



---

**POLICY AND FINANCE COMMITTEE  
DECISION DOCUMENT  
MEETING No. 3**

*Report 3 to be considered by City Council on April 25, 26 and 27, 2006*

<b>Date of Meeting:</b>	<b>Tuesday, April 11, 2006</b>	<b>Enquiry:</b>	<b>Patsy Morris</b>
<b>Time:</b>	<b>9:30 a.m.</b>		<b>Administrator</b>
<b>Location:</b>	<b>Committee Room 1</b>		<b>(416) 392-9151</b>
	<b>City Hall</b>		<b>pmorris@toronto.ca</b>
	<b>100 Queen Street West</b>		
	<b>Toronto</b>		

---

*The Decision Document is for preliminary reference purposes only. Please refer to the Committee's Report to City Council or to the minutes for the official record.*

*How to Read the Decision Document:*

- *recommendations of the Committee to City Council are in bold type after the item heading;*
- *action taken by the Committee on its own authority does not require Council's approval – it is reported to Council for information, and is listed in the decision document in bold type under the heading "Action taken by the Committee"; and*
- *Declarations of Interest, if any, appear at the end of an item.*

**Confirmation of Minutes – March 27, 2006**

**Communications/Reports**

- 1. Extension of Free Parking for Canadian Veterans 10:00 a.m.**

**(Deferred from the meeting held on January 23, 2006)**

**Report 3, Clause 33 (a)**

**The Policy and Finance Committee:**

- (1) referred the report (January 17, 2006) from the President, Toronto Parking Authority, to the City Manager;**
- (2) requested the City Manager to:**

- (i) **convene a meeting with the following interested parties: the Royal Canadian Legion, the Toronto Parking Authority and the City of Toronto, to make recommendations to the Policy and Finance Committee on a permitted system for free parking in municipal parking places which has the following criteria:**
  - (a) **recognizes the sacrifices of those who served in the World Wars and the Korean Conflict;**
  - (b) **is administratively workable; and**
  - (c) **is enforceable; and**
- (ii) **give consideration to the communication (April 11, 2006) from Mr. David Adamson, District D Chair of the Royal Canadian Legion, and other correspondence received respecting this matter; and**

**submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee as soon as possible respecting this matter.**

Report (January 17, 2006) from the President, Toronto Parking Authority, reporting as requested by the Policy and Finance Committee, at their meeting of November 22, 2005, regarding free parking privileges for Canadian Veterans.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the City of Toronto not re-establish the exemption from the payment of parking fees for vehicles bearing ‘veteran plates’, and
  - (2) should the City of Toronto wish to pursue the establishment of permit based veteran’s parking program that it request the appropriate City officials to report back on the steps necessary to establish this program including consideration of the persons eligible for permits, any enforcement issues related to such a system, and the costs associated with establishing, operating and enforcing the program.
- 1(a).** Communication (January 19, 2006) from Major S. H. Pollock CD respecting the extension of free parking for Canadian veterans.
  - 1(b).** Communication (January 20, 2006) from Mr. Jack S. Climans writing in favour of the extension of free parking for Canadian Veterans.
  - 1(c).** Communication (March 13, 2006) from Hubert S. Cooper writing in favour of the extension of free parking for Canadian Veterans.

- 1(d).** Communication (March 21, 2006) from David Adamson, Royal Canadian Legion, District D Chairman, writing in favour of the extension of free parking for Canadian Veterans.

Deputy Mayor Sandra Bussin declared her interest in this matter in that her father is a veteran and her brother and sister both active in the Armed Forces.

**2. Amendments to Integrity Commissioner's Complaint Protocol Respecting Trivial and Unmeritorious Complaints**

**(Deferred from the meeting held on January 23, 2006)**

**Report 3 Clause 1**

**“The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation in the Recommendation Section of the report (December 21, 2005) from the Integrity Commissioner, subject to amending Appendix “A”, Part (B), entitled “Formal Complaint Procedure: Integrity Commissioner” under the Sub-Section entitled “Reports” Part (4), to read as follows:”**

- “(4) The Integrity Commissioner may report to Council that a specific complaint is not within the jurisdiction of the Integrity Commissioner.”**

Report (December 21, 2005) from the Integrity Commissioner making recommendations for revising the Integrity Commissioner's Complaint Protocol so as to protect Councillors from the adverse consequences of unmeritorious complaints.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that Council adopt the recommendations for amendments to the Council code of Conduct Complaint Protocol for a City Integrity Commissioner (“Complaint Protocol”) as contained in Appendix A to this report.

**3. Toronto Youth Strategy 2006 Implementation Priorities and Terms of Reference for the Youth Strategy Panel**

**Report 3, Clause 2**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that:**

- (1) City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (March 27, 2006) from Deputy City Manager Sue Corke; and**
- (2) Deputy City Manager Sue Corke be requested to:**

- (a) **develop a proposed action plan for Toronto's 2007 year of Children and Youth and deliver a progress report to the first meeting of the Youth Strategy Panel and the children's Services Advisory Committee; and**
- (b) **work together with Learnx to develop a city-wide endowment fund model that serves all secondary students in public systems in Toronto and which employs a variety of sources of funding; and**

**submit a report to the Youth Strategy Panel in September 2006.**

Report (March 27, 2006) from Deputy City Manager Sue Corke recommending Terms of Reference for the Youth Strategy Panel, and providing an update on the work that can be undertaken within existing resources or with external funding to advance the Toronto Youth Strategy's 2006 implementation priorities.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the Terms of Reference for the Youth Strategy Panel as described in Appendix A be adopted; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**4. Proposed Structure, Terms of Reference and Membership for the Integrated Children's Services Advisory Committee**

**Report 3, Clause 3**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (March 27, 2006) from Deputy City Manager Sue Corke subject to amending the recommendations and the Terms of Reference to provide that the name of the committee be amended to read "Children's Services Advisory Committee", so that the Recommendations now reads as follows:**

**"It is recommended that:**

- (1) **the proposed structure, terms of reference and membership of the Children's Services Advisory Committee, attached as Appendix 1, be approved;**
- (2) **the Children's Services Advisory Committee develop and submit a detailed work plan to the Community Services Committee; and**

- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”**

Report (March 27, 2006) from Deputy City Manager Sue Corke outlining the proposed structure, terms of reference and membership for the Integrated Children’s Services Advisory Committee.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the proposed structure, terms of reference and membership of the Integrated Children’s Services Advisory Committee, attached as Appendix 1, be approved;
- (2) the Integrated Children’s Services Advisory Committee develop and submit a detailed work plan to Community Services Committee; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**5. Polling Harmonization – Additional Information**

**Report 3, Clause 4**

**The Policy and Finance Committee:**

- (1) recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (March 28, 2006) from the City Clerk, subject to:**

- (i) amending Sub section XXX-3 (B)(1) of the draft By-law to read as follows:**

**“(3)(B)(1) in consultation with the local Councillor, the requesting division shall submit to the City Clerk in a form prescribed by the City Clerk a written request to complete the poll, the local Councillor may request that the established polling area be expanded and that any additional costs be taken from the Councillor’s budget for the extension of the established polling area;”;** and

- (ii) amending Sub section XXX-7 (B) to read as follows:**

**“(B) At the end of the polling period, the City Clerk shall record all accepted ballots and shall certify, in writing, the results of the poll and provide local Councillors with a copy of the results as soon as they are available. The City Clerk will then write a letter to the requesting**

**division indicating the results of the poll. The City Clerk shall post the results of the poll on the City of Toronto internet web site for Polling and shall notify by mail those persons who requested on their ballots that they be notified of the results of the poll.**

Report (March 28, 2006) from the City Clerk providing additional information on the harmonization of polling practices as requested by Policy and Finance Committee.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) effective January, 2007 the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 90 – Polling Notification Procedures of the former City of Toronto, by-law 1994-0394 and 1996-0450 be repealed; former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 313 Section 313-36 B(6), B(7) and 313-77 be repealed and the various polling provisions presently existing in separate by-laws, with the exception of traffic calming, of the City of Toronto and the former Borough of East York, cities of Etobicoke, North York, Scarborough and York and the former Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto be repealed and the procedures consolidated;
  - (2) Chapter XXX – Polling Procedures be added to the current City of Toronto Municipal Code;
  - (3) approval be given to the polling policies and procedures as outlined in the draft by-law attached as Appendix “A”;
  - (4) the City Clerk include the funding requirements of \$41,500 for material costs supporting translation and results notification in the 2007 Operating Budget submission;
  - (5) a copy of this report be forwarded to the Budget Advisory Committee for consideration with the City’s 2007 Operating Budget; and
  - (6) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect hereto including the introduction of any necessary bills.
- 5(a).** Report (January 9, 2006) from the City Clerk outlining the polling practices and policies that have been used in the City of Toronto post-amalgamation and to recommend harmonized polling practices.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) effective January, 2007 the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 90 – Polling Notification Procedures of the former City of Toronto, bylaw 1994-0394

and 1996-0450 be repealed; former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 313 Section 313-36 B(6), B(7) and 313-77 be repealed and the various polling provisions presently existing in separate bylaws, with the exception of traffic calming, of the city of Toronto and the former Borough of East York, cities of Etobicoke, North York, Scarborough and York and the former Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto be repealed and the procedures consolidated;

- (2) City Council adopt the policies and procedures outlined in the draft by-law located in Appendix A;
- (3) Chapter XXX - Polling Procedures be added to the current City of Toronto Municipal Code;
- (4) the City Clerk include the funding requirements of \$31,500 in the 2007 Operating Budget submission;
- (5) effective January, 2007, a new user fee of \$600.00 per 400 notices (or \$125.00 per 100 notices), be implemented for each poll that is required as a condition to approving any application made by private individuals, corporations, businesses, community or professional organizations and associations, the estimated impact to be included in the City Clerk's Operating Budget submission. The proposed new user fees for polling services be implemented in accordance with Part XII of the Municipal Act, 2001 and the Ontario Regulation 244/02 for new user fees and charges; and
- (6) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect hereto including the introduction of any necessary bills.

**5(b). Polling Harmonization – Additional Information**

Appendix "A" referred to in the report (March 28, 2006) from the City Clerk respecting past pooling practices and Recommendations for future polling procedures.

**6. Property Tax Exemption for Sheena's Place, A Registered Charity (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina)**

**Report 3, Clause 33 (b)**

**The Policy and Finance Committee received the report (March 29, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer.**

Report (March 29, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer responding to a request from the Policy and Finance Committee to the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer to report on a request from Sheena's Place seeking the City's support for an exemption from property taxes.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

**7. Complaint Pursuant to Section 20 of the *Development Charges Act, 1997*  
Granite Club – 2350 Bayview Avenue, Toronto**

**Report 3, Clause 5**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendation contained in the Recommendation Section of the report (March 27, 2006) from Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer.**

Report (March 27, 2006) from Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer, providing staff recommendations in response to a complaint filed under section 20 of the *Development Charges Act, 1997*.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the complaint dated December 5, 2005, with respect to 2350 Bayview Avenue (Granite Club) be dismissed.

- 7(a)** Communication (April 11, 2006) from Councillor Cliff Jenkins, advising that the complaint by the Granite Club in the matter of Development Charges being applied to expansion of its aquatic facilities has merit; and recommending that the Committee adopt the position that the addition should be exempt from the payment of development charges.

