

---

**PLANNING AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE  
AGENDA  
MEETING 2**

**Date of Meeting:** Monday, March 7, 2005  
**Time:** 9:30 a.m.  
**Location:** Committee Room 1  
City Hall  
100 Queen Street West  
Toronto, Ontario

**Enquiry:** Patsy Morris  
Committee Administrator  
416-392-9151  
pmorris@toronto.ca

---

Under the *Municipal Act, 2001*, the Planning and Transportation Committee adopt a motion to meet In-camera (privately) and the reason must be given.

Declaration of Interest under the *Municipal Conflict of Interest Act*

Confirmation of Minutes - January 4, 2005

Speakers/Presentations - A complete list will be distributed at the meeting.

Item 1 - 10:00 a.m.  
Item 2 - 10:30 a.m.  
Item 3 - 11:00 a.m.

**Communications/Reports:**

- 1. Final Report - City Initiated Official Plan Amendment for 1300 and 1340 Leslie Street – Ward 25 – Don Valley West 10:00 a.m.**

**(Public Meeting under the *Planning Act*)**

Report (January 11, 2005) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services responding to Council's direction to bring forward an amendment to the new Official Plan to re-designate the lands at 1300 and 1340 Leslie Street from Mixed Use Areas to either a Neighbourhoods designation or an Institutional Areas designation.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that City Council:

- (1) amend the Official Plan for the City of Toronto to re-designate the lands known municipally as 1300 and 1340 Leslie Street from *Mixed Use areas* to *Neighbourhoods* substantially in accordance with the draft Official Plan Amendment attached as Attachment No. 1; and
  - (2) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Official Plan Amendment as may be required.
- 1(a).** Communication (February 14, 2005) from the Executive Superintendent of Planning and Facilities, Toronto Catholic District School Board, requesting that the opportunity for the Toronto Catholic District School Board to respond to this matter be extended until such time as the Board has had the opportunity to deliberate on this issue.
- 1(b).** Communication (February 18, 2005) from Warren Freedman, supporting Council's intention to re-designate the subject properties to "Institutional or Neighbourhood" which would provide for low rise only.

**2. Principles and Proposed By-law Provisions for  
a City-Wide A-Frame and Mobile Signs By-law**

**10:30 a.m.**

**(Staff Presentation and Deputations)**

Report (November 15, 2004) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report on, and seek approval for, a City-wide harmonized by-law that allows for improved mechanisms of regulations and enforcement around A-frame and mobile signs. This is an interim model pending conclusion of a comprehensive review of the City's street furniture policy.

**Recommendations:**

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council adopt the proposed by-law provisions for regulating A-frame and mobile signs contained in Appendices "A", "B", "C", "D" and "E" attached to this report;
- (2) The City Solicitor be directed to prepare the necessary bills with respect to the by-law regarding A-Frames and Mobile Signs, and to amend Chapter 545, Licensing, and Chapter 441, Fees, both of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, as required to give effect to the recommendations contained in the appendices of this report;
- (3) The by-laws come into effect on July 1, 2005;

- (4) The City Solicitor, in consultation with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, be authorized and directed to make application to the Ministry of the Attorney General for set fines with respect to the proposed code provisions, in the amount of \$500.00 per offence; and
  - (5) The report and recommendations of the Planning and Transportation Committee be referred to the Community Councils, with their reviews and comments referred back to the Planning and Transportation Committee.
- 2(a).** Communication (January 24, 2005) from the Toronto and East York Community Council advising that at its meeting held on January 18, 2005, the Toronto and East York Community Council requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report to the March 7, 2005 meeting of the Planning and Transportation Committee on:
- (1) the ability of City Council to regulate mobile signs on vehicles;
  - (2) reducing the maximum permitted size of A-Frame Signs;
  - (3) the feasibility of restricting the number of A-Frame signs on a City block in situations where:
    - (i) the number of A-Frame Signs are particularly dense; and
    - (ii) increased pedestrian traffic may be a factor;
  - (4) the feasibility of restricting the use of A-Frame signs at grade to operators of businesses located above the ground floor;
  - (5) the feasibility of not permitting A-Frame Signs in locations where boulevard cafés are already using the City's right-of-way;
  - (6) the number of investigations that may be conducted and provide any further information on these investigations;
  - (7) a fee structure that is based on the principle of cost-recovery;
  - (8) the feasibility of providing a fee exemption or reduction to mobile sign requirements for community service announcements; and
  - (9) how information to residents on the provision of signage can be provided in various languages.

