



**TORONTO AND EAST YORK COMMUNITY COUNCIL
DECISION DOCUMENT
MEETING 5**

Report 5 to be considered by City Council on June 27, 2006

Date of Meeting: June 13, 2006
Time: 9:30 a.m.
Location: Committee Room 1
City Hall
100 Queen Street West
Toronto, Ontario

Enquiry: Christine Archibald
Committee Administrator
416-392-7033
teycc@toronto.ca

The Decision Document is for preliminary reference purposes only. Please refer to the Committee's Report to City Council or to the minutes for the official record.

How to Read the Decision Document:

- *recommendations of the Committee to City Council are in bold type after the item heading;*
 - *action taken by the Committee on its own authority does not require Council's approval – it is reported to Council for information, and is listed in the decision document in bold type under the heading "Action taken by the Committee"; and*
 - *Declarations of Interest, if any, appear at the end of an item.*
1. **Designation under Part V of the *Ontario Heritage Act* – Union Station Heritage Conservation District (Ward 28 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

*(Public Meeting under the *Ontario Heritage Act*)*

Report 5, Clause 2

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 28, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division.

Action taken by the Committee

The Toronto and East York Community Council held a statutory public meeting on June 13, 2006 and notice was given in accordance with the *Heritage Act*.

Report (April 28, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) in accordance with Section 41 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, Council designate by By-law the area shown on Attachment No. 1 as the Union Station Heritage Conservation District;
- (2) Council adopt by By-law the Union Station Heritage Conservation District Plan, dated April, 2006, as the District Plan for the Union Station Heritage Conservation District, to act as a guide for property owners, City staff, advisory committees and Council when making decisions regarding matters set out under Sections 42 and 43 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;
- (3) if there are any objections to the By-law under Section 41 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the City Solicitor be directed to appear before the Ontario Municipal Board to defend the By-law;
- (4) until such time as the By-law designating the area as the Union Station Heritage Conservation District comes into force or is repealed, all properties within the area, unless designated under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, be listed in the City's Inventory of Heritage Properties; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto including the introduction of Bills in Council.

1(a). Communication (May 19, 2006) from the City Clerk, Toronto Preservation Board

Recommendation:

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Toronto and East York Community Council that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 28, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division.

2. Designation under Part V of the *Ontario Heritage Act* - Lyall Avenue Heritage Conservation District (Ward 32 - Beaches-East York)

(Public Meeting under the *Ontario Heritage Act*)

Report 5, Clause 3

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 26, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division.

Action taken by the Committee

The Toronto and East York Community Council held a statutory public meeting on June 13, 2006 and notice was given in accordance with the Heritage Act.

Report (April 26, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) in accordance with Section 41 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, Council designate by By-law the area shown on Attachment No. 1 as the Lyall Avenue Heritage Conservation District;
- (2) Council adopt by By-law the Lyall Avenue Heritage Conservation District Plan, dated April 6, 2006, as the District Plan for the Lyall Avenue Heritage Conservation District, to act as a guide for property owners, City staff, advisory committees and Council when making decisions regarding matters set out under Section 42 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;
- (3) if there are any objections to the By-law under Section 41 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the City Solicitor be directed to appear before the Ontario Municipal Board to defend the By-law;
- (4) until such time as the By-law designating the area as the Lyall Avenue Heritage Conservation District comes into force or is repealed, all properties within the area be listed on the City's Inventory of Heritage Properties; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto including the introduction of Bills in Council.

2(a). Communication (May 19, 2006) from the City Clerk, Toronto Preservation Board

Recommendation:

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Toronto and East York Community Council that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 26, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division.

2(b). Communication (June 9, 2006) from Gary and Maureen Robinson

3. **City Initiated Repeal of By-law No. 13409, The University Avenue By-law and Amending By-laws, respecting land fronting or abutting a portion of University Avenue (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina and Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

(Postponed from May 9, 2006)

Report 5, Clause 4

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 20, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

Report (April 20, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that City Council:

- (1) repeal By-law No. 13409, The University Avenue By-law, including amending By-laws 13861, 14098, 14124, 15203, 15986, 16367, 17192, 19647, 19950, 19978, 20196, 20272, 20814, 21003, 22107, 22164, 22522, 22798, 48-79, 78-84, 270-81, 697-82, 312-83, 273-84, 598-85, 191-87, 1-88, 195-88 and 801-88 be approved respecting lands fronting or abutting University Avenue; and
- (2) Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code be amended by adding restrictive exceptions for University Avenue for the area from Front Street to College Street as set out in Attachment 3.

4. **Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code and from By-law No. 13409 "the University Avenue By-law" - 700 University Avenue (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina)**

Report 5, Other Items Clause 101(a)

Action taken by the Committee

The Toronto and East York Community Council postponed consideration of this matter to its next meeting on July 11, 2006.

Report (May 3, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the requested variance to permit, for identification purposes, two illuminated fascia signs in the form of a corporate name and logo at the 15th floor on the south and east elevations of the building at 700 University Avenue be refused.

- 4(a). Communication (June 8, 2006) from Arthur Gron;
- 4(b). Communication (June 8, 2006) from Adriana Beemans;
- 4(c). Communication (June 8, 2006) from David Nichol;
- 4(d). Communication (June 8, 2006) from Jennefer Laidley;
- 4(e). Communication (June 8, 2006) from Alison Gorbould, Toronto Public Space Committee;
- 4(f). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Scott Donais;
- 4(g). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Xzavier Onasis;
- 4(h). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Josh Paterson;
- 4(i). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Andrew Brown;
- 4(j). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Michael Greco;
- 4(k). Communication (June 13, 2006) from Stewart Russell;
- 4(l). Communication (June 13, 2006) from Heather McDonald;
- 4(m). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Steve Mercer;
- 4(n). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Alice Barton;
- 4(o). Communication (June 11, 2006) from Sara Lipson; and
- 4(p). Communication (June 11, 2006) from Darren Cooney.

5. Request for Direction Report - Official Plan and Zoning Review in the West Queen West Triangle Area (Ward 18 – Davenport)

Report 5, Clause 5

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council:

(1) adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 30, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, subject to:

(a) amending Recommendation (5) to read:

“(5) direct the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation to report to the Administration Committee on July 4, 2006, regarding the collecting of cash-in-lieu for parkland, as opposed to land, from any development in the West Queen West Triangle, and the use of funds from the Parkland Acquisition Reserve Fund;”;

(b) adding the following new Recommendations (9), (10) and (11), and renumbering the remaining accordingly:

“(9) direct the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District to include public art as a community benefit in the Section 37 benefits being considered; and

(10) direct the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District to ensure that the proposed Amendment to the Zoning By-law to permit increased development, be subject to a holding provision and symbol “H” as authorized by s.36 of the Planning Act, and that removal of the “H” be subject to fulfillment of the following conditions:

(a) the construction of Sudbury Street; and

(b) the provision of parkland.”; and

(11) request the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District to consider establishing a voluntary design review panel for this project.”

and in so doing recommend that City Council:

“(1) direct the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District to report to Toronto and East York Community Council on the proposed amendments to the Garrison Common North Secondary Plan and the Garrison Common North Part II Plan generally in keeping with the principles outlined in this report;

(2) direct the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District to report to the Toronto and East York Community Council on the proposed amendments to the Zoning By-law 438-86 for the former City of Toronto to reflect the principles outlined in this report;

- (3) direct the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District to implement a network of public streets, including Sudbury Street and Abell Street as vehicular streets and Northcote Avenue as pedestrian and cycling connection, in accordance with the text of the report (May 30, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District;
- (4) direct staff to acquire the lands necessary for the extension of Sudbury Street and request the Director of Real Estate Services to report to the June 27, 2006 meeting of Council on mechanisms for acquiring the land for the extension of Sudbury Street;
- (5) direct the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation to report to the Administration Committee on July 4, 2006, regarding the collecting of cash-in-lieu for parkland, as opposed to land, from any development in the West Queen West Triangle, and the use of funds from the Parkland Acquisition Reserve Fund;
- (6) require the extension of Sudbury Street as a condition of residential development south of the MCR zoning in the West Queen West Triangle;
- (7) request the General Manager of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, in consultation with Finance staff and the City Solicitor, to report to the Toronto and East York Community Council on the possible usage of Section 110 or other powers granted by the Municipal Act to secure no-net-loss of non-residential space in the West Queen West Area;
- (8) request the Executive Director of Facilities and Real Estate Services to pursue options to relocate the offices of the Public Health Division which are currently located in the Carnegie Library at 1115 Queen Street West;
- (9) direct the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District to include public art as a community benefit in the Section 37 benefits being considered; and
- (10) direct the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District to ensure that the proposed Amendment to the Zoning By-law to permit increased development, be subject to a holding provision and symbol "H" as authorized by s.36 of the Planning Act, and that removal of the "H" be subject to fulfillment of the following conditions:

 - (a) the construction of Sudbury Street; and
 - (b) the provision of parkland; and
- (11) request the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District to consider establishing a voluntary design review panel for this project.

Action taken by the Committee

The Toronto and East York Community Council requested the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District to report to City Council on June 27, 2006 on:

- (1) implementation mechanisms to ensure that non-residential space forms a substantial component of the redevelopment of the West Queen West Triangle, in accordance with the text of the report (May 30, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District; and**
- (2) direct staff to report directly to Council, if necessary, on other matters relating to the West Queen West Triangle.**

Report (May 30, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations:

It is recommended that City Council:

- (1) direct staff to report on the proposed amendments to the Garrison Common North Secondary Plan and the Garrison Common North Part II Plan generally in keeping with the principles outlined in this report;
- (2) direct staff to report on the proposed amendments to the Zoning By-law 438-86 for the former City of Toronto to reflect the principles outlined in this report;
- (3) direct staff to implement a network of public streets, including Sudbury Street and Abell Street as vehicular streets and Northcote Avenue as pedestrian and cycling connection, in accordance with the text of this report;
- (4) direct staff to acquire the lands necessary for the extension of Sudbury Street and request the Director of Real Estate Services to report to the June Council on mechanisms for acquiring the land for the extension of Sudbury Street;
- (5) direct Parks, Forestry and Recreation staff to report to the Administration Committee regarding the collecting of cash-in-lieu for parkland, as opposed to land, from any development in the West Queen West Triangle;
- (6) require the extension of Sudbury Street as a condition of residential development south of the MCR zoning in the West Queen West Triangle;
- (7) direct staff to report directly to Council relating to implementation mechanisms to ensure that non-residential space forms a substantial component of the

redevelopment of the West Queen West Triangle, in accordance with the text of this report;

- (8) request the General Manager of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, in consultation with Finance and Legal, to report back regarding the possible usage of Section 110 or other powers granted by the Municipal Act to secure no-net-loss of non-residential space in the West Queen West Area;
- (9) direct staff to report directly to Council if necessary on other matters relating to the West Queen West Triangle; and
- (10) request the Executive Director of Facilities and Real Estate Services to pursue options to relocate the offices of the Public Health Division which are currently located in the Carnegie Library at 1115 Queen Street West.

5(a). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Juliet Kiri Palmer;

5(b). Petition (undated) submitted by Franco Boni, signed by 130 individuals; and

5(c). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Charles Campbell, Active 18.

6. Request for Direction Report – Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application - 48 Abell Street and 1199 Queen Street West (Ward 18 – Davenport)

Report 5, Clause 6

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 30, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 30, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations:

It is recommended that City Council:

- (1) direct staff to continue negotiations with the applicant to revise their plans generally as outlined in this report;
- (2) instruct the City Solicitor, together with appropriate City staff and experts as needed, to attend any Ontario Municipal Board hearing in support of the positions recommended in this report; and

- (3) direct staff to take such other steps as may be required to implement these recommendations.

7. Request for Direction Report – Ontario Municipal Board Appeal – Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application - 1171 and 1171R Queen Street West (Ward 18 – Davenport)

Report 5, Clause 7

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 30, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 30, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations:

It is recommended that City Council:

- (1) direct staff to continue negotiations with the applicant to revise their plans generally as outlined in this report;
 - (2) instruct the City Solicitor, together with appropriate City staff and experts as needed, to attend any Ontario Municipal Board hearing in support of the positions recommended in this report; and
 - (3) direct staff to take such other steps as may be required to implement these recommendations.
- 8. Ontario Municipal Board Settlement Report – Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application - 70 The Esplanade, 6-16 Church Street, 51-61 Front Street East (Ward 28 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

AND

Intention to Designate under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, Approval of Alterations to a Heritage Building and Authority to Enter into a Heritage Easement Agreement – 70 The Esplanade (Greedy’s Toronto Mill Furnishing Works Factory) and 6 Church Street (Greedy’s Factory Building) (Ward 28 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

Report 5, Clause 8

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the reports:

- (1) (May 30, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District subject to:
 - (a) amending Recommendations 3(a) and 3(b) by deleting the words “as a first charge”; and
 - (b) adding an additional Recommendation (4) and renumbering the remaining Recommendations accordingly:

so that the staff Recommendations now read:

- “(1) Council direct the City Solicitor together with appropriate staff to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing of the appeals in these matters in support of settlement outlined in this report, including the draft Official Plan Amendment attached as Attachment 8, the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment 9, and public benefits pursuant to Section 37 of the Planning Act described in the report and the draft Zoning By-law;
- (2) Council authorize the City Solicitor to make such technical and stylistic changes to the draft Official Plan Amendment and the draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required, and any other changes necessary to implement the intent of this report;
- (3) Council request the Ontario Municipal Board to withhold its Order enacting the draft Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendment until:
 - (a) a Section 37 Agreement between the Owner and the City satisfactory to the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division and the City Solicitor has been executed and registered against the lands to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor securing the matters set forth in this report and in the attached draft Zoning By-law Amendment;
 - (b) the Owner enters into Heritage Easement Agreements satisfactory to the City Solicitor and the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services or her designate under Section 37 of the Ontario Heritage Act with the City to provide for the permanent protection of each of the heritage buildings at 70 The Esplanade and 6 Church Street, and such agreements have been registered against the lands to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor; and
- (4) Council request the Ontario Municipal Board to withhold its Order enacting the Zoning By-law Amendment until the Owner has entered into a Site Plan

Agreement with the City pursuant to Section 41 of the Planning Act for Phase 1 of the Development satisfactory to the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division and the City Solicitor and such agreement has been registered against the lands to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor;

- (5) Council states its intention to designate the property at 70 the Esplanade and at 6 Church Street under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act; and**
- (6) Council require the Owner to:**
 - (a) provide space within the development for the construction of any transformer vaults, Hydro and Bell maintenance holes and sewer maintenance holes required in connection with the development;**
 - (b) pay all costs associated with the removal of the metered parking spaces on Church Street in conjunction with the proposal, including a one-time contribution to offset the lost revenue generated by the metered parking spaces, as may be deemed appropriate by the Toronto Parking Authority;**
 - (c) provide for any improvements to the municipal infrastructure in connection with the site servicing review, should it be determined that upgrades are required to the infrastructure to support this development, according to the site servicing review accepted by the Executive Director of Technical Services; and**
 - (d) retain an archaeologist, licensed by the Ministry of Culture under the provisions of the Ontario Heritage Act (R.S.O. 1990 as amended), to monitor the site during the demolition and excavation phases of construction, and mitigate through preservation and resource removal and documentation, adverse impacts to any significant archaeological resources found.”; and**
- (2) (May 8, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division as amended by the Recommendations of the Toronto Preservation Board contained in the communication (May 19, 2006) from the City Clerk, so as to read:**
 - “(1) City Council state its intention to designate the property at 70 The Esplanade (Greey’s Toronto Mill Furnishing Works Factory) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;**
 - (2) City Council state its intention to designate the property at 6 Church Street (Greey’s Factory Building) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;**
 - (3) if there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 29(6) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills**

in Council designating the properties under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;

- (4) if there are any objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation to the Conservation Review Board;
- (5) subject to Council adoption of a settlement report from the Chief Planner and Executive Director pertaining to Application No. 05 105693 STE 28 OZ for Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments, the alterations to the heritage buildings at 70 The Esplanade and at 6 Church Street be approved substantially in accordance with the plans and drawings prepared by Young and Wright Architects date stamped received by Heritage Preservation Services on April 6, 2006, and on file with the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services, subject to the following:
 - (a) that prior to the introduction of Bills in Council:
 - (i) the Owner enter into a Heritage Easement Agreement satisfactory to the City Solicitor and the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services or her designate under Section 37 of the Ontario Heritage Act with the City to provide for the permanent protection of each of the heritage buildings at 70 The Esplanade and 6 Church Street, and register such agreements as a first charge on title to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor;
 - (ii) the Owner retain an archaeologist, licensed by the Ministry of Culture under the provisions of the Ontario Heritage Act (R.S.O 1990 as amended), to monitor the site during the demolition and excavation phases of construction, and mitigate through preservation and resource removal and documentation, adverse impacts to any significant archaeological resources found;
 - (iii) City Council state its intention to designate the property at 70 The Esplanade and at 6 Church Street under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
 - (b) that prior to final site plan approval:
 - (i) the Owner provide final plans for the exterior design and detailing of the Front Street façade of the proposed development to the satisfaction of the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services or her designate;