**8. City of Toronto Participation – UNESCO Coalition of Cities against Racism**

**Report 3, Clause 6**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (March 28, 2006) from the City Manager.**

Report (March 28, 2006) from the City Manager responding to the Federation of Canadian Municipalities' request that its members join the UNESCO initiative to establish a Coalition of Municipalities against Racism and develop Action Plans against racism.



Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the City of Toronto join the UNESCO Coalition of Municipalities against Racism and Discrimination;
- (2) the City of Toronto submit Council's Plan of Action against Racism and Discrimination adopted by City Council in April, 2003 as Toronto's Action Plan; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**8(a). City of Toronto Participation – UNESCO Coalition of Cities against Racism**

Communication (April 10, 2006) from Councillor Joe Mihevc, Chair, Roundtable on Access Equity and Human Rights advising that the Roundtable on Access Equity and Human Rights on April 5, 2006, endorsed the recommendations of the City Manager's report (March 28, 2006) regarding the City of Toronto's participation in the UNESCO Coalition of Cities Against Racism and requested him to advise the Policy and Finance Committee at its April 11, 2006 meeting.

**9. Bill 123, *Transparency in Public Matters Act, 2005*****Report 3, Clause 33 (c)**

**The Policy and Finance Committee referred the communication (March 7, 2006) from the Administration Committee to the City Manager with a request that she submit a report to the meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee scheduled to be held on May 16, 2006, on how this can be best incorporated within the context of the City of Toronto Act.**

Communication (March 7, 2006) from the Administration Committee advising that the Administration Committee on March 6, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council recommend to the provincial government that the provisions of Bill 123, being "*An Act to require that meetings of provincial and municipal boards, commissions and other public bodies be open to the public*" be incorporated into the proposed *City of Toronto Act 2005 (Bill 53)*.

**10. Acquisition of 36 Finch Avenue West for the North York Centre Service Road  
(Ward 23 – Willowdale)**

**Report 3, Clause 7**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Administration Committee contained in the communication (March 7, 2006) from the Administration Committee.**

Communication (March 7, 2006) from the Administration Committee advising that the Administration Committee on March 6, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the following staff Recommendations (1), (2) and (4) in the Recommendations Section of the report (February 17, 2006) from the Chief Corporate Officer:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the Offer to Sell from Kevin Rod and Vida Chadvar to the City, for the property municipally known as 36 Finch Avenue West, in the amount of \$650,000.00 be accepted substantially on the terms outlined in Appendix “A” to this report, and that each of the Chief Corporate Officer and the Director of Real Estate Services be authorized severally to accept the Offer on behalf of the City;
- (2) the City Solicitor be authorized to complete the transaction on behalf of the City including making payment of any necessary expenses and amending the closing date and other dates to such earlier or later date(s) and on such terms and conditions as she may from time to time consider reasonable; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

**11. Sale of Surplus Property – Avondale Composting and Borrow Pit Site,  
Located East End of Keele Street, Between Kirby Road and Teston Road  
(City of Vaughan)**

**Report 3, Clause 8**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Administration Committee contained in the communication (March 7, 2006) from the Administration Committee subject to adding thereto the following additional recommendations (4) and (5):**

- “(4) the City of Toronto enter into an agreement with Vaughan on terms and conditions satisfactory to the Chief Corporate Officer and in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor providing that if Vaughan re-sells the property, a portion of the sale price would be payable to the City; and

- (5) **the City of Toronto enter into an agreement with Vaughan on terms and conditions satisfactory to the Chief Corporate Officer and in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor permitting the City to re-purchase the property, for a set price, if Vaughan expresses an intention to dispose of its interest;” and**

**renumbering the recommendations accordingly so that they now read as follows:**

**“It is recommended that:**

- (1) the Offer to Purchase from the City of Vaughan to purchase the Avondale Composting and Borrow Pit Site, located east of Keele Street, between Kirby Road and Teston Road, being part of Lots 26, 27 and 28, Concession 3, Vaughan, and designated as Parts 1 and 59 on Reference Plan 65R-5832, subject to the retention of easements as set out in Appendix “A” (the “Property”), in the amount of \$6,205,000.00 be accepted substantially on the terms and conditions outlined in Appendix “A” to this report, and that either one of the Chief Corporate Officer or the Director of Real Estate Services be authorized to accept the Offer on behalf of the City;**
- (2) authority be granted to direct a portion of the proceeds on closing to fund the City’s expenses related to the Property and its sale;**
- (3) the City Solicitor be authorized to complete the transaction on behalf of the City, including making payment of any necessary expenses and amending the closing date and other transaction dates to such earlier or later date(s), and on such terms and conditions as she may from time to time consider reasonable; and**
- (4) the City of Toronto enter into an agreement with Vaughan on terms and conditions satisfactory to the Chief Corporate Officer and in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor providing that if Vaughan re-sells the property, a portion of the sale price would be payable to the City;**
- (5) the City of Toronto enter into an agreement with Vaughan on terms and conditions satisfactory to the Chief Corporate Officer and in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor permitting the City to re-purchase the property, for a set price, if Vaughan expresses an intention to dispose of its interest; and**
- (6) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”**

Communication (March 7, 2006) from the Administration Committee advising that the Administration Committee on March 6, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the following staff recommendations in the

Recommendations Section of the report (December 8, 2005) from the Chief Corporate Officer:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the Offer to Purchase from the City of Vaughan to purchase the Avondale Composting and Borrow Pit Site, located east of Keele Street, between Kirby Road and Teston Road, being part of Lots 26, 27 and 28, Concession 3, Vaughan, and designated as Parts 1 and 59 on Reference Plan 65R-5832, subject to the retention of easements as set out in Appendix “A” (the “Property”), in the amount of \$6,205,000.00 be accepted substantially on the terms and conditions outlined in Appendix “A” to this report, and that either one of the Chief Corporate Officer or the Director of Real Estate Services be authorized to accept the Offer on behalf of the City;
- (2) authority be granted to direct a portion of the proceeds on closing to fund the City’s expenses related to the Property and its sale;
- (3) the City Solicitor be authorized to complete the transaction on behalf of the City, including making payment of any necessary expenses and amending the closing date and other transaction dates to such earlier or later date(s), and on such terms and conditions as she may from time to time consider reasonable; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

**12. F.G. Gardiner Expressway – Humber River to Highway 427 –  
State of Good Repair Needs  
(Wards 5 and 6, Etobicoke-Lake Shore and  
Wards 13 and 14 Parkdale-High Park)**

**Report 3, Clause 33 (d)**

**The Policy and finance Committee referred the communication (March 7, 2006) from the Works Committee to the Office of the Mayor for consideration and report thereon, as soon as possible, to the Policy and Finance Committee.**

Communication (March 7, 2006) from the Works Committee advising that the Works Committee on March 7, 2006 recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council request the Province of Ontario to take back that portion of the former Queen Elizabeth Way between the Humber River and Highway 427 that the Province downloaded to the former Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto on April 1, 1997.

- 13. Rear Surface Flooding 220 to 244 Waverley Avenue,  
79 to 91 Norway Avenue, and 233 to 241 Kenilworth Avenue  
(Ward 32, Beaches-East York)**

**Report 3, Clause 9**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Works Committee contained in the communication (March 7, 2006) from the Works Committee subject to adding the following additional Recommendation (3):**

- “(3) Council consider the City’s assistance by sharing in the cost of resolution of the drainage problems to be in the interests of the municipality;”;**

**so that the recommendations now reads as follows:**

**“It is recommended that:**

- (1) the City effect the resolution of the drainage problems at the rear of 220 to 244 Waverley Avenue, 79 to 91 Norway Avenue and 233 to 241 Kenilworth Avenue, the City’s share to be limited to 50 percent of the total cost, with the remaining 50 percent to be covered, in advance of the completion of any work, from the benefiting property owners; and**
- (2) in the event that the City is unable to secure contribution commitments within six months from any of the benefiting property owners, or in the event that the City is unable to secure Right of Entry and Release from any required property owner, the City’s offer be rescinded; and**
- (3) Council consider the City’s assistance by sharing in the cost of resolution of the drainage problems to be in the interests of the municipality;”;**

Communication (March 7, 2006) from the Works Committee advising that the Works Committee on March 7, 2006 recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee, and City Council, that the recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 19, 2005) from the General Manager, Toronto Water be deleted and replaced with the following:

**“It is recommended that:**

- (1) the City effect the resolution of the drainage problems at the rear of 220 to 244 Waverley Avenue, 79 to 91 Norway Avenue and 233 to 241 Kenilworth Avenue, the City’s share to be limited to 50 percent of the total cost, with the remaining 50 percent to be covered, in advance of the completion of any work, from the benefiting property owners; and**

- (2) in the event that the City is unable to secure contribution commitments within six months from any of the benefiting property owners, or in the event that the City is unable to secure Right of Entry and Release from any required property owner, the City's offer be rescinded."

**14. Drain Grant Appeal – 52 Emerald Crescent, Etobicoke  
(Ward 6)**

**Report 3, Clause 10**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Works Committee contained in the communication (March 7, 2006) from the Works Committee.**

Communication (March 7, 2006) from the Works Committee advising that the Works Committee on March 7, 2006 recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council, under Section 107 of the Municipal Act, grant an amount of \$900.00 to Mr. Angelo Mifsud, owner of 52 Emerald Crescent, Etobicoke, towards the cost of repair to the sewer connection on his property, in that Council considers this matter to be in the interest of the municipality.

**15. Procurement of Iron Salts (Ferrous Chloride) Used for Removal of Phosphorus from Sewage at the Wastewater Treatment Plants  
(All Wards)**

**Report 3, Clause 11**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that:**

- (1) City Council adopt the recommendation of the Works Committee contained in the communication (March 7, 2006) from the Works Committee; and**
- (2) the General Manager, Toronto Water, and the Treasurer, be requested, in the five year period of the contract, to research alternative suppliers on a world wide basis and explore the possibility of using more economical alternatives.**

Communication (March 7, 2006) from the Works Committee advising that the Works Committee on March 7, 2006 recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (February 20, 2006) from the General Manager, Toronto Water and the Treasurer respecting Procurement of Iron Salts (Ferrous Chloride) Used for Removal of Phosphorus from Sewage at the Wastewater Treatment Plants (All Wards), subject to amending Recommendation (1) to read as follows:

“(1) the approved 2006 Toronto Water Operating Budget be amended by increasing the Gross Expenditures by \$1,075,000.00 from \$363,874,500.00 to \$364,949,500.00, and by decreasing the budgeted contribution from the Operating program to Capital Reserve Funds by \$1,075,000.00 from \$246,576,100.00 to \$245,501,100.00.”