**2(b).** Communication (February 14, 2005) from the North York Community Council advising that at its meeting held on February 8, 2005, the Community Council referred the following recommendations to the Planning and Transportation Committee for consideration at its meeting on March 7, 2005:

- (1) That A-frame and mobile signs not be permitted anywhere;
- (2) That in the event the Planning and Transportation Committee does not support the ban on A-frame and mobile signs, that the following recommendations be adopted:
  - (a) A-frame or mobile signs shall not be located in an area that has tall grass or weeds, litter, trash or is generally unkempt; and it shall be the responsibility of the owner of the businesses displaying the signs, to keep the property free of such conditions. Signs in violation of this provision shall be removed forthwith, in accordance with this policy;
  - (b) all lettering on A-frame signs shall be done in a professional, workmanlike manner;
  - (c) a provision be drafted for signs that go on lawns of places of worship that are designed to convey a cultural or charitable message; and that staff consult with the Canadian Jewish Congress and Council of Churches;
  - (d) that the maximum height for all portable signs be six (6) feet;
- (3) that the following provision be included in Appendix C dealing with By-law Provisions for Residential Development Signs:
 

“that the applicable zoning by-laws be in place prior to permitting the signs advertising the proposed development;” and
- (4) that “Open House” and “For Sale” signs for single residences, normally placed by realtors, be permitted on corners or in front of properties for sale, for not more than three (3) hours.

**2(c).** Communication (January 19, 2005) from the Scarborough Community Council advising that at its meeting held on January 18, 2005, the Scarborough Community Council:

- (1) recommended to the Planning and Transportation Committee that the staff recommendations in the Recommendation Section of the report (November 15, 2004) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, be adopted; and

- (2) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to address the issues raised at the Scarborough Community Council meeting on January 18, 2005, and report thereon to the Planning and Transportation Committee for its meeting of March 7, 2005, such report to include, but not be limited to:
    - (a) in consultation with the City Solicitor, adding a fee or bond to ensure removal of expired signs;
    - (b) two sign permits per year;
    - (c) notice on signs indicating expiry date be visible from a minimum of 40 feet away;
    - (d) mobile signs be allowed for uses that have an address and entrance on major roadways and not be allowed on residential streets;
    - (e) mobile signs be allowed for commercial and institutional uses; and
    - (f) mobile signs not be allowed for industrial uses as a permanent sign is permitted on business units; and
  - (3) received the communication (January 12, 2005) from Ron Abraham, President, Toronto Real Estate Board, requesting deferral of this matter.
- 2(d).** Communication (January 21, 2005) from the Etobicoke York Community Council advising that at its meeting held on January 18, 2005, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to the Planning and Transportation Committee that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to:
- (1) conduct a blitz in the Etobicoke York Community Council district to remove all illegal A-frame and mobile signs;
  - (2) submit all applications to the Ward Councillor for comments under the new by-law;
  - (3) report on increasing the fines for permit fees to offset the administrative costs associated with enforcement;
  - (4) investigate and enforce the by-law within a reasonable period of time, when complaints are received from Ward Councillors;
  - (5) to meet with, or inform all local BIAs and TABIAs on the proposed by-law to obtain their input and report to the March 7, 2005 meeting of the Planning and Transportation Committee;

- (6) recommend an amendment to Appendix 'A' so that signs for civic, charitable and non-profit activities could be erected seven days in advance;
- (7) recommend an amendment to Appendix 'B' to require that A-frame signs must not be located closer than 6.0 metres to another A-frame or mobile sign; and
- (8) report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on the feasibility of:
  - (a) locations for displaying mobile signs in different configurations of strip malls; and
  - (b) issuing a permanent identification licence to be placed on the signs to which a sticker would be attached.

**3. Harmonization of the Sign By-law Concerning Posters on Utility Poles 11:00 a.m.**

**(Public Hearing under the *Municipal Act, 2001*.)**

Communication (February 9, 2005) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on February 1, 2 and 3, 2005, referred Clause 1, Report 2 of the Planning and Transportation Committee, entitled "Harmonization of the Sign By-law Concerning Posters on Utility Poles", back to the Planning and Transportation Committee for a public hearing under the *Municipal Act, 2001*.

**4. Comprehensive By-law Compliance Programme**

Report (February 14, 2005) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services reporting back on the recommendations from Community Councils endorsed by City Council with respect to the Comprehensive By-law Compliance Programme.

