street (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 12, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting a Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 2 Carlton Street, and recommending that:

- (1) the request for variances be approved for the reasons outlined in this report; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 56)

# 8.57 Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal - 21 Canniff Street. (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 22, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting a Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal - 21 Canniff Street, and recommending that:

- (1) the request for minor variances be approved for the reasons outlined in this report; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 902057, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 57)

# 8.58 Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 36 D'Arcy Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 22, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting a Request for

Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 36 D'Arcy Street, and recommending that:

- (1) the request for minor variances be approved for the reasons outlined in this report; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 902054, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 58)

8.59 Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 100 Bloor Street West (Toronto Centre – Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (July 30, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting a Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 100 Bloor Street West, and recommending that:

- (1) the request for variances be approved for the reasons outlined in this report; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permit(s) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 59)

8.60 Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 100 Bloor Street West (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 27, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting a Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 100 Bloor Street West, and recommending that:

- (1) the request for variances be approved for the reasons outlined in this report; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application 902033, 02-128037, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permit(s) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 60)

8.61 Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 250 Front Street West (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 13, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting a Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 250 Front Street West, and recommending that:

- (1) the request for minor variances be approved for the reasons outlined in this report; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 902050, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

### (Report 10, Clause 61)

8.62 Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 764 Yonge Street (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 12, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting a Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 764 Yonge Street, and recommending that:

(1) City Council approve Application No. 902023 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit an

illuminated sign for business identification, on the west elevation of the Uptown Theatre at 764 Yonge Street;

- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 902023, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services; and
- (3) as a condition of approval, the sign not be illuminated between the hours of 10:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. in order to minimize any potential impacts on the residential buildings located on Charles Street West.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (September 11, 2002) from Nancy DeCaria on behalf of Famous Players.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 62)

8.63 Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 310 Gerrard Street East (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 12, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting a Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code -310 Gerrard Street East, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 902022 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit a fascia sign for business identification and third party advertising, on the east elevation of 310 Gerrard Street East; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 902022, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services; and
- (3) as a condition of approval, the sign not be illuminated between the hours of 10:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. in order to minimize potential impacts on the neighbouring residential building.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 63)

# 8.64 Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 720 King Street West (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 12, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting a Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 720 King Street West, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No.902041 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit illuminated signs or business identification, on the facade of 720 King Street West; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 902041, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 64)

# 8.65 Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 747 College Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 12, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting a Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 747 College Street, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 902032 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit one roof sign and one illuminated fascia sign for business identification, on the north elevation of the commercial building at 747 College Street; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 902032, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 65)

# 8.66 Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 164 Avenue Road (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 19, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting a Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 164 Avenue Road, and recommending that:

- (1) the request for minor variances be approved on condition that the existing roof sign and the two existing ground signs be removed prior to the issuance of the sign permit for the proposed ground sign;
- the proposed ground sign be illuminated only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m. and this be achieved by an automated timing device; and
- (3) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 902061, 02-159984, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 66)

# 8.67 Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 229 Church Street (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 19, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting a Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 229 Church Street, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 902039 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit one, non-illuminated fascia sign for third party advertising on the second floor level of the north elevation of the building at 229 Church Street;
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 902039, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 67)

8.68 Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 750 Spadina Avenue (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 27, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting a Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 750 Spadina Avenue, and recommending that:

- (1) the request for minor variances be approved on condition that the fascia signs be illuminated only between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 11:00 p.m. and this be achieved by an automated timing device; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 902045, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 68)

8.69 Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 239 Yonge Street (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 28, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting a Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 239 Yonge Street, and recommending that:

- (1) the request for variances be approved for the reasons outlined in this report; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of the variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permit(s) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 69)

8.70 Request for Approval of a Variance from the Former Borough of East York Sign By-Law No. 64-87, as Amended – 3003 Danforth Avenue (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (September 3, 2002) from the Director of Building and Deputy Chief Building Official, East District, respecting a Request for Approval of a Variance from the Former Borough of East York Sign By-Law No. 64-87, as Amended – 3003 Danforth Avenue, and recommending that:

- (1) the request for variances be approved for the reasons outlined in this report; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permit.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 70)