**16. How Quickly the Toronto Police Service Can Recruit and Train the 250 New Police Officers Hired Under the “Safer Communities – 1,000 Officers Partnership Program”; Locations To Which They Will be Deployed; Associated Costs; and Multicultural Diversity of the New Police Officers**

**(Deferred from the meeting held on January 23, 2006)**

**Report 3, Clause 12**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council receive the report (January 23, 2006) from the Chair, Toronto Police Services Board.**

**Other Action taken by the Committee**

**The Policy and Finance Committee received:**

- (i) the report (January 18, 2006) from the Chair, Toronto Police Services Board; and**
- (ii) the presentation from representatives of the Toronto Police Service.**

Report (January 23, 2006) from the Chair, Toronto Police Services Board, submitting a report providing a response to a request by Toronto City Council for information on a number of issues related to the 250 new police officers that will be hired by the Toronto Police Service as a result of the “Safer Communities – 1,000 Officers Partnership Program” sponsored by the Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services.

**Recommendations:**

It is recommended that:

- (1) the Policy and Finance Committee receive this report at its January 23, 2006, meeting; and
  - (2) following consideration by the Policy and Finance Committee, a copy of this report be forwarded to Toronto City Council for consideration at its January 31, 2006, meeting.
- 16(a).** Report (January 18, 2006) from the Chair, Toronto Police Services Board, responding to the September 2005 request by Toronto City Council for information on the specific number of new police officers that will be hired in 2005 and 2006.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the Policy and Finance Committee receive this report.

**17. Feasibility of Creating a Construction Unit – To Offset the Requirement of Having Paid Duty Officers**

**Report 3, Clause 33(e)**

**The Policy and Finance Committee:**

- (1) referred the report (March 14, 2006) from the Chair, Toronto Police Services Board to the City Solicitor for consideration and report to the Policy and Finance Committee;**
- (2) requested the Toronto Police Services Board to request police officials to meet with any interested Councillors to go over the figures included in the foregoing report from the Chair, Toronto Police Services Board; and**
- (3) requested the City Solicitor in her forthcoming report to include the following:**
  - (i) all relevant legislation that purportedly requires the use of pay duty officers for construction related activities and what changes would be required to reduce and/or eliminate this; and**
  - (ii) determine if the new City of Toronto Act offers the possibility of relief in this matter and if not, what amendments would be required to the City of Toronto Act in order to facilitate relief.**

Report (March 14, 2006) from the Chair, Toronto Police Services Board, advising that the Toronto Police Services Board on February 15, 2006, was in receipt of a report, dated January 19, 2006, from the Chief of Police containing a response to a request for information on the feasibility of creating a construction unit for the purposes of providing statutory construction policing services to the City of Toronto.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the Policy and Finance Committee receive this report.

**18. Toronto Police Service – 2005 Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report**

**Report 3, Clause 33(f)**



**The Policy and Finance Committee received the report (March 13, 2006) from the Chair, Toronto Police Services Board.**

Report (March 13, 2006) from the Chair, Toronto Police Services Board, advising that the Toronto Police Services Board on February 15, 2006, was in receipt of a report, dated January 20, 2006, from Chief of Police William Blair regarding the Toronto Police Service 2005 Hate/Bias Crime Statistical Report.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the Policy and Finance Committee receive this report.

**19. Positive Ticketing Program – Pilot Project in No. 41 Division, Toronto Police Service REF: 05-PF#6(6)**

**Report 3, Clause 33 (g)**

**The Policy and Finance Committee received the report (March 14, 2006) from the Chair, Toronto Police Services Board.**

Report (March 14, 2006) from the Chair, Toronto Police Services Board, advising that the Toronto Police Services Board on February 15, 2006, was in receipt of a report (dated February 15, 2006) on the implementation of Positive Ticketing pilot project in No. 41 Division.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the Policy and Finance Committee receive this report and forward a copy to Toronto City Council for information.

**20. Annual Report: Toronto Police Service Parking Enforcement Unit Absenteeism and Tag Issuance for the period between January and December 2005**

**Report 3, Clause 33 (h)**

**The Policy and Finance Committee received the report (March 29, 2006) from the Chair, Toronto Police Services Board.**

Report (March 29, 2005) from the Chair, Toronto Police Services Board, advising that the Toronto Police Services Board on February 15, 2006, was in receipt of a report, dated January 16, 2006, from the Chief of Police regarding the level of tag issuance and absenteeism by the Toronto Police Service – Parking Enforcement Unit for the year ending 2005.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the Policy and Finance Committee receive this report.

**21. 2005 Capital and Operating Variance Reports Ending September 30, 2005 for the Toronto Police Services Board, Toronto Police Service and Toronto Police Service – Parking Enforcement Unit**

**Report 3, Clause 33 (i)**

**The Policy and Finance Committee received the report (January 18, 2006) from the Chair, Toronto Police Services Board.**

Report (January 18, 2006) from the Chair, Toronto Police Services Board, advising the Policy and Finance Committee of the results of the 2005 capital and operating variance reports ending September 30, 2005 for the Toronto Police Services Board, Toronto Police Service and the Toronto Police Service –Parking Enforcement Unit.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the Policy and Finance Committee receive this report.

**22. Technology and Costs Affecting Accessibility on Streetcars**

**Report 3, Clause 33 (j)**

**The Policy and Finance Committee postponed consideration of the communication (February 24, 2006) from the General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission until the forthcoming report from the Toronto Transit Commission in April, 2006.**

Communication (February 24, 2006) from the General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission, advising that the Toronto Transit Commission on Wednesday, February 22, 2006, considered the attached report entitled, “Technology and Costs Affecting Accessibility on Streetcars.”

The Commission approved the Recommendation contained in the report, as listed below:

“It is recommended that the Commission:

- (1) note that staff concluded that after exhaustive research on the means of making the current streetcar fleet accessible, and on consideration that the only technically feasible lift device would cost approximately \$80,000 per car, or \$15.7 million dollars for the fleet of 196 CLRVs, the impact on customer service, the significant safety concern in its operation on streetcars; and the increased operating costs due to the deployment time required for lift operation, this is not a practical objective and that this should not be pursued further;

- (2) note that, in order to make TTC streetcar service accessible to people with mobility difficulties, the most practical way is to accelerate the procurement and introduction of new streetcars which would be low floor and fully accessible;
- (3) forward this report to the City of Toronto, in satisfaction of one of the directives of the City Council adopted at its December 14 and 16, 2005 meeting. At this meeting, the Council amended Policy and Finance Committee Report 9, Clause 31b – Streetcar Fleet Plan, and requested “that the Toronto Transit Commission be requested to advise City Council on the additional costs required to make streetcars accessible, and provide an opportunity for City Council to consider these additional costs prior to a future decision being made by the Toronto Transit Commission on any refurbishing of current streetcars or purchase of new streetcars.”

The Commission also approved the following ancillary motion:

- (1) That this report be forwarded to the City Policy and Finance Committee for information and that staff be requested to make a presentation to the Committee in conjunction with consideration of the report.

The foregoing is forwarded to City of Toronto Council through the City Policy and Finance Committee for information.

**23. Lease Renewal for Leased Property within the Horse Palace – Exhibition Place (South District Animal Services and Shelter)**

**Report 3, Clause 13**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 31, 2006) from the General Manager and Chief Executive Officer, Exhibition Place**

Report (January 31, 2006) from the General Manager and Chief Executive Officer, Exhibition Place, submitting a report for the consideration of the Policy and Finance Committee, and for subsequent approval by City Council.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that City Council renew the lease with the South District Animal Services and Shelter within the Horse Palace for a renewal term of nineteen (19) years and seven (7) months and otherwise on the same terms and conditions as contained in the present lease.

**24. Costs Associated with the Relocation of Utilities**

**Report 3, Clause 33 (k)**

**The Policy and Finance Committee referred the communication (February 13, 2006) from Councillor Howard Moscoe to the City Manager for report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee.**

Communication (February 13, 2006) from Councillor Howard Moscoe respecting costs associated with the relocation of utilities and presenting various motions to be considered by the Policy and Finance Committee.

## **25. City of Toronto Energy Plan**

### **Report 3, Clause 33 (1)**

#### **The Policy and Finance Committee:**

- (A) approved the following Recommendations (1) to (3) and (6) contained in the report (March 27, 2006) from Deputy Mayor Pantalone:**
- “(1) the Port Lands Green Energy Plan be taken into account in on-going preparation and implementation of the City of Toronto Energy Plan including the Renewable Energy Plan, the City of Toronto Air Quality Action Plan and the Environmental Plan Update;**
  - (2) the City of Toronto continue to document the megawatts of energy saved and economic and air quality benefits accrued due to the City’s energy conservation programs and the related reductions in energy demand in City operations;**
  - (3) a report be submitted to the Roundtable on the Environment by Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin in consultation with Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer Joe Pennachetti on the potential for renewable energy generation and use in the City of Toronto, to be completed by September 2006;**
  - (6) the Director, Business and Strategic Innovation, Facilities and Real Estate Division be requested, to:**
    - (a) expand the consultation on the Energy Plan to include the Toronto District School Board, the Toronto Catholic District School Board and the Toronto Transit Commission;**
    - (b) engage the public, as part of the consultation process, by using existing communication tools such as notices in Toronto Hydro bills and advertising on City bus shelters and litter bins;”;**

- (B) amended recommendation (7) (b) contained in the aforementioned report by adding thereto the following:

**“and that the City’s Executive Environmental Team be consulted to ensure that the report to the Policy and Finance Committee on June 20, 2006, address and integrate the City’s broader environmental agenda.**

**and renumbered the recommendations so that they now read as follows:**

- “(1) the Port Lands Green Energy Plan be taken into account in on-going preparation and implementation of the City of Toronto Energy Plan including the Renewable Energy Plan, the City of Toronto Air Quality Action Plan and the Environmental Plan Update;**
- (2) the City of Toronto continue to document the megawatts of energy saved and economic and air quality benefits accrued due to the City’s energy conservation programs and the related reductions in energy demand in City operations;**
- (3) a report be submitted to the Roundtable on the Environment by Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin in consultation with Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer Joe Pennachetti on the potential for renewable energy generation and use in the City of Toronto, to be completed by September 2006;**
- (4) the Director, Business and Strategic Innovation, Facilities and Real Estate Division be requested, to:**
- (a) expand the consultation on the Energy Plan to include the Toronto District School Board, the Toronto Catholic District School Board and the Toronto Transit Commission;**
- (b) engage the public, as part of the consultation process, by using existing communication tools such as notices in Toronto Hydro bills and advertising on City bus shelters and litter bins;”;**
- (5) the Director, Business and Strategic Innovation, Facilities and Real Estate Division, be requested to:**
- (a) prepare a report for presentation to the Roundtable on the Environment at its meeting on May 29, 2006, on:**
- (i) alternatives to the 550 megawatt Port Lands Energy Centre including consideration of a smaller facility;**
- (ii) an interim energy plan and the actions outlined in the 10 point Port Lands Green Energy Plan;**

- (iii) **solid numbers on the difference between energy demand and capacity to meet energy demand in the City of Toronto and numbers on how much energy is used daily and for what purpose;**
  - (iv) **clear statements on actions that will lead to reduced energy demand, particularly the demand for electricity, such as reducing the use of conventional air conditioning during times of peak demand; and**
  - (v) **more detailed information on the investments required and related energy and financial savings with regard to the Port Land Green Energy Plan;**
- (b) **prepare a report for presentation to the Policy and Finance Committee at its meeting on June 20, 2006, on:**
- (i) **the inclusion of more quantitative indicators of energy use including minimum and maximum energy demand and energy production capacities;**
  - (ii) **additional information on the split in energy use between industrial commercial and residential and identify programs including energy efficiency projects that will reduce demand in both sectors;**
  - (iii) **the proposals contained in the communication (February 20, 2006) from Fiona Nelson;**

