



---

**POLICY AND FINANCE COMMITTEE  
DECISION DOCUMENT  
MEETING No. 4**

*Report 4 to be considered by City Council on May 23, 24 and 25, 2006*

<b>Date of Meeting:</b>	<b>Tuesday, May 16, 2006</b>	<b>Enquiry:</b>	<b>Patsy Morris</b>
<b>Time:</b>	<b>9:30 a.m.</b>		<b>Administrator</b>
<b>Location:</b>	<b>Committee Room 1</b>		<b>(416) 392-9151</b>
	<b>City Hall</b>		<b>pmorris@toronto.ca</b>
	<b>100 Queen Street West</b>		
	<b>Toronto</b>		

---

*The Decision Document is for preliminary reference purposes only. Please refer to the Committee's Report to City Council or to the minutes for the official record.*

*How to Read the Decision Document:*

- *recommendations of the Committee to City Council are in bold type after the item heading;*
- *action taken by the Committee on its own authority does not require Council's approval – it is reported to Council for information, and is listed in the decision document in bold type under the heading "Action taken by the Committee"; and*
- *Declarations of Interest, if any, appear at the end of an item.*

**Communications/Reports**

- 1. The Hiring and Appointment of Relatives of Members of Council to City of Toronto Staff and Agencies, Boards and Commissions.**

**Report 4, Clause 1**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 27, 2006) from the Integrity Commissioner.**

**Action taken by the Committee:**

**The Policy and Finance Committee referred the following motion to the City Solicitor for report thereon to the meeting of Council scheduled to be held on May 23, 2006:**

**Moved by Councillor Soknacki on behalf of Councillor Pitfield:**

**“That the Policy and Finance Committee recommend that City Council adopt the recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 27, 2006) from the Integrity Commissioner, subject to amending Recommendation (1) by adding after the words “ineligible for” the words “hiring in the City of Toronto with the exception of presently held positions to take effect at the beginning of the next term of Council, for” so that the recommendation now reads as follows:**

**“(1) Council establish a policy that relatives of Members of Council (as specified in section 10(1) of the Ontario Human Rights Code (spouses and those in a conjugal relationship, children and parents) be ineligible for hiring in the City of Toronto, with the exception of presently held positions, to take effect at the beginning of the next term of Council”, for appointment to the following positions or offices: City Manager, Deputy City Manager, City Clerk, City Solicitor, Chief Planner, Director, Internal Audit, Director, Elections and Registry Services, Director, Corporate Access and Privacy, Director, Council and Support Services, Auditor General, Integrity Commissioner, and, if created, Ombudsman, as well as civilian members of Agencies, Boards and Commissions;”.**

Report (April 27, 2006) from the Integrity Commissioner reporting on the appointment of relatives of Members of Council to staff positions and City of Toronto Agencies, Boards and Commissions.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) Council establish a policy that relatives of Members of Council (as specified in section 10(1) of the *Ontario Human Rights Code* (spouses and those in a conjugal relationship, children and parents)) be ineligible for appointment to the following positions or offices: City Manager, Deputy City Manager, City Clerk, City Solicitor, Chief Planner, Director, Internal Audit, Director, Elections and Registry Services, Director, Corporate Access and Privacy, Director, Council and Support Services, Auditor General, Integrity Commissioner, and, if created, Ombudsman, as well as civilian members of Agencies, Boards and Commissions;
- (2) Council exempt from the application of the previous policy any persons already occupying any of the designated positions but extend that same policy to relationships between Members of Council which come into existence after this policy is in place to the extent that either the Member of Council or the appointee will be obliged to resign unless explicitly exempted from so doing by Council;
- (3) Council establish a policy that, where a Member of Council is a member of an appointments committee or otherwise involved as a decision-maker (including participation at City Council) in any City appointment process, he or she should

declare a conflict of interest and withdraw from that appointment process if a relative (as defined in the October 5, 2005 City of Toronto Employment of Relatives Policy) is a candidate for appointment or, in the case of a recommendation to Council for appointment, withdraw from participation and voting if the recommendation is for the appointment of a relative;

- (4) Council expand the current conflict of interest rules to provide that Members of Council declare a conflict of interest and refrain from participation or voting in Council in relation to the following matters in which a staff member relative (as defined in section 10(1) of the *Ontario Human Rights Code* (spouses and those in a conjugal relationship, children and parents)) has been involved or where the interests of a staff member relative will be affected:
- (a) the collective bargaining process where a relative is a member of the affected bargaining unit, and any other personnel policy matter, which affects a relative of the Member of Council; and
  - (b) Committees and reports of committees where a staff member relative has had a lead or significant role in the development of the policy, option or position coming before Council or one of its committees, or a City Agency, Board or Commission.

## **2. Recommendations on Members of Council Providing Letters of Reference**

### **Report 4, Clause 2**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that:**

- (1) City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendation Section of the report (April 27, 2006) from the Integrity Commissioner; and**
- (2) the City Manager be requested to prepare a plain language pamphlet outlining the City's policy on providing letters of reference for persons seeking employment with the City of Toronto in a form suitable for distribution to the public.**

Report (April 27, 2006) from the Integrity Commissioner reporting on Members of Council providing references.

#### Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) Council adopt the following rules governing Members of Council providing references for those applying for employment with the City of Toronto or appointment to a City Agency, Board or Commission, or any other position or office with the City:

- (a) a Member of Council shall not provide a reference in support of an applicant for employment with the City of Toronto or appointment to a City Agency, Board or Commission, or any other position or office with the City of Toronto, unless that Member of Council has had an employment or other relevant relationship (such as that of teacher or volunteer group supervisor) with the person requesting the reference;
  - (b) even where there is such a relevant relationship, a Member of Council shall not provide a reference for any person (a) who is a relative of the Member of Council as defined in the City of Toronto's October 2005 policy regulating the hiring of relatives of other employees or (b) whose only relevant relationship with the Member of Council has been as a member of the public service of the City of Toronto or a City of Toronto Agency, Board or Commission (with the exception of a Member's own staff);
  - (c) in the case of City of Toronto Agencies, Boards and Commissions (and any other situations in which Members of Council participate as decision-makers in a City of Toronto hiring or appointment process), no participating Member of Council shall act as a reference for a candidate for appointment or hiring, and, where a participating Member of Council would otherwise be eligible to act as a reference, the Member of Council shall declare that fact to the appointing authority; and
  - (d) for the purposes of these rules, providing a reference includes both written and verbal references and any other form of intervention on behalf of the person in question. However, it does not extend to sending on (without comment) letters of inquiry about possible positions with the City of Toronto to the relevant hiring authority;
- (2) Council approve the following guidelines for Members of Council providing letters of reference in any context in their capacity as Members of Council unless the circumstances clearly indicate otherwise:
- (a) do not provide "To Whom It May Concern" references;
  - (b) confine any references to the qualifications for the particular position, grant, or other form of preferment that the requestor is seeking;
  - (c) do not provide references where the only basis for doing so is to use the influence of your office or to help someone you know merely as a constituent, friend or relative;
  - (d) confine the provision of references to situations where you have relevant personal experience with the candidate;

- (e) send any written reference directly to the addressee; do not give it to the candidate; and
  - (f) do not provide the candidate with a true copy of any written reference; rather, if you are providing the candidate with a copy, do so on non-copiable paper or indicate in the body of the letter that it is not to be copied; and
- (3) Council refer to the Bellamy Recommendations Steering Committee the question whether there should be an addition to the Code of Conduct for the Members of Council of a provision to the effect that it is a violation of that Code of Conduct to act in contravention of any City policy applicable to Members of Council.

### **3. City of Toronto Program Review Framework**

**(Staff Presentation)**

#### **Report 4, Clause 3**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that:**

- (I) City council adopt the recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 3, 2006) from the City Manager, Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin and Deputy City Manager Sue Corke, subject to amending the recommendations to read as follows:**

**“It is recommended that:**

- (1) a Program Review Framework with the following elements be approved for immediate implementation:**
  - (i) all City Programs be reviewed over time, by staff independent of the program, to assess their continuing relevance to community needs and how well they achieve their intended results, based on established selection criteria;**
  - (ii) each program seek to achieve best value for the community, the right service, delivered to the right people, utilizing the right funding level, on a sustainable and accountable basis;**
  - (iii) for each service, the City’s role be evaluated in the context of the new City of Toronto Act and services provided by other governments, non-profit sector and the private sector, as well as other emerging legislation or financial considerations;**

- (iv) findings and recommendations of individual reviews be reported to Council through the respective standing committee, prior to submission to the Policy and Finance Committee, and be used to inform decisions regarding priority-setting, long-term planning, budgeting and reporting;
- (2) Council establish a discretionary reserve fund called the “Innovation Reserve Fund” to fund the incremental cost of acquiring external resources, for backfilling key staff positions and other related costs required to undertake Program Reviews;
- (3) Council approve an initial contribution of \$2.5 million to the Innovation Reserve Fund allocated from the Clients Identification and Benefits Reserve Fund;
- (4) the Municipal Code Chapter 227 be amended by adding the “Innovation Reserve Fund” to Schedule No. 3, Corporate Discretionary Reserve Fund; and
- (5) the program review financing be part of the budget review;
- (6) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, and that leave be granted for the introduction of any necessary bills in Council to give effect thereto.”;
- (II) the review of major programs be conducted on a five year cycle; and
- (III) staff be requested to report on an annual basis on the progress of the program reviews and the proposed areas of review in future years.

Report (May 3, 2006) from the City Manager, Deputy City Manager and Chief financial Officer, Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin and Deputy City Manager Sue Corke proposing a Program Review Framework to complement the City’s current management control and accountability system.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) a Program Review Framework with the following elements be approved for immediate implementation:
  - (i) all City Programs be reviewed over time, by staff independent of the program, to assess their continuing relevance to community needs and how well they achieve their intended results, based on established selection criteria;

- (ii) each program seek to achieve best value for the community, the right service, delivered to the right people, utilizing the right funding level, on a sustainable and accountable basis;
  - (iii) for each service, the City's role be evaluated in the context of the new City of Toronto Act and services provided by other governments, non-profit sector and the private sector, as well as other emerging legislation or financial considerations;
  - (iv) findings and recommendations of individual reviews be reported to Council through the Policy and Finance Committee and be used to inform decisions regarding priority-setting, long-term planning, budgeting and reporting;
- (2) Council establish a discretionary reserve fund called the "Innovation Reserve Fund" to fund the incremental cost of acquiring external resources, for backfilling key staff positions and other related costs required to undertake Program Reviews;
  - (3) Council approve an initial contribution of \$2.5 million to the Innovation Reserve Fund allocated from the Clients Identification and Benefits Reserve Fund;
  - (4) the Municipal Code Chapter 227 be amended by adding the "Innovation Reserve Fund" to Schedule No. 3, Corporate Discretionary Reserve Fund; and
  - (5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, and that leave be granted for the introduction of any necessary bills in Council to give effect thereto.

#### **4. Nomination of City's Representative to OMERS Sponsors Corporation Transitional Board**

##### **Report 4, Clause 4**

##### **The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that:**

- (1) City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 2, 2006) from the City Manager; and**
- (2) the City Manager be requested to draft a set of goals for the City's nominee to achieve after consultation with interested Members of Council and staff.**

Report (May 2, 2006) from the City Manager requesting authority for the City Manager to identify a candidate who meets the required competencies for nomination as the City's representative to the OMERS Sponsors Corporation transitional board to meet the June 1<sup>st</sup>, implementation date

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the City Manager be authorized to identify a candidate who meets the required competencies including an emphasis on corporate governance knowledge and expertise as the City's nominee to the OMERS Sponsors Corporation transitional board until such time as the Corporation passes a by-law determining its future governance structure and procedures; and
- (2) to meet the June 1<sup>st</sup> timeline, the City Manager also be authorized to submit the name of such nominee to the Province, and advise Council of the action taken.

**5. 2005 Toronto Atmospheric Fund (TAF) Grants for City Projects**

**Report 4, Clause 35 (a)**

**The Policy and Finance Committee received the report (April 13, 2006) from the Chief Corporate Officer.**

Report (April 13, 2006) from the Chief Corporate Officer reporting on 2005 approved grants from TAF for City projects.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

**6. City Of Toronto 2005 Investment Report**

**Report 4, Clause 5**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 3, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer.**

Report (May 3, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer reviewing the City of Toronto's 2005 investment results and investment practices being used; and reporting on the Investment Policies and Procedures previously approved by Council.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the report be received as satisfying the requirements of the Municipal Act; and



- (2) the appropriate City of Toronto officials be authorized to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto.

## **7. 2006 Sinking Fund Surplus**

### **Report 4, Clause 6**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 24, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer.**

Report (April 24, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer requesting Council's approval for payment of the 2006 sinking fund surplus in order to fulfil the legislative requirements of the *Municipal Act and the City of Toronto Act, 1997* (No. 2).

#### Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) Council approve the tax-supported sinking fund surplus of \$1,341,160.22 declared by the Sinking Fund Committee at its meeting held on April 12, 2006 and that these funds be applied to the City's capital financing requirements in order to reduce debt financing;
- (2) Council approve the sinking fund surplus of \$1,374,178.70 attributable to the Toronto District School Board that was declared by the Sinking Fund Committee at its meeting held on April 12, 2006 and that these funds be remitted to the TDSB; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto.

## **8. 2005 Statements of Development Charges Reserve Funds**

### **Report 4, Clause 35 (b)**

**The Policy and Finance Committee received the report (May 1, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer.**

Report (May 1, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer providing a statement of development charge reserve funds for the year ended December 31, 2005, as required by the *Development Charges Act, 1997*

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

**9. Amendment of the Shareholder Direction to Toronto Hydro Corporation**

**Report 4, Clause 7**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 2, 2006) from the City Manager.**

Report (May 2, 2006) from the City Manager recommending amendments to the Shareholder Direction for Toronto Hydro Corporation to permit the appointment of independent directors to the board of Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited, a subsidiary of Toronto Hydro Corporation, in order to comply with a directive of the Ontario Energy Board.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) Section 4.2 of the City's Shareholder Direction to Toronto Hydro Corporation (THC) be amended to permit the Toronto Hydro Corporation Board of Directors to select and appoint a minimum of one-third, but less than a majority, of the members of the Board of Directors of Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited (THESL) to be independent directors, subject to the City being notified of the directors appointed to the THESL board, any remuneration to be paid, and the appointment process used to select them;
- (2) the City Solicitor prepare the necessary amendments to the Shareholder Direction to give effect to the foregoing;
- (3) the City Manager be authorized and directed to sign the appropriate amendments to the Shareholder Direction on behalf of the City; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**10. Provincial Funding for Methadone Works**

**Report 4, Clause 8**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendations of the Board of Health contained in the communication (April 11, 2006) from the Board of Health.**

Communication (April 11, 2006) from the Board of Health advising that the Board of Health on April 10, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that:

- (1) an amount of \$100,000 gross expenditure and \$100,000 revenue be added to the 2006 Toronto Public Health Operating Budget for the operation of the Methadone Works Program; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**11. Sole Source Contract for 100 percent Provincially Funded Incredible Years Parent, Teacher and Child Programs**

**Report 4, Clause 9**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendations of the Board of Health contained in the communication (April 11, 2006) from the Board of Health.**

Communication (April 11, 2006) from the Board of Health advising that the Board of Health on April 10, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that the Policy and Finance Committee adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (March 22, 2006) from the Medical Officer of Health, as follows:

- (1) the Medical Officer of Health be authorized to award and execute a purchase of service contract in 2006 with The Incredible Years in the amount of \$200,000 USD including all taxes and charges; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**12. Request to install an irrigation supply main crossing under Jane Street for the Oakdale Golf and Country Club (Ward 7 – York West and Ward 9 – York Centre)**

**Report 4, Clause 10**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council:**

- (I) **adopt the recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report March 10, 2006, addressed to the North York Community Council from the Director, Transportation Services, North York District:**

- “(1) City Council approve the encroachment of an irrigation supply main within the Jane Street right-of-way, approximately 100 metres north of Troutbrooke Drive, subject to the Oakdale Golf and Country Club entering into an encroachment agreement with the municipality:**
- (a) Oakdale Golf and Country Club will indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted and providing an insurance policy for such liability for the lifetime of the agreement in a form acceptable to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer;**
  - (b) Oakdale Golf and Country Club will maintain the irrigation supply main at their expense and in a state of good repair satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and General Manager of Toronto Water;**
  - (c) Oakdale Golf and Country Club will be financially responsible for relocating the irrigation supply main should future road maintenance or City underground infrastructure activities require its removal; and**
  - (d) Oakdale Golf and Country Club accepts such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City; and**
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any bills that may be required; and**
- (II) adopt Recommendations (2) and (3) contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 8, 2006) from the City Solicitor:**
- “(2) in the event that City Council adopts the recommendations in the Director’s report, that an additional term of approval be that the expiry of the encroachment shall be coincidental with the expiration, cancellation or other termination of the Ministry of Environment Permit to Take Water Number 0453-6EWLZB dated November 25, 2005; and**
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.**

Communication (April 6, 2006) from the North York Community Council advising that the North York Community Council on April 4, 2006, referred the report (March 10, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, North York District, to the Policy and Finance Committee and requested the Policy and Finance Committee to review this matter in relation to the tax deferral agreement with the Oakdale Golf and Country Club.

**12(a)** Communication (May 1, 2006) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on April 25, 26 and 27, 2006, considered Clause 61 of Report 3 of the Etobicoke York Community Council and Clause 33(a) of Report 3 of the North York Community Council and directed that the aforementioned clauses be referred to the Policy and Finance Committee for consideration at its May 16, 2006 meeting.

**12(b) Request to install an irrigation supply main crossing under Jane Street for Oakdale Golf and Country Club – Ward 9 York Centre and Ward 7 York West**

Report (May 8, 2006) from the City Solicitor reporting to the Policy and Finance Committee as requested by the Ad Hoc Committee on Golf Courses with Fixed Assessments at its meeting of May 2, 2006.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council consider this report together with the report to the North York Community Council by the Director, Transportation Services, North District dated March 10, 2006 (the “Director’s report”) ; and
- (2) in the event that City Council adopts the recommendations in the Director’s report, that an additional term of approval be that the expiry of the encroachment shall be coincidental with the expiration, cancellation or other termination of the Ministry of Environment Permit to Take Water Number 0453-6EWLZB dated November 25, 2005; and that
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**13. City of Toronto Energy Plan**

**Report 4, Clause 35 (c)**

**The Policy and Finance Committee again:**

**(A) approved the following Recommendations (1) to (3) and (6) contained in the report (March 27, 2006) from Deputy Mayor Pantalone:**

- “(1) the Port Lands Green Energy Plan be taken into account in on-going preparation and implementation of the City of Toronto Energy Plan including the Renewable Energy Plan, the City of Toronto Air Quality Action Plan and the Environmental Plan Update;**

- (2) the City of Toronto continue to document the megawatts of energy saved and economic and air quality benefits accrued due to the City's energy conservation programs and the related reductions in energy demand in City operations;
  - (3) a report be submitted to the Roundtable on the Environment by Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin in consultation with Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer Joe Pennachetti on the potential for renewable energy generation and use in the City of Toronto, to be completed by September 2006;
  - (6) the Director, Business and Strategic Innovation, Facilities and Real Estate Division be requested, to:
    - (a) expand the consultation on the Energy Plan to include the Toronto District School Board, the Toronto Catholic District School Board and the Toronto Transit Commission;
    - (b) engage the public, as part of the consultation process, by using existing communication tools such as notices in Toronto Hydro bills and advertising on City bus shelters and litter bins;";
- (B) amended recommendation (7) (b) contained in the aforementioned report by adding thereto the following:
- “(iv) that the City’s Executive Environmental Team be consulted to ensure that the report to the Policy and Finance Committee on June 20, 2006, address and integrate the City’s broader environmental agenda.

and renumbered the recommendations so that they now read as follows:

- “(1) the Port Lands Green Energy Plan be taken into account in on-going preparation and implementation of the City of Toronto Energy Plan including the Renewable Energy Plan, the City of Toronto Air Quality Action Plan and the Environmental Plan Update;
- (2) the City of Toronto continue to document the megawatts of energy saved and economic and air quality benefits accrued due to the City's energy conservation programs and the related reductions in energy demand in City operations;
- (3) a report be submitted to the Roundtable on the Environment by Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin in consultation with Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer Joe Pennachetti on the potential for renewable energy generation and use in the City of Toronto, to be completed by September 2006;

- (4) **the Director, Business and Strategic Innovation, Facilities and Real Estate Division be requested, to:**
- (a) **expand the consultation on the Energy Plan to include the Toronto District School Board, the Toronto Catholic District School Board and the Toronto Transit Commission;**
  - (b) **engage the public, as part of the consultation process, by using existing communication tools such as notices in Toronto Hydro bills and advertising on City bus shelters and litter bins;”;**
- (5) **the Director, Business and Strategic Innovation, Facilities and Real Estate Division, be requested to:**
- (a) **prepare a report for presentation to the Roundtable on the Environment at its meeting on May 29, 2006, on:**
    - (i) **alternatives to the 550 megawatt Port Lands Energy Centre including consideration of a smaller facility;**
    - (ii) **an interim energy plan and the actions outlined in the 10 point Port Lands Green Energy Plan;**
    - (iii) **solid numbers on the difference between energy demand and capacity to meet energy demand in the City of Toronto and numbers on how much energy is used daily and for what purpose;**
    - (iv) **clear statements on actions that will lead to reduced energy demand, particularly the demand for electricity, such as reducing the use of conventional air conditioning during times of peak demand; and**
    - (v) **more detailed information on the investments required and related energy and financial savings with regard to the Port Land Green Energy Plan;**
  - (b) **prepare a report for presentation to the Policy and Finance Committee at its meeting on June 20, 2006, on:**
    - (i) **the inclusion of more quantitative indicators of energy use including minimum and maximum energy demand and energy production capacities;**
    - (ii) **additional information on the split in energy use between industrial commercial and residential and identify programs**

**including energy efficiency projects that will reduce demand in both sectors;**

- (iii) **the proposals contained in the communication (February 20, 2006) from Fiona Nelson; and**
  - (iv) **that the City’s Executive Environmental Team be consulted to ensure that the report to the Policy and Finance Committee on June 20, 2006, address and integrate the City’s broader environmental agenda;**
- (C) **referred the following Recommendation (4) contained in the report (March 27, 2006) from Deputy Mayor Pantalone to the Mayor’s Office for discussion with the Province:**
- “(4) Toronto City Council inform Premier McGuinty, the Ontario Minister of Energy, the Ontario Minister of the Environment, the Ontario Minister of Health and the Ontario Minister of Economic Development and Trade about the on-going energy conservation measures at the City of Toronto;”;** and
- (D) **directed that the following Recommendation (5) contained in the report (March 27, 2006) from Deputy Mayor Pantalone is out of order given that City Council has already taken a position respecting this matter:**
- “(5) Toronto City Council inform Premier McGuinty, the Ontario Minister of Energy, the Ontario Minister of the Environment, the Ontario Minister of Health and the Ontario Minister of Economic Development and Trade of the City of Toronto’s opposition to building a 550 megawatt gas-powered generation facility on the Toronto waterfront given the economic, environmental and health benefits demonstrated by energy conservation and continued reductions in energy demand at the City of Toronto;”;**
- (E) **received the report April 5, 2006) from the Chief Corporate Officer; and directed that a copy be forwarded to the Roundtable on the Environment for advice and comment at its meeting scheduled to be held on May 29, 2006; and**
- (F) **requested the Energy Efficiency Office to report to the Round Table on the Environment on May 29, 2006 on how the City of Toronto can facilitate the creation of additional energy sources on a micro level – such as hotels, large office towers- in Toronto; and further whether a model similar to the Better Buildings Partnership could be developed by the city to achieve this goal.”**

Communication (May 2, 2006) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on April 25, 26 and 27, 2006, considered Item (1), entitled “City of Toronto Energy Plan”,



contained in Clause 33 of Report 3 of the Policy and Finance Committee, and referred the aforementioned Item (1) back to the Policy and Finance Committee for further consideration:

“(1) City of Toronto Energy Plan

The Policy and Finance Committee:

(A) approved the following Recommendations (1) to (3) and (6) contained in the report (March 27, 2006) from Deputy Mayor Pantalone:

“(1) the Port Lands Green Energy Plan be taken into account in on-going preparation and implementation of the City of Toronto Energy Plan including the Renewable Energy Plan, the City of Toronto Air Quality Action Plan and the Environmental Plan Update;

(2) the City of Toronto continue to document the megawatts of energy saved and economic and air quality benefits accrued due to the City’s energy conservation programs and the related reductions in energy demand in City operations;

(3) a report be submitted to the Roundtable on the Environment by Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin in consultation with Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer Joe Pennachetti on the potential for renewable energy generation and use in the City of Toronto, to be completed by September 2006;

(6) the Director, Business and Strategic Innovation, Facilities and Real Estate Division be requested, to:

(a) expand the consultation on the Energy Plan to include the Toronto District School Board, the Toronto Catholic District School Board and the Toronto Transit Commission;

(b) engage the public, as part of the consultation process, by using existing communication tools such as notices in Toronto Hydro bills and advertising on City bus shelters and litter bins;”;

(B) amended recommendation (7) (b) contained in the aforementioned report by adding thereto the following:

“(iv) that the City’s Executive Environmental Team be consulted to ensure that the report to the Policy and Finance Committee on June 20, 2006, address and integrate the City’s broader environmental agenda.

and renumbered the recommendations so that they now read as follows:

- “(1) the Port Lands Green Energy Plan be taken into account in on-going preparation and implementation of the City of Toronto Energy Plan including the Renewable Energy Plan, the City of Toronto Air Quality Action Plan and the Environmental Plan Update;
- (2) the City of Toronto continue to document the megawatts of energy saved and economic and air quality benefits accrued due to the City’s energy conservation programs and the related reductions in energy demand in City operations;
- (3) a report be submitted to the Roundtable on the Environment by Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin in consultation with Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer Joe Pennachetti on the potential for renewable energy generation and use in the City of Toronto, to be completed by September 2006;
- (4) the Director, Business and Strategic Innovation, Facilities and Real Estate Division be requested, to:
  - (a) expand the consultation on the Energy Plan to include the Toronto District School Board, the Toronto Catholic District School Board and the Toronto Transit Commission;
  - (b) engage the public, as part of the consultation process, by using existing communication tools such as notices in Toronto Hydro bills and advertising on City bus shelters and litter bins;”;
- (5) the Director, Business and Strategic Innovation, Facilities and Real Estate Division, be requested to:
  - (a) prepare a report for presentation to the Roundtable on the Environment at its meeting on May 29, 2006, on:
    - (i) alternatives to the 550 megawatt Port Lands Energy Centre including consideration of a smaller facility;
    - (ii) an interim energy plan and the actions outlined in the 10 point Port Lands Green Energy Plan;
    - (iii) solid numbers on the difference between energy demand and capacity to meet energy demand in the City of Toronto and numbers on how much energy is used daily and for what purpose;
    - (iv) clear statements on actions that will lead to reduced energy demand, particularly the demand for electricity, such as reducing the use of conventional air conditioning during times of peak demand; and

- (v) more detailed information on the investments required and related energy and financial savings with regard to the Port Land Green Energy Plan;
- (b) prepare a report for presentation to the Policy and Finance Committee at its meeting on June 20, 2006, on:
  - (i) the inclusion of more quantitative indicators of energy use including minimum and maximum energy demand and energy production capacities;
  - (ii) additional information on the split in energy use between industrial commercial and residential and identify programs including energy efficiency projects that will reduce demand in both sectors;
  - (iii) the proposals contained in the communication (February 20, 2006) from Fiona Nelson; and
  - (iv) that the City's Executive Environmental Team be consulted to ensure that the report to the Policy and Finance Committee on June 20, 2006, address and integrate the City's broader environmental agenda;
- (C) referred the following Recommendation (4) contained in the report (March 27, 2006) from Deputy Mayor Pantalone to the Mayor's Office for discussion with the Province:
  - “(4) Toronto City Council inform Premier McGuinty, the Ontario Minister of Energy, the Ontario Minister of the Environment, the Ontario Minister of Health and the Ontario Minister of Economic Development and Trade about the on-going energy conservation measures at the City of Toronto;”;  
and
- (D) directed that the following Recommendation (5) contained in the report (March 27, 2006) from Deputy Mayor Pantalone is out of order given that City Council has already taken a position respecting this matter:
  - “(5) Toronto City Council inform Premier McGuinty, the Ontario Minister of Energy, the Ontario Minister of the Environment, the Ontario Minister of Health and the Ontario Minister of Economic Development and Trade of the City of Toronto's opposition to building a 550 megawatt gas-powered generation facility on the Toronto waterfront given the economic, environmental and health benefits demonstrated by energy conservation and continued reductions in energy demand at the City of Toronto;”;

- (E) received the report April 5, 2006) from the Chief Corporate Officer; and directed that a copy be forwarded to the Roundtable on the Environment for advice and comment at its meeting scheduled to be held on May 29, 2006; and
- (F) requested the Energy Efficiency Office to report to the Round Table on the Environment on May 29, 2006 on how the City of Toronto can facilitate the creation of additional energy sources on a micro level – such as hotels, large office towers- in Toronto; and further whether a model similar to the Better Buildings Partnership could be developed by the city to achieve this goal.”

Councillor Cowbourne declared her interest in this matter in that her husband is employed by the Independent Electricity System Operator, which is responsible for the reliability of the electricity supply in Ontario.

#### **14. City-wide Resident Service Standards**

##### **Report 4, Clause 35 (d)**

**The Policy and Finance Committee amended this item by deleting all references to “customer service standards” and inserting instead the words “resident service standards”, so that the report request now reads as follows:**

**“The City Manager report to the Policy and Finance Committee, as soon as possible, on the feasibility and timetable for City-wide resident service standards, such report to include but not limited to:**

- **how reasonable standards are to be developed;**
- **impact of 3-1-1 on resident service standards;**
- **the timeframe to achieve various standards;**
- **penalties, if any, for non-compliance;**
- **costs;**
- **communication with staff and the public;**
- **possibility of a ‘resident service charter’ or similar public statement of standards; and**
- **monitoring;**

**and referred the communication, as amended, to the City Manager for report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee.**

Communication (April 27, 2006) from Councillor David Soknacki requesting that the City Manager report to the Policy and Finance Committee, as soon as possible, on the feasibility and timetable for City-wide customer service standards, such report to include but not limited to:

- how reasonable standards are to be developed;
- impact of 3-1-1 on customer service standards;

- the timeframe to achieve various standards;
- penalties, if any, for non-compliance;
- costs;
- communication with staff and the public;
- possibility of a 'customer service charter' or similar public statement of standards; and
- monitoring.

## **15. 2004 Annual Report on Multilingual Services**

### **Report 4, Clause 35 (e)**

**The Policy and Finance Committee referred the communication (May 1, 2006) from Councillor Joe Mihevc, Chair, Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights, to the Council staff Working Group in connection with the implementation of the 3-1-1 Customer Service Strategy for report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee.**

Communication (May 1, 2006) from Councillor Joe Mihevc, Chair, Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights, advising that the Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights on April 5, 2006 requested that the Chair request the Policy and Finance Committee to:

- (1) refer the communication (January 10, 2005) from the City Clerk, Administration Committee and the communication (February 21, 2005) from Councillor Olivia Chow to the Council-Staff Working Group involved in the implementation of the 3-1-1 Customer Service strategy;
- (2) request staff to look for a way of promoting the 3-1-1 Customer Service campaign; and
- (3) request the Manager, Public Information to report to the Roundtable at its next meeting on June 26, 2006 regarding the feasibility of keeping track of the 3-1-1 Customer Service calls by gender, subject matter and the area of the City from which the calls are received.

## **16. Disability Issues Committee Representative on the Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights**

### **Report 4, Clause 11**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights respecting the appointment of new Members to the Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights.**

Communication (May 1, 2006) from Councillor Joe Mihevc, Chair, Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights, advising that the Roundtable on Access, Equity and

Human Rights on April 5, 2006 requested the Chair to advise the Policy and Finance Committee that Michelle Amerie and Gary Malkowski have been selected by the Disability Issues Committee to attend meetings of the Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights.

**17. Working Group on the Status of Women and Gender Equity Representative on the Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights**

**Report 4, Clause 12**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights respecting the appointment of new Members to the Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights.**

Communication (May 1, 2006) from Councillor Joe Mihevc, Chair, Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights, advising that the Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights on April 5, 2006 requested the Chair to advise the Policy and Finance Committee that Prabha Khosla and Josephine Grey have been selected by the Working Group on the Status of Women and Gender Equity, to attend meetings of the Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights.

**18. Change in Board of Trade Representative on the Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights**

**Report 4, Clause 13**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights respecting the appointment of a new Member to the Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights.**

Communication (May 1, 2006) from Councillor Joe Mihevc, Chair, Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights, advising that the Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights on April 5, 2006 requested the Chair to advise the Policy and Finance Committee that Norm Tulsiani has resigned as the Board of Trade representative on the Roundtable; and that he has been replaced by Peter Broadhurst, to attend meetings of the Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights.

**19. Toronto Youth Cabinet Representative on the Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights**

**Report 4, Clause 14**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights respecting the appointment of a new Member to the Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights.**

Communication (May 1, 2006) from Councillor Joe Mihevc, Chair, Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights, advising that the Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights on April 5, 2006 requested the Chair to advise the Policy and Finance Committee that Gabriela Rodriguez has been selected by the Toronto Youth Cabinet to attend meetings of the Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights from September 2005 to September 2006.

**20. Addressing needs of persons with disabilities who are ineligible for WheelTrans services**

**Report 4, Clause 35 (f)**

**The Policy and Finance Committee referred the communication (April 19, 2006) from the Disability Issues Committee to the City Manager for report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee.**

Communication (April 19, 2006) from the Disability Issues Committee advising that the Disability Issues Committee on April 18, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that the City consider establishing an independent committee to come up with recommendations for the City to service those people who do not qualify for WheelTrans but still need assistance to travel from one place to another.

**21. Report of the Governing Toronto Advisory Panel, “The City We Want – The Government We Need” – Comments received from the Etobicoke York Community Council, the North York Community Council; the Scarborough Community Council and the Toronto and East York Community Council**

**Report 4 Clause 35 (g)**

**The Policy and Finance Committee:**

- (1) referred all communications pertaining to the Governing Toronto Advisory Panel recommendations, to the City Manager for consideration in the preparation of the forthcoming report on the City’s Governance Structure;**
- (2) directed that:**
  - (i) this matter be the first speakers item to be considered by the Policy and Finance Committee on June 20, 2006 at at 10:00 a.m.; and**
  - (ii) at the June 20, 2006 Policy and Finance Committee meeting, Mr. Michael Rosenberg be listed as the first speaker respecting this matter, and all speakers registered to appear before the Policy and Finance Committee at**

**the May 16, 2006 meeting be registered to appear before the Committee on June 20, 2006.**

Communication (April 19, 2006) from the Etobicoke York Community Council advising that the Etobicoke York Community Council on April 4, 2006, recommended that all the communications pertaining to the report of the Governing Toronto Advisory Panel – “The City We Want – The City We Need”, be received and copies forwarded to the Policy and Finance Committee.

**21(a)** Communication (April 19, 2006) from the North York Community Council advising that the North York Community Council on April 4, 2006:

(1) referred the following motions to the Policy and Finance Committee so that they can be tabled for the Policy and Finance Committee’s consideration at its meeting on May 16, 2006:

(a) Motion moved by Councillor Moscoe:

“ That:

- (i) beginning next term of Council there be a four term limit on each of the Councillor and the Mayoralty positions;
- (ii) there be a transition period based on seniority that would have no more than one-quarter of the Council Members retire for reasons of the term limit, in order to ensure continuity and corporate memory;
- (iii) the City Manager report on how a transition arrangement can be configured; and
- (iv) Community Council hearings on planning matters be evening meetings.”

(b) Motion moved by Councillor Shiner:

“That it be recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that:

- (i) the role and responsibilities of the Community Councils be increased;
- (ii) there not be a strong Mayor system;
- (iii) the Mayor not be responsible in appointing the Chairs to the Standing Committees and Community Councils;



- (iv) the Community Councils be independent in their decision-making process with regard to local planning and transportation matters;
- (v) City Council be an appeal body regarding Community Council decisions; and
- (vi) the Council term be 3 years and not 4 years; and

(c) Motion moved by Councillor Pitfield:

“That the Province be made aware that for the City of Toronto, a three year term of office should be permitted, even if Municipalities in the rest of the Province go to a four year term of office.”

- (2) referred all the communications and written submissions received by the North York Community Council at its meetings on February 7, 2006 and April 4, 2006, to the Policy and Finance Committee for its consideration.

**21(b).** Communication (April 5, 2006) from the Scarborough Community Council advising that the Scarborough Community Council on April 4, 2006:

- (1) forwarded the recommendations, submitted by residents to Scarborough Community Council at its meeting of April 4, 2006, to the Policy and Finance Committee for consideration; and
- (2) received the following communications:
  - (a) (March 2006) from the City Manager’s Office, entitled “Themes from Participant Consultations”;
  - (b) (March 2006) from the City Manager’s Office, entitled “Comments from Participants at the Governing Toronto Public Consultations”;
  - (c) (April 3, 2006) from Michael Rosenberg; and
  - (d) (April 4, 2006) from Liz White, Spokesperson, Direct City Action.

**21(c).** Communication (April 10, 2006) from the Toronto and East York Community Council advising that the Toronto and East York Community Council on April 4, 2006, requested that all the written communications presented to the February 7 and April 4, 2006 meetings of the Toronto and East York Community Council be submitted to the Policy and Finance Committee for its meeting on May 16, 2006, and requested that the Policy and Finance Committee be advised that the following themes are reflective of verbal comments made by speakers at its April 4, 2006 meeting:

- (1) That the decision making process, including the budget approval process, be decentralized, rather than centralized;
- (2) that power not be centralized to the Mayor and that the Mayor not be responsible for appointing Committee Chairs nor members of an Executive Committee, or being provided with the ability to hire, fire and direct the City Manager;
- (3) that the number of Community Councils be increased (8 was suggested) and given expanded powers;
- (4) that the concept of Council only being able to overturn a Staff Recommendation by a 2/3rds vote not be supported, thereby enabling Council to retain its decision making powers;
- (5) that the number of terms of office of the Mayor and Councillors be limited to three;
- (6) that the present three year term of office for the Mayor and Councillors be retained.

**21(d).** Communication May 10, 1006) from Mr. George Milbrandt forwarding FoNTRA's (Federation of North Toronto Residents' Associations) response to the Governing Toronto Advisory Panel Recommendations regarding Toronto's governance issues.

**NOTE: THE WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS TO THE COMMUNITY COUNCILS RESPECTING THE REPORT OF THE GOVERNING TORONTO ADVISORY PANEL, REFERRED TO IN THE FOREGOING COMMUNICATIONS WERE FORWARDED TO MEMBERS OF COUNCIL AND SELECT OFFICIALS ONLY, AND COPIES THEREOF ARE ALSO ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, CITY HALL.**

**22. The 519 Church Street Community Centre – Addition Phase II (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

**Report 4, Clause 15**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Administration Committee contained in the communication (May 2, 2006) from the Administration Committee.**

Communication (May 2, 2006) from the Administration Committee advising that the Administration Committee on May 2, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the following staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 12, 2006) from the Chief Corporate Officer:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the Phase II addition at 519 Church Street be approved;
- (2) the Facilities and Real Estate Division’s 2006 Approved Capital Budget be amended to reflect (i) an increase of \$2.2 million project costs in 2006, funded from Section 37 funding and cash donations from the community, zero net; (ii) cash flow of \$0.50 million in 2006 and \$1.125 million in 2007 and \$1.025 in 2008, all gross, zero net;
- (3) the Planning Act Section 37 funding be used first and the 519 Church Street Board reimburse the City for expenditures on a quarterly basis, from its accumulated donations from the Community;
- (4) the architect of record, Kohn Shnier Architects, be issued a sole source purchase order for the contract administration of the designs that exist from Phase I and minor design changes as required to implement the Phase II work; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be given the authority and direction to take the necessary actions required to give effect hereto.”

**23. Warden Station Redevelopment Strategy and Initial Land Acquisition (Ward 35 – Scarborough Southwest)**

**(In-camera – Acquisition of Land for Municipal purposes.)**

**Report 4, Clause 16**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Budget Advisory Committee contained in the communication (May 5, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee respecting the Warden Station Redevelopment Strategy and Initial Land Acquisition, which was forwarded to Members of Council under confidential cover; and further that in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining thereto be held in-camera as the subject matter relates to the acquisition of land for Municipal purposes.**

Communication (May 2, 2006) from the Administration Committee advising that the Administration Committee on May 2, 2006:

- (1) recommended to the Budget Advisory Committee and the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt staff recommendations (1) through (6), and (8) in the Recommendations Section of the confidential report (April 18, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer; and

- (2) because the report relates to the proposed or pending acquisition of land for municipal purposes under the *Municipal Act, 2001*, discussions about this report be held in camera.

**23(b)** Communication (May 5, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee advising that the Budget Advisory Committee on May 5, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt staff Recommendations (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6) and (8) in the Recommendations Section of the confidential report (April 18, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer, as recommended by the Administration Committee, and because this matter relates to the proposed or pending acquisition of land for municipal purposes under the *Municipal Act, 2001*, discussions be held in-camera.

**24. Acquisition of Toronto District School Board's Wanita Road Site (Ward 44 – Scarborough East)**

**(In-camera - Proposed or pending acquisition of land for municipal purposes.)**

**Report 4, Clause 17**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Budget Advisory Committee contained in the communication (May 5, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee , entitled “Acquisition of Toronto District School Board's Wanita Road Site (Ward 44 – Scarborough East)” which was forwarded to Members of Council under confidential cover; and further that in accordance with Municipal Act, discussions pertaining thereto be held in-camera as the subject matter relates to the acquisition of land for Municipal purposes.**

Communication (May 2, 2006) from the Administration Committee advising that the Administration Committee on May 2, 2006, recommended to the Budget Advisory Committee and the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt staff recommendations (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) and (7) in the Recommendations Section of the confidential report (April 24, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and, further that the report be considered in-camera because it relates to proposed or pending acquisition of land for municipal purposes.

**24(a). (In-camera - Proposed or pending acquisition of land for municipal purposes.)**

Communication (May 5, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee advising that the Budget Advisory Committee on May 5, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt staff Recommendations (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) and (7) in the Recommendations Section of the confidential report (April 24, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer, as recommended by the Administration Committee, and further, that the report be considered in-camera because it relates to the proposed or pending acquisition of land for municipal purposes.

**24(b).** Communications from the following persons with respect to the acquisition of Toronto District School Board's Wanita Road Site:

- (i) (May 6, 2006) from Mr. Anthony Sibley;
- (ii) (May 6, 2006) from Scott Kelly and Bridget Kimberley;
- (iii) (May 8, 2006) from Patricia A. Heikkila;
- (iv) (May 8, 2006) from Diane E. Murphy;
- (v) (May 9, 2006) from Heather Lemieux;
- (vi) (May 9, 2006) from Gerry Snore; and
- (vii) (May 9, 2006) from Ms. Kelly Shanley;
- (viii) (May 13, 2006) from Mr. Jeff Forsyth, President, Centennial Community and Recreation Association.

Councillor Gay Cowbourne declared her interest in the foregoing matter in that her home is in close proximity to the property in question.

**25. Red-Light Camera Operations: Contract Extension and Request for Proposal 9148-05-5048 for the Supply, Installation, Operation and Maintenance of Red-Light Camera Systems Within the City of Toronto and Other Municipalities Within Ontario**

**Report 4, Clause 18**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that:**

- (1) City Council adopt the recommendation of the Budget Advisory Committee contained in the communication (May 5, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee subject to:**
  - (i) amending Recommendation (2)(b) contained in the report (April 27, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services to read as follows:**
    - “(2)(b) approval be granted to extend the existing contract with the Ministry of Transportation Ontario for the access and use of licence plate registration information until the end of 2011”;**
  - (II) the Ministry of Transportation be requested to provide access to license plate registration to the municipality for red light camera identification, at no cost to the City, estimated at \$4 million presently charged; and**
  - (III) the General Manager, Transportation Services, be requested to re-negotiate the agreement with the province and submit a report thereon to the Works Committee.**

Communication (May 3, 2006) from the Works Committee advising that the Works Committee on May 3, 2006, recommended to the Budget Advisory Committee and the

Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the following staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 27, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) approval be granted to extend Contract No. 9119-00-7004 with Affiliated Computer Systems for the operation and maintenance of red-light camera systems for an additional year (November 20, 2006 to November 19, 2007) with an option to extend for a further year (November 20, 2007 to November 19, 2008) in the amounts of \$1,122,700.00 and \$1,156,400.00, respectively, and subject to budget approval;
  - (2) should City Council authorize the expansion of the Red Light Camera program by adding 132 camera sites and subject to the successful completion of “Proof of Performance” and acceptance by the City:
    - (a) as described in the Request for Proposal 9148-05-5048, Traffipax Inc., the proponent with the highest overall evaluated score, as determined by the evaluation team, be retained for the supply, installation, operation and maintenance of red-light camera systems within the City of Toronto, for a five-year period at a cost not to exceed \$13,793,600.00, subject to execution of an agreement satisfactory to the City Solicitor, the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and the General Manager, Transportation Services, and subject to annual Operating Budget approval for the years 2007 to 2011;
    - (b) approval be granted to extend the existing contract with the Ministry of Transportation Ontario for the access and use of licence plate registration information, until the end of 2011, in the estimated amount of \$4,032,600.00; and
    - (c) approval be granted to extend the existing contracts with the other partnering municipalities for their cost-sharing of the operating expenses of the joint municipal processing centre, until the end of 2011, generating an estimated revenue in the amount of (\$1,201,200.00);
  - (3) this report be forwarded to the Budget Advisory Committee and the Policy and Finance Committee; and
  - (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”
- 25(a).** Communication (May 5, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee advising that the Budget Advisory Committee on May 5, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt staff Recommendations (1), (2) and (4) in the

Recommendations Section of the report (April 27, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services, as recommended by the Works Committee.

**26. Delegation of Authority to Submit and Execute Documents required pursuant to the *Environmental Protection Act* for Council Approved Projects**

**Report 4, Clause 19**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 19, 2006) from the City Manager.**

Report (April 19, 2006) from the City Manager to delegate to staff the authority to submit documentation under the Environmental Protection Act, including Pre-Submission Forms and Records of Site Condition and to execute such documents on behalf of the City.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) authority be granted to each of the Deputy City Managers and his/her designates, within their respective jurisdictions, in relation to projects that have received Council authorization, to approve the submission of, and to execute, on behalf of the City, all documents within the Ministry of the Environment's process for brownfield redevelopment under the *Environmental Protection Act* including Pre-Submission Forms and Records of Site Condition;
- (2) should the conditions proposed for any Record of Site Condition be inconsistent with the project approval, or the associated costs exceed the approved project budget, then the relevant Deputy City Manager be required to report back through Committee to Council, for further instructions; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**27. Spadina Subway Extension Downsview to Steeles Avenue Interim Funding**

**Report 4, Clause 20**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Budget Advisory Committee contained in the communication (May 5, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee.**

Communication (May 5, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee advising that the Budget Advisory Committee on May 5, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance

Committee and City Council that approval be granted to the Toronto Transit Commission to expend the City's 1/3 share up to \$200,000.00 of the Spadina Subway Extension – Downsview to Steeles project interim funds of \$1.3 million included in the 2006-2010 Capital Program for preliminary design costs.

## **28. Toronto Transit Commission – Warden Subway Station**

### **Report 4, Clause 16**

**(This item considered with Item 23.- (See Item 23 for Committee Decision.)**

Communication (May 5, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee advising that the Budget Advisory Committee on May 5, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt staff Recommendations (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6) and (8) in the Recommendations Section of the confidential report (April 18, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer, as recommended by the Administration Committee, and because this matter relates to the proposed or pending acquisition of land for municipal purposes under the *Municipal Act, 2001*, discussions be held in-camera.

## **29. 2005 Final Year-end Capital Variance Report**

### **Report 4, Clause 21**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Budget Advisory Committee contained in the communication (May 5, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee.**

Communication (May 5, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee advising that the Budget Advisory Committee on May 5, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the following staff Recommendations (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) and (7) in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 3, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer.

- “(1) \$124.102 million of unspent 2005 cash-flow, and related financing be carried forward and added to the 2006 Approved Capital Budget (Appendix 2 attached);
- (2) \$1.013 million of unspent 2004 cash-flow, with no incremental debt impact be carried forward and added to the 2006 Approved Capital Budget (Appendix 3 attached);
- (3) in accordance with the Financial Control By-law (Section 71-9 E), reallocation of budget between capital projects as detailed in Appendix 4 with no incremental impact on the 2005 Council Approved Capital Budget be approved;



- (4) the 2005 Capital Budget for Fleet Services be increased by \$0.350 million, fully financed by a one-time provincial subsidy for the purchase of replacement Emergency Medical Services vehicles (see Appendix 4) be approved;
- (5) due to deficiency in the UDS Development Charges Reserve Fund, the 2005 City Planning Budget be adjusted to reflect change in funding source of \$0.570 million from development charges to debt, for capital projects detailed in Appendix 4, and that the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer reallocate other debt financing from under-spent capital projects such that there is no increase in the total 2005 Council approved debt;
- (7) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

### **30. 2005 Final Year-end Operating Variance Report**

#### **Report 4, Clause 22**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Budget Advisory Committee contained in the communication (May 5, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee.**

#### **Action taken by the Committee**

**The Policy and Finance Committee requested the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer to submit a report directly to Council for its meeting scheduled to be held on May 23, 2006, on the Hummingbird Centre, in terms of its reserves being treated in exactly the same manner as the Toronto Centre for the Arts.**

Communication (May 5, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee advising that the Budget Advisory Committee on May 5, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the following staff Recommendations (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6) and (8) in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 3, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer.

- “(1) \$12.261 million of additional 2005 operating surplus generated from the Toronto Transit Commission’s operations, be contributed to the TTC Stabilization Reserve Fund for an employee benefit liability provision (as recommended by the TTC and approved by Council at its meeting of March 29 and 30, 2006);
- (2) \$1.704 million of additional 2005 operating surplus generated from the Toronto Transit Commission’s operations as a result of the sale of land be contributed to the Land Acquisition Reserve Fund for future TTC property purchases;

- (3) \$1.993 million of additional 2005 operating surplus generated from the Toronto Public Library's operations be allocated back to the Employee Benefits Reserve Fund;
- (4) \$1.848 million of additional 2005 operating surplus generated from the Toronto Centre for the Arts (TCA) be contributed to the TCA Stabilization Reserve Fund pending a report from the TCA Board on recommended options for its disposition;
- (5) \$0.914 million of additional 2005 operating surplus be contributed to the Capital Financing Reserve Fund in accordance with City policy;
- (6) the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer report back to the Budget Advisory Committee on any incremental funding from or contributions to the Capital Financing Reserve Fund should the final audited Agencies, Boards and Commissions financial statements indicate year-end variances different from those represented in this report; and
- (8) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto."

**31. Municipal Engagement Funding – Participation in the Ontarioimmigration.ca Municipal Engagement Strategy**

**Report 4, Clause 23**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Budget Advisory Committee contained in the communication (May 5, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee.**

Communication (May 5, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee advising that the Budget Advisory Committee on May 5, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the following staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 4, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer:

- “(1) the agreement (as outlined in Appendix 1) between the City and the Province to secure grant funding of \$0.200 million be ratified;
- (2) the Corporate Communications Division's 2006 Approved Operating Budget be increased by \$0.120 million gross, with funding received from the Province of Ontario of \$0.120 million, for a net \$0 increase;
- (3) when received, the grant funding of \$0.060 million be held in a deferred revenue account to fund the costs required for this initiative in 2007;

- (4) the grant funding of \$0.080 (\$0.060 million in deferred revenue and \$0.020 million to be received in 2007) be included in the 2007 Operating Budget to fund the balance of the costs to complete this initiative in 2007; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

**32. Main and Gerrard Subdivision Landscape Construction on Park Block D, Block 53, Registered Plan 66M-2414 (Ward 32 Beaches-East York)**

**Report 4, Clause 24**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Economic Development and Parks Committee contained in the communication (May 9, 2006) from the Economic Development and Parks Committee.**

Communication (May 9, 2006) from the Economic Development and Parks Committee advising that the Economic Development and Parks Committee on May 4, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the following staff Recommendations (1) and (3) in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 20, 2006) from the General Manager of Parks, Forestry and Recreation.

- “(1) on the condition that the City receives the \$371,813.00 in proceeds from the draw on the Letter of Credit, the 2006 Parks, Forestry and Recreation Capital Budget be increased by \$371,813.00 gross, and zero net, funded by proceeds from a Letter of Credit through addition of a sub-project entitled “Main and Gerrard Subdivision Landscape Construction on Park Block D;
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

**33. Approval of Best Start Funds for Bergamot Child Care Centre**

**Report 4, Clause 25**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Community Services Committee contained in the communication (May 8, 2006) from the Community Services Committee.**

Communication (May 8, 2006) from the Community Services Committee advising that the Community Services Committee on May 8, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the following staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 24, 2006) from the General Manager,

Children's Services, respecting "Approval of Best Start Funds for Bergamot Child Care Centre":

- “(1) funds of \$1,295,182.00 for the construction costs and an additional \$136,400.00 in start up funding be approved for the Bergamot Child Care Centre, a Phase 2 project in the Best Start Service and Transition and Infrastructure Plan;
- (2) the Bergamot Child Care Project, with total project cost of \$1,295,182.00 be transferred from the Children's Services 2006 Approved Operating Budget to the new Best Start Capital Project and that start-up funding of \$136,400.00 included in the Approved Operating Budget be approved;
- (3) the General Manager of Children's Services be delegated authority to execute an agreement on behalf of the City with the YWCA for these purposes and such agreement be on terms and conditions satisfactory to the General Manager and in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor;
- (4) the General Manager of Children's Services report back on future Best Start funding and impact on the City's Child Care Service Plan; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

**34. Provincial Funds Available for Bruckland Foundation for Social Housing (Ward 36 – Scarborough-Southwest); and for the Hiring of Additional Staff for Social Housing Projects in Difficulty (All Wards)**

**Report 4, Clause 26**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Community Services Committee contained in the communication (May 8, 2006) from the Community Services Committee.**

Communication (May 8, 2006) from the Community Services Committee advising that the Community Services Committee on May 8, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the following staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 2, 2006) from the General Manager, Shelter, Support and Housing Administration, respecting "Provincial Funds Available for Bruckland Foundation for Social Housing (Ward 36 – Scarborough-Southwest); and for the Hiring of Additional Staff for Social Housing Projects in Difficulty (All Wards)".

“It is recommended that:

- (1) with respect to the funds received from the Province:

- (a) authority be given to the General Manager, Shelter, Support and Housing Administration, to accept these funds and that his conditional acceptance of the terms of the MMAH letter dated March 17, 2006, be ratified;
  - (b) the 2006 Approved Operating Budget for Shelter, Support and Housing Administration be increased by \$1,709,280.24 gross and \$0 net of which \$500,000.00 is to be funded by a withdrawal from the Social Housing Federal Reserve Fund to provide a loan to the Bruckland Foundation for required capital expenditures at 110 Mason Road;
  - (c) \$430,027.00 be provided directly to Bruckland Foundation as additional subsidy to be credited as revenue to address its accumulated deficit and \$179,253.24 as additional subsidy to be credited to Bruckland Foundation's capital reserve fund;
  - (d) authority be given to the General Manager, Shelter, Support and Housing Administration, to renegotiate, execute and deliver a revised loan agreement of up to \$500,000.00, with Bruckland Foundation including any collateral security and ancillary agreements and documentation, subject to the same terms and conditions as previously approved by Council;
  - (e) up to \$100,000.00 be used to hire two temporary staff in 2006 to work with social housing projects in difficulty;
  - (f) \$500,000.00 be contributed to the Social Housing Stabilization Reserve Fund to fund the two temporary positions from January 1, 2007 until June 30, 2009; and
- (2) this report be referred to the Budget Advisory Committee and Policy and Finance Committee for its consideration; and
  - (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto."

### **35. Quorum Requirements for the Roundtable on a Beautiful City**

#### **Report 4, Clause 27**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Roundtable on a Beautiful City, that the Terms of Reference for the Roundtable on a Beautiful City, for the duration of this term of the Roundtable, be amended to provide that the minimum number of members required to be present at a meeting in order to conduct business, be reduced to seven members.**

Communication (May 11, 2006) from Deputy Mayor Sandra Bussin attaching the decision from the Roundtable on a Beautiful City that the Roundtable on a Beautiful City

on April 24, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that the Terms of Reference for the duration of this term of the Roundtable be amended to include that the minimum number of members required to be present at a meeting in order to conduct business be reduced to seven.

### **36. Bill 104 - The Greater Toronto Transportation Authority Act**

#### **Report, Clause 28**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 10, 2006) from Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin subject to amending Recommendation (1) to read as follows:**

- “(1) Deputy City Manager, Fareed Amin, in consultation with the TTC, prepare a joint City of Toronto and Toronto Transit Commission submission to the Standing Committee of the Legislature that considers Bill 104 2006 – An Act to Establish the Greater Toronto Transportation Authority and to repeal the GO Transit Act, 2001 to address the issues and concerns raised in this report and other issues that may arise through the review of the legislation;”.**

#### **Action taken by the Committee**

**The Policy and Finance Committee requested Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin and appropriate City staff to attend the Committee of the Whole meeting of the Toronto Transit Commission to be held on May 17, 2006 when the Toronto Transit Commission will be considering its position on Bill 104.**

Report (May 10, 2006) from Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin providing information and recommendations regarding *Bill 104 2006 – An Act to Establish the Greater Toronto Transportation Authority and to repeal the GO Transit Act, 2001 (Bill 104)*.

#### **Recommendations:**

It is recommended that:

- (1) Deputy City Manager, Fareed Amin, in consultation with the TTC, prepare the City of Toronto submission to the Standing Committee of the Legislature that considers *Bill 104 2006 – An Act to Establish the Greater Toronto Transportation Authority and to repeal the GO Transit Act, 2001* to address the issues and concerns raised in this report and other issues that may arise through the review of the legislation;
- (2) the Deputy City Manager, Fareed Amin, request an opportunity for representatives of the City to appear before the Standing Committee of the Ontario Legislature that considers Bill 104; and

- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

### **37. Harmonization of Sick Leave Plans for Management and Non-Union Employees**

#### **Report 4, Clause 29**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendations of the Employee and Labour Relations Committee contained in the communication (May 10, 2006) from the Employee and Labour Relations Committee.**

Communication (May 10, 2006) from the Employee and Labour Relations Committee advising that the Employee and Labour Relations Committee on May 10, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (March 6, 2006) from the City Manager and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer subject to amending:

- (i) the first paragraph under the heading “Employees Absent from Work as of January 1st”, contained in Appendix A to read as follows:
- “Employees will receive a ‘fresh bank’ of 130 days each January 1st. Unused days will not be carried over from year to year, and there is no payout of these days upon termination. Employees must be actively at work to receive their new bank. If an employee is not actively at work on January 1st, their bank will be refreshed once they have returned to work for a period of two (2) weeks.”;
- (ii) Recommendation (2) by adding “with an implementation date no earlier than January 1, 2008” after “notice period”, so that it now reads:
- “(2) all Management and Non-Union employees be provided with a sufficient (12-month) notice period, with an implementation date no earlier than January 1, 2008, at the end of which they will be enrolled in the new Short-Term Disability plan (Appendix A – Harmonized Short-Term Disability Plan - Management and Non-Union Employees);”; and

(iii) Recommendation (4) to read as follows:

“(4) that those employees currently in a Sick Leave Plan be enrolled in the new Short-Term Disability Plan (Appendix B – Harmonized Short-Term Disability Plan – Management and Non-Union Employees) on the implementation date following the notice period and in addition have their sick bank balances frozen. The sick bank balances can be used to top-up future Short-Term Disability plan payments or be held for payout upon the employee’s termination. The payout will be based upon the number of days and the service as at the date of implementation and the salary at termination. The remaining provisions of the payout still apply, based on the terms of the plan from the former municipality;”.

**37(a)** Communication (May 10, 2006) from the President, Canadian Union of Public Employees, Local 79, registering their opposition to the Harmonization of Sick Leave.

### **38. Executive and Senior Management: Compensation and Benefits Policies**

#### **Report 4, Clause 30**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 24, 2006) from the City Manager.**

#### **Action taken by the Committee**

**The Policy and Finance Committee received the confidential report (May 15, 2006) from the City Manager.**

Communication (May 10, 2006) from the Employee and Labour Relations Committee advising that the Employee and Labour Relations Committee on May 10, 2006:

- (1) recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt staff Recommendations (1) and (2) in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 24, 2006) from the City Manager; and
- (2) referred Recommendation (3) contained in the aforementioned report to the City Manager for a report directly to the Policy and Finance Committee on May 16, 2006, providing further details, including the cost of amending salaries, an analysis of comparative salaries and a detailed rationale for altering salaries.



- 38(a).** Confidential report (May 15, 2006) from the City Manager, entitled “Executive and Senior Management Compensation and Benefits Policies – Supplementary Report”; such report to be considered in-camera as it contains personal matters about identifiable individuals, including municipal or local board employees.

**39. Occupational Health and Safety Report – Fourth Quarter and End of Year, 2005**

**Report 4, Clause 31**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendations of the Employee and Labour Relations Committee contained in the communication (May 10, 2006) from the Employee and Labour Relations Committee.**

Communication (May 10, 2006) from the Employee and Labour Relations Committee advising that the Employee and Labour Relations Committee on May 10, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the following staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (March 20, 2006) from the City Manager and the Executive Director of Human Resources.

“It is recommended that:

- (1) a Council representative be identified to serve in the capacity of ex-officio chair to the Occupational Health and Safety Co-ordinating Committee; and
- (2) the information on the City’s health and safety systems contained in this report be forwarded to City Council for information.”

**40. Surplus School Board Sites and Review of the Inventory of City-owned Properties (All Wards)**

**Report 4, Clause 32**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendations of the Affordable Housing Committee contained in the communication (May 11, 2006) from the Affordable Housing Committee.**

Communication (May 11, 2006) from the Affordable Housing Committee advising that the Affordable Housing Committee on May 11, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that:

- (1) internal circulation to the City’s Agencies, Boards, Commissions and Divisions concerning School Board surplus properties be made available to the Affordable Housing Office and discussed with the relevant area Councillor on a timely basis;

- (2) a copy of the outstanding report from the Chief Planner on Provincial requirements with respect to surplus school sites, among other matters, be circulated to the Affordable Housing Committee; and
- (3) the current inventory of City-owned properties that are under a review process categorized in the report (April 24, 2006) from Sue Corke, Deputy City Manager, as:
  - (a) Properties having significant contamination issues to be resolved
  - (b) Properties being held pending release of restrictive covenant in order to realize full market value
  - (c) Properties being held pending completion of planning studies
  - (d) Properties held by Ward Councillor to resolve community concerns
  - (e) Residual parcels which have limited revenue potential

be made available to the Affordable Housing Office on a regular basis and discussed with the relevant area councillor on a timely basis.

#### **41. Affordable Housing Project at 111 Kendleton Drive (Ward 1 – Etobicoke North)**

##### **Report 4, Clause 33**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendations of the Affordable Housing Committee contained in the communication (May 11, 2006) from the Affordable Housing Committee.**

Communication (May 11, 2006) from the Affordable Housing Committee advising that the Affordable Housing Committee on May 11, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that Council adopt the following staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 24, 2006) from Sue Corke, Deputy City Manager:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) authority be granted to enter into a municipal housing project facility agreement together with any other agreements and security documents deemed necessary, for the 58 units Affordable Housing Project at 111 Kendleton, being developed by Toronto Community Housing Corporation, on terms and conditions satisfactory to Deputy City Manager, Sue Corke, and in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor;
- (2) upon approval of the agreement with the Toronto Community Housing Corporation, the 2006 Approved Operating Budget for Shelter, Support and Housing Administration be increased by \$115,000 gross, \$0 net, to include funding from the Mayor's Homelessness Initiative Reserve Fund for 111 Kendleton Drive.

- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto.”

**42. Sale of City-Owned Land Located East of Manse Road, South of Lawrence Avenue East to WRP Neighbourhood Housing for a Demonstration Affordable Home Ownership Project (Ward 44 – Scarborough East)**

**Report 4, Clause 34**

**The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendations of the Affordable Housing Committee contained in the communication (May 10, 2006) from the Affordable Housing Committee.**

Communication (May 11, 2006) from the Affordable Housing Committee advising that the Affordable Housing Committee on May 11, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that:

- (1) Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 26, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Corporate Officer, subject to:
- (a) deleting recommendation (1); and
  - (b) amending Appendix A and Appendix B to allow the flexibility of securing the City’s contribution of land and fees, or land and loan on the lands being transferred to the homes purchased by Habitat for Humanity Families, in addition to the homes purchased by the WRP Families; and
- (2) in the event of an appeal to the proposed bylaw to the Ontario Municipal Board, the Chief Planner, in consultation with the City Solicitor, report to the Scarborough Community Council, and City Council immediately thereafter, for further direction prior to commencement of the OMB hearing.

**43. Request for the Creation of Commemorative Display to illustrate the Evolution toward Eliminating Racism, North York Civic Centre (Ward 10 – York Centre)**

**Report 4, Clause 35 (h)**

**The Policy and Finance Committee received the communication (May 12, 2006) from the North York Community Council.**

Communication (May 12, 2006) from the North York Community Council advising that the North York Community Council on May 9, 2006:

- (1) referred the following Recommendation to the Policy and Finance Committee for its consideration:

“In recognition that the former City of North York was the first municipality in Canada to establish a Race Relations Committee, and in light of the fact that the Diversity Management and Community Engagement Section, Strategic and Corporate Policy Division, City Manager’s Office, is charged with the responsibility of dealing with issues regarding, race, ethnic relations, diversity and human rights, the North York Community Council’s Hospitality Budget be reimbursed in the amount of \$1,000.00 from the operating budget of the Diversity Management and Community Engagement Section, Strategic and Corporate Policy Division, City Manager’s Office.”.

- (2) in order to proceed with the Commemorative Display to illustrate the evolution toward eliminating Racism, approved the allocation of funds in the amount of \$1,000.00, to be taken from the North York Community Council’s Hospitality Budget.