

THE CITY OF TORONTO

City Clerk's Office

Minutes of The Etobicoke York Community Council

Meeting 9

Tuesday, October 12, 2004

The Etobicoke York Community Council met on Tuesday, October 12, 2004, in the Council Chambers, Etobicoke Civic Centre, 399 The West Mall, Toronto, at 9:35 a.m.

Attendance:

Members were present for some or all of the time periods indicated.

	9:35 a.m. to 12:55 a.m.	2:10 p.m. to 4:20 p.m.
Councillor Frank Di Giorgio	X	-
Councillor Rob Ford (Vice-Chair)	X	-
Councillor Mark Grimes	X	X
Councillor Suzan Hall (Chair)	X	X
Councillor Doug Holyday	X	X
Councillor Gloria Lindsay Luby	-	-
Councillor Giorgio Mammoliti	X	X
Councillor Peter Milczyn	X	X
Councillor Frances Nunziata	X	X
Councillor Cesar Palacio	X	X
Councillor Bill Saundercook	X	X

Councillor Hall in the Chair.

Confirmation of Minutes

On motion by Councillor Grimes, the Minutes of the meeting of the Etobicoke York Community Council held on September 14, 2004 were confirmed.

Minutes of The Etobicoke York Community Council
Tuesday, October 12, 2004

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Etobicoke York Community Council concurred in the introduction of new communications/staff reports included on the Added Starter Agenda as Items 34 to 37 and Item 38 distributed at the meeting.

9.1 Reduction of Speed Limit from 50 km/h to 40 km/h on Bankfield Drive between Albion Road and Calstock Drive (Ward 1 – Etobicoke North)

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a report (July 16, 2004) from the Director, Transportation Services, West District, responding to a request from Councillor Suzan Hall (Ward 1 - Etobicoke North), on behalf of an area resident, concerning motorists' speeds on Bankfield Drive and the feasibility of installing an all-way stop control at the intersection of Bankfield Drive and Edgebrook Road.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the speed limit on Bankfield Drive, between Albion Road and Calstock Drive, be reduced from 50 km/h to 40 km/h as the requirements of the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant are achieved; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any bills that may be required.

John Anga appeared before the Etobicoke York Community Council in connection with this matter.

Councillor Hall appointed Councillor Ford Acting Chair and vacated the Chair.

On motion by Councillor Hall, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council:

- (1) adoption of the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (July 16, 2004) from the Director, Transportation Services, West District; and
- (2) that the Director, Transportation Services, West District, be requested to conduct a traffic calming assessment/speed humps warrants study on Bankfield Drive, from Albion Road to Calstock Drive, and report to the Etobicoke York Community Council on January 18, 2005.

Councillor Hall resumed the Chair.

(Report 8, Clause 1)

**9.2 Reduction of Speed Limit from 50 km/h to 40 km/h on Emerald Crescent
(Ward 6 – Etobicoke-Lakeshore)**

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a report (September 10, 2004) from the Director, Transportation Services, West District, responding to a request from an area resident to investigate the feasibility of reducing the speed limit on Emerald Crescent.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the speed limit on Emerald Crescent, between Fifth Street and Ninth Street, be reduced from 50 km/h to 40 km/h as the requirements of the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant are achieved; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Grimes, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council adoption of the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (September 10, 2004) from the Director, Transportation Services, West District.

(Report 8, Clause 2)

**9.3 Installation of Traffic Control Signals – Albion Road and Steinway Boulevard
(Ward 1 – Etobicoke North)**

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a report (September 23, 2004) from the Director, Transportation Services, West District, regarding the installation of traffic control signals at the intersection of Albion Road and Steinway Boulevard; advising that the estimated cost of installing traffic control signals at Albion Road and Steinway Boulevard, is \$153,000.00 with installation being subject to scheduling and competing priorities.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) traffic control signals be installed at the intersection of Albion Road and Steinway Boulevard as the signal warrant requirements are achieved; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Holyday, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council adoption of the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (September 23, 2004) from the Director, Transportation Services, West District.

(Report 8, Clause 3)

**9.4 Installation of Traffic Control Signals – The Westway and Trehorne Drive
(Ward 4 – Etobicoke Centre)**

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a report (September 3, 2004) from the Director, Transportation Services, West District, responding to a request from the office of Councillor Gloria Lindsay Luby (Ward 4 – Etobicoke Centre) to investigate the need for pedestrian crossing assistance across The Westway in the area of Trehorne Drive; advising that the estimated cost of installing traffic control signals at The Westway and Trehorne Drive is \$102,700.00 with the installation being subject to scheduling and competing priorities.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) traffic control signals be installed at the intersection of The Westway and Trehorne Drive; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Holyday, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council adoption of the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (September 3, 2004) from the Director, Transportation Services, West District.

(Report 8, Clause 4)

9.5 Parking Prohibition – Inverleigh Drive between The Queensway and Dalesford Road (Ward 5 – Etobicoke-Lakeshore)

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a report (September 9, 2004) from the Director, Transportation Services, West District responding to a petition from area residents requesting that a parking prohibition be implemented on Inverleigh Drive between The Queensway and Dalesford Road due to excessive and long-term parking of vehicles driven by patrons of local bars and restaurants.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) parking be prohibited anytime on the west side of Inverleigh Drive between The Queensway and Dalesford Road; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council adoption of the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (September 9, 2004) from the Director, Transportation Services, West District.

(Report 8, Clause 5)

9.6 Removal of On-street Parking Spaces for Persons with Disabilities (Ward 13 – Parkdale-High Park and Ward 17 – Davenport)

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a report (September 24, 2004) from the Director, Transportation Services, West District, responding to requests for the removal of on-street parking spaces for persons with disabilities due to applicants moving, expired permits or no longer requiring these on-street parking privileges.

Minutes of The Etobicoke York Community Council
Tuesday, October 12, 2004

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the on-street parking space for persons with disabilities on Maria Street, south side, between a point 45.0 metres west of Gilmour Street and a point 5.5 metres further west, be removed;
- (2) the on-street parking space for persons with disabilities on Maria Street, south side, between a point 132.0 metres west of St John's Place and a point 5.5 metres further west, be removed;
- (3) the on-street parking space for persons with disabilities on Old Weston Road, east side, between a point 30.5 metres south of Davenport Road and a point 5.5 metres further south, be removed; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council adoption of the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (September 24, 2004) from the Director, Transportation Services, West District.