**and that the City's Executive Environmental Team be consulted to ensure that the report to the Policy and Finance Committee on June 20, 2006, address and integrate the City's broader environmental agenda;**

- (C) **referred the following Recommendation (4) contained in the report (March 27, 2006) from Deputy Mayor Pantalone to the Mayor's Office for discussion with the Province:**

**“(4) Toronto City Council inform Premier McGuinty, the Ontario Minister of Energy, the Ontario Minister of the Environment, the Ontario Minister of Health and the Ontario Minister of Economic Development and Trade about the on-going energy conservation measures at the City of Toronto;” and**

- (D) **directed that the following Recommendation (5) contained in the report (March 27, 2006) from Deputy Mayor Pantalone is out of order given that City Council has already taken a position respecting this matter:**

- “(5) Toronto City Council inform Premier McGuinty, the Ontario Minister of Energy, the Ontario Minister of the Environment, the Ontario Minister of Health and the Ontario Minister of Economic Development and Trade of the City of Toronto’s opposition to building a 550 megawatt gas-powered generation facility on the Toronto waterfront given the economic, environmental and health benefits demonstrated by energy conservation and continued reductions in energy demand at the City of Toronto;”;**
- (E) received the report April 5, 2006) from the Chief Corporate Officer; and directed that a copy be forwarded to the Roundtable on the Environment for its advice and comment at its meeting scheduled to be held on May 29, 2006; and**
- (F) requested the Energy Efficiency Office to report to the Round Table on the Environment on May 29, 2006 on how the City of Toronto can facilitate the creation of additional energy sources on a micro level – such as hotels, large office towers- in Toronto; and further whether a model similar to the Better Buildings Partnership could be developed by the city to achieve this goal.**

Report (March 27, 2006) from Deputy Mayor Joe Pantalone advising that the Roundtable on the Environment on February 20, 2006, received a presentation on the Draft Terms of Reference for a Comprehensive Energy Plan for the City of Toronto and the Portlands Green Energy Plan from Jodie Parmar, Director, Business and Strategic Innovation and Richard Morris, Manager, Energy Efficiency Office, Facilities and Real Estate Division; and as Chair of the Roundtable on the Environment, he has been requested by the Roundtable to forward the following recommendations to the Policy and Finance Committee for consideration and approval.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the Port Lands Green Energy Plan be taken into account in on-going preparation and implementation of the City of Toronto Energy Plan including the Renewable Energy Plan, the City of Toronto Air Quality Action Plan and the Environmental Plan Update;
- (2) the City of Toronto continue to document the megawatts of energy saved and economic and air quality benefits accrued due to the City’s energy conservation programs and the related reductions in energy demand in City operations;
- (3) a report be submitted to the Roundtable on the Environment by Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin in consultation with Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer Joe Pennachetti on the potential for renewable energy generation and use in the City of Toronto, to be completed by September 2006;

- (4) Toronto City Council inform Premier McGuinty, the Ontario Minister of Energy, the Ontario Minister of the Environment, the Ontario Minister of Health and the Ontario Minister of Economic Development and Trade about the on-going energy conservation measures at the City of Toronto;
- (5) Toronto City Council inform Premier McGuinty, the Ontario Minister of Energy, the Ontario Minister of the Environment, the Ontario Minister of Health and the Ontario Minister of Economic Development and Trade of the City of Toronto's opposition to building a 550 megawatt gas-powered generation facility on the Toronto waterfront given the economic, environmental and health benefits demonstrated by energy conservation and continued reductions in energy demand at the City of Toronto;
- (6) the Director, Business and Strategic Innovation, Facilities and Real Estate Division be requested, to:
  - (a) expand the consultation on the Energy Plan to include the Toronto District School Board, the Toronto Catholic District School Board and the Toronto Transit Commission;
  - (b) engage the public, as part of the consultation process, by using existing communication tools such as notices in Toronto Hydro bills and advertising on City bus shelters and litter bins;
- (7) the Director, Business and Strategic Innovation, Facilities and Real Estate Division, be requested to:
  - (a) prepare a report for presentation to the Roundtable on the Environment at its meeting on May 29, 2006, on:
    - (i) alternatives to the 550 megawatt Port Lands Energy Centre including consideration of a smaller facility;
    - (ii) an interim energy plan and the actions outlined in the 10 point Port Lands Green Energy Plan;
    - (iii) solid numbers on the difference between energy demand and capacity to meet energy demand in the City of Toronto and numbers on how much energy is used daily and for what purpose;
    - (iv) clear statements on actions that will lead to reduced energy demand, particularly the demand for electricity, such as reducing the use of conventional air conditioning during times of peak demand; and



- (v) more detailed information on the investments required and related energy and financial savings with regard to the Port Land Green Energy Plan;
- (b) prepare a report for presentation to the Policy and Finance Committee at its meeting on June 20, 2006, on:
  - (i) the inclusion of more quantitative indicators of energy use including minimum and maximum energy demand and energy production capacities;
  - (ii) additional information on the split in energy use between industrial/ commercial and residential and identify programs including energy efficiency projects that will reduce demand in both sectors; and
  - (iii) the proposals contained in the communication (February 20, 2006) from Fiona Nelson.

**25(a). Status Report on the Energy Plan for Toronto – All Wards**

Report (April 5, 2006) from the Chief Corporate Officer addressing the consumption of electricity and natural gas by the broader Toronto community ("Toronto"), as well as consumption within Toronto's municipal government corporation ("the City"); and advising that the information contained in this report will be used to aid in the development of the Energy Plan for Toronto

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

Councillor Cowbourne declared her interest in this matter in that her husband is employed by the Independent Electricity System Operator, which is responsible for the reliability of the electricity supply in Ontario.

**26. Community Concerns Relating to the Keele Correctional Centre – 11:00 a.m.  
330 Keele Street**

**Report 3, Clause 33 (m)**

**The Policy and Finance Committee:**

- (1) referred the following operative paragraph contained in the communication (February 10, 2006) from the City Clerk, to the City Manager for report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee as soon as possible:

**“AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT City Council request Corrections Canada to commit to work with local elected officials, including City Councillors and Federal Members of Parliament, and the Toronto Police Service, to identify ways that the community can become more involved in the rehabilitation of offenders housed at the Centre, and to enable local residents to access information about who is being housed at the Centre;” and**

- (2) **received the remaining operative paragraphs contained in the aforementioned communication.**

Communication (February 10, 2006) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on January 31, February 1 and 2, 2006, referred the following Motion to the Policy and Finance Committee:

Moved by Councillor Palacio, Councillor Watson, Councillor Nunziata and Councillor Saundercook, seconded by Councillor Mammoliti

**“WHEREAS** the Keele Correctional Centre, located at 330 Keele Street, has been in operation for over 25 years and has housed thousands of parolees in the midst of rehabilitation, including approximately 40 at the present time; and

**WHEREAS** these residents have often been convicted of the most serious crimes, and are often brought to the Centre from across Canada; and

**WHEREAS** there has been recent concern raised by residents and the media about security at the centre, and the local neighbourhood has begun to question the suitability of the Centre’s location and operations; and

**WHEREAS** since the initial opening of the Centre, the demographics of the surrounding neighbourhood has changed dramatically and is now home to a large number of children, seniors and other vulnerable populations; and

**WHEREAS** on January 30, 2006, the four (4) local City Councillors hosted a public meeting, in conjunction with the Toronto Police Service and Corrections Canada, to listen to community concerns and many valuable opinions were heard;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** City Council formally request the Minister of Correctional Services to consider moving the Centre to a different community outside of the City of Toronto, or to disband the facility in favour of smaller facilities, spread out across the Greater Toronto Area;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** City Council request Corrections Canada to immediately provide additional security at the site, particularly on evening and weekends;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** City Council request Corrections Canada to commit to work with local elected officials, including City Councillors and Federal Members of Parliament, and the Toronto Police Service, to identify ways that the community can become more involved in the rehabilitation of offenders housed at the Centre, and to enable local residents to access information about who is being housed at the Centre;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** City Council request that any residents of the Centre found to have used alcohol or drugs be relocated from the Centre immediately;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** City Council request that dangerous offenders from outside the Province of Ontario, or sex offenders of any kind, not be allowed in the facility, under any circumstances;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** City Council request that Corrections Canada develop a mechanism to notify local residents and elected officials if dangerous offenders miss curfew at the Centre.”

- 26(a).** Communication (March 28, 2006) from. James Fleming and Elda Thomas on behalf of the Toronto Community Chaplaincy Volunteers, in opposition to the Notice of Motion respecting the Keele Correctional Centre.
- 26(b).** Communication (April 5, 2006) from Mr. David Delay in opposition to the Notice of Motion respecting the Keele Correctional Centre.
- 26(c).** Communication (April 7, 2006) from Mr. John Wilson respecting the Keel Correctional Centre.
- 26(d)** Communication (April 10, 1006) from Michele Choma.
- 26(e)** Communication (April 10, 2006) from Calvin M. Langton, Ph.D.

**27. Request to Toronto Police Services Board to Expand Strategic Traffic Enforcement Measures (STEM) Team**

**Report 3, Clause 33 (n)**

**The Policy and Finance Committee forwarded the communication (February 9, 2006) from the City Clerk to the Toronto Police Services for consideration.**

Communication (February 9, 2006) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on January 31, February 1 and 2, 2006, referred the following Item (q), entitled “Request to Toronto Police Services Board to Expand Strategic Traffic enforcement Measures (STEM) Team”, contained in Clause 40 of Report 1 of the Policy and Finance Committee, back to the Policy and Finance Committee for further consideration:

- (q) Request to Toronto Police Services Board to Expand Strategic Traffic Enforcement Measures (STEM) Team

The Policy and Finance Committee received the communication (November 3, 2005) from the City Clerk and the communication (November 16, 2005) from Councillor Mike Del Grande.

- (i) (November 3, 2005) from the City Clerk, advising that City Council on October 26, 27, 28 and 31, 2005, referred the following Motion to the Policy and Finance Committee:

Moved by Councillor Del Grande, seconded by Councillor Thompson

“WHEREAS, in 2002, the Toronto Police Service identified traffic safety as a Service Priority and responded by implementing the Traffic Enforcement Safety Team (TEST) pilot project; and

WHEREAS on April 1, 2003, to build on the success of the TEST initiative, the STEM team was created and a dedicated traffic enforcement unit of 11 officers was assigned; and

WHEREAS statistics presented to the Toronto Police Services Board on May 27, 2004, show that, during the first year after activation of the STEM team, fatal accidents fell by 26 percent - leading the Toronto Police Service to conclude that, ‘...enforcement programs, including initiatives such as STEM, have had a positive impact with respect to reducing the number of collisions involving traffic deaths...’; and

WHEREAS a lack of respect for traffic regulations on our residential streets continues to be among the most frequently fielded constituent calls in Scarborough and many residents feel that the only effective means to bring compliance with these regulations is through consistent, yet irregular enforcement; and

WHEREAS 42 Division currently has – on average – a maximum of three (3) Traffic Enforcement Officers active during each shift to patrol an area larger (geographically) than the City of Ottawa, resulting in delayed responses to public and Councillor requests for enforcement and minimal enforcement when it can be provided; and

WHEREAS the STEM team has proven that dedicated Traffic Enforcement Officers can greatly enhance public safety, can be revenue-neutral (or better) and improve the public’s faith that City Hall responds to their concerns;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council request that the Toronto Police Services Board consider expanding the STEM team to include eleven (11) additional officers to be assigned permanently to the East District and, should they agree to this expansion, Council request that the Board direct the Toronto Police Service to adjust their 2006 budget submission accordingly.”