**Recommendations:**

It is recommended that:

- (1) the recommendations from the September 3, 2004 report be adopted;
- (2) Schedule A of the September 3, 2004 report be replaced with Schedule A of this report; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

- 4(a).** Communication (February 9, 2005) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on February 1, 2 and 3, 2005, referred Clause 3a, Report 7 of the Planning and Transportation Committee, entitled “Comprehensive By-law Compliance Program”, back to the Planning and Transportation Committee for further consideration.
- 4(b).** Communication (January 24, 2005) from the Toronto and East York Community Council advising that at its meeting held on January 18, 2005, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended to the Planning and Transportation that City Council adopt the report (September 3, 2004) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.
- 4(c).** Communication (January 19, 2005) from the Scarborough Community Council advising that at its meeting held on January 18, 2005, the Scarborough Community Council:
- (1) concurred, in principle, with the Planning and Transportation Committee Recommendation that the staff recommendations in the Recommendation Section of the report (September 3, 2004) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be adopted; and
  - (2) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee for its meeting of March 7, 2005 on:
    - (a) clarification of the purpose of the compliance by-law program such that it clarifies the intent of the usage of the program;
    - (b) the preamble clearly stating that compliance to all City by-laws is the ultimate intent; and
    - (c) the revised policy include flow charts defining the clear steps along the way in by-law enforcement.
- 4(d).** Communication (January 21, 2005) from the Etobicoke York Community Council advising that at its meeting held on January 18, 2005 the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that the Planning and Transportation Committee:
- (1) be advised that:
    - (a) the Etobicoke York Community Council endorses Recommendations (1) and (2) in its Consolidated Clause 3, Report 7, which was considered by City Council on October 26, 27 and 28, 2004, i.e., that:
      - “(1) City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (September 3, 2004) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services; and

- (2) in the event a complaint originates from a Councillor's office or proceeds through a Councillor's office, the respective Councillor shall be consulted prior to Phase 2 or Phase 4 of the programme."
  - (b) with respect to Recommendation (2) above, that consideration be given to further consultation with the respective Councillor on actions taken prior and subsequent to Phase 1 and Phase 3 of the programme;
  - (2) be requested to recommend to City Council that the Provincial Government amend the relevant legislation that would give the City of Toronto's Municipal Licensing and Standards Officers the same enforcement rights that other municipal officers may have, such as Health Inspectors and inspectors in Fire Services;
  - (3) request the Commissioner, Urban Development Services, to report on:
    - (a) amending the City's protocol by implementing a new step in the process that would advise the Ward Councillor, through a monthly report, of every case of complaint, investigation and subsequent action taken by the City at every level;
    - (b) giving the Ward Councillor more autonomy regarding enforcement decisions; and
    - (c) introducing a fee that would be charged to chronic by-law offenders, in cases where additional inspections are required to be carried out by Municipal Licensing and Standards Officers, in order to ensure compliance.
- 4(e).** Communication (January 25, 2005) from the North York Community Council advising that at its meeting on January 18, 2005, the North York Community Council recommended to the Planning and Transportation Committee that the following recommendations of the Planning and Transportation Committee contained in Clause 3 of Report 7 of the Planning and Transportation Committee, be endorsed:
- "(1) City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (September 3, 2004) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services; and
  - (2) in the event a complaint originates from a Councillor's office or proceeds through a Councillor's office, the respective Councillor shall be consulted prior to Phase 2 or Phase 4 of the programme."



## **5. Proposed Partial Settlements of Appeals to the New Official Plan – 003011**

### **(In-camera – Litigation or potential litigation)**

Confidential report (February 3, 2005) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and the City Solicitor regarding proposed settlements of appeals to the new Official Plan such report to be considered in-camera as the subject matter relates to litigation or potential litigation, including matters before administrative tribunals, affecting the municipality or local board.

## **6. Public Art Commission – New Appointments (All Wards)**

Report (February 9, 2005) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services seeking City Council’s approval for new citizen appointments to the Public Art Commission, thereby increasing representation on a city-wide basis.

### Recommendations:

It is recommended that City Council approve the appointments of five new citizen volunteers to the Public Art Commission. The nominations are: Karin Eaton; David Leinster; Maureen Lynett; Scott Sorli; and William J. Withrow.

## **7. Amendment to Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 548, Litter and Dumping of Refuse, to Authorize the Enforcement of Littering and Dumping on Private Property by other than City Employees**

Report (February 7, 2005) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to amend the definition of “Officer” in Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 548, Litter and Dumping of Refuse, to authorize Municipal Law Enforcement staff of agencies, boards and commissions to enforce illegal litter and dumping of refuse on private property.

### Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the definition of “Officer” within Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 548, Litter and Dumping of Refuse be amended as follows:

“Officer – A City employee or other such law enforcement officer as designated in Schedule D of this chapter whose duties include the enforcement of this chapter, including the exercising of a power of entry upon land or into structures.”;

- (2) the necessary applications be submitted to the Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services for class designations for employees of the Toronto Parking Authority and the Toronto Zoo for the purposes of enforcing City of Toronto by-laws;
- (3) upon Ministry authorization of class designation, the Toronto Parking Authority and the Toronto Zoo be added to Schedule D of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 548; and
- (4) the City Solicitor be authorized to prepare the necessary amendment and introduce in Council the necessary Bill to give effect thereto.

#### **8. Sustainable Design Principles and Standards – Green Development Standards**

Report (February 9, 2005) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services responding to the request of Planning and Transportation, as contained in the communication from the Roundtable on a Beautiful City, to report on the development and adoption of sustainable design principles and standards.

##### Recommendation:

It is recommended that:

- (1) this report be received and forwarded to the Roundtable on a Beautiful City for information.

#### **9. Status Report – Birchcliff Quarry Lands Study File 03 180350 ESC 36 TM Birchcliff Community (Ward 36 Scarborough Southwest) (Ward 35 Scarborough Southwest) (Ward 32 Beaches-East York)**

Report (February 18, 2005) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services reporting on the approach for undertaking the land use study for the lands east of Victoria Park Avenue, south of the CN rail line, north of Gerrard Street and west of Clonmore Drive (the Birchcliff Quarry Lands). Also, to update Council on the Gerrard/Clonmore multi-unit residential development on lands that are within the Birchcliff Quarry Lands study area.

##### Recommendations:

It is recommended that City Council:

- (1) endorse the Study Approach for the Birchcliff Quarry Lands as outlined in Attachment 2;

- (2) direct staff to report back to the April 2005 meeting of the Planning and Transportation Committee with the Phase 1 report on the Community Profile of the Birchcliff Quarry Lands study area; and
- (3) direct the Commissioner of Urban Development Services in consultation with the Commissioners of Works and Emergency Services, Corporate Services and Economic Development Culture and Tourism, to report to the May 2005 meeting of the Planning and Transportation Committee on a process for undertaking an environmental review of the City owned lands within the larger Birchcliff Quarry Lands area, that includes a review of the existing Provincial legislation and regulations that apply to the lands, the extent of environmental investigations that are needed on the lands, the expertise required to undertake these investigations and the associated costs, and explores opportunities to involve other landowners of the Quarry Lands in an environmental review on their lands in concert with the City, and in cost-sharing for undertaking such a review.

## **10. Installation of Telecommunication Towers**

Report (February 21, 2005) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services reporting on notice of motion J(5) adopted by City Council on November 30, December 1 and 2, 2004 requesting a report outlining the possible ways that the construction and installation of telecommunication towers could be regulated to require community consultation and the approval of the local municipality. It was also requested that the report include possible recommendations to the federal and provincial governments requesting municipal and community input on the installation of these towers. A subsequent notice of motion J(1), adopted by City Council at its meeting of February 1, 2 and 3, 2005 requested a staff report to the March Planning and Transportation Committee on designating communication towers as structures subject to site plan control.

### Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services submit a report to the June 2005 meeting of the Planning and Transportation Committee, with recommendations on a draft protocol that includes guidelines and criteria for the siting of federally-regulated and licensed telecommunication facilities within the City of Toronto;
- (2) a copy of this report be forwarded to Industry Canada for their information and that they be advised of the current City of Toronto practices for the installation of telecommunication towers; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**11. Bill 136 (Proposed *Places to Grow Act*) and Greater Golden Horseshoe Growth Planning**

Report (February 7, 2005) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services providing City Council with information on Bill 136 (the proposed *Places to Grow Act*) in anticipation of a provincial growth plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (GGH), and to recommend a position for City Council to adopt with respect to the legislation as it affects the City of Toronto within the GGH region. Copies of Bill 136 are attached.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that the Mayor write to the Minister of Public Infrastructure Renewal (MPIR) to:

- (1) reiterate Council's support for the development of a draft growth plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe, as articulated in the autumn 2004 reports to Planning and Transportation Committee, and to express support for the introduction of the enabling legislation, Bill 136, the proposed *Places to Grow Act*;
- (2) request that Official Plan Amendments required by Bill 136 to bring municipal plans into conformity with a provincial growth plan not be subject to the right of appeal to the Ontario Municipal Board; and
- (3) note the concerns with respect to conformity, definition of a growth plan, implementation and consultation described in the Comments section of this report.