- (ii) for any building to be built on the properties at 70 The Esplanade or at 6 Church Street, the Owner provide a Conservation Plan to the satisfaction of the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services or her designate, prepared by a qualified heritage architect for the retention in situ of the heritage building at 70 The Esplanade, and of the Church Street façade of 6 Church Street including the portions of the north and south façade of 6 Church Street identified in the Reasons for Designation set forth below, the Conservation Plan to also include:

 - a. a bracing and foundations strategy for the 6 Church Street façade to be retained in situ;
 - b. specifications for masonry repair/reconstruction, windows, doors, and parapet reconstruction of the heritage buildings;
 - c. a detailed Landscape Plan; and
 - d. an Exterior Lighting and Signage Plan for The Esplanade, Church and Front Street facades;
- (c) that the condition set out in recommendation 5(b)(ii) above, may be varied by the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services or her designate to permit the dismantling and reconstruction of the entire Church Street façade at 6 Church Street only if the applicant can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services that:

 - (i) a bracing structure cannot be constructed which would hold the façade in situ and permit the construction of a stable foundation under the retained façade; or
 - (ii) Phase 2 construction access to the site necessitates dismantling of the façade; or
 - (iii) the condition of the masonry on the Church Street façade necessitates the reconstruction of the façade with reclaimed brick;
- (d) that in the event the Church Street façade is to be dismantled and reconstructed in accordance with recommendation 5(c) above, a documentation, storage and reconstruction strategy is first provided to the satisfaction of the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services or

her designate in advance of any permit for the dismantling of the building at 6 Church Street;

- (e) that prior to the issuance of any building permit, including a permit for the demolition, excavation, and/or shoring on the subject property:
 - (i) the City's Planning Division and the Ministry of Culture confirm in writing that all archaeological licensing and technical review requirements have been satisfied;
 - (f) that if sufficient technical information and engineering study can be provided to the satisfaction of the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services or her designate to substantiate the need for retaining only the south and east elevation of 70 The Esplanade, that, following consultation with the community, the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services or her designate report back to the Toronto Preservation Board and Council in a report recommending approval on these alterations to the heritage structure at 70 The Esplanade;
- (6) authority be granted by City Council for the execution of a Heritage Easement Agreement under Section 37 of the Ontario Heritage Act with the owner of 70 The Esplanade (Greey's Toronto Mill Furnishing Works Factory) and 6 Church Street (Greey's Factory Building), using substantially the form of easement agreement prepared in February 1987 by the City Solicitor and on file with the City Clerk, subject to such amendments as may be deemed necessary by the City Solicitor in consultation with the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division;
- (7) in accordance with the provisions of the Heritage Easement Agreement, the owner provide a letter of credit for each of the two phases of development, to provide for the protection of the heritage buildings during any demolition activity elsewhere on the site and construction, and to secure all work included in the Conservation Plan referred to in Recommendation 5(b)(ii) above, in a form and an amount satisfactory to the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division;
- (8) in accordance with the provisions of the Heritage Easement Agreement, the owner provide a record of the as-found condition of 70 The Esplanade and 6 Church Street; and
- (9) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

Report (May 30, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) Council direct the City Solicitor together with appropriate staff to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing of the appeals in these matters in support of settlement outlined in this report, including the draft Official Plan Amendment attached as Attachment 8, the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment 9, and public benefits pursuant to Section 37 of the Planning Act described in the report and the draft Zoning By-law;
- (2) Council authorize the City Solicitor to make such technical and stylistic changes to the draft Official Plan Amendment and the draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required, and any other changes necessary to implement the intent of this report;
- (3) Council request the Ontario Municipal Board to withhold its Order enacting the draft Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendment until:
 - (a) a Section 37 Agreement between the Owner and the City satisfactory to the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division and the City Solicitor has been executed and registered as a first charge against the lands to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor securing the matters set forth in this report and in the attached draft Zoning By-law Amendment;
 - (b) the Owner enters into Heritage Easement Agreements satisfactory to the City Solicitor and the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services or her designate under Section 37 of the Ontario Heritage Act with the City to provide for the permanent protection of each of the heritage buildings at 70 The Esplanade and 6 Church Street, and such agreements have been registered as a first charge against the lands to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor;
 - (c) the Owner has entered into a Site Plan Agreement with the City pursuant to Section 41 of the *Planning Act* for Phase 1 of the development satisfactory to the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division and the City Solicitor and such agreement has been registered against the lands to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor;
- (4) Council states its intention to designate the property at 70 the Esplanade and at 6 Church Street under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*; and
- (5) Council require the Owner to:

- (a) provide space within the development for the construction of any transformer vaults, Hydro and Bell maintenance holes and sewer maintenance holes required in connection with the development;
- (b) pay all costs associated with the removal of the metered parking spaces on Church Street in conjunction with the proposal, including a one-time contribution to offset the lost revenue generated by the metered parking spaces, as may be deemed appropriate by the Toronto Parking Authority;
- (c) provide for any improvements to the municipal infrastructure in connection with the site servicing review, should it be determined that upgrades are required to the infrastructure to support this development, according to the site servicing review accepted by the Executive Director of Technical Services; and
- (d) retain an archaeologist, licensed by the Ministry of Culture under the provisions of the *Ontario Heritage Act* (R.S.O. 1990 as amended), to monitor the site during the demolition and excavation phases of construction, and mitigate through preservation and resource removal and documentation, adverse impacts to any significant archaeological resources found.

8(a). Report (May 8, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council state its intention to designate the property at 70 The Esplanade (Greey's Toronto Mill Furnishing Works Factory) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
- (2) City Council state its intention to designate the property at 6 Church Street (Greey's Factory Building) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
- (3) if there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 29(6) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the properties under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
- (4) if there are any objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation to the Conservation Review Board;
- (5) subject to Council adoption of a settlement report from the Chief Planner and Executive Director pertaining to Application No. 05 105693 STE 28 OZ for Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments, the alterations to the heritage

buildings at 70 The Esplanade and at 6 Church Street be approved substantially in accordance with the plans and drawings prepared by Young and Wright Architects date stamped received by Heritage Preservation Services on April 6, 2006, and on file with the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services, subject to the following:

- (a) that prior to the introduction of Bills in Council:
 - (i) the Owner enter into a Heritage Easement Agreement satisfactory to the City Solicitor and the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services or her designate under Section 37 of the Ontario Heritage Act with the City to provide for the permanent protection of each of the heritage buildings at 70 The Esplanade and 6 Church Street, and register such agreements as a first charge on title to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor;
 - (ii) the Owner retain an archaeologist, licensed by the Ministry of Culture under the provisions of the Ontario Heritage Act (R.S.O 1990 as amended), to monitor the site during the demolition and excavation phases of construction, and mitigate through preservation and resource removal and documentation, adverse impacts to any significant archaeological resources found;
 - (iii) City Council state its intention to designate the property at 70 The Esplanade and at 6 Church Street under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
- (b) that prior to final site plan approval:
 - (i) the Owner provide final plans for the exterior design and detailing of the Front Street façade of the proposed development to the satisfaction of the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services or her designate;
 - (ii) the Owner provide a Conservation Plan to the satisfaction of the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services or her designate, prepared by a qualified heritage architect for the retention in situ of the heritage building at 70 The Esplanade, and of the Church Street façade of 6 Church Street including the portions of the north and south façade of 6 Church Street identified in the Reasons for Designation set forth below, the Conservation Plan to also include:
 - a. a bracing and foundations strategy for the 6 Church Street façade to be retained in situ;

- b. specifications for masonry repair/reconstruction, windows, doors, and parapet reconstruction of the heritage buildings;
 - c. a detailed Landscape Plan;
 - d. an Exterior Lighting and Signage Plan for The Esplanade, Church and Front Street facades;
- (c) that the condition set out in recommendation 5(b)(ii) above, may be varied by the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services or her designate to permit the dismantling and reconstruction of the entire Church Street façade at 6 Church Street only if the applicant can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services that:
- (i) a bracing structure cannot be constructed which would hold the façade in situ and permit the construction of a stable foundation under the retained façade; or
 - (ii) Phase 2 construction access to the site necessitates dismantling of the façade; or
 - (iii) the condition of the masonry on the Church Street façade necessitates the reconstruction of the façade with reclaimed brick;
- (d) that in the event the Church Street façade is to be dismantled and reconstructed in accordance with recommendation 5(c) above, a documentation, storage and reconstruction strategy is first provided to the satisfaction of the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services or her designate in advance of any permit for the dismantling of the building at 6 Church Street;
- (e) that prior to the issuance of any building permit, including a permit for the demolition, excavation, and/or shoring on the subject property:
- (i) the City's Planning Division and the Ministry of Culture confirm in writing that all archaeological licensing and technical review requirements have been satisfied;
- (6) authority be granted by City Council for the execution of a Heritage Easement Agreement under Section 37 of the Ontario Heritage Act with the owner of 70 The Esplanade (Greey's Toronto Mill Furnishing Works Factory) and 6 Church Street (Greey's Factory Building), using substantially the form of easement agreement prepared in February 1987 by the City Solicitor and on file with the City Clerk, subject to such amendments as may be deemed necessary by the City Solicitor in consultation with the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division;

- (7) in accordance with the provisions of the Heritage Easement Agreement, the owner provide a letter of credit for each of the two phases of development, to provide for the protection of the heritage buildings during any demolition activity elsewhere on the site and construction, and to secure all work included in the Conservation Plan referred to in Recommendation 5(b)(ii) above, in a form and an amount satisfactory to the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division;
- (8) in accordance with the provisions of the Heritage Easement Agreement, the owner provide a record of the as-found condition of 70 The Esplanade and 6 Church Street; and
- (9) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

8(b). Communication (May 19, 2006) from the City Clerk, Toronto Preservation Board

Recommendation:

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Toronto and East York Community Council that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 8, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division, subject to:

- (a) amending Recommendation (5)(b)(ii) to read:
 - (5)(b)(ii) for any building to be built on the properties at 70 The Esplanade or at 6 Church Street, the Owner provide a Conservation Plan to the satisfaction of the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services or her designate, prepared by a qualified heritage architect for the retention in situ of the heritage building at 70 The Esplanade, and of the Church Street façade of 6 Church Street including the portions of the north and south façade of 6 Church Street identified in the Reasons for Designation set forth below, the Conservation Plan to also include:
 - a. a bracing and foundations strategy for the 6 Church Street façade to be retained in situ;
 - b. specifications for masonry repair/reconstruction, windows, doors, and parapet reconstruction of the heritage buildings;
 - c. a detailed Landscape Plan;
 - d. an Exterior Lighting and Signage Plan for The Esplanade, Church and Front Street facades; and

(b) adding the following new sub-paragraph (f) at the end of Recommendation (5):

“(f) that if sufficient technical information and engineering study can be provided to the satisfaction of the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services or her designate to substantiate the need for retaining only the south and east elevation of 70 The Esplanade, that, following consultation with the community, the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services or her designate report back to the Toronto Preservation Board and Council in a report recommending approval on these alterations to the heritage structure at 70 The Esplanade;”.

8(c). Communication (June 9, 2006) from Tony Stapells, President, Toronto Historical Association;

8(d). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Scott Valens, Architectural Conservancy of Ontario;

8(e). Communication (June 9, 2006) from Barnet Kussner, WeirFoulds, LLP;

8(f). Communication (June 13, 2006) from Natalie Bull, Executive Director, Heritage Canada; and

8(g). Communication (June 13, 2006) from John Dawson, McCarthy Tetrault, LLP.

9. Liberty Village Area Study (Ward 14 – Parkdale-High Park)

Report 5, Clause 9

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the following Recommendation (5) of the report (May 30, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York:

“(5) direct the General Manager of Transportation Services, in consultation with the Waterfront Secretariat, other City departments and the Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Corporation (TWRC), to report to the Toronto and East York Community Council on the implementation of a local road from Dufferin Street to Strachan Avenue, as shown in the Front Street Extension Environmental Assessment including the cost of construction and any necessary property requirements, before the 2007 Capital Budget is finalized and the alternative of an independent Environmental Assessment for the local road only;”

Action taken by the Committee

(Toronto and East York Community Council postponed consideration of the remaining Recommendations (1) to (4) and (6) to (9) of the report (May 30, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District to its next meeting on July 11, 2006 to enable further community discussion to take place.

Report (May 30, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations:

It is recommended that City Council:

- (1) adopt the directions set out in this report to guide future planning for the Liberty Village Area in order to:
 - protect the area for Employment Uses;
 - delete live/work permissions with the exception of “artists live work space”;
 - retain the existing height limit of 28 metres; and
 - amend the permitted density limit of 1.5X for commercial uses to 3.0X to match the existing permission of 3.0X for industrial uses.
- (2) direct the Chief Planner and Executive Director, Planning Division to prepare Official Plan and Zoning By-law amendments for the Liberty Village Area to implement the direction outlined in this report and that the Zoning By-law be brought back to Council in the first quarter of 2007;
- (3) direct the Chief Planner and Executive Director, Planning Division, in consultation with the Toronto Parking Authority and Transportation Services, to conduct a detailed parking analysis of Liberty Village Area and to make recommendations on maintaining an adequate supply of parking to serve local businesses and visitors to the area;
- (4) request the Toronto Transit Corporation (TTC) to report back on potential service improvements in the area;
- (5) direct the General Manager of Transportation Services, in consultation with the Waterfront Secretariat, other City departments and the Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Corporation (TWRC), to report on the implementation of a local road from Dufferin Street to Strachan Avenue, as shown in the Front Street Extension Environmental Assessment including the cost of construction and any necessary property requirements, before the 2007 Capital Budget is finalized and the alternative of an independent Environmental Assessment for the local road only;

- (6) direct the Director of Transportation Services to undertake improvements to the operations of the intersection of Liberty and Dufferin Streets, and to identify replacement parking for any loss of boulevard or street parking as a result of these improvements;
- (7) request the Director of Heritage Preservation Services to work with the community on initiating a Heritage Conservation District;
- (8) direct the Chief Planner and Executive Director, Planning Division, to develop Urban Design Guidelines for the area to accompany amendments to the Official Plan and Zoning for the area; and
- (9) direct the Chief Planner and Executive Director, Planning Division, to take any other actions necessary to implement the directions outlined in this report.

