

[Guide to Minutes](#)

These Minutes were confirmed by City Council on April 12, 2005

[Agenda Index](#)

MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL MEETINGS OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TORONTO

**MONDAY, FEBRUARY 21, 2005,
TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 2005,
WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 23, 2005,
THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 24, 2005;
FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 25, 2005,
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 2005, AND
TUESDAY, MARCH 1, 2005**

City Council met in the Council Chamber, City Hall, Toronto.

CALL TO ORDER - 9:37 a.m.

S3.1 Mayor Miller took the Chair and called the Members to order.

The meeting opened with O Canada.

S3.2 NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING

Mayor Miller read the following Notice of Special Meeting:

“A Special meeting of Council will be held starting on Monday, February 21, 2005, in the Council Chamber, Toronto City Hall, at 9:30 a.m., for the following purposes:

- (1) to consider Policy and Finance Committee Report No. 3 pertaining to the 2005 Capital and Operating Budgets;
- (2) to introduce and enact General Bills; and

- (3) to introduce and enact a confirming by-law for this Special meeting.”

S3.3 BUDGET FRAMEWORK

Procedural Motion:

Councillor Soknacki, seconded by Councillor Holyday, with the permission of Council, moved that Council adopt the following framework for the 2005 Budget Debate, as contained in the communication dated February 21, 2005, from Mayor Miller and Councillor Soknacki, Chair, Budget Advisory Committee:

“We recommend that:

- (1) the order of business for the 2005 budget debate be:
- (a) consideration and voting on this report immediately prior to the consideration of the Clauses;

Capital Budget:

- (b) presentations by staff on Capital Budget, to be followed by questions of staff from Members of Council on the presentations only;
- (c) determination of program Capital Budgets to be held for consideration and approval of those Capital Budgets not held;
- (d) questions and debate on outstanding program Capital Budgets; and
- (e) voting on each program Capital Budget immediately after all motions have been made on each respective program Capital Budget;

Operating Budget:

- (f) presentations by staff on the Operating Budget, to be followed by questions of staff from Members of Council on the presentations only;
- (g) determination of program Operating Budgets to be held for consideration and approval of those Operating Budgets not held;
- (h) questions and debate on outstanding program Operating Budgets; and

- (i) voting on each program Operating Budget immediately after all motions have been made on each respective program Operating Budget;

General Budget Matters:

- (j) debate on general budget matters only that are not program-specific, and include process issues and Recommendations (1) to (8) in the 2005 Capital Budget (Clause 1) and Recommendations (1) to (6) in the 2005 Operating Budget (Clause 2);
 - (k) voting on motions which apply to general matters only; and
 - (l) consideration of 2005 tax levy by-laws and related matters (Clause 3); and
- (2) Council agree to these principles for the 2005 budget debate:
- (a) if any Capital or Operating expenditure increase is proposed, the resolution must also include a funding source which can be used for the purpose of offsetting that expenditure. If the resolution does not contain such a funding source, then the resolution is deemed not to be in order. Favourable variances are deemed not to be an appropriate funding source;
 - (b) if funds from one program budget are to be used to offset or fund another program budget(s), Members must hold all such budgets open and move their motions on the affected budgets during consideration of the first of these program budgets. When all motions have been voted on for the first affected program budget, that budget shall be closed. The other affected program budgets shall be adjusted accordingly and remain open except as it relates to the actions of Council on the first (now closed) program budget; and
 - (c) in keeping with the Financial Control by-law and the Council Procedures by-law the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer will give a financial impact statement with each proposed motion, immediately prior to voting on each motion.”

Vote:

The procedural motion by Councillor Soknacki, seconded by Councillor Holyday, carried, without amendment.

PRESENTATION OF REPORT

S3.4 Councillor Soknacki presented the following Report for consideration by Council:

Report No. 3 of The Policy and Finance Committee,

and moved, seconded by Councillor Holyday, that Council now give consideration to such Report, which carried.

S3.5 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Councillor Balkissoon declared his interest in Policy and Finance Committee Report 3, Clause 2, headed "City of Toronto 2005 Budget Advisory Committee Recommended Tax Supported Operating Budget", as it applies to that portion of the Toronto Public Library's budget for salaries and benefits, as his wife is an employee of the Toronto Public Library Board.

Councillor Ford declared his interest in Policy and Finance Committee Report 3, Clause 1, headed "City of Toronto 2005 Budget Advisory Committee Recommended Capital Budget and 2006 - 2014 Capital Plan", as it applies to the City Clerk's Office, as his family owns a printing company.

Councillor Jenkins declared his interest in Policy and Finance Committee Report 3, Clause 1, headed "City of Toronto 2005 Budget Advisory Committee Recommended Capital Budget and 2006 - 2014 Capital Plan", as it applies to the End of Lease Strategy; and in Policy and Finance Committee Report 3, Clause 2, headed "City of Toronto 2005 Budget Advisory Committee Recommended Tax Supported Operating Budget", as it applies to the Information and Technology Budget, as he is a retired pensioned employee of IBM Canada Ltd.

Councillor Shiner declared his interest in Policy and Finance Committee Report 3, Clause 1, headed "City of Toronto 2005 Budget Advisory Committee Recommended Capital Budget and 2006 - 2014 Capital Plan", as it applies to the Parks and Recreation Budget for the Victoria Memorial Square Restoration, as his family owns property with the immediate area; and in Policy and Finance Committee Report 3, Clause 2, headed "City of Toronto 2005 Budget Advisory Committee Recommended Tax Supported Operating Budget", as it applies to the Parks and Recreation Budget, in that his son is employed as a seasonal employee with the Economic Development, Culture and Tourism Department.

Councillor Walker declared his interest in Policy and Finance Committee Report 3, Clause 1, headed "City of Toronto 2005 Budget Advisory Committee Recommended Capital Budget and 2006 - 2014 Capital Plan", as it applies to the Toronto Port Authority, as his daughter is an employee of the Outer Harbour Marina.

**CONSIDERATION OF REPORT
CLAUSES RELEASED OR HELD FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION**

S3.6 The following Clauses were held by Council for further consideration:

Policy and Finance Committee Report 3, Clauses 1, 2 and 3.

Clause 1 - "City of Toronto 2005 Budget Advisory Committee Recommended Capital Budget and 2006-2014 Capital Plan":

The following Programs contained in this Clause were held for further consideration:

Community and Neighbourhood Services:

- Children's Services
- Homes for the Aged
- Shelter, Housing and Support
- Social Services

Works and Emergency Services:

- Emergency Management Plan
- Emergency Medical Services
- Fire Services
- Solid Waste Management
- Transportation Services
- WES Departmental

Economic Development, Culture and Tourism:

- Culture
- Economic Development
- Parks and Recreation
- Tourism

Urban Development Services:

- Urban Development Services
- Waterfront Revitalization Initiative

Corporate Services:

- City Clerk's Office
- End of Lease Strategy
- Facilities and Real Estate
- Fleet Services
- Information Technology

Finance:

- Finance

Other:

- Energy Retrofit Program
- Union Station
- Yonge-Dundas Project

Agencies, Boards and Commissions:

- Exhibition Place
- Toronto and Region Conservation Authority
- Toronto Parking Enforcement Unit
- Toronto Police Service
- Toronto Public Health
- Toronto Public Library
- Toronto Transit Commission (excluding R.T.E.P.)
- Toronto Zoo

Non-Levy

- Parking Authority

General

Clause 2 - "City of Toronto 2005 Budget Advisory Committee Recommended Tax Supported Operating Budget":

The following Programs contained in this Clause were held for further consideration:

Community and Neighbourhood Services:

- Children's Services
- Homes for the Aged
- Shelter, Housing and Support
- Social Development and Administration
- Social Services

Works and Emergency Services:

- Emergency Medical Services
- Fire Services
- Solid Waste Management Services
- Transportation Services
- WES - Support Services

Economic Development, Culture and Tourism:

- Culture
- Customer and Business Support
- Economic Development
- Parks and Recreation

Urban Development Services:

- Urban Development Services

Corporate Services:

- City Clerk's Office
- Corporate Communications
- Facilities and Real Estate
- Fleet Services
- Human Resources
- Information and Technology

Finance:

- Finance

Other:

- Auditor General's Office
- Chief Administrator's Office
- City Council
- Mayor's Office
- 3-1-1 Project

Agencies, Boards and Commissions:

- Arena Boards of Management
- Exhibition Place
- Heritage Toronto
- Parking Tag Enforcement and Operations
- Toronto Police Service
- Toronto Public Health
- Toronto Public Library
- Toronto Transit Commission - Conventional
- Toronto Transit Commission - Wheel Trans
- Toronto Zoo
- Yonge Dundas Square

Corporate Accounts

- Community Partnership and Investment Program
- Non-Program/Capital and Corporate Financing

Non-Levy Operations

- Parking Authority

General

The following Programs which were held by Council for further consideration were subsequently adopted without amendment or further discussion:

- Clause 1 - "City of Toronto 2005 Budget Advisory Committee Recommended Capital Budget and 2006-2014 Capital Plan":

Community and Neighbourhood Services:

- Homes for the Aged

- Social Services

Works and Emergency Services:

- Emergency Management Plan
- Emergency Medical Services
- Fire Services
- Solid Waste Management
- WES Departmental

Economic Development, Culture and Tourism:

- Culture
- Economic Development
- Tourism

Urban Development Services:

- Waterfront Revitalization Initiative

Corporate Services:

- City Clerk's Office
- Fleet Services
- Information Technology

Other:

- Energy Retrofit Program
- Union Station
- Yonge-Dundas Project

Agencies, Boards and Commissions:

- Exhibition Place
- Toronto and Region Conservation Authority
- Toronto Parking Enforcement Unit
- Toronto Port Authority
- Toronto Public Library
- Toronto Zoo

Clause 2 - "City of Toronto 2005 Budget Advisory Committee Recommended Tax Supported Operating Budget":

Economic Development, Culture and Tourism:

- Culture

Corporate Services:

- Fleet Services

Other:

- Auditor General's Office

Agencies, Boards and Commissions:

- Parking Tag Enforcement and Operations
- Toronto Transit Commission - Wheel Trans

The Programs not held by Council for further consideration were deemed to have been adopted by Council, without amendment, in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

CONSIDERATION OF REPORT CLAUSES WITH MOTIONS, VOTES, ETC.

S3.7 Policy and Finance Committee Report 3, Clause 1, headed "City of Toronto 2005 Budget Advisory Committee Recommended Capital Budget and 2006 - 2014 Capital Plan".

Mayor Miller in the Chair.

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

Ruling by Acting Chair:

Councillor Ootes requested the Acting Chair to rule on whether Council would have to hold down consideration of the 2005 Capital Budget until it had considered the 2005 Operating Budget.

Acting Chair Soknacki ruled that, in accordance with the budget framework, Council would now proceed to consider the Capital Budget and vote on each Program area at the conclusion of the debate on each Program.

Councillor Del Grande challenged the ruling of the Acting Chair.

Vote to Uphold Ruling of Acting Chair:

Yes - 27	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Giambrone, Hall, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Thompson, Walker, Watson
No - 15	
Councillors:	Ashton, Balkissoon, Chow, Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Kelly, Li Preti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 12.

**Capital Program: Community and Neighbourhood Services
Children's Services**

February 21, 2005

Vote:

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Capital Budget for Children's Services, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment:

Yes - 31	
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson
No - 3	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Ford, Holyday

Carried by a majority of 28.

**Capital Program: Community and Neighbourhood Services
Shelter, Housing and Support**

February 21, 2005

Motions:

- (a) Councillor Del Grande moved that the 2005 Capital Budget for Shelter, Housing and Support be amended by taking \$1.0 million from any Shelter, Housing and Support Reserve Account and transferring such amount to a "Suspense" Reserve Account.
- (b) Councillor Ford moved that the 2005 Capital Budget for Shelter, Housing and Support be amended by deferring the allocation of \$440,000.00 for the Woodgreen Red Door Shelter.

Ruling of Acting Chair:

Acting Chair Soknacki, based on the advice of the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, ruled motion (a) by Councillor Del Grande, out of order.

Votes:

Adoption of motion (b) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 8	
Councillors:	Balkissoon, Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Saundercook, Stintz
No - 22	
Councillors:	Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Cho, Chow, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Li Preti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 14.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Capital Budget for Shelter, Housing and Support, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment:

Yes - 27	
Councillors:	Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Walker, Watson

No - 5 Councillors: Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Minnan-Wong, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 22.

Councillor Pitfield in the Chair.

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

**Capital Program: Works and Emergency Services
Transportation Services**

February 21, 2005

Motions:

- (a) Councillor Moscoe moved that the Clause be amended by:
- (1) amending Recommendation (56) of the Policy and Finance Committee by adding the words “and that this program be conditional upon the City coming to a satisfactory agreement with York Region for the sharing of costs of this program”, so that Recommendation (56) now reads as follows:

“(56) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services report to the Works Committee on the possibility of receiving funding for the Kennedy/Steeles Grade Separation and the Morningside/Finch Avenue Grade Separation Projects from the Region of York and Development Charges from the Kennedy/Steeles development, and that this program be conditional upon the City coming to a satisfactory agreement with York Region for the sharing of costs of this program;”; and
 - (2) adding the following:

“That the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in co-operation with the Toronto Transit Commission, be requested to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on the feasibility of an above-grade light rail transit system constructed to coincide with the extension westward of Bremner Boulevard.”
- (b) Councillor Cho moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to explore ways of accelerating construction of the Morningside-Finch Grade Separation to determine if it can be completed by the end of 2006.”

- (c) Councillor Holyday moved that the 2005 Capital Budget for Transportation Services be amended by:
- (1) reducing the Traffic Calming and Speed Humps Project by \$750,000.00 and transferring the funds to the Road Resurfacing budget to help alleviate the pothole situation; and
 - (2) deleting Recommendations (48)(a), (b), (c) and (d) of the Policy and Finance Committee, and the Front Street Extension Project proceed, providing the other levels of government contribute one-third of the costs up to the full amount of the project.
- (d) Councillor Kelly moved that the 2005 Capital Budget for Transportation Services be amended by:
- (1) deferring the following projects for one year:
 - (a) Oversized Street Signs - \$238,000.00;
 - (b) Facility Improvements - \$500,000.00;
 - (c) Cycling Infrastructure - \$2,200,000.00;
 - (d) Laneways - \$600,000.00;
 - (e) Pole replacement - \$490,000.00; and
 - (f) LED Signal Module Conversion - \$2,100,000.00; and
 - (2) reducing the Traffic Calming Budget to \$350,000.00 from \$750,000.00, and that the resulting 2005 debt servicing charge savings be re-allocated to Road Resurfacing.
- (e) Councillor De Baeremaeker moved that the 2005 Capital Budget for Transportation Services be amended by reallocating the funding for the following projects, in the amount of \$570,228.00, in Ward 38:
- (1) Road Resurfacing on Busby Gate from McCowan Road to Grangeway Avenue;
 - (2) Road Resurfacing on Woolwick Drive from Deborah Drive to Brimorton Drive; and
 - (3) Road Resurfacing on Feraday Drive from Brimorton Drive to Baybrook Crescent;

to the following projects:

- (1) Stop Lights at Bellamy Road and Nelson Street (Ward 38), in the amount of \$120,000.00;
 - (2) Street, Boulevard and Transportation Corridor Tree Planting (Ward 38), in the amount of \$300,000.00; and
 - (3) Bike Plan Feasibility Studies (City-wide), in the amount of \$150,228.00.
- (f) Councillor Pantalone moved that:
- (1) Part (2) of motion (a) by Councillor Moscoe be amended by deleting the words “an above-grade light rail transit system”, and inserting instead the words “Toronto Transit Commission service on its own right-of-way”; and
 - (2) consideration of the following portion of Part (2) of motion (c) by Councillor Holyday be deferred to the Council meeting that will consider the possible dismantling of the Gardiner Expressway:

“and the Front Street Extension Project proceed providing the other levels of government contribute one-third of the costs up to the full amount of the project”.
- (g) Councillor Mammoliti moved that Part (1) of motion (d) by Councillor Kelly be amended by adding the words “and that the funds deferred to 2006 be allocated to road resurfacing in 2005”.
- (h) Councillor Nunziata moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:
- “That the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the Works Committee on the reasons for the current pothole situation throughout the City and what funds need to be allocated in the budget, in order to repair these potholes and to maintain the roads in good condition.”
- (i) Councillor Del Grande moved that motion (e) by Councillor De Baeremaeker be amended by reducing the Street, Boulevard and Transportation Corridor Tree Planting (Ward 38) by \$120,000.00, with funds being allocated to the installation of stop lights at Kennedy Road and Havendale.
- (j) Councillor Pitfield moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That Chief Administrative Officer be requested to report to Works Committee in April 2005 on the process of conducting a public referendum on the future of the Gardiner Expressway.”

- (k) Councillor Palacio moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the Works Committee on the development of a schedule for planning, designing and constructing the Rail-to-Rail Diamond Grade Separation at St. Clair Avenue West and Old Weston Road, including the feasibility of continuing the ‘trench’ north of St. Clair Avenue West, or widening the bridge to ease traffic flow and to identify the funding requirements for this project and to consult with the appropriate officials from the federal and provincial government, TTC, CN and GO Transit in the preparation of this report.”

- (l) Councillor Ford moved that the 2005 Capital Budget for Transportation Services be amended by deleting \$750,000.00 for speed humps.

Ruling by Acting Chair:

Councillor Balkissoon requested Acting Chair Soknacki to rule on whether motion (e) by Councillor De Baeremaeker was in order.

Acting Chair Soknacki ruled that motion (e) by Councillor De Baeremaeker was in order, as it allocates funding within the budget envelope.

Councillor Balkissoon challenged the ruling of the Acting Chair.

Vote to Uphold Ruling of Acting Chair:

Yes - 29	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Nunziata, Palacio, Pantalone, Saundercook, Soknacki, Thompson, Watson
No - 11	
Councillors:	Balkissoon, Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Kelly, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Pitfield, Rae, Shiner, Walker

Carried by a majority of 18.

Votes:

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (a) by Councillor Moscoe:

Yes - 23	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ashton, Bussin, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Giambrone, Hall, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone
No - 17	
Councillors:	Altobello, Balkissoon, Carroll, Cho, Del Grande, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Minnan-Wong, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Thompson, Walker, Watson

Carried by a majority of 6.

Adoption of motion (l) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 10	
Councillors:	Balkissoon, Cho, Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Jenkins, Minnan-Wong, Pitfield, Shiner, Thompson
No - 30	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 20.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (c) by Councillor Holyday:

Yes - 14	
Councillors:	Altobello, Balkissoon, Cho, Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Pitfield, Saundercook, Shiner, Watson
No - 26	Miller

Mayor:	
Councillors:	Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Nunziata, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Soknacki, Thompson, Walker

Lost by a majority of 12.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (d) by Councillor Kelly:

Yes - 16	
Councillors:	Altobello, Balkissoon, Carroll, Cho, Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Pitfield, Saundercook, Shiner, Thompson
No - 24	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ashton, Bussin, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Nunziata, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Soknacki, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 8.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (f) by Councillor Pantalone:

Yes - 21	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ashton, Bussin, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Nunziata, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook
No - 19	
Councillors:	Altobello, Balkissoon, Carroll, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Pitfield, Shiner, Soknacki, Thompson, Walker, Watson

Carried by a majority of 2.

Adoption of the balance of Part (2) of motion (c) by Councillor Holyday:

Yes - 5 Councillors:	Di Giorgio, Ford, Giambrone, Holyday, Milczyn
No - 35 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Filion, Fletcher, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Thompson, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 30.

Adoption of motion (g) by Councillor Mammoliti:

Yes - 17 Councillors:	Altobello, Balkissoon, Cho, Del Grande, Holyday, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Saundercook, Shiner, Thompson, Walker, Watson
No - 23 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Giambrone, Hall, Jenkins, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki

Lost by a majority of 6.

Adoption of Parts (a) and (f) of Part (1) of motion (d) by Councillor Kelly:

Yes - 10	
Councillors:	Balkissoon, Del Grande, Ford, Kelly, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Saundercook, Shiner, Thompson, Walker
No - 30	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Nunziata, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki, Watson

Lost by a majority of 20.

Adoption of Parts (b), (c), (d) and (e) of Part (1) of motion (d) by Councillor Kelly:

Yes - 13	
Councillors:	Balkissoon, Cho, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Ford, Holyday, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Saundercook, Thompson, Walker
No - 27	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Jenkins, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Nunziata, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Shiner, Soknacki, Watson

Lost by a majority of 14.

Adoption of motion (i) by Councillor Del Grande:

Yes - 6 Councillors:	Carroll, Cho, Del Grande, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Palacio
No - 34 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Bussin, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Giambrone, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Thompson, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 28.

Adoption of motion (e) by Councillor De Baeremaeker, without amendment:

Yes - 19 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Altobello, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Filion, Giambrone, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Palacio, Pantalone, Saundercook, Thompson
No - 21 Councillors:	Ashton, Balkissoon, Bussin, Cowbourne, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Ford, Hall, Holyday, Kelly, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Pitfield, Rae, Shiner, Soknacki, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 2.

Part (2) of motion (a) by Councillor Moscoe, as amended by Part (1) of motion (f) by Councillor Pantalone, carried.

Motion (b) by Councillor Cho carried.

Adoption of motion (h) by Councillor Nunziata:

Yes - 24	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Ford, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Shiner, Soknacki, Walker, Watson
No - 15	
Councillors:	Bussin, Carroll, Chow, De Baeremaeker, Filion, Giambrone, Kelly, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Rae, Saundercook, Thompson

Carried by a majority of 9.

Adoption of motion (j) by Councillor Pitfield:

Yes - 17	
Councillors:	Altobello, Cho, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Nunziata, Palacio, Pitfield, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Thompson, Walker, Watson
No - 22	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Giambrone, Kelly, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae

Lost by a majority of 5.

Adoption of motion (k) by Councillor Palacio:

Yes - 30	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Bussin, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Ford, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Walker, Watson
No - 9	

Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Carroll, Chow, Del Grande, Fletcher, Giambrone, Milczyn, Thompson
--------------	---

Carried by a majority of 21.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Capital Budget for Transportation Services, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, as amended:

Yes - 32	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fillion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Walker, Watson
No - 7	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Kelly, Minnan-Wong, Shiner, Thompson

Carried by a majority of 25.

In summary, City Council amended the Program Budget for Transportation Services, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by:

- (1) amending Recommendation (56) of the Policy and Finance Committee by adding the words “and that this program be conditional upon the City coming to a satisfactory agreement with York Region for the sharing of costs of this program”, so that Recommendation (56) now reads as follows:

“(56) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services report to the Works Committee on the possibility of receiving funding for the Kennedy/Steeles Grade Separation and the Morningside/Finch Avenue Grade Separation Projects from the Region of York and Development Charges from the Kennedy/Steeles development, and that this program be conditional upon the City coming to a satisfactory agreement with York Region for the sharing of costs of this program;” and

- (2) adding the following:

“That:

- (a) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in co-operation with the Toronto Transit

Commission, be requested to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on the feasibility of Toronto Transit Commission service on its own right-of-way, constructed to coincide with the extension westward of Bremner Boulevard;

- (b) the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to:
 - (i) explore ways of accelerating construction of the Morningside-Finch Grade Separation, to determine if it can be completed by the end of 2006; and
 - (ii) report to the Works Committee on:
 - (1) the reasons for the current pothole situation throughout the City and what funds need to be allocated in the budget, in order to repair these potholes and to maintain the roads in good condition; and
 - (2) the development of a schedule for planning, designing and constructing the Rail-to-Rail Diamond Grade Separation at St. Clair Avenue West and Old Weston Road, including the feasibility of continuing the 'trench' north of St. Clair Avenue West, or widening the bridge to ease traffic flow and to identify the funding requirements for this project and to consult with the appropriate officials from the federal and provincial government, TTC, CN and GO Transit in the preparation of this report; and
- (c) consideration of the following motion be deferred to the Council meeting that will consider the possible dismantling of the Gardiner Expressway:

Moved by Councillor Holyday:

'That the Front Street Extension Project proceed, providing the other levels of government contribute one-third of the costs up to the full amount of the project.' "

**Capital Program: Economic Development, Culture and Tourism
Parks and Recreation**

February 22, 2005

Motion:

- (a) Councillor Mammoliti moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to report to the Policy and Finance Committee on the viability of including a social housing component to the slated redevelopment of the 40 Wabash Avenue community centre.”

- (b) Councillor Cho moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to report to the last Council meeting of 2005, through the Economic Development and Parks Committee, on a needs assessment for a community recreation centre in the Morningside Heights area and the local Ward Councillor be invited to participate in this process.”

- (c) Councillor Ashton moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the General Manager of Parks and Recreation be requested to report to the Budget Advisory Committee on the capital funding requirements, identified through the Capital Asset Management Program, and recommend a capital funding strategy required to return the City’s facilities to an acceptable state of good repair.”

Councillor Pitfield in the Chair.

- (d) Councillor Kelly moved that the 2005 Capital Budget for Parks and Recreation be amended by reducing the debt increase to the cost of living; and the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to select priorities within the reduced 2005 Budget envelope.

- (e) Councillor Li Preti moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Commissioner of Economic Development Culture and Tourism be requested to undertake a review of the uses of the outdoor Toronto Track and Field Centre at York University and explore the feasibility of an outdoor football and soccer field at that location, and that Councillors Li Preti and Ford be part of this review process.”

- (f) Councillor Palacio moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That:

- (1) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, in conjunction with the Joseph J. Piccininni Community Centre Advisory Council, be requested to meet to assess the needs and amenities of the Community Centre and report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee prior to the 2006 Budget; and

- (2) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to analyze the safety issues related to the east side of the Burt Robinson Park Tunnel, where the existing retaining walls have become a 'hide-out' for drug dealers and illegal activities and what is transpiring from there is a major safety issue to the local community, and report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee with a proposed plan to address these issues."

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

- (g) Councillor Davis moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

"That the General Manager of Parks and Recreation be requested to report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee, for its meeting of May 30, 2005, on changes being made to facilitate the completion rate of capital projects within the Parks and Recreation approved Capital Program and schedule, such report to recommend mechanisms for reporting on the project completion to the Economic Development and Parks Committee and individual Councillors on a regular basis."

- (h) Councillor Stintz moved that the 2005 Parks and Recreation Capital Budget be amended by deleting:

- (1) \$0.5 million for new trees;
- (2) \$0.275 million for new drawings for the Jenner Jean-Marie Community Centre and New Facility;
- (3) \$0.288 million in additional funding for the Victoria Memorial Square Restoration; and
- (4) \$0.3 million in additional funding for a new skateboard park.

- (i) Councillor Chow moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

"That the Chief Administrative Officer, as part of the presentation at the outset of the public Capital Budget process, be requested to provide a full listing of all development-related and other possible sources of funding, including details on the stage of availability from legal agreements to receipt of funds by the City of Toronto and recommended application to Capital projects, including development charges, park levies, Section 45 funds, Section 37 funds and other donations."

- (j) Councillor Pitfield moved that Part (2) of motion (h) by Councillor Stintz be amended to read as follows:

“(2) \$0.200 million for new drawings for the Jenner Jean-Marie Gymnasium;”.

Votes:

Adoption of motion (d) by Councillor Kelly:

Yes - 10 Councillors:	Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Saundercook, Shiner, Stintz, Thompson
No - 31 Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 21.

Motion (j) by Councillor Pitfield carried.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (h) by Councillor Stintz:

Yes - 17 Councillors:	Balkissoon, Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Saundercook, Shiner, Stintz, Thompson, Walker
No - 25 Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki, Watson

Lost by a majority of 8.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (h) by Councillor Stintz, as amended:

Yes - 17	Councillors: Ashton, Balkissoon, Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Saundercook, Shiner, Stintz, Thompson, Walker
No - 25	Councillors: Altobello, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki, Watson

Lost by a majority of 8.

Adoption of Part (3) of motion (h) by Councillor Stintz:

Yes - 17	Councillors: Balkissoon, Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Saundercook, Stintz, Thompson, Walker
No - 24	Councillors: Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Li Preti, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki, Watson

Lost by a majority of 7.

Adoption of Part (4) of motion (h) by Councillor Stintz:

Yes - 14	Councillors: Balkissoon, Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Palacio, Saundercook, Shiner, Stintz, Walker
No - 28	Councillors: Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki, Thompson, Watson

Lost by a majority of 14.

Adoption of motion (a) by Councillor Mammoliti, moved by Councillor Cho in the absence of Councillor Mammoliti:

Yes - 5 Councillors:	Carroll, Cho, Giambrone, Li Preti, Ootes
No - 37 Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Bussin, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 32.

Adoption of motion (b) by Councillor Cho:

Yes - 33 Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Bussin, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Ford, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Nunziata, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Shiner, Soknacki, Thompson, Walker
No - 9 Councillors:	Carroll, Del Grande, Filion, Holyday, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Saundercook, Stintz, Watson

Carried by a majority of 24.

Motion (c) by Councillor Ashton carried.