(Report 8, Clause 6)

9.7 Traffic Calming (Speed Humps) on Highbury Road between Starview Drive and Wallasey Avenue (Ward 7 – York West)

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a report (September 9, 2004) from the Director, Transportation Services, West District, responding to neighbourhood safety concerns raised at a meeting with Councillor Giorgio Mammoliti (Ward 7 – York West) and residents of Highbury Road; advising that the estimated cost for the installation of five speed humps on Highbury Road between Starview Drive and Wallasey Avenue is \$15,000.00 with the installation being subject to competing priorities/ranking and funding availability.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

Minutes of The Etobicoke York Community Council
Tuesday, October 12, 2004

- (1) appropriate staff be authorized to conduct a poll of eligible residents on Highbury Road, between Starview Drive and Wallasey Avenue, in accordance with the traffic calming policy to determine if residents of the roadway support the installation of speed humps;
- (2) a by-law be prepared and public notice be given pursuant to the *Municipal Act* and *Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act* for the alterations of sections of the roadway on Highbury Road for traffic calming purposes, described as follows:

“The construction of speed humps on Highbury Road, generally as shown on Drawing No. SH-5831-01 dated September 8, 2004, attached”;
- (3) pursuant to the requirements of Schedule B of the *Municipal Class Environmental Act* which have been recently enacted as Provincial Legislation, notice of study commencement be given to the Ministry of the Environment, Fire Services, Emergency Medical Services and Toronto Police Service and upon approval of a by-law by Council, Notice of Completion be issued;
- (4) the speed limit on Highbury Road be reduced from 40 km/h to 30 km/h between Starview Drive and Wallasey Avenue, coincident with the implementation of speed humps; and
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any bills that may be required.

Councillor Mammoliti moved that the Etobicoke York Community Council recommend to City Council adoption of the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (September 9, 2004) from the Director, Transportation Services, West District. **(Carried with Councillor Ford voting in opposition.)**

(Report 8, Clause 7)

9.8 Poll Results – Installation of Sidewalks on Goswell Road between Kipling Avenue and Martin Grove Road (Ward 5 – Etobicoke-Lakeshore)

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a report (September 10, 2004) from the Director, Transportation Services, West District, responding to a request by the Etobicoke Community Council on January 21, 2003, to conduct a formal poll to determine if the majority of the affected residents support the installation of sidewalks on Goswell Road, between Kipling Avenue and Martin Grove Road.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that sidewalks not be installed on Goswell Road between Kipling Avenue and Martin Grove Road.

The Etobicoke York Community Council also had before it a communication (October 12, 2004) from Rhona Swarbrick.

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council approval of the installation of sidewalks on the north side of Goswell Road, from Burnhamhall Court to Tonwell Court, subject to competing/ranking priorities, and recognizing the fact that a second sidewalk survey was completed, as requested by the Etobicoke Community Council.

(Report 8, Clause 8)

9.9 Request for Exemption from Chapter 248 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Driveway Widening at 91 Armadale Avenue (Ward 13 – Parkdale-High Park)

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a report (December 2, 2003) from the Director, Transportation Services, West District, regarding a request for an exemption from the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit driveway widening that will partially encroach onto the mutual driveway at 91 Armadale Avenue.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that City Council deny the request to permit driveway widening which will partially encroach onto the mutual driveway at 91 Armadale Avenue.

The Etobicoke York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (i) (February 9, 2004) from David Tomlin and Jawad Kassab; and
- (ii) (October 10, 2004) from Noelle Spotton.

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Etobicoke York Community Council withdrew the report (December 2, 2003) from the Director, Transportation Services, West District, having been advised that the applicants had withdrawn their appeal.

(Sent to: Director, Transportation Services, West District; c David Tomlin and Jawad Kassab; Noelle Spotton - October 19, 2004)

(Report 8, Other Items Clause 26(a))

**9.10 Removal of One Privately Owned Tree – 52 Ellis Park Road
(Ward 13 – Parkdale-High Park)**

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a report (May 3, 2004) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, regarding an application for a permit to remove one 50 cm diameter white pine tree located on private property at 52 Ellis Park Road.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the request for the removal of one privately owned tree at 52 Ellis Park Road be denied; or
- (2) the request for the removal of one privately owned tree at 52 Ellis Park Road be approved.

The Etobicoke York Community Council also had before it a report (August 26, 2004) from the City Solicitor responding to a request from the Toronto West Community Council on June 8, 2004, to submit a report regarding the question of any liability the City may incur when a request to remove a privately owned tree is denied by the City and the tree causes damage to private property following the denial of the request.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Etobicoke York Community Council:

- (1) deferred consideration of the report (May 3, 2004) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, to its November 16, 2004 meeting; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, to submit a revised report providing a firm recommendation on whether the request for the removal of the tree should be approved or denied.

(Sent to: Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism;
c. Helga Braconnier; Harijs and Velga Jansons; W. C. Leupolt;
Petra Feldhaus Unger; City Solicitor – October 19, 2004)

(Report 8, Other Items Clause 26(b))

**9.11 Request - Royal York Phase IV Reconstruction – Mimico Creek to Dundas Street
(Ward 5 – Etobicoke-Lakeshore)**

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a communication (September 27, 2004) from Councillor Peter Milczyn (Ward 5 – Etobicoke-Lakeshore) forwarding a communication dated September 20, 2004, from Mary Campbell, President, The Kingsway Park Ratepayers Inc., and requesting the Director, Transportation Services, West District, to evaluate and report back on the request regarding the costs of burying high voltage electric wires or using low clearance high voltage electrical wires in order to protect the tree canopy in the area.

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council requested the Acting Commissioner, Works and Emergency Services, to submit a report to the Community Council on the costs of burying high voltage electric wires or using low clearance high voltage electrical wires in order to protect the tree canopy in the Royal York Phase IV Reconstruction area, Mimico Creek to Dundas Street.