10. College Street Revitalization Project - Pedestrian Clearway Pilot (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina)

(Postponed from April 4, 2006 and May 9, 2006)

Report 5, Clause 10

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council:

- (1) **adopt the following staff Recommendations (2) to (7) in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 3, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District:**
 - “(2) **Council proceed with the relocation of the boulevard cafes at curb side, and that Section 36 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 313, be amended by inserting specific criteria for boulevard cafes on College Street, between Spadina Avenue and Bathurst Street, as described below:**
 - (a) **the fence shall be free standing and extendable and located on the perimeter of the licensed boulevard cafe area;**
 - (b) **the height of the extendable fence must not exceed 1.2 metres;**
 - (c) **the fence must be located not less than one (1) metre away from any fire hydrant;**
 - (d) **the fence shall provide not less than one (1) metre separation between each boulevard cafe/marketing for pedestrian access from the curb;**
 - (e) **no lights, fences or signs, etc. may be attached to City-owned trees;**

- (f) waste receptacles or work stations for servers should be located within the boulevard cafe area;
 - (g) entrances to the cafe area must always be open and unobstructed and have a minimum width one (1) metre;
 - (h) no enclosures/structures permitted over the boulevard cafe area;
 - (i) no outdoor food preparation may be located in the boulevard cafe area;
 - (j) where the boulevard cafe is proposed to extend across an adjacent property in full or in part, a letter of consent must be provided by the adjacent property owner/occupant authorizing the extension of the boulevard cafe in front of his/her property. Should the adjacent property owner/occupant subsequently decide to use the area fronting his/her property for a similar purpose a 30 day notice must be provided to the boulevard cafe owner;
- (3) Council proceed with the relocation of the boulevard marketing at curb side, and that Section 13 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 313, be amended by inserting specific criteria for boulevard marketing on College Street, between Spadina Avenue and Bathurst Street, as described below:
- (a) the fence shall be free standing and extendable and located on the perimeter of the licensed boulevard marketing area;
 - (b) the height of the extendable fence must not exceed 1.2 metres;
 - (c) the fence must be located not less than one (1) metre away from any fire hydrant;
 - (d) the fence shall provide not less than one (1) metre separation between each boulevard cafe/marketing for pedestrian access from the curb;
 - (e) no lights, fences or signs, etc. may be attached to City-owned trees;
 - (f) entrances to the marketing area must always be open and unobstructed and have a minimum width one (1) metre;
 - (g) no enclosures/structures permitted over the boulevard marketing area;

- (h) where the boulevard marketing is proposed to extend across an adjacent property in full or in part, a letter of consent must be provided by the adjacent property owner/occupant authorizing the extension of the boulevard marketing in front of his/her property. Should the adjacent property owner/occupant subsequently decide to use the area fronting his/her property for a similar purpose a 30 day notice must be provided to the boulevard marketing owner;
- (i) marketing displays may not exceed 1.2 metres in height;
- (4) all boulevard cafes, marketing and vendor locations on College Street, between Spadina Avenue and Bathurst Street, be relocated from building wall to a minimum of 1.0 m back of curb as a pilot project for 2006 and the results reported prior to April 1, 2007 boulevard café/marketing season, including the Municipal Licensing and Standards financial implications on the enforcement of these regulations after regular business hours;
- (5) in view of the inconvenience resulting from the need to modify cafe and marketing fencing, the 2006 annual fees for all boulevard cafe/marketing operators on College Street, between Spadina Avenue and Bathurst Street, be waived;
- (6) the City Solicitor be requested to amend Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, Streets and Sidewalks, to reflect the criteria set above; and
- (7) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required;”

subject to amendment by the following Recommendations:

- “(1) that the Pedestrian Clearway be reduced from the current Transportation Services proposal (comprising all space from building face to inside edge of tree pits) to the minimum standard of 2.1 metres from the inside edge of the tree pits, or where there is no tree pit, from the edge of the curb, thus allowing businesses to retain the space between the building face and edge of Pedestrian Clearway for commercial use (where such space exists);
- (2) that if both present and future patio operators wish to supplement their reconfigured patio space or completely relocate their patio to the curbside configuration, they may voluntarily participate in the patio relocation pilot outlined in the report from Director, Transportation Services;
- (3) that the recommended set back of all boulevard cafes, marketing and vendor locations on College Street, between Spadina Avenue and Bathurst Street of

1.0 m back of the curb in Recommendation No. 4 of the staff report (April 3, 2006) be amended to 0.8 m;

- (2) request the General Manager, Transportation Services in consultation with Municipal Licensing & Standards, to report back to the Toronto and East York Community Council and Council on the results of the pilot in January 2007, so as to finalize a path forward for the 2007 patio and marketing season; and**
- (3) request the Alcohol and Gaming Commission to clarify how the licensing of establishments may impact on the serving of alcohol when the patios are moved away from the wall of the establishment.**

Report (April 3, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) this report be deferred to the May 9, 2006 meeting of Toronto and East York Community Council for hearing of depositions;
- (2) after hearing of depositions and should City Council decide to proceed with the relocation of the boulevard cafes at curb side, Section 36 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 313, be amended by inserting specific criteria for boulevard cafes on College Street, between Spadina Avenue and Bathurst Street, as described below:
 - (a) the fence shall be free standing and extendable and located on the perimeter of the licensed boulevard cafe area;
 - (b) the height of the extendable fence must not exceed 1.2 metres;
 - (c) the fence must be located not less than one (1) metre away from any fire hydrant;
 - (d) the fence shall provide not less than one (1) metre separation between each boulevard cafe/marketing for pedestrian access from the curb;
 - (e) no lights, fences or signs, etc. may be attached to City-owned trees;
 - (f) waste receptacles or work stations for servers should be located within the boulevard cafe area;
 - (g) entrances to the cafe area must always be open and unobstructed and have a minimum width one (1) metre;

- (h) no enclosures/structures permitted over the boulevard cafe area;
 - (i) no outdoor food preparation may be located in the boulevard cafe area;
 - (j) where the boulevard cafe is proposed to extend across an adjacent property in full or in part, a letter of consent must be provided by the adjacent property owner/occupant authorizing the extension of the boulevard cafe in front of his/her property. Should the adjacent property owner/occupant subsequently decide to use the area fronting his/her property for a similar purpose a 30 day notice must be provided to the boulevard cafe owner;
- (3) after hearing of depositions and should City Council decide to proceed with the relocation of the boulevard marketing at curb side, Section 13 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 313, be amended by inserting specific criteria for boulevard marketing on College Street, between Spadina Avenue and Bathurst Street, as described below:
- (a) the fence shall be free standing and extendable and located on the perimeter of the licensed boulevard marketing area;
 - (b) the height of the extendable fence must not exceed 1.2 metres;
 - (c) the fence must be located not less than one (1) metre away from any fire hydrant;
 - (d) the fence shall provide not less than one (1) metre separation between each boulevard cafe/marketing for pedestrian access from the curb;
 - (e) no lights, fences or signs, etc. may be attached to City-owned trees;
 - (f) entrances to the marketing area must always be open and unobstructed and have a minimum width one (1) metre;
 - (g) no enclosures/structures permitted over the boulevard marketing area;
 - (h) where the boulevard marketing is proposed to extend across an adjacent property in full or in part, a letter of consent must be provided by the adjacent property owner/occupant authorizing the extension of the boulevard marketing in front of his/her property. Should the adjacent property owner/occupant subsequently decide to use the area fronting his/her property for a similar purpose a 30 day notice must be provided to the boulevard marketing owner;
 - (i) marketing displays may not exceed 1.2 metres in height;

- (4) all boulevard cafes, marketing and vendor locations on College Street, between Spadina Avenue and Bathurst Street, be relocated from building wall to a minimum of 1.0 m back of curb as a pilot project for 2006 and the results reported prior to April 1, 2007 boulevard café/marketing season, including the Municipal Licensing and Standards financial implications on the enforcement of these regulations after regular business hours;
- (5) in view of the inconvenience resulting from the need to modify cafe and marketing fencing, the 2006 annual fees for all boulevard cafe/marketing operators on College Street, between Spadina Avenue and Bathurst Street, be waived;
- (6) the City Solicitor be requested to amend Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, Streets and Sidewalks, to reflect the criteria set above; and
- (7) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

10(a). Revised communication (June 5, 2006) from Councillor Silva

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) that the Pedestrian Clearway be reduced from the current Transportation Services proposal (comprising all space from building face to inside edge of tree pits) to the minimum standard of 2.1 metres from the inside edge of the tree pits, thus allowing businesses to retain the space between the building face and edge of Pedestrian Clearway for commercial use (where such space exists);
- (2) that if patio operators wish to supplement their reconfigured patio space or completely relocate their patio to the curbside configuration, they may voluntarily participate in the patio relocation pilot outlined in the report from Director, Transportation Services;
- (3) that the City waives the annual patio fees for any patio operator that voluntarily participates in this patio project;
- (4) that the recommended set back of all boulevard cafes, marketing and vendor locations on College Street, between Spadina Avenue and Bathurst Street of 1.0 m back of the curb in Recommendation No. 4 of the staff report (April 3, 2006) be amended to 0.8 m;

- (5) that the staff report (April 3, 2006) as amended by the foregoing adjustments be approved;
- (6) that the General Manager, Transportation Services in consultation with Municipal Licensing & Standards, be requested to report back to the Toronto and East York Community Council and Council on the results of the pilot in January 2007, so as to finalize a path forward for the 2007 patio and marketing season; and
- (7) that City Council request that the Alcohol and Gaming Commission clarify how the licensing of establishments may impact on the serving of alcohol when the patios are moved away from the wall of the establishment.

10(b). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Rory Gus Sinclair, Chair, Harbord Village Residents' Association

11. Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties – 2201 Dundas Street West (Merchants Bank of Canada Branch) (Ward 14 – Parkdale-High Park)

Report 5, Clause 11

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the following recommendations of the Toronto Preservation Board:

- “(1) City Council include the property at 2201 Dundas Street West (Merchants Bank of Canada Branch) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties;**
- (2) City Council state its intention to designate the property at 2201 Dundas Street West (Merchants Bank of Canada Branch) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;**
- (3) if there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 29(6) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;**
- (4) if there are any objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation to the Conservation Review Board; and**
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto.”**

Report (March 3, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council include the property at 2201 Dundas Street West (Merchants Bank of Canada Branch) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

11(a). Communication (May 19, 2006) from the City Clerk, Toronto Preservation Board

Recommendation:

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Toronto and East York Community Council that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (March 3, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division, subject to inserting new recommendations (2), (3) and (4) and renumbering the remaining recommendation accordingly, so the recommendations read as follows:

- (1) City Council include the property at 2201 Dundas Street West (Merchants Bank of Canada Branch) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties;
- (2) City Council state its intention to designate the property at 2201 Dundas Street West (Merchants Bank of Canada Branch) under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;
- (3) if there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 29(6) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;
- (4) if there are any objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation to the Conservation Review Board; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto.

12. Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties – 60 Atlantic Avenue (St. David’s Wine Growers Company Building) (Ward 14 – Parkdale-High Park)

Report 5, Clause 12

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council:

- (1) refer the report (March 1, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division back to the Director; and
- (2) should the Toronto Economic Development Corporation (TEDCO) redevelop the site at 60 Atlantic Avenue, that City Council request TEDCO, and any community partners such as Toronto Artscape and appropriate City officials, to identify any significant heritage elements that may form part of the site.

Report (March 1, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council include the property at 60 Atlantic Avenue (St. David's Wine Growers Company Building) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

12(a). Communication (May 19, 2006) from the City Clerk, Toronto Preservation Board

Recommendation:

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Toronto and East York Community Council that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (March 1, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division.

13. Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties – 1219 Dufferin Street (Dufferin Street Baptist Church) (Ward 18 – Davenport)

Report 5, Clause 13

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 20, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

Report (January 20, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council include the property at 1219 Dufferin Street (Dufferin Street Baptist Church) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

13(a). Communication (May 19, 2006) from the City Clerk, Toronto Preservation Board

Recommendation:

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Toronto and East York Community Council that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 20, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division.

14. Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties and Intention to Designate under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act* – 260 Richmond Street West (Tip Top Tailors Warehouse) (Ward 19 – Trinity-Spadina)

Report 5, Clause 14

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 21, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division.

Report (April 21, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council include the property at 260 Richmond Street West (Tip Top Tailors Warehouse) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties;
- (2) City Council state its intention to designate the property at 260 Richmond Street West (Tip Top Tailors Warehouse) under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;
- (3) if there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 29(6) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;
- (4) if there are any objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation to the Conservation Review Board; and

- (5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

14(a). Communication (May 19, 2006) from the City Clerk, Toronto Preservation Board

Recommendation:

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Toronto and East York Community Council that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 21, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division.

15. Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties – 857 King Street West (Edward McNamara Building) (Ward 19 – Trinity-Spadina)

Report 5, Clause 15

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (March 7, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division.

Report (March 7, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council include the property at 857 King Street West (Edward McNamara Building) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

15(a). Communication (May 19, 2006) from the City Clerk, Toronto Preservation Board

Action taken by the Board:

The Toronto Preservation Board:

- (1) postponed consideration of the report (March 7, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division, until the August 31, 2006 meeting of the Board; and

- (2) received the communication (April 27, 2006) from Jonathan Wigley, Baker & McKenzie LLP.

16. Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties – 516, 518 and 520 Wellington Street West (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina)

Report 5, Clause 16

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council:

- (1) **include the following three properties on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties:**
 - (a) **516 Wellington Street West (Henry Howson House);**
 - (b) **518 Wellington Street West (Eude Saunders House);**
 - (c) **520 Wellington Street West (Sarah Schofield House); and**
- (2) **authorize and direct the appropriate City Officials to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.**

Report (April 19, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council include the property at 518 Wellington Street West (Eude Saunders House) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties; and;
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

- 16(a).** Report (January 21, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council include the following three properties on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties:
 - (a) 516 Wellington Street West (Henry Howson House);
 - (b) 518 Wellington Street West (Eude Saunders House);
 - (c) 520 Wellington Street West (Sarah Schofield House); and

- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

(Consideration of Listing 516 and 520 Wellington Street West postponed from May 9, 2006 Meeting)

- 16(b).** Communication (May 19, 2006) from the City Clerk, Toronto Preservation Board

Recommendation:

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Toronto and East York Community Council that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 19, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division.

- 16(c).** Communication (April 7, 2006) from the City Clerk, Toronto Preservation Board

Recommendation:

Recommendation:

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Toronto and East York Community Council that:

- (1) City Council include the following two properties on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties:
 - (a) 516 Wellington Street West (Henry Howson House);
 - (c) 520 Wellington Street West (Sarah Schofield House); and
- (2) refer the following property back to the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division, for a report to the May 18, 2006 meeting of the Board:
 - (b) 518 Wellington Street West (Eude Saunders House).

- 16(d).** Communication (May 8, 2006) from Jane Beecroft, President, Community History Project

- 17. Intention to Designate under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, Approval of Alterations to a Heritage Building and Authority to Enter into a Heritage Easement Agreement – 570 King Street West (Toronto Silver Plate Building) (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina)**

Report 5, Other Items Clause 101(b)

Action taken by the Committee

The Toronto and East York Community Council postponed consideration of the report (April 23, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division to its meeting on September 13, 2006 and requested the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division to report to that meeting on the action taken by the Committee of Adjustment at its meeting on July 12, 2006 on the minor variance application on this property.

Report (April 23, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council state its intention to designate the property at 570 King Street West (Toronto Silver Plate Building) under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;
- (2) if there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 29(6) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;
- (3) if there are any objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation to the Conservation Review Board;
- (4) the alterations to the heritage building at 570 King Street West, be approved substantially in accordance with the plans by Climans Green Liang Architects Inc. as identified in Attachment No. 3, on file with the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services, subject to the owner:
 - (a) prior to final site plan approval:
 - (i) entering into a Heritage Easement Agreement with the City for the Toronto Silver Plate Building;
 - (ii) providing a Conservation Plan for the restoration of the Toronto Silver Plate Building, satisfactory to the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services;
 - (iii) providing a landscape plan for the subject property;
 - (b) prior to the issuance of any building permit, including a permit for the demolition, excavation, and/or shoring on the subject property:

- (i) providing a letter of credit, in a form and an amount satisfactory to the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, to secure all work included in the Conservation Plan;
 - (ii) providing a record of the as-found condition of all buildings currently location on the subject property;
 - (iii) providing final plans satisfactory to the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services;
- (c) prior to release of the Letter of Credit:
- (i) providing and implementing an Interpretation Program for the Toronto Silver Plate Building satisfactory to the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services;
- (5) authority be granted by City Council for the execution of a Heritage Easement Agreement under Section 37 of the *Ontario Heritage Act* with the owner of 570 King Street West (Toronto Silver Plate Building), using substantially the form of easement agreement prepared in February 1987 by the City Solicitor and on file with the City Clerk, subject to such amendments as may be deemed necessary by the City Solicitor in consultation with the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division; and
- (6) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto.

17(a). Communication (May 19, 2006) from the City Clerk, Toronto Preservation Board

Recommendation:

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Toronto and East York Community Council that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 23, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division.

17(b). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Ernie Victor, Aird and Berlis, LLP

18. Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties and Intention to Designate under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act* – 55 John Street (Metro Hall Council Chambers) (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina)

Report 5, Clause 17

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (March 6, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division:

Report (March 6, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council include the property at 55 John Street (Metro Hall Council Chambers) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties;
- (2) City Council state its intention to designate the property at 55 John Street (Metro Hall Council Chambers) under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;
- (3) if there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 29(6) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;
- (4) if there are any objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation to the Conservation Review Board; and
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

18(a). Communication (May 19, 2006) from the City Clerk, Toronto Preservation Board

Recommendation:

The Toronto Preservation Board forwarded the report (March 6, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division, to the Toronto and East York Community Council without recommendation.

19. Demolition of a Residence located within the North Rosedale Heritage Conservation District and Approval of a Replacement Building – 118 Roxborough Drive (Ward 27 – Toronto-Centre-Rosedale)

Report 5, Clause 18

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 23, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

Report (April 23, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) in accordance with Section 42(1) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the request to demolish the “C” rated building located at 118 Roxborough Drive be approved;
- (2) the plans for the replacement building as shown in the plans by Robert J. McCrea Architect dated March 3, 2006, on file with the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services be approved, and that the replacement structure be constructed substantially in accordance with the submitted plans;
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

19(a). Communication (May 19, 2006) from the City Clerk, Toronto Preservation Board

Recommendation:

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Toronto and East York Community Council that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 23, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division.

20. Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties – 420 Bloor Street East (Dominion Bank Branch) (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

Report 5, Clause 19

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council:

- (1) include the property at 420 Bloor Street East (Dominion Bank Branch) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties;**
- (2) state its intention to designate the property at 420 Bloor Street East (Dominion Bank Branch) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;**
- (3) if there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 29(6) of the Ontario Heritage Act, authorize the solicitor to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;**

- (4) **if there are any objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the Ontario Heritage Act, direct the Clerk refer the proposed designation to the Conservation Review Board; and**
- (5) **authorize and direct the appropriate City officials be to take necessary action to give effect thereto.**

Report (March 2, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council include the property at 420 Bloor Street East (Dominion Bank Branch) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

20(a). Communication (May 19, 2006) from the City Clerk, Toronto Preservation Board

Recommendation:

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Toronto and East York Community Council that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (March 2, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division.