Adoption of motion (e) by Councillor Li Preti:

Yes - 19	Councillors: Ashton, Cho, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Ford, Grimes, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner
No - 23	Councillors: Altobello, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 4.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (f) by Councillor Palacio:

Yes - 32	Councillors: Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Cho, Chow, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson
No - 10	Councillors: Bussin, Carroll, Cowbourne, Davis, Fletcher, Giambrone, Holyday, Pantalone, Rae, Thompson

Carried by a majority of 22.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (f) by Councillor Palacio:

Yes - 40	Councillors: Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Bussin, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson
No - 2	Councillors: Carroll, Thompson

Carried by a majority of 38.

Motion (g) by Councillor Davis carried.

Motion (i) by Councillor Chow carried.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Capital Budget for Parks and Recreation, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, as amended:

Yes - 35 Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Thompson, Walker, Watson
No - 6 Councillors:	Balkissoon, Del Grande, Holyday, Kelly, Minnan-Wong, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 29.

In summary, City Council amended the Program Budget for Parks and Recreation, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by adding the following:

“That:

- (1) the Chief Administrative Officer, as part of the presentation at the outset of the public Capital Budget process, be requested to provide a full listing of all development-related and other possible sources of funding, including details on the stage of availability from legal agreements to receipt of funds by the City of Toronto and recommended application to Capital projects, including development charges, park levies, Section 45 funds, Section 37 funds and other donations;
- (2) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to analyze the safety issues related to the east side of the Burt Robinson Park Tunnel, where the existing retaining walls have become a ‘hide-out’ for drug dealers and illegal activities and what is transpiring from there is a major safety issue to the local community, and report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee with a proposed plan to address these issues;

- (3) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, in conjunction with the Joseph J. Piccininni Community Centre Advisory Council, be requested to meet to assess the needs and amenities of the Community Centre and report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee prior to the 2006 Budget;
- (4) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to report to the last Council meeting of 2005, through the Economic Development and Parks Committee, on a needs assessment for a community recreation centre in the Morningside Heights area and the local Ward Councillor be invited to participate in this process; and
- (5) the General Manager of Parks and Recreation be requested to:
 - (a) report to the Budget Advisory Committee on the capital funding requirements, identified through the Capital Asset Management Program, and recommend a capital funding strategy required to return the City's facilities to an acceptable state of good repair; and
 - (b) report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee, for its meeting of May 30, 2005, on changes being made to facilitate the completion rate of capital projects within the Parks and Recreation approved Capital Program and schedule, such report to recommend mechanisms for reporting on the project completion to the Economic Development and Parks Committee and individual Councillors on a regular basis."

Capital Program: Urban Development Services
Urban Development Services

February 22, 2005

Vote:

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Capital Budget for Urban Development Services, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment:

Yes - 29	
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Soknacki, Thompson, Watson
No - 10	

Councillors:	Balkissoon, Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Kelly, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Saundercook, Shiner, Stintz
--------------	--

Carried by a majority of 19.

**Capital Program: Corporate Services
Facilities and Real Estate**

February 22, 2005

Motions:

(a) Councillor Ford moved that:

(1) the 2005 Capital Budget for Facilities and Real Estate be amended to provide that all work stations and office furniture purchases be postponed until 2007, providing savings of approximately \$2.0 million; and

(2) the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Scarborough and Etobicoke Civic Centres and Metro Hall be sold.”

(b) Councillor Hall moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Commissioner of Corporate Services be requested to report to Council, through the Administration Committee, on the utilization of the Civic Centres.”

(c) Councillor Cho moved that Part (2) of motion (a) by Councillor Ford be referred to the Administration Committee for consideration.

Votes:

Adoption of motion (c) by Councillor Cho:

Yes - 11
Councillors: Cho, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Nunziata, Stintz
No - 21

Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Chow, Davis, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Hall, Holyday, McConnell, Mihevc, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Thompson, Watson
--------------	--

Lost by a majority of 10.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (a) by Councillor Ford, without amendment:

Yes - 3	Councillors: Ford, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong
No - 30	Councillors: Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Nunziata, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Watson

Lost by a majority of 27.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (a) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 9	Councillors: Cho, Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Shiner, Stintz
No - 27	Councillors: Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Chow, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Nunziata, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Thompson, Watson

Lost by a majority of 18.

Adoption of motion (b) by Councillor Hall:

Yes - 21	Councillors: Altobello, Chow, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Saundercook
----------	---

No - 16 Councillors: Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Davis, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Giambrone, Minnan-Wong, Rae, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Watson

Carried by a majority of 5.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Capital Budget for Facilities and Real Estate, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, as amended:

Yes - 31 Councillors: Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Thompson, Watson
--

No - 6 Councillors: Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Minnan-Wong, Shiner, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 25.

In summary, City Council amended the Program Budget for Facilities and Real Estate, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by adding the following:

“That the Commissioner of Corporate Services be requested to report to Council, through the Administration Committee, on the utilization of the Civic Centres.”

Capital Program: Finance
Finance

February 22, 2005

Motion:

Councillor Ootes moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to report to the Administration Committee, every six months, on:

- (1) the percentage of invoices that are paid according to terms; and

- (2) the number of invoices that have been paid past the term and the penalty that is incurred.”

Votes:

The motion by Councillor Ootes carried.

The Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Capital Budget for Finance, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, as amended, carried.

In summary, City Council amended the Program Budget for Finance, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by adding the following:

“That the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to report to the Administration Committee, every six months, on:

- (1) the percentage of invoices that are paid according to terms; and
- (2) the number of invoices that have been paid past the term and the penalty that is incurred.”

Capital Program: Agencies, Boards and Commissions
Toronto Police Service

February 22, 2005

Vote:

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Capital Budget for the Toronto Police Service, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment:

Yes - 36	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Augimeri, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Fletcher, Ford, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Walker, Watson
No - 0	

Carried without dissent.

**Capital Program: Agencies, Boards and Commissions
Toronto Public Health**

February 22, 2005

Mayor Miller in the Chair.

Extension to Question:

Councillor Balkissoon asked questions for a period of five minutes. Councillor Kelly, seconded by Councillor Del Grande, moved that §27-28E, Questioning to Obtain Facts, of Chapter 27, Council Procedures, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be waived and that Councillor Balkissoon be granted a further period of five minutes to ask questions, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

Councillor Mihevc in the Chair.

Motions:

- (a) Councillor Balkissoon moved that the Clause be amended by deleting the lead-in phrase and Parts (a), (b), (d), (e) and (f) of Recommendation (176) of the Policy and Finance Committee, and amending the remaining portion of Recommendation (176) [Part (c)] by adding the following words:

“the funds identified in this recommendation are fully intended to repay the loan for two pilot projects implemented by Public Health.”,

so that Recommendation (176) of the Policy and Finance Committee now reads:

“(176) the amounts of \$1.090 million in 2005, \$1.149 million in 2006, \$1.149 million in 2007, \$1.069 million in 2008 and \$0.819 million thereafter until the costs of implementation and financing of the South Regional Animal Centre Capital project and the Toronto Community Health Information System are completely offset; the funds identified in this recommendation are fully intended to repay the loan for two pilot projects implemented by Public Health.”

- (b) Councillor Soknacki moved that the Clause be amended by adding to Part (c) of Recommendation (176) of the Policy and Finance Committee, the following sentence:

“The purpose of the contributions outlined is solely for the retirement of debt, and upon completion of the retirement of the debt, the Board of Health report to Council on any additional contributions that may result from Recommendation (d)”,

so that Part (c) now reads as follows:

“(c) the amounts of \$1.090 million in 2005, \$1.149 million in 2006, \$1.149 million in 2007, \$1.069 million in 2008 and \$0.819 million thereafter until the costs of implementation and financing of the South Regional Animal Centre Capital project and the Toronto Community Health Information System are completely offset. The purpose of the contributions outlined is solely for the retirement of debt, and upon completion of the retirement of the debt, the Board of Health report to Council on any additional contributions that may result from Recommendation (d);”.

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

Votes:

Adoption of motion (a) by Councillor Balkissoon:

Yes - 14	
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Kelly, McConnell, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Walker
No - 16	
Councillors:	Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mihevc, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki

Lost by a majority of 2.

Adoption of motion (b) by Councillor Soknacki:

Yes - 23

Councillors:	Ashton, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Giambrone, Hall, Holyday, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Ootes, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 8	
Councillors:	Altobello, Balkissoon, Li Preti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Palacio, Shiner, Walker

Carried by a majority of 15.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Capital Budget for Toronto Public Health, as amended:

Yes - 20	
Councillors:	Ashton, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Giambrone, Hall, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Ootes, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 9	
Councillors:	Altobello, Balkissoon, Holyday, Kelly, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Palacio, Shiner, Walker

Carried by a majority of 11.

In summary, City Council amended the Program Budget for Toronto Public Health, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by adding to Part (c) of Recommendation (176) of the Policy and Finance Committee, the following sentence:

“The purpose of the contributions outlined is solely for the retirement of debt, and upon completion of the retirement of the debt, the Board of Health report to Council on any additional contributions that may result from Recommendation (d)”.

so that Part (c) now reads as follows:

“(c) the amounts of \$1.090 million in 2005, \$1.149 million in 2006, \$1.149 million in 2007, \$1.069 million in 2008 and \$0.819 million thereafter until the costs of implementation and financing of the South Regional Animal Centre Capital project and the Toronto Community Health Information System are completely offset. The purpose of the contributions outlined is solely for the retirement of debt, and upon completion of the retirement of the debt, the Board of Health report to Council on any additional contributions that may result from Recommendation (d);”.

Capital Program: Agencies, Boards and Commissions
Toronto Transit Commission (excl. R.T.E.P.)

February 22, 2005

Motions:

- (a) Councillor Holyday moved that the 2005 Capital Budget for the Toronto Transit Commission be reduced from \$368.624 million to \$275 million; and the Toronto Transit Commission be requested to report to the June 2005 Council meeting, through the Policy and Finance Committee, confirming the status pertaining to their expected grants.

- (b) Councillor Ford moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That:

- (1) the Toronto Transit Commission be requested to consider asking the Province of Ontario to assume ownership of the Toronto Transit Commission; and
- (2) the Toronto Transit Commission be requested to consider stopping its streetcar and track construction program.”

February 23, 2005

- (c) Councillor Ashton moved that the 2005 Capital Budget for the Toronto Transit Commission be amended by striking out Recommendation (200) of the Policy and Finance Committee and replacing it with the following:

“(200) City Council approve, in principle, entering into a negotiated process to arrive at a documented agreement with the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) on a long-term Operating and Capital subsidy arrangement.”

- (d) Councillor Li Preti moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That in anticipation of the next TTC subway car order to be placed in 2006 at an estimated cost of \$750 million and with a useful life of 30 years, the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, in consultation with the TTC, be requested to review extending the debenture period from 10 years to 20 years, prior to the next budget.”

- (e) Councillor Hall moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Toronto Transit Commission be requested to report to Council, through the Works Committee, on how north Etobicoke can be serviced by either a designated Bus Route or a Light Rail Transit system, possibly using the Highway 27 corridor for this purpose, and that it be reflected in the ‘work in-process’ Building a Transit City document.”

Mayor Miller in the Chair.

- (f) Councillor Saundercook moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Toronto Transit Commission be requested to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee, by June 2005, on a process of advancing the Harbourfront West Extension to Dufferin Street and the Queensway, including timelines and the funding arrangements necessary for implementation.”

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

Vote Be Now Taken:

Councillor Del Grande moved that, in accordance with §27-45C of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, the vote be now taken, the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 13	
Councillors:	Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Davis, Del Grande, Fletcher, Li Preti, Moscoe, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Soknacki
No - 24	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Balkissoon, Cho, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Ford, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Pitfield, Saundercook, Shiner, Stintz, Thompson, Watson

Lost, less than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Motions:

- (g) Councillor Nunziata moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That:

- (1) the following motion be adopted:

‘WHEREAS new “luxury” projects are creating a significant drain on the Toronto Transit Commission’s Capital and Operating Budgets, while fares are increasing, state-of-good-repair projects are being deferred and service on existing routes is suffering; and

WHEREAS the vast majority of the local community and three-quarters of the local Councillors do not support a 24/7 exclusive TTC right-of-way on St. Clair Avenue West; and

WHEREAS a 24/7 exclusive right-of-way will have an overall negative effect on the local community, including sidewalk cuts, widespread tree removals and sweeping left turn restrictions, with a net benefit of potentially only a few seconds of time savings per average Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) rider; and

WHEREAS the community prefers a much less costly “rush-hour only” proposal that would address most of the TTC’s 1 percent operating time losses at comparatively minimal cost to the TTC, the City and the community;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council request the TTC to reconsider its support for 24/7 exclusive TTC right-of-way on St. Clair Avenue West, in favour of a much less expensive “rush-hour only” option, and to reallocate savings arising therefrom toward TTC state-of-good repair projects, buying new vehicles to improve service on existing routes or reducing a TTC fare increase if possible.’;

- (2) City Council re-affirm Council’s request that the Commissioner of Corporate Services, in conjunction with the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, submit a confidential report to the Administration Committee, in April 2005, subject to the final design being confirmed by the TTC, identifying and detailing the expropriation process and related costs of each property required as a result of Council’s adoption of Policy and Finance Committee Report 7, Clause 1, headed “St. Clair Avenue West Transit Improvement Environmental Assessment – Yonge Street to Gunns Road (just West of Keele Street) (St. Paul’s, Davenport, York South Weston); and
- (3) the Toronto Transit Commission be requested to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on the possible reactivation of the construction of the Eglinton Subway line which was cancelled by the Province of Ontario and Metropolitan Council in 1995.”

(h) Councillor Moscoe moved that:

- (1) motion (d) by Councillor Li Preti be referred to the Toronto Transit Commission; and
- (2) Part (2) of motion (g) by Councillor Nunziata be referred to the Toronto Transit Commission.

Votes:

Adoption of motion (a) by Councillor Holyday:

Yes - 5 Councillors:	Ford, Holyday, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Palacio
No - 39 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 34.

Adoption of motion (c) by Councillor Ashton:

Yes - 41 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Walker, Watson
No - 3 Councillors:	Di Giorgio, Pantalone, Rae

Carried by a majority of 38.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (b) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 14	
Councillors:	Augimeri, Cho, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Holyday, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Rae, Stintz, Walker
No - 31	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Thompson, Watson

Lost by a majority of 17.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (b) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 5	
Councillors:	Ford, Mammoliti, Nunziata, Palacio, Watson
No - 40	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Walker

Lost by a majority of 35.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (h) by Councillor Moscoe:

Yes - 18	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Carroll, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Fillion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Saundercook
No - 27	
Councillors:	Ashton, Balkissoon, Bussin, Cho, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Kelly, Li Preti, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 9.

Adoption of motion (d) by Councillor Li Preti, without amendment:

Yes - 36	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ashton, Balkissoon, Bussin, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Stintz, Walker
No - 9	
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Carroll, Del Grande, Fillion, Giambrone, Soknacki, Thompson, Watson

Carried by a majority of 27.

Adoption of motion (e) by Councillor Hall:

Yes - 37	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Walker, Watson
No - 8	
Councillors:	Balkissoon, Del Grande, Feldman, Li Preti, Minnan-Wong, Shiner, Stintz, Thompson

Carried by a majority of 29.

Adoption of motion (f) by Councillor Saundercook:

Yes - 42	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Walker, Watson
No - 3	
Councillors:	Balkissoon, Del Grande, Holyday

Carried by a majority of 39.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (g) by Councillor Nunziata:

Yes - 11 Councillors:	Di Giorgio, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Mammoliti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Walker, Watson
No - 34 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Fillion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson

Lost by a majority of 23.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (h) by Councillor Moscoe:

Yes - 24 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fillion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Jenkins, Li Preti, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Thompson
No - 21 Councillors:	Balkissoon, Cho, Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Shiner, Stintz, Walker, Watson

Carried by a majority of 3.

Adoption of Part (3) of motion (g) by Councillor Nunziata:

Yes - 34	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Augimeri, Bussin, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Shiner, Stintz, Walker, Watson
No - 11	
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Carroll, Filion, Ford, Giambrone, Minnan-Wong, Saundercook, Soknacki, Thompson

Carried by a majority of 23.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Capital Budget for the Toronto Transit Commission (excl. R.T.E.P.), recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, as amended:

Yes - 37	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Watson
No - 6	
Councillors:	Ford, Holyday, Mammoliti, Nunziata, Palacio, Walker

Carried by a majority of 31.

In summary, City Council amended the Program Budget for the Toronto Transit Commission (excl. R.T.E.P.), recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by:

- (1) striking out Recommendation (200) of the Policy and Finance Committee and replacing it with the following:

“(200) City Council approve, in principle, entering into a negotiated process to arrive at a documented agreement with the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) on a long-term Operating and Capital subsidy arrangement.”; and

(2) adding the following:

“That:

- (a) in anticipation of the next TTC subway car order to be placed in 2006 at an estimated cost of \$750 million and with a useful life of 30 years, the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, in consultation with the TTC, be requested to review extending the debenture period from 10 years to 20 years, prior to the next budget;
- (b) the Toronto Transit Commission be requested to report to Council, through the Works Committee, on how north Etobicoke can be serviced by either a designated Bus Route or a Light Rail Transit system, possibly using the Highway 27 corridor for this purpose, and that it be reflected in the ‘work in-process’ Building a Transit City document;
- (c) the Toronto Transit Commission be requested to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee:
 - (i) by June 2005, on a process of advancing the Harbourfront West Extension to Dufferin Street and the Queensway, including timelines and the funding arrangements necessary for implementation; and
 - (ii) on the possible reactivation of the construction of the Eglinton Subway line which was cancelled by the Province of Ontario and Metropolitan Council in 1995; and
- (d) the following motion be referred to the Toronto Transit Commission:

Moved by Councillor Nunziata:

‘That City Council re-affirm Council’s request that the Commissioner of Corporate Services, in conjunction with the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, submit a confidential report to the Administration Committee, in April 2005, subject to the final design being confirmed by the TTC, identifying and detailing the expropriation process and related costs of each property required as a result of Council’s adoption of Policy and Finance Committee Report 7, Clause 1, headed “St. Clair Avenue West Transit Improvement Environmental Assessment – Yonge Street to Gunns Road (just West of Keele Street) (St. Paul’s, Davenport, York South Weston).’ ”

**Capital Program: Non Levy
 Parking Authority**

February 23, 2005

Vote:

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Capital Budget for the Parking Authority, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment:

Yes - 33	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Ford, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Watson
No - 1	
Councillor:	Walker

Carried by a majority of 32.

**Capital Program: General
 Recommendations (1) to (8) of the Policy and Finance Committee**

February 23, 2005

Motions:

- (a) Councillor Jenkins moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to report to the Policy and Finance Committee for its meeting on June 1, 2005 on:

- (a) the estimated amount of revenue that would have been collected by the City in 2005, if City Council had implemented the maximum charges permitted under provincial law in the current Development Charges By-law;
- (b) the advisability of amending the Development Charges By law to allow for the imposition of higher levels of charges in 2006 and subsequent years; and

- (c) the process required to be undertaken to implement such modified charges for 2006 and subsequent years.”
- (b) Councillor Rae moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to submit the preliminary year-end actuals to the Budget Advisory Committee in advance of the final departmental 2006 budget review (pre-wrap-up).”
- (c) Councillor Feldman moved that the Clause be amended by amending Recommendation (3) of the Policy and Finance Committee to decrease the financing sources from Capital from Current by \$27.0 million and increase the 2005 debt financing source by \$27.0 million, to offset the increase in business taxes in the 2005 Operating Budget.
- (d) Councillor Shiner moved that the Clause be amended to provide that:
 - (1) the Fire Services 2005 Capital Budget be adjusted by adding \$1.0 million in debt for the acquisition of heavy fire vehicles;
 - (2) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer report back during 2005 on any under-expenditures in the Operating or Capital Budgets that may defray the increase in debt; and
 - (3) any debenture issue be deferred pending the submission of the report from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer.
- (e) Councillor Cho moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to report to Council, through the Budget Advisory Committee and the Policy and Finance Committee, on the possibility of a revised budget approval schedule which would advance the Capital budget approval (i.e., into the December of the previous year).”

Councillor Pitfield in the Chair.

- (f) Councillor Pitfield moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to:
 - (1) include, in future budget documents, carry-forward amounts from the previous years; and

(2) include, in future Capital variance reports, a column that actually tracks the carry-forward amounts for 2004.”

(g) Councillor Soknacki moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the report dated February 22, 2005, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, entitled ‘2004 Preliminary Year-end Capital Variance Report’, be received.”

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

Ruling by Acting Chair:

Acting Chair Soknacki ruled motion (d) by Councillor Shiner out of order, as the debate on the Capital Budget for Fire Services has been concluded and it is contrary to the Budget Framework adopted by Council, as no offsetting funds are identified.

Votes:

Adoption of motion (c) by Councillor Feldman:

Yes - 16	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Holyday, Kelly, Mammoliti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Saundercook, Shiner, Stintz, Thompson
No - 27	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Soknacki, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 11.

Motion (a) by Councillor Jenkins carried.

Motion (b) by Councillor Rae carried.

Motion (e) by Councillor Cho carried.

Motion (f) by Councillor Pitfield carried.

Motion (g) by Councillor Soknacki carried.

Adoption of Recommendations (1) to (8) of the Policy and Finance Committee, as amended:

Yes - 27	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 15	
Councillors:	Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Mammoliti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz, Thompson, Walker

Carried by a majority of 12.

In summary, City Council amended Recommendations (1) to (8) of the Policy and Finance Committee by adding the following:

“That:

- (1) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to:
 - (a) report to the Policy and Finance Committee for its meeting on June 1, 2005 on:
 - (i) the estimated amount of revenue that would have been collected by the City in 2005, if City Council had implemented the maximum charges permitted under provincial law in the current Development Charges By-law;
 - (ii) the advisability of amending the Development Charges By law to allow for the imposition of higher levels of charges in 2006 and subsequent years; and
 - (iii) the process required to be undertaken to implement such modified charges for 2006 and subsequent years;

- (b) include, in future budget documents, carry-forward amounts from the previous years;
 - (c) include, in future Capital variance reports, a column that actually tracks the carry-forward amounts for 2004;
 - (d) report to Council, through the Budget Advisory Committee and the Policy and Finance Committee, on the possibility of a revised budget approval schedule which would advance the Capital budget approval (i.e., into the December of the previous year); and
 - (e) submit the preliminary year-end actuals to the Budget Advisory Committee in advance of the final departmental 2006 budget review (pre-wrap-up); and
- (2) the report dated February 22, 2005, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, entitled '2004 Preliminary Year-end Capital Variance Report', be received."

S3.8 IN-CAMERA MEETING SESSION OF THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

February 22, 2005

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

Procedural Motion:

Councillor Fletcher, at 3:00 p.m., moved that Council resolve itself into Committee of the Whole in the Council Chamber and then recess to meet privately to consider confidential matters related to the 2005 Capital Budget for Corporate Services, End of Lease Strategy, in accordance with the provisions of the *Municipal Act, 2001*, as such matters are subject to solicitor-client privilege.

Vote:

The motion by Councillor Fletcher carried.

Council resolved itself into Committee of the Whole.

Committee of the Whole recessed at 3:15 p.m. to meet privately in the Council Chamber to consider the above matters, in accordance with the provisions of the *Municipal Act, 2001*.

Committee of the Whole rose, reconvened as Council at 3:43 p.m., and met in public session in the Council Chamber.

Councillor Soknacki took the Chair and called the Members to order.

S3.9 Policy and Finance Committee Report 3, Clause 1, headed “City of Toronto 2005 Budget Advisory Committee Recommended Capital Budget and 2006 - 2014 Capital Plan”.

**Capital Program: Corporate Services
End of Lease Strategy**

February 23, 2005

Report of the Committee of the Whole:

Councillor Soknacki, in accordance with the provisions of the *Municipal Act, 2001*, reported that no motions had been moved in Committee of the Whole for consideration by Council in conjunction with the Clause.

Motion:

Councillor Fletcher moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Executive Director, Information and Technology, Corporate Services, be requested to meet with officials from the Better Building Partnership and the Toronto Atmospheric Fund regarding the development of an integrated energy efficiency strategy for capital expenditures on all Information and Technology purchases of the City, and that the Chief Administrative Officer facilitate similar discussions with the Agencies, Boards and Commissions, and report to the Administration Committee on the outcome of these discussions.”

Votes:

The motion by Councillor Fletcher carried.

The Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Capital Budget for the End of Lease Strategy, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, as amended, carried.

In summary, City Council amended the Program Budget for the End of Lease Strategy, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by adding the following:

“That the Executive Director, Information and Technology, Corporate Services, be requested to meet with officials from the Better Building Partnership and the Toronto Atmospheric Fund regarding the development of an integrated energy efficiency strategy for capital expenditures on all Information and Technology purchases of the City, and that the Chief Administrative Officer facilitate similar discussions with the Agencies, Boards and Commissions, and report to the Administration Committee on the outcome of these discussions.”

S3.10 Policy and Finance Committee Report 3, Clause 2, headed “City of Toronto 2005 Budget Advisory Committee Recommended Tax Supported Operating Budget”.

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

Ruling by Acting Chair:

Councillor Kelly requested Acting Chair Soknacki to rule on whether the communication dated February 22, 2005, from the Budget Advisory Committee, [Communication 2(g)], was in order.

Acting Chair Soknacki ruled Communication 2(g) in order, as it contained recommendations from the Budget Advisory Committee related to the 2005 Capital and Operating Budgets which were before Council for consideration.

Councillor Kelly challenged the ruling of the Acting Chair.

Vote to Uphold Ruling of Acting Chair:

Yes - 27	
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Thompson, Watson
No - 13	
Councillors:	Ashton, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Kelly, Mammoliti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz, Walker

Carried by a majority of 14.

Operating Program: Corporate Services

Information and Technology

February 24, 2005

Vote:

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for Information and Technology, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment:

Yes - 28 Councillors:	Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Bussin, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Ford, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki, Walker, Watson
No - 7 Councillors:	Cho, Del Grande, Feldman, Minnan-Wong, Shiner, Stintz, Thompson

Carried by a majority of 21.

**Operating Program: Agencies, Boards and Commissions
Yonge-Dundas Square**

February 24, 2005

Motion:

Councillor Moscoe moved that the Clause be amended by deleting the following Recommendation (161) of the Policy and Finance Committee:

“(161) The Yonge Dundas Square Board of Management report by June 2005, through the Commissioner of Economic Development Culture and Tourism and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer to Budget Advisory Committee, on the 2006 and future year impacts of the new Business Plan resulting from the adoption of a new Business Plan.”

Votes:

The motion by Councillor Moscoe carried.

The Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for Yonge-Dundas Square, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, was adopted, as amended.

**Operating Program: Community and Neighbourhood Services
 Children's Services**

February 24, 2005

Motions:

- (a) Councillor Stintz moved that the Clause be amended by:
- (1) adding to Recommendation (3) of the Policy and Finance Committee, the following new Part (iv):
 - “(iv) that the General Manager of Children's Services be requested to develop a plan for the first duty pilot project that does not rely on reserve funds and report back to the Community Services Committee before July 2005 on the outcome of negotiations with the provincial government.”; and
 - (2) adding the following:

“That the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be requested to report to the Community Services Committee on the anticipated pressure on the City which would occur if there was a recession in the City of Toronto.”
- (b) Councillor Kelly moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:
- “That the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be requested to report to the Community Services Committee at the earliest opportunity, on the implications of the federal daycare funding announcement on Toronto's Aboriginal community.”
- (c) Councillor Ford moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for Children's Services be reduced by \$30,000.00, and that Council confirm that there will be no Report Card on Children published in 2005.
- (d) Councillor Cho moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:
- “That the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be requested to report to the Community Services Committee on the use of a new federal funding to support improved, equitable access to child care through the development of new child care facilities, and, in particular, address how new communities like Morningside will be supported.”

Votes:

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (a) by Councillor Stintz:

Yes - 18 Councillors:	Ashton, Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Holyday, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson
No - 20 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Filion, Fletcher, Hall, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Thompson

Lost by a majority of 2.

Adoption of motion (c) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 9 Councillors:	Del Grande, Ford, Grimes, Holyday, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio
No - 29 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Hall, Li Preti, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 20.

Part (2) of motion (a) by Councillor Stintz carried.

Motion (b) by Councillor Kelly carried.

Adoption of motion (d) by Councillor Cho:

Yes - 31 Mayor:	Miller
--------------------	--------

Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Walker, Watson
No - 7	
Councillors:	Augimeri, Feldman, Filion, Holyday, Shiner, Stintz, Thompson

Carried by a majority of 24.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for Children's Services, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, as amended:

Yes - 34	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Walker, Watson
No - 4	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Nunziata

Carried by a majority of 30.

In summary, City Council amended the Program Budget for Children's Services, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by adding the following:

“That the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be requested to report to the Community Services Committee:

- (1) at the earliest opportunity, on the implications of the Federal daycare funding announcement on Toronto's Aboriginal community;
- (2) on the use of a new Federal funding to support improved, equitable access to child care through the development of new child care facilities, and, in particular, address how new communities like Morningside will be supported; and
- (3) on the anticipated pressure on the City which would occur if there was a recession

in the City of Toronto.”