(Sent to: Acting Commissioner, Works and Emergency Services;
c. Councillor Peter Milczyn – October 19, 2004)

(Report 8, Other Items Clause 26(c))

**9.12 Intention to Designate under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*
105 Elmhurst Drive (Garbutt-Gardhouse House) (Ward 2 – Etobicoke North)**

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a report (September 9, 2004) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, regarding the designation under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act* of 105 Elmhurst Drive (Garbutt-Gardhouse House) located on the west side of Elmhurst Drive near Islington Avenue and Rexdale Boulevard.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

Minutes of The Etobicoke York Community Council
Tuesday, October 12, 2004

- (1) Council state its intention to designate the property at 105 Elmhurst Drive (Garbutt-Gardhouse House) under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;
- (2) if there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 29(6) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;
- (3) if there are any objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation to the Conservation Review Board; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Etobicoke York Community Council also had before it a communication (September 23, 2004) from the Toronto Preservation Board advising that the Toronto Preservation Board on September 23, 2004, recommended to the Etobicoke York Community Council that City Council adopt the recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report dated September 9, 2004, from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, regarding 105 Elmhurst Drive.

- A. Councillor Holyday moved that the report (September 9, 2004) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, be received. **(Lost)**
- B. Councillor Nunziata moved that the Etobicoke York Community Council recommend to City Council adoption of the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (September 9, 2004) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism. **(Carried)**

(Report 8, Clause 9)

**9.13 Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties
399 The West Mall (Etobicoke Civic Centre) (Ward 3 – Etobicoke Centre)**

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a report (September 9, 2004) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, regarding the designation under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act* of 399 The West Mall (Etobicoke Civic Centre) located at the south west corner of Burnhamthorpe Road and Highway No. 427.

Minutes of The Etobicoke York Community Council
Tuesday, October 12, 2004

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council include the property at 399 The West Mall (Etobicoke Civic Centre) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Etobicoke York Community Council also had before it a communication (September 23, 2004) from the Toronto Preservation Board advising that the Toronto Preservation Board on September 23, 2004, recommended to the Etobicoke York Community Council that City Council adopt the recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report dated September 9, 2004, from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, regarding 399 The West Mall.

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council requested the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, to submit a further report to the Community Council isolating the reasons why the property at 399 The West Mall (Etobicoke Civic Centre) should be included on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties, and defining clearly which elements should be preserved.

(Sent to: Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism;
c. Colleen O'Neill, Committee Secretary, Toronto Preservation Board –
October 19, 2004)

(Report 8, Other Items Clause 26(d))

**9.14 Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties
2881-2887 Dundas Street West (William Rowntree Building)
(Ward 13 – Parkdale-High Park)**

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a report (September 9, 2004) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, regarding the designation under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act* of 2881-2887 Dundas Street West (William Rowntree Building) located on the south east corner of Dundas Street West and Mavety Street (west of Keele Street).

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

Minutes of The Etobicoke York Community Council
Tuesday, October 12, 2004

- (1) City Council include the property at 2881-2887 Dundas Street West (William Rowntree Building) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Etobicoke York Community Council also had before it a communication (September 23, 2004) from the Toronto Preservation Board advising that the Toronto Preservation Board on September 23, 2004, postponed consideration of the report (September 9, 2004) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, regarding 2881-2887 Dundas Street West, to its meeting on October 21, 2004.

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Etobicoke York Community Council deferred consideration of the report (September 9, 2004) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, to its meeting on November 16, 2004.

(Sent to: Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism;
c. Colleen O'Neill, Committee Secretary, Toronto Preservation Board –
October 19, 2004)

(Report 8, Other Items Clause 26(e))

**9.15 Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties
2897-2901 Dundas Street West (William Hepinstall Building)
(Ward 13 – Parkdale-High Park)**

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a report (September 9, 2004) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, regarding the designation under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act* of 2897-2901 Dundas Street West (William Hepinstall Building) located on the south west corner of Dundas Street West and Mavety Street (west of Keele Street).

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council include the property at 2897-2901 Dundas Street West (William Hepinstall Building) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Etobicoke York Community Council also had before it a communication (September 23, 2004) from the Toronto Preservation Board advising that the Toronto Preservation Board on September 23, 2004, postponed consideration of the report (September 9, 2004) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, regarding 2897-2901 Dundas Street West, to its meeting on October 21, 2004.

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Etobicoke York Community Council deferred consideration of the report (September 9, 2004) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, to its meeting on November 16, 2004.

(Sent to: Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism;
c. Colleen O'Neill, Committee Secretary, Toronto Preservation Board –
October 19, 2004)

(Report 8, Other Items Clause 26(f))

**9.16 Application for Demolition under Section 34 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*
89 Kingsway Crescent (Isaac Scott House) (Ward 5 - Etobicoke-Lakeshore)**

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a report (September 7, 2004) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, regarding an application for demolition under Section 34 of the *Ontario Heritage Act* for the building on the property at 89 Kingsway Crescent (Isaac Scott House) located on the east side of Kingsway Crescent, south of Dundas Street West and west of the Humber River.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council refuse the heritage permit application to demolish the building located on the property at 89 Kingsway Crescent (Isaac Scott House) under Section 34 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Etobicoke York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (i) (September 23, 2004) from the Toronto Preservation Board advising that the Toronto Preservation Board on September 23, 2004, recommended to the Etobicoke York Community Council that City Council adopt the recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report dated September

Minutes of The Etobicoke York Community Council
Tuesday, October 12, 2004

- 7, 2004, from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, regarding 89 Kingsway Crescent;
- (ii) (October 8, 2004) from Bill Hall and Anne Austen-Hall;
 - (iii) (October 8, 2004) from Mark Yarranton and Patricia Foran;
 - (iv) (October 7, 2004) addressed to Councillor Milczyn from Rick Pennycooke, The Lakeshore Group;
 - (v) (October 8, 2004) from Al and Joyce Castagna;
 - (vi) (October 7, 2004) from Dean French and Jane Pal-French; and
 - (vii) (October 12, 2004) from The Kingsway Park Ratepayers Inc.

The following persons appeared before the Etobicoke York Community Council in connection with this matter:

- May Luong, on behalf of Rick Pennycooke, The Lakeshore Group; and filed a submission;
- Judy Fields;
- Sonya Neuffer; and filed a submission and petition;
- Patricia Foran;
- Dean French; and
- Bill Hall.