20(b). Communication (June 7, 2006) from the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services

21. Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties – 90 Harbour Street (Workmen’s Compensation Board Building) (Ward 28 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

Report 5, Clause 20

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the following recommendations of the Toronto Preservation Board as contained in the communication (May 19, 2006) from the City Clerk, Toronto Preservation Board:

- “(1) City Council include the property at 90 Harbour Street (Workmen’s Compensation Board Building) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties;**

- (2) **City Council state its intention to designate the property at 90 Harbour Street (Workmen’s Compensation Board Building) under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;**
- (3) **if there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 29(6) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;**
- (4) **if there are any objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation to the Conservation Review Board; and**
- (5) **the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto.”**

Report (March 9, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council include the property at 90 Harbour Street (Workmen’s Compensation Board Building) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

21(a). Communication (May 19, 2006) from the City Clerk, Toronto Preservation Board

Recommendation:

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Toronto and East York Community Council that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (March 9, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division, subject to inserting new Recommendations (2), (3), and (4) and renumbering the remaining recommendation accordingly, so the recommendations now read as follows:

- (1) City Council include the property at 90 Harbour Street (Workmen’s Compensation Board Building) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties;
- (2) City Council state its intention to designate the property at 90 Harbour Street (Workmen’s Compensation Board Building) under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;

- (3) if there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 29(6) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;
- (4) if there are any objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation to the Conservation Review Board; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto.

21(b). Communication (June 8, 2006) from Sydney Troister, Torkin Manes, LLP

22. Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 962 Bathurst Street (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina)

Report 5, Clause 21

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 19, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Report (May 19, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the request for variances to maintain, for third party advertising purposes, an illuminated fascia sign located on the south elevation of the building at 962 Bathurst Avenue be refused.

22(a). Communication (June 8, 2006) from Arthur Gron;

22(b). Communication (June 8, 2006) from Adriana Beemans;

22(c). Communication (June 8, 2006) from David Nichol;

22(d). Communication (June 8, 2006) from Jennefer Laidley;

22(e). Communication (June 8, 2006) from Alison Gorbould, Toronto Public Space Committee;

22(f). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Scott Donais;

22(g). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Xzavier Onasis;

- 22(h). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Josh Paterson;
- 22(i). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Michael Greco;
- 22(j). Communication (June 13, 2006) from Stewart Russell;
- 22(k). Communication (June 13, 2006) from Heather McDonald;
- 22(l). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Steve Mercer;
- 22(m). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Alice Barton; and
- 22(n). Communication (June 11, 2006) from Sara Lipson.

23. Request for Approval of a Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 313 Eglinton Avenue West (Ward 22 – St. Paul’s)

Report 5, Clause 22

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 16, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Report (May 16, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the requested variance to permit, for third party advertising purposes, an illuminated roof sign at 313 Eglinton Avenue West be refused.

- 23(a). Communication (June 8, 2006) from Arthur Gron;
- 23(b). Communication (June 8, 2006) from Adriana Beemans;
- 23(c). Communication (June 8, 2006) from David Nichol;
- 23(d). Communication (June 8, 2006) from Jennefer Laidley;
- 23(e). Communication (June 8, 2006) from Alison Gorbould, Toronto Public Space Committee;
- 23(f). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Scott Donais;
- 23(g). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Xzavier Onasis;

- 23(h). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Josh Paterson;
- 23(i). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Andrew Brown;
- 23(j). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Michael Greco;
- 23(k). Communication (June 13, 2006) from Stewart Russell;
- 23(l). Communication (June 13, 2006) from Heather McDonald;
- 23(m). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Steve Mercer; and
- 23(n). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Alice Barton.

24. Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 241 Yonge Street (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

Report 5, Clause 23

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 24, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Report (May 24, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the request for variances to permit, for identification purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign on the front elevation of a listed historical building at 241 Yonge Street be refused.

- 24(a). Communication (June 8, 2006) from Arthur Gron;
- 24(b). Communication (June 8, 2006) from Adriana Beemans;
- 24(c). Communication (June 8, 2006) from David Nichol;
- 24(d). Communication (June 8, 2006) from Jennefer Laidley;
- 24(e). Communication (June 8, 2006) from Alison Gorbould, Toronto Public Space Committee;
- 24(f). Communication (June 11, 2006) from Darren Cooney;

- 24(g). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Steve Mercer;
- 24(h). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Alice Barton;
- 24(i). Communication (June 11, 2006) from Sara Lipson; and
- 24(j). Communication (June 8, 2006) from Kimberley Paterson, DNS Signs Ltd.

25. Residential Demolition Applications – 477, 479 and 481 Queen Street West (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina)

Report 5, Clause 24

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council approve the application to demolish the residential buildings at 477, 479 and 481 Queen Street West with the following conditions:

- (a) **that a Tree Security Deposit of \$2,980.00 be received by Urban Forestry Services – Public Trees, prior to the issuance of these demolition permits; and**
- (b) **that on failure to complete the new building within two (2) years from the day of commencement of demolition of the existing buildings, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector’s roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000.00) for each dwelling unit contained in the residential buildings in respect of which these demolition permits are issued and the such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the lands in respect of which the permits to demolish the residential buildings are issued.**

Report (May 29, 2006) from the Deputy Chief Building Official and Director, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations:

That Toronto and East York Community Council adopt and recommend that City Council either:

- (1) approve the application to demolish the subject residential buildings without conditions; or,
- (2) approve the application to demolish the subject residential buildings with the following conditions:

- (a) that a Tree Security Deposit of \$2,980.00 be received by Urban Forestry Services – Public Trees, prior to the issuance of these demolition permits, a copy of the e-mail from Urban Forestry Services is attached;
- (b) that on failure to complete the new building within two (2) years from the day of commencement of demolition of the existing buildings, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector's roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000.00) for each dwelling unit contained in the residential buildings in respect of which these demolition permits are issued and the such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the lands in respect of which the permits to demolish the residential buildings are issued.

Re.25 Attachment from Urban Forestry Services missing from the staff report.

26. Request for an Exemption from Chapter 248 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Driveway Widening at 18 Marmot Street (Ward 22 - St. Paul's)

Report 5, Clause 25

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 25, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Report (May 25, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Recommendation:

It is recommended that City Council deny the application for driveway widening at 18 Marmot Street.

27. Request for an Exemption from Chapter 400 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking for Two Vehicles at 50 Forest Hill Road (Ward 22 - St. Paul's)

Report 5, Clause 26

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council approve the application for front yard parking for two vehicles at 50 Forest Hill Road, notwithstanding that the property is serviced by a two car garage, additional parking can be accommodated in the rear yard, the application is for more than one vehicle, the area

paved exceeds the area required to facilitate a vehicle and the proposed parking spaces are angled to the sidewalk, subject to:

- (a) the area for each vehicle not exceeding 2.6 m by 5.9 m in dimension;
- (b) the applicant providing the landscape features substantially in accordance with the plan as shown on Appendix 'D', to the satisfaction of the General Manager of Transportation Services; and
- (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking.

Report (May 23, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Recommendation:

It is recommended that City Council deny the application for front yard parking for two vehicles at 50 Forest Hill Road.

28. Request for an Exemption from Chapter 400 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking for a Second Vehicle at 93 Lonsdale Road (Ward 22 - St. Paul's)

Report 5, Clause 27

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council approve the application for front yard parking for a second vehicle at 93 Lonsdale Road, as shown on Appendix 'A' of the report (May 16, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York Division, notwithstanding that the proposal is for more than one vehicle, subject to:

- (a) the parking area for each vehicle not exceeding 2.6 m by 5.9 m in dimension;
- (b) the applicants removing the excess paving and re-installing planting areas to delineate the parking spaces from the adjacent walkway;
- (c) the applicants providing the landscape features substantially in accordance with the plan as shown on Appendix 'D', to the satisfaction of the General Manager of Transportation Services;
- (d) the applicants paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking; and

- (e) **the applicant planting a full shade canopy tree at his/her expense, with the location and species being to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation.**

Report (May 16, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Recommendation:

It is recommended that City Council deny the application for front yard parking for a second vehicle at 93 Lonsdale Road.

29. **Request for an Exemption from Chapter 248 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Driveway Widening at 15 Bin-Scarth Road (Ward 27 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

Report 5, Other Items Clause 101(c)

Action taken by the Committee

The Toronto and East York Community Council postponed consideration of the report (May 17, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District to its meeting on September 13, 2006.

Report (May 17, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Recommendation:

It is recommended that City Council deny the application for driveway widening at 15 Bin-Scarth Road.

30. **Request for an Exemption from Chapter 400 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking at 910 Carlaw Avenue (Ward 29 - Toronto-Danforth)**

Report 5, Clause 28

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 23, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Report (May 23, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Recommendation:

It is recommended that City Council deny the request for front yard parking at 910 Carlaw Avenue.

30(a). Communication (June 13, 2006) from Margaret Wood

31. Request for an Exemption from Chapter 400 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking for a Second Vehicle at 52 Pine Crescent (Ward 32 - Beaches-East York)

(Postponed from May 9, 2006)

Report 5, Clause 29

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council approve the application for front yard parking for a second vehicle at 52 Pine Crescent, as shown on Appendix 'A', notwithstanding that the proposal is for more than one vehicle and the existing asphalt paving does not meet the City's paving specifications, subject to:

- (a) the parking areas not exceeding 2.6 m by 5.9 m in dimension; and**
- (b) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking; and**
- (c) the applicant planting a full shade canopy tree at his/her expense, with the location and species being to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation.**

Report (April 19, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that City Council deny the request for front yard parking for a second vehicle at 52 Pine Crescent and the area be brought to conformity of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, as initially approved.

31(a). Communication (June 7, 2006) from Michael Mushet;

31(b). Communication (June 8, 2006) from Michael Mushet; and

31(c). Petition (undated) submitted by John and Patti Loach, signed by 12 individuals.

32. Request for an Exemption from Chapter 400 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking at 48 and 50 Leuty Avenue (Ward 32 - Beaches-East York)

Report 5, Clause 30

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council approve the applications for front yard parking at 48 and 50 Leuty Avenue, notwithstanding that the required 2.4 m clearance from the City-owned tree cannot be provided at 48 Leuty Avenue, the negative polling results, and the loss of a suitable replacement site for a new tree, subject to:

- (a) the parking area for 48 Leuty Avenue be 2.0 m by 4.6 m and for 50 Leuty Avenue be 2.0 m by 5.0 m, in dimension;**
- (b) the parking areas being paved with semi-permeable paving material, such as ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the General Manager of Transportation Services;**
- (c) the applicants paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences; and**
- (d) the applicant at 50 Leuty Avenue planting a full shade canopy tree at his/her expense, with the location and species being to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation.**

Report (May 23, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Recommendation:

It is recommended that City Council deny the applications for front yard parking at 48 and 50 Leuty Avenue.

32(a). Communication (June 7, 2006) from Rosemary B. Dillon;

32(b). Communication (June 9, 2006) from Don Rodney;

32(c). Communication (June 8, 2006) from Margaret Comerford;

32(d). Communication (June 9, 2006) from Sherry McKibbon Thomas;

- 32(e). Communication (June 11, 2006) from Ron Kasman;
 - 32(f). Communication (June 11, 2006) from M. L. Langton, on behalf of William Scott;
 - 32(g). Communication (June 11, 2006) from Mary Lou Langton;
 - 32(h). Communication (June 11, 2006) from Mary Anne Chown;
 - 32(i). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Paul Kasman;
 - 32(j). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Erwin and Karen Buck;
 - 32(k). Communication (June 12, 2006) from George Buchan;
 - 32(l). Communication (June 11, 2006) from Miriam Running;
 - 32(m). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Norbert Kartner;
 - 32(n). Communication (June 11, 2006) from John Edwards; and
 - 32(o). Communication (June 9, 2006) from Yin Mui Lai.
33. **Maintenance of a Wrought Iron Fence with Gates – 31 Northumberland Street (Ward 19 – Trinity-Spadina)**

Report 5, Clause 31

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 26, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Action taken by the Committee

The Toronto and East York Community Council requested the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District to report to City Council on June 27, 2006, on the results of the Survey recently undertaken to determine the property line of the fence, and to include a copy of the Survey.

Report (May 26, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council approve the maintenance of a 2.2 m high wrought iron fence with gates within the public right of way fronting 31 Northumberland Street, subject to the property owner entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto agreeing to but not limited to the following:
 - (a) indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted;
 - (b) maintain the fence and gates at his/her own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the fence beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement;
 - (c) remove the fence and gates upon receiving 90 days written notice so to do; and
 - (d) accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City; and
- (2) in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachment, Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services be authorized to extend the Encroachment Agreement to the new owner, subject to the approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services.

34. Maintenance of Security Gates, Window Ledges and a Planter – 822-828 Richmond Street West (Ward 19 – Trinity-Spadina)

Report 5, Clause 32

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 26, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Report (May 26, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council approve the maintenance of security gates and two window ledges that encroach over a portion of the laneway at the rear of the property and a planter that encroaches within the public right of way fronting 822-828 Richmond Street West, subject to the property owner entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:
 - (a) indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted and providing an insurance policy for such liability for the lifetime of the Agreements in a form as approved by the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and in an amount not less than \$2,000,000.00 or such greater amount as the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer may require;
 - (b) maintain the security gates, window ledges and planter at his/her own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the encroachment beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement;
 - (c) remove the security gates, window ledges and planter upon receiving 90 days written notice to do so; and
 - (d) accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City;
- (2) in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachment, Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services be authorised to extend the Encroachment Agreement to the new owner, subject to the approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services; and
- (3) Legal Services be requested to prepare and execute the Encroachment Agreement.

35. Maintenance of an Encroaching Garage – Public Laneway Rear of 1577 Dupont Street (Ward 18 – Davenport)

Report 5, Clause 33

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 29, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Report (May 29, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council approve the maintenance of an existing garage, which encroaches within the public right of way on the laneway to the rear of 1577 Dupont Street, subject to the property owner entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to, but not limited to the following:
 - (a) indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted and providing an insurance policy for such liability for the lifetime of the Agreement in a form as approved by the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and in an amount not less than \$2,000,000.00 or such greater amount as the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer may require;
 - (b) maintain the encroachment at his/her own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the garage beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement; and
 - (c) accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City; and
- (2) in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachment, Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services be authorized to extend the Encroachment Agreement to the new owner, subject to approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services.

36. Reconstruction of an Encroaching Basement Level Garage – Pendrith Street Flank of 883 Shaw Street (Ward 19 – Trinity-Spadina)

Report 5, Clause 34

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 23, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Report (May 23, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council approve the reconstruction of an existing basement level garage a portion of which will encroach within the public right of way on the Pendrith Street flank of 883 Shaw Street, subject to the property owner obtaining a building permit from Urban Development Services for the portion of the garage as affecting private property and entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, as prescribed under Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and agreeing to, but not limited to the following:
 - (a) indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted;
 - (b) maintain the encroachment at his/her own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the basement level garage beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement;
 - (c) remove the structure upon receiving 90 days written notice to do so; and
 - (d) accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City; and
- (2) in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachment, Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services be authorized to extend the Encroachment Agreement to the new owner, subject to the approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services.

37. Installation and Maintenance of Planters and Benches – Both Sides of Queen Street East, east of Carroll Street to Empire Avenue (630-825 Queen Street East) – Riverside Business Improvement Area (Ward 30 – Toronto-Danforth)

Report 5, Clause 35

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 23, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Report (May 23, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council approve the installation and maintenance of planters and benches on both sides of Queen Street East, east of Carroll Street to Empire Avenue (630 to 825 Queen Street East), subject to the Riverside Business Improvement Area entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:
 - (a) indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted;
 - (b) maintain the encroachments at their own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the encroachments beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement; and
 - (c) accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City; and
- (2) Legal Services be requested to prepare and execute the Encroachment Agreement.

38. Installation and Maintenance of Seasonal Planters within the Outer Boulevard, between 1826 and 2485 Queen Street East – Beaches Business Improvement Area (Ward 32 – Beaches-East York)

Report 5, Clause 36

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 26, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Report (May 26, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council approve the installation and maintenance of seasonal planters within the outer boulevard between 1826 and 2485 Queen Street East, subject to the Beaches Business Improvement Area entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:
 - (a) indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted;
 - (b) maintain the encroachments at their own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the encroachments beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement; and
 - (c) accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City; and
- (2) Legal Services be requested to prepare and execute the Encroachment Agreement.