**Operating Program: Community and Neighbourhood Services
Homes for the Aged**

February 24, 2005

Motions:

- (a) Councillor Chow moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for Homes for the Aged be amended to provide that funds in the amount of \$1.45 million, plus applicable interest, be transferred back to the Homes for Aged Capital Reserve Fund from the Corporate Capital Financing Reserve Fund.

Ruling by the Acting Chair:

Acting Chair Soknacki ruled motion (a) by Councillor Chow out of order as the reserve funds identified are not applicable.

- (b) Councillor Ford moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:
“That the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be directed to discontinue the Annual Report Card for Homes for the Aged.”

Votes:

Adoption of motion (b) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 5 Councillors:	Altobello, Del Grande, Ford, Pitfield, Watson
No - 28 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Filion, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Nunziata, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Walker

Lost by a majority of 23.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for Homes for the Aged, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment:

Yes - 31	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Filion, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Walker, Watson
No - 4	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Ford, Nunziata, Pitfield

Carried by a majority of 27.

**Operating Program: Community and Neighbourhood Services
Social Development and Administration**

February 24, 2005

Vote:

Adoption of the 2005 Operating Budget for Social Development and Administration, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment:

Yes - 26	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Filion, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson
No - 2	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Ford

Carried by a majority of 24.

**Operating Program: Community and Neighbourhood Services
Shelter, Housing and Support**

February 24, 2005

Mayor Miller in the Chair.

Motions:

- (a) Councillor Soknacki moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for Shelter, Housing and Support be reduced by \$0.5 million to reflect gapping and increased efficiencies.
- (b) Councillor Mammoliti moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:
 - “That:
 - (1) given that the City has learned that it pays \$35 million for usage of City Shelters for individuals from outside of the City, and that the City has declared homelessness an emergency, that City Council demand that the provincial and federal governments pay their fair share of funding for these services;
 - (2) the recommendations and any decisions on the report previously requested of the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services by City Council on the equitable distribution of affordable housing developments across all Wards in the City, be dealt with at the appropriate Standing Committee and City Council; and
 - (3) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be requested to report to the Community Services Committee outlining options that would provide more accurate statistics on the homeless and from where they originate.”

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

- (c) Councillor Ford moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for Shelter, Housing and Support be amended by:
 - (1) not approving the hiring of 50 employees for savings of \$2.5 million;
 - (2) the deletion of the supply of alcohol beverages for savings in the amount of \$43,000.00;
 - (3) the deletion of the supply of cigarettes for savings in the amount of \$20,400.00; and
 - (4) the elimination of newsletters in the amount of \$1,600.00.
- (d) Councillor Grimes moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:
 - “That the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services and the Medical Officer of Health be requested to report to the Board of Health and the Community Services Committee on the viability of using alternate nicotine satisfaction methods for Shelter clients in the system (i.e. - nicorette gum and the patch).”

- (e) Councillor Minnan-Wong moved that motion (a) by Councillor Soknacki be amended to provide that the 2005 Shelter Housing and Support Budget be reduced by \$270,000.00 net (for a total reduction of \$770,000.00) to be taken from efficiencies from the Shelter, Housing and Support IT Budget.

Votes:

Adoption of motion (e) by Councillor Minnan-Wong:

Yes - 7	
Councillors:	Ford, Holyday, Kelly, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio
No - 27	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Thompson, Watson

Lost by a majority of 20.

Adoption of motion (a) by Councillor Soknacki, without amendment:

Yes - 36	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Thompson, Watson
No - 0	

Carried unanimously.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (c) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 8

Councillors:	Ford, Kelly, Mammoliti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Saundercook
No - 28	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Soknacki, Thompson, Watson

Lost by a majority of 20.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (c) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 8	
Councillors:	Altobello, Ford, Grimes, Lindsay Luby, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio
No - 28	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Thompson, Watson

Lost by a majority of 20.

Adoption of Part (3) of motion (c) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 11	
Councillors:	Altobello, Ford, Grimes, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Watson
No - 25	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Holyday, Kelly, Li Preti, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Thompson

Lost by a majority of 14.

Adoption of Part (4) of motion (c) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 8	
Councillors:	Carroll, Cho, Ford, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes
No - 28	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Thompson, Watson

Lost by a majority of 20.

Motion (b) by Councillor Mammoliti carried.

Adoption of motion (e) by Councillor Grimes:

Yes - 29	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Bussin, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Soknacki, Watson
No - 8	
Councillors:	Augimeri, Carroll, Fletcher, Giambrone, McConnell, Rae, Saundercook, Thompson

Carried by a majority of 21.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for Shelter, Housing and Support, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, as amended:

Yes - 28	
Mayor:	Miller

Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Thompson, Watson
--------------	--

No - 8	
Councillors:	Ford, Holyday, Kelly, Mammoliti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio

Carried by a majority of 20.

In summary, City Council amended the Program Budget for Shelter, Housing and Support, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by:

- (1) reducing the budget by \$0.5 million to reflect gapping and increased efficiencies; and
- (2) adding the following:

“That:

- (a) given that the City has learned that it pays \$35 million for usage of City Shelters for individuals from outside of the City, and that the City has declared homelessness an emergency, that City Council demand that the provincial and federal governments pay their fair share of funding for these services;
- (b) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services and the Medical Officer of Health be requested to report to the Board of Health and the Community Services Committee on the viability of using alternate nicotine satisfaction methods for Shelter clients in the system (i.e. - nicorette gum and the patch);
- (c) the recommendations and any decisions on the report previously requested of the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services by City Council on the equitable distribution of affordable housing developments across all Wards in the City, be dealt with at the appropriate Standing Committee and City Council; and
- (d) the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be requested to report to the Community Services Committee outlining options that would provide more accurate statistics on the homeless and from where they originate.”

[Note:

City Council, during consideration of the Solid Waste Management Services Operating Budget,

further reduced the Social Housing Stabilization Reserve Fund by \$300,000.00, such funds to offset an increase in the 2005 subsidy to the Toronto Community Housing Corporation to cover the estimated cost of the Waste Reduction Levy. [See page 75]

**Operating Program: Community and Neighbourhood Services
 Social Services**

February 24, 2005

Motions:

- (a) Councillor Soknacki, with the permission of Council, moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for Social Services be amended by reducing the budget by \$0.500 million to reflect additional gapping in the Fraud Control and Prevention function.
- (b) Councillor Minnan-Wong moved that motion (a) by Councillor Soknacki be amended by deleting all of the words after the word “gapping”.

Votes:

Adoption of motion (b) by Councillor Minnan-Wong:

Yes - 14 Councillors:	Augimeri, Balkissoon, Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Saundercook
No - 25 Councillors:	Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Li Preti, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Shiner, Soknacki, Watson

Lost by a majority of 11.

Adoption of motion (a) by Councillor Soknacki, without amendment:

Yes - 33 Mayor:	Miller
--------------------	--------

Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Li Preti, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 7	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, Minnan-Wong, Shiner

Carried by a majority of 26.

Adoption of the 2005 Operating Budget for Social Services, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, as amended:

Yes - 31	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 10	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Kelly, Mammoliti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Shiner

Carried by a majority of 21.

**Operating Program: Works and Emergency Services
Emergency Medical Services**

February 24, 2005

Motions:

(a) Councillor Moscoe moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That:

- (1) all hospitals be put on notice that the City will be seeking recovery of ambulance fees collected from patients for ambulance service and appropriate staff be

authorized to negotiate with each hospital on an appropriate administrative charge for these accounts;

- (2) the City Solicitor be requested to initiate appropriate legal action against those hospitals which refuse to remit the fees collected from ambulance patients for ambulance services and, in so doing, challenge the provisions of the *Health Act* to allow the hospitals to retain these funds;
 - (3) appropriate staff be authorized to waive payment of these fees to any hospital that uses them to reduce transfer care times to less than thirty-five minutes; and
 - (4) the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to invoice hospitals for the transfer of care times greater than one hour in an amount that reflects the true cost of the delays to the ambulance system resulting from the transfer delays.”
- (b) Councillor Soknacki, with the permission of Council, moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for Emergency Medical Services be reduced by \$0.080 million gross and \$0.040 million net to reflect savings in utility costs.

Votes:

Adoption of motion (b) by Councillor Soknacki:

Yes - 32	
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Filion, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Palacio, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Walker, Watson
No - 5	
Councillors:	Ford, Kelly, Mammoliti, Ootes, Shiner

Carried by a majority of 27.

Adoption of motion (a) by Councillor Moscoe:

Yes - 34

Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Walker, Watson
No - 3	
Councillors:	Filion, Mammoliti, Milczyn

Carried by a majority of 31.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for Emergency Medical Services, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, as amended:

Yes - 35	
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Walker, Watson
No - 2	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Mammoliti

Carried by a majority of 33.

**Operating Program: Works and Emergency Services
Fire Services**

February 24, 2005

Councillor Mihevc in the Chair.

Motions:

(a) Councillor Soknacki moved that the Clause be amended:

(1) to provide that:

(a) Fire Services be authorized to contract up to \$13.2 million for the

acquisition of heavy fire vehicles in 2005 consistent with the Council-approved 2005 Capital Budget; and

(b) an increase of \$1.0 million to the 2006 Fire Services Operating Budget to increase the Fire Services contribution to the Vehicle and Equipment Replacement Reserve be a priority in the 2006 Operating Budget, to ensure that there is sufficient cash flow to fund heavy fire vehicle expenditures to the end of 2006; and

(2) by reducing the contribution by Fire Services to the Vehicle and Equipment Reserve for the acquisition of fire vehicles and equipment by \$1.0 million.

(b) Councillor Shiner moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That:

(1) the 2006-2010 Budget submission (for consideration by Council in December 2005) for Fire Services contribution to the Vehicle and Equipment Reserve for the acquisition of new fire vehicles and equipment be set at \$7.2 million; and

(2) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to report to the Community Services Committee on the creation of a Capital account to cover all or a part of the funding for heavy-duty fire fighting equipment with a life span of more than ten (10) years.”

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

(c) Councillor Ford moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for Fire Services be amended by deleting the funding for the Fire Services Brochure “Connections” in the amount of \$10,000.00.

Ruling by the Acting Chair:

Councillor Balkissoon requested that the Operating Program for Fire Services be held down.

Acting Chair Soknacki ruled that under the framework adopted at the start of the meeting, the Operating Program for Fire Services was to be completed.

Councillor Shiner challenged the ruling of the Acting Chair.

Vote to Uphold Ruling of Acting Chair:

Yes - 23 Mayor: Miller Councillors: Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 13 Councillors: Altobello, Balkissoon, Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Kelly, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz, Walker

Carried by a majority of 10.

Votes:

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (a) by Councillor Soknacki:

Yes - 24 Mayor: Miller Councillors: Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Nunziata, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki
No - 11 Councillors: Altobello, Balkissoon, Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Shiner, Stintz, Walker, Watson

Carried by a majority of 13.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (a) by Councillor Soknacki:

Yes - 23 Mayor: Miller Councillors: Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 11 Councillors: Altobello, Balkissoon, Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz, Walker

Carried by a majority of 12.

Adoption of motion (c) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 8	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Ford, Lindsay Luby, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Watson
No - 27	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker

Lost by a majority of 19.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (b) by Councillor Shiner:

Yes - 16	
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Cho, Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Saundercook, Shiner, Stintz, Walker
No - 19	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Soknacki, Watson

Lost by a majority of 3.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (b) by Councillor Shiner:

Yes - 31	
Mayor:	Miller

Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Ford, Giambrone, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson
No - 4	
Councillors:	Augimeri, Fletcher, Mihevc, Rae

Carried by a majority of 27.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for Fire Services, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, as amended:

Yes - 27	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Fletcher, Ford, Giambrone, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Nunziata, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 9	
Councillors:	Altobello, Balkissoon, Del Grande, Holyday, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Shiner, Stintz, Walker

Carried by a majority of 18.

In summary, City Council amended the Program Budget for Fire Services, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee:

- (1) by reducing the contribution by Fire Services to the Vehicle and Equipment Reserve for the acquisition of fire vehicles and equipment by \$1.0 million;
- (2) to provide that:
 - (a) Fire Services be authorized to contract up to \$13.2 million for the acquisition of heavy fire vehicles in 2005 consistent with the Council-approved 2005 Capital Budget; and
 - (b) an increase of \$1.0 million to the 2006 Fire Services Operating Budget to increase the Fire Services contribution to the Vehicle and Equipment Replacement Reserve

be a priority in the 2006 Operating Budget, to ensure that there is sufficient cash flow to fund heavy fire vehicle expenditures to the end of 2006; and

- (3) by adding the following:

“That the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to report to the Community Services Committee on the creation of a Capital account to cover all or a part of the funding for heavy-duty fire fighting equipment with a life span of more than ten (10) years.”

**Operating Program: Works and Emergency Services
 Solid Waste Management Services**

February 25, 2005

Motions:

- (a) Councillor Holyday moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for Solid Waste Management Services be amended:

- (1) by deleting the Waste Reduction Levy for multi-unit residences and the offsetting revenue be taken from the Community Partnership and Investment Program; and
- (2) in the event that Part (1) does not carry, by adding to Recommendation (30) of the Policy and Finance Committee the words “and the Works Committee hold public meetings prior to the implementation of these programs”, so that Recommendation (30) now reads as follows:

“(30) Prior to the implementation of a Waste Reduction Levy for Multi-unit residences or a Diversion Incentive Surcharge for single family homes, the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services report to Council, through the Works Committee, on all facets of the implementation of each program city-wide, inclusive of all types of pick-up situations, and the Works Committee hold public meetings prior to the implementation of these programs; and”.

Ruling by Acting Chair:

Councillor Holyday requested an opportunity to question staff. Acting Chair Soknacki ruled that questions of staff on the Solid Waste Management Services portion of the Operating Budget had been completed, and no further questions would be permitted.

Councillor Walker challenged the ruling of the Acting Chair.

Vote to Uphold Ruling of Acting Chair:

Yes - 16	
Councillors:	Augimeri, Cho, De Baeremaeker, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Moscoe, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 9	
Councillors:	Altobello, Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Walker

Carried by a majority of 7.

Councillor Pitfield in the Chair.

(b) Councillor Soknacki moved that the Clause be amended by:

- (1) reducing the the 2005 Operating Budget for Solid Waste Management Services by \$0.5 million, reflecting a combination of gapping and higher than anticipated revenues; and
- (2) reconfirming the recommendation of the Budget Advisory Committee and the Policy and Finance Committee that the 2005 Operating Budget for Solid Waste Management Services be reduced by \$400,000.00, to reflect utility cost savings.

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

(c) Councillor Walker moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for Solid Waste Management Services be amended to provide that:

- (1) the increase in the revenue budget of \$1.9 million for Solid Waste Management resulting from a levy on apartment buildings or tenants, be offset by an increase in tipping fees of an equivalent amount, and there be no consideration of an increase or a fee for garbage for apartment dwellers until it is considered for owners of houses; and
- (2) in the event that the foregoing Part (1) does not carry, taxes be increased by a corresponding amount of \$1.9 million net this year, and no further consideration of this new fee on apartment dwellers or tenants for garbage pickup be considered until there is a similar charge against homeowners.

- (d) Councillor Moscoe moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested:

- (1) to develop design templates that would assist multi-unit residential building owners to organize collections within multi-unit residential buildings;
- (2) to prepare a manual with suggestions for handling and storage of materials in multi-unit residential buildings;
- (3) in consultation with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, to recommend by-law changes that might be required to permit multi-unit residential buildings to be retrofitted with exterior collection chutes; and
- (4) to make provision for individual building meetings or apartment area meetings, where deemed useful, to assist with the introduction of multi-unit residential recycling within a building or apartment area.”

- (e) Councillor Lindsay Luby moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That:

- (1) the City Solicitor also report to the Works Committee on mechanisms available to ensure that the Waste Reduction Levy costs are not passed to the tenants, when the report on the Waste Reduction Levy in multi-unit residential buildings, as referred to in Recommendation (30) of the Policy and Finance Committee, is presented to the Works Committee; and
- (2) prior to the introduction of a single family Waste Reduction Levy, the Solid Waste Management By-law be amended to require mandatory participation in the Green Bin Program for all single family households, and the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to develop a notification process to educate non-participants on the new mandatory requirements.”

- (f) Councillor Milczyn moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to distribute, during 2005, an information brochure to each unit in multi-unit residential buildings throughout the City explaining the following:

- (1) the new fees for waste collection imposed by the City on the multi-unit residential sector; and

- (2) detailed information on the City's recycling program and how multi-unit residential residents can participate;

with the cost for this brochure to be absorbed within the budget for Solid Waste Management Services.

- (g) Councillor Chow moved that the Clause be amended:

- (1) to provide that half of the 2005-2006 revenue from the Waste Reduction levy for Multi-unit residences be set aside to assist non-profit buildings to retrofit its buildings and that the offset funding be found with an increase to "tipping" fees;
- (2) by amending the 2005 Operating Budget for Solid Waste Management Services by deferring the waste reduction levy for multi-unit residences until a green bin system is introduced to multi-unit residences, and the revenue be offset by an increase to "tipping" fees; and
- (3) by adding the following:

"That:

- (i) the City of Toronto apply to the Federation of Canadian Municipalities' Municipal Green Fund to assist with the cost of retrofitting multi-unit residential buildings; and
- (ii) the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the Works Committee on a plan to allocate half of the revenue from the Waste Reduction Levy for Multi-unit residences to create a program to assist buildings with the cost of retrofitting their system."

- (h) Councillor Ootes moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

"That the recommendations of the Budget Advisory Committee pertaining to the 2005 Operating Budget for Solid Waste Management Services, as contained in the communication (February 22, 2005) from the Budget Advisory Committee, together with motions (a) to (g), be referred to the Works Committee for the hearing of public deputations."

- (i) Councillor Ashton moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

"That the City of Toronto request the Ontario Ministry of the Environment to enforce the

Provincial 3Rs Regulations, which require multi-unit residential buildings to operate a recycling program.”

- (j) Councillor Shiner moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for Solid Waste Management Services be amended to provide that the Waste Reduction levy for multi-unit residences be deleted to reduce the budget by \$1.9 million and the Clean and Beautiful City Programs be reduced by an equal amount to offset this revenue as best determined by the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer.
- (k) Councillor Ford moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:
- “That the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the Works Committee on the issues of:
- (1) incinerating garbage; and
 - (2) contracting out garbage collection.”
- (l) Councillor Kelly moved that the following motions be referred to the Works Committee for further consideration:
- Part (1) of motion (g) by Councillor Chow;
 - motion (d) by Councillor Moscoe;
 - Part (2) of motion (e) by Councillor Lindsay Luby; and
 - motion (f) by Councillor Milczyn.
- (m) Councillor Cho moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:
- “That the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to Council, through the Works Committee, in six months’ time or sooner, on the issue of Waste Management technology in other developed countries, such as Japan, Israel, some States in the United States and other European countries.”
- (n) Councillor Davis moved that the Clause be amended by:
- (1) increasing the 2005 subsidy to the Toronto Community Housing Corporation by \$300,000.00, to cover the estimated cost of the Waste Reduction Levy, to be offset by a corresponding reduction in the Social Housing Stabilization Reserve Fund [see also Shelter, Housing and Support, page 62]; and
 - (2) adding the following:

“That:

- (i) the Toronto Community Housing Corporation (TCHC) be requested:
 - (a) not to pass on the Waste Reduction Levy for multi-unit residential buildings on to its residents; and
 - (b) to join the Apartment Working Group;
 - (ii) the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the Works Committee on ways to structure the Waste Reduction Levy in order to reduce the levy on a quarterly basis for those buildings that are achieving reductions.”
- (o) Councillor Pitfield moved that:
- (1) motion (d) by Councillor Moscoe be amended by adding the words “and this be funded by either absorbing it within the Solid Waste Management budget or through Corporate sponsorships.”;
 - (2) motion (f) by Councillor Milczyn be amended by deleting the words “with the cost for this brochure to be absorbed within the budget for Solid Waste Management Services”, and inserting instead the words “and that the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to seek sponsorships to absorb the costs of producing this brochure”.
- (p) Councillor Pitfield, on behalf of Councillor Saundercook, moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:
- “That the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report back to the Works Committee on the implementation plan for a single-family waste reduction levy, to be effective January 1, 2006, incorporating a reduction in the number of allowable items per collection cycle from six items to four items.”
- (q) Councillor Jenkins moved Part (2)(ii) of motion (n) by Councillor Davis be amended to provide that the 2005 Operating Budget for Solid Waste Management Services be amended by amending the structure of the Waste Reduction Levy to provide that, when a building achieves waste reduction targets, the charge would be zero.

Votes:

Adoption of motion (h) by Councillor Ootes:

Yes - 12	
Councillors:	Chow, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Kelly, Mammoliti, McConnell, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Shiner, Stintz
No - 26	
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Fletcher, Ford, Giambrone, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 14.

Adoption of motion (l) by Councillor Kelly:

Yes - 13	
Councillors:	Chow, Del Grande, Feldman, Filion, Ford, Holyday, Kelly, Mammoliti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Shiner, Stintz
No - 26	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 13.

Ruling by Acting Chair:

Councillor Holyday requested the Acting Chair to rule on whether motion (j) by Councillor Shiner should be voted on as an amendment to his motion (a), as both motions propose deletion of the Waste Reduction Levy, with different alternatives for offsetting the revenue loss.

Acting Chair Soknacki ruled that motion (j) by Councillor Shiner was not an amendment to motion (a) by Councillor Holyday.

Councillor Holyday challenged the ruling of the Acting Chair.

Vote to Uphold Ruling of Acting Chair:

Yes - 28	Miller
----------	--------

Mayor:	
Councillors:	Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki, Walker, Watson
No - 11	
Councillors:	Altobello, Feldman, Filion, Ford, Holyday, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 17.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (a) by Councillor Holyday:

Yes - 15	
Councillors:	Altobello, Feldman, Filion, Ford, Hall, Holyday, Kelly, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Shiner, Stintz, Walker
No - 24	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Giambrone, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki, Watson

Lost by a majority of 9.

Part (2) of motion (a) by Councillor Holyday carried.

Adoption of motion (j) by Councillor Shiner:

Yes - 9	
Councillors:	Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Kelly, Nunziata, Ootes, Shiner, Stintz, Walker
No - 30	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki, Watson

Lost by a majority of 21.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (b) by Councillor Soknacki:

Yes - 33	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Giambrone, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki, Walker, Watson
No - 6	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Feldman, Mammoliti, Nunziata, Shiner, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 27.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (b) by Councillor Soknacki:

Yes - 34	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Hetcher, Ford, Giambrone, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson
No - 5	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Feldman, Mammoliti, Nunziata, Shiner

Carried by a majority of 29.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (c) by Councillor Walker:

Yes - 17	
Councillors:	Altobello, Chow, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Ford, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Mammoliti, McConnell, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Shiner, Stintz, Walker
No - 22	
Mayor:	Miller

Councillors:	Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Lindsay Luby, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki, Watson
--------------	---

Lost by a majority of 5.

Ruling by Acting Chair:

Based on the above decision of Council, Acting Chair Soknacki ruled Part (1) of motion (g) by Councillor Chow redundant.

Councillor Chow challenged the ruling of the Acting Chair.

Vote to Uphold Ruling of Acting Chair:

Yes - 25	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, Del Grande, Fletcher, Ford, Giambrone, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki, Walker, Watson
No - 12	
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Chow, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Mammoliti, McConnell, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Shiner, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 13.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (g) by Councillor Chow:

Yes - 11	
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Chow, Davis, Di Giorgio, Giambrone, Jenkins, Mammoliti, McConnell, Nunziata, Walker
No - 28	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Hall, Holyday, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson

Lost by a majority of 17.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (c) by Councillor Walker:

Yes - 10	
Councillors:	Altobello, Chow, Feldman, Filion, Holyday, McConnell, Milczyn, Shiner, Stintz, Walker
No - 29	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Ford, Giambrone, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki, Watson

Lost by a majority of 19.

Adoption of motion (q) by Councillor Jenkins:

Yes - 39	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Giambrone, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson
No - 0	

Carried unanimously.

Adoption of motion (n) by Councillor Davis, as amended:

Yes - 24	
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Palacio, Pitfield, Rae, Walker, Watson
No - 15	
Mayor:	Miller

Councillors:	Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Ford, Hall, Holyday, Kelly, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Pantalone, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz
--------------	--

Carried by a majority of 9.

Part (1) of motion (o) by Councillor Pitfield carried.

Motion (d) by Councillor Moscoe carried, as amended.

Part (1) of motion (e) by Councillor Lindsay Luby carried.

Part (2) of motion (e) by Councillor Lindsay Luby carried.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (o) by Councillor Pitfield:

Yes - 22
Mayor: Miller
Councillors: Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Fillion, Giambrone, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki, Walker, Watson
No - 17
Councillors: Altobello, Augimeri, Chow, Davis, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Ford, Hall, Mammoliti, McConnell, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Shiner, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 5.

Motion (f) by Councillor Milczyn carried, as amended.

Part (3)(i) of motion (g) by Councillor Chow carried.

Part (3)(ii) of motion (g) by Councillor Chow carried.

Motion (i) by Councillor Ashton carried.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (k) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 21

Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Cho, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Ford, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Pitfield, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz
No - 18	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Bussin, Carroll, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Fillion, Fletcher, Giambrone, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Walker, Watson

Carried by a majority of 3.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (k) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 16	
Councillors:	Cho, Cowbourne, Del Grande, Ford, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Soknacki
No - 23	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Chow, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fillion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Shiner, Stintz, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 7.

Motion (m) by Councillor Cho carried.

Adoption of motion (p) by Councillor Pitfield, on behalf of Councillor Saundercook:

Yes - 15 Councillors:	Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Jenkins, Milczyn, Moscoe, Palacio, Pitfield, Soknacki, Watson
No - 24 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Chow, Davis, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Shiner, Stintz, Walker

Lost by a majority of 9.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for Solid Waste Management Services, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, as amended:

Yes - 22 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki, Watson
No - 17 Councillors:	Altobello, Chow, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Ford, Holyday, Kelly, Mammoliti, McConnell, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Shiner, Stintz, Walker

Carried by a majority of 5.

In summary, City Council amended the Program Budget for Solid Waste Management Services, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by:

- (1) reconfirming the recommendation of the Budget Advisory Committee and the Policy and Finance Committee that the 2005 Operating Budget for Solid Waste Management Services be reduced by \$400,000.00, to reflect utility cost savings;
- (2) reducing the budget by \$0.5 million, reflecting a combination of gapping and higher than anticipated revenues;
- (3) increasing the 2005 subsidy to the Toronto Community Housing Corporation by \$300,000.00, to cover the estimated cost of the Waste Reduction Levy, to be offset by a

corresponding reduction in the Social Housing Stabilization Reserve Fund [see also Shelter, Housing and Support, page 62];

- (4) amending Recommendation (30) of the Policy and Finance Committee by adding the words “and the Works Committee hold public meetings prior to the implementation of these programs”, so that Recommendation (30) now reads as follows:

“(30) Prior to the implementation of a Waste Reduction Levy for Multi-unit residences or a Diversion Incentive Surcharge for single family homes, the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services report to Council, through the Works Committee, on all facets of the implementation of each program city-wide, inclusive of all types of pick-up situations, and the Works Committee hold public meetings prior to the implementation of these programs; and”;

- (5) amending the structure of the Waste Reduction Levy to provide that, when a building achieves waste reduction targets, the charge would be zero; and

- (6) adding the following:

“That:

- (a) the City of Toronto request the Ontario Ministry of the Environment to enforce the Provincial 3Rs Regulations, which require multi-unit residential buildings to operate a recycling program;
- (b) the City of Toronto apply to the Federation of Canadian Municipalities’ Municipal Green Fund to assist with the cost of retrofitting multi-unit residential buildings;
- (c) the Toronto Community Housing Corporation (TCHC) be requested:
- (i) not to pass on the Waste Reduction Levy for multi-unit residential buildings on to its residents; and
- (ii) to join the Apartment Working Group;
- (d) the City Solicitor also report to the Works Committee on mechanisms available to ensure that the Waste Reduction Levy costs are not passed to the tenants, when the report on the Waste Reduction Levy in multi-unit residential buildings, as referred to in Recommendation (30) of the Policy and Finance Committee, is presented to the Works Committee;
- (e) prior to the introduction of a single family Waste Reduction Levy, the Solid Waste Management By-law be amended to require mandatory participation in the Green

Bin Program for all single family households, and the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to develop a notification process to educate non-participants on the new mandatory requirements;

- (f) the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to distribute, during 2005, an information brochure to each unit in multi-unit residential buildings throughout the City explaining the following:
 - (i) the new fees for waste collection imposed by the City on the multi-unit residential sector; and
 - (ii) detailed information on the City's recycling program and how multi-unit residential residents can participate;

and the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to seek sponsorships to absorb the costs of producing this brochure; and

- (g) the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested:
 - (i) to report to the Works Committee on:
 - (1) ways to structure the Waste Reduction Levy in order to reduce the levy on a quarterly basis for those buildings that are achieving reductions;
 - (2) a plan to allocate half of the revenue from the Waste Reduction Levy for Multi-unit residences to create a program to assist buildings with the cost of retrofitting their system;
 - (3) the issue of incinerating garbage;
 - (ii) to report to Council, through the Works Committee, in six months' time or sooner, on the issue of Waste Management technology in other developed countries, such as Japan, Israel, some States in the United States and other European countries;
 - (iii) to develop design templates that would assist multi-unit residential building owners to organize collections within multi-unit residential buildings;
 - (iv) to prepare a manual with suggestions for handling and storage of materials in multi-unit residential buildings;

- (v) in consultation with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, to recommend by-law changes that might be required to permit multi-unit residential buildings to be retrofitted with exterior collection chutes; and
- (vi) to make provision for individual building meetings or apartment area meetings, where deemed useful, to assist with the introduction of multi-unit residential recycling within a building or apartment area, and this be funded by either absorbing it within the Solid Waste Management budget or through Corporate sponsorships.”