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council adoption of the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (September 7, 2004) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

(Report 8, Clause 10)

**9.17 Renaming of 43rd Street Park to Maurice J. Breen Park
(Ward 6 – Etobicoke-Lakeshore)**

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a report (September 22, 2004) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, responding to a request from the Etobicoke York Community Council on September 14, 2004, to report on the renaming of the 43rd Street park to the Maurice J. Breen Park as requested by the local residents, the Long Branch Historical Society and the Toronto Region Conservation Authority.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the park known as 43rd Street Park be renamed to Maurice J. Breen Park; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Grimes, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council adoption of the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (September 22, 2004) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

(Report 8, Clause 11)

9.18 Draft By-law to Name Private Lane at 2264 Lake Shore Boulevard West as “Yachters Lane” (Ward 6 – Etobicoke-Lakeshore)

The Etobicoke York Community Council held a public meeting, and notice, in accordance with the *Municipal Act, 2001* of the proposed enactment of the draft by-law, was posted on the Public Notices pages of the City’s internet website from September 27, 2004 to October 11, 2004, immediately preceding the Etobicoke York Community Council meeting held on October 12, 2004; and no one addressed the Etobicoke York Community Council.

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a draft by-law from the City Solicitor to name the private lane at 2264 Lake Shore Boulevard West as “Yachters Lane”.

The Etobicoke York Community Council also had before it Clause 17 of Report 5 of the Etobicoke Community Council, headed “Naming of Park ‘Flora Voisey Park’ and Naming of Street ‘Yachters Lane’ at 2264 Lake Shore Boulevard West (Ward 6 – Etobicoke-Lakeshore)”, which was adopted, without amendment, by City Council on June 24, 25 and 26, 2003.

On motion by Councillor Grimes, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council adoption of the draft by-law from the City Solicitor to name the private lane at 2264 Lake Shore Boulevard West as “Yachters Lane”.

(Report 8, Clause 12)

**9.19 Draft By-law to Name Private Lane at 2 and 4 Sand Beach Road as “Nautical Lane”
(Ward 6 – Etobicoke-Lakeshore)**

The Etobicoke York Community Council held a public meeting, and notice, in accordance with the *Municipal Act, 2001* of the proposed enactment of the draft by-law, was posted on the Public Notices pages of the City’s internet website from September 27, 2004 to October 11, 2004, immediately preceding the Etobicoke York Community Council meeting held on October 12, 2004; and no one addressed the Etobicoke York Community Council.

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a draft by-law from the City Solicitor to name the private lane at 2 and 4 Sand Beach Road as “Nautical Lane”.

The Etobicoke York Community Council also had before it Clause 38 of Report 1 of the Toronto West Community Council, headed “Naming of Proposed Private Lane at 2 and 4 Sand Beach Road (Ward 6 – Etobicoke-Lakeshore)”, which was adopted, without amendment, by City Council on January 27, 28 and 29, 2004.

On motion by Councillor Grimes, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council adoption of the draft by-law from the City Solicitor to name the private lane 2 and 4 Sand Beach Road as “Nautical Lane”.

(Report 8, Clause 13)

**9.20 Draft By-law to Name Private Lane at 2 Lightbourn Avenue as “Grogan Mews”
(Ward 17 - Davenport)**

The Etobicoke York Community Council held a public meeting, and notice, in accordance with the *Municipal Act, 2001* of the proposed enactment of the draft by-law, was posted on the Public Notices pages of the City’s internet website from September 27, 2004 to October 11, 2004, immediately preceding the Etobicoke York Community Council meeting held on October 12, 2004; and no one addressed the Etobicoke York Community Council.

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a draft by-law from the City Solicitor to name the private lane at 2 Lightbourn Avenue as “Grogan Mews”.

The Etobicoke York Community Council also had before it Clause 7 of Report 7 of the Humber York Community Council, headed “Naming of Proposed Private Lane at 2 Lightbourn Avenue (Ward 17 – Davenport)”, which was adopted, without amendment, by City Council on September 22, 23 and 24, 2003.

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council adoption of the draft by-law from the City Solicitor to name the private lane at 2 Lightbourn Avenue as "Grogan Mews".

(Report 8, Clause 14)

9.21 Request for Variance from Chapter 215, Signs, of the Former City of Etobicoke Municipal Code – 1037 The Queensway (Ward 5 – Etobicoke-Lakeshore)

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a report (August 24, 2004) from the Director of Building and Deputy Chief Building Official regarding a request from Dominic Rotundo, Pattison Sign Group, on behalf of Scotia Bank, for approval of variance from Chapter 215, Signs, of the former City of Etobicoke Municipal Code, to permit a third fascia sign on the street fronting The Queensway and a second fascia sign fronting Dorchester Avenue, for the building located at 1037 The Queensway.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the request for variance be refused for the reasons outlined in this report.

- A. Councillor Milczyn moved that the Etobicoke York Community Council recommend to City Council approval of the request for variance from Chapter 215, Signs, of the former City of Etobicoke Municipal Code, at 1037 The Queensway. **(Carried)**

Councillor Hall appointed Councillor Holyday Acting Chair and vacated the Chair.

- B. Councillor Hall moved that the report (August 24, 2004) from the Director of Building and Deputy Chief Building Official, be received. **(Not put due to the action taken on Motion A.)**

Councillor Hall resumed the Chair.

(Report 8, Clause 15)

9.22 Request for Variance from By-law 280-1998 – 82 Queen Elizabeth Boulevard (Ward 5 – Etobicoke-Lakeshore)

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a report (September 22, 2004) from the Acting Director of Building regarding a request from Joseph Schillaci, 2034038 Ontario Ltd., for approval of variance from By-law 280-1998, which prohibits third party

signs, as an interim measure, on lands adjacent to certain former provincial highways, to enlarge an existing 18.60 square metre third party sign to a 37.20 square metre third party sign at 82 Queen Elizabeth Boulevard.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the request for variances be refused for the reasons outlined in this report.

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council deferred consideration of the report (September 22, 2004) from the Acting Director of Building to its meeting on November 16, 2004.