- (ii) (November 16, 2005) from Councillor Mike Del Grande respecting the Notice of Motion requesting the Police Services Board to expand the strategic Traffic Enforcement Measures (STEM) Team.

## **28. Aid to Earthquake Stricken Areas in Pakistan, India and Afghanistan**

### **Report 3, Clause 33 (o)**

#### **The Policy and Finance Committee:**

- (1) approved the recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 5, 2006) from the City Manager; and**
- (2) referred the communication (December 13, 2005) from the City Clerk to the City Manager for consideration.**

Communication (December 13, 2005) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on December 5, 6 and 7, 2005, referred the following Motion to the Policy and Finance Committee:

Moved by Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillors Thompson and Cho

**“WHEREAS** at 8:30 a.m. on Saturday, October 8, 2005, a wide-sweeping, 7.6 Richter-scale earthquake struck the northern regions of Pakistan, India and Afghanistan; and

**WHEREAS** this disaster has directly affected more people than 2004’s Tsunami disaster, including the death-toll estimate in excess of 79,000 people and the earthquake’s displacement of 3.5 million people; and

**WHEREAS** ‘near-apocalyptic devastation’ of the many cities, towns and villages of this region has occurred; and

**WHEREAS** winter is fast approaching in this region of devastation that receives weather similar to Colorado, USA, and 500,000 people have yet to receive the first-aid responders; and

**WHEREAS** this is a large-scale disaster that requires immediate, long-term commitment from the international community for relief, rehabilitation and reconstruction that could take 10 to 15 years; and

**WHEREAS** we know that the earthquake has already caused over 50 times more deaths than Hurricane Katrina, yet so far the amount earmarked for aid and reconstruction in Pakistan, India and Afghanistan is very small; and

**WHEREAS** many residents of Toronto have lost multiple family members to this disaster; and

**WHEREAS** the Policy and Finance Committee requested that City staff report on the feasibility of establishing a ‘Disaster Aid Fund’, to provide relief from Toronto to domestic or international disaster-stricken Cities or regions;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Toronto City Council hereby stands in recognition of the immensity of the October 8, 2005 earthquake disaster in Pakistan, India and Afghanistan;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** on behalf of the citizens of Toronto, Toronto City Council approve a contribution of \$1 million towards immediate aid-relief efforts in the affected regions of Pakistan, India and Afghanistan;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** this donation be distributed through established relief agencies;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the appropriate City staff be directed to give effect to the foregoing.”

**28(a). Status Report on Tsunami Relief Activities and Update on the Development of a Disaster Relief Policy for the City of Toronto**

Report (April 5, 2006) from the City Manager providing an update on the City of Toronto's tsunami relief activities and the development of a City of Toronto Disaster Relief Policy

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the City Manager develop a disaster relief policy based on the elements outlined in Appendix “E” and report back to the Policy and Finance Committee in July 2006; and
- (2) appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.



**29. Improving Co-operation with Canadian National Railway (CN) and Canadian Pacific Railway (CPR)**

Report 3, Clause 33 (p)

The Policy and Finance Committee:

- (1) approved the following operative paragraphs, contained in the communication (February 10, 2006) from the City Clerk, amended to read as follows:

**“NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council endorse, in principle, the concept of a joint CN/CPR/City of Toronto/Community Liaison Committee, and that the City Manager work with CN and CPR and report to an upcoming meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee on the proposed terms of reference, composition and mandate of such a Liaison Committee, as a specific dispute resolutions mechanism;**

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Liaison Committee include in its terms of reference, identifying potential surplus CN/CPR properties for use as City parks or public spaces throughout the City;”;**

- (2) referred the communication (February 10, 2006) from the City Clerk, as amended, to Deputy City Manager Fared Amin for consideration and report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee;
- (3) requested Deputy City Manager, Fared Admin to consider the existing Terms of Reference that have been adopted by the City of Hamilton/Community Railway Liaison Committee to liaise with CN, CPR, and Hydro issues regarding cleanliness, safety, planning and other important issues; and
- (4) requested the Deputy City Manager to consider members of Council as members of the City of Toronto joint CN/CPR/City of Toronto Community Liaison Committee.

Communication (February 10, 2006) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on January 31, February 1 and 2, 2006, referred the following Motion to the Policy and Finance Committee:

Moved by Councillor Palacio, seconded by Councillor Nunziata

**“WHEREAS** the City of Toronto is home to many rail corridors owned and operated by the Canadian National Railway (CN) and Canadian Pacific Railway (CPR); and

**WHEREAS** rail corridors are an integral part of Toronto’s transportation infrastructure and present unique challenges relating to safety, cleanliness and overall City building; and



**WHEREAS** Councillors Nunziata and Palacio have taken the initiative to proactively meet with CN/CPR staff to discuss ways in which the City of Toronto can open lines of communication with CN/CPR and the communities rail corridors run through, and to develop the recommendations below; and

**WHEREAS** the City of Hamilton has worked in collaboration with CN and CPR to establish a joint City/Community Railways Liaison Committee to liaise with CN and CPR regarding issues of planning, cleanliness, safety and other important issues; and

**WHEREAS** Toronto is in desperate need of improved mechanisms to co-ordinate cleanliness, safety and planning issues with the railways in the City;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** City Council endorse, in principle, the concept of a joint CN/CPR/City of Toronto/Community Liaison Committee, and that the City Manager work with CN and CPR and report to an upcoming meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee on the proposed terms of reference, composition and mandate of such a Liaison Committee, with a focus on the engagement of residents from across the City of Toronto;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the Liaison Committee include in its terms of reference, identifying potential surplus CN/CPR properties for use as City parks or public spaces throughout the City, as a priority;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the City, as an immediate step toward addressing the accumulation of garbage and debris on CN/CPR and Hydro One properties, offer to collect, on an ongoing basis, from the nearest curbside or City property, any garbage or debris collected and removed by CN/CPR or Hydro One from their property.”

**30. Memorandum of Agreement between the City of Toronto and the Toronto Professional Fire Fighters’ Association (T.P.F.F.A.), Local 3888**

**(In-camera – labour relations or employee negotiations)**

**Report 3, Clause 14**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the confidential report (March 31, 2006) from the City Manager and Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer, entitled “Memorandum of Agreement between the City of Toronto and the Toronto, Professional Fire Fighters’ Association (T.P.F.F.A.), Local 3888”, which was forwarded to Members of Council under confidential cover; and further that in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining thereto be held in-camera as the subject relates to Labour Relations or Employee Negotiations matters.**

Confidential report (March 31, 2006) from the City Manager and Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer regarding a Memorandum of Agreement between the City of Toronto and the Toronto Professional Fire Fighters' Association (T.P.F.F.A.), Local 3888, such report to be considered in-camera as the subject matter relates to labour relations or employee negotiations.

**31. Tax Exemption for Toronto Police Services sites at 970 Lawrence Avenue West (Ward 15 Eglinton-Lawrence), 30 College Street (Ward 27 Toronto Centre-Rosedale) and 2986 Lake Shore Boulevard West (Ward 6 Etobicoke-Lakeshore)**

**Report 3, Clause 15**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (March 31, 2006) from the Treasurer.**

Report (March 31, 2006) from the Treasurer advising that this report recommends the designation of three Toronto Police Service (TPS) sites as municipal capital facilities and the granting of property tax exemptions for municipal and education purposes for each site:

- (1) 970 Lawrence Avenue West - Parking Enforcement Operations office;
- (2) 30 College Street - Police Support Command Unit; and
- (3) 2986 Lake Shore Boulevard West - Temporary Storefront Police Station.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) Council pass by-laws pursuant to section 110 of the *Municipal Act, 2001*, providing authority to enter a municipal capital facilities agreement with:
  - (a) 970 Lawrence Project Ltd., owner of 970 Lawrence Ave. W. in respect of a site leased by the TPS for its Police Enforcement Operations Office;
  - (b) 414 Dynamic Endeavours, owner of 30 College Street in respect of a site leased by the TPS for its Police Command Unit; and,
  - (c) Mr. Gus Makrikostas, owner of 2986 Lake Shore Blvd. W., in respect of a site to be leased by the TPS for its Temporary Storefront Police Sub-Station.
- (2) the City Solicitor be directed to negotiate the municipal capital facilities agreements with each owner as set out in Recommendation (1);

- (3) the by-laws referred to in Recommendation (1) also make exempt from taxation for municipal and school purposes the sites leased by the TPS at 970 Lawrence Avenue West, 30 College St., and 2986 Lake Shore Boulevard West, after a municipal capital facilities agreement with the property owner is signed, which tax exemption is to be effective from the latest of the following dates:
- (a) the date the municipal capital facilities agreement is signed; or
  - (b) the date the tax exemption by-law is enacted; and
  - (c) the date of initial occupation of the site by the TPS.

authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary bills to give effect hereto; and

the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

### **32. Toronto's 2004 Results under the Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) Relative to Other Ontario Municipalities**

#### **Report 3, Clause 16**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council:**

- (A) **adopt the following staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 5, 2006) from the City Manager, and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer:**

**“It is recommended that Benchmarking results of additional program areas, not covered by MPMP, also be reported to the Policy and Finance Committee in the first quarter of 2007, based on 2005 data from the Ontario Municipal CAOs Benchmarking Initiative (OMBI);”**; and

- (B) **adopt Recommendation (1) contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 27, 2005) from the City Manager and Chief Financial Officer, amended to read as follows:**

**“It is recommended that:**

- (1) **the MPMP results and supporting narratives in Appendix C, be submitted to the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing.”**

Report (April 5, 2006) from the City Manager, Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer providing information on the City of Toronto's 2004 results for forty-four performance measures in twelve different service areas, relative to trends in other Ontario municipalities, under the provincially-mandated Municipal Performance Measurement

Program (MPMP); and reporting on the progress and status of performance measurement for the City.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that Benchmarking results of additional program areas, not covered by MPMP, also be reported to the Policy and Finance Committee in the first quarter of 2007, based on 2005 data from the Ontario Municipal CAOs Benchmarking Initiative (OMBI).

**32(a). Provincial Requirements to Report 2004 Results Under the Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP)**

**(Deferred from a previous meeting)**

Report (June 27, 2005) from the City Manager and Chief Financial Officer providing information on the City of Toronto's 2004 results of the provincially-mandated Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP); and summarizing how Toronto's results have changed over the five year-period from the inception of the program.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the MPMP results and supporting narratives in Appendix C, be submitted to the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing.
- (2) the City's Web site be used as the method to meet the provincial requirement of reporting the City's 2004 MPMP results to the public by September 30, 2005; and
- (3) the City Manager and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer report back in January 2006 on a detailed analysis of Toronto's 2004 MPMP results and influencing factors relative to trends in other Ontario municipalities.