Operating Program: Agencies, Boards and Commissions
Toronto Police Service

February 25, 2005

Mayor Miller in the Chair.

Motions:

- (a) Councillor Moscoe moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That:

- (1) the Toronto Police Services Board be requested to review the feasibility of creating a construction enforcement unit that would be paid 100 percent by the Toronto Transit Commission and other City departments to offset the paid duty used to meet statutory construction policing; and
- (2) the City of Toronto consider the possibility of negotiating relief to the City of Toronto statutory requirement to have paid duty uniformed police officers at intersections during construction of street car tracks when they negotiate the *City of Toronto Act* with the Province of Ontario.”

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

- (b) Councillor Mammoliti moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That:

- (1) the Toronto Police Services Board be requested to request its staff to work with the City Solicitor and other City staff and report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on the mechanism required to enact the following:

‘That the hiring of 200 more police officers over a five-year period be funded by an equivalent increase in the licensing fees in massage parlours and adult entertainment businesses.’; and

- (2) the following motion be adopted:

‘**WHEREAS** Chief Julian Fantino has done an excellent job for the City of Toronto; and

WHEREAS the citizens of the City of Toronto have responded with an incredible amount of support for the Chief;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

- (a) at the next regular meeting of City Council, Mayor David Miller be requested to give the key to the City to Chief Fantino, for his service to the City of Toronto; and
- (b) funding for the key to the City be absorbed within the City Clerk’s Budget.’ ”
- (c) Councillor Thompson moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:
- “That the Police Services Board be requested to initiate discussions with the provincial government to access the 50/50 funding for additional police officers, for use in areas such as guns and gangs, youth crime, domestic violence and protecting children, as promised during the October 2003 election campaign, and further restated by Premier McGuinty in October 2004.”
- (d) Councillor Lindsay Luby moved that Part (1) of motion (a) by Councillor Moscoe be amended by adding the words “such feasibility study to include the number of new Officers required to allow for the implementation of such a Unit”.
- (e) Councillor Del Grande moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:
- “That the Toronto Police Service Board be requested to increase staffing by 16 Police Officer positions and to consider deploying such officers to the following units:
- grow-ops;
 - child pornography; and
 - gangs;

at a cost of \$1.413 million to come from the Community Partnership and Investment Program.”

- (f) Councillor Nunziata moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the City Solicitor be requested to report to the Administration Committee on the possibility of imposing fees on the owners of properties used as greenhouses, to reimburse the City for costs expended in dealing with the property.”

- (g) Councillor Soknacki, on behalf of Councillors Stintz and Shiner, moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to report to the Policy and Finance Committee, through the Budget Advisory Committee, on the history of the funding of the Police, Fire and City Fleets since amalgamation, including the Fire Department’s reserves, the Police Department reserves, the withdrawal of these funds, where they were spent and any repayments of these funds.”

- (h) Councillor Cho moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Toronto Police Services Board be requested to pursue the hiring of 100 new Police Officers, as soon as possible, conditional on securing shared-cost funding from the federal and provincial levels of government and, once funding is secured from the two levels of government, the Toronto Police Services Board be requested to incorporate the enhanced Police staffing into the 2006 Budget process.”

Votes:

Adoption of motion (e) by Councillor Del Grande:

Yes - 14	
Councillors:	Altobello, Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Holyday, Kelly, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Shiner, Thompson
No - 20	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, Fletcher, Hall, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Pantalone, Rae, Soknacki, Watson

Lost by a majority of 6.

Adoption of motion (d) by Councillor Lindsay Luby:

Yes - 33	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, Del Grande, Feldman, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Nunziata, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Shiner, Soknacki, Thompson, Watson
No - 1	
Councillor:	Minnan-Wong

Carried by a majority of 32.

Adoption of motion (a) by Councillor Moscoe, as amended:

Yes - 33	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, Del Grande, Feldman, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Nunziata, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Shiner, Soknacki, Thompson, Watson
No - 1	
Councillor:	Minnan-Wong

Carried by a majority of 32.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (b) by Councillor Mammoliti:

Yes - 32	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Del Grande, Feldman, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Shiner, Soknacki, Thompson

<p>No - 2 Councillors: Davis, Watson</p>
--

Carried by a majority of 30.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (b) by Councillor Mammoliti:

<p>Yes - 34 Mayor: Miller Councillors: Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, Del Grande, Feldman, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Shiner, Soknacki, Thompson, Watson</p>
<p>No - 0</p>

Carried unanimously.

Adoption of motion (c) by Councillor Thompson:

<p>Yes - 35 Mayor: Miller Councillors: Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Feldman, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Shiner, Soknacki, Thompson, Watson</p>
<p>No - 0</p>

Carried unanimously.

Adoption of motion (f) by Councillor Soknacki:

<p>Yes - 29 Mayor: Miller</p>

Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Davis, Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Nunziata, Pantalone, Rae, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Watson
No - 7	
Councillors:	Augimeri, Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Fletcher, Holyday, Minnan-Wong, Ootes

Carried by a majority of 22.

Adoption of motion (g) by Councillor Soknacki, on behalf of Councillors Stintz and Shiner:

Yes - 35	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Feldman, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Watson
No - 1	
Councillor:	Carroll

Carried by a majority of 34.

Adoption of motion (h) by Councillor Cho:

Yes - 36	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Feldman, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Shiner, Soknacki, Thompson, Watson
No - 0	

Carried unanimously.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for the Toronto Police Service, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, as amended:

Yes - 34	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Watson
No - 2	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Kelly

Carried by a majority of 32.

In summary, City Council amended the Program Budget for the Toronto Police Service, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by adding the following:

“That:

- (1) the City of Toronto consider the possibility of negotiating relief to the City of Toronto statutory requirement to have paid duty uniformed police officers at intersections during construction of street car tracks when they negotiate the *City of Toronto Act* with the Province of Ontario;
- (2) the Toronto Police Services Board be requested to:
 - (a) initiate discussions with the provincial government to access the 50/50 funding for additional police officers, for use in areas such as guns and gangs, youth crime, domestic violence and protecting children, as promised during the October 2003 election campaign, and further restated by Premier McGuinty in October 2004;
 - (b) pursue the hiring of 100 new Police Officers, as soon as possible, conditional on securing shared-cost funding from the federal and provincial levels of government and, once funding is secured from the two levels of government, the Toronto Police Services Board be requested to incorporate the enhanced Police staffing into the 2006 Budget process;
 - (c) review the feasibility of creating a construction enforcement unit that would

*February 28, 2005**Vote:*

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for the 3-1-1 Project, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment:

Yes - 25	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Hall, Holyday, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson
No - 6	
Councillors:	Ford, Kelly, Mammoliti, Nunziata, Palacio, Pitfield

Carried by a majority of 19.

**Operating Program: Works and Emergency Services
Transportation Services**

*February 28, 2005**Motions:*

- (a) Councillor Soknacki moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for Transportation Services be amended by:
- (1) reconfirming the recommendation of the Budget Advisory Committee and the Policy and Finance Committee that the 2005 Operating Budget for Transportation Services be reduced by \$600,000.00, to reflect utility cost savings; and
 - (2) reducing the budget by \$0.5 million, to reflect increased gapping.
- (b) Councillor Palacio moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:
- “That the Acting Commissioner Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the next meeting of the Works Committee on the feasibility of:

- (i) replacing the existing small salting trucks that serve West District; and
 - (ii) ensuring that at least two (2) of these trucks are in good repair and available for use at all times.”
- (c) Councillor Hall moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:
- “That:
- (1) the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the Works Committee on the expansion of service, in compliance with the By-law, with respect to windrow removal in areas where property frontages are wide enough to accommodate the snow storage, and the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to contact local Councillors, where applicable, to determine the extent of the problem; and
 - (2) the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, be requested to report to the Works Committee on the environmental impact of water and waste water run-off with respect to the paving of backyards with materials, such as tar, concrete, stone and brick, and whether this is a sustainable practice and should continue.”
- (d) Councillor Moscoe moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:
- “That the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the Works Committee on:
- (1) the feasibility of adding front yard parking fees to the tax roll so that prospective purchasers can be apprised of the existence and cost of a parking pad when they apply for a tax certificate; and
 - (2) the feasibility of adding front yard, side yard and permit parking fees to the tax bill so that they can be collected in a like manner as taxes.”
- (e) Councillor Minnan-Wong moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for Transportation Services be amended by adding the following:
- (1) \$400,000.00 for additional grass cutting on boulevards; and
 - (2) \$250,000.00 for additional weed control,

to be offset by a combination of increased revenues (i.e., revenues from “doubtful” accounts and increased utility cuts), gapping and other reductions in Transportation Services at the discretion of the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services.

Councillor Mihevc in the Chair.

(f) Councillor Fletcher moved that:

(1) the 2005 Operating Budget for Transportation Services be amended by:

- (i) amending Recommendation (34) of the Policy and Finance Committee to provide that On-Street Parking Permit Fees be increased by \$1.00 to \$9.50 per month (\$114.00 annually) instead of \$8.50 to \$10.00 per month (\$120.00); and
- (ii) amending Recommendation (35) of the Policy and Finance Committee to provide that Front Yard, Driveway Widening and Residential Boulevard Parking Permit Fees be increased from \$8.50 to \$14.00 per month (\$168.00 annually) instead of being set at the same annual level as the “On Street” parking permits,

noting that the net effect is revenue neutral; and

(2) Part (2) of motion (c) by Councillor Hall be amended by adding the words “such report to also include the environmental impact of front yard parking and driveway widening.”

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

(g) Councillor Augimeri moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the Works Committee on an inventory of all City laneways identifying the following:

- (1) which are public;
- (2) which are private; and
- (3) which are serviced by the City and what kind of service, snow removal and/or salting is provided.”

- (h) Councillor Nunziata moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for Transportation Services be amended by deleting Recommendations (34) and (35) of the Policy and Finance Committee and inserting instead the following:
- “the On Street Parking and Front Yard, Driveway Widening and Residential Boulevard Parking revenue increase of \$949,500.00 be eliminated, and that this revenue be offset by a corresponding decrease to the Community Partnership and Investment Program.”
- (i) Councillor Saundercook moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:
- “That the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the Works Committee on the number of permits issued per household address as opposed to the current practice of issuing permits based on ownership of vehicle.”
- (j) Councillor Holyday moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:
- “That the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the Works Committee on how windrow clearing for seniors and the disabled can be provided in a more timely manner.”
- (k) Councillor Mammoliti moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for Transportation Services be amended to provide that any applications that have been received by Transportation Services for parking fees, prior to this meeting of Council, be grandfathered.
- (l) Councillor Walker moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:
- “That the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the Works Committee on a harmonized policy for the City of Toronto in the areas of permit parking, driveway widening and front yard parking.
- (m) Councillor Pitfield moved that Part (1) of motion (f) by Councillor Fletcher be amended to provide that:
- (1) the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the Works Committee on the possible difference between the cost and the revenue being reconciled within the existing budget; and
 - (2) that the City Solicitor be requested to report to the Works Committee prior to enacting the necessary by-law.
- (n) Councillor Watson moved that Part (1) of motion (f) by Councillor Fletcher be referred to

the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the City Solicitor with a request that they report to the Works Committee on the implications; and further, that the fee increase not take place until this report has been considered.

Votes:

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (a) by Councillor Soknacki:

Yes - 36	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fillion, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Watson
No - 4	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Kelly, Minnan-Wong, Walker

Carried by a majority of 32.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (a) by Councillor Soknacki:

Yes - 36	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fillion, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Watson
No - 4	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Kelly, Minnan-Wong, Walker

Carried by a majority of 32.

Adoption of motion (e) by Councillor Minnan-Wong:

Yes - 20

Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Holyday, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Saundercook, Stintz, Thompson, Walker
No - 20	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Hall, Jenkins, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki, Watson

Lost, there being tie vote.

Adoption of motion (h) by Councillor Nunziata:

Yes - 15	
Councillors:	Ashton, Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Stintz, Thompson
No - 25	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Li Preti, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 10.

Adoption of motion (n) by Councillor Watson:

Yes - 29	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Cho, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Walker, Watson
No - 11	
Councillors:	Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Chow, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Fletcher, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Pantalone

Carried by a majority of 18.

Due to the above decision of Council, Part (1) of motion (f) by Councillor Fletcher, and motion (m) by Councillor Pitfield were not put to a vote.

Ruling by Acting Chair:

Acting Chair Soknacki ruled motion (k) by Councillor Mammoliti out of order as no offsetting funds are identified.

Motion (b) by Councillor Palacio carried.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (c) by Councillor Hall:

Yes - 34	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Carroll, Cho, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Saundercook, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Walker, Watson
No - 6	
Councillors:	Bussin, Chow, Davis, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae

Carried by a majority of 28.

Part (2) of motion (f) by Councillor Fletcher carried.

Part (2) of motion (c) by Councillor Hall carried, as amended.

Adoption of motion (d) by Councillor Moscoe:

Yes - 30	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Nunziata, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Thompson, Walker, Watson
No - 10	

Councillors:	Bussin, Di Giorgio, Ford, Grimes, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Soknacki, Stintz
--------------	---

Carried by a majority of 20.

Motion (g) by Councillor Augimeri carried.

Motion (i) by Councillor Saundercook carried.

Motion (j) by Councillor Holyday carried.

Motion (l) by Councillor Walker carried.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for Transportation Services, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, as amended:

Yes - 30	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Walker, Watson
No - 10	
Councillors:	Bussin, Del Grande, Feldman, Kelly, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Stintz, Thompson

Carried by a majority of 20.

In summary, City Council amended the Program Budget for Transportation Services, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by:

- (1) reconfirming the recommendation of the Budget Advisory Committee and the Policy and Finance Committee that the 2005 Operating Budget for Transportation Services be reduced by \$600,000.00, to reflect utility cost savings;
- (2) reducing the budget by \$0.5 million, to reflect increased gapping; and
- (3) adding the following:

“That:

- (a) the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, be requested to report to the Works Committee on the environmental impact of water and waste water run-off with respect to the paving of backyards with materials, such as tar, concrete, stone and brick, and whether this is a sustainable practice and should continue, such report to also include the environmental impact of front yard parking and driveway widening;
- (b) the Acting Commissioner Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the next meeting of the Works Committee on the feasibility of:
 - (i) replacing the existing small salting trucks that serve West District; and
 - (ii) ensuring that at least two (2) of these trucks are in good repair and available for use at all times;
- (c) the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the Works Committee on:
 - (i) how windrow clearing for seniors and the disabled can be provided in a more timely manner;
 - (ii) the expansion of service, in compliance with the By-law, with respect to windrow removal in areas where property frontages are wide enough to accommodate the snow storage, and the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to contact local Councillors, where applicable, to determine the extent of the problem;
 - (iii) an inventory of all City laneways identifying the following:
 - (1) which are public;
 - (2) which are private; and
 - (3) which are serviced by the City and what kind of service, snow removal and/or salting is provided;
 - (iv) the feasibility of adding front yard parking fees to the tax roll so that prospective purchasers can be apprised of the existence and cost of a parking pad when they apply for a tax certificate;

- (v) the feasibility of adding front yard, side yard and permit parking fees to the tax bill so that they can be collected in a like manner as taxes;
 - (vi) the number of permits issued per household address as opposed to the current practice of issuing permits based on ownership of vehicle; and
 - (vii) a harmonized policy for the City of Toronto in the areas of permit parking, driveway widening and front yard parking; and
- (d) the following motion be referred to the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the City Solicitor with a request that they report to the Works Committee on the implications; and further, that the fee increase not take place until this report has been considered:

Moved by Councillor Fletcher:

‘That the 2005 Operating Budget for Transportation Services be amended by:

- (1) amending Recommendation (34) of the Policy and Finance Committee to provide that On-Street Parking Permit Fees be increased by \$1.00 to \$9.50 per month (\$114.00 annually) instead of \$8.50 to \$10.00 per month (\$120.00); and
- (2) amending Recommendation (35) of the Policy and Finance Committee to provide that Front Yard, Driveway Widening and Residential Boulevard Parking Permit Fees be increased from \$8.50 to \$14.00 per month (\$168.00 annually) instead of being set at the same annual level as the “On Street” parking permits,

noting that the net effect is revenue neutral.’ ”

Operating Program: Works and Emergency Services
WES - Support Services

February 28, 2005

Motions:

- (a) Councillor Soknacki, with the permission of Council, moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for WES - Support Services be amended by reducing the budget by \$0.050 million, to

reflect savings in utility costs.

(b) Councillor Ford moved that the Clause be amended by:

- (1) amending the 2005 Operating Budget for WES - Support Service to provide that the "WES Pulse" brochure be discontinued at a savings of \$10,000.00; and
- (2) adding the following:

"That the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the Works Committee on the cost of the Toronto Water television commercial and on the cost of the Water Watch Brochure."

Ruling by Acting Chair:

Acting Chair Soknacki ruled Part (2) of motion (b) by Councillor Ford out of order as it relates to Water and Wastewater Services which is not included in this Operating Program.

Votes:

Adoption of motion (a) by Councillor Soknacki:

Yes - 30	
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Carroll, Chow, Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Ford, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mihevc, Milczyn, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Walker, Watson
No - 1	
Councillor:	Del Grande

Carried by a majority of 29.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (b) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 10	
Councillors:	Altobello, Del Grande, Ford, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Milczyn, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Stintz
No - 22	

Councillors:	Ashton, Augimeri, Carroll, Chow, Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Thompson, Walker, Watson
--------------	---

Lost by a majority of 12.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for WES - Support Services, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, as amended:

Yes - 28	
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Thompson, Walker, Watson
No - 4	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Ford, Nunziata, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 24.

In summary, City Council amended the Program Budget for WES - Support Services, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by reducing the budget by \$0.050 million, to reflect savings in utility costs.

**Operating Program: Economic Development, Culture and Tourism
Customer and Business Support**

February 28, 2005

Vote:

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for Customer and Business Support, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment:

Yes - 29	
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mihevc, Milczyn, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson

No - 3 Councillors: Del Grande, Ford, Mammoliti
--

Carried by a majority of 26.

**Operating Program: Economic Development, Culture and Tourism
Economic Development**

February 28, 2005

Motion:

Councillor Augimeri moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for Economic Development be amended in accordance with the following motions:

- (1) “**WHEREAS** the services provided by the Enterprise Toronto offices are invaluable to small businesses across Toronto, and the deletion of one permanent position will represent a 13 percent service reduction and will require the closing of a district office; and

WHEREAS Enterprise Toronto receives funding from both provincial and private partners, and recent significant increases in seminar/event registrations and website visits will generate increased revenue through additional sponsorships and registrations;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Enterprise Toronto Position be reinstated in the Economic Development Program (\$32.6 thousand net) and that the reinstatement be funded from a corresponding increase in small business registration and sponsorship revenues within the Economic Development Program for a net zero impact on the 2005 Operating Budget”; and

- (2) “**WHEREAS** Council has identified ‘improving the business climate’ as a key priority and the services provided by the Business Development and Retention unit in the Economic Development Division are critical to achieving that objective; and

WHEREAS the deletion of one permanent position has been recommended in order to address fiscal constraints despite the fact that it will represent an 11 percent service reduction at a time when services to Toronto businesses should be enhanced and strengthened;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT deletion of the Economic Development Officer in the Economic Development Program be changed to reflect that the position be gapped for 2005 and that the Economic Development Division be directed to

assess alternate strategies to fund the position and/or provide the service in the future (for a net zero impact on the 2005 Operating Budget).”

Vote:

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for Economic Development, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, as amended by the motion by Councillor Augimeri:

Yes - 27 Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Carroll, Chow, Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fillion, Hall, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Nunziata, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Walker, Watson
No - 3 Councillors:	Del Grande, Ford, Holyday

Carried by a majority of 24.

**Operating Program: Agencies, Boards and Commissions
Arena Boards of Management**

February 28, 2005

Vote:

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for Arena Boards of Management, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment:

Yes - 29 Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fillion, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Nunziata, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Walker, Watson
No - 2 Councillors:	Del Grande, Ford

Carried by a majority of 27.

**Operating Program: Economic Development, Culture and Tourism
Parks and Recreation***February 28, 2005**Motions:*

- (a) Councillor Chow moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for Parks and Recreation be amended by eliminating the increase in user fees for youth programs and that the funding of \$10,000.00 be found within the Parks and Recreation Program of the Economic Development, Culture and Tourism Department's Budget.
- (b) Deputy Mayor Bussin moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for Parks and Recreation be amended by deleting the following Recommendation (132) of the Policy and Finance Committee:

“(132) The Toronto Parking Authority reinstate paid parking in waterfront parks;”,

resulting in the following adjustments:

- (a) reducing City-wide Utilities by \$318,750.00 [see also Non-Program/Capital and Corporate Financing, page 155];
 - (b) reducing Parks and Recreation Parking Fee Revenues by \$225,000.00; and
 - (c) decreasing Non-Program Revenues from the Parking Authority by \$93,750.00, resulting from a total overall reduction of Parking Authority Revenues by \$125,000.00 [see also Non Levy Operations - Parking Authority, page 150, and Non-Program/Capital and Corporate Financing, page 155]; and
- (c) Councillor Ford moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for Parks and Recreation be amended to provide that the provision of free golf passes be eliminated for:
 - (1) Members of Council; and
 - (2) staff.
 - (d) Councillor Stintz moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee outlining how much of the anticipated \$9.0 million resulting from the elimination of the voluntary payment of parking tickets was actually

allocated to Parks and Recreation.”

Votes:

Adoption of motion (a) by Councillor Chow:

Yes - 27	
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Hall, Jenkins, Li Preti, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Nunziata, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Thompson, Walker, Watson
No - 7	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Holyday, Ootes, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 20.

Adoption of motion (b) by Deputy Mayor Bussin:

Yes - 23	
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Grimes, Jenkins, Li Preti, Mihevc, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson
No - 10	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Hall, Holyday, Mammoliti, Nunziata, Palacio, Thompson, Walker

Carried by a majority of 13.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (c) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 17	
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Chow, Davis, Del Grande, Ford, Hall, Jenkins, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson
No - 18	
Councillors:	Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Grimes, Holyday, Li Preti, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Pantalone, Saundercook, Thompson

Lost by a majority of 1.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (c) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 16	
Councillors:	Augimeri, Carroll, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, Del Grande, Ford, Hall, Li Preti, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Soknacki, Walker, Watson
No - 19	
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Grimes, Holyday, Jenkins, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Stintz, Thompson

Lost by a majority of 3.

Adoption of motion (d) by Councillor Stintz:

Yes - 33	
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Saundercook, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Walker, Watson
No - 3	
Councillors:	Bussin, Carroll, Rae

Carried by a majority of 30.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for Parks and Recreation, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, as amended:

Yes - 32	
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Nunziata, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Walker, Watson
No - 5	

Councillors: Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Minnan-Wong, Ootes

Carried by a majority of 27.

In summary, City Council amended the Program Budget for Parks and Recreation, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by:

- (1) eliminating the increase in user fees for youth programs and that the funding of \$10,000.00 be found within the Parks and Recreation Program of the Economic Development, Culture and Tourism Department's Budget;
- (2) deleting the following Recommendation (132) of the Policy and Finance Committee:

“(132) The Toronto Parking Authority reinstate paid parking in waterfront parks;”,

resulting in the following adjustments:

- (a) reducing City-wide Utilities by \$318,750.00 [see also Non-Program/Capital and Corporate Financing, page 155];
 - (b) reducing Parks and Recreation Parking Fee Revenues by \$225,000.00; and
 - (c) decreasing Non-Program Revenues from the Parking Authority by \$93,750.00, resulting from a total overall reduction of Parking Authority Revenues by \$125,000.00 [see also Non Levy Operations - Parking Authority, page 150, and Non-Program/Capital and Corporate Financing, page 155]; and
- (3) adding the following:

“That the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee outlining how much of the anticipated \$9.0 million resulting from the elimination of the voluntary payment of parking tickets was actually allocated to Parks and Recreation.”

**Operating Program: Urban Development Services
Urban Development Services**

February 28, 2005

Motions:

(a) Councillor Soknacki, with the permission of Council, moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for Urban Development Services be amended by increasing revenues in 2005 by \$0.150 million to implement Council's approved planning fee based on 100 percent cost recovery, effective February 21, 2005.

(b) Councillor Del Grande moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

"That the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, be requested to report to Policy and Finance Committee on implementing a register system for all second suite units."

(c) Councillor Nunziata moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

"That the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to be proactive and enforce the necessary by-law, in an effort to prevent graffiti on the publication boxes and mail boxes, and report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on increasing fees to offset enforcement."

Councillor Pitfield in the Chair.

(d) Councillor Thompson moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

"That the Medical Officer of Health, in consultation with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, be directed to develop a single phone line and supporting communication strategy, to service incoming calls pertaining to rodent control concerns City-wide."

Councillor Mihevc in the Chair.

(e) Councillor Mammoliti moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

"That the Commissioner of Urban Development Services in consultation with the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on the potential revenues which would result from allowing casinos in the City of Toronto."

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

Votes:

Motion (a) by Councillor Soknacki carried.

Adoption of motion (b) by Councillor Del Grande:

Yes - 22
Councillors: Altobello, Carroll, Cho, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Mammoliti, Nunziata, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Walker, Watson
No - 7
Councillors: Ashton, Augimeri, Ford, Lindsay Luby, Mihevc, Ootes, Saundercook

Carried by a majority of 15.

Adoption of motion (c) by Councillor Nunziata:

Yes - 30
Councillors: Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Ford, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Walker, Watson
No - 0

Carried unanimously.

Adoption of motion (d) by Councillor Thompson:

Yes - 28
Councillors: Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Ford, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Thompson, Walker, Watson
No - 3
Councillors: Ashton, Feldman, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 25.

Adoption of motion (e) by Councillor Mammoliti:

Yes - 8 Councillors:	De Baeremaeker, Ford, Jenkins, Mammoliti, Ootes, Rae, Stintz, Watson
No - 23 Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Davis, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Hall, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, Mihevc, Nunziata, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Saundercook, Soknacki, Thompson, Walker

Lost by a majority of 15.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for Urban Development Services, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, as amended:

Yes - 30 Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Ford, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Stintz, Thompson, Walker, Watson
No - 1 Councillors:	Del Grande

Carried by a majority of 29.

In summary, City Council amended the Program Budget for Urban Development Services, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by:

- (1) increasing revenues in 2005 by \$0.150 million to implement Council's approved planning fee based on 100 percent cost recovery, effective February 21, 2005; and
- (2) adding the following:

“That:

- (a) the Medical Officer of Health, in consultation with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, be directed to develop a single phone line and supporting communication strategy, to service incoming calls pertaining to rodent control concerns City-wide;

- (b) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, be requested to report to Policy and Finance Committee on implementing a register system for all second suite units; and
- (c) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to be proactive and enforce the necessary by-law, in an effort to prevent graffiti on the publication boxes and mail boxes, and report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on increasing fees to offset enforcement.”

**Operating Program: Corporate Services
City Clerk’s Office**

February 28, 2005

Motion:

Councillor Ford moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for the City Clerk’s Office be amended by reducing the budget for the Council and Support Services Unit by deleting one Supervisor position, for a savings of \$80,000.00.

Votes:

Adoption of the motion by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 1 Councillor: Ford
No - 26 Councillors: Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 25.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for the City Clerk’s Office, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment:

Yes - 25 Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Walker, Watson
No - 2 Councillors:	Del Grande, Ford

Carried by a majority of 23.

**Operating Program: Corporate Services
Corporate Communications**

February 28, 2005

Motions:

(a) Councillor Ford moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for Corporate Communications be amended by:

- (1) eliminating the newspaper clipping service for savings of \$40,000.00; and
- (2) discontinuing the publication, entitled "Inside Toronto" for savings of \$20,000.00.

In the event that the foregoing Part (1) fails then:

- (3) reducing the newspaper clippings provided to the Mayors Office from 19 copies to 2 copies.

(b) Councillor Mammoliti moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

"That the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to report to the Administration Committee on the cost of supplying newspapers to Departments throughout the City."