(Sent to: Acting Director of Building and Deputy Chief Building Official; c. Joseph Schillaci; Ron Barr, Pattison Outdoor Advertising; Sid Catalano, Pattison Outdoor Advertising – October 19, 2004)

(Report 8, Other Items Clause 26(g))

**9.23 Fire Route Application – 190 Woolner Avenue
Metro Toronto Housing Corporation (Ward 11 – York South-Weston)**

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a report (September 17, 2004) from the District Chief, Fire Services, West Command, regarding a request from the Metro Toronto Housing Corporation to amend the existing fire route by-law for this site to include changes made to the main driveway system serving the apartment building at 190 Woolner Avenue.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the City Solicitor be authorized to draft an amendment to schedule “C” of Fire Route By-law No. 476-85 to designate the private driveway system as a fire route and forward the amending by-law to Council.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council adoption of the staff recommendation in the Recommendation Section of the report (September 17, 2004) from the District Chief, Fire Services, West Command.

(Report 8, Clause 16)

**9.24 Assumption of Services – Subdivision Owned by Belridge Investments Ltd.
Touchstone Drive, Via Torre Drive, Platinum Drive, Via Aurelia Drive
Centrepark Drive, Millennium Drive, Via Cassia Drive, La Dolce Vita Drive
(Ward 12 – York South-Weston)**

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a report (September 24, 2004) from the Director, Development Engineering, advising that the municipal services installed under the terms of the Subdivision Agreement for Plan 66M-2340, dated July 21, 1999, between Belridge Investments Ltd. and the City of Toronto are in the required condition to be assumed by the City.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) an assumption by-law be passed to assume the public highways and municipal services in Subdivision Plan 66M-2340; and
- (2) the City Solicitor be authorized and directed to make any payment of costs necessary to register the assumption by-law in the Land Registry Office.

On motion by Councillor Di Giorgio, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council adoption of the staff recommendation in the Recommendation Section of the report (September 24, 2004) from the Director, Development Engineering.

(Report 8, Clause 17)

**9.25 Refusal Report – Rezoning Application – Applicant: Franco Romano
329 Falstaff Avenue (Ward 12 – York South-Weston)**

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a report (August 23, 2004) from the Director, Community Planning, West District, regarding an application by Franco Romano to amend the Zoning By-law to permit a personal service shop as a home occupation use at 329 Falstaff Avenue.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council refuse the Zoning By-law Amendment application for the property located at 329 Falstaff Avenue, Rezoning Application 03 035359 NHY 12 OZ; and

- (2) should this application be appealed to the Ontario Municipal Board, the City Solicitor and City Staff be authorized to appear before the Ontario Municipal Board to defend the refusal of this application.

The Etobicoke York Community Council also had before it a communication (April 30, 2004) from C. Deguara.

Ernest Lustig appeared before the Etobicoke York Community Council in connection with this matter; and filed a submission.

On motion by Councillor Di Giorgio, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council adoption of the staff recommendation in the Recommendation Section of the report (August 23, 2004) from the Director, Community Planning, West District.

(Report 8, Clause 18)

9.26 Final Report – Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application
Applicant: Tom Giancos, 1463290 Ontario Inc.; 1100 Islington Avenue and 1 and 3 Chauncey Avenue (Ward 5 – Etobicoke-Lakeshore)

The Etobicoke York Community Council held a continuation of a statutory Public Meeting on October 12, 2004, and notice was given in accordance with the *Planning Act*.

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a report (August 26, 2004) from the Director, Community Planning, West District, regarding an application by Tom Giancos, 1463290 Ontario Inc., to amend the Official Plan and Zoning Code to permit a medium density residential development consisting of townhouse dwelling units, semi-detached dwelling unit and a public part at 1100 Islington Avenue and 1 and 3 Chauncey Avenue.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that City Council:

- (1) amend the Official Plan for the former City of Etobicoke substantially in accordance with the draft Official Plan Amendment attached as Attachment No. 8;
- (2) amend the Zoning Code for the City of Etobicoke substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning Code Amendment attached as Attachment No. 10;
- (3) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Official Plan Amendment and/or draft Zoning Code Amendment as may be required;

Minutes of The Etobicoke York Community Council
Tuesday, October 12, 2004

- (4) direct the City Solicitor to request the Ontario Municipal Board to modify the Official Plan for the City of Toronto substantially in accordance with Attachment No. 9;
- (5) before introducing the necessary Bills to City Council for enactment, require the owner to enter into an Agreement under Section 37 of the *Planning Act*, providing public benefits in exchange for the increase in permitted density on the property, as set out in this report;
- (6) before introducing the necessary Bills to Council for enactment, require the applicant to submit a letter of undertaking to the City agreeing to withdraw their appeal with respect to the Toronto Official Plan upon the Official Plan and Zoning Amendments coming into force; and
- (7) before introducing the necessary Bills to Council for enactment, require the applicant to enter into a Site Plan Agreement under Section 41 of the *Planning Act*.

The Etobicoke York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (i) (September 10, 2004) from John Seeback, Seeback Roofing and Sheet Metal.;
- (ii) (September 13, 2004) from John Seeback, 342116 Ontario Ltd.; and
- (iii) (October 8, 2004) from Robert Statton.

The following persons appeared before the Etobicoke York Community Council in connection with this matter:

- Robert Statton, on behalf of John Seeback, 342116 Ontario Ltd.;
- Imke Wemken, on behalf of the residents of Chauncey Avenue; and filed a petition; and
- Adam Brown on behalf of the applicant.

A. Councillor Milczyn moved that the Etobicoke York Community Council recommend to City Council adoption of the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (August 26, 2004) from the Director, Community Planning, West District, subject to:

- (1) amending Recommendation (1) by changing the words in Attachment No. 8 (Draft Official Plan Amendment) Section 2.3, Description, 1., by deleting the words "91 townhouse units and 2 semi-detached units" and replacing them with the words "97 townhouse units and 4 detached units", and

Minutes of The Etobicoke York Community Council
Tuesday, October 12, 2004

striking out clause 2)i) Section 37 Agreement and replace it with the words:

“(a) The owner will convey a minimum additional 207 square metres of land, above and beyond statutory requirements, recognizing that this development is located within a priority area for parkland acquisition by the City. In exchange for this consideration the City shall grant to the owner a credit in an amount equal to the foresaid overdedication which the Owner may draw against future parkland dedication requirements for any project located within the current boundaries of Ward 5 Etobicoke-Lakeshore during a period up to and including October 31, 2010. Any additional parkland dedicated to the City that will be contiguous to the current proposed dedicated lands shall also qualify for a credit against future parkland dedication requirements for any project located within the current boundaries of Ward 5 - Etobicoke-Lakeshore, during a period up to and including October 31, 2010.”; **(Carried)**