**33. Bill 206 "An Act to Revise the Ontario Municipal Employees Retirement System Act" – Supplementary Report**

**Report 3, Clause 17**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 6, 2006) from the City Manager, and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer.**

Report (April 6, 2005) from the City Manager and Chief Financial Officer providing an update on the implications for the City of Toronto of Bill 206, "An Act to Revise the Ontario Municipal Employees Retirement System Act, 2005".

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the Mayor request the Minister to provide an extension of the June 1, 2006 implementation date to January 1, 2007.

**34. 2005 Preliminary Year-end Capital Variance Report****Report 3, Clause 18**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 3, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer.**

Report (April 3, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer addressed to the Budget Advisory Committee, submitted at the request of the Chair of the Budget Advisory Committee, presenting the City of Toronto Preliminary Capital Variance Report for the year ended December 31, 2005; and advising that a final report will be prepared and submitted following the completion of the annual audit of the City's accounts and financial statements.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the five-year capital plan be revised to reflect realistic cash flow expenditures and affordability debt levels based on:
  - (a) the actual 2005 spending experience;
  - (b) historical under-spending because of delays in obtaining third party and/or external approvals (i.e., OMB, Provincial and other cost shared/partnership approval); and
  - (c) availability of capital reserve funds;
- (2) the Deputy City Manager and General Managers of Toronto Water and Transportation Services be required to report to the Budget Advisory Committee on a plan to better coordinate delivery of projects that have cross divisional dependencies;
- (3) the Preliminary 2005 Capital Variance Report be forwarded to the Policy and Finance Committee and City Council for its consideration; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**35. Toronto Water Capital Budget: 2005 Carry-Forward Funding and 2006 Cashflow Reallocations – (City Wide)**

**Report 3, Clause 19**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (March 31, 2006) from the General Manager, Toronto Water.**

Report (March 31, 2006) from the General Manager, Toronto Water, addressed to the Budget Advisory Committee, submitted at the request of the Chair of the Budget Advisory Committee, recommending carry-forward funding to continue 2005 approved projects and advising Council of budget reallocations recommended to realign the Council Approved Budget with Toronto Water's 2006 work program.

Recommendations

It is recommended that:

- (1) the \$76.898 million in unspent cash-flow from Toronto Water's approved 2005 Capital Budget and related financing, as detailed in Appendix 1, be carried forward and included in Toronto Water's approved 2006 Capital Budget. As the total carry-forward amount remains unchanged, the adjustments have no net impact on Toronto Water's approved 2006 Capital Budget cashflow of \$394.468 million;
- (2) the technical adjustments and budget reallocations detailed in Appendix 2 of this report, which have no impact on the Toronto Water's total approved 2006 Capital Budget cashflow, be approved;
- (3) this report be forwarded to Policy and Finance Committee for consideration; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**36. Occupational Health and Safety Report - Third Quarter, 2005**

**Report 3, Clause 20**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council receive the report (December 14, 2005) from the City Manager and Executive Director of Human Resources.**

Report (December 14, 2005) from the City Manager and Executive Director of Human Resources, addressed to the Employee and Labour Relations Committee, submitted at the request of the City Manager, reporting on the status of the City's health and safety

system, providing information on health and safety activities, priorities and performance during the third quarter of 2005.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received and forwarded to City Council for information.

**37. Tax Assessment System for The City of Toronto  
- “What Does the Real Estate Market Have to do with Property Taxes?” and  
Ombudsman’s Report on Municipal Property Assessment Corporation (MPAC)**

**Report 3, Clause 33 (q)**

**The Policy and Finance Committee referred the communication (April 5, 2006) from Councillor Michael Walker and the Communication (March 31, 2006) from the City Clerk to the City Manager for consideration, in consultation with the Mayor, and report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee for its meeting scheduled to be held in June.**

Communication (April 5, 2006) from Councillor Michael Walker attaching a motion regarding the property assessment system used by The City of Toronto and throughout the Province of Ontario: Current Value Assessment (CVA); and advising that after discussion with Mayor David Miller, he is forwarding this motion to the Policy and Finance Committee for its consideration and endorsement.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that Toronto City Council:

- (1) endorse the recommendations of the Ontario Ombudsman’s investigative report regarding MPAC released March 28, 2006;
- (2) direct the City Manager to report to the Policy and Finance Committee on the Ombudsman’s report with particular attention to the implications for taxpayers and the City of Toronto;
- (3) petition the provincial government for an immediate moratorium on future property tax reassessments in the City of Toronto;
- (4) petition the provincial government for a six-month extension of the March 31, 2006 deadline for appeals at the Assessment Review Board (ARB);
- (5) direct the appropriate City staff to report to the Policy and Finance Committee on the comparative details of all property assessment systems for tax generation used by similar cities and regions in Canada and other countries (i.e., Nova Scotia,

Britain, Israel, USA), with attention to the “California-model: Market Value Point of Sale”, including the operating cost of running such systems;

- (6) petition the provincial government for changes to the new City of Toronto Act (Bill 53) for control over choice of property assessment system and method of tax collection;
- (7) petition the provincial government to reform the Assessment Act, so the City of Toronto is able to employ a system by which property reassessments occur only at the Point-of-Sale arms-length transactions between a willing seller and a willing buyer (California-model), with annual assessment increasing maximums according to a 3-year running average of the Consumer Price Index (CPI);
- (8) petition the provincial government for changes to the new City of Toronto Act (Bill 53) with regard to stopping Toronto’s collection of education taxes for the provincial government and with the aim of distributing the burden of the education tax equally amongst all municipalities in the province, starting first with harmonizing our burden with the ‘905 region’; and
- (9) petition the provincial government to repeal the Fair Municipal Finance Act, 1997, with specific regard to replacing the Ontario Fair Assessment System (OFAS) which was intended to make property assessment and the calculation of property taxes fair, consistent and understandable.

### **37(a). MPAC Reform - Request to Establish an Assessment Monitoring Group**

Communication (March 31, 2006) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on March 29 and 30, 2006, referred the following Motion to the Policy and Finance Committee:

Moved by Councillor Fletcher, seconded by Councillor Davis

**“WHEREAS** residents in Toronto have complained that MPAC (the Municipal Property Assessment Corporation) is too secretive in its property assessments and that its lack of transparency has failed to ensure property owners are provided with sufficient and timely information to help them challenge their property assessments fairly; and

**WHEREAS** residents have been forced to appeal assessments repeatedly because MPAC has failed to carry forward the adjustments that were made by the Assessment Review Board; and

**WHEREAS** the Provincial Ombudsman has completed an investigation and reported that the complaints of residents were justified; and



**WHEREAS** the Ombudsman's report, titled 'Getting it Right', makes a series of 22 recommendations for how MPAC can improve its service and become more accountable to property owners and the public; and

**WHEREAS** MPAC and the Government of Ontario have accepted the Ombudsman's findings and have committed to implement 18 of the recommendations and to study the remaining four recommendations; and

**WHEREAS** the Ombudsman's report confirms the concerns outlined in the motion previously approved by Council on October 26, 27, 28, and 31, 2005;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Toronto City Council support and urge MPAC and the Government of Ontario to implement immediately the recommendations in the Ombudsman's report 'Getting it Right', to create greater transparency, accountability and fairness for the taxpayers of Toronto and so advise the Ombudsman;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** an Assessment Monitoring Group be established to monitor and report to Council on the actions of MPAC, the Assessment Appeal Board and the provincial government with respect to Current Value Assessment (CVA), assessment and appeals, and the implementation of the Ombudsman's report;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the City Manager poll Councillors to determine interest in joining the Monitoring Group, and report to the July 18, 2006 meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee on the membership and mandate of the Monitoring Group, and report to the January 2007 meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee on the progress that MPAC has made toward implementation of the Ombudsman's recommendations."

### **38. Western Beaches Breakwall, (Wards 13, 14, 19)**

#### **Report 3, Clause 21**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (March 28, 2006), from the City Manager.**

Report (March 28, 2006), from the City Manager reporting on the ownership, usage and condition of the Western Beaches Breakwall and the activities required to achieve the City's objectives regarding safety, usage, beach use and beautification.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that

- (1) Council request the Minister of Public Works and Government Services Canada to undertake the repairs to the Western Beaches Breakwall that are necessary to protect the structural stability of the breakwall and protect public safety;
- (2) the City Manager, in collaboration with the General Manager, Toronto Water, the Medical Officer of Health, the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation, the Chief Planner, and other public agencies including the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority, undertake the development of an Integrated Beach Management Strategy, in consultation with affected stakeholders, for waterfront beaches, with an initial focus on Sunnyside Beach, to:
  - (a) identify long term recreational end-use objectives,
  - (b) identify other management objectives such as improving aquatic habitat, repair or replacement of the breakwall, and mitigation of beach erosion,
  - (c) assess current approaches to and levels of beach maintenance,
  - (d) review the appropriateness of existing beach designations,
  - (e) recommend, where appropriate, siting of new beaches, and
  - (f) identify the necessary measures, their associated capital and operating cost implications and prepare a proposed implementation schedule, to support the implementation of the Integrated Beach Management Strategy;
- (3) the City Manager, in collaboration with the General Manager, Toronto Water, the Medical Officer of Health, and the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation and the Chief Planner report to Policy and Finance Committee upon completion of the Integrated Beach Management Strategy identified in Recommendation (2); and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto.

### **39. Beach Postings in 2005 and Actions to Improve Water Quality at City Beaches**

#### **Report 3, Clause 22**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 6, 2006) from the General Manager, Toronto Water, the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation, and Medical Officer of Health, Toronto Public Health subject to amending Recommendation (1) by adding thereto the following:**

- “(iv) conducting a microbial source tracking study at the Rouge Beach during 2006 to assess the impacts of wildlife and waterfowl on beach water quality and begin the implementation of a public education and communications campaign advising visitors against feeding birds in the Rough Beach and Marsh areas;”;**

(April 6, 2006) from the General Manager, Toronto Water, the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation, and Medical Officer of Health, Toronto Public Health, providing an update on beach postings in 2005, and possible options to improve the water quality at selected beaches in the short term.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation, in collaboration with the General Manager, Toronto Water and the Medical Officer of Health expand the existing gull and waterfowl management programs to waterfront beach areas and implement the program, in consultation with affected stakeholders, aimed at improving local water quality conditions at affected beaches; including
  - (i) a “gull-focussed” deterrent system for the Centre Island Beach area in advance of the 2006 beach season, where consideration will be given to designing and installing a system similar to that used at beaches within the City of Ottawa;
  - (ii) a multi-pronged waterfowl and gull deterrent program for the Bluffer’s Park Beach area, consisting of: employing birds of prey, dogs and noise makers; expanding the existing Canadian Wildlife Service sponsored waterfowl transfer and egg oiling program; and a focussed public education and communications campaign advising park users against feeding of birds; and
  - (iii) enhanced waste, recycling, and beachcombing programs and monitoring by Parks, Forestry and Recreation of areas leased to concessions, boat clubs and marinas including Bluffer’s Park Marina;
- (2) (a) the Deputy City Manager, Fared Amin, in collaboration with the General Manager, Toronto Water, the Medical Officer of Health, the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation and the Chief Planner and other public agencies undertake the development of an Integrated Beach Management Strategy, in consultation with affected stakeholders, for waterfront beaches, with an initial focus on Sunnyside Beach, to:
  - (i) identify long term recreational end-use objectives,