Votes:

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (a) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 9 Councillors: Altobello, Di Giorgio, Ford, Milczyn, Nunziata, Palacio, Pitfield, Soknacki, Walker
No - 18 Councillors: Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cowbourne, Davis, Del Grande, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Pantalone, Watson

Lost by a majority of 9.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (a) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 6 Councillors: Altobello, Ford, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Nunziata, Palacio
No - 21 Councillors: Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cowbourne, Davis, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mihevc, Pantalone, Pitfield, Soknacki, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 15.

Adoption of Part (3) of motion (a) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 6 Councillors: Altobello, Ashton, Ford, Nunziata, Palacio, Walker
No - 20 Councillors: Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cowbourne, Davis, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Pantalone, Pitfield, Soknacki, Watson

Lost by a majority of 14.

Motion (b) by Councillor Mammoliti carried.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for Corporate Communications, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, as amended:

Yes - 23	
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Pantalone, Pitfield, Soknacki, Walker, Watson
No - 4	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Ford, Nunziata, Palacio

Carried by a majority of 19.

**Operating Program: Corporate Services
Facilities and Real Estate**

February 28, 2005

Motions:

- (a) Deputy Mayor Feldman moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Mayor be requested to review the City of Toronto Public Land Register and bring forward to the Policy and Finance Committee recommendations on a systematic approach to the acquisition and disposition of City-owned real property.”

- (b) Councillor Pitfield moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Commissioner of Corporate Services be requested to report to the Administration Committee providing a list of City-owned properties and a fee schedule for below market rents and timing of implementation, in order to enable the City to increase rents for organizations that do not meet non-profit criteria.”

- (c) Councillor Ford moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for Facilities and Real Estate be amended to provide that the funding of \$74,000.00 for plant watering at all City of Toronto locations be eliminated.

Votes:

Adoption of motion (c) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 10
Councillors: Altobello, Cho, Ford, Li Preti, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Palacio, Pitfield, Soknacki
No - 25
Mayor: Miller
Councillors: Augimeri, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Holyday, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Stintz, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 15.

Motion (a) by Deputy Mayor Feldman carried.

Adoption of motion (b) by Councillor Pitfield:

Yes - 34
Mayor: Miller
Councillors: Altobello, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson
No - 0

Carried unanimously.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for Facilities and Real Estate, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, as amended:

Yes - 33
Mayor: Miller
Councillors: Altobello, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson
No - 2

Councillors: Ford, Walker

Carried by a majority of 31.

In summary, City Council amended the Program Budget for Facilities and Real Estate, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by adding the following:

“That:

- (1) the Mayor be requested to review the City of Toronto Public Land Register and bring forward to the Policy and Finance Committee recommendations on a systematic approach to the acquisition and disposition of City-owned real property; and
- (2) the Commissioner of Corporate Services be requested to report to the Administration Committee providing a list of City-owned properties and a fee schedule for below market rents and timing of implementation, in order to enable the City to increase rents for organizations that do not meet non-profit criteria.”

**Operating Program: Corporate Services
Human Resources**

February 28, 2005

Motions:

- (a) Councillor Walker moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for Human Resources be amended by reinstating the Career Development Service positions in the amount of \$215,000.00 with a corresponding decrease of \$215,000.00 in the recommended increase for collective bargaining.
- (b) Councillor Ford moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for Human Resources be amended by:
 - (1) eliminating the payment of tuition fees by the Human Resources Department for City employees;
 - (2) the elimination of all City-wide Learning Exercises, e.g., the “Learning Summit”; and
 - (3) cancellation of employee participation in the Diploma of Public Affairs at the University of Western Ontario.

Votes:

Adoption of motion (a) by Councillor Walker:

Yes - 12 Councillors:	Altobello, Balkissoon, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Lindsay Luby, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Saundercook, Stintz, Walker, Watson
No - 14 Councillors:	Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Holyday, Li Preti, Rae, Soknacki

Lost by a majority of 2.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (b) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 2 Councillors:	Cho, Ford
No - 26 Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Holyday, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 24.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (b) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 3 Councillors:	Cho, Ford, Stintz
No - 24 Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Holyday, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 21.

Adoption of Part (3) of motion (b) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 3 Councillors: Cho, Ford, Nunziata
No - 24 Councillors: Altobello, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Holyday, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 21.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for Human Resources, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment:

Yes - 23 Councillors: Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Holyday, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson
No - 4 Councillors: Balkissoon, Del Grande, Ford, Walker

Carried by a majority of 19.

**Operating Program: Finance
Finance**

February 28, 2005

Motions:

(a) Councillor Nunziata moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That City Council re-affirm its previous position of last year to lobby the provincial government to allow the City of Toronto Lottery to be established.”

(b) Councillor Ford moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for Finance be amended by eliminating the “Listening to Toronto” event for savings of \$190,000.00.

Votes:

Adoption of motion (b) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 8 Councillors:	Cho, Del Grande, Ford, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio
No - 23 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Altobello, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Chow, Cowbourne, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Grimes, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 15.

Motion (a) by Councillor Nunziata carried.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for Finance, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, as amended:

Yes - 25 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Altobello, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Di Giorgio, Filion, Grimes, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Walker, Watson
No - 6 Councillors:	Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Minnan-Wong, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 19.

**Operating Program: Other
Chief Administrator's Office**

February 28, 2005

Vote:

The Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for the Chief Administrator's Office, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, was adopted, without amendment.

Councillors Del Grande and Ford requested that their opposition to this Program Budget be noted in the minutes of this meeting.

**Operating Program: Other
 City Council**

February 28, 2005

Extension to Question:

Councillor Ford asked questions for a period of five minutes. Councillor Nunziata moved that §27-28E, Questioning to Obtain Facts, of Chapter 27, Council Procedures, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be waived and that Councillor Ford be granted a further period of five minutes to ask questions, the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 23	
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Carroll, Cho, Del Grande, Feldman, Fillion, Ford, Grimes, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson
No - 7	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Bussin, Cowbourne, Davis, Di Giorgio, Rae, Saundercook

Carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Motions:

- (a) Councillor Ford moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for City Council be amended:
- (1) by reducing the Councillors' Global budget to \$30,000.00 for savings of approximately \$800,000.00 per year;
 - (2) by reducing Councillors' staff budget by one position for savings of \$2.3 million per year;
 - (3) by eliminating the City Council food and beverage budget for savings of \$70,000.00;

- (4) to provide that alcohol not be permitted to be charged to the Councillors' office budget;
- (5) to provide that the following items no longer be covered by the Councillors' office budget:
 - (a) newspapers, books and magazine subscriptions for savings of approximately \$18,926.00 per year;
 - (b) conference travel for savings of approximately \$29,999.00;
 - (c) tickets for community events, concerts, etc., for a saving of approximately \$18,642.00;
 - (d) gas and mileage for a savings of approximately \$31,581.00;
 - (e) transit passes for staff for a savings of approximately \$6,149.00;
 - (f) taxis for a savings of approximately \$18,140.00;
 - (g) donations to groups for a savings of approximately \$15,987; and
 - (h) business expenses for a savings of approximately \$29,820.00; and
- (6) to provide that written notice be given to the Rogers Centre/Sky Dome by December 31, 2005, of the City's intention to terminate its Skybox lease, for savings of \$75,000.00.

Mayor Miller in the Chair.

- (b) Councillor Mammoliti moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for City Council be amended to provide that the salaries for Members of Council for 2005 be set at the level of the highest paid member of Council in the GTA, estimated at an increase of approximately \$15,000.00 per Councillor, and that the funding for this increase come from the under-expenditure of the Councillors' Global Budgets.

Votes:

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (a) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 5 Councillors:	Ford, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, Milczyn, Nunziata
No - 28 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Li Preti, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Stintz, Watson

Lost by a majority of 23.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (a) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 3 Councillors:	Ford, Holyday, Nunziata
No - 30 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Stintz, Watson

Lost by a majority of 27.

Adoption of Part (3) of motion (a) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 5 Councillors:	Ashton, Del Grande, Ford, Nunziata, Stintz
No - 28 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Watson

Lost by a majority of 23.

Ruling by Mayor:

Mayor Miller ruled Part (4) of motion (a) by Councillor Ford out of order as it relates to a policy matter that is not before Council for debate.

Councillor Ford challenged the ruling of the Mayor.

Vote to Uphold Ruling of Mayor:

Yes - 26	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Stintz, Watson
No - 7	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes

Carried by a majority of 19.

Adoption of Part (5)(a) of motion (a) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 5	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Nunziata, Stintz
No - 29	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson

Lost by a majority of 24.

Adoption of Part (5)(b) of motion (a) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 6	
---------	--

Councillors:	Del Grande, Fletcher, Ford, Holyday, Nunziata, Stintz
No - 28	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Grimes, Hall, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson

Lost by a majority of 22.

Adoption of Part (5)(c) of motion (a) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 5	
Councillors:	Ford, Grimes, Holyday, Milczyn, Nunziata
No - 29	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Hall, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson

Lost by a majority of 24.

Adoption of Part (5)(d) of motion (a) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 6	
Councillors:	Ford, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata
No - 28	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Li Preti, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson

Lost by a majority of 22.

Adoption of Part (5)(e) of motion (a) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 6 Councillors:	Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, Milczyn, Nunziata
No - 28 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Li Preti, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson

Lost by a majority of 22.

Adoption of Part (5)(f) of motion (a) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 5 Councillors:	Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Milczyn, Nunziata
No - 29 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson

Lost by a majority of 24.

Adoption of Part (5)(g) of motion (a) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 5 Councillors:	Ford, Grimes, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, Nunziata
No - 29 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Hall, Li Preti, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson

Lost by a majority of 24.

Adoption of Part (5)(h) of motion (a) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 3 Councillors:	Ford, Holyday, Nunziata
No - 31 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson

Lost by a majority of 28.

Adoption of Part (6) of motion (a) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 8 Councillors:	Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Fletcher, Ford, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, Milczyn
No - 26 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Grimes, Hall, Li Preti, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson

Lost by a majority of 18.

Adoption of motion (2) by Councillor Mammoliti:

Yes - 10 Councillors:	Augimeri, Bussin, Di Giorgio, Grimes, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook
No - 23 Mayor:	Miller

Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, Del Grande, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Hall, Holyday, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson
--------------	--

Lost by a majority of 13.

The Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for City Council, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, was adopted, without amendment.

**Operating Program: Other
Mayor's Office**

February 28, 2005

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

Motion:

Councillor Ford moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for the Mayor's Office be amended by:

- (1) not allowing alcohol to be charged to the Mayor's Office budget: and
- (2) reducing the Mayor's Office staff by one position.

Ruling by Acting Chair:

Acting Chair Soknacki ruled Part (1) of the motion by Councillor Ford out of order as it relates to a policy matter that is not before Council for debate.

Councillor Ford challenged the ruling of the Mayor.

Vote to Uphold Ruling of Mayor:

Yes - 25
Mayor: Miller
Councillors: Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 4

Councillors:	Ashton, Ford, Holyday, Nunziata
--------------	---------------------------------

In accordance with § 27-51 of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, headed "Members Not Voting", Councillor Ashton was deemed to have voted in the negative.

Carried by a majority of 21.

Votes:

Adoption of Part (2) of the motion by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 1	
Councillor:	Ford
No - 30	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Nunziata, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson

Lost by a majority of 29.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for the Mayor's Office, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment:

Yes - 29	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Nunziata, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 2	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Ford

Carried by a majority of 27.

Operating Program: Agencies, Boards and Commissions

Exhibition Place

February 28, 2005

Mayor Miller in the Chair.

Motion:

Councillor Ford moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Board of Governors of Exhibition Place be requested to eliminate the practice of issuing free parking passes for Members of Council to Exhibition Place.”

Votes:

Adoption of motion by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 5 Councillors: Davis, Del Grande, Fletcher, Ford, Stintz
No - 23 Mayor: Miller Councillors: Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Pantalone, Rae

Lost by a majority of 18.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for Exhibition Place, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment:

Yes - 25 Mayor: Miller Councillors: Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Pantalone, Rae
No - 3 Councillors: Del Grande, Ford, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 22.

**Operating Program: Agencies, Boards and Commissions
Heritage Toronto**

February 28, 2005

Motion:

Councillor Ford moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for Heritage Toronto be amended to provide that Heritage Toronto be requested to discontinue printing the publication "Heritage Column" for a savings of \$8,500.00.

Votes:

Adoption of the motion by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 10 Councillors:	Altobello, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Nunziata, Palacio
No - 15 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Hall, Li Preti, Rae, Stintz

Lost by a majority of 5.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for Heritage Toronto, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment:

Yes - 22 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Filion, Fletcher, Hall, Holyday, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Nunziata, Ootes, Rae
No - 4 Councillors:	Del Grande, Ford, Palacio, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 18.

**Operating Program: Agencies, Boards and Commissions
Toronto Public Health**

February 28, 2005

Motion:

Councillor Ford moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for Toronto Public Health be amended to provide that the Board of Health be requested to discontinue printing the publication entitled "Pesticide Free" for savings of \$23,000.00.

Votes:

Adoption of the motion by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 4 Councillors: Ford, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Nunziata
No - 22 Mayor: Miller Councillors: Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Hall, Holyday, Li Preti, Mihevc, Rae, Stintz, Watson

Lost by a majority of 18.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for Toronto Public Health, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment:

Yes - 20 Mayor: Miller Councillors: Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Filion, Fletcher, Hall, Holyday, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Rae, Watson
No - 6 Councillors: Ashton, Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Nunziata, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 14.

Operating Program: Agencies, Boards and Commissions

Toronto Zoo*February 28, 2005**Motion:*

Councillor Ford moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Toronto Zoo Board be requested to immediately cease the practice of providing free passes for Members of Council, Members of Provincial Parliament and Members of Parliament.”

Votes:

Adoption of the motion by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 4	
Councillors:	Ford, Milczyn, Nunziata, Soknacki
No - 28	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Shiner, Stintz, Watson

Lost by a majority of 24.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for the Toronto Zoo, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment:

Yes - 30	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson
No - 1	
Councillor:	Ford

Carried by a majority of 29.

**Operating Program: Agencies, Boards and Commissions
Toronto Public Library**

February 28, 2005

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

Motions:

(a) Councillor Mammoliti moved that the Clause be amended by:

(1) adding the following:

“That the Toronto Public Library Board be requested to:

- (1) bring the ethnic publications at Toronto Libraries, specifically Italian, Spanish and South Asian, to the same level as it was ten years ago, in particular at the northwest libraries of the City, such funding to be found within the 2005 Operating Budget of the Toronto Public Library; and
- (2) increase its hours of operation at the three branches located in the areas identified by the Mayor’s Community Safety Initiative, Albion, Malvern and York Woods (Jane/Finch) at a cost of \$130.6 thousand and that the Toronto Public Library Board be requested to find this amount within its 2005 Recommended Operating Budget.”

(b) Councillor Cho moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Toronto Public Library Board be requested to find the funding within its 2005 Operating Budget to open the Malvern Public Library for three and a half hours on Mondays from 5:00 p.m. to 8:30 p.m.”

(c) Councillor Hall moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Toronto Public Library Board be requested to consider an increase in the hours of operation at the following branches: Albion, Malvern and York Woods (Jane Finch), at an approximate cost of \$130.6 thousand, as a top priority during the 2006 budget process.”

(d) Councillor Fletcher moved that:

- (1) the Clause be amended by adding:

“That the Toronto Public Library Board be requested to calculate energy savings from the installation of new computers with a LAN wake up system and redirect any savings to unfunded 2005 enhancement requests and work with City Information and Technology, the Toronto Atmospheric Fund and the Better Buildings Partnership to determine those savings.”; and

- (2) the 2005 Operating Budget for the Toronto Public Library be amended to provide that should there be any overages in the City’s 2004 Operating Budget, they be applied to the four Toronto Public Library enhancements before City Council.

Ruling by Acting Chair:

Acting Chair Soknacki ruled Part (2) of motion (a) by Councillor Mammoliti out of order as an appropriate funding offset is not identified.

Councillor Mammoliti challenged the ruling of the Acting Chair.

Vote to Uphold Ruling of Acting Chair:

Yes - 23	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, Feldman, Fletcher, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, Mihevc, Milczyn, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson
No - 11	
Councillors:	Augimeri, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Ford, Hall, Li Preti, Mammoliti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio

Carried by a majority of 12.

Vote:

Part (1) of motion (a) by Councillor Mammoliti carried.

Ruling by the Acting Chair:

Acting Chair Soknacki ruled motion (b) by Councillor Cho out of order as an appropriate funding offset is not identified.

Councillor Cho challenged the ruling of the Acting Chair.

Vote to Uphold Ruling of Acting Chair:

Yes - 25	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Chow, Cowbourne, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Hall, Holyday, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson
No - 7	
Councillors:	Cho, De Baeremaeker, Fletcher, Ford, Minnan-Wong, Saundercook, Shiner

Carried by a majority of 18.

Ruling by the Acting Chair:

Acting Chair Soknacki ruled Part (2) of motion (d) by Councillor Fletcher out of order as an appropriate funding offset is not identified.

Councillor Fletcher challenged the ruling of the Acting Chair.

Vote to Uphold Ruling of Acting Chair:

Yes - 26	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Carroll, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Hall, Holyday, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 7	
Councillors:	Augimeri, Cho, Fletcher, Ford, Minnan-Wong, Shiner, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 19.

Votes:

Adoption of motion (c) by Councillor Hall:

Yes - 21	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Hall, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Soknacki, Watson
No - 13	
Councillors:	Ashton, Bussin, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 8.

Part (1) of motion (d) by Councillor Fletcher carried.

The Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for the Toronto Public Library, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, was adopted, as amended.

In summary, City Council amended the Program Budget for the Toronto Public Library, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by adding the following:

“That the Toronto Public Library Board be requested to:

- (1) bring the ethnic publications at Toronto Libraries, specifically Italian, Spanish and South Asian, to the same level as it was ten years ago, in particular at the northwest libraries of the City, such funding to be found within the 2005 Operating Budget of the Toronto Public Library;
- (2) consider an increase in the hours of operation at the following branches: Albion, Malvern and York Woods (Jane Finch), at an approximate cost of \$130.6 thousand, as a top priority during the 2006 budget process; and
- (3) calculate energy savings from the installation of new computers with a LAN wake up system and redirect any savings to unfunded 2005 enhancement requests and work with City Information and Technology, the Toronto Atmospheric Fund and the Better Buildings Partnership to determine those savings.”

Operating Program: Agencies, Boards and Commissions

Toronto Transit Commission - Conventional

February 28, 2005

Motions:

- (a) Councillor Palacio moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) and the Toronto Parking Authority, in consultation with City of Toronto staff, be requested to report to the Policy and Finance Committee on the feasibility of raising Toronto Parking Authority parking rates proportionately across the City to directly fund, on an ongoing basis, a substantial TTC fare or Metropass price decrease and, further, that this report include a table illustrating what various parking rate increases would yield in terms of revenue.”

Mayor Miller in the Chair.

- (b) Councillor Mammoliti moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for the Toronto Transit Commission - Conventional, be amended to provide that the Toronto Transit Commission fare increase for 2005 exclude fare increases for seniors and youth and that the offset funding be absorbed within the Toronto Transit Commission 2005 Operating Budget.

- (c) Councillor Ford moved that:

- (1) the 2005 Operating Budget for the Toronto Transit Commission - Conventional, be amended to provide that the Toronto Transit Commission be requested to delete from its Operating Budget:

- (i) \$175,000.00 for the production of the “Coupler” magazine; and
- (ii) \$1,500.00 for the production of the “Operating Stats Brochure; and

- (2) the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Toronto Transit Commission be requested to:

- (i) stop the practice of providing a Metropass to City of Toronto Councillors; and
- (ii) stop the practice of providing a Metropass to pensioned Toronto Transit Commission employees.”

- (d) Councillor Shiner moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for the Toronto Transit Commission - Conventional, be amended by increasing the subsidy to the Toronto Transit Commission by \$10 million to be funded from revenues from the Toronto Parking Authority in the amount of \$10 million.

Ruling by Mayor:

Mayor Miller ruled motion (b) by Councillor Mammoliti out of order as an appropriate funding offset is not identified.

Votes:

Adoption of Part (1)(i) of motion (c) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 12	
Councillors:	Altobello, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Palacio, Pitfield
No - 23	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ashton, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Holyday, Li Preti, Mihevc, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson

Lost by a majority of 11.

Adoption of Part (1)(ii) of motion (c) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 8	
Councillors:	Altobello, Ford, Grimes, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Palacio
No - 27	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ashton, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Hall, Holyday, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mihevc, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson

Lost by a majority of 19.

Ruling by Mayor:

Mayor Miller ruled motion (d) by Councillor Shiner out of order as an appropriate funding offset is not identified.

Councillor Ootes challenged the ruling of the Chair.

Vote to Uphold Ruling of Mayor:

Yes - 26	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 9	
Councillors:	Balkissoon, Chow, Feldman, Ford, Mammoliti, Nunziata, Ootes, Shiner, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 17.

Adoption of motion (a) by Councillor Palacio:

Yes - 32	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson
No - 3	
Councillors:	Cowbourne, Holyday, Rae

Carried by a majority of 29.

Adoption of Part (2)(i) of motion (c) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 7	
Councillors:	Cowbourne, Ford, Nunziata, Palacio, Pitfield, Saundercook, Soknacki
No - 28	Miller

Mayor:	
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Shiner, Stintz, Watson

Lost by a majority of 21.

Adoption of Part (2)(ii) of motion (c) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 5	
Councillors:	Cowbourne, Ford, Lindsay Luby, Nunziata, Palacio
No - 30	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Li Preti, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson

Lost by a majority of 25.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for the Toronto Transit Commission - Conventional, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, as amended, except for Recommendation (146) of the Policy and Finance Committee:

Yes - 26	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 9	
Councillors:	Balkissoon, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 17.

Adoption of Recommendation (146) of the Policy and Finance Committee:

Yes - 18	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Grimes, Lindsay Luby, Mihevc, Milczyn, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 17	
Councillors:	Altobello, Balkissoon, Chow, Feldman, Fletcher, Ford, Hall, Holyday, LiPreti, Mammoliti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Shiner, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 1.

In summary, City Council amended the Program Budget for the Toronto Transit Commission - Conventional, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by adding the following:

“That the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) and the Toronto Parking Authority, in consultation with City of Toronto staff, be requested to report to the Policy and Finance Committee on the feasibility of raising Toronto Parking Authority parking rates proportionately across the City to directly fund, on an ongoing basis, a substantial TTC fare or Metropass price decrease and, further, that this report include a table illustrating what various parking rate increases would yield in terms of revenue.”

**Operating Program: Non-Levy Operations
Toronto Parking Authority**

February 28, 2005

Motions:

- (a) Deputy Mayor Bussin moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for the Toronto Parking Authority be amended by inserting in Recommendation (131) of the Policy and Finance Committee, the words “in consultation with the local Councillor”, after the words “Toronto Parking Authority”, so that Recommendation (131) now reads as follows:

“(131) The Toronto Parking Authority, in consultation with the local Councillor, organize a pilot project, to extend parking meters on residential streets that flank major arterial roads;”.

- (b) Councillor Mammoliti moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Toronto Parking Authority be requested to investigate:

- (1) the availability of lands within the Emery Village area for a potential parking lot; and
 - (2) on-street parking possibilities within the Emery Village Secondary Plan area.”
- (c) Councillor Ford moved that:
- (1) the Clause be amended by adding the following:
 - “That the Toronto Parking Authority be requested to end the practice of providing Green ‘P’ free passes to:
 - (i) the six former Mayors of the former municipalities;
 - (ii) the Mayor and Members of Council; and
 - (iii) ten City staff; and
 - (2) the 2005 Operating Budget for the Toronto Parking Authority be amended to provide that publication of the brochure, entitled “Toronto Parking Authority Annual Report” be discontinued, for savings of approximately \$15,000.00.

Votes:

Adoption of motion (a) by Deputy Mayor Bussin:

Yes - 25	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 7	
Councillors:	Ford, Holyday, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 18.

Motion (b) by Councillor Mammoliti carried.

Adoption of Part (1)(i) of motion (c) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 6 Councillors:	Altobello, Balkissoon, Cowbourne, Ford, Hall, Lindsay Luby
No - 28 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Grimes, Holyday, Li Preti, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson

Lost by a majority of 22.

Adoption of Part (1)(ii) of motion (c) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 5 Councillors:	Carroll, Ford, Palacio, Pitfield, Soknacki
No - 29 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Bussin, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Nunziata, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Stintz, Watson

Lost by a majority of 24.

Adoption of Part (1)(iii) of motion (c) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 4 Councillors:	Ford, Milczyn, Pitfield, Soknacki
No - 30 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Stintz, Watson

Lost by a majority of 26.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (c) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 7	
Councillors:	Carroll, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Lindsay Luby, Nunziata, Pitfield
No - 27	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Bussin, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Holyday, Li Preti, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson

Lost by a majority of 20.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for the Toronto Parking Authority, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, as amended:

Yes - 33	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson
No - 1	
Councillor:	Ford

Carried by a majority of 32.

In summary, City Council amended the Program Budget for the Toronto Parking Authority, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by:

- (1) amending Recommendation (131) of the Policy and Finance Committee by inserting the words “in consultation with the local Councillor”, after the words “Toronto Parking Authority”, so that Recommendation (131) now reads as follows:

“(131) The Toronto Parking Authority, in consultation with the local Councillor, organize a pilot project, to extend parking meters on residential streets that

flank major arterial roads;” and

(2) adding the following:

“That the Toronto Parking Authority be requested to investigate:

- (1) the availability of lands within the Emery Village area for a potential parking lot; and
- (2) on-street parking possibilities within the Emery Village Secondary Plan area.”

[Note:

City Council, during consideration of the Parks and Recreation Operating Budget, decreased Non-Program Revenues from the Parking Authority by \$93,750.00, resulting from a total overall reduction of Parking Authority Revenues by \$125,000.00, in order to offset the shortfall resulting from the deletion of Recommendation (132) of the Policy and Finance Committee. [See page 112]

**Operating Program: Corporate Accounts
Community Partnership and Investment Program**

February 28, 2005

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

Motion:

Councillor Ford moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for the Community Partnership and Investment Program be amended by reducing the budget by \$5 million.

Votes:

Adoption of the motion by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 5 Councillors:	Cowbourne, Ford, Holyday, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong
No - 22 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Hall, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mihevc, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Shiner, Soknacki, Watson

Lost by a majority of 17.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for the Community Partnership and Investment Program, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment:

Yes - 22	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Hall, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mihevc, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Soknacki, Watson
No - 5	
Councillors:	Ford, Holyday, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Shiner

Carried by a majority of 17.

Operating Program: Corporate Accounts
Non-Program/Capital and Corporate Financing

March 1, 2005

Mayor Miller in the Chair.

Motions:

- (a) Councillor Soknacki moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for Non-Program/Capital and Corporate Financing, be amended by:
- (1) reducing the debt service costs contained in Capital and Corporate Financing by \$1.0 million based on the 2004 actual experience;
 - (2) adding the following:

“That:

- (i) the following recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report dated February 22, 2005, from the Chief Administrative Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, entitled, ‘Transfer of City-Owned Lands at 770 Don Mills Road (Ontario Science Centre) to the Province of Ontario to Offset the City’s Repayment Obligation in Connection with an Outstanding Provincial Loan’, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) the City-owned lands at 770 Don Mills Road (Ontario Science Centre) described as part of Lots 9 and 10, Concession 3, Fronting the Bay, designated as Parts 2, 3 and 5 on Plan RS-882 (the “Property”) be declared surplus to City requirements, subject to the existing 99 year lease, and all steps necessary to comply with Chapter 213 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be taken;
 - (2) City staff be authorized to negotiate the transfer of this property to the Province of Ontario under the provisions of the Debenture, Asset Identification and Transfer Process Agreement, dated June 22, 2004;
 - (3) the transfer be used to satisfy the 2005 repayment amount of \$20 million, and the value of the land in excess of the \$20 million required for the 2005 repayment obligation be used to offset future payments under the loan agreement;
 - (4) this transfer be conditional on the Province refunding the January 15, 2005 payment of \$10 million by the City to the Province in connection with this loan;
 - (5) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be directed to report back to Council on the details of the transfer, and on a process for the identification of other appropriate City assets that could be used to satisfy its future loan obligations; and
 - (6) the appropriate officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, and authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary Bills in Council.’; and
- (ii) in accordance with the Provincial Loan Agreement approved by City

Council in 2003, that City land be sold to the Province of Ontario and that proceeds be applied against the 2005 provincial loan repayment of \$20.0 million by the City.”;

- (3) drawing \$19.76 million from the following reserve funds, given the Provincial funding shortfall for cost shared programs:
- (a) \$5.5 million from the Homes for the Aged Stabilization Reserve Fund;
 - (b) \$13.928 million from the Social Housing Stabilization Reserve Fund; and
 - (c) \$0.332 million from the inactive Building Maintenance Reserve Fund;

noting that the reserve funding has been allocated from the 2004 surplus; and

- (4) by amending Part (i) of Recommendation (168) of the Policy and Finance Committee in accordance with confidential instructions issued to staff, which are to remain confidential in their entirety, in accordance with the provisions of the *Municipal Act, 2001*, as they contain information related to the security of the property of the Municipality.