(2) amending Recommendation (2) by amending Attachment No. 10 to be consistent with the wording in the revised Attachment No. 8, and by replacing Schedule ‘A’ with the revised Schedule ‘A’ as provided by the applicant, and that Schedule ‘B’ be replaced by a revised Schedule ‘B’ substantially as provided by the applicant, however increasing the minimum setback from Islington Avenue to 4.0 metres and making additional adjustments to Schedule ‘B’ as required to achieve this increased setback; **(Carried)**

(3) delete Recommendation (7); **(Carried)**

(4) adding a new Recommendation (7) that approval be subject to the additional condition:

“Following full occupancy of this development, the developer’s traffic engineering consultant, in consultation with the Director, Transportation Services Division, West District, will conduct a traffic control signal warrant analysis at the Islington Avenue/Six Points Road intersection. If the warrant analysis shows that traffic control signals are warranted at this intersection, then the

Minutes of The Etobicoke York Community Council
Tuesday, October 12, 2004

applicant is financially responsible for all costs associated with signalizing the intersection. In the event that traffic control signals are not warranted, then the applicant shall make a proportionate financial contribution to the potential future signalization of this intersection in an amount acceptable to the Director, Transportation Services Division, West District. These provisions shall be secured in the Section 37 Agreement.”; **(Carried)**

(5) adding a new Recommendation (8) that Site Plan approval be subject to the applicant providing two driveway access points to the development from Six Points Road subject to approval by the Director, Transportation Services Division, West District; and **(Carried)**

(6) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to complete the site plan and bills for the City Council meeting on October 26, 27 and 28, 2004; **(Carried on the following recorded vote:**

For: Councillors Di Giorgio, Grimes, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Palacio and Saundercook (6);

Against: Councillors Ford, Hall, Holyday and Nunziata (4); and

Absent: Councillors Lindsay Luby (1.)

B. Councillor Holyday moved that the Recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (August 26, 2004) from the Director, Community Planning, West District, as amended, be further amended by:

(1) reducing the number of townhouse units from 97 to 93 units;

(2) bordering the proposed park on Chauncey Avenue; and

(3) increasing the minimum setback from Islington Avenue to 6.0 metres. **(Lost on the following recorded vote:**

For: Councillors Hall, Holyday and Nunziata (3);

Against: Councillors Di Giorgio, Ford, Grimes, Mammoliti, Milczyn and Palacio (6); and

Absent: Councillors Lindsay Luby and Saundercook (2.)

- C. Councillor Nunziata moved that the Acting Commissioner, Works and Emergency Services, Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, and the Commissioner, Urban Development Services, be requested to report to City Council on October 26, 27 and 28, 2004, on the impact of the amended recommendations. **(Carried)**

(Sent to: Acting Commissioner, Works and Emergency Services; Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; Commissioner, Urban Development Services; Director, Community Planning, West District; - October 15, 2004)

(Report 8, Clause 19)

9.27 Avenues Study Implementation Report – Lake Shore Boulevard West Long Branch (Ward 6 – Etobicoke-Lakeshore)

The Etobicoke York Community Council held a statutory Public Meeting on October 12, 2004, and notice was given in accordance with the *Planning Act*.

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a report (September 28, 2004) from the Director, Community Planning, West District, regarding new policies, regulations and implementation strategies to achieve, over time, the revitalization of Lake Shore Boulevard West in Long Branch as an “Avenue”; advising that Avenues are identified in the Toronto Official Plan as important corridors along major streets where reurbanization can yield positive results, through the creation of new housing and jobs while improving the pedestrian environment, the look of the street, and shopping opportunities supported by public transit.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that City Council:

- (1) amend the Official Plan for the former City of Etobicoke substantially in accordance with the draft Official Plan Amendment attached as Attachment No. 4;
- (2) direct the City Solicitor to request the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB) to modify the Official Plan for the City of Toronto substantially in accordance with Attachment No. 5;
- (3) amend the Etobicoke Zoning Code substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment No. 6;

Minutes of The Etobicoke York Community Council
Tuesday, October 12, 2004

- (4) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Official Plan Amendments and draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required;
- (5) request Works and Emergency Services to consult with Urban Development Services and Economic Development, Culture and Tourism at the time a work plan is being developed for scheduled improvements to the right-of-way within the study area to determine appropriate beautification improvements within the public right-of-way and the possible elimination of angled parking and construction of parallel parking;
- (6) request that Urban Development Services in consultation with Works and Emergency Services report back to Etobicoke York Community Council on the parking requirement issues raised in this report, in particular, as they relate to uses that enhance street life;
- (7) support, in principle, enhancements to pedestrian and cyclist amenities in the area, and direct Urban Development Services to work with the appropriate City Departments to review additional opportunities on matters such as improved lighting and signage through any initiatives related to streetscape improvements, bikeway connections, and access improvements in the area;
- (8) request Urban Development Services and Economic Development, Culture and Tourism to engage in discussions with industrial property owners regarding opportunities and initiatives related to streetscape improvements on the privately-owned lands immediately adjacent to the Lake Shore Boulevard West public right-of-way, including the provision of designs and cost estimates and possible shared costs of such undertakings;
- (9) request Urban Development Services and Economic Development, Culture and Tourism to work with local land owners, through the development application review process, to secure publicly accessible open space, parkland, and other landscaped open space, within the study area, where appropriate;
- (10) encourage street-related commercial and live/work uses, with direct at-grade access to Lake Shore Boulevard West, and direct Urban Development Services to consider opportunities, through the development application review process, for new or renovated buildings to be designed in a manner that supports this objective and other planning objectives described in this report;
- (11) request Urban Development Services in consultation with Economic Development, Culture and Tourism establish a District Public Art Plan for the study area; and

Minutes of The Etobicoke York Community Council
Tuesday, October 12, 2004

- (12) forward this report to the Planning and Transportation Committee and the Policy and Finance Committee for information.

The Etobicoke York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (i) (October 8, 2004) from Therese Stewart; and
(ii) (October 8, 2004) from Mona Berube.