39. Request for Fence Exemption – 372 Russell Hill Road (Ward 22 – St. Paul’s)

Report 5, Clause 37

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council grant the Request for Fence Exemption at 372 Russell Hill Road.

Report (May 16, 2006) from the Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, Toronto and East York District

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the exemption not be granted.

- 39(a).** Communication (June 12, 2006) from Faith Roebuck, applicant, and Debbie Skurka

40. Request for Fence Exemption – 209 Westview Boulevard (Ward 31 – Beaches-East York)

Report 5, Clause 38

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council grant the Request for Fence Exemption at 209 Westview Boulevard

Report (May 26, 2006) from the Acting District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, Toronto and East York District

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the exemption not be granted.

41. Removal of One Privately Owned Tree – 20 Mann Avenue (Ward 22 – St. Paul’s)

Report 5, Clause 39

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 25, 2006) from the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation

Report (May 25, 2006) from the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the request for a permit to remove one (1) privately-owned red oak tree at 20 Mann Avenue be denied; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

41(a). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Jennifer Crisanti, forwarding a petition signed by 14 individuals

42. Removal of One City Owned Tree – 372 Davisville Avenue (Ward 22 – St. Paul’s)

Report 5, Clause 40

The Toronto and East York Community Council submits this matter to City Council without recommendation.

Report (May 25, 2006) from the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the request for permission to remove one (1) City-owned tree be denied; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

43. Removal of One Privately Owned Tree – 163 Boulton Avenue (Ward 30 – Toronto-Danforth)

Report 5, Clause 41

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 25, 2006) from the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation

Report (May 25, 2006) from the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the request for a permit to remove one (1) privately owned Siberian elm tree at 163 Boulton Avenue be denied; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

43(a). Electronic communication (June 7, 2006) from Kim Challenger

44. Compensation Review: Elected Officials

Report 5, Other Items Clause 101(d)

Action taken by Committee

The Toronto and East York Community Council received this matter.

Report (May 10, 2006) from the Employee and Labour Relations Committee

Action taken by the Committee:

The Employee and Labour Relations Committee:

- (1) referred the report (April 24, 2006) from the City Manager respecting the “Compensation Review: Elected Officials” to the Mayor’s Office for a report to the June meetings of the Policy and Finance Committee and City Council, if possible; and
- (2) forwarded this issue, including the following motions tabled by Councillor Soknacki and Deputy Mayor Bussin, to the Community Councils for consideration as a deputation item at their June 13, 2006, meetings for comment to the Mayor’s Office to be forwarded to the Blue Ribbon Panel established by the Mayor:

Moved by Councillor Soknacki:

That the Employee and Labour Relations Committee recommend to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council receive the recommendations in the report (April 24, 2006) from the City Manager and adopt instead the following:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) a study be done prior to the beginning of each term to determine the average cash compensation of City Councillors and Mayors in an appropriate comparator group defined by a specialist consultant;
- (2) the salary level of Councillors and the Mayor be set at the greater of their current compensation or at the 50 percent percentile of the comparator group as at January 1, 2007, at the beginning of the new term; and
- (3) during the term of office compensation be adjusted annually to the Statistics Canada cost of living index for the City of Toronto.”

Moved by Deputy Mayor Bussin:

“That Recommendation (2) of the motion by Councillor Soknacki be amended by deleting ‘50 percent’ and inserting instead ‘75 percent’.”

44(a). Communication (undated) from Councillor Soknacki

REPORTS/COMMUNICATIONS (TO BE CONSIDERED AT 9:30 A.M.)

45. Preliminary Report – Rezoning Application – 56 Blue Jays Way (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina)

Report 5, Other Items Clause 101(e)

Action taken by the Committee

The Toronto and East York Community Council adopted the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 23, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 23, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
- (2) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

46. Revised Preliminary and Status Report – Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application – 444 Yonge Street (Ward 27 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

Report 5, Other Items Clause 101(f)

Action taken by the Committee

The Toronto and East York Community Council adopted the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 25, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 25, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
- (2) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) the applicant work with City staff to address the issues identified in this report.

46(a). Communication (June 2, 2006) from James Robinson, Executive Director, Downtown Yonge BIA; and

46(b). Communication (June 13, 2006) from T. W. Bermingham, Blake, Cassels and Graydon, LLP.

47. Preliminary Report – Rezoning Application – 53 Colgate Avenue (Ward 30 – Toronto-Danforth)

Report 5, Other Items Clause 101(g)

Action taken by the Committee

The Toronto and East York Community Council adopted the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 24, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 24, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
- (2) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

48. Preliminary Report – Rezoning Application – 59 Colgate Avenue (Ward 30 – Toronto-Danforth)

Report 5, Other Items Clause 101(h)

Action taken by the Committee

The Toronto and East York Community Council adopted the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 24, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 24, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
- (2) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

49. Report on Revisions to Section 37 Agreement - 225 Wellesley Street East (Winchester Square) Site Plan Application (Ward 28 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

Report 5, Clause 42

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 20, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 20, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) Council adopt the Conceptual Plan attached to this report as Attachment 1 as an Alternative Conceptual Plan to supplement the Conceptual Plan attached to the

Winchester Square Section 37 Agreement with respect to vehicle and service access and configuration of landscaped open space at the rear of the building proposed for Parcel A;

- (2) Council direct the City Solicitor to prepare any necessary revisions to the Section 37 Agreement; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

50. Chapter 297, Sign By-law, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code and the Removal of Illegal Signs in the Toronto and East York Community Council Area

Report 5, Other Items Clause 101(i)

Action taken by the Committee

The Toronto and East York Community Council postponed consideration of the report (March 21, 2006) from the Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards to its next meeting on July 11, 2006.

(Postponed from May 9, 2006)

Report (March 21, 2006) from the Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, reporting on the recommendation by Toronto and East York Community Council from its meeting held on January 19, 2006, requesting the Acting Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards, to report to the Toronto East York Community Council on February 7, 2006 regarding the proliferation of illegal third party signs, providing an action plan with recommendations on enforcement and any impediments facing the Division on this issue.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

50(a). The following communications were submitted to the April 4, 2006 meeting of the Toronto and East York Community Council:

- Communication (April 2, 2006) from Caroline Chan;
- Communication (April 3, 2006) from Juliet Kiri Palmer;
- Communication (April 3, 2006) from Alison Gorbould, Toronto Public Space Committee;

- Communication (April 2, 2006) from Corinne Alstrom;
- Communication (April 3, 2006) from Emily J. Alfred;
- Communication (April 3, 2006) from Steve Mercer;
- Communication (April 3, 2006) from Ashlee Cooper;
- Communication (April 3, 2006) from Piero Rocca;
- Communication (April 3, 2006) from Jonna Pedersen;
- Communication (April 3, 2006) from Albert Kwan;
- Communication (April 3, 2006) from Greg Piccini;
- Communication (April 3, 2006) from Cynthia Gould;
- Communication (April 3, 2006) from Josh Paterson;
- Communication (April 3, 2006) from Heather L. McDonald;
- Communication (April 3, 2006) from Sara Lipson;
- Communication (April 4, 2006) from Fraser McDonald; and
- Communication (April 3, 2006) from Rami Tabetlo.

51. Request for Approval of a Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 14 Roncesvalles Avenue (Ward 14 - Parkdale-High Park)

Report 5 Clause 43

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 25, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 25, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the request for variance be approved to permit an illuminated roof sign at 14 Roncesvalles Avenue on the condition that the existing sign be restored in consultation with Heritage Preservation Services;
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of the variance, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permit from the Chief Building Official; and
- (3) that the permit not be issued until final plans have been submitted satisfactory to the Manager Heritage Preservation Services.

51(a). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Alice Barton

52. Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 222 Spadina Avenue (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina)

Report 5 Clause 44

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 12, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 12, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the request for variances to permit, for identification purposes, three non-illuminated projecting banner signs on the east elevation and three illuminated fascia signs on the east, west and north elevations of the building at 222 Spadina Avenue be approved; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

53. Request for Approval of a Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 299 Queen Street West (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina)

Report 5 Clause 45

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 12, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 12, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the requested variance to permit, for identification purposes, replacement of an existing illuminated fascia sign located on the front elevation of the building with a newly designed "Chum" Fascia sign at 299 Queen Street West be approved; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of a variance, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

54. Request for Approval of Variances from a site specific permission in Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 10 Dundas Street East (Ward 27 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

Report 5 Clause 46

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 26, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 26, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the request for variances to a site specific permissive exception (297-12T) in Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit modifications to the signage program on the Metropolis development at 10 Dundas Street East as set out in Attachment 5 be approved; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, should Council approve the variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official for each sign substantially in accordance with the drawings dated April 5, 2006, and date stamped as received on April 7, 2006 and on file with the City Planning Division.

54(a). Communication (June 8, 2006) from Arthur Gron;

- 54(b). Communication (June 8, 2006) from Adriana Beemans;
- 54(c). Communication (June 8, 2006) from David Nichol;
- 54(d). Communication (June 8, 2006) from Jennefer Laidley;
- 54(e). Communication (June 8, 2006) from Alison Gorbould, Toronto Public Space Committee;
- 54(f). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Scott Donais;
- 54(g). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Xzavier Onasis;
- 54(h). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Josh Paterson;
- 54(i). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Andrew Brown;
- 54(j). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Michael Greco;
- 54(k). Communication (June 13, 2006) from Stewart Russell;
- 54(l). Communication (June 13, 2006) from Heather McDonald; and
- 54(m). Communication (June 11, 2006) from Darren Cooney.

55. Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 27 Front Street East (Ward 28 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

Report 5 Clause 47

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 25, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 25, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the request for variances to permit, for identification purposes, two illuminated fascia signs on the north elevation and three illuminated fascia signs including a

sign with electronic message changeable copy on the west elevation of the building at 27 Front Street East be approved; and

- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

56. Temporary Road Occupation to Establish a Construction Staging Area on Renfrew Place – 165 John Street (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina)

Report 5, Clause 48

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 29, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 29, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) in order to facilitate renovation of a three-storey building at a site municipally known as Premises No. 165 John Street, the public lane known at Renfrew Place at the flank of Premises No. 165 John Street, as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-8366, dated May 2006, be closed to eastbound traffic in order to establish a construction staging area from June 2006 to September 2006;
- (2) Renfrew Place operate one-way westbound from St. Patricks Market to John Street;
- (3) stopping be prohibited on both sides of Renfrew Place from St. Patricks Market to John Street;
- (4) upon completion the subject project, Renfrew Place, from St. Patricks Market to John Street, return to its pre-construction traffic operation; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

57. Naming of Proposed Public Street Located East of Bathurst Street and North of Housey Street (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina)

Report 5, Clause 49

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 26, 2006) from the City Surveyor, Technical Services.

Report (May 26, 2006) from the City Surveyor, Technical Services.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that, subject to City Council granting an exception to its policy of avoiding similar sounding names:

- (1) the proposed public street located east of Bathurst Street and extending northerly from Housey Street to the Rail Corridor, be dedicated by by-law for public highway purposes and named “Queens Wharf Road”;
- (2) the proposed easterly extension of the street to Dan Leckie Way, be dedicated by by-law for public highway purposes and named “Queens Wharf Road”;
- (3) the use of the name “Northern Railway Street”, authorized by the Council of the former City of Toronto on April 18, 1988 (Clause 32, City Services Committee Report 10) to identify this proposed street be rescinded; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

58. Naming of Public Lane South of Davenport Road, Extending Between Ossington Avenue and Shaw Street (Ward 21 - St. Paul's)

Report 5, Clause 50

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 26, 2006) from the City Surveyor, Technical Services.

Report (May 26, 2006) from the City Surveyor, Technical Services.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the public lane located south of Davenport Road, extending between Ossington Avenue and Shaw Street, be named “Victor Jara Lane”; and

- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

59. Interim Report - Request to permit eastbound to northbound left turns - The Queensway and Roncesvalles Avenue/Queen Street West/King Street West (Ward 14 - Parkdale-High Park)

Report 5, Other Items Clause 101(j)

Action taken by the Committee

The Toronto and East York Community Council received the report (May 25, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District for information.

Report (May 25, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, reporting as requested by City Council, at its meeting of February 14, 2006, to the Toronto and East York Community Council by June 2006, on the feasibility of permitting eastbound to northbound left turns at all times at the intersection of The Queensway and Roncesvalles Avenue.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

60. Reduction of the Speed Limit to 40 km/h - Fern Avenue and Galley Avenue (between Roncesvalles Avenue and Sunnyside Avenue) (Ward 14 – Parkdale-High Park)

Report 5, Clause 51

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 19, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 19, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) a maximum speed limit of forty kilometres per hour on Fern Avenue, between Roncesvalles Avenue and Sunnyside Avenue, be introduced;

- (2) a maximum speed limit of forty kilometres per hour on Galley Avenue, between Roncesvalles Avenue and Sunnyside Avenue, be introduced; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

61. Reduction of the Speed Limit to 40 km/h - Machockie Road, Hutton Avenue and Treadway Boulevard, between Plains Road and O'Connor Drive (Ward 31 - Beaches-East York)

Report 5, Clause 52

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 26, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 26, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the maximum speed limit on Hutton Avenue, between Plains Road and O'Connor Drive be reduced from 50 km/h to 40 km/h;
- (2) the maximum speed limit on Treadway Boulevard, between Plains Road and O'Connor Drive be reduced from 50 km/h to 40 km/h;
- (3) the maximum speed limit on Machockie Road, between Plains Road and O'Connor Drive be reduced from 50 km/h to 40 km/h;
- (4) the 60 Minutes, 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday maximum parking regulation on both sides of Machockie Road, between Plains Road and O'Connor Drive, be rescinded; and
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

62. Proposed Installation of Speed Bumps in Public Lane System Bounded by Emerson Avenue, Armstrong Avenue, Dufferin Street and Wallace Avenue (Ward 18 - Davenport)

Report 5, Clause 53

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 29, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 29, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the installation of speed bumps in the public lane system bounded by Emerson Avenue, Armstrong Avenue, Dufferin Street and Wallace Avenue, of the type and design noted and at the locations shown on Drawing Nos. 421F-6578 and 421F-6579 dated August 2002, be approved; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take any action necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

63. Proposed Installation of Speed Bumps in East-West Public Lane Bounded by Harbord Street, Manning Avenue, Clinton Street Public School and Clinton Street (Ward 19 - Trinity-Spadina)

Report 5, Clause 54

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 29, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 29, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the installation of speed bumps in the east-west public lane bounded by Harbord Street, Manning Avenue, Clinton Street Public School and Clinton Street, of the type and design noted and at the locations shown on Drawing No. 421F-8317 dated April 2006, be approved; and

- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take any action necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

64. Evaluation for the Installation of Speed Humps - First Avenue, between Broadview Avenue and Logan Avenue (Ward 30 – Toronto-Danforth)

Report 5, Clause 55

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council:

- (1) **Authorize appropriate staff to develop a speed hump plan in consultation with the Ward Councillor and conduct a poll of eligible householders on First Avenue, between Broadview Avenue and Logan Avenue to determine resident support, in accordance with the City of Toronto Traffic Calming Policy; and public notice be given pursuant to the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act, including Notice of Study Commencement to the Ministry of Environment, Fire Service, Emergency Medical Service and Toronto Police Service;**
- (2) **subject to favourable results of the poll:**
 - (i) **A by-law be prepared for the alteration of sections of the roadway on First Avenue, between Broadview Avenue and Logan Avenue for traffic calming purposes generally as shown on the speed hump plan circulated to residents through the polling process;**
 - (ii) **Pursuant to the requirements of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act, Notice of Completion be issued;**
 - (iii) **The speed limit be reduced from forty kilometres per hour to thirty kilometres per hour on First Avenue, between Broadview Avenue and Logan Avenue, coincident with the implementation of speed humps; and**
- (3) **authorize and direct the appropriate City officials to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.**

Report (May 29, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, reporting as requested by Councillor Paula Fletcher, on the feasibility of installing speed humps on First Avenue, between Broadview Avenue and Logan Avenue.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

65. Evaluation for the Installation of Speed Humps - Leslie Street, between Queen Street East and Gerrard Street East (Ward 30 – Toronto-Danforth)

Report 5, Clause 56

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council:

- (1) authorize the appropriate staff to develop a speed hump plan in consultation with the Ward Councillor and conduct a poll of eligible householders on Leslie Street, between Queen Street East and Gerrard Street East to determine resident support, in accordance with the City of Toronto Traffic Calming Policy; and public notice be given pursuant to the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act, including Notice of Study Commencement to the Ministry of Environment, Fire Service, Emergency Medical Service and Toronto Police Service;**
- (2) subject to favourable results of the poll:**
 - (i) a by-law be prepared for the alteration of sections of the roadway on Leslie Street, between Queen Street East and Gerrard Street East for traffic calming purposes generally as shown on the speed hump plan circulated to residents through the polling process;**
 - (ii) pursuant to the requirements of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act, Notice of Completion be issued;**
 - (iii) the speed limit be reduced from forty kilometres per hour to thirty kilometres per hour on Leslie Street, between Queen Street East and Gerrard Street East, coincident with the implementation of speed humps; and**
- (3) authorize and direct the appropriate City officials to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.**