- (b) Councillor Chow moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the following motion be adopted:

“**WHEREAS** the Social Housing Stabilization Reserve Fund was established by City Council in October 2001 to mitigate the financial risks associated with Social Housing Devolution, such as mortgage rate risk, shortfall in Rent Geared to Income revenues, Capital repair risk, stabilize unanticipated year-to-year expenditure fluctuations and to fund other potential liabilities as they arise related to social housing; and

WHEREAS the funding source to this reserve fund is from any federal or provincial payments targeted to capital repairs of social housing, relief of impacts from mortgage interest or RGI revenue shortfall, any occasional or periodic provincial payments of federal or other housing funds, above and beyond budgeted or planned payments of such funds to offset on-going subsidy costs and other funds as Council may determine from time to time; and

WHEREAS the Budget Advisory Committee has recommended that \$14.0 million be withdrawn from the Social Housing Stabilization Reserve Fund to relieve the City’s 2005 Operating Budget pressure, which deviates from the original intent of the use of this reserve fund;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council adopt a policy whereby if there are insufficient funds in the Social Housing Stabilization Reserve Fund to offset future cost pressures that relate to the financial risks the reserve fund is intended to mitigate, that these pressures be the responsibility of the City and not passed on to the low-income tenants in social housing.”

Ruling by Mayor:

Mayor Miller ruled motion (b) by Councillor Chow out of order as it is a policy issue and not part of the Operating Budget.

Councillor Chow challenged the ruling of the Mayor:

Vote to Uphold Ruling of Mayor:

Yes - 25	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Di Giorgio, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 5	
Councillors:	Chow, Davis, Feldman, Fletcher, Shiner

Carried by a majority of 20.

Motion:

- (c) Councillor Ootes moved that the 2005 Operating Budget for Non-Program/Capital and Corporate Financing be referred back to the Policy and Finance Committee with a request that the Committee present a balanced budget for Council’s consideration.

Vote on Referral:

Adoption of motion (c) by Councillor Ootes:

Yes - 11	
Councillors:	Ashton, Balkissoon, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz
No - 23	
Mayor:	Miller

Councillors:	Altobello, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mihevc, Milczyn, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
--------------	--

Lost by a majority of 12.

Motion:

(d) Councillor Palacio moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested, while negotiating the transfer of City owned poles to Toronto Hydro, to consider not transferring those poles scheduled to be removed before 2006 if additional cost will be incurred to the City as a result of the transfer.”

Votes:

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (a) by Councillor Soknacki:

Yes - 30	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mihevc, Milczyn, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson
No - 6	
Councillors:	Ashton, Mammoliti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Palacio, Shiner

Carried by a majority of 24.

Adoption of Part (3) of motion (a) by Councillor Soknacki:

Yes - 26	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson

No - 10
Councillors: Ashton, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 16.

Ruling by Mayor:

Councillor Balkissoon requested the Mayor to rule on whether Part (2) of motion (a) by Councillor Soknacki included an appropriate source of offset funding. Mayor Miller ruled that Part (2) of motion (a) by Councillor Soknacki was in order.

Councillor Balkissoon challenged the ruling of the Mayor.

Vote to Uphold Ruling of Mayor:

Yes - 26
Mayor: Miller
Councillors: Altobello, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 10
Councillors: Ashton, Balkissoon, Feldman, Ford, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 16.

Vote:

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (a) by Councillor Soknacki:

Yes - 24
Mayor: Miller
Councillors: Altobello, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Hall, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 12
Councillors: Ashton, Balkissoon, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Holyday, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 12.

Ruling by Mayor:

Councillor Balkissoon requested the Mayor to rule on whether Part (4) of motion (a) by Councillor Soknacki was in order. Mayor Miller ruled that Part (4) of motion (a) by Councillor Soknacki was in order.

Councillor Balkissoon challenged the ruling of the Mayor.

Vote to Uphold Ruling of Mayor:

Yes - 25	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 11	
Councillors:	Ashton, Balkissoon, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 14.

Votes:

Adoption of Part (4) of motion (a) by Councillor Soknacki:

Yes - 27	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Watson
No - 9	
Councillors:	Ashton, Balkissoon, Ford, Holyday, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 18.

Motion (d) by Councillor Palacio carried.

Ruling by Mayor:

Councillor Shiner requested the Mayor to rule on whether the 2005 Operating Budget for Non-Program/Capital and Corporate Financing was in order, as some funding amounts were not resolved. Mayor Miller ruled the 2005 Operating Budget for Non-Program/Capital and Corporate Financing was properly before Council.

Councillor Shiner challenged the ruling of the Mayor.

Vote to Uphold Ruling of Mayor:

Yes - 23	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mihevc, Milczyn, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 13	
Councillors:	Ashton, Balkissoon, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Mammoliti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Shiner, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 10.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to the 2005 Operating Budget for Non-Program/Capital and Corporate Financing, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, as amended:

Yes - 23	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Hall, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 13	
Councillors:	Ashton, Balkissoon, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Holyday, Jenkins, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 10.

In summary, City Council amended the Program Budget for Non-Program/Capital and Corporate Financing, recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by:

- (1) reducing the debt service costs contained in Capital and Corporate Financing by \$1.0 million based on the 2004 actual experience;
- (2) drawing \$19.76 million from the following reserve funds, given the Provincial funding shortfall for cost shared programs:
 - (a) \$5.5 million from the Homes for the Aged Stabilization Reserve Fund;
 - (b) \$13.928 million from the Social Housing Stabilization Reserve Fund; and
 - (c) \$0.332 million from the inactive Building Maintenance Reserve Fund;

noting that the reserve funding has been allocated from the 2004 surplus;

- (3) adding the following:

“That:

- (a) the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested, while negotiating the transfer of City owned poles to Toronto Hydro, to consider not transferring those poles scheduled to be removed before 2006 if additional cost will be incurred to the City as a result of the transfer;
- (b) the following recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report dated February 22, 2005, from the Chief Administrative Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, entitled, ‘Transfer of City-Owned Lands at 770 Don Mills Road (Ontario Science Centre) to the Province of Ontario to Offset the City’s Repayment Obligation in Connection with an Outstanding Provincial Loan’, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) the City-owned lands at 770 Don Mills Road (Ontario Science Centre) described as part of Lots 9 and 10, Concession 3, Fronting the Bay, designated as Parts 2, 3 and 5 on Plan RS-882 (the “Property”) be declared surplus to City requirements, subject to the existing 99 year lease, and all steps necessary to comply with Chapter 213 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be taken;

- (2) City staff be authorized to negotiate the transfer of this property to the Province of Ontario under the provisions of the Debenture, Asset Identification and Transfer Process Agreement, dated June 22, 2004;
 - (3) the transfer be used to satisfy the 2005 repayment amount of \$20 million, and the value of the land in excess of the \$20 million required for the 2005 repayment obligation be used to offset future payments under the loan agreement;
 - (4) this transfer be conditional on the Province refunding the January 15, 2005 payment of \$10 million by the City to the Province in connection with this loan;
 - (5) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be directed to report back to Council on the details of the transfer, and on a process for the identification of other appropriate City assets that could be used to satisfy its future loan obligations; and
 - (6) the appropriate officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, and authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary Bills in Council.; and
- (c) in accordance with the Provincial Loan Agreement approved by City Council in 2003, that City land be sold to the Province of Ontario and that proceeds be applied against the 2005 provincial loan repayment of \$20.0 million by the City.”; and
- (4) amending Part (i) of Recommendation (168) of the Policy and Finance Committee in accordance with confidential instructions issued to staff, which are to remain confidential in their entirety, in accordance with the provisions of the *Municipal Act, 2001*, as they contain information related to the security of the property of the Municipality.

[Note:

City Council, during consideration of the Parks and Recreation Operating Budget:

- (1) also reduced City-wide utilities by \$318,750.00, to offset a revenue shortfall resulting from the deletion of Recommendation (132) of the Policy and Finance Committee; and
- (2) decreased Non-Program Revenues from the Parking Authority by \$93,750.00, resulting from a total overall reduction of Parking Authority Revenues by \$125,000.00, in order to offset the shortfall resulting from the deletion of Recommendation (132) of the Policy and Finance Committee. [See page 112]

**Operating Program: General
Recommendations (1) to (6) of the Policy and Finance Committee**

March 1, 2005

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

Motions:

- (a) Councillor Nunziata moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the following motion be adopted:

‘**WHEREAS** a number of Councillors have voiced concern about the City’s finances and our inability to responsibly balance our budget; and

WHEREAS substantial blame has been placed on the Provincial Government for not assisting the City in its financial assistance or in providing its share of cost-shared programs; and

WHEREAS the City of Toronto has a stewardship duty to administer and distribute public funds efficiently and effectively and to maximize tax dollars;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council reaffirm the Mayor’s request to the Provincial Government to allow the Auditor General to review the City of Toronto’s finances;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the City’s Auditor General provide any assistance the Provincial Auditor General may need should he accept the City’s request for a review;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT City Council request the Provincial Auditor General to report his findings, along with his opinion about the notable efficiencies at the earliest possible date, so that Council can make timely decisions and take corrective actions based on his findings and in anticipation of the next budget round.’ ”

- (b) Councillor Mihevc moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the Chief Administrative Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to report to the Budget Advisory Committee on possible improvements to the budget process including a review of the Council portion of the budget.”

- (c) Councillor Ootes moved that motion (a) by Councillor Nunziata be amended by adding the following Operative Paragraph:

“**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the Provincial Auditor be requested to also comment on the appropriateness of the City using the City’s assets to fund the Operating Budget.”

- (d) Councillor Ashton moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That:

- (1) prior to the start of the 2006 budget process, the Chief Administrative Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to negotiate with the Province for:
 - (a) a phased-in plan for the Province to pay its legislated 80/20 or 50/50 funding obligations for cost shared programs; and
 - (b) elimination of the unilateral cap on the 50/50 cost-sharing for Emergency Medical Services and 100 percent funding for Emergency Medical Services overtime costs associated with the hospital offload program;
- (2) the City of Toronto affirm that the Province should upload costs of social housing, recognizing that Income Redistribution Programs should not be funded from the property tax; and
- (3) the Mayor and the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to report to the Policy and Finance Committee at its meeting on May 5, 2005, on a strategic approach to advance this issue in the context of current discussions with the Province of Ontario.”

- (e) Councillor Balkissoon moved that motion (a) by Councillor Nunziata be amended by deleting the word “finances” from the first Operative Paragraph, and inserting instead the words “cost-shared programs”, so that it now reads as follows:

“**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Council reaffirm the Mayor’s request to the Provincial Government to allow the Auditor General to review the City of Toronto’s cost-shared programs;”.

- (f) Councillor Soknacki, with the permission of Council, moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That:

- (1) the report (February 22, 2005) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, entitled ‘2004 Preliminary Operating Variance Report’, be received; and
- (2) City staff work with Provincial staff, during 2005, to ensure appropriate Provincial funding of cost shared programs in accordance with legislation to eliminate the over reliance on property tax revenue, and inappropriate use of reserve funds.”

- (g) Councillor Milczyn moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

‘That for the 2006 Budget process, the Budget Advisory Committee be directed to select at least one current department to be subject to a “zero based” budget review; and that Council recommend that the Works and Emergency Services Department be the first department to be reviewed in such a way.’ ”

- (h) Councillor Mammoliti moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That:

- (1) the Acting Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on the potential revenues from implementing toll roads for commuters entering the City; and
- (2) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services in consultation with the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on the potential revenues which would result from allowing floating casinos in the City of Toronto.”

- (i) Councillor Hall moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the next time the provincial government wants City properties to offset the loan from the Province, the City obtain a market evaluation of all its social housing properties and that those properties be turned over to the Province for the amount owing on the City’s loan.”

- (j) Councillor Chow moved that:

- (1) motion (g) by Councillor Milczyn be referred to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer for report to the Budget Advisory Committee; and

- (2) Part (2) of motion (d) by Councillor Ashton be amended so that it now reads as follows:

“(2) the City of Toronto affirm that the Province should upload costs of social housing unless appropriate income redistribution funding power is transferred to the City of Toronto, recognizing that Income Redistribution Programs should not be funded from the property tax;”.

- (k) Councillor Pitfield moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That any prior year end surplus be reported to the Budget Advisory Committee, in its entirety, prior to reallocation of the surplus and that this continue in 2007.”

Permission to Withdraw Motion:

Councillor Milczyn, with the permission of Council, withdrew his motion (b).

Votes:

Adoption of motion (c) by Councillor Ootes:

Yes - 11	
Councillors:	Balkissoon, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Shiner, Stintz
No - 26	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson

Lost by a majority of 15.

Adoption of motion (e) by Councillor Balkissoon:

Yes - 37	
Mayor:	Miller

Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson
--------------	--

No - 0

Carried unanimously.

Adoption of motion (a) by Councillor Nunziata, as amended:

Yes - 37	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson

No - 0

Carried unanimously.

Part (2) of motion (j) by Councillor Chow carried.

Adoption of motion (d) by Councillor Ashton, as amended:

Yes - 37	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson

No - 0

Carried unanimously.

Part (1) of motion (f) by Councillor Soknacki carried.

Part (2) of motion (f) by Councillor Soknacki carried.

Part (1) of motion (j) by Councillor Chow carried.

Due to the above decision of Council, motion (g) by Councillor Milczyn was not put to a vote.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (h) by Councillor Mammoliti:

Yes - 10 Councillors:	Augimeri, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, Fletcher, Mammoliti, Rae, Watson
No - 27 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Bussin, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz

Lost by a majority of 17.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (h) by Councillor Mammoliti:

Yes - 14 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Carroll, Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Feldman, Grimes, Jenkins, Li Preti, Mammoliti, Nunziata, Palacio, Rae, Shiner, Stintz
No - 23 Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Bussin, Cho, Chow, Davis, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Ford, Hall, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson

Lost by a majority of 9.

Motion (i) by Councillor Hall carried.

Motion (k) by Councillor Pitfield carried.

Adoption of the Clause, as it relates to Recommendations (1) to (6) of the Policy and Finance Committee, as amended:

Yes - 25	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 12	
Councillors:	Ashton, Balkissoon, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Jenkins, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 13.

In summary, City Council amended Recommendations (1) to (6) of the Policy and Finance Committee by adding the following:

“That:

(1) the following motion be adopted:

‘WHEREAS a number of Councillors have voiced concern about the City’s finances and our inability to responsibly balance our budget; and

WHEREAS substantial blame has been placed on the Provincial Government for not assisting the City in its financial assistance or in providing its share of cost-shared programs; and

WHEREAS the City of Toronto has a stewardship duty to administer and distribute public funds efficiently and effectively and to maximize tax dollars;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council reaffirm the Mayor’s request to the Provincial Government to allow the Auditor General to review the City of Toronto’s cost-shared programs;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the City’s Auditor General provide any assistance the Provincial Auditor General may need should he accept the City’s request for a review;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT City Council request the Provincial Auditor General to report his findings, along with his opinion

about the notable efficiencies at the earliest possible date, so that Council can make timely decisions and take corrective actions based on his findings and in anticipation of the next budget round.’;

- (2) the next time the provincial government wants City properties to offset the loan from the Province, the City obtain a market evaluation of all its social housing properties and that those properties be turned over to the Province for the amount owing on the City’s loan;
- (3) any prior year end surplus be reported to the Budget Advisory Committee, in its entirety, prior to reallocation of the surplus and that this continue in 2007;
- (4) prior to the start of the 2006 budget process, the Chief Administrative Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to negotiate with the Province for:
 - (a) a phased-in plan for the Province to pay its legislated 80/20 or 50/50 funding obligations for cost shared programs; and
 - (b) elimination of the unilateral cap on the 50/50 cost-sharing for Emergency Medical Services and 100 percent funding for Emergency Medical Services overtime costs associated with the hospital offload program;
- (5) the City of Toronto affirm that the Province should upload costs of social housing unless appropriate income redistribution funding power is transferred to the City of Toronto, recognizing that Income Redistribution Programs should not be funded from the property tax;
- (6) the Mayor and the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to report to the Policy and Finance Committee at its meeting on May 5, 2005, on a strategic approach to advance this issue in the context of current discussions with the Province of Ontario;
- (7) City staff work with Provincial staff, during 2005, to ensure appropriate Provincial funding of cost shared programs in accordance with legislation to eliminate the over reliance on property tax revenue, and inappropriate use of reserve funds;
- (8) the report (February 22, 2005) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, entitled ‘2004 Preliminary Operating Variance Report’, be received; and
- (9) the following motion be referred to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer for report to the Budget Advisory Committee:

Moved by Councillor Milczyn:

‘That for the 2006 Budget process, the Budget Advisory Committee be directed to select at least one current department to be subject to a “zero based” budget review; and that Council recommend that the Works and Emergency Services Department be the first department to be reviewed in such a way.’ ”

S3.11 Policy and Finance Committee Report 3, Clause 3, headed “2005 Tax Levy By-laws and Related Matters”.

Motion:

Councillor Soknacki moved that the Clause be amended by adding the following:

“That the following staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the supplementary report dated February 28, 2005, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, entitled ‘2005 Municipal Levy By-law’, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) the 2005 tax ratios for each of the property classes, as set out below, be adopted:

<u>Property Class</u>	<u>2005 Tax Ratio</u>
Residential	1.000000
Multi-Residential	3.761733
New Multi-Residential	1.000000
Commercial	3.801842
Industrial	4.273466
Farmlands	0.250000
Pipelines	1.923564
Managed Forests	0.250000;

- (2) (a) Council elect to raise the tax rates on the restricted property classes by one half of the percentage tax rate increase on the residential property class in accordance with O.Reg. 73/03, as amended;
- (b) the tax rates set out below in Column II, which rates will raise a base local municipal general tax levy for 2005 of \$2,954,598,643; and
- (c) the tax rates set out below in Column III, which rates will raise an additional

local municipal tax levy for 2005 of \$61,884,364 to fund the 2005 operating budget tax levy increase be adopted, in accordance with legislative requirements:

Column I Property Class	Column II 2005 Tax Rate for Base General Local Municipal Levy	Column III 2005 Additional Tax Rate for to Fund Budgetary Levy Increase)
Residential	0.5929546%	0.0177886%
Multi-Residential	2.2305370%	0.0334581%
New Multi-Residential	0.5929546%	0.0177886%
Commercial	2.2543197%	0.0338148%
Industrial	2.5339714%	0.0380096%
Farmlands	0.1482387%	0.0044472%
Pipelines	1.1405863%	0.0342176%
Managed Forests	0.1482387%	0.0044472%

- (3) a technical adjustment be made to the 2005 Non-Program Tax Deficiency Account in the amount of \$2,562,440 to fund the mandatory 2005 property tax rebates to registered charities in the commercial and industrial property classes, which adjustment is to be funded, for a net impact on the 2005 operating budget of zero, by the following:
- (a) an additional tax rate of 0.0051590% be levied as part of the general local municipal levy on the commercial class to raise a further additional local municipal tax levy of \$2,491,100 to fund the total estimated rebates to registered charities for properties in the commercial class in 2005; and
 - (b) an additional tax rate of 0.0014007% be levied as part of the general local municipal levy on the industrial class to raise a further additional local municipal tax levy of \$71,340 to fund the total estimated rebates to registered charities for properties in the industrial class in 2005;
- (4) as in past years, the instalment dates for the 2005 final tax bills be set as follows:
- (a) the regular instalment dates be the first business days of July, August and September; and
 - (b) the alternative instalment dates, applicable to taxpayers who are enrolled in the monthly pre-authorized property tax payment program, be the 15th, or first business day thereafter, of each of the months of July to December;

- (5) (a) the collection of taxes for 2005, other than those levied under By-law No. 1048-2004 (the interim levy by-law) be authorized; and
- (b) a penalty charge for non-payment of taxes of 1.25 percent of taxes due and unpaid be added on the first day of default, and interest be charged at a rate of 1.25 percent per month on all outstanding taxes accruing from the first day of default; and
- (6) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect thereto and authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary bills in Council.’ ”

Vote:

Adoption of the Clause, as amended by the motion by Councillor Soknacki:

Yes - 25	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 12	
Councillors:	Ashton, Balkissoon, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Jenkins, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 13.

MOTIONS (NOTICE PREVIOUSLY GIVEN) AND NOTICES OF MOTION

- S3.12 J(1) Settlement Report - Ontario Municipal Board Hearing, 20, 22, 22A and 24 Bathurst Street; 450, 470 and 500 Lakeshore Boulevard, 511 Bremner Boulevard, 2 and 10 Housey Street, Wittington Properties Limited and St. Marys Cement Inc. (Can.) (Quadrangle Architects Inc.)**

Councillor Chow moved that the necessary provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be waived to permit introduction of the following Notice of Motion, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:

Moved by: **Councillor Chow**

Seconded by: **Deputy Mayor Pantalone**

“**WHEREAS** City Council at its meeting of February 1, 2 and 3, 2005, adopted, as amended, Toronto East York Community Council Report 1, Clause 79, headed ‘Ontario Municipal Board Hearing - 20, 22, 22A and 24 Bathurst Street’, and Toronto and East York Community Council Report 9 (2004), Clause 8a, headed ‘Revised Final Report - Application to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning by law - 450, 470 and 500 Lakeshore Boulevard West’; and

WHEREAS City staff attended at the Ontario Municipal Board on February 3, 2005, for a pre-hearing conference and advised of the Council direction which included a settlement position with respect to matters involving the Public and Catholic School Boards (the ‘School Boards’); and

WHEREAS at the time of the pre-hearing conference, the School Boards brought a motion seeking party status to the OMB matters and the Board member recommended mediation before making a determination on the motion; and

WHEREAS a non-binding mediation session before an independent member of the Ontario Municipal Board took place on February 14, 2005, and resulted in a revised settlement proposal; and

WHEREAS this is a time sensitive matter, since the Board hearing is scheduled to continue on March 3, 2005; and

WHEREAS the City Solicitor has prepared a confidential report seeking further direction from City Council regarding this matter;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council consider the attached confidential report dated February 24, 2005, from the City Solicitor, and that the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report be adopted.”

Advice by Acting Chair:

Acting Chair Soknacki advised the Council that the provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code requiring the referral of Motion J(1) to the Toronto and East York Community Council would have to be waived in order to now consider such Motion.

Procedural Vote:

The vote to waive referral of Motion J(1) to the Toronto and East York Community Council carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Council also had before it, during consideration of Motion J(1), a confidential report dated February 24, 2005, from the City Solicitor.

Vote:

Motion J(1) was adopted, without amendment.

Summary:

In adoption Motion J(1), without amendment, Council adopted, without amendment, the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the confidential report dated February 24, 2005, from the City Solicitor. The following recommendations and Attachments A, B, C and D to the confidential report are now public and the balance of the report remains confidential, in accordance with the provisions of the *Municipal Act, 2001*, as it contains information pertaining to litigation or potential litigation:

“It is recommended Council approve the revised settlement as set out in the Terms of Settlement dated February 18, 2005, set out in Attachment B, and:

- (1) (a) authorize execution of Section 37 and such other agreements as may be necessary to give effect to the intention of the Terms of Settlement (February 18, 2005) at Attachment B in a manner consistent with the modified development levy regime and matters set out at Attachment C, including the exemption from the payment of development charges in connection with the development approved by Council for lands in Blocks 33 and 37 in Railway Lands West and Blocks 2, 3, 4, 4A, 6, 6A, 9 and 10 in the Fort York Neighbourhood; and
- (b) if the School Boards or Wittington Properties Ltd. are not prepared to enter into agreements to give effect to the Terms of Settlement (February 18, 2005) at Attachment B and the modified development levy regime at Attachment C, or the settlement arrangement approved by Council its meeting of February 1, 2 and 3, 2005, modified as necessary by Attachment C, then:
 - (i) do not exempt the lands from development charges; and
 - (ii) authorize execution of Section 37, and such other agreements as may be necessary, to give effect to the development charges

scenario outlined in Attachment D of this report, including the credits set out therein;

- (2) confirm and support the Section 37 benefits and matters set out in Attachment C for each of the Blocks 33 and 37 in the Railway Lands West and Blocks 2, 3, 4, 4A, 6, 6A, 9 and 10 in the Fort York Neighbourhood;
- (3) authorize and direct staff to make submissions to the Budget Advisory Committee related to the provision of the community services and facilities identified in Section 5.2 of the RLW Part II Plan and Section 6.1 of the FYN Part II Plan be reviewed as part of the City Capital Budget process;
- (4) replace Recommendation (7) of the February 2, 2005 report of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services with the following:

‘authorize the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with the City Solicitor, to assist the School Boards in facilitating possible amendments to existing agreements in the Railway Lands to allow funds collected for schools to be spent either in the Railway Lands West or in Fort York Neighbourhood and to continue discussions with the School Boards regarding exemptions from education levies or education development charges for the City's affordable housing Blocks (Blocks 31, 32 and 36) in the Railway Lands West.’;
- (5) replace Recommendation (9)(b) of the February 2, 2005 report of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services with the following:

‘add a new Recommendation (18) to authorize the conveyance of easements for driveway purposes associated with Blocks 9 and 10 in the Fort York Neighbourhood and over the City owned lands immediately north of those Blocks, for nominal consideration and on terms and conditions satisfactory to the Commissioner of Urban Development Services’;
- (6) authorize the City Solicitor, and necessary City staff to attend at the OMB to support and give effect to these Recommendations as well as support the development proposals respecting the Wittington lands as previously approved by Council; and
- (7) authorize and direct the appropriate City officials to take the necessary steps to give effect to the Recommendations set out in this report.”

(See Attachment 1, Page 212, for Attachments A, B, C and D to the confidential report dated February 24, 2005, from the City Solicitor.)

S3.13 J(2) Ontario Municipal Board Hearing – 7 High Park Gardens

Councillor Watson moved that the necessary provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be waived to permit introduction of the following Notice of Motion, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:

Moved by: Councillor Watson

Seconded by: Councillor Walker

“**WHEREAS** the Committee of Adjustment held a public meeting on December 16, 2004, to consider a request for the granting of minor variances at 7 High Park Gardens; and

WHEREAS the Committee heard from a number of area residents and resident organizations opposed to the granting of this variance; and

WHEREAS the Committee of Adjustment refused to grant the requested variance on the basis that the general intent and purpose of the Official Plan and Zoning By-law is not maintained, the variances are not minor in nature, nor are they considered desirable for the appropriate development of the land; and

WHEREAS the Committee of Adjustment specifically refused to approve the proposed garage which would have provided parking for five motor vehicles, rather than three motor vehicles; and

WHEREAS the applicant has now appealed this decision to the Ontario Municipal Board and the hearing date is April 13, 2005;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Solicitor be directed to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing in defence of the City’s Committee of Adjustment decision.”

Advice by Acting Chair:

Acting Chair Soknacki advised the Council that the provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code requiring the referral of Motion J(2) to the Toronto and East York Community Council would have to be waived in order to now consider such Motion.

Procedural Vote:

The vote to waive referral of Motion J(2) to the Toronto and East York Community Council carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Council also had before it, during consideration of Motion J(2), a Notice of Decision dated December 20, 2004, from the Manager and Deputy Secretary, Committee of Adjustment, Toronto and East York Panel, which is on file in the City Clerk's Office.

Vote:

Motion J(2) was adopted, without amendment.

BILLS AND BY-LAWS

S3.14 On February 21, 2005, at 7:30 p.m., Councillor Moscoe, seconded by Councillor Holyday, moved that leave be granted to introduce the following Bill, and that this Bill, prepared for this meeting of Council, be passed and hereby declared as a By-law:

Bill No. 186	By-law No. 170-2005	To confirm the proceedings of the Council at its Special meeting held on the 21st day of February, 2005.
--------------	---------------------	--

the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 30	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fillion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Nunziata, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Walker, Watson
No - 3	
Councillors:	Ford, Mammoliti, Thompson

Carried by a majority of 27.

S3.15 On February 22, 2005, at 7:28 p.m., Councillor Giambrone, seconded by Councillor Carroll, moved that leave be granted to introduce the following Bill, and that this Bill, prepared for this meeting of Council, be passed and hereby declared as a By-law:

Bill No. 187 By-law No. 171-2005 To confirm the proceedings of the Council at its Special meeting held on the 21st and 22nd days of February, 2005.

the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 23	
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Milczyn, Nunziata, Palacio, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 3	
Councillors:	Balkissoon, Ford, Minnan-Wong

Carried by a majority of 20.

S3.16 On February 23, 2005, at 7:30 p.m., Councillor Watson, seconded by Councillor Altobello, moved that leave be granted to introduce the following Bill, and that this Bill, prepared for this meeting of Council, be passed and hereby declared as a By-law:

Bill No. 188 By-law No. 172-2005 To confirm the proceedings of the Council at its Special meeting held on the 21st, 22nd and 23rd days of February, 2005.

the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 25	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Fletcher, Ford, Giambrone, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 10	
Councillors:	Balkissoon, Feldman, Kelly, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz, Thompson, Walker

Carried by a majority of 15.