- (ii) identify other management objectives such as improving aquatic habitat, repair or replacement of the breakwall, and mitigation of beach erosion,
  - (iii) assess current approaches to and levels of beach maintenance,
  - (iv) review the appropriateness of existing beach designations,
  - (v) recommend, where appropriate, siting of new beaches, and
  - (vi) identify the necessary measures, their associated capital and operating cost implications and prepare a proposed implementation schedule, to support the implementation of the Integrated Beach Management Strategy; and
- (b) the Deputy City Manager, Fareed Amin, in collaboration with the General Manager, Toronto Water, the Medical Officer of Health, the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation and the Chief Planner report to the Policy and Finance Committee upon completion of the Integrated Beach Management Strategy identified in Recommendation 2 (a);
- (3) the General Manager, Toronto Water, in collaboration with the Medical Officer of Health and the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation convene a Beach Management Symposium in Toronto directed at municipal staff across the Great Lakes Basin to discuss the latest advances in beach management issues and share in the dissemination of information and discussion of successes achieved in improving beach water quality conditions across the Basin;
- (4) funds be provided from the Park Development Project (CPR 117-36) in the 2006 Parks, Forestry and Recreation Capital Budget to construct the “gull-focussed” deterrent system for Centre Island Beach;
- (5) the approved 2006 Parks, Forestry and Recreation Operating Budget be increased by \$90,000 gross, \$0 net, funded through an interdepartmental recovery from Toronto Water;
- (6) the General Manager, Toronto Water, seek external agency funding to support the Symposium noted in Recommendation (3), including the Great Lakes and St. Lawrence Cities Initiative; and
- (7) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**40. Request to install an irrigation supply main crossing under Jane Street for the Oakdale Golf & Country Club (Ward 7 – York West and Ward 9 – York Centre)**

**Report 3, Clause 33 (r)**

**The Policy and Finance Committee postponed consideration of the communication (April 6, 2006) from the North York Community Council until its meeting scheduled to be held on May 16, 2006, to afford the Ad hoc Committee to Negotiate the Golf Course Agreements, an opportunity to meet with the owners of the golf club the General Manager, Transportation Services, and the General Manager, Toronto Water, to attempt to resolve this matter.**

Communication (April 6, 2006) from the North York Community Council advising that the North York Community Council on April 4, 2006, referred the report (March 10, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, North York District, to the Policy and Finance Committee and requested the Policy and Finance Committee to review this matter in relation to the tax deferral agreement with the Oakdale Golf and Country Club.

**41. Request for Creation of Commemorative Display to Illustrate the Evolution toward Eliminating Racism - North York Civic Centre (Ward 10 – York Centre)**

**Report 3, Clause 33 (s)**

**The Policy and Finance Committee referred the communication (April 6, 2006) from the North York Community Council back to the North York Community Council with a request that the funds for the commemorative display be allocated from the Community Council's Hospitality Budget.**

Communication (April 6, 2006) from the North York Community Council advising that the North York Community Council on April 4, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee, that the Policy and Finance Committee adopt the following Resolution submitted by Councillor Feldman, Ward 10 – York Centre, which was endorsed by the North York Community Council:

“WHEREAS the City of North York was the first city in Canada to form a Mayor's Committee on Community Race and Ethnic Relations in 1979;

WHEREAS the Committee since its inception has successfully ensured that all citizens have equal access to City services;

WHEREAS this Committee has a long history in dealing with race and youth issues;

WHEREAS the Committee initiated the proclamation of the Elder Abuse Awareness month in June;

WHEREAS the Committee proactively works with youth to prevent the escalation of violence in our City and assists these young people in becoming valuable and contributing members of society by facilitating life skills and work experience programs;

WHEREAS the Committee supports the efforts of residents and government to eliminate all forms of discrimination as identified in the Ontario Human Rights Code;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT to ensure that the history and countless hours of hard work by many of our citizens are not forgotten a portion of the wall at the North York Civic Centre, in proximity to the Council Chamber, be designated for the creation of a commemorative wall to illustrate the evolution toward eliminating racism;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Seneca College Design students create the display in conjunction with a research student from York University and that in order for them to complete the project, \$1,000.00 be allocated to cover the cost of production and display.

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT North York Community Council adopt this motion subject to the appropriate committee or departmental input.”

#### **42. Liability Insurance Coverage for Approved Local Community Events**

##### **Report 3, Clause 33 (t)**

**The Policy and Finance Committee received the report (March 31, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and the Chief Financial Officer.**

Report (March 31, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and the Chief Financial Officer, providing information regarding the City’s ability to provide liability insurance coverage for approved local community events by treating them as City functions.

##### Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

**43. Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Initiative West Don Lands  
Phase 1 of Development Risk Assessment and Risk Management Plan**

**Report 3, Clause 23**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 6, 2006) from Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin.**

Report (April 6, 2006) from Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin seeking Council's authority for Ontario Realty Corporation and Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Corporation on behalf of the City to submit the Risk Assessment and Risk Management Plans for the first phase of development in the West Don Lands to the Ministry of the Environment for review and approval.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) Council authorize Ontario Realty Corporation and Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Corporation to submit the Risk Assessment and Risk Management Plans for the first phase of development in the West Don Lands to the Ministry of the Environment for review and approval when the necessary documentation is to the satisfaction of the Deputy City Manager responsible for the Waterfront Initiative, in consultation with the Waterfront Project Director, Executive Director of Technical Services, General Manager of Transportation Services, General Manager of Toronto Water, General Manager of Parks, Forestry and Recreation, Executive Director of Facilities and Real Estate, and City Solicitor;
- (2) Council authorize the Ontario Realty Corporation and Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Corporation to undertake the Risk Assessment and Risk Management Plan process for any further City lands identified within the first phase of development of the West Don Lands, including lands located between King Street East, Queen Street East, Bayview Avenue and River Street (the "King Street Triangle"), and to the south of the Richmond/Adelaide ramps between Bayview Avenue and the Don River;
- (3) the Deputy City Manager responsible for the Waterfront Initiative be authorized to execute on behalf of the City any Pre-Submission Forms, Risk Assessments, Risk Management Plans and Records of Site Condition per Recommendations (1) and (2) above;
- (4) agreements for access to and use of City lands by Ontario Realty Corporation and Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Corporation related to the Risk Assessment and Risk Management Plan process for the first phase of development of the West Don Lands, such agreements to address matters including indemnification of the City to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor, be authorized; and

- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to give effect thereto, including any further actions arising from the review of risk assessment-related documentation by the Ministry of the Environment.

**44. Creation of a Public Account for Donations for the Redevelopment of 1400 Avenue Road into a Community Park (Ward 16 – Eglinton-Lawrence)**

**Report 3, Clause 24**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the following operative paragraph contained in the communication (April 6, 2006) from the North York Community Council:**

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT staff be directed to open an account for donations made to the redevelopment of the property located at 1400 Avenue Road (Otter Loop)."**

Communication (April 6, 2006) from the North York Community Council advising that the North York Community Council on April 4, 2006 referred the following Resolution submitted by Councillor Stintz, Ward 16 – Eglinton-Lawrence, to the Policy and Finance Committee for its consideration:

“WHEREAS the property located at 1400 Avenue Road (Otter Loop) was owned by the TTC since 1936; and

WHEREAS the property is a triangle-shaped lot with approximately 4,202 square feet or .096 acres in size; and

WHEREAS the site was declared surplus to TTC’s operational needs on July 15, 1997; and

WHEREAS the property was prepared for sale then listed, in October 2005 with Colliers Macaulay Nicolls (Ontario) Inc.; and

WHEREAS on January 25, 2006, the Commission received a request from the local councillor, the deputy mayor, the local MPP and Post City Magazines to make Otter Loop a community park.

WHEREAS the Commission requested that staff remove the property from the market and re-circulate it to the Parks, Forestry and Recreation Division for interest; and

WHEREAS the TTC authorized staff to offer the property located at 1400 Avenue Road to the City of Toronto for use as open green space by the Parks, Forestry and



Recreation Division for a nominal sum with all maintenance by City staff at no cost to the Commission; and

WHEREAS Post City Magazine has committed \$25,000 to the redevelopment of the site and many local community groups and businesses have also expressed interest in making donations; and

WHEREAS the City of Toronto needs to open an account to deposit donations and issue receipts to donors; and

WHEREAS the Parks, Forestry and Recreation division have reviewed this motion and agree with its recommendation;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT staff be directed to open an account for donations made to the redevelopment of the property located at 1400 Avenue Road (Otter Loop).”

#### **45. City of Toronto Affordable Housing Plan**

##### **Report 3, Clause 26**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Affordable Housing Committee contained in the communication (April 10, 2006) from the Affordable Housing Committee.**

Communication (April 10, 2006) from the Affordable Housing Committee advising that the Affordable Housing Committee on April 10, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that Council receive for information the report (March 27, 2006) from Sue Corke, Deputy City Manager respecting City of Toronto Affordable Housing Plan.

#### **46. Lease of City-Owned Property Located at 60 Bergamot Avenue – Affordable Rental Housing and Child Care Facility (Ward 2 – Etobicoke North)**

##### **Report 3, Clause 27**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Affordable Housing Committee contained in the communication (April 10, 2006) from the Affordable Housing Committee.**

Communication (April 10, 2006) from the Affordable Housing Committee advising that the Affordable Housing Committee on April 10, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that Council adopt the following staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (March 24, 2006) from Sue Corke, Deputy City Manager:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) authority be granted to enter into a lease of portions of the Property with the YWCA for the purposes of developing affordable housing and child care, substantially on the terms and conditions outlined in Appendix “A” to this report and in a form acceptable to the City Solicitor, such grant, by way of below market rent, being in the interest of the municipality;
- (2) the Chief Corporate Officer shall administer and manage the lease including the provision of any consents, approvals, waiver notices and notices of termination provided that the Chief Corporate Officer may, at any time, refer consideration of such matters (including their content) to City Council for its determination and direction;
- (3) the City Solicitor be authorized to complete the transaction on behalf of the City, including payment of any necessary expenses and amending the commencement date of the lease and any other dates to such earlier or later dates and on such terms and conditions as she may from time to time consider reasonable;
- (4) authority be granted for the City to make or provide its consent as owner to any regulatory applications and that the Director, Real Estate Services, or his designate, be authorized to execute the applications or consents; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

#### **47. Response to the Reports on “Improving the Planning Process”**

##### **Report 3, Clause 28**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Affordable Housing Committee contained in the communication (April 10, 2006) from the Affordable Housing Committee.**

Communication (April 10, 2006) from the Affordable Housing Committee advising that the Affordable Housing Committee on April 10, 2006 recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (March 27, 2006) from Sue Corke, Deputy City Manager, as follows:

##### Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning develop community meeting guidelines in consultation with the Affordable Housing Office;
- (2) the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning develop criteria for determining when professional facilitators for community meetings should be used in consultation with the Affordable Housing Office; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto.