**12. Status Report – Warden Corridor and Victoria Park Station Land Use Planning Studies – File Numbers 03 035238 ESC 35 TM and 03 035239 ESC 35 TM (Ward 35 – Scarborough Southwest) (Ward 31 – Beaches-East York)**

Report (February 21, 2005) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that Phase 3 Implementation Reports be prepared for the two studies, based on the findings contained in the Phase 2 Consultants' Report, and the emerging Planning Framework outlined in this report; to recommend a community consultation strategy for Phase 3 of the studies; and to seek the Committee's directions on notice for Public Meetings under the Planning Act as required to implement the recommendations of the studies.

Recommendations :

It is recommended that:

- (1) staff be directed to prepare a Warden Corridor Study Phase 3 Implementation Report for consideration by the Planning and Transportation Committee at a Public Meeting under the Planning Act in the second half of 2005; said report to include amongst other matters, a Planning Framework for the Warden Corridor addressing community services and facilities needs and a range of implementation tools and strategies including Section 37 and the alternative parkland provisions of the Planning Act as outlined in this report;
- (2) staff be directed to schedule community consultation meetings together with the Ward Councillor for public input during Phase 3 of the Warden Corridor Study;
- (3) notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act to consider the Warden Corridor Study Phase 3 Implementation Report be given by newspaper advertisement according to the regulations under the Planning Act; by postal walk in the area bounded by Eglinton Avenue East, Danforth Avenue, Birchmount Road and Victoria Park Avenue; and by direct mail to the interested parties on the Warden Corridor Study mailing list;
- (4) staff be directed to prepare a Victoria Park Station Study Phase 3 Implementation Report for consideration by the Planning and Transportation Committee at a Public Meeting under the Planning Act in the first quarter of 2006; said report to include amongst other matters, the redesignation and rezoning of the Victoria Park Station site, a by-law to designate the property as a Site Plan Control area, and a conceptual plan addressing improvements to parks, streetscapes and paths in the study area, as outlined in this report;
- (5) staff be directed to schedule community consultation meetings together with the Ward Councillors for public input during Phase 3 of the Victoria Park Station Study; and
- (6) notice for a Public Meeting under the Planning Act to consider the Victoria Park Station Study Phase 3 Implementation Report, be given by newspaper advertisement according to the regulations under the Planning Act; by postal walk to the study area bounded by Donora Drive/Conroy Avenue, Danforth Avenue, Pharmacy Avenue, and Dawes Road; and by direct mail to the interested parties on the Victoria Park Station Study mailing list.

### 13. Bike Week 2005

Communication (February 16, 2005) from the Toronto Cycling Committee advising that at its meeting held on February 14, 2005, the Toronto Cycling Committee recommended to the Planning and Transportation Committee that:

- (1) Dundas Street East be added as an official starting point for the Bike Week 2005 Annual Group Commute and that Toronto Cycling Committee Support staff be directed to consult with Councillor Fletcher to determine the exact location on Dundas Street East to commence the Annual Group Commute, in order to arrive at Toronto City Hall by 8:30 a.m.;
- (2) the following three recommendations, as contained in the Promotions Subcommittee minutes (December 1, 2004) and as approved by the Toronto Cycling Committee on January 17, 2005, regarding Bike Week 2005, be adopted:
  - (a) on May 30, 2005, the Toronto Cycling Committee Group Commute will continue as it has in the past with 3 start points converging at Yonge Street and Bloor Street. The start points will be Bloor Street and High Park, Yonge Street and Lawrence Avenue, and Danforth Avenue and Woodbine Avenue. Once the rides converge at Yonge Street and Bloor Street, the whole group will ride together to the free breakfast at Nathan Philips Square;
  - (b) the Toronto Cycling Committee request BUGS and community groups to organize 'feeder routes' with additional start points to those organized by the Toronto Cycling Committee. These 'feeder routes' are encouraged to time their rides so as to link up with the Toronto Cycling Committee rides (likely along Yonge Street, south of Bloor Street). 'Feeder Routes' will be a new component of the Bike Week calendar of events; and
  - (c) the Toronto Cycling Committee request any group organizing a 'feeder route' to evaluate how their ride went and present the information to the Toronto Cycling Committee. We are asking for this information so that we can explore the idea of the Toronto Cycling Committee including more start points as part of the City of Toronto annual Group Commute; and
- (3) the report (February 2, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Planning, Urban Development Services, and the communication (February 2, 2005) from Councillor Fletcher, Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30, be received.