The following persons addressed the Etobicoke York Community Council in connection with this matter:

- Debbie Wagdin, Lakeshore Community Partnership; and filed a submission;
- Carl Porritt, Long Branch Business Improvement Area;
- Mona Berube;
- Ruth Grier; and
- Richard Ciupa.

A. Councillor Grimes moved that the Etobicoke York Community Council:

- (1) defer consideration of the report (August 26, 2004) from the Director, Community Planning, West District, to a continuation of the Public Meeting, at the Etobicoke York Community Council meeting on November 16, 2004 at 4:00 p.m.; and
- (2) direct that no further notice of the continuation of the Public Meeting be provided. **(Carried)**

B. Councillor Milczyn moved that the Etobicoke York Community Council request the Director, Community Planning, West District, to report to its November 16, 2004 meeting on:

- (1) why the Central West Secondary Plan policies are not being carried forward; and
- (2) what tools could be implemented to control redevelopment on the north side of Lake Shore Boulevard West to encourage comprehensive redevelopment of the commercial/industrial lands. **(Carried)**

(Sent to: Director, Community Planning, West – October 15, 2004)

(Report 8, Other Items Clause 26(h))

9.28 Preliminary Report – Official Plan and Zoning Code Amendment Application
Applicant: William Holman, Hyde Park Homes Limited (In Equity)
1176 Royal York Road (Ward 4 – Etobicoke Centre)

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a report (September 24, 2004) from the Director, Community Planning, West District, providing preliminary information on an application by William Holman, Hyde Park Homes Limited (in equity) to amend the Official Plan and Zoning Code to demolish the existing single detached dwelling and redevelop the site with five freehold townhouse units at 1176 Royal York Road.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
- (2) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) notice for the Public Meeting under the *Planning Act* be given according to the regulations under the *Planning Act*.

On motion by Councillor Holyday, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (September 24, 2004) from the Director, Community Planning, West District, subject to amending Recommendation (2) as follows:

“(2) the notification area for the community consultation be to landowners and residents as determined in consultation with the Ward Councillor; and”

(Sent to: Director, Community Planning, West District;
c. William Holman, Hyde Park Homes Limited – October 19, 2004)

(Report 8, Other Items Clause 26(i))

9.29 Draft By-law to Name Private Lane at 2131 Lake Shore Boulevard West
as “Brookers Lane” (Ward 6 – Etobicoke-Lakeshore)

The Etobicoke York Community Council held a public meeting, and notice, in accordance with the *Municipal Act, 2001* of the proposed enactment of the draft by-law, was given by way of Notice of Motion J(11) from Councillor Mark Grimes (Ward 6 –

Minutes of The Etobicoke York Community Council
Tuesday, October 12, 2004

Etobicoke-Lakeshore) at the City Council meeting held on September 28, 29, 30 and October 1, 2004; and no one addressed the Etobicoke York Community Council.

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a communication (September 29, 2004) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on September 28, 29, 30 and October 1, 2004, adopted a Notice of Motion J(11) from Councillor Mark Grimes (Ward 6 – Etobicoke-Lakeshore) regarding the dedication of lands for public highway purposes and the naming of a proposed public street at 2131 Lake Shore Boulevard West to “Brookers Lane”.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the lands identified as PARTS 2, 3 and 4 on Plan 66R-20319 to be acquired by the City be dedicated as public highway;
- (2) subject to *Municipal Act, 2001* compliance, the proposed public street referred to as Street A in the Development Agreement and identified as PART 4 on Plan 66R-20319, be named “Brookers Lane”;
- (3) the requirement in Municipal Code, Chapter 162, Notice, Public, that public notice be given the earlier of the publication of the main agenda for the City committee meeting and the fourth working day before the City committee meeting be waived, to allow for the publication to be on or before the fourth working day before the City committee meeting, to permit the proposed name to be considered at the Etobicoke York Community Council meeting scheduled for October 12, 2004; and,
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

The Etobicoke York Community Council had before it:

- (i) a draft by-law from the City Solicitor to name the private lane at 2131 Lake Shore Boulevard West as “Brookers Lane”; and
- (ii) a communication (October 12, 2004) from Janice Etter, Etobicoke York Community Preservation Panel.

Rhona Swarbrick appeared before the Etobicoke York Community Council in connection with this matter, on behalf of Janice Etter, Etobicoke York Community Preservation Panel, during consideration of this matter.

On motion by Councillor Grimes, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council adoption of the draft by-law from the City Solicitor to name the private lane at 2131 Lake Shore Boulevard West as "Brookers Lane".

(Report 8, Clause 20)

**9.30 Naming of Private Lane at 35 Fieldway Road – Extension of Van Dusen Boulevard
(Ward 5 – Etobicoke-Lakeshore)**

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a report (September 29, 2004) from the City Surveyor, Work and Emergency Services, responding to a request from Zanini Developments Inc. to rename the private lane, as part of the residential development at 35 Fieldway Road, being the extension of the existing street "Van Dusen Boulevard", to "Van Dusen Boulevard".

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the proposed private lane at 35 Fieldway Road, being the westerly extension of Van Dusen Boulevard, be named "Van Dusen Boulevard";
- (2) Zanini Developments Inc., be required to pay the costs estimated to be in the amount of \$480, for the fabrication and installation of the street name signs; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council adoption of the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (September 29, 2004) from the City Surveyor, Work and Emergency Services.

(Report 8, Clause 21)

**9.31 Sign By-law Variance Application – 2525 St. Clair Avenue West
Owner: Wal-Mart Canada; Applicant: Pattison Sign Group
(Ward 11 – York South-Weston)**

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a report (September 30, 2004) from the Director, Community Planning, West District, and the Director of Building and

Deputy Building Official, West District, regarding a request from Pattison Sign Group, on behalf of Wal-Mart Canada, for approval of variance from Sign By-law 3369-79, as amended, of the former City of York, to permit a 11.23 metre high ground sign on the St. Clair Avenue West frontage and to permit eight wall signs of varying size on the first storey of the building facing the west parking lot.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the application for relief from the provisions of the former City of York Sign By-law 3369-79, as amended, to permit a 11.23 metre high ground sign on the St. Clair Avenue West frontage, and to permit eight wall signs of varying areas on the first storey of the west elevation facing the west parking lot, at 2525 St. Clair Avenue West, be approved as variances to the Sign By-law, subject to a building permit being obtained, and the signs being installed substantially in accordance with the application plans on file with the Building Division, West District; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council adoption of the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (September 30, 2004) from the Director, Community Planning, West District.