S3.17 On February 24, 2005, at 7:35 p.m., Councillor Kelly, seconded by Councillor Del Grande, moved that leave be granted to introduce the following Bills, and that these Bills, prepared for this meeting of Council, be passed and hereby declared as By-laws:

Bill No. 170	By-law No. 173-2005	To amend By-law No. 3491-80 of the former City of York, being a By law "To provide for night-time parking of motor vehicles on Borough of York Roads", regarding Heath Street West.
Bill No. 171	By-law No. 174-2005	To amend By-law No. 2958-94 of the former City of York, being a By law "To regulate traffic on City of York Roads", regarding Heath Street West.
Bill No. 172	By-law No. 175-2005	To amend By-law No. 196-84 of the former City of York, being a By-law "To regulate traffic on City of York Roads", regarding Heath Street West.
Bill No. 173	By-law No. 176-2005	To amend the Milliken Community Zoning By-law No. 17677, as amended, with respect to lands municipally known as 2 Ashcott Street.
Bill No. 174	By-law No. 177-2005	To amend the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 400, Traffic and Parking, respecting Rosewell Avenue.
Bill No. 175	By-law No. 178-2005	To authorize the alteration of Wychwood Avenue at its intersection with Vaughan Road by the reconfiguration and narrowing of the south-west and south-east side of the intersection.
Bill No. 176	By-law No. 179-2005	To adopt Amendment No. 330 to the Official Plan for the former City of Toronto with respect to lands known municipally in the year 2005 as 30 Mutual Street and 88 Queen Street East.

Bill No. 177	By-law No. 180-2005	To amend the General Zoning By law No. 438-86 of the former City of Toronto with respect to lands known municipally in the year 2005 as 30 Mutual Street and 88 Queen Street East.
Bill No. 178	By-law No. 181-2005	To adopt Amendment No. 130-2005 to the Official Plan of the former City of Etobicoke with respect to lands municipally known as 98 Index Road.
Bill No. 179	By-law No. 182-2005	To amend Chapters 320 and 324, of the Etobicoke Zoning Code, with respect to certain lands located on the west side of Index Road, north of North Queen Street, west of Highway 427.
Bill No. 180	By-law No. 183-2005	To adopt Amendment No. 329 to the Official Plan Part II for the former City of Toronto with respect to lands known municipally in the year 2004 as 58-60 Tecumseth Street.
Bill No. 181	By-law No. 184-2005	To amend the General Zoning By law No. 438-86 of the former City of Toronto with respect to lands known municipally in the year 2004 as 58-60 Tecumseth Street,

the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 30	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Walker, Watson
No - 7	
Councillors:	Ford, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 23.

S3.18 On February 24, 2005, at 7:37 p.m., Councillor Lindsay Luby, seconded by Councillor De Baeremaeker, moved that leave be granted to introduce the following Bill, and that this Bill, prepared for this meeting of Council, be passed and hereby declared as a By-law:

Bill No. 189	By-law No. 185-2005	To confirm the proceedings of the Council at its Special meeting held on the 24th day of February, 2005,
--------------	---------------------	--

the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 23
Mayor: Miller
Councillors: Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Giambrone, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 11
Councillors: Balkissoon, Del Grande, Ford, Kelly, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz, Walker

Carried by a majority of 12.

S3.19 On February 25, 2005, at 4:55 p.m., Councillor Jenkins, seconded by Councillor McConnell, moved that leave be granted to introduce the following Bill, and that this Bill, prepared for this meeting of Council, be passed and hereby declared as a By-law:

Bill No. 190	By-law No. 186-2005	To confirm the proceedings of the Council at its Special meeting held on the 25th day of February, 2005,
--------------	---------------------	--

the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 28
Mayor: Miller
Councillors: Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Filion, Fletcher, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Soknacki, Watson
No - 10

Councillors:	Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Shiner, Stintz, Thompson
--------------	---

Carried by a majority of 18.

- S3.20 On February 28, 2005, at 7:36 p.m., Councillor Carroll, seconded by Councillor Davis, moved that leave be granted to introduce the following Bill, and that this Bill, prepared for this meeting of Council, be passed and hereby declared as a By-law:

Bill No. 191	By-law No. 187-2005	To confirm the proceedings of the Council at its Special meeting held on the 28th day of February, 2005,
--------------	---------------------	--

the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 23	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fillion, Grimes, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 11	
Councillors:	Balkissoon, Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Stintz, Walker

Carried by a majority of 12.

- S3.21 On March 1, 2005, at 3:20 a.m., Deputy Mayor Pantalone, seconded by Councillor Di Giorgio, moved that leave be granted to introduce the following Bills, and that these Bills, prepared for this meeting of Council, be passed and hereby declared as By-laws:

Bill No. 182	By-law No. 188-2005	To amend By-law No. 146-2005, being an amendment to the Official Plan of the Etobicoke Planning Area with respect to the lands located at 60 Bergamot Avenue.
Bill No. 184	By-law No. 189-2005	To amend By-law No. 140-2005, being a by-law to amend the Official Plan of the former City of Toronto with respect to Regent Park.
Bill No. 185	By-law No. 190-2005	To amend City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 441, Fees, as amended by By-

law No. 126-2005, entitled Planning
Application Fees,

the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 29	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 8	
Councillors:	Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 21.

S3.22 On March 1, 2005, at 3:22 a.m., Deputy Mayor Pantalone, seconded by Councillor Di Giorgio, moved that leave be granted to introduce the following Bill:

Bill No. 183	By-law No. 191-2005	To establish Tax Ratios for the Year 2005, to Levy and Collect Taxes for Municipal Purposes for the Year 2005, other than those Levied before the Adoption of the Estimates, to Impose a Penalty Charge for Non payment of 2005 Taxes, and to Provide for Interest to be added to Tax Arrears,
--------------	---------------------	--

the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 25	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 12	
Councillors:	Ashton, Balkissoon, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Jenkins, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 13.

Upon the question, "Shall this Bill, prepared for this meeting of Council, be passed and hereby declared as a By-law?", the vote was taken as follows:

Yes - 25	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 12	
Councillors:	Ashton, Balkissoon, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Jenkins, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 13.

S3.23 On March 1, 2005, at 3:24 a.m., Councillor Rae, seconded by Deputy Mayor Bussin, moved that leave be granted to introduce the following Bill:

Bill No. 192	By-law No. 192-2005	To confirm the proceedings of the Council at its Special meeting held on the 28th day of February and the 1st day of March, 2005,
--------------	---------------------	---

the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 26	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 11	
Councillors:	Ashton, Balkissoon, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 15.

Upon the question, "Shall this Bill, prepared for this meeting of Council, be passed and hereby declared as a By-law?", the vote was taken as follows:

Yes - 24	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 11	
Councillors:	Ashton, Balkissoon, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 13.

OFFICIAL RECOGNITIONS:

S3.24 Condolence Motions

February 23, 2005

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

Councillor McConnell, seconded by Mayor Miller, moved that:

“**WHEREAS** the Mayor and Members of Toronto City Council are deeply saddened to learn of the passing of Mr. Selvakumar Sellaiah on February 15, 2005, in his 26th year; and

WHEREAS Selvakumar Sellaiah moved to Toronto from Sri Lanka eight years ago and was a valued member of Toronto’s Tamil community; and

WHEREAS Selvakumar Sellaiah was a reliable, hard-working employee in Toronto’s restaurant service industry; and

WHEREAS Selvakumar Sellaiah was a dedicated brother and a devoted son to his mother who lives in the St. Jamestown Community; and

WHEREAS on February 15, 2005, Selvakumar Sellaiah was going to visit his ailing mother as he did every evening;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Clerk be directed to convey, on behalf of Members of City Council, our sincere sympathy to his mother Vadivambigh Sellaiah, and his siblings Pathmakumar, Santhakumar, Easam, and Jayanthini.”

Leave to introduce the Motion was granted and the Motion carried unanimously.

Council rose and observed a moment of silence in memory of the late Selvakumar Sellaiah.

February 24, 2005

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

Deputy Mayor Pantalone, seconded by Mayor Miller, moved that:

“**WHEREAS** the Mayor and Members of Toronto City Council are deeply saddened to learn of the passing of Mr. Keith Oleksiuk on February 22, 2005; and

WHEREAS Keith Oleksiuk has always been an integral and active member of his community and will be sadly missed by countless neighbours, friends and co-workers; and

WHEREAS Mr. Oleksiuk was a renowned community leader, respected labour lawyer and unwavering advocate for equality; and

WHEREAS Keith Oleksiuk has been the Executive Director of Toronto’s Unemployment Help Centre, lawyer for the United Steel Workers of America and British Columbia Government Employees Union and was the past Chair of British Columbia’s Labour Board; and

WHEREAS Mr. Oleksiuk gave to the City of Toronto and later to the City of Vancouver, a dedicated life marked by an important sense of commitment, a spirit of equality and fairness, and will be sadly missed by all those he assisted and worked with;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Clerk be directed to convey, on behalf of the Mayor and Members of Toronto City Council, our sincere sympathy to his wife Cathy Agnew and their three children, Danny, Shane and Kayla, as well as to his family and friends.”

Leave to introduce the Motion was granted and the Motion carried unanimously.

Council rose and observed a moment of silence in memory of the late Keith Oleksiuk.

S3.25 **Presentations/Introductions/Announcements:**

February 22, 2005:

Councillor Pitfield, during the morning session of the meeting, introduced the students of the Flemington Adult Learning Centre, present at the meeting.

Mayor Miller, during the afternoon session of the meeting, introduced the students of School No. 1 of the City of Beslan in North Osetia, Russia, present at the meeting, and advised the Council that the students are on a trip organized by the Deputy Minister of Finance of North Osetia, Natalya Tsaryova, and are visiting Toronto accompanied by Mr. Konstantin Toubis, a board member of the Russian Speaking Congress of Canada, and volunteers from the Canadian Osetian Association Alania.

February 23, 2005:

Mayor Miller, during the morning session of the meeting, introduced the Grade 5 students of the Toronto Heschel School, present at the meeting.

Mayor Miller, during the afternoon session of the meeting, introduced the Grade 4 students of the Church Street Public School, present at the meeting.

Announcement under the Municipal Act, 2001:

Acting Chair Soknacki, at 7:29 p.m., made the following Public Announcement:

“In accordance with section 291 of the *Municipal Act, 2001*, I am hereby publicly stating that consideration of the City’s 2005 Budget has been deferred to the special meeting of City Council scheduled for tomorrow, February 24, 2005, and that City Council now intends to adopt the City’s 2005 Budget at tomorrow’s special Council meeting.”

February 24, 2005:

Notice of Special Meeting:

Acting Chair Soknacki read the following Notice of Special Meeting:

“A Special Meeting of City Council will be held on Thursday, February 24, 2005, in the Council Chamber, Toronto City Hall, at 9:30 a.m., for the following purposes:

- (1) to complete consideration of unfinished business from the Council meeting on February 21, 22 and 23, 2005;

- (2) to introduce and enact general Bills; and
- (3) introduce and enact a Bill to confirm the proceedings of this Special Meeting.”

Acting Chair Soknacki, during the morning session of the meeting, introduced the adult ESL students of the Preparatory Program East Centre, present at the meeting.

Announcement under the Municipal Act, 2001:

Acting Chair Soknacki, at 7:29 p.m., made the following Public Announcement:

“In accordance with section 291 of the *Municipal Act, 2001*, I am hereby publicly stating that consideration of the City’s 2005 Budget has been deferred to the special meeting of City Council scheduled for tomorrow, February 25, 2005, and that City Council will consider the City’s 2005 Budget at tomorrow’s special Council meeting.”

February 25, 2005:

Notice of Special Meeting:

Acting Chair Soknacki read the following Notice of Special Meeting:

“A Special Meeting of City Council will be held on Friday, February 25, 2005, in the Council Chamber, Toronto City Hall, at 9:30 a.m., for the following purposes:

- (1) to complete consideration of unfinished business from the Council meetings on February 21, 22, 23 and 24, 2005;
- (2) to introduce and enact general Bills; and
- (3) introduce and enact a Bill to confirm the proceedings of this Special Meeting.”

Acting Chair Soknacki, during the morning session of the meeting, introduced the Legislative Pages from the Page Program at Queen’s Park, present at the meeting.

Announcement under the Municipal Act, 2001:

Acting Chair Soknacki, at 4:55 p.m., made the following Public Announcement:

“In accordance with section 291 of the *Municipal Act, 2001*, I am hereby publicly stating that consideration of the City’s 2005 Budget has been deferred to the special meeting of

City Council scheduled for February 28, 2005, and that City Council will consider the City's 2005 Budget at that special Council meeting."

February 28, 2005:

Notice of Special Meeting:

Acting Chair Soknacki read the following Notice of Special Meeting:

"A Special Meeting of City Council will be held on Monday, February 28, 2005, in the Council Chamber, Toronto City Hall, at 9:30 a.m., for the following purposes:

- (1) to complete consideration of unfinished business from the Council meetings previously called to consider the 2005 budget;
- (2) to introduce and enact general Bills; and
- (3) introduce and enact a Bill to confirm the proceedings of this Special Meeting."

Councillor Cho, with the permission of Council, during the afternoon session of the meeting, introduced visiting Members of the Provincial Parliament in Korea, present at the meeting.

Announcement under the Municipal Act, 2001:

Acting Chair Soknacki, at 7:38 p.m., made the following Public Announcement:

"In accordance with section 291 of the *Municipal Act, 2001*, I am hereby publicly stating that consideration of the City's 2005 Budget has been deferred to the special meeting of City Council scheduled at 7:31 p.m. on February 28, 2005, and that City Council will consider the City's 2005 Budget at that special Council meeting."

Notice of Special Meeting:

Acting Chair Soknacki read the following Notice of Special Meeting:

"A Special Meeting of City Council will be held on Monday, February 28, 2005, in the Council Chamber, Toronto City Hall, at 7:31 p.m., for the following purposes:

- (1) to complete consideration of unfinished business from the Council meetings previously called to consider the 2005 budget;
- (2) to introduce and enact general Bills; and

- (3) introduce and enact a Bill to confirm the proceedings of this Special Meeting.”

S3.26 MOTIONS TO VARY ORDER OR WAIVE PROCEDURE

Vary the order of proceedings of Council:

Councillor Feldman on February 22, 2005, at 6:10 p.m., moved that Council vary the order of its proceedings to vote on Policy and Finance Committee Report 3, Clause 1, headed “City of Toronto 2005 Budget Advisory Committee Recommended Capital Budget and 2006 - 2014 Capital Plan”, on February 23, 2005, during the morning session of the meeting, without further speakers, which carried.

Councillor Moscoe on February 23, 2005, at 5:50 p.m., moved that Council vary the order of its proceedings to go through the 2005 Operating Budget programs to determine which budgets Members of Council wish to hold for further debate, prior to hearing the presentation on the 2005 Operating Budget by the Chief Administrative Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 14	
Councillors:	Ashton, Augimeri, Cho, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Filion, Giambrone, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Rae, Thompson
No - 21	
Councillors:	Altobello, Bussin, Cowbourne, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 7.

Waive the provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code related to meeting times:

February 23, 2005:

Councillor Ootes, at 9:40 a.m., moved that, in accordance with the provisions of §27-11F, Adjournment, of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Council waive the requirement of the 6:00 p.m. adjournment, and that Council continue in session until 9:30 p.m., the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 21	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Augimeri, Carroll, Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Ford, Hall, Holyday, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki, Thompson, Watson
No - 12	
Councillors:	Altobello, Balkissoon, Bussin, Cho, Davis, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Grimes, Kelly, Shiner, Stintz

Lost, less than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Councillor Thompson, at 9:42 a.m., moved that, in accordance with the provisions of §27-11F, Adjournment, of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Council waive the requirement of the 6:00 p.m. adjournment, and that Council continue in session until 8:30 p.m., the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 21	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Augimeri, Carroll, Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Ford, Hall, Holyday, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki, Thompson, Watson
No - 12	
Councillors:	Altobello, Balkissoon, Bussin, Cho, Davis, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Grimes, Kelly, Shiner, Stintz

Lost, less than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Councillor Rae, at 9:44 a.m., moved that, in accordance with the provisions of §27-11F, Adjournment, of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Council waive the requirement of the 6:00 p.m. adjournment, and that Council continue in session until 7:30 p.m., the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 29	
Mayor:	Miller

Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Balkissoon, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Shiner, Stintz, Thompson, Watson
No - 3	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Kelly

Carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

February 24, 2005:

Councillor Moscoe, at 4:12 p.m., moved that, in accordance with the provisions of §27-11F, Adjournment, of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Council waive the requirement of the 7:30 p.m. recess, and that Council remain in session until 9:30 p.m., the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 26	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Thompson, Watson
No - 15	
Councillors:	Di Giorgio, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz

Lost, less than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Councillor Moscoe, at 4:14 p.m., moved that, in accordance with the provisions of §27-11F, Adjournment, of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Council waive the requirement of the 7:30 p.m. recess, and that Council remain in session until 8:30 p.m., the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 26	
Mayor:	Miller

Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Thompson, Watson
No - 17	
Councillors:	Ashton, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz

Lost, less than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Councillor Moscoe, at 6:10 p.m., moved that, in accordance with the provisions of §27-11F, Adjournment, of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Council waive the requirement of the 7:30 p.m. recess, and that Council remain in session until 10:00 p.m., the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 23	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 13	
Councillors:	Ashton, Balkissoon, Del Grande, Ford, Jenkins, Kelly, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz, Walker

Lost, less than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Councillor Mihevc in the Chair.

Councillor Moscoe, at 6:26 p.m., moved that, in accordance with the provisions of §27-11F, Adjournment, of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Council waive the requirement of the 7:30 p.m. recess, and that Council remain in session until 11:00 p.m.

Councillor Shiner moved that the foregoing motion by Councillor Moscoe be amended to provide that Council remain in session until 7:31 p.m., the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 14

Councillors:	Balkissoon, Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Kelly, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Shiner, Stintz, Walker
No - 23	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Watson

Lost, less than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Adoption of the motion by Councillor Moscoe to remain in session until 11:00 p.m., without amendment:

Yes - 23	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Watson
No - 14	
Councillors:	Ashton, Balkissoon, Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Kelly, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz, Walker

Lost, less than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

Ruling by Acting Chair:

Acting Chair Soknacki ruled that Councillor Moscoe not be permitted to place a further motion relating to waiving the 7:30 p.m. recess.

Councillor Moscoe challenged the ruling of the Acting Chair.

Vote to Uphold Ruling of Acting Chair:

Yes - 20	
----------	--

Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Balkissoon, Bussin, Cho, Del Grande, Ford, Kelly, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker
No - 14	
Councillors:	Augimeri, Carroll, Chow, Davis, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Saundercook, Watson

Carried by a majority of 6.

Mayor Miller, at 7:10 p.m., moved that, in accordance with the provisions of §27-11F, Adjournment, of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Council waive the requirement of the 7:30 p.m. recess, and that Council remain in session in order to allow Councillor Ootes to conclude his questions, and to permit Council to consider certain Bills, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

February 25, 2005:

Deputy Mayor Feldman, at 9:46 a.m., moved that, in accordance with the provisions of §27-11F, Adjournment, of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Council adjourn at 5:00 p.m., which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Mayor Miller, at 12:20 p.m., moved that, in accordance with the provisions of §27-11F, Adjournment, of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Council waive the requirement of the 12:30 p.m. recess, and that Council remain in session in order to complete its consideration of the 2005 Operating Budget for Solid Waste Management, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Acting Chair Soknacki, at 1:05 p.m., recessed the meeting until 1:20 p.m., in order to allow distribution of the motions respecting the 2005 Operating Budget for Solid Waste Management.

Acting Chair Soknacki, at 1:50 p.m., recessed the meeting until 2:15 p.m., for the lunch break.

February 28, 2005:

Mayor Miller, at 12:25 p.m., moved that, in accordance with the provisions of §27-11F, Adjournment, of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Council waive the requirement of the 12:30 p.m. recess, and that Council remain in session in order to complete its consideration of the 2005 Operating Budget for Transportation Services, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Councillor Ootes, at 7:38 p.m., moved that, in accordance with the provisions of § 27-45A of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Council now adjourn, and reconvene on March 1, 2005 at 9:30 a.m., the vote upon which was taken as follow:

Yes - 12	
Councillors:	Augimeri, Balkissoon, Del Grande, Feldman, Holyday, Li Preti, Mammoliti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Walker
No - 23	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Mihevc, Milczyn, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson

Lost, less than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Councillor Carroll, at 9:46 a.m., moved that, in accordance with the provisions of §27-11F, Adjournment, of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Council remain in session until completion of the Order Paper, the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 26	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Chow, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Hall, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Soknacki, Watson
No - 10	
Councillors:	Balkissoon, Del Grande, Grimes, Holyday, Li Preti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Walker

Carried by a majority of 16.

S3.27 ATTENDANCE

Councillor Nunziata, seconded by Councillor Palacio, moved that the absence of Councillors Giambrone and McConnell, from the Special meetings of City Council held on February 28 and March 1, 2005, be excused, which carried.

February 21, 2005	9:40 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.*	2:10 p.m. to 7:33 p.m.*
Miller	x	x

Minutes of the Special Meetings of the Council of the City of Toronto
February 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 28 and March 1, 2005

February 21, 2005	9:40 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.*	2:10 p.m. to 7:33 p.m.*
Altobello	x	x
Ashton	x	x
Augimeri	x	x
Balkissoon	x	x
Bussin	x	x
Carroll	x	x
Cho	x	x
Chow	-	x
Cowbourne	x	x
Davis	x	x
De Baeremaeker	x	x
Del Grande	x	x
Di Giorgio	x	x
Feldman	x	x
Filion	x	x
Fletcher	x	x
Ford	x	x
Giambrone	x	x
Grimes	x	x
Hall	x	x
Holyday	x	x
Jenkins	x	x
Kelly	x	x
Li Preti	x	x
Lindsay Luby	x	x
Mammoliti	x	x
McConnell	x	x
Mihevc	x	x
Milczyn	x	x
Minnan-Wong	x	x
Moscoe	x	x
Nunziata	x	x

Minutes of the Special Meetings of the Council of the City of Toronto
February 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 28 and March 1, 2005

February 21, 2005	9:40 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.*	2:10 p.m. to 7:33 p.m.*
Ootes	x	x
Palacio	x	x
Pantalone	x	x
Pitfield	x	x
Rae	x	x
Saundercook	x	x
Shiner	x	x
Soknacki	x	x
Stintz	x	x
Thompson	x	x
Walker	x	x
Watson	x	x
Total	44	45

* Members were present for some or all of the time period indicated.

February 22, 2005	9:40 a.m. to 12:35 p.m.*	Roll Call 2:14 p.m.	2:10 p.m. to 3:05 p.m.*	Ctte. of the Whole In-camera 3:15 p.m.	3:45 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.*
Miller	x	-	x	-	x
Altobello	x	x	x	-	x
Ashton	x	x	x	-	x
Augimeri	x	-	x	x	x
Balkissoon	x	x	x	x	x
Bussin	x	x	x	x	x
Carroll	x	x	x	x	x
Cho	x	x	x	x	x
Chow	x	-	x	x	x
Cowbourne	x	-	x	x	x
Davis	x	x	x	x	x
De Baeremaeker	x	x	x	x	x
Del Grande	x	x	x	x	x
Di Giorgio	x	x	x	x	x
Feldman	x	x	x	x	x
Filion	x	x	x	-	x

Minutes of the Special Meetings of the Council of the City of Toronto
February 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 28 and March 1, 2005

February 22, 2005	9:40 a.m. to 12:35 p.m.*	Roll Call 2:14 p.m.	2:10 p.m. to 3:05 p.m.*	Ctte. of the Whole In-camera 3:15 p.m.	3:45 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.*
Fletcher	x	-	x	x	x
Ford	x	x	x	x	x
Giambrone	x	-	x	x	x
Grimes	x	x	x	x	x
Hall	x	x	x	x	x
Holyday	x	x	x	x	x
Jenkins	x	x	x	x	x
Kelly	x	x	x	x	x
Li Preti	x	x	x	x	x
Lindsay Luby	x	-	x	-	x
Mammoliti	x	-	-	x	x
McConnell	x	-	x	x	x
Mihevc	x	x	x	x	x
Milczyn	x	x	x	x	x
Minnan-Wong	x	x	x	-	x
Moscoe	x	x	x	-	-
Nunziata	x	x	x	x	x
Ootes	x	x	x	x	x
Palacio	x	-	x	x	x
Pantalone	x	x	x	x	x
Pitfield	x	-	x	x	x
Rae	x	x	x	x	x
Saundercook	x	x	x	x	x
Shiner	x	x	x	x	x
Soknacki	x	x	x	x	x
Stintz	x	x	x	x	x
Thompson	x	x	x	-	x
Walker	x	x	x	-	x
Watson	x	x	x	x	x
Total	45	34	44	36	44

* Members were present for some or all of the time period indicated.

Minutes of the Special Meetings of the Council of the City of Toronto
February 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 28 and March 1, 2005

February 23, 2005	9:40 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.*	2:10 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.*	Roll Call 7:18 p.m.
Miller	x	x	-
Altobello	x	x	x
Ashton	x	x	-
Augimeri	x	x	x
Balkissoon	x	x	x
Bussin	x	x	-
Carroll	x	x	x
Cho	x	x	-
Chow	x	x	x
Cowbourne	x	x	x
Davis	x	x	-
De Baeremaeker	x	x	-
Del Grande	x	x	-
Di Giorgio	x	x	-
Feldman	x	x	x
Filion	x	x	-
Fletcher	x	x	-
Ford	x	x	x
Giambrone	x	x	x
Grimes	x	x	x
Hall	x	x	x
Holyday	x	x	x
Jenkins	x	x	x
Kelly	x	x	x
Li Preti	x	x	-
Lindsay Luby	x	x	x
Mammoliti	x	x	-
McConnell	x	x	x
Mihevc	x	x	-
Mileczyn	x	x	-
Minnan-Wong	x	x	x

Minutes of the Special Meetings of the Council of the City of Toronto
February 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 28 and March 1, 2005

February 23, 2005	9:40 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.*	2:10 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.*	Roll Call 7:18 p.m.
Moscoe	x	x	x
Nunziata	x	x	x
Ootes	x	x	x
Palacio	x	x	x
Pantalone	x	x	x
Pitfield	x	x	-
Rae	x	x	x
Saundercook	x	x	x
Shiner	x	x	x
Soknacki	x	x	x
Stintz	x	x	x
Thompson	x	x	x
Walker	x	x	x
Watson	x	x	x
Total	45	45	30

* Members were present for some or all of the time period indicated.

February 24, 2005	9:40 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.*	2:10 p.m. to 7:37 p.m.*	Roll Call 4:38 p.m.
Miller	x	x	-
Altobello	x	x	-
Ashton	x	x	x
Augimeri	x	x	x
Balkissoon	x	x	x
Bussin	x	x	x
Carroll	x	x	x
Cho	x	x	-
Chow	x	x	x
Cowbourne	x	x	x
Davis	x	x	x
De Baeremaeker	x	x	x
Del Grande	x	x	x

Minutes of the Special Meetings of the Council of the City of Toronto
February 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 28 and March 1, 2005

February 24, 2005	9:40 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.*	2:10 p.m. to 7:37 p.m.*	Roll Call 4:38 p.m.
Di Giorgio	x	x	-
Feldman	x	x	x
Filion	x	x	x
Fletcher	x	x	-
Ford	x	x	x
Giambrone	x	x	x
Grimes	x	x	-
Hall	x	x	x
Holyday	x	x	x
Jenkins	x	x	x
Kelly	x	x	-
Li Preti	x	x	x
Lindsay Luby	x	x	x
Mammoliti	-	x	x
McConnell	x	x	-
Mihevc	x	x	x
Milczyn	-	x	x
Minnan-Wong	x	x	-
Moscoe	x	x	x
Nunziata	x	x	x
Ootes	x	x	x
Palacio	x	x	x
Pantalone	x	x	x
Pitfield	x	x	x
Rae	x	x	x
Saundercook	x	x	x
Shiner	x	x	x
Soknacki	x	x	x
Stintz	x	x	-
Thompson	x	x	-
Walker	x	x	-
Watson	x	x	x
Total	43	45	33

* Members were present for some or all of the time period indicated.