**14. Chair and Vice-Chair Appointments, Toronto Licensing Tribunal  
(In-Camera - Identifiable individuals)**

Confidential communication (February 9, 2005) from the Toronto Licensing Tribunal respecting appointment of Chairs and Vice-Chairs to the Tribunal, such communication to be considered in-camera as the subject matter relates to identifiable individuals.

**15. Procedures for Hiring Consultants for Streetscaping and Public Art Projects**

Communication (February 2, 2005) from the Toronto Centre for the Arts Advisory Committee advising that at its meeting held on January 27, 2005 the Toronto Centre for the Arts Advisory Committee:

- (1) received the communication (January 4, 2005) from the Planning and Transportation Committee; and
- (2) directed that the Planning and Transportation Committee be advised that the Toronto Centre for the Arts Advisory Committee has no comments at this time, in response to the request for comments on ways to improve the current process respecting procedures for hiring consultants for streetscaping and public art projects.

**16. Legalizing Full Patio Enclosures Year-round**

Forwarding the following Motion by Councillor Palacio (February, 2005):

**“WHEREAS** licensed café operators who have an agreement with the City to operate a full enclosure are permitted to operate the enclosure only between April 1<sup>st</sup> and May 30<sup>th</sup> as well as September 15<sup>th</sup> to November 1<sup>st</sup> of any given year; and

**WHEREAS** many Toronto businesses with full enclosures would like to given the option of operating them year-round, to offer customers an “outdoor” dining experience even in winter; and

**WHEREAS** without a full enclosure, the patio space often goes unmaintained and neglected; and

**WHEREAS** dozens of businesses have been operating year-round enclosures, without incident or complaint from anyone, for years without the bylaw being stringently enforced by Municipal Licensing and Standards until recently;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT**, the Commissioner Urban Development Services be requested to report to the next meeting of the Planning and Transportation Committee on a draft bylaw to permit year-round full patio enclosures for properly licensed establishments;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** such report consider making only smoke-free establishments eligible for a year-round full enclosure, grandfather provisions for establishments who can prove that they operated a year-round full enclosure without complaint or incident for 5+ years, and that any requests for such enclosures be circulated to Works and Emergency Services prior to approval to ensure that snow clearing would not be adversely affected.

**17. Spadina Subway Extension Environmental Assessment Study – Communications Plan**

Communication (January 14, 2005) from the General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission advising that at its meeting January 12, 2005, the Commission considered the attached report entitled, ‘Spadina Subway Extension Environmental Assessment Study – Communications Plan.’

The Commission adopted the recommendation contained in the report, as listed below:

“It is recommended that the Commission:

- (1) Receive this report for information; and
- (2) Forward this report to the City of Toronto, the Regional Municipality of York and the City of Vaughan for information.”

The Commission also adopted the following ancillary motions:

- (1) That the Environmental Assessment include:
  - (a) Consideration of the route as it pertains to use of the hydro corridor to enhance local and feeder services particularly to the west.
  - (b) Possible effects of the route on the development of the industrial lands east of Keele Street.
  - (c) Changes resulting from a decision by York University to develop the south of the campus with low density housing rather than the high rise development outlined in the Secondary Plan;



- (2) that staff be requested to ensure the Environmental Study takes into consideration the proposed stadium at York University i.e. linkages and accessibility to public transit;
- (3) that staff be requested to ensure the Environmental Assessment Study takes into consideration the proposed residential land use between Jane Street and Keele Street in the City of Vaughan; and

directed the foregoing be forwarded to City of Toronto Council through the City Planning and Transportation Committee for information.

#### **18. Graffiti Abatement Strategy - Summer “Clean Team”**

Report (February 17, 2005) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services on the establishment of a staff team responsible for the removal of graffiti and posters from utility poles and street furniture as well as being able to provide this service to property owners on a cost recovery basis.

##### Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council adopt the establishment of a proposed summer “Clean Team” made up of eight staff and related equipment and materials for 2005;
- (2) staff report on the continued operation of this programme into 2006 and beyond within 2006 Operating Budget submissions;
- (3) this report be forwarded to the Works Committee, Economic Development Committee, Administration Committee and the Mayor’s Roundtable on Clean and Beautiful City for their information; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.



