# **DA** TORONTO

# ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PARKS COMMITTEE AGENDA MEETING 8

Date of Meeting:	Thursday, October 7, 2004	<b>Enquiry:</b>	Betty Henderson
Time:	9:30 a.m.		<b>Committee Administrator</b>
Location:	Committee Room 1		416-392-8088
	City Hall		bhender1@toronto.ca
	100 Queen Street West		

Under the *Municipal Act, 2001*, the Economic Development and Parks Committee must adopt a motion to meet In-camera (privately) and the reason must be given.

Declarations of Interest Under the Municipal Conflict of Interest Act

**Confirmation of September 13, 2004 Minutes** 

Deputations/Presentations - A complete list will be distributed at the meeting.

10:00 a.m. - Items 1 and 2

#### **Communications/Reports**

1. Design Industry Advisory Committee (DIAC) Design Industry Study Report (All Wards)

#### (Deputation)

Report (September 21, 2004) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism providing the results of a recent Human Resources and Skills Development Canada (HRSDC) funded study on Ontario's Design Sector workforce, undertaken by the City's Design Industry Advisory Committee (DIAC).

#### Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) staff be requested to work with members of the Design Industry Advisory Committee (DIAC), industry and other partners to develop a design sector strategy to promote the strategic utilization of design to increase the competitiveness of City businesses and the positioning of Toronto as a centre of design internationally; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

# 2. Toronto Financial Services Alliance (TFSA) Resource Requirements (All Wards)

#### (Deputation)

Report (September 21, 2004) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism responding to the Economic Development and Parks Committee's request to report on the resources required to sustain the Toronto Financial Services Alliance (TFSA) initiative.

#### Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council confirm its support of the Toronto Financial Services Alliance (TFSA) initiative in recognition of the vital role the sector plays in City, Provincial and Canadian economies;
- (2) City Council endorse the proposed new governance structure of the Toronto Financial Services Alliance (TFSA) noted in Attachment No. 1 and demonstrate its support by playing a leadership role through participation directly in the Toronto Financial Services Alliance (TFSA) as follows:
  - (a) that the Mayor or his designate participates as Co-Chair of Toronto Financial Services Alliance (TFSA) Leaders' Forum at its annual events and through ambassadorial activities as required; and
  - (b) that the Chair of the Economic Development and Parks Committee participate as Co-Chair of the Toronto Financial Services Alliance's (TFSA's) Executive Committee during its bi-monthly meetings to set and monitor strategic priorities;
- (3) the Economic Development Division establish a permanent sector development staff position dedicated to the financial services cluster;

- (4) the Economic Development and Parks Committee direct that \$25,000.00 to provide the Toronto Financial Services Alliance (TFSA) with annual project funding to support its key activities and to leverage matching funding from other sources, be included in Economic Development's 2005 operating budget submission and that this request be forwarded through the 2005 budget process for consideration; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

#### 3. 3885 Yonge Street (former Jolly Miller) - Business Name (Ward 25 Don Valley West)

Report (September 14, 2004) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism seeking Council direction regarding a name for the restaurant business at 3885 Yonge Street, the former Jolly Miller, being carried on under lease from the City of Toronto.

#### Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism authorize the business name "The Miller Tavern" for the restaurant business being conducted at 3885 Yonge Street under a lease from the City; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

### 4. Proposed Amendments to the Ontario Heritage Act - City of Toronto Response (All Wards)

Report (September 1, 2004) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism providing comment from the City of Toronto to the Ontario Ministry of Culture on proposed amendments to the *Ontario Heritage Act*.

#### Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

(1) Council adopt the following with respect to proposed amendments to the *Ontario Heritage Act* currently before the Legislature:

- (a) Council supports:
  - (i) new procedures controlling demolition of Part IV and Part V properties, requiring an appeal to the OMB to overturn Council's refusal of a demolition permit;
  - (ii) provisions allowing municipalities to set minimum standards for the maintenance of heritage properties; and
  - (iii) increased protection available for Heritage Conservation Districts, permitting the inclusion of Guidelines in District Plans approved by Council for any part of the property, including landscaping;
- (b) Council opposes:
  - (i) the proposed Part III.1 and S. 26(1) which removes all property owned by the Provincial Government, plus that owned by a list of "prescribed bodies", from any of the municipal controls applied to Part IV or Part V properties; Council recommends that the opposite approach be taken so that Provincial property, especially that of its large land holding agencies such as Ontario Realty Corporation, be made specifically subject to the Act; and
  - (ii) new Part 41.2(2), which proposes to give the Guidelines contained in a District Plan for a Heritage Conservation District precedence over any other municipal by-law (including the zoning by-law) to the extent that there may be a conflict; Council recommends this provision be deleted;
- (c) Council requests that several provisions be clarified:
  - (i) Section 41(2.1), which governs how alterations to properties designated under Part IV are to be handled if they are also in a Heritage Conservation District; Council proposes a more effective system would be to make Part IV properties in an HCD subject to the controls of both Parts, with Part IV taking precedence to the extent of a conflict;
  - (ii) Section 41.1(2) allows municipalities to adopt the District Plans for existing Heritage Conservation Districts by By-law, as will be required for new HCDs; it is unclear whether the municipality may be able apply any or all of the new powers to existing Districts if the existing District Plans are not adopted by By-law; this issue should be addressed; and

- (d) Council recommends that the City of Toronto be exempted from some existing and proposed provisions as they are not appropriate or necessary, including:
- (i) the requirement that designation advertisements and notices of many other actions be placed in newspapers having general circulation in the <u>municipality</u>; Council recommends requiring advertising in <u>community</u> newspapers;
  - Section 29(1), which proposes to impose designation criteria on all municipalities, if prescribed by regulation;
  - (iii) new Sections 34.5 to 34.9 and 35.1 which allow the Minister to designate properties and administer all the review and control processes for those properties; and
  - (iv) new Section 35.2 which allows the Minister to issue a stop order for 60 days for any building being altered or demolished, whether or not the municipality has approved it;
- (2) Council authorize the appropriate City officials to present these comments to the Ontario Government, including appearing before the appropriate standing committee of the Legislature; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

## 5. Toronto Arts Council 2004 Second Quarter Report (All Wards)

Report (September 15, 2004) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism providing comments from staff, on revenues and expenditures reported to the Economic Development and Parks Committee, submitted by the Toronto Arts Council on Arts and Culture grants awarded from April to June 2004 inclusive.

#### Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report and the Toronto Arts Council's Second Quarter Report be received for information.

**5(a).** Communication (September 8, 2004) from the Executive Director, Toronto Arts Council, forwarding for information the City of Toronto Arts and Culture Grants for the Second Quarter and describing the economic impact of the City's financial investment in its arts community and the array of work, creativity and community animation that will result.

# 6. Bid to Host the 2005 NCAA Football Bowl Game (Various Wards)

Report (September 23, 2004) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism seeking Council's endorsement of the Bid by Sports World Interactive LLC submitted to the National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) to have the City of Toronto selected as host of an annual NCAA College Football Bowl Game starting in December 2005.

#### Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council endorse the bid by Sportsworld Interactive LLC to host an NCAA Football Bowl Game in Toronto;
- (2) a representative of the Tourism Division attend a presentation to the NCAA event certification committee in April 2005;
- (3) the City be assured membership on the Organizing Committee no less than the other participating government representatives;
- (4) the City provide technical assistance to the organizer to ensure the success of this event; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

# 7. Expansion of the City of Toronto's Holiday Tourism Event Product (All Wards)

Report (September 20, 2004) from the Commissioner of Economic, Culture and Tourism presenting an overview on the enhancements planned for the Cavalcade of Lights 2004 - a month long calendar of tourism events produced by the Tourism Division; Cavalcade of Lights runs from November 27 to December 31, 2004.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

## 8. Toronto Botanical Garden Revitalization Plan Implementation (Ward 25 Don Valley West)

Report (September 27, 2004) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism seeking Council's endorsement of the Toronto Botanical Garden Revitalization Plan and authority to enter into a construction agreement.

#### Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) Council approve the implementation of the Toronto Botanical Garden (TBG) Revitalization plan as outlined in this report such approval to be contingent upon final plan endorsement by the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism in consultation with the Ward 25 Councillor;
- (2) Council authorize and direct the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and the City Solicitor to negotiate and execute a construction agreement with Toronto Botanical Garden as outlined in this report;
- (3) the 2005 budget request of \$6,000.00 be referred to the Budget Advisory Committee for consideration in the 2005 Operating Budget; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

## 9. Parks and Recreation Revenue Review - Status Report and Interim Findings related to the Welcome Policy

(Report to follow)

10. Parks and Recreation Service Improvement Priorities for 2005 - 2006

(Report to follow)

## 11. Poll Results - Proposed Expansion of St. Clair Gardens Business Improvement Area (Ward 17 Davenport)

Report (September 9, 2004) from the City Clerk reporting on the results of the poll for the proposed expansion of the St. Clair Gardens Business Improvement Area.

#### Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) based upon the results of the poll, Council pass a by-law to designate the area described by Attachment 1 as an Expanded Business Improvement Area (BIA), under Sections 204 and 209 of the *Municipal Act*; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

# **DA** TORONTO

# ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PARKS COMMITTEE SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA MEETING 8

Date of Meeting: Time: Location: Thursday, October 7, 2004 9:30 a.m. Committee Room 1 City Hall 100 Queen Street West Enquiry: Betty Henderson Committee Administrator 416-392-8088 bhender1@toronto.ca

## **Communications/Reports**

# 2. Toronto Financial Services Alliance (TFSA) Resource Requirements (All Wards)

Forwarding Attachment 1 to Item 2 entitled "Toronto Financial Services Alliance (TFSA) 2004 Mandate and Governance Model.

**4(a).** Communication (September 27, 2004) from the Toronto Preservation Board.

Recommendation:

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Economic Development and Parks Committee that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (September 1, 2004) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, subject to amending the recommendations to read as follows:

- (1) Council adopt the following with respect to proposed amendments to the Ontario Heritage Act currently before the Legislature:
  - (a) Council supports:
    - (i) new procedures controlling demolition of Part IV and Part V properties, permitting an appeal to the OMB to overturn Council's refusal of a demolition permit;
    - (ii) provisions allowing municipalities to set minimum standards for the maintenance of designated properties; and

- (iii) increased protection available for Heritage Conservation Districts, permitting the inclusion of Guidelines in District Plans approved by Council for any part of the property, including landscaping;
- (b) Council opposes:
  - (i) the proposed Part III.1 and s.25.2 and s.26.1 which removes all property owned by the Provincial Government, plus that owned by a list of "prescribed public bodies", from any of the municipal controls applied to Part IV or Part V properties; Council recommends that the opposite approach be taken so that Provincial property, especially that of its large land holding agencies such as Ontario Realty Corporation, be made specifically subject to the Act; and
- (c) Council requests that several provisions be clarified:
  - (i) s.41.1(2) allows municipalities to adopt the District Plans for existing Heritage Conservation Districts by By-law, as will be required for new HCDs; it is unclear whether the municipality may be able to apply any or all of the new powers to existing Districts if the existing District Plans are not adopted by By-law; this issue should be addressed; and
- (d) Council recommends that:
  - (i) (a) the proposed s.41.2(2), which proposes to give the Guidelines contained in a District Plan for a Heritage Conservation District precedence over any other municipal by-law (including the zoning by-law) to the extent that there may be a conflict, be amended to require any municipal by-law be changed to conform to the District Plan;
    - (b) s.41(2.1) to s.41(2.4), which governs how alterations to properties designated under Part IV are to be handled if they are also in a Heritage Conservation District, should be amended to make Part IV properties in an HCD subject to the controls of both Parts, with Part IV taking precedence to the extent of a conflict;
    - (c) the requirement that designation advertisements and notices of many other actions be placed in newspapers having general circulation in the municipality be replaced with a provision allowing municipalities to define notification requirements in their Official Plan;
    - (d) proposed s.25.1(1) be amended by changing the word "may" to "shall";

- (e) s.25.1(2) be amended by changing the words "If a member" to "The member from";
- (f) s.25.2(3) be amended by changing the word "may" to "shall";
- (g) s.34.1 be amended to permit anyone to appeal Council's decision regarding an application to demolish a designated property;
- (h) the *Planning Act* be amended to require that decisions of the Minister, Municipal Councils, Planning Boards and the Municipal Board be consistent with the heritage standards and guidelines; and
- (i) the Act have a section stating its purpose and that all decisions taken by municipal councils and Municipal Heritage Committees be consistent with that purpose;
- (ii) the City of Toronto be exempted from some existing and proposed provisions as they are not appropriate or necessary, including:
  - (a) s.29(1)(a), which proposes to impose designation criteria on all municipalities, if prescribed by regulation;
  - (b) the proposed s.34.5 to s.34.9 and s.35.1 which allow the Minister to designate properties and administer all the review and control processes for those properties; and
  - (c) the proposed s.35.2 which allows the Minister to issue a stop order for 60 days for any building being altered or demolished, whether or not the municipality has approved it;
- (2) Council authorize the appropriate City officials to present these comments to the Ontario Government, including appearing before the appropriate standing committee of the Legislature; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