February 25, 2005	Roll Call 9:40 a.m.	9:40 a.m. to 1:05 p.m.*	Roll Call 11:50 a.m.	1:20 p.m. to 1:50 p.m.*	2:15 p.m. to 4:57 p.m.*
Miller	-	x	x	x	x
Altobello	x	x	x	x	x
Ashton	-	x	-	-	x
Augimeri	x	x	x	x	x
Balkissoon	-	-	-	-	x
Bussin	x	x	x	x	x
Carroll	x	x	x	x	x
Cho	x	x	x	x	x
Chow	-	x	x	x	-
Cowbourne	x	x	x	x	x
Davis	x	x	-	x	x
De Baeremaeker	x	x	x	x	-
Del Grande	x	x	x	x	x
Di Giorgio	-	x	x	x	x
Feldman	x	x	x	x	x
Filion	x	x	-	x	-
Fletcher	-	x	-	x	-
Ford	-	x	x	x	x
Giambrone	x	x	-	x	-
Grimes	x	x	x	-	x
Hall	-	x	-	x	x
Holyday	x	x	x	x	x
Jenkins	x	x	x	x	x
Kelly	-	x	x	x	x
Li Preti	x	x	-	-	x
Lindsay Luby	x	x	x	x	x
Mammoliti	-	x	-	x	x
McConnell	-	x	x	x	x
Mihevc	x	x	-	x	x

Minutes of the Special Meetings of the Council of the City of Toronto
February 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 28 and March 1, 2005

February 25, 2005	Roll Call 9:40 a.m.	9:40 a.m. to 1:05 p.m.*	Roll Call 11:50 a.m.	1:20 p.m. to 1:50 p.m.*	2:15 p.m. to 4:57 p.m.*
Milczyn	-	x	x	x	x
Minnan-Wong	-	x	-	x	x
Moscoe	x	x	x	x	x
Nunziata	x	x	x	x	x
Ootes	x	x	-	x	x
Palacio	x	x	-	x	-
Pantalone	x	x	x	x	x
Pitfield	x	x	x	x	x
Rae	x	x	-	x	x
Saunderscook	x	x	-	x	-
Shiner	-	x	x	-	x
Soknacki	x	x	x	x	-
Stintz	-	x	x	x	x
Thompson	-	-	-	-	x
Walker	x	x	x	x	-
Watson	x	x	x	x	x
Total	29	43	29	39	36

* Members were present for some or all of the time period indicated.

February 25, 2005	Roll Call 3:17 p.m.	Roll Call 3:29 p.m.	Roll Call 4:08 p.m.	Roll Call 4:16 p.m.	Roll Call 4:36 p.m.
Miller	-	-	x	x	x
Altobello	-	x	x	x	x
Ashton	-	-	x	-	x
Augimeri	x	x	x	x	x
Balkissoon	-	-	-	-	-
Bussin	x	x	-	x	x
Carroll	x	x	x	-	x
Cho	x	-	x	x	x
Chow	-	-	-	x	-
Cowbourne	-	-	-	-	x

Minutes of the Special Meetings of the Council of the City of Toronto
February 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 28 and March 1, 2005

February 25, 2005	Roll Call 3:17 p.m.	Roll Call 3:29 p.m.	Roll Call 4:08 p.m.	Roll Call 4:16 p.m.	Roll Call 4:36 p.m.
Davis	x	x	x	x	x
De Baeremaeker	x	x	-	-	-
Del Grande	x	x	x	x	-
Di Giorgio	-	-	-	-	-
Feldman	x	x	-	x	x
Filion	-	-	-	-	-
Fletcher	-	x	x	-	-
Ford	-	-	-	-	x
Giambrone	-	-	-	-	-
Grimes	x	x	x	x	x
Hall	x	x	-	-	x
Holyday	x	x	x	-	x
Jenkins	-	x	-	x	x
Kelly	-	-	-	-	-
Li Preti	x	x	x	x	x
Lindsay Luby	x	x	x	x	x
Mammoliti	-	x	x	-	-
McConnell	x	x	x	x	x
Mihevc	x	-	-	x	x
Milczyn	x	x	-	-	x
Minnan-Wong	-	-	-	-	-
Moscoe	-	-	-	-	-
Nunziata	x	-	x	x	x
Ootes	x	x	x	x	x
Palacio	-	-	-	-	-
Pantalone	-	x	x	x	x
Pitfield	-	-	-	-	-
Rae	-	-	x	-	x
Saundercook	-	-	-	-	-
Shiner	x	-	x	x	-

Minutes of the Special Meetings of the Council of the City of Toronto
February 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 28 and March 1, 2005

February 25, 2005	Roll Call 3:17 p.m.	Roll Call 3:29 p.m.	Roll Call 4:08 p.m.	Roll Call 4:16 p.m.	Roll Call 4:36 p.m.
Soknacki	x	x	x	x	x
Stintz	x	-	x	x	-
Thompson	x	x	x	x	x
Walker	-	-	-	-	-
Watson	x	x	x	x	x
Total	23	23	24	23	27

* Members were present for some or all of the time period indicated.

February 28, 2005 March 1, 2005	9:40 a.m. to 12:50 p.m.*	2:10 p.m. to 6:35 p.m.	Roll Call 3:40 p.m.	7:00 p.m. to 7:39 p.m.*	Roll Call 7:00 p.m.	7:40 p.m. to 3:25 a.m.	Roll Call 7:57 p.m.
Miller	x	x	-	x	-	x	x
Altobello	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Ashton	x	x	-	-	-	x	-
Augimeri	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Balkissoon	-	-	-	x	x	x	x
Bussin	x	x	x	x	-	x	x
Carroll	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Cho	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Chow	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Cowbourne	-	x	x	x	x	x	x
Davis	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
De Baeremaeker	x	x	x	x	-	x	x
Del Grande	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Di Giorgio	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Feldman	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Filion	x	x	-	x	-	x	x
Fletcher	x	x	x	x	-	x	x
Ford	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Giambrone	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grimes	x	x	x	x	-	x	x
Hall	x	x	x	-	-	x	x

Minutes of the Special Meetings of the Council of the City of Toronto
February 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 28 and March 1, 2005

February 28, 2005 March 1, 2005	9:40 a.m. to 12:50 p.m.*	2:10 p.m. to 6:35 p.m.	Roll Call 3:40 p.m.	7:00 p.m. to 7:39 p.m.*	Roll Call 7:00 p.m.	7:40 p.m. to 3:25 a.m.	Roll Call 7:57 p.m.
Holyday	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Jenkins	x	x	x	x	-	x	-
Kelly	x	-	-	-	-	-	-
Li Preti	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Lindsay Luby	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Mammoliti	x	x	-	x	x	x	-
McConnell	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mihevc	x	x	-	-	-	x	-
Milczyn	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Minnan-Wong	x	x	-	x	-	x	-
Moscoe	x	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nunziata	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Ootes	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Palacio	x	x	x	x	-	x	-
Pantalone	x	x	-	x	-	x	x
Pitfield	x	x	x	x	-	x	-
Rae	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Saundercook	x	x	x	x	x	x	-
Shiner	-	-	-	-	-	x	-
Soknacki	x	x	x	x	x	x	-
Stintz	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Thompson	x	x	x	-	-	-	-
Walker	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Watson	x	x	x	x	-	x	-
Total	40	39	26	36	24	40	29

* Members were present for some or all of the time period indicated.

Council adjourned on March 1, 2005, at 3:25 a.m.

DAVID R. MILLER,
Mayor

ULLI S. WATKISS,
City Clerk

ATTACHMENT NO. 1 [Notice of Motion J(1)]

Attachments A, B, C and D to the confidential report dated February 24, 2005, from the City Solicitor, which are now public (See Minute S3.12, Page 175):

Attachment B

**PROPOSED TERMS OF SETTLEMENT (MEDIATION)
February 18, 2005**

Wittington Developments FYN and RWL

(Key: DC = Development Charges; DL = Development Levies; FYN = Fort York Neighbourhood; RLW = Railway Lands West; School Boards = Both Toronto District and Toronto Catholic District School Boards; Wittington Properties = RLW: Additional densities on Blocks 33 and 37; FYN: Blocks 9 & 10; FYN: Blocks 2, 3, 4, 4A, 6 & 6A)

TABLE 1: Comparison of Total Collections in DC vs DL Scenarios /
Wittington Properties

1	2	3	4	5
	Development Levy	Development Charges	Difference between Col 2 and Col 3	Col 3 as a percentage of Col 2 Total
City	3,737,597.26	7,542,064.15	3,804,466.89	70.01%
School	7,035,622.00	881,384.51	6,154,237.49	8.18%
Total	10,773,219.26	8,423,448.66	2,349,770.60	21.81%

- Notes to Table:
- (i) DC's based on July 2005 dollar amounts;
 - (ii) DL's based on December 2005 dollar amounts;
 - (iii) Table assumes that levies applicable to the 1994 densities on Blocks 33 & 37 RLW will be paid in accordance with the Development Levy Agreement dated October 21, 1994, as may be amended from time to time, and those amounts are not included in the levy amount calculations shown in the Table;
 - (iv) Figures are derived using the DC vs DL Spreadsheet Analysis (revised Feb 18, 2005), reflect the assumptions underlying that analysis (ie. unit count and mix etc.) and exclude amounts related to section 37 benefits. The

intention was to fix a “snapshot” of a probable development scenario within the limits of the maximum gross floor areas to be permitted.

Basis of Proposal:

- (a) the combined City and School Boards collection for residential and non-residential gross floor areas under DC is less than under DL;
- (b) the difference is over 2.3 million (the “Delta”) which is in favour of Wittington, acknowledging that under the DC regime Wittington has no assurances that DC’s will not increase ;
- (c) in a DL regime the City collects approximately \$4 million less than under the DC regime;
- (d) in a DL regime the School Boards would collect approximately \$6 million more than under the DC regime (recognizing that in the DC regime the total \$881,384.51 is an EDC only to the Catholic School Board and only for the purpose of funding the purchase of school sites);
- (e) the collections under a DC regime expressed as a percentage of the total collected under DL for each of the City and School Boards is as follows: City: 70.01%, Schools: 8.18% and Delta: 21.81% (See Table);
- (f) City supports collection of DL’s on the basis of a modified levy regime provided that the City financial position is made “whole” and it collects an amount that approximates what it could have collected by proceeding with DC’s; and
- (g) City, School Boards and Wittington to proceed on the basis of the following terms and a modified development levy regime as set out in Attachment 1.

Terms of Settlement for the purpose of Wittington Properties:

- (A) The DL will apply to the Wittington Properties (RLW: Additional densities on Blocks 33 and 37; FYN: Blocks 9 & 10; FYN: Blocks 2, 3, 4, 4A, 6 & 6A). It is understood that agreements respecting lands currently owned by St. Marys Cement Inc. (Can.) (Blocks 2, part of Block 3 and part of Block 4 FYN) may be subject to completion of the purchase by Wittington from St. Marys.;
- (B) The existing Development Levy Agreement dated October 21, 1994 remains in place as it applies to the existing 1994 densities on Blocks 33 and 37 in the RLW. The levies on the 1994 densities on those Blocks will be paid and applied as contemplated in that existing agreement by the construction of a multiuse facility in the RLW. Levies for additional densities on those Blocks will be paid and applied as set out herein;

- (C) The arrangement only applies to maximum gross floor areas contemplated in the applications as finally approved by the OMB (See Attachment 2 - Chart) as well as to any future approved additional gross floor area to a maximum of 10% per block above the OMB approved final gross floor areas;
- (D) For the stated maximum gross floor areas, plus 10% as set out in Assumption C, Wittington to be exempted from both City DC's and Education DC's;
- (E) Although the maximum permitted gross floor areas will remain constant, it is acknowledged the total unit numbers may vary at the time of development;
- (F) DL's for the City and the School Boards would be indexed either once or twice annually consistent with existing agreements with other developers in the area and in accordance with the Capital Price Expenditure Statistics Index (Catalogue No. 62-007-XPB) published by Statistics Canada)(School Levies – Table 5.1 Non-residential Building Construction Price Indexes – Toronto institutional subcomponent)(Other Levies – Table 5.1 Non-residential Building Construction Price Indexes – Toronto composite);
- (G) All residential DL's will be collected as a lump sum to the City on a per unit basis at the building permit stage as contemplated in Attachment 1;
- (H) City portion of the lump sum levy and the City non-residential levies would be accounted for and spent in a like manner as development charges in accordance with the City DC By-law in place at the time, with the exception that, within the FYN, \$209.00/unit of the City portion of the lump sum levy would be specifically directed as the Fort York Improvement Levy;
- (I) City will collect on behalf of the School Boards and remit the School Board portion of the lump sum residential levy to the School Boards in a manner consistent with that contemplated in the existing development levy arrangement in the RLW;
- (J) School Board portion of the lump sum levy paid on any of the Wittington Properties is to be utilized for the hard and soft costs of constructing schools in the FYN or within the "immediate vicinity". The immediate vicinity will be an area bounded by Wellington Street, Strachan Avenue, Bathurst Street and Lake Shore Boulevard West;
- (K) These terms of settlement do not supersede, rescind or replace the Memorandum of Understanding, dated March 4, 1996 between the City and the School Boards respecting the location of a school site and the possibility of a shared education/community facility for FYN;

- (L) Wittington continues to agree to all public benefits previously settled with the City;
- (M) The School Boards, withdraw and do not proceed with their motions to seek party status on matters involving the Wittington Properties before the OMB and do not oppose the development applications for the Wittington Properties currently before the OMB; and
- (N) It is understood and agreed that these Terms of Settlement are subject to ratification by Council for the City and the respective School Boards.
- (O) If the settlement is supported by all parties, the City, School Boards and Wittington will make all reasonable efforts to finalize all such agreements, documents and assurances as may be required reflecting the modified levy arrangement set out in Attachment 1 on or before March 3, 2005, being the date of the scheduled continuation of the motions for party status brought by the School Boards related to the Wittington OMB appeal and will execute and deliver such agreements in conjunction with the execution and delivery of all other agreements necessary to finalize Wittington zoning;
- (P) The appeal by Wittington of the City DC By-law will be withdrawn once agreements referred to in Assumption "O" above reflecting the arrangements set out in Attachment 1 are executed and delivered;
- (Q) The School Boards commit to construction of an elementary school in the FYN, or the immediate vicinity (see Assumption J), at such time as there are 350 elementary school students generated from the FYN, sufficient funding for facilities construction is available and a site has been secured; and
- (R) The School Boards agree to repay to the City the sum of one million dollars (\$1M) from school levies collected from the Wittington Properties in the event the school in FYN has not been built within 10 years after build-out of FYN.

**Attachment 1 – Terms of Settlement
Modified Development Levy Scenario**

Development levies applicable to the Wittington Properties will be payable as set out below. The development levies have been calculated based on Table 1 with the objective of achieving an estimated and projected amount of \$3,000,000.00 in school levies for the School Boards and achieving a City levy that is more reflective of an amount the City could have collected by proceeding under DC's in connection with the development contemplated.

Development Levies applicable to the Wittington Properties:

Lump Sum Residential Levies:

Based on a percentage of the total development levies (Column 2, Table 1), the City and School Board proportions for the purpose of calculating lump sum residential levies are as follows:

City: 72.15% 7,773,219.00
School Boards: 27.85% 3,000,000.00

		Per unit	
FYN			
	City	\$3,838.38	
	Schools	\$1,481.62	
	Total	\$5,320.00	
RLW			
	City	\$3,057.72	
	Schools	\$1,180.28	
	Total	\$4,238.00	

Non-residential Levies:

The total non-residential levy of \$1.96/sqm of non-residential gross floor area will apply (non-residential community centre levy (\$0.60/sqm) and library levy (\$1.36/sqm)).

- Notes: (i) Notes (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) to Table 1 remain applicable;
(ii) No guarantee is intended as to the amount of the actual total development levies collected by either the City or the School Boards for the contemplated development on the Wittington Properties.

Attachment 2 – Terms of Settlement (Chart)
TOTAL PERMITTED GROSS FLOOR AREAS

BLOCKS	MAX. RESIDENTIAL GFA (sq m)	MAX. NON-RESIDENTIAL GFA (sq m)	TOTAL COMBINED (sq m)
FORT YORK NEIGHBOURHOOD			

Blocks 9 & 10 (Based on current opa/zba application)	30,886 (343 units)	1,000	30,886
Block 2 (based on draft by-law Sept. 30/03)*	8,949 (99 units)	0	8,949
Block 3 (based on draft by-law Sept. 30/03)	23,255 (258 units)	1,800	23,255
Blocks 4 & 4A (based on draft by-law Sept. 30/03)	37,360 (415 units)	4,914	37,533
Blocks 6 & 6A (based on draft by-law Sept. 30/03)	54,304 (603 units)	19,419	55,438
RAILWAY LANDS WEST			
Block 33 (based on draft by-law Sept.30/03)	12,550 (additional residential density above 39,878) (139 units)	2,300 (additional non-residential density above 2,700)	12,000 (additional combined total above 41,228)
Block 37 (based on draft by-law dated Sept.30/03)	33,721 (additional residential density above 1086) (375 units)	4,380 (additional non-residential density above 75)	34,783 (additional combined total above 1,124)

NOTES:

- (i) See Assumption C regarding the qualification on the maximum gross floor areas stated;
- (ii) The zoning by-law will identify maximum permitted gross floor areas to be permitted but actual build out to maximum areas cannot be assumed;
- (iii) Unit count shown is based on a 90 square metre average unit size and assumes that the maximum residential gross floor areas are utilized but this is not the probable development scenario contemplated in Table 1.

Attachment C
Modified Development Levy Regime (Settlement)

Development Levies**Block 33 & 37 RLW (subject to indexing)**

City Development Levy (residential) = \$3,057.72 per unit

City Development Levy (non-residential) = \$1.96 per square metre

School Levy (residential) = \$1,180.28 per unit to be used towards servicing the student population in the FYN

- * the modified regime will apply to any additional density of up to 10% of the permitted density per block and additional density beyond the 10% will be subject to DC's.
- * City levies to be accounted for and spent in a like manner as development charges in accordance with the City DC By-law in place at the time

Blocks 2, 3, 4, 4A, 6, 6A, 9, 10 FYN(subject to indexing)

City Development Levy (residential) = \$3,838.38 per unit (of which \$209 per unit will be directed towards Fort York Improvements)

City Development Levy (non-residential) = \$1.96 per square metre

School Levy (residential) = \$1,481.62 per unit to be used towards servicing the student population in the FYN.

- *the modified regime will apply to any additional density of up to 10% of the permitted density per block and additional density beyond the 10% will be subject to DC's.
- *unless otherwise stated the City levies will be accounted for and spent in a like manner as development charges in accordance with the City DC By-law in place at the time.

Matters to be Provided by Wittington and secured in a Section 37 Agreement

- * All per unit and lump sum amounts in the following lists reflect contributions in dollars as of December 31, 2005 and are subject to indexing.

Blocks 33 & 37 RLW

- day care contribution of \$440,000;
- community centre and facilities contribution of \$242,000 payable at building permit issuance for Block 37;

- 50% of the cost to design and construct Dan Leckie Way from Bremner Boulevard to Housey Street;
- 75% of the cost to design and construct the intersection of Dan Leckie Way and Housey Street;

- park contribution at \$533.00 per unit (indexed to December 2005), including flexibility for the funds to be applied toward community recreational facilities in the Railway Lands West ;
- 100% of the cost to design and construct Fort Street (between Bremner Boulevard & Housey Street);
- 50% of the cost to design and construct Housey Street (Bathurst Street to Dan Leckie Way), and an agreement to front-end 100% of the cost should the development of these blocks proceed before any development of the lands at 450-500 Lake Shore Boulevard West, which would trigger the remaining 50%;
- 33.3% contribution to the design and construction of Bremner Boulevard between Bathurst Street and Dan Leckie Way;
- contribution to the design and construction of the Bathurst Street / Fort York Boulevard / Bremner Boulevard intersection – according to the cost-sharing percentage and scope of work as detailed in Clause No. 4 of Report No. 7 of Toronto East York Community Council, adopted by City Council at its meeting of July 22, 23 and 24, 2003 - for the proportion of density attributable to Blocks 33 and 37;
- environmental remediation of lands to be constructed and conveyed for roads in a manner satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and consistent with the remediation of other lands in the Railway Lands West; and
- permission to place fill on Blocks 33 & 37, and the cost of fill removal, all related to the construction of Bremner Boulevard and Dan Leckie Way.

Blocks 9 & 10 FYN

- contribution towards a Harbourfront Community Centre Mural Program for projects to beautify lands underneath the Gardiner Expressway between Dan Leckie Way and Bathurst Street of \$75,000 payable when the Block 9 and 10 Zoning By-law Amendment comes into full force and effect or provision of the \$75,000 secured by April 1, 2005, whichever comes first;
- contribution of \$58,000 towards Community Services and Facilities in the neighbourhood such as a pool in the Harbourfront Community Centre payable at building permit issuance;
- 100% of the cost to design and construct Dan Leckie Way (from Housey St. to Lake Shore Boulevard West);
- 50% of the cost to design and construct signals at the Dan Leckie Way and Lake Shore Boulevard West intersection;
- land contributions on Lake Shore Boulevard West, on Blocks 9 and 10 generally as shown on Figure A1, Functional Road Plan for East of Bathurst Street, dated February 25, 2005 included in the May 30, 2005 “Supplementary Transportation Materials – RLW Block 33 and 37, FYN Blocks 8 and 9/10” prepared by BA Group as required to accommodate signals at Dan Leckie Way and Lake Shore Boulevard at no cost to the City and subject to final design by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
- 100% of the cost to design and construct a pedestrian mews extending Fort Street (Housey

- Street to Lake Shore Boulevard West);
- 50% of the cost to design and construct Housey Street (Bathurst Street to Dan Leckie Way), and an agreement to front-end 100% of the cost should the development of these blocks proceed before any development of Blocks 33 and 37, which would trigger the remaining 50%;
- contribution to the design and construction of the Bathurst Street / Fort York Boulevard / Bremner Boulevard intersection – according to the cost-sharing percentage and scope of work as detailed in Clause No. 4 of Report No. 7 of Toronto East York Community Council, adopted by City Council at its meeting of July 22, 23 and 24, 2003 for the proportion of density attributable to Block 9/10; and
- environmental remediation of lands to be constructed and conveyed for roads in a manner satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Service.

Blocks 2, 3, 4, 4A, 6, and 6A FYN

- 100% of the cost to design and construct local roads;
- 33.3% towards the cost to design and construct Fort York Boulevard;
- contribution to the design and construction of the Bathurst Street / Fort York Boulevard / Bremner Boulevard intersection – according to the cost-sharing percentage and scope of work as detailed in Clause No. 4 of Report No. 7 of Toronto East York Community Council, adopted by City Council at its meeting of July 22, 23 and 24, 2003 for the proportion of density attributable to Blocks 2, 3, 4, 4A, 6 and 6A;
- conveyance of land for a portion of the proposed link park and environmental remediation of the park conveyance to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism;
- conveyance of land for Fort York Boulevard; and
- environmental remediation of lands to be constructed and conveyed for roads in a manner satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services.

All Wittington Lands (Blocks 33 & 37 in RLW; Blocks 2, 3, 4, 4A, 6, 6A, 9, 10 FYN)

- infrastructure upgrades or relocation / repairs required as a result of development;
- streetscape improvements, including street lighting;
- provision of street tree irrigation;
- implementation of urban design principles detailed in the Fort York Neighbourhood Public Realm Master Plan;
- environmental requirements;
- Phase I and II Heritage Assessment, as may be required;
- consideration of a district heating and cooling facility within the development;
- public art;
- provision of 30% low-end-of-market housing units;
- peer review costs;

- easements for storm sewers and costs to relocate existing storm sewers and easements as may be required;
- inclusion of warning clauses in agreements of purchase and sale re noise and vibration;
- compliance with all standard conditions respecting Works and Emergency Services issues relating to municipal infrastructure.

Attachment D Development Charge Scenario

Wittington would pay the applicable development charges in place at the time of building permit issuance.

DC Credit Eligibility

(credits cannot be greater than the amount of DC's paid in respective road, water and sewer DC's)

- 100% of their contribution towards the Bathurst Street/Bremner Boulevard intersection;
- 100% of their contribution towards the Dan Leckie Way/Lake Shore Boulevard West intersection;
- 100% of their contribution towards Bremner between Bathurst Street and Dan Leckie Way; and
- 100% of their contribution towards Fort York Boulevard between Bathurst Street and Street 'C'.

Matters to be provided by Wittington and secured in a Section 37 Agreement

* All per unit and lump sum amounts in the following lists reflect contributions in dollars as of December 31, 2005 and are subject to indexing.

Blocks 33 & 37 RLW

- day care contribution of \$440,000;
- community centre and facilities contribution of \$242,000 payable at building permit issuance for Block 37;
- 50% of the cost to design and construct Dan Leckie Way from Bremner Boulevard to Housey Street;
- 75% of the cost to design and construct the intersection of Dan Leckie Way and Housey Street;
- park contribution at \$533.00 per unit including flexibility for the funds to be applied toward community recreational facilities in the Railway Lands West;
- 100% of the cost to design and construct Fort Street (between Bremner Boulevard &

Housey Street);

- 50% of the cost to design and construct Housey Street (Bathurst Street to Dan Leckie Way), and an agreement to front-end 100% of the cost should the development of these blocks proceed before any development of the lands at 450-500 Lake Shore Boulevard West, which would trigger the remaining 50%;
- 33.3% contribution to the design and construction of Bremner Boulevard between Bathurst Street and Dan Leckie Way;
- environmental remediation of lands to be constructed and conveyed for roads in a manner satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and consistent with the remediation of other lands in the Railway Lands West;
- contribution to the design and construction of the Bathurst Street / Fort York Boulevard / Bremner Boulevard intersection – according to the cost-sharing percentage and scope of work as detailed in Clause No. 4 of Report No. 7 of Toronto East York Community Council, adopted by City Council at its meeting of July 22, 23 and 24, 2003 - for the proportion of density attributable to Blocks 33 and 37; and
- permission to place fill on Blocks 33 & 37, and the cost of fill removal, all related to the construction of Bremner Boulevard and Dan Leckie Way.

Blocks 9 & 10 FYN

- contribution towards the Harbourfront Community Centre Mural Program for projects to beautify lands underneath the Gardiner Expressway between Dan Leckie Way and Bathurst Street of \$75,000 when the Block 9 and 10 zoning by-law amendments come into full force and effect or the provision of \$75,000 secured by April 1, 2005 whichever comes first;
- contribution of \$58,000 towards Community Services and Facilities in the neighbourhood such as a pool in the Harbourfront Community Centre payable at building permit issuance;
- Fort York Improvement Levy = \$209 per residential unit;
- 100% of the cost to design and construct Dan Leckie Way (from Housey St. to Lake Shore Boulevard West);
- 50% of the cost to design and construct signals at the Dan Leckie Way and Lake Shore Boulevard West intersection;
- land contributions on Lake Shore Boulevard West, on Blocks 9 and 10 generally as shown on Figure A1, Functional Road Plan for East of Bathurst Street, dated February 25, 2005 included in the May 30, 2005 “Supplementary Transportation Materials – RLW Block 33 and 37, FYN Blocks 8 and 9/10” prepared by BA Group, as required to accommodate signals at Dan Leckie Way and Lake Shore Boulevard West at no cost to the City and subject to final design by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
- 100% of the cost to design and construct pedestrian mews extending Fort Street (Housey Street to Lake Shore Boulevard);
- environmental remediation of lands to be constructed and conveyed for roads in a manner satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
- contribution to the design and construction of the Bathurst Street / Fort York Boulevard /

Bremner Boulevard intersection – according to the cost-sharing percentage and scope of work as detailed in Clause No. 4 of Report No. 7 of Toronto East York Community Council, adopted by City Council at its meeting of July 22, 23 and 24, 2003 for the proportion of density attributable to Block 9/10.

Blocks 2, 3, 4, 4A, 6, and 6A FYN

- 100% of the cost to design and construct local roads;
- \$1.35 million towards the cost to design and construct Fort York Boulevard;
- Fort York Improvement Levy = \$209 per residential unit;
- contribution to the design and construction of the Bathurst Street / Fort York Boulevard / Bremner Boulevard intersection – according to the cost-sharing percentage and scope of work as detailed in Clause No. 4 of Report No. 7 of Toronto East York Community Council, adopted by City Council at its meeting of July 22, 23 and 24, 2003; - for the proportion of density attributable to Blocks 2, 3, 4, 4A, 6 and 6A;
- conveyance of land for a portion of the proposed link park and environmental remediation of the park conveyance to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism;
- conveyance of land for Fort York Boulevard; and
- environmental remediation of lands to be constructed and conveyed for roads in a manner satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services.

All Wittington Lands (Blocks 33 & 37 RLW; Blocks 2, 3, 4, 4A, 6, 6A, 9, 10 FYN)

- infrastructure upgrades or relocation / repairs required as a result of development;
- streetscape improvements, including street lighting;
- provision of street tree irrigation;
- implementation of urban design principles detailed in the Fort York Neighbourhood Public Realm Master Plan;
- environmental requirements;
- Phase I and II Heritage Assessment, as may be required;
- consideration of a district heating and cooling facility within the development;
- public art;
- provision of 30% low-end-of-market housing units;
- peer review costs;
- easements for storm sewers and costs to relocate existing storm sewers and easements as may be required;
- inclusion of warning clauses in agreements of purchase and sale with respect to noise and vibration;
- environmental remediation of lands to be constructed and conveyed for roads in a manner satisfactory to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and consistent with the remediation of other lands in the Railway Lands West; and

- compliance with all standard conditions respecting Works and Emergency Services issues relating to municipal infrastructure.

(Attachment A: Block Plan, Fort York Neighbourhood Public Realm Plan, is on file in the City Clerk's Office.)