---

**PLANNING AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE  
SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA  
MEETING 2**

**Date of Meeting:** Monday, March 7, 2005  
**Time:** 9:30 a.m.  
**Location:** Committee Room 1  
City Hall  
100 Queen Street West  
Toronto, Ontario

**Enquiry:** Patsy Morris  
Committee Administrator  
416-392-9151  
pmorris@toronto.ca

---

**1(c). Final Report – City Initiated Official Plan Amendment for 1300 and 1340 Leslie Street – Ward 25 – Don Valley West** **10:00 a.m.**

Communication (February 24, 2005) from Norman J. Bell, Financial Administrator, The Order Minor Conventuals of Ontario, advising that the Order Minor Conventuals of Ontario will not be in a position to provide a specific response to this matter at the Public Meeting; and requesting that the opportunity to respond to this issue be extended until such time as their officials and Board of Directors have been able to give in-depth consideration to the proposal.

- 1(d).** E-mail communication (February 28, 2005) from Carrie Kruitwagen forwarding comments regarding this matter.
- 1(e).** Communication (February 17, 2005) from J. Bruce Falls and E. Ann Falls forwarding comments regarding this matter.
- 1(f).** Communication (February 18, 2005) from Ruth Haertel forwarding comments regarding this matter.
- 1(g).** Communication (February 18, 2005) from Garth and Brita Knapp forwarding comments regarding this matter.
- 1(h).** Communication (February 17, 2005) from J.Y. Cartmell forwarding comments regarding this matter.
- 1(i).** E-mail communication (March 2, 2005) from Ray Davie forwarding comments regarding this matter.

- 1(j). Communication (February 21, 2005) from E. Evenson and P. Evenson forwarding comments regarding this matter.
- 1(k). Communication (March 2, 2005) from Dr. and Mrs. W. Robert Bruce forwarding comments regarding this matter.
- 1(l). Communication (March 2, 2005) from Josephine Covelli forwarding comments regarding this matter.

**2(e). Principles and Proposed By-law Provisions for a City-Wide A-Frame and Mobile Signs By-law 10:30 a.m.**

Report (February 22, 2005) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services reporting on and seeking approval for a City-wide harmonized by-law that allows for improved mechanisms of regulations and enforcement around temporary signage including A-frame and mobile signs and responding to the comments and concerns of Community Councils and other interested parties.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council adopt the proposed by-law provisions for regulating Temporary Signage including A-frame and mobile signs contained in Appendices A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H and I attached to this report, including a provision that this by-law will take precedence over any other by-laws applicable to temporary signage currently in force, and that this by-law come into force on July 1, 2005 or 60 days following the enactment of the by-law, whichever shall be the later date;
  - (2) the City Solicitor be directed to prepare the necessary bills with respect to the by-law regarding Temporary Signs, and to amend Chapter 545, Licensing, and Chapter 441, Fees, both of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, as required, to give effect to the recommendations contained in the body and appendices of this report, and to repeal the corresponding provisions of the current by-laws regarding temporary signage; and
  - (3) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services report back to the Planning and Transportation Committee as part of the 2006 budget submission on revenue projections and staffing impacts resulting from the implementation of the new by-law.
- 2(f). Communication (March 1, 2005) from Paula Tenuta, Municipal Government Advisor, Greater Toronto Home Builders' Association, forwarding comments regarding this matter.

- 2(g).** E-mail communication (February 17, 2005) from Alrene Mawson, Advocacy Committee, Canadian National Institute for the Blind, forwarding comments regarding this matter.
- 2(h).** Communication (January 10, 2005) addressed to the Manager, Policy and Business Planning, Municipal Licensing and Standards, from Ron Abraham, President, Toronto Real Estate Board, forwarding comments regarding this matter.
- 2(i).** Communication (February 3, 2005) addressed to Councillor Gerry Altobello, Chair, Planning and Transportation Committee, from Ron Abraham, President, Toronto Real Estate Board, forwarding comments regarding this matter.
- 2(j).** Communication (February 17, 2005) addressed to the Manager, Policy and Business Planning, Municipal Licensing and Standards, from Steven Thompson, President, Archer Mobile Signs, forwarding comments regarding this matter.
- 2(k).** Communication (Undated) addressed to the Manager, Policy and Business Planning, Municipal Licensing and Standards, from Dan Duncan, Mobile Ad and Colin Edmunds, C-Me Signs, forwarding comments and questions regarding this matter.
- 2(l).** Communication (February 21, 2005) addressed to the Manager, Policy and Business Planning, Municipal Licensing and Standards, from Rob W. Irwin, President, Canamex Promotions, forwarding comments and questions regarding this matter.
- 3(a).** **Harmonization of the Sign By-law Concerning Posters on Utility Poles 11:00 a.m.**  
Communication (March 1, 2005) from Guillermo Verdecchia forwarding comments and questions regarding this matter.
- 3(b).** Communication (February 25, 2005) from Gee Chung, President, The Greater Yorkville Residents Association, forwarding comments and questions regarding this matter.
- 3(c).** Communication (March 2, 2005) from Teresa Perna, Lou Perna, Lucy Perna, John Perna and Luciano Perna forwarding comments and questions regarding this matter.
- 3(d).** Communication (March 2, 2005) from Shawn Sage forwarding comments and questions regarding this matter.
- 3(e).** Communication (March 2, 2005) from Shannon LaBelle and Kevin Forbes forwarding comments and questions regarding this matter.
- 3(f).** E-mail communication (March 2, 2005) from Jakob Thiesen forwarding comments and questions regarding this matter.