8.71 Eaton Avenue, between Selkirk Avenue and Aldwych Avenue – Amendments to Parking Regulations (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (July 30, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting Eaton Avenue, between Selkirk Avenue and Aldwych Avenue – Amendments to Parking Regulations, and recommending that:

- (1) the existing "No Parking January, February, March, April, June, August, October and December" regulation on the west side Eaton Avenue, between the former south Borough of East York Limit and Aldwych Avenue, be rescinded, subject to the favourable results of polling of the affected residents;
- (2) the existing "No Parking May, July, September and November" regulation on the east side of Eaton Avenue, between the former south Borough of East York limit and Aldwych Avenue, be rescinded, subject to the favourable results of polling of the affected residents;

- a "No Parking Anytime" parking regulation be enacted on the west side Eaton Avenue, between Selkirk Avenue and Aldwych Avenue, subject to the favourable results of polling of the affected residents; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 71)

# 8.72 Referral to Conservation Review Board – Proposed Designation of 183 Dovercourt Road (Ideal Bread Factory) (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 16, 2002) from the City Clerk, respecting Referral to Conservation Review Board – Proposed Designation of 183 Dovercourt Road (Ideal Bread Factory).

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the following motion by Councillor Pantalone:

Whereas Council stated its intention to designate the property at 183 Dovercourt Road (Ideal Bread Company Factory) at its meeting held on May 21, 22 and 23, 2002 (Toronto East York Community Council Report No. 7, Clause No. 19); and

Whereas a letter addressed to an employee of the City of Toronto Archives, dated June 6, 2002, covering a letter addressed to the area planner, of the same date, was faxed to the City Clerk's Office from Jason Pearson, Committee of Concerned Citizens, on June 6, 2002; and

Whereas the letter to the area planner indicates that Mr. Pearson is in favour of the designation in principle, but that he is opposed to adoption of the designation prior to a meeting to be held on July 10, 2002 and that he will be requesting that adoption of the designation be postponed until August, 2002; and

Whereas it is now September 17, 2002 and the property has not yet been designated;

Now therefore be it resolved that:

(1) the letters referred to above not be considered a notice of objection to the designation of the above property under subsection 29(5) of the Ontario Heritage Act;

- (2) the matter not be referred to the Conservation Review Board; and
- (3) authority be given to introduce the necessary by-law to designate the property.

#### (Report 10, Clause 72)

# **8.73 Designation of 100 McCaul Street (Ontario College of Art)** (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 16, 2002) from the City Clerk, respecting Designation of 100 McCaul Street (Ontario College of Art), and recommending that:

- (1) Council authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary Bill in Council to designate 100 McCaul Street for architectural and historical reasons under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act; and
- (2) The appropriate City Officials be directed to take whatever action may be necessary to comply with the provision of the said Act in respect to such designations.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 73)

# 8.74 Colborne Street, south side, between Yonge Street and Scott Street/Victoria Street - Provision of a Commercial Loading Zone Flankage of Premises No. 55 Yonge Street (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 16, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting Colborne Street, south side, between Yonge Street and Scott Street/Victoria Street - Provision of a Commercial Loading Zone Flankage of Premises No. 55 Yonge Street, and recommending that:

- (1) the installation of a Commercial Loading Zone be approved, on the south side of Colborne Street, from a point 31.5 metres east of Yonge Street to a point 15.0 metres further east (flankage of Premises No. 55 Yonge Street); and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the following report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 19)

8.75 Possible Acquisition of the Private Lane extending westerly, from Ashdale Avenue at the rear of Premises Nos. 1430 and 1432 Gerrard Street East for Public Lane Purposes (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 15, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting Possible Acquisition of the Private Lane extending westerly, from Ashdale Avenue at the rear of Premises Nos. 1430 and 1432 Gerrard Street East for Public Lane Purposes, and recommending that the Commissioner of Corporate Services be directed to approach the Toronto District School Board to negotiate an Agreement of Purchase and Sale for the private lane lands.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

### (Report 10, Clause 53)

**8.76** Yonge Street and Scrivener Square - Installation of Traffic Control Signals (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 27, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Yonge Street and Scrivener Square - Installation of Traffic Control Signals, and recommending that:

- (1) traffic control signals be installed on Yonge Street at Scrivener Square;
- (2) the Toronto Parking Authority be required to contribute funds covering 50 percent of the cost of installing the traffic controls signals referred to in Recommendation No. 1;
- (3) that parking be prohibited at anytime on both sides of Scrivener Square, from Yonge Street to its easterly terminus;
- (4) that stopping be prohibited on the first lane east of Yonge Street (Scrivener Lane), from Scrivener Square to Price Street; and

(5) appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 52)

# 8.77 Glebeholme Boulevard, from Glebemount Avenue to Woodmount Avenue - Relocation of a School Bus Loading Zone (Beaches-East York, Ward 31)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 22, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Glebeholme Boulevard, from Glebemount Avenue to Woodmount Avenue - Relocation of a School Bus Loading Zone, and recommending amendments to the parking regulations on the south side of Glebeholme Boulevard, from Glebemount Avenue to Woodmount Avenue, and on the north side of Wolverleigh Boulevard, from Woodmount Avenue to a point 38 metres west of Woodmount Avenue, in order to service the added demand by school buses at St. Brigid Catholic School.

On motion by Councillor Tziretas, the Toronto East York Community Council:

- (1) deferred consideration of the foregoing report; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to conduct a poll on the south side of Glebeholme Avenue (the cost of the poll to be borne by the Ward Councillor), and to consult with the Ward Councillor on the results of the poll.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Director, Transportation Services, District 1; Peter Ip, Transportation Technologist, District 1, East; Councillor Tziretas – September 27, 2002)

#### (Report 10, Clause 75(v))

# **8.78** Installation/Removal of On-Street Parking Spaces of Persons with Disabilities (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 27, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Installation/Removal of On-Street Parking Spaces of Persons with Disabilities, and recommending that:

- (1) the installation/removal of disabled on-street parking spaces as noted in Table "A" of this report be approved; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be requested to take any action necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 51)

# **8.79** Proposed Frankland Community School and Community Centre Safety Plan (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 20, 2002) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting Proposed Frankland Community School and Community Centre Safety Plan, and recommending that City Council not authorize funding for the proposed expenditure of \$18,900 for the installation of a security system in the Frankland Community School which is owned and operated by the T.D.S.B.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (September 13, 2002) from Gary Ellis, Toronto Police Service, addressed to Councillor Layton.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Toronto East York Community Council:

- (1) deferred the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on October 15, 2002; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism to continue to work with the school community, community centre staff and the Ward Councillor on interim initiatives, including the provision of hall monitoring as advised by the police, during the deferral period, and as undertaken last spring.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; c: Claire Tucker-Reid, General Manager, Parks and Recreation Division; Don Boyle, Director, Parks and Recreation, South District; Gary Ellis, Toronto Police Services, Sex Crimes Unit – September 27, 2002)

(Report 10, Clause 75(w))

# 8.80 Request for Speed Humps on Sammon Avenue, between Greenwood Avenue and Coxwell Avenue (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 12, 2002) from the Director Transportation Services, District 1, respecting a Request for Speed Humps on Sammon Avenue, between Greenwood Avenue and Coxwell Avenue, and recommending approval to install traffic calming (speed humps) on Sammon Avenue, between Greenwood Avenue and Coxwell Avenue, subject to the favourable results of polling of affected residents.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 50)

8.81 Temporary Lane Closures to Accommodate Pedestrian Passage - 226 to 230 King Street East, 55 to 59 Sherbourne Street and 363 to 373 Adelaide Street East (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 28, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Temporary Lane Closures to Accommodate Pedestrian Passage - 226 to 230 King Street East, 55 to 59 Sherbourne Street and 363 to 373 Adelaide Street East, and recommending that:

- (1) in order to facilitate construction of a 17 story condominium building at a site bounded by King Street East, Sherbourne Street and Adelaide Street East (known as 230 King Street East) the cyclist only lane on the east side of Sherbourne Street between a point 5.0 metres north of King Street East and a point 26.0 metres further north and the south curb lane of Adelaide Street from a point 3.5 metres east of Sherbourne Street to a point 17.0 metres further east be closed to traffic for a period of approximately 18 months; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report 10, Clause 49)