## 9. Parks and Recreation Revenue Review - Status Report and Interim Findings related to the Welcome Policy (All Wards)

Report (September 29, 2004) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism providing a status report on the Revenue Review of the Parks and Recreation Services and on the interim findings related to the Welcome Policy that have implications on the achievement of budgeted revenue targets within the Parks and Recreation budget allocation.

#### Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) an increase of \$2.5 million net to the Parks and Recreation Operating Budget, as a result of increased use of the Welcome Policy (unrealized revenues), be referred for consideration during the 2005 Budget process as an interim finding arising from one component of the overall revenue review;
- (2) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, in consultation with the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, complete a comprehensive review of Parks and Recreation revenue types prior to the consideration of the 2006 budget;
- (3) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism ensure that the revenue review is undertaken in concert with 2005 report on User Fees for Children and Youth Programs (recommendation of Our Common Grounds – Strategic Plan) and also incorporates the User Fee, Welcome and Priority Centres Policies; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

# **10.** Parks and Recreation Service Improvement Priorities for 2005-2006 (All Wards)

#### (Deputation)

Report (September 29, 2004) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism proposing service improvement priorities as part of the implementation strategy for "Our Common Grounds," the Parks and Recreation Strategic Plan.

#### Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

(1) the four service area priorities and related service level changes in Table 1 and Attachment 2 be referred for consideration during the 2005 budget process;

- (2) achievements related to Parks and Recreation's service improvement priorities be reported to Council annually through the budget process;
- (3) a three-year Parks and Recreation service plan be brought forward at the beginning of each term of Council; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

#### **12.** Crothers' Woods

Communication (September 23, 2004) from the Task Force to Bring Back the Don.

#### Recommendation:

The Task Force to Bring Back the Don recommended to the Economic Development and Parks Committee that the following motion by J. Palmer, seconded by R. Ballantyne, be adopted:

"WHEREAS Crothers' Woods has been designated an Environmentally Significant Area by the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority;

AND WHEREAS the Toronto Field Naturalists, the TRCA and others have identified a number of rare forest species in Crothers' Woods, including hickory, oak, beech and maple species that can serve as a seed bank for a healthy urban forest as Toronto's climate changes;

AND WHEREAS many activities and initiatives within, or immediately adjacent to, Crothers' Woods will affect the long-term sustainability of that forest, these including, but not necessarily limited to:

- the provision in Toronto's Official Plan to extend Redway Road as a transit link;
- the disposition of the North Toronto Sewage Treatment Plant;
- potential Wet Weather Flow Management Master Plan initiatives;
- the Rosemount Heights Sewer rehabilitation project;
- ongoing hydro corridor maintenance;
- Trees Across Toronto forest extension at the Sun Valley site.

AND WHEREAS Crothers' Woods is under stress from unplanned human uses including proliferating informal trails and unregulated stunt structures used by mountain bikers and others;

AND WHEREAS the Natural Environment and Horticulture Section, Parks and Recreation, EDCT, has initiated a program of trail realignment, erosion control and public education, in consultation with the Task Force to Bring Back the Don, the Don Valley Trail Users Club, the International Mountain Biking Association, the Don Watershed Regeneration Council and other stakeholders;

AND WHEREAS sustainable initiatives to preserve Crothers' Woods as a ecological treasure must be developed within the context of a plan that recognizes all the competing issues that affect Crothers' Woods;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Task Force to Bring Back the Don requests the Economic Development and Parks Committee to direct the Commissioner of Economic Development Culture and Tourism to develop a Natural Area Management Master Plan for Crothers' Woods that preserves its unique character, improves its environmental health and sets out management requirements to minimize negative human impacts, as soon as possible, and that this be a priority in the 2005 Budget process."