Report 8, Clause 22

9.32 Liquor License Application – 1788 Weston Road (Ward 11 – York South-Weston)

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a motion (October 8, 2004) from Councillor Frances Nunziata (Ward 11 – York South-Weston) regarding a liquor license application for 1788 Weston Road; and containing the following Operative Paragraphs:

“NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council authorize the City Solicitor to advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it opposes any new application or the expansion of any existing liquor licence, or caterer’s endorsement for these premises, and/or adjacent or related addresses, and further instructs that a copy of this resolution be provided to the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario be requested to provide the City with an opportunity to participate in any

proceedings involving these premises to oppose any new applications or expansion of the current liquor licence, and that the City Solicitor and necessary staff be authorized to participate in any proceedings before the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario which relate to 1788 Weston Road.”

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council adoption of the Motion (October 8, 2004) from Councillor Frances Nunziata (Ward 11 – York South-Weston).

(Report 8, Clause 23)

**9.33 Alternate Parking on Kane Avenue between Kersdale Avenue and Trowell Avenue
(Ward 12 – York South-Weston)**

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a communication (October 4, 2004) from Councillor Frank Di Giorgio (Ward 12 – York South-Weston) requesting the Director, Transportation Services, West District, to report to the Etobicoke York Community Council meeting on November 16, 2004, on the feasibility of introducing the necessary signage to extend the current alternate parking which exists on Kane Avenue, between Rogers Road and Kersdale Avenue, to Trowell Avenue.

On motion by Councillor Di Giorgio, the Etobicoke York Community Council requested the Director, Transportation Services, West District, to report to its meeting on November 16, 2004, on the feasibility of introducing the necessary signage to extend the current alternate parking which exists on Kane Avenue, between Rogers Road and Kersdale Avenue, to Trowell Avenue.

(Sent to: Director, Transportation Services, West District; c Councillor Frank Di Giorgio, Ward 12, York South-Weston – October 19, 2004)

(Report 8, Other Items Clause 26(j))

**9.34 Request for Exemption to Chapter 400 of the Former City of Toronto
Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking at 22 Nairn Avenue
(Ward 17 – Davenport)**

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a communication (October 6, 2004) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on September 28, 29, 30 and October 1, 2004, referred Clause 1 contained in Report 7 of The Etobicoke York Community Council, headed “Request for Exemption to Chapter 400 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking at 22 Nairn Avenue (Ward 17 - Davenport)”, back to the Etobicoke York Community Council with a request that the

Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, report further to the Community Council on the issue of the setback of the proposed tree in relation to the parking pad.

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Etobicoke York Community Council deferred consideration of the request for exemption to Chapter 400 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit front yard parking at 22 Nairn Avenue to its meeting on November 16, 2004.

(Sent to: Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services – October 19, 2004)

(Report 8, Other Items Clause 26(k))

9.35 Request for Exemption to Chapter 400 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking at 24 Nairn Avenue (Ward 17 – Davenport)

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a communication (October 6, 2004) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on September 28, 29, 30 and October 1, 2004, referred Clause 2 contained in Report 7 of The Etobicoke York Community Council, headed “Request for Exemption to Chapter 400 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking at 24 Nairn Avenue (Ward 17 - Davenport)”, back to the Etobicoke York Community Council with a request that the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, report further to the Community Council on the issue of the setback of the proposed tree in relation to the parking pad.

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Etobicoke York Community Council deferred consideration of the request for exemption to Chapter 400 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit front yard parking at 24 Nairn Avenue to its meeting on November 16, 2004.

(Sent to: Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and – October 19, 2004)

(Report 8, Other Items Clause 26(l))

**9.36 Request for Approval of Variance from the Former City of North York
Sign By-law No 30788 - 1881 Wilson Avenue (Ward 7 – York West)**

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a report (October 5, 2004) from the Acting Director of Building regard in a request from Sid Catalano, Pattison Outdoor, on behalf of Towncentre Investments Ltd., for an approval of variance from the City of North York Sign By-law 30788 to permit a third party illuminated double-faced roof sign at 1881 Wilson Avenue.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the request for variance be approved for the reasons outlined in this report;
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permit and
- (3) the applicant obtain approval from the Ministry of Transportation since the proposed sign is within 400m of a highway right-of-way.

On motion by Councillor Mammoliti, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council adoption of the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (October 5, 2004) from the Acting Director of Building, subject to amending the report to state that each face of the sign will have an area of 350 square feet.

(Report 8, Clause 24)

**9.37 Information Report - Appeals of the Etobicoke Centre Secondary Plan and
Implementing Zoning; and Applications to amend the Etobicoke Official Plan and
Zoning Code - Canadian Tire Real Estate Ltd. (Page+Steele Architects Planners)
5365 Dundas Street West (Ward 5 - Etobicoke-Lakeshore)**

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a report (October 7, 2004) from the Director, Community Planning, West District, providing information regarding Council's position in relation to an Ontario Municipal Board hearing commencing on November 1, 2004, for amendments to the Etobicoke Official Plan and Zoning Code.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council received the report (October 7, 2004) from the Director, Community Planning, West District.

(Sent to: Director, Community Planning, West District – October 19, 2004)

(Report 8, Other Items Clause 26(m))

**9.38 Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario Hearing
Liquor License for the Rooftop Patio at 2446-2448 Bloor Street West
(The Fan/Billy Bob's Saloon/Wedgewood Restaurant)
(Ward 13 – Parkdale-High Park)**

The Etobicoke York Community Council considered a confidential report (October 7, 2004) from the City Solicitor regarding the decision by the Alcohol and Gaming Commission for a liquor license for the rooftop patio at 2446-2448 Bloor Street West; and because the advice is subject to solicitor-client privilege, under the *Municipal Act, 2001*, discussions to be held in-camera.

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council adoption of the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the confidential report (October 7, 2004) from the City Solicitor, which was forwarded under confidential cover; and because the advice is subject to solicitor-client privilege and potential litigation, under the *Municipal Act, 2001*, discussions be held in-camera.

(Report 8, Clause 25)

The meeting adjourned at 4:20 p.m.

Chair