8.82 Implementation of Parking Regulations and "Stop" Sign Control - Various Streets and Laneways in the Queens Common Subdivision (Colgate Avenue and Logan Avenue Area) (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 23, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Implementation of Parking Regulations and "Stop" Sign Control - Various Streets and Laneways in the Queens Common Subdivision (Colgate Avenue and Logan Avenue Area), and recommending that:

- (1) a "No Parking Anytime" regulation be implemented on the south and west side of Natalie Place, between Logan Avenue and Colgate Avenue;
- (2) "Stop" signs be posted at the following locations:
  - (i) for westbound traffic on Natalie Place at Logan Avenue;
  - (ii) for southbound traffic on Natalie Place at Colgate Avenue;
  - (iii) for northbound traffic on the first lane east of Logan Avenue at Natalie Place;
  - (iv) for southbound traffic on the first lane east of Logan Avenue at Colgate Avenue;
  - (v) for westbound traffic on the first lane north of Colgate Avenue at Logan Avenue:
  - (vi) for southbound traffic on the first lane west of Carlaw Avenue at Colgate Avenue; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 48)

**8.83** Maintenance of a Slanted Fascia at 812 O'Connor Drive (Beaches-East York, Ward 31)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 29, 2002) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Maintenance of a Slanted Fascia at 812 O'Connor Drive, and recommending that City Council approve the maintenance of a slanted fascia encroaching over the public right of way at 812 O'Connor Drive, subject to the owner of the building, The Canada

Life Assurance Company, Suite 500, 22 St. Clair Avenue East, Toronto, Ontario M4T 2S3, entering into an agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to:

- (a) indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted;
- (b) maintain the slanted fascia in good and proper repair and a condition satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
- (c) remove the slanted fascia upon receiving 90 days notice from the City to do so; and
- (d) accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services may deem necessary in the interest of the Corporation.

On motion by Councillor Tziretas, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 47)

**8.84** Status Report on Pedestrian Connections from 18 York Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a status report (August 26, 2002) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, on Pedestrian Connections from 18 York Street.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report further on pedestrian connections from 18 York Street once the matters related to the appeal of the Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning have been resolved at the Ontario Municipal Board.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Director, Community Planning, South District; Angus M. Cranston, Senior Planner, Waterfront Section – September 27, 2002)

#### (Report 10, Clause 75(x))

8.85 Bay Street, from a point 36 Metres south of Front Street West to a point 33 Metres further south – Technical Amendment to Reflect the Extension of an Existing Traffic Median (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 30, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Bay Street, from a point 36 Metres south of Front Street West to a point 33 Metres further south – Technical Amendment to Reflect the Extension of an Existing Traffic Median, and recommending that:

- (1) a by-law be prepared and public notice given pursuant to the provisions of the Municipal Act for the alteration of section of the roadway on Bay Street, from a point 36 metres south of Front Street West to a point 33 metres further south, as described below:
  - "The extension of the raised median on BAY STREET, from from a point 36 metres south of Front Street West to a point 33 metres further south, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 42IF-6547, dated July 2002."; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 46)

8.86 Bay Street, between Yorkville Avenue and Bloor Street West – Prohibition of Northbound and Southbound U-Turns and Request for a Pedestrian Refuge Island on Bay Street at Cumberland Street (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 28, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Bay Street, between Yorkville Avenue and Bloor Street West – Prohibition of Northbound and Southbound U-Turns and Request for a Pedestrian Refuge Island on Bay Street at Cumberland Street, and recommending that:

- (1) northbound U-turns be prohibited on Bay Street, between Yorkville Avenue and Bloor Street West;
- (2) southbound U-turns be prohibited on Bay Street, between Yorkville Avenue and Bloor Street West; and
- (3) appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 45)

8.87 Mercer Street, between John Street and Blue Jays Way – Establishment of a Loading Zone and Changes to the Parking Regulations (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 30, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Mercer Street, between John Street and Blue Jays Way – Establishment of a Loading Zone and Changes to the Parking Regulations, and recommending that:

- (1) the existing regulations permitting parking on the south side of Mercer Street from a point 53.6 metres west of John Street to Blue Jays Way be adjusted to read from a point 53.6 metres west of John Street to a point 95.5 metres east of Blue Jays Way and from a point 67.5 metres east of Blue Jays Way to Blue Jays Way;
- stopping be prohibited at anytime on the south side of Mercer Street, from a point 66.5 metres east of Blue Jays Way to a point 29 metres further east thereof;
- (3) the appropriate By-law entry governing Pay-and-Display machines be adjusted to reflect Recommendation No. 1 above;
- (4) a commercial loading zone be established on the north side of Mercer Street, from a point 73.5 metres east of Blue Jays Way to a point 16 metres further east thereof (fronting Hotel Le Germain), to create a loading zone for passenger pick-up/drop off; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (September 11, 2002) from Anthony Cohen, Balmain Hotel Group; and
- (September 13, 2002) from Manager, Traffic Operations, Transportation Services, District 1.

Anthony Cohen, Balmain Hotel Group, appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 35)

8.88 Queens Quay West, approximately 80 Metres east of Lower Spadina Avenue – Amendments to Traffic Regulations to Accommodate a Development on the northeast corner of the intersection (No. 410 Queens Quay West) (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 29, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Queens Quay West, approximately 80 Metres east of Lower Spadina Avenue – Amendments to Traffic Regulations to Accommodate a Development on the northeast corner of the intersection (No. 410 Queens Quay West).

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council:

- (1) deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on November 12, 2002; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with Urban Design and parks staff responsible for waterfront parkland, to report at that time on:
  - (a) narrowing the proposed traffic median to allow wider east-west sidewalk/path at the foot of Spadina Avenue;
  - (b) investigating the establishment of pedestrian activated traffic lights on Spadina Avenue and the ramp to the Queen Elizabeth Way, in order to enhance pedestrian safety.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Director, Transportation Services, District 1; Dan Clement, Transportation Technologist – September 27, 2002)

#### (**Report 10, Clause 75(y)**)

**8.89** Introduction of Permit Parking on both sides of Gerrard Street East, between Jones Avenue and Leslie Street (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (July 30, 2002) from the Acting Manager, Legislative Services, respecting Introduction of Permit Parking on both sides of Gerrard Street East, between Jones Avenue and Leslie Street.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it Clause No. 31 of Report No. 8 of the Toronto East York Community Council, titled "Permit Parking – Both sides of Gerrard Street East, between Jones Avenue and Leslie Street (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)", as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on June 18, 19 and 20, 2002.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that permit parking on both sides of Gerrard Street East, between Jones Avenue and Leslie Street, be implemented.

#### (Report 10, Clause 34)

#### 8.90 Appointments – Board of Management - Scadding Court Community Centre

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 12, 2002) from the Executive Director, Scadding Court Community Centre, and forwarding nominations for appointment to the Board of Management.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that Michelle Fudge, Chris Bolton, Alcia Aberdeen, Micheal Somers, Jack Siegel and John Clara be appointed to the Board of Management of the Scadding Court Community Centre, until November 30, 2003, on an interim basis, at the pleasure of Council, and until their successors are appointed.

#### (Report 10, Clause 33)

### **8.91 Committee of Adjustment Appeal – 133 Madison Avenue** (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a communication (August 29, 2002) from Councillor Chow, respecting Committee of Adjustment Appeal – 133 Madison Avenue.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (September 11, 2002) from W.G. Posthumus, Barrister, Solicitor & Notary Public

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that the City Solicitor be instructed to appear at the Ontario Municipal Board in support of the decision of the Committee of Adjustment respecting 133 Madison Avenue.