Report (May 29, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District reporting as requested by Councillor Paula Fletcher, on the feasibility of installing speed humps on Leslie Street, between Queen Street East and Gerrard Street East.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

66. Evaluation for the Installation of Speed Humps - Bertmount Avenue, between Queen Street East and Dundas Street East (Ward 30 – Toronto-Danforth)

Report 5, Clause 57

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council:

- (1) authorize the appropriate staff to develop a speed hump plan in consultation with the Ward Councillor and conduct a poll of eligible householders on Bertmount Avenue, between Queen Street East and Dundas Street East to determine resident support, in accordance with the City of Toronto Traffic Calming Policy; and public notice be given pursuant to the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act, including Notice of Study Commencement to the Ministry of Environment, Fire Service, Emergency Medical Service and Toronto Police Service;**
- (2) subject to favourable results of the poll:**
 - (i) a by-law be prepared for the alteration of sections of the roadway on Bertmount Avenue, between Queen Street East and Dundas Street East for traffic calming purposes generally as shown on the speed hump plan circulated to residents through the polling process;**
 - (ii) pursuant to the requirements of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act, Notice of Completion be issued;**
 - (iii) the speed limit be reduced from forty kilometres per hour to thirty kilometres per hour on Bertmount Avenue, between Queen Street East and Dundas Street East, coincident with the implementation of speed humps; and**
- (3) authorize and direct the appropriate City officials to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.**

Report (May 29, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District reporting as requested by Councillor Paula Fletcher, on the feasibility of installing traffic calming devices on Bertmount Avenue, between Queen Street East and Dundas Street East.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

67. Speed Hump Poll Results - Osler Street, from Dupont Street to Cariboo Avenue (Ward 18 - Davenport)

Report 5, Clause 58

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends to City Council that, notwithstanding the level of response to the poll, and with implementation scheduling subject to competing priorities:

- (1) a by-law be enacted authorizing the alteration of sections of the roadway by means of the installation of speed humps on Osler Street, Between Dupont Street and Cariboo Avenue for traffic calming purposes generally as shown on the attached print Drawing No. 421F-8321, dated May 2006;**
- (2) pursuant to the requirements of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act, Notice of Completion be issued;**
- (3) the maximum speed limit on Osler Street, between Dupont Street and Cariboo Avenue, be reduced from forty kilometres per hour to thirty kilometres per hour, coincident with the installation of speed humps; and**
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.**

Report (May 16, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, reporting as requested by Councillor Adam Giambrone, on the results of a poll of residents on Osler Street, from Dupont Street to Cariboo Avenue, to determine community support for the implementation of speed humps.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

- 68. Speed Hump Poll Results - Lewis Avenue, between Eastern Avenue and Queen Street East (Ward 30 – Toronto-Danforth)**

Report 5, Clause 59

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends to City Council that, notwithstanding the level of response to the poll, and with implementation scheduled subject to competing priorities:

- (1) a by-law be prepared for the alteration of sections of the roadway on Lewis Avenue, between Eastern Avenue and Queen Street East for traffic calming purposes generally as shown on the attached print of drawing No. 421F-8339 dated May, 2006;**

- (2) pursuant to the requirements of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act, Notice of Completion be issued;
- (3) the speed limit be reduced from forty kilometres per hour to thirty kilometres per hour on Lewis Avenue, between Eastern Avenue and Queen Street East, coincident with the implementation of speed humps; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

Report (May 29, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, reporting as requested by Councillor Paula Fletcher, on the results of a poll of households on Lewis Avenue, between Eastern Avenue and Queen Street East, to determine community support for the implementation of speed humps.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

69. Speed Hump Poll Results - Pape Avenue, between Dundas Street East and Gerrard Street East (Ward 30 – Toronto-Danforth)

Report 5, Other Items Clause 101(k)

Action taken by the Committee

The Toronto and East York Community Council postponed consideration of the report (May 29, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District to its next meeting on July 11, 2006.

Report (May 29, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, reporting as requested by Councillor Paula Fletcher, on the results of a poll of households on Pape Avenue, between Dundas Street East and Gerrard Street East, to determine community support for the implementation of speed humps.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

70. Speed Hump Poll Results - Cedarvale Avenue, between Strathmore Boulevard and Sammon Avenue (Ward 31 – Beaches-East York)

Report 5, Clause 60

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends to City Council that, notwithstanding the level of response to the poll, and with implementation scheduled subject to competing priorities:

- (1) a by-law be prepared for the alteration of sections of the roadway on Cedarvale Avenue, between Strathmore Boulevard and Sammon Avenue for traffic calming purposes generally as shown on the attached print of drawing No. 421F-8335 dated May 2006;**
- (2) pursuant to the requirements of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act, Notice of Completion be issued;**
- (3) the speed limit be reduced from forty kilometres per hour to thirty kilometres per hour on Cedarvale Avenue, between Strathmore Boulevard and Sammon Avenue, coincident with the implementation of speed humps; and**
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.**

Report (May 23, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, reporting as requested by Councillor Janet Davis, on the results of a poll of residents on Cedarvale Avenue, between Strathmore Boulevard and Sammon Avenue, to determine community support for the implementation of speed humps.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

- 71. Request to introduce a “School Bus Loading Zone” on the south side of Wright Avenue fronting Fern Avenue Public School (Ward 14 – Parkdale-High Park)**

Report 5, Clause 61

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 26, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 26, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the existing parking prohibition on the south side of Wright Avenue from 9:30 a.m. to 11:00 a.m., from 1:30 p.m. to 3:00 p.m., and from 4:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday from a point 134.1 metres east of Roncesvalles Avenue to a point 129 metres further east be amended to operate from a point 134.1 metres east of Roncesvalles Avenue to a point 70.9 metres further east thereof and from a point 225 metres east of Roncesvalles Avenue to a point 38 metres further east thereof;
- (2) the existing maximum fifteen minute parking regulation on the south side of Wright Avenue from 8:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m., 11:30a.m. to 1:30 p.m., and 3:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday from a point 134.1 metres east of Roncesvalles Avenue to a point 129 metres further east thereof be amended to operated from a point 134.1 metres east of Roncesvalles Avenue to a point 70.9 metres further east thereof and from a point 225 metres east of Roncesvalles Avenue and a point 38 metres further east thereof;
- (3) a “School Bus Loading Zone” with hours of operation between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday be delineated on the south side of Wright Avenue from a point 205 metres east of Roncesvalles Avenue to a point 20 metres further east thereof; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

72. Installation of All-way “Stop” Sign Control - Glebemount Avenue and Virginia Avenue (Ward 31 - Beaches-East York)

Report 5, Clause 62

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 29, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 29, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) “Stop” signs be installed for northbound and southbound traffic on Glebemount Avenue at Virginia Avenue; and

- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

73. Installation of "Stop" Signs on Various Streets - Topham Park Community Traffic Management Study (Ward 31 - Beaches-East York)

Report 5, Clause 63

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that:

- (1) **“Yield” signs be removed and “Stop” signs be installed in their place at the following locations:**
 - (a) **northbound on Valor Boulevard at Furnival Road;**
 - (b) **eastbound on Furnival Road at Valor Boulevard;**
 - (c) **westbound on Furnival Road at Valor Boulevard;**
 - (d) **southbound on Valor Boulevard at Merritt Road;**
 - (e) **westbound on Adair Road at Squires Avenue;**
 - (f) **westbound on Peard Road at Squires Avenue; and**
- (2) **“Stop” signs be installed northbound and southbound on Westview Boulevard at Glabraith Avenue;**
- (3) **“Stop” signs be installed northbound and southbound on Furnival Road at Valor Boulevard;**
- (4) **the speed limit on Furnival Road between Squires Avenue and its westerly terminus be reduced from 50 km/h to 40 km/h; and**
- (5) **the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.**

Report (May 26, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) **“Yield” signs be removed and in place thereof, “Stop” signs be installed at the following locations:**

- (a) northbound on Valor Boulevard at Furnival Road;
 - (b) eastbound on Furnival Road at Valor Boulevard;
 - (c) westbound on Furnival Road at Valor Boulevard;
 - (d) southbound on Valor Boulevard at Merritt Road;
 - (e) westbound on Adair Road at Squires Avenue;
 - (f) westbound on Peard Road at Squires Avenue; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

74. Temporary Amendments to Traffic and Parking Regulations Required in Connection with the 2006 Molson Grand Prix of Toronto Race (Wards 19 and 20 - Trinity-Spadina)

Report 5, Clause 64

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 29, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 29, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) stopping be prohibited from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. from July 7 to 9, 2006 inclusive, on both sides of Fleet Street, from Bathurst Street to Strachan Avenue, and on both sides of Strachan Avenue, from Lake Shore Boulevard West to King Street West, and extended to include July 10, 2006, if necessary;
- (2) a taxicab stand for ten taxis be established in the eastbound curb lane of Lake Shore Boulevard West, between a point 30 metres east of Strachan Avenue and a point 30 metres west of Fort York Boulevard, from July 7 to 9, 2006 inclusive, and extended to include July 10, 2006, if necessary; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

75. Proposed Installation of Traffic Control Signals - Dupont Street, between Bathurst Street and Spadina Road (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina)

Report 5, Clause 65

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 23, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 23, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) traffic control signals be installed at the intersection of Dupont Street and Howland Avenue and the existing pedestrian crossover at Dupont Street and Brunswick Avenue be removed coincident with the installation; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

76. Proposed Installation of Traffic Control Signals - Queen Street West and St. Patrick Street (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina)

Report 5, Clause 66

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 23, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 23, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) traffic control signals be installed at the intersection of Queen Street West and St. Patrick Street and the existing pedestrian crossover be removed coincident with the installation; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

77. Proposed Installation of Traffic Control Signals - Queen Street West and Portland Street (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina)

Report 5, Clause 67

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 23, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 23, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) traffic control signals be installed at the intersection of Queen Street West and Portland Street and the existing pedestrian crossover be removed coincident with the installation; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

78. Installation of On-Street Parking Spaces for Persons with Disabilities (Ward 14 - Parkdale-High Park; Ward 18 - Davenport, and Ward 32 - Beaches-East York)

Report 5, Clause 68

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 29, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 29, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the installation of on-street disabled parking spaces as noted in Table "A" of this report be approved; and

- (2) the appropriate City officials be requested to take any action necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

79. Provision of a designated on-street disabled loading zone - Front Street West, north side, between Simcoe Street and John Street, fronting Premises No. 200 (WSIB) (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina)

Report 5, Clause 69

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 16, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 16, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the “No Parking Anytime” prohibition, on the north side of Front Street West, between Simcoe Street and John Street, be amended to operate from Simcoe Street to a point 30.5 metres west and from a point 54.5 metres west of Simcoe Street to John Street;
- (2) that a designated on-street disabled loading zone be established on the north side of Front Street West, from a point 30.5 metres west of Simcoe Street to a point 24.0 metres further west thereof; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

80. Provision of Designated On-street Loading Zones for Disabled Persons – James Street, south of Albert Street and Albert Street, east of Bay Street (Ward 27 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

Report 5, Clause 70

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 26, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Report (May 26, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the “No Stopping Anytime” regulation on the south side of Albert Street, from Bay Street to James Street, be adjusted to apply from a point 28.3 metres east of Bay Street to James Street;
- (2) an on-street disabled loading zone be established on the south side of Albert Street from a point 16.3 metres east of Bay Street to a point 12 metres further east thereof;
- (3) an on-street loading zone for disabled persons be implemented on the west side of James Street, from Albert Street to a point 15 metres south thereof; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

81. Proposed Amendments to Parking Regulations - Gladstone Avenue, between Cross Street and Waterloo Avenue (Ward 18 - Davenport)

Report 5, Clause 71

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 25, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 25, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the existing “No Parking, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” regulation on the west side of Gladstone Avenue, between Waterloo Avenue and 35.1 metres south of Stonehouse Crescent be amended to “No Parking Anytime”;
- (2) the existing “No Parking, from 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., Except by Permit” regulation on the west side of Gladstone Avenue from Alma Avenue to College

Street be amended to operate from Alma Avenue to Waterloo Avenue and from a point 35.1 metres south of Stonehouse Crescent to College Street;

- (3) the existing “School Bus Loading Zone” on the west side of Gladstone Avenue, from a point 165.8 metres south of Stonehouse Crescent to a point 25.8 metres further south thereof be amended to operate from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday;
- (4) a “No Parking, from 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., Except by Permit” regulation be introduced on the east side of Gladstone Avenue between Cross Street and Waterloo Avenue;
- (5) a “No Stopping, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” regulation be introduced on the east side of Gladstone Avenue from a point 63.0 metres south of Cross Street to a point 77.0 metres further south thereof; and
- (6) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

82. Introduction of Overnight On-Street Permit Parking on the West Side of Braemar Avenue, between Chaplin Crescent and College View Avenue (Ward 22 - St. Paul's)

Report 5, Clause 72

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 18, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 18, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) permit parking be introduced on the west side of Braemar Avenue, between Chaplin Crescent and College View Avenue, on a street name basis, to operate during the hours of 12:01 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., Monday to Friday and 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., Saturday and Sunday;
- (2) part EE of Schedule XXVI (Permit Parking), of Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, be introduced

to incorporate the west side of Braemar Avenue, between Chaplin Crescent and College View Avenue;

- (3) the existing one-hour maximum parking limit in operation from 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the west side of Braemar Avenue, between Chaplin Crescent to College View Avenue, be rescinded;
- (4) The existing “No Parking” prohibition in operation from 8:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., Monday to Friday, on the west side of Braemar Avenue, from Chaplin Crescent to College View Avenue, be rescinded; and
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

83. Introduction of Trial 2:00 a.m. to 6:00 a.m., Friday to Monday Standing Prohibition - Richmond Street West and Adelaide Street West, between University Avenue and Spadina Avenue (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina)

Report 5, Clause 73

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 25, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Report (May 25, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) standing be prohibited from 2:00 a.m. to 6:00 a.m., Friday through Monday, on the south and north sides of Adelaide Street West, between University Avenue and Spadina Avenue;
- (2) standing be prohibited from 2:00 a.m. to 6:00 a.m., Friday through Monday, on the north and south sides of Richmond Street West, between University Avenue and Spadina Avenue; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

84. Prohibition of Parking, rear of the Grand Hotel and Suites, fronting 225 Jarvis Street - George Street, west side, between Shuter Street and Dundas Street East (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

Report 5, Clause 74

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 19, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Report (May 19, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the one hour parking regulation at all times on the west side of George Street, between Shuter Street and Dundas Street East be rescinded;
- (2) parking be allowed for a maximum period of one hour, from 10:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. on the west side of George Street, from Shuter Street to a point 88 metres north thereof;
- (3) parking be allowed for a maximum period of one hour, from 10:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. on the west side of George Street, from a point 126.3 metres north of Shuter Street to Dundas Street East;
- (4) parking be prohibited at all times on the west side of George Street, from a point 88 metres north of Shuter Street to a point 38.3 metres further north thereof; and
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

85. Prohibition of Parking - Jarvis Street, north and south of Sirman Lane (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

Report 5, Clause 75

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 26, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 26, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) parking be prohibited at all times on the west side of Jarvis Street, from a point nine metres north of Sirman Lane to a point nine metres south of Sirman Lane; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

86. Prohibition of Parking - Cosburn Avenue, south side, from Coxwell Avenue to east of Fairside Avenue (Ward 31 - Beaches-East York)

Report 5, Clause 76

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 30, 2006) from the Acting Director, Transportation Infrastructure Management.

Report (May 30, 2006) from the Acting Director, Transportation Infrastructure Management.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) parking and bicycle lane regulations be amended in order that parking be prohibited at all times on the south side of Cosburn Avenue from Coxwell Avenue to east of Fairside Avenue, as detailed in Appendix A of this report; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

87. Cash Payment-in-lieu of Providing Parking - 1020 Kingston Road (Ward 32 - Beaches-East York)

Report 5, Clause 77

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 25, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 25, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the application by Michael Bulatovich, Architect, on behalf of Kenneth and Sherri Wise, for a cash payment-in-lieu of providing five parking spaces, in the amount of \$12,500.00, be approved;
- (2) the applicant enter into an Agreement with the City of Toronto for the payment-in-lieu of five parking spaces, in the amount of \$12,500.00; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any bills that may be required.