**48. Planning Process Intervention for the Toronto Community Housing Corporation Transitional Housing Development at 1900 Sheppard Avenue West (Ward 9 – York Centre)**

**Report 3, Clause 29**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendations of the Affordable Housing Committee contained in the communication (April 10, 2006) from the Affordable Housing Committee subject to amending Recommendation (2) contained in the report (March 25, 2006) from Deputy City Manager Sue Corke, by deleting the date “April 2006” and inserting instead the date “May, 2006”.**

Communication (April 10, 2006) from the Affordable Housing Committee advising that the Affordable Housing Committee on April 10, 2006 recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that Council:

- (A) adopt the following staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (March 24, 2006) from Sue Corke, Deputy City Manager:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the Toronto Community Housing Corporation transitional housing project at 1900 Sheppard Avenue West be “red flagged” in order to fast track the development and site plan applications through the Planning approvals process following the time lines outlined in this report;
- (2) in the event that North York Community Council does not adopt the recommendations contained in the Preliminary Report dated December 21, 2005 from the Director, Community Planning, North York District at its April 2006 meeting, that the Director, Community Planning, North York District be authorized to schedule a community consultation meeting with local residents and landowners within 120 metres of 1900 Sheppard Avenue West as soon as possible;
- (3) notice for the Public Meeting under the *Planning Act* be given according to the regulations of the *Planning Act* in a timely manner;

- (4) authority be granted to enter into a lease of portion of the Property with TCHC for the purposes of developing affordable housing substantially on the terms and conditions outlined in Appendix "A" to this report and in a form acceptable to the City Solicitor, such grant, by way of below market rent, being in the interest of the municipality;
  - (5) the Chief Corporate Officer shall administer and manage the lease including the provision of any consents, approvals, waiver notices and notices of termination provided that the Chief Corporate Officer may, at any time, refer consideration of such matters (including their content) to City Council for its determination and direction;
  - (6) the City Solicitor be authorized to complete the transaction on behalf of the City, including payment of any necessary expenses and amending the commencement date of the lease and any other dates to such earlier or later dates and on such terms and conditions as she may from time to time consider reasonable;
  - (7) authority be granted to negotiate and execute any other agreements deemed appropriate by the Deputy City Manager and the City Solicitor on terms and conditions satisfactory to the Deputy City Manager and the City Solicitor;
  - (8) authority be granted for the City to make or provide its consent as owner to any regulatory applications and that the Director, Real Estate Services, or his designate, be authorized to execute the applications or consents; and
  - (9) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto";
- (B) request staff of the Toronto Community Housing Corporation, the Toronto Public Library and the Affordable Housing Office, working with appropriate stakeholders, both within City Hall and the broader community, to further explore opportunities within this development (both on the housing and the library side) to integrate more community space and programming for youth;
- (C) request Deputy City Manager Sue Corke, in consultation with the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, to give consideration to the community consultation meeting referred to in Recommendation 2 in the report (March 24, 2006) from Sue Corke, Deputy City Manager, being facilitated by a third party neutral facilitator, such facilitation to be funded by the Affordable Housing Office; and
- (D) that, in the event that City Planning staff are unable to come forward with a staff report for the July 25, 26 and 27, 2006 City Council meeting, due to the limited

resources, the Toronto Community Housing Corporation be requested to provide funding to retain external planners.

#### **49. 30 Regent Street Affordable Housing Development**

##### **Report 3, Clause 30**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendations of the Affordable Housing Committee contained in the communication (April 10, 2006) from the Affordable Housing Committee.**

Communication (April 10, 2006) from the Affordable Housing Committee advising that the Affordable Housing Committee on April 10, 2006 recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that Council that Council adopt the following Resolution moved by Councillor Fletcher, seconded by Councillor Mihevc:

“WHEREAS the Committee of Adjustment refused an application by the Toronto Community Housing Corporation for minor variances to permit redevelopment of 30 Regent Street;

WHEREAS the Toronto Community Housing Corporation has appealed the refusal of the Committee of Adjustment to the Ontario Municipal Board;

WHEREAS the Toronto Community Housing Corporation has appealed its application for Site Plan Approval for the 30 Regent Street redevelopment to the Ontario Municipal Board, in order to coordinate the application with the Ontario Municipal Board’s consideration of minor variances;

WHEREAS, the Toronto Community Housing Corporation is proposing a stacked townhouse development of 54 units designed to be suitable for families with children, with three, four, and five bedrooms and direct access to grade and this proposal can be supported by City staff, Toronto Housing Corporation is requesting that City Council indicate its support for the redevelopment of 30 Regent Street;

WHEREAS Toronto Community Housing Corporation’s redevelopment proposal for 30 Regent Street is an important social housing development consistent with City policy initiatives, consistent with Council’s priority to advance the revitalization of Regent Park and it provides needed new affordable housing in a form supported by staff;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE Council of the City of Toronto:

- (a) indicate its support in principle for Toronto Community Housing Corporation’s redevelopment proposal for 30 Regent Street; and

- (b) direct the Chief Planner to ensure that the Site Plan review of the 30 Regent Street redevelopment proposal is completed as a priority and in accordance with any future Ontario Municipal Board decisions for the site.

## **ADDITIONAL MATERIAL TO BE INTRODUCED**

### **50. Process for Receiving Financial Donations for Community Festival**

#### **Report 3, Clause 25**

**The Policy and Finance Committee approved the following operative paragraph contained in the Notice of Motion (April 10, 2006) from Councillor Augimeri:**

**“THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the General Manager of Parks, Recreation and Forestry and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer bring forward a report to Council, at its meeting on April 25 2006, outlining a process for receiving financial donations for the community festival.”**

Notice of Motion (April 10, 2006) from Councillor Augimeri stating that:

**WHEREAS** the Downsview Memorial Parkette (north east corner of Keele/Wilson) has been redeveloped to include an integrated art-landscape component financed from money that has come from local developments; and

**WHEREAS** this project is the largest City of Toronto public art commission of its kind outside the downtown core since amalgamation; and

**WHEREAS** the Parks & Recreation division is currently planning an unveiling ceremony of this parkette, with the winning integrated art-landscape design on June 25, 2006; and

**WHEREAS** the local community is participating in this unveiling by organizing a Community Festival to celebrate the unique heritage and culture of the Downsview community; and

**WHEREAS** the local residents and businesses wish to contribute money to the City of Toronto to stage this event; and

**WHEREAS** a City account is required to accept these financial contributions intended to cover the costs of the festival;

**THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** that the General Manager of Parks and Recreation and Chief Financial Officer bring forward a report to Council, at its meeting on April 25 2006, outlining a process for receiving financial donations for the community festival.

## 51. Labour Market Integration of Internationally Trained Persons

### Report 3, Clause 31

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council endorse the recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the Communication (April 10, 2006) from the Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Resources.**

Communication (April 10, 2006) from the Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights endorsing the recommendations of the Working Group on Immigration and Refugee Issues respecting the Labour Market Integration of Internationally Trained Persons; and advising that The Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights on April 5, 2006:

(1) endorsed the following recommendations of the Working Group on Immigration and Refugee Issues:

“(1) The City of Toronto develop strategies with goals and timetables to increase significantly the number of mentoring opportunities to internationally trained persons;

(2) the City of Toronto lobby:

(a) the Federal and Provincial Governments to:

(i) create a co-ordinated body, like the International Qualifications Assessment Service in Alberta, to provide information and streamline the accreditation process for internationally trained persons;

(ii) increase resources to provide information and encourage internationally trained persons to pursue accreditation prior to arrival in Canada; and

(iii) set up an accreditation subsidy fund and provide financial support to immigrants when they retrain or study for accreditation;

(b) the Minister for Training, Colleges and Universities to reduce the waiting time for immigrants to be eligible to apply for OSAP;

(c) the Provincial Minister responsible for professional regulatory bodies to regulate the fees for accreditation, examination and other requirements to make accreditation affordable; and

- (d) professional regulatory bodies to streamline the notarization of documents and reduce waiting time for internationally trained persons to be accredited.” and
- (2) requested that this matter be forwarded to the Policy and Finance Committee for consideration at its meeting on April 11, 2006:

## **52. Donated Funds in Support of Animal Services**

### **Report 3, Clause 32**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendations of the Board of Health contained in the communication (April 10, 2006) from the Board of Health.**

Communication (April 10, 2006) from the Board of Health advising that the Board of Health on April 10, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (March 28, 2006), from the Medical Officer of Health, be adopted, as follows:

- (1) a grant in the amount of \$10,000 from PetSmart Charities of Canada Incorporated be accepted for the pre-adoption spay/neuter program for dogs and cats;
- (2) the Medical Officer of Health be authorized to execute an agreement between the City and PetSmart Charities of Canada Incorporated in such form that is satisfactory to the City Solicitor and upon such terms and conditions that are satisfactory to the Medical Officer of Health;
- (3) a bequest of \$64,000 be accepted and used for improvements to the adoption program in the West Region Animal Centre;
- (4) the balance of the funds in the Animal Control (York) Reserve Fund in the amount of approximately \$12,000 be transferred to the 2006 TPH Operating Budget - Animal Services Program for the purpose of assisting animals in the care and custody of Toronto Animal Services;
- (5) an amount of \$86,000 gross expenditure and \$86,000 revenue be added to the 2006 Toronto Public Health Operating Budget Animal Services Program for improvements to the Animal Services Program;
- (6) the Medical Officer of Health report back to the Board of Health on appropriate policies and financial mechanisms to facilitate the receipt and use of grants and donations for Toronto Animal Services;



- (7) when the balance of funds in the Animal Control (York ) Reserve Fund is zero, that authorization be granted to the closure and the deletion of the reserve account from Municipal Cod 227 (Reserves and Reserve Funds);
- (8) that leave be granted for the introduction of any necessary bills in Council to give effect thereto;
- (9) this report be forwarded to the Policy and Finance Committee on April 11, 2006 due to time constraints for the use of the funding;
- (10) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**ITEM CONSIDERED AT THE MARCH 27, 2006 SPECIAL MEETING OF THE COMMITTEE.**

**Declaration of Trust – Provincial Funding Arrangement  
for a Move Ontario Inter-Regional Heavy Rail Public  
Transit Project (Spadina Subway)**

**Report 3, Clause 33 (u)**

**The Policy and Finance Committee on March 27, 2006, approved the recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (March 27, 2006) from the City Manager, Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and the City Solicitor:**

Report (March 27, 2006) from the City Manager, Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and the City Solicitor indicating that staff will report back on the budget announcement (March 23, 2006) by the Ontario Government that it intends to place \$670 million in trust for the benefit of the City of Toronto ('Toronto') and the Regional Municipality of York ('York') for the purposes of an inter-regional heavy rail public transit project.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) Policy and Finance Committee request that the City Manager, jointly with the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and the City Solicitor, report to its meeting on April 11, 2006 with respect to the following:
  - (a) providing Council with a detailed review of the terms of the Declaration of Trust;
  - (b) recommending a permanent City of Toronto Municipal Trustee under the Declaration of Trust; and

- (c) such further matters as may be required as a result of further discussions with the Province of Ontario and the Regional Municipality of York; and
- (2) staff be authorized to take all necessary action to give effect to these recommendations, including the introduction in Council of any necessary bill.