#### (Report 10, Clause 32)

#### 8.92 Requests for Endorsement of Events for Liquor Licensing Purposes

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it various communications requesting endorsement of events for liquor licensing purposes.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that City Council, for liquor licensing purposes:

- (1) advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it is aware of the request from Toronto Fashion Week and the Fashion Design Council of Canada for an extension of hours of operation to 4:00 a.m., for Left Bank Restaurant, located at 567 Queen Street West, in conjunction with Toronto Fashion Week to be held from October 16 to 20, 2002; and has no objection to the granting of such extension;
- (2) advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it is aware of the grand opening of the Bahen Centre for Information Technology located at 40 St. George Street, on October 8, 2002, from 3:30 p.m. to 9:00 p.m, and has no objection to its taking place;
- (3) endorse the action of the Toronto East York Community Council, since the events take place prior to the Council meeting, in having advised the Alcohol and Gaming Commission that it is aware of the following events and has no objection to their taking place:
  - (a) Fundraising Dinner Event to be held on September 19, 2002 at the University of Toronto President's Residence, 93 Highland Avenue, Toronto, on the side lawn from 6:30 p.m. to 9:30 p.m.;
  - (b) Orlando Corporation staff party to be held on Saturday, September 21, 2002 at the Steam Whistle Brewery, 255 Bremner Blvd., from 6:00 p.m. to 1:00 a.m.;
  - (c) CFMT International reception to be held on Wednesday, September 18, 2002 in the parking lot at 454 Lake Shore Blvd. West from 12:00 noon to 5:00 p.m.;
  - (d) Aidswalk Toronto Beer Garden and BBQ to be held on September 22, 2002 at Nathan Phillips Square between 3:00 & 6:00 p.m. in conjunction with the Aidswalk event to be held on that day;

- (e) Globe and Mail indoor/outdoor event to be held on September 19, 2002, at The Steam Whistle Brewery at 255 Bremner Boulevard from 6:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m.
- (4) endorse the action of the Toronto East York Community Council, since the events take place prior to the Council meeting, in having declared the following to be events of municipal and/or community significance and having advised the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it has no objection to their taking place:
  - (a) Community Festival "Octoberfest" to be held on September 28, 2002 at Sackville Park, 420 King Street East from 12:00 noon to 10:00 p.m.; and
  - (b) World of Drink Exposition to be held in conjunction with the Global Roots Festival at St. Lawrence Market on Market Street from September 20 to 22, 2002.

(Letter sent to: Ms. Sonia De Maria, Deputy Registrar, Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario, 20 Dundas Street West, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor, Toronto M5G 2N6; Interested Persons – September 18, 2002)

# (Report 10, Clause 31)

8.93 Surplus Land Declaration and Proposed Closing and Conveyancing of the Pedestrian Walkway, abutting Premises No. 9 Davenport Road and Frank Stollery Parkette (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a joint report (August 29, 2002) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and Commissioner of Corporate Services, and recommending that the pedestrian walkway, abutting Premises No. 9 Davenport Road and Frank Stollery Parkette, be stopped-up and closed, declared surplus and sold.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing joint report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 30)

8.94 Plains Road, between Woodmount Avenue and Woodbine Avenue – Amendment to Parking Regulations (Beaches-East York, Ward 31)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 29, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting Plains Road, between

Woodmount Avenue and Woodbine Avenue – Amendment to Parking Regulations, and recommending that:

- (1) the existing "School Bus Loading Zone" on the north side of Plains Road, between a point 38 metres east of Woodmount Avenue and a point 77.5 metres east of Woodmount Avenue, be rescinded;
- (2) a "School Bus Loading Zone" be created on the north side of Plains Road, between a point 81.8 metres east of Woodmount Avenue and a point 180.3 metres east of Woodmount Avenue;
- (3) a "No Stopping 8:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., 3:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday except Public Holidays" regulation be enacted on the south side of Plains Road, between Woodmount Avenue and Woodbine Avenue; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Tziretas, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (August 29, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, subject to the deletion of Recommendation No. (3).

#### (Report 10, Clause 29)

# 8.95 Grace Street, between Mansfield Avenue and College Street – Request for the Installation of Speed Humps (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council allowed the introduction of (September 10, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting Grace Street, between Mansfield Avenue and College Street – Request for the Installation of Speed Humps, and recommending that:

- (1) appropriate staff be authorized to conduct a poll of eligible residents on Grace Street, between Mansfield Avenue and College Street, to determine resident support for the proposed speed hump plan noted in Recommendation No. 2 below, in accordance with the City of Toronto consolidated speed hump policy;
- (2) that a draft by-law be prepared and public notice given pursuant to the provisions of the Municipal Act and the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act for the alteration of the section of roadway on Grace Street, between Mansfield Avenue and College Street, for traffic calming purposes as described below:

"The construction of three speed humps on GRACE STREET, between Mansfield Avenue and College Street, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-6596, dated August 2002";

- (3) that pursuant to the requirements of Schedule "B" of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act, notice of the study be given to the Ministry of Environment, Fire Services, Emergency Medical Services and Toronto Police Services, and upon final approval of a by-law by Council, Notice of Completion be issued;
- (4) that the speed limit be reduced from forty kilometres per hour to thirty kilometres per hour on Grace Street, between Mansfield Avenue and College Street, coincident with the implementation of speed humps; and
- (5) that the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

On further motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 26)

8.96 Extension of Permit Parking Hours on Sackville Street, between Spruce Street and Winchester Street, from 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., 7 Days a Week, to 12:01 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., 7 Days a Week (Toronto Centre-Rosedale – Ward 28)

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council allowed the introduction of (September 12, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting Extension of Permit Parking Hours on Sackville Street, between Spruce Street and Winchester Street, from 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., 7 Days a Week, to 12:01 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., 7 Days a Week, and recommending that

- (1) permit parking hours of operation on Sackville Street, between Spruce Street and Winchester Street, be extended from 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., 7 days a week, to 12:01 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., 7 days a week;
- (2) Part P of Schedule XXVI (Permit Parking), of Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, be amended to incorporate Sackville Street, between Spruce Street and Winchester Street; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 24)

8.97 Extension of Permit Parking Hours on Carlton Street, between Dermot Place and Woodstock Place, from 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., 7 Days a Week, to 12:01 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., 7 Days a Week (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council allowed the introduction of (September 12, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting Extension of Permit Parking Hours on Carlton Street, between Dermot Place and Woodstock Place, from 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., 7 Days a Week, to 12:01 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., 7 Days a Week, and recommending that:

- (1) permit parking hours of operation on Carlton Street, between Dermott Place and Woodstock Place, be extended from 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., 7 days a week, to 12:01 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., 7 days a week;
- (2) Part P of Schedule XXVI (Permit Parking), of Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, be amended to incorporate Carlton Street, between Dermott Place and Woodstock Place; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

### (Report 10, Clause 22)

**8.98 Dundas Square, from Yonge Street to Victoria Street – Prohibition of Parking** (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council allowed the introduction of (September 13, 2002) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting Dundas Square, from Yonge Street to Victoria Street – Prohibition of Parking, and recommending that:

(1) the existing parking regulations on both sides of Dundas Square, between Yonge Street and Victoria Street be rescinded;

- (2) parking be prohibited and commercial loading zones be established on both sides of Dundas Square, between Yonge Street and Victoria Street; and
- (3) that the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Report 10, Clause 21)

**8.99 Committee of Adjustment Appeal - 16 Rolston Avenue** (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council allowed the introduction of (September 16, 2002) from Councillor McConnell, respecting Committee of Adjustment Appeal - 16 Rolston Avenue.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that the City Solicitor be instructed to appear at the Ontario Municipal Board in support of the decision of the Committee of Adjustment respecting 16 Rolston Avenue.

#### (Report 10, Clause 17)

**8.100** Committee of Adjustment Appeal - 102-108 Shuter Street (Walnut Hall) (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council allowed the introduction of (Sepember 17, 2002) from Councillor Rae, respecting Committee of Adjustment Appeal - 102-108 Shuter Street (Walnut Hall).

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that the City Solicitor be instructed to appear at the Ontario Municipal Board in support of the decision of the Committee of Adjustment respecting Walnut Hall, 102-108 Shuter Street.

(Report 10, Clause 11)

# - 77 -

# Toronto East York Community Council Minutes Tuesday, September 17, 2002

The Com	mittee adjourne	d its meeting at	9:10 p.m.	
				Chair

# Attendance

September 17, 2002	9:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.	2:00 p.m. to 9:10 p.m.
Rae (Chair)	X	X
Bussin (Vice-Chair)	X	X
Chow	X	X
Layton	X	X
McConnell	X	X
Ootes	X	X
Pantalone	X	X
Tziretas	X	X
Mayor Lastman		

<sup>\*</sup> Members were present for some or all of the time indicated.