#### **10(a). Installation of Telecommunication Towers**

Communication (March 2, 2005) from Mitch St. Jacques, Director, Toronto District Office, Industry Canada Spectrum Management, forwarding comments and questions regarding this matter.

#### **19. Draft Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe**

Report (February 24, 2005) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services providing City Council with a high level summary overview of the draft provincial Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (GGH), which was released on February 16, 2005, and request that staff report directly to Council with comments for Council's consideration at its meeting of April 12, 13 and 14, 2005. Copies of the Draft Plan are attached.

##### Recommendation:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the Commissioner of UDS, in consultation with other departments, report directly to Council at its meeting of April 12, 13, 14 on the Draft Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe.

#### **20. Development Infrastructure Policy and Standards Review**

Report (March 1, 2005) from the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to:

- (i) inform Council of the progress of the inter-departmental Development Infrastructure Policy and Standards Review (DIPS) process;
- (ii) address issues resulting from the creation of new local residential streets in private ownership; and
- (iii) advise of the consultative process being undertaken for finalizing standards for new development infrastructure.

##### Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) in accordance with the Official Plan policy that all new streets should be public streets, the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to:

- (a) continue to develop a range of new standards for local public streets for application throughout the City;
  - (b) develop criteria to identify where a private street may be considered as an appropriate exception to the Official Plan policy; and
  - (c) analyze the cost implications, including options for cost recovery, of local public streets serving residential areas being built at the new standards;
- (2) staff be directed to consult with stakeholders, including the development industry; residents in existing infill developments; the Toronto Public Utilities Coordinating Committee, and the Roundtable on a Beautiful City on the work to be undertaken in Recommendation 1 above and report back to the Planning and Transportation Committee and the Works Committee, preferably at a joint meeting;
  - (3) the work to date of the Development Infrastructure Policy and Standards (DIPS) staff working group, appended to this report, be used as the basis for the tasks described in Recommendation 1 above and for consultation with stakeholders;
  - (4) staff use the information in this report to inform their review of development applications until final standards are approved; and
  - (5) staff no longer accept single point set outs for solid waste collection in grade related developments except in circumstances where the unit count is low and when staff are of the opinion that it would be appropriate to permit the development to proceed.
- 20(a).** Communication (February 25, 2005) from the Scarborough Community Council advising that City Council on February 16, 2005, referred Clause 17, Report 2 of the Scarborough Community Council entitled "Amendments to the Condominium Act" to the Planning and Transportation Committee for consideration with the forthcoming report from the Committee on Development, Infrastructure, Policy and Standards (DIPS).
- 21. Proposed Partial Settlement of Appeals of the New Official Plan and Full Settlement of General Appeal of the Development Charges By-law – 003011**

**(In-camera – Litigation or potential litigation)**

Confidential report (March 2, 2005) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, the City Solicitor, the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, regarding proposed settlements of appeals to the new Official Plan and Full Settlement of General Appeal of the Development Charges By-law, such report to be considered in-camera as the subject

matter relates to litigation or potential litigation, including matters before administrative tribunals, affecting the municipality or local board.

**22. Proposed Partial Settlement of Appeals of the New Official Plan - 003011**

**(In-camera – Litigation or potential litigation)**

Confidential report (February 28, 2005) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, the City Solicitor, the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, regarding proposed settlements of appeals to the new Official Plan and Full Settlement of General Appeal of the Development Charges By-law, such report to be considered in-camera as the subject matter relates to litigation or potential litigation, including matters before administrative tribunals, affecting the municipality or local board.