88. Removal of Commercial Loading Zone flanking 712 College Street - Montrose Avenue (Ward 19 - Trinity-Spadina)

Report 5, Clause 78

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 19, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 19, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the commercial loading zone on the west side of Montrose Avenue, from a point 27 metres north of College Street to a point 10 metres further north be repealed; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

89. Provision of a Pick-up and Drop-off Area with a fifteen minute maximum parking limit - Canniff Street, north side, from Strachan Avenue to Douro Street (Ward 19 - Trinity-Spadina)

Report 5, Clause 79

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 16, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 16, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the “No Parking Anytime” prohibition, on the north side of Canniff Street, be amended to operate from Stafford Street to a point 39.0 metres west of Strachan Avenue and from a point 61.0 metres west of Strachan Avenue to Douro Street;
- (2) parking be allowed for a maximum period of fifteen minutes from 7:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m. and from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the north side of Canniff Street, from a point 39.0 metres west of Strachan Avenue to a point 22.0 metres further west;
- (3) parking be prohibited from 9:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. and from 6:00 p.m. of one day to 7:30 a.m. of the next following day, Monday to Friday and at anytime on Saturday and Sunday, on the north side of Canniff Street, from a point 39.0 metres west of Strachan Avenue to a point 22.0 metres further west; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

90. Amendment to the hours of operation associated with student pick-up/drop-off area in front of Hydrokids Daycare - Orde Street, north side, west of Murray Street (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina)

Report 5, Clause 80

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 16, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 16, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the existing ten minute maximum parking limit, from 7:30 a.m. to 9:00 a.m.; from 11:30 a.m. to 1:30 p.m. and from 3:30 p.m. to 6:15 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the north side of Orde Street, between Murray Street and a point 82.5 metres west thereof, be amended to operate from 7:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m.; from 11:30 a.m. to 1:30 p.m. and from 3:00 p.m. to 6:15 p.m., Monday through Friday;
- (2) the existing “No Standing, from 9:00 a.m. to 11:30 a.m. and from 1:30 p.m. to 3:30 p.m., Monday to Friday” regulation on the north side of Orde Street, between Murray Street and a point 82.5 metres west thereof, be amended to operate from 9:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m. and from 1:30 p.m. to 3:00 p.m., Monday to Friday;
- (3) the existing “No Parking, from 6:00 p.m. of one day to 8:00 a.m. of the next following day” regulation on the north side of Orde Street, between McCaul Street and Murray Street, be amended to operate from 6:15 p.m. of one day to 7:30 a.m. of the next following day, Monday to Friday and Anytime on Saturdays and Sundays; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

91. Introduction of One Hour Parking Regulation - Casimir Street, between Dundas Street West to the north end of Casimir Street (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina)

Report 5, Clause 81

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 19, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Report (May 19, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) a one hour maximum parking regulation between the hours 7:00 a.m. to 12:00 a.m. daily be implemented, on the east side of Casimir Street, between Dundas Street West and the north end of Casimir Street, from the 1st day to the 15th day of each month, April 1st to November 30th; and December 1st of one year to March 31st of the next year;
- (2) a one hour maximum parking regulation between the hours 7:00 a.m. to 12:00 a.m. daily be implemented, on the west side of Casimir Street, between Dundas Street West and the north end of Casimir Street, from the 16th day to the last day of each month, April 1st to November 30th; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

92. Introduction of One-Hour Parking - O'Connor Drive, west side, between Skopje Gate and Northline Road (Ward 31 – Beaches-East York)

Report 5, Clause 82

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 15, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 15, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the “No Parking Anytime” regulation on the north and west side of O'Connor Drive, between Woodbine Avenue and Victoria Park Avenue, be rescinded;
- (2) parking be prohibited at anytime on the north and west side of O'Connor Drive, between Woodbine Avenue and Skopje Gate;
- (3) parking be prohibited at anytime on the west and north side of O'Connor Drive, between Northline Road and Victoria Park Avenue;

- (4) parking be allowed for a maximum period of one hour, from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. and from 6:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. Monday to Friday and at all times on Saturday and Sunday, on the west side of O'Connor Drive, between Skopje Gate and Northline Road; and
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

93. Prohibition of Heavy Trucks from 7:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. - Shuter Street, between Parliament Street and Jarvis Street (Wards 27 and 28- Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

Report 5, Clause 83

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 19, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 19, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the heavy vehicle prohibition from 7:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m., on Shuter Street, between Parliament Street and River Street be rescinded;
- (2) heavy vehicles be prohibited from 7:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m., on Shuter Street, between River Street and Jarvis Street; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

94. Proposed Alteration to the Intersection - Adelaide Street East and Parliament Street (Ward 28 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

Report 5, Clause 84

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 29, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 29, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) A by-law be enacted for the alteration of the intersection of Adelaide Street East and Parliament Street, as described below:

“alteration of the roadway by removing the eastbound right-turn channel at the intersection of Adelaide Street East and Parliament Street, generally as shown on the attached Drawing No. 421F-8308 dated April 2006”; and

- (2) appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

95. Curb Realignment - Dundas Street East at its intersections with Jones Avenue and Dagmar Avenue (Ward 30 – Toronto-Danforth)

Report 5, Clause 85

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 18, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 18, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) a by-law be enacted for the alteration of the north side of Dundas Street East at Jones Avenue and at Dagmar Avenue as described below:

“alteration of the roadway by extending the curb on the north side of Dundas Street East at its intersections with Jones Avenue and Dagmar Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing Nos. 421F-8169, and 421F-8170, dated January 2006”; and

- (2) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

96. Minor adjustments to the St. George Street Revitalization Plan - St. George Street, from College Street to Bloor Street West (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina)

Report 5, Clause 86

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 29, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Report (May 29, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) a draft by-law be prepared to amend the existing traffic calming plan on St. George Street, between College Street and Bloor Street West, by the construction of two 10 m. wide tabled areas located at a point 45 m. north of Russell Street and at a point 107 m. north of Sussex Avenue, respectively, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-8369, dated May 2006;
 - (2) Coincident with the implementation of the adjustments set out in Recommendation No. 1, above, the speed limit be reduced from forty kilometres per hour to thirty kilometres per hour on St. George Street, between College Street and Bloor Street West;
 - (3) the installation of "Stop" signs be approved for northbound and southbound traffic on St. George Street at its intersection with Sussex Avenue; and
 - (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.
- 96(a).** Communication (June 13, 2006) from Elizabeth Sisam, Assistant Vice-President, Space and Facilities Planning, University of Toronto

97. Toronto Waterfront Revitalization – West Don Lands Precinct Surplus Land Declaration and Proposed Closing and Leasing of Four Untravelled Public Lanes located within the Precinct (Ward 28 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

Report 5, Clause 87

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 24, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services, and Chief Corporate Officer.

Report (May 24, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services, and Chief Corporate Officer.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) subject to compliance with the requirements of the Municipal Act, 2001, the four untravelled public lanes, shown as Parts 1 to 4, inclusive, on Sketch No. PS-2006-052 (collectively the “Lanes”), be permanently closed as public lanes;
- (2) notice be given to the public of a proposed by-law to permanently close the Lanes, in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 162 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, and the Toronto and East York Community Council hear any member of the public who wishes to speak to the matter;
- (3) the Lanes be declared surplus to the City’s requirements and all steps necessary to comply with the City’s real estate disposal process as set out in Chapter 213 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be taken;
- (4) the Chief Corporate Officer be authorized to negotiate with TWRC, ORC, the Province and/or TRCA for the possible disposal of the Lanes, on the understanding that there is a possibility that title may be conveyed directly to one or more private developers, to be selected in the future;
- (5) authority be granted for the City to lease the Lanes, once closed, to the Tenant, for nominal rent, on the terms and conditions set out in Appendix “A” of this report, such lease agreement to be in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor;
- (6) the Chief Corporate Officer be authorized to administer and manage the lease agreement, including the provision of any consents, approvals, notices and notices of termination provided that the Chief Corporate Officer may, at any time, refer consideration of such matters (including their content) to City Council for its determination and direction; and

- (7) the appropriate City officials, be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in City Council of any bills necessary to give effect thereto.

98. Proposed Bicycle Lanes - Strachan Avenue, from Fleet Street to a point approximately 30 metres north of King Street West (Ward 19 - Trinity-Spadina)

Report 5, Clause 88

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 30, 2006) from the Acting Director, Transportation Infrastructure Management subject to adding additional Recommendations (3) and (4), and renumbering the remaining Recommendations accordingly, so as to read:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) bicycle lanes be approved on both sides of Strachan Avenue adjacent to the curb, from Fleet Street to a point approximately 30 metres north of King Street West;
- (2) the following parking regulation changes be implemented co-incident with the implementation of the bicycle lanes referred to in Recommendation No. 1 as follows:
 - (a) the existing “No Parking Anytime” prohibition on both sides of Strachan Avenue, from Fleet Street to King Street West be rescinded;
 - (b) the existing “No Stopping, from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., except Saturdays, Sundays and Public Holiday” prohibition on the east side of Strachan Avenue, from Fleet Street to King Street West, be rescinded;
 - (c) the existing “No Stopping, from 4:00 pm to 6:00 p.m., except Saturdays, Sundays and Public Holiday” prohibition on the west side of Strachan, from Adelaide Street West to Fleet Street, be amended to operate from Adelaide Street West to a point 50 metres north of King Street;
 - (d) the existing “No Stopping Anytime” regulation on both sides of Strachan Avenue, from Lake Shore Boulevard West to Fleet Street, be amended to operate from Lake Shore Boulevard West to a point 50 metres north of King Street;
 - (e) the existing “No Parking Anytime” prohibition on the east side of Strachan Avenue, from King Street West to Queen Street West, be amended to operate from a point 30.5 metres north of Adelaide Street West;

- (f) **the existing “No Parking Anytime” prohibition on the west side of Strachan Avenue, from King Street West to a point 30.5 metres north of Adelaide Street West, be amended to operate from a point 50 metres north of King Street West to a point 30.5 metres north of Adelaide Street West;**
- (3) **a by-law be enacted for the alteration of Strachan Avenue at East Liberty Street, to widen the north leg of the intersection by approximately 3.3 metres, to facilitate the installation of an exclusive southbound right turn lane, and funds to implement the right turn lane be provided for within the Transportation Services division 2006 Capital Budget in the cycling Infrastructure Account;**
- (4) **upon implementation of the southbound right turn lane on Strachan Avenue at East Liberty Street, the westerly most curb land be designated for right-turns only;**
- (5) **the speed limit on Strachan Avenue, between Fleet Street and King Street West be reduced from 50km/h to 40km/h co-incident with the implementation of the bicycle lanes referred to in Recommendation (2); and**
- (6) **the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.”**

Report (May 30, 2006) from the Acting Director, Transportation Infrastructure Management.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) bicycle lanes be approved on both sides of Strachan Avenue adjacent to the curb, from Fleet Street to a point approximately 30 metres north of King Street West;
- (2) the following parking regulation changes be implemented co-incident with the implementation of the bicycle lanes referred to in Recommendation No. 1 as follows:
 - (a) the existing “No Parking Anytime” prohibition on both sides of Strachan Avenue, from Fleet Street to King Street West be rescinded;
 - (b) the existing “No Stopping, from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., except Saturdays, Sundays and Public Holiday” prohibition on the east side of Strachan Avenue, from Fleet Street to King Street West, be rescinded;
 - (c) the existing “No Stopping, from 4:00 pm to 6:00 p.m., except Saturdays, Sundays and Public Holiday” prohibition on the west side of Strachan,

from Adelaide Street West to Fleet Street, be amended to operate from Adelaide Street West to a point 50 metres north of King Street;

- (d) the existing “No Stopping Anytime” regulation on both sides of Strachan Avenue, from Lake Shore Boulevard West to Fleet Street, be amended to operate from Lake Shore Boulevard West to a point 50 metres north of King Street;
 - (e) the existing “No Parking Anytime” prohibition on the east side of Strachan Avenue, from King Street West to Queen Street West, be amended to operate from a point 30.5 metres north of Adelaide Street West;
 - (f) the existing “No Parking Anytime” prohibition on the west side of Strachan Avenue, from King Street West to a point 30.5 metres north of Adelaide Street West, be amended to operate from a point 50 metres north of King Street West to a point 30.5 metres north of Adelaide Street West;
- (3) the speed limit on Strachan Avenue, between Fleet Street and King Street West be reduced from 50km/h to 40km/h co-incident with the implementation of the bicycle lanes referred to in Recommendation No. 2; and
 - (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

99. Summary of the traffic impacts resulting from the installation of bicycle lanes on Cosburn Avenue from Broadview Avenue to Oak Park Avenue (Ward 29 - Toronto-Danforth and Ward 31 - Beaches-East York)

Report 5, Other Items Clause 101(l)

Action taken by the Committee

The Toronto and East York Community Council received the report (May 30, 2006) from the Acting Director, Transportation Infrastructure Management for information.

Report (May 30, 2006) from the Acting Director, Transportation Infrastructure Management, providing a summary of the impacts on cycling, motor vehicle traffic and parking one year after the implementation of bicycle lanes on Cosburn Avenue, from Broadview Avenue to Oak Park Avenue.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

99(a). Communication (June 12, 2006) from Lela Gary, Air Pollution Committee

100. Use of Nathan Phillips Square: Cavalcade of Lights - November 24, 25 and December 2, 9, and 16, 2006 (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

Report 5, Clause 89

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 10, 2006) from the Chief Corporate Officer.

Report (May 10, 2006) from the Chief Corporate Officer.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) subject to review and approval of the Fire Chief, exemption be given to the Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Division to use atmospheric fire performances and open flame that will feature fire breathers, eaters and jugglers, and to set-off special effects pyrotechnics under Class 7.2.5 of the Federal Explosives Regulations on Nathan Phillips Square; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto.

101. Use of Nathan Phillips Square: New Year's Eve - December 31, 2006 (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

Report 5, Clause 90

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 10, 2006) from the Chief Corporate Officer.

Report (May 10, 2006) from the Chief Corporate Officer.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) subject to review and approval of the Fire Chief, exemption be given to the Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Division and City TV to use

atmospheric fire performances and open flame that will feature fire breathers, eaters and jugglers, and to set-off special effects pyrotechnics under Class 7.2.5 of the Federal Explosives Regulations on Nathan Phillips Square; and

- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto.

102. Surplus Land Declaration and Proposed Closing of the Northerly Portion of the Public Lane, extending easterly from Gledhill Avenue, then northerly, from the North Limit of 17 Gledhill Avenue, to the North Limit of 25 Gledhill Avenue (Ward 31 - Beaches-East York)

Report 5, Other Items Clause 101(m)

Action taken by the Committee

The Toronto and East York Community Council postponed consideration of the report (May 17, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services, and the Chief Corporate Officer to its next meeting on July 11, 2006.

Report (May 17, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services and Chief Corporate Officer.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) subject to compliance with the requirements of the Municipal Act, 2001, and subject to City Council approving the sale of the portion of the public lane extending northerly from the north limit of Premises No. 17 Gledhill Avenue to the north limit of Premises No. 25 Gledhill Avenue, shown as Part 1 on Sketch No. PS-2005-009 (the "Lane"), the Lane be permanently closed as a public lane;
- (2) subject to City Council approving the sale of the Lane, notice be given to the public of a proposed by-law to permanently close the Lane, in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 162 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, and the Toronto and East York Community Council hear any member of the public who wishes to speak to the matter;
- (3) the Lane be declared surplus to the City's requirements and all steps necessary to comply with the City's real estate disposal process as set out in Chapter 213 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be taken;

- (4) the Chief Corporate Officer be authorized to invite an offer to purchase the Lane from the owner of Premises Nos. 2300 Danforth Avenue and 19 to 25 Gledhill Avenue; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in City Council of any bills necessary to give effect thereto.

103. Requests for Endorsement of Events for Liquor Licensing Purposes

Report 5, Clause 1

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council, for liquor licence purposes:

- (1) **adopt the recommendations of the Economic Development Committee contained in the communication (June 12, 2006) from the City Clerk, subject to amending Recommendation (2) in the attached report (May 12, 2006) from the General Manager, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, by adding the words “to 4.00 a.m.” after the words “for a sales extension of hours”, so that the staff report now reads:**

“It is recommended that:

- (1) **Nuit Blanche Toronto be declared an event of municipal significance, for Liquor Licence Board of Ontario (LLBO) and Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario (AGCO) purposes and indicate that there is no objection to Special Events applying for a Liquor Sales Licence Extension of Hours as the Event Organizer for Nuit Blanche on September 30 through to October 1, 2006;**
 - (2) **a letter from the Clerk indicating that the establishments listed in Attachment 1 are officially endorsed by Nuit Blanche Toronto and Toronto City Council has no objection to these establishments being included in Toronto Special Events’ application for a sales licence extension of hours to 4:00 a.m. with the AGCO for the purpose of Nuit Blanche on September 30 through to October 1; and**
 - (3) **the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.**
- (2) **declare the following events to be of community and/or municipal significance and advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission that it has no objection to their taking place:**

- (a) **The Royal Agricultural Winter Fair taking place from November 1, 2006 to November 12, 2006 at the National Trade Centre, Exhibition Place;**
 - (b) **the Toronto International Jerk & Jazz Festival taking place Queen's Park (North Park) on August 19, 2006 between 1.00 p.m. to 10.00 p.m.; and**
- (3) **advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission:**
- (a) **that it is aware of Ryerson Students Union's Week of Welcome Launch Party taking place on Tuesday, September 5, 2006 in front of 55 Gould Street, and has no objection to it taking place nor to the operation of a beer garden being set up at this location from 12.00 p.m. to 11.00 p.m.;**
 - (b) **that it has no objection to:**
 - (i) **the Duke of Kent, 2315 Yonge Street being granted a temporary liquor licence to sell and serve alcohol on an outside patio for the duration of 2006 Celebrate Toronto Street Festival taking place on this event July 7, 8 and 9, 2006;**
 - (ii) **the Hungarian Canadian Cultural Centre, 840 St. Clair Avenue West being granted a liquor licence extension to sell and serve alcohol for the duration of the Hungarian Festival taking place on June 22-24, 2006.**
- 103(a).** Communication (May 19, 2006) from Luis Eduardo Mejicano, Ryerson Students' Union, requesting a letter of non-objection for the Ryerson Students' Union Week of Welcome Launch Party, taking place at 55 Gould Street on September 5, 2006 from 12:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m.; and
- 103(b).** Communication (May 23, 2006) from Frank Weber requesting that The Royal Agricultural Winter Fair, taking place from November 1 to 12, 2006, be declared an event of municipal significance.
- 103(c).** Communication (May 29, 2006) from Angelita Elliott, President, CEO, Visions in Green regarding the 1st Annual Toronto International Jerk & Jazz Festival taking place in Queen's Park, North Park on August 19, 2006.
- 103(d).** Communication (June 7, 2006) from Eموke Jordan, Secretary General, Hungarian Canadian Cultural Centre regarding the Hungarian Festival taking place June 22 – 24, 2006, from 11:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m., at 840 St. Clair Avenue West.
- 103(e).** Communication (June 12, 2006) from the City Clerk, Economic Development and Parks Committee, requesting that Nuit Blanch Toronto to be held from September 30, 2006 and October 1, 2006, in the areas of West Queen West, from Dufferin to Trinity-Bellwoods; McCaul/University Avenue, from Queen Street to College Street; and Bloor/Yorkville, be declared an event of municipal significance; and

103(f). Communication (undated) from Alex Eastwood, Duke of Kent, regarding the Celebrate Toronto Street Festival 2006, to be held from Friday, July 7, 2006 to Sunday, July 9, 2006, and requesting that the Duke of Kent be included in this event.

104. Sale of One Inch Strip of Land Between 112 and 114 Kingston Road (Ward 32 – Beaches-East York)

Report 5, Clause 91

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 1, 2006) from the Chief Corporate Officer.

Report (June 1, 2006) from the Chief Corporate Officer

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the Offer to Purchase from Beach Triangle Townhomes Ltd. (the “Purchaser”) to purchase a one inch strip of land located between 112 and 114 Kingston Road, being Part of Lot 4 on Plan 782E, comprising all of the lands identified in PIN 21020-0221, City of Toronto (formerly City of Scarborough) (the “Property”), in the amount of \$1,000.00, be accepted substantially on the terms and conditions outlined in Appendix “A” to this report, and that each of the Chief Corporate Officer and the Director of Real Estate Services be authorized severally to accept the Offer on behalf of the City;
- (2) authority be granted to direct a portion of the proceeds of closing to pay the City’s expenses related to the Property and the completion of the sale transaction;
- (3) the City Solicitor be authorized to complete the transaction on behalf of the City, including making payment of any necessary expenses and amending the closing and other dates to such earlier or later date(s) and on such terms and conditions as she may from time to time consider reasonable; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

105. Cariboo Avenue, north and south sides, between Osler Street and West end of Cariboo Avenue – Implementation of “No Stopping Anytime” prohibition (Ward 18 –Davenport)

Report 5, Clause 92

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 6, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Report (June 6, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the “No Parking Anytime” regulation on the north and south sides of Cariboo Avenue, from Osler Street to west end of Cariboo Avenue, be rescinded;
- (2) stopping be prohibited at anytime on the north and south sides of Cariboo Avenue, from Osler Street to west end of Cariboo Avenue; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

106. St. Clair Avenue between Tweedsmuir Avenue and Vaughan Road – Road alterations and traffic regulations for the St. Clair Avenue West Transit Improvement Project. (Ward 22 – St. Paul’s)

Report 5, Clause 93

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 6, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Report (June 6, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) A by-law be prepared for the alteration of St. Clair Avenue West, between the St. Clair Avenue West Subway station entrance west of Tweedsmuir Avenue and Vaughan Road, to permit construction of the Preferred Design Concept identified through the St. Clair Avenue West Transit Improvements Class Environmental

Assessment study process. The modifications, generally as shown on Drawing No.'s 421F-8381 and 421F-8382 dated June 2006, include:

- (i) construction of a raised, mountable trackbed in the centre of St. Clair Avenue West, between signalized intersections, for the exclusive use of public transit vehicles and emergency service vehicles, including Police, Fire, and Emergency Medical Services;
 - (ii) removal of all existing streetcar platforms and replacement with new, wider farside platforms on the north side of St. Clair Avenue West west of Bathurst Street and west of Vaughan Road; and on the south side of St. Clair Avenue West east of Bathurst Street and east of Vaughan Road; and
 - (iii) widening the pavement, up to 2.5 metres, on the north and south sides of St. Clair Avenue West, at Bathurst Street, and at Vaughan Road, to allow for two through lanes and a left-turn/U-turn lane.
- (2) the existing parking regulations on St. Clair Avenue West, between Tweedsmuir Avenue and Vaughan Road, listed in the attached Appendix "A" be rescinded;
 - (3) the proposed parking regulations on St. Clair Avenue West, between Tweedsmuir Avenue and Vaughan Road, listed in the attached Appendix "B" be enacted;
 - (4) the existing turn prohibitions on St. Clair Avenue West, at Bathurst Street and at Vaughan Road, listed in the attached Appendix "C" be rescinded;
 - (5) the proposed turn prohibitions on St. Clair Avenue West, between Bathurst Street and Vaughan Road, listed in the attached Appendix "D" be enacted;
 - (6) the existing reserved lane designation on St. Clair Avenue West at Vaughan Road, listed in the attached Appendix "E" be rescinded;
 - (7) the proposed reserved lane designations on St. Clair Avenue West, from east of Bathurst Street to west of Vaughan Road, listed in the attached Appendix "F" be enacted;
 - (8) the existing one-way traffic lane on St. Clair Avenue West at Vaughan Road, listed in the attached Appendix "G", be rescinded;
 - (9) the existing streetcar loading platforms on St. Clair Avenue West, between Bathurst Street and Vaughan Road listed in the attached Appendix "H" be rescinded;
 - (10) the proposed streetcar loading platforms on St. Clair Avenue West, between Bathurst Street and Vaughan Road listed in the attached Appendix "I" be enacted; and

- (11) appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

107. Ontario Municipal Board Hearing – 342 Lee Avenue (Ward 32 – Beaches-East York)

Report 5, Clause 94

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council authorize the City Solicitor and appropriate City staff to appear at the Ontario Municipal Board to support the Committee of Adjustment’s decision to refuse the requested consents and minor variances applications at 342 Lee Avenue.

Motion (June 13, 2006) from Councillor Bussin

WHEREAS the owner of the properties municipally known as 342 Lee Avenue and 535, 535A, 538, 537A, 539 and 539A Kingston Road applied to the Committee of Adjustment (Toronto East York Panel) for consent and minor variances to By-law No. 438-85, as amended to permit the construction of a 3 single detached houses on the property;

AND WHEREAS in a decision dated, April 20, 2006, the Committee of Adjustment denied the consents and minor variance applications;

AND WHEREAS the Committee of Adjustment’s decision has been appealed by the owner to the Ontario Municipal Board;

AND WHEREAS an Ontario Municipal Board Hearing has not yet been;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council authorize the City solicitor and appropriate staff to appear at the Ontario Municipal Board to support the Committee of Adjustment’s decision to refuse the requested consents and minor variances applications;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, leave be granted to introduce and debate this Notice of Motion at the meeting of Council on June 27, 28, 29 2006.”

108. Ontario Municipal Board Hearing – 649 Woodbine Avenue (Ward 32 – Beaches-East York)

Report 5, Clause 95

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council authorize the City Solicitor to attend at the Ontario Municipal Board, together with any appropriate witnesses deemed necessary to the City Solicitor, including if necessary outside consultants, to support the Committee of Adjustment's decision to refuse the requested consent and minor variance applications at 649 Woodbine Avenue.

Motion (June 13, 2006) from Councillor Bussin

WHEREAS the owner of the property municipally known as 649 Woodbine Avenue applied to the Committee of Adjustment (Toronto East York Panel) for consent and minor variances to By-law No. 438-85, as amended to permit the construction of a pair of three storey semi-detached triplex buildings on the property;

AND WHEREAS in a decision dated, May 11, 2006, the Committee of Adjustment denied the consent and minor variance applications;

AND WHEREAS the Committee of Adjustment's decision has been appealed by the owner to the Ontario Municipal Board;

AND WHEREAS an Ontario Municipal Board Hearing has not yet been;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Solicitor be directed to attend at the Ontario Municipal Board, along with any appropriate witnesses deemed necessary to the City Solicitor, including if necessary outside consultants, to support the Committee of Adjustment's decision to refuse the requested consent and minor variance applications;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, leave be granted to introduce and debate this Notice of Motion at the meeting of Council on June 27, 28, 29, 2006.

109. Ontario Municipal Board Hearing – 2386 Gerrard Street East (Ward 32 – Beaches-East York)

Report 5, Clause 96

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council authorize the City Solicitor to attend at the Ontario Municipal Board, along with any appropriate witnesses deemed necessary to the City Solicitor, including if necessary outside consultants, to support the Committee of Adjustment's decision to refuse the requested consent and minor variance applications at 2386 Gerrard Street East.

Motion (June 13, 2006) from Councillor Bussin

WHEREAS the owner of the property municipally known as 2386 Gerrard Street East Avenue applied to the Committee of Adjustment (Toronto East York Panel) for consent

and minor variances to By-law No. 438-85, as amended to permit the construction of a pair of three storey semi-detached triplex buildings on the property;

AND WHEREAS in a decision dated, May 11, 2006, the Committee of Adjustment denied the consent and minor variance applications;

AND WHEREAS the Committee of Adjustment's decision has been appealed by the owner to the Ontario Municipal Board;

AND WHEREAS an Ontario Municipal Board Hearing has not yet been;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Solicitor be directed to attend at the Ontario Municipal Board, along with any appropriate witnesses deemed necessary to the City Solicitor, including if necessary outside consultants, to support the Committee of Adjustment's decision to refuse the requested consent and minor variance applications;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, leave be granted to introduce and debate this Notice of Motion at the meeting of Council on June 27, 28, 29, 2006.

110. Noise By-law Exemption for King and Queen Festival and Pan Alive as Part of the 2006 Toronto Caribbean Carnival (Caribana) Festival (Ward 14 – Parkdale-High Park)

Report 5, Clause 97

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council grant an exemption from Municipal Code, Chapter 591, Noise:

- (1) to permit the King and Queen Extravaganza event at Lamport Stadium to proceed until 12:00 midnight on Friday, August 4th, 2006; and**
- (2) to the Ontario Steelpan Association (OSA) to permit the Pan Alive event at Lamport Stadium to proceed until 12:00 midnight on Saturday, August 5th, 2006.**

Motion (undated) from Councillor Mihevc

WHEREAS the Toronto Mas Band Association (TMBA) and the Ontario Steelpan Association (OSA) are hosting the 2006 editions of the King and Queen Extravaganza and Pan Alive respectively at Lamport Stadium; and

WHEREAS Pan Alive & the King and Queen Extravaganza are annual Carnival Festival crowd favourite events; and

WHEREAS the Festival Management Committee (FMC), the neutral, arms-length organizing body which is providing overall governance for the Toronto Caribbean Carnival (Caribana), requires an exemption to the noise by-law to allow them to continue the King and Queen event until 12:00 midnight on Friday, August 4th, 2006; and

WHEREAS the Ontario Steelpan Association (OSA), the organizing body for this year's Pan Alive, requires an exemption to the noise by-law to allow them to continue Pan Alive until 12 midnight on Saturday, August 5th, 2006;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Festival Management Committee (FMC) be granted an exemption from the Noise By-law to permit the King and Queen event to proceed until 12:00 midnight on Friday, August 4th, 2006; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Ontario Steelpan Association (OSA) be granted an exemption from the Noise By-law to permit the Pan Alive event to proceed until 12:00 midnight on Saturday, August 5th, 2006; and

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the necessary provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be waived to permit introduction and debate of this Notice of Motion at the meeting of Council to be held on June 27, 28 and 29th, 2006.

111. Review of Residential and Commercial Traffic, Parking and Pedestrian Safety – Avenue Road, Bloor Street West, Bedford Road and Prince Arthur Avenue (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina)

Report 5, Clause 98

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council request the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, in consultation with the Toronto Parking Authority, Director, Transportation Service Division, and area stakeholders, to report to the Toronto and East York Community Council on a review of existing access arrangements and possible improvements on the block bounded by Avenue Road, Bloor Street West, Bedford road and Prince Arthur Avenue.

Motion (June 12, 2006) from Councillor Silva

“WHEREAS there has been recent development approvals and potential for further intensification in the block bounded by Avenue Road, Bloor Street West, Bedford Road and Prince Arthur Avenue;
and

WHEREAS some future developments may seek to provide vehicular access directly onto Bloor Street West thereby potentially reducing pedestrian amenity and safety; and

WHEREAS the City, including the Toronto Parking Authority, have a number of property assets in the subject block creating the potential to extend the existing east/west lane to optimize vehicular access for existing and future developments; and

NOW IT BE FURTHER RESOLVED THAT City Council request the Chief Planner, in consultation with the Toronto Parking Authority, Transportation Service Division, and area stakeholders, to report on a review of existing access arrangements and possible improvements;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, the necessary provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be waived to permit introduction and debate of this Notice of Motion at the meeting of Community Council to be held on June 13, 2006.”

112. Ontario Municipal Board Hearing – 1982 Queen Street East (Ward 32 – Beaches-East York)

Report 5, Clause 99

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council authorize the City Solicitor and appropriate City staff to appear at the Ontario Municipal Board to support the Committee of Adjustment’s decision to refuse the requested minor variance at 1982 Queen Street East.

Motion (undated) from Councillor Bussin

WHEREAS the owner of the property municipally known as 1982 Queen Street East applied to the Committee of Adjustment (Toronto East York Panel) for a minor variance to By-law No. 438-85, as amended to permit the construction of an 3 storey mixed-use building on the property;

AND WHEREAS one of the requested variances is to permit an increase in the restaurant size permitted on the property;

AND WHEREAS in a decision dated, March 30, 2006, the Committee of Adjustment denied the variance for the increase in restaurant size beyond that permitted;

AND WHEREAS a similar application has been before both the Committee of Adjustment and the Ontario Municipal Board and was refused by both;

AND WHEREAS the Committee of Adjustment’s decision has been appealed by the owner to the Ontario Municipal Board;

AND WHEREAS an Ontario Municipal Board Hearing has been set for July 10, 2006;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council authorize the City solicitor and appropriate staff to appear at the Ontario Municipal Board to support the Committee of Adjustment's decision to refuse the requested minor variance;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, leave be granted to introduce and debate this Notice of Motion at the meeting of Council on June 14, 2006.

113. Ontario Municipal Board Hearing - 275 King Street East (Ward 28 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

Report 5, Clause 100

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council authorize the City Solicitor, appropriate staff and/or external expert witnesses, to appear at the Ontario Municipal Board to support the Committee of Adjustment decision to refuse the requested variances at 275 King Street East.

Motion (undated) from Councillor McConnell

=

WHEREAS the owner of the property municipally known as 275 King Street East applied to the Committee of Adjustment for minor variances to By-law No. 438-86, as amended, to permit the construction of a 13-storey mixed use building on the property; and

WHEREAS in a decision dated April 20, 2006, the Committee of Adjustment refused the minor variances; and

WHEREAS the Committee of Adjustment's decision has been appealed by the owner to the Ontario Municipal Board; and -

WHEREAS the Ontario Municipal Board will be considering an appeal on August 15, 2006 by the owner with respect to this decision by the Committee of Adjustment;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Council of the City of Toronto authorize the City Solicitor, appropriate staff and/or external expert witnesses to appear at the Ontario Municipal Board to support the Committee of Adjustment decision to refuse